

Stationary axisymmetric Einstein-Vlasov bifurcations of the Kerr spacetime

Fatima Ezzahra Jabiri ^{*†}

February 22, 2022

Abstract

We construct a one-parameter family of stationary axisymmetric and asymptotically flat spacetimes solutions to the Einstein-Vlasov system bifurcating from the Kerr spacetime. The constructed solutions have the property that the spatial support of the matter is a finite, axisymmetric shell located away from the black hole. Our proof is mostly based on the analysis of the set of trapped timelike geodesics for stationary axisymmetric spacetimes close to Kerr, where the geodesic flow is not necessarily integrable. Moreover, the analysis of the Einstein field equations relies on the modified Carter Robinson theory developed by Chodosh and Shlapentokh-Rothman. This provides the first construction of black hole solutions to the Einstein-Vlasov system in the axisymmetric case and generalises the construction already done in the spherically symmetric case.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Relativistic Kinetic theory	3
1.2	Steady states of the EV system	4
1.3	The main result	5
2	Key ideas of the proof	7
2.1	Spherically symmetric matter shells orbiting a Schwarzschild-like black hole	7
2.1.1	Geodesic motion in Schwarzschild spacetime and the set of trapped geodesics	7
2.1.2	Stability of trapped geodesics of Schwarzschild	8
2.1.3	Solving the reduced system	8
2.2	Strategy for the axisymmetric case	9
2.2.1	General framework and geometric setting	9
2.2.2	Main novel difficulties and key ideas	9
2.2.3	Overview of the poof	12

^{*}Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Université de Paris, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions (LJLL), F-75005 Paris, France, jabiri@ljl.math.upmc.fr

[†]University College London, Department of Mathematics, London, UK, f.jabiri@ucl.ac.uk

3	Preliminaries and basic background material	13
3.1	The Einstein-Vlasov system	13
3.2	Stationary and axisymmetric black holes with matter	15
3.2.1	Metric ansatz	15
3.2.2	The conformal manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$	16
3.2.3	Boundary conditions and extendibility	19
3.2.4	Vlasov field on stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes	22
3.2.5	Effective potential energy in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes	22
3.3	The Kerr spacetime	28
3.4	Properties of the Kerr spacetime	30
4	Timelike future directed geodesics in Kerr spacetime	33
4.1	Study of the geodesic motion in BL coordinates	33
4.1.1	Geodesic equations in the BL coordinates	34
4.1.2	Circular orbits confined in the equatorial plane $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$	46
4.1.3	Orbits with constant radial motion	55
4.1.4	Roots of the fourth order polynomial R	66
4.1.5	Roots of the fourth order polynomial T	70
4.1.6	Stationary solutions of the geodesic equation	71
4.1.7	Classification of timelike future directed geodesics	72
4.2	Study of the geodesic motion in Weyl coordinates	93
4.2.1	Properties of the effective potential energy $E_{\ell_z}^K$	94
4.2.2	Trapped timelike future-directed geodesics	99
4.2.3	Reparameterization of the zero velocity curves associated to trapped geodesics	100
4.2.4	Domain of trapped geodesics in a sub-extremal Kerr spacetime	108
5	Reduced Einstein-Vlasov system	111
5.1	Assumptions and General Framework	111
5.2	Ansatz for the distribution function	112
5.3	Reparameterization of the fibre Γ_x and the components of the energy-momentum tensor	114
5.4	Static and axisymmetric black holes with matter	117
5.5	Renormalised unknowns and their equations	121
5.6	Functional spaces on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$	132
5.7	Function spaces for the renormalised unknowns	133
5.7.1	Function spaces for σ	133
5.7.2	Function spaces for B	133
5.7.3	Function spaces for X and Y	134
5.7.4	Function spaces for Θ	134
5.7.5	Function spaces for λ	134
6	Main Result	135
7	Perturbation of trapped Kerr geodesics	137
7.1	Proof of Proposition 20	139
7.2	Further properties of the functions Φ^i	149
7.3	Localisation of the matter cloud	150

8	Set-up for solving the renormalised equations	152
8.1	Two fixed point lemmas	152
8.2	Toy Model	155
9	Solving for the renormalised quantities	157
9.1	Further analytical properties of the Kerr metric	157
9.2	Regularity of the matter terms F_i	162
9.2.1	Further computations of the matter terms F_i	163
9.3	Introduce the bifurcation parameter δ	175
9.4	Solving for σ	176
9.4.1	Linear problem	176
9.4.2	Non-linear estimates	184
9.5	Solving for $(B_{\chi}^{(N)}, B_{\chi'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)})$	186
9.5.1	Linear problem	186
9.5.2	Non-linear estimates	188
9.6	Solving for (X, Y)	189
9.6.1	Linear problem	189
9.6.2	Non-linear estimates	212
9.7	Solving for Θ	214
9.7.1	Linear problem	215
9.7.2	Non-linear estimates	218
9.8	Solving for λ	222
9.8.1	Solving the modified equations (9.81)	224
9.8.2	Solving the original equations (5.53)	227
9.8.3	Conclusion	228
10	Proof of the Main Result	228
A	Coordinate Systems for asymptotic flatness	232
B	Classical inequalities and estimates	232
C	Classical Carter-Robinson theory	233
	List of Symbols	234
	References	236

1 Introduction

1.1 Relativistic Kinetic theory

The center of most galaxies, such as our galaxy, is typically modelled as a supermassive black hole, the galaxy consisting of gas, plasmas and stars orbiting around it. Kinetic theory then plays an important role in the description of these matter fields. In the geometric context of general relativity, the formulation of a relativistic kinetic theory was developed by Synge [49], who in particular introduced the world lines of the gas particles, Tauber and Weinberg [50], who developed a covariant

form of phase space and the corresponding Liouville theorem, and Israel [29] who derived conservation laws based on the fully covariant Boltzmann equation.

When the number of particles is large, mathematical models of particle systems are often described by kinetic or fluid equations. The choice of a good model may depend on the physical properties of interests, the existence of good numerical schemes or of a well developed theory. A characteristic feature of kinetic theory is that its models are statistical and the particle systems are described by distribution functions defined on phase space. A distribution function represents the number of particles with given spacetime position and velocity. It contains a wealth of information and macroscopic quantities are easily calculated from it, such as energy densities, mass density and moments.

In this work, we are concerned with one specific model of kinetic theory: the so-called collisionless or Vlasov matter model. It is used to describe galaxies or globular galaxies where the stars play the role of gas particles and collisions between them are sufficiently rare to be neglected, so that the only interaction taken into account is gravitation. The distribution is then transported along the trajectories of free falling particles, resulting in the Vlasov equation. The latter is coupled to the equations for the gravitational field, where the source terms are computed from the distribution function. In the non-relativistic setting, i.e. the Newtonian framework, the resulting nonlinear system of partial differential equations is the Vlasov-Poisson (VP) system, while its general relativistic counterpart forms the Einstein-Vlasov (EV) system. Collisionless matter possesses several attractive features from a partial differential equations viewpoint. On any fixed background, it avoids pathologies such as shock formation, contrary to more traditional fluid models. Moreover, one has global classical solutions of the VP system in three dimensions for general initial data [40], [36].

The local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the EV system was first investigated in [18] by Choquet-Bruhat. Concerning the nonlinear stability of the Minkowski spacetime as the trivial solution of the EV system, it was proven in the case of spherically symmetric initial data by Rendall and Rein [42] in the massive case and by Dafermos [20] for the massless case. The general case was recently shown by Fajman, Joudioux and Smulevici [25] and independently by Lindblad-Taylor [35] for the massive case, and by Taylor [51] for the massless case, see also [11] for an alternative proof without the compact support assumption. Nonlinear stability results have been given by Fajman [24] and Ringtröm [44] in the case of cosmological spacetimes. See also [48], [5], [21], [53], [47], [22] for several results on cosmological spacetimes with symmetries.

1.2 Steady states of the EV system

While self-gravitating Vlasov systems have proven to be useful models in astrophysics and general relativity, there are still many open questions concerning the space of stationary solutions. In particular, the problem of finding steady states is challenging without strong symmetry assumptions. More precisely, these models are well-studied under the restriction of spherical symmetry and they can be obtained by assuming that the distribution function has the following form

$$f(x, v) = \Phi(E, \ell),$$

where E and ℓ are interpreted as the energy and the total angular momentum of particles respectively. In fact, in the Newtonian setting, the distribution function associated to a stationary and spherically symmetric solution to the VP system is necessarily described by a function depending only on E and ℓ . Such statement is referred to as Jean's theorem [32], [31], [10]. However, it has been shown

that its generalisation to general relativity is false in general [46].

A particular choice of Φ , called the polytropic ansatz, which is commonly used to construct static and spherically symmetric states for both VP and EV system is given by

$$\Phi(E, \ell) := \begin{cases} (E_0 - E)^\mu \ell^k, & E < E_0, \\ 0, & E \geq E_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $E_0 > 0$, $\mu > -1$ and $k > -1$. In [43], Rein and Rendall obtained the first class of asymptotically flat, static, spherically symmetric solutions to EV system with finite mass and finite support such that Φ depends only on the energy of particles with $\mu \in [0, \frac{7}{2}[$. In [41], Rein extended the above result for distribution functions depending also on ℓ , where Φ is similar to the polytropic ansatz (1.1): $\Phi(E, \ell) = (-E)_+^\mu (\ell)_+^k$, $\mu \geq 0$, $k > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mu < 3k + \frac{7}{2}$. Among these, there are singularity-free solutions with a regular center, and also solutions with a Schwarzschild-like black hole. Based on perturbations arguments, Andréasson-Fajman-Thaller in [2] proved the existence of static spherically symmetric solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system with non-vanishing cosmological constant and among these, there are solutions which contain black holes. Recently, we have obtained an alternative approach to the construction of black hole spherically symmetric steady states [30]. The construction is based on the analysis of the set of trapped timelike geodesics and of the effective potential energy for static spacetimes close to Schwarzschild, see Section 2.1. The goal of this paper is to extend the strategy of [30] in the axisymmetric case

Beyond spherical symmetry however, the equations become much more complicated and thus, few mathematical or numerical results have been established so far. More precisely, only two mathematical constructions had been obtained in the case of axisymmetry for the axisymmetric EV system: static and axisymmetric solutions were constructed by Andréasson-Kunze-Rein in [3] and then extended to establish the existence of rotating stationary and axisymmetric solutions to the EV system in [4]. The constructed solutions are obtained as bifurcations of a spherically symmetric Newtonian steady state and they do not contain black holes [4], see also Remark 4. Moreover, the steady states obtained in [3] are non rotating and the ones obtained in [4] are slowly rotating with a possible presence of an ergoregion. We note that the strategy of the proof based on bifurcation argument was initially introduced by Lichtenstein [33] who proved the existence of non-relativistic, stationary, axisymmetric self-gravitating fluid balls. Furthermore, numerical constructions have been provided by Ames-Andréasson-Logg [1]. The constructed solutions are not necessarily slowly rotating. Interestingly, the resulting spacetimes contain an ergoregion but no black holes for a certain class of the profile Φ .

1.3 The main result

In this paper, we generalise the approach presented in [30] in order to construct stationary axisymmetric bifurcations from the Kerr spacetime of the EV system. The solutions have the property that the matter shell is located in the exterior region of a Kerr-like black hole. Our construction is based on the study of trapped timelike geodesics of spacetimes close to Kerr. In particular, as in the spherically symmetric case, we show (and exploit) that for some values of energy and total angular momentum (ε, ℓ_z) , the effective potential associated to a particle moving in a perturbed Kerr spacetime and that of a particle with same (ε, ℓ_z) moving in Kerr are similar. Our distribution function will then be supported on the set of trapped timelike geodesics, and this will lead to the finiteness of the mass and its compact support.

For any stationary and axisymmetric spacetime, one can define an energy ε and angular momentum ℓ_z associated to a timelike geodesic. An open set of trapped geodesic can then be identified based only on (ε, ℓ_z) and the initial position.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g_{a,M}^K)$ be the exterior of a sub-extremal Kerr spacetime, i.e. $0 < |a| < M$. For any appropriate profile Φ , there exists a 1-parameter family of stationary, axisymmetric asymptotically flat black holes spacetimes $(\mathcal{M}, g_\delta)_{[0, \delta_0[}$ and distribution functions $f^\delta : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ solving the Einstein-Vlasov system, such that*

1. *when $\delta = 0$, f^0 identically vanishes and g_0 coincides with the sub-extremal Kerr metric $g_{a,M}^K$,*
2. *$\forall \delta \geq 0$, f^δ verifies*

$$\forall (x, v) \in \Gamma_1, f^\delta(x, v) = \Phi(E^\delta, \ell_z; \delta) \Psi((\rho, z), (E^\delta, \ell_z^\delta), g_\delta). \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot; \delta)$ is supported on a compact set $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ of the set of parameters (ε, ℓ_z) corresponding to trapped timelike trajectories, ε is the energy of the particle and ℓ_z its azimuthal angular momentum, Ψ is a positive cut-off function which selects the trapped geodesics with parameters $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, Γ_1 is the mass shell of particles with rest mass $m = 1$, and E^δ is the local energy with respect to the metric g_δ .

3. *f is compactly supported in the exterior region and its supports does not depend on δ .*
4. *The boundary of (\mathcal{M}, g_δ) corresponds to a non degenerate bifurcate Killing event horizon on which the metric has a $C^{2,\alpha}$ extension, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1[$.*

We refer to Section 6 for a more detailed version of the main result.

Remark 1. The support of $\Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z; \delta)$ as a function on the mass shell has two connected components: one corresponds to geodesics which reach the horizon in a finite proper time, and the other one corresponds to trapped geodesics. Ψ is introduced so that it is equal to 0 outside $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ and equal to a cut-off function depending on the r variable, χ on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$. The latter is equal to 0 on the first connected component of the support of $\Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z; \delta)$ and to 1 on the second component. This allows to eliminate the undesired trajectories. The underlying reason behind all of this is that (ε, ℓ_z) are not sufficient to characterise the geodesic motion. More precisely, if we consider the motion of a particle in a Kerr spacetime, then the type of trajectory (trapped, unbounded, plunging) depends on (ε, ℓ_z) , possibly also on Carter constant, and on the initial radial position: for a fixed (ε, ℓ_z) , the particle can have different trajectories depending on where it initially starts.

Remark 2. We refer to Section 5.2 for the precise assumptions on the profile Φ . Roughly speaking, we assume C^1 regularity of Φ with respect to each variable.

Remark 3. The analysis of the reduced Einstein equations, necessary for the proof of Theorem 1 is based the work of Chodosh and Shlapentokh-Rothman on time-periodic Einstein-Klein-Gordon bifurcations of Kerr [17], [16]. They relied on Carter-Robinson theory [12], [13] and the approach of Weinstein [54], [55] concerning rotating black holes in equilibrium.

Our work is based, on one hand, on their modified Carter-Robinson theory, and on the other hand, on a generalisation of the arguments concerning the analysis of trapped timelike geodesics from the spherically symmetric case[30], see Section 2.1 below. More precisely, we use these arguments for the analysis of the Vlasov matter terms, and this is the main contribution of our work.

Remark 4. Another influential work is the one of Andréasson-Kunze-Rein on the construction of rotating, general relativistic and asymptotically flat non-vacuum spacetimes [4]. The authors provided the first mathematical construction of stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat solutions to the EV system which are geodesically complete and with non-zero total angular momentum. Their method was based on an implicit function theorem and a bifurcation argument from spherically symmetric steady states of the VP system. The ansatz for the distribution function was given by

$$f^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(x, v) = \phi \left(E - \frac{1}{\mu_1} \right) \psi(\mu_2, \ell_z),$$

where μ_1 turns on general relativity and the second parameter turns on the dependence on ℓ_z . Moreover, the reduction of the Einstein tensor associated to a stationary and axisymmetric metric followed the work of Bardeen [6] and the energy-momentum tensor components were computed via a reparametrisation of the mass shell.

Our work also uses a similar ansatz for the distribution function¹ and this leads to similar reductions of the components of the energy-momentum tensor. On the other hand, we use the implicit function theorem to bifurcate from a possibly rapidly rotating Kerr spacetime. Moreover, our reduction of the EV system follows the work of Chodosh and Shlapentokh-Rothman [17].

2 Key ideas of the proof

We provide an overview of the proof and we present the main ideas for the construction and the difficulties. The proof is based on the generalisation of the arguments in the spherically symmetric case. We thus start by presenting the key arguments in [30]

2.1 Spherically symmetric matter shells orbiting a Schwarzschild-like black hole

2.1.1 Geodesic motion in Schwarzschild spacetime and the set of trapped geodesics

The study of the geodesic motion in Schwarzschild spacetime is included in the classical books of general relativity. See for example [14, Chapter 3] or [38, Chapter 33].

The geodesic motion in Schwarzschild forms an integrable Hamiltonian system. The problem of solving the geodesic equation is then reduced to a one dimensional problem in the radial direction, and the classification of timelike geodesics is therefore based on the roots of the equation

$$E_\ell^{Sch}(r) = E^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where E_ℓ^{Sch} is the effective potential energy associated to a timelike geodesic. E_ℓ^{Sch} is a polynomial of degree 3 of $\frac{1}{r}$ and thus it admits at most three roots in the region $]2M, \infty[$. In particular, trapped timelike geodesics occur when (2.1) admits three distinct roots: $r_0^{Sch}(E, \ell) < r_1^{Sch}(E, \ell) < r_2^{Sch}(E, \ell)$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{bound}^{Sch}$ the set of parameters (E, ℓ) for which the latter occur. Now, given $(E, \ell) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}^{Sch}$, the allowed region for a timelike geodesics, defined to be the subset in $]2M, \infty[$ such that

$$E_\ell^{Sch}(r) \leq E^2,$$

has two connected components: $]2M, r_0^{Sch}(E, \ell)[$ and $[r_1^{Sch}(E, \ell), r_2^{Sch}(E, \ell)[$. Therefore,

¹We still have a cut-off depending on ρ as in the spherically symmetric case.

- either the geodesic starts from $r_0^{Sch}(E, \ell)$ and reaches the horizon in a finite time,
- or the geodesic is periodic. It oscillates between an aphelion $r_2^{Sch}(E, \ell)$ and a perihelion $r_1^{Sch}(E, \ell)$.

As a consequence, a cut-off function was used in the ansatz for the distribution function in order to select only trapped orbits, see Remark 1.

Given a Schwarzschild spacetime, one can classify the geodesics based on the integrals of motion only. Then, we show that trapped geodesics remain stable under spherically symmetric perturbations. The stability result is key for controlling the matter terms for the Vlasov field. Moreover, it leads to the compact support of matter.

2.1.2 Stability of trapped geodesics of Schwarzschild

We denote by g_{Sch} the Schwarzschild metric written in the spherical coordinates and by $B(g_{Sch}, \delta_0)$ the ball of radius δ_0 centred around g_{Sch} in some functional space adapted to the problem. The construction in [30] is mostly based the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let $0 < \tilde{\delta}_0 < \delta_{max}$. Then, there exists $\delta_0 \in]0, \tilde{\delta}_0]$ such that $\forall g \in B(g_{Sch}, \delta_0)$, $\forall (E, \ell) \in B_{bound}$, there exist unique $r_i(g, (E, \ell)) \in B(r_i^{Sch}(E, \ell), \delta_0)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $r_i(g, (E, \ell))$ solve the equation*

$$E_\ell(g, r) = E^2,$$

where $E_\ell(g, \cdot)$ is the effective potential energy associated to timelike geodesics moving in a static and spherically symmetric spacetime with metric g .

Moreover, there are no other roots for the above equation outside the balls $B(r_i^{Sch}(E, \ell), \delta_0)$.

2.1.3 Solving the reduced system

For static and spherically symmetric spacetimes, the EV system is reduced to a system of ODEs with respect to the radial variable in the metric coefficients (λ, μ) . In particular, one can derive an independent equation for one of the metric component μ . Once μ is solved, one can easily construct the remaining component.

In order to solve for μ ,

- one chooses $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small and $R > 0$ sufficiently large so that the matter is supported² in the region $]2M + \rho, R[$.
- By Birkhoff theorem, the solution is given by Schwarzschild with parameter M in the region $]2M, 2M + \rho[$.
- An ODE is solved in the region $]2M + \rho, R[$ using an implicit function theorem and the analysis of Vlasov matter. The solution obtained is then close to Schwarzschild.
- Again, by Birkhoff theorem, the solution is also given by Schwarzschild with new parameter $M + m(\delta)$ in the region $]R, \infty[$, where $m(\delta)$ is the total mass of the Vlasov field.

²The matter shell is actually located outside the photon sphere $r = 3M$.

2.2 Strategy for the axisymmetric case

The strategy of the construction in the axisymmetric case consists of generalising the stability result for trapped timelike geodesics and using the modified Carter Robinson theory for the analysis of the field equations. In this section, we emphasise the main difficulties and differences compared to the spherically symmetric case.

2.2.1 General framework and geometric setting

In order to motivate the main ideas of the construction and the difficulties, we present briefly the geometric setting which will be detailed in Section 3.2.

We assume that we are looking for stationary, axially symmetric and asymptotically flat spacetimes with stationary and axially symmetric matter fields. In this context, we use the Weyl coordinates (t, ϕ, ρ, z) defined on

$$\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{R}_t \times]0, 2\pi[_\phi \times \mathcal{B}_{(\rho, z)} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{B} := \{\rho > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

which are suitable for axially symmetric problems. The horizon is defined by

$$\mathcal{H} := \{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}; \rho = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z \in]-\gamma, \gamma[_\},$$

the axis of symmetry is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} := \{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}; \rho = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z \in]-\infty, -\gamma[\cup]\gamma, +\infty[_\},$$

and the poles are defined by $p_{N,S} = (0, \pm\gamma)$ where $\gamma := \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ such that $0 < |a| < M$. Here, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ is the "extension of" \mathcal{B} obtained by gluing \mathcal{B} with its boundary.

We assume the following metric ansatz

$$g := -V dt^2 + 2W dt d\phi + X d\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) \quad (2.2)$$

where the metric components are functions defined on \mathcal{B} and the following ansatz for the distribution function:

$$f(x, v) = \Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \Psi((\rho, z), (\varepsilon, \ell_z), g).$$

2.2.2 Main novel difficulties and key ideas

In the following, we discuss some of the difficulties we encountered while proving Theorem 1:

1. **Non-integrability of the geodesic system in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes:** In general stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes, there are a priori only three integrals of motion: the Hamiltonian H , the energy measured at infinity ε , and the azimuthal angular momentum ℓ_z . Therefore, the problem of solving the geodesic equations which consist of integrating a system of 8 ordinary differential equations is reduced to solving a problem with two degrees of freedom defined on a four dimensional submanifold of the tangent bundle parametrized by (ε, ℓ_z) . In Kerr spacetime, there exists a fourth integral of motion, due to Carter [12]. We note that the study of the geodesic motion in Kerr spacetime is included in the classical books of general relativity. See for example [14, Chapter 6] for a classification of orbits with constant radial motion and of orbits confined in the equatorial plane, and [39, Chapter 4] for a full classification of timelike geodesics based on the Carter constant. However,

in order to construct \mathcal{A}_{bound}^K , we need to reparametrize the trapped geodesics based only on the integrals (ε, ℓ_z) . Indeed, the Carter constant does not exist for arbitrary perturbations. A key idea is to identify a set of trapped timelike geodesics moving in Kerr independently of this fourth integral and based only on (ε, ℓ_z) . In this context, we recall the classification of timelike geodesics in Section 4 and we revisit its proof. Then, we reparametrise the timelike geodesics based only on (ε, ℓ_z) . This leads to the generalisation of $\mathcal{A}_{bound}^{Sch}$ in Section 4.1.7.

2. **Stability of the set of trapped timelike geodesics:** In Kerr spacetime, if we do not make use of the Carter constant, the geodesic motion is reduced to two-dimensional motion in the \mathcal{B} plane. Therefore, we define a two dimensional potential $E_{\ell_z}^K$ on \mathcal{B} associated to a timelike geodesic. As in the spherically symmetric case, the classification is based on the solutions of the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = \varepsilon \quad (2.3)$$

which are no longer points, but they are curves in the \mathcal{B} plane and their shape determine the nature of the orbit. Therefore, the turning points can be generalised in the following definition

Definition 1. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) . We define the zero velocity curve (ZVC) associated to γ denoted by $Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to be the curve in \mathcal{B} defined by

$$Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = 0\}.$$

As already discussed, there exists a fourth constant of motion, Q , due to Carter [12], so that any geodesic is characterised by the set $(H, \varepsilon, \ell_z, Q)$ and the initial spacetime position. In our picture, one can use Q as a parameter along the curve that solves (2.3).

Eventually, for $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}^K$, we obtain a curve with possibly several connected components. In particular, trapped geodesics occur when the solution curve has a compact connected component, $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. In stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes with metric g , we analogously define the effective potential energy for a timelike particle with angular momentum ℓ_z , $E_{\ell_z}(g, \cdot, \cdot)$. Again, the classification of timelike geodesics is based on the solutions of the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}(g, \rho, z) = \varepsilon \quad (2.4)$$

The idea is to prove that $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is stable against stationary and axisymmetric perturbations. We state a rough version of the perturbation result (see Section 7)

Proposition 2. *Let $0 < \tilde{\delta}_0 < \delta_{max}$. Then, there exists $\delta_0 \in]0, \tilde{\delta}_0]$ such that $\forall g \in B(g_K, \delta_0)$, $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, there exists a unique smooth curve $Z^{pert}(g, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))$ diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 in \mathcal{B} "close to" $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in Z^{pert}(g, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))$, (ρ, z) solves the equation*

$$E_{\ell_z}(g, \rho, z) = \varepsilon.$$

One of the technical difficulties that we encountered in the proof is to give a definition of "perturbed closed curves", see Definition 26, and to chose a functional space for the metric g which is compatible with the PDE problem for the metric coefficients. It turned out that the theory of Shlapentokh-Rothman and Chodosh [17] is sufficient to solve the second problem.

The analysis of Yakov and Otis is itself based on the Carter Robinson theory. We thus start by reviewing the original Carter Robinson theory developed in the context of Kerr conjecture.

3. **Carter-Robinson theory and the analysis of the reduced Einstein equations:** We recall that the uniqueness conjecture of the Kerr family, is known to be true if the spacetime is assumed to be axisymmetric. The problem was reduced to solving a harmonic map system with boundary conditions at infinity, the horizon, the axis of symmetry and their intersection. Indeed, the twist one-form θ associated to the Killing field generating the axial symmetry is closed on \mathcal{B} , which is simply connected. This allowed Carter and Robinson to define an Ernst potential, Y which vanishes at infinity such that

$$dY = \theta$$

and which forms, together with the metric coefficient X a harmonic map system which decouples from the remaining equations for the other metric components:

$$\begin{cases} \rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho X) + \rho^{-1}\partial_z(\rho\partial_z X) = \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 - (\partial_\rho Y)^2 - (\partial_z Y)^2}{X}, \\ \rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho Y) + \rho^{-1}\partial_z(\rho\partial_z Y) = \frac{2(\partial_\rho Y)(\partial_\rho X) + 2(\partial_z Y)(\partial_z X)}{X}. \end{cases}$$

In fact, if (ρ, z) are considered with $\phi \in (0, 2\pi)$ as being the cylindrical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 , X and Y can then be seen as axisymmetric functions on \mathbb{R}^3 . Therefore, (X, Y) forms a harmonic map from \mathbb{R}^3 to hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2 . The requirements of asymptotic flatness and regular extensions to the axis and event horizon lead to natural boundary conditions for X and Y . This determines uniquely (X, Y) .³

Given a particular solution (X, Y) to the harmonic map system, the remaining of the metric coefficients are then uniquely determined. First, it is shown that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma := \sqrt{XV + W^2}.$$

The boundary conditions for σ imply that $\sigma = \rho$. Next, the definition of the twist leads to the following equation on W

$$\partial_\rho(X^{-1}W)d\rho + \partial_z(X^{-1}W)dz = \frac{\rho}{X^2}((\partial_\rho Y)dz - (\partial_z Y)d\rho).$$

Again, the boundary conditions are used to determine uniquely W in terms of (X, Y) . As for λ , it satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\rho \lambda = \frac{1}{4}\rho X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\partial_\rho Y)^2 - (\partial_z Y)^2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\rho \log X, \\ \partial_z \lambda = \frac{1}{4}\rho X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\partial_\rho Y)(\partial_z Y)) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_z \log X. \end{cases}$$

This determines uniquely λ in terms of (X, Y) . One can then conclude the uniqueness of Kerr once (X_K, Y_K) associated to the Kerr metric are checked to verify the harmonic map system.

In the static spherically symmetric case, we recall that the problem was solved only in a bounded region of the r -domain and we Birkhoff theorem was used in order to extend the solution on the whole exterior region.

Unfortunately, the gluing argument cannot be applied in the axisymmetric case because the

³The uniqueness uses a divergence identity, generalised to the so-called Mazur identity, see [28, Chapter 10].

above Carter-Robinson theory cannot be applied to the Einstein Vacuum equations with boundary conditions different from those at infinity. Indeed, we cannot assume that the metric is given by Kerr between the horizon and the inner boundary of the matter shell. Therefore, we have to solve the system on the whole exterior region⁴ with suitable boundary conditions on the horizon, the axis of symmetry, the infinity and the intersection between the horizon and the axis of symmetry. This leads to equations with singular coefficients and this is where we rely on the work [17] to overcome these difficulties, see Section 9.

One extra difficulty is that in the presence of matter the twist-one form, θ is no longer closed. However, in [17], the authors managed to introduce an Ernst-like potential and another one-form B such that

$$dY = \theta - B.$$

From this decomposition, they obtained a harmonic map system but it is now coupled with the remaining equations. We will adapt this argument in our proof in order to reduce the EV system to a system of semi-linear elliptic equations coupled to first order PDEs in the metric coefficients only. For the matter terms, it will remain to estimate all the components of $T_{\alpha\beta}$ at the same regularity as the metric, see Section 9.2.

Eventually, to close the whole argument, we will apply a fixed point theorem, see Section 9.

2.2.3 Overview of the poof

In this section, we give an overview of the proof of Theorem 3.

1. First of all, we will present in Section 3 basic background material on the axisymmetric Einstein-Vlasov system as well as some properties of sub-extremal Kerr exteriors. In Section 4, we will study the geodesic motion of timelike particles moving in Kerr exteriors in BL coordinates and in Weyl coordinates. We will also construct the set \mathcal{A}_{bound}^K and define the zero velocity curves.
2. Then, we will compute in Section 5 the components of the energy momentum tensor and reduce the EV system to a system of integro-partial differential equations in the metric data only. We will also introduce the required functional spaces for the analysis.
3. In Section 6, we will give a detailed formulation of Theorem 3.
4. In Section 7, we will prove the stability result for trapped geodesics. To this end, we control quantitatively the effective potential and the resulting trapped timelike geodesics for stationary axisymmetric spacetimes close to Kerr in every region where ZVC can be written as the graph of a function. Then, using the compactness of \mathcal{B}_{bound} , we will show that trapped geodesics moving in the perturbed spacetimes lie in a compact region of \mathcal{B} which is uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) . This will allow us to obtain a distribution function which is compactly supported in \mathcal{B} . Consequently, all the matter terms $F_i(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ will compactly supported in \mathcal{B} and vanish in a neighbourhood of the horizon, the axis of symmetry and the poles.
5. Then, we will use two fixed point lemmas to solve the nonlinear aspects of the problem, which will be introduced in Section 8.1. We will start with the study of a toy model which illustrates the application of these lemmas. In the general case, we will have to deal with the difficulty related to the nonlinear coupling of the equations.

⁴Interestingly, the solutions that we construct are vacuum near the horizon and thus they emphasise the need for a global analysis to address the uniqueness conjecture of Kerr.

-
6. At this stage, we will introduce a bifurcation parameter $\delta \geq 0$ in the ansatz for the distribution function which turns on the presence of Vlasov matter. This will allow us to transform the problem of finding solutions to the reduced EV system for the renormalised quantities into that of finding a one-parameter family of solutions which depends on δ , by applying a fixed point lemma, considered as a zero of a well-defined operator.
 7. In Section 9, we will solve the reduced Einstein-Vlasov system. We note that we will solve each equation separately and the order in which we solve them matters. See Remark 25. More precisely:
 - We will begin by solving the equation for $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ in terms of the remaining quantities and the bifurcation parameter δ . The regularity for the matter terms will allow us to have a C^1 dependence of $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ in $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ and a continuous dependence with respect to δ . To this end, we will apply a fixed point lemma.
 - Then, we will solve the equations for B in terms of $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta})$. Note that $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ depends on the other renormalised quantities and δ . Therefore, after the application of the fixed point theorem, we will obtain a one parameter family of solutions $(B, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ which depend in C^1 manner of $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ and continuously on δ .
 - We iterate the solving process in order to solve the equations for $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ in terms of $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$, then $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ in terms of $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ and finally the equations for $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ in terms of δ only.
 - Consequently, we will obtain a one-parameter family of solutions $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ which depends continuously on δ .
 8. Finally, we will extend the solutions to a larger spacetime which boundary consists of an event horizon, see Section 10.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my PhD advisor Jacques Smulevici for suggesting this problem to me, for the interesting discussions and crucial suggestions, and for reading this work. The majority of this work was supported by the ERC grant 714408 GEOWAKI, under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program. Its completion was done in University College London and supported by the EPSRC Early Career Fellowship EP/S02218X/1.

3 Preliminaries and basic background material

In this section, we introduce basic material necessary for the rest of this work.

3.1 The Einstein-Vlasov system

In this work, we study the Einstein field equations for a smooth, time oriented, strongly causal Lorentzian manifold (\mathcal{M}, g) in the presence of matter

$$Ric(g) - \frac{1}{2}gR(g) = 8\pi T(g), \quad (3.1)$$

where Ric denotes the *Ricci curvature tensor* of g , R denotes the *scalar curvature* and T denotes the *energy-momentum tensor* which must be specified by the matter theory. The model considered here is the Vlasov matter. It is assumed that the latter is represented by a scalar positive function $f : T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ called the *distribution function*. The condition that f represents the distribution of a collection of particles moving freely in the given spacetime is that it should be constant along the *geodesic flow*, that is

$$L[f] = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where L denotes the *Liouville vector field*. The latter equation is called *the Vlasov equation*. In a local coordinate chart (x^α, v^β) on $T\mathcal{M}$, where (v^β) are the components of the four-momentum corresponding to x^α , the Liouville vector field L reads

$$L = v^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}(g) v^\alpha v^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\mu} \quad (3.3)$$

and the corresponding integral curves satisfy the geodesic equations of motion

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}(\tau) = v^\mu, \\ \frac{dv^\mu}{d\tau}(\tau) = -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} v^\alpha v^\beta, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ are the Christoffel symbols given in the local coordinates x^α by

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\beta\nu}}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\nu}}{\partial x^\beta} - \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^\nu} \right)$$

and where τ is an affine parameter which corresponds to the proper time in the case of timelike geodesics. The trajectory of a particle in $T\mathcal{M}$ is an element of the geodesic flow generated by L and its projection onto the spacetime manifold \mathcal{M} corresponds to a geodesic of the spacetime. In this work, we assume that all particles have the same rest mass and it is normalised to 1.

It is easy to see that the quantity $\mathcal{L}(x, v) := \frac{1}{2} v^\alpha v^\beta g_{\alpha\beta}$ is conserved along solutions of (3.4)⁵. In the case of timelike geodesics, we rescale the affine parameter τ so that:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, v) = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.5)$$

For physical reasons, we require that all particles move on future directed timelike geodesics. Therefore, the distribution function is supported on the seven dimensional manifold of $T\mathcal{M}$ ⁶, called the *the mass shell*, denoted by Γ and defined by

$$\Gamma := \{(x, v) \in T\mathcal{M} : g_x(v, v) = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad v^\alpha \text{ is future pointing}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

We note that by construction Γ is invariant under the geodesic flow.

We assume that there exist local coordinates on \mathcal{M} , denoted by $(x^\alpha)_{\alpha=0\dots 3}$ defined on some open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \Big|_x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \Big|_x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \Big|_x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \Big|_x \right\}, \quad x \in U,$$

⁵We note that \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian of a free-particle.

⁶See [45] Lemma.7

is a basis of $T_x\mathcal{M}$, with the property that for each $x \in U$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\Big|_x$ is timelike and all the vectors of the form $v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\Big|_x$ are spacelike. Now let, (x^α, v^α) be a coordinate system on $T\mathcal{M}$. Then the mass shell condition

$$g_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta = -1 \quad \text{where } v^\alpha \text{ is future directed}$$

allows to write v^0 in terms of (x^α, v^α) . It is given by

$$v^0 = -(g_{00})^{-1} \left(g_{0j}v^j + \sqrt{(g_{0j}v^j)^2 - g_{00}(1 + g_{ij}v^i v^j)} \right).$$

Therefore, Γ can be parametrised by (x^0, x^a, v^a) . Hence, the distribution function can be written as a function of (x^0, x^a, v^a) and the Vlasov equation has the form

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^0} + \frac{v^a}{v^0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma^a_{\alpha\beta} \frac{v^\alpha v^\beta}{v^0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v^a} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

In order to define the energy-momentum tensor which couples the Vlasov equation to the Einstein field equations, we introduce the natural volume element on the fibre

$$\Gamma_x := \left\{ v^\alpha \in T_x\mathcal{M} : g^{\alpha\beta}v_\alpha v_\beta = -1, v^0 > 0 \right\}$$

of Γ at a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ given in the adapted local coordinates (x^0, x^a, v^a) by

$$d\text{vol}_x(v) := \frac{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\alpha\beta})}}{-v_0} dv^1 dv^2 dv^3. \quad (3.8)$$

The energy momentum tensor is now defined by

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{M} \quad T_{\alpha\beta}(x) := \int_{\Gamma_x} v_\alpha v_\beta f(x, v) d\text{vol}_x(v), \quad (3.9)$$

where $f = f(x^0, x^a, v^a)$ and $d\text{vol}_x(v) = d\text{vol}_x(v^a)$ ⁷. In order for (3.9) to be well defined, f has to have certain regularity and decay properties. One sufficient requirement would be to demand that f has compact support on Γ_x , $\forall x \in \mathcal{M}$ and it is integrable with respect to v . Finally, we refer to (3.1) and (3.2) with T given by (3.9) as the Einstein-Vlasov system.

3.2 Stationary and axisymmetric black holes with matter

We recall from [16] the geometric framework for the construction of non-vacuum black holes whose metrics are stationary and axisymmetric. We refer to [19] for general definitions on exterior and black holes regions, the event horizon and its properties in the axisymmetric case.

3.2.1 Metric ansatz

Let $\mathcal{M} := \{(t, \phi, \rho, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi) \times \mathcal{B}\}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\rho > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (3.10)$$

⁷The latin indices run from 1...3.

We will assume that the exterior regions of our spacetimes, minus the axis of symmetry, are given by (\mathcal{M}, g) where the Lorentzian metrics g take the form

$$g := -V dt^2 + 2W dt d\phi + X d\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) \quad (3.11)$$

for suitable functions $V, W, X, \lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Observe that the vector fields $\bar{\Phi} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ and $T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are both Killing. We will always assume that $X > 0$ (otherwise there would exist closed causal curves) and that $XV + W^2 > 0$, which is equivalent to g being a Lorentzian metric. We do not assume that $V > 0$. Thus, we allow for the presence of an ergoregion.

In the following, we replace the metric components V, W, X, λ by a different collection of data $X, W, \theta, \sigma, \lambda$, which reduces under symmetries in a nice manner, where

- θ denotes the twist one-form associated to $\bar{\Phi}$:

$$\theta := 2L_{\bar{\Phi}}(*\nabla\bar{\Phi}_b). \quad (3.12)$$

- σ denotes the square root of the negative of the area of the parallelogram in $T\mathcal{M}$ spanned by T and $\bar{\Phi}$:

$$\sigma := \sqrt{XV + W^2}. \quad (3.13)$$

We will refer to the quantities $X, W, \theta, \sigma, \lambda$ as the "metric data".

3.2.2 The conformal manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$

In this section, we recall the construction of the conformal manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ made in [16] on which the metric components will be extended. Let $\beta > 0$ and let $0 < e < c < a < b$ and be sufficiently large ⁸.

- First we define four submanifolds of \mathcal{B} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_A^{(\beta)} &:= \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| > \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_H^{(\beta)} &:= \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| < \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_N^{(\beta)} &:= \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}, \rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2 < \frac{\beta}{c} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_S^{(\beta)} &:= \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}, \rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2 < \frac{\beta}{c} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that they cover the domain of outer communications \mathcal{B} .

- Then, we glue the points

$$\left\{ (0, z) / |z \pm \beta| > \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{a}} \right\}.$$

to $\mathcal{B}_H^{(\beta)}$ in order to get

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_H^{(\beta)} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| < \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}.$$

⁸These constants will be fixed below, see Section 45

Similarly, we glue the points

$$\left\{ (0, z) / |z \pm \beta| > \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{a}} \right\}.$$

to $\mathcal{B}_A^{(\beta)}$ so that we define

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A^{(\beta)} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| > \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}.$$

- We extend in the same way $\mathcal{B}_N^{(\beta)}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N^{(\beta)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_S^{(\beta)}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S^{(\beta)}$ by gluing the points

$$\left\{ (0, z) / |z - \beta| < \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{c}} \right\}.$$

to $\mathcal{B}_N^{(\beta)}$ and the points

$$\left\{ (0, z) / |z + \beta| < \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{c}} \right\}.$$

to $\mathcal{B}_S^{(\beta)}$.

However, at the end points $p_N = (0, \beta)$ and $p_S = (0, -\beta)$, the Kerr solution expressed in isothermal coordinates is not smooth. In order to overcome this difficulty, one can introduce a regularisation which consists of a new system of coordinates (s, χ) with respect to which the Kerr solution is C^∞ .

- Now we define the change of coordinates on $\mathcal{B}_N^{(\beta)} \setminus \{(0, \beta)\}$

$$\rho = s\chi, \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2) + \beta. \quad (3.14)$$

- We glue the points $\left\{ (s, \chi) \mid 0 \leq s, \chi < \left(\frac{\beta}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}$ to $\mathcal{B}_N^{(\beta)}$ so that we add the north pole. Hence we obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N^{(\beta)} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, z \neq \beta, \rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2 < \frac{\beta}{c} \right\} \cup \left\{ (s, \chi) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}} \mid 0 \leq s, \chi < \left(\frac{\beta}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$

- Similarly, we introduce the regularisation on $\mathcal{B}_S^{(\beta)} \setminus \{(0, -\beta)\}$

$$\rho = s'\chi', \quad z = \frac{1}{2}((\chi')^2 - (s')^2) - \beta.$$

so that we construct $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S^{(\beta)}$

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S^{(\beta)} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, z \neq -\beta, \rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2 < \frac{\beta}{c} \right\} \cup \left\{ (s', (\chi)') \in \overline{\mathcal{B}} \mid 0 \leq s', (\chi)' < \left(\frac{\beta}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$

- Finally, define $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{(\beta)}$ to be

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{(\beta)} := \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A^{(\beta)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H^{(\beta)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N^{(\beta)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S^{(\beta)}.$$




Figure 1: Boundaries of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$ (magenta), of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$ (blue), $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$ (green).

β will be fixed in Remark 7. Finally, we define the following regions of $\overline{\partial\mathcal{B}}$:

1. The axis \mathcal{A} is defined to be the region

$$\mathcal{A} := \{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}; \rho = 0 \text{ and } z \in]-\infty, -\beta[\cup]\beta, +\infty[\}. \quad (3.15)$$

2. The horizon \mathcal{H} is defined to be the region

$$\mathcal{H} := \{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}; \rho = 0 \text{ and } z \in]-\beta, \beta[\} \quad (3.16)$$

and we refer to Figure 1 for a diagram of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.

Now, we define a partition of unity subordinate to $(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S)$. To this end, we first give a definition of smooth functions on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$

Definition 2. Let $f : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be smooth on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ if and only if

1. $f|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N}$ is smooth on $\text{Int}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N)$ and extends smoothly to $\partial(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N)$,
2. $f|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S}$ is smooth on $\text{Int}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S)$ and extends smoothly to $\partial(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S)$,
3. $f|_{(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H)}$ is smooth on $\text{Int}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H)$ and extends smoothly to $\partial(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H)$.

Moreover, we give the following definition

Definition 3. We define ξ_N and ξ_S in the following way: $\xi_N, \xi_S : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto [0, 1]$ such that they are smooth and they verify

- $\partial_\rho \xi_N = \partial_\rho \xi_S = 0$ for ρ small,
- $\text{supp}(\xi_N) \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, $\text{supp}(\xi_S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$, and $\text{supp}(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$.

Note that $\xi_N = 1$ and $\xi_S = 1$ in a neighbourhood of p_N and p_S so that their support does not lie in the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. Now, from the above definition, we claim that

Lemma 1. $(\xi_N, \xi_S, 1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)$ is a smooth partition of unity subordinate to $(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_N}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_S})$.

In the remaining of this work, we will use the following notations

- the gradient with respect to (ρ, z) coordinates,

$$\partial f = (\partial_\rho f, \partial_z f),$$

- the gradient with respect to (s, χ) coordinates,

$$\underline{\partial} f = (\partial_s f, \partial_\chi f),$$

- a renormalised gradient norm

$$|\hat{\partial} f| := |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\xi_N f)_{\mathbb{R}^4}| + |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\xi_S f)_{\mathbb{R}^4}| + |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^3}((1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)f)_{\mathbb{R}^3}|. \quad (3.17)$$

Finally, direct computations imply

$$\forall (s, \chi) \neq (0, 0) \quad ; \quad \partial_\rho = \frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_s + \frac{s}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_\chi, \quad \partial_z = \frac{-s}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_s + \frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_\chi$$

and

$$\partial_s = \chi \partial_\rho - s \partial_z, \quad \partial_\chi = s \partial_\rho + \chi \partial_z.$$

Moreover, we have

$$|\partial f|^2 = \frac{1}{s^2 + \chi^2} |\underline{\partial} f|^2.$$

3.2.3 Boundary conditions and extendibility

We are interested in finding asymptotically flat spacetimes with an event horizon. Therefore, in addition to the equations, the metric coefficients have to satisfy appropriate asymptotic behaviours as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and at infinity so that the spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) extends to a larger Lorentzian manifold with boundary $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g})$ which is asymptotically flat and has a boundary consisting of a non-degenerate bifurcate Killing event horizon. Now, we state definitions of extendibility of (\mathcal{M}, g) to a larger manifold as well as asymptotic flatness in the current context. We refer to [16] and [19] for more details.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4 (Extendibility around the axis). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$ be an open set around the axis \mathcal{A} . We say that a stationary axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is extendable (resp. $C^{k, \alpha}$ -extendable) along $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cap \mathcal{A}$ if

1. there exists a smooth function $V_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $V_{\mathcal{A}}(0, z) > 0$ and

$$V(\rho, z)|_{\mathcal{A}} = V_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z),$$

2. there exists a smooth function $W_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$W(\rho, z)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \rho^2 W_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z),$$

3. there exists a smooth function $X_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_{\mathcal{A}}(0, z) > 0$ and

$$X(\rho, z)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \rho^2 X_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z),$$

4. there exists a smooth function $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e^{2\lambda(\rho, z)} \Big|_{\mathcal{A}} = X_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z) + \rho^2 \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z),$$

Definition 5 (Extendibility around the horizon). Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$ be an open set around the horizon \mathcal{H} .

We say that a stationary axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is extendable (resp. $C^{k, \alpha}$ -extendable) along $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cap \mathcal{H}$ if there exists $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa > 0$ such that

1. there exists a smooth function $V_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $V_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z) > 0$ and

$$(V(\rho, z) - 2\Omega W(\rho, z) - \Omega^2 X(\rho, z)) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}} = \rho^2 V_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z),$$

2. there exists a smooth function $W_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(W(\rho, z) + \Omega X(\rho, z)) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}} = \rho^2 W_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z),$$

3. there exists a smooth function $X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z) > 0$ and

$$X(\rho, z) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}} = X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z),$$

4. there exists a smooth function $\Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, z) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e^{2\lambda(\rho, z)} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}} = \kappa^{-2} V_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z) + \rho^2 \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z).$$

Definition 6 (Extendibility around p_N). We say that a stationary axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is extendable (resp. $C^{k, \alpha}$ -extendable) along $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ if there exists $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa > 0$ such that

1. there exists a smooth function $V_N(s, \chi) : \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $V_N(0, z) > 0$ and

$$(V(s, \chi) - 2\Omega W(s, \chi) - \Omega^2 X(s, \chi)) \Big|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}} = \chi^2 V_N(s^2, \chi^2),$$

2. there exists a smooth function $W_N(s, \chi) : \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(W(s, \chi) + \Omega X(s, \chi)) \Big|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}} = s^2 \chi^2 W_N(s^2, \chi^2),$$

3. there exists a smooth function $X_N(s, \chi) : \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_N(0, \chi) > 0$, $X_N(s, 0) > 0$, and

$$X(s, \chi)|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}} = s^2 X_N(s^2, \chi^2),$$

4. there exists a smooth function $\Sigma_N^{(1)}(s, \chi), \Sigma_N^{(2)}(s, \chi) : \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\lambda(s, \chi)}|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}} &= X_N(s^2, \chi^2) + s^2 \Sigma_N^{(1)}(s^2, \chi^2), \\ e^{2\lambda(s, \chi)}|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}} &= \kappa^{-2} V_N(s^2, \chi^2) + \chi^2 \Sigma_N^{(2)}(s^2, \chi^2), \end{aligned}$$

Definition 7 (Extendibility around p_S). We say that a stationary axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is extendable (resp. $C^{k, \alpha}$ -extendable) along $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ if there exists $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa > 0$ such that

1. there exists a smooth function $V_S(s', \chi') : \overline{\mathcal{B}_S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $V_S(0, z) > 0$ and

$$(V(s', \chi') - 2\Omega W(s', \chi') - \Omega^2 X(s', \chi'))|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}} = \chi'^2 V_S((s')^2, (\chi')^2),$$

2. there exists a smooth function $W_S(s, \chi) : \overline{\mathcal{B}_S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(W(s', \chi') + \Omega X(s', \chi'))|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}} = (s')^2 (\chi')^2 W_S(s^2, \chi^2),$$

3. there exists a smooth function $X_S(s', \chi') : \overline{\mathcal{B}_S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_S(0, \chi') > 0$, $X_S(s', 0) > 0$, and

$$X(s', \chi')|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}} = (s')^2 X_S((s')^2, (\chi')^2),$$

4. there exists a smooth function $\Sigma_S(s', \chi') : \overline{\mathcal{B}_S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\lambda(s', \chi')}|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}} &= X_S((s')^2, (\chi')^2) + s'^2 \Sigma_S^{(1)}((s')^2, (\chi')^2) \\ e^{2\lambda(s', \chi')}|_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}} &= \kappa^{-2} V_S((s')^2, (\chi')^2) + (\chi')^2 \Sigma_S^{(2)}((s')^2, (\chi')^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. *Let (\mathcal{M}, g) be a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime which is extendable along $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cap \mathcal{A}$, $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cap \mathcal{A}$, $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$. Then (\mathcal{M}, g) is extendable to a Lorentzian manifold with corners $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g})$ which is stationary and axisymmetric, and whose boundary corresponds to a bifurcate Killing event horizon.*

We refer to [16] for a proof.

Definition 8 (Extendability). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is “extendable to a regular black hole spacetime” if (\mathcal{M}, g) satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.

Now, we recall from [16] a definition for asymptotic flatness, convenient to our work we refer to the Appendix A therein for more details.

Definition 9 (Asymptotic flatness). We say that a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is asymptotically flat if in the (t, x, y, z) coordinates defined in Appendix A the metric \tilde{g} verifies

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g} &= \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right) (-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) (dt dx + dt dy + dx dy), \\ \partial \tilde{g} &= \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\right) (-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right) (dt dx + dt dy + dx dy), \\ \partial^2 \tilde{g} &= \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)\right) (-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right) (dt dx + dt dy + dx dy),\end{aligned}$$

where $r = \sqrt{1 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$.

3.2.4 Vlasov field on stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes

The distribution function f is conserved along the geodesic flow. Hence, any function of the integrals of motion will satisfy the Vlasov equation. In this context, we look for integrals of motion for the geodesic equation (3.4) on a stationary and axially symmetric background. By symmetry assumptions, the vector fields T and $\bar{\Phi}$ are Killing. Hence, the quantities

$$\varepsilon := -v_t = -g_{t\alpha} v^\alpha, \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\ell_z := v_\phi = g_{\phi\alpha} v^\alpha \quad (3.19)$$

are conserved. Note that ε and ℓ_z are interpreted as the energy relative to infinity per unit mass and the azimuthal angular momentum per unit mass respectively.

We assume that the distribution function is supported on the set of trapped geodesics in the exterior region in order to obtain a shell of matter with finite mass and located away from the horizon, see Section 5.2. Therefore, if f is a function of (ε, ℓ_z) , there exists Φ such that $f(x, v) = \Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. For our construction, we will require that Φ is supported on a subset of the set of parameters (ε, ℓ_z) leading to trapped geodesics. However, as in the spherically symmetric case, for a given (ε, ℓ_z) , the support of $\Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the (ρ, z) variables has two connected components: one corresponds to trapped and the other one corresponds to orbits that reach the horizon in a finite proper time. Hence, the above ansatz will be modified in order to obtain a shell of matter with compact support, see (5.2) for the exact ansatz for f .

3.2.5 Effective potential energy in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes

We are interested in future directed timelike geodesics moving in stationary, axisymmetric and asymptotically flat spacetimes described by a metric of the form (3.11).

Since we allowed the presence of an ergoregion (recall that V is not assumed to be positive on the whole exterior region), T is a priori not timelike everywhere. In order to fix the time orientation, we introduce the following vector field Ω defined by

$$\Omega := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad \omega := -\frac{W}{X}.$$

First, note that Ω is timelike on \mathcal{B} . In fact,

$$g(\Omega, \Omega) = -\frac{XV + W^2}{X} = -\frac{\sigma^2}{X} < 0.$$

Hence, we choose Ω for the time orientation. Now, let $(v^t, v^\phi, v^\rho, v^z) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ be the conjugate coordinates to the spacetime coordinates (t, ϕ, ρ, z) and let $v = v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ such that $g(v, v) = -1$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Omega, v) &= g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right) \\ &= v^t g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) + v^\phi g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) + v^t \omega g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) + v^\phi \omega g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) \\ &= -v^t V + v^\phi W + v^t \omega W + v^\phi \omega X \\ &= -v^t \frac{XV + W^2}{X} = -v^t \frac{\sigma^2}{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the requirement

$$g(\Omega, v) < 0$$

is equivalent to $v^t > 0$.

We define the mass shell by

$$\Gamma = \{(x, v) \in T\mathcal{M} : g_x(v, v) = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad g(\Omega, v) < 0\}.$$

We henceforth consider only future directed timelike particles.

In the presence of two Killing vector fields for the spacetime, the problem of solving the geodesic equation, which consists of integrating a system of 8 ordinary differential equations, is reduced to a problem with two-degree of freedom defined on a four dimensional submanifold of the tangent bundle. The remaining of this section is devoted to the reduction of the geodesics equation and to the introduction of a two dimensional effective potential that will play a key role for the classification of the orbits.

Let $(t, \phi, \rho, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $(v^t, v^\phi, v^\rho, v^z)$ be the conjugate coordinates to the spacetime coordinates. We recall that the quantity (free particle Lagrangian)

$$\mathcal{L}(x, v) := \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} v^\alpha v^\beta.$$

is conserved along the geodesic flow and normalised to $-\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, we obtain

$$e^{2\lambda} ((v^\rho)^2 + (v^z)^2) = -1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{2W}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon \ell_z - \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \ell_z^2. \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$v^t > 0.$$

We set

$$J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := -1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{2W}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon \ell_z - \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \ell_z^2. \quad (3.21)$$

In this work, we will have to distinguish particles which co-rotate with the black holes and particles which counter-rotate with the black hole. To this end, we state the following definitions

Definition 10. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a timelike geodesic with angular momentum ℓ_z . γ is said to be direct (or co-rotating) if $-W\ell_z > 0$ and retrograde (or counter-rotating) if $-W\ell_z < 0$.

Now, let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic in the spacetime, defined on some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. In the adapted coordinates for the tangent bundle, we have

$$\gamma(\tau) = (t(\tau), \phi(\tau), \rho(\tau), z(\tau)) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma'(\tau) = (v^t(\tau), v^\phi(\tau), v^\rho(\tau), v^z(\tau)).$$

Besides,

$$e^{2\lambda} ((v^\rho)^2 + (v^z)^2) = J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) \quad (3.22)$$

so that

$$J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \geq 0. \quad (3.23)$$

along γ . Moreover, γ satisfies the geodesics equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = v^\mu \\ \frac{dv^\mu}{d\tau} = -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}(x)v^\alpha v^\beta. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

We have

$$v^t = \frac{X}{\sigma^2}\varepsilon + \frac{W}{\sigma^2}\ell_z, \quad v^\phi = -\frac{W}{\sigma^2}\varepsilon + \frac{V}{\sigma^2}\ell_z. \quad (3.25)$$

Recall that $v^t > 0$ for future directed orbits. Now, we claim that the problem of solving the geodesic equations is equivalent to solving the following reduced system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} = v^\rho, \\ \frac{dz}{d\tau} = v^z, \\ \frac{dv^\rho}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\partial_\rho J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) - \Gamma^\rho_{ij}v^i v^j, \quad i, j \in \{\rho, z\} \\ \frac{dv^z}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\partial_z J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) - \Gamma^z_{ij}v^i v^j. \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

In fact, $\forall \gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (3.24), we have for $k \in \{i, j\}$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dv^k}{d\tau} &= \Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(\tau))v^\alpha v^\beta = \Gamma^k_{ab}(\gamma(\tau))v^a v^b + \Gamma^k_{ij}(x)v^i v^j \quad \text{for } a, b \in \{t, \phi\}, i, j \in \{\rho, z\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{kk}\frac{\partial(g_{ab}(\gamma(\tau))v^a v^b)}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma^k_{ij}(\gamma(\tau))v^i v^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\frac{\partial(g_{ab}(\gamma(\tau))v^a v^b)}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma^k_{ij}(\gamma(\tau))v^i v^j. \end{aligned}$$

We differentiate (3.21) with respect to (ρ, z) at the point $(\rho(\tau), z(\tau))$ to obtain

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = -\nabla_{(\rho, z)}(g_{ab}(\gamma(\tau))v^a v^b).$$

Hence, $\tau \mapsto (\rho, z)(\tau)$ solves (3.26). Now, assume that $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a curve such that $\tau \mapsto (\rho, z)(\tau)$ solves (3.26) and such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\tau} &= -\frac{dv_t}{d\tau} = 0 \\ \frac{d\ell_z}{d\tau} &= -\frac{dv_\phi}{d\tau} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that γ solves (3.24). It suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dv^t}{d\tau} &= -\Gamma^t_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(\tau))v^\alpha v^\beta, \\ \frac{dv^\phi}{d\tau} &= -\Gamma^\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(\tau))v^\alpha v^\beta,\end{aligned}$$

We compute,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dv^t}{d\tau} &= \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon + \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \ell_z \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \nabla_{(\rho,z)} \left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \right) \cdot (v^\rho(\tau) v^z(\tau)) + \ell_z \nabla_{(\rho,z)} \left(\frac{W}{\sigma^2} \right) \cdot (v^\rho(\tau) v^z(\tau)) \\ &= v^\rho \left(\varepsilon \frac{W^2 \partial_\rho X - (X \partial_\rho V + 2W \partial_\rho W) X}{\sigma^4} + \ell_z \frac{(XV - W^2) \partial_\rho W - (V \partial_\rho X + X \partial_\rho V) W}{\sigma^4} \right) \\ &\quad + v^z \left(\varepsilon \frac{W^2 \partial_z X - (X \partial_z V + 2W \partial_z W) X}{\sigma^4} + \ell_z \frac{(XV - W^2) \partial_z W - (V \partial_z X + X \partial_z V) W}{\sigma^4} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Now, note that

$$\Gamma^a_{bi}(\gamma(\tau)) = \frac{1}{2} g^{ac} \frac{\partial g_{bc}}{\partial x^i} \quad a, b, c \in \{t, \phi\}, i \in \{\rho, z\}$$

and

$$\Gamma^a_{bc}(\gamma(\tau)) = \Gamma^a_{ij}(\gamma(\tau)) = 0 \quad a, b, c \in \{t, \phi\}, i, j \in \{\rho, z\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^t_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(\tau))v^\alpha v^\beta &= \Gamma^a_{bi}(\gamma(\tau))v^b v^i = v^\rho \left(\Gamma^t_{t\rho} v^t + \Gamma^t_{\phi\rho} v^\phi \right) + v^z \left(\Gamma^t_{tz} v^t + \Gamma^t_{\phi z} v^\phi \right) \\ &= v^\rho \left(\left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \partial_\rho V + \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \partial_\rho W \right) v^t + \left(-\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \partial_\rho W + \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \partial_\rho X \right) v^\phi \right) \\ &\quad + v^z \left(\left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \partial_z V + \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \partial_z W \right) v^t + \left(-\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \partial_z W + \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \partial_z X \right) v^\phi \right)\end{aligned}$$

We simplify

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon \frac{W^2 \partial_\rho X - (X \partial_\rho V + 2W \partial_\rho W) X}{\sigma^4} + \ell_z \frac{(XV - W^2) \partial_\rho W - (V \partial_\rho X + X \partial_\rho V) W}{\sigma^4} = \\ &\left(-\frac{X \partial_\rho V + W \partial_\rho W}{\sigma^2} \right) \left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon + \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \ell_z \right) + \left(\frac{X \partial_\rho W - W \partial_\rho X}{\sigma^2} \right) \left(\frac{-W}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon + \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \ell_z \right) = \\ &\left(-\frac{X \partial_\rho V + W \partial_\rho W}{\sigma^2} \right) v^t - \left(-\frac{X \partial_\rho W + W \partial_\rho X}{\sigma^2} \right) v^\phi\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{dv^t}{d\tau} = -\Gamma^t_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(\tau))v^\alpha v^\beta.$$

Similarly, we show that

$$\frac{dv^\phi}{d\tau} = -\Gamma^\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma(\tau))v^\alpha v^\beta.$$

Consider again the equation

$$e^{2\lambda} ((v^\rho)^2 + (v^z)^2) = -1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{2W}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon \ell_z - \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \ell_z^2.$$

Because of the term $\frac{2W}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon \ell_z$, the dependence of J on ε cannot be separated. In this case, we cannot write the total energy as a sum of a kinetic term and a potential term depending only on the angular momentum as in the spherically symmetric case. However, we are only interested in the turning points, because they can be used to determine the nature of orbits. To this end, we introduce the following definition

Definition 11. Let γ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) . A point $(\rho_0, z_0)(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}$ is called a turning point associated to γ if it is solution to the equation $J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0$.

Now, since J is quadratic in ε , it is easy to write the latter quantity in terms of the remaining quantities:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{-W}{X} \ell_z \pm \frac{\sigma}{X} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X}.$$

Since we are interested in future directed timelike orbits, $v^t > 0$. Therefore,

$$\varepsilon + \frac{W}{X} \ell_z > 0$$

Hence,

$$\varepsilon = \frac{-W}{X} \ell_z + \frac{\sigma}{X} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X}.$$

Now, we define the effective potential energy to be the function $E_{\ell_z} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z) := \frac{-W(\rho, z)}{X(\rho, z)} \ell_z + \frac{\sigma}{X(\rho, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X(\rho, z)}. \quad (3.27)$$

Hence, for a fixed (ε, ℓ_z) , a turning point in \mathcal{B} , say $(\rho_0, z_0)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is characterised by:

$$\varepsilon = E_{\ell_z}((\rho_0, z_0)(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$$

It is convenient to make the dependence of E_{ℓ_z} on the metric components explicit. Therefore, we adapt the definition of E_{ℓ_z} and we define $E_{\ell_z} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho, z) := \frac{-W(\rho, z)}{X(\rho, z)} \ell_z + \frac{\sigma}{X(\rho, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X(\rho, z)} \quad (3.28)$$

where \mathcal{X} is a product functional space where the metric components will live that will be defined later in this work (see Section 5.6).

In order to determine the nature of timelike orbits, we will need to study the stationary solutions of the reduced system (3.26). Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $(\rho, z, v^\rho, v^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is a timelike future-directed stationary solution of (3.26) if

- $I = \mathbb{R}$,
- there exists $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $(\rho, z, v^\rho, v^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \tau) = (\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,

- $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ verifies (3.22).

