Chiral Anomaly in Euler Fluid and Beltrami Flow

P.B. Wiegmann a and A.G. Abanov b

E-mail: wiegmann@uchicago.edu, alexandre.abanov@stonybrook.edu

ABSTRACT: We show that the chiral anomaly of quantum field theories with Dirac fermions subject to an axial background field is an inherent property of kinematics of a perfect classical fluid. Celebrated Beltrami flows (stationary solutions of Euler equations with extensive helicity) exhibit the chiral anomaly equivalent to that known for Dirac fermions. A prominent effect of the chiral anomaly is the transport electric current at equilibrium. We show that it is also a property of Beltrami flows.

^a Kadanoff Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy and Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

Contents		
1	Introduction	1
2	The Hamiltonian and the Poisson algebra	5
3	Flow vector field and the continuity equation	7
4	Vector current and its anomaly	8
5	Euler equation	8
6	Momentum-energy equations and the Lorentz force	9
7	Axial-current and its anomaly	10
8	Beltrami flow	10
9	Flows in an external axial potential	12
10	Summary	13

1 Introduction

Interacting quantum fermionic systems can behave as perfect fluids. Prominent examples are superconductors, the superfluid ³He, the fractional quantum Hall effect, and one-dimensional electronic systems, e.g., Luttinger liquid. Recently a possibility that a quark-gluon plasma arising in collisions of heavy ions may turn into a fluid came to light. The fundamental particles in quark-gluon plasma, ³He and some other examples are Dirac fermions. A characteristic feature of quantum field theories (QFT) with Dirac fermions is quantum anomalies that are mainly insensitive to interactions. Therefore, if the Dirac fermions turn into a fluid, anomalies must be an inherent part of hydrodynamics as well. This argument set off a search for relativistic hydrodynamics models consistent with the anomalies (see, Refs. [1, 2], and references therein). In a recent paper, [3], the effect of the axial-current anomaly had been identified in the foundational description of fluid dynamics – the conventional classical Euler equations (relativistic and non-relativistic alike).

If the Dirac fermions retain chiral imbalance, a fermionic system exhibits a physically significant anomaly-driven effect: an electric current runs across the system at the thermodynamic equilibrium. This work shows that this and other anomaly-based properties are

readily apparent in Beltrami flows of the perfect classical fluid. We recall that the Beltrami flows are stationary flows with extensive helicity (i.e., proportional to the volume of the system). Superfluid ³He-A may serve as a platform for the experimental realization of the effects discussed in this work. There is an experimental evidence of both the axial current anomaly [4], and of realization of the Beltrami flow [5].

Chiral anomaly in Dirac fermions

We briefly review the chiral anomaly in the fermionic quantum field theories before turning to hydrodynamics. Dirac fermions, whose Hamiltonian density is

$$H = \psi_L^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} (i \boldsymbol{\nabla} + \boldsymbol{A}) \psi_L - \psi_R^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} (i \boldsymbol{\nabla} + \boldsymbol{A}) \psi_R \tag{1.1}$$

in a time-independent magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B} = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}$ possess two conserved charges. They are the electric charge Q and the axial charge referred to as the chirality Q_A

$$Q = \int \rho d\mathbf{x} = N_L + N_R, \quad Q_A = \int \rho_A d\mathbf{x} = N_L - N_R. \tag{1.2}$$

The densities are the sum $\rho = \psi_L^{\dagger} \psi_L + \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_R$ and the difference $\rho_A = \psi_L^{\dagger} \psi_L - \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_R$ of the left and the right occupation numbers of chiral (Weyl) components of the Dirac multiplet (ψ_L, ψ_R) . They are temporal components of the 4-vector current $j = (j^0, j)$ and 4-axial current $j_A = (j_A^0, j_A)$.

As a system with two conserved charges, Dirac fermions could be brought in contact with a reservoir which maintains the chemical potential μ coupled to the particle density ρ and the *chiral chemical potential* μ^{A} coupled to the chirality density ρ_{A} . Such a system may reside in thermodynamic equilibrium with the reservoir. Then microstates are described by a grand canonical ensemble with the Gibbs probability $e^{-\mathcal{H}/T}$ with

$$\mathscr{H} = H - \int (\mu \rho + \mu^{A} \rho_{A}) d\mathbf{x}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where H is the energy of the isolated system. The chemical potential μ may be treated as a temporal component of the external electromagnetic 4-vector potential $A = (\mu, \mathbf{A})$ and the chiral chemical potential μ^{A} as a temporal component of the external axial 4-vector potential $A^{A} = (\mu^{A}, \mathbf{A}^{A})$ commonly considered in the Dirac QFT. In the main part of the paper we focus on the case $\mathbf{A}^{A} = 0$. The general case is briefly considered in Sec. 9. We consider a case of zero temperature, where the equilibrium state is the ground state of \mathcal{H} . There μ and μ^{A} determine the charges Q and Q_{A} .

