

MULTI-VIEW FUSION TRANSFORMER FOR SENSOR-BASED HUMAN ACTIVITY RECOGNITION

Yimu Wang, Kun Yu, Yan Wang and Hui Xue

Alibaba Group

ABSTRACT

As a fundamental problem in ubiquitous computing and machine learning, sensor-based human activity recognition (HAR) has drawn extensive attention and made great progress recent years. HAR aims to recognize human activities based on the availability of rich time-series data collected from multi-modal sensors such as accelerometers and gyroscopes. However, recent deep learning methods are focusing on one view of the data, *i.e.*, the temporal view, while shallow methods tend to utilize the hand-craft features for recognition, *e.g.*, the statistics view. In this paper, to extract a better feature for advancing the performance, we propose a novel method, namely multi-view fusion transformer (MVFT) along with a novel attention mechanism. First, MVFT encodes three views of information, *i.e.*, the temporal, frequent, and statistical views to generate multi-view features. Second, the novel attention mechanism uncovers inner- and cross- view clues to catalyze mutual interactions between three views for detailed relation modeling. Moreover, extensive experiments on two datasets illustrate the superiority of our methods over several state-of-the-art methods.

Index Terms— Multi-view Learning, Sensor, Attention

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding human activities is an important and challenging problem in machine learning, which is the basis for health-care applications, such as rehabilitation, gait analysis, falls detection and falls prevention. Human activity recognition (HAR) [1], as an effective method towards understanding human activities, has drawn more and more attention recently. The goal of HAR is to classify the activities with RGB image data [2], WIFI signal [3, 4] or wearable sensor data [5] collected from participants via computational systems. As image data is harder to obtain than wearable sensor data, in this paper, we focus on wearable sensor-based HAR. Specifically, the raw (sensor-based) data is a stream of sensor readings received from limited wearable sensors (typically 4×3), apart from image data, which is abundant in information with more dimensions (usually $3 \times 224 \times 224$).

Existing HAR methods can be roughly categorized into two groups, shallow methods and deep methods. Shallow

methods generally use PCA, LDA, Fourier transformation and handcrafted features, *e.g.*, mean, variance, median, maximum, minimum, to obtain features, which means the feature extraction procedure is independent of the following classification procedure. That may significantly affect the performance, because these features are not optimal for the following procedure. Recently, as deep learning [6] has shown its superiority of representation learning in various applications, such as image recognition [7, 8] and information retrieval [9, 10], more and more deep HAR methods have been proposed. Deep methods [5, 11, 12] capture highly implicit feature and thus achieve better performance than shallow methods leverage the power of deep learning by integrating feature learning and classification into a single framework.

However, while existing deep methods shed their lights and achieve better performances than shallow methods, most of the deep methods only focus on one view of data, *i.e.*, the temporal view (raw data). Deep feature extraction also has its own limits, as it is hard to count how many peaks show in the raw data or what are the mean, maximum and minimum values of data. On the other hand, frequency domain is important to sequential data analysis [13] as different sensor sequences generally have unique periodicity and different amplitude, which are more suitable to be described by frequency domain features, and statistics of data is also able to illustrate some aforementioned criteria, which provide neural networks more views of data and thus may boost the performance.

In this paper, to address this issue, we propose a novel multi-view fusion transformer (MVFT) and an attention mechanism. Specifically, to utilize multi-view data, MVFT encodes them separately and then employs a multi-view fusion attention to explores inter- and cross- view correlations among three views features. First, to learn separate suitable features, MVFT employs a separate transformer block [14] to encode each view. Then, a multi-view fusion attention module is used to enhance mutual cross-view communications and learn discriminative features. Moreover, we conduct extensive experiment on two datasets illustrating the superiority of our methods over several state-of-the-art methods.

2. RELATED WORK

Human Activity Recognition (HAR) is an important and challenging topic in artificial intelligence. The availability of cheap wearable sensors and the advance of computing technology enable low cost, continuous and non-invasive mobile sensing [15]. Traditional sensor-based HAR systems manually extract a set of features from time-series raw sensor signals and then employs different machine learning algorithms to map features to various classes (human activities), *e.g.*, decision tree [16], KNN [17], SVM [18, 19]. [18] extracts features from data collected from accelerometer and gyroscope, and applies a multi-class SVM to classify six different activities. But, the feature extraction procedure is man-made, handcrafted and empirical, which is not optimal for the following procedure and blocks the way to better performance and end-to-end systems.