Therefore, it is easy to obtain the following lemma

Lemma 2. *Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$ and let $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ be a timelike future-directed stationary solution of (3.26). Then,*

$$J(\rho_s, z_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0 \quad , \quad \nabla_{(\rho, z)} J(\rho_s, z_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$v_s^\rho = v_s^z = 0$$

Proof. If $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a stationary solution, then by the first two equations of (3.26), it verifies $v_s^\rho = v_s^z = 0$. Moreover, by the last equations we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\lambda(\rho_s, z_s)} \nabla_{(\rho, z)} J(\rho_s, z_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = -\frac{dv_s^\rho}{d\tau} - \Gamma_{ij}^\rho v^i v^j = 0.$$

Moreover, $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)$ verifies

$$e^{\lambda(\rho_s, z_s)} ((v_s^\rho)^2 + (v_s^z)^2) = J(\rho_s, z_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

Hence,

$$J(\rho_s, z_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0.$$

□

Now, we make the link between the stationary points of (3.26) and the critical points of $E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \cdot)$. We state the following lemma

Lemma 3. *Let $\ell_z \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and let $(\rho_c, z_c)(\ell_z)$ ⁹ be a critical point of $E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \cdot)$. Then, $(\rho_c, z_c, 0, 0)$ is a timelike future-directed stationary solution of the system (3.26) with parameters $(\varepsilon_c := E_{\ell_z}(\rho_c, z_c), \ell_z)$. Reciprocally, let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^*$ and $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^z)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ be a timelike future-directed stationary solution of (3.26). Then, $(\rho_s, z_s)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is critical point of $E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \cdot)$ and $\varepsilon = E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \cdot)$.*

Proof. • If $(\rho_c, z_c)(\ell_z)$ is a critical point of $E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \cdot)$. Then,

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \varepsilon_c, z_c) = 0.$$

Set $\varepsilon_c := E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho_c, z_c)$. Then, $J(\rho_c, z_c, \varepsilon_c, \ell_z) = 0$.

Now, recall that along timelike future directed solutions (in particular stationary solutions), we have

$$J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \varepsilon = E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho, z).$$

Moreover

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z) = -\frac{\nabla_{(\rho, z)} J(\rho, z, E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z), \ell_z)}{\frac{\partial J(\rho, z, E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z), \ell_z)}{\partial \varepsilon}}. \quad (3.29)$$

Evaluating the latter at (ρ_c, z_c) , we obtain

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} J(\rho_c, z_c, \varepsilon_c, \ell_z) = 0.$$

Therefore, $(\rho_c, z_c, 0, 0)$ is a stationary solution of (3.26).

⁹ (ρ_c, z_c) depends also on the metric coefficients. We omitted the dependence in order to lighten the expressions.

- Now, if $(\rho_s, z_s, v_s^\rho, v_s^\theta)$ is a timelike future-directed stationary solution of (3.26), then, by Lemma 2, we have

$$J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \varepsilon = E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho, z).$$

The first equation is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon = E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho_s, z_s).$$

Moreover, by (3.29), we obtain

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho_s, z_s) = 0.$$

Therefore, $(\rho_s, z_s)(\ell_z)$ is a critical point of $E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \cdot)$ □

In Section 4.1.1, the above lemmas will be applied to compute stationary solutions for the reduced system in the case of Kerr.

In spherical symmetry, the intersection of ε and the effective potential E_{ℓ_z} , depending only on the radial direction, gave us isolated turning points, which allowed us to determine the nature of the orbits. In the axisymmetric case, the effective potential defined by (4.142) is two-dimensional. Therefore, the intersection of an energy level ε and ℓ_z will lead to a curve in \mathcal{B} . In this context, we will introduce the *zero velocity curve* $Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ (ZVC) associated to a timelike future directed geodesic which generalises the set of isolating turning points. We state the following definition

Definition 12. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) . We define the zero velocity curve (ZVC) associated to γ denoted by $Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to be the curve in \mathcal{B} defined by

$$Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0\}.$$

We define the allowed region for γ to be the subset $A(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset \mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$A(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \geq 0\}.$$

Note that the above definitions are equivalent¹⁰ to

$$Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z) = \varepsilon\}.$$

$$A(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Finally, we note that the ZVC associated to a timelike future directed geodesic is the set of its turning points.

Remark 5. By an abuse of notations, we will identify $Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, a subset in \mathcal{B} with the corresponding subset $Z(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in (r, θ) coordinates.

3.3 The Kerr spacetime

The Kerr family of spacetimes $(\mathcal{M}^K, g_{a, M}^K)$ is a two-parameter family of stationary, axisymmetric, asymptotically flat Lorentzian manifolds which are solutions to the Einstein-vacuum equations. The Kerr solution is called *sub-extremal* if the parameters a and M verify $0 \leq |a| < M$; M denotes the mass and a denotes the specific angular momentum. The domain of outer communication of a

¹⁰The equivalence is valid only for timelike future-directed geodesics.

sub-extremal Kerr spacetime can be represented in Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates by \mathcal{O}^K defined by

$$\mathcal{O}^K := \mathbb{R}_t \times]r_+(a, M), \infty[_r \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta, \phi)}^2 \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$r_{\pm}(a, M) := M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2},$$

and a metric which takes the form

$$g_{Kerr} = - \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma^2} \right) dt^2 - \frac{4aMr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} dt d\phi + \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma^2 d\theta^2,$$

where

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \quad \Sigma^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi = (r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta.$$

The metric is degenerate in the limit $r \rightarrow r_{\pm}(a, M)$ at $(r, \theta) = \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. However, $r = r_{\pm}(a, M)$ is a coordinate singularity. Indeed, we introduce the following change of coordinates (t^*, r, θ, ϕ^*) in the region \mathcal{O}^K defined by:

$$t^* = t + \int^r (r^2 + a^2) \Delta^{-1},$$

$$\phi^* = \phi + \int^r a \Delta^{-1}.$$

Then, the metric g_{Kerr} takes the following form in the above system of coordinates

$$g_{Kerr}^* = \Sigma^2 d\theta^2 - 2a \sin^2 \theta dr d\phi^* + 2dr dt^* + \Sigma^{-2} \left((r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \sin^2 \theta d(\phi^*)^2 - 4a \Sigma^{-2} m r \sin^2 \theta d\phi^* dt^* - (1 - 2mr \Sigma^{-2}) d(t^*)^2.$$

The above expression g_{Kerr}^* is formally regular at $r = r_{\pm}(a, M)$ and it is defined on the region

$$\mathcal{M}^{Kerr} := \mathbb{R}_{(t^*, r)}^2 \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta, \phi^*)}^2 \setminus \left\{ (t^*, r, \theta, \phi^*) : (r, \theta) = \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

Moreover, we have an isometric embedding

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}^K, g_{Kerr}) &\rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{Kerr}, g_{Kerr}^*) \\ (t, r, \theta, \phi) &\mapsto (t^*, r, \theta, \phi^*). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define the following subset of \mathcal{M}^{Kerr}

$$\mathcal{B}_{out}^{Kerr} := \mathbb{R}_{t^*} \times [0, r_+(a, M)]_r \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta, \phi^*)}^2 \setminus \left\{ (t^*, r, \theta, \phi^*) : (r, \theta) = \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

The (outer) event horizon \mathcal{H}^{Sch} is the hypersurface

$$\mathcal{H}^K := \partial \mathcal{B}_{out}^{Kerr} = \{ (t^*, r, \theta, \phi^*) \in \mathcal{M}^{Kerr}, r = r_+(a, M) \}.$$

Remark 6. One can also define the (inner) event horizon to be the boundary of the region

$$\mathcal{B}_{in}^{Kerr} := \mathbb{R}_{t^*} \times [0, r_-(a, M)]_r \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta, \phi^*)}^2 \setminus \left\{ (t^*, r, \theta, \phi^*) : (r, \theta) = \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

However, for the purposes of this work, we shall be interested only in the region \mathcal{O}^K and its boundary \mathcal{H}^K .

It is easy to see that the vector fields

$$T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi := \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

are Killing vector fields.

An important feature of the Kerr spacetime is that the norm of the Killing vector field T is not timelike everywhere in the exterior region: when $a \neq 0$, there exists a non-empty set of points in \mathcal{O}^K , called the *ergosphere* such that the norm of T vanishes:

$$g(T, T) = -g_{tt} = 1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma^2(r, \theta)} = 0.$$

It is defined by

$$S^K := \left\{ (r, \theta) \in (r_+(a, M), \infty) \times (0, \pi) \mid r = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \right\}. \quad (3.31)$$

Therefore, T becomes spacelike in the so-called *ergoregion*, the region in \mathcal{O}^K bounded by S^K and \mathcal{H}^K

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ (r, \theta) \in (r_+(a, M), \infty) \times (0, \pi) \mid r < M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \right\}.$$

Test particles which are located in the ergoregion may extract energy from the black hole. This phenomenon is often called the Penrose process [52], [15]. When $a = 0$, the ergosphere coincides with the event horizon and there is no ergoregion. Thus, the extraction of energy does not occur in the Schwarzschild spacetime.

3.4 Properties of the Kerr spacetime

In this section, we recall the main properties of sub-extremal Kerr exteriors and we express the metric, the exterior region, the horizon and the axis of symmetry in terms of Weyl coordinates.

We recall from Section 3.3 that the exterior region of a sub-extremal Kerr spacetime with parameters (a, M) is represented in BL coordinates by \mathcal{O} :

$$\mathcal{O} := \{\mathbb{R} \times (r_+(a, M), \infty) \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)\}, \quad (3.32)$$

where $r_+(a, M)$ is defined by

$$r_+(a, M) := M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}, \quad (3.33)$$

and a metric which takes the form

$$g_{Kerr} = - \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma^2} \right) dt^2 - \frac{4aMr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} dt d\phi + \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma^2 d\theta^2, \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \quad \Sigma^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi = (r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta.$$

Its inverse is given by

$$g_{Kerr}^{-1} = - \frac{\Pi}{\Delta \Sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{4aMr}{\Delta \Sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Delta \Sigma^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

It is clear to see that

$$T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

that generates stationarity and

$$\Phi := \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

that generates axial symmetry are Killing.

Remark 7. From now on, we fix β as introduced in Section 3.2.2, to be $\beta = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$. We henceforth omit the dependance of the different regions on β .

The event horizon is the hypersurface

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) : r = r_+(a, M)\}.$$

The axis of symmetry is the set of points

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) : \theta \in \{0, \pi\}\}.$$

The cylindrical coordinates introduced in Section 3.2 are adapted for the stationary and axisymmetric Einstein-Vlasov system. Therefore, we put the Kerr metric (3.34) and the ones we will construct in the form considered in (3.11). To this end, we introduce the following change of coordinates: the set of points in \mathcal{O} with a fixed $(t, \phi) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \pi)$ defines a 2-surface on which we introduce the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(r, \theta) &:= \sqrt{\Delta} \sin \theta, \\ z(r, \theta) &:= (r - M) \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Such (ρ, z) provides a coordinate system on any surface with constant (t, ϕ) with range \mathcal{B} . Moreover, we have

Lemma 4. *The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} &]r_+(a, M), \infty[\times]0, \pi[\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \\ &(\ r \ , \ \theta \) \mapsto (\rho(r, \theta), z(r, \theta)) \end{aligned}$$

is a C^∞ -diffeomorphism. Its inverse is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B} \rightarrow]r_+(a, M), \infty[\times]0, \pi[\\ &(\rho, z) \mapsto (r(\rho, z), \theta(\rho, z)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r(\rho, z) = M + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\beta^2 + \rho^2 + z^2) + \sqrt{(\rho^4 + 2\rho^2(z^2 + \beta^2) + (z^2 - \beta^2)^2)}}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\sin \theta(\rho, z) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta(\rho, z)}}, \tag{3.37}$$

with

$$\beta = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}. \tag{3.38}$$

Proof. It is clear that the mapping is well-defined and smooth. We show that it is bijective from $]r_+(a, M), \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ to \mathcal{B} : Let $(\rho_0, z_0) \in \mathcal{B}$. We claim that there exists a unique (r_0, θ_0) such that:

$$(\rho(r_0, \theta_0), z(r_0, \theta_0)) = (\rho_0, z_0). \tag{3.39}$$

By the latter, we have

$$\frac{\rho_0^2}{\Delta(r_0)} + \frac{z_0^2}{(r_0 - M)^2} = 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\rho_0^2(r_0 - M)^2 + (r_0 - M)^2 + \Delta(r_0)z_0^2 - \beta^2 z_0^2 = (r_0 - M)^4 - \beta^2(r_0 - M)^2.$$

By setting $x := (r_0 - M)^2$, x satisfies the following quadratic equation

$$x^2 - (\rho_0^2 + z_0^2 + \beta^2)x + \beta^2 z_0^2 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$x = \frac{(\rho_0^2 + z_0^2 + \beta^2) \pm \sqrt{(\rho_0^4 + 2\rho_0^2(z_0^2 + \beta^2) + (z_0^2 - \beta^2)^2)}}{2}.$$

Since x is positive, we obtain

$$r_0(\rho_0, z_0) = M + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\beta^2 + \rho_0^2 + z_0^2) + \sqrt{(\rho_0^4 + 2\rho_0^2(z_0^2 + \beta^2) + (z_0^2 - \beta^2)^2)}}.$$

Moreover, θ_0 satisfies:

$$\sin \theta_0(\rho_0, z_0) = \frac{\rho_0}{\sqrt{\Delta(r_0)}}.$$

Hence, (r_0, θ_0) exists and it is unique. Finally, it is straightforward that the inverse is also smooth on \mathcal{B} . This ends the proof. \square

We compute the Jacobian of the above change of coordinates:

$$J_{iso} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r-M}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \sin \theta & \sqrt{\Delta} \cos \theta \\ \cos \theta & -(r-M) \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we compute dr and $d\theta$ in terms of $d\rho$ and dz :

$$\begin{pmatrix} dr \\ d\theta \end{pmatrix} = J_{iso}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d\rho \\ dz \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here J_{iso}^{-1} is given by

$$J_{iso}^{-1} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}^{-1}}{\left(\cos^2 \theta + \frac{(r-M)^2}{\Delta} \sin^2 \theta\right)} \begin{pmatrix} (r-M) \sin \theta & \sqrt{\Delta} \cos \theta \\ \cos \theta & -\frac{r-M}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we compute dr^2 and $d\theta^2$:

$$dr^2 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}^{-1}}{\left(\cos^2 \theta + \frac{(r-M)^2}{\Delta} \sin^2 \theta\right)} \right)^2 \left((r-M)^2 \sin^2 \theta d\rho^2 + 2\sqrt{\Delta}(r-M) \sin \theta \cos \theta d\rho dz + \Delta dz^2 \right),$$

and

$$dr^2 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}^{-1}}{\left(\cos^2 \theta + \frac{(r-M)^2}{\Delta} \sin^2 \theta \right)} \right)^2 \left(d\rho^2 - 2 \frac{(r-M) \sin \theta \cos \theta}{\sqrt{\Delta}} d\rho dz + \frac{(r-M)^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Delta} dz^2 \right).$$

Hence

$$\Sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} dr^2 + d\theta^2 \right) = \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} \left(\cos^2 \theta + \frac{(r-M)^2}{\Delta} \sin^2 \theta \right)^{-1} (d\rho^2 + dz^2).$$

Now we set

$$e^{2\lambda_K} := \Sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} dr^2 + d\theta^2 \right) = \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} \left(\cos^2 \theta + \frac{(r-M)^2}{\Delta} \sin^2 \theta \right)^{-1},$$

Therefore, the Kerr metric, written in the (t, ϕ, ρ, z) coordinates takes the form:

$$g_{a,M} = -V_K dt^2 + 2W_K dt d\phi + X_K d\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda_K} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)$$

where

$$V_K = \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma^2} \right), \quad W_K = -\frac{2Mar \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2}, \quad X_K = \sin^2 \theta \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2}$$

and

$$e^{2\lambda_K} = \Sigma^2 \Delta^{-1} \left(\frac{(r-M)^2}{\Delta} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta \right)^{-1}.$$

The event horizon as well as the axis of symmetry are henceforth given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(\rho, z) : \rho = 0, |z| < \beta\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(\rho, z) : \rho = 0, |z| > \beta\}.$$

The intersection of the horizon with the axis of symmetry is given by the points $p_N := (0, \beta)$ and $p_S := (0, -\beta)$.

Remark 8. Note that the induced metric on each $(t, \phi) = \text{cte}$ surfaces is conformally equivalent to the Euclidean metric with conformal factor given by $e^{2\lambda_K}$.

Remark 9. The coordinates (ρ, z) fail to be regular at the points p_N and p_S .

Remark 10. The subscript K will always refer to the Kerr metric. For instance, any ZVC associated to a Kerr geodesic is denoted by Z^K instead of just Z .

Finally, we state the following result

Proposition 4 (Extendibility of Kerr exterior - [16]). *The Kerr exterior is extendable to a regular black hole spacetime in the sense of Definition 8.*

4 Timelike future directed geodesics in Kerr spacetime

4.1 Study of the geodesic motion in BL coordinates

In this work, we are interested in future directed particles moving in the exterior region of a Kerr spacetime. The aim of this section is to classify their orbits based on their constants of motion.

An important property of the Kerr spacetime, as shown in the work of Carter [12], is the fact that

the geodesic equations form an integrable Hamiltonian system so that one has a complete set of explicit integrals of motion. Therefore, one can determine the nature of timelike orbits based on the possible values of these integrals of motion.

In this section, we first present the geodesic equations and the four constants of motion. Then, we determine for which constants of motion the orbit is circular and confined in the equatorial plane. Similarly, we determine for which values the orbits have a constant radius r . These special classes of orbits are the key for the general classification which is obtained towards the end of this section. The classification is based on the following definition

Definition 13. Let $\gamma : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic¹¹ parametrised by its proper time such that $\gamma(\tau) = (t(\tau), \phi(\tau), r(\tau), \theta(\tau))$. γ is said to be

1. *spherical* if $I = \mathbb{R}$ and there exists $r_s \in]r_+(a, M), \infty[$ such that $\forall \tau \in I : r(\tau) = r_s$.
2. *circular* if γ is spherical and $\forall \tau \in I : \theta(\tau) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.
3. *scattered at infinity* if $I = \mathbb{R}$ and there exists a set of the form¹² $[r_{sc}, \infty[\times [\theta_{sc}, \pi - \theta_{sc}] \subset \mathcal{O}$, with $\theta_{sc} \in]0, \pi[$, such that $\forall \tau \in I : (r(\tau), \theta(\tau)) \in [r_{sc}, \infty[\times [\theta_{sc}, \pi - \theta_{sc}]$.
4. *trapped non-spherical* if $I = \mathbb{R}$ and there exist a compact set $K \subset\subset \mathcal{O}$ such that $\forall \tau \in I : \gamma(\tau) \in K$.
5. *plunging* if $I =]a, b[$, where $-\infty < a < 0 < b < +\infty$. In this case, γ reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
6. *plunging from infinity* if $I =]-\infty, a[$ where $0 < a < +\infty$ such that γ reaches the horizon in a finite proper time, given by a .
7. *emanating from the white hole to infinity* if $I =]a, +\infty[$ where $0 < a < +\infty$ and $r(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 14. An orbit (γ, I) is said to be *confined in the equatorial plane* if $\forall \tau \in I, \theta(\tau) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Remark 11. We will refer to spherical, circular, scattered and trapped orbits as classical since they possess Newtonian analogs.

4.1.1 Geodesic equations in the BL coordinates

Consider a sub-extremal Kerr exterior with parameters (a, M) . In BL coordinates, the metric is given by (3.34) and the metric components are defined on the domain (3.32). Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic parametrised by its proper time τ and let $v = \frac{d\gamma}{d\tau}$ be its four-velocity vector. We recall that (ε, ℓ_z) defined respectively by (3.18) and (3.19) are conserved along the geodesic flow. For particles moving in the equatorial plane, these quantities together with the conservation of the Hamiltonian are sufficient to classify their trajectories. In the general case, the geodesic motion in Kerr forms an integrable system thanks to the existence of a fourth integral of motion q , called the Carter constant [12] given by:

$$\forall (x, v) \in T\mathcal{M} : q(x, v) := v_\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta^2 \left(a^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

¹¹Here, (γ, I) is a maximal solution in \mathcal{O} of the geodesic equation.

¹²By a small abuse of notation, we will make the confusion between \mathcal{O} and the set $]r_+(a, M), \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ in this section.

In BL coordinates, $\gamma(\tau) = (t(\tau), \phi(\tau), r(\tau), \theta(\tau))$ and $\dot{\gamma}(\tau) = (\dot{t}(\tau), \dot{\phi}(\tau), \dot{r}(\tau), \dot{\theta}(\tau))$. By (3.5), we have

$$-1 = - \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma^2} \right) \dot{t}^2 - \frac{4aMr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} \dot{t} \dot{\phi} + \frac{\Pi \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} \dot{r}^2 + \Sigma^2 \dot{\theta}^2.$$

Combining the latter with (3.18), (3.19) and (4.1), one can separate the motion in the (t, \dot{t}) , $(\phi, \dot{\phi})$, (r, \dot{r}) and $(\theta, \dot{\theta})$ in the following way:

$$(r, \dot{r}) : \Sigma^4 \dot{r}^2 = ((r^2 + a^2)\varepsilon - a\ell_z)^2 - \Delta(r^2 + (\ell_z - a\varepsilon)^2 + q), \quad (4.2)$$

$$(\theta, \dot{\theta}) : \Sigma^4 \dot{\theta}^2 = q - \cos^2 \theta \left(a^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \quad (4.3)$$

$$(\phi, \dot{\phi}) : \Sigma^2 \dot{\phi} = - \left(a\varepsilon - \frac{\ell_z}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) + \frac{a(\varepsilon(r^2 + a^2) - \ell_z a)}{\Delta}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$(t, \dot{t}) : \Sigma^2 \dot{t} = -a(a\varepsilon \sin^2 \theta - \ell_z) + (r^2 + a^2) \frac{\varepsilon(r^2 + a^2) - \ell_z a}{\Delta} \quad (4.5)$$

Note that the motions in r and in θ are still coupled. In order to separate the r -motion and the θ -motion, one can use a new time parameter λ , called Mino time [37], defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : I &\rightarrow]0, \infty[\\ \tau &\mapsto \lambda(\tau) := \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{1}{\Sigma^2(r(s), \theta(s))} ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_0 \in I$. Since Σ^2 is positive, λ is well-defined and we have:

$$d\tau = \Sigma^2 d\lambda. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} = \Sigma^2 \frac{d}{d\tau}.$$

In terms of λ , (4.2) and (4.3) become:

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = ((r^2 + a^2)\varepsilon - a\ell_z)^2 - \Delta(r^2 + (\ell_z - a\varepsilon)^2 + q), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\left(\frac{d \cos \theta}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = q - (q + a^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \ell_z^2)(\cos \theta)^2 + a^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)(\cos \theta)^4. \quad (4.8)$$

Hence $r(\lambda)$ and $\theta(\lambda)$ become independent from each other and we can solve the equations of motion by solving (4.7) to determine $r(\lambda)$ and solves (4.8) to determine $\theta(\lambda)$, then, by plugging the latter in (4.6), we compute τ . It remains to integrate (4.5) and (4.4) in order to derive ϕ and t . We will comeback later to the resolution of these equations in order to classify the solutions (see Section 4.1.7).

Now, we introduce the fourth order polynomials

$$T(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q, a) := q - (q + a^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \ell_z^2)Y^2 + a^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)Y^4 \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$R(X, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q, a) := (\varepsilon(X^2 + a^2) - a\ell_z)^2 - (X^2 - 2XM + a^2)(X^2 + (a\varepsilon - \ell_z)^2 + q). \quad (4.10)$$

Hence,

$$(r, \dot{r}) : \Sigma^4 \dot{r}^2 = R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q, a), \quad (4.11)$$

$$(\theta, \dot{\theta}) : \Sigma^4 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\theta}^2 = T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q, a) \quad (4.12)$$

We also introduce the following dimensionless quantities:

$$\tilde{r} := \frac{r}{M}, \quad d := \frac{a}{M}, \quad \tilde{\ell}_z := \frac{\ell_z}{M}, \quad \tilde{q} := \frac{q}{M^2} \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$r_H(d) := 1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2} = \frac{r_+(a, M)}{M}. \quad (4.14)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{T}{M^2} (Y, \varepsilon, \tilde{\ell}_z, \tilde{q}, d) = \tilde{q} - (\tilde{q} + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \tilde{\ell}_z^2)Y^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)Y^4 \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\frac{R}{M^4} (X, \varepsilon, \tilde{\ell}_z, \tilde{q}, d) = \left(\varepsilon \left(\left(\frac{X}{M} \right)^2 + d^2 \right) - d\tilde{\ell}_z \right)^2 - \left(\left(\frac{X}{M} \right)^2 - 2\frac{X}{M} + d^2 \right) \left(\left(\frac{X}{M} \right)^2 + (d\varepsilon - \tilde{\ell}_z)^2 + \tilde{q} \right). \quad (4.16)$$

Remark 12. We shall henceforth take $M = 1$ and identify the above different quantities and their normalisations.

Remark 13. From now on, the dependence on (a, M) and thus on d will not be written in order to lighten the equations.

The equations (4.2) and (4.3) do not form a regular system of ODEs. Consequently, we derive in the following the equivalent Hamiltonian form of the geodesic equations of motion. The latter will form a smooth system of ODEs, even at turning points (roots of R and T). This will allow us to compute stationary solutions of the geodesic system, which will be used later in this work. First of all, we introduce the Hamiltonian of a free-falling timelike particle of mass 1, defined by:

$$H(x^\alpha, v_\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} v_\alpha v_\beta = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

In BL coordinates, v_α are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= - \left(1 - \frac{2r}{\Sigma^2} \right) v^t - \frac{2dr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} v^\phi, \\ v_\phi &= \sin^2 \theta \left(r^2 + d^2 + \frac{2d^2 r \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} \right) v^\phi - \frac{2dr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} v^t, \\ v_r &= \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} v^r, \\ v_\theta &= \Sigma^2 v^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v = \frac{d\gamma}{d\tau}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= - \left(1 - \frac{2r}{\Sigma^2} \right) \dot{t} - \frac{2dr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} \dot{\phi}, \\ v_\phi &= \sin^2 \theta \left(r^2 + d^2 + \frac{2d^2 r \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} \right) \dot{\phi} - \frac{2dr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma^2} \dot{t}, \\ v_r &= \frac{\Sigma^2}{\Delta} \dot{r}, \\ v_\theta &= \Sigma^2 \dot{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

v_α are also related to the constants of motion by

$$\varepsilon = -v_t \quad , \quad \ell_z = v_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad q = v_\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right).$$

Now, let (x^α, v^α) be a solution to the equations of motion.

We have

$$2H(x, v) + 1 = \left(g^{tt} \varepsilon^2 - 2g^{t\phi} \varepsilon \ell_z + g^{\phi\phi} \ell_z^2 \right) + 1 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r^2 + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta^2 \right).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) &:= g^{tt} \varepsilon^2 + 2g^{t\phi} \varepsilon \ell_z + g^{\phi\phi} \ell_z^2 + 1, \\ &= 1 - \frac{X_K(r, \theta)}{\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{2W_K(r, \theta)}{\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \varepsilon \ell_z + \frac{V_K(r, \theta)}{\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \ell_z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Recall that solutions to the equations of motion also satisfy (4.11) and (4.12). Therefore, we obtain by multiplying the latter equations by Σ^{-2} :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r^2 - \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} \frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta^2 - \frac{1}{\Sigma^2 \sin^2 \theta} T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r^2 + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta^2 - \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) - 1 = -1.$$

By the conservation of the Hamiltonian, we have

$$-1 = 2H(x, v) = -\tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) + \left(\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r^2 + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta^2 \right) - 1.$$

Hence,

$$-\tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = -\frac{1}{\Sigma^2} \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right). \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$H(x, v) = \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(\Delta v_r^2 + v_\theta^2 - \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \quad (4.19)$$

Now, we evaluate the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial v_\alpha}, \\ \frac{dv_\alpha}{d\tau} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

for the Hamiltonian (4.19). We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dv_r}{d\tau} &= -\frac{\partial H(x, v)}{\partial r} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(\Delta'(r)v_r^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) \\
&+ \frac{\partial_r \Sigma^2}{2\Sigma^4} \left(\Delta v_r^2 + v_\theta^2 - \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right), \\
&= \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(-\Delta'(r)v_r^2 + \frac{-\Delta'(r)R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) + \Delta(r)\partial_r R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r)^2} + \partial_r \Sigma^2 (2H(x, v) + 1) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dv_\theta}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial H(x, v)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(\partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) + \partial_\theta \Sigma^2 (2H(x, v) + 1) \right).$$

Here, we used the independence of R and T on θ and r respectively. Finally, Hamilton equations are written under the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{d\tau} &= \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.20)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dv_r}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(-\Delta'(r)v_r^2 + \frac{-\Delta'(r)R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) + \Delta(r)\partial_r R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r)^2} \right. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.21)$$

$$\left. \left. + \partial_r \Sigma^2 (2H(x, v) + 1) \right), \right. \quad (4.22)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.23)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dv_\theta}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(\partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) + \partial_\theta \Sigma^2 (2H(x, v) + 1) \right), \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.24)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} &= -\frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.25)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dv_\phi}{d\tau} &= 0, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.26)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dt}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_t} \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} + \frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.27)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dv_t}{d\tau} &= 0. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.28)$$

The terms $2H(x, v) + 1$ above all vanish along any timelike orbit so that (4.20)-(4.24) become

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{d\tau} &= \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r, \\ \frac{dv_r}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(-\Delta'(r)v_r^2 + \frac{\Delta'(r)R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r)\partial_r R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r)^2} \right), \\ \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta, \\ \frac{dv_\theta}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right). \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.29)$$

Solutions to this system such that the conserved hamiltonian verifies

$$H(x, v) = -\frac{1}{2}$$

will be called *future-directed timelike geodesics*. Note that any term on the right hand side which contains the Carter constant in R , T or their derivatives cancel out so that the equations are independent of q . We recall that we can separate the motion in the t -direction, ϕ -direction and in the (r, θ) -plane from each other. In order to solve the above system with a given initial conditions $(\gamma(0), \dot{\gamma}(0))$, we solve the Cauchy problem for its projection in the $(r, \theta, v_r, v_\theta)$ with initial conditions $(r(0), \theta(0), v_r(0), v_\theta(0))$ and with parameters (ε, ℓ_z, q) , which are computed using the initial conditions. Therefore, we obtain $(r(\tau), \theta(\tau), v_r(\tau), v_\theta(\tau))$. Then, we plug the latter solutions into the remaining equations and we integrate (4.27) and (4.25) in order to obtain $t(\tau)$ and $\phi(\tau)$. More precisely, we state the following lemma

Lemma 5. *Let $\gamma : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be the timelike future-directed geodesic with initial conditions $(\gamma(0), \dot{\gamma}(0))$. One can compute uniquely (ε, ℓ_z, q) which are, together with the signs of $v_r(0)$ and $v_\theta(0)$ and $(r(0), \theta(0))$, sufficient to solve the reduced system.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be the timelike future-directed geodesic with initial conditions $(\gamma(0), \dot{\gamma}(0)) = (t(0), \phi(0), r(0), \theta(0), v^t(0), v^\phi(0), v^r(0), v^\theta(0))$.

- First, we compute (ε, ℓ_z) from $(r(0), \theta(0), v^t(0), v^\phi(0))$:

$$\varepsilon = V_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^t(0) - W_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^\phi(0),$$

$$\ell_z = W_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^t(0) + X_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^\phi(0),$$

then q from $(\theta(0), v_\theta(0), \varepsilon, \ell_z)$

$$q = v_\theta(0)^2 + \cos^2 \theta(0) \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta(0)} \right).$$

- Now, we consider the reduced system (4.29) with parameters (ε, ℓ_z, q) .
- The motion in (r, θ) is determined uniquely by $(r(0), \theta(0))(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ and $(v_r, v_\theta)(0)$. In fact, $(v_r, v_\theta)(0)$ is determined using (4.11) and (4.12). Imposing the sign on the latter allows one to determine uniquely (r, θ) .

□

Remark 14. It will be sufficient to study the reduced system in order to determine the nature of γ according to Definition 13.

Therefore, we will study the reduced system (4.29).

We first determine the admissible values for (ε, ℓ_z)

Lemma 6. *Let (γ, I) be a timelike future directed geodesic moving in the exterior region with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) . Then*

$$(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}^{admissible,+} \cup \mathcal{A}^{admissible,-} =: \mathcal{A}^{admissible}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^{admissible,+} := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } d\ell_z > 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^{admissible,-} := \left\{ (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varepsilon > \frac{d\ell_z}{2r_H} \text{ and } d\ell_z < 0 \right\}$$

Before classifying the solutions of the reduced system, we begin by determining necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of stationary solutions.

Lemma 7. *Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $(r_s, \theta_s, v_{r,s}, v_{\theta,s})$ be a timelike future-directed stationary solution of (4.29). Then, r_s is a double root of the fourth order polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s)$ and $\cos \theta_s$ is a double root of the polynomial $T(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s)$.*

Reciprocally, if r_s is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s)$ and $\cos \theta_s$ is a double root of the polynomial $T(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s)$, then we have a stationary solution of (4.29).

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $(r_s, \theta_s, v_{r,s}, v_{\theta,s})$ be a stationary timelike future-directed solution of (4.29). Then $(r_s, \theta_s, v_{r,s}, v_{\theta,s})$ verifies

$$\begin{aligned} v_{r,s} &= v_{\theta,s} = 0, \\ \frac{\Delta'(r_s)R(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d) - \Delta(r_s)\partial_r R(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d)}{\Delta(r_s)^2} &= 0, \\ \partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos \theta_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d)}{\sin^2 \theta_s} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where q_s is the Carter constant given by (4.1). Moreover, by (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$R(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d) = T(\cos \theta_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_r R(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d) = \partial_\theta T(\cos \theta_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s, d) = 0.$$

Reciprocally, let r_s be a double root of the four polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s)$ and $\cos \theta_s$ be a double root of the polynomial $T(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q_s)$ and let $(r, \theta, v_r, v_\theta) : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a solution to (4.29) such that $(r, \theta)(0, 0) = (r_s, \theta_s)$. Then, $(r, \theta) : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ satisfies (4.11) and (4.12). Therefore,

$$v_r(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_\theta(0) = 0.$$

Moreover, the point $(r_s, \cos \theta_s, 0, 0)$ is a critical point for the system (4.29). This yields the result. \square

Now, we derive sufficient conditions for stationary solutions to the reduced system (4.29).

R can be seen as an effective potential governing the motion in the radial direction r and T as an effective potential governing the motion in the angular direction θ . Therefore, we can characterise the geodesic motion by studying the number of turning points of the radial motion and the number of turning points of the angular motion.

We recall from Section 3.2.5 that the allowed region for a timelike future directed geodesic $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) is given by

$$A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \left\{ (r, \theta) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[: \tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (4.30)$$

where \tilde{J}^K is defined by (4.17) and that the associated ZVC, the set of turning points, is given by

$$Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \left\{ (r, \theta) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[: \tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0 \right\}.$$

In BL coordinates, we characterise Z^K by the following lemma

Lemma 8.

$$Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \left\{ (r, \theta) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[: R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0 \text{ where } q = \cos^2 \theta \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $(r, \theta) \in Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Then,

$$\tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 1 - \frac{X_K(r, \theta)}{\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{2W_K(r, \theta)}{\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \varepsilon \ell_z + \frac{V_K(r, \theta)}{\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \ell_z^2 = 0.$$

This implies:

$$1 - \frac{\Pi}{\Delta \Sigma^2} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{4dr}{\Delta \Sigma^2} \varepsilon \ell_z + \left(1 - \frac{2r}{\Sigma^2} \right) \frac{\Sigma^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \ell_z^2 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(r^2 + d^2)^2 \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^2 d^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta - \Delta r^2 - \Delta d^2 \cos^2 \theta - 4dr \varepsilon \ell_z - (r^2 + d^2 - d^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2r) \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$((r^2 + d^2)^2 \varepsilon - d \ell_z)^2 - \Delta \left(r^2 + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2d \varepsilon \ell_z - (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) = 0.$$

Finally, we set q to be:

$$q = \cos^2 \theta \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right).$$

The latter expression becomes

$$((r^2 + d^2)^2 \varepsilon - d \ell_z)^2 - \Delta (r^2 + (d\varepsilon - \ell_z)^2 + q) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0.$$

Reciprocally, if $(r, \theta) \in \left\{ (r, \theta) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[: R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0 \text{ where } q = \cos^2 \theta \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right\}$, then

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q(\theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \cos^2 \theta \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right).$$

We plug the expression of q in the first equation and we inverse the above steps to obtain

$$\tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0.$$

□

Therefore, a turning point $(r_0, \theta_0)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is such that r_0 is a root of the fourth order polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ with $q = \cos^2 \theta_0 \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta_0} \right)$. From the above definition, we obtain conditions on the number of roots for occurrence of the above orbits, given by the following lemma

Lemma 9. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with integrals of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) .*

- *Spherical orbits occur only when $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has a double root.*

- *Trapped non-spherical orbits occur when $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has three distinct roots.*
- *Plunging orbits occur in all cases.*
- *Scattered orbits occur only when $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has two distinct roots.*

Proof. 1. Suppose that γ has a constant radius r_s . Then, $\forall \gamma \in I$, we have

$$r(\tau) = r_s \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{r}(\tau) = 0.$$

This also implies that $\ddot{r}(\tau) = 0$. By (4.11), we obtain that r_s is a root for $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. Moreover, by (4.29), we get: $\partial_r R(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0$. Therefore, r_s is a double root for $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$.

2. If $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has three distinct roots, then by (4.11), $\forall r \in I$, $r \in]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ or $r \in [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$, where r_i^K are the roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. If r lies in the compact region, then it is strapped.
3. If $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has two distinct roots, then $\forall r \in I$, $r \in]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ or $r \in [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \infty[$. If r lies in the unbounded region, then it is scattered. □

In order to determine the allowed region for a particle, $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, we first determine $\partial A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the region $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ based on the possible values of (ε, ℓ_z) . To this end, we study the roots of the polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the region $(r_H(d), \infty)$ whose existence restrict the range of q and thus that of θ . In fact, the ZVCs are smooth curves with eventually different connected components.

Now, we claim that

Lemma 10. *Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.*

- *if $\varepsilon^2 < 1$, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has either one real root or three real roots counted with their multiplicity in the region $]r_H, \infty[$.*
- *Otherwise, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has either zero real roots or two real roots counted with their multiplicity in the region $]r_H(d), \infty[$.*
- *$R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ cannot have four roots in the region $]r_H, \infty[$.*

Proof. We have the following asymptotics:

$$R(r_H) = (2r_H\varepsilon - d\ell_z)^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} R(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\varepsilon^2 - 1)r^4. \quad (4.31)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\varepsilon^2 - 1)r^4 = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \varepsilon^2 < 1, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \varepsilon^2 > 1, \end{cases}$$

If $\varepsilon^2 = 1$, we look at the sign of the third degree term $-2r^3$. Hence, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} R(r) = -\infty$. Now since R is a fourth degree polynomial (cubic when $\varepsilon^2 = 1$), the number of roots counted with their multiplicity is at most 4 (3 when $\varepsilon^2 = 1$). This yields the result.

Finally, we reexpress $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ in terms of $x := r - 1$:

$$\tilde{R}(x) := a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0,$$

where $a_4 := \varepsilon^2 - 1$ and $a_3 := 4\varepsilon^2 - 2$. In the region, $r > r_h$, we have $x > 0$. If $\varepsilon^2 > 1$, then the latter terms are positive and we have at most two variations of sign and therefore at most two roots. If $\varepsilon^2 < 1$ then, $R(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ cannot admit four roots according to the first point. □

We now state a necessary condition for non existence of classical orbits.

Lemma 11. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) . If $q < 0$ then, $\varepsilon^2 > 1$ and $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ has no roots in the region (r_H, ∞) . Therefore, the geodesic starts from infinity and reaches the horizon in a finite proper time. Consequently, if $\varepsilon^2 < 1$, then we necessarily have $q \geq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $q < 0$ and let r be a root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. Then, by (4.1) we have

$$d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} < 0.$$

Thus,

$$d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) > \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \geq 0. \quad (4.32)$$

We recall that $r_H = 1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2}$. Therefore, the roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ in the exterior region will satisfy $r - 1 \geq \sqrt{1 - d^2} \geq 0$. Now, we introduce x such that

$$x := r - 1$$

and we reexpress R in terms of x :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(x) &:= (\varepsilon^2 - 1)x^4 + (4\varepsilon^2 - 2)x^3 + ((6 + d^2)\varepsilon^2 - d^2 - \ell_z^2 - q)x^2 + ((4 + 2d^2)(\varepsilon^2 - 1) + 6 + 2d^2\varepsilon^2 - 4d\ell_z\varepsilon)x \\ &\quad + ((2d\varepsilon - \ell_z)^2 + (\varepsilon^2 + 1 + q)(1 - d^2)) \\ &= a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0. \end{aligned}$$

We are interested only in the positive roots of \tilde{R} . Now, by Lemma 10, \tilde{R} admits either two positive roots or no positive roots. We look at the variations of signs in \tilde{R} :

- The sign of the factors a_4 and a_3 are positive since $\varepsilon^2 > 1$.
- The sign of a_2 is positive by (4.32) and by $q < 0$.

Depending on the sign of a_1 , either we have zero roots if $a_1 > 0$ or one root if $a_1 < 0$, by Descartes's rule of sign. This ends the proof. \square

Since we are interested in trapped geodesics, we will henceforth study the case where q is non-negative. The case of vanishing q is of particular interest. More precisely,

Lemma 12. *Let (γ, I) be a timelike future-directed geodesic moving in the exterior region with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) . Then, $q = 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for a motion initially in the equatorial plane to remain in the equatorial plane for all time.*

Proof. If γ is confined to the equatorial plane, then $\forall \tau \in I$

$$\theta(\tau) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad v^\theta(\tau) = \dot{\theta}(\tau) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (4.1), we have $q = 0$. Now, assume that $q = 0$ and $\theta(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then, there exists a unique $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ solution to (4.29) with initial conditions $(r(0), \frac{\pi}{2}, v_r(0), 0)$. Therefore, by (4.12), we obtain

$$\forall \tau \in I, \quad v_\theta(\tau) = 0.$$

\square

Now, we study the roots of $T(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ in the region $] -1, 1[$ for $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[$. We state the following lemma

Lemma 13. *Assume that $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[$ and consider the equation in Y*

$$T(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0. \quad (4.33)$$

on $] -1, 1[$. Then, T has

- two roots counted with their multiplicity in the region $] -1, 1[$ if and only if $d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) \leq \ell_z^2$. They are given by

$$Y = \pm\sqrt{y_+},$$

- two simple roots in the region $] -1, 1[$ if and only if $d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) > \ell_z^2$ and $q > 0$. They are given by

$$Y = \pm\sqrt{y_-},$$

- one double root given by 0 and two simple roots in the region $] -1, 1[$ if and only if $d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) > \ell_z^2$ and $q = 0$. The simple roots are given by

$$Y = \pm\sqrt{y_-},$$

where

$$y = \begin{cases} y_+ = \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q + \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q)^2 - 4qd^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)} & \text{if } \varepsilon^2 < 1 \\ y_- = \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q - \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q)^2 - 4qd^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)} & \text{if } \varepsilon^2 > 1 \\ \frac{q}{\ell_z^2 + q} & \text{if } \varepsilon^2 = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

Moreover, if Y is a double root of T in $] -1, 1[$, then $Y = 0$ and $q = 0$.

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^* \times [0, \infty[$ and let $Y \in] -1, 1[$ be a solution of (4.33). Then, Y verifies

$$q = F(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad \text{where} \quad F(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := Y^2 \left(-d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{1 - Y^2} \right).$$

We have $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$

•

$$\lim_{|Y| \rightarrow 1} F(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = +\infty,$$

•

$$F(0, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0,$$

•

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} = \frac{2Y}{(1 - Y^2)^2} (\ell_z^2 - d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1)(1 - Y^2)).$$

Therefore, $Y = 0$ is always a critical point for $F(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and

- if $d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) \leq \ell_z^2$, then 0 is the unique critical point.
- Otherwise, there are two more critical points given by

$$y_c = \pm \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\ell_z^2}{d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1)}} \right),$$

and they verify

$$-1 < y_c^- < 0 < y_c^+ < 1.$$

Therefore, if $q \geq 0$, then the equation $q = F(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ admits

- if $d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) \leq \ell_z^2$, two roots in the region $] -1, 1[$ symmetric about 0. These roots coincide if and only if $q = 0$ and they are given by 0.
- Otherwise,
 - four roots if and only if $q = 0$: one double root given by 0 and two simple roots symmetric about 0,
 - two simple roots otherwise.

In order to write Y in terms of (ε, ℓ_z, q) , we make the following change of variables $Y = \sqrt{y}$ and we consider $\bar{T}(y) := T(\sqrt{y})$ on $[0, 1[$. Since \bar{T} is quadratic and its discriminant is always positive, its roots are given by

$$\begin{cases} y = y_{\pm} := \frac{\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q \pm \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q)^2 - 4qd^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)} & \varepsilon \neq 1. \\ = \frac{q}{\ell_z^2 + q} & \varepsilon^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

- If $d^2(\varepsilon^2 - 1) \leq \ell_z^2$, then $y_+ > 0$ and $y_- < 0$. Therefore,

$$y = y_+.$$

- Otherwise,

$$y = y_-$$

□

Finally, we state necessary and sufficient conditions for the occurrence of spherical orbits.

Lemma 14. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) . Then γ is spherical of radius r_c and confined to the equatorial plane if and only if*

- γ starts at some point $\left(t, \phi, r_c, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.
- $q = 0$ and r_c is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$.

Proof. If γ spherical of radius r_c then by Lemma 9, r_c is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. If γ is confined in the equatorial plane, then by Lemma 12, $q = 0$.

Reciprocally, if γ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 14, then by Lemma 12, γ is confined to the equatorial plane. Now, if r_c is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ and starts at $r(0) = r_c$, then the point $(r_c, \frac{\pi}{2}, 0, 0)$ is a critical point for the reduced system (4.29). Therefore, γ has a constant radius. □

Lemma 15. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) . Then γ is spherical of radius r_c if and only if*

- γ starts at some point $(t, \phi, r_s, \theta) \in \mathcal{O}$.
- r_s is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous lemma. □

4.1.2 Circular orbits confined in the equatorial plane $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$

We present here a detailed study of circular geodesic motion in the equatorial plane θ . We note that the study of circular orbits is included in classical books of general relativity. See for example [15, Chapter 6]. We state the main result of this section

Proposition 5. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Assume that γ is a circular orbit of radius r_c confined in the equatorial plane. Then,*

$$(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+, \leq 1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+, \geq 1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-, \leq 1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-, \geq 1} =: \mathcal{A}_{circ}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+, \leq 1} := & \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[, \ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)\} \sqcup \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[, \ell_z = \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)\} \sqcup \{(\varepsilon_{min}^+, \ell_{min}^+, 0)\}, \\ & (4.35) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-, \leq 1} := & \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[, \ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)\} \sqcup \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[, \ell_z = \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)\} \sqcup \{(\varepsilon_{min}^-, \ell_{min}^-, 0)\}, \\ & (4.36) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+, \geq 1} := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon \in [1, \infty[, \ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)\}, \quad (4.37)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-, \geq 1} := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon \in [1, \infty[, \ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)\} \quad (4.38)$$

and where ε_{min}^\pm , ℓ_{min}^\pm , $\ell_{ub}^\pm(\varepsilon)$ and $\ell_{lb}^\pm(\varepsilon)$ are given by (4.47), (4.48) and Definition 15 respectively. Moreover, r_c is given by

1. if $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+, \leq 1}$, then

$$r_c \in \{r_{ms}^+, \tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)\},$$

2. if $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-, \leq 1}$, then

$$r_c \in \{r_{ms}^-, \tilde{r}_{max}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)\},$$

3. if $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+, \geq 1}$, then

$$r_c = r_{max}^+(\varepsilon),$$

4. if $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-, \geq 1}$, then

$$r_c = r_{max}^-(\varepsilon),$$

where r_{ms}^\pm is defined by (14), \tilde{r}_{max}^\pm and r_{min}^\pm are defined in Lemma 20.

The remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 5. We start with the following lemma

Lemma 16. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, $\tau \mapsto (t(\tau), \phi(\tau), r(\tau), \theta(\tau))$ be a circular orbit of radius $r_c > r_H$ confined in the equatorial plane and let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ be its associated integrals of motion. Then*

$$q = 0$$

and $(r_c, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ satisfies the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 3r_c^4 - \frac{r_c^2}{\varepsilon^2}(3r_c^2 - 4r_c + d^2) + r_c^2 d^2 &= r_c^2 \ell_z^2, \\ r_c^4 - \frac{r_c^3}{\varepsilon^2}(r_c - 1) - d^2 r_c &= r_c(\ell^2 - 2d\ell_z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. γ is confined to the equatorial plane. Since γ is circular, by Lemma 14, r_c is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ and $q = 0$. Therefore, $(r_c, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q = 0)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} R(r_c, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q = 0) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r_c, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q = 0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

which is equivalent to¹³

$$\begin{aligned} 3r_c^4 - \frac{r_c^2}{\varepsilon^2}(3r_c^2 - 4r_c + d^2) + r_c^2 d^2 &= r_c^2 \ell_z^2, \\ r_c^4 - \frac{r_c^3}{\varepsilon^2}(r_c - 1) - d^2 r_c &= r_c(\ell^2 - 2d\ell_z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

□

We use the equations (4.40) (See [15, Chapter 6], [7], [8]) to express ℓ_z and ε in terms of r_c :

$$\varepsilon = \frac{r_c^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2r_c^{\frac{1}{2}} \pm d}{r_c^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{r_c^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r_c^{\frac{1}{2}} \pm 2d}} =: \Phi_{\pm}(r_c), \tag{4.41}$$

$$\ell_z = \pm \frac{r_c^2 \mp 2dr_c^{\frac{1}{2}} + d^2}{r_c^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{r_c^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r_c^{\frac{1}{2}} \pm 2d}} =: \Psi_{\pm}(r_c). \tag{4.42}$$

$$\tag{4.43}$$

Here, the upper sign refers to direct orbits ($d\ell_z > 0$) and the lower sign refers to retrograde orbits ($d\ell_z < 0$). In order to determine the admissible values of $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r_c)$ for circular orbits, we study the mappings $\Phi_{\pm}(\cdot, d)$ and $\Psi_{\pm}(\cdot, d)$ on the region $]r_H, \infty[$. First, we study their properties and we state the following lemma

Lemma 17. *1. The mappings Φ_+ and Ψ_+ given respectively by (4.41) and (4.42) (see also Figure 2) are well-defined on $]r_{ph}^+, \infty[$ and they are smooth,*

2. the mappings $\Phi_-(\cdot, d)$ and $\Psi_-(\cdot, d)$ given respectively by (4.41) and (4.42) (see also Figure 2) are well-defined on $]r_{ph}^-, \infty[$ and they are smooth.

¹³The system of equations is obtained by a linear combination of (4.39). We refer to the computations performed in Section 4.1.3 for the general case (non-vanishing q).




Figure 2: Shape of the the energy and the angular momentum in terms of the radius of circular motion for retrograde and direct orbit at $d = 0.8$. The horizontal lines represent the energy levels at $\varepsilon = 0.97$, $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varepsilon = 1.2$.

Here r_{ph}^{\pm} are defined by

$$r_{ph}^{\pm}(d) := 2 \left(1 + \cos \left(\frac{2}{3} \cos^{-1}(\mp d) \right) \right).$$

Moreover, we have the following asymptotics:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\pm}(r) &= 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\pm}(r) = \pm\infty, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{ph}^{\pm}} \Phi_{\pm}(r) &= +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{ph}^{\pm}} \Psi_{\pm}(r) = \pm\infty, \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The mappings Φ_{\pm} and Ψ_{\pm} are well-defined if and only if

$$r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r^{\frac{1}{2}} \pm 2d > 0.$$

Now, we consider the equations

$$r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r^{\frac{1}{2}} \pm 2d = 0$$

on $]r_H, \infty[$. After the change of variable $u = \sqrt{r}$, we have

$$u^3 - 3u \pm 2d = 0.$$

We use Cardano's formula to express the unique real root in $]r_H, \infty[$ of the above equation:

$$u^2 = r_{ph}^\pm(d) := 2 \left(1 + \cos \left(\frac{2}{3} \cos^{-1}(\mp d) \right) \right).$$

Therefore Φ_+ , Ψ_+ are well-defined if and only if

$$r > r_{ph}^+(d)$$

and Φ_- , Ψ_- are well-defined if and only if

$$r > r_{ph}^-(d).$$

As for the asymptotics, it is clear that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{ph}^\pm} \Phi_\pm(r) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{ph}^\pm} \Psi_\pm(r) = \pm\infty.$$

In a neighbourhood of $+\infty$, we have

$$\Phi_\pm(r) \sim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\pm(r) \sim \pm\sqrt{r}.$$

□

Remark 15. $r_{ph}^\pm = r_{ph}^\pm(d)$ is a photon orbit. There are no circular direct orbits of radius $r < r_{ph}^+$ and there are no circular retrograde orbits of radius $r < r_{ph}^-$. When $d = 0$, $r_{ph}^+ = r_{ph}^- = 3$, which is the location of the photon sphere with $M = 1$.

Now, we claim that

Lemma 18. $\forall d \in [0, 1] \quad : \quad \exists! r_{ms}^\pm(d) \in]r_{ph}^\pm, +\infty[$ such that $\Phi'_\pm(r_{ms}^\pm(d)) = \Psi'_\pm(r_{ms}^\pm(d)) = 0$. It is given by

$$r_{ms}^\pm(d) = 3 + Z_2(d) \mp \sqrt{(3 - Z_1)(3 + Z_1(d) + 2Z_2(d))}, \quad (4.44)$$

where

$$Z_1(d) = 1 + (1 - d^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}((1 + d)^{\frac{1}{3}} + (1 - d)^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad Z_2(d) = \sqrt{3d^2 + Z_1^2}.$$

Proof. We will prove the result for Φ_+ and Ψ_+ . The other case is treated in the same manner. Along the proof, we omit $+$ from the expression of the latter functions in order to lighten the expressions.

First, we claim that Φ and Ψ have the same critical points. In fact, let $r \in]r_{ph}, \infty[$ then $(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0)$ verifies:

$$R(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_r R(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) = 0.$$

We differentiate the first equation with respect to r to obtain:

$$\Phi'(r) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \varepsilon}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) + \Psi'(r) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) = 0. \quad (4.45)$$

Now, we show that $\frac{\partial R}{\partial \varepsilon}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0)$ and $\frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0)$ do not vanish on $]r_H, \infty[$:

- We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) &= -2r(2d\Phi(r) + (r-2)\Psi(r)) \\
&= -2r \frac{2d(r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2r^{\frac{1}{2}} + d) + (r-2)(r^2 - 2dr^{\frac{1}{2}} + d^2)}{r^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2d}} \\
&= -2r^2 \frac{\Delta}{r^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter can not vanish since $r > r_H$.

- Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \varepsilon}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) &= 2r(-2d\Psi(r) + \Phi(r)(r^3 + d^2(r+2))) \\
&= 2r \frac{d^3 + dr(r-2) + d^2r^{\frac{3}{2}} + (r-2)r^{\frac{5}{2}}}{r^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2d}} \\
&= 2r^{\frac{5}{4}} \frac{\Delta(d + r^{\frac{3}{2}})}{\sqrt{r^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3r^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter can not vanish since $r > r_H$.

Therefore, by (4.45), Φ and Ψ have the same critical points.

- If r_c is a critical point for Φ , then r_c satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r^2}(r_c, \Phi(r_c), \Psi(r_c), 0) = 0.$$

Indeed, we have $\forall r > r_{ph}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} R(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) = 0,$$

We differentiate this expression with respect to r in order to obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r^2}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) + \Phi'(r) \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \varepsilon \partial r}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) + \Psi'(r) \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \ell_z \partial r}(r, \Phi(r), \Psi(r), 0) = 0.$$

If $r = r_c$, then it follows

$$\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r^2}(r_c, \Phi(r_c), \Psi(r_c), 0) = 0.$$

- Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r^2}(r_c, \Phi(r_c), \Psi(r_c), 0) &= 2(d^2(\Phi^2(r) - 1) - \Psi(r)^2 + 6r + 6r^2(\Phi^2(r) - 1)) \\
&= -2\sqrt{r} \frac{-3d^2 + 8d\sqrt{r} + r(r-6)}{2d + \sqrt{r}(r-3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

- It remains to solve the equation

$$-3d^2 + 8d\sqrt{r} + r(r - 6) = 0$$

on $]r_{ph}, \infty[$. Again, we introduce the change of variables $u = \sqrt{r}$ and we obtain the quartic polynomial:

$$u^4 - 6u^2 + 8du - 3d^2 = 0.$$

The latter is a depressed quartic equation that can be solved explicitly using Ferrari's method. The condition that $r > r_{ph}$ yields a unique root given by r_{ms} . □

In the following, it is useful to introduce the quantity

$$\rho_{ms}^\pm(d) := \sqrt{\Delta(r_{ms}^\pm(d))}. \quad (4.46)$$

$r_{ms}^\pm(d)$ is a minimiser for Φ_\pm, Ψ_+ and $-\Psi_-$ and their minima are respectively given by

$$\varepsilon_{min}^\pm(d) := \Phi_\pm(r_{ms}^\pm(d)) \quad (4.47)$$

and

$$\ell_{min}^+(d) := \Psi_+(r_{ms}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{min}^-(d) := -\Psi_-(r_{ms}^-) \quad (4.48)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\varepsilon_{min}^+ \leq \varepsilon_{min}^- \quad (4.49)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{min}^+ = \varepsilon_{min}^- \quad (4.50)$$

if and only if $d = 0$. In this case, $\varepsilon_{min}^\pm(0) = \sqrt{\frac{8}{9}}$. From now on, we do not write the dependence of the above quantities on d . From the previous lemma, we obtain the following monotonicity properties for Φ_\pm and Ψ_\pm :

- Lemma 19.**
1. Φ_\pm is monotonically decreasing on $]r_{ph}^\pm, r_{ms}^\pm[$ and monotonically increasing on $]r_{ms}^\pm(d), \infty[$,
 2. Ψ_+ is monotonically decreasing on $]r_{ph}^+, r_{ms}^+[$ and monotonically increasing on $]r_{ms}^+, \infty[$,
 3. Ψ_- is monotonically increasing on $]r_{ph}^-, r_{ms}^-[$ and monotonically decreasing on $]r_{ms}^-, \infty[$.

Now, we go back to the equations (4.41) and (4.42): let $\varepsilon \in]0, \infty[$ and consider the equations

$$\varepsilon = \Phi_+(r, d) \quad \text{on} \quad]r_{ph}^+, \infty[\quad (4.51)$$

and

$$\varepsilon = \Phi_-(r, d) \quad \text{on} \quad]r_{ph}^-, \infty[\quad (4.52)$$

By the monotonicity properties of Φ_\pm , we obtain the following lemma

Lemma 20. *Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ and¹⁴ $d \in]0, 1]$, we have the following cases:*

1. *If $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^+$, then the equations (4.51) and (4.52) do not have solutions.*

¹⁴The case of $d = 0$ corresponds to the Schwarzschild case and was already tackled. See Proposition ??.

2. If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$, then (4.51) admits a unique solution given by $r = r_{ms}^+$ and (4.52) does not have solutions.

3. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^-$, then (4.51) admits two solutions $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$ which satisfy

$$r_{max}^+(\varepsilon) < r_{ms}^+ < r_{min}^+(\varepsilon).$$

and the second one does not have solutions.

4. If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$, then (4.51) admit two solutions and (4.52) admits one solution given by $r = r_{ms}^-$.

5. If $\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$, then both equations (4.51) and (4.52) admit two distinct solutions $r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{min}^\pm(\varepsilon)$ which satisfy

$$r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon) < r_{ms}^\pm < r_{min}^\pm(\varepsilon).$$

6. If $\varepsilon \geq 1$, then both equations (4.51) and (4.52) admit a unique solution $r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon, d)$ satisfying

$$r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon) < r_{ms}^\pm.$$

We note that there exists exactly two circular orbits with energy $\varepsilon = 1$ which we denote by $r_{mb}^\pm(d)$. They correspond to the radii of the marginally bound circular direct and retrograde orbits. In order to compute r_{mb}^\pm , we solve the equation

$$\Phi_\pm(r_{mb}^\pm) = 1.$$

They are given by:

$$r_{mb}^\pm(d) := 2 \mp d + 2\sqrt{1 \mp d}. \quad (4.53)$$

Therefore, by Lemma 19,

- If $\varepsilon > 1$, then there exist exactly one direct circular orbit $r < r_{mb}^+(d)$ and one retrograde circular orbit $r > r_{mb}^-(d)$.
- Otherwise, there exists at most four circular orbits and we refer to Lemma 20 for details.

It is useful to rewrite the previous lemma in terms of the implicit functions:

- Lemma 21.**
1. There exists a unique smooth function $r_{max}^+ : [\varepsilon_{min}^+, \infty[\rightarrow]r_{ph}^+(d), r_{ms}^+[$ such that $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ solves (4.51),
 2. there exists a unique smooth function $r_{max}^- : [\varepsilon_{min}^-, \infty[\rightarrow]r_{ph}^-(d), r_{ms}^+[$ such that $r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$ solves (4.52),
 3. there exists a unique smooth function $r_{min}^+ : [\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[\rightarrow]r_{ms}^+, \infty[$ such that $r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$ solves (4.51),
 4. there exists a unique smooth function $r_{min}^- : [\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[\rightarrow]r_{ms}^-, \infty[$ such that $r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)$ solves (4.52).

Now, we state the monotonicity properties of the functions defined in the previous lemma

Lemma 22.

1. r_{max}^+ is monotonically decreasing on $]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \infty[$,

2. r_{max}^- is monotonically decreasing on $]\varepsilon_{min}^-, \infty[$,

3. r_{min}^+ is monotonically increasing on $]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[$,
4. r_{min}^- is monotonically increasing on $]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward using Lemma 19. First, we have

$$\varepsilon = \Phi_{\pm}(r_{max}^{\pm}(\varepsilon)) = \Phi_{\pm}(r_{min}^{\pm}(\varepsilon)).$$

We differentiate with respect to ε :

$$1 = \frac{\partial r_{max}^{\pm}(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\Phi_{\pm}(r_{max}^{\pm}(\varepsilon))}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial r_{min}^{\pm}(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\pm}(r_{min}^{\pm}(\varepsilon))}{\partial r}.$$

By monotonicity properties of Φ_{\pm} , we obtain the desired result. \square

It remains to find conditions on ℓ_z for the circular motion. We recall that a circular orbit of radius r_c , and constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q = 0)$ must satisfy (4.41) and (4.42). Therefore,

1. If $d\ell_z > 0$, then $\varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_{min}^+(d), \infty[$ and r is given by Lemma 21. Moreover ℓ_z must satisfy

$$\ell_z = \Psi_+(r). \quad (4.54)$$

If

- (a) $\varepsilon \in [1, \infty[$, then $r = r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and

$$\ell_z = \Psi_+(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)). \quad (4.55)$$

- (b) Otherwise, ℓ_z verifies

$$\ell_z = \Psi_+(\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon)) \quad \text{or} \quad \ell_z = \Psi_+(r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)) \quad (4.56)$$

where \tilde{r}_{max}^+ denotes the restriction of r_{max}^+ on $[\varepsilon_{min}^+(d), 1[$.

2. If $d\ell_z < 0$, then $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, \infty[$ and r is given by Lemma 21. Moreover ℓ_z must satisfy

$$\ell_z := \Psi_-(r). \quad (4.57)$$

If

- (a) $\varepsilon \in [1, \infty[$, then $r = r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$ and

$$\ell_z = \Psi_-(r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)). \quad (4.58)$$

- (b) Otherwise, ℓ_z verifies

$$\ell_z = \Psi_-(\tilde{r}_{max}^-(\varepsilon)) \quad \text{or} \quad \ell_z = \Psi_-(r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)) \quad (4.59)$$

where \tilde{r}_{max}^- denotes the restriction of r_{max}^- on $[\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[$

Moreover, note that when $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^{\pm}$, one has $r = r_{ms}^{\pm}$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^{\pm}$. Now, we introduce the following functions

Definition 15. We define the functions $\ell_{lb}^{\pm} : [\varepsilon_{min}^{\pm}(d), \infty[\times]0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\ell_{ub}^{\pm} : [\varepsilon_{min}^{\pm}(d), 1[\times]0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\ell_{lb}^{\pm}(\varepsilon, d) := \Psi_{\pm}(r_{max}^{\pm}(\varepsilon, d)) \quad , \quad \ell_{ub}^{\pm}(\varepsilon, d) := \Psi_{\pm}(r_{min}^{\pm}(\varepsilon, d)). \quad (4.60)$$

The proof of Proposition 5 now follows from lemmas 16, 18, 21 and Definition 15.

