# All analytic solutions for geodesic motion in axially symmetric space-times

J. Ospino, J.L. Hernández-Pastora<sup>\*</sup>

*Departamento de Matem´atica Aplicada and Instituto Universitario de F´ısica Fundamental y Matem´aticas,*

*Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca 37007, Spain*

and L.A. Nú $\tilde{n}$ ez<sup>[†](#page-7-1)</sup>

*Escuela de F´ısica, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia Departamento de F´ısica, Universidad de Los Andes, M´erida, Venezuela*

Recent observations of the orbits of star clusters around Sgr  $A^*$ , imaging of black holes and gravitational waveforms of merging compact objects require a detailed understanding of the general relativistic geodesic motion. We came up with a method to provide all the possible geodesics in an axially symmetric space-time. The Kerr metric is explicitly worked out, recovering the Schwarzschild geodesics in the static limit. We also found the most general Killing tensor and its associated constant of motion for an axisymmetric space-time. The relevance of these results is crucial to understanding the different scenarios and the fundamental nature of the compact object at the galactic center.

PACS numbers: 04.40.-b, 04.40.Nr, 04.40.Dg Keywords: Nonspherical sources, Exterior solutions. Geodesic motions

## INTRODUCTION

From the orbits of stars [\[1](#page-7-2)[–3\]](#page-7-3), and the imaging around supermassive black holes [\[4,](#page-7-4) [5\]](#page-7-5) through gravitational lensing [\[6](#page-7-6)], geodesic motions of particles and photons have a venerable history bringing results of General Relativity to observational grounds. Other important scenarios like frame dragging[\[7\]](#page-7-7), radiation transport effects from accretion flows in the vicinity of relativistic stars [\[8\]](#page-7-8), and gravitational waveform of merging compact objects [\[9\]](#page-7-9), also require a detailed understanding of the geodesic motion in a general relativistic background.

Rotation is a crucial feature for celestial bodies from the an astrophysical viewpoint, and the Kerr solution of Einstein equations describes the gravitational field outside spinning compact objects and black holes [\[10\]](#page-7-10). Thus, geodesics across a Kerr gravitational background are very important and have a long history, since Carter's study of the existence of a new conserved quantity associated with each geodesic [\[11,](#page-7-11) [12\]](#page-7-12). The astrophysical relevance of tracking particles & photons in Kerr space-times motivates a significant effort to obtain analytical and numerical trajectories in this gravitation background (see [\[13,](#page-7-13) [14\]](#page-7-14) and references therein).

We have recently implemented a tetrad formalism by an orthogonal splitting of the Riemann tensor, introducing a complete set of equations equivalent to the Einstein system and applying it to the spherical case [\[15](#page-7-15)[–17\]](#page-7-16). This formalism provides coordinate-free results expressed in terms of structure scalars related to the kinematical and physical properties of the fluid.

We devise an exhaustive classification for all geodesic motion for any axisymmetric source establishing the equations which describe each alternative. Based on the tetrad scheme, we provide a method to integrate all possible orbits for any stationary axially symmetric solutions, illustrating each case with the Kerr metric.

We present the most general Killing tensor corresponding to any axisymmetric space-time and its associated constant of motion, which has not previously been obtained. This Killing tensor and its conserved quantity allow us to obtain the orbits by solving a system of algebraic equations. We recovered the famous Carter constant along the geodesic as a particular case.

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>THE TETRAD & KINEMATICAL VARIABLES

We shall consider stationary and axially symmetric sources with the line element written as

$$
ds^{2} = -A^{2}dt^{2} + B^{2}dr^{2} + C^{2}d\theta^{2} + R^{2}d\phi^{2} + 2\omega_{3}dt d\phi, (1)
$$

with  $A = A(r, \theta), B = B(r, \theta), R = R(r, \theta),$  and  $\omega_3 = \omega_3(r, \theta).$ 

In this case the tetrad is:

$$
V^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{A}, 0, 0, 0\right), \quad K^{\alpha} = \left(0, \frac{1}{B}, 0, 0\right),
$$
  

$$
L^{\alpha} = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{C}, 0\right), S^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_2}}\left(\frac{\omega_3}{A}, 0, 0, A\right),
$$

where  $\Delta_2 = A^2 R^2 + \omega_3^2$ .

#### The scalars and the tetrad covariant derivative

The covariant derivative of  $V_{\alpha}$  in the 1+3 formalism can be written as  $V_{\alpha;\beta} = -a_{\alpha}V_{\beta} + \Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ , where the kinematical variables ( $a_{\alpha}$  the acceleration and  $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$  the vorticity) can be written, in terms of the tetrad, as

$$
a_{\alpha} = a_1 K_{\alpha} + a_2 L_{\alpha} , \qquad (2)
$$
  

$$
\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega_2 (K_{\alpha} S_{\beta} - K_{\beta} S_{\alpha}) + \Omega_3 (L_{\alpha} S_{\beta} - L_{\beta} S_{\alpha}) .
$$
 (3)

Follows the covariant derivative of K, i.e.

$$
K_{\alpha;\beta} = -a_1 V_{\alpha} V_{\beta} + 2\Omega_2 V_{(\alpha} S_{\beta)}
$$
  
+  $(j_1 K_{\beta} + j_2 L_{\beta}) L_{\alpha} + j_6 S_{\alpha} S_{\beta}$ .