In the familiar case, when the chemical potential is coupled to the particle number, the equilibrium state assumes no flow. The situation is fundamentally different when the reservoir maintains the chiral chemical potential μ^{A} . In this case, the equilibrium state carries an electric transport current proportional to and directed along the magnetic field

$$\mathbf{j}_{\text{trans}} = k\mu^{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{B}. \tag{1.4}$$

This result had a tangled history and met interpretational difficulties. Formally one could extract it from early works on anomalies [6, 7] where fermions are considered in the background of the axial vector potential. Physics applications started perhaps from the work of Vilenkin [8]. Recently (1.4) had been explored in heavy-ion collisions [9] among other fields. A clear interpretation of Eq. (1.4) as a ballistic transport at equilibrium is presented in Ref. [10] (see, also [11]. In one spatial dimension the analog of Eq. (1.4) is the Landauer formula $j_{\text{trans}} = k\mu^{\text{A}}$ [12, 13] for the experimentally observed Landauer-Sharvin effect of the resistance of ballistic transport. There μ^{A} is a voltage drop across the bulk and the constant k is the conductance quantized in fundamental units e^2/h . The effect of the transport current at equilibrium also discussed in connection with non-centrosymmetric semiconductors and cosmology. For some references and applications of the effects of the chiral reservoir in various domains of physics, see Refs. [14–16].

The origin of this result is the axial-current anomaly obtained by Adler [17] and Bell and Jackiw [18] in 1969 (see also Ref. [19]). That is, under a physics requirement that the vector current is conserved in an isolated system, the divergence of the axial current is necessarily given by the formula

$$\partial \cdot j_{\mathbf{A}} = k \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad k = 2.$$
 (1.5)

The coefficient k is the value of the triangle diagram with one axial and two vector vertices. In units of the magnetic flux quantum $\Phi_0 = hc/e$, the coefficient k is equal 2, the number of Weyl components in the Dirac multiplet. Then, if the system is coupled to reservoirs, the same triangular diagram which entered (1.5), determines that a gradient of the chiral chemical potential causes the vector current to diverge

$$\partial \cdot j = k \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{A}} := \nabla \mu^{\mathbf{A}}.$$
 (1.6)

Eq. (1.4) is a particular stationary solution of the chiral anomaly equation (1.6). We comment that Eq. (1.4) is valid for μ^{A} = const even though the r.h.s. of (1.6) vanishes.¹

Helicity and Beltrami flow of a perfect fluid

Now we turn to the electrically charged barotropic perfect (Euler's) fluid governed by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}m\rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \varepsilon[\rho]\right) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{1.7}$$

Here ρ , v are the fluid density and velocity, m is the mass of fluid particles and the energy density ε is a function of ρ .

Like Dirac fermions, Euler flows in three dimensions possess two conserved charges. One is the particle number $Q = \int \rho d\mathbf{x}$. The second conserved charge is the *fluid helicity*

$$\mathcal{H} = h^{-2} \int m \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \, d\boldsymbol{x} \,. \tag{1.8}$$

Throughout the paper we use a dot to denote the contraction of adjacent indices, i.e., $\partial \cdot j$ denotes $\partial_{\alpha} j^{\alpha} = \dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \dot{j}$.

Here, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times m\boldsymbol{v}$ is the fluid vorticity, and h is a normalization constant of the dimension of $energy \times time$ which makes helicity dimensionless. The root of the helicity conservation can be traced to its topological nature: helicity as a linkage of vortex lines [20]. Another related root is the degeneracy of the Poisson structure of the Euler hydrodynamics. The latter gives helicity the meaning of the Casimir invariant (see, e.g., [21]). It is conserved regardless of the choice of the Hamiltonian.

For the reasons described above, the fluid could be coupled with two reservoirs as in (1.3). One maintains the particle density (the electric charge), the second maintains the helicity

$$\mathscr{H} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2} m \rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \varepsilon [\rho] - \mu \rho - \mu^{\mathbf{A}} m \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \right] d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.9)

In the next section we explain how to add the electromagnetic field to the chiral coupling. Then we will show that the formulas for anomalies (1.5,1.6,1.4) hold to the Euler fluid. Furthermore, if the normalization constant h in (1.8) is identified with the Planck constant, the formulas become identical. With this in mind, we will use the units of h and Φ_0 , setting $h = \Phi_0 = 1$ in (1.9) and below.

We focus on the electric transport current present in the equilibrium state (1.4). From the fluid perspectives the equilibrium state is a stationary (or steady) flow. We will show that the chiral reservoir yields a stationary flow broadly known as a *Beltrami flow* [22]. We recall that the (generalized) Beltrami flow is defined by the condition that velocity and vorticity are collinear at every point

$$\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{v} = \kappa \boldsymbol{v} \,. \tag{1.10}$$

Beltrami flows carry an extensive helicity, which, as we will show, itself is determined by μ^{A} . Then we show that the Beltrami flow conducts an electric current given by the formulas identical to the formulas (1.4,1.6) of the fermionic QFT.

Axial current anomaly in fluid dynamics and chiral coupling

In magnetic field the definition of helicity amounts to replacing the momentum $m\mathbf{v}$ in (1.8) by the canonical momentum

$$m\mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{\pi} := m\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{A} \,, \tag{1.11}$$

where A is the vector potential so that $B = \nabla \times A$. Under this definition the helicity

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\pi} \, d\boldsymbol{x} \tag{1.12}$$

remains conserved [23, 24] (see also the section VI.2.C of [22]). However, the helicity \mathcal{H} is no longer an additive quantity, as its density $\pi \cdot \nabla \times \pi$ is not a local Eulerian field. This creates the difficulty of coupling helicity to the reservoir. The problem had been addressed in [3]. There we argued that in magnetic field the analog of the axial charge is the fluid chirality $Q_{\rm A} = \int \rho_{\rm A} dx$, not the helicity. The chirality density $\rho_{\rm A}$ is a local Eulerian field

$$\rho_{\mathbf{A}} = m\mathbf{v} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} + 2\mathbf{B}) , \qquad (1.13)$$

and, therefore is an additive quantity which at $\mathbf{B} = 0$ is equal to the helicity density.² It is not difficult to find the relation between chirality and helicity. Their difference depends only on external fields and is equal to the twice magnetic helicity

$$Q_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathcal{H} - 2 \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, d\mathbf{x} \,. \tag{1.14}$$