- Lemma 23.**
1. ℓ_{ub}^+ is monotonically increasing from ℓ_{min}^+ to ∞ when ε goes from ε_{min}^+ to 1.
 2. ℓ_{lb}^+ is monotonically increasing from ℓ_{min}^+ to ∞ when ε goes from ε_{min}^+ to ∞ .
 3. ℓ_{ub}^- is monotonically decreasing from ℓ_{min}^- to $-\infty$ when ε goes from ε_{min}^- to 1.
 4. ℓ_{lb}^- is monotonically decreasing from ℓ_{min}^- to $-\infty$ when ε goes from ε_{min}^- to ∞ .

Proof. The proof is a straightforward application of Lemma 22 and the monotonicity properties of Ψ_{\pm} . \square

From now on, the dependence of the above quantities in d will not be written.

It will be useful in the remaining of our work (see Section 4.2.1) to write ε in terms of ℓ_z . Following Lemma (23), we introduce the functions

$$\varepsilon_s^{\pm}(\ell_z, d) := \ell_{lb}^{\pm}(\cdot, d)^{-1}(\ell_z) \quad \text{on} \quad [\ell_{min}^{\pm}, \infty[\quad (4.61)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_m^{\pm}(\ell_z, d) := \ell_{ub}^{\pm}(\cdot, d)^{-1}(\ell_z) \quad \text{on} \quad [\ell_{min}^{\pm}, \infty[\quad (4.62)$$

and we state the following lemma

- Lemma 24.**
- ε_s^+ increases monotonically from ε_{min}^+ to ∞ when ℓ_z grows from ℓ_{min}^+ to ∞ .

- ε_s^- increases monotonically from ε_{min}^- to ∞ when ℓ_z grows from ℓ_{min}^+ to ∞ .
- ε_m^+ increases monotonically from ε_{min}^+ to 1 when ℓ_z grows from ℓ_{min}^+ to ∞ .
- ε_m^- increases monotonically from ε_{min}^- to 1 when ℓ_z grows from ℓ_{min}^+ to ∞ .

Remark 16. We recover the values found in [30] when $d = 0$. In particular:

$$\varepsilon_{min}^{\pm}(0) = \sqrt{\frac{8}{9}} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{min}^{\pm}(0) = \sqrt{12}. \quad (4.63)$$

The necessary conditions of Proposition 5 are also sufficient in the following sense

Proposition 6. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}$. If $(r, \theta)(0) = \left(r_c, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ where r_c is given by one of the above cases, then γ is circular of radius r_c and confined in the equatorial plane.

4.1.3 Orbits with constant radial motion

In this section, we are interested in spherical orbits, given by Definition 13. We recall that spherical orbits are circular if they are confined in the equatorial plane and their classification was obtained in the previous section. In the general case, by Lemma 15, spherical orbits of radius $r_s > r_H$ occur if and only if

- γ starts at some point (t, ϕ, r_s, θ) ,
- r_s is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$,

We note that the study of spherical orbits was included in classical books of general relativity. See for example [15, Chapter 6] and [39, Chapter 4]. Now, we state the main result of this section

Proposition 7. *Let $\gamma : \tau \ni I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic and let (ε, ℓ_z, q) be its associated integrals of motion. Assume that that γ is spherical of radius $r_s \in]r_H, \infty[$. Then,*

$$(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{spherical}} \quad (4.64)$$

defined by (4.108).

The remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 7. We start with the following lemma

Lemma 25. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, $\tau \mapsto (t(\tau), \phi(\tau), r(\tau), \theta(\tau))$ be a spherical orbit of radius $r_s > r_H$. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ be its associated integrals of motion. Then, the quadruplet $(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \ell_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2), \\ d^2(r_s - 1)\eta &= d^2(r_s - 1)\eta_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

where

$$\ell := \frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta := \frac{q}{\varepsilon^2}, \quad (4.66)$$

$$\ell_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2) := \frac{1}{d(r_s - 1)} \left((r_s^2 - d^2) \pm r_s(r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_s}\right)} \right) \quad (4.67)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2) &:= \frac{r_s^3}{r_s - 1} (4d^2 - r_s(r_s - 3)^2) + \frac{r_s^2}{\varepsilon^2} (r_s(r_s - 2)^2 - d^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{2r_s^3}{r_s - 1} (r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_s}\right)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

Proof. By Lemma 15, the quadruplet $(r_s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ verifies

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q, d) = 0 \quad (4.69)$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q, d) = 0. \quad (4.70)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 11, we require

$$q \geq 0. \quad (4.71)$$

Solving the equations (4.69) and (4.70) simultaneously eliminates two of the four unknowns r_s, ε, ℓ_z and q . We introduce the following change of variables

$$\ell = \frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{q}{\varepsilon^2} \quad (4.72)$$

After developing the above equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_s^4 - \frac{r_s^2}{\varepsilon^2}(r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) - \eta(r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) + (d^2 - \ell^2)r_s^2 + 2(d^2 + \ell^2 - 2d\ell)r_s &= 0, \\ 4r_s^3 - \frac{2r_s}{\varepsilon^2}(r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) - \frac{2r_s^2}{\varepsilon^2}(r_s - 1) - 2\eta(r_s - 1) + 2r_s(d^2 - \ell^2) + 2(d^2 + \ell^2 - 2d\ell) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The linear combinations $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(r_s \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r_s) - R(r_s) \right)$ and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{r_s}{2} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r_s) - R(r_s) \right)$ yield

$$3r_s^4 - \frac{r_s^2}{\varepsilon^2}(3r_s^2 - 4r_s + d^2) + r_s^2 d^2 - \eta(r_s^2 - d^2) = r_s^2 \ell^2, \quad (4.73)$$

$$r_s^4 - \frac{r_s^3}{\varepsilon^2}(r_s - 1) - d^2 r_s + \eta(d^2 - r_s) = r_s(\ell^2 - 2d\ell). \quad (4.74)$$

We solve for ℓ first by eliminating η . Hence, we multiply the first equation by $(d^2 - r_s)$ and the second one by $(r_s^2 - d^2)$ and we obtain a second order polynomial in ℓ . Straightforward computations yield the following solutions for ℓ :

$$\ell = \frac{1}{d(r_s - 1)} \left((r_s^2 - d^2) \pm r_s(r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_s}\right)} \right) =: \ell_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2). \quad (4.75)$$

Now, we replace ℓ with its expression in (4.73) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(r_s - 1)\eta &= \frac{r_s^3}{r_s - 1} (4d^2 - r_s(r_s - 3)^2) + \frac{r_s^2}{\varepsilon^2} (r_s(r_s - 2)^2 - d^2) - \frac{2r_s^3}{r_s - 1} (r_s^2 - 2r_s + d^2) \\ &\quad \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_s}\right)} \right) \\ &=: d^2(r_s - 1)\eta_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.76)$$

□

We have shown so far that (4.69) and (4.70) imply (4.75) and (4.76). Tedious but straightforward computations imply that if $(\ell, \eta) = (\ell_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2), \eta_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2))$, then the quadruplets $(r_s, \varepsilon^2, \ell_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2), \eta_c^\pm(r_s, \varepsilon^2))$ (4.69) and (4.70) solve the equations (4.69) and (4.70).

In the following, we will determine the set of admissible parameters (r_s, ε^2) so that solutions of (4.69)-(4.70) are given by a two parameter family indexed by (r_s, ε^2) .

Lemma 26. 1. η_c^\pm and ℓ_c^\pm are defined on the domain

$$\begin{aligned} D_s &:= \{(r, \varepsilon) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]1, \infty[\} \sqcup \{(r, \varepsilon) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, 1[: r > (1 - \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \} \\ &:= D_s^{\geq 1} \sqcup D_s^{\leq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

2. We have the following asymptotics for $\eta_c^\pm(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$:

- $\forall \varepsilon^2 > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow r_H} \eta(r, \varepsilon^2) < 0 \quad (4.77)$$

- and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow (1-\varepsilon^2)^{-1}} \eta(r, \varepsilon^2) < 0 & \text{ if } \varepsilon^2 < 1, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \eta(r, \varepsilon^2) < 0 & \text{ if } \varepsilon^2 \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.78)$$

3. η_c^+ is negative on D_s .

Proof. 1. The first point is straightforward.

2. For the second point, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_c(r_H, \varepsilon^2) &= \frac{r_H^3}{r_H - 1} (4d^2 - r_H(r_H - 3)^2) + \frac{r_H^2}{\varepsilon^2} (r_H(r_H - 2)^2 - d^2) \\ &= \frac{r_H^2}{r_H - 1} \left(r_H (4d^2 - r_H(r_H - 3)^2) + \frac{(r_H - 1)}{\varepsilon^2} (r_H(r_H - 2)^2 - d^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{r_H^2}{r_H - 1} (I + II), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= r_H (4d^2 - r_H(r_H - 3)^2) \\ &= (1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2})(4d^2 - (1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2})(\sqrt{1 - d^2} - 2)^2) \\ &= (1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2})^2 (d^2 - 1) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II &:= \frac{(r_H - 1)}{\varepsilon^2} (r_H(r_H - 2)^2 - d^2) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1 - d^2}}{\varepsilon^2} \left((1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2})(\sqrt{1 - d^2} - 1)^2 - d^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{d^2}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - d^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\eta_c(r_H, \varepsilon^2) < 0.$$

Now, we compute $\forall \varepsilon^2 < 1, \forall d \in]0, 1[$

$$\eta_c((1 - \varepsilon^2)^{-1}, \varepsilon^2) = \left(((1 - \varepsilon^2)^{-1} - 1)^{-1} \right) (a(\varepsilon^2, d) + b(\varepsilon^2, d) + c(\varepsilon^2, d))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\varepsilon^2, d) &:= \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^3} \left(4d^2 - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon^2} - 3 \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^6} (4d^2(1-\varepsilon^2)^3 - (2-3\varepsilon^2)^2), \\
b(\varepsilon^2, d) &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^2} \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon^2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon^2} - 2 \right)^2 - d^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^6} ((2\varepsilon^2-1)^2 - d^2(1-\varepsilon^2)^3), \\
c(\varepsilon^2, d) &:= -\frac{2}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^3} \left(\frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^2} - \frac{2}{1-\varepsilon^2} + d^2 \right) \\
&= -\frac{2}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^5} ((2\varepsilon^2-1) + d^2(1-\varepsilon^2)^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Straightforward computations imply

$$\eta_c((1-\varepsilon^2)^{-1}, \varepsilon^2) = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^2)^4} (d^2(1-\varepsilon^2) - 1) < 0.$$

3. Let $(r, \varepsilon) \in D_s$. Then, $\forall r \in]r_H, \infty[$, ε must verify

$$\varepsilon^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right).$$

Now let $r \in]r_H, \infty[$ and consider the function $\tilde{\eta}_c^+(r, \cdot)$ defined on $\left] \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{r}}, \infty \right[$ by

$$\tilde{\eta}_c^+(r, \varepsilon) := \frac{r-1}{r^2} \eta_c^+(r, \varepsilon).$$

It is easy to see that the terms $r(r-2)^2 - d^2$ and $r(r^2 - 2r + d^2)$ are positive since $r > 1 + \sqrt{1 - d^2}$ and $0 < d^2 < 1$. Therefore $\tilde{\eta}_c^+(r, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $\left] \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{r}}, \infty \right[$. Hence

$$\forall \varepsilon \geq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{r}} : \eta_c^+(r, \varepsilon) < \eta_c^+(r, \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{r}}).$$

Straightforward computations imply

$$\eta_c^+(r, \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{r}}) = -r(r - d^2) < 0.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\eta}_c^+(r, \cdot)$ is negative and so is η_c^+ . □

Since $q \geq 0$, ℓ and η must equal ℓ_c^- and η_c^- . From now on, we omit the sign from the latter quantities so that they are simply denoted by ℓ_c and η_c .

Remark 17. Note that if $(r, \varepsilon) \in D_s^{\leq 1}$ then we have a lower bound on ε . Indeed, $\forall r > r_H$, ε^2 satisfies

$$\varepsilon^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_H}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{1-d^2}}{1 + \sqrt{1-d^2}}.$$

By the second point of the previous lemma and the positivity of q , not all values of r are allowed. In order to determine the allowed region for r , we first look at the equation

$$\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = 0. \quad (4.79)$$

The latter is equivalent to a vanishing Carter constant. This case corresponds to circular orbits confined in the equatorial plane. Hence, by Proposition 5, $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}$ and r_s is given by one of the cases in the latter proposition. More precisely, one of the following cases is possible:

1. If $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^+$, then the equation (4.79) does not admit solutions.
2. If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$, then the equation (4.79) admits a unique solution given by r_{ms}^+ .
3. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^-$, then the equation (4.79) admits two solutions $\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$.
4. If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$, then the equation (4.79) admits three solutions $\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$, $r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$ and r_{ms}^- .
5. If $\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$, then the equation (4.79) admits four solutions $\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$, $r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$, $\tilde{r}_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)$.
6. If $\varepsilon \geq 1$, then the equation (4.79) admits two solutions $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$.

Moreover, by the asymptotics of η_c given by the second point of Lemma 26, the allowed regions for r so that η_c is positive are given by the following lemma

Lemma 27. *Let $(r, \varepsilon) \in D_s$. Then η_c is positive (≥ 0) if and only if*

$$(r, \varepsilon) \in \overline{D}_s := \overline{D}_s^{\leq 1} \sqcup \overline{D}_s^{\geq 1} \quad (4.80)$$

where

$$\overline{D}_s^{\geq 1} := \{(r, \varepsilon) \in D_s^{\geq 1} : r \in [r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)]\}. \quad (4.81)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}_s^{\leq 1} := & \{(r_{ms}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^+)\} \sqcup \{(r, \varepsilon) \in D_s^{\leq 1}(d) : \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^-] \text{ and } r \in [\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \tilde{r}_{min}^+(\varepsilon)]\} \\ & \sqcup \{(r, \varepsilon) \in D_s^{\geq 1}(d) : \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[\text{ and } r \in [\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \tilde{r}_{max}^-(\varepsilon)] \sqcup [r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)]\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.82)$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward using the above six cases and the asymptotics of $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. \square

Lemma 28 (Critical points of $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ when $\varepsilon^2 < 1$). *Let $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < 1$. Then, the critical points of $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ are:*

1. If $\varepsilon < \sqrt{\frac{8}{9}}$, the critical points of $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ are the critical points of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$.

2. Otherwise,

- the critical points of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$,

- the points $\overset{\circ}{r}_{\pm}(\varepsilon)$:

$$\overset{\circ}{r}_{\pm}(\varepsilon) := \frac{-4 + 3\varepsilon^2 \pm \varepsilon\sqrt{-8 + 9\varepsilon^2}}{2(\varepsilon^2 - 1)}.$$

Proof. Let $(r, \varepsilon) \in \overline{D}_s$ such that r is a critical point for $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. We have

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0.$$

We differentiate the first equation with respect to r to obtain:

$$\varepsilon\partial_r\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)\frac{\partial R}{\partial\ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) + \varepsilon^2\partial_r\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)\frac{\partial R}{\partial q}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_r\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial\ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)).$$

We use the equations (4.69)-(4.70) in order to obtain

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial\ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon\eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = -2(2d\varepsilon + \varepsilon\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)(r - 2))r.$$

Hence r is a solution of the equation

$$\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)(r - 2) = -2d.$$

Now we plug the expression of ℓ_c in the latter equation to obtain

$$\varepsilon((r^2 - d^2)(r - 2) + 2d^2(r - 1)) - \sqrt{r}\Delta\sqrt{r(\varepsilon^2 - 1) + 1}(r - 2) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$r\varepsilon\Delta - \sqrt{r}(r - 2)\Delta\sqrt{r(\varepsilon^2 - 1) + 1} = 0.$$

Therefore, r satisfies the equation

$$\sqrt{r}\varepsilon = (r - 2)\sqrt{r(\varepsilon^2 - 1) + 1}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} ((r - 2)\sqrt{r(\varepsilon^2 - 1) + 1})^2 - (\sqrt{r}\varepsilon)^2 &= 4 - 8r + 4r\varepsilon^2 + 5r^2 - 4\varepsilon^2r^2 - r^3 + \varepsilon^2r^3 - r\varepsilon^2 \\ &= (r - 1)((\varepsilon^2 - 1)r^2 + (4 - 3\varepsilon^2)r - 4). \end{aligned}$$

Since $r > r_H > 1$, r is solution of the second degree polynomial

$$((\varepsilon^2 - 1)r^2 + (4 - 3\varepsilon^2)r - 4).$$

Straightforward computations imply that r is given by $\overset{\circ}{r}_{\pm}(\varepsilon)$. □

Now, we study the function ℓ_c on the domain \overline{D}_s . We recall that ℓ_c is defined by

$$\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = \frac{1}{d(r - 1)} \left((r^2 - d^2) - r(r^2 - 2r + d^2) \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)} \right). \quad (4.83)$$

In the following, it is useful to introduce the following function $\xi : \overline{D}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) := \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2) + (\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) - d)^2. \quad (4.84)$$

We state the following lemma on the critical points of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ and $\xi(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ when $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon^2 < 1$.

Lemma 29. *Let $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < 1$. Then, the critical points of $\xi(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ are the critical points of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. Moreover, there exists a unique $r_m(\varepsilon) \in]\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ such that*

$$\partial_r \ell_c(r_m(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2) = \partial_r \xi(r_m(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2) = 0. \quad (4.85)$$

Moreover, $\ell_c(r_m(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2)$ is a global minimum for $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ and $\xi(r_m(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2)$ is a global maximum for $\xi(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < 1$.

1. Let r be a critical point for $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. Then, $\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 28, r is also a critical point for $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. Therefore,

$$\partial_r \xi(r, \varepsilon^2) = \partial_r \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2) + 2(\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) - d)\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = 0.$$

Now, let r be a critical point for $\xi(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. Then, (r, ε^2) satisfies

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon^2(\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) - (d - \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2))^2)) = 0. \quad (4.86)$$

We differentiate the latter with respect to r and we use the fact that r is double root for R to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon^2(\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) - (d - \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2))^2)) + \\ & (\partial_r \xi(r, \varepsilon^2) - 2(\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) - d)\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) \frac{\partial R}{\partial q}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon^2(\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) - (d - \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2))^2)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon^2(\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) - (d - \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2))^2)) + 2(\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) - d)\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)\Delta(r) = 0.$$

If $\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon^2(\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) - (d - \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2))^2)) + 2(\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) - d)\Delta(r) = 0.$$

We plug the expression of the ℓ_z -derivative of R in the above equation in order to obtain

$$\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)r(r - 2) + 2rd = \Delta(r)\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) - d\Delta(r).$$

Therefore, $\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)$ verifies

$$\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = \frac{r^2}{d} + d.$$

Furthermore, (r, ε) must satisfy (4.86). We plug the expression of $\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2)$ in the latter equation to obtain

$$-\Delta(r)(r^2 + \xi(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\xi(r, \varepsilon^2) = -r^2 < 0,$$

which is not possible since ξ is positive. Therefore, $\partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = 0$.

2. For the uniqueness, we refer to Appendix II of [26] for a detailed proof.

□

Lemma 30. *Let $\varepsilon^2 > 1$. Then $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ does not have critical points and $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ admits a unique extremum (maximum) at $r_{max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon) \in]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$.*

Proof. 1. Let $(r, \varepsilon) \in \overline{D_s^{\geq 1}}$ such that $r = r^c(\varepsilon^2)$ is a critical point for $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$. We have

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0.$$

We differentiate the first equation with respect to r to obtain:

$$\varepsilon \partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) + \varepsilon^2 \partial_r \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \frac{\partial R}{\partial q}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0.$$

Now we differentiate the second equation with respect to r to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r^2}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) + \varepsilon \partial_r \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r \partial \ell_z}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) \\ & + \varepsilon^2 \partial_r \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2) \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r \partial q}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, r is a critical point of $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ since the derivative of R with respect to q does not vanish. Hence, r verifies:

$$\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial r^2}(r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \eta_c(r, \varepsilon^2)) = 0. \quad (4.87)$$

$r \in [r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)]$. Therefore, $r^c(\varepsilon)$ is a triple root of the polynomial R with parameters $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \ell_c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(r^c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2))$. This cannot happen because of Lemma 10.

2. Since $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ does not have critical points, $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ admits two critical points, given by $\overset{\circ}{r}_{\pm}(\varepsilon)$. A study of the function $\overset{\circ}{r}_-$ on $[1, \infty[$ shows that this function is always negative. Since we are looking for critical points in the region $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[\subset]0, \infty[$, $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ admits a unique critical point given by $\overset{\circ}{r}_+(\varepsilon) \in]0, \infty[$. It remains to show that it lies in the region $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$. This is straightforward by the mean value theorem. Indeed, $\eta_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ vanishes at $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$.

□

Finally, we introduce the following notations:

$$\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon \ell_c(r_m(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2) \quad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[\quad (4.88)$$

and

$$q_{max}(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(r_{max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2) \quad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon^2 > 1. \quad (4.89)$$

Lemma 31 (Properties of $\tilde{\ell}_{min}$ and $r_m(\varepsilon)$). *Let $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[$. Then,*

- r_m is monotonically increasing from r_{ms}^+ to $+\infty$ when ε grows monotonically from ε_{min}^+ to 1.
- $\tilde{\ell}_{min}$ is monotonically decreasing from ℓ_{min}^+ to $-\infty$ when ε grows monotonically from ε_{min}^+ to 1.

In particular, if $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$, then

$$r_m(\varepsilon) = r_{ms}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon) = \ell_{min}^-.$$

Moreover, if $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[$, then $r_m(\varepsilon) \in]r_{max}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)[$.

Proof. This follows from the definitions and the implicit function theorem. \square

Based on the above lemmas, we derive the monotonicity properties of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$.

Lemma 32. 1. If $(r, \varepsilon) = (r_{ms}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^+)$, then

$$\ell_c(r, \varepsilon^2) = \frac{\ell_{min}^+}{\varepsilon_{min}^+}. \quad (4.90)$$

2. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-$, then the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on the domain $[\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)]$ has the following properties: $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_m(\varepsilon)[$ from $\frac{\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ to $\frac{\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} < \frac{\ell_{min}^+}{\varepsilon}$ and monotonically increasing on $]r_m(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ from $\frac{\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ to $\frac{\ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$.

Therefore, there exists a unique $r_{lb}(\varepsilon) \in]r_m(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ such that $\ell_c(r_{lb}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2) = \frac{\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$.

3. If $\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$, then the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on the domain $[\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \tilde{r}_{max}^-(\varepsilon)] \sqcup]r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)[$ has the following properties:

- $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]\tilde{r}_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \tilde{r}_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$ from $\frac{\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ to $\frac{\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$.
- $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ is monotonically increasing on $]r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ from $\frac{\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ to $\frac{\ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$.

4. If $(r, \varepsilon) \in \overline{D}_s^{\geq 1}$, then $\forall \varepsilon \geq 1$, the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on the domain $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$ satisfies

- $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$ from $\frac{\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ to $\frac{\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. 1. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ \leq \varepsilon < 1$, then we use Lemmas 29 and 31 to obtain the result.

2. If $\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, then we use Lemma 30 to obtain the result. \square

Now, instead of writing the solutions of the system of equations (4.69)-(4.70) as a two parameter family $(\ell_c, \eta_c)(r, \varepsilon^2)$ indexed by $(r, \varepsilon^2) \in \overline{D}_s$, we will write the solutions of (4.69)-(4.70) as a two-parameter family $(r, \eta_c)(\varepsilon^2, \ell_z)$ indexed by $(\varepsilon^2, \ell_z) \in \overline{D}_{sph}$ where \overline{D}_{sph} is the set of admissible values of (ε^2, ℓ_z) which will be determined. More precisely, we will fix ε so that ℓ_z becomes a function of r only, which will be inverted. This will allow us to write r in terms of ℓ_c . We state the following lemma

Lemma 33. Let $d \in]0, 1[$ and let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$. The system of equations (4.69) – (4.70) admits solutions $(r, q) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \infty[$ if and only if one of the following cases occur.

1. $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^+$. In this case,

$$(r, q) = (r_{ms}^+, 0). \quad (4.91)$$

2. $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-$ and $\ell_z \in [\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)]$.

- If $\ell_z \in [\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)]$, there exist two solutions $(r_s^1, q_s^1)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $(r_s^2, q_s^2)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that
 - $r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lies in the region $[r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_m(\varepsilon)]$ and is given by

$$r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.92)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_m(\varepsilon)[$.

- $r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lies in the region $[r_m(\varepsilon), r_{lb}(\varepsilon)]$ and is given by

$$r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.93)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_m(\varepsilon), r_{lb}(\varepsilon)[$.

- $q_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are given by

$$q_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(r_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon^2).$$

- If $\ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)]$, there exists a unique $(\tilde{r}^+, \tilde{q}^+)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that
 - $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lies in the region $[r_{lb}(\varepsilon, d), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)]$ and is given by

$$\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.94)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_{lb}(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$.

- $\tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is given by

$$\tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon^2),$$

3. $\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\ell_z \in [\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)]$.

- If $\ell_z = \ell_z^+ \geq \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_z^- \leq \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$, then there exists a unique $(\tilde{r}^\pm, \tilde{q}^\pm)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that
 - $\tilde{r}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lie in the region $[r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)]$ and are given by

$$\tilde{r}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z^\pm}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.95)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ and

- $\tilde{q}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are given by

$$\tilde{q}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(\tilde{r}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon^2). \quad (4.96)$$

- If $\ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)]$, there exist two solutions $(\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{q}_1)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{q}_2)(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that
 - $\tilde{r}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lies in the region $[r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)]$ and is given by

$$\tilde{r}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.97)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$.

– $\tilde{r}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lies in the region $]r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ and is given by

$$\tilde{r}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.98)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$.

– $\tilde{q}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are given by

$$\tilde{q}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(\tilde{r}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z, d), \varepsilon^2). \quad (4.99)$$

4. $\varepsilon \geq 1$ and $\ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon, d), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[$. In this case, there a unique $(\bar{r}, \bar{q})(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that

• $\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ lies in the region $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$ and is given by

$$\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \ell_c^{-1} \left(\frac{\ell_z}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad (4.100)$$

where ℓ_c^{-1} is the inverse of the restriction of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on $]r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)[$.

• $\bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is given by

$$\bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon^2) \quad (4.101)$$

and satisfies

$$0 \leq q \leq q_{max}(\varepsilon^2).$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward based on the monotonicity properties of $\ell_c(\cdot, \varepsilon^2)$ on the allowed regions for r given in Lemma 32. \square

The previous lemma allows us to introduce the following subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{spherical}^{\geq 1} := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[: \varepsilon \geq 1, \ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) : q = \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]\}, \quad (4.102)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{spherical}^{\leq 1} := \{(\varepsilon_{min}^+, \ell_{min}^+, 0)\} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_+^{\leq 1} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_-^{\leq 1} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_1^{\leq 1} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{\leq 1}, \quad (4.103)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1^{\leq 1} &:= \left\{ \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^-], \ell_z \in [\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)], q = q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \right\} \\ &\sqcup \left\{ \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^-], \ell_z \in [\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)], q = q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.104)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2^{\leq 1} &:= \left\{ \varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1, \ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)], q = \tilde{q}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \right\} \\ &\sqcup \left\{ \varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1, \ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)], q = \tilde{q}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.105)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_+^{\leq 1} := \{ \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[, \ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)], q = \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \} \quad (4.106)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_-^{\leq 1} := \{ \varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[, \ell_z \in [\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)], q = \tilde{q}^-(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \}. \quad (4.107)$$

Finally, we introduce the set

$$\mathcal{A}_{spherical} := \mathcal{A}_{spherical}^{\geq 1} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{spherical}^{\leq 1}. \quad (4.108)$$

We conclude that if γ is a timelike future directed spherical orbit with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) , then

$$(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{spherical}.$$

This ends the proof of Proposition 7. Reciprocally, we have

Proposition 8. *Let $\gamma : \tau \ni I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) . If $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}_{spherical}$ and γ starts at (t, ϕ, r_s, θ) where r_s is determined by one of the cases of Lemma 32. Then, γ is spherical.*

4.1.4 Roots of the fourth order polynomial R

In this section, we will determine the number of solutions in r of the equation

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0 \quad (4.109)$$

in the region $]r_H, \infty[$ based on the possible values of (ε, ℓ_z, q) . This will allow us to compute the ZVCs associated to timelike future-directed geodesics. First, we recall that R is defined by

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = (\varepsilon(r^2 + d^2) - d\ell_z)^2 - (r^2 - 2r + d^2)(r^2 + (d\varepsilon - \ell_z)^2 + q).$$

Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. We will study the existence of roots of the polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ in the region (r_H, ∞) . First of all, we note that:

1. By Lemma 11, if $q < 0$, then $\varepsilon > 1$ and the equation $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0$ does not have roots in the region (r_H, ∞) .
2. $\forall (r, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (r_H, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, the functions $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ and $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot, d)$ are monotonically decreasing on \mathbb{R} .
3. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and let $r(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in]r_H, \infty[$ be a root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. Then, if r is a simple root, then it defines a smooth function of (ε, ℓ_z, q) . Indeed, we have

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \neq 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem, r is locally a smooth function of (ε, ℓ_z, q) .

4. Finally, we note that in general, the roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ can be parametrised by functions of (ε, ℓ_z, q) which are merely continuous when double or triple roots occur.

Based on the asymptotics of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ (4.31), we will separate the cases $\varepsilon < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 1$. First of all, we classify the roots of R when $q = 0$. We state

Proposition 9 (Roots of R when $q = 0$). *Let $(\varepsilon^2, \ell_z) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, then the roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ are summarised in Tables 2 and 1.*

$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$		$\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^-$		$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$		
$\ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$	$\ell_z = \ell_{min}^+$	$\ell_z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \ell_{min}^+$	$\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$	$\ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $\ell_z \neq \ell_{min}^-$	$\ell_z = \ell_{min}^-$	$\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$
One root	One triple root r_{ms}^+	One root	Three roots	One root	Three roots	One triple root r_{ms}^-

Table 1: Possible roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$

Proof. 1. We will write details for the case $\varepsilon^2 > 1$. The other cases follow using the same method.

2. Assume that $\varepsilon^2 > 1$. We recall that if $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^\pm(\varepsilon)$, then $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ admits a unique double root in the region $]r_h(d), \infty[$ given by $r = r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)$.

$\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^+$	$\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$		$\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$	
$\ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$	$\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$	$\ell_z \geq \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$	$\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$	$\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$
One root	No roots	Two roots	One root	Three roots

Table 2: Possible roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$

3. Now, in view of Lemma 10, it suffices to prove that if $\ell_z \in]-\infty, \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)] \cup [\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), +\infty[$, then there exists $r \in]r_H, \infty[$ such that $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) \leq 0$. Otherwise, $\forall r \in]r_H, \infty[$, $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) > 0$. To this end, we claim that $\forall \varepsilon^2 > 1$, the function $R(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \cdot, 0)$ is monotonically decreasing on $[\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), +\infty[$ and the function $R(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \cdot, 0)$ is monotonically increasing on $]-\infty, \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)]$,

- Indeed, we note the following relation between $\varepsilon, \ell_{lb}^\pm(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)$:

$$\ell_{lb}^\pm(\varepsilon) - 2 \frac{\ell_{lb}^\pm(\varepsilon) - d\varepsilon}{r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)} = \mp \frac{\Delta\left(\frac{1}{r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{Q_\mp(r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon))}{r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)}}} \quad \text{where} \quad Q_\mp(u) := 1 - 3u \mp 2du^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

- Moreover, we recall that $\forall \varepsilon > 1, \forall d \in [0, 1]$, $r_{max}^-(\varepsilon) = r_{max}^-(\varepsilon, d) > 2$ and there exists $0 < d_0 < 1$ such that $\forall \varepsilon > 1, \forall d \in [d_0, 1]$, $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon) = r_{max}^+(\varepsilon, d) < 2$
- Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \ell_z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \ell_z) &= -2r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)(2d\varepsilon + (r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon) - 2)\ell_z) \\ &= -2(r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon))^2(\varepsilon) \left(\ell_z - 2 \frac{\ell_z - d\varepsilon}{r_{max}^\pm(\varepsilon)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

- (a) If $\ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < 0$, then

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r_{max}^-(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \ell_z) > 2(r_{max}^-)^2 \frac{\Delta\left(\frac{1}{r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{Q_+(r_{max}^-(\varepsilon))}{r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)}}} > 0.$$

- (b) If $\ell_z > \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) > 0$, then

- if $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon) \geq 2$, then $\frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \ell_z) < 0$.
- Otherwise, we have

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \ell_z}(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \ell_z) < -2(r_{max}^+)^2 \frac{\Delta\left(\frac{1}{r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{Q_-(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon))}{r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)}}} < 0.$$

Hence,

- For $\ell_z > \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, we have $R(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) < R(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \cdot, \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)) = 0$.
- For $\ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$, we have $R(r_{max}^-(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) < R(r_{max}^-(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \cdot, \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)) = 0$.

This ends the proof. □

Now, we discuss the general case.

4.1.4.1 Case $\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$

Proposition 10. *Let $\varepsilon^2 > 1$. The possible number of roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ are summarised in Table 3.*

$\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$			
$\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$		$\ell_z \geq \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$	
$q \geq \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q < \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q \geq 0$	$q < 0$
Two roots	No roots	Two roots	No roots

Table 3: Possible roots of R in the unbounded case

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in [1, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and consider the equation in r

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0. \quad (4.110)$$

By Lemma 10, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ admits either zero roots or two roots in the region $]r_H, \infty[$. Moreover, by Lemma 11, if $q < 0$, then $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ has no roots. Now, assume that $q \geq 0$. Since $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on \mathbb{R} , we have: if $r_0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ is a root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$, then

$$\forall q > 0 : R(r_0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) < R(r_0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) = 0.$$

Hence, in view of the asymptotics, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ admits two roots.

Now, by lemma 9 $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ admits two roots if and only if $\ell_z \geq \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$. Hence, if $\ell_z > \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ admits two simple roots for all $q \geq 0$. Moreover, if $q = 0$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^\pm(\varepsilon)$, then the roots coincide.

Now, assume that $\ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[$. Then, by Proposition 7, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ admits a double root if and only if $q = \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, d)$ and it is given by $\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. By the monotonicity properties of $R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$, we have

$$\forall q > \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) , R(\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) < R(\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon, \ell_z, \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0$$

Now assume that $q < \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Then,

$$\forall r > r_H : R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) > R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, R has no roots. This ends the proof. □

$0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^+$	$\varepsilon_{min}^+ \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-$					
$\ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$	$\ell_z < \tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon)$	$\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$			$\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$	
$q \geq 0$	$q \geq 0$	$0 \leq q < q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq q \leq q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q > q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$0 \leq q \leq \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q > \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$
One root	One root	One root	Three roots	One root	Three roots	One root
$r_{abs}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	$r_{abs}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	$r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	$r_{abs}^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	$r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	$r_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$	$r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$

Table 4: Possible roots or R in the bounded case

$\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$					
$\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$			$\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon) \leq \ell_z \leq \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$		
$0 \leq q < \tilde{q}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$\tilde{q}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq q \leq \tilde{q}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q > \tilde{q}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$0 \leq q \leq \tilde{q}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	$q > \tilde{q}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$	
$r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	$r_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$	$r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	$r_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$	$r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$	

Table 5: Possible roots or R in the bounded case4.1.4.2 Case $\varepsilon^2 < 1$

Proposition 11. Assume that $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in]-1, 1[\times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[$. The possible number of roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ are summarised in Table 4 and Table 5.

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in]0, 1[\times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[$. By Lemma 10, R admits either one root or three roots in the region $]r_H, \infty[$. Note also that $\partial_r R$ has either no roots or two roots in the region $]r_H, \infty[$.

By Lemma 9, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ admits three roots if and only if $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)]$ or $\varepsilon_{min}^-(d) < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\ell_z \in [\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)]$. Hence,

1. If $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^+$, then $\forall \ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ admits a unique root and $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) < 0$. Therefore,

$$\forall q \geq 0 : \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \leq \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) < 0.$$

Hence, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ admits a unique root.

2. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+(d) \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^-(d)$

- (a) If $\ell_z \in [\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)]$. Then, $R(\cdot, \ell_z, 0)$ admits three roots and $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ admits two roots, denoted by $r_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

- If $q = \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ admits a double root given by $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
- By monotonicity properties of $\partial_r R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$, we have

$$\forall q < \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) > \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \varepsilon, \ell_z, \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0.$$

Thus, $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ admits two roots. This yields to three roots for $R(\cdot, \ell_z, q)$.

- We have,

$$\forall q > \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \quad \forall r > r_H, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) < \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \leq 0.$$

The latter inequality is due to the fact that $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a global maximum for $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$. Hence, $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ does not change sign. In this case, $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ admits only one root.

- (b) The remaining cases $\ell_z \in]0, \tilde{\ell}_{min}^+(\varepsilon)[$ and $\ell_z \in [\tilde{\ell}_{min}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[$ follow using similar arguments.

3. We use similar arguments for the remaining case $\varepsilon_{min}^- \leq \varepsilon < 1$

□

4.1.5 Roots of the fourth order polynomial T

In this section, we recall the solutions of

$$T(Y, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0 \tag{4.111}$$

in the region $] -1, 1[$ at a given $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

1. If $q \geq 0$, then by Lemma 13, T admits two roots given by (4.34) which coincide if and only if $q = 0$.
2. Otherwise, $\varepsilon^2 > 1$. Then T admits two distinct roots $\mu_{\pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ in the region $] -1, 1[$ which satisfy

$$-1 < \mu_- < 0 < \mu_+ < 1$$

and are given by

$$\cos \mu_{\pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = \pm \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q + \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q)^2 - 4qd^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}$$

We end this section by defining the following angles:

- $\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ defined on the domain $\{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) : \varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}^-, \ell_z \in]\ell_{min}^+, \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[\}$ by the following expression:

$$\cos \theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z))^2 - 4q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}, \tag{4.112}$$

- $\tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ defined on the domain $\{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) : \varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1, \ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[\}$ by the following expression:

$$\cos \tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \tilde{q}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \tilde{q}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z))^2 - 4\tilde{q}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}, \quad (4.113)$$

- $\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ defined on the domain $\{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) : \varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-, \ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)[\}$ by the following expression:

$$\cos \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z))^2 - 4\tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}, \quad (4.114)$$

- $\bar{\theta}_{max}^{>1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ defined on the domain $\{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) : \varepsilon > 1, \ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[\}$ by the following expression:

$$\cos \bar{\theta}_{max}^{>1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := \frac{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) + \sqrt{(\ell_z^2 + d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))^2 - 4\bar{q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)})}{2d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}, \quad (4.115)$$

Remark 18. A timelike geodesic with negative q must either in the region $]r_H, \infty[\times]\arccos \mu_-(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi[$ or in the region $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \arccos \mu_+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), [$

4.1.6 Stationary solutions of the geodesic equation

In this section, we compute stationary solutions corresponding to the free particle (future directed) Hamiltonian moving in the exterior region of a Kerr spacetime. This will allow us to analyze the geodesic motion in Weyl coordinates. See Section 4.2.

We state the main result of this section

Lemma 34. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a solution of (3.4) with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z, q) such that $\forall \tau \in I, (\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(\tau) \in \Gamma$.*

- *The system (3.4) admits direct stationary solutions $(\gamma_s, \dot{\gamma}_s) = (x_s, v_s)$ if and only if $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_{min}^+$, $d\ell_z > 0$ and $q = 0$. In this case,*

1. (r_s, θ_s) is given by

$$\theta_s = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and

- if $\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, $r_s = r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$,
- if $\varepsilon^2 < 1$, $r_s \in \{r_{min}^+(\varepsilon), r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)\}$,

where $r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$ are given by Lemma 21.

2. Moreover, ℓ_z is given by

- if $\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$
- if $\varepsilon^2 < 1$, $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ if $r_s = r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ if $r_s = r_{min}^+(\varepsilon)$,

where $\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $\ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ are defined in (4.60). Furthermore, we have a lower bound on ℓ_z :

$$\ell_z \geq \ell_{min}^+.$$

- The system (3.4) admits retrograde stationary solutions $(\gamma_s, \dot{\gamma}_s) = (x_s, v_s)$ if and only if $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_{min}^-$, $dl_z < 0$ and $q = 0$. In this case,

1. (r_s, θ_s) is given by

$$\theta_s = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and

- if $\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, $r_s = r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$,
- if $\varepsilon^2 < 1$, $r_s \in \{r_{min}^-(\varepsilon), r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)\}$.

2. Moreover, ℓ_z is given by

- if $\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$
- if $\varepsilon^2 < 1$, $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$ if $r_s = r_{max}^-(\varepsilon)$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$ if $r_s = r_{min}^-(\varepsilon)$.

Furthermore, we have an upper bound on ℓ_z :

$$\ell_z \leq \ell_{min}^-.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the previous results:

1. By Lemma 7, stationary solutions (x_s, v_s) verify $v_s^r = v_s^\theta = 0$, r_s is a double root of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ and $\cos \theta_s$ is a double root of $T(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$.
2. Now, by Lemma 13, $q = 0$ and $\theta_s = \frac{\pi}{2}$.
3. Therefore, stationary solutions of (3.4) are the circular orbits confined in the equatorial plane.
4. We conclude using Proposition 5 and Proposition 6.

□

4.1.7 Classification of timelike future directed geodesics

In this section, we classify the timelike future directed geodesics according to the roots of $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. First of all, we determine $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, which is a curve in the (r, θ) -plane with possibly different connected components, associated to a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) . Moreover, the condition (4.30) yields restrictions on the initial position $(r(0), \theta(0))$. The classification is based on the possible values of (ε, ℓ_z, q) and $(r(0), \theta(0))$.

Let $\gamma : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(\tau) := (r(\tau), \theta(\tau))$ be its projection in the (r, θ) -plane. We recall that by separability of the geodesics equation, the radial motion decouples from the motion in θ direction. Moreover, $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfies the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^4 \dot{r}^2 &= R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \\ \Sigma^4 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\theta}^2 &= T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \end{aligned}$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Carter constant, the fourth integral of motion associated to γ . In order to determine $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, we will use q as a parameter for the curve $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. We state the first result of this section concerning the classification of $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ associated to γ .

Proposition 12. (*Shape of Zero-velocity curves*) Let $d \in]0, 1[$ and let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

1. If $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^+$,
 - (a) If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^+$, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} with a singular point at $\left(r_{ms}^+, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. (See Figure 3)
 - (b) Otherwise, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} with the following properties: it is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at a unique point $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$, the unique root of the polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$.
2. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-$,
 - (a) If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^-$, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} with a singular point at $\left(r_{ms}^-, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.
 - (b) Otherwise,
 - i. If $\ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} with the following properties: it is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at a unique point $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$, the unique root of the polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$. (See Figure 4)
 - ii. If $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a self-intersecting smooth curve with the following properties: it is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at two points $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) < r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$, the roots of the polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$. Moreover, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ admits one singular point $\left(r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ where it self-intersects. (See Figure 6)
 - iii. If $\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve with two connected components: $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 and $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . The latter curves are symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane. $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersects it at $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ and $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ and $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersects it at $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$.
 - iv. $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve with two connected components: the point $\left(r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . The latter curve is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$. (See Figure 8)
3. If $\varepsilon_{min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$,
 - (a) If $\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} with the following properties: it is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at a unique point $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$.
 - (b) If $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth self-intersection curve with the following properties: it is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at two points $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) < r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$. Moreover, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ admits one singular point $\left(r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ where it self-intersects.
 - (c) If $\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve with two connected components: $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 and $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . The latter curves are symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane. $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersects it at $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ and $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ and $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersects it at $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$.

(d) If $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve with two connected components: the point $\left(r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . The latter curve is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersects it at $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$.

4. If $\varepsilon > 1$

(a) If $\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve with two connected components $Z^{K,z>0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{K,z<0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ which are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} and which do not intersect the equatorial plane. $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane. (See Figure 5)

(b) If $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ has the following properties: It consists of the union of two connected curves diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} which intersect at the point $\left(r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. They are symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and intersect at the point $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$. (See Figure 7)

(c) If $\ell_z > \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$, then $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a smooth curve with two connected components: $Z^{K,scat}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} and $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . The latter curves are symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane. $Z^{K,scat}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersects it at $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ and $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersects it at $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$. (See Figure 9)

Proof. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future directed geodesic with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}^{admissible}$. Let $(r, \theta) \in Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. By Lemma 8, we have

$$R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0, \quad (4.116)$$

where

$$q(\theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \cos^2 \theta \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right). \quad (4.117)$$

$q(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is monotonically decreasing on $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ from ∞ to 0 if $\ell_z \neq 0$ and from $d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)$ to 0 if $\ell_z = 0$ and monotonically increasing on $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$ from 0 to ∞ .

By the definition of R and (4.16), it is easy to express q in terms of the remaining variables:

$$q = \bar{q}(r) := \frac{((r^2 + d^2)\varepsilon - d\ell_z)^2}{\Delta} - (r^2 + (\ell_z - d\varepsilon)^2). \quad (4.118)$$

Moreover, and $\forall r \in]r_H, \infty[$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \Delta^{-1}(r) \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \bar{q}(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z)).$$

In the following, we will use (4.117) and (4.118) in order to eliminate q from the equations. As a consequence, either θ will be seen as a function of r or r as a function of θ . This will determine $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

1. If $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^+$

(a) If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^+$, then

- if $q = 0$, there exists a unique triple root $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0) = r_{ms}^+$ which solves (4.116). See

- if $q > 0$, there exists a unique simple root $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ which solves (4.116).

The application $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is well defined continuous on $[0, \infty[$ and is monotonically decreasing on $]0, \infty[$ from r_{ms}^+ to r_H . Moreover, $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is smooth on $]0, \infty[$ and we have

$$\forall q > 0, \quad \frac{\partial r_{abs}}{\partial q}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = -\frac{\frac{\partial R}{\partial q}(r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}.$$

Now, by (4.117) and the above properties, the function $\theta \ni]0, \pi[\rightarrow r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta))$ is smooth on $]0, \pi[\setminus \frac{\pi}{2}$ with

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)) \right|_{\frac{\pi}{2}^-} = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)) \right|_{\frac{\pi}{2}^+} = +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \text{Graph}(r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))),$$

with a singular point at $(r_{ms}^+, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

- (b) Otherwise, $\forall q \geq 0$, there exists a unique simple root $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ which solves (4.116). Moreover, the application $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is well defined, smooth and monotonically decreasing on $[0, \infty[$ from $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ to r_H .

Hence

$$Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \text{Graph}(r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))),$$

which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

2. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-$,

- (a) $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$ and $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^-$, then we use similar arguments to those of Case 1.a.

- (b) Otherwise,

- If $\ell_z < \tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon)$, then $\forall q \geq 0$, there exists a unique simple root $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q, d)$ which solves (4.116). Moreover, the application $r_{abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot, d)$ is well defined on $[0, \infty[$ and is monotonically decreasing from $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ to r_H and we refer to Case 1.b for conclusions.
- If $\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, then $\forall q \geq 0$, the solutions in r of (4.116) are given by

$$\begin{cases} r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } 0 \leq q < q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \\ r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq q \leq q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \\ r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } q > q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \end{cases}$$

These solutions satisfy

- $r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ if and only if $q = q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
- $r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ if and only if $q = q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
- $r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \leq r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \leq r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$,

where $q_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are defined in Lemma 33. Moreover, seen as functions of q , $r_{abs}^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ have the following monotonicity properties

- $r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]0, q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ from $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to $r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
- $r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ from $r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to $r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,

• $r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \infty[$ from $r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to r_H ,
Now, we construct an atlas for $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$:

- We recall the angles $\theta_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ defined by (4.112).
- By monotonicity properties of q as a function of θ , we can define the following functions

A. $r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]\theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)).$$

Here, $r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and has a maximum at $\frac{\pi}{2}$ given by $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

B. $r_{abs}^{2,a}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)).$$

C. $r_{abs}^{2,b}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]\pi - \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$r_{abs}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)).$$

D. $r_{abs}^{3,a}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]0, \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]r_H, r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)),$$

E. $r_{abs}^{3,b}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]\pi - \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi[\rightarrow]r_H, r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$r_{abs}^3(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)),$$

- It remains to cover the points $(r_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \theta^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$ and $(r_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$. To this end, we introduce the following functions

A. $\theta_{abs}^a(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$\theta_{abs}^a(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(r)) := \left(q|_{(0, \frac{\pi}{2})}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) \right)^{-1}(\bar{q}(r))$$

where $\bar{q}(r)$ is given by (4.118) and $\left(q|_{(0, \frac{\pi}{2})}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) \right)^{-1}$ is the inverse of the restriction of $q(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$.

B. $\theta_{abs}^b(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]r_H, r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ defined by

$$\theta_{abs}^b(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(r)) := \left(q|_{(0, \frac{\pi}{2})}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \right)^{-1}(\bar{q}(r))$$

where $\bar{q}(r)$ is given by (4.118) and $\left(q|_{(0, \frac{\pi}{2})}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) \right)^{-1}$ is the inverse of the restriction of $q(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$. In particular, when $r = r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ we have $\theta_{abs}^b(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(r)) = \theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

C. We also introduce $\pi - \theta_{abs}^a(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ and $\pi - \theta_{abs}^b(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ whose images lie in $] \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi [$.

- Therefore, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = & \text{Graph}(r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(r_{abs}^{2,a}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(r_{abs}^{2,b}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \\ & \cup \text{Graph}(r_{abs}^{3,a}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(r_{abs}^{3,b}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(\theta_{abs}^a(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \\ & \cup \text{Graph}(\theta_{abs}^b(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(\pi - \theta_{abs}^a(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \\ & \cup \text{Graph}(\pi - \theta_{abs}^b(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))). \end{aligned}$$

- iii. If $\ell_z = \tilde{\ell}_{min}^+(\varepsilon, d)$, the above analysis remain valid and we obtain the same result. The only difference between the two cases is that $r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $q_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = q_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = q_m(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, where,

$$q_m(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \varepsilon^2 \eta_c(r_m(\varepsilon), \varepsilon^2) \quad \text{and } r_m(\varepsilon) \text{ is defined in Lemma 29.}$$

Therefore, r_{abs}^i coincide and we can describe $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ as the graph of one function $r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ defined on $(0, \pi)$ which satisfies

$$\frac{\partial r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))}{\partial \theta}(\theta_m(\varepsilon)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 r_{abs}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))}{\partial \theta^2}(\theta_m(\varepsilon)) = 0,$$

where $\theta_m(\varepsilon)$ is the angle in $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ which satisfies

$$q(\theta) = q_m(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

- iv. If $\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$. We proceed as in Case 2.b.ii: we have $\forall q \geq 0$, the solutions in r of (4.116) are given by

$$\begin{cases} r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } q > \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \\ r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } 0 \leq q \leq \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \end{cases}$$

These solutions satisfy

- $r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = \tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ if and only if $q = \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
- $r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) < r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \leq \tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$,

where $\tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are defined in Lemma 33. Moreover, seen as functions of q , $r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ and $r_{tr}^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ have the following monotonicity properties

- $r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]0, \infty[$ from $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to r_H ,
- $r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically increasing on $]0, \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ from $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
- $r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]0, \tilde{q}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ from $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ to $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,

Now, we construct an atlas for $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. The properties that $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ has two connected components such that one is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} and the other is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 will follow from the construction. To this end,

- We recall the angle $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ defined by (4.114).
- We define the following mappings

A.

$$\begin{aligned} r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[& \rightarrow]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \\ \theta & \mapsto r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.119}$$

B.

$$\begin{aligned} r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\\ \theta \mapsto r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.120)$$

C. $r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ defined by

$$r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\theta)). \quad (4.121)$$

- In order to cover the points $(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$ and $(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$, we introduce the mapping $\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot)) :]r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\rightarrow]\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2}[$ defined by

$$\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(r)) := \left(q|_{(0, \frac{\pi}{2})}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) \right)^{-1}(q(r)) \quad (4.122)$$

and its symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane $\pi - \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$. In particular, when $r = \tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, we have $\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(r)) = \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

- Now, we set

$$Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = Graph(r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = & Graph(r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup Graph(r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \\ & \cup Graph(\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup Graph(\pi - \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))). \end{aligned}$$

- In order to show that $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are disjoint, we use the monotonicity properties of $r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ and $r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ and the fact that

$$r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

- Moreover, it is easy to see that $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is closed.

v. If $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, we use the same arguments as in the previous case. The only difference is that when $\ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

More precisely, when $q = 0$, the equation (4.116) admits one double root given by $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = r_{max}^+(\varepsilon)$ and one simple root given by $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

Therefore, $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ intersect at the point $(r_{max}^+(\varepsilon), \frac{\pi}{2})$.

3. The remain cases follow in the same manner

- At a given (ε, ℓ_z) , we use Proposition 11 and Proposition 10 to determine the roots to the equation (4.116). These roots are functions of q , which can also be seen as a function of θ .
- We construct an atlas that covers the set of solutions, i.e. $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Locally, it is either the graph of r depending on θ or of θ depending on r using similar arguments as above.

□

Following the above proposition, we introduce the following sets that will be used along this work.

Definition 16.

$$\mathcal{A}_{bound}^+ := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1) \times (\ell_{min}^+, +\infty) : \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)\}, \quad (4.123)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{bound}^- := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in (\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1) \times (-\infty, \ell_{min}^-) : \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)\}, \quad (4.124)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{bound} := \mathcal{A}_{bound}^+ \cup \mathcal{A}_{bound}^-, \quad (4.125)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{scattered}^+ := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in [1, \infty) \times (\ell_{min}^+, +\infty) : \ell_z > \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)\}, \quad (4.126)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{scattered}^- := \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in [1, \infty) \times (-\infty, \ell_{min}^-) : \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)\}, \quad (4.127)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{scattered} := \mathcal{A}_{scattered}^+ \cup \mathcal{A}_{scattered}^-, \quad (4.128)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{circ}^+ := & \{(\varepsilon_{min}^+, \ell_{min}^+)\} \cup \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \infty[\times (\ell_{min}^+, +\infty) : \ell_z = \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)\} \\ & \cup \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \infty[\times (\ell_{min}^+, +\infty) : \ell_z = \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon) \quad , \quad \varepsilon < 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.129)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{circ}^- := & \{(\varepsilon_{min}^-, \ell_{min}^-)\} \cup \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, \infty[\times (\ell_{min}^-, +\infty) : \ell_z = \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)\} \\ & \cup \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, \infty[\times (-\infty, \ell_{min}^-) : \ell_z = \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon) \quad , \quad \varepsilon < 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.130)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{circ} := \mathcal{A}_{circ}^+ \cup \mathcal{A}_{circ}^-, \quad (4.131)$$

We also define the remaining subsets of $]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{abs}^{<1} := \left\{ (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}^{admissible} : \varepsilon < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \notin (\mathcal{A}_{bound} \cup \mathcal{A}_{circ}) \right\}, \quad (4.132)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{abs}^{\geq 1} := \left\{ (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}^{admissible} : \varepsilon \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \notin (\mathcal{A}_{bound} \cup \mathcal{A}_{circ}) \right\}, \quad (4.133)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{abs} := \mathcal{A}_{abs}^{<1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{abs}^{\geq 1}. \quad (4.134)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{A}^{admissible} = \mathcal{A}_{abs} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{circ} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{scattered} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{bound}.$$

Only (ε, ℓ_z) are required to characterise the zero velocity curves. This allows one to determine the allowed regions for a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) . However, these constants of motion are not enough to determine the nature of orbits. An orbit with same (ε, ℓ_z) but starting at a two different positions in the (r, θ) -plane can have two different behaviours, as we shall see in the remaining of this section. In this context, we note that the above definitions of the different subsets can be misleading. For example, assuming that $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$ does not necessarily imply that the orbit is trapped. One needs more assumptions to obtain boundedness. Moreover, there exist trapped geodesics such that $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \notin \mathcal{A}_{bound}$.




Figure 3: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = (\varepsilon_{min}^+, \ell_{min}^+)$ when $d = 0.9$

Our definition of \mathcal{A}_{bound} is such that the associated allowed region has a compact connected component.

A full classification of timelike future directed orbits is achieved by finding a foliation of the mass shell Γ indexed by (ε, ℓ_z, q) and by studying the initial position $(t(0), \phi(0), r(0), \theta(0))$ (See Lemma 5).

Now, we determine the allowed region $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ associated to γ . By Lemma 12, we obtain

Lemma 35. *Let $(\gamma, I \ni 0)$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) and let $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ be the allowed region associated to γ :*

- *If $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ consists of two connected components. The component which frontier in $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ is $Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is compact and it will be denoted by $A^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and the component which frontier in $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ is $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ will be denoted by $A^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$*
- *If $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{scattered}$, $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ consists of two connected components. The component which frontier in $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ is $Z^{K, scat}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is closed and unbounded and it will be denoted by $A^{K, scat}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and the component which frontier in $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ is $Z^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ will be denoted by $A^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.*




Figure 4: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{abs}^{<1}$ when $d = 0.9$

- If $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ}$, then
 - if $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ} \cap \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) : \varepsilon < 1\}$, then $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is the union of two connected components which intersect at the point $(r_s^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$. The first component is bounded by $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and the second component is compact and bounded by $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
 - if $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{circ} \cap \{(\varepsilon, \ell_z) : \varepsilon \geq 1\}$, then $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ consists of two connected components which intersect at the point $(r_s^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$. The first component is bounded by $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and the second component is bounded by $Z^{K,scat}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
- If $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{abs}^{<1}$, $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ consists of one connected component which frontier is given by $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ will be denoted by $A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
- Otherwise, $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is $]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$. In this case, we split $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in three regions
 - $A^{K,z>0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, the region in \mathcal{B} located between the first component of the axis of symmetry and $Z^{K,z>0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
 - $A^{K,z<0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, the region in \mathcal{B} located between the second component of the axis of symmetry and $Z^{K,z<0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$
 - $\tilde{A}^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, the remaining region which contains the equatorial plane and which frontier in \mathcal{B} is given by $Z^{K,z>0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \sqcup Z^{K,z<0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$




Figure 5: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{abs}^{\geq 1}$ when $d = 0.9$

Now, we announce the second main result of this section

Proposition 13. (Classification of timelike future-directed geodesics) Let $\gamma : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \in \mathcal{A}^{admissible} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be its projection in the (r, θ) -plane.

1. If $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^+$

(a) if $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^+$, $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^+$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_{ms}^+, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.

(b) Otherwise, the orbit $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point, (r_0, θ_0) in the region $A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times]0, \pi[$ and reaches the horizon $r = r_H$ in a finite proper time while oscillating around the equatorial plane between θ_0 and $\pi - \theta_0$, where $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is the unique root of the equation (4.109).

2. If $\varepsilon_{min}^+ < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{min}^-$,

(a) if $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}^-$, $\ell_z = \ell_{min}^-$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_{ms}^-, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.

(b) Otherwise,




Figure 6: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{circ}}$ and $\varepsilon < 1$ when $d = 0.9$

- i. if $\ell_z < \ell_{\text{lb}}^+(\varepsilon)$
- If $\ell_z = \tilde{\ell}_{\text{min}}^+(\varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at the point $(r_m(\varepsilon), \theta_m(\varepsilon))$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is spherical of radius $r_m(\varepsilon)$.
 - If $\ell_z < \tilde{\ell}_{\text{min}}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \tilde{\ell}_{\text{min}}^+(\varepsilon)$ and starts at some point different from $(r_m(\varepsilon), \theta_m(\varepsilon))$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and reaches the horizon in a finite proper time. See Case 1.b.
 - Otherwise, i.e. $\tilde{\ell}_{\text{min}}(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{\text{lb}}^+(\varepsilon)$,
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts somewhere in the region $\{r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\} \times]\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ or in the region $\{r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\} \times]\theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ has a constant radius given by $r_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and oscillates between $\theta_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $\pi - \theta_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the θ direction, where $r_s^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are defined in Lemma 33 and $\theta_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are given by (4.112).
 - If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts somewhere in the region $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]r_H, r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times]0, \pi[$ or in the region $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]r_s^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times]\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$, then it reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
 - Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is trapped.
- ii. if $\ell_z = \ell_{\text{lb}}^+(\varepsilon)$,




Figure 7: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{circ}}$ and $\varepsilon \geq 1$ when $d = 0.9$

- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.
- Otherwise,
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,
 - * if $\tilde{\gamma}$ is confined to the equatorial plane and starts with positive radial velocity, then it approaches the circle of radius $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in an infinite proper time.
 - * Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ reaches the horizon in a finite proper time,
 - If, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then it is either trapped or spherical of radius $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
- iii. if $\ell_{\text{lb}}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{\text{ub}}^+(\varepsilon)$
 - If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is either trapped or spherical with radius $\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ given by Lemma 33.
 - Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
- iv. if $\ell_z = \ell_{\text{ub}}^+(\varepsilon)$,
 - If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.




Figure 8: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$ when $d = 0.9$

- Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.

3. If $\varepsilon_{\min}^- < \varepsilon < 1$,

(a) if $\ell_{\text{lb}}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{\text{lb}}^+(\varepsilon)$

- if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts somewhere in the region $\{\tilde{r}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\} \times [\tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$ or in the region $\{\tilde{r}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\} \times [\tilde{\theta}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \tilde{\theta}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ has a constant radius given by $\tilde{r}^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and oscillates between $\tilde{\theta}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $\pi - \tilde{\theta}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the θ direction, where $\tilde{r}^i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is defined in Lemma 33 and $\tilde{\theta}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is defined by (4.113).
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts somewhere in the region $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]r_H, \tilde{r}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times]0, \pi[)$ or in the region $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]\tilde{r}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times]\tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[)$, then it reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
- Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is trapped.

(b) if $\ell_z = \ell_{\text{lb}}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_{\text{lb}}^-(\varepsilon)$

- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.
- Otherwise,
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,




Figure 9: Shape of the zero velocity curve associated to a direct orbit with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{scattered}$ when $d = 0.9$

- * if $\tilde{\gamma}$ is confined to the equatorial plane and starts with positive radial velocity, then it approaches the circle of radius $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in an infinite proper time.
 - * Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ reaches the horizon in a finite proper time,
 - If, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then it is either trapped or spherical of radius $r_s^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
- (c) if $\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon)$
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is either trapped or spherical with radius $\tilde{r}^\pm(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, given by Lemma 33.
 - Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
- (d) if $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)$,
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.
 - Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
- (e) If $\varepsilon^2 > 1$
- i. If $\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) < \ell_z < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)$, then
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at the point $\{\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\} \times [\bar{\theta}_{max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ has a constant radius and oscillates between $\bar{\theta}_{max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $\pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the θ

- direction, where $\bar{\theta}_{\max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is given by (4.115)
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in the region $]r_H, \infty[\times [\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \setminus \{\bar{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\} \times [\bar{\theta}_{\max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{\max}^{\geq 1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ remains in the region $A^{K, q \geq 0}$.
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts with a negative radial velocity, then it reaches the horizon in a finite proper time while oscillating around the equatorial plane.
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts with a positive radial velocity, then it goes to infinity while oscillating around the equatorial plane.
 - If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts in the region $A^{K, z > 0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ or in the region $A^{K, z < 0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Then,
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts with a negative radial velocity, then it reaches the horizon in a finite proper time while staying inside $A^{K, z > 0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ or $A^{K, z < 0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
 - if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts with a positive radial velocity, then it goes to infinity while staying in the region $A^{K, z > 0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ or $A^{K, z < 0}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
- ii. If $\ell_z = \ell_{\text{ib}}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z = \ell_{\text{ib}}^-(\varepsilon)$, then
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at $(r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a circle confined in the equatorial plane.
 - Otherwise,
 - If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$
 - * If γ is confined in the equatorial plane and starts with a positive radial velocity, then it approaches the circle of radius $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in an infinite proper time,
 - * otherwise, it reaches the horizon in a finite proper time.
 - otherwise, i.e if $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in $A^{K, \text{scattered}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then
 - * If γ is confined in the equatorial plane and starts with a negative radial velocity, then it approaches the circle of radius $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in an infinite proper time.
 - * Otherwise, the orbit (with negative initial radial velocity) hits a potential barrier and goes back infinity while oscillating around the equatorial plane or (with positive initial radial velocity) goes to infinity while trapped in the θ – direction between $\theta(0)$ and $\pi - \theta(0)$.
 approaches the circle of radius $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, 0)$ and confined in the equatorial plane in an infinite proper time.
- iii. If $\ell_z > \ell_{\text{ib}}^+(\varepsilon)$ or $\ell_z < \ell_{\text{ib}}^-(\varepsilon)$, then
- If $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in the region $A^{K, \text{scattered}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then the orbit (with negative initial radial velocity) hits a potential barrier and goes back infinity while oscillating around the equatorial plane between... or (with positive initial radial velocity) goes to infinity while trapped in the θ – direction.
 - Otherwise, $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts at some point in the region $A^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. It reaches the horizon in a finite proper time while oscillating around the equatorial plane.