Now, the covariant derivative of L, can be written as

$$
L_{\alpha;\beta} = -a_2 V_{\alpha} V_{\beta} + 2\Omega_3 V_{(\alpha} S_{\beta)}
$$
  
-  $(j_1 K_{\beta} + j_2 L_{\beta}) K_{\alpha} + j_9 S_{\alpha} S_{\beta}$ .

Finally the covariant derivative of S is

$$
S_{\alpha;\beta} = -2\Omega_2 V_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)} - 2\Omega_3 V_{(\alpha} L_{\beta)} - (j_6 K_{\alpha} + j_9 L_{\alpha}) S_{\beta}.
$$

### Scalars for a general axisymetric metric

Assuming  $\omega_3 = A^2 \psi$ , the scalars for the axisymmetric metric [\(1\)](#page-0-0) are:

$$
a_1 = \frac{A_{,r}}{AB}
$$
,  $a_2 = \frac{A_{,\theta}}{AC}$ , (4)

$$
j_1 = -\frac{B_{,\theta}}{BC}
$$
,  $j_2 = \frac{C_{,r}}{BC}$ , (5)

$$
j_6 = \frac{(\ln(R^2 + A^2\psi^2))_{,r}}{2B},\tag{6}
$$

$$
j_9 = \frac{(\ln(R^2 + A^2\psi^2))_{,\theta}}{2C},\tag{7}
$$

$$
\Omega_2 = \frac{A\psi_{,r}}{2B\sqrt{R^2 + A^2\psi^2}} \quad \text{and} \tag{8}
$$

$$
\Omega_3 = \frac{A\psi_{,\theta}}{2C\sqrt{R^2 + A^2\psi^2}}.\tag{9}
$$

### ALL GEODESIC

To obtain all possible geodesics in any axially symmetric space-time, we define the tangent vector to the geodesic  $Z^{\alpha}$  as

$$
Z^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = z_0 V^{\alpha} + z_1 K^{\alpha} + z_2 L^{\alpha} + z_3 S^{\alpha}, \qquad (10)
$$

and, its norm,  $\epsilon = Z_{\alpha}Z^{\alpha} = -z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2$ , represents photon ( $\epsilon = 0$ ) and particle ( $\epsilon = -1$ ) trajectories.

In what follows we shall make use of the geodesic equations  $Z_{\alpha;\beta}Z^{\beta} = 0$ , written in the tetrad formalism as

$$
z_1 z_1^{\dagger} + z_2 z_1^{\theta} = j_1 z_1 z_2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 + j_2 z_2^2 + j_6 z_3^2 \tag{11}
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>and

$$
z_1 z_2^{\dagger} + z_2 z_2^{\theta} = -j_2 z_1 z_2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 - j_1 z_1^2 + j_9 z_3^2.
$$
\n(12)

Because the norm of the tangent vector  $Z^{\alpha}$  is constant:  $Z_{\alpha}Z^{\alpha} = \epsilon \Rightarrow Z_{\alpha;\beta}Z^{\alpha} = 0$ , and we get

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
z_1 z_1^{\dagger} + z_2 z_2^{\dagger} = j_6 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 \text{ and } (13)
$$
  

$$
z_1 z_1^{\theta} + z_2 z_2^{\theta} = j_9 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 ; \qquad (14)
$$

where we have the derivatives  $z^{\dagger} \equiv \frac{1}{B} z_{,r}$  and  $z^{\theta} \equiv \frac{1}{C} z_{,\theta}$ .

#### First order geodesic equations

Substracting  $(13)$  from  $(11)$  and  $(14)$  from  $(12)$  we have

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
z_2(z_2^{\dagger} - z_1^{\theta} + j_1 z_1 + j_2 z_2) = 0 \text{ and } (15)
$$

$$
z_1(z_2^{\dagger} - z_1^{\theta} + j_1 z_1 + j_2 z_2) = 0.
$$
 (16)

This system describes all possible geodesic equations for any axially symmetric space-time, having Kerr and Schwarzschild metrics as particular cases.

# Solutions for all geodesic cases

The four cases which solve the system  $(15)-(16)$  $(15)-(16)$ :

- 1.  $z_2 = 0$  and  $z_1 = 0$ , representing circular orbits on constant planes,  $\theta = const.$
- 2.  $z_2 = 0$  and  $z_1^{\theta} = j_1 z_1$ , containing orbits on constant planes  $\theta = const.$
- 3.  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2^{\dagger} = -j_2 z_2$ , describing spherical orbits.
- 4. Finally, the the most general case  $z_2^{\dagger} z_1^{\theta} + j_1 z_1 + j_2 z_2$  $j_2z_2 = 0.$

This exhaustive classification contains all possible cases for geodesic motions. In the following subsections, we shall present the corresponding equations for each alternative and later apply them to the particular case of Kerr space-time.