Based on this arguments we conclude that the chirality density ρ_A given by (1.13), not the helicity density (1.12) which enters to the augmented energy (1.3). However, there is a caveat. There are certain space-time configurations of the electromagnetic field which may change the magnetic helicity, and therefore change the fluid chirality (1.14). It follows from (1.14) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q_{A} = 2\int \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \, d\boldsymbol{x} \,. \tag{1.15}$$

The local form of this equation

$$\partial_t \rho_{\mathbf{A}} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{A}} = 2\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \tag{1.16}$$

is the axial-current anomaly (1.5) [see (6.2) below for the explicit formula for the axial flux j_A]. Like in the case of fermions the chiral reservoir is coupled to a charge whose conservation is subject to the anomaly. This is the source of the effects we study.

Perhaps the most interesting and relevant case for applications occurs at μ , $\mu^{\rm A}={\rm const.}$ In this case $\mathscr{H}=H-\mu Q-\mu^{\rm A}Q_{\rm A}$ and one may think about the reservoir as contacts at the system boundaries. Still, we assume that the chemical potentials may vary in space as that clarifies computational and structural aspects of hydrodynamics and the anomalies. Furthermore, anomaly-based properties are insensitive to the space-time structure, Galilean or Minkowski. We choose to describe a non-relativistic fluid. In the end of the text we list the extension of our results for a general axial potential $A^{\rm A}=(\mu^{\rm A},A^{\rm A})$ and present the formulas in a covariant form readily applicable to relativistic fluid dynamics. Similarities between anomalies in QFT and the fluid dynamics also hold in neutral rotating systems. In this case, the angular velocity is identified with the Larmor frequency B/m and the electric field with the gradient of an electrochemical potential or external forces.

From the perspective of fluid dynamics, fluid motion subject to the chiral reservoir is an interesting problem on its own. To the best of our knowledge, the hydrodynamics in such a setting has not been addressed so far. We develop it below within the Hamiltonian description of the fluid.

2 The Hamiltonian and the Poisson algebra

First, we express the fluid chirality density (1.13) in terms of the canonical momentum π (1.11)

$$\rho_{\mathbf{A}} = (\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{A}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{B}) \tag{2.1}$$

²Heuristically, one could arrive at (1.13) in the following way. In a local frame rotating with a fluid parcel, the magnetic field modifies the angular velocity $\omega/(2m)$ of a charged parcel by the Larmor frequency B/m. This effectively changes vorticity as $\omega \to \omega + 2B$.

and use it in the augmented Hamiltonian (1.3)

$$\mathscr{H}[\rho, \boldsymbol{\pi}] = \int \left[\frac{\rho}{2m} (\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{A})^2 + \epsilon[\rho] - \mu \rho - \mu^{A} \rho_{A} \right] d\boldsymbol{x}. \tag{2.2}$$

The canonical momentum and the fluid density generate the Lie-Poisson algebra

$$\{\rho(\boldsymbol{x}), \, \rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\} = 0,$$

$$\{\rho(\boldsymbol{x}), \, \boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}')\} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}'} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'),$$

$$\{\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \times \boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}')\} = (2/\rho)(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\pi}) \, \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}').$$
(2.3)

Throughout the paper we routinely drop the argument x when it does not compromise the meaning. The brackets (2.3) yield the bracket for the chirality density

$$\{\rho_{\mathbf{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\} = (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}'} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'). \tag{2.4}$$

For completeness we write down the remaining brackets also following from (2.3)

$$\{\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}), \rho_{\mathbf{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}')\} = -\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\pi}) \times (m\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}'})\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'), \qquad (2.5)$$

$$\{\rho_{A}(\boldsymbol{x}), \rho_{A}(\boldsymbol{x}')\} = -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \left(\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\pi}) \cdot m\boldsymbol{v}\right) m\boldsymbol{v}\right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}'} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'). \tag{2.6}$$

The form of the brackets (2.4,2.5,2.6) reflects that the fluid chirality $Q_A = \int \rho_A dx$ is the Casimir function of the Poisson algebra, i.e., Poisson-commute with all hydrodynamic fields.

Magnetic field as a central extension

We notice that the magnetic field prevents the r.h.s. of (2.4) from being expressed solely in terms of the canonical momentum π . The magnetic field acts as a *central extension* of the Poisson algebra. In Sec.7 we show that the central extension in the Poisson structure of hydrodynamics is essentially equivalent to the formulation of the effect of the anomaly in terms of the divergence of the currents (1.5,1.6). Here we comment on how the axial anomaly in the form of (1.15) follows from the bracket (2.4). First we write the Hamiltonian equation $\dot{Q}_{\rm A} = \{Q_{\rm A}, \mathcal{H}\}$ and notice that the only contribution comes from the term $-\int \mu \rho dx$ in (2.2). Other terms are divergence and are eliminated by the integration. Then with the help of (2.4) we compute

$$\dot{Q}_{A} = -\{Q_{A}, \int \mu \rho d\boldsymbol{x}\} = 2 \int \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mu \cdot \boldsymbol{B} d\boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (2.7)

This yields (1.15) after identifying $\nabla \mu$ with the electric field E.