Proof. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with initial conditions $(\gamma(0), \dot{\gamma}(0))$. First of all, recall that by Lemma 5, the nature of γ is determined by the set (ε, ℓ_z, q) and $\gamma(0) = (t(0), \phi(0), r(0), \theta(0))$. Moreover, due to spacetime symmetries, it suffices to determine the nature of its projection in the (r, θ) –plane. We compute (ε, ℓ_z, q) from the initial condition by:

$$\varepsilon = V_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^t(0) - W_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^\phi(0),$$

$$\ell_z = W_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^t(0) + X_K(r(0), \theta(0))v^\phi(0),$$

and

$$q = v_\theta(0)^2 + \cos^2 \theta(0) \left(d^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + \frac{\ell_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta(0)} \right),^{15}$$

Now, we study the possible maximal solutions of the reduced system (4.29) for all $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}^{admissible}$. Let $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$ and let $(\tilde{v}_r, \tilde{v}_\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r, \\ \frac{dv_r}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(-\Delta'(r)v_r^2 + \frac{\Delta'(r)R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r)\partial_r R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r)^2} \right), \\ \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta, \\ \frac{dv_\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(\partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) \end{cases}, \quad (4.135)$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}(0) &= (\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}), \\ \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(0) &= (\tilde{v}_r, \tilde{v}_\theta). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem, there exists a unique maximal solution for the above system given by $(\tilde{\gamma}, \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, I \ni 0)$. We decouple the equations for (r, v_r) and for (θ, v_θ) , we use Mino time, Λ , defined by (4.6). We have

$$\forall \tau \in I : \Lambda(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{\Sigma^2(r(s), \theta(s))} ds.$$

Now set $J := \Lambda(I)$. Then, the above system becomes: $\forall \lambda \in J$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \Delta(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)))v_r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)), \\ \frac{dv_r}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\Delta'(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)))v_r^2(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)) \right. \\ \quad \left. + \frac{\Delta'(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)))R(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)))\partial_r R(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)))^2} \right), \\ \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = v_\theta(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)), \\ \frac{dv_\theta}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos(\theta(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda))), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2(\theta(\Lambda^{-1}(\lambda)))} \right) \right) \end{cases},$$

In the following, we identify $r \circ \Lambda^{-1}$, $\theta \circ \Lambda^{-1}$, $v_r \circ \Lambda^{-1}$ and $v_\theta \circ \Lambda^{-1}$ with r , θ , v_r and v_θ respectively. We consider the two Cauchy problems:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \Delta(r(\lambda))v_r(\lambda), \\ \frac{dv_r}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\Delta'(r(\lambda))v_r^2(\lambda) + \frac{\Delta'(r(\lambda))R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r(\lambda))\partial_r R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r(\lambda))^2} \right), \\ r(0) = \tilde{r}, \quad v_r(0) = \tilde{v}_r \end{cases}, \quad (4.136)$$

¹⁵constraints on q can be seen as restriction on the angular direction.

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = v_\theta(\lambda), \\ \frac{dv_\theta}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos(\theta(\lambda)), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2(\theta(\lambda))} \right) \right) \\ \theta(0) = \bar{\theta}, \quad v_\theta(0) = \bar{v}_\theta. \end{cases} \quad (4.137)$$

It is easy to see that at a given (ε, ℓ_z, q) , if $(r, \theta, v_r, v_\theta, I)$ is the maximal solution of (4.135), then (r, v_r, J) and (θ, v_θ, J) are the maximal solutions of (4.136) and (4.137) respectively. Reciprocally, if (r, v_r, J_r) and $(\theta, v_\theta, J_\theta)$ are the maximal solutions of (4.136) and (4.137) respectively, then $(r, \theta, v_r, v_\theta, I = \Lambda^{-1}(J_r \cap J_\theta))$. Consequently, we classify the maximal solutions of (4.136) and (4.137) in order to obtain the general classification.

In the following, we tackle in details the case when $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$. The remaining cases are similar.

1. If $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, then $\forall \lambda \in J := J_r \cap J_\theta$, $(r, \theta)(\lambda) \in A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \sqcup A^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and more precisely, $\forall \lambda \in J$

$$r(\lambda) \in]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)] \sqcup [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)] \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(\lambda) \in [\theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q, d), \pi - \theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q, d)]$$

where $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$, $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ and $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ are the roots of the equation (4.109) and $\theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q, d)$ is the unique angle in $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ that solves (4.33).

- (a) Let (r, v_r, J_r) be the maximal solution of (4.136). Then, $\forall \lambda \in J_r$, we have

$$2 \frac{dv_r}{d\lambda} = -\Delta'(r(\lambda))v_r^2(\lambda) + \frac{\Delta'(r(\lambda))R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r(\lambda))\partial_r R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r(\lambda))^2}.$$

We multiply the latter by v_r to obtain

$$\frac{d(v_r)^2}{d\lambda} = -\Delta'(r(\lambda))v_r^3(\lambda) + \frac{\Delta'(r(\lambda))R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r(\lambda))\partial_r R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r(\lambda))^2} v_r.$$

By the first equation of (4.136), we have

$$\frac{d(v_r)^2}{d\lambda} = -\Delta'(r(\lambda))v_r^2(\lambda)\dot{r} \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{\Delta'(r(\lambda))R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r(\lambda))\partial_r R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r(\lambda))^2} \frac{\dot{r}}{\Delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}(\Delta v_r^2) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta} \right).$$

Finally we integrate between 0 and some $\lambda \in J_r$ to obtain

$$\Delta^2 v_r^2 = R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) + (\Delta^2(\bar{r})\bar{v}_r - R(\bar{r}, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \varepsilon, q))$$

Now, we have

$$(\Delta^2(\bar{r})\bar{v}_r - R(\bar{r}, \varepsilon, \ell_z, \varepsilon, q)) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta^2 v_r^2 = R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q).$$

- (b)

- If $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}) \in A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Then, $\forall \lambda \in J_r \cap J_\theta$

$$r(\lambda) \in]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)] \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(\lambda) \in [\theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)].$$

Let $J_r =]T_{min}, T^{max}[$. We claim that

$$-\infty < T_{min} \quad , \quad T_{max} < +\infty$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow T_{max}} r(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow T_{min}} r(\lambda) = r_H.$$

- (a) If $(\bar{r}, \bar{\theta}) \in \partial A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, then $(\bar{v}_r, \bar{v}_\theta) = (0, 0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r} &= r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \\ \bar{\theta} &\in \{\theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\forall \lambda \in J_r \setminus \{0\}$,

$$R(r(\lambda)) > 0.$$

In fact, suppose that there exists $\tilde{\lambda} \in]T^{min}, 0[\cup]0, T^{max}[$ such that $R(r(\tilde{\lambda})) = 0$. Then,

$$(\Delta^{-1}(r(\cdot))\dot{r})(\tilde{\lambda}) = 0.$$

Besides, we have

$$(\Delta^{-1}(r(\cdot))\dot{r})(0) = 0.$$

By Rolle's theorem, there exists $\lambda_0 \in]0, \tilde{\lambda}[$ such that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}(\Delta^{-1}(r(\cdot))\dot{r})(\lambda_0) = 0.$$

Therefore, by the second equation of (4.136),

$$\partial_r R(r(\lambda_0), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) = 0$$

. Contradiction since the r -derivative of R is negative on $]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$.

This implies

$$\forall \lambda \in J_r \setminus \{0\} \quad , \quad \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \pm \sqrt{R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}.$$

More precisely,

$$\forall \lambda \in]0, T^{max}[\quad , \quad \dot{r} = -\sqrt{R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}, \quad \forall \lambda \in]T^{min}, 0[\quad , \quad \dot{r} = \sqrt{R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}.$$

Now, we claim that

$$T^{max} = \int_{r_H}^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R(r(s), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} ds$$

and

$$T^{min} = - \int_{r_H}^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R(r(s), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} ds.$$

Let $\lambda \in]0, T^{max}[$. We have

$$1 = -\frac{\dot{r}(\lambda)}{\sqrt{R(r(\lambda), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}}$$

We integrate between 0 and λ to obtain

$$\lambda = -\int_0^\lambda \frac{\dot{r}(s)}{\sqrt{R(r(s), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} ds.$$

Now we make the change of variable $u = r(s)$ in the right hand side. We obtain

$$\lambda = \int_{r_H}^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{R(u, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}}.$$

We introduce the function $G(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ defined on $]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)[$ by

$$G(s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) := \int_s^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{R(u, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}}.$$

Since $r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ is a simple root of R , G is well defined. Moreover $G(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)[$. Therefore, it is bijective from $]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)[$ to $[0, T^{max}[$ where

$$T^{max} := \lim_{r \rightarrow r_H} G(s) = \int_{r_H}^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R(r(s), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} ds < +\infty.$$

Hence,

$$\forall \lambda \in [0, T^{max}[, r(\lambda) = G^{-1}(\lambda).$$

In the same manner, we obtain

$$T^{min} := \lim_{r \rightarrow r_H} H(s) = -\int_{r_H}^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R(r(s), \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} ds > -\infty$$

and

$$\forall \lambda \in]T^{min}, 0] , r(\lambda) = H^{-1}(\lambda)$$

where $H(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ is the function defined on $]r_H, r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)[$ by

$$H(s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) := -\int_s^{r_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{R(u, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}}.$$

It remains to analyse the motion in the θ -direction in order to determine J_θ : $\forall \lambda \in J_\theta$, $\theta(\lambda) \in \tilde{\theta} \in [\theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$. By compactness, $J_\theta = \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\lambda \rightarrow \theta(\lambda)$ is periodic with period

$$T_\theta := 2 \int_{\theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}^{\pi - \theta_{min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{\sin \sigma}{\sqrt{T(\cos \sigma, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} d\sigma. \quad (4.138)$$

In fact, let $(\theta, v_\theta, J_\theta)$ be the maximal solution of (4.137). Introduce the function $\tilde{G}_1(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ defined on $[\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ by

$$\tilde{G}_1(s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) := \int_{\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}^s \frac{\sin \sigma}{\sqrt{T(\cos \sigma, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} d\sigma$$

Since $\cos(\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q))$ is a simple root of T , $\tilde{G}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ is well-defined. Moreover, it is monotonically increasing on its domain so that it defines a bijection from $[\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ to $\left[0, \frac{T_\theta}{2}\right]$. Now we denote its inverse by $\tilde{G}_1^{-1}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$.

In the same way, we define the bijective function $\tilde{G}_2(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ defined from $[\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ to $\left[\frac{T_\theta}{2}, T_\theta\right]$ by

$$\tilde{G}_2(s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) := \frac{T_\theta}{2} + \int_s^{\pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{\sin \sigma}{\sqrt{T(\cos \sigma, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} d\sigma$$

and we denote its inverse by $\tilde{G}_2^{-1}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. Now we define $\tilde{\theta}$ on $[0, T_\theta]$ by

$$\tilde{\theta}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \tilde{G}_1^{-1}(\lambda, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } \lambda \in \left[0, \frac{T_\theta}{2}\right] \\ \tilde{G}_2^{-1}(\lambda, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } \lambda \in \left[\frac{T_\theta}{2}, T_\theta\right]. \end{cases}$$

and \tilde{v}_θ on $[0, T_\theta]$ by

$$\tilde{v}_\theta := \theta'(\lambda).$$

Now, we can extend $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{v}_\theta)$ to a periodic solution defined on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, It easy to see that $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{v}_\theta)$ satisfies(4.137). By uniqueness, θ is periodic with period T_θ .

- (b) If $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}) \in A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \setminus (\partial A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$. Then, we proceed as above to obtain the same result.
- If $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}) \in A^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Suppose that $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}) \in \partial A^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. The other cases are studied in the same manner.

$$\tilde{r} \in [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\theta} \in [\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$$

Therefore, $\forall \lambda \in J$, $(r, \theta)(\lambda) \in [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)] \times [\theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), \pi - \theta_{\min}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ for all $\tau \in I$. By compactness, $J = \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ is trapped. Moreover, r is periodic with period:

$$T_r := \int_{r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}^{r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}}. \quad (4.139)$$

and θ is periodic with period T_θ defined by (4.138). For the periodicity of θ , it has already been tackled in the first case. As for the periodicity of r , we proceed in the same way: let (r, v_r, J_r) be the maximal solution of (4.136). Introduce the function $\overline{G}_1(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ defined on $[r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ by

$$\overline{G}_1(s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) := \int_{r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}^s \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{R(\sigma, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} d\sigma$$

Since $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ and $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ are simple roots of R , $\overline{G}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ is well-defined. Moreover, it is monotonically increasing on its domain so that it defines a bijection from $[r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ to $[0, \frac{T_r}{2}]$. Now, we denote its inverse by $\overline{G}_1^{-1}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. In the same way, we define the bijective function $\overline{G}_2(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$ defined from $[r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)]$ to $[\frac{T_r}{2}, T_r]$ by

$$\overline{G}_2(s, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) := \frac{T_r}{2} + \int_s^{r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q)} \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{R(\sigma, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}} d\sigma$$

and we denote its inverse by $\overline{G}_2^{-1}(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)$. Now we define \tilde{r} on $[0, T_r]$ by

$$\tilde{r}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \overline{G}_1^{-1}(\lambda, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } \lambda \in \left[0, \frac{T_r}{2}\right] \\ \overline{G}_2^{-1}(\lambda, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) & \text{if } \lambda \in \left[\frac{T_r}{2}, T_r\right]. \end{cases}$$

and \tilde{v}_r on $[0, T_r]$ by

$$\tilde{v}_r := \Delta^{-1}(r(\lambda))r'(\lambda).$$

Now, we can extend (\tilde{r}, \tilde{v}_r) to a periodic solution defined on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, It easy to see that (\tilde{r}, \tilde{v}_r) satisfies(4.136). By uniqueness, r is periodic with period T_r .

2. The remaining cases follow using similar arguments. □

4.2 Study of the geodesic motion in Weyl coordinates

The aim of this section is to analyse the geodesic motion in the Weyl coordinates defined in Section 3.4. We will focus on *trapped non-spherical geodesics*. Weyl coordinates are well adapted to the axisymmetric problem especially when it comes to the resolution of the reduced Einstein Vlasov system. In a Kerr exterior, we have already seen that the geodesic motion forms an integrable system in BL coordinates. In particular, the r -motion decouples from the θ -motion. In a general stationary and axisymmetric spacetime, this is not necessarily true. Therefore, it is useful to study the Kerr geodesic motion in Weyl coordinates without relying on the decoupling of the r -motion and the θ -motion, i.e without relying on the existence of q .

Let $x = (t, \phi, \rho, z) \in \mathcal{M}$, let $v = (v^t, v^\phi, v^\rho, v^z)$ be the conjugate coordinates to the spacetime coordinates. In view of Section 3.2.5, the geodesics equation reduces to the following two degree of freedom problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} = v^\rho, \\ \frac{dz}{d\tau} = v^z, \\ \frac{dv^\rho}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\partial_\rho J^K(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) - \Gamma_{ij}^\rho v^i v^j, \quad i, j \in \{\rho, z\} \\ \frac{dv^z}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\partial_z J^K(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) - \Gamma_{ij}^z v^i v^j. \end{cases} \quad (4.140)$$

where $J^K : \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$J^K(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := -1 + \frac{X_K}{\sigma_K^2}\varepsilon^2 + \frac{2W_K}{\sigma_K^2}\varepsilon\ell_z - \frac{V_K}{\sigma_K^2}\ell_z^2 \quad (4.141)$$

We introduce the effective potential energy $E_{\ell_z}^K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ relative to a timelike future directed geodesic, (γ, I) , with angular momentum ℓ_z and energy ε :

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) := \frac{-W_K(\rho, z)}{X_K(\rho, z)}\ell_z + \frac{\sigma_K}{X_K(\rho, z)}\sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\rho, z)}. \quad (4.142)$$

We refer to Figure 10 for the shape of $E_{\ell_z}^K$ and we recall that the allowed region for γ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) &= \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : J^K(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \geq 0\} \\ &= \{(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{(r, \theta) \in]r_H(d), \infty[\times]0, \pi[: R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) \geq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

We also recall that the boundary of $A^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is the zero velocity curve $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ given by Definition 12.

Remark 19. $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ can also be seen as the level sets of the effective potential energy $E_{\ell_z}^K$ at ε .

4.2.1 Properties of the effective potential energy $E_{\ell_z}^K$

The classification of timelike geodesics is based on the topology of the $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ curves whose shapes (depending on (ε, ℓ_z)) were already determined in Section 4.1.7, Proposition 12. In this section, we will rewrite the latter proposition in terms of the level sets of $E_{\ell_z}^K$. From this perspective, the shape of Zero velocity curves associated to timelike trapped future directed geodesics will not depend on the Carter constant. This is key to the identification trapped geodesics in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes close to Kerr.

Since we are interested in the level sets of $E_{\ell_z}^K$, we will first study its critical points. We make the difference between *direct critical points* and *retrograde critical points* defined by

Definition 17. Let $\ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$. A point (ρ_c^+, z_c^+) is a *direct critical point* of E_{ℓ_z} if

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} E_{\ell_z}(\rho_c^+, z_c^+) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad -W\ell_z > 0.$$

A point (ρ_c^-, z_c^-) is a *retrograde critical point* of E_{ℓ_z} if

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} E_{\ell_z}(\rho_c^-, z_c^-) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad -W\ell_z < 0.$$

We begin the analysis of E_{ℓ_z} with the study of critical lemma

Proposition 14 (Existence of critical points for $E_{\ell_z}^K$). *Let $\ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

- $E_{\ell_z}^K$ admits direct critical point if and only if $\ell_z \in]\infty, \ell_{min}^-]$,
- $E_{\ell_z}^K$ admits retrograde critical point if and only if $\ell_z \in [\ell_{min}^+, \infty[$,

where ℓ_{min}^\pm is given by

$$\ell_{min}^\pm = \Psi_\pm(r_{ms}^\pm),$$

and r_{ms}^\pm ¹⁶ is given by

$$r_{ms}^\pm(d) = 3 + Z_2(d) \mp \sqrt{(3 - Z_1)(3 + Z_1(d) + 2Z_2(d))}$$

where

$$Z_1(d) = 1 + (1 - d^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}((1 + d)^{\frac{1}{3}} + (1 - d)^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad Z_2(d) = \sqrt{3d^2 + Z_1^2}.$$

Moreover,

¹⁶See Lemma 18.

- if $\ell_z \geq \ell_{min}^+$, then the critical points are given by

$$(\rho_s^+, z_s^+) := (\sqrt{\Delta(r_{max}^+(\ell_z)), 0} \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho_{min}^+, z_{min}^+) := (\Delta(\sqrt{r_{min}^+(\ell_z)}), 0). \quad (4.143)$$

- if $\ell_z \leq \ell_{min}^-$, then the critical points are given by

$$(\rho_s^-, z_s^-) := (\sqrt{\Delta(r_{max}^-(\ell_z)), 0} \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho_{min}^-, z_{min}^-) := (\Delta(\sqrt{r_{min}^-(\ell_z)}), 0), \quad (4.144)$$

where $r_{max}^\pm(\ell_z)$ and $r_{min}^\pm(\ell_z)$ are given by

$$r_{max}^\pm(\ell_z) := (\Psi^1)_\pm^{-1}(\ell_z) \quad \text{and} \quad r_{min}^\pm(\ell_z) := (\Psi^2)_\pm^{-1}(\ell_z),$$

where $(\Psi^1)_\pm^{-1}$ and $(\Psi^2)_\pm^{-1}$ are the inverse of the restriction of $(\Psi)_\pm$ on $]r_{ph}, r_{ms}[$ and $]r_{ms}, \infty[$ respectively.

Proof. We only consider the case of direct critical points. The remaining case follows in the same manner. We henceforth drop the \pm symbol from all the quantities and assume that $\ell_z \in [0, \infty[$.

1. By Lemma 3, (ρ_c, z_c) is a critical point of $E_{\ell_z}^K$ if and only $(\rho_c, z_c, 0, 0)$ is a stationary solution of the reduced system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} = v^\rho, \\ \frac{dz}{d\tau} = v^z, \\ \frac{dv^\rho}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\partial_\rho J^K(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) - \Gamma_{ij}^\rho v^i v^j, \quad i, j \in \{\rho, z\} \\ \frac{dv^z}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\lambda}\partial_z J^K(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z, d) - \Gamma_{ij}^z v^i v^j. \end{cases} \quad (4.145)$$

with parameters $(\varepsilon_c, \ell_z) = (E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho_c, z_c), \ell_z)$.

2. Now, we claim $(\rho_c, z_c, 0, 0)$ is a stationary solution of if and only if $(r_c, \theta_c, 0, 0)$ is a stationary solution of the reduced system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma^2} v_r, \\ \frac{dv_r}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \left(-\Delta'(r)v_r^2 + \frac{\Delta'(r)R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q) - \Delta(r)\partial_r R(r, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\Delta(r)^2} \right), \\ \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} v_\theta, \\ \frac{dv_\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \partial_\theta \left(\frac{T(\cos \theta, \varepsilon, \ell_z, q)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right). \end{cases} \quad (4.146)$$

with parameters (ε_c, ℓ_z) . To prove the latter, it suffices to note that the system (4.146) is the system (4.145) written in the isothermal coordinates (ρ, z) .

3. By Lemma 34, stationary solutions of (4.146) exist if and only if $\ell_z \in [\ell_{min}, \infty[$, where ℓ_{min} is defined by (4.48). They are given by

$$\left(r_{max}(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(r_{min}(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right).$$

4. Therefore (ρ_c, z_c) are given by

$$(\rho_s^\pm(\ell_z), 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho_{min}^\pm(\ell_z), 0),$$

where $\rho_s^\pm(\ell_z)$ and $\rho_{min}^\pm(\ell_z)$ are defined by (4.143) and (4.144). Moreover, the corresponding ε_c satisfy

$$\varepsilon_c^s(\ell_z) := E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho_s(\ell_z), 0) = \varepsilon_s(\ell_z)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_c^m(\ell_z) := E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho_{min}(\ell_z), 0) = \varepsilon_m(\ell_z)$$

where $\varepsilon_s(\ell_z)$ and $\varepsilon_m(\ell_z)$ are given by (4.61) and (4.62) respectively.

□

Proposition 15 (Study of critical points). *Let $\ell_z \geq \ell_{min}^+$ or $\ell_z \leq \ell_{min}^-$. Then,*

- $(\rho_s^\pm(\ell_z), 0)$ corresponds to a saddle point.
- $(\rho_{min}^\pm(\ell_z), 0)$ corresponds to a local minimum.

Proof. Let $\ell_z \in]-\infty, \ell_{min}^-] \cup [\ell_{min}^+, \infty[$. We will study the critical points of $E_{\ell_z}^K$ in the BL coordinates. We recall that

$$\tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta) := E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho(r, \theta), z(r, \theta)).$$

We have, $\forall (r, \theta) \in]r_H, \infty[\times]0, \pi[$,

$$\tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta), \ell_z) = 0, \tag{4.147}$$

where \tilde{J}^K is defined by (4.17). Now, we differentiate twice the expression (4.147) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta), \ell_z) \nabla_{(r, \theta)} \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta) \left(\nabla_{(r, \theta)} \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta) \right)^t + \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta), \ell_z) \nabla_{(r, \theta)}^2 \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta) \\ & + \nabla_{(r, \theta)}^2 \tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta), \ell_z) + \nabla_{(r, \theta)} \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta) \left(\nabla_{(r, \theta)} \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{J}^K(r, \theta, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r, \theta), \ell_z) \right)^t \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if (r, θ) is a critical point, then the latter expression reduces to

$$\partial_\varepsilon \tilde{J}^K(r_c, \theta_c, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c), \ell_z) \nabla_{(r, \theta)}^2 \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c) + \nabla_{(r, \theta)}^2 \tilde{J}^K(r_c, \theta_c, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c), \ell_z) = 0.$$

Now, we recall from Section 3.2.5, that the term $\partial_\varepsilon \tilde{J}^K(r_c, \theta_c, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c), \ell_z)$ does not vanish. Moreover, it is positive on its domain. Therefore,

$$\nabla_{(r, \theta)}^2 \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c) = - \frac{\nabla_{(r, \theta)}^2 \tilde{J}^K(r_c, \theta_c, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c), \ell_z)}{\partial_\varepsilon \tilde{J}^K(r_c, \theta_c, \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c), \ell_z)}.$$

Now, we compute the Hessian of \tilde{J}^K with respect to (r, θ) at the points $(\varepsilon_c(\ell_z) = \tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K(r_c, \theta_c), \ell_z, r_c(\ell_z), \theta_c(\ell_z))$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{rr} \tilde{J}^K \left(\varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, r_c(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right) &= \Sigma^{-2} \left(r_c(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \partial_{rr} R(r_c(\ell_z), \varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, 0), \\ \partial_{\theta\theta} \tilde{J}^K \left(\varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, r_c(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right) &= \Sigma^{-2} \left(r_c(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \partial_{\theta\theta} T(0, \varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, 0), \\ \partial_{r\theta} \tilde{J}^K \left(\varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, r_c(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used that

- $\cos \theta_c(\ell_z) = 0$ is a double root of the polynomial $T(\cdot, \varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, q)$ so that $q = 0$, see Lemma 13,
- $r_c(\ell_z)$ is a double root of the polynomial $R(\cdot, \varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, 0)$.

Moreover,

$$\partial_{\theta\theta} T(0, \varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, 0) > 0$$

and

$$\partial_{rr} R(r_c(\ell_z), \varepsilon_c(\ell_z), \ell_z, 0) = \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } r_c(\ell_z) = r_{min}^{\pm}(\ell_z), \\ < 0 & \text{if } r_c(\ell_z) = r_{max}^{\pm}(\ell_z). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

- $\left(r_{max}^{\pm}(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ corresponds to a saddle point for $\tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K$.
- $\left(r_{min}^{\pm}(\ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ corresponds to a local minimum $\tilde{E}_{\ell_z}^K$.

□

Now, we study the basic properties of $E_{\ell_z}^K$:

Lemma 36 (Properties of $E_{\ell_z}^K$). *We have*

1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be a neighbourhood of the axis. Then

$$\lim_{\|(\rho, z)\| \rightarrow \infty, (\rho, z) \in (\mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{B}_H) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = 1.$$

2. $\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, -z).$$

3. For $d\ell_z < 0$, $E_{\ell_z}^K$ is negative in a neighbourhood of the horizon ¹⁷.

Proof. 1. We have $\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$, $\forall \ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$-\frac{W_K(\rho, z)}{X_K(\rho, z)} \ell_z = \frac{2dr(\rho, z)}{\tilde{\Pi}(\rho, z)} \ell_z,$$

where $r(\rho, z)$ is given (3.36) and

$$\tilde{\Pi}(\rho, z) := (r^2(\rho, z) + d^2)^2 - \rho^2 d^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\|(\rho, z)\| \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{W_K(\rho, z)}{X_K(\rho, z)} \ell_z = \lim_{\|(\rho, z)\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2dr(\rho, z)}{r^4(\rho, z)} \ell_z = 0.$$

Now, we compute $\lim_{\|(\rho, z)\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\rho, z)}$. We have $\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$, $\forall \ell_z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\Delta(r(\rho, z)) \tilde{\Sigma}^2(\rho, z)}{\tilde{\Pi}(\rho, z)}$$

¹⁷In this region, particles with positive energies in the local observer's frame can have negative energy with respect to infinity.

where

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\rho, z) := r^2(\rho, z) + \frac{d^2 z^2}{(r(\rho, z) - 1)^2}$$

We have

$$\lim_{\|(\rho, z)\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(r(\rho, z)) \tilde{\Sigma}^2(\rho, z)}{\tilde{\Pi}(\rho, z)} = 1.$$

2. By (3.36), $\forall(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$r(\rho, z) = r(\rho, -z).$$

Therefore, $\forall \rho > 0$, $W_K(\rho, \cdot)$ and $X_K(\rho, \cdot)$ are even.

3. Let $(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) &= -\frac{W_K(\rho, z)}{X_K(\rho, z)} \ell_z + \frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\rho, z)} \\ &= \frac{2d\ell_z r(\rho, z)}{\tilde{\Pi}(\rho, z)} + \frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\rho, z)}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that there exists a neighbourhood of \mathcal{H} , say $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, such that $\forall d\ell_z \leq 0$, $E_{\ell_z}^K$ is negative on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. By Lemma 4, we have the following asymptotics for X_K near the horizon $X_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z) > 0$ such that

$$X(\rho, z)|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z).$$

Moreover the function $(\rho, z) \rightarrow \frac{r(\rho, z)}{\tilde{\Pi}(\rho, z)}$ is smooth and positive on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. Therefore, $E_{\ell_z}^K$ extends smoothly to $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. Now we make a first order Taylor expansion for $E_{\ell_z}^K$ around $(0, z)$ with $|z| < \gamma$: $\forall \rho \geq 0$ small,

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = E_{\ell_z}^K(0, z) + \rho \partial_\rho E_{\ell_z}^K(0, z) + O(\rho^2).$$

We compute:

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(0, z) = 2d\ell_z \frac{r_H}{\tilde{\Pi}(0, z)} = \frac{d\ell_z}{2r_H}$$

and

$$\partial_\rho E_{\ell_z}^K(0, z) = \frac{1}{X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z)} + 2d\ell_z \frac{\partial_\rho r(0, z) \tilde{\Pi}(0, z) - r_H \partial_\rho \tilde{\Pi}(0, z)}{16r_H^4}.$$

We have

$$\partial_\rho r(0, z) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\rho \tilde{\Pi}(0, z) = 8r_H^2.$$

Hence

$$\partial_\rho r(0, z) \tilde{\Pi}(0, z) - r_H \partial_\rho \tilde{\Pi}(0, z) = -8r_H^2$$

and

$$\partial_\rho E_{\ell_z}^K(0, z) > 0.$$

Now, we choose $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d\ell_z}{2r_H} + \rho \partial_\rho E_{\ell_z}^K(0, z) < 0.$$

□




Figure 10: Shape of $E_{\ell_z}^K$ with $d = 0.8$. On the left: $\ell_z = 1$. On the right: $\ell_z = 4$

4.2.2 Trapped timelike future-directed geodesics

A full classification of timelike future-directed geodesics in Kerr can be achieved using the integrability of the geodesics equation in BL coordinates (see Proposition 13). A priori, the nature of the orbits then depends also on the Carter constant Q . In stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes, the geodesic motion does not necessarily form an integrable Hamiltonian system since there is no generalisation of Q and there are only three constants of motion E , L_z and m .

However, since only an open set of trapped non-spherical orbits is relevant to our work, we will determine sufficient conditions on (ε, ℓ_z) which are independent of Q and on the precise initial position $(\rho(0), z(0))$ for a trajectory to be trapped. This will allow us to construct an open subset of parameters (ε, ℓ_z) on which the distribution function f will be supported.

We begin by recalling from Proposition 13 the necessary and sufficient conditions for a timelike future-directed orbit to be trapped and non-spherical.

Let $\gamma : I \ni 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion (ε, ℓ_z) and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ its projection in \mathcal{B} . Then γ is trapped if and only if one of the following cases occur:

1. $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^-]$, $\ell_z \in]\tilde{\ell}_{min}(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon)[$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in & \left(A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]\tilde{\rho}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times] \gamma - \tilde{z}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \gamma[) \right) \\ & \cup \left(A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]\tilde{\rho}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times] - \gamma, \gamma + \tilde{z}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[) \right), \end{aligned}$$

2. $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^+, \varepsilon_{min}^-]$, $\ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)[$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \in A^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,

3. $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[$, $\ell_z \in]\ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon) \cup]\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)[$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \in A^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$,

4. $\varepsilon \in]\varepsilon_{min}^-, 1[$, $\ell_z \in]\ell_{lb}^-(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^-(\varepsilon)[$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in & \left(A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]\tilde{\rho}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times] \gamma - \tilde{z}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \gamma[) \right) \\ & \cup \left(A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap (]\tilde{\rho}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times] - \gamma, -\gamma + \tilde{z}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi[) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\tilde{\rho}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{z}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) := (\sqrt{\Delta(\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z))} \sin(\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)), (\tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - 1) \cos(\theta_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)))$$

and

$$(\tilde{\rho}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{z}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) := (\sqrt{\Delta(\tilde{r}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z))} \sin(\tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)), (\tilde{r}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - 1) \cos(\tilde{\theta}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)))$$

In particular, if γ is a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, where \mathcal{A}_{bound} is defined by (4.125) (see Figure 11), then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is either trapped or plunging. Moreover, the zero velocity curve associated to γ , $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, has two connected components. Indeed, by Lemma 12, we have

$$Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \cup Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$$

where $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} and $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 .

In the following section, we will reparameterize¹⁸ $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ for $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$.

4.2.3 Reparameterization of the zero velocity curves associated to trapped geodesics

Let γ be a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$ and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be its projection in \mathcal{B} . We recall that the allowed region for $\tilde{\gamma}$ has two connected components $A^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $A^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ bounded respectively by $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

Since $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are one-dimensional manifolds, we will construct atlases $(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,abs}, I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)} \subset \mathbb{R})$ and $(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), i}^{K,trapped}, I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), i})_{i=1 \dots i_0}$ for $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ respectively. This will allow us to see locally the solutions of the equation

$$\varepsilon = E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z). \quad (4.148)$$

as the graph of smooth functions. As a consequence, the problem of finding solutions to Equation (4.148) on \mathcal{B} is equivalent to writing locally ρ as a function of z or z as a function of ρ . This representation of the solutions will help us to formulate the stability result for trapped non-spherical timelike future-directed geodesics in the following section.

To this end, we begin with the following lemma

¹⁸For the sole purpose of the main theorem, we could have constructed an atlas only for $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. We also do the analysis of $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$




Figure 11: The set \mathcal{A}_{bound} with $d = 0.8$ as the union of the two set bounded by the red curve, the blue curve and the black curve. On the left: \mathcal{A}_{bound}^+ . On the right: \mathcal{A}_{bound}^- .

Lemma 37. $\forall(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, we have

$$Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset [\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [-z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$$

where $\rho_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are the two largest roots of the equation (4.148) with $z = 0$ and $z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is defined by

$$z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) := (\tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - 1) \cos \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad (4.149)$$

where $\tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is defined in Lemma 33 and $\cos \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is given by (4.114).

Moreover, $\forall(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, the equation (4.148) with $z = \pm z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ admits a unique solution $\rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the region $[\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$, given by

$$\rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \sqrt{\Delta(\tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))} \sin \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z). \quad (4.150)$$

Proof. We recall from Proposition 12 that

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = & \text{Graph}(r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \\ & \cup \text{Graph}(\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \cup \text{Graph}(\pi - \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))), \end{aligned}$$

where r_{tr}^1 , r_{tr}^2 and θ_{tr} are given by (4.120), (4.121) and (4.122) respectively. Moreover, the latter functions verify

- $r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ has a global minimum at $r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and

$$r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))) = r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))) = \tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Graph}(r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \subset [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{r}^+(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)].$$

- $r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ has a global maximum at $r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and

$$r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))) = r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))) = \tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z),$$

Thus,

$$\text{Graph}(r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \subset [\tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)].$$

- $\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ has a global maximum at $\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and

$$\text{Graph}(\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \subset [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [0, \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)].$$

- $\pi - \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$ has a global minimum at $\pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and

$$\text{Graph}(\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))) \subset [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [0, \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)].$$

Therefore,

$$Z^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset [r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \pi - \bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)],$$

or if we use the coordinates (ρ, z) , we have

$$Z^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset [\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [-z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)].$$

□

Now, let $\mathcal{B}_{bound} \subset \mathcal{A}_{bound}$. We claim that \mathcal{B}_{bound} can be included in a finite union of products of closed intervals. More precisely, we have

Lemma 38. *Let $\mathcal{B}_{bound} \subset \mathcal{A}_{bound}$. Then, there exists a finite number N of products of closed intervals $[\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}]$ such that*

$$[\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}] \subset \mathcal{A}_{bound}^\pm,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{bound}^\pm \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N [\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}]$$

and $\varepsilon_j^{i,\pm}$ and $\ell_j^{i,\pm}$ satisfy

$$\varepsilon_{min}^\pm < \varepsilon_1^{i,\pm} < \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm} < 1 \quad , \quad \ell_{lb}(\varepsilon_2^+) < \ell_1^{i,+} < \ell_2^{i,+} < \ell_{ub}(\varepsilon_1^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{ub}(\varepsilon_1^-) < \ell_1^{i,-} < \ell_2^{i,-} < \ell_{lb}(\varepsilon_2^-). \quad (4.151)$$

Proof. By compactness of \mathcal{B}_{bound} , there exists a finite number N of product closed intervals $[\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}]$ such that

$$[\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}] \subset \mathcal{A}_{bound}^\pm, \quad (4.152)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{bound}^\pm \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N [\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}].$$

We need to check that $\varepsilon_j^{i,\pm}$ and $\ell_j^{i,\pm}$ satisfy (5.3). Let $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then,

$$[\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \subset]\varepsilon_{min}^+, 1[$$

and

$$[\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}] \subset]\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon), \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon)[\quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}].$$

By monotonicity properties of ℓ_{ub}^+ and ℓ_{lb}^+ (see Lemma 23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon_1^{i,+}) &< \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon_2^{i,+}) \\ \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon_1^{i,+}) &< \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon_2^{i,+}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\ell_1^{i,+}$ and $\ell_2^{i,+}$ verify

$$\ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon_1^{i,+}) < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon_2) < \ell_1^{i,+} < \ell_2^{i,+} < \ell_{lb}^+(\varepsilon) < \ell_{ub}^+(\varepsilon_1^{i,+}).$$

□

Remark 20. In the remaining of our work, we will suppose that \mathcal{B}_{bound} is included in one of the product intervals $[\varepsilon_1^{i,\pm}, \varepsilon_2^{i,\pm}] \times [\ell_1^{i,\pm}, \ell_2^{i,\pm}]$. The general case can be dealt by a partition of unity argument.

We shall henceforth assume that \mathcal{B}_{bound} has the following form

$$\mathcal{B}_{bound} := \mathcal{B}_{bound}^- \cup \mathcal{B}_{bound}^+ \quad (4.153)$$

where \mathcal{B}_{bound}^- and \mathcal{B}_{bound}^+ are defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{bound}^\pm := [\varepsilon_1^\pm, \varepsilon_2^\pm] \times [\ell_1^\pm, \ell_2^\pm]$$

where $\varepsilon_j^{i,\pm}$ and $\ell_j^{i,\pm}$ satisfy

$$\varepsilon_{min}^\pm(d) < \varepsilon_1^\pm < \varepsilon_2^\pm < 1 \quad , \quad \ell_{lb}(\varepsilon_2^+) < \ell_1^+ < \ell_2^+ < \ell_{ub}(\varepsilon_1^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{ub}(\varepsilon_1^-) < \ell_1^- < \ell_2^- < \ell_{lb}(\varepsilon_2^-). \quad (4.154)$$

In the remaining of this section, we omit the \pm in order to lighten the expressions. We state the following lemma

Lemma 39. *Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$ and let $\rho_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ be the solutions of the equation*

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, 0) = \varepsilon$$

such that

$$\rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

Then,

1. $\forall \varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$,
 - $\rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ decreases monotonically on $[\ell_1, \ell_2]$.
 - $\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ increases monotonically on $[\ell_1, \ell_2]$.
 - $\rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ decreases monotonically on $[\ell_1, \ell_2]$.
2. $\forall \ell_z \in [\ell_1, \ell_2]$,
 - $\rho_0^K(\cdot, \ell_z)$ decreases monotonically on $[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$.
 - $\rho_1^K(\cdot, \ell_z)$ increases monotonically on $[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$.
 - $\rho_2^K(\cdot, \ell_z)$ decreases monotonically on $[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$.

Proof. First of all, we recall from Proposition 15 that $E_{\ell_z}^K(\cdot, 0)$ admits two critical points: a maximum at $\rho_s(\ell_z)$ and a minimum at $\rho_m(\ell_z)$. It follows that

- $E_{\ell_z}^K(\cdot, 0)$ is monotonically increasing on $]0, \rho_s(\ell_z)[$,
- $E_{\ell_z}^K(\cdot, 0)$ is monotonically decreasing on $] \rho_s(\ell_z), \rho_m(\ell_z)[$,
- $E_{\ell_z}^K(\cdot, 0)$ is monotonically increasing on $] \rho_m(\ell_z), \infty[$.

Moreover, $\forall \rho > 0$, $E^K(\rho, 0)$ is monotonically increasing on $] \ell_1^+, \ell_2^+[$. Furthermore, we have

$$\rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \cdot) < \rho_s(\ell_z) < \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < \rho_m(\ell_z) < \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i^K}{\partial \varepsilon}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial \rho}(\rho_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), 0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \rho_i^K}{\partial \ell_z}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = -\frac{\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial \ell_z}(\rho_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), 0)}{\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial \rho}(\rho_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), 0)}.$$

This yields the result. □

Now, we state the following lemma

Lemma 40. *There exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\text{bound}}$, we have*

$$\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) > 2\eta.$$

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$. Then, $\varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$ and $\ell_z \in [\ell_1(\varepsilon), \ell_2(\varepsilon)]$ where $\varepsilon_{\min}(d) < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < 1$ and $\ell_{lb}(\varepsilon) < \ell_1(\varepsilon) < \ell_2(\varepsilon) < \ell_{ub}(\varepsilon)$. By monotonicity properties of ρ_i^K , we have $\forall \varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2], \forall \ell_z \in [\ell_1(\varepsilon), \ell_2(\varepsilon)]$

$$\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) - \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \geq \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_1(\varepsilon)) - \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_1(\varepsilon)) \geq \min_{[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]} \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_1(\varepsilon)) - \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_1(\varepsilon)) =: 2\eta.$$

By compactness and regularity of ρ_1^K and ρ_0^K ,

$$\eta := \frac{1}{2} (\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_1(\varepsilon_0)) - \rho_0^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_1(\varepsilon_0))) \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon_0 \in [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2].$$

In order to prove that $\eta > 0$, it suffices to note that $\ell_1(\varepsilon_0) > \ell_{lb}(\varepsilon_0)$ so that ρ_0^K and ρ_1^K do not coincides (recall that this case only occurs when $\ell_1(\varepsilon_0) = \ell_{lb}(\varepsilon_0)$). In particular, we have:

$$\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_1(\varepsilon_0)) > \rho_0^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_1(\varepsilon_0)).$$

□

Consequently, the quantities

$$\rho_{0,max}^K := \max_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad , \quad \rho_{1,min}^K := \min_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,max}^K := \max_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad (4.155)$$

are well-defined. and we have

$$\rho_{1,min}^K - \rho_{0,max}^K > 2\eta. \quad (4.156)$$

Now, we claim that

Lemma 41. $\forall z \in [0, z_{max}^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$, there exist $\rho_1(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in [\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)]$ solutions of the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = \varepsilon$$

which satisfy

$$\rho_1(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq \rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \leq \rho_2(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$$

Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$.

- If $z_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0$, then by Lemma 37, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is confined in the equatorial plane:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{K,abs} &= \{\rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\}, \\ Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) &= \{\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

- Otherwise, we can choose $\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $\tilde{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that

$$0 < \bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < \tilde{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < z_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

By Lemma 41, there exist $\bar{\rho}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{\rho}_i(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[, i \in \{1, 2\}$ which solve the equations

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, \bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, \tilde{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) = \varepsilon$$

respectively and which satisfy

$$\rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < \bar{\rho}_1(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) < \tilde{\rho}_1(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) < \rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) < \tilde{\rho}_2(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) < \bar{\rho}_2(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) < \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

We introduce the following open subsets of \mathcal{B} :

$$\mathcal{B}^{abs} := \left] 0, \rho_{0,max}^K + \frac{3\eta}{2} \right[\times \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.157)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \left] \rho_{0,max}^K + \frac{\eta}{2}, \infty \right[\times]\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), +\infty[, \quad (4.158)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \left] \rho_{0,max}^K + \frac{\eta}{2}, \infty \right[\times]-\infty, -\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[, \quad (4.159)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3 := \left] \rho_{0,max}^K + \frac{\eta}{2}, \tilde{\rho}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \right[\times \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.160)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_4 :=]\tilde{\rho}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \infty[\times \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.161)$$

In order to define \mathcal{B}_5 , we choose $z_r(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[, \rho_r^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\bar{\rho}_1(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{\rho}_1(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ and $\rho_r^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in]\bar{\rho}_2(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{\rho}_2(z, \varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ and we set

$$\mathcal{B}_5 :=]\rho_r^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_r^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\times]-z_r(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_r(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[. \quad (4.162)$$

Remark 21. If $z_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = 0$, then the geodesic is confined in the equatorial plane and $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is reduced to the set of points $\rho_i^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$. In this case, there is no work to be done here. Indeed, the classification of the equatorial orbits is the same as that of Schwarzschild geodesics.

In our work, we are interested in the general case (not necessarily equatorial orbits.). Therefore, we will assume that $z_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) > 0$.

By construction, the above subsets cover \mathcal{B} (See Figure 12):

Lemma 42. *We have*

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{abs} \cup (\cup_{i=1\dots 5} \mathcal{B}_i).$$

Now, we introduce the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) &:= \sqrt{\Delta(r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta))} \sin \theta, \\ z_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) &:= (r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) - 1) \cos \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.163)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) &:= \sqrt{\Delta(r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta))} \sin \theta, \\ z_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) &:= (r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) - 1) \cos \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.164)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) &:= \sqrt{\Delta(r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta))} \sin \theta, \\ z_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) &:= (r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) - 1) \cos \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.165)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r) &:= \sqrt{\Delta(r)} \sin \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r), \\ z_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r) &:= (r - 1) \cos \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r), \end{aligned} \quad (4.166)$$

where r_{abs}^0 , r_{abs}^1 , r_{abs}^2 and (4.166) are defined by (4.119), (4.120), (4.121), (4.122) respectively. We state the following lemma

Lemma 43. $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, we have

- $z_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$, $z_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ and $z_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ are monotonically decreasing on $]0, \pi[$,
- $\rho_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically increasing on $]r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$.

Proof. 1. First of all, it is easy to see that $z_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is smooth on $]0, \pi[$ and $\forall \theta \in]0, \pi[$, we have

$$\frac{\partial z_{abs}^0}{\partial \theta}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) = \frac{\partial r_{abs}^0}{\partial \theta}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \theta) \cos \theta - (r_{abs}^0 - 1) \sin \theta.$$

By the monotonicity properties of $r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ (decreasing on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ and increasing on $]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$), the first term of the right hand side is always negative. Moreover, the second term is always negative on $]0, \pi[$. Therefore, $z_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically decreasing on $]0, \pi[$ and we can write θ in terms of z . The same analysis can be made for $z_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ and $z_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$.

2. Since $\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is smooth, $\rho_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is smooth on $]r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ and we have

$$\partial_r \rho_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r) = \frac{\Delta'(r)}{2\sqrt{\Delta(r)}} \sin \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r) + \sqrt{\Delta(r)} \partial_r \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r) \cos \theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r).$$

Since $\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically increasing on $]r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{r}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ and $\theta_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, r) \in]\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \frac{\pi}{2}[$, the second term is always positive. Therefore, $\rho_{tr}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot)$ is monotonically increasing on $]r_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z), r_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ and we can write r in terms of ρ .

□

Now, we introduce the following functions

Definition 18. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$.

1. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}} :] - \gamma, \gamma[\mapsto]0, \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ is defined by

$$\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}}(z) := \rho_{\text{abs}}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, (z_{\text{abs}}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot))^{-1}(z)), \quad (4.167)$$

2. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, i}$ are defined in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 1} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1 &:=]\bar{\rho}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \bar{\rho}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\mapsto]\bar{z}_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[, \\ \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 2} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^2 &:=]\bar{\rho}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \bar{\rho}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\mapsto [-z_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), -\bar{z}_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\\ \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 3} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^3 &:=] - \tilde{z}_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{z}_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\mapsto]\tilde{\rho}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[, \\ \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 4} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^4 &:=] - \tilde{z}_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \tilde{z}_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[\mapsto]\tilde{\rho}_2(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[, \end{aligned} \quad (4.168)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 1}(\rho) &:= z_{\text{tr}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, (\rho_{\text{tr}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot))^{-1}(\rho)), \\ \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 2}(\rho) &:= -\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 1}(\rho), \\ \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 3}(z) &:= \rho_{\text{tr}}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, (z_{\text{tr}}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot))^{-1}(z)), \\ \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 4}(z) &:= \rho_{\text{tr}}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, (z_{\text{tr}}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \cdot))^{-1}(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.169)$$

Following Lemma 43, we obtain

Lemma 44. $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$, the functions $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \text{abs}}$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, i}$ are well-defined and smooth on $] - \gamma, \gamma[$ and $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i$ respectively. Moreover,

1. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}}$ admits a unique critical point (a global maximum) on $] - \gamma, \gamma[$ given by $\rho_{\text{abs}}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, reached at the point $z = 0$.
2. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 1}$ admits a unique critical point (a global maximum) on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ given by $z_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, reached at the point $\rho = \rho_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
3. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 2}$ admits a unique critical point (a global minimum) on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^2$ given by $-z_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, reached at the point $\rho = \rho_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
4. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 3}$ admits a unique critical point (a global minimum) on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^3$ given by $\rho_{\text{abs}}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, reached at the point $z = 0$.
5. $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, 4}$ admits a unique critical point (a global maximum) on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^4$ given by $\rho_{\text{abs}}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, reached at the point $z = 0$.

Now, we use the previous results to obtain

Proposition 16. $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$,

1. there exists $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}} :] - \gamma, \gamma[\mapsto]0, \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ such that

$$Z^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = Gr\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}}\right),$$

2. there exist $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1 \dots 4$ such that

$$Z^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \bigcup_{i=1 \dots 4} Gr\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i}\right),$$


where $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}}$ and $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i}$ are given by Definition 18.

To summarise, instead of solving the equation on \mathcal{B} , we solve it on \mathcal{B}_i and \mathcal{B}^{abs} and on each of these region, the solutions are either functions of ρ or z .


Therefore, the problem of finding solutions on \mathcal{B} to the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) = \varepsilon \quad (4.170)$$

is equivalent to the problem of finding solutions on \mathcal{B}_i and on \mathcal{B}^{abs} . Given $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, the latter is equivalent to the problem of finding a function defined on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i$ or $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\text{abs}}$. Hence, by the above proposition, the solutions are given by $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, \text{abs}}$ in \mathcal{B}^{abs} and by $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i}$ in \mathcal{B}_i .



(a) First component $Z^{K, \text{abs}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$



(b) Second component $Z^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$

Figure 12: The zero velocity curve (in blue) with $d = 0.5$ and $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = (0.98, 4) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$. The dashed lines separate \mathcal{B} into six regions: \mathcal{B}_0 is the region that contains $Z^{K, \text{abs}}$ and it is delimited by the red line (Figure (a)). The region \mathcal{B}_5 is the region bounded by the cyan rectangle in Figure (b). \mathcal{B}_3 is the region delimited by the two green lines in Figure (b). \mathcal{B}_4 is the region delimited by the cyan vertical line from the left. The horizontal black line above the equatorial plane delimits the region \mathcal{B}_1 from below and the horizontal black line below the equatorial plane delimits the region \mathcal{B}_2 from above.

4.2.4 Domain of trapped geodesics in a sub-extremal Kerr spacetime

The aim of this section is to prove that the region $\mathcal{B}^{\text{trapped}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ where geodesic motion occurs stay away from $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. From the previous section, we recall that trapped timelike future-directed orbits occur when the associated zero velocity curve has a compact connected component, $Z^{K, \text{trapped}} \subset \mathcal{B}$. In this case, the orbit is confined in the region bounded by the latter curve. We note that the

zero velocity curves are only defined in \mathcal{B} . More precisely, the effective potential energy is only defined in the exterior region of the spacetime minus the axis of symmetry. However, since we are interested in metrics which are at least C^2 -extendable to the horizon and the axis of symmetry, the boundaries of \mathcal{B} , we need to consider the extension of $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ associated to timelike future-directed geodesics in order to determine $\mathcal{B}^{trapped} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Given $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ may have accumulation points on the boundary, namely on the poles. However, in the case of the second connected component of $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, that is $Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, the accumulation points are distincts from $\partial\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and thus from the poles.

Before we state the precise result of this section, we recall the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}} := \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}.$$

where

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_H} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| < \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\},$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| > \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}.$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_N} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, z \neq \beta, \rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2 < \frac{\beta}{c} \right\} \cup \left\{ (s, \chi) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}} \quad / 0 \leq s, \chi < \left(\frac{\beta}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_S} := \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, z \neq -\beta, \rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2 < \frac{\beta}{c} \right\} \cup \left\{ (s', \chi') \in \overline{\mathcal{B}} \quad / 0 \leq s', \chi' < \left(\frac{\beta}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$

for $0 < e < c < a < b$.

We state the following result

Lemma 45. *Let $\mathcal{B}_{bound} \subset \subset \mathcal{A}_{bound}$. Then, we can choose $0 < e < c$ uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_S} \cap Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \emptyset. \quad (4.171)$$

Moreover, $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, the accumulation points for $Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ are $p_N := (0, \beta)$ and $p_S := (0, -\beta)$.

Proof. □

Now, we define the domain of trapped timelike geodesics, $\mathcal{B}^{\pm, trapped}(a, M)$, which depends only on (a, M) by the following proposition

Proposition 17 (Domain of trapped geodesics in a sub-extremal Kerr spacetime). *Let (a, M) be such that $0 < |a| < M$. Then, there exists $\rho^{mb, \pm}(a, M) > 0$*

$$\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound} \quad \rho_1^{K, \pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, a, M) > \rho^{mb, \pm}(a, M) > 0,$$

where $\rho_1^{K, \pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, a, M)$ is the second largest root of the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}(\rho, 0) = \varepsilon$$

and

$$\rho^{mb, \pm}(a, M) := \sqrt{\Delta(r_{mb}^{\pm}(a, M))}, \quad r_{mb}^{\pm}(a, M) := 2M \mp a + 2\sqrt{M^2 \mp aM}.$$

Hence, we set

$$\mathcal{B}^{\pm, trapped}(a, M) := \left] \rho^{mb, \pm}(a, M), \infty \right[\times \mathbb{R}$$

Remark 22. We recall that $r_{mb}^\pm(a, M)$ is defined to be the unique solution of the equation

$$\Phi_\pm(r) = 1,$$

where Φ_\pm is defined by (4.41).

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$ and recall that $d = \frac{a}{M}$. Then, by monotonicity properties of $\tilde{\rho}_1^{K, \pm 19}$, proved in Lemma 39, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_1^{K, \pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_z, d) > \tilde{\rho}_1^{K, \pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_{ub}(\varepsilon, d), d) = \tilde{\rho}_s^{K, \pm}(\ell_{ub}^\pm(\varepsilon, d), d) > \tilde{\rho}_s^{K, \pm}(\ell_{ub}^\pm(1, d), d) = \sqrt{\Delta(\tilde{r}_{mb}^\pm(d))}.$$

It remains to show that $\rho^{mb, \pm}(a, M) > 0$ for all (a, M) such that $0 < |a| < M$.

By the definition of $\rho^{mb, \pm}(a, M)$, it is easy to see that $\rho^{mb, -}(a, M)$ never vanishes and $\rho^{mb, +}(a, M)$ vanishes if and only if $|a| = M$. Indeed,

1. $\tilde{\rho}^{mb, +} :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotonically decreasing on $]0, 1[$ and we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\rho}^{mb, +}(d) = 0.$$

2. $\tilde{\rho}^{mb, -} :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotonically increasing on $]0, 1[$ and we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\rho}^{mb, -}(d) \approx 4.83.$$

□

For later purposes, in particular the study of regularity of the matter terms, It will be convenient to adjust the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$ so that the support of Vlasov matter remain in the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$. More precisely, we will show the following

Lemma 46. *There exists $b > 0$ such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$, we have*

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_H \cap Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad Z^{K, trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A.$$

Proof. First of all, we claim that $\forall d \in]0, 1[$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{mb, -}(d) > \sqrt{1 - d^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho}^{mb, +}(d) > \sqrt{1 - d^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_1^{K, \pm}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) > \tilde{\rho}^{mb, \pm}(d) > \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \sqrt{1 - d^2}.$$

□

Remark 23. We note that, by Proposition 17, in a sub-extremal Kerr black hole, all trapped timelike geodesics lie in $\mathcal{B}^{\pm, trapped}(a, M)$. However, in the limiting case (extremal Kerr), $\mathcal{B}^{+, trapped}(a, M)$ coincides with the whole exterior region, \mathcal{B} . As for, $\mathcal{B}^{-, trapped}(a, M)$, it is located at approximatively $4.83M$ away from the horizon (See proof of Proposition 17).

In this work, we use the fact that trapped timelike geodesics lie away from the horizon and thus we consider only the sub extremal case. In fact, we will need a non-trivial lower bound on the inner boundary of the support of the Vlasov matter.

In the extremal case, one possibility could be to consider only orbits which are retrograde.

¹⁹Recall that $\bar{\rho}$ is defined by $\rho = M\bar{\rho}$.

One can wonder what is the location of trapped non-spherical orbits with respect to the ergoregion \mathcal{E} . Recall that the ergosurface, the boundary of \mathcal{E} , denoted by \mathcal{S} , is defined to be the set of points $(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$g(T, T) = 0.$$

In a sub-extremal Kerr exterior $0 < d < 1$, the latter is equivalent to the set of points $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$V_K(\rho, z) = 0.$$

Direct computations lead to

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}} : z^2 = \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{d}\right) \left(1 - d^2 \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{d}\right)\right) \right\}.$$

We refer to Figure 14 for the shape of \mathcal{S} . On the other hand, we have

- $\forall d \in]0, 1[$,

$$\tilde{\rho}^{mb,-}(d) > d.$$

- $d_0 := 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)$ is the unique solution in $]0, 1[$ to

$$\tilde{\rho}^{mb,+}(d) = d.$$

Note that \mathcal{S} intersect the equatorial plane uniquely at the point $\rho_{eq} = d$. Therefore, it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathcal{B}^{-,trapped}(a, M) \cap \mathcal{E} = \emptyset. \quad (4.172)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^{+,trapped}(a, M) \cap \mathcal{E} = \emptyset \quad \text{if and only if} \quad d < d_0. \quad (4.173)$$

We refer to Figure 13 to visualise the intersection when $d > d_0$.

Remark 24. - Recall that a necessary condition for energy extraction from the black hole and thus a negative energy ε is that $d\ell_z < 0$ and $g_{tt} > 0$, which means that retrograde orbits that lie inside the ergoregion have negative energies. This gives another explanation for (4.172).

- Finally, we note that when computing the matter terms in 9.2.1, we will have to take into account the ergoregion. In this case, we will have to split the matter sources into a term supported in the ergoregion and another which is supported in the remaining region of the spacetime exterior.

5 Reduced Einstein-Vlasov system

In this section, we compute the components of the energy momentum tensor and we reduce the Einstein equations with a source arising from a Vlasov field to a system of elliptic equations.

5.1 Assumptions and General Framework

Recall from Section 3.2.1 the metric ansatz considered throughout this work:

$$g = -Vdt^2 + 2Wdtd\phi + Xd\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda}(d\rho^2 + dz^2), \quad (5.1)$$

where $V, W, X, \lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(\rho, z) : \rho > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Following the work [16], we replace the metric components V, W, X, λ by $(X, W, \theta, \sigma, \lambda)$, called "metric data", which reduces under symmetries in a nice manner and where θ and σ are given by (3.12) and (3.13) respectively.




Figure 13: Intersection of $\mathcal{E}(d)$ (blue curve) and $Z^{K, \text{trapped}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ (red curve) when $d = 0.95$. The remaining parameters are set to $\varepsilon = 0.99$ and $\ell_z = 2.5$.

5.2 Ansatz for the distribution function

We are interested in stationary and axisymmetric distribution functions. Therefore, we assume that $f : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ takes the form

$$f(t, \phi, \rho, z, \phi, v^\rho, v^\phi, v^z) = \Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\varepsilon, \ell_z), (X, W, \sigma)) \quad (5.2)$$

where

- $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a C^2 function and is supported on some compact set $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$. Without loss of generality (See Lemma 38), we assume that $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}} := \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^- \cup \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^+$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^-$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^+$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^\pm := [\varepsilon_1^\pm, \varepsilon_2^\pm] \times [\ell_1^\pm, \ell_2^\pm]$$

where $\varepsilon_j^{i,\pm}$ and $\ell_j^{i,\pm}$ satisfy

$$\varepsilon_{\min}^\pm(d) < \varepsilon_1^\pm < \varepsilon_2^\pm < 1 \quad , \quad \ell_{\text{lb}}(\varepsilon_2^+) < \ell_1^+ < \ell_2^+ < \ell_{\text{ub}}(\varepsilon_1^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{\text{ub}}(\varepsilon_1^-) < \ell_1^- < \ell_2^- < \ell_{\text{lb}}(\varepsilon_2^-). \quad (5.3)$$




Figure 14: Shape of S in the following cases from the left to the right: $d = 0.1$, $d = \sqrt{0.5}$ and $d = 0.99$

- $\eta > 0$ is a constant that will be specified later (see Section 7), $\Psi_\eta(\cdot, \cdot, h) \in C^\infty([0, \infty[\times \mathcal{A}^{admissible}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is a cut-off function depending on the metric data $h := (X, W, \sigma)$, such that

$$\Psi_\eta(\cdot, (\varepsilon, \ell), h) := \begin{cases} \chi_\eta(\cdot - \rho_1(h, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))), & (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \\ 0 & (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \notin \mathcal{A}_{bound}, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where ρ_1 is a positive function of (h, ε, ℓ_z) which will be defined later²⁰ and $\chi_\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is a cut-off function such that

$$\chi_\eta(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & s \geq 0, \\ \leq 1 & s \in [-\eta, 0], \\ 0 & s < -\eta. \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

- ε and ℓ_z are defined by (3.18) and (3.19).

²⁰ ρ_1 is defined by (7.14). It can be seen as the perturbation of $\rho_K^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, the second largest root of the equation $E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, 0) = 0$.

5.3 Reparametrization of the fibre Γ_x and the components of the energy-momentum tensor

In this section, we will compute the components of the energy momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ provided g has the form (3.11) and f has the form (5.2). First of all, we recall the definition of Ω :

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = -\frac{W}{X},$$

the timelike vector field defined on \mathcal{B} which was fixed in Section 3.2.5 for the time orientation. Recall that by definition, if c_α is the coordinate basis associated to the spacetime coordinates, then any tangent vector can be written as

$$v = v^\alpha c_\alpha.$$

The v^α are then called the conjugate coordinates to the spacetime coordinates.