Recently, inspired by the advance in deep learning, more and more deep HAR methods are proposed. In contrast to shallow supervised HAR methods, deep HAR methods integrates the feature learning and prediction (classification) procedure and in this way, feature learning can extract better features suitable for the classification procedure. Besides, it offers a powerful ability of latent feature representation, such as specific variance of signals at different scales that reflects the salient pattern of signals. Specifically, [20] automates feature learning from the multichannel time series data for HAR task using 2dCNN network, which mainly employs convolution and pooling operations to capture the salient patterns. Following that, [21] utilizes a 1dCNN network with triaxial accelerometer data collected from users’ smartphones to recognize three human activities. Besides, they found that the activity recognition performance was improved if the input vector dimension was increased. [11] proposes a generic deep framework (DeepConvLSTM) based on convolutional and LSTM recurrent units, which performs sensor fusion naturally and explicitly models the temporal dynamics of feature activation. [22, 23] explore the performance of LSTM across three representative datasets that contain movement data captured with wearable sensors. [24] employs BiLSTM to encode temporal dependencies and model sequential structure, past and present contextual information.

However, most the previous HAR methods only use one view of data to generate features, such as temporal view [5], the frequency view [13, 25] and the statistic view [26]. Frequent view helps model to capture frequency features, while statistical feature, *e.g.*, mean, variance and the number of peaks, is far more interpretable but requiring domain knowledge. Three different views of data provide different angles to the data. In this paper, to incorporate three views and boost the interactions among three views data, we propose a transformer-like model (MVFT) and a novel multi-view fusion attention mechanism. Comparing with previous methods, MVFT encodes three views of information separately and then uncovers

inner- and cross- view clues to catalyze mutual interactions between three views for detailed relation modeling, which further advances the accuracy.

3. PROPOSED METHODS

3.1. Problem Formulation and Notation

In sensor-based HAR, raw input $\mathbf{x}_r = [\mathbf{x}_{r,1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r,T}] \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times (dm)}$ is a data-stream of raw time-series samples collected from sensors, where d , m and T is the dimension of each sensor, the number of sensors and the total length of the sequence. Without loss of generality, all the sensors are assumed to have the same sampling rate. The corresponding label is denoted as a one-hot vector $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^{n_{class}}$, where n_{class} is the number of classes. The goal of HAR is to learn a classifier f using the training data minimizing the empirical error.

3.2. Our Proposed Methods

In this part, we elaborately present the data preparation and the proposed multi-view fusion transformer (MVFT) as shown in Fig. 1.

3.2.1. Data Preparation and Embedding

Before uncovering inner- and cross- view clues, different views of data should be obtained first. In our methods, three views of data are used, *i.e.*, the temporal, frequent and statistic views, which are shown below,

- 1 Temporal view: Temporal view (time domain) provides the raw the response of a system consisting of several sensors, and it illustrates the raw data without any modification and analyzing.
- 2 Frequent view: Frequent view shows the data from a different perspective. It transforms the raw data to its frequency representation, which is known as the "spectrum" of frequency components. An example of such transformation is a Fourier transform. The Fourier transform converts the temporal data into a set of sine waves that represent different frequencies. In this paper, we use it to obtain the Frequent view.
- 3 Statistic view: Statistic view of data is a list of characteristics, such as the maximum, minimum, average value and number of peaks, extracted from time series. It depicts raw data (temporal view of data) from different perspectives.

Each input sequence starts with a special token "[CLS]", *i.e.*, $\mathbf{x} = ["[CLS]", \mathbf{x}_r, "[CLS]", \mathbf{x}_f, "[CLS]", \mathbf{x}_s]$, where \mathbf{x}_f and \mathbf{x}_s are the frequent and statistic views of data.




Fig. 1: The architecture of our model. Raw data collected from wearable sensors is firstly transformed to produce three views of data. After that, input sequence (temporal frequent and statistic views) will go through several encoder blocks, which contains a novel multi-view fusion attention to uncover inner- and cross- view clues and catalyze mutual interactions between three views for detailed relation modeling. Following that, the decoder consisting several blocks takes decoder inputs and the outputs of encoder to generate final results with an element-wise sum and a softmax operation. “DecInput” refers to the input of decoder and “temporal”, “frequent” and “statistic” represent temporal, temporal and statistic views.