We remark that if magnetic field possess monopoles with a charge $q_{\text{mon}} = -\int \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \, d\boldsymbol{x}$, then (2.7) yields the central extension of the Poisson algebra of the charges

$${Q, Q_{\rm A}} = 2q_{\rm mon} \,.$$
 (2.8)

The same formula holds for Dirac fermions (see, e.g., Refs. [19, 25]). It follows from the current algebra of Faddeev [26] (see, also [10]), where the magnetic field also yields the central extension of the algebra of fermionic currents.

Conjugate fields

We will use the fields conjugate to the density, the momentum, and to the external electromagnetic vector potential. The first one is defined as

$$\pi_0 := -\delta \mathcal{H}/\delta \rho|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = \Phi + \mu \,, \tag{2.9}$$

where we denoted the Bernoulli function Φ and the enthalpy w as

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}^2 - w[\rho], \quad w = d\varepsilon/d\rho. \tag{2.10}$$

The field conjugate to the momentum is the flow vector field

$$\mathcal{J} = \delta \mathcal{H} / \delta \pi |_{\rho} \,, \tag{2.11}$$

whose meaning we will discuss shortly.

Our primary interest is the electric (or vector) current which we define as a linear response to the electromagnetic vector potential \mathbf{A} (at a fixed fluid momentum $\mathbf{\pi}$) evaluated at zero electromagnetic field³

$$\mathbf{j} = -(\delta \mathcal{H}/\delta \mathbf{A}|_{\pi})|_{\mathbf{A}=0}. \tag{2.12}$$

We further clarify the definition of the electric current in Sec. 6 where we discuss the Lorentz force.

We remark that in an isolated Galilean invariant fluid, the fluid momentum per particle, the electric current and the vector flow field \boldsymbol{v} are proportional to the fluid's velocity \boldsymbol{v} . The chiral reservoir makes these three vector fields (1.11,2.12,2.11) different.

3 Flow vector field and the continuity equation

Frozen-in fields are fluid substances whose Lie derivatives along a flow vector field vanish. We denote the flow vector field as \mathcal{U} . The physical meaning of \mathcal{U} is the true fluid velocity, which is not necessarily equals to \mathbf{v} serving as a notation for the fluid momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$. Similarly, the advection flux $\mathcal{J} = \rho \mathcal{U}$, defined by Eq.(2.11) is the true mass flux. We demonstrate it obtaining the continuity equation as the Hamiltonian equation

$$\dot{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \{\rho(\boldsymbol{x}), \,\mathcal{H}\} = \int \{\rho(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\pi}\} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \boldsymbol{\pi}}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where the integration goes over the omitted argument. Then with the help of Poisson algebra and (2.11) we obtain that the 4-advection flux $\mathcal{J} = (\rho, \mathcal{J})$ is divergence-free

$$\partial \cdot \mathcal{J} \equiv \dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{J} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

We see that the flow along the vector field \mathcal{U} advects the fluid mass. Later we will see that vorticity is also advected along \mathcal{U} .

³We comment that the electric current in [10] is defined as \mathcal{J} .

The explicit form of the flow field elementary follows from Eqs. (1.3,1.7,1.11) and its definition (2.11)

$$\mathcal{J} = \rho \mathbf{v} - 2\mu^{\mathbf{A}}(\boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{B}) - \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{A}} \times m\mathbf{v}$$
(3.3)

$$= \rho \boldsymbol{v} - \mu^{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega} + 2\boldsymbol{B}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\mu^{A} m \boldsymbol{v}), \qquad (3.4)$$

where we denote $E^{A} = \nabla \mu^{A}$ as an analog of the axial "electric" field. In the important case, when μ^{A} is constant across the fluid, the term $\int \mu^{A} \rho_{A} dx = \mu^{A} Q_{A}$ in (1.3) is the Casimir invariant that does not affect the equations of motion. In this case

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu^{A}=\text{const}} = \rho \mathbf{v} - 2\mu^{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{B}), \qquad (3.5)$$

so that \mathcal{J} differs from ρv by a divergence-free term.

4 Vector current and its anomaly

Applying the definition (2.12) to the Hamiltonian (2.2) we obtain the explicit expression of the vector current in terms of the Eulerian fields ρ , v^4

$$\mathbf{j} = \rho \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{A}} \times m \mathbf{v} \,. \tag{4.1}$$

If μ^{A} is uniform $\mathbf{E}^{A} = 0$ and the vector current does not deviate from $\rho \mathbf{v}$. Otherwise, the vector current (4.1) receives a component normal to velocity, a feature reminiscent of the Hall effect.

From (4.1) and (3.3) we obtain the relation between the flow current and the vector current

$$\mathcal{J} = \boldsymbol{j} - 2\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\mu^{\mathbf{A}} m \boldsymbol{v}) - 2\mu^{\mathbf{A}} \boldsymbol{B}. \tag{4.2}$$

Then, the continuity equation (3.2), written in terms of the vector current reads yields the chiral anomaly (1.6)

$$\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 2\nabla \mu^{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B} \,. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence, from the perspective of the fluid dynamics, the chiral anomaly (1.6) is yet another form of the continuity equation emphasizing the difference between the vector current j and the advection flux \mathcal{J} given by (4.2).