Now let $(v^t, v^\phi, v^\rho, v^z)$ be the conjugate coordinates to the spacetime coordinates (t, ϕ, ρ, z) . Let $x = (t, \phi, \rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$ and denote by

$$c_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad c_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad c_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \quad c_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

the canonical basis determined by the local coordinates. We consider the orthonormal frame defined by

$$e_0 := \sqrt{\frac{X}{\sigma^2}} \Omega, \quad e_1 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad e_2 := e^{-\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \quad e_3 := e^{-\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Let p^α be the corresponding coordinates. Therefore:

$$(v^\alpha) = G(p^\alpha) \quad \text{where} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\sigma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\omega\sqrt{X}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{X}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

In the orthonormal frame, the mass shell condition is given by

$$-(p^0)^2 + (p^1)^2 + (p^2)^2 + (p^3)^2 = -1.$$

Now, we can express the p^0 in terms of the remaining p^i :

$$p^0 = \sqrt{1 + |p|^2}.$$

We recall the energy-momentum tensor associated to the metric g and the distribution function f ,

$$\forall (t, \phi, \rho, z) \in \mathcal{M} \quad T_{\alpha\beta}(t, \phi, \rho, z) = \int_{\Gamma_x} v_\alpha v_\beta f(t, \phi, \rho, z, v^\rho, v^\phi, v^z) \, d\text{vol}_x(v),$$

where Γ_x is given by

$$\Gamma_x := \left\{ v^\alpha \in \mathbb{T}_x \mathcal{M} : g^{\alpha\beta} v_\alpha v_\beta = -1, v^0 > 0 \right\},$$

where we recall that the condition $v^0 > 0$ is equivalent to v is future-pointed. Thus, after a first change of variables in the fibre Γ_x , the energy momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta}[f] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_\alpha(p) v_\beta(p) f(x, v(p)) \frac{dp^1 dp^2 dp^3}{\sqrt{1 + |p|^2}}.$$

We will make a second change of variables in order to simplify the expressions of the energy momentum tensor components. To this end, we compute ε and ℓ_z in terms of the p^i 's:

$$\varepsilon = -v_0 = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \omega \ell_z$$

and

$$\ell_z = \sqrt{X} p^1.$$

From the mass shell condition, we have

$$e^{2\lambda} ((v^\rho)^2 + (v^z)^2) = J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \quad (5.6)$$

where J is given by

$$J(\rho, z, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = -1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{2W}{\sigma^2} \varepsilon \ell_z - \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \ell_z^2.$$

We recall that $\sigma^2 = XV + W^2$. We introduce the polar variables $(\sqrt{J}, \vartheta) \in [0, \infty[\times [0, 2\pi]$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} v^2 &= e^{-\lambda} \sqrt{J} \sin \vartheta, \\ v^3 &= e^{-\lambda} \sqrt{J} \cos \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 &= \sqrt{J} \sin \vartheta, \\ p^3 &= \sqrt{J} \cos \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Now we make the change of variables from (p^1, p^2, p^3) to $(p^1, \sqrt{J}, \vartheta)$. We have

$$\frac{dp^1 dp^2 dp^3}{\sqrt{1 + |p|^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{J}}{\sqrt{1 + J + (p^1)^2}} d\sqrt{J} d\vartheta dp^1.$$

Therefore,

$$T_{\alpha\beta} [f] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\alpha(p) v_\beta(p) f(x, v(p)) \frac{\sqrt{J}}{\sqrt{1 + J + (p^1)^2}} d\sqrt{J} d\vartheta dp^1. \quad (5.7)$$

We make a last change of variables $H(\rho, z) : (p^1, \sqrt{J}, \vartheta) \mapsto (E, L, \vartheta)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \varepsilon - \omega \ell_z = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} (1 + J + (p^1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ L &:= \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\rho} p^1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

It is easy to see that $H(\rho, z)$ is a smooth diffeomorphism on its image and we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{J}}{\sqrt{1 + J + (p^1)^2}} dp^1 d\sqrt{J} d\vartheta = \frac{\rho}{\sigma} dE dL d\vartheta.$$

It remains to compute the domain of the variables E and L , which is the image of above change of variables. From (5.8), $\forall J \geq 0, \forall p^1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$E \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}}.$$

By straightforward computations, we obtain

$$J = \frac{X}{\sigma^2} E^2 - 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{X} L^2.$$

Since J is positive, L satisfies

$$|L| \leq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\rho} \left(-1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} =: \tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z). \quad (5.9)$$

Now we define $D(\rho, z)$ to be the set:

$$D(\rho, z) := \left\{ (E, L) : E \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} \quad \text{and} \quad |L| \leq \tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z) \right\}. \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Im } H(\rho, z) = D(\rho, z).$$

By symmetry considerations, we have

$$T_{\rho\rho}[f](\rho, z) = T_{zz}[f](\rho, z).$$

Moreover, the only non-vanishing components of $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ are $T_{tt}, T_{t\phi}, T_{\phi\phi}, T_{\rho\rho}$ and T_{zz} . Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} T_{tt}[f](\rho, z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_0(p))^2 f(x, v(p)) \frac{\sqrt{J}}{\sqrt{1+J+(p^1)^2}} d\sqrt{J} d\chi dp^1 \\ &= \int_{D(\rho, z)} \int_0^{2\pi} (E + \rho\omega L)^2 \Phi(E + \rho\omega, L) \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdLd\vartheta \\ &= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (E + \rho\omega L)^2 \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used that $v_0(p) = -\varepsilon = -(E + \rho\omega L)$, $\ell_z = \rho L$ and the independence of the integrand on ϑ . The components $T_{tt}[f](\rho, z)$, $T_{t\phi}[f](\rho, z)$ and $T_{\phi\phi}[f](\rho, z)$ are computed in the same way. As for $T_{\rho\rho}[f](\rho, z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rho\rho}[f](\rho, z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_\rho(p))^2 f(x, v(p)) \frac{\sqrt{J}}{\sqrt{1+J+(p^1)^2}} d\sqrt{J} d\chi dp^1 \\ &= e^{2\lambda} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \int_0^{2\pi} J(E, L) \sin^2 \vartheta \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdLd\vartheta \\ &= \frac{\pi\rho}{\sigma} e^{2\lambda} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2} E^2 - 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{X} L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL \\ &= \frac{\pi\rho}{\sigma} e^{2\lambda} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z)^2 - L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL. \end{aligned}$$

The final expression is obtained by (5.9). Hence,

$$T_{tt}[f](\rho, z) = \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (E + \rho\omega L)^2 \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (5.11)$$

$$T_{t\phi}[f](\rho, z) = -\frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \rho L(E + \rho\omega L) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (5.12)$$

$$T_{\phi\phi}[f](\rho, z) = \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (\rho L)^2 \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (5.13)$$

$$T_{\rho\rho}[f](\rho, z) = \frac{\pi\rho}{\sigma} e^{2\lambda} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z)^2 - L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (5.14)$$

$$T_{zz}[f](\rho, z) = \frac{\pi\rho}{\sigma} e^{2\lambda} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z)^2 - L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (5.15)$$

where \tilde{L} was defined in (5.9). It remains to compute the intersection of $D(\rho, z)$ and the support of Φ and Ψ_η . This will be done in Section 9.2 concerning the regularity of the matter terms.

5.4 Static and axisymmetric black holes with matter

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.1 in [16] concerning the modified Carter-Robinson theory. First of all, we recall the following theorem

Theorem 2 (O.CHODOSH, Y.SHLAPENTOKH-ROTHMAN). *Suppose that (\mathcal{M}, g) solves the Einstein equations for some energy-momentum tensor \mathbb{T} satisfying*

$$\mathbb{T}(T, \partial_\rho) = \mathbb{T}(T, \partial_z) = \mathbb{T}(\Phi, \partial_\rho) = \mathbb{T}(\Phi, \partial_z) = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Then, the metric data $(X, W, \theta, \sigma, \lambda)$ satisfies the following equations on $\mathcal{B} = \{(\rho, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \rho > 0\}$:

1. X satisfies

$$\sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho(\sigma \partial_\rho X) + \sigma^{-1} \partial_z(\sigma \partial_z X) = e^{2\lambda} (-2\mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi) + \text{Tr}(\mathbb{T})X) + \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 - \theta_\rho^2 - \theta_z^2}{X}, \quad (5.17)$$

2. W satisfies

$$\partial_\rho(X^{-1}W)d\rho + \partial_z(X^{-1}W)dz = \frac{\sigma}{X^2}(\theta_\rho dz - \theta_z d\rho), \quad (5.18)$$

3. θ satisfies

$$d\theta = 2\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda} (\mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi)W - \mathbb{T}(\Phi, T)X) d\rho \wedge dz, \quad (5.19)$$

as well as

$$\sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho(\sigma \partial_\rho \theta) + \sigma^{-1} \partial_z(\sigma \partial_z \theta) = \frac{2\theta_\rho \partial_\rho X + 2\theta_z \partial_z X}{X} \quad (5.20)$$

4. σ satisfies

$$X^{-1} \exp -2\lambda \sigma (\partial_\rho^2 \sigma + \partial_z^2 \sigma) = \mathbb{T}(T - X^{-1}W\Phi, T - X^{-1}W\Phi) - X^{-2} \sigma^2 \mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi) + X^{-1} \sigma^2 \text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}), \quad (5.21)$$

5. λ satisfies the following equations at the points where $|\partial\sigma| \neq 0$

$$\partial_\rho \lambda = \alpha_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log X, \quad \partial_z \lambda = \alpha_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log X, \quad (5.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
((\partial_\rho\sigma)^2 + (\partial_z\sigma)^2)\alpha_\rho &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\rho\sigma)\sigma \left(\mathbb{T}(\partial_\rho, \partial_\rho) - \mathbb{T}(\partial_z, \partial_z) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} \right) \\
&\quad + (\partial_\rho\sigma)(\partial_\rho^2\sigma - \partial_z^2\sigma) + (\partial_z\sigma)(\partial_{\rho,z}^2\sigma) \\
&\quad + (\partial_z\sigma)\sigma \left(\mathbb{T}(\partial_\rho, \partial_z) + \frac{1}{2}X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)) \right), \\
((\partial_\rho\sigma)^2 + (\partial_z\sigma)^2)\alpha_z &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_z\sigma)\sigma \left(\mathbb{T}(\partial_\rho, \partial_\rho) - \mathbb{T}(\partial_z, \partial_z) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} \right) \\
&\quad + (\partial_\rho\sigma)((\partial_{\rho,z}^2\sigma)) - (\partial_z\sigma)(\partial_\rho^2\sigma - \partial_z^2\sigma) + (\partial_\rho\sigma)((\partial_{\rho,z}^2\sigma)) \\
&\quad + (\partial_\rho\sigma)\sigma \left(\mathbb{T}(\partial_\rho, \partial_z) + \frac{1}{2}X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

Independent of the behaviour of σ , λ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
2\partial_\rho^2\lambda + 2\partial_z^2\lambda &= -\partial_\rho^2 \log X - \partial_z^2 \log X + \sigma^{-1}((\partial_\rho\sigma)^2 + (\partial_z\sigma)^2) \\
&\quad + e^{2\lambda} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) - \mathbb{T}(\partial_\rho, \partial_\rho) - \mathbb{T}(\partial_z, \partial_z) \\
&\quad - 2X^{-1} \left(\mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{T})X \right) e^{2\lambda} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 + (\theta_z)^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if the metric data solves each of these equations, and $|\partial\sigma| \neq 0$ on \mathcal{B} , then we may recover the metric g defined on $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi) \times \mathcal{B}$ such that (\mathcal{M}, g) solves the Einstein equations with energy-momentum tensor \mathbb{T} .

In our case, all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, we apply the latter with the components of the energy momentum tensor given by (5.11), (5.12), (5.13), (5.14) and (5.15) and obtain

Proposition 18. *Suppose that (\mathcal{M}, g, f) solves the Einstein-Vlasov equations where g is given by*

$$g = -Vdt^2 + 2Wdtd\phi + Xd\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda}(d\rho^2 + dz^2)$$

and f is given by

$$f(x, v) = \Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z)\Psi_\eta(\rho, (\varepsilon, \ell_z), h := (X, W, \sigma)).$$

Then the metric data $(X, W, \theta, \sigma, \lambda)$ satisfies the following equations on \mathcal{B}

1. X satisfies

$$\sigma^{-1}\partial_\rho(\sigma\partial_\rho X) + \sigma^{-1}\partial_z(\sigma\partial_z X) = F_1(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) + \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 - \theta_\rho^2 - \theta_z^2}{X}, \quad (5.23)$$

2. W satisfies

$$\partial_\rho(X^{-1}W)d\rho + \partial_z(X^{-1}W)dz = \frac{\sigma}{X^2}(\theta_\rho dz - \theta_z d\rho), \quad (5.24)$$

3. θ satisfies

$$d\theta = 2\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)d\rho \wedge dz, \quad (5.25)$$

as well as

$$\sigma^{-1}\partial_\rho(\sigma\partial_\rho\theta) + \sigma^{-1}\partial_z(\sigma\partial_z\theta) = \frac{2\theta_\rho\partial_\rho X + 2\theta_z\partial_z X}{X}. \quad (5.26)$$

4. σ satisfies

$$X^{-1}\exp(-2\lambda)\sigma(\partial_\rho^2\sigma + \partial_z^2\sigma) = F_3(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z), \quad (5.27)$$

5. λ satisfies the following equations at the points where $|\partial\sigma| \neq 0$

$$\partial_\rho\lambda = \alpha_\rho - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\rho\log X, \quad \partial_z\lambda = \alpha_z - \frac{1}{2}\partial_z\log X, \quad (5.28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} ((\partial_\rho\sigma)^2 + (\partial_z\sigma)^2)\alpha_\rho &= \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\rho\sigma)\sigma\frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} + (\partial_\rho\sigma)(\partial_\rho^2\sigma - \partial_z^2\sigma) \\ &\quad + (\partial_z\sigma)(\partial_{\rho,z}^2\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\partial_\rho\sigma)^2 + (\partial_z\sigma)^2)\alpha_z &= -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_z\sigma)\sigma\frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} - (\partial_z\sigma)(\partial_\rho^2\sigma - \partial_z^2\sigma) \\ &\quad + (\partial_\rho\sigma)(\partial_{\rho,z}^2\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)), \end{aligned}$$

Independent of the behaviour of σ , λ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_\rho^2\lambda + 2\partial_z^2\lambda &= -\partial_\rho^2\log X - \partial_z^2\log X + \sigma^{-1}((\partial_\rho\sigma)^2 + (\partial_z\sigma)^2) + F_4(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}X^{-2}((\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 + (\theta_z)^2), \end{aligned}$$

where F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 are given by:

$$F_1(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) := -e^{2\lambda}\frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma}\int_{D(\rho,z)}(X+2(\rho L)^2)\Phi(E+\rho\omega L, \rho L)\Psi_\eta(\rho, (E+\rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma))dEdL, \quad (5.29)$$

$$F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) := \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma}X\int_{D(\rho,z)}\rho LE\Phi(E+\rho\omega L, \rho L)\Psi_\eta(\rho, (E+\rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma))dEdL, \quad (5.30)$$

$$F_3(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) := \frac{2\pi\rho^3\sigma}{X^2}\int_{D(\rho,z)}(\tilde{L}^2 - L^2)\Phi(E+\rho\omega L, \rho L)\Psi_\eta(\rho, (E+\rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma))dEdL, \quad (5.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(W, X, \sigma, \lambda)(\rho, z) &:= -\frac{4\pi e^{2\lambda}\rho}{\sigma}\int_{D(\rho,z)}\left(\frac{X^2}{\rho^2\sigma^2}E^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X}{\rho^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X}L^2\right)\right)\Phi(E+\rho\omega L, \rho L) \\ &\quad \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E+\rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma))dEdL, \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Conversely, if the metric data solves each of these equations, and $|\partial\sigma| \neq 0$ on \mathcal{B} , then we may recover the metric and the distribution function (\mathcal{M}, g, f) , solving the Einstein-Vlasov equations.

Proof. We apply Theorem 2 and we compute the components of the energy-momentum tensor. First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rho\rho} &= T_{zz}, \\ T_{\rho z} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, α_ρ and α_z do not depend on the the matter terms. Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) &= g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= g^{tt}T_{tt} + 2g^{t\phi}T_{t\phi} + g^{\phi\phi}T_{\phi\phi} + 2e^{-2\lambda}T_{\rho\rho} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(-XT_{tt} + 2WT_{t\phi} + VT_{\phi\phi} \right) + 2e^{-2\lambda}T_{\rho\rho} \\ &= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma^3} \int_{D(\rho,z)} \left(-X(E + \rho\omega L)^2 - 2W\rho L(E + \rho\omega L) + V(\rho L)^2 + \sigma^2 \left(\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho)^2 - L^2 \right) \right) \\ &\quad \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall that $\sigma^2 = XV + W^2$ and $\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho) = \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\rho} \left(-1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We use the latter to obtain

$$-X(E + \rho\omega L)^2 - 2W\rho L(E + \rho\omega L) + V(\rho L)^2 + \sigma^2 \left(\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho)^2 - L^2 \right) = -\sigma^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) = -\frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho,z)} \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL.$$

Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) &= e^{2\lambda} \left(-2T_{\phi\phi} + \text{Tr}(\mathbb{T})X \right) \\ &= -e^{2\lambda} \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho,z)} \left(X + 2(\rho L)^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) &= T_{\phi\phi}W - T_{\phi t}X \\ &= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho,z)} \left((\rho L)^2 W + X\rho L(E + \rho\omega L) \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL \\ &= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} X \int_{D(\rho,z)} \rho L E \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL. \end{aligned}$$

We have used $\omega := -WX^{-1}$ to obtain the latter expression. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{T} \left(T - X^{-1}W\Phi, T - X^{-1}W\Phi \right) - X^{-2}\sigma^2\mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi) + X^{-1}\sigma^2\text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) \\ &= T_{tt} + \omega^2 T_{\phi\phi} + 2\omega T_{t\phi} \\ &= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho,z)} \left((E + \rho\omega L)^2 + (\rho\omega L)^2 - 2\rho\omega L(E + \rho\omega L) \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \\ &\quad \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL. \\ &= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho,z)} E^2 \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) &= \mathbb{T}(T - X^{-1}W\Phi, T - X^{-1}W\Phi) - X^{-2}\sigma^2\mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi) + X^{-1}\sigma^2\text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) \\
&= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (E^2 - X^{-2}\sigma^2(\rho L)^2 - X^{-1}\sigma^2) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL \\
&= \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} X^{-2}\sigma^2\rho^2 \left(\frac{X^2}{\rho^2\sigma^2}E^2 - L^2 - \frac{X}{\rho^2} \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL \\
&= \frac{2\pi\rho^3\sigma}{X^2} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X}{\rho^2} \left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2}E^2 - 1 \right) - L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL \\
&= \frac{2\pi\rho^3\sigma}{X^2} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\tilde{L}^2(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z) - L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
F_4(W, X, \sigma, \lambda)(\rho, z) &= e^{2\lambda}\text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) - \mathbb{T}(\partial_\rho, \partial_\rho) - \mathbb{T}(\partial_z, \partial_z) - 2X^{-1} \left(\mathbb{T}(\Phi, \Phi) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\mathbb{T})X \right) e^{2\lambda} \\
&= 2e^{2\lambda} \left(\text{Tr}(\mathbb{T}) - e^{-2\lambda}T_{\rho\rho} - X^{-1}T_{\phi\phi} \right) \\
&= -2e^{2\lambda} \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{L}^2(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z) - L^2 \right) + X^{-1}(\rho L)^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \\
&\quad \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL \\
&= -\frac{4\pi e^{2\lambda}\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X^2}{\rho^2\sigma^2}E^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X}{\rho^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X}L^2 \right) \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \\
&\quad \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.5 Renormalised unknowns and their equations

This section follows closely [17]. Nonetheless, we detail the computations and the arguments in order to be self contained.

In order to apply the fixed point theorem in a neighbourhood of a fixed Kerr solution, we introduce new quantities which are normalised with respect to the Kerr metric. This choice of variables was first considered in [17] and allows to subtract off the leading order singular behaviour near the axis and the horizon.

We begin by defining an adapted Ernst potential, $Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let us review the construction in the vacuum case just for comparison.

In vacuum, the twist one-form associated to $\Phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}$ vanishes:

$$d\theta = 0.$$

Therefore, since \mathcal{B} is simply connected, there exists a function $Y_{vacuum} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies

$$\theta = dY_{vacuum}. \tag{5.33}$$

This leads to a harmonic map system in (X, Y_{vacuum}) which decouples from the remaining metric data. Moreover, the requirements of asymptotic flatness and regular extensions to the axis and to

the horizon lead to boundary conditions for X and Y_{vacuum} . This allows one to determine uniquely (X, Y_{vacuum}) (See [55], [12]). Now, given (X, Y_{vacuum}) and the boundary conditions, the rest of the metric components are uniquely determined by quadratures, see Section 2.2.2 of the introduction and [28, Chapter 10] for details.

In the presence of matter, the twist one-form is no longer closed. We recall from (5.25) that θ satisfies

$$d\theta = 2\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)d\rho \wedge dz. \quad (5.34)$$

However, we can still define an "Ernst potential" Y , which will define, together with X a harmonic map system. The idea is to split the twist one-form θ into an Ernst potential piece dY and an other one-form B , defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ which verifies

$$dB = 2\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)d\rho \wedge dz \quad \text{on } \mathcal{B}. \quad (5.35)$$

1. We begin by extending the two-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{B})$ defined by

$$\omega := \omega_{\rho z}d\rho \wedge dz := 2\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)d\rho \wedge dz$$

to a two-form $\overline{\omega}$ defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ so that

$$\overline{\omega} = \omega \quad \text{on } \mathcal{B}.$$

First, assume that $\omega_{\rho z}$ can be extended to a function $\overline{\omega}_{\rho z}$ ²¹ defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Now, let $(\xi_N, \xi_S, 1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)$ be the partition of unity subordinate to $(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H)$ and let $p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$

- If $p \in (\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H)$, then

$$\omega_{\rho z}(p) = \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^A(\rho, z)$$

- If $p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$, then

$$\omega_{\rho z}(p) = \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^N(s, \chi)$$

- If $p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$, then

$$\omega_{\rho z}(p) = \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^S(s', \chi')$$

where $\overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^A$, $\overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^N$ and $\overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^S$ are defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$ respectively. We have

$$\omega(p) = \omega_{\rho z}(p)d\rho \wedge dz = \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}(s, \chi)(s^2 + \chi^2)ds \wedge d\chi \quad \forall p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N.$$

Since the coordinate system (s, χ) is defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$, we set:

$$\overline{\omega}(p) := \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^N(s, \chi)(s^2 + \chi^2)ds \wedge d\chi \quad \forall p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N.$$

Similarly, we set

$$\overline{\omega}(p) := \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}^S(s', \chi')((s')^2 + (\chi')^2)ds' \wedge d\chi', \quad \forall p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S.$$

Finally, we set

$$\overline{\omega}(p) := \overline{\omega}_{\rho z}(p)d\rho \wedge dz, \quad \forall p \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H.$$

This defines $\overline{\omega}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.

²¹We identify $\overline{\omega}_{\rho z}$ with its coordinate representations in every chart $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$.

2. In order to construct B , or rather to find the equations for B , we first make the following ansatz:

$$B := B^{(A)} + \xi_N B^{(N)} + \xi_S B^{(S)} \quad (5.36)$$

where $B^{(N)}$, $B^{(S)}$ and $B^{(A)}$ are one-forms that are defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$ respectively. Therefore, solving the equations for $B^{(N)}$, $B^{(S)}$ and $B^{(A)}$ will allow us to determine B . Hence, we determine the equations for $B^{(N)}$, $B^{(S)}$ and $B^{(A)}$ based on (5.35):

- On $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we construct $B^{(N)}$ such that

$$dB^{(N)} = \xi_N \bar{\omega}.$$

In the local coordinates (s, χ) , we have

$$B^{(N)} = B_s^{(N)} ds + B_\chi^{(N)} d\chi.$$

This implies

$$dB^{(N)} = \partial_\chi B_s^{(N)} d\chi \wedge ds + \partial_s B_\chi^{(N)} ds \wedge d\chi.$$

Therefore,

$$\xi_N \bar{\omega}_{\rho z}(s, \chi)(s^2 + \chi^2) ds \wedge d\chi = \partial_\chi B_s^{(N)} d\chi \wedge ds + \partial_s B_\chi^{(N)} ds \wedge d\chi.$$

We make the following gauge choice:

$$B_s^{(N)}(s, \chi) = 0, \quad B_\chi^{(N)}(0, \chi) = B_\chi^{(N)}(s, 0) = 0.$$

Moreover, we require $B_s^{(N)}$ to satisfy the equation.

$$\partial_s B_\chi^{(N)} = \xi_N \bar{\omega}_{\rho z}(s, \chi)(s^2 + \chi^2).$$

Consequently, we define the one-form $B^{(N)}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ to be the solution the equations

$$\begin{aligned} B_\chi^{(N)}(0, \chi) &= 0, \\ \partial_s B_\chi^{(N)} &= 2\xi_N \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)(s^2 + \chi^2), \\ B_s^{(N)}(s, \chi) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

- Similarly, we define the one-form $B^{(S)}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ to be the solution the equations

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\chi'}^{(S)}(0, \chi') &= 0, \\ \partial_{s'} B_{\chi'}^{(S)} &= 2\xi_S \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(s', \chi')((s')^2 + (\chi')^2), \\ B_{s'}^{(S)}(s', \chi') &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

- Finally, we use (5.36) in order to find the equations satisfied by $B^{(A)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dB^{(A)} &= dB - d(\xi_N B^{(N)}) - d(\xi_S B^{(S)}) \\ &= dB - \xi_N d(B^{(N)}) - d\xi_N \wedge B^{(N)} - \xi_S d(B^{(S)}) - d\xi_S \wedge B^{(S)} \\ &= (1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2) dB - d\xi_N \wedge B^{(N)} - d\xi_S \wedge B^{(S)}. \end{aligned}$$

On $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, we have

$$dB = 2\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)d\rho \wedge dz,$$

$$B^{(N)} = B_\rho^{(N)}d\rho + B_z^{(S)}dz \quad , \quad B^{(N)} = B_\rho^{(S)}d\rho + B_z^{(S)}dz \quad , \quad B^{(A)} = B_\rho^{(A)}d\rho + B_z^{(A)}dz$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} dB^{(A)} &= \partial_z B_\rho^{(A)}dz \wedge d\rho + \partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}d\rho \wedge dz, \\ d\xi_N \wedge B^{(N)} &= (\partial_\rho \xi_N)B_z^{(N)} - (\partial_z \xi_N)B_\rho^{(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

We make the following gauge choice

$$B_\rho^{(A)} = 0 \quad , \quad B_z^{(A)}(0, z) = 0$$

and we require $B_z^{(A)}$ to satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) &= 2(1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2)\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) \\ &\quad - (\partial_\rho \xi_N)B_z^{(N)} + (\partial_z \xi_N)B_\rho^{(N)} - (\partial_\rho \xi_S)B_z^{(S)} + (\partial_z \xi_S)B_\rho^{(S)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we define the one-form $B^{(A)}$ to be the solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} B_z^{(A)}(0, z) &= 0, \\ \partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) &= 2(1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2)\sigma^{-1}e^{2\lambda}F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) \\ &\quad - (\partial_\rho \xi_N)B_z^{(N)} + (\partial_z \xi_N)B_\rho^{(N)} - (\partial_\rho \xi_S)B_z^{(S)} + (\partial_z \xi_S)B_\rho^{(S)}, \\ B_\rho^{(A)}(\rho, z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

3. With this construction, B is defined by $\left(B_\chi^{(N)}, B_{\chi'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)}\right)$ and verifies (5.35)

Therefore, we can define the Ernst potential Y :

Definition 19. We define, up to a constant, the Ernst potential $Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be the function which satisfies:

$$dY = \theta - B. \tag{5.40}$$

Now, we introduce the renormalised unknowns to be the following set of functions and a one-form, which are all assumed to be continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and satisfy the following definitions on \mathcal{B} :

$$\overset{\circ}{\sigma} := \frac{\sigma - \sigma_K}{\sigma_K} \quad \sigma_K = \rho, \tag{5.41}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{X} := X_K^{-1}(X - X_K), \quad \overset{\circ}{Y} := X_K^{-1}(Y - Y_K), \tag{5.42}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{\Theta} := X^{-1}W - X_K^{-1}W_K, \tag{5.43}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{\lambda} := \lambda - \lambda_K. \tag{5.44}$$

Henceforth, the quantities $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(B_\chi^{(N)}, B_{\chi'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right)$ will be called the "renormalised unknowns".

Now, given $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(B_{\overset{\circ}{X}}^{(N)}, B_{\overset{\circ}{X}'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right)$, we can recover the original unknowns in the following way:

$$\sigma = \sigma_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}), \quad (5.45)$$

$$X = X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \quad Y = X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{Y}), \quad (5.46)$$

$$X^{-1}W = \overset{\circ}{\Theta} + X_K^{-1}W_K, \quad (5.47)$$

$$\lambda = \overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K. \quad (5.48)$$

In terms of the renormalised unknowns, the matter terms become:

$$F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z) := -\frac{2\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) + 2(\rho L)^2) \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \\ \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

$$F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z) := \frac{2\pi}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \int_{D(\rho, z)} \rho L E \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \\ \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

$$F_3(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z) := \frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (\tilde{L}^2 - L^2) \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \\ \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

$$F_4(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})(\rho, z) := -\frac{4\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \\ \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^4 (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} E^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X_K}{\rho^2} (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})\right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K} \frac{1}{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}} L^2\right) \right) \\ \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

We apply Proposition 18 in order to obtain the equations for the renormalised unknowns.

Proposition 19. *The renormalised unknowns $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right)$ verify the following equations*

- $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ satisfies

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overset{\circ}{\sigma} = \rho^{-1} \sigma^{-1} X e^{2\lambda} F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z), \quad (5.49)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ is the Laplacian corresponding to the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^4 given by $g_{\mathbb{R}^4} = d\rho^2 + dz^2 + \rho^2 d\mathbb{S}^2$.

- B satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\chi B_s^{(N)} &= 2\xi_N (s\chi)^{-1} (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^{-1} e^{2\lambda+2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}} F_2(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(s, \chi)(s^2 + \chi^2), \\
\partial_{\chi'} B_{s'}^{(S)} &= 2\xi_S (s'(\chi)')^{-1} (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^{-1} e^{2\lambda+2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}} F_2(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(s', \chi')((s')^2 + (\chi')^2), \\
\partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) + (\partial_\rho \xi_N) B_z^{(N)} - (\partial_z \xi_N) B_\rho^{(N)} + (\partial_\rho \xi_S) B_z^{(S)} - (\partial_z \xi_S) B_\rho^{(S)} &= \\
2(1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2) \rho^{-1} (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^{-1} e^{2\lambda+2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}} F_2(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z), &
\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

- $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} - \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} + 2\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} &= N_X := N_X^{(1)} + N_X^{(2)}, \\
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{Y} - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} - \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} &= N_Y := N_Y^{(1)} + N_Y^{(2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
N_X^{(1)} &:= \frac{X_K^2 (|\partial \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 - |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2) + (\overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K - \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K) \cdot (2X_K \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} - \overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K)}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}, \\
N_Y^{(1)} &:= \frac{\partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} + 2X_K (\overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K - \overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K) \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}, \\
N_X^{(2)} &:= (\rho^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma) \frac{\partial_\rho (X_K (1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_z \sigma \frac{\partial_z (X_K (1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K} - \frac{2\partial_\rho (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) B_\rho}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\
&\quad - \frac{2\partial_z (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) B_z}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} - \frac{B_\rho^2 + B_z^2}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} + X_K^{-1} F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z), \\
N_Y^{(2)} &:= (\rho^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma) \partial_\rho (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) X_K^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma B_\rho X_K^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_z \sigma (\partial_z (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) + B_z) X_K^{-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{B_\rho \partial_\rho (X_K (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})) + B_z \partial_z (X_K (1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})},
\end{aligned}$$

and where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ is the Laplacian corresponding to the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^3 given by $g_{\mathbb{R}^3} = d\rho^2 + dz^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2$.

- $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= -\frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\partial_z Y + B_z) + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K, \\
\partial_z \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= \frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\partial_\rho Y + B_\rho) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_\rho Y_K.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

- $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_\rho - (\alpha_K)_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \\ \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}),\end{aligned}\tag{5.53}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_K)_\rho &= \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X_K)^2 - (\partial_z X_K)^2 + (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2) - (\partial_z Y_K)^2, \\ (\alpha_K)_z &= \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X_K)(\partial_z X_K) + (\partial_\rho Y_K)(\partial_z Y_K)),\end{aligned}$$

where α_ρ and α_z satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}((\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2) \alpha_\rho &= \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\rho \sigma) \sigma \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} + (\partial_\rho \sigma) (\partial_\rho^2 \sigma - \partial_z^2 \sigma) + (\partial_z \sigma) ((\partial_{\rho,z}^2 \sigma)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)), \\ ((\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2) \alpha_z &= -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_z \sigma) \sigma \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} - (\partial_z \sigma) (\partial_\rho^2 \sigma - \partial_z^2 \sigma) + (\partial_\rho \sigma) ((\partial_{\rho,z}^2 \sigma)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z))\end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. First, we derive the equation for $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$: For this, we compute the Laplacian of $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ with respect to the flat metric $g = d\rho^2 + dz^2 + \rho^2 dS^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overset{\circ}{\sigma} &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_i \left(\sqrt{\det g} g^{ij} \partial_j \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) \right), \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^2 \sin \theta} \partial_\rho \left(\rho^2 \sin \theta g^{\rho\rho} \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2 \sin \theta} \partial_z \left(\rho^2 \sin \theta g^{zz} \partial_z \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) \right) \\ &= \partial_{\rho\rho} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) + \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{zz} \sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} (\partial_{\rho\rho} \sigma + \partial_{zz} \sigma)\end{aligned}$$

By (5.27), we have

$$X^{-1} \exp(-2\lambda) \sigma (\partial_\rho^2 \sigma + \partial_z^2 \sigma) = F_3(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z),$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overset{\circ}{\sigma} = \frac{X_K}{\rho^2} e^{2\lambda} \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} F_3(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z).$$

2. The equation for B follows from the definition of $(B_\chi^{(N)}, B_{\chi'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)})$ and the equations (5.37), (5.38), (5.39).

3. We turn to the equations for $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$:

- First of all, we recall from the classical Carter Robinson theory (see for example [55]) that (X_K, Y_K) forms a harmonic map system and satisfies the equations

$$\begin{cases} \rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho X_K) + \rho^{-1}\partial_z(\rho\partial_z X_K) = \frac{(\partial_\rho X_K)^2 + (\partial_z X_K)^2 - (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2 - (\partial_z Y_K)^2}{X_K}, \\ \rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho Y_K) + \rho^{-1}\partial_z(\rho\partial_z Y_K) = \frac{2(\partial_\rho Y_K)(\partial_\rho X_K) + 2(\partial_z Y_K)(\partial_z X_K)}{X_K}. \end{cases} \quad (5.54)$$

- Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{X - X_K}{X_K} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho \left(\rho \partial_\rho \left(\frac{X - X_K}{X_K} \right) \right) + \partial_{zz} \left(\frac{X - X_K}{X_K} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{(\partial_\rho X)X_K - (\partial_\rho X_K)X}{X_K^2} + \partial_\rho \left(\frac{(\partial_\rho X)X_K - (\partial_\rho X_K)X}{X_K^2} \right) + \partial_z \left(\frac{(\partial_z X)X_K - (\partial_z X_K)X}{X_K^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We expand

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho \left(\frac{(\partial_\rho X)X_K - (\partial_\rho X_K)X}{X_K^2} \right) &= \frac{(\partial_{\rho\rho} X)X_K - (\partial_{\rho\rho} X_K)X}{X_K^2} - 2 \frac{(\partial_\rho X_K)(\partial_\rho X)}{X_K^2} + 2X \frac{(\partial_\rho X_K)(\partial_\rho X)}{X_K^3} \\ &= \frac{(\partial_{\rho\rho} X)X_K - (\partial_{\rho\rho} X_K)X}{X_K^2} - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{X} \partial_\rho X_K, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \left(\frac{(\partial_z X)X_K - (\partial_z X_K)X}{X_K^2} \right) &= \frac{(\partial_{zz} X)X_K - (\partial_{zz} X_K)X}{X_K^2} - 2 \frac{(\partial_z X_K)(\partial_z X)}{X_K^2} + 2X \frac{(\partial_z X_K)(\partial_z X)}{X_K^3} \\ &= \frac{(\partial_{\rho\rho} X)X_K - (\partial_{\rho\rho} X_K)X}{X_K^2} - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial_z \overset{\circ}{X} \partial_z X_K. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (5.54), we have

$$\frac{\partial_\rho X_K}{\rho} + \partial_{\rho\rho} X_K + \partial_{zz} X_K = \frac{(\partial_\rho X_K)^2 + (\partial_z X_K)^2 - (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2 - (\partial_z Y_K)^2}{X_K}.$$

Recall that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \partial_{\rho\rho} + \partial_{zz}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} &= \frac{1}{X_K} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} (\partial_\rho X) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} X \right) - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{X} \partial_\rho X_K - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial_z \overset{\circ}{X} \partial_z X_K \\ &= \frac{1}{X_K} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} (\partial_\rho X) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} X \right) - \frac{X}{X_K^2} \left(\frac{(\partial_\rho X_K)^2 + (\partial_z X_K)^2 - (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2 - (\partial_z Y_K)^2}{X_K} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial X_K \\ &= \frac{1}{X_K} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} (\partial_\rho X) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} X \right) - \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} (|\partial X_K|^2 - |\partial Y_K|^2) - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial X_K. \end{aligned}$$

- We replace X by $X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})$ in the left hand side of (5.23) and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho(\sigma \partial_\rho X) + \sigma^{-1} \partial_z(\sigma \partial_z X) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(\partial_\rho \sigma \partial_\rho X + \sigma \partial_{\rho\rho} X) + \frac{1}{\sigma}(\partial_z \sigma \partial_z X + \sigma \partial_{zz} X) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(\partial_\rho \sigma \partial_\rho X + \partial_z \sigma \partial_z X) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} X \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \partial_\rho \sigma \partial_\rho (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \partial_z \sigma \partial_z (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (5.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} X &= -\frac{1}{\sigma} \partial_\rho \sigma \partial_\rho (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \partial_z \sigma \partial_z (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})) + F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z) \\ &\quad + \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 - \theta_\rho^2 - \theta_z^2}{X}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.55)$$

- Recall that $dY = \theta - B$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\rho &= \partial_\rho Y + B_\rho, \\ \theta_z &= \partial_z Y + B_z. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\rho^2 &= (\partial_\rho Y)^2 + B_\rho^2 + 2B_\rho \partial_\rho Y, \\ \theta_z^2 &= (\partial_z Y)^2 + B_z^2 + 2B_z \partial_z Y. \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 - \theta_\rho^2 - \theta_z^2}{X} &= \frac{|\partial X|^2 - |\partial Y|^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} - \frac{B_\rho^2 + B_z^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} - 2 \frac{B_\rho \partial_\rho (Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} X_K)}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{B_z \partial_z (Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} X_K)}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.56)$$

- We set

$$\begin{aligned} N_X^{(2)} &:= (\rho^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma) \left(\frac{\partial_\rho (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K} \right) - \sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial_z \sigma \partial_z (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K} + X_K^{-1} F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z) \\ &\quad - \frac{B_\rho^2 + B_z^2}{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} - \frac{2B_\rho \partial_\rho (Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} X_K)}{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} - \frac{2B_z \partial_z (Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} X_K)}{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.57)$$

- From (5.55), (5.56) and (5.57), we obtain

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} = N_X^{(2)} - \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} (|\partial X_K|^2 - |\partial Y_K|^2) - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial X_K + \frac{(|\partial X|^2 - |\partial Y|^2)}{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}.$$

Now, we replace X by $X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})$ and Y by $Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}$ in the last term of the right hand side and we expand so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} &= N_X^{(2)} - \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} (|\partial X_K|^2 - |\partial Y_K|^2) - 2 \frac{1}{X_K} \partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial X_K + \frac{(|\partial X|^2 - |\partial Y|^2)}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\
&= \frac{X_K^2 (|\partial \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 - |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2) + \overset{\circ}{X} (\overset{\circ}{X} + 2) |\partial Y_K|^2 - |\partial X_K|^2 \overset{\circ}{Y}^2 - 2 \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial Y_K \cdot \partial X_K}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\
&\quad - \frac{2 X_K \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} \cdot \partial X_K - 2 X_K \partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\
&= \frac{X_K^2 (|\partial \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 - |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2) + 2 \overset{\circ}{X} (\overset{\circ}{X} + 1) |\partial Y_K|^2 - \overset{\circ}{X}^2 |\partial Y_K|^2 - 2 (\overset{\circ}{X} + 1) \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial Y_K \cdot \partial X_K}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\
&\quad + \frac{2 \overset{\circ}{X} \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial Y_K \cdot X_K - 2 X_K \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} - 2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) X_K \partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} + 2 \overset{\circ}{X} X_K \partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}.
\end{aligned}$$

- We set

$$N_X^{(1)} := \frac{X_K^2 (|\partial \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 - |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2) + (\overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K - \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K) \cdot (2 X_K \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} - \overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K)}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})},$$

- Hence,

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} = N_X^{(2)} + N_X^{(1)} - \frac{2 \partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} + \frac{2 |\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} - 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y}.$$

which is equivalent to (5.51).

- The equation for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ is derived in the same way.

4. We derive the equation for $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$: $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ is defined by

$$X^{-1} W = \overset{\circ}{\Theta} + X_K^{-1} W_K.$$

Moreover, by (5.24), we have

$$\partial_\rho (X^{-1} W) d\rho + \partial_z (X^{-1} W) dz = \frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\theta_\rho dz - \theta_z d\rho)$$

and $X_K^{-1} W_K$ satisfies

$$\partial_\rho (X_K^{-1} W_K) d\rho + \partial_z (X_K^{-1} W_K) dz = \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} (\partial_\rho Y_K dz - \partial_z Y_K d\rho).$$

We recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_\rho &= \partial_\rho Y + B_\rho, \\
\theta_z &= \partial_z Y + B_z.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\Theta} d\rho + \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\Theta} dz &= \partial_\rho (X_K^{-1} W_K) d\rho + \partial_z (X_K^{-1} W_K) dz - (\partial_\rho (X_K^{-1} W_K) d\rho + \partial_z (X_K^{-1} W_K) dz) \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\theta_\rho dz - \theta_z d\rho) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} (\partial_\rho Y_K dz - \partial_z Y_K d\rho) \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\partial_\rho Y dz - \partial_z Y d\rho) + \frac{\sigma}{X^2} (B_\rho dz - B_z d\rho) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} (\partial_\rho Y_K dz - \partial_z Y_K d\rho).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find the following pair of equations for $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= -\frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\partial_z Y + B_z) + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K, \\ \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= \frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\partial_\rho Y + B_\rho) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_\rho Y_K.\end{aligned}$$

5. Finally, we derive the equations for $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$: λ satisfies

$$\partial_\rho \lambda = \alpha_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log X_K (1 + \overset{\circ}{X}).$$

This implies

$$\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\lambda} = \alpha_\rho - (\partial_\rho \lambda_K + \frac{1}{2} \log X_K) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}).$$

Similarly,

$$\partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} = \alpha_z - (\partial_z \lambda_K + \frac{1}{2} \log X_K) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}).$$

Now, recall that λ_K satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\rho \lambda_K = \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X_K)^2 - (\partial_z X_K)^2 + (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2 - (\partial_z Y_K)^2) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log X_K, \\ \partial_z \lambda_K = \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X_K)(\partial_z X_K) + (\partial_\rho Y_K)(\partial_z Y_K)) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log X_K. \end{cases}$$

Define $(\alpha_K)_\rho$ and $(\alpha_K)_z$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_K)_\rho &:= \partial_\rho \lambda_K + \frac{1}{2} \log X_K, \\ (\alpha_K)_z &:= \partial_z \lambda_K + \frac{1}{2} \log X_K.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_\rho - (\alpha_K)_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \\ \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 25. As in [17], the order we have presented the renormalised unknowns reflects the order in which we will treat their equations. We will first solve for $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$, for B , for $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$, for $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ then for $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$. Indeed, one has to solve for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ and B before solving the $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ equation since the necessary boundary condition to integrate the equation for $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$.

5.6 Functional spaces on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$

In this section, we define the functional spaces for the renormalised unknowns in order to apply standard elliptic theory to solve non-homogeneous linear problems and to establish non-linear estimates.

Firstly, for any $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $(\rho, \vartheta, z) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi)$ be its cylindrical coordinates defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \rho \cos \vartheta, \\ y &= \rho \sin \vartheta, \\ z &= z. \end{aligned}$$

To any function $f : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ we associate an axisymmetric function $f_{\mathbb{R}^3} : \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$f_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x, y, z) := f(\rho(x, y), z). \quad (5.58)$$

Now, we introduce the following function spaces which are associated to $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}_{axi}^{k,p}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), \quad f_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in \dot{W}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \\ W_{axi}^{k,p}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), \quad f_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \\ C_{axi}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), \quad f_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \\ C_{0,axi}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), \quad f_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in C_0^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above spaces can also be seen as the Sobolev and Hölder spaces of axially symmetric functions defined on \mathbb{R}^3 . Now, for any $(x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, let $(s, \vartheta_1, \chi, \vartheta_2) \in [0, \infty[\times (0, 2\pi) \times [0, \infty[\times (0, 2\pi)$ or $(s', \vartheta_1, \chi', \vartheta_2) \in [0, \infty[\times (0, 2\pi) \times [0, \infty[\times (0, 2\pi)$ be its polar coordinates defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= s \cos \vartheta_1, \\ y &= s \sin \vartheta_1, \\ u &= \chi \cos \vartheta_2, \\ v &= \chi \sin \vartheta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.59)$$

Now, to any function $f^N : \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ or $f^S : \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, we associate a function $f_{\mathbb{R}^4}^N : \mathbb{R}^4 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ or $f_{\mathbb{R}^4}^S : \mathbb{R}^4 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbb{R}^4}^N(x, y, u, v) &:= f^N(s(x, y), \chi(u, v)), \\ f_{\mathbb{R}^4}^S(x, y, u, v) &:= f^S(s'(x, y), \chi'(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Another family of function spaces will be used during the analysis. They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}_{axi}^{k,p}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), (\xi_N f)_{\mathbb{R}^4}, (\xi_S f)_{\mathbb{R}^4} \in \dot{W}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^4), ((1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)f)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in \dot{W}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \\ \widehat{W}_{axi}^{k,p}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), (\xi_N f)_{\mathbb{R}^4}, (\xi_S f)_{\mathbb{R}^4} \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^4), ((1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)f)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \\ \widehat{C}_{axi}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), (\xi_N f)_{\mathbb{R}^4}, (\xi_S f)_{\mathbb{R}^4} \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^4), ((1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)f)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \\ \widehat{C}_{0,axi}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) &:= \left\{ f(\rho, z), (\xi_N f)_{\mathbb{R}^4}, (\xi_S f)_{\mathbb{R}^4} \in C_0^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^4), ((1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)f)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in C_0^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define the spaces \widehat{C}_{axi}^k and $\widehat{C}_{axi,0}^k$, and we set $C^\infty := \cap_{k=1}^\infty \widehat{C}_{axi}^k$ and $C^\infty := \cap_{k=1}^\infty \widehat{C}_{axi,0}^k$.

Remark 26. When there is unlikely to be any confusion, we will often drop the subscript "axi".

We state the following lemma on the relationship between the hatted and non-hatted Hölder spaces

Lemma 47. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the continuous inclusion*

$$C_{axi}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \subset \hat{C}_{axi}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Proof. See proof of Lemma 3.2.1 in [17]. □

In the following section, we introduce the function spaces for the renormalised unknowns

$$\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda} \right)$$

.

5.7 Function spaces for the renormalised unknowns

Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ be fixed and let the function $r : [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by $r(\rho, z) := \sqrt{1 + \rho^2 + z^2}$. Note that $r(\rho, z)$ is different from the radial coordinate appearing in BL coordinates. See Section 4.

5.7.1 Function spaces for σ

Definition 20. The Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma} := & \|f\|_{C^{3,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^2 f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^3 \partial f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^4 \log^{-1}(4r) \partial^2 f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \\ & + \|r^4 \log^{-1}(4r) \partial^2 f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}. \end{aligned}$$

The Banach space $(\mathcal{N}_\sigma, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma} := \|r^5 f\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}.$$

5.7.2 Function spaces for B

Definition 21. The Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_B, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_B})$ is defined to be the completion of triples $(F_z^{(A)}, F_\chi^{(N)}, F_{\chi'}^{(S)}) \in (\hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}}))^3$ under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(F_z^{(A)}, F_\chi^{(N)}, F_{\chi'}^{(S)} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_B} := & \left\| \frac{(1 + \rho^{10})(1 + r^{10})}{\rho^{10}} F_z^{(A)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{B}_H})} \\ & + \left\| s^{-10} F_\chi^{(N)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \left\| (s')^{-10} F_{\chi'}^{(S)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})}. \end{aligned}$$

The Banach space $(\mathcal{N}_B, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}_B})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(F_z^{(A)}, F_\chi^{(N)}, F_{\chi'}^{(S)} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{N}_B} := & \left\| \frac{(1 + \rho^{15})(1 + r^{10})}{\rho^{15}} F_z^{(A)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{B}_H})} \\ & + \left\| s^{-15} F_\chi^{(N)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \left\| (s')^{-15} F_{\chi'}^{(S)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})}. \end{aligned}$$

5.7.3 Function spaces for X and Y

Definition 22. The Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_X, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_X})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_X} := \|f\|_{\dot{W}_{axi}^{2,p}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|f\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|rf\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^2 \hat{\partial} f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^3 \log^{-1}(4r) \hat{\partial}^2 f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}.$$

The Banach space $(\mathcal{N}_X, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}_X})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_X} := \|r^3(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|(\chi^2 + s^2)\xi_N f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \|((\chi')^2 + (s')^2)\xi_S f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})}.$$

Definition 23. The Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_Y, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_Y})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_Y} := & \|f\|_{\dot{W}_{axi}^{2,p}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|\partial h|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|f\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|X_K^{-1}f\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^3 X_K^{-1}f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \\ & + \|r^4 \hat{\partial}(X_K^{-1}f)\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^5 \log^{-1}(4r) \hat{\partial}^2(X_K^{-1}f)\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}. \end{aligned}$$

The Banach space $(\mathcal{N}_Y, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}_Y})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_Y} := & \|f r^5 X_K^{-1}\|_{\hat{C}^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{(\mathcal{B}_H \cup \mathcal{B}_A)} \cap \{\rho \leq 1\})} + \|f r^4\|_{\hat{C}^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \{\rho \geq 1\})} + \|(\chi^2 + s^2)\xi_N f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \\ & + \|((\chi')^2 + (s')^2)\xi_S f\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})}. \end{aligned}$$

5.7.4 Function spaces for Θ

Definition 24. The Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_\Theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta} := \|r^2 f\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}.$$

The Banach space $(\mathcal{N}_\Theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}_\Theta})$ is defined to be the completion of pairs of smooth compatly supported closed 1-forms F under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_\Theta} := & \|r^3(1 + \rho^{-1})F_\rho\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{(\mathcal{B}_H \cup \mathcal{B}_A)})} + \|r^3 F_z\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{(\mathcal{B}_H \cup \mathcal{B}_A)})} \\ & + \|s^{-1}F_s\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \|F_\chi\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \\ & + \|(s')^{-1}F_{s'}\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} + \|F_{\chi'}\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} \end{aligned}$$

5.7.5 Function spaces for λ

Definition 25. The Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda})$ is defined to be the completion of smooth functions $f \in \hat{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} := \|f\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}.$$

It is easy to see that all these spaces are Banach spaces.

6 Main Result

In this section, we give a more detailed formulation of our result. More precisely, we have

Theorem 3. *Let*

1. $0 < |a| < M$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi) \times \mathcal{B}$ be the the domain of outer communications minus the axis of symmetry parametrised by the standard Weyl coordinates (t, ϕ, ρ, z) ,
2. $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}} \subset\subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$ be a compact subset of the set $\mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$ defined by Definition 16,
3. $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ be a C^2 function with respect to the first two variables, C^1 with respect to the third variable and such that
 - $\forall \delta \in [0, \infty[$, $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot; \delta)$ is supported in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$.
 - $\forall (E, \ell) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$, $\Phi(E, \ell; 0) = \partial_\ell \Phi(E, \ell; 0) = 0$ and $\forall \delta > 0$, $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \delta)$ does not identically vanish on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$.

Then, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ and a one-parameter family of functions

$$(V_\delta, W_\delta, X_\delta, \lambda_\delta)_{\delta \in [0, \delta_0[} \in (C^{2, \alpha}(\mathcal{B}))^4, f^\delta \in C^2(\mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$$

with the following properties

1. $(V_0, W_0, X_0, \lambda_0) = (V_K, W_K, X_K, \lambda_K)$ corresponds to a Kerr solution with parameters (a, M) .
2. For all $(E, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}(W_\delta, X_\delta, \sigma_\delta, \rho, z) = E^2 \tag{6.1}$$

admits a unique solution curve with two connected components, such that one of them is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 . Moreover, there exists $\eta > 0$ depending only on δ_0 such that

$$\rho_0(W_\delta, X_\delta, \sigma_\delta, E, \ell_z) + \eta < \rho_1(W_\delta, X_\delta, \sigma_\delta, E, \ell_z)$$

where $\rho_i(W_\delta, X_\delta, \sigma_\delta, E, \ell_z)$ are the two smallest solutions of the equation (6.1) with $z = 0$.

3. The function f^δ takes the form

$$f^\delta(x, v) = \Phi(E^\delta, \ell; \delta) \Psi_\eta(r, (\varepsilon_\delta, (\ell_z)_\delta), W_\delta, X_\delta, \sigma_\delta),$$

for $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates $(t, r, \theta, \phi, v^r, v^\theta, v^\phi)$ and where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\delta &= \frac{\sigma_\delta}{\sqrt{X_\delta}} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{W_\delta}{X_\delta} (\ell_z)_\delta, \\ (\ell_z)_\delta &= \sqrt{X_\delta} p^\phi, \end{aligned}$$

and Ψ_η is defined by (5.4).

4. Let g_δ be defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ by

$$g_\delta := -V_\delta dt^2 + 2W_\delta dt d\phi + X_\delta d\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda_\delta} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)$$

then $(\mathcal{O}, g_\delta, f^\delta)$ is a stationary and axially symmetric solution to the Einstein-Vlasov system (3.1) - (3.7) - (3.9) describing a matter shell orbiting a Kerr like black hole in the following sense:

- $\exists \rho_{min}^\delta, \rho_{max}^\delta \in]0, \infty[$ and $Z_{min}^\delta, Z_{max}^\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{min}^\delta &< \rho_{max}^\delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{min}^\delta > \rho^{mb,+}(a, M) \\ Z_{min}^\delta &< 0 < Z_{max}^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

- we have

$$\text{supp}_{(\rho,z)} f \subset \subset [\rho_{min}(h), \rho_{max}(h)] \times [Z_{min}(h), Z_{max}(h)].$$

$$\text{and } \exists (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{z}) \in [\rho_{min}(h), \rho_{max}(h)] \times [Z_{min}(h), Z_{max}(h)],$$

$$f^\delta(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{z}, \cdot) > 0.$$

- The region $\mathcal{H} = \{(\rho, z) : \rho = 0, |z| < \beta\}$ corresponds to a non-degenerate bifurcate Killing horizon on which the metric has a $C^{2,\alpha}$ extension in sense of Definition 4.

Overview of the poof

In this section, we give an overview of the proof of Theorem 3.

1. First of all, we show in Section 7 that the compact connected component of ZVC associated to a trapped geodesic with parameters $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$ moving in a Kerr exterior, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$, remains stable under stationary and axisymmetric perturbations of the Kerr metric. Then, using the compactness of \mathcal{B}_{bound} , we show that trapped geodesics moving in the perturbed spacetimes lie in a compact region of \mathcal{B} which is uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) . This allows us to obtain a distribution function which is compactly supported in \mathcal{B} . Consequently, all the matter terms $F_i(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ are compactly supported in \mathcal{B} and vanish in a neighbourhoods of the horizon, the axis of symmetry and the poles.
2. Then, to resolve the nonlinear aspects of the problem, we will use two fixed point lemmas, which are introduced in Section 8.1. We will start with the study of a toy model which illustrates the application of these lemmas. In the general case, we will have to deal with the difficulty related to the nonlinear coupling of the equations.
3. At this stage, we introduce a bifurcation parameter $\delta \geq 0$ in the ansatz for the distribution function which turns on the presence of Vlasov matter. This allows us to transform the problem of finding solutions to the reduced EV system for the renormalised quantities into that of finding a one-parameter family of solutions which depends on δ , by applying a fixed point lemma, considered as a zero of a well-defined operator.
4. Note that we will solve each equation separately and the order in which we solve them matters. See Remark 25. More precisely:
 - We begin by solving the equation for $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ in terms of the remaining quantities and the bifurcation parameter δ . The regularity for the matter terms will allow us to have a C^1 dependence of $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ in $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ and a continuous dependence with respect to δ . To this end, we apply a fixed point lemma.
 - Then, we solve the equations for B in terms of $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta})$. Note that $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ depends on the other renormalised quantities and δ . Therefore, after the application of the fixed point theorem, we will obtain a one parameter family of solutions $(B, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ which depend in C^1 manner of $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ and continuously on δ .

- We iterate the solving process in order to solve the equations for $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ in terms of $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$, then $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ in terms of $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ and finally the equations for $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ in terms of δ only.
- Consequently, we obtain a one-parameter family of solutions $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ which depends continuously on δ .

7 Perturbation of trapped Kerr geodesics

In this section, we show that given a compact set of parameters (ε, ℓ_z) leading to trapped orbits, the latter remain stable under small metric data perturbations.

First of all, let \mathcal{B}_{bound} be a compact subset of the form (38) and let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$. We recall from 16 that

1. there exists $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,abs} :]-\beta, \beta[\mapsto]0, \rho_0^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[$ such that

$$Z^{K,abs}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \text{Gr} \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,abs} \right),$$

2. there exist $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1 \dots 4$ such that

$$Z^{K,trapped}(\varepsilon, \ell_z) = \bigcup_{i=1 \dots 4} \text{Gr} \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i} \right),$$

where $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i$, $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,i}$ and $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,abs}$ are defined in (4.168).

Furthermore, we recall from Lemma 42 that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, there exist \mathcal{B}_i , $i = 1 \dots 5$ and \mathcal{B}^{abs} such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{abs} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1 \dots 5} \mathcal{B}_i \right). \quad (7.1)$$

Before we state the main result of this section, we give the following definition of δ -perturbations of a zero velocity curve, $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ associated to a timelike future-directed geodesic with constants of motion $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$ moving in Kerr exterior.

Definition 26 ($Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ perturbations). Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}$ and $\delta_0 > 0$. A δ_0 -perturbation of $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is a continuous one-parameter family of curves $(Z(\delta, \varepsilon, \ell_z))_{\delta \in [0, \delta_0[}$ such that

1. $Z(0, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.
2. $\forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$, $Z(\delta, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ consists of two connected components: $Z^{abs}(\delta, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} and $Z^{trapped}(\delta, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 and such that
 - there exists $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\delta,abs} :]-\beta, \beta[\mapsto]0, \infty[$ such that

$$Z^{abs}(\delta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \text{Gr} \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\delta,abs} \right)$$

and

$$\left\| \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\delta,abs} - \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,abs} \right\|_{C^1[-\beta, \beta]} < \delta_0.$$

- there exist $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\delta, i} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1 \cdots 4$ such that

$$Z^{\text{trapped}}(\delta, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \bigcup_{i=1 \cdots 4} \text{Gr} \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\delta, i} \right),$$

and

$$\left\| \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{\delta, i} - \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K, i} \right\|_{C^1(I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i)} < \delta_0.$$

Now, we recall the definition of the effective potential energy associated to a timelike future-directed geodesic moving in the exterior region of a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime with metric given by (3.11):

$$E_{\ell_z}(W, X, \sigma, \rho, z) := \frac{-W(\rho, z)}{X(\rho, z)} \ell_z + \frac{\sigma}{X(\rho, z)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X(\rho, z)}.$$

We rewrite E_{ℓ_z} in terms of the renormalised unknowns $h := (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X})$:

$$E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho, z) := -\overset{\circ}{\Theta} \ell_z - W_K X_K^{-1} \ell_z + \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + 1}{\overset{\circ}{X} + 1} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\overset{\circ}{X} + 1)} \quad (7.2)$$

Henceforth, E_{ℓ_z} is seen as a function defined on $\mathcal{L}_{\Theta} \times \mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, we recall that the set of solutions to the equation

$$E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho, z) = \varepsilon$$

is called the zero velocity curve $Z(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$. The latter can also be seen as the level curve of $E_{\ell_z}(h, \cdot)$ at ε . In the following, we show that when $E_{\ell_z}(h, \cdot)$ and $E_{\ell_z}^K$ are similar, that is when h is small, then their level sets at a level ε , such that $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{bound}}$ have the same shape. More precisely, we state the following main result

Proposition 20. *Let $\tilde{\delta}_0 > 0$. Then there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \tilde{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall h := (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}) \in B(0, \delta_0) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\Theta} \times \mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \times \mathcal{L}_X$, $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, there exists a unique curve $Z(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset \mathcal{B}$ solution to the equation*

$$E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho, z) = \varepsilon \quad (7.3)$$

Moreover, the one-parameter family of solutions $(Z(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z))_{\|h\| \in [0, \delta_0[}$ is a δ_0 -perturbation of $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the sense of Definition 26.

In the case of Kerr, i.e. $h = 0$, the set of solutions to the equation (7.3) cannot be written globally as the graph of a unique function depending on ρ or on z , since the implicit function theorem cannot be applied globally to (7.3) in order to write ρ in terms of z or z in terms of ρ . This must be taken into account when defining the space of solutions. In order to overcome this technical difficulty, we have decomposed \mathcal{B} into several regions and we have used the reparameterization of $Z^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ so that the implicit function theorem can be applied in each region to solve (7.3) with $h = 0$. We will now apply the fixed point theorem on each region in order to solve (7.3) with small h . The set of solutions to the latter is the graph of some function. Finally, we will obtain the set of solutions in the whole region \mathcal{B} by gluing all the graphs that we have obtained.

The remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 20.

7.1 Proof of Proposition 20

Let $\delta_0 > 0$, let $h \in B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X$ where B_{δ_0} is the open ball of radius δ_0 centred around 0 and let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$. The problem of finding solutions in \mathcal{B} is equivalent to that of solving the above equation in \mathcal{B}_i and \mathcal{B}^{abs} . Hence, we start with solving (7.3) on \mathcal{B}_1 . We state the following lemma

Lemma 48. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0}$, there exists a unique function $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1} : \bar{I}_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that the set of solutions to (7.3) on \mathcal{B}_1 is given by $Gr\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}\right)$. Moreover, we have*

- $\Phi^{h,1}$ is smooth with respect to (ε, ℓ_z) .
- $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h,1}$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable with respect to h ,
- $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h,1}$ is C^1 on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ and satisfies

$$\left\| \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1 - \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K,1} \right\|_{C^1(\bar{I}_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1)} < \delta_0. \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$ and recall the definition of $\bar{I}_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$. Define the mapping $F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ by

$$F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho} \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}, z \right) = -\overset{\circ}{\Theta} \ell_z - W_K X_K^{-1} \ell_z + \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + 1}{\overset{\circ}{X} + 1} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K (\overset{\circ}{X} + 1)} - \varepsilon$$

on the domain $B_{\tilde{\delta}_0} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $B_{\tilde{\delta}_0}$ is the ball centred at $(0, 0, 0)$ with radius $\tilde{\delta}_0$ of the product space $\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X$.

- Existence

1. It is easy to see that $\forall \rho \in \bar{I}_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$, the point $(h_0 := (0, 0, 0), z_0 := \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K,1}(\rho))$ is a zero for $F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$.
2. Since $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}) \in C^2(\mathcal{B})$, $F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ is continuously differentiable with respect to z on \mathbb{R} .
3. We compute:

$$\frac{\partial F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}}{\partial z}(h_0, z_0) = \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}}{\partial z}(h_0, \rho, z_0).$$

The latter vanishes if and only if $z_0 = 0$, which is not the case. Therefore,

$$\phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho) := \left(\frac{\partial F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}}{\partial z}(h_0, z_0) \right)^{-1}$$

is well-defined.

4. We consider the mapping $F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) := z - \phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho) F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h, z).$$

We will show that after shrinking $\tilde{\delta}_0$ uniformly in (ε, ℓ_z) and ρ , that $\forall h \in B(h_0, \delta_0)$, $F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ is a contraction on $\bar{B}(z_0, \delta_0)$.