Besides, to explicitly transfer position, time and three views information to MVFT, we use five types of embedding, *i.e.*, position embedding, time embedding, temporal embedding, frequent embedding and statistic embedding¹. **Position embedding:** Following [14], MVFT incorporates a learnable position embedding to every input in the sequence to learn the correlation with data and position, as self-attention-like mechanism does not consider the order of input data. **Time embedding:** Different from other tasks [27, 28], time is significantly important in HAR as it provides us the delay between any two elements, and it enables models to extract features even when the time gap between any two elements varies. **temporal embedding, frequent embedding and statistic embedding** are the corresponding segment embeddings of three views of data.

The decoder of transformer-like models needs inputs too. We use vectors with all elements being 1 as the input of decoders.

3.2.2. Multi-View Fusion Transformer

We design a Multi-View Fusion Transformer (MVFT) to better encode three sources of information, *i.e.*, the temporal view, the frequent view and the statistic view as shown in Fig. 1.

¹We do not employ a segment embedding indicating the three views of data because we believe that it is unnecessary as MVFT uses three separate Transformer-like module to extract features.

MVFT employs three transformers to encode three view features equally instead of using only one transformer, which enables MVFT to separately learn feature for three views. To promote the flow of information between different views, we propose a multi-view fusion attention mechanism, which incorporates three views information and enhance the interactions between them. With cross-view interactions, MVFT can dynamically focus on judicious cues for further prediction. Specifically, the multi-view fusion attention mechanism takes *three different views features as query, key and value* and then performs multi-head attention on them. The multi-view attention is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MultiView}(Q, K, V) &= \text{Concat}_{h \in [H]}(\text{head}_h)W^O, \\ \text{head}_h &= \text{Attention}(QW_h^Q, KW_h^K, VW_h^V), \\ \text{Attention}(Q, K, V) &= \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where d_k is the dimension of query, $\text{softmax}(\cdot)$ is the softmax operation, Q, K and V are query, key and value, $\text{Concat}(\cdot)$ is the concatenation operation, $W_*^Q, W_*^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}$, $W_*^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_v}$ are three learnable projections, H is the number of head. With this multi-view fusion attention, extensive interactions can be extracted from three views features and further improve final performance.

Method	Acc (%)		
	Wisdom	CRO_30	CRO_50
CNN1d	87.25	82.87	82.18
CNN2d	89.56	83.40	82.25
BiLSTM	44.67	82.35	82.78
DeepConvLSTM	32.20	91.02	90.96
LSTM	37.72	82.27	89.42
RNN	30.96	92.35	91.21
SparseSense	78.54	88.30	88.61
Transformer	91.53	91.29	91.11
Ours	96.71	99.40	99.18

Table 1: Comparison of Accuracy (%) on three datasets, *Wisdom*, *CRO_30* and *CRO_50*. Best in bold.

MVFT only employs multi-view fusion attention in the encoder as the task of encoder is to learn powerful and discriminative representations, while the goal of decoder is to advance the interaction between the learnt three views features generated by the encoder and the corresponding decoder input.

4. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we carry out experiments to empirically evaluate the performance of MVFT on two wearable sensor benchmarks, and then compare it to state-of-the-art approaches.

4.1. Datasets and Details

Two datasets, *Wisdom* [29] and a collected dataset named *CRO* are used for evaluation. **Wisdom** is collected using accelerometers built into phones [29]. Subjects carried the Android phone in their front pants leg pocket and were asked to perform six regular activities, *i.e.*, walking, jogging, ascending stairs, descending stairs, sitting and standing. The sequence length of *Wisdom* is 30. **CRO** is collected by four sensors on smartphones and manually labeled into two classes. The smartphones are embedded with accelerometers, magnetometers and gyroscopes. We collect 89874 raw data and form two datasets, *i.e.*, *CRO_30* and *CRO_50*. The former is the dataset with length of 30 while the length of latter is 50.

MVFT is implemented based on PyTorch [30] with eight NVIDIA P100 GPUs and optimized by the mini-batch Adam with the size of 256. The learning rate is initialized as 0.0001. We compare our method with several state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods, *i.e.*, CNN1d [21], CNN2d [20], BiLSTM [24], DeepConvLSTM [11], LSTM [22, 23], RNN [31], SparseSense [5] and Transformer [14].