5 Euler equation

We now proceed with the Euler equation. We compute

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \{\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}), \mathcal{H}\} = \int \left[\{\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}), \rho\} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \rho} + \{\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\pi}\} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \boldsymbol{\pi}} \right], \tag{5.1}$$

⁴We assume that the definition (4.1) remains intact even if the system is in the magnetic field. In more general situations, the electric current may contain additional divergence-free micro-currents (aka nonminimal terms) triggered by the magnetic field.

where the integration goes over the omitted argument. With the help of the Poisson brackets (2.3) and the definitions (2.9,2.11) we obtain the Euler equation

$$(\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \pi_0) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\pi}). \tag{5.2}$$

If there is no chiral coupling $\mu_{\rm A}=0$, the flow vector field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ equals \boldsymbol{v} and (5.2) becomes the familiar Euler equation for the perfect charged fluid $m\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}-\boldsymbol{v}\times\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\frac{m}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^2+w)=\boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{v}\times\boldsymbol{B}$.

The form (5.2) of the Euler equation is the basis of the geometric interpretation of the Euler hydrodynamics. It emphasizes the Helmholtz law of advection of vorticity along the flow vector field \mathcal{U} . It is instructive to write the Euler equation in the space-time covariant form. Let us introduce a space-time 4-momentum

$$\pi_{\alpha} = (\pi_0, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \tag{5.3}$$

and the canonical vorticity 2-form

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\pi_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\pi_{\alpha} \,. \tag{5.4}$$

The vector field \mathcal{U} relates the components of the vorticity so that (5.2) can be written as $\Omega_{0i} = \mathcal{U}^j \Omega_{ji}$. This means that the flow advects the vorticity along the vector field \mathcal{U} (the Helmholtz law). In the space-time notations $\mathcal{J} = (\rho, \mathcal{J})$, the Euler equation receives the form known in relativistic hydrodynamics as the Lichnerowitz equation [27]

$$\mathcal{J}^{\alpha}\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

In particular, this form of the Euler equation suggests that π is a space-time covector, and the advection 4-current \mathcal{J} is the space-time vector.

6 Momentum-energy equations and the Lorentz force

An insight about forces the reservoir exerts on the fluid comes from the equations for the fluid momentum $\rho \mathbf{v}$ and the energy density $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}m\rho v^2 + \varepsilon$ of an isolated fluid. We obtain them by transforming the Euler equation (5.2) with the help of (3.2,3.3)

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{v}) + \partial_i(v_i \rho \boldsymbol{v}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} P = \rho \boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{j} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \rho_{\mathrm{A}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{A}}, \\
\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathcal{E}} = \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{j} + \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{A}},
\end{cases} (6.1)$$

where $P = \rho w - \varepsilon$, is the pressure and $j_{\varepsilon} = (w + mv^2/2)\rho v$ is the energy flux of the isolated fluid and

$$j_{A} = -\Phi(\omega + 2B) - mv \times ((\omega + B) \times \mathcal{U}) + E \times mv,$$
 (6.2)

In the next section, we show that (6.2) is the axial current of (1.16). The l.h.s. of these equations are the space-time divergence of the momentum and the energy flux of the isolated fluid, the r.h.s. are forces exerted by the reservoirs and electromagnetic field.

The highlight of these equations is that the vector current j defined by (2.12) and given explicitly by (4.1) enters the Lorentz force in the right hand side of (6.1). Furthermore, Eq. (6.1) suggests that (i) E^A acts on the fluid axial current (ρ_A, j_A) similarly to the action of the electric field E on the vector current (ρ, j) , and that (ii) the vector current j (4.1) defining the Lorentz force is, indeed, the electric current defined by (2.12).

7 Axial-current and its anomaly

In a similar manner we derive the axial anomaly equation (1.16). With the help of the brackets (2.4,2.5) we compute $\partial_t \rho_A = \{\rho_A, \mathcal{H}\}$. Proceeding similarly to (5.1) and using the notations (2.9,2.11) we obtain

$$\partial_t \rho_{\mathbf{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \left(\left\{ \rho_{\mathbf{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\pi} \right\} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} - \left\{ \rho_{\mathbf{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \rho \right\} \pi_0 \right), \tag{7.1}$$

[the integration goes over the omitted argument]. Then with the help of (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain the axial anomaly equation (1.16) and the hydrodynamic expression for the axial current. The latter is given by (6.2) and coincides with the current j_A entering the evolution equation (6.1) for the energy density.

Finally, with the help of the Euler equation (5.2) and using the notation

$$p_{\alpha} := \pi_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha} = \left(-w[\rho] - \frac{1}{2}m\boldsymbol{v}^{2}, m\boldsymbol{v}\right), \qquad (7.2)$$

we write the chirality 4-current $j_A = (\rho_A, \mathbf{j}_A)$ in a space-time covariant form previously obtained in [3]

$$j_{\rm A}^{\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} p_{\beta} (\partial_{\gamma} p_{\delta} + F_{\gamma\delta}), \qquad (7.3)$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}A_{\alpha}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor.

8 Beltrami flow

Now we are equipped to discuss stationary flows. We assume the external fields are either time-independent, or depend on time adiabatically. The stationary (or steady) flows give extrema to \mathscr{H} . The variation of \mathscr{H} with respect to the fluid density is the Bernoulli function (2.9). The variation with respect to the momentum is the flow vector field (2.11). In the stationary flow, whose density and velocity we denote by $\bar{\rho}, \bar{v}$, both these variations should be zero which gives the conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\pi_0 = 0 : \quad w[\bar{\rho}] + \frac{1}{2}m\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}^2 = \mu, \\
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}} = 0 : \quad \rho\bar{\boldsymbol{v}} = 2\mu^{\mathrm{A}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}} + \boldsymbol{B}) + \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{A}} \times m\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}.
\end{cases}$$
(8.1)

The first line is the Bernoulli condition. The second defines the generalized Beltrami flow in the magnetic field. Together they determine ρ , $\rho_{\rm A}$ as functions of μ , $\mu^{\rm A}$. For example, at $\mathbf{B} = 0$ we have the relation $\mu^{\rm A}\bar{\rho}_{\rm A} = (\mu - w[\bar{\rho}])\bar{\rho}$.