First, by compactness of \mathcal{B}_{bound} and $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, $\forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$:

$$|\phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho)| \leq C.$$

Now, let $h \in B(h_0, \tilde{\delta}_0)$ and $z \in B(z_0, \tilde{\delta}_0)$. We compute

$$\frac{\partial F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}}{\partial z}(z) = 1 - \phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho) \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}}{\partial z}(h, \rho, z).$$

Next, we show that there exists C independent of $((\varepsilon, \ell_z); \rho)$ such that $\forall (h, z) \in B_{\tilde{\delta}_0}(h_0) \times B_{\tilde{\delta}_0}(z_0)$

$$\left| \partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h, z) - \partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h_0, z_0) \right| \leq C \tilde{\delta}_0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h, z) - \partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h_0, z_0) &= \partial_z E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z) - \partial_z E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z_0) \\ &\quad - \partial_z \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \ell_z - \partial_z (W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, z) \ell_z \\ &\quad + \partial_z \left(\frac{\rho(\mathring{\sigma} + 1)}{X_K(\mathring{X} + 1)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\mathring{X} + 1)} \right) (\rho, z) \\ &\quad + \partial_z (W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, z_0) \ell_z - \partial_z \left(\frac{\rho}{X_K} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K} \right) (\rho, z_0) \\ &= I + II + III, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= -\partial_z \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \ell_z, \\ II &:= \ell_z \left(-(W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, z) + (W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, z_0) \right), \\ III &:= \partial_z \left(\frac{\rho(\mathring{\sigma} + 1)}{X_K(\mathring{X} + 1)} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(\mathring{X} + 1)} \right) (\rho, z) - \partial_z \left(\frac{\rho}{X_K} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K} \right) (\rho, z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell_z \in [\ell_1, \ell_2]$ and $\mathring{\Theta} \in B_{\tilde{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta)$, there exists $C > 0$ uniform in $\rho, (\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ such that

$$|I| \leq C \tilde{\delta}_0.$$

Concerning the second term, we write:

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &\leq \ell_2 \left| (W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, z) - (W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, z_0) \right| \\ &\leq \ell_2 \left(\sup_{\bar{z} \in (z, z_0)} |\partial_z (W_K X_K^{-1})(\rho, \bar{z})| \right) |z - z_0|. \end{aligned}$$

By 4, $W_K X_K^{-1}(\rho, z) := \frac{2dr(\rho, z)}{\Pi(\rho, z)}$ is smooth and bounded (with its derivatives) on \mathcal{B} .

Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ independent of (ρ, z) and (ε, ℓ_z) so that

$$|II| \leq C \tilde{\delta}_0.$$

As for *III*, we set

$$A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (x, y)) := \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{x+1}{y+1} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(y+1)} \quad \text{where } (x, y) \in [-\bar{\delta}_0, \bar{\delta}_0]^2.$$

A_{ℓ_z} is smooth on $\mathcal{B}_1 \times]-\bar{\delta}_0, \bar{\delta}_0[^2$ and we have

$$\nabla_{(\rho, z)} A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (x, y)) = \frac{x+1}{y+1} \left(\nabla_{(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{\rho}{X_K} \right) \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(y+1)} + \frac{\rho(x+1)}{X_K} \frac{\nabla_{(\rho, z)} X_K}{\sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(y+1)}} \right)$$

and

$$\nabla_{(x, y)} A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (x, y)) = \frac{\rho}{X_K} \left(\frac{1}{y+1} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(y+1)} - \frac{(x+1)}{(y+1)^2} \sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(y+1)} + \frac{x+1}{y+1} \frac{X_K}{2\sqrt{\ell_z^2 + X_K(y+1)}} \right).$$

The functions $(\rho, z) \rightarrow \frac{\rho}{X_K}$ and $(\rho, z) \rightarrow X_K(\rho, z)$ are bounded on $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and by compactness of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, the latter are bounded independently from (ε, ℓ_z) .

Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}_1, \forall (x, y) \in]-\delta_0, \delta_0[^2$

$$|\partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (x, y))| \leq C\bar{\delta}_0.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} III &= \partial_z \left(A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z))) \right) - \partial_z (A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (0, 0))) (\rho, z_0) \\ &= \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z))) + \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \partial_x A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z))) \\ &\quad + \partial_z \overset{\circ}{X} \partial_y A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z))) - \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z_0), (0, 0)) \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Since $\overset{\circ}{\sigma} \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_X$ and $\overset{\circ}{X} \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_X$, the functions $\overset{\circ}{X}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}_0$ are bounded (with their derivatives) on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$. In particular, we have

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1, |\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z)|, |\overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z)|, \left| \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z) \right|, \left| \partial_z \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z) \right| \leq \bar{\delta}_0.$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z))) - \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z_0), (0, 0)) = (a) + (b)$$

where

$$(a) := \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z))) - \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z_0), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z_0), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z_0)))$$

and

$$(b) := \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z_0), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z_0), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z_0))) - \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z_0), (0, 0))$$

We have $\forall z \in B(z_0, \bar{\delta}_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} &:= (z - z_0) \partial_z \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z) \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z) \right) \cdot \nabla_{(x,y)} \partial_z A_{\ell_z} \left((\rho, z_0), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z_0), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z_0)) \right) \\ &+ (z - z_0) \partial_{zz} A_{\ell_z} \left((\rho, z_0), (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z_0), \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z_0)) \right) + O((\bar{\delta}_0)^2) \end{aligned}$$

By similar arguments to the first estimates, we can find $C > 0$ uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) such that $\forall(\rho, z)$ we have

$$|\text{(a)}| \leq C \bar{\delta}_0.$$

In order to estimate (b), we write

$$\text{(b)} = \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z_0) \overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z_0) \right) \cdot \nabla_{(x,y)} \partial_z A_{\ell_z}((\rho, z_0), (0, 0)) + O((\bar{\delta}_0)^2).$$

Again by similar arguments as above, we can find $C > 0$ uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) such that $\forall(\rho, z)$ we have

$$|\text{(b)}| \leq C \bar{\delta}_0.$$

Finally, after shrinking $\bar{\delta}_0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|III| \leq C \delta_0$$

Hence, we choose $\tilde{\delta}_0$ so that

$$\left| \partial_z F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - \partial_z F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since,

$$\partial_z F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) = 0, \tag{7.6}$$

we are left with a bound on the derivative of $F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ with respect to z :

$$\left| \partial_z F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{7.7}$$

5. We claim that there exists $\delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that

$$\forall z \in B \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(\rho), \bar{\delta}_0 \right) : \left| F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(\rho) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Indeed, $\forall(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, $\forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$, $\forall h \in B(h_0, \bar{\delta}_0)$ and $\forall z \in B(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(\rho), \bar{\delta}_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(\rho) &= F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) + \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(\rho) \\ &= F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) + F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) - F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) \end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem,

$$\left| F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |z - z_0| \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{\delta}_0.$$

Moreover, by similar estimates to those performed in (7.5), there exists $C > 0$ uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) and z such that

$$\left| F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) - F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) \right| \leq C \bar{\delta}_0.$$

Therefore, we choose $\delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ so that

$$\left| F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z) - F_{h_0}^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(z_0) \right| \leq \delta_0.$$

By (7.7), $F_h^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ is a contraction on $\bar{B}(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(z), \delta_0)$.

6. By the fixed point theorem, there exists $\delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, $\forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$, there exists a mapping $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}(\rho) : B(0, \delta_0) \mapsto B(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}(\rho), \delta_0)$ such that $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}(\rho) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X)$ and satisfies

$$F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h, \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}(\rho)) = 0.$$

• Regularity:

- Regularity with respect to h : we prove that $h \rightarrow \Phi^{h,1}$ is C^1 with respect to h . For this, let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$ and $\rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$. In order to lighten the expressions, we will not write the dependence of $\Phi^{h,1}$ on $((\varepsilon, \ell_z); \rho)$ and also sometimes write $\Phi^{h,1}$ as $\Phi^1(h)$. First, we show that Φ^1 is Lipschitz. For this, let $h, \bar{h} \in B_{\delta_0}$ and set

$$z = \Phi^1(h), \quad \bar{z} = \Phi^1(\bar{h}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} z - \bar{z} &= \Phi^1(h) - \Phi^1(\bar{h}) \\ &= F_h(z) - F_{\bar{h}}(\bar{z}) \\ &= F_h(z) - F_h(\bar{z}) + F_h(\bar{z}) - F_{\bar{h}}(\bar{z}) \\ &= F_h(z) - F_h(\bar{z}) + \phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho) \left(F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z}(h, \bar{z}) - F^{\varepsilon, \ell_z, z}(\bar{h}, \bar{z}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|F_h(z) - F_h(\bar{z})| \leq \frac{1}{2} |z - \bar{z}|$$

and

$$\left| \phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho) \left(F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h, \bar{z}) - F^{\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho}(\bar{h}, \bar{z}) \right) \right| \leq C \|h - \bar{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X}.$$

Therefore,

$$|z - \bar{z}| \leq 2C \|h - \bar{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X}.$$

Thus, Φ^1 is Lipschitz, so continuous on B_{δ_0} . Since

$$\forall \Phi^1(\rho) \in B(\Phi^{K,1}(\rho), \delta_0), \quad \left| \frac{\partial F_h^{\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho}}{\partial z}(z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

Hence,

$$\forall z \in B(\Phi^{K,1}(\rho), \delta_0), \forall h \in B_{\delta_0}, \quad \frac{\partial F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}}{\partial z}(h, z) \neq 0.$$

Since $F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}$ is differentiable at (\bar{h}, \bar{z}) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(h, z) - F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(\bar{h}, \bar{z}) \\ &= D_h F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(\bar{h}, \bar{z}) \cdot (h - \bar{h}) + \partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(\bar{h}, \bar{z})(z - \bar{z}) + o(\|h - \bar{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X} + |z - \bar{z}|). \end{aligned}$$

By the above estimates we have

$$o(|z - \bar{z}|) = O(\|h - \bar{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X}).$$

Therefore,

$$z - \bar{z} = - \left(\partial_\rho F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(\bar{h}, \bar{z}) \right)^{-1} D_h F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}(\bar{h}, \bar{z}) \cdot (h - \bar{h}) + o(\|h - \bar{h}\|).$$

– Regularity with respect to z : Let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ and let $h \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X)$.

First we show that $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}$ is Lipschitz on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$. In order to lighten the expressions, we drop the dependence in (ε, ℓ_z) and h so that $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}$ will be denoted by Φ^1 . Similarly, $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{K,1}$ will be denoted by $\Phi^{K,1}$. Now, let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$.

We will express $\Phi^1(\rho_1) - \Phi^1(\rho_2)$ in terms of $\rho_1 - \rho_2$. To this end, we write,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 - z_2 &:= \Phi^1(\rho_1) - \Phi^1(\rho_2) \\ &= F^{\rho_1}(z_1) - F^{\rho_2}(z_2) \\ &= F^{\rho_1}(z_1) - F^{\rho_1}(z_2) + F^{\rho_1}(z_2) - F^{\rho_2}(z_2) \\ &= F^{\rho_1}(z_1) - F^{\rho_1}(z_2) + \phi(\rho_1)(F^{\rho_1}(z_2) - F^{\rho_2}(z_2)) + (\phi(\rho_1) - \phi(\rho_2))F^{\rho_2}(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Again, we have

$$|F^{\rho_1}(z_1) - F^{\rho_1}(z_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |z_1 - z_2|.$$

We have

$$\phi(z_i) = \left(\frac{\partial F^{\rho_i}}{\partial z}(0, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_i)) \right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_i, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_i)) \right)^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\rho_1) - \phi(\rho_2) &= \left(\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_1, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_1)) \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_2, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_2)) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_1, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_1)) \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_2, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_2)) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_2, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_2)) - \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_1, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_1)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_1, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_1)) - \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_2, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_2)) \right| \leq C \sup_{\mathcal{B}_1} \|\nabla^2 E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z)\| |\rho_1 - \rho_2|$$

$$\leq C |\rho_1 - \rho_2|,$$

where C is independent of (ε, ℓ_z) . We also have

$$\left(\frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_1, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_1)) \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}^K}{\partial z}(\rho_2, \Phi^{K,1}(\rho_2)) \right)^{-1} \leq C,$$

for some C uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) . Moreover,

$$F^{\rho_1}(z_2) - F^{\rho_2}(z_2) = E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho_1, z_2) - E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho_2, z_2).$$

Since $E_{\ell_z}(h, \cdot, \cdot, z_2)$ is differentiable on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$, we have

$$|F^{\rho_1}(z_2) - F^{\rho_2}(z_2)| \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_1} |\nabla_{\rho, z} E_{\ell_z}(\rho, z)| |\rho_1 - \rho_2|$$

$$\leq C |\rho_1 - \rho_2|,$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant independent of (ε, ℓ_z) . Therefore, there exists a constant independent of (ε, ℓ_z) and h such that

$$|\Phi^1(\rho_1) - \Phi^1(\rho_2)| \leq C |\rho_1 - \rho_2|. \quad (7.8)$$

Hence, Φ^1 is Lipschitz on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$. It remains to show that Φ^1 is continuously differentiable on $I^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

Now, recall that

$$\forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1 \in \forall z \in B(\Phi^{K,1}(\rho), \delta_0), \forall h \in B_{\delta_0}, \quad \frac{\partial F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho}}{\partial z}(h, z) \neq 0.$$

Since, $(\rho, z) \rightarrow F^\rho(z)$ is differentiable on \mathcal{B}_1 , we have

$$0 = F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_1}(h, z_1) - F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2}(h, z_2)$$

$$= F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_1}(h, z_1) - F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2}(h, z_1) + F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2}(h, z_1) - F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2}(h, z_2)$$

$$= \partial_\rho F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_1}(h, z_1)(\rho_1 - \rho_2) + \partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2}(h, z_2)(z_1 - z_2) + o(|\rho_1 - \rho_2| + |z_1 - z_2|).$$

By (7.8), we have

$$o(|z_1 - z_2|) = O(|\rho_1 - \rho_2|).$$

Hence,

$$\Phi^1(\rho_1) - \Phi^1(\rho_2) = -\partial_z F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2}(h, z_2)^{-1} \partial_\rho F^{(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_1}(h, z_1)(\rho_1 - \rho_2) + O(|\rho_1 - \rho_2|)$$

$$= -\frac{\partial_\rho E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho_1, \Phi^1(\rho_2))}{\partial_z E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho_2, \Phi^1(\rho_2))} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) + O(|\rho_1 - \rho_2|).$$

– Regularity with respect to (ε, ℓ_z) : We claim that Φ^1 is continuously differentiable on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$. The regularity in this case is proven in the the same manner.

- Uniqueness: We show, after possibly shrinking δ_0 , that $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0}, \forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ there are no others solutions to the equation (7.3) in $[\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \infty[$. First, we set

$$\forall z \in [\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)], \quad P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h, \rho}(z) := E_{\ell_z}(h, \rho, z) - \varepsilon.$$

and

$$\forall z \in [\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)];, \quad P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(z) := E_{\ell_z}^K(\rho, z) - \varepsilon.$$

We claim that for δ_0 sufficiently small, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1, z \in J := [\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \infty[\setminus B(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho), \delta_0)$ we have

$$\left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\rho) \right| > C\delta_0.$$

$P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}$ is monotonically increasing on $[\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \infty[$. Therefore, $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1, \forall z \in J$:

$$\left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(z) \right| > \max \left\{ \left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho) - \delta_0) \right|, \left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho) + \delta_0) \right| \right\}.$$

Now, we show that for all $|h|$ small, there exist $C(h) > 0$ uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) such that

$$\left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho) + h) \right| > C(h).$$

We have

$$P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho) + h) = P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho)) + h(P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho})'(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho)) + o(h),$$

where $o(h)$ is uniform in (ε, ℓ_z) by continuity of $(P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho})^{(k)}$ with respect to $((\varepsilon, \ell_z); \rho)$, compactness of \mathcal{B}_{bound} and the fact that $z \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1 \subset K$ where K is some compact independent from (ε, ℓ_z) . Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho)$ is a simple root in the sense that $(P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho})'(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho)) = \frac{\partial E_{\ell_z}}{\partial z}(\rho, \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho)) \neq 0$ and $(\varepsilon, \ell_z, \rho) \mapsto (P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho})'(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho))$ is continuous on $\mathcal{B}_{bound} \times K$. Hence, for h sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho) + h) \right| > |h| \left| (P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho})'(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho)) \right| > C|h|,$$

where C is some constant which is uniform in δ_0 and (ε, ℓ_z) . Therefore, we update δ_0 so that

$$\left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(z) \pm \delta_0) \right| > C\delta_0.$$

Now, let $\delta_1 < \delta_0$. By uniqueness in the fixed point theorem, $\forall h \in B_{\delta_1} \subset B_{\delta_0}, \forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h, 1}(\rho)$ is the unique solution in the ball $B(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho), \delta_0)$. Moreover, $\forall z \in J$, we have

$$P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h, \rho}(z) = P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h, \rho}(z) - P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(z) + P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(z).$$

By the triangular inequality, the latter implies for $\left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h, \rho}(z) - P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, \rho}(z) \right| < \tilde{C}\delta_1 < C\delta_0$ that

$$\forall z \in J, \quad \left| P_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h, \rho}(z) \right| > -\tilde{C}\delta_1 + C\delta_0 > 0.$$

Therefore, after updating $\delta_1 > 0$, $P_{\varepsilon, \ell}^{h, \rho}$ does not vanish outside the ball $B(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K, 1}(\rho), \delta_1)$. This yields the uniqueness.

Thus, we update δ_0 in order to obtain that that $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0}, \forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall \rho \in I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ there exist a unique solution to the equation (7.3) in the region $[\bar{z}_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \infty[$. \square

In the same way, we prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 49. *Let $i = 2, \dots, 4$. There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X$, there exists a unique $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,i} : I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that the set of solutions to (7.3) on \mathcal{B}_i is given by $Gr\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,i}\right)$. Moreover, we have*

- $\Phi^{h,i}$ is smooth with respect to (ε, ℓ_z) .
- $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h,i}$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable with respect to h ,
- $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h,i}$ is C^1 on $I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i$ and satisfies

$$\left\| \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^i - \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K,i} \right\|_{C^1(I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i)} < \delta_0. \quad (7.9)$$

Lemma 50. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall h \in B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X, \forall K \subset \subset]-\beta, \beta[, \forall z \in K$, there exists a unique $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{h,abs}(z) \in B_{\delta_0}(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K,abs}(z))$ which solves (7.3). Moreover, we have*

- $\Phi^{h,abs}$ is smooth respect to (ε, ℓ_z) .
- $\Phi^{h,abs}$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable with respect to h ,
- $\Phi^{h,abs}$ has the same regularity as h seen as functions of z on K .

Remark 27. We could have applied the implicit function theorem to show the existence of solutions in a neighbourhood of each point of Z^K , but the neighbourhood will a priori depend on the point and on (ε, ℓ_z) . Hence, we used the fixed point theorem instead to obtain a uniform δ_0 , using the compactness of \mathcal{B}_{bound} .

Now, we choose δ_0 so that Lemma 48, 49 and 50 are satisfied. It remains to show that $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall h \in B_{\delta_0}, Z(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ consists of two connected components: $Z^{abs}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ and $Z^{trapped}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 . Now, we prove Proposition 20

Proof. First, we apply lemmas 48, 49 and 50: $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \forall h \in B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X$ there exists a unique set of solutions $Z^i(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ in the region \mathcal{B}_i given by

- on every compact of \mathcal{B}_{abs} :

$$Z^{abs}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = Graph\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{abs}\right),$$

- on \mathcal{B}_1 :

$$Z^1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = Graph\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,1}\right),$$

- on \mathcal{B}_2 :

$$Z^2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = Graph\left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,2}\right),$$

- on \mathcal{B}_3 :

$$Z^3(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \text{Graph} \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,3} \right),$$

- on \mathcal{B}_4 :

$$Z^4(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \text{Graph} \left(\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^{h,4} \right).$$

By uniqueness of solutions on \mathcal{B}_i , we obtain:

$$Z^i(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = Z^j(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{B}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_j \quad \text{such that } i \neq j. \quad (7.10)$$

We set

$$Z^{\text{trapped}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := \bigcup_{i=1 \dots 4} Z^i(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z). \quad (7.11)$$

and

$$Z^{\text{abs}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := Z^{\text{abs}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z). \quad (7.12)$$

It is easy to see that

$$Z^{\text{abs}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap Z^{\text{trapped}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \emptyset. \quad (7.13)$$

Therefore, $Z(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ has two connected components. It remains to show that $Z^{\text{trapped}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 . To see this, we construct a complete curve $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Z^{\text{trapped}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ which is periodic and which will parametrise $Z^{\text{trapped}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

1. there exist two unique points $(\rho_i(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), 0) \in Z^i(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

2. We set

$$\alpha(0) := (\rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), 0) \quad \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) := (\rho_2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), 0).$$

3. Let $t_0 < t_1 \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$. We set:

$$\rho_0 := \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1\left(z_{\min}^K - \frac{c}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1 := \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^3\left(z_{\min}^K - \frac{c}{2}\right)$$

and

$$z_i := z_{\min}^K - \frac{c}{2} \quad i \in \{0, 1\}$$

so that

$$(\rho_0, z_0) \in Z^1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap Z^3(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho_1, z_1) \in Z^2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \cap Z^3(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

4. We construct the path α on $]0, t_0[$ by setting:

$$\alpha(t) := \left(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1(z(t)), z(t) := z_0 \frac{t}{t_0} \right).$$

5. On $]t_0, t_1[$, α is defined by

$$\alpha(t) := \left(\rho(t) := \rho_0 \frac{t_1 - t}{t_1 - t_0} + \rho_1 \frac{t - t_0}{t_1 - t_0}, z(t) := \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^3(\rho(t)) \right).$$

6. On $]t_1, \frac{1}{2}[$, α is defined by

$$\alpha(t) := \left(\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^2(z(t)), z(t) := \frac{z_1}{t_1 - \frac{1}{2}}t - \frac{z_1}{2t_1 - 1} \right).$$

One can easily check that α is continuous on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Now, by similar constructions, we extend continuously the construction to $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ so that we obtain

$$\alpha(0) = \alpha(1).$$

7. Note that the constructed curve is in fact C^1 due to regularity of Φ^i 's.

8. Therefore, α is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 .

□

Remark 28. We note that δ_0 depends on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ and the parameters of the Kerr black hole (a, M) .

7.2 Further properties of the functions Φ^i

In order to introduce the dimensions of the matter cloud, we need the following lemma concerning the extrema of the functions $\Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^i(h, \cdot)$ at a fixed h and (ε, ℓ_z)

Lemma 51. *Let $\delta_0 > 0$ be given by Proposition 20. Then, after possibly shrinking δ_0 , $\forall h \in B(0, \delta_0)$, $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, there exist*

1. a unique $z_0(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\delta_0}(0) \subset]-\gamma, \gamma[$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{\text{abs}}}{\partial z}(h, z_0) = 0.$$

Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{\text{abs}}(h, \cdot)$ is maximal at this point.

2. a unique $\rho_{\text{max}}^1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\delta_0}(\rho_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \subset I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1}{\partial \rho}(h, \rho_{\text{max}}^1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0,$$

Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1(h, \cdot)$ is maximal at this point.

3. a unique $\rho_{\text{max}}^2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\delta_0}(\rho_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \subset I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^2$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^2}{\partial \rho}(h, \rho_{\text{max}}^2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0,$$

Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^2(h, \cdot)$ is minimal at this point.

4. a unique $z_{c1}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\delta_0}(0) \subset I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^3$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^3}{\partial z}(h, z_{c1}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0,$$

Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^3(h, \cdot)$ is minimal at this point.

5. a unique $z_{c2}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\delta_0}(0) \subset I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^4$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^4}{\partial z}(h, z_{c2}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0 ,$$

Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^4(h, \cdot)$ is maximal at this point.

Proof. For example, in order to obtain the second point, we consider the mapping $\partial_\rho \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1 : B_{\delta_0}(0) \times I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1}{\partial \rho}(h, \rho).$$

and we consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1}{\partial \rho}(h, \rho) = 0.$$

By Lemma 44, the point $(0, \rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z))$ is zero of the above equation. Moreover, by Lemma 48, $\partial_\rho \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable on its domain. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1}{\partial \rho^2}(0, \rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^{K,1}}{\partial \rho^2}(\rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we apply the same method used in Lemma 48 (fixed point arguments) to obtain that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ independent of (ε, ℓ_z) (eventually smaller than the one chosen by Proposition 20) such that $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0}(0) \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X$ there exists a unique $\rho_{max}^1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \in B_{\delta_0}(\rho_{max}(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \subset I_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1}{\partial \rho}(h, \rho_{max}^1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)) = 0 ,$$

Moreover, $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \ell_z}^1(h, \cdot)$ is maximal at this point and $\rho_{max}^1(\cdot, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable on $B_{\delta_0}(0)$. \square

7.3 Localisation of the matter cloud

In this section, we will localise the matter cloud moving in the perturbed spacetime. More precisely, we will prove that for δ_0 sufficiently small, all trapped non-spherical timelike future-directed geodesics with $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$ moving the perturbed spacetime are located inside $\mathcal{B}^{\pm, trapped}(a, M)$, defined in Proposition 17. We will also construct a compact region of $\mathcal{B}^{\pm, trapped}(a, M)$ which depends only on \mathcal{B}_{bound} and (a, M) which contains the support of the distribution function. Finally, we will arrange so that the domain of trapped non-spherical geodesics moving in the perturbed spacetime remains in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$.

First, we recall

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{B}_H} &= \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| < \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} &= \left\{ (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2 > \frac{\beta}{a}, |z| + |\rho| > \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We generalise Lemma 46 to the perturbed spacetimes in the following way.

Proposition 21. *There exist $\delta_0 > 0$, $b > 0$ such that $\forall(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, $h \in B(0, \delta_0)$ we have*

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cap Z^{trapped}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad Z^{trapped}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}.$$

We set the quantities :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) &:= \min_{z \in]-z_c(\varepsilon, \ell_z), z_c(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[} \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^1(z), \quad \rho_2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := \max_{z \in]-z_{min}^K, z_{min}^K[} \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^2(z), \\ z^{max}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) &:= \max_{] \rho_c^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_c^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[} \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^3(\rho), \quad z^{min}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) := \min_{] \rho_c^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_c^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z)[} \Phi_{(\varepsilon, \ell_z)}^4(\rho) \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Now, we introduce the "dimensions of the matter shell" by defining:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{min}(h) &:= \min_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} \rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \quad \rho_{max}(h) := \max_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} \rho_2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \\ Z_{max}(h) &:= \max_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} z^{max}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \quad Z_{min}(h) := \min_{\mathcal{B}_{bound}} z^{min}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z). \end{aligned}$$

We give the proof of Proposition 21

Proof. Let δ_0 be sufficiently small and let $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$. Let $(\rho, z) \in Z^{trapped}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$. Then, $\rho \geq \rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) \geq \rho_{min}(h)$. Set

$$\rho_{min}(h) = \rho_1(h, \varepsilon_0, \ell_0) \quad \text{for some} \quad (\varepsilon_0, \ell_0) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}.$$

By Lemma 46,

$$\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_0) > \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta.$$

Recall that,

$$\forall(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}, \quad \rho_1^K(\varepsilon, \ell_z) > \rho^{mb}(a, M) > \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta.$$

Therefore, there exists $r > 0$ uniform in (ε_0, ℓ_0) such that

$$B(\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_0), r) \subset \left] \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta, \infty \right[.$$

From Proposition 20, we have

$$\rho_1(h, \varepsilon_0, \ell_0) \in B(\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_0), \delta_0).$$

Now, we adjust δ_0 so that

$$B(\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_0), \delta_0) \subset B(\rho_1^K(\varepsilon_0, \ell_0), r) \subset \left] \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta, \infty \right[.$$

Therefore,

$$\rho_1(h, \varepsilon_0, \ell_0) \in \left] \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \beta, \infty \right[.$$

Hence, $(\rho, z) \notin \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. □

Before we construct the compact support of the distribution function (for the spacetime variables), we will need the following lemma

Lemma 52. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $h \in B(0, \delta_0)$ and for all $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$ we have*

$$\rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) - \rho_0(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) > \eta,$$

where η is given by Lemma 40.

Proof. Let $\delta_0 > 0$ be given by Proposition 20. Let $h \in B(0, \delta_0)$ and $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$. Then, for sufficiently small δ_0 , we have

$$\rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) > \rho_{1,\min}^K - \frac{\eta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_0(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) < \rho_{0,\max}^K + \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) - \rho_0(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) > \rho_{1,\min}^K - \rho_{0,\max}^K - \eta.$$

By (4.156), we obtain

$$\rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) - \rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) > 2\eta - \eta = \eta.$$

□

Finally, we obtain

Proposition 22. *Assume that the distribution function f has the ansatz defined by (5.2). Then*

$$\text{supp}_{(\rho,z)} f \subset \subset [\rho_{\min}(h), \rho_{\max}(h)] \times [Z_{\min}(h), Z_{\max}(h)]. \quad (7.15)$$

Proof. Let $(\rho, z) \in \text{supp}_{(\rho,z)} f$. Then,

$$(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\eta(\rho - \rho_1(h, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))) > 0.$$

By (3.23) and since $(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$, (ρ, z) must lie either in the region bounded by $Z^{\text{trapped}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$ or in the region bounded by $\partial(\overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}) \cup Z^{\text{abs}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$.

Since

$$\chi_\eta(\rho - \rho_1(h, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))) > 0,$$

by Lemma 52, we have

$$\rho > \rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z) - \eta > \rho_0(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z).$$

Thus, (ρ, z) cannot lie in the region bounded by $\partial(\overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}) \cup Z_c^{\text{abs}}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)$. We conclude that

$$(\rho, z) \in [\rho_1(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), \rho_2(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)] \times [z_{\min}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z), z_{\max}(h, \varepsilon, \ell_z)] \subset [\rho_{\min}(h), \rho_{\max}(h)] \times [Z_{\min}(h), Z_{\max}(h)].$$

This ends the proof. □

8 Set-up for solving the renormalised equations

8.1 Two fixed point lemmas

In this section, we state two variations of the classical fixed point theorem whose applications will allow us to solve the system of equations for the renormalised unknowns. These versions were derived and used in [17].

Theorem 4 (Banach fixed point theorem). *Let (X, d) be a non-empty complete metric space with a contraction mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$. Then T admits a unique fixed point in X .*

The following theorem is a consequence of 4.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that we have Banach spaces \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{Q} , and \mathcal{P} , $\epsilon > 0$ and a map*

$$\mathcal{T} : B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}).$$

Furthermore, suppose that

1. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(l, q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(l, q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq D(\|l\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 + \|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

2. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(l_1, q_1, p_1), (l_2, q_2, p_2) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}(l_1, q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{T}(l_2, q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \\ & \leq D[(\|l_1\|_{\mathcal{L}} + \|l_2\|_{\mathcal{L}})\|l_1 - l_2\| + \|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}}]\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then after choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists a solution map $\mathcal{G} : B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L})$ such that

1. *$(q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies*

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}(q, p), q, p) = \mathcal{G}(q, p).$$

2. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies*

$$\|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq D(\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

3. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(q_1, p_1), (q_2, p_2) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies*

$$\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq D(\|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}})\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 4 to

$$\mathcal{T}(\cdot, q, p) : B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}).$$

For this we choose ϵ sufficiently small so that $\mathcal{T}(\cdot, p, q)$ is a contraction map.

First we fix (q, p) . By the second assumption, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}(l_1, q, p) - \mathcal{T}(l_2, q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} & \leq D((\|l_1\|_{\mathcal{L}} + \|l_2\|_{\mathcal{L}})\|l_1 - l_2\|_{\mathcal{L}}) \\ & \leq 2D\epsilon\|l_1 - l_2\|_{\mathcal{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose ϵ such that

$$2D\epsilon < 1.$$

We apply Theorem 4 to have the first point of the theorem.

Now we derive the quadratic estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} & = \|\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}(q, p), q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \\ & \leq D(\|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 + \|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}), \\ & \leq D\epsilon\|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} + D(\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}), \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} + D(\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \lesssim \|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

For the second quadratic estimates, we proceed in the same way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} = \|\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1), q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1), q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \\ & \leq D(\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1)\|_{\mathcal{L}} + \|\mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}})\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} + (\|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}})\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}} \\ & \leq 2D\epsilon\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} + D(\|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}})\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} + D(\|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}})\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \lesssim (\|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}})\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

□

As a consequence of Theorem 5, we have the following version of the fixed point theorem

Theorem 6. *Suppose we have a linear operator $L : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, an operator $N : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, $E : B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, such that*

1. *For all $(l, q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$, we have*

$$E(l, q, p) = L(l) - N(l, q, p).$$

2. *We have a Banach space $\mathcal{N} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and a bounded map $L^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $H \in \mathcal{N}$ implies*

$$L(L^{-1}(H)) = H.$$

3. *We have $N(B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(l, q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies*

$$\|N(l, q, p)\|_{\mathcal{N}} \leq D(\|l\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 + \|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}})$$

4. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(l_1, q_1, p_1), (l_2, q_2, p_2) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies*

$$\|N(l_1, q_1, p_1) - N(l_2, q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{N}} \leq D[(\|l_1\|_{\mathcal{L}} + \|l_2\|_{\mathcal{L}})\|l_1 - l_2\| + \|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}}]\|q_1 - q_2\| + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}}].$$

Then, after choosing a sufficiently small ϵ , there exists a solution map $\mathcal{G} : B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow B_\epsilon(\mathcal{L})$ such that

1. $(q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies

$$E(\mathcal{G}(q, p), q, p) = 0.$$

2. There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $(q, p) \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q}) \times B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ implies

$$\|\mathcal{G}(q, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq D(\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|p\|_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

3. There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $q_1, q_2 \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{Q})$ and $p_1, p_2 \in B_\epsilon(\mathcal{P})$ imply

$$\|\mathcal{G}(q_1, p_1) - \mathcal{G}(q_2, p_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq D[(\|q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}})\|q_1 - q_2\|_{\mathcal{Q}} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{\mathcal{P}}].$$

8.2 Toy Model

In this section, we present a model problem which indicates the general structure that we will exploit when we solve the equations for the renormalised unknowns.

Consider the following non-linear Poisson equation on the open unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h = N(h, \delta)(x) & \text{on } B_1 \\ h|_{\partial B_1} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

where $N : B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}) \times [0, \delta_0[\rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is the mapping defined by

$$N(h, \delta)(x) := \int_K F(x, v, \delta) \Psi(h(x), v) dv$$

where $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathcal{L} := C^{k+2, \alpha_0}(\overline{B_1})$ with $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$, $k \geq 0$ and $B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L})$ is the open ball of \mathcal{L} of radius $\delta_0 > 0$ centred at 0.

We make the following assumptions on F :

- $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times K \times [0, \delta_0[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous and C^{k, α_0} with respect to the first variable,
- $\forall v \in K$, $\forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$, $F(\cdot, v, \delta)$ is supported on B_1 ,
- $\forall x \in B_1$, $\forall v \in K$, $F(x, v, 0) = 0$,
- $\forall x \in B_1$, $\forall v \in K$, $F(x, v, \cdot)$ is differentiable at $\delta = 0$,
- $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is smooth and compactly supported.

We have the trivial solution given by $h = 0$ with $\delta = 0$. We will use the fixed point argument in order to construct a one-parameter family of solutions $(h(\delta))_{[0, \delta_0[}$ of (8.1) which equals the trivial solution when $\delta = 0$. We state the following result:

Proposition 23. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that there exists a solution map $h : [0, \delta_0[\rightarrow B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L})$ such that*

1. $\forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$, $h(\delta)$ solves (8.1) and
2. there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$,

$$\|h(\delta)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq C\delta$$

3. *The one parameter family of solutions bifurcates from the trivial solution in the sense that $\delta \mapsto h(\delta)$ is differentiable at $\delta = 0$ and $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-1} h(\delta) = \hat{h}$ where \hat{h} is the solution of linear Poisson problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h = \int_K \partial_\delta F(x, v, 0) \Psi(0, v) dv & \text{on } B_1 \\ h|_{\partial B_1} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8.2)$$

Proof. Let $\delta_0 > 0$. The proof relies on the application Theorem 6 with $L = \Delta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C^0(\overline{B_1})$ and $N : \mathcal{L} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C^0(\overline{B_1})$. To this end, we check the following assumptions:

1. $E : B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}) \times [0, \delta_0[\rightarrow C^0(\overline{B_1})$ has the form

$$E(h, \delta) = \Delta(h) - N(h, \delta).$$

It is well defined by the dominated convergence theorem.

2. We consider the linear Poisson equation with the previous Dirichlet boundary conditions :

$$\Delta h = H \quad \text{on } B_1, \quad h|_{\partial B_1} = 0, \quad (8.3)$$

where H is compactly supported on B_1 and lies in $\mathcal{N} := C^{k, \alpha_0}(\overline{B_1})$. Then, we can solve uniquely²² for $h \in C^{k+2, \alpha_0}(\overline{B_1})$: More precisely, h is given by

$$\forall x \in B_1, \quad h(x) = - \int_{B_1} G(x, y) H(y) dy \quad (8.4)$$

where G is the Green function for the unit ball. Now, the a priori estimates on h result from the potential estimates. More precisely, we apply Theorem 4.13 of [27] in order to obtain

$$h \in C^{k+2, \alpha_0}(\overline{B_1})$$

and we have

$$\|h\|_{C^{k+2, \alpha_0}(\overline{B_1})} \leq C \|H\|_{\mathcal{N}}. \quad (8.5)$$

Therefore, $L^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is well defined, it is bounded and we have

$$\forall H \in \mathcal{N}, \quad L(L^{-1}(H)) = H.$$

3. We need to check that

$$N(B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}) \times [0, \delta_0]) \subset \mathcal{N}$$

and that there exists $D > 0$ such that $(h, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}) \times [0, \delta_0[$ implies

$$\|N(h, \delta)\|_{\mathcal{N}} \leq D (\|h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 + \delta). \quad (8.6)$$

We show the result for $k = 0$. The general case is obtained using the same arguments.

- Let $(h, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}) \times [0, \delta_0[$. Then, by the regularity assumptions on F , $\forall v \in K$, the function $F(\cdot, v, \delta)\Psi(h(\cdot), v)$ lies in C^{0, α_0} . Since Ψ and $F(\cdot, \cdot, \delta)$ are compactly supported, we apply the dominated convergence theorem. Therefore $N(h, \delta)$ lies in $C^0(\overline{B_1})$.
- It remains to show that $N(h, \delta)$ is Hölder continuous. This follows using the Hölder regularity assumption for F and h and the dominated convergence theorem.
- Now, we show the estimates (8.6). We claim that the mapping N is Fréchet differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

Therefore, we have $\forall (h, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}) \times [0, \delta_0[$ with δ_0 sufficiently small, we have

$$N(h, \delta) = N(0, 0) + D_h N(0, 0) \cdot h + D_\delta N(0, 0) \cdot \delta + O(\|h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 + \delta^2).$$

By the assumptions on F , we have

$$N(0, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_h N(0, 0) = 0. \quad (8.7)$$

Hence,

$$\|N(h, \delta)\|_{C^0(\overline{B_1})} \leq C(\|h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 + \delta).$$

The Hölder part is estimated using similar arguments.

²²We refer to [23, Chapter 2.2] for the derivation of the representation formula.

4. The remaining step is to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in [0, \delta_0[$ implies

$$\|h(\delta_1) - h(\delta_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq C\|\delta_1 - \delta_2\|.$$

□

Now, we make an analogy with the reduced EV system: h corresponds to the renormalised quantities, the function F corresponds to the distribution function and K corresponds to \mathcal{B}_{bound} . Moreover, the compact support of F corresponds to the compact support of the matter terms. Finally, the ability to invert the Laplacian and solve for h corresponds to the use of the modified Carter-Robinson theory.

9 Solving for the renormalised quantities

9.1 Further analytical properties of the Kerr metric

As in [17], We start by introducing the following function defined on \mathcal{B} by :

$$h(\rho, z) := \log\left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2} - (z - \beta)\right) + \log\left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2} + (z + \beta)\right). \quad (9.1)$$

This function will allow us to capture the singular behaviour of the Kerr metric coefficients. In fact, it behaves in the same way as $\log(X_K)$ near the horizon, the axis of symmetry, the poles and near at infinity. More precisely, we have

Lemma 53. *Define a function*

$$x_K(\rho, z) := \log(X_K) - h.$$

Then, $x_K \in \hat{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$.

Proof. The proof is based on Taylor expansions of the different metric components around the singularities. First of all, note that away from the horizon, the axis of symmetry and the poles, x_k is smooth and all the derivatives are bounded.

- Near the axis:

Define $\mathcal{A}_N := \{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \setminus \mathcal{A}, \quad z > \beta\}$ and let $(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{A}_N$.

Then,

$$h = 2 \log \rho + \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2} + (z + \beta)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2} + (z - \beta)}\right). \quad (9.2)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \log\left(\rho^2 \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2 \Delta}\right) - 2 \log \rho - \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2} + (z + \beta)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2} + (z - \beta)}\right), \\ &= \log\left(\frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2 \Delta}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2} + (z + \beta)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2} + (z - \beta)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next note that $\frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2 \Delta}$ is smooth on \mathcal{A}_N since both functions Σ^2 and Δ do not vanish on this set.

Thus x_K is smooth around \mathcal{A}_N . In the same way x_K is smooth on $\mathcal{A}_S := \{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \setminus \mathcal{A}, \quad z < -\beta\}$.

- Near the horizon: Similarly, let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a neighbourhood of the horizon. By the extendibility of Kerr around the horizon, we have

$$\log(X_K) = \log(X_{\mathcal{H}}),$$

where $X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z) > 0$. Thus, $\log(X_K)$ is smooth near the horizon.

By Taylor expansion of h around the horizon, we find that the latter is also regular.

- Near p_N :

Let $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \log\left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2} - (z - \beta)\right) + \log\left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2} + (z + \beta)\right) \\ &= \log\left(\sqrt{s^2\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\chi^2 - s^2)^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2)\right) + \log\left(\sqrt{s^2\chi^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2) + 2\beta\right)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2) + 2\beta\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right hand side is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \log\left(\sqrt{s^2\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\chi^2 + s^2 - 2s\chi) - \frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2)}\right), \\ &= \log\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\chi^2 + s^2 + 2s\chi) - \frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2)}\right), \\ &= 2\log s. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the second term of the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned} II &:= \log\left(\sqrt{s^2\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\chi^2 + s^2 - 2s^2\chi^2) + \beta(\chi^2 - s^2) + 2\beta^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2) + 2\beta\right)\right), \\ &= \log\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\chi^2 + s^2)^2 + 4\beta(\chi^2 - s^2) + 4\beta^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2) + 2\beta\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

II is clearly smooth on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(s, \chi) &= \log\left(\rho^2 \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2 \Delta}\right) - h, \\ &= 2\log s + \log \frac{\chi^2}{\Delta} + \log \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2} - h, \\ &= \log \frac{\chi^2}{\Delta} + \log \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2} - \log\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\chi^2 + s^2)^2 + 4\beta(\chi^2 - s^2) + 4\beta^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\chi^2 - s^2) + 2\beta\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Δ vanishes at p_N . We make a Taylor expansion for $\log \frac{\chi^2}{\Delta}$ around p_N :

$$\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_+ + \chi^2 \tilde{r}_N(s, \chi),$$

where \tilde{r}_N is smooth and does not vanish on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$. It is given by

$$\tilde{r}_N(s, \chi) := \frac{2\beta}{4\beta - s^2} + O(s^2\chi^2).$$

Moreover,

$$\Delta = (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_+)(\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_-).$$

Hence,

$$\log \frac{\chi^2}{\Delta} = \log \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_N(\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_-)}.$$

The latter is smooth on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$. The same arguments are applied near p_S . \square

Now, we recall from [17] the following decay estimates for Y_K , the Ernst potential defined by (5.33):

Lemma 54. *On $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, we have*

$$\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} |\partial Y_K| \leq Cr^{-4}, \quad |\partial (X_K^{-1} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-4}, \quad |\partial^2 (X_K^{-1} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-5}.$$

We have the stronger bound for the z -component of the derivative of Y_K on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$,

$$\frac{1}{X_K^2} |\partial_z Y_K| \leq Cr^{-5}, \quad |\partial (X_K^{-2} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-6}, \quad |\partial^2 (X_K^{-2} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-7}.$$

On $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ we have the estimates

$$|\underline{\partial} Y_K| \leq Cs^3, \quad |\underline{\partial}^2 Y_K| \leq Cs^2, \quad |\underline{\partial}^3 Y_K| \leq Cs.$$

The latter estimates remain valid on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$. Here, r is defined by:

$$r := \sqrt{1 + \rho^2 + z^2}.$$

Lemma 55. *We have*

•

$$\frac{|\partial X_K|}{X_K} \sim |\partial h| \quad \text{near } \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, p_N, p_S.$$

• *There exist $c, C > 0$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, we have*

$$ce^h |\partial h| \leq |\partial X_K| \leq Ce^h |\partial h|.$$

Proof. 1. We prove the equivalence in every region: $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$.

(a) Near \mathcal{H} : We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \log X_K &= \partial (\log X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z)} (2\rho \partial_\rho X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z) \partial_z X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z))^t \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial h(\rho, z) = \left(2\rho \partial_\rho \bar{h}(\rho^2, z) - \frac{2z}{\beta^2 - z^2} + \partial_z \bar{h}(\rho^2, z) \right)^t$$

where \bar{h} is some smooth function defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. Recall that, $X_{\mathcal{H}}$ is given by

$$X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z) = \frac{\beta^2 - z^2}{\beta^2} \frac{4r_H^2}{\Sigma^2(\rho^2, z)} + \bar{X}_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z)$$

where $\bar{X}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is some smooth function defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. Now, we compute:

$$\partial_z X_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z) = \partial_z \left(\frac{\beta^2 - z^2}{\beta^2} \right) \frac{4r_H^2}{\Sigma^2(\rho^2, z)} - 4r_H^2 \frac{\beta^2 - z^2}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial_z \Sigma^2(\rho^2, z)}{\Sigma^4(\rho^2, z)} + \partial_z \bar{X}_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z).$$

On the horizon, we have

$$\partial_z X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z) = 0, \quad \bar{X}_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho^2, z) = 0, \quad \partial_z \bar{h}(0, z) = 0, \quad \Sigma^2(0, z) = r_H^2 + \frac{z^2}{\beta^2}.$$

Now, straightforward computations imply:

$$\frac{\partial_z X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z)}{X_{\mathcal{H}}(0, z)} = -\frac{4r_H z}{\beta^2 - z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z h(0, z) = -\frac{2z}{\beta^2 - z^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0; |z| < \beta} \frac{|\partial X_K|}{X_K |\partial h|} = 4r_H^2.$$

(b) Near \mathcal{A} : We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \log X_K &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^t + \partial f_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ \partial h &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^t + \partial g_{\mathcal{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\mathcal{A}}, g_{\mathcal{A}} \in \hat{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$ with bounded derivatives. Therefore,

$$\frac{|\partial \log X_K|^2}{|\partial h|^2} = \frac{\frac{4}{\rho^2} + \frac{4}{\rho} \partial_\rho f + |\partial f|^2}{\frac{4}{\rho^2} + \frac{4}{\rho} \partial_\rho g + |\partial g|^2}.$$

The latter goes to 1 when $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

(c) Near p_N and p_S :

$$\begin{aligned} \log X_K &= 2 \log s + f_N(s, \chi) \\ h &= 2 \log s + g_N(s, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_N, g_N \in \hat{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})$. Hence,

$$\frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2 |\partial h|^2} = \frac{|\partial \log X_K|^2}{|\partial \log h|^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{2}{s} + \partial_s f_N\right)^2 + (\partial_\chi f_N)^2}{\left(\frac{2}{s} + \partial_s g_N\right)^2 + (\partial_\chi g_N)^2}.$$

The latter goes to 1 when $(s, \chi) \rightarrow (0, 0)$.

2. Now, we prove that there exist $c, C > 0$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, we have

$$ce^h |\partial h| \leq |\partial X_K| \leq Ce^h |\partial h|.$$

We write $\forall(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$:

$$\frac{|\partial X_K|}{e^h |\partial h|} = \frac{|\partial X_K|}{X_K |\partial h|} X_K e^{-h} = e^{x_K} \frac{|\partial X_K|}{X_K |\partial h|}.$$

By Lemma 53, $x_K \in \hat{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{|\partial X_K|}{X_K} \sim |\partial h| \quad \text{near } \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, p_N, p_S$$

by the first point. Hence

$$|\partial X_K| \sim e^h |\partial h| \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathcal{B}}.$$

□

Lemma 56. *There exist smooth vector fields e_A , e_N and e_S defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ respectively, which all extend to smooth vector fields on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, such that*

$$\partial h_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_A \cap \mathcal{A}}} = \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho + e_A, \quad \partial h_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}} = \frac{2}{s} \partial_s + e_s, \quad \partial h_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}} = \frac{2}{s'} \partial_{s'} + e_s,$$

Moreover, e_A verifies the decay estimate at infinity:

$$|e_A| = O_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right). \quad (9.3)$$

Proof. 1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$ be a neighbourhood of the axis and let $(\rho, z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \ 0 \right)^t + \partial g_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \\ &= 2 \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho + e_A, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_A := \partial g_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \in \hat{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$ and which can be extended smoothly to $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.

By (9.2), we have

$$|\partial g_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}|^2 = \left| \partial \left(\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \beta)^2} + (z + \beta)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \beta)^2} + (z - \beta)} \right) \right) \right|^2.$$

Set

$$P_\pm(\rho, z) := \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2} + (z \pm \beta).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial g_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}|^2 &= \frac{P_-^2(\rho, z)}{P_+^2(\rho, z)} \frac{1}{P_-^4(\rho, z)} (|\partial P_+(\rho, z)|^2 P_-^2(\rho, z) + |\partial P_-(\rho, z)|^2 P_+^2(\rho, z) \\ &\quad - 2P_+(\rho, z) P_-(\rho, z) \partial P_+(\rho, z) \cdot \partial P_-(\rho, z)). \end{aligned}$$

When $r = \sqrt{1 + \rho^2 + z^2} \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\frac{P_-^2(\rho, z)}{P_+^2(\rho, z)} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{P_-^4(\rho, z)} = O(r^{-4}).$$

Moreover,

$$|\partial P_{\pm}(\rho, z)|^2 = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{z}{\rho^2 + (z \pm \beta)^2} + 1 \right)^2 = O(r^{-2})$$

and

$$|\partial P_+(\rho, z) \cdot \partial P_-(\rho, z)| = O(r^{-2}).$$

Therefore,

$$|\partial g_{\mathcal{A}}| = O_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right).$$

2. The expansion near p_N and near p_S is obtained in the same manner. \square

9.2 Regularity of the matter terms F_i

First of all, we recall that F_i are given by

$$F_1(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) := -e^{2\lambda} \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (X + 2(\rho L)^2) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (9.4)$$

$$F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) := \frac{2\pi\rho}{\sigma} X \int_{D(\rho, z)} \rho L E \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (9.5)$$

$$F_3(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) := \frac{2\pi\rho^3\sigma}{X^2} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\tilde{L}^2 - L^2 \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (9.6)$$

$$F_4(W, X, \sigma, \lambda)(\rho, z) := -\frac{4\pi e^{2\lambda}\rho}{\sigma} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X^2}{\rho^2\sigma^2} E^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X}{\rho^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X} L^2 \right) \right) \Phi(E + \rho\omega L, \rho L) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (E + \rho\omega L, \rho L), (X, W, \sigma)) dEdL, \quad (9.7)$$

Lemma 57. *Let $\delta_0 > 0$ be given by Proposition 20 and let $h := \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X} \right) \in B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\Theta} \times \mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \times \mathcal{L}_X$. Then, the matter terms $F_i(h)$ as defined in (9.4)-(9.7) are well-defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 22, we have

$$\left(\overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_S} \right) \cap \text{supp}_{(\rho, z)} f = \emptyset$$

and

$$\text{supp}_{(\rho, z)} f \subset \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}.$$

Now let $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$. If $(\rho, z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is some open neighbourhood of the axis, then by Proposition 4, there exists a smooth function $X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(0, z) > 0$ and

$$X_K(\rho, z) = \rho^2 X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(\rho^2, z). \quad (9.8)$$

Hence, near the axis, \tilde{L} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}(E, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \rho, z) &= \frac{\sqrt{X_K}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}} \left(-1 + \frac{X_K}{\rho^2} \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{X_{\mathcal{A}}} \sqrt{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}} \left(-1 + X_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

which is well defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. The term $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}}$ is also well defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ since

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} = \frac{\rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{\sqrt{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_{\mathcal{A}}}} \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}}{\sqrt{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}}.$$

Therefore, the matter terms $F_i(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ are well defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, thus on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$.

Now, if $(\rho, z) \in (\overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_S})$, then $F_i(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ vanish. Hence, they are well defined. \square

9.2.1 Further computations of the matter terms F_i

In this section, we compute explicitly the intersection of $D(\rho, z)$ with $\text{supp } \Phi$. To this end, we will have to distinguish between direct and retrograde orbits. In this case, we write

$$f(x, v) = (\Phi_+(\varepsilon, \ell_z) + \Phi_-(\varepsilon, \ell_z)) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\varepsilon, \ell_z), h) \quad (9.9)$$

where Φ_+ is supported on \mathcal{B}_{bound}^+ and Φ_- is supported on \mathcal{B}_{bound}^- .

Let $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$. Recall the definition of $D(\rho, z)$:

$$D(\rho, z) = \left\{ (E, L) : E \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} \quad \text{and} \quad |L| \leq \tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z) \right\}$$

where

$$\tilde{L}(E, X, \sigma, \rho, z) := \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\rho} \left(-1 + \frac{X}{\sigma^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (9.10)$$

Now, let $(E, L) \in D(\rho, z)$. Then,

$$E \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \frac{\rho^2}{X} L^2 \leq \frac{X}{\sigma^2} E^2 - 1. \quad (9.11)$$

This is equivalent to

$$E \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma^2}{X} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{X} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{X} L^2 + 1 \right) \leq E^2. \quad (9.12)$$

Thus,

$$E \geq \tilde{E}(L, X, \sigma, \rho, z)$$

where \tilde{E} is defined by

$$\tilde{E}(L, X, \sigma, \rho, z) := \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{X}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X} L^2}. \quad (9.13)$$

Now, we introduce the following change of variables

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &:= E + \rho\omega s, \\ s &= L.\end{aligned}\tag{9.14}$$

Therefore²³,

$$D(\rho, z) = \left\{ (\xi, s) : s \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \geq \tilde{E}(s, X, \sigma, \rho, z) + \rho\omega s = E_{\rho s}((\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma}), \rho, z) \right\}$$

and the matter terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})(\rho, z) &= -\frac{2\pi e^{2(\mathring{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \mathring{\sigma}} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (X_K(1 + \mathring{X}) + 2(\rho s)^2) \Phi(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), (\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})) d\xi ds, \\ F_2(\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma}) &= \frac{2\pi X_K(1 + \mathring{X})}{1 + \mathring{\sigma}} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \rho s (\xi - \rho(-\mathring{\Theta} + \omega_K) s) \Phi(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), (\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})) d\xi ds, \\ F_3(\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})(\rho, z) &= \frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \mathring{\sigma})}{(1 + \mathring{X})^2} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\tilde{L}^2 \left(\xi - \rho(-\mathring{\Theta} + \omega_K) s, X_K(1 + \mathring{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) - s^2 \right) \\ &\quad \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), (\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})) d\xi ds, \\ F_4(\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})(\rho, z) &= -\frac{4\pi e^{2(\mathring{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \mathring{\sigma}} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X_K^2(1 + \mathring{X})^2}{\rho^4(1 + \mathring{\sigma})^2} \left(\xi - \rho(-\mathring{\Theta} + \omega_K) s \right)^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(1 - \frac{X_K(1 + \mathring{X})}{\rho^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K(1 + \mathring{X})} s^2 \right) \right) \Phi(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), (\mathring{\Theta}, \mathring{X}, \mathring{\sigma})) d\xi ds.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we compute $D(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi(\cdot, \rho \cdot)$ provided $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Since the matter shell contains retrograde and direct orbits, we introduce

$$D^+(\rho, z) = \{(\xi, s) \in D(\rho, z) : \omega s \geq 0\},\tag{9.15}$$

$$D^-(\rho, z) = \{(\xi, s) \in D(\rho, z) : \omega s \leq 0\},\tag{9.16}$$

Hence,

$$D(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi(\cdot, \rho \cdot) = (D^+(\rho, z) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^+) \cup (D^-(\rho, z) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^-)$$

We state the following result:

Lemma 58. *Let $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$. Then,*

- if $\rho = 0$, then

$$D(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi(\cdot, \rho \cdot) = \emptyset.\tag{9.17}$$

²³Recall that $E_{\ell_z}(h, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the effective potential energy of a particle with angular momentum ℓ_z defined by (7.2).

- Otherwise,

$$\text{supp } \Phi_+(\cdot, \rho) \cap D^+(\rho, z) \subset \left\{ (\xi, s) : E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z) \leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_2^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z) \geq s \geq \frac{\ell_1^+}{\rho} \right\},$$

$$\text{supp } \Phi_-(\cdot, \rho) \cap D^-(\rho, z) \subset \left\{ (\xi, s) : E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z) \leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_2^- \quad \text{and} \quad -\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^- - \omega \ell_1^-, h, \rho, z) \leq s \leq \frac{\ell_2^-}{\rho} \right\},$$

Proof. Let $(\xi, s) \in D(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi(\cdot, \rho)$. Then,

$$\xi \geq E_{\rho s}(h, (\rho, z)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\xi, \rho s) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}.$$

- If $\rho = 0$. Then, $(\xi, \rho s) \notin \mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}$. Hence, $D(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi(\cdot, \rho) = \emptyset$.
- Otherwise,

1. If $(\xi, s) \in D^+(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi_+(\cdot, \rho)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega s &\geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \geq E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z), \\ \varepsilon_1^+ &\leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_2^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ell_1^+}{\rho} \leq s \leq \frac{\ell_2^+}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z) = \tilde{E}(s, h, \rho, z) + \omega \rho s \leq \varepsilon_2^+.$$

Since $\omega s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\tilde{E}(s, h, \rho, z) \leq \varepsilon_2^+.$$

Since \tilde{E} is monotonically increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , we obtain an upper bound on s :

$$s \leq \tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z).$$

Finally, we recall that we also have an upper bound on s , given by $\frac{\ell_1}{\rho}$, which provides the desired result.

2. Similarly, if $(\xi, s) \in D^-(\rho, z) \cap \text{supp } \Phi_-(\cdot, \rho)$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega s &\leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \geq E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z), \\ \varepsilon_1^- &\leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_2^- \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ell_1^-}{\rho} \leq s \leq \frac{\ell_2^-}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies,

$$\omega \ell_2^- \leq -\omega \rho s \leq \omega \ell_1^- \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z) \leq \varepsilon_2^-.$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{E}(s, h, \rho, z) \leq \varepsilon_2^- - \omega \rho s \leq \varepsilon_2^- - \omega \ell_1^-.$$

Since \tilde{E} is monotonically decreasing on \mathbb{R}_- with respect to s , we obtain a lower bound on s :

$$s \geq -\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^- - \omega \ell_1^-, h, \rho, z)$$

and we also have

$$s \leq \frac{\ell_2^-}{\rho}.$$

This ends the proof.

□

Finally, we set $f_{\Phi_{\pm},\eta}^{i,\pm}$ to be

$$f_{\Phi_{\pm},\eta}^{1,\pm}(s, h, \rho, z) := \int_{E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^{\pm}} (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) + 2(\rho s)^2) \Phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) d\xi, \quad (9.18)$$

$$f_{\Phi_{\pm},\eta}^{2,\pm}(s, h, \rho, z) := \int_{E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^{\pm}} \rho s (\xi - \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K) s) \Phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) d\xi, \quad (9.19)$$

$$f_{\Phi_{\pm},\eta}^{3,\pm}(s, h, \rho, z) := \int_{E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^{\pm}} \left(\tilde{L}^2 \left(\xi - \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) - s^2 \right) \Phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) d\xi, \quad (9.20)$$

$$f_{\Phi_{\pm},\eta}^{4,\pm}(s, h, \rho, z) := \int_{E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^{\pm}} g^4(s, h, \rho, z, \xi) \Phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) d\xi, \quad \text{where} \quad (9.21)$$

$$g^4(s, h, \rho, z, \xi) := \left(\frac{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^4(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} \left(\xi - \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K) s \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{\rho^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} s^2 \right) \right) \quad (9.22)$$

Hence, $F_i(h)$ are given by:

- $\forall(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}_A$

$$F_i(h, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})(\rho, z) := F_+^i(h, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \rho, z) + F_-^i(h, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \rho, z), \quad (9.23)$$

where

$$F_+^1(h, \rho, z) := -\frac{2\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{\frac{\ell_1^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} f_{\Phi_+, \eta}^{1,+}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.24)$$

$$F_+^2(h, \rho, z) := \frac{2\pi X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{\frac{\ell_1^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} f_{\Phi_+, \eta}^{2,+}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.25)$$

$$F_+^3(h, \rho, z) := \frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \int_{\frac{\ell_1^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} f_{\Phi_+, \eta}^{3,+}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.26)$$

$$F_+^4(h, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \rho, z) := -\frac{4\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{\frac{\ell_1^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} f_{\Phi_+, \eta}^{4,+}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.27)$$

$$F_-^1(h, \rho, z) := -\frac{2\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\varepsilon_1^-}{\rho}} f_{\Phi^-, \eta}^{1, -}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.28)$$

$$F_-^2(h, \rho, z) := \frac{2\pi X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\varepsilon_1^-}{\rho}} f_{\Phi^-, \eta}^{2, -}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.29)$$

$$F_-^3(h, \rho, z) := \frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\varepsilon_1^-}{\rho}} f_{\Phi^-, \eta}^{3, -}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \quad (9.30)$$

$$F_-^4(h, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \rho, z) := -\frac{4\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\varepsilon_1^-}{\rho}} f_{\Phi^-, \eta}^{4, -}(s, h, \rho, z) ds. \quad (9.31)$$

- Otherwise, they vanish.

Following the previous lemma, we obtain

Lemma 59. $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma)$, there exists an open neighbourhood of the axis of symmetry \mathcal{A} , say $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$, such that the matter terms $F^i(h, \cdot)$ vanish in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{A} \subset \{(\rho, z) : \rho = 0\}$. By Proposition 22, we have

$$\text{supp}_{(\rho, z)} f \subset \subset [\rho_{\min}(h), \rho_{\max}(h)] \times [Z_{\min}(h), Z_{\max}(h)].$$

Since $\rho_{\min}(h) > 0$, we choose any open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cap ([0, \rho_{\min}(h)] \times \mathbb{R})$. \square

Before we study the regularity of the matter terms, we state the following lemmas from which we obtain the regularity of the different matter terms.