### Circular orbit on a plane

<span id="page-1-1"></span>In the first case the system [\(15\)](#page-1-3)-[\(16\)](#page-1-3) is solved by  $z_2 = 0$ and  $z_1 = 0$ , i.e the radial and the angular coordinate,  $\theta$ , are constant. Thus, we obtain bounded orbits confined to a plane and the set of equations becomes,

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
j_6 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 = 0, \qquad (17)
$$

$$
j_9 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 = 0, \qquad (18)
$$

$$
z_1 = \frac{f'_1}{B} = 0\,,\tag{19}
$$

and 
$$
z_2 = \frac{f_{2,\theta}}{C} = 0.
$$
 (20)

# General orbital motion on a constant plane

The second solution for equations  $(15)-(16)$  $(15)-(16)$ , emerges from the conditions  $z_2 = 0$  and  $z_1^{\theta} = j_1 z_1$ . Then, the geodesic equations reduce to

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
j_6 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 - z_1 z_1^{\dagger} = 0, \qquad (21)
$$

$$
j_9 z_3^2 + 2z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 - j_1 z_1^2 = 0, \tag{22}
$$

$$
z_1 = \frac{J_1}{B} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \tag{23}
$$
\n
$$
f_{2,\theta} \tag{24}
$$

$$
z_2 = \frac{J2, \theta}{C} = 0. \tag{24}
$$

### General orbits on the two-sphere

The third set of solutions we shall consider are general orbits circumscribed on a 2-sphere  $(\theta - \phi)$ , recently re-ported in reference [\[13](#page-7-13)]. This occurs having  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2^{\dagger} = -j_2 z_2$ , and the corresponding geodesic equations are

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
j_6 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 + j_2 z_2^2 = 0, \qquad (25)
$$

$$
j_9 z_3^2 + 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 - z_2 z_2^{\theta} = 0, \qquad (26)
$$

$$
z_1 = \frac{f_1'}{B} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (27)
$$

$$
f_{2,\theta} \neq 0 \quad (28)
$$

$$
z_2 = \frac{J2, \theta}{C} \neq 0. \tag{28}
$$

#### The general case

The last case emerges from  $z_2^{\dagger} - z_1^{\theta} + j_1 z_1 + j_2 z_2 = 0$ . This is the most general case, and the system of geodesic equations becomes

<span id="page-2-8"></span>
$$
j_6 z_3^2 + 2z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 + j_2 z_2^2 - z_1 z_1^{\dagger} = 0, (29)
$$
  
and 
$$
j_9 z_3^2 + 2z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 - j_1 z_1^2 - z_2 z_2^{\theta} = 0; (30)
$$
  
with 
$$
z_1 = \frac{f_1'}{B} \neq 0 \quad (31)
$$
  
and 
$$
z_2 = \frac{f_{2,\theta}}{C} \neq 0. (32)
$$

The solution of the equation

$$
z_2^{\dagger} - z_1^{\theta} + j_1 z_1 + j_2 z_2 = 0 \tag{33}
$$

<span id="page-2-7"></span>is

$$
z_1 = f^{\dagger} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = f^{\theta} \,, \tag{34}
$$

with  $f = f(r, \theta)$  an arbitrary function of its arguments. Consequently,

<span id="page-2-9"></span>
$$
z_1^{\theta} = j_1 z_1
$$
 and  $z_2^{\dagger} = -j_2 z_2$ , (35)

which in turn allows us to transform  $(11)$  and  $(12)$  into

$$
z_1 z_1^{\dagger} = 2 z_0 z_3 \Omega_2 - a_1 z_0^2 + j_2 z_2^2 + j_6 z_3^2 \tag{36}
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>and

$$
z_2 z_2^{\theta} = 2z_0 z_3 \Omega_3 - a_2 z_0^2 - j_1 z_1^2 + j_9 z_3^2. \tag{37}
$$

# SYMMETRY AND GEODESIC EQUATIONS

In this section we shall discuss the consequences on imposing symmetries, i.e. Killing vectors and tensors, on the source generating the geodesic equations.

# Killing Vectors

From the Killing equation

$$
\mathfrak{L}_X g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\delta\beta} X^{\delta}_{,\beta} + g_{\beta\delta} X^{\delta}_{,\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta,\delta} X^{\delta} ,
$$

we can identify temporal and axial Killing vectors as

$$
\tau^{\alpha} = (1, 0, 0, 0) = \tau_0 V^{\alpha} \Rightarrow \tau_0 = A \text{ and } (38)
$$

$$
\xi^{\alpha} = (0, 0, 0, 1) = \xi_0 V^{\alpha} + \xi_3 S^{\alpha} \quad \text{where} \tag{39}
$$

$$
\xi_0 = -\frac{\omega_3}{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_2}}{A}.
$$

These Killing vectors provide the conserved quantities: the energy  $E = \tau^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}$  and angular momentum  $l = \xi^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}$ . Thus,

$$
z_{0;\alpha} = -z_0 a_1 K_\alpha - a_2 z_0 L_\alpha \quad \Rightarrow z_0 = -\frac{E}{A}, \qquad (40)
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>and

$$
z_{3;\alpha} = -(2z_0\Omega_2 + j_6z_3)K_\alpha - (2z_0\Omega_3 + j_9z_3)L_\alpha. \quad (41)
$$

Where we have written  $z_3$  as

$$
z_3 = \frac{A^2 l + E\omega_3}{A\sqrt{\Delta_2}}.\tag{42}
$$

Now the set of equations for the parallel transport of the vector  $Z^{\alpha}$ , can be written as