We recall that in the absence of magnetic field, the velocity of the generalized Beltrami flow is an eigenfunction of the curl operator

$$\operatorname{curl} \bar{\boldsymbol{v}} = \kappa \bar{\boldsymbol{v}} \,. \tag{8.2}$$

Extension by the magnetic field amounts to the shift by the Larmor frequency $\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{v} \to \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{B}/m$. In our case $\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^{\mathrm{A}}}} \nabla (\sqrt{\mu^{\mathrm{A}}} \times \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\kappa = \rho/2m\mu^{\mathrm{A}}$. Locally Beltrami

flow describes a helix along a vortex line. The pitch of the helix of the order $1/\kappa$ (see, e.g., [28]).

A particularly interesting case is the Beltrami flow proper, when $\kappa = \text{const.}$ In this case, the Beltrami flow is incompressible as div $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}} = 0$, where div $\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\sqrt{\mu^{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{v})$. An important feature of the streamlines of the incompressible Beltrami flow is that they are not integral, and some are known to be chaotic [22]. A similar situation occurs in some compressible (generalized) Beltrami flows [29] (see also [30] for conditions when compressible solutions exist). We do not elaborate on this aspect further but remark that a possibility of chaotic advection of particles (Lagrangian turbulence) in the context of fermionic QFT seems to be an unexplored aspect of anomalies.

Transport current of the Beltrami flow

Let us evaluate the vector and the axial current on the Beltrami flow. This way we obtain the equilibrium value of the currents. Combining (4.1,6.2,8.1) we obtain

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}} = 2\mu^{\mathrm{A}}\boldsymbol{B} + 2\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\mu^{\mathrm{A}}m\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}), \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}_{\mathrm{A}} = 2\mu\boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\mu m\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}).$$
(8.3)

We notice that both currents (8.3) consist of the transport part

$$\mathbf{j}_{\text{trans}} = 2\mu^{\text{A}}\mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{j}_{\text{A trans}} = 2\mu\mathbf{B},$$
 (8.4)

and a microscopic current, the curl

$$\mathbf{j}_{\text{micro}} = 2\mathbf{\nabla} \times (\mu^{\text{A}} m \bar{\mathbf{v}}), \quad \mathbf{j}_{\text{A micro}} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times (\mu m \bar{\mathbf{v}}).$$
(8.5)

If the chemical potentials are constant, the vector and axial currents currents are⁵

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}} = 2\mu^{A}(\boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{\omega}), \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}_{A} = 2\mu\left(\boldsymbol{B} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega}\right), \quad \mu, \mu^{A} = \text{const.}$$
 (8.6)

The micro-currents describe the stationary movement of electric and axial charges localized on vortex loops. In contrast, the transport currents transfer hydrodynamics substances along magnetic lines propagating across the system.

As we remarked in the Sec. 7 one can trace the origin of the transport current to the central extension of the Poisson algebra (2.4). The expressions (8.3-8.6) are the main results of this work. We have shown that an equilibrium state of the fluid coupled to the chiral reservoir (i) is a Beltrami flow and that (ii) the Beltrami flow transports both electric and the chirality charges in a way identical to the anomaly-driven flows of Dirac fermions.

⁵ Formulas similar to (8.6) appear in various hydrodynamic models (other than Euler hydrodynamics) aimed to be consistent with the chiral anomaly. There the term proportional to the magnetic field and the term proportional to the vorticity in the vector current is dubbed by the 'chiral magnetic effect' and the 'chiral vortical effect', respectively (see, e.g., [31] and references therein). Similar terms in the axial current are sometimes referred to as the 'chiral separation effect' and the 'chiral vortical separation effect', respectively [14]. The coefficients vary across the literature.

9 Flows in an external axial potential

We conclude the paper by extending the coupling to the chiral reservoir from μ^{A} to a full axial 4-potential $\mu^{A} \to A_{\alpha}^{A} = (\mu^{A}, \mathbf{A}^{A})$. The extended coupling brings various currents to space-time-covariant forms and further clarifies the parallels between the fluid dynamics and Dirac fermions in the axial field. An economical way to obtain the results is to use almost obvious covariant extensions of the formulas of the main text. We present the main expressions here and publish a detailed derivation separately.

The covariant extension is based on the main property of the Euler hydrodynamics: the fluid momentum $p_{\alpha} = \pi_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha}$, and the advection current \mathcal{J}^{α} , are \mathbb{R}^4 covector and vector, respectively, regardless of the space-time structure. For that reason the formulas below are equally valid in the relativistic case.

We start from the currents. The axial current (7.3) does not depend on the axial potential and has already appeared in the space-time covariant form. In turn, the vector current does not depend on the vector potential. The advection 4-current is the covariant extension of (4.2). Together the currents read

$$\begin{cases}
j^{\alpha} = \rho u^{\alpha} + {}^{\star}F_{A}^{\alpha\beta}p_{\beta}, \\
j_{A}^{\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}p_{\beta}(\partial_{\gamma}p_{\delta} + F_{\gamma\delta}), \\
\mathcal{J}^{\alpha} = j^{\alpha} + 2{}^{\star}F^{\alpha\beta}A_{\beta}^{A} + 2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_{\gamma}(A_{\beta}^{A}p_{\delta}).
\end{cases} (9.1)$$

In these formulas we denote the 4-velocity $u^{\alpha}=(1, \boldsymbol{v})$. Also, ${}^{\star}F^{\alpha\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}F_{\gamma\delta}$ is the dual field tensor, $F_{\alpha\beta}=\partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta}-\partial_{\beta}A_{\alpha}$ and we use similar notations for the axial field tensors.