Lemma 60. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and define the mapping $E_u : B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \rightarrow \hat{C}^{2, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ by

$$E_u(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z) := E_{\rho u}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \rho, z) = -\overset{\circ}{\Theta} \rho u - W_K X_K^{-1} \rho u + \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + 1}{\overset{\circ}{X} + 1} \sqrt{(\rho u)^2 + X_K(\overset{\circ}{X} + 1)}.$$

Then E_u is well defined on B_{δ_0} and it is continuously Fréchet differentiable on B_{δ_0} with derivative:

$$\forall (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \in B_{\delta_0}, \forall (\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma, \forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} DE_u(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})[\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}](\rho, z) = \\ -\rho u \tilde{\Theta} + \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}} \sqrt{\rho^2 u^2 + X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} + \frac{\rho}{X_K} \tilde{X}(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \\ \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 u^2 + X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} + \frac{X_K}{2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \sqrt{\rho^2 u^2 + X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9.32)$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and define, for $x \in]-\delta_0, \delta_0[$, $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_u(x, (\rho, z)) := x_1 \rho u - W_K X_K^{-1}(\rho, z) \rho u + \frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} \frac{1 + x_2}{1 + x_3} \sqrt{(\rho u)^2 + X_K(\rho, z)(x_2 + 1)}.$$

By Proposition 4, $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{E}_u is well-defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and it is smooth on $] - \delta_0, \delta_0[^3 \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Moreover, $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \forall (x, (\rho, z)) \in] - \delta_0, \delta_0[^3 \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}$:

$$\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(x, (\rho, z)) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u \\ \frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} \frac{1}{1+x_3} \left(\sqrt{(\rho u)^2 + X_K(\rho, z)(x_2+1)} + \frac{(1+x_2)X_K(\rho, z)}{2\sqrt{(\rho u)^2 + X_K(\rho, z)(x_2+1)}} \right) \\ - \frac{\rho}{X_K(\rho, z)} \frac{1+x_2}{(1+x_3)^2} \sqrt{(\rho u)^2 + X_K(\rho, z)(x_2+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we set

$$DE_u(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})[\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}](\rho, z) = ((\tilde{\Theta}(\rho, z) \ \tilde{X}(\rho, z) \ \tilde{\sigma}(\rho, z)) \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u((\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z), (\rho, z))).$$

Set $h = (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ and $\tilde{h} = (\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma})$. We will show that for

$$\lim_{\|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|E_u(h + \tilde{h}) - E_u(h) - DE_u(h)[\tilde{h}]\|_{\dot{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}}{\|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma}}$$

$\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_u(h + \tilde{h})(\rho, z) - E_u(h)(\rho, z) - DE_u(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) = \\ \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z) \cdot \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $'$ the differential with respect to (ρ, z) , then

$$\begin{aligned} (E_u(h + \tilde{h})(\rho, z) - E_u(h)(\rho, z) - DE_u(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z))' = \\ (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t (h'(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}'(\rho, z)) + (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) \\ - (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t (h'(\rho, z)) - (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) \\ - (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t \tilde{h}'(\rho, z) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z) (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z) \partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) h'(\rho, z). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we compute the second derivatives. We provide only the second derivative of the term $E_u(h)(\rho, z)$ in order to detail the Hölder estimates. The other terms are estimated using similar arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} E_u(h)''(\rho, z) &= ((\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t (h'(\rho, z)))' + ((\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u)_i(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) h_i''(\rho, z) \\ &\quad + h'(\rho, z)^t (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) + ((\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t h''(\rho, z) + (\mathcal{E}_u)''(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 (h'(\rho, z))^t (\partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u)_i(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) h'(\rho, z). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ norm of $E_u(h + \tilde{h}) - E_u(h) - DE_u(h)[\tilde{h}]$

1. Since \mathcal{E}_u is smooth and bounded with respect to x , there exists $C = C(u, \delta_0)$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$

$$\left| E_u(h + \tilde{h})(\rho, z) - E_u(h)(\rho, z) - DE_u(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) \right| \leq C \|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma}^2.$$

2. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z)(\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) = \\ & (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z)(\partial_x (\mathcal{E}_u)')(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) = \\ & O(|\tilde{h}(\rho, z)|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{E}_u is smooth and bounded with respect to (ρ, z) and their derivatives with respect to (ρ, z) are also bounded on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ by Proposition 4, then there exists $C = C(u, \delta_0) > 0$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, we have

$$\left| (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - (\mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z)(\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u)'(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) \right| \leq C \|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma}^2.$$

3. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t (h'(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}'(\rho, z)) - (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t (h'(\rho, z)) \\ & - (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t \tilde{h}'(\rho, z) - \tilde{h}(\rho, z) \partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) h'(\rho, z) = \\ & (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) (\tilde{h}(\rho, z))^t)^t (h'(\rho, z)) \\ & + \left(\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) \right)^t (h'(\rho, z)) \\ & (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z) + \tilde{h}(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) (\tilde{h}(\rho, z))^t)^t (\tilde{h}'(\rho, z)) \\ & + (\tilde{h}(\rho, z) \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h(\rho, z), (\rho, z)))^t \tilde{h}'(\rho, z) \\ & = (h'(\rho, z)) O((\tilde{h}(\rho, z))^2) + O(|\tilde{h}'(\rho, z)| |\tilde{h}(\rho, z)|) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists $C = C(u, \delta_0) > 0$ such that $\forall (\rho, z)$, we have

$$\left| \left(E_u(h + \tilde{h})(\rho, z) - E_u(h)(\rho, z) - DE_u(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) \right)' \right| \leq C \|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma}^2.$$

4. The $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates and the Hölder semi-norm of the second derivatives are obtained as above: we use the smoothness and boundedness of \mathcal{E}_u as well as its derivatives.

Therefore, E_u is Fréchet-differentiable on B_{δ_0} and its Fréchet differential is given by It remains to show that DE_u is continuous on B_{δ_0} . Let $h_1, h_2 \in B_{\delta_0}$ and let $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma} \leq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|DE_u(h_1)[\tilde{h}] - DE_u(h_2)[\tilde{h}]\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} &= \|\tilde{h} \cdot (\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_1(\cdot), \cdot) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_2(\cdot), \cdot))\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma} \|(\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_1(\cdot), \cdot) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_2(\cdot), \cdot))\|_{\hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{E}_u is smooth, we have $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$,

$$\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_1(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_2(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) = \partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u(h_1(\rho, z), (\rho, z)) \cdot (h_1(\rho, z) - h_2(\rho, z))^t + O(|h_1(\rho, z) - h_2(\rho, z)|^2)$$

Now, since $h_1 \in B_{\delta_0}$ and by Proposition 4, there exists $C = C(u, \delta_0)$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$

$$|\partial_{xx} \mathcal{E}_u(h_1(\rho, z), (\rho, z))| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad O(|h_1(\rho, z) - h_2(\rho, z)|^2) = O(\|h_1 - h_2\|^2).$$

Consequently,

$$\|(\partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_1(\cdot), \cdot) - \partial_x \mathcal{E}_u(h_2(\cdot), \cdot))\|_{\hat{C}^0(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C(u, \delta_0) \|h_1 - h_2\|.$$

In the same way, we estimate the \hat{C}^1 , \hat{C}^2 norms and the Hölder part. We conclude that E_u is continuously Fréchet differentiable on B_{δ_0} \square

Remark 29. As in the previous lemma, we will show the Fréchet differentiability of functionals of $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ defined on $B_{\delta_0}(0) \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ which also depend (smoothly) on $(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. The steps are similar to what has been done in the previous lemma and we only compute the differential in the proofs.

Lemma 61. *Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the mapping $\tilde{L}(E, \cdot, \cdot) : B_{\delta_0} \subset (\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma) \rightarrow \widehat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$ defined by*

$$\tilde{L}(E, h, \rho, z) := \frac{\sqrt{X_K}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}} \left(-1 + \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} \frac{X_K}{\rho^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then, $\tilde{L}(E, \cdot, \cdot)$ is well-defined and it is continuously Fréchet differentiable on B_{δ_0} .

Proof. Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. First, we introduce the mapping $g_E :] - \delta_0, \delta_0[\times \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$

$$g_E(x, y, \rho, z) := \frac{\sqrt{X_K}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 + x} \left(-1 + \frac{1 + x}{(1 + y)^2} \frac{X_K}{\rho^2} E^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Proposition 4, $\forall (x, y) \in] - \delta_0, \delta_0[$; $g_E(x, y, \cdot)$ is well-defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$ and it is smooth. Moreover, $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$, $g_E(\cdot, \rho, z)$ is smooth on $] - \delta_0, \delta_0[$ and we have,

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}, \nabla_{(x,y)} g_E(x, y, \rho, z) = \frac{\sqrt{X_K}}{\rho} \frac{(\nabla_{(x,y)} \left(-(1+x) + \frac{(1+x)^2}{(1+y)^2} E^2 \right))}{2 \left(-(1+x)^2 + \frac{(1+x)^2}{(1+y)^2} E^2 \right)}.$$

$$\forall (x, y) \in] - \delta_0, \delta_0[, \nabla_{(\rho,z)} g_E(x, y, \rho, z) = \sqrt{1+x} \frac{\nabla_{(\rho,z)} \left(-\frac{X_K}{\rho^2} + \frac{1+x}{(1+y)^2} \frac{X_K^2}{\rho^4} E^2 \right)}{2 \left(-\frac{X_K}{\rho^2} + \frac{1+x}{(1+y)^2} \frac{X_K^2}{\rho^4} E^2 \right)}.$$

2. Let $h = (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \in B_{\delta_0}$. First, we show that $\tilde{L}(E, h, \cdot) \in \widehat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$. We have

$$\tilde{L}(E, h, \rho, z) = g_E(\overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \rho, z).$$

$g_E(x, \cdot)$ is smooth on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$ and $\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \in \widehat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$. Thus, $\tilde{L}(E, h, \cdot)$ is well-defined and it also lies in $\widehat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$.

3. Now, $\tilde{L}(E, \cdot, \cdot)$ is Fréchet differentiable on B_{δ_0} with derivative $\forall h \in B_{\delta_0}, \forall \tilde{h} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma$

$$D_h \tilde{L}(E, h, \cdot)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) = (\tilde{X} \quad \tilde{\sigma}) \nabla_{(x,y)} g_E(\overset{\circ}{X}(\rho, z), \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z), \rho, z). \quad (9.33)$$

Moreover, by smoothness of g_E with respect to (x, y) , there exists $C = C(\delta_0, E)$ such that $\forall \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_X$

$$\left\| D_h \tilde{L}(E, h, \cdot)[\tilde{h}] \right\|_{\widehat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})} \leq C(\delta_0) \|\tilde{h}\|.$$

The latter follows by similar arguments in the proof of Lemma 60

□

Lemma 62. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that*

- Φ_{\pm} is compactly supported on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bound}}^{\pm}$,
- $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\ell_z \rightarrow \Phi_{\pm}(\xi, \ell_z)$ lies in $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$,
- $\forall h = (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\Theta} \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\rho, \ell_z) \rightarrow \Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \ell_z), h)$ lies in $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Then, $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Phi, \eta}^{i, \pm}(s, \cdot, \cdot) : B_{\delta_0} &\rightarrow \hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \\ h = (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) &\mapsto f_{\Phi, \eta}^{i, \pm}(s, h, \cdot) \end{aligned} \quad (9.34)$$

and $\forall j \in \{3, 4\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Phi, \eta}^{j, \pm}(s, \cdot, \cdot) : B_{\delta_0} &\rightarrow \hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}) \\ h = (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) &\mapsto f_{\Phi, \eta}^{j, \pm}(s, h, \cdot) \end{aligned} \quad (9.35)$$

are well-defined and are continuously Fréchet differentiable mappings on B_{δ_0} with Fréchet differentials: $\forall h = (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \in B_{\delta_0}$, $\forall \tilde{h} = (\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\Theta} \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$ we have

$$Df_{\Phi, \eta}^{i, \pm}(s, h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) = -DE_s(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z)P^i(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) + \int_{E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^{\pm}} D_h P^i(h, s, \xi)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) d\xi \quad (9.36)$$

where $P^i : B_{\delta_0} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ and $P^j : B_{\delta_0} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \hat{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A})$ are the continuously Fréchet differentiable mappings defined by

$$P^1(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) := (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) + 2(\rho s)^2)\phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s)\Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h), \quad (9.37)$$

$$P^2(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) := \rho s(\xi - \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K))s\phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s)\Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h), \quad (9.38)$$

$$P^3(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) := \left(\tilde{L}^2 \left(\xi - \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K) \right) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) \phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s)\Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) \quad (9.39)$$

where \tilde{L} is defined by (9.10) and

$$\begin{aligned} P^4(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) &:= \left(\frac{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^4(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} \left(\xi - \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K) \right) s \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{\rho^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} s^2 \right) \\ &\phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s)\Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h), \end{aligned} \quad (9.40)$$

with derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} D_h P^1(h, s, \xi)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= -D_h \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)[\tilde{h}]\chi'_{\eta}(\rho - \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s))(X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) + 2(\rho s)^2)\phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s) \\ &+ X_K \tilde{X} \phi_{\pm}(\xi, \rho s)\Psi_{\eta}(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h), \end{aligned} \quad (9.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_h P^2(h, s, \xi)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= -\rho s D_h \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)[\tilde{h}] \chi'_\eta(\rho - \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)) (\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s) \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \\
&\quad + \rho^2 s \tilde{\Theta} \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h),
\end{aligned} \tag{9.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_h P^3(h, s, \xi)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= \\
&- D_h \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)[\tilde{h}] \chi'_\eta(\rho - \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)) \left(\tilde{L}^2 \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) - s^2 \right) \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \\
&+ 2\tilde{L} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) \\
&\left(\rho s \tilde{\Theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial E} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) + X_K \tilde{X} \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial X} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) \right. \\
&\left. + \rho \tilde{\sigma} \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \sigma} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s, X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \sigma, \rho, z \right) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{9.43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_h P^4(h, s, \xi)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= -D_h \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)[\tilde{h}] \chi'_\eta(\rho - \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho s)) \\
&\left(\frac{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^4(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{\rho^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} s^2 \right) \right) \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \\
&+ \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h) \\
&\left(\frac{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^3(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} s \tilde{\Theta} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s \right) - 2\tilde{\sigma} (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \frac{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^4(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^4} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s \right)^2 \right. \\
&\left. + 2 \frac{X_K \tilde{X} (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{\rho^4(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} \left(\xi - \rho \left(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K \right) s \right)^2 - \frac{X_K \tilde{X}}{\rho^2} \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} s^2 \right) - \frac{\tilde{X} \rho^2 s^2}{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \left(1 - \frac{X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{\rho^2} \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{9.44}$$

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 60, Lemma 61 and the dominated convergence theorem. We give details for $f_{\Phi, \eta}^{1, \pm}(s, \cdot, \cdot)$. Regularity for the remaining functions is proved in the same manner.

First of all, let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and recall the definition of $f_{\Phi, \eta}^{1, \pm}(s, \cdot, \cdot)$:

$$f_{\Phi, \eta}^{1, \pm}(s, h, \rho, z) := \int_{E_{\rho s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^\pm} P^1(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) d\xi$$

where

$$P^1(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z) := (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) + 2(\rho s)^2) \phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho s), h),$$

- We recall

$$\Psi_\eta(\cdot, (\varepsilon, \ell), h) := \begin{cases} \chi_\eta(\cdot - \rho_1(h, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))), & (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound} \\ 0 & (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \notin \mathcal{A}_{bound}, \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 48, $\forall (\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}$, $\rho_1(\cdot, (\varepsilon, \ell_z))$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable on B_{δ_0} . By smoothness of χ_η , Ψ_η is continuously Fréchet differentiable with respect to h with derivative $\forall \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma$

$$D_h \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\xi, \rho_s), h) = \begin{cases} -D_h \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho_s)[\tilde{h}] \chi'_\eta(\rho - \rho_1(h, \xi, \rho_s)) & \text{if } (\xi, \rho_s) \in \mathcal{B}_{bound}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Hence, P^1 is Fréchet differentiable with respect to h with derivative given by (9.41).
- Now, let $\overline{P}^1(h, s, \cdot)(\rho, z)$ be a primitive of $P^1(h, s, \cdot)(\rho, z)$. We write

$$f_{\Phi, \eta}^{1, \pm}(s, h, \rho, z) = \overline{P}^1(h, s, \varepsilon_2^\pm)(\rho, z) - \overline{P}^1(h, s, E_{\rho_s}(h, \rho, z))(\rho, z).$$

Hence, $\forall \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_h f_{\Phi, \eta}^{1, \pm}(s, h, \rho, z) &= D_h \overline{P}^1(h, s, \varepsilon_2^\pm)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) - D_h \overline{P}^1(h, s, E_{\rho_s}(h, \rho, z))[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) \\ &\quad - D_h E_s(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) \partial_\xi \overline{P}^1(h, s, E_{\rho_s}(h, \rho, z))(\rho, z) \\ &= \int_{E_{\rho_s}(h, \rho, z)}^{\varepsilon_2^\pm} D_h P^1(h, s, \xi)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) d\xi - D E_s(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) P^1(h, s, \xi)(\rho, z). \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the latter expression was obtained by the dominated convergence theorem and the second term is obtained by the definition of \overline{P}^1 .

- It remains to show that the derivative of $f_{\Phi, \eta}^{1, \pm}$ with respect to h is continuous. This follows using similar arguments. □

Proposition 24. 1. Let $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda$. Then, $F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$, $F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$, $F_3(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})$ lie in $\hat{C}^{2, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ and $F_4(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})$ lies in $\hat{C}^{1, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$. Moreover, they are compactly supported in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$.

2. Let $\delta_0 > 0$ and let F_i be defined on $B_{\delta_0}^{24}$. Then F_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, are a well-defined mappings from B_{δ_0} to $\hat{C}^{2, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ and F_4 is a well-defined mapping from B_{δ_0} to $\hat{C}^{1, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$. Furthermore, F_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are continuously Fréchet differentiable on B_{δ_0} with Fréchet differential given by $\forall (h = (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}), \overset{\circ}{\lambda}) \in B_{\delta_0}$, $(\forall \tilde{h} = (\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}), \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda$, $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} DF_1(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \left(D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}] f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{1, +} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z) h, \rho, z \right) + D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}] f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{1, -} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z) h, \rho, z \right) \right) \\ &+ \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_1^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} D_h \left(-\frac{2\pi}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{1, +}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds + \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\varepsilon_1^-}{\rho}} D_h \left(-\frac{2\pi}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{1, -}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds \end{aligned} \quad (9.45)$$

²⁴ $B_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is the closed ball of radius δ_0 centred in $(0, 0, 0, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
DF_2(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= \frac{2\pi X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \left(D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}]f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{2,+} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)h, \rho, z \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}]f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{2,-} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)h, \rho, z \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{\ell_+^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} D_h \left(\frac{2\pi X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{2,+}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\ell_+^-}{\rho}} D_h \left(-\frac{2\pi X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{2,-}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds
\end{aligned} \tag{9.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
DF_3(h)[\tilde{h}](\rho, z) &= \frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \left(D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}]f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{3,+} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)h, \rho, z \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}]f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{3,-} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)h, \rho, z \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{\ell_+^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} D_h \left(\frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{3,+}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\ell_+^-}{\rho}} D_h \left(\frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{3,-}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds
\end{aligned} \tag{9.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
DF_4(h, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})[\tilde{h}, \tilde{\lambda}](\rho, z) &= -\frac{8\pi \tilde{\lambda} e^{2\left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K\right)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \left(\int_{\frac{\ell_+^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{4,+}(s, h, \rho, z) ds, \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\ell_+^-}{\rho}} f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{4,-}(s, h, \rho, z) ds \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{4\pi e^{2\left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K\right)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \left(D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}]f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{4,+} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)h, \rho, z \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + D\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)[\tilde{h}]f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{4,-} \left(\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)h, \rho, z \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{\ell_+^+}{\rho}}^{\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^+, h, \rho, z)} D_h \left(-\frac{4\pi e^{2\left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K\right)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} f_{\phi_+, \eta}^{4,+}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{-\tilde{L}(\varepsilon_2^-, h, \rho, z)}^{\frac{\ell_+^-}{\rho}} D_h \left(-\frac{4\pi e^{2\left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K\right)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} f_{\phi_-, \eta}^{4,-}(s, h, \rho, z) \right) [\tilde{h}] ds
\end{aligned} \tag{9.48}$$

Proof. 1. Since the matter terms vanish in the regions $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$ and near the axis we prove the result only in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is some neighbourhood of the axis given by Lemma 59.

2. By the first point of the proposition, the mappings F_i are well defined. In order to show the Fréchet differentiability, we apply lemmas 61, 62 and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.
3. Since χ_η is either 0 or 1 on the support of $\phi_\pm(\xi, \rho s)$, $D\Psi_\eta(\cdot, (\xi, \rho s), h)$ vanishes. Hence, we can eliminate the terms including $D\Psi_\eta$ in the derivatives of P^i 's.
4. The estimates follow by using similar arguments from the previous lemmas. □

9.3 Introduce the bifurcation parameter δ

Solutions to the Einstein-Vlasov system will be obtained by perturbing the Kerr spacetime using a bifurcation parameter $\delta \geq 0$. The latter turns on in the presence of Vlasov matter supported on $\mathcal{B}_{bound} \subset \subset \mathcal{A}_{bound}$. In order to introduce the latter in the equations, we adjust the ansatz for f (5.2) in order to make the dependence on δ explicit:

$$f^\delta(t, \phi, \rho, z, \phi, p^\rho, p^\phi, p^z) = \Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z; \delta) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (\varepsilon, \ell_z), (X, W, \sigma)) \quad (9.49)$$

such that

$$\forall(\varepsilon, \ell_z) \in \mathcal{A}_{bound}, \quad \Phi(\varepsilon, \ell_z; 0) = 0,$$

where $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_{bound} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. We will impose the regularity assumptions on Φ given in Lemma 62 so that the solution operator is well defined. Assuming that (g, f^δ) solves the EV-system, we can apply Theorem (18) with the ansatz (9.49) to obtain the explicit dependence of F_i on the bifurcation parameter δ :

$$F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)(\rho, z) := -\frac{2\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) + 2(\rho L)^2) \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \\ \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

$$F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)(\rho, z) := \frac{2\pi}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \int_{D(\rho, z)} \rho L E \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \\ \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

$$F_3(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)(\rho, z) := \frac{\rho^4}{X_K^2} \frac{2\pi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \int_{D(\rho, z)} (\tilde{L}^2 - L^2) \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \\ \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL,$$

$$F_4(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)(\rho, z) := -\frac{4\pi e^{2(\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K)}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} \int_{D(\rho, z)} \left(\frac{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{\rho^4 (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2} E^2 + \left(1 - \frac{X_K}{\rho^2} (1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{X_K} \frac{1}{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}} L^2 \right) \right) \\ \Phi(E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L) \Psi_\eta(\rho, (E + \rho(-\overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \omega_K)) L, \rho L), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})) dEdL.$$

Finally, we note that $\forall(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}), \forall(\rho, z), F_i(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \cdot)(\rho, z)$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable on $[0, \delta_0[$.

9.4 Solving for σ

In this section, we solve for $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ in terms of the renormalised unknowns $\left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right)$ and δ . We recall that $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ verifies

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overset{\circ}{\sigma} = \rho^{-1} \sigma^{-1} X e^{2\lambda} F_3(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \delta)(\rho, z),$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ is the Laplacian corresponding to the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^4 given by $g_{\mathbb{R}^4} = d\rho^2 + dz^2 + \rho^2 d\mathbb{S}^2$. To any function $f : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we associate a function $f_{\mathbb{R}^4} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in the following way: let $x = (x_i)_{i=1\dots 4} \in \mathbb{R}$ and define its cylindrical coordinates $(\rho, \theta, \phi, z) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \rho \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ x_2 &= \rho \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ x_3 &= \rho \cos \theta \\ x_4 &= z. \end{aligned}$$

Now define $f_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ by

$$f_{\mathbb{R}^4}(x) := f(\rho = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}, z = x_4).$$

In the following, we confound f with $f_{\mathbb{R}^4}$. We start with solving the linear problem.

9.4.1 Linear problem

We prove the following result

Proposition 25. *Let $H_\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma$. Then, there exists a unique $\overset{\circ}{\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ which solves the equation*

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overset{\circ}{\sigma} = H_\sigma.$$

It is given by

$$\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\rho, z) = \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^4}(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} (H_\sigma)_{\mathbb{R}^4}(y) dy.$$

Moreover, there exists $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma}.$$

The proof is based on Theorem 10 and the following Newtonian estimates

Lemma 63. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|F(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-k}$ where $\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for some $k > 2$ and $k \neq n$. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding Newton potential:*

$$u(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{2-n} F(y) dy.$$

Then, we have

$$|u(x)| \leq C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \langle y \rangle^k F(y) \right| \left(\langle x \rangle^{2-n} + \langle x \rangle^{2-k} \right), \quad (9.50)$$

and

$$|\partial u(x)| \leq C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \langle y \rangle^k F(y) \right| \left(\langle x \rangle^{1-n} + \langle x \rangle^{1-k} \right). \quad (9.51)$$

Proof. 1. First of all, we have $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{2-n} |F(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\langle y \rangle^k F(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$A(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy,$$

we have

$$A(x) = \int_{y, |x-y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy + \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy = I + II.$$

We estimate the first term in the right hand side.

$$I := \int_{y, |x-y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy.$$

By the reversed triangular inequality, we have

$$|y| = |x - (x - y)| \geq ||x| - |x - y||,$$

Thus,

$$\langle y \rangle^{-k} = (1 + |y|^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \leq (\langle x \rangle^2 - 2\langle x \rangle|x-y| + |x-y|^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}},$$

We obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{y, |x-y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} |x-y|^{2-n} (\langle x \rangle^2 - 2\langle x \rangle|x-y| + |x-y|^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}} dy, \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \frac{r^{2-n}}{(\langle x \rangle^2 - 2\langle x \rangle r + r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} r^{n-1} dr, \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \frac{r^{2-n}}{(\langle x \rangle^2 - 2\langle x \rangle r)^{\frac{k}{2}}} r^{n-1} dr, \\ &= \langle x \rangle^{-k} \int_0^{\frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \frac{r}{(1 - 2\langle x \rangle^{-1} r)^{\frac{k}{2}}} r^{n-1} dr, \\ &= \langle x \rangle^{2-k} \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{r'}{(1 - 2r')^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr'. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we changed the variable by setting $r' = \langle x \rangle^{-1} r$ Hence

$$I \leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-k}.$$

Now we estimate the second term II given by

$$II := \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy.$$

We will deal with two cases $k \leq n$ and $k \geq n$.

Suppose that $k \geq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr, \end{aligned}$$

When $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{r^{k-n+1}}$$

Since $k > n$ the integral converges. Thus,

$$I \leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n}.$$

Now suppose that $k \leq n$. We write

$$II = \int_{\mathcal{D}_1(x)} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy + \int_{\mathcal{D}_2(x)} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy = II_1 + II_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1(x) &:= \left\{ y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq 2\langle x \rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_2(x) &:= \left\{ y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \geq 2\langle x \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &:= \int_{\mathcal{D}_2(x)} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &= \int_{|y| \geq 2\langle x \rangle} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq \int_{|y| \geq 2\langle x \rangle} ||x-y||^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \quad \text{by reversed triangle inequality,} \\ &= \int_{|y| \geq 2\langle x \rangle} (|y|-|x|)^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq \int_{|y| \geq 2\langle x \rangle} (|y|-\langle x \rangle)^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &= \int_{2\langle x \rangle}^\infty \frac{1}{(r-\langle x \rangle)^{n-2}} \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} r^{n-1} dr, \\ &= \int_{2\langle x \rangle}^\infty \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\langle x \rangle}{r}\right)^{n-2}} \frac{r}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \geq 2\langle x \rangle$, we have the following estimate

$$\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{\langle x \rangle}{r}\right)^{n-2}} \leq 2^{n-2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &\leq C \int_{2\langle x \rangle}^{\infty} \frac{r}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr, \\ &= C \left[\frac{1}{-\frac{k}{2}+1} (1+r^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}+1} \right], \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate II_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= \int_{\{|x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\} \cap \{|y| \leq 2\langle x \rangle\}} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &= \int_{B(0, 2\langle x \rangle) \setminus B(x, \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4})} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_{B(0, 2\langle x \rangle) \setminus B(x, \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4})} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_0^{2\langle x \rangle} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr \end{aligned}$$

If $k < n$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_0^{2\langle x \rangle} \frac{1}{r^{k-n+1}} dr, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \langle x \rangle^{n-k} = \langle x \rangle^{2-k}. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \int_0^{2\langle x \rangle} r^{n-1} (1+r^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} dr, \\ &\leq C \langle x \rangle^{2-n} \log \langle x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2. For the first order estimates, by the integrability condition of F and the regularisation property of the convolution product u is differentiable and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} u(x) &= (2-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i} (|x-y|) |x-y|^{1-n} F(y) dy, \\ &= (2-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x_i - y_i) |x-y|^{-n} F(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial u(x)| &\leq (n-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x_i - y_i| |x - y|^{-n} F(y) dy, \\ &\leq (n-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{1-n} F(y) dy, \\ &\leq C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\langle y \rangle^k F(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{1-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy. \end{aligned}$$

We use similar arguments to the first point in order to obtain the first order estimates. \square

Lemma 64. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|F(x)| + \sup_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|F(x)-F(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-k}$ for some $k > 2$ and $k \neq n$. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding Newton potential:*

$$u(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{2-n} F(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial^2 u(x)| \\ &\leq C \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\langle y \rangle^k F(y)| + \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\langle y \rangle^k \left(\sup_{z, |z-y| \leq 1} \frac{|F(z) - F(y)|}{|z-y|^\alpha} \right) \right) \right) \log(4\langle x \rangle) \left(\langle x \rangle^{-n} + \langle x \rangle^{-k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. We write ²⁵ the second weak derivatives of u , $\partial_{ij} u$:

$$\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 G(x, y) (F(y) - F(x)) dy,$$

where

$$G(x, y) := \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2}}.$$

2. Note that

$$\forall \lambda > 0 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, \lambda \sigma) d\sigma = 0.$$

Indeed, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x, y) &= (2-n) \partial_{x_i} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n}, \\ &= (2-n) \delta_{ij} |x - y|^{-n} - n(2-n) (x_i - y_i) (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_i \sigma_j d\sigma = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_i^2 d\sigma = \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{n}, \quad \text{if } i = j.$$

²⁵The details of computations are given in [34, Chapter 10].

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, \lambda \sigma) d\sigma &= (n-2)\lambda^{-n}(n\sigma_i \sigma_j - \delta_{ij}), \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Now we proceed as in the previous lemma and we use Holder estimates for F in order to control the terms when $|x - y| \leq 1$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 G(x, y)(F(y) - F(x)), \\ &= \int_{y, |x-y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 |x - y|^{2-n}(F(y) - F(x)) dy + \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 |x - y|^{2-n}(F(y) - F(x)) dy, \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\{y, |x-y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 |x - y|^{2-n}(F(y) - F(x)) dy, \\ &= \int_{\{y, |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, y)(F(y+x) - F(x)) dy, \\ &= \int_{\{y, |y| \leq 1\}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, y)(F(y+x) - F(x)) dy + \int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, y)(F(y+x) - F(x)) dy, \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the set $\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}$ is empty if $\langle x \rangle < 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &:= \left| \int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, y)(F(y+x) - F(x)) dy \right|, \\ &= \left| \int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0, y)F(y+x) dy \right|, \\ &\leq \int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{|F(y)|}{|x - y|^n} dy, \\ &\leq C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\langle y \rangle^k F(y)| \int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{\langle y \rangle^{-k}}{|x - y|^n} dy, \end{aligned}$$

We estimate for $\langle x \rangle \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} \frac{\langle y \rangle^{-k}}{|x-y|^n} dy &\leq \int_{\{y, 1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}\}} |x-y|^{-n} (\langle x \rangle^2 - 2|x||x-y| + |x-y|^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}} dy, \\
&\leq \int_1^{\frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \frac{1}{r} (\langle x \rangle^2 - 2|x|r + r^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}} dr, \\
&\leq \langle x \rangle^{-k} \int_{\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - 2\frac{|x|}{\langle x \rangle} r\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} dr, \\
&\leq \langle x \rangle^{-k} + \langle x \rangle^{-k} \log(4\langle x \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate I_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\leq \int_{\{y, |y| \leq 1\}} |y|^{-n} |F(y+x) - F(x)| dy, \\
&= \int_{\{y, |y| \leq 1\}} |y|^{\alpha-n} \frac{|F(y+x) - F(x)|}{|y|^\alpha} dy, \\
&\leq C \left(\langle x \rangle^{-k} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\langle y \rangle^k \left(\sup_{z, |z-y| \leq 1} \frac{|F(z) - F(y)|}{|z-y|^\alpha} \right) \right) \right) \int_{\{y, |y| \leq 1\}} |y|^{\alpha-n} dy, \\
&= C \left(\langle x \rangle^{-k} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\langle y \rangle^k \left(\sup_{z, |z-y| \leq 1} \frac{|F(z) - F(y)|}{|z-y|^\alpha} \right) \right) \right) \int_0^1 r^{\alpha-1} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral of the last line converges. Hence,

$$|I_1| \leq C \left(\langle x \rangle^{-k} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\langle y \rangle^k \left(\sup_{z, |z-y| \leq 1} \frac{|F(z) - F(y)|}{|z-y|^\alpha} \right) \right) \right).$$

Thus,

$$|I| \leq C \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \langle y \rangle^k F(y) \right| + \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\langle y \rangle^k \left(\sup_{z, |z-y| \leq 1} \frac{|F(z) - F(y)|}{|z-y|^\alpha} \right) \right) \right) \log(4\langle x \rangle) \langle x \rangle^{-k}. \quad (9.52)$$

Now we estimate the remaining term,

$$\begin{aligned}
|II| &= \left| \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 |x-y|^{2-n} (F(y) - F(x)) dy \right|, \\
&= \left| \int_{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4}} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 |x-y|^{2-n} F(y) dy \right|, \\
&\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \langle y \rangle^k F(y) \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{1-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 &:= \int_{\{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4} \text{ and } |y| \leq 4\langle x \rangle\}} |x-y|^{-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\
II_2 &:= \int_{\{y, |x-y| \geq \frac{\langle x \rangle}{4} \text{ and } |y| \geq 4\langle x \rangle\}} |x-y|^{-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_1| &\leq C\langle x \rangle^{-n} \int_{\{y, |y| \leq 4\langle x \rangle\}} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\
&\leq C\langle x \rangle^{-n} \int_0^{4\langle x \rangle} r^{n-k-1} dr, \\
&\leq C\langle x \rangle^{-n} |B_1| + C\langle x \rangle^{-n} \int_1^{4\langle x \rangle} r^{n-k-1} dr, \\
&\leq C\langle x \rangle^{-n} + \begin{cases} \langle x \rangle^{-k} \int_1^{4\langle x \rangle} \frac{1}{r} & \text{if } n - k \geq 0, \\ \langle x \rangle^{-n} \int_1^{4\langle x \rangle} \frac{1}{r} & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\
&\leq \langle x \rangle^{-n} + \log(4\langle x \rangle) \left(\langle x \rangle^{-n} + \langle x \rangle^{-k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_2| &\leq \int_{\{y, |y| \geq 4\langle x \rangle\}} |x - y|^{-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\
&\leq \int_{\{y, |y| \geq 4\langle x \rangle\}} ||x| - |y||^{-n} \langle y \rangle^{-k} dy, \\
&= \int_{4\langle x \rangle}^{\infty} (r - \langle x \rangle)^{-n} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr, \\
&\leq C \int_{4\langle x \rangle}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(1+r^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} dr, \\
&\leq C \int_{4\langle x \rangle}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{k+1}} dr, \\
&= C\langle x \rangle^{-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain the second order estimate.

The Hölder part follows by using similar arguments. □

Now, we prove Proposition 25.

Proof. Let $H_\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma$. Then,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \quad |H(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-5}.$$

We set

$$\overset{\circ}{\sigma} := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |x - y|^{-2} H_\sigma(y) dy$$

and we apply Lemma 63 with $n = 4$ and $k = 5$. We obtain:

$$\|r^2 \overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C \|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma}$$

and

$$\|r^3 \partial \overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C \|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma}.$$

Since $H_\sigma \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and $H_\sigma \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we obtain Theorem 10 in order to obtain $\overset{\circ}{\sigma} \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ and

$$\|\overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C\|H_\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C\|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma}.$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 64 with $n = 4$, $k = 4$ and $F = H_\sigma$ in order to obtain:

$$\|r^4 \log^{-1}(4r) \partial^2 f\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C\|H_\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C\|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma}.$$

□

9.4.2 Non-linear estimates

We apply Theorem 6 in order to obtain

Proposition 26. *Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ and let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$. Then, there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty])$ there exists a unique one parameter family $\overset{\circ}{\sigma} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma)$ which solves (5.49) and which satisfies*

$$\left\| \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right)$$

and $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_i, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_i, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_i, \delta_i \right) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty])$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1, \delta_1 \right) - \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2, \delta_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X} \\ & \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left(\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} + \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right) \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1 \right) - \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\delta_1 - \delta_2| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Before we prove the above proposition, we introduce the following notations

1. Define H_σ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ to be the mapping

$$H_\sigma(\rho, z) = \frac{X_K e^{2\lambda_K} (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})}{\rho^2} e^{2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}} F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \delta)(\rho, z).$$

2. Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$. Define the nonlinear operator N_σ on $B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty])$ by

$$N_\sigma \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \right) (\rho, z) := H_\sigma(\rho, z).$$

In order to prove the above proposition, we will need the following lemma

Lemma 65. *1. There exists $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ such that $N_\sigma \left(B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty]) \right) \subset \mathcal{N}_\sigma$.*

2. There exist $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ and $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \right) \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$

$$\|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}^2 + \|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right).$$

Proof. 1. Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ and let $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$.

By Proposition 24, we have $F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta) \in \hat{C}^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})$. Moreover, it is compactly supported in \mathcal{B} and $K := \text{supp } F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta) \not\subset (\bar{\mathcal{B}}_N \cap \bar{\mathcal{B}}_S)$. Therefore, $1 - \xi_N - \xi_S = 1$ on K and we have Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|r^4 H_\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})} &= \left\| r^4 \frac{X_K e^{2\lambda_K}}{\rho^2} \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} e^{2\lambda} F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta) \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})} \\ &= \left\| r^4 \frac{X_K e^{2\lambda_K}}{\rho^2} F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta) \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(K)} \\ &\leq C \left\| r^4 \frac{X_K e^{2\lambda_K}}{\rho^2} \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(K)} \left\| \frac{1 + \overset{\circ}{X}}{1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}} e^{2\lambda} \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(K)} \|F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(K)} \\ &\leq C \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})}. \end{aligned}$$

2. By Proposition 24, we have F_3 is continuously Fréchet differentiable on $B_{\bar{\delta}_0}$. Hence, there exists $\delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}; \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta) &= D_h F_3(0, 0, 0; 0) [(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})] + D_\delta F_3(0, 0, 0; 0) \delta + O(\|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})\|^2 + \delta^2) \\ &= D_\delta F_3(0, 0, 0; 0) \delta + O(\|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})\|^2 + \delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|r^4 H_\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})} &\leq C \|F_3(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})} \\ &\leq C (\|D_\delta F_3(0, 0, 0; 0)\| \delta + \|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})\|^2 + \delta^2) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \delta \right) \\ &\leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}^2 + \|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we prove Proposition 26.

Proof. We apply Theorem 6 with

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4}, \quad N = N_\sigma, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_\sigma, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{P} = [0, \delta_0[. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 86, the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. Therefore, we obtain the desired result. □

9.5 Solving for $(B_\chi^{(N)}, B_{\chi'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)})$

In this section, we solve the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_\chi^{(N)}(0, \chi) &= 0, \\
 B_\chi^{(N)}(s, 0) &= 0, \\
 \partial_s B_\chi^{(N)} &= 2\xi_N \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z)(s^2 + \chi^2), \\
 B_\chi^{(N)}(s, \chi) &= 0, \\
 B_{\chi'}^{(S)}(0, \chi') &= 0, \\
 B_{\chi'}^{(S)}(s', 0) &= 0, \\
 \partial_{s'} B_{\chi'}^{(S)} &= 2\xi_S \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(s', \chi')((s')^2 + (\chi')^2), \\
 B_{s'}^{(S)}(s', \chi') &= 0, \\
 B_z^{(A)}(0, z) &= 0, \\
 \partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) &= 2(1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2) \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) - (\partial_\rho \xi_N) B_z^{(N)} + (\partial_z \xi_N) B_\rho^{(N)} - (\partial_\rho \xi_S) B_z^{(S)} + (\partial_z \xi_S) B_\rho^{(S)}, \\
 B_\rho^{(A)} &= 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{9.53}$$

for $(B_\chi^{(N)}, B_{\chi'}^{(S)}, B_z^{(A)})$ in terms of the renormalised unknowns $((\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}))$ and δ .

By Proposition 22, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_N \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) &= 0, \\
 \xi_S \sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(W, X, \sigma)(\rho, z) &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$B_\chi^{(N)}(s, \chi) = 0, \quad B_\chi^{(N)}(0, \chi) = 0, \quad B_{\chi'}^{(S)}(s', \chi') = 0, \quad B_{\chi'}^{(S)}(0, \chi') = 0,$$

and

$$B_\rho^{(N)} = 0, \quad B_z^{(N)} = 0, \quad B_\rho^{(S)} = 0, \quad B_z^{(S)} = 0.$$

9.5.1 Linear problem

In order to solve for $B_z^{(A)}$, we start with solving the linear problem:

$$\partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) = (1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2) H_B^{(A)} \quad \text{for } (H_B^{(A)}, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{N}_B$$

with boundary condition

$$B_z^{(A)}(0, z) = 0.$$

We state the following result

Proposition 27. *Let $((0, 0, H_B^{(A)}) \in \mathcal{N}_B$. Then, there exists a unique solution $(0, 0, B_z^{(A)}) \in \mathcal{L}_B$ which solves the equation*

$$\partial_\rho B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) = (1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2) H_B^{(A)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_z^{(A)}(0, z) = 0.$$

It is given by

$$B_z^{(A)}(\rho, z) = \int_0^\rho (1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2)(\tilde{\rho}, z) H_B^{(A)}(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho}.$$

Moreover, there exists $C(\alpha_0) > 0$

$$\left\| \left(0, 0, B_z^{(A)} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_B} \leq C(\delta_0) \left\| \left(0, 0, H_B^{(A)} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{N}_B}.$$

Proof. 1. First of all, since

$$\left\| \frac{(1 + \rho^{15})(1 + r^{10})}{\rho^{15}} H_B^{(A)} \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1, \alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{B}_H})} < \infty,$$

$B_z^{(A)}$ is well-defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$.

2. As for the estimates, we will show that

$$\left((1 - \xi_N^2 - \xi_S^2) \frac{(1 + \rho^{10})(1 + r^{10})}{\rho^{10}} B_z^{(A)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in C^{1, \alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

First of all, we recall the following change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \rho \cos \vartheta \\ y &= \rho \sin \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\partial_x = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho, \quad \partial_y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho.$$

- if $(\rho, z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a neighbourhood of the axis. We assume that $\rho < 1$. Then

$$\frac{\rho^{15}}{1 + \rho^{15}} \leq \frac{\rho^{10}}{1 + \rho^{10}}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_z^{(A)}| &\leq C \int_0^\rho \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{10}}{1 + \tilde{\rho}^{10}} \frac{1}{1 + (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho} \\ &\leq C \frac{\rho^{10}}{1 + \rho^{10}} \int_0^\rho \frac{1}{1 + (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho} \\ &\leq C \frac{\rho^{10}}{(1 + \rho^{10})(1 + r^{10})} \int_0^\rho \frac{1 + (1 + \rho^2 + z^2)^5}{1 + (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

The integrand is bounded uniformly in z . Moreover, since $\rho \in [0, 1]$, we can bound the term $\int_0^\rho \frac{1 + (1 + \rho^2 + z^2)^5}{1 + (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho}$ uniformly in (ρ, z) .

- Away from the axis, say $(\rho, z) \in [\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ for some $\rho_0 > 1$. We have

$$1 \leq \frac{\rho^{10}}{(1 + \rho^{10})}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\rho \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{15}}{1 + \tilde{\rho}^{15}} \frac{1}{1 + (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho} &\leq C \int_0^\rho \frac{\tilde{\rho}^8}{1 + \tilde{\rho}^{15}} \frac{4\tilde{\rho}(1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^3}{(1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho} \\ &\leq Cr(\rho, z)^{-10} \leq C \frac{1}{1 + r^{10}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_z^{(A)}| &\leq C \int_0^\rho \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{15}}{1 + \tilde{\rho}^{15}} \frac{1}{1 + (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^5} d\tilde{\rho} \\ &\leq C \frac{\rho^{10}}{(1 + \rho^{10})(1 + r^{10})}. \end{aligned}$$

- Away from the axis, the L^∞ estimate and the Hölder estimate of the derivative are straightforward.
- Near the axis, we use the above change of coordinates in order to estimate the derivatives and the Hölder part.

□

9.5.2 Non-linear estimates

We apply Theorem 6 in order to obtain

Proposition 28. *Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ and let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$. Then, there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$ there exists a unique one parameter family $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}))$ depending on $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B)$ which solves (5.49) and (9.53) and which satisfies*

$$\left\| (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)})) (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right)$$

and $\forall (\overset{\circ}{X}_i, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_i, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_i, \delta_i) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)})) (\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1, \delta_1) - (\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)})) (\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2, \delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B} \\ &\leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left\| (\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} + \left\| (\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right) \left\| (\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1) - (\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \\ &+ |\delta_1 - \delta_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Before we prove the above proposition, we introduce the following notations

1. Define $H_B^{(A)}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ to be the mapping

$$H_B^{(A)}(\rho, z) = 2\sigma^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \delta)(\rho, z).$$

2. Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ be obtained by Proposition (26). Define the nonlinear operator N_B on $B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_B) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$ by

$$N_B \left((0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \right) (\rho, z) := \frac{2}{\rho} \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \right)^{-1} e^{2\lambda} F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta), \delta)(\rho, z)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ is the mapping obtained by Proposition 26.

Lemma 66. 1. $N_B \left(B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_B) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[) \right) \subset \mathcal{N}_B$.

2. There exist $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ and $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$

$$\|(0, 0, H_B^{(A)})\|_{\mathcal{N}_B} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right). \quad (9.54)$$

Proof. We use the same arguments used to prove Lemma 86: the compact support of $F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)$ and the differentiability of F_2 with respect to each variable.

In order to obtain (9.72), we write

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta) &= D_h F_3(0, 0, 0; 0)[(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))] + D_\delta F_3(0, 0, 0; 0)\delta \\ &\quad + O(\|\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)\|^2 + \delta^2) \\ &= D_\delta F_3(0, 0, 0; 0)\delta + O(\|\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)\|^2 + \delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 26, we have

$$\left\| \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right)$$

Hence,

$$\|F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta)\|_{\hat{C}^{1, \alpha_0}} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right).$$

The estimate (9.72) follows from the latter and the compact support of $F_2(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta)$. \square

Now, we prove Proposition 28.

Proof. 1. First of all, by Proposition 26, there exists a solution map $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ defined on B_{δ_0} which solves (5.49).

2. We apply Theorem 6 with $L = \partial_\rho$, $N = N_B$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_B$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{P} = [0, \delta_0[$. By the previous lemma, all the assumptions are satisfied and we obtain the desired result. \square

9.6 Solving for (X, Y)

9.6.1 Linear problem

The aim of this section is to solve the linear problem for $\left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} - \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} + 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} &= H_X, \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{Y} - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} - \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} &= H_Y. \end{aligned} \quad (9.55)$$

where (X_K, Y_K) are the renormalised quantities for the Kerr metric and where $(H_X, H_Y) \in \mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y$. More precisely, the remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of the following result

Proposition 29. *Let $(H_X, H_Y) \in \mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y$. Then there exists a unique solution $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \in \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y$ of (9.55). Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ independent of (H_X, H_Y) such that*

$$\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y} \leq C \|(H_X, H_Y)\|_{\mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y}$$

9.6.1.1 Existence of weak solutions to the linear non homogeneous problem

Let $(H_X, H_Y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and consider the system (9.55). Set

$$\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial \overset{\circ}{X} + X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K) \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2 + |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y} - X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K) \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 + X_K^{-2} |\overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K - \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K|^2 + 2 \overset{\circ}{X} H_X + 2 \overset{\circ}{Y} H_Y \right). \quad (9.56)$$

We state the following lemmas from [17]. We recall the proofs in order to be self-contained.

Lemma 67. • \mathcal{L} is well-defined on $\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{|\partial h|}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$.

- (9.55) are the Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} .

Before we prove the above result, we will need the following inequalities

Lemma 68. *Let $f(\rho, z) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial X_K|^2 f^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^2 |\partial f|^2$$

Proof. By Lemma 55, there exist $c, C > 0$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, we have

$$ce^h |\partial h| \leq |\partial X_K| \leq Ce^h |\partial h|.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2h} |\partial h|^2 f^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2h} |\partial f|^2$$

Recall that $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} h = 0$ and note that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(e^{2h} f^2 \partial h) = e^{2h} f^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} h + \nabla h \cdot \nabla(e^{2h} f^2) = \nabla h \cdot \nabla(e^{2h} f^2).$$

Now, let $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $Z > 0$ large, $R > 0$ large so that $f(\rho, z) = 0 \forall \rho \geq 0$, and consider the domain $U(R, \epsilon, h) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$U(R, \epsilon, Z) := \{(r, \phi, z) : \epsilon < r < R, 0 < \phi < 2\pi, |z| < Z\}.$$

We apply the divergence theorem to obtain

$$\int_{U(R, \epsilon, Z)} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(e^{2h} f^2 \partial h) \rho d\rho d\phi dz = -2\pi \int_{-Z}^Z (e^{2h} f^2 \partial_\rho h)(\epsilon, z) dz.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(e^{2h} f^2 \partial h) \rho d\rho d\phi dz = -2\pi \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{2h} f^2 \partial_\rho h)(\epsilon, z) dz.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho h(e^{2h})(\rho, z) &= \left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \gamma)^2} - (z - \gamma) \right) \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \gamma)^2}} \left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \gamma)^2} + (z + \gamma) \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \gamma)^2} - (z - \gamma) \right)^2 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \gamma)^2}} \left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + \gamma)^2} + (z + \gamma) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $\bar{f}(z, \gamma) > 0$ which is compactly supported in the z -variable such that $\rho \in [0, 1]$

$$\left| (e^{2h} f^2 \partial h)(\rho, z) \right| \leq \bar{f}(z, \gamma).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\rho h(e^{2h})(\epsilon, z) = 0.$$

Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla h \cdot \nabla (e^{2h} f^2) \rho d\rho d\phi dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^3} (e^{2h} f^2 \partial h) \rho d\rho d\phi dz = 0.$$

Now, we compute:

$$\nabla h \cdot \nabla (e^{2h} f^2) = 2e^{2h} (f^2 |\nabla h|^2 + f \nabla h \cdot \nabla f).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2h} |\partial h|^2 f^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2h} |f| |\partial f| |\partial h| \\ &\leq q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2h} |\partial f|^2 + \frac{1}{4q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2h} f^2 |\partial h|^2 \quad \forall q > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we choose q so that we obtain the desired inequality. \square

Lemma 69. *Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle r \rangle^{-2} f^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial f|^2.$$

where, $\langle r \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle r \rangle := (1 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 8 with $p = 2$ and δ satisfying:

$$-2\delta - 3 = -2 \quad \iff \quad \delta = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_r\|_{2, -\frac{3}{2}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\langle r \rangle^{-1(x,y,z)^t \cdot \partial f}|^2 \langle r \rangle^{3-3}, \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle r \rangle^{-2} f^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial f|^2. \quad \square$$

Now, we state the following lemma

Lemma 70. *There exists $C \geq 0$ such that if*

$$\mathring{X} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \mathring{Y} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} \mathring{Y}^2 < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial \mathring{X}|^2 + |\partial \mathring{Y}|^2 + |\partial h|^2 \mathring{Y}^2 \right) \leq C \left(\mathcal{L}(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\mathring{X} H_X| + |\mathring{Y} H_Y| \right) \right). \quad (9.57)$$

Proof. 1. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}) := \mathcal{L}(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\mathring{X} H_X| + |\mathring{Y} H_Y| \right). \quad (9.58)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial \mathring{Y} - X_K^{-1} \partial X_k \mathring{Y} \right|^2 &= \left| \partial \mathring{Y} - X_K^{-1} \partial Y_k \mathring{X} + X_K^{-1} \partial Y_k \mathring{X} - X_K^{-1} \partial X_k \mathring{Y} \right|^2, \\ &\leq \left| \partial \mathring{Y} - X_K^{-1} \partial Y_k \mathring{X} \right|^2 + X_K^{-2} \left| \partial Y_k \mathring{X} - \partial X_k \mathring{Y} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \partial \mathring{Y} - X_K^{-1} \partial X_k \mathring{Y} \right|^2 \leq C \mathcal{L}_0(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}).$$

Now, we define $\tilde{Y} : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{Y} := X_K^{-1} \mathring{Y}$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \mathring{Y} - X_K^{-1} \partial X_k \mathring{Y} &= \partial X_K \tilde{Y} + X_K \partial \tilde{Y} - X_K^{-1} \partial X_k \tilde{Y}, \\ &= X_K \partial \tilde{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| X_K \partial \tilde{Y} \right|^2 \leq C \mathcal{L}_0(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}).$$

By Lemma 68, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial X_K|^2 \tilde{Y}^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| X_K \partial \tilde{Y} \right|^2 \leq C \mathcal{L}_0(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial h|^2 \mathring{Y}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^2 |\partial h|^2 \tilde{Y}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial X_K|^2 \tilde{Y}^2 \leq C \mathcal{L}_0(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial Y_K|^2 X_K^{-2} \mathring{X}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathring{X} \partial Y_K|^2 X_K^{-2}, \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^{-2} |\mathring{X} \partial Y_K - \mathring{Y} \partial X_K + \mathring{Y} \partial X_K|^2, \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^{-2} |\mathring{X} \partial Y_K - \mathring{Y} \partial X_K|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{Y}^2 |\partial X_K|^2, \\ &\leq C \mathcal{L}_0(\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \dot{X}|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \dot{X} + X_K^{-1} \partial Y_K \dot{Y}|^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^2 \dot{Y}^2 |\partial Y_K|^2.$$

Now, we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^2 \dot{Y}^2 |\partial Y_K|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle r \rangle^{-2} \dot{Y}^2.$$

By Lemma 54,

$$\rho \frac{|\partial Y_K|}{X_K^2} \leq C \langle r \rangle^{-4} \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}.$$

This implies

$$\rho \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \leq C \left(\frac{X_K}{\rho} \right)^2 \langle r \rangle^{-8}.$$

Moreover,

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B} : \frac{X_K}{\rho} = \rho \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma^2 \Delta} = \rho^2 \frac{(r(\rho, z)^2 + a^2)^2 - a\rho^2}{(r(\rho, z)^2 + \frac{z^2}{(r(\rho, z) - M)^2})(r(\rho, z)^2 - 2Mr(\rho, z) + a^2)},$$

when $\langle r \rangle$ goes to ∞ ,

$$\left| \frac{X_K}{\rho} \right|^2 \leq C \langle r \rangle^2$$

Thus,

$$\frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \leq \langle r \rangle^{-6} \leq C \langle r \rangle^{-2}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 69, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^2 \dot{Y}^2 |\partial Y_K|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle r \rangle^{-2} \dot{Y}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \dot{Y}|^2 \leq C \mathcal{L}_0(\dot{X}, \dot{Y}).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Now, we prove Lemma 67

Proof. 1. Let $(\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) \in \dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{|\partial h|}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$. We show that

$$X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K) \dot{Y}, X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K) \dot{X} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

By Lemma 54, we have

$$\frac{|\partial Y_K|}{X_K} \leq C r^{-4} \frac{X_K}{\rho} \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$$

and

$$|\underline{\partial} Y_K| \leq C s^3 \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \quad \text{on } |\underline{\partial} Y_K| \leq C (s')^3 \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}.$$

Moreover, by Proposition 4, we have

$$X_K(\rho, z) = s^2 X_N(s^2, \chi^2) \quad X_N(0, \chi), X_N(s, 0) > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K^{-2} |\partial Y_K|^2 \dot{Y}^2 &= 2\pi \int_{\mathcal{B}} X_K^{-2} |\partial Y_K|^2 \dot{Y}^2 \rho dz \\
&= 2\pi \int_{\mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{B}_H} (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) X_K^{-2} |\partial Y_K|^2 \dot{Y}^2 \rho dz \\
&\quad + 2\pi \int_{\mathcal{B}_N} \xi_N \frac{1}{s^4 X_N^2} |\partial Y_K|^2 \dot{Y}^2 s \chi ds d\chi \\
&\quad + 2\pi \int_{\mathcal{B}_S} \xi_S \frac{1}{(s')^4 X_S^2} |\partial Y_K|^2 \dot{Y}^2 s' \chi' ds' d\chi'.
\end{aligned}$$

By the decay estimates for Y_K , the above integrals are all finite. Therefore,

$$X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K) \dot{Y}, X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K) \dot{X} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and $\mathcal{L}(\dot{X}, \dot{Y})$ is finite.

2. Let $(\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) \in \dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{dh}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$ be minimiser for \mathcal{L} , let $(\phi, \psi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and consider the real-valued function

$$L(\tau) := \mathcal{L}((\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) + \tau(\phi, \psi)).$$

L has a minimum at $\tau = 0$. Therefore,

$$L'(0) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=0} \mathcal{L}((\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) + \tau(\phi, \psi)) = 0.$$

Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{d}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=0} \mathcal{L}((\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) + \tau(\phi, \psi)) &= \left. \frac{d}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial(\dot{X} + t\phi) + X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K)(\dot{Y} + t\psi)|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + |\partial\dot{Y} - X_K^{-1}(\partial Y_K)(\dot{X} + t\phi)|^2 + X_K^{-2} |(\dot{X} + t\phi)\partial Y_K - (\dot{Y} + t\psi)\partial X_K|^2 \\
&\quad \left. + 2(\dot{X} + t\phi)H_X + 2(\dot{Y} + t\psi)H_Y \right) \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial\dot{X} \cdot \partial\phi - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial\dot{Y}}{X_K} \phi + \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \dot{X} \phi - 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \dot{Y} \phi + H_X \phi \\
&\quad + \partial\dot{Y} \cdot \partial\psi + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial\dot{X}}{X_K} \psi + \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \dot{Y} \psi + H_Y \psi
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we applied the dominated convergence theorem in order to invert the derivative and the integral. Therefore, for $(\phi, 0)$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial\dot{X} \cdot \partial\phi - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial\dot{Y}}{X_K} \phi + \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \dot{X} \phi - 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \dot{Y} \phi + H_X \phi = 0$$

and for $(0, \psi)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} \cdot \partial \psi + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} \psi + \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \psi + H_Y \psi = 0.$$

Finally, we integrate by part

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} \phi - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} \phi + \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} \phi - 2\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \phi + H_X \phi = 0,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{Y} \psi + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} \psi + \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \psi + H_Y \psi = 0.$$

The latter holds for all $(\phi, \psi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, (9.55) are the Euler-Lagrange equations associated to \mathcal{L} . □

We apply classical variational methods in order to prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to (9.55). More precisely, we state

Lemma 71. *Let $H_X, H_Y \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, the system (9.55) has a unique weak solution $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \in \dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap L_{\partial h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$ i.e. $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap L_{\partial h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \nabla \phi_1 - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} \phi_1 + \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} \phi_1 - 2\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \phi_1 + H_X \phi_1 \\ & + \nabla \overset{\circ}{Y} \cdot \nabla \phi_2 + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} \phi_2 + \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \phi_2 + H_Y \phi_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9.59)$$

Finally, the solution is uniquely determined in the class $\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap L_{\partial h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$.

Before we prove the above lemma, we state the following result on the properties of \mathcal{L}

Lemma 72. 1. *There exist $C, \tilde{C} > 0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \in \mathcal{U} := \dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \left(\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap L_{\partial h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right)$*

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \geq C \left(\left\| \partial \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left\| \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L_{\partial h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) - \tilde{C}$$

2. \mathcal{L} is weakly lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{U} .

Proof. 1. By Lemma 70, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y}^2 < \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 + |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2 + |\partial h|^2 \overset{\circ}{Y}^2 \right) \leq C \left(\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\overset{\circ}{X} H_X| + |\overset{\circ}{Y} H_Y| \right) \right).$$

Thus, $\forall q > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial \dot{X}|^2 + |\partial \dot{Y}|^2 + |\partial h|^2 \dot{Y}^2 \right) &\leq C \mathcal{L}(\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) + 2Cq \|\dot{X}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{C}{2q} \|H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2Cq \|\dot{Y}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{C}{2q} \|H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial \dot{X}|^2 + |\partial \dot{Y}|^2 + |\partial h|^2 \dot{Y}^2 \right) &\leq C \mathcal{L}(\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) + 2Cq \|\partial \dot{X}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{C}{2q} \|H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2Cq \|\partial \dot{Y}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{C}{2q} \|H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we choose $q > 0$ so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\partial \dot{X}|^2 + |\partial \dot{Y}|^2 + |\partial h|^2 \dot{Y}^2 \right) \leq C \left(\mathcal{L}(\dot{X}, \dot{Y}) + \|H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right)$$

2. Let $(f_k, g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{U} which converges weakly in \mathcal{U} to (f, g) . We show that

$$\mathcal{L}(f, g) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(f_k, g_k).$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0(f_k, g_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial f_k|^2 + |\partial g_k|^2 + \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} (g_k^2 + 2f_k^2) + \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g_k^2 + 2g_k \partial f_k \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} - 2f_k \partial g_k \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} \\ &\quad - 2f_k g_k \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L}_0 is defined by (9.58). Since $(f_k, g_k) \rightharpoonup (f, g)$ in \mathcal{U} , there exists a subsequence of $(f_k, g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges strongly in $L^2(\overline{B}_R)$ for all $R > 0$. Now, by convexity of $x \rightarrow x^2$, we have

$$\int_{\overline{B}_R} |\partial f_k|^2 + |\partial g_k|^2 \geq 2 \int_{\overline{B}_R} \partial f \cdot (\partial f_k - \partial f) + 2 \int_{\overline{B}_R} \partial g \cdot (\partial g_k - \partial g) + \int_{\overline{B}_R} |\partial f^2 + |\partial g|^2.$$

Since $(\partial f_k, \partial g_k) \rightharpoonup (\partial f, \partial g)$ in $L^2(\overline{B}_R)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_{\overline{B}_R} \partial f \cdot (\partial f_k - \partial f) + 2 \int_{\overline{B}_R} \partial g \cdot (\partial g_k - \partial g) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{B}_R} |\partial f_k|^2 + |\partial g_k|^2 \geq \int_{\overline{B}_R} |\partial f^2 + |\partial g|^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} g_k^2 \geq \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} g_k (g_k - g) + \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2.$$

Since $(g_k)_k$ converges strongly in $L^2(\overline{B}_R)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} g (g_k - g) = 0.$$

We have,

$$\int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g_k^2 \geq \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g(g_k - g) + \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2.$$

Moreover, $\partial h g_k \rightarrow \partial h g$ in $L^2(\overline{B}_R)$ and $g \partial h \in L^2(\overline{B}_R)$. Hence,

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g_k^2 \geq \int_{\overline{B}_R} \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2.$$

The remaining terms are tackled in the same manner. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} l := \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_0(f_k, g_k) &\geq \int_{\overline{B}_R} |\partial f|^2 + |\partial g|^2 + \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} (g^2 + 2f^2) + \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2 + 2g \partial f \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} - 2f \partial g \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} \\ &\quad - 2fg \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2}, \quad \forall R > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9.60}$$

Now, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\overline{B}_R} |\partial f|^2 + |\partial g|^2 + \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} (g^2 + 2f^2) + \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2 + 2g \partial f \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} - 2f \partial g \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} - 2fg \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x|, |x| \leq R\}} \left(|\partial f|^2 + |\partial g|^2 + \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} (g^2 + 2f^2) + \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2 + 2g \partial f \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} - 2f \partial g \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2fg \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By positivity of the integrand, we can apply the monotone convergence theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x|, |x| \leq R\}} \left(|\partial f|^2 + |\partial g|^2 + \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} (g^2 + 2f^2) + \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K^2} g^2 + 2g \partial f \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} - 2f \partial g \cdot \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2fg \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we take the limit of (9.60) when $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the desired result. \square

Now, we prove Lemma 71

Proof. We begin by setting

$$m := \inf_{(f, g) \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}(f, g). \tag{9.61}$$

1. First, we claim that m exists and it is finite. Indeed, the set

$$\{\mathcal{L}(f, g), (f, g) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

is not empty. Moreover, by Lemma 72, \mathcal{L} is bounded from below. Thus, m exists. The latter is finite by Lemma 67.

2. By the first point, there exists a minimising sequence $(f_k, g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(f_k, g_k) = m.$$

Therefore, $(\mathcal{L}(f_k, g_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Moreover, there exist $C, \tilde{C} > 0$ such that $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|(f_k, g_k)\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leq C\mathcal{L}(f_k, g_k) + \tilde{C}.$$

Hence, $(f_k, g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in \mathcal{U} and we can extract a subsequence that converges weakly in \mathcal{U} .

3. By Lemma 72, \mathcal{L} is weakly lower semi-continuous. Hence, after taking the limit, there exists a minimizer for the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and we obtain (9.59)

4. Finally, we show that the minimizer is unique. By the linearity of the problem (9.55), it suffices to show that if $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ solves the latter with $H_X = 0$ and $H_Y = 0$, then $\overset{\circ}{X} = 0$ and $\overset{\circ}{Y} = 0$.

If $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ solves weakly (9.55), then (9.59) holds with $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) = 0$.

By Lemma 70, we obtain

$$\|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})\|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0.$$

□

9.6.1.2 Linear estimates

We have obtained so far:

- $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ are smooth classical solutions in the region $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ are compactly supported.

Moreover, the equations for $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ have a singular behaviour on the the boundary because of the asymptotics for (X_K, Y_K) near the horizon and the axis:

- the equation for $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is singular only near p_N and p_S , provided $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ in the right space.
- the equation for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ is singular near p_N, p_S and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$.