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{z_0}{A} + \frac{z_3 \omega_3}{A\sqrt{\Delta_2}} = \frac{\omega_3 l - R^2 E}{\Delta_2},\tag{43}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{z_1}{B},\tag{44}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{z_2}{C} \quad \text{and} \tag{45}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{z_3 A}{\sqrt{\Delta_2}} = \frac{A^2 l + \omega_3 E}{\Delta_2} \,. \tag{46}
$$

or equivalently the set of trajectories (without using the afine parameter  $\lambda$ ),

$$
\dot{r} = \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{z_1 \Delta_2}{B(\omega_3 l - R^2 E)}\tag{47}
$$

$$
\dot{\theta} = \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{z_2(A^2R^2 + \omega_3^2)}{C(\omega_3l - R^2E)} \quad \text{and} \tag{48}
$$

$$
\dot{\phi} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{A^2 l + \omega_3 E}{\omega_3 l - R^2 E}.
$$
\n(49)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>The above equations lead to the following characteristic expressions:

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\frac{Bdr}{z_1} = \frac{Cd\theta}{z_2} = \frac{\Delta_2 d\phi}{A^2 l + \omega_3 E}.
$$
 (50)

### Constant azimuthal geodesic only for static cases

A first general result emerges from equations [\(41\)](#page-2-0) and [\(46\)](#page-2-1) for  $z_3 = 0$ . It is clear that it only admits the solution  $l = 0$  in addition to  $w_3 = 0$  since the other possibility  $w_3 = -lA^2/E$  must be rejected because of asymptotic conditions. Thus, the metric functions  $w_3$  and A cannot be proportional through a constant since their asymptotic behaviours are not compatible; consequently constant azimuthal geodesics are the only possibilities for static metrics.

## Killing Tensor

Killing tensors are useful because they also provide conserved quantities for geodesic motion. The most famous is obtained for the Kerr space-time where the Killing tensor leads to the Carter constant [\[12\]](#page-7-12).

For the stationary axially symmetric space-time, the Killing tensor  $\xi_{\alpha\beta}$  satisfies

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\xi_{\alpha\beta;\mu} + \xi_{\mu\alpha;\beta} + \xi_{\beta\mu;\alpha} = 0 \tag{51}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\xi_{\alpha\beta} = \xi_{00} V_{\alpha} V_{\beta} + \xi_{11} K_{\alpha} K_{\beta} + \xi_{22} L_{\alpha} L_{\beta}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\xi_{33} S_{\alpha} S_{\beta} + \xi_{03} (V_{\alpha} S_{\beta} + V_{\beta} S_{\alpha})
$$
 (52)

Integrating the above Killing equation [\(51\)](#page-3-0) we obtain

$$
\xi_{00} = \xi(r) + \frac{\omega_3^2}{A^2},\tag{53}
$$

$$
\xi_{11} = C^2 + \xi(r) \,,\tag{54}
$$

$$
\xi_{22} = \xi(r) \,, \tag{55}
$$

$$
\xi_{33} = \xi(r) + \frac{\Phi^2}{A^2}
$$
 and (56)

$$
\xi_{03} = \frac{\Phi \omega_3}{A^2} \,. \tag{57}
$$

We have defined  $\Phi = (r^2 - 2mr + a^2) \sin \theta$  and  $\xi(r)$  and arbitrary r-function.

Thus, it provides a general conserved quantity Q asociated with  $\xi_{\alpha\beta}$  along the geodesic as

$$
Q = \xi_{\alpha\beta} Z^{\alpha} Z^{\beta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q_{;\mu} Z^{\mu} = 0. \tag{58}
$$

Now, since Z has a constant modulus we found

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
z_1^2 + z_2^2 = \epsilon + z_0^2 - z_3^2 \quad \text{and} \tag{59}
$$
\n
$$
z_1^2 + \xi_{22} z_2^2 - 0 + 2\xi_{22} z_2 z_3 - \xi_{22} z_2^2 - \xi_{22} z_3^2 \tag{60}
$$

$$
\xi_{11}z_1^2 + \xi_{22}z_2^2 = Q + 2\xi_{03}z_0z_3 - \xi_{00}z_0^2 - \xi_{33}z_3^2, \tag{60}
$$

and thus obtaining that the scalars  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are

$$
z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{g_1(r,\theta)}}{C} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = \frac{\sqrt{g_2(r,\theta)}}{C}, \qquad (61)
$$

with

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
g_1(r,\theta) = Q - \xi_{22}\epsilon + 2\xi_{03}z_{0}z_{3} - (\xi_{00} + \xi_{22})z_0^2 +
$$
  
 
$$
+(\xi_{22} - \xi_{33})z_3^2 \text{ and } (62)
$$

$$
g_2(r,\theta) = \xi_{11}\epsilon - Q - 2\xi_{03}z_{02} + (\xi_{00} + \xi_{11})z_0^2 +
$$
  
+(\xi\_{33} - \xi\_{11})z\_3^2. (63)

Notice that Q is constant along the geodesic for an axially symmetric space-times. This new conserved quantity recovers the Carter constant  $Q_c$  for the Kerr metric  $([11, 12])$  $([11, 12])$  $([11, 12])$  $([11, 12])$ 

In the following sections, we shall implement all the previous cases to the particular example of the Kerr space-times.