As we mentioned in the Sec. 5, the covariant form of the Euler equation appears as the Lichnerowitz equation (5.5). We reproduce it here

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{J}^{\alpha}(\partial_{\alpha}\pi_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\pi_{\alpha}) = 0, \\ \partial_{\alpha}\mathcal{J}^{\alpha} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The covariant form of the divergence of the stress tensor extends the equation (6.1) and the divergence of the vector current extends the formula (4.3). Together they are given by

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{\beta} T_{\alpha}^{\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta} j^{\beta} + F_{\alpha\beta}^{A} j_{A}^{\beta}, \\
\partial \cdot j = F \cdot {}^{\star}F^{A} = 2(\mathbf{E}^{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^{A} \cdot \mathbf{E}), \\
\partial \cdot j_{A} = \frac{1}{2} F \cdot {}^{\star}F = 2\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}.
\end{cases}$$
(9.2)

The equations (9.1) and (9.2) retain their form for the relativistic fluid. For the non-relativistic fluid the components of the momentum-stress-energy tensor in (9.2) are: the energy density $-T_0^0 = \frac{1}{2}m\rho v^2 + \varepsilon[\rho]$, the energy flux $-T_0^i = \rho v^i(\frac{1}{2}mv^2 + w)$, the momentum density $T_i^0 = m\rho v_i$, and the momentum flux $T_i^j = m\rho v_i v^j + P\delta_i^j$. For a relativistic fluid $T_\alpha^\beta = \rho p_\alpha u^\beta + P\delta_\alpha^\beta$, and the entries in (9.1) are the relativistic 4-velocity $u^\alpha = (1, v/c)/\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}$ and the 4-momentum $p_\alpha = (mc + c^{-1}w)u_\alpha$.

We comment that starting from the Eqs.(9.2) and given momentum-stress-energy tensor, one could derive the expressions for the currents (9.1) as a consistency of (9.2). This argument had been explored in [1] for the relativistic fluid.⁶

10 Summary

Summing up, we have shown that anomaly-based properties of QFT with Dirac fermions coupled to the axial vector potential are identical to the kinematic properties of the Euler fluid coupled to the reservoir, which maintains the fluid helicity. The result is well captured by Eqs. (9.1,9.2). From the perspective of fluid dynamics, the equation for the stress tensor (the first equation in (9.2)) is the complete equation of motion. Once the stress tensor and the currents are expressed through the fluid density and the velocity as in (9.1), the divergence of the currents (the last two equations in (9.2)) follow. Now let us look on (9.2)from the perspective of Dirac fermions. The first equation in (9.2) is valid for the classical Dirac equation, or quantum alike. However, the divergences of the currents (the last two equations) are of a quantum nature. They require a short-distance regularization which preserves the gauge invariance. That regularization yields the anomaly in the divergence of the currents. Once the divergence is determined, the equations must be completed by constitutive relations, for example, expressing the stress tensor and the axial current in terms of the vector current. We have shown that the Euler fluid provides such constitutive relation in the form of Eqs. (9.1). Another result of our work is a close parallel between the Beltrami flow in fluid dynamics and the ground state of the Dirac fermions. The Beltrami flow stands out as a fascinating property of fluid dynamics. In turn, the quantum anomalies might be understood from the properties of the ground state of the Dirac fermions (perturbed by the external fields). A close parallel between these objects of seemingly unrelated fields seems noteworthy. Interpretation and consequences of the chaotic nature of the Beltrami flow in the quantum field theory are especially interesting.

Equations of motions (9.2) and the hydrodynamic expressions for the vector and the axial currents (9.1) are gauge invariant with respect to both the vector $A \to A + \partial \varphi$ and the axial $A^A \to A^A + \partial \varphi^A$ gauge transformations. However, the advection current \mathcal{J} given by the third line of (9.1) and the Beltrami flow defined by the condition $\mathcal{J} = 0$ are not invariant under the axial gauge transformation. As a result, the quantities evaluated on the stationary flow (8.1) do not generally possess the axial gauge symmetry. Despite the axial gauge symmetry of equations of motion, the symmetry does not hold at the equilibrium state (or the ground state in QFT). This is the essence of the anomaly: the (axial) symmetry of equations of motions is not a symmetry of their solutions. This property gives rise to the main effect we discussed in the paper. The equilibrium state (the Beltrami flow) possesses a non-vanishing mass flux described in Sec. 5.2. Even if the axial vector potential is a pure gauge, so that the axial field is a gradient $A^A_{\beta} = (2\pi)^{-1}\partial_{\beta}\Theta$, hence drops out of the equations

⁶ We comment on the formal relation between Eqs. (9.1,9.2) and the formulas of Refs. [1, 32]. Equations $\partial_{\beta}T_{\alpha}^{\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta}j_{[1]}^{\beta}$ and $\partial_{\mu}j_{[1]}^{\mu} = C\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ of [1, 32] follow from (9.2) in a particular background when the vector and the axial potentials are set proportional $A^{A} = CA/6$. Under this condition $j_{[1]}$ of Ref. [1] is a particular linear combination $j + Cj_{A}/6$ of the currents given by (9.1).