4.2. Main Results

The results on two datasets are presented in Table 1. On all datasets, our proposed MVFT outperforms all the methods with the power of multi-view fusion attention. Specif-

Method	Views			Acc (%)		
	T	F	S	Wisdom	CRO_30	CRO_50
Transformer	✓			91.53	91.29	91.11
		✓		85.22	98.14	95.92
			✓	93.29	95.21	95.78
	✓	✓		94.26	97.17	96.15
	✓		✓	95.90	98.61	97.82
	✓	✓	✓	95.30	98.46	97.82
Ours	✓	✓		96.21	97.93	96.38
	✓	✓		96.02	98.10	98.20
	✓		✓	95.60	98.79	98.15
	✓	✓	✓	96.66	98.86	99.10
	✓	✓	96.71	99.40	99.18	

Table 2: Comparison of Accuracy (%) on three datasets, *Wisdom*, *CRO_30* and *CRO_50*. Best in bold.

ically, on *Wisdom*, MVFT outperforms CNN2d, the best SOTA, and Transformer by 7.15% and 5.18%, respectively, while on *CRO_30*, MVFT outperforms DeepConvLSTM and RNN, the best SOTAs, and Transformer by 8.38% and 8.11%. On *CRO_50*, the gaps between MVFT and DeepConvLSTM, LSTM, RNN and Transformer are extended to 8.22%, 8.22%, 8.22% and 8.07%.