# THE KERR METRIC

To illustrate the different cases mentioned above, we consider the Kerr metric, written as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2mr}{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}\right)dt^{2} - \frac{4mar\sin^{2}\theta}{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}dt d\phi
$$

$$
+ \frac{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}{r^{2} - 2mr + a^{2}}dr^{2} + (r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta)d\theta^{2}
$$

$$
+ \sin^{2}\theta\left(r^{2} + a^{2} + \frac{2ma^{2}r\sin^{2}\theta}{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}\right)d\phi^{2}. \tag{64}
$$

# Kerr killing tensor and geodesic motions

It is easy to verify that, assuming the Kerr metric [\(64\)](#page-3-1), the general solution of the system [\(15\)](#page-1-3)-[\(16\)](#page-1-3), for  $z_1 \neq 0$ and  $z_2 \neq 0$  is

$$
z_1^{\theta} = j_1 z_1
$$
 and  $z_2^{\dagger} = -j_2 z_2$ . (65)

Thus, the separation constant method devised by Carter is equivalent to solve the geodesic equations [\(36\)](#page-2-2) and [\(37\)](#page-2-3), for the Kerr metric where equations [\(62\)](#page-3-2) and [\(63\)](#page-3-2) become  $g_1(r, \theta) = g_1(r)$  and  $g_2(r, \theta) = g_2(\theta)$ . Thus, we obtain

$$
g_1(r) = Q_c + r^2 \epsilon + \frac{E^2(r^4 + (2mr + r^2)a^2) + 4marEl + a^2l^2}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2}
$$

and

$$
g_2(\theta) = -Q_c - \frac{l^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + a^2(\epsilon + E^2) \cos^2 \theta,
$$

where  $Q_c$  is the Carter constant.

; (81)

# The scalars of the Killing tensor

The killing tensor for the Kerr metric is

$$
\xi_{00} = -\frac{2ma^2r\cos^2\theta}{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta} - \frac{4m^2a^2r^2\sin^2\theta}{(r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta)(F^2 - a^2\sin^2\theta)},
$$
  
\n
$$
\xi_{03} = \frac{2marF\sin^3\theta}{a^2\sin^2\theta - F^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
\xi_{11} = a^2\cos^2\theta,
$$
  
\n
$$
\xi_{22} = -r^2 \text{ and}
$$
  
\n
$$
\xi_{33} = \frac{F^2r^2 + a^4\cos^2\theta\sin^2\theta}{a^2\sin^2\theta - F^2};
$$
  
\nwith  $F^2 = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr$ .

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>Kerr circular orbit on a plane

Considering the set of equations  $(17)-(20)$  $(17)-(20)$  for the met-ric [\(64\)](#page-3-1) with  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $Q_c = l^2$  we get:

$$
(\epsilon + E^2)r^3 - 2m\epsilon r^2 + (a^2(\epsilon + E^2) - l^2)r + 2m(Ea + l)^2 = 0
$$
\n(66)

and

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
(4\epsilon + 5E^2)mr^3 - (6m^2(2\epsilon + E^2) - a^2(\epsilon + E^2) + l^2)r^2
$$
  
+ 
$$
m(a^2(\epsilon + 4E^2) + 6aEl + 8\epsilon m^2 + 2l^2)r
$$
 (67)  
- 
$$
2m^2a^2(\epsilon - 2E^2) - 4aElm^2 = 0.
$$

Equations [\(66\)](#page-4-0) and [\(67\)](#page-4-1) determine the radius of the circumference and relate the physical constants. For instance, when we have the specific case  $\epsilon = a = 0$  we obtain that  $r = 3m$  and  $l = \sqrt{27}E m$ .

## Kerr general orbital motion on a constant plane

Equations [\(21\)](#page-2-4)-[\(24\)](#page-2-4) with  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and  $Q = l^2$  lead to

$$
z_1^2 = \frac{(\epsilon + E^2)r^3 - 2mer^2 + (a^2(\epsilon + E^2) - l^2)r + 2m(aE + l)^{2}m}{r^3 - 2mr^2 + a^2r}.
$$
\n(68)

For a null geodesics and  $a = -\frac{l}{E}$  we integrate [\(50\)](#page-2-5) as

$$
r = m + \sqrt{a^2 - m^2} \tan(\frac{\sqrt{a^2 - m^2}}{a}(\phi_0 - \phi))
$$
 (69)

Next, substituting [\(81\)](#page-4-2) into [\(50\)](#page-2-5) we obtain

$$
\frac{(F^2l - \tilde{E})}{F^2\sqrt{\tilde{a} - l^2}} \arctan\left[\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{a}\sin^2\theta - l^2}{\tilde{a} - l^2}}\right] + \arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{a}\sin^2\theta - l^2}}{l}\right] + \phi_0 - \phi = 0,
$$
\n(82)

with  $\tilde{E} = 2marlE + a^2l$  and  $F^2 = r^2 - 2mr + a^2$ .

where  $\phi_0$  is a constant of integration.