of motions (9.2), the advection current \mathcal{J} , hence the state of equilibrium $\mathcal{J} = 0$ depends on Θ . As a result, the equilibrium state transports the mass/charge with an adiabatic change of the theta-angle as $\mathbf{j}_{\text{trans}} = (1/\pi)\mathbf{B}\dot{\Theta}$. The amount of charge crossing the surface element $d\sigma$ when Θ changes by 2π is $2Bd\sigma$, i.e., twice the magnetic flux threading the surface.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Alekseev, A. Cappelli, G. Monteiro and V. P. Nair for their interests in this study and useful discussions. We thank G. Volovik and B. Khesin for comments and bringing the Refs. [4, 5, 24] to our attention. The work of P.B.W. was supported by the NSF under the Grant NSF DMR-1949963. The work of A.G.A. was supported by NSF DMR-2116767.

References

- [1] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics with triangle anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601.
- [2] F. M. Haehl, R. Loganayagam, and M. Rangamani, Effective actions for anomalous hydrodynamics, JHEP **2014** (2014) 1–62.
- [3] A. Abanov and P. Wiegmann, Axial-current anomaly in Euler fluids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 054501.
- [4] T. Bevan, A. Manninen, J. Cook, J. Hook, H. Hall, T. Vachaspati, and G. Volovik, Momentum creation by vortices in superfluid ³He as a model of primordial baryogenesis, Nature 386 (1997) 689–692.
- [5] M. Salomaa and G. Volovik, Quantized vortices in superfluid ³He, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987)
 533.
- [6] W. A. Bardeen, Anomalous Ward identities in spinor field theories, Phys. Rev. 184 (1969) 1848.
- [7] W. A. Bardeen and B. Zumino, Consistent and covariant anomalies in gauge and gravitational theories, Nucl. Phys. B 244 (1984) 421–453.
- [8] A. Vilenkin, Equilibrium parity-violating current in a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 3080.
- [9] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, The effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: "event by event P and CP violation", Nucl. Phys. A 803 (2008) 227–253.
- [10] A. Y. Alekseev, V. V. Cheianov, and J. Fröhlich, Universality of transport properties in equilibrium, the Goldstone theorem, and chiral anomaly, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3503.
- [11] J. Fröhlich and B. Pedrini, New applications of the chiral anomaly, in Mathematical Physics 2000, pp. 9–47. World Scientific, 2000.
- [12] R. Landauer, Electrical resistance of disordered one-dimensional lattices, Phil. Mag. 21 (1970) 863–867.
- [13] M. Büttiker, Four-terminal phase-coherent conductance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 1761.

- [14] M. N. Chernodub, Y. Ferreiros, A. G. Grushin, K. Landsteiner, and M. A. Vozmediano, Thermal transport, geometry, and anomalies, arXiv:2110.05471 (2021).
- [15] I. Rogachevskii, O. Ruchayskiy, A. Boyarsky, J. Fröhlich, N. Kleeorin, A. Brandenburg, and J. Schober, Laminar and turbulent dynamos in chiral magnetohydrodynamics. I. Theory, Astrophys. J. 846 (sep, 2017) 153.
- [16] A. Avdoshkin, V. Kirilin, A. Sadofyev, and V. Zakharov, On consistency of hydrodynamic approximation for chiral media, Phys. Lett. B 755 (2016) 1–7.
- [17] S. L. Adler, Axial-vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2426.
- [18] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, A PCAC puzzle: $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ in the σ -model, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970) **60** (1969) 47-61.
- [19] S. Treiman and R. Jackiw, Current algebra and anomalies. Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [20] H. K. Moffatt, The degree of knottedness of tangled vortex lines, J. Fluid Mech. **35** (1969) 117–129.
- [21] V. E. Zakharov and E. A. Kuznetsov, Hamiltonian formalism for nonlinear waves, Phys.-Usp. 40 (1997) 1087.
- [22] V. I. Arnold and B. A. Khesin, *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, vol. 125. Springer, 2008.
- [23] J. D. Bekenstein, Helicity conservation laws for fluids and plasmas, The Astrophysical Journal 319 (1987) 207–214.
- [24] B. Khesin and Y. V. Chekanov, Invariants of the Euler equations for ideal or barotropic hydrodynamics and superconductivity in D dimensions, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 40 (1989) 119–131.
- [25] B. Grossman, Does a dyon leak?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (Feb, 1983) 464–467.
- [26] L. Faddeev, Operator anomaly for the Gauss law, Phys. Lett. B 145 (1984) 81–84.
- [27] M. Rieutord, B. Dubrulle, and E. Gourgoulhon, An introduction to relativistic hydrodynamics, EAS Publ. Ser. 21 (2006) 43–79.
- [28] D. G. Dritschel, Generalized helical beltrami flows in hydrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics, J. Fluid Mech. 222 (1991) 525–541.
- [29] A. Morgulis, V. Yudovich, and G. Zaslavsky, Compressible helical flows, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 48 (1995) 571–582.
- [30] A. Enciso and D. Peralta-Salas, Beltrami fields with a nonconstant proportionality factor are rare, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 220 (2016) 243–260.
- [31] D. E. Kharzeev and D. T. Son, Testing the chiral magnetic and chiral vortical effects in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 062301.
- [32] G. M. Monteiro, A. G. Abanov, and V. Nair, Hydrodynamics with gauge anomaly: variational principle and Hamiltonian formulation, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 125033.