4.3. Ablation Study

To evaluate how three views weigh in the performance, we conduct ablation study shown in Table 2 using MVFT and Transformer. In the most of results, using more views means better performance, while in some scenarios, more views could be harmful to performance, especially in the experiments with Transformer. Using any two views in Transformer can improve the accuracy comparing with the model using only one view on all the datasets, while adding one more view may cause the performance drop. While in the experiments using MVFT, on all three datasets, incorporating more views can gain performance boost. Specifically, on *Wisdom*, the model using three views outperforms the models using any two views by 0.69%, 1.11% and 0.05%. Similar results can be seen on others.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented a novel cross-view fusion transformer methods named MVFT. MVFT was the HAR deep method that learned powerful and discriminative multi-view features. The key contribution was incorporating and boosting the inner- and inter- interactions thus enabling one view features absorbing other views' information to further focus on general similar features across different views. Extensive experiments showed the superiority of our method over several state-of-the-art methods. In the future, we would like to explore the potential of transformer on extremely long sequential data with multi-view fusion attention.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Katerina Karagiannaki, Athanasia Panousopoulou, and Panagiotis Tsakalides, “An online feature selection architecture for human activity recognition,” in *ICASSP*, 2017.
- [2] Alejandro Hernandez Ruiz, Lorenzo Porzi, Samuel Rota Bulò, and Francesc Moreno-Noguer, “3D CNNs on Distance Matrices for Human Action Recognition,” in *ACM MM*, 2017, pp. 1087–1095.
- [3] Bing Li, Wei Cui, Wei Wang, Le Zhang, Zhenghua Chen, and Min Wu, “Two-Stream Convolution Augmented Transformer for Human Activity Recognition,” in *AAAI*, 2021, pp. 286–293.
- [4] Zhengyang Wang, Sheng Chen, Wei Yang, and Yang Xu, “Environment-independent wi-fi human activity recognition with adversarial network,” in *ICASSP*, 2021, pp. 3330–3334.
- [5] Alireza Abedin, S. Hamid Rezaatofighi, Qinfeng Shi, and Damith C. Ranasinghe, “SparseSense: Human Activity Recognition from Highly Sparse Sensor Data-streams Using Set-based Neural Networks,” in *IJCAI*, 2019, pp. 5780–5786.
- [6] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition,” in *CVPR*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [7] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman, “Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition,” *arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014.
- [8] Yimu Wang, Ren-Jie Song, Xiu-Shen Wei, and Lijun Zhang, “An Adversarial Domain Adaptation Network For Cross-Domain Fine-Grained Recognition,” in *WACV*, 2020, pp. 1217–1225.
- [9] Yimu Wang, Shiyin Lu, and Lijun Zhang, “Searching Privately by Imperceptible Lying: A Novel Private Hashing Method with Differential Privacy,” in *ACM MM*, 2020, pp. 2700–2709.
- [10] Yimu Wang, Xiu-Shen Wei, Bo Xue, and Lijun Zhang, “Piecewise Hashing: A Deep Hashing Method for Large-Scale Fine-Grained Search,” in *PRCV*, 2020, pp. 432–444.
- [11] Francisco Javier Ordóñez and Daniel Roggen, “Deep Convolutional and LSTM Recurrent Neural Networks for Multimodal Wearable Activity Recognition,” *Sensors*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2016.
- [12] Rui Xi, Mengshu Hou, Mingsheng Fu, Hong Qu, and Daibo Liu, “Deep Dilated Convolution on Multimodality Time Series for Human Activity Recognition,” in *IJCNN*, 2018, pp. 1–8.
- [13] Wenchao Jiang and Zhaozheng Yin, “Human Activity Recognition Using Wearable Sensors by Deep Convolutional Neural Networks,” in *ACM MM*, 2015, pp. 1307–1310.
- [14] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin, “Attention is All you Need,” in *NeurIPS*, 2017, pp. 5998–6008.
- [15] Raffaele Gravina, Parastoo Alinia, Hassan Ghasemzadeh, and Giancarlo Fortino, “Multi-sensor fusion in body sensor networks: State-of-the-art and research challenges,” *Information Fusion*, vol. 35, pp. 68–80, 2017.
- [16] Tim van Kasteren, Athanasios Noulas, Gwenn Englebienne, and Ben Kröse, “Accurate activity recognition in a home setting,” in *UbiCom*, 2008, pp. 1–9.
- [17] Shah Md. Shihab Hasan, Mohshi Masnad, Md Mohiuddin Khan, Hasan Mahmud, and Md. Kamrul Hasan, “Human activity recognition using smartphone sensors with context filtering,” in *ACHI*, 2016.
- [18] Andreas Bulling and Daniel Roggen, “Recognition of visual memory recall processes using eye movement analysis,” in *UbiComp*, 2011, pp. 455–464.
- [19] D. Anguita, Alessandro Ghio, L. Oneto, Xavier Parra, and Jorge Luis Reyes-Ortiz, “A public domain dataset for human activity recognition using smartphones,” in *ESANN*, 2013.
- [20] Jianbo Yang, Minh Nhut Nguyen, Phyo Phyo San, Xiaoli Li, and Shonali Krishnaswamy, “Deep Convolutional Neural Networks on Multichannel Time Series for Human Activity Recognition,” in *IJCAI*, 2015, pp. 3995–4001.
- [21] Wenchao Xu, Yuxin Pang, Yanqin Yang, and Yanbo Liu, “Human Activity Recognition Based On Convolutional Neural Network,” in *ICPR*, 2018, pp. 165–170.
- [22] Masaya Inoue, Sozo Inoue, and Takeshi Nishida, “Deep Recurrent Neural Network for Mobile Human Activity Recognition with High Throughput,” *arXiv:1611.03607*, 2016.
- [23] Nils Y. Hammerla, Shane Halloran, and Thomas Plötz, “Deep, Convolutional, and Recurrent Models for Human Activity Recognition Using Wearables,” in *IJCAI*, 2016, pp. 1533–1540.
- [24] Rui Zhao, Ruqiang Yan, Jinjiang Wang, and Kezhi Mao, “Learning to Monitor Machine Health with Convolutional Bi-Directional LSTM Networks,” *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 273, 2017.
- [25] Gierad Laput and Chris Harrison, “Sensing Fine-Grained Hand Activity with Smartwatches,” in *ACM CHI*, 2019, pp. 1–13.
- [26] Hangwei Qian, Sinno Jialin Pan, Bingshui Da, and Chunyan Miao, “A Novel Distribution-Embedded Neural Network for Sensor-Based Activity Recognition,” in *IJCAI*, 2019, pp. 5614–5620.
- [27] Linchao Zhu and Yi Yang, “ActBERT: Learning Global-Local Video-Text Representations,” in *CVPR*, 2020, pp. 8746–8755.
- [28] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby, “An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale,” in *ICLR*, 2021.
- [29] “Activity recognition using cell phone accelerometers — ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter,” <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1964897.1964918>.
- [30] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Kopf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala, “PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library,” in *NeurIPS*, 2019, pp. 8024–8035.
- [31] Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals, “Recurrent Neural Network Regularization,” *arXiv:1409.2329 [cs]*, 2015.