Now for a time-like geodesic we have

$$
\frac{x_1(r) + x_2(r)}{1 - x_1(r)x_2(r)} = \tan(\beta_0(\phi - \phi_0)),\tag{70}
$$

with

$$
x_1(r) = \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 r}{\beta_3 \sqrt{(E^2 - 1)r^2 + 2mr - a^2}} \tag{71}
$$

and 
$$
x_2(r) = \frac{\beta_4 + \beta_5 r}{\beta_6 \sqrt{(E^2 - 1)r^2 + 2mr - a^2}}
$$
; (72)

where we have these functions of the physical parameters

$$
\beta_0 = \frac{2E\sqrt{m^2 - a^2}\sqrt{a^2 - 2m^2 - 2m\sqrt{m^2 - a^2}}}{m + \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}},
$$
(73)

$$
\beta_1 = a^2 - m^2 + m\sqrt{m^2 - a^2},\tag{74}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_2 = (1 - F^2)(m - \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}) - m
$$
\n
$$
(75)
$$

$$
\beta_2 = (1 - E^2)(m - \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}) - m,
$$
\n(75)

$$
\beta_3 = E\sqrt{a^2 - 2m^2 + 2m\sqrt{m^2 - a^2}},
$$
\n
$$
\beta_4 = a^2 - m^2 - m\sqrt{m^2 - a^2},
$$
\n(76)

$$
\beta_4 = a^2 - m^2 - m\sqrt{m^2 - a^2},\tag{77}
$$

$$
\beta_5 = (1 - E^2)(m + \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}) - m \quad \text{and} \quad (78)
$$

$$
\beta_6 = E\sqrt{a^2 - 2m^2 - 2m\sqrt{m^2 - a^2}}.
$$
(79)

## Kerr general orbits on the two-sphere

In this case, from equations  $(27)-(28)$  $(27)-(28)$  we get

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
(\epsilon + E^2)r^3 - 2m\epsilon r^2 + (a^2(\epsilon + E^2) - l^2)r + 2m(aE + l)^2 = 0
$$
\n(80)

 $f_{2,\theta} = \frac{\cos \theta \sqrt{\tilde{a} \sin^2 \theta - l^2}}{\sin \theta}$  $\sin \theta$ 

where we have redefined 
$$
\tilde{a} = a^2(\epsilon + E^2)
$$
.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>The Kerr general case

The module of Z for the Kerr metric can be written as

$$
(z_1^2 + z_2^2)C^2 = (\epsilon + E^2)a^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{l^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + \epsilon r^2 + \frac{E^2(r^4 + (r^2 + 2mr)a^2) + 4marlE + a^2l^2}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2}.
$$
 (83)

Substituting [\(34\)](#page-2-7) into [\(83\)](#page-5-0) we find

$$
(r^2 - 2mr + a^2)(f')^2 + (f_{,\theta})^2 = (\epsilon + E^2)a^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{l^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + \epsilon r^2 + \frac{E^2(r^4 + (r^2 + 2mr)a^2) + 4marlE + a^2l^2}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2},
$$
 (84)

Г

which is an equation for the function f with a solution  $f = f_1(r) + f_2(\theta)$  where

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
(f_1')^2 = \frac{-Q + r^2 \epsilon}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2} + \frac{E^2(r^4 + (2mr + r^2)a^2) + 4marEl + a^2l^2}{(r^2 - 2mr + a^2)^2}
$$
(85)

and 
$$
f_{2,\theta}^2 = Q - \frac{l^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + a^2 (\epsilon + E^2) \cos^2 \theta
$$
. (86)

Next, substituting [\(31\)](#page-2-8) and [\(32\)](#page-2-8) into [\(50\)](#page-2-5) and considering [\(35\)](#page-2-9), we obtain

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\frac{d\theta}{f_{2,\theta}} = \frac{dr}{f_1'(r^2 - 2mr + a^2)}
$$
 and (87)

$$
d\phi = \left(\frac{(F^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \theta)l - 2marE \sin^2 \theta}{F^4 f_1' \sin^2 \theta}\right) dr, (88)
$$

where  $F^2 = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr$ .

Now, combining equations [\(87\)](#page-5-1) and [\(88\)](#page-5-1) we get

$$
\frac{l\mathrm{d}\theta}{\sin^2\theta f_{2,\theta}} - \frac{(a^2l + 2maEr)\mathrm{d}r}{F^4f_1'} = \mathrm{d}\phi\,,\qquad(89)
$$

and by introducing

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
P(r) = a_0 r^4 + a_1 r^3 + a_2 r^2 + a_3 r + a_4 , \qquad (90)
$$

equations [\(85\)](#page-5-2) and [\(86\)](#page-5-2) become

$$
f_{2,\theta} = -\frac{\sqrt{P(\cos \theta)}}{\sin \theta} \quad \text{and} \tag{91}
$$

$$
f_1' = \frac{\sqrt{P(r)}}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2}.
$$
\n(92)

Next, substituting  $r = x + b$  into [\(90\)](#page-5-3) we get

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
P(x) = (\sqrt{a_0}x + b_1)^2(x + b_2)(x + b_3); \qquad (93)
$$

with

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
a_4 + a_3b + a_2b^2 + a_1b^3 + a_0b^4 = b_1^2b_2b_3,
$$
\n(94)

$$
a_3 + 2a_2b + 3a_1b^2 + 4a_0b^3 = b_1^2(b_2 + b_3) + 2\sqrt{a_0b_1b_2b_3},
$$
\n(95)  
\n
$$
a_2 + 3a_1b + 6a_0b^2 = (b_1 + \sqrt{a_0b_2})(b_1 + \sqrt{a_0b_3})
$$
\n(96)  
\nand 
$$
a_1 + 4a_0b = 2\sqrt{a_0b_1} + a_0(b_2 + b_3)
$$
\n(97)

Consequently first integrals in r and  $\theta$  of the equation [\(89\)](#page-5-4) can be obtained as

$$
\int \frac{(\kappa_1 x + \kappa_2) dx}{(x^2 + s_1 x + s_2)\sqrt{(\sqrt{a_0}x + b_1)^2(x + b_2)(x + b_3)}} = \alpha_1 \arctan \gamma_1 \Gamma(x) + \alpha_2 \arctan \gamma_2 \Gamma(x) + \alpha_3 \arctan \gamma_3 \Gamma(x); \quad (98)
$$

where  $\Gamma(x) = \sqrt{\frac{b_2+x}{b_3+x}}$ , with

$$
\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{b_1}{a_0 b_2 - b_1}}, \qquad \alpha_1 = \frac{a_0 (2b_1 m a E - a_0 a^2 l)}{a^2 a_0^2 + b_1 (b_1 + 2a_0 m)}, \qquad (99)
$$

$$
= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{m^2 - a^2} - m}{m - b_2 - \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}}}, \qquad \alpha_2 = \frac{2b_2 m a E - a_0 a^2 l - \alpha_0}{a^2 a_0^2 + b_1 (b_1 + 2a_0 m)}, \qquad (100)
$$

$$
\gamma_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{m^2 - a^2} + m}{b_2 - m - \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}}}, \qquad \alpha_3 = \frac{2b_2 m a E - a_0 a^2 l + \alpha_0}{a^2 a_0^2 + b_1 (b_1 + 2a_0 m)} \tag{101}
$$

and 
$$
\alpha_0 = \frac{2a^3a_0mE + a_0ma^2l + b_1(2m^2aE + a^2l)}{\sqrt{m^2 - a^2}}.
$$
 (102)

Now, implementing the procedure described above for the polynomial [\(93\)](#page-5-5) we get

$$
P(r) = (\epsilon + E^2)r^4 - 2mer^3 + (a^2(\epsilon + E^2) - l^2)r^2 + 2m(aE + l)^2r,
$$
\n(103)

finding  $b = 0, b_3 = 0, a_0 = \epsilon + E^2$ ,

$$
b_1^2 + \frac{2m\epsilon}{\sqrt{\epsilon + E^2}} b_1 + a^2(\epsilon + E^2) - l^2 = 0 \qquad (104)
$$
  
and 
$$
b_2 - \frac{2m(aE + l)^2}{b_1^2} = 0. \qquad (105)
$$

 $\gamma_2$ 

Next, integrating the equations [\(87\)](#page-5-1) and [\(89\)](#page-5-4) we get

$$
\arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{a}\sin^2\theta - l^2}}{\tilde{a} - l^2}\right] - \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{a} - l^2}{b_1(a_0b_2 - b_1)}}\arctan\left[\sqrt{\frac{a_0b_2 - b_1}{b_1}}\sqrt{\frac{r}{r + b_2}}\right] = C_1\tag{106}
$$

and

$$
\arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{a}\sin^2\theta - l^2}}{l}\right] + \frac{l}{\sqrt{\tilde{a} - l^2}} \arctan\left[\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{a}\sin^2\theta - l^2}{l^2 - \tilde{a}}}\right] - 2\alpha_1 \arctan\left[\gamma_1\sqrt{\frac{r}{b_2 + r}}\right]
$$

$$
-\alpha_2 \arctan\left[\gamma_2\sqrt{\frac{r}{b_2 + r}}\right] - \alpha_3 \arctan\left[\gamma_3\sqrt{\frac{r}{b_2 + r}}\right] = C_2 \tag{107}
$$

Thus, the general solution is an arbitrary function

$$
G = G(C_1, C_2) \tag{108}
$$

# **CONCLUSIONS**

This work presents a method to classify and solve all geodesic motion analytically around any stationary axially symmetric source. The method summarises all these possible geodesic trajectories into two simple equations [\(15\)](#page-1-3) and [\(16\)](#page-1-3), with ease to obtain solutions. These distinct solutions allow us to classify the different trajectories for particles and photons. All the possible geodesics have been implemented for the Kerr metric, representing the gravitational field produced by a rotating compact object. In particular, those orbiting on a two-sphere surface could be especially relevant for describing observational data ( see [\[3](#page-7-3), [18,](#page-7-17) [19\]](#page-7-18) and references therein). Now, it will be possible to build templates from exact General Relativistic analytical solutions, i.e. without any approximations for the orbits of stars [\[1](#page-7-2)[–3](#page-7-3)], and the imaging of black holes [\[4,](#page-7-4) [5,](#page-7-5) [20\]](#page-7-19). The method presented here allows us to avoid elliptic integrals in writing down the geodesics trajectories ( see for example references [\[21](#page-7-20)[–23\]](#page-7-21) ).

We found the most general for this Killing tensor corresponding to any axisymmetric space-time and its linked constant of motion. The existence this general constant of motion –along the geodesic– is clear from a simple system of algebraic equations [\(59\)](#page-3-3) and [\(60\)](#page-3-3). Again, the general expression for the constant along the geodesic could help to obtain solutions for the geodesic in a more general context where the Kerr metric may not adequately describe the gravitational field (see [\[24\]](#page-7-22) and references therein). This new conserved quantity recovers the Carter constant for the Kerr metric([\[11](#page-7-11), [12](#page-7-12)]).

Although we have considered Kerr space-time a helpful example, the equations for each case in our classification are general and valid for any axisymmetric metric. Analytic solutions for geodesic with more complex Kerrlike sources describing richer rotational compact objects could fit better the trajectories of the stars or represent more accurate black hole imaging or open the possibility of new information from gravitational wave astronomy.

The authors thank Prof. Georgios O Papadopoulos for pointing us to the importance of the Killing tensor for a general axisymmetric space-time. LAN gratefully acknowledges the support of the Vicerrectoria de Investigación y Extensión from Universidad Industrial de Santander under project VIE2814. This work was partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovacion y Universidades. Grant number: PGC2018 096038 B I00, and Junta de Castilla y Leon. Grant number: SA096P20.

- ∗ [j.ospino@usal.es;](mailto:j.ospino@usal.es) [jlhp@usal.es](mailto:jlhp@usal.es)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>† [lnunez@uis.edu.co](mailto: lnunez@uis.edu.co)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[1] A. M Ghez, S. Salim, N.N. Weinberg, and et al. Measuring distance and properties of the milky way's central supermassive black hole with stellar orbits. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 689(2):1044, 2008.
- [2] R. Genzel, F. Eisenhauer, and S. Gillessen. The galactic center massive black hole and nuclear star cluster. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 82(4):3121, 2010.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[3] R. Abuter, A. Amorim, M Bauböck, et al, and Gravity Collaboration. Detection of orbital motions near the last stable circular orbit of the massive black hole sgra. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 618:L10, 2018.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[4] K. Akiyama, A. Alberdi, R. Azulay, et al, and EHT Collaboration. First m87 event horizon telescope results. i. the shadow of the supermassive black hole. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 875(1):L4, 2019.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[5] D. Psaltis, L. Medeiros, P. Christian, et al, and EHT Collaboration. Gravitational test beyond the first postnewtonian order with the shadow of the m87 black hole. *Physical review letters*, 125(14):141104, 2020.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[6] G. He and W. Lin. Kerr-newman black hole lensing of relativistic massive particles in the weak field limit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.08142*, 2021.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[7] L.F. Costa and J. Natário. Frame-dragging: meaning, myths, and misconceptions. *Universe*, 7(10):388, 2021.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[8] F. Yuan and R. Narayan. Hot accretion flows around black holes. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 52:529–588, 2014.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[9] B.P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T.D. Abbott, et al, and LIGO-

Virgo Collaboration. Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. *Physical review letters*, 116(6):061102, 2016.

- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[10] R.P. Kerr. Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics. *Physical review letters*, 11(5):237, 1963.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[11] B. Carter. Global structure of the kerr family of gravitational fields. *Physical Review*, 174(5):1559, 1968.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[12] B. Carter. Hamilton-jacobi and schrodinger separable solutions of einstein's equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 10:280, 1968.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[13] E. Teo. Spherical orbits around a kerr black hole. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 53(1):1–32, 2021.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[14] C. Chan, L. Medeiros, F. Özel, and D. Psaltis. Gray2: a general purpose geodesic integrator for kerr spacetimes. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 867(1):59, 2018.
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[15] J. Ospino, J. L. Hernández-Pastora, and L. A. Núñez. An equivalent system of einstein equations. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 831:012011, March 2017.
- [16] J. Ospino, J.L. Hernández-Pastora, H. Hernández, and L.A. Núñez. Are there any models with homogeneous energy density? *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 50(11):146, 2018.
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[17] J. Ospino and L. A. Núñez. Karmarkar scalar condition. *The European Physical Journal C*, page 166, January 2020.
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[18] R. Abuter, A. Amorim, N. Anugu, et al, and Gravity Collaboration. Detection of the gravitational redshift in the orbit of the star S2 near the Galactic centre massive black hole. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 615:L15, July 2018.
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[19] R. Abuter, A. Amorim, et al, and Gravity Collaboration. Detection of the Schwarzschild precession in the orbit of the star S2 near the Galactic centre massive black hole. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 636:L5, April 2020.
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>[20] J.M. Velásquez-Cadavid, J.A. Arrieta-Villamizar, F.D. Lora-Clavijo, O.M. Pimentel, and J.E. Osorio-Vargas. Osiris: a new code for ray tracing around compact objects. *The European Physical Journal C*, 82(2):1–12, 2022.
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>[21] R. Fujita and W. Hikida. Analytical solutions of bound timelike geodesic orbits in kerr spacetime. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 26(13):135002, 2009.
- [22] E. Hackmann, C. Lämmerzahl, V. Kagramanova, and J. Kunz. Analytical solution of the geodesic equation in kerr-(anti-) de sitter space-times. *Physical Review D*, 81(4):044020, 2010.
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>[23] E. Lämmerzahl, C.and Hackmann. Analytical solutions for geodesic equation in black hole spacetimes. in: Nicolini p., kaminski m., mureika j., bleicher m. (eds) 1st karl schwarzschild meeting on gravitational physics. *Springer Proceedings in Physics*, 2016.
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>[24] K. Destounis and K.D. Kokkotas. Gravitational-wave glitches: Resonant islands and frequency jumps in nonintegrable extreme-mass-ratio inspirals. *Physical Review D*, 104(6):064023, 2021.