In order to overcome this difficulty, we introduce a change of variable on every region, work in higher dimensions in order to obtain the required estimates for the new quantities on each region, then deduce the estimates for the original unknowns. Let $(H_X, H_Y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \in \mathcal{U}$ be the unique weak solution of (9.55). We define \tilde{Y} on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ to be the function

$$\tilde{Y} := X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}. \tag{9.62}$$

Lemma 73. \tilde{Y} is a weak solution of the equation

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{Y} + 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial \tilde{Y}}{X_K} - 2 \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \tilde{X}}{X_K^2} - 2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \tilde{Y} = H_Y X_K^{-1}. \tag{9.63}$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\circ}{\partial} \tilde{Y} &= \tilde{Y} \partial X_K + X_K \partial \tilde{Y}, \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{\tilde{Y}} &= \tilde{Y} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K + X_K \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{Y} + 2\partial X_K \cdot \partial \tilde{Y}.\end{aligned}$$

We plug the latter in the equation satisfied by $\overset{\circ}{\tilde{Y}}$ in order to obtain

$$\tilde{Y} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} X_K + X_K \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{Y} + 2\partial X_K \cdot \partial \tilde{Y} - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} - \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K} \tilde{Y} - \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K} \tilde{Y} = H_Y.$$

Now, recall that the pair (X_K, Y_K) satisfies (5.54). Therefore, on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, z), z \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, we have

$$\frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K} \tilde{Y} - \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K} \tilde{Y} + 2\partial X_K \cdot \partial \tilde{Y} - 2\frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} - \frac{|\partial X_K|^2}{X_K} \tilde{Y} + X_K \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{Y} - \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K} \tilde{Y} = H_Y.$$

Hence, \tilde{Y} satisfies (9.63). \square

Lemma 74. 1. there exists a smooth vector field \tilde{e}_A defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$\frac{\partial X_K}{X_K} = \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho + \tilde{e}_A,$$

2. there exist a smooth vector field \tilde{d}_A and a smooth function \bar{d}_A defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$ such that $\forall (\rho, z) \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$\frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K^2} = \tilde{d}_A + \frac{\bar{d}_A}{\rho} \partial_\rho,$$

3. the function $\frac{|\partial Y_K|}{X_K}$ extends smoothly to $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 54, 55 and 56. \square

Therefore, \tilde{Y} satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{Y} + \frac{4}{\rho} \partial_\rho \tilde{Y} + \tilde{e}_A \cdot \partial \tilde{Y} - 2\frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \tilde{Y} - 2\tilde{d}_A \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X} - \frac{2\bar{d}_A}{\rho} \partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{X} = H_Y X_K^{-1} \quad (9.64)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 92, we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R} \quad \left. \frac{\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\cdot, \cdot, z)}{\rho} \right|_{(0,0)} = \left. \partial_x^2 \overset{\circ}{X}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\cdot, \cdot, z) \right|_{\{(x,y)=(0,0)\}}.$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^7$, let $(\rho, \vartheta, \tilde{\vartheta}, z) \in [0, \infty[\times (0, \pi)^4 \times (0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{R}$ be the coordinates defined in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \rho \cos \vartheta_1 \\ x_2 &= \rho \sin \vartheta_1 \cos \vartheta_2 \\ x_3 &= \rho \sin \vartheta_1 \sin \vartheta_2 \cos \vartheta_3 \\ x_4 &= \rho \sin \vartheta_1 \sin \vartheta_2 \sin \vartheta_3 \cos \vartheta_4 \\ x_5 &= \rho \sin \vartheta_1 \sin \vartheta_2 \sin \vartheta_3 \sin \vartheta_4 \cos \tilde{\vartheta} \\ x_6 &= \rho \sin \vartheta_1 \sin \vartheta_2 \sin \vartheta_3 \sin \vartheta_4 \sin \tilde{\vartheta} \\ x_7 &= z.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, ρ is given by $\rho = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^6 x_i^2}$. Moreover, to any function $f : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ we associate an axisymmetric function $f_{\mathbb{R}^7} : \mathbb{R}^7 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$f_{\mathbb{R}^7}(x) := f(\rho, z). \quad (9.65)$$

In particular, we associate to \tilde{Y} a function $\tilde{Y}_{\mathbb{R}^7}$ defined on \mathbb{R}^7 . Now, note that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7} = \frac{4}{\rho} \partial_\rho + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{Y}_{\mathbb{R}^7}$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7} \tilde{Y}_{\mathbb{R}^7} + \tilde{e}_A \cdot \partial \tilde{Y} - 2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \tilde{Y}_{\mathbb{R}^7} - 2 \tilde{d}_A \cdot \partial \dot{X} - \frac{2 \tilde{d}_A}{\rho} \partial_\rho \dot{X} = H_Y X_K^{-1} \quad (9.66)$$

on \mathbb{R}^7 . Note that this identification with \mathbb{R}^7 only makes sense within the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$. In this case, we denote by \mathbb{R}_A^7 the set $(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}) \times \mathbb{S}^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ and we introduce the following norms

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} := \sum_{|i| \leq k} \left(\int \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}} |\partial^i f|^p \rho^5 d\rho dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C^0(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{0,\alpha;\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}}$$

and the semi norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} := \left(\int \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}} |\partial^k f|^p \rho^5 d\rho dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

of a function $f : \overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Now, we recall the coefficients behavior of the elliptic operator associated to (9.55) near p_N and p_S

Lemma 75. 1. there exists a smooth vector field \tilde{e}_N defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ such that $\forall (s, \chi) \in \mathcal{B}_N$:

$$\frac{\partial X_K}{X_K} = \frac{2}{s} \partial_s + \tilde{e}_N,$$

2. there exists a smooth vector field \tilde{e}_S defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ such that $\forall (s', \chi') \in \mathcal{B}_S$:

$$\frac{\partial X_K}{X_K} = \frac{2}{s'} \partial_{s'} + \tilde{e}_S,$$

3. the function $\frac{|\partial Y_K|}{X_K}$ extends smoothly to $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and to $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 54, 55 and 56. \square

Now, we consider the system (9.55) near p_N and p_S and we write the latter in terms of the coordinates (s, χ)

Lemma 76. $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ is a weak solution of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overset{\circ}{X} + 2 \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} - 2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} + 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} &= (s^2 + \chi^2) H_X \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^8} \tilde{Y} + \tilde{e}_N \cdot \partial \tilde{Y} - 2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \tilde{Y} - 2 \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \tilde{X}}{X_K^2} &= (s^2 + \chi^2) X_K^{-1} H_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (9.67)$$

on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, where $\underline{\partial}$ is the gradient with respect to (s, χ) coordinates and \tilde{e}_N is given by Lemma 75. The above equations hold on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ provided that we replace \tilde{e}_N by \tilde{e}_S and we use (s', χ') coordinates.

Proof. The computations are straightforward. \square

In order to prove Proposition 29, we will prove the following estimates:

1. First of all, we establish estimates for $\overset{\circ}{X}$ away from $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ using the first equation of (9.55).
2. Next, we establish estimates for $\overset{\circ}{X}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ using the first equation of (9.67).
3. We establish estimates for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ away from the region $\{(\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \rho = 0; z \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
4. In order to handle the estimates for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ near the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, we establish the estimates for \tilde{Y} near \mathcal{A} using equation (9.66). Then, we use the second equation of (9.67) so that we obtain estimates of \tilde{Y} on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$.
5. Finally, we deduce the estimates for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$.

Lemma 77. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{\nabla h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left(\left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. Since $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ is a weak solution, it minimises the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \leq \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \quad \forall (X, Y) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \leq \mathcal{L}(0, 0) = 0.$$

Now, by Lemma 70, there exists $C > 0$

$$\left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{\nabla h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C \left(\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) + 2 \left(\left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \right).$$

Therefore, by convexity of $x \rightarrow x^2$, we obtain the desired estimate. \square

Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{X} &= (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{X} + \xi_N\mathring{X} + \xi_S\mathring{X}, \\ \mathring{Y} &= (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)(1 - \xi_A)\mathring{Y} + (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A\mathring{Y} + \xi_N\mathring{Y} + \xi_S\mathring{Y}.\end{aligned}$$

We have

Lemma 78. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left(\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| H_X\mathring{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y\mathring{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. 1. First of all, we set $\mathring{X}_A := (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{X}$ and we claim that \mathring{X}_A satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathring{X}_A &= 2\partial\mathring{X} \cdot \partial(\xi_N + \xi_S) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S)\mathring{X} - 2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial\mathring{Y}}{X_K} + 2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{Y}\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \\ &\quad + 2\frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2}(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{X} + (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X.\end{aligned}\tag{9.68}$$

2. We will apply Theorem 11 with $p = 2$, $n = 3$ and f given by

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &:= 2\partial\mathring{X} \cdot \partial(\xi_N + \xi_S) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S)\mathring{X} - 2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial\mathring{Y}}{X_K} + 2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{Y}\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \\ &\quad + 2\frac{|Y_K|^2}{X_K^2}(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{X} + (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X\end{aligned}\tag{9.69}$$

We recall here the identification of f with $f_{\mathbb{R}^3}$. To this end, we show that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

- First of all, we have $\partial(\xi_N + \xi_S), \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S)$ are compactly supported in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ and they vanish near p_N and p_S . Denote by \overline{Q} the support of their identifications on \mathbb{R}^3 .
- $\mathring{X} \in \dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, $-2\partial\mathring{X} \cdot \partial(\xi_N + \xi_S) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- By Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S)\mathring{X}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S)\mathring{X}\|_{L^2(\overline{Q})} \\ &\leq C\|\mathring{X}\|_{L^2(\overline{Q})} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\mathring{X}\|_{L^2(\overline{Q})} \\ &\leq C\|\mathring{X}\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.\end{aligned}$$

- By Lemma 54 and Lemma 69, we have

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{Y}\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \mathring{Y} \right\|_{L_{\nabla h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

- Moreover, by Lemma 55 and Lemma 54, we have

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \dot{Y}}{X_K} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \dot{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1_{axi}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

- Since $H_X \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the term $(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Thus, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and we apply Theorem 11 to obtain

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \dot{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

3. Now, we use the latter estimates to obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left(\|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\dot{X}\|_{\dot{H}^1_{axi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \dot{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1_{axi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^2_{\nabla h}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right).$$

4. Finally, we use Lemma 77 to conclude. □

Lemma 79. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \xi_N \dot{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^4_N)} + \left\| \xi_S \dot{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^4_S)} \leq C \left(\|\xi_N(s^2 + \chi^2)H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4_N)} + \left\| H_X \dot{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

Proof. We proceed as in Lemma 78. We only mention the main steps.

1. First of all, we multiply the first equation of (9.67) by ξ_N and we express $\underline{\Delta}_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\xi_N \dot{X})$ in terms of the remaining quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\xi_N \dot{X}) &= \underline{\Delta}_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\xi_N) \dot{X} + 2\partial(\xi_N + \xi_S) \cdot \partial \dot{X} - 2\xi_N \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \dot{Y}}{X_K} + 2\xi_N \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \dot{X} \\ &\quad - 2\xi_N \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \dot{Y} + \xi_N(s^2 + \chi^2)H_X \end{aligned}$$

2. We apply Theorem 11 with $n = 4$, $p = 2$ and f given by the right hand side of the above equation. To this end, we show that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4_N)$. We give details for the term $\xi_N \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \dot{X}$.

The other terms follow in the same manner.

We have

$$\left\| \xi_N \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \dot{X} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4_N)}^2 = (2\pi)^2 \int \int_{\mathcal{B}_N} \xi_N^2 \left(\frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \dot{X} \right)^2 s \chi d\xi d\chi.$$

By Lemma 4, Lemma 54, we have

$$\forall (s, \chi) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_N} : \frac{|\partial Y_K|}{X_K} \leq C s X_N^{-1}(s^2, \chi^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \xi_N \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_N^4)}^2 &\leq 2\pi C \int \int_{\mathcal{B}_N} \xi_N^2 \overset{\circ}{X}^2 s_\chi d\xi d\chi \\ &\leq 2\pi C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty \xi_N^2 \overset{\circ}{X}^2 \rho d\rho dz \\ &\leq C \left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Poincaré inequality to obtain the latter estimate.

3. We use Lemma 77 to conclude. □

Lemma 80. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)(1 - \xi_A) \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left(\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)(1 - \xi_A) H_Y \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous lemmas. Here we apply Calderon-Zygmund theory to the second equation of (9.55). □

Lemma 81. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \xi_A \tilde{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} \leq C \left(\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \xi_A H_Y X_K^{-1} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} + \left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. Although the proof is similar to the previous lemmas, we give details leading to L^2 estimates of the different terms.

1. We multiply (9.66) by $\tilde{\xi} := (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \xi_A$ and we write $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7}(\tilde{\xi} \tilde{Y})$ in terms of the remaining quantities:

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7}(\tilde{\xi} \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{\xi} H_Y X_K^{-1} - (\tilde{\xi} \tilde{e}_A - 2\partial \tilde{\xi}) \cdot \tilde{Y} + \left(2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \tilde{\xi} + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7} \tilde{\xi} \right) \tilde{Y}.$$

2. In order to apply Calderon-Zygmund theory, we need to show that the function

$$g = \tilde{\xi} H_Y X_K^{-1} - (\tilde{\xi} \tilde{e}_A - 2\partial \tilde{\xi}) \cdot \partial \tilde{Y} + \left(2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \tilde{\xi} + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7} \tilde{\xi} \right) \tilde{Y} + 2\tilde{\xi} \tilde{d}_A \partial \overset{\circ}{X} + 2\tilde{d}_A \tilde{\xi} \frac{\partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{\rho}$$

lies in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)$.

- Denote by \mathcal{S} the support of $\tilde{\xi}$ and recall from Lemma 56 the decay estimate for \tilde{e}_A :

$$|\tilde{e}_A| = O_{r \rightarrow +\infty}(\langle r \rangle^{-2}).$$

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{\xi} H_Y X_K^{-1} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)}^2 &= (2\pi)^5 \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\xi}^2 X_K^{-2} H_Y^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz \\ &= (2\pi)^5 \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\xi}^2 X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{-2}(\rho^2, z) H_Y \rho d\rho dz \end{aligned}$$

where $X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is given by Definition 4. Moreover, $X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ are bounded on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{\xi} H_Y X_K^{-1} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)}^2 &\leq 2\pi C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\xi}^2 H_Y^2 \rho d\rho dz \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\xi}^2 H_Y^2 \rho d\rho dz \\ &\leq C \left\| \tilde{\xi} H_Y \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\tilde{\xi} \tilde{e}_A - 2\partial\tilde{\xi} \right) \cdot \partial\tilde{Y} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)}^2 &= (2\pi)^5 \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left(\left(\tilde{\xi} \tilde{e}_A - 2\partial\tilde{\xi} \right) \cdot \partial\tilde{Y} \right)^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz \\ &\leq (2\pi)C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial\tilde{Y} \right|^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} : \tilde{Y} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{-1}(\rho^2, z) \mathring{Y}.$$

Hence,

$$\partial\tilde{Y} = -\frac{1}{\rho^3} X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{-1}(\rho^2, z) \mathring{Y} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\partial X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{-1}(\rho^2, z) \mathring{Y} + X_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{-1}(\rho^2, z) \partial\mathring{Y} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in \tilde{A} : \left| \partial\tilde{Y} \right|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{\mathring{Y}^{\circ 2}}{\rho^6} + \frac{\left| \partial\mathring{Y} \right|^2}{\rho^4} \right)$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\tilde{\xi} \tilde{e}_A - 2\partial\tilde{\xi} \right) \cdot \partial\tilde{Y} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)}^2 \leq (2\pi)C \left(\int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\mathring{Y}^{\circ 2}}{\rho^2} \rho d\rho dz + \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial\mathring{Y} \right|^2 \rho d\rho dz \right).$$

By Lemma 56,

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} : \frac{1}{\rho^2} \leq |\partial h|^2.$$

This yields

$$\int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\mathring{Y}^{\circ 2}}{\rho^2} \rho d\rho dz \leq \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} |\partial h| \mathring{Y}^{\circ 2} \rho d\rho dz \leq \left\| \mathring{Y} \right\|_{L_{\nabla h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

and the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\tilde{\xi} \tilde{e}_A - 2\partial\tilde{\xi} \right) \cdot \partial\tilde{Y} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} &\leq C \left\| \mathring{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{azi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{\nabla h}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| H_X \mathring{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \mathring{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- In order to estimate $\bar{d}_A \tilde{\xi} \frac{\partial \dot{X}}{\rho}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \bar{d}_A \tilde{\xi} \frac{\partial \dot{X}}{\rho} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)}^2 &\leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\xi}^2 (\partial_\rho \dot{X})^2 \rho d\rho dz \\ &\leq C \left\| \dot{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}_{axi}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- The other terms follow in the same way.

□

Lemma 82. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \xi_N \tilde{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_N^8)} &\leq C \left(\|(s^2 + \chi^2) H_Y\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \left\| H_X \dot{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ \left\| \xi_S \tilde{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_S^8)} &\leq C \left(\|((s')^2 + (\chi')^2) H_Y\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} + \left\| H_X \dot{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous lemmas. □

Now, we derive the estimates for \dot{Y} .

Lemma 83. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \xi_A \dot{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \left(\|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \xi_A H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| H_X \dot{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ \left\| \xi_N \dot{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_N^4)} &\leq C \left(\|(s^2 + \chi^2) H_Y\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \left\| H_X \dot{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ \left\| \xi_S \dot{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_S^4)} &\leq C \left(\|((s')^2 + (\chi')^2) H_Y\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} + \left\| H_X \dot{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \dot{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. First of all, we claim that $\forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} f(\rho, z) = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f^2 \rho^3 d\rho dz &\leq C \int_0^\infty (\partial_\rho f)^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz \\ \int_0^\infty f^2 \rho d\rho dz &\leq C \int_0^\infty (\partial_\rho f)^2 \rho^3 d\rho dz \end{aligned} \tag{9.70}$$

Indeed, we apply the second estimate of Theorem 8 to the function $\rho \rightarrow f(\rho, z)$ with $n = 1$, $p = 2$, $\delta = -1$ in order to obtain the second inequality and $\delta = -2$ in order to obtain the first inequality.

2. We estimate the term $\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. The other terms follow using similar arguments. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &= 2\pi \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial^2(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho d\rho dz \\
&= 2\pi \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial^2(X_K \tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho d\rho dz \\
&\leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left(X_K^2 \left| \partial^2(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 + (\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y})^2 \left| \partial^2 X_K \right|^2 + \left| \partial X_K \right|^2 \left| \partial(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \right) \rho d\rho dz \\
&\leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left(\rho^4 \left| \partial^2(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 + (\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y})^2 + \rho^2 \left| \partial(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \right) \rho d\rho dz \\
&\leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial^2(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz + \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho^3 d\rho dz + \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} (\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y})^2 \rho d\rho dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use (9.70) to obtain

$$\int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho^3 d\rho dz \leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial^2(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} (\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y})^2 \rho d\rho dz &\leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho^3 d\rho dz \\
&\leq C \int \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \partial^2(\tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \right|^2 \rho^5 d\rho dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \tilde{\xi} \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &\leq C \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A \tilde{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} \\
&\leq C \left(\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A H_Y X_K^{-1} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} + \left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Lemma 81 to obtain the latter estimate. Finally, by similar arguments, we show that

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A H_Y \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} \leq C \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A H_Y \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

This ends the proof. \square

Lemma 84. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \left\| \tilde{Y} \right\|_{\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \\
&\leq C \left(\left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \right. \\
&\left. \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)(1 - \xi_A)H_Y \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A X_K^{-1} H_Y \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} + \right. \\
&\left. \left\| (s^2 + \chi^2)\xi_N X_K^{-1} H_Y \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_N^8)} + \left\| ((s')^2 + (\chi')^2)\xi_S X_K^{-1} H_Y \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_S^8)} + \right. \\
&\left. \left\| (s^2 + \chi^2)\xi_N H_X \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_N^4)} + \left\| ((s')^2 + (\chi')^2)\xi_S H_X \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_S^4)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will detail the $\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ estimates for $\overset{\circ}{X}$. $\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ estimates for $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ and \tilde{Y} follow using similar argument.

To this end, we need to show that

$$\xi_N \overset{\circ}{X}, \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

1. By Lemma 78, $\overset{\circ}{X}_A = (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X}$, $\partial \overset{\circ}{X}_A \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

2. Now, we recall the continuous embedding

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^6(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (9.71)$$

3. Recall that $(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X}$ satisfies (9.68).

4. Now, we claim that $\partial^2 \overset{\circ}{X}_A \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. To prove the latter, we use equation (9.68) and we apply Theorem 11 with f given by (9.69), $p = 6$ and $n = 3$. We need to show that $f \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Recall that f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & 2\partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial(\xi_N + \xi_S) + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X} - 2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} + 2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{Y} \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \\ & + 2 \frac{|Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X} + (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) H_X \end{aligned}$$

- It is easy to see that the terms $\partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial(\xi_N + \xi_S)$, $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi_N + \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X}$ and $(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) H_X$ lie in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$
- By the decay estimates of $\frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K}$, we obtain

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\dot{W}_{azi}^{1,6}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{Y} \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} & \leq \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{Y} \frac{\partial X_K}{X_K} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \frac{\partial Y_K}{X_K} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq C \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{L_{\sqrt{h}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

- Moreover, by Theorem 8 with $n = 3$, $p = 6$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X} \frac{|Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} & \leq C \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X} \langle r \rangle^{-8} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq C \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{6, \frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left\| \partial \overset{\circ}{X}_A \right\|_{6, -\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = C \left\| \partial \overset{\circ}{X}_A \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

5. Finally, we use the Sobolev embedding

$$W^{2,6}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset C^{1,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

in order to obtain

$$\mathring{X}_A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathring{X}_A \right\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \mathring{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \mathring{Y} \right\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \mathring{Y} \right\|_{L^2_{\nabla h}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| H_X \mathring{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| H_Y \mathring{Y} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)(1 - \xi_A) H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) \xi_A X_K^{-1} H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_A) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_A)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

6. Using similar arguments and the previous lemmas, we estimate the C^1 norm of $\xi_N \mathring{X}$ and $\xi_S \mathring{X}$.

□

Finally, we prove Proposition 29

Proof. We prove that there exists $C = C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| (\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y} \leq C \|(H_X, H_Y)\|_{\mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y}.$$

Recall the norms:

$$\|\mathring{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X} = \|\mathring{X}\|_{\dot{W}_{axi}^{1,2}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|\mathring{X}\|_{\dot{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r \mathring{X}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^2 \hat{\partial} \mathring{X}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^3 \log^{-1}(4r) \hat{\partial}^2 \mathring{X}\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathring{Y}\|_{\mathcal{L}_Y} &= \|\mathring{Y}\|_{\dot{W}_{axi}^{1,2}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|\partial h \mathring{Y}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\mathring{Y}\|_{\dot{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|X_K^{-1} \mathring{Y}\|_{\dot{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^3 X_K^{-1} \mathring{Y}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \\ &\quad + \|r^4 \hat{\partial}(X_K^{-1} \mathring{Y})\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^5 \log^{-1}(4r) \hat{\partial}^2(X_K^{-1} \mathring{Y})\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|H_X\|_{\mathcal{N}_X} = \|r^3(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) H_X\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|(\chi^2 + s^2) \xi_N H_X\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \|((\chi')^2 + (s')^2) \xi_S H_Y\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_Y\|_{\mathcal{N}_Y} &= \|H_Y r^5 X_K^{-1}\|_{\dot{C}^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{(\mathcal{B}_H \cup \mathcal{B}_A)} \cap \{\rho \leq 1\})} + \|H_Y r^4\|_{\dot{C}^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \{\rho \geq 1\})} + \|(\chi^2 + s^2) \xi_N H_X\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \\ &\quad + \|((\chi')^2 + (s')^2) \xi_S H_Y\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} \end{aligned}$$

1. First of all, by we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) H_X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S) H_X\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ \|(s^2 + \chi^2) \xi_N H_X\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_N^4)} &\leq C \|(s^2 + \chi^2) \xi_N H_X\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \\ \|((s')^2 + (\chi')^2) \xi_S H_X\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_S^4)} &\leq C \|((s')^2 + (\chi')^2) \xi_S H_X\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} \end{aligned}$$

2. Similarly, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(s^2 + \chi^2)\xi_N X_K^{-1} H_Y\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_N^8)} &\leq C \|(s^2 + \chi^2)\xi_N X_K^{-1} H_Y\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \\ \|((s')^2 + (\chi')^2)\xi_S X_K^{-1} H_Y\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_S^8)} &\leq C \|((s')^2 + (\chi')^2)\xi_S X_K^{-1} H_Y\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} \end{aligned}$$

3. By similar arguments, we have

$$\|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)(1 - \xi_A)H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\xi_A X_K^{-1} H_Y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_A^7) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_A^7)} \leq C$$

4. We estimate the term $\left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left(\|H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \xi_N \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

• $\xi_N \overset{\circ}{X}$ and $\xi_S \overset{\circ}{X}$ are compactly supported on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ respectively. Hence,

$$\left\| \xi_N \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left(\left\| \xi_N \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right).$$

• By (9.71),

$$\left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

• Thus, $\forall q > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| H_X \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C \left(q^{-1} \|H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + q \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + q \left\| \xi_N \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + q \left\| \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(q^{-1} \|(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + q \left\| (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + q^{-1} \|\xi_N H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + q \left\| \xi_N \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + q^{-1} \|\xi_S H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + q \left\| \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

• $\xi_N H_X$ and $\xi_S H_X$ are compactly supported on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$ respectively. Hence,

$$\|\xi_N H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\xi_N H_X\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

and

$$\|\xi_S H_X\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\xi_S H_X\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

- Furthermore,

$$\left\| \xi_N \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left\| \xi_S \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})}$$

- We obtain similar estimates for $H_Y \overset{\circ}{Y}$.

5. We choose $q > 0$ so that by Lemma 84, we obtain

$$\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\dot{W}_{axi}^{1,2}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|\overset{\circ}{Y}\|_{\dot{W}_{axi}^{1,2}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\hat{C}^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C(\alpha_0) \|(H_X, H_Y)\|_{\mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y}.$$

6. Now, we estimate the C^{2,α_0} part of $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ in terms of $\|(H_X, H_Y)\|_{\mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y}$.

- First, since $(H_X, H_Y)_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ are compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^3 , $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ are compactly supported in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and we have

$$\text{supp}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})_{\mathbb{R}^3} \subset \text{supp}(H_{X\mathbb{R}^3}) \cap \text{supp}(H_{Y\mathbb{R}^3}).$$

Denote by $K \subset \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ the support of $\overset{\circ}{X}$ and $\overset{\circ}{Y}$ and assume for simplicity that $K = \overline{B}(x_0, 1)$ where $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Depending on the position of x_0 , K lies either in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$ or $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$. In the following, we establish the C^{2,α_0} estimates for $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ in the regions. To this end, we use Theorem 9.

First of all, note that $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \in \hat{C}^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$. Now, we have

- (a) if $K \subset \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$, the second order operator $(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) \rightarrow L(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ defined by

$$L(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} - \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} + 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overset{\circ}{Y}_{\mathbb{R}^7} + \tilde{e}_A \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} - 2 \frac{|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y}_{\mathbb{R}^7} - 2\tilde{d}_A \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X} - \frac{2\tilde{d}_A}{\rho} \partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{X}. \end{pmatrix}$$

is uniformly elliptic on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$. We apply Theorem 9 with $\Omega = \overset{\circ}{K}$, L and $f = (H_X, X_K^{-1} H_Y)$

- (b) The remaining estimates in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$ follow in a similar manner.

7. Now, we show that

$$\|r \overset{\circ}{X}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^2 \hat{\partial} \overset{\circ}{X}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} + \|r^3 \log^{-1}(4r) \hat{\partial}^2 \overset{\circ}{X}\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C \|(H_X, H_Y)\|_{\mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y}.$$

In order to obtain the latter estimate, we apply the newtonian estimates provided by Lemma 63 and Lemma 64 on each region $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$:

- (a) on the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_H$, with F given by

$$F_{\mathbb{R}^3} := H_X - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} + \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} - 2 \frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y}.$$

Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$|F(x)| \leq Cr^{-4}.$$

(b) In the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ with F given by

$$F_{\mathbb{R}^4} := (s^2 + \chi^2)H_X - 2\frac{\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \dot{Y}}{X_K} + 2\frac{|\partial Y_K|^2 \dot{X}}{X_K^2} - 2\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K \dot{Y}}{X_K^2}.$$

□

9.6.2 Non-linear estimates

We apply Theorem 6 in order to obtain

Proposition 30. *Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ and let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$. Then, there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$ there exists a unique one parameter family $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \right)$ depending on $\left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y)$ which solves (5.49), (9.53) and (5.51) and which satisfies*

$$\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right)$$

and $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}_i, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_i, \delta_i \right) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1, \delta_1 \right) - \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right) \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2, \delta_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y} \\ & \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left(\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_1, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} + \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{X}_2, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right) \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}_1, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1 \right) - \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}_2, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right. \\ & \left. + |\delta_1 - \delta_2| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Before we prove the above proposition, we introduce the following notations

1. Define H_X and H_Y on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ to be the mappings

$$H_X(\rho, z) = N_X^{(1)}(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) + N_X^{(2)}(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta),$$

$$H_Y(\rho, z) = N_Y^{(1)}(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) + N_Y^{(2)}(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta),$$

where

$$N_X^{(1)}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})(\rho, z) := \frac{X_K^2(|\partial \overset{\circ}{X}|^2 - |\partial \overset{\circ}{Y}|^2) + (\overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K - \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K) \cdot (2X_K \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} - \overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K + \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K)}{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})},$$

$$N_Y^{(1)}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})(\rho, z) := \frac{\partial \overset{\circ}{X} \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y} + 2X_K(\overset{\circ}{Y} \partial X_K - \overset{\circ}{X} \partial Y_K) \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K^2(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_X^{(2)}(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)(\rho, z) &:= (\rho^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma) \frac{\partial_\rho (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_z \sigma \frac{\partial_z (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K} \\
&\quad - \frac{2\partial_\rho (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) B_\rho}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} - \frac{2\partial_z (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) B_z}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} \\
&\quad - \frac{B_\rho^2 + B_z^2}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})} + X_K^{-1} F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(\rho, z), \\
N_Y^{(2)}(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)(\rho, z) &:= (\rho^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma) \partial_\rho (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) X_K^{-1} - \sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho \sigma B_\rho X_K^{-1} \\
&\quad - \sigma^{-1} \partial_z \sigma (\partial_z (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) + B_z) X_K^{-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{B_\rho \partial_\rho (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})) + B_z \partial_z (X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}))}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})},
\end{aligned}$$

2. Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ be obtained by Proposition (28). Define the nonlinear operator $N_{(X,Y)}$ on $B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty])$ by

$$N_{(X,Y)} \left(\left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right), (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \right) (\rho, z) := \begin{pmatrix} (N_X^{(1)} + N_X^{(2)})(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta), B(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta), (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \\ (N_Y^{(1)} + N_Y^{(2)})(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta), B(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta), (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ and B are the mappings obtained by Proposition 28.

Now, we state the following lemma

Lemma 85. 1. $N_{(X,Y)} \left(B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty]) \right) \subset \mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y$.

2. There exist $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ and $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty])$

$$\| (H_X, H_Y) \|_{\mathcal{N}_X \times \mathcal{N}_Y} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \left\| \overset{\circ}{Y} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_Y}^2 + \left\| (\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right). \quad (9.72)$$

Proof. 1. We use the same arguments used to prove Lemma 86 and Lemma 85: the compact support of $F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}; \delta)$ and the differentiability of F_1 with respect to each variable. In order to obtain (9.72), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta) &= D_h F_1(0, 0, 0; 0)[(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))] + D_\delta F_1(0, 0, 0; 0)\delta \\
&\quad + O(\|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))\|^2 + \delta^2) \\
&= D_\delta F_1(0, 0, 0; 0)\delta + O(\|(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))\|^2 + \delta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 26, we have

$$\left\| \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \left\| \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right).$$

Thus,

$$\|F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta)\|_{\dot{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right).$$

Now, we write

$$X_K^{-1}F_1 = (1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)X_K^{-1}F_1 + \xi_N X_K^{-1}F_1 + \xi_S X_K^{-1}F_1.$$

By Proposition 24, F_1 is compactly supported in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_K^{-1}F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta)\|_{\mathcal{N}_X} &= \|r^3(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)X_K^{-1}F_1\|_{C^{0,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C\|F_1(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta); \delta)\|_{\dot{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}})} \\ &\leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{X}\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\Theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right) \end{aligned}$$

2. We refer to the proof of Proposition 9.2.1 in [17] in order to estimate $N_X^{(1)}$, $N_Y^{(1)}$, $N_Y^{(2)}$ and the remaining terms of $N_X^{(2)}$. □

Now, we prove Proposition 28.

Proof. 1. First of all, by Proposition 26 and Proposition 28, there exists a solution map $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B)(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ defined on B_{δ_0} which solves (5.49) and (5.50).

2. We apply Theorem 6 with L defined by

$$L(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}) := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{X} + \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{Y}}{X_K} - \frac{2|\partial Y_K|^2}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{X} + 2\frac{\partial X_K \cdot \partial Y_K}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{Y} - \frac{2\partial Y_K \cdot \partial \overset{\circ}{X}}{X_K} - \frac{(|\partial X_K|^2 + |\partial Y_K|^2)}{X_K^2} \overset{\circ}{Y} \end{pmatrix},$$

$N = N_{(X,Y)}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{P} = [0, \delta_0]$. By the previous lemma, all the assumptions are satisfied and we obtain the desired result. □

9.7 Solving for Θ

We recall that $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= -\frac{\sigma}{X^2}(\partial_z Y + B_z) + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K, \\ \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= \frac{\sigma}{X^2}(\partial_\rho Y + B_\rho) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_\rho Y_K. \end{aligned}$$

9.7.1 Linear problem

We prove the following result

Proposition 31. *Let $H_\Theta \in \mathcal{N}_\Theta$. Then, there exists $\overset{\circ}{\Theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\Theta$ which solves the equation*

$$d\overset{\circ}{\Theta} = H_\Theta. \quad (9.73)$$

It is given by

$$\overset{\circ}{\Theta}(\rho, z) := - \int_\rho^\infty (H_\Theta)_\rho(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho}. \quad (9.74)$$

Moreover, there exists $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\overset{\circ}{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \|H_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma}.$$

Recall that \mathcal{N}_Θ is the completion of smooth compactly supported closed 1-forms under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_\Theta} &:= \|r^3(1 + \rho^{-1})F_\rho\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_H \cup \mathcal{B}_A})} + \|r^3F_z\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_H \cup \mathcal{B}_A})} + \|s^{-1}F_s\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \\ &\quad + \|F_\chi\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} + \|(s')^{-1}F_{s'}\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})} + \|F_{\chi'}\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_S})}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. First of all, we show that $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ given by (9.74) is well-defined .

When $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(H_\Theta)_\rho = O_{\rho \rightarrow \infty}(\rho^{-3}).$$

Therefore, $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ is well defined.

2. Now, we show that $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ solves (9.73).

Since H_θ is closed, we have

$$d(H_\rho d\rho + H_z dz) = dH_\Theta = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_z (H_\Theta)_\rho = \partial_\rho (H_\Theta)_z.$$

Now, we compute

$$\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\Theta} = (H_\Theta)_\rho(\rho, z).$$

We apply the dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\Theta} &= - \int_\rho^\infty \partial_z (H_\Theta)_\rho(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho} \\ &= - \int_\rho^\infty \partial_\rho (H_\Theta)_z(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho} \\ &= (H_\Theta)_z. \end{aligned}$$

3. Finally, we prove that $\overset{\circ}{\Theta}$ lies in \mathcal{L}_Θ , that is

$$\left((1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \in C^{2,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \left(\xi_N r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right)_{\mathbb{R}^4} \in C^{2,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^4) \text{ and } \left(\xi_N r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right)_{\mathbb{R}^4} \in C^{2,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^4).$$

To this end, we prove the estimates on the different region: away from the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, near the axis, the horizon and near the poles.

First of all, recall the following change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \rho \cos \vartheta \\ y &= \rho \sin \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\partial_x = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho, \quad \partial_y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho.$$

(a) We control the L^∞ norm of $r^2 \mathring{\Theta}$:

i. Away from the region $\partial \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, say $[\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in [\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \left| \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right| \leq Cr^{-2}$$

Indeed,

- if $(\rho, z) \in [\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \cap B((0, 0), 1)$, then the estimates are straightforward.
- Otherwise, we have $\rho^2 + z^2 > 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right| &\leq C \int_\rho^\infty r^{-3}(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho} \\ &\leq C \int_\rho^\infty \frac{1}{(\tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tilde{\rho} \\ &= C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{((\tau + \rho)^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &= C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\rho^2 + z^2 + 2\rho\tau + \tau^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq C(\rho^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &= C(\rho^2 + z^2) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + u^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \\ &\leq Cr^{-2}(\rho, z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the estimate for $r^2 \mathring{\Theta}$.

ii. In $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$, a neighbourhood of the axis or in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, a neighbourhood of the horizon, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right| &= \left| - \int_\rho^\infty (H_\Theta)_\rho(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho} \right| \\ &\leq C \int_\rho^\infty \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{1 + \tilde{\rho}} r^{-3}(\tilde{\rho}, z) d\tilde{\rho} \\ &\leq C \int_\rho^\infty 2\tilde{\rho} (1 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tilde{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

iii. In $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we write (9.73) in the (s, χ) coordinate system. We have

$$\partial_s \mathring{\Theta} = (H_\Theta)_s \quad ; \quad \partial_\chi \mathring{\Theta} = (H_\Theta)_\chi.$$

and

$$\mathring{\Theta}(s, \chi) = \int_0^s (H_\Theta)_s(\tilde{s}, \chi) d\tilde{s}.$$

We have

$$\left| (r^2 \xi_N \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^4} \right| \leq C \int_0^s \tilde{s} d\tilde{s} \leq C.$$

Here, we used the estimate of $s^{-1}(H_\Theta)_s$ in the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$.

(b) We control the L^∞ norm of $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^3}(r^2(1 - \xi_N - \xi_S)\mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3}$, $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^4}(r^2 \xi_N \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ and $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^4}(r^2 \xi_S \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^4}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3} &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho \left(r^2(\rho, z) \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right), \\ \partial_y (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3} &= \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho \left(r^2(\rho, z) \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right), \\ \partial_z (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3} &= \partial_z \left(r^2(\rho, z) \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

- i. Away from the boundary $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, the estimates are straightforward since $H_\Theta \in \mathcal{N}_\Theta$.
- ii. In $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3} &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho \left(r^2(\rho, z) \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) \right) \\ &= x \mathring{\Theta}(\rho, z) + r^2(\rho, z) \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho \mathring{\Theta}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is easily bounded by r^{-2} thanks to (a). As for the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r^2(\rho, z) \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho \mathring{\Theta} \right| &= r^2(\rho, z) \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} |(H_\Theta)_\rho| \\ &\leq C r^{-1} \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

We control $\partial_y (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ in a similar way. As for $\partial_z (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^3}$, it is straightforward.

iii. In $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we have

$$\partial_s (r^2 \mathring{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \partial_s r^2 \mathring{\Theta}(s, \chi) + r^2 (H_\Theta)_s$$

The previous point and the estimate for H_Θ yield the result.

(c) Now, we control the L^∞ norm of $\left(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^3}^2 r^2 \mathring{\Theta} \right)$.

- i. Away from the boundary $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, the estimates are straightforward since $H_\Theta \in \mathcal{N}_\Theta$.

ii. In $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$\partial_{xx} \left(r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right)_{\mathbb{R}^3} = \overset{\circ}{\Theta} + \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\Theta} + x \partial_x \left(\frac{r^2}{\rho} (H_\Theta)_\rho \right) + \frac{r^2}{\rho} (H_\Theta)_\rho.$$

The above terms are controlled using the previous estimates and the L^∞ estimate of $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(r^3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) H_\Theta \right)$.

iii. In $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we have

$$\partial_{ss} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta})_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \partial_{ss} r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta}(s, \chi) + r^2 \partial_s (H_\Theta) + 2 \partial_s r^2 (H_\Theta)_s.$$

The estimate is straightforward.

(d) Finally, we control

$$\sup_{X_1 \neq X_2} \frac{\left\| \left(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^3}^2 r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) (X_1) - \left(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^3}^2 r^2 \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) (X_2) \right\|}{|X_1 - X_2|^{\alpha_0}} \leq C r^{-2}.$$

The estimates are straightforward thanks to the control of H_Θ and the regularity of the different terms. □

9.7.2 Non-linear estimates

We apply Theorem 6 in order to obtain

Proposition 32. *Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ and let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$. Then, there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \in B_{\delta_0} (\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$ there exists a unique one parameter family $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \in B_{\delta_0} (\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta)$ which solves (5.49), (9.53), (5.51) and (5.52) and which satisfies*

$$\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right)$$

and $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_i, \delta_i \right) \in B_{\delta_0} (\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1, \delta_1 \right) - \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y} \right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2, \delta_2 \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta} \\ & \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left(\left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} + \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right) \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_1 - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_2 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} + |\delta_1 - \delta_2| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Before we prove the above proposition, we introduce the following notations

1. Define H_Θ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ to be the one form which expression in the (ρ, z) coordinates is

$$(H_\Theta)_\rho = -\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} (\partial_z (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) + B_z) + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K,$$

$$(H_\Theta)_z = \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} (\partial_\rho (Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}) + B_\rho) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_\rho Y_K.$$

2. Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ be obtained by Proposition 30. Define the nonlinear operator N_Θ on $B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \right]_\rho (\rho, z) &:= -\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))^2} (\partial_z(Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)) + B_z(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)) + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K \\ \left[N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \right]_z (\rho, z) &:= \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta))^2} (\partial_\rho(Y_K + X_K \overset{\circ}{Y}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)) + B_\rho(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)) - \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_\rho Y_K \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$\left[N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \right] = \left[N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \right]_\rho d\rho + \left[N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \right]_z dz,$$

where $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})$ are the solution mappings obtained by Proposition 30.

In order to prove the above proposition, we will need the following lemma

Lemma 86. 1. *There exists $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ such that $N_\Theta \left(B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[) \right) \subset \mathcal{N}_\Theta$.*

2. *There exist $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ and $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that $\forall \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) \in B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$*

$$\|H_\Theta\|_{\mathcal{N}_\sigma} \leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left\| \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\Theta}^2 + \|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right).$$

Proof. 1. Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ be obtained by Proposition 30 and let $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \in (B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\Theta) \times B_{\bar{\delta}_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[)$.

First of all, we show that $N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right)$ is a differentiable closed one-form on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.

- For the differentiability, the only terms that we need to analyse are

$$\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K \quad , \quad \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_\rho Y_K \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho}{X_K^2}.$$

The differentiability of the remaining terms as well as the differentiability away from the $\partial\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ follow because $(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y})(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ is differentiable.

- Now, we check that $N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right)$ is closed.

– $(\overset{\circ}{Y}, B)(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ solve (5.51) and (5.50). We set

$$\theta := dY + B \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_K := dY_K.$$

– Therefore,

$$N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right) = -\frac{\sigma}{X^2} (\theta_z d\rho - \theta_\rho dz) + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} (\theta_z^K - \theta_\rho^K dz).$$

– Moreover, θ verifies

$$\sigma^{-1} \partial_\rho (\sigma \theta_\rho) + \sigma^{-1} \partial_z (\sigma \theta_z) = \frac{2\theta_\rho \partial_\rho X + 2\theta_z \partial_z X}{X}$$

- Straightforward computations and the used of the above equation imply that the one forms $\frac{\sigma}{X^2}(\theta_z d\rho - \theta_\rho dz)$ and $\frac{\rho}{X_K^2}(\theta_z^K - \theta_\rho^K dz)$ are closed.
 - Hence, $N_\Theta \left(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta \right)$ is closed.
2. Let $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta) \in (B_{\delta_0}^-(\mathcal{L}_\Theta) \times B_{\delta_0}^-(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \times [0, \infty[))$. We show that $N_\Theta(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ provided δ sufficiently small. To this end, we show the estimates in the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, then in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$. In order to lighten the expressions, we omit the dependence of $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}, B$ on the quantities $(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$. We re-write $(N_\Theta)_\rho$ and $(N_\Theta)_z$ on the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(N_\Theta)_\rho &= -\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} (\partial_z(X_K \overset{\circ}{Y} + Y_K)) + B_z + \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K \\
&= \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{-1 - \overset{\circ}{\sigma} + (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_z Y_K - \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} B_z - \frac{\sigma}{X_K^2 (1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_z (X_K^2 (X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y})) \\
&= \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{-\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + \overset{\circ}{X}^2 + 2\overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_z Y_K - \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} B_z - \frac{\rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_z (X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial_z \log X_K. \\
(N_\Theta)_z &= \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \frac{-\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + \overset{\circ}{X}^2 + 2\overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_\rho Y_K - \frac{\rho}{X_K} \frac{(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} B_\rho - \frac{\rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_\rho (X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}) \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial_\rho \log X_K.
\end{aligned}$$

- In $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, we prove that $r^3(1 + \rho^{-1})(N_\Theta)_\rho$ and $r^3(N_\Theta)_z$ are bounded in \hat{C}^{1, α_0} . We have

- By Lemma 54,

$$\frac{1}{X_K^2} |\partial_z Y_K| \leq Cr^{-5}, \quad |\partial (X_K^{-2} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-6}, \quad |\partial^2 (X_K^{-2} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-7}$$

and

$$\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} |\partial Y_K| \leq Cr^{-4}, \quad |\partial (X_K^{-1} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-4}, \quad |\partial^2 (X_K^{-1} \partial Y_K)| \leq Cr^{-5}.$$

Thus, the term $\frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K$ is bounded by $r^3(1 + \rho^{-1}) \frac{\rho}{X_K^2} \partial_z Y_K$ is bounded in \hat{C}^{1, α_0} .

- By Lemma 56, the term $\partial_z(\log X_K) \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ and satisfies

$$|\partial_z \log X_K| = O_{r \rightarrow \infty}(r^{-2}).$$

- Moreover, the quantities $r^3 X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}$, $r^4 X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}$ and $r^5 X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}$ are bounded in $L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$, which implies that the term $r^3(1 + \rho^{-1})X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}$ is bounded in \hat{C}^{1,α_0}
- Finally, recall that we control $\frac{(1 + \rho^{10})(1 + r^{10})}{\rho^{10}} B_z$ in \hat{C}^{1,α_0} .
- This yields to estimates in the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$.
- In the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we write N_Θ in (s, χ) coordinates

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_\Theta &= (N_\Theta)_\rho d\rho + (N_\Theta)_z dz \\ &= (\chi(N_\Theta)_\rho(s, \chi) - s(N_\Theta)_z(s, \chi)) ds + (s(N_\Theta)_\rho(s, \chi) + \chi(N_\Theta)_z(s, \chi)) d\chi. \end{aligned}$$

- Moreover,

$$\partial_\rho = \frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_s + \frac{s}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_\chi, \quad \partial_z = \frac{-s}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_s + \frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_\chi.$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (N_\Theta)_s &= \chi \left(-\frac{\sigma}{X^2} \left(\frac{-s}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_s Y + \frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_\chi Y \right) \right) - s \left(\frac{\sigma}{X^2} \left(\frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_s Y + \frac{s}{\chi^2 + s^2} \partial_\chi Y \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sigma}{X^2} B_\chi \\ &= \frac{s\chi}{X_K^2} \frac{-\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + \overset{\circ}{X}^2 + 2\overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_\chi Y_K - \frac{s\chi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_\chi (X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}) - 2 \frac{s\chi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial_\chi \log X_K \\ &\quad - \frac{\sigma}{X^2} B_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we obtain

$$(N_\Theta)_\chi = \frac{s\chi}{X_K^2} \frac{-\overset{\circ}{\sigma} + \overset{\circ}{X}^2 + 2\overset{\circ}{X}}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_s Y_K - \frac{s\chi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} \partial_s (X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y}) - 2 \frac{s\chi(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})}{(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})^2} X_K^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Y} \partial_s \log X_K - \frac{\sigma}{X^2} B_s.$$

- Now, we estimate $s^{-1}(N_\Theta)_s$ in \hat{C}^{1,α_0} :

- We start with $\frac{s\chi \partial_\chi Y_K}{X_K^2}$. We recall that Y_K

$$dY_K = \theta_K$$

which takes the following form in the (s, χ) coordinates

$$\partial_s (X_K^{-1} W_K) ds + \partial_\chi (X_K^{-1} W_K) d\chi = \frac{s\chi}{X_K^2} (\partial_\chi Y_K - \partial_s Y_K).$$

Moreover, we recall the (x, y, u, v) coordinates defined by (5.59) so that any function $f(s, \chi)$ defined on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ can be seen as a function $f_{\mathbb{R}^4}(x, y, u, v)$ defined on \mathbb{R}^4 . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s\chi}{X_K^2} \partial_\chi Y_K &= \partial_s (X_K^{-1} W_K) \\ &= s \partial_{xx} \left(\frac{W_K}{X_K} \right) \Big|_{(0, \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, u, v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we recall that $\frac{W_K}{X_K}$ is smooth on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$. This yields the \hat{C}^{1,α_0} estimates of $\frac{\chi}{X_K^2} \partial_\chi Y_K$.

- The remaining terms are easily controlled in \hat{C}^{1,α_0} due to the estimates for $X_K^{-1}\overset{\circ}{Y}$ and B .
- Finally, the estimates for $(N_\Theta)_X$ follow similarly.
- In the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$, the estimates are obtained as in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$.

□

9.8 Solving for λ

In this section, we prove the following result

Proposition 33. *Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ and let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$. Then, there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$ there exists a unique one parameter family $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right) (\delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ which solves (5.49), (9.53), (5.51), (5.52), (5.53) and which satisfies*

$$\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right) (\delta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \leq C(\alpha_0)\delta$$

and $\delta_i \in [0, \delta_0[$,

$$\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right) (\delta_1) - \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, (0, 0, B_z^{(A)}), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right) (\delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda} \leq C(\alpha_0) |\delta_1 - \delta_2|.$$

First of all, we recall the following Theorem 1.2 from [16]

Theorem 7 (O.CHODOSH, Y.SHLAPENTOKH-ROTHMAN). *Assume that the metric data $(X, W, \theta, \sigma, \lambda)$ is chosen. Assume the energy momentum tensor \mathbb{T} is chosen so that it satisfies (5.16). Suppose that*

1. $T_{\alpha\beta} \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$, $X \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$, $W \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$, $\theta \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$, $\sigma \in C_{loc}^{3,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\lambda \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$,
2. X, W, θ, σ satisfy their respective equations on \mathcal{B} , as listed in Theorem 2,
3. $|d\sigma| \neq 0$ on \mathcal{B} .
4. If we form the metric g from (5.1), then \mathbb{T} is divergence free with respect to g .

Then, the 1-form arising in Equation (5.22) satisfies the following compatibility condition

$$d\alpha = (\beta_2) \wedge \left(d\lambda - \alpha - \frac{1}{2}d \log X \right), \quad (9.75)$$

where $\beta = (\beta_2)_\rho d\rho + (\beta_2)_z dz$ is a one-form which depends on σ through the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_2)_z &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_\rho \sigma)(\partial_{\rho\rho z}^3 \sigma - \partial_z^3 \sigma) + (\partial_z \sigma)(\partial_\rho^3 \sigma - \partial_{\rho z z}^3 \sigma + 2\partial_\rho^2 \sigma + 2\partial_z^2 \sigma)}{(\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2} \\ (\beta_2)_\rho &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_z \sigma)(\partial_{\rho z z}^3 \sigma - \partial_\rho^3 \sigma) + (\partial_\rho \sigma)(\partial_z^3 \sigma - \partial_{\rho\rho z}^3 \sigma + 2\partial_\rho^2 \sigma + 2\partial_z^2 \sigma)}{(\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9.76)$$

Furthermore, under the above hypothesis, if λ satisfies its first order equation (5.22), then we automatically have $\lambda \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathcal{B})$ and that λ satisfies the second order equation given in Theorem 2.

Now we recall that $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_\rho - (\alpha_K)_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \\ \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}),\end{aligned}\tag{9.77}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_K)_\rho &= \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X_K)^2 - (\partial_z X_K)^2 + (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2 - (\partial_z Y_K)^2), \\ (\alpha_K)_z &= \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X_K)(\partial_z X_K) + (\partial_\rho Y_K)(\partial_z Y_K)),\end{aligned}$$

where α_ρ and α_z satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}((\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2) \alpha_\rho &= \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\rho \sigma) \sigma \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} + (\partial_\rho \sigma) (\partial_\rho^2 \sigma - \partial_z^2 \sigma) + (\partial_z \sigma) ((\partial_{\rho,z}^2 \sigma)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)),\end{aligned}\tag{9.78}$$

$$\begin{aligned}((\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2) \alpha_z &= -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_z \sigma) \sigma \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} - (\partial_z \sigma) (\partial_\rho^2 \sigma - \partial_z^2 \sigma) + (\partial_\rho \sigma) ((\partial_{\rho,z}^2 \sigma)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z))\end{aligned}\tag{9.79}$$

Moreover $\lambda = \overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \lambda_K$ satisfies the second order equation

$$\begin{aligned}2\partial_\rho^2 \lambda + 2\partial_z^2 \lambda &= -\partial_\rho^2 \log X - \partial_z^2 \log X + \sigma^{-1} ((\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + (\partial_z \sigma)^2) + F_4(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda})(\rho, z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 + (\theta_z)^2),\end{aligned}\tag{9.80}$$

Note that if we try to solve $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ by integrating directly equations (9.77), then we do not know a posteriori whether α satisfies the compatibility condition (9.75) and thus $d\alpha = 0$.

1. In this case, we proceed as in [17] and we solve the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_\rho - (\alpha_K)_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}), \\ \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} &= \alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \\ &\quad - \int_\rho^\infty \left(\beta_2 \wedge \left(d\overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha - \alpha_K) - \frac{1}{2} d\log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) \right)_{\rho,z}(\tau, z) d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{9.81}$$

2. Next, we show that the solution map verifies the original system of equations: (9.77).

3. Finally, we apply Theorem 7 in order to obtain that $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ satisfies the second order equation (9.80).

The remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of the above steps. This will allow us to obtain Proposition 33. We also note that we follow the same steps in Section 12 of [17] and we write down details in order to be self-contained.

9.8.1 Solving the modified equations (9.81)

We begin by introducing some notations: let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ and let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$. Let $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ be obtained by Proposition 32. Let $\mathcal{L} : B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \times [0, \delta_0[\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ be the mapping defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)(\rho, z) := - \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_{\rho} - (\alpha_K)_{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho} \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) (\tau, z) d\tau. \quad (9.82)$$

Here, $(\sigma, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}) = (\sigma, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta})(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)$. We omit the dependence on the $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \delta)$ in order to lighten the expressions.

Now, we state the following lemma

Lemma 87. *Let $(\sigma, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta})$ be the solution map obtained by Proposition 32. Then, $\forall (\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \times [0, \delta_0[$, the one-form α defined by (9.78) and (9.79) satisfies*

$$d\alpha = \beta_2 \wedge \left(d\lambda - \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d \log X \right).$$

where β_2 is defined by (9.76).

Proof. We check the assumptions of Theorem 7

1. The regularity assumptions are satisfied since the quantities $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) (\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)$ as well as $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ lie in the right spaces.
2. $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) (\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)$ solve their respective equations on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Therefore, the original metric data $(X, W, \theta, \sigma)(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)$ solve their respective equations on \mathcal{B} .
3. Since $\sigma_K = \rho$, $d\sigma_K = d\rho$ on \mathcal{B} . Therefore $|d\sigma_K| \neq 0$. Now, we choose $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small so that $|d\sigma| \neq 0$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |d\sigma|^2 &= \left| d \left(\rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \right) \right|^2 \\ &= (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma} + \rho \partial_{\rho} \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2 + (\rho \partial_z \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2. \end{aligned}$$

On \mathcal{B} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d\sigma|^2 &\geq (1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma})^2 + 2\rho(\partial_{\rho} \overset{\circ}{\sigma})(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}) \\ &\geq 1 - 4\delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

The latter is obtained by assuming that $\delta_0 < 1$ and by the control of L^∞ norm of $\rho \partial_{\rho} \overset{\circ}{\sigma}$. Therefore, we choose δ_0 so that the latter is positive.

4. $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is divergence free and satisfies (5.16) (See Section 5.3) with respect to g given by (5.1).

Therefore, we apply Theorem 7 to obtain that

- α satisfies (9.75),
- if λ satisfies (9.77), then λ also satisfies (9.80).

□

The remaining of this section is to prove the following result

Lemma 88. *Let $\bar{\delta}_0 > 0$ and let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$. There exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$ such that $\forall \lambda \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda); \forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$,*

- $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \delta)$ is well-defined and lies in $B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$,
- $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \delta)$ solves (9.81) and verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \delta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} &\leq C \left(\left\| \lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right), \\ \left\| \mathcal{L}(\lambda_1, \delta_1) - \mathcal{L}(\lambda_2, \delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} &\leq C \left(\left(\left\| \lambda_1 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} + \left\| \lambda_2 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right) \left\| \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} + |\delta_1 - \delta_2| \right), \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to what has been done before: the estimates are proven in each region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_N}, \overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$.

1. First of all, we claim that there exists $C(\alpha_0) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| r^2(\alpha - \alpha_K) \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1, \alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H})} + \left\| r^2 d \log(1 + \mathring{X}) \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1, \alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H})} \leq C\delta + C \left\| \left(\mathring{\sigma}, B, (\mathring{X}, \mathring{Y}), \mathring{\Theta} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta}$$

- We start with the term $\alpha - \alpha_K$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - \alpha_K)_\rho &= \frac{1}{4} (|\partial\sigma|^2 (\partial_\rho\sigma) \sigma X^{-2} (\partial_\rho X)^2 - \rho X_K^{-2} (\partial_\rho X_K)^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\rho\sigma) |\partial\sigma|^{-2} \sigma \frac{-(\partial_z X)^2 + (\theta_\rho)^2 - (\theta_z)^2}{X^2} + |\partial\sigma|^{-2} (\partial_\rho\sigma) (\partial_\rho^2\sigma - \partial_z^2\sigma) + |\partial\sigma|^{-2} (\partial_z\sigma) ((\partial_{\rho,z}^2\sigma)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} |\partial\sigma|^{-2} X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\theta_\rho)(\theta_z)) - \frac{1}{4} \rho X_K^{-2} (-(\partial_z X_K)^2 + (\partial_\rho Y_K)^2 - (\partial_z Y_K)^2) \end{aligned}$$

Recall from Lemma 55 and Lemma 56 that $\partial_\rho \log X_K$ and thus $\partial_\rho \log X$ behave like $\frac{1}{\rho}$ near the axis.

Furthermore, since the renormalised unknowns lie in the right space, the \hat{C}^{1, α_0} estimates is straightforward for all the terms except the following:

$$\frac{1}{4} (|\partial\sigma|^{-2} (\partial_\rho\sigma) \sigma X^{-2} (\partial_\rho X)^2 - \rho X_K^{-2} (\partial_\rho X_K)^2)$$

In order to estimate the latter, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4} (|\partial\sigma|^{-2} (\partial_\rho\sigma) \sigma X^{-2} (\partial_\rho X)^2 - \rho X_K^{-2} (\partial_\rho X_K)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (|\partial\sigma|^{-2} (\partial_\rho\sigma) \sigma (\partial_\rho \log X)^2 - \rho (\partial_\rho \log X_K)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \rho |\partial\sigma|^{-2} \left(\partial_\rho \mathring{\sigma} (1 + \mathring{\sigma}) + \rho |\partial\mathring{\sigma}|^2 \right) \right) \rho \left(\partial_\rho \log(1 + \mathring{X}) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho (\partial_\rho \log X_K) \left(\partial_\rho \log(1 + \mathring{X}) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} |\partial\sigma|^{-2} \left((1 + \mathring{\sigma}) \partial_\rho \mathring{\sigma} + \rho |\partial\mathring{\sigma}|^2 \right) \rho^2 (\partial_\rho \log X_K)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho \partial_\rho \log X_K$ is bounded and smooth near the axis and all the remaining terms are $C^{1, \alpha}$ controlled. We obtain the desired estimate.

- The term $r^2 d \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X})$ is easily controlled by $\left\| \overset{\circ}{X} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_X}$ in $\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H})$.
- We apply the dominated convergence theorem and obtain that $\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)$ is well defined and lies in $\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H})$. Moreover, we have the bounds

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_A} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_H})} \leq C \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta}.$$

2. Now, we show that $\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta)$ solves (9.81). We compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho \mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) &= \left(\alpha_\rho - (\alpha_K)_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) (\rho, z) \\ \partial_z \mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) &= - \int_\rho^\infty \partial_z \left(\alpha_\rho - (\alpha_K)_\rho - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) (\tau, z) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 87, α verifies

$$\begin{aligned} d(\alpha - \alpha_K) &= d\alpha \\ &= \beta_2 \wedge \left(d\lambda - \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d \log X \right) \\ &= \beta_2 \wedge \left(d\overset{\circ}{\lambda} - d\lambda_K - \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d \log X_K - \frac{1}{2} d \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that

$$d\lambda_K = \alpha_K - \frac{1}{2} d \log X_K.$$

Hence,

$$d(\alpha - \alpha_K) = \beta_2 \wedge \left(d\overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha - \alpha_K) - \frac{1}{2} d \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) &= \alpha_z(\rho, z) - (\alpha_z)_K(\rho, z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) - \int_\rho^\infty (d\alpha)_{\rho z}(\tau, z) d\tau \\ &= \alpha_z(\rho, z) - (\alpha_z)_K(\rho, z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \\ &\quad - \int_\rho^\infty \left(\beta_2 \wedge \left(d\overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha - \alpha_K) - \frac{1}{2} d \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) \right)_{\rho z}(\tau, z) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

3. The estimates in the region $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$ follow in the same manner up to computations for the change of coordinates from (ρ, z) to (s, χ) . We refer to the proof of Lemma 12.2.2 in [17] for details.

$$\left\| (\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \right\|_{\hat{C}^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathcal{B}_N})} \leq C \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, (\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}), \overset{\circ}{\Theta} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta}.$$

4. The remaining estimates follow in the same manner.

5. Finally, we choose $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small that $\mathcal{L}(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$.

□

9.8.2 Solving the original equations (5.53)

Now, let $\delta_0 > 0$ and $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta))_{\delta \in [0, \delta_0[}$ be the one-parameter family of solutions to (9.81). In this section, we will show that the latter actually satisfies (9.77), that is

$$d\overset{\circ}{\lambda} = \alpha - \alpha_K - \frac{1}{2}d\log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}).$$

To this end, let $z \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed and set

$$f_z(\rho) := \left(\partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) (\rho, z)$$

We state the following lemma

Lemma 89. $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\forall \rho \geq 0, f_z(\rho) = 0.$$

Proof. • First of all, since $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta)$ solves (9.81), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_z(\rho) &= \left(\partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) (\rho, z) \\ &= \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \left(\beta_2 \wedge \left(d\overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha - \alpha_K) - \frac{1}{2} d\log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) \right)_{\rho, z} (\tau, z) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \left(((\beta_2)_{\rho} d\rho + (\beta_2)_z dz) \wedge \left(\partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} - (\alpha - \alpha_K)_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}) \right) \right)_{\rho, z} (\tau, z) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (\beta_2)_{\rho}(\tau, z) f_z(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

• Now, since $\overset{\circ}{\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$, we have

$$|(\beta_2)_{\rho}| \leq C\rho^{-3}.$$

Therefore $\forall \rho_0 > 0$, there exists $c_0 = c_0(\rho_0) > 0$ such that

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in [\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}, |(\beta_2)_{\rho}| \leq C\rho^{-3}.$$

Moreover, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\forall (\rho, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, |f_z(\rho)| \leq c_1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_z(\rho)| &\leq c_0 \int_{\rho}^{\infty} |f_z(\tau)| \tau^{-3} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{c_0 c_1}{2} \rho^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

• Therefore

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (\rho, z) \in [\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \quad |f_z(\rho)| \leq c_1 \left(\frac{c_0}{2\rho^2} \right)^n \frac{1}{n!}$$

• Hence, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, the right hand side goes to 0 and thus, $\forall \rho_0 > 0, \forall (\rho, z) \in [\rho_0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} : f_z(\rho) = 0$.

Finally, by continuity of f_z , f_z vanishes on $[0, \infty[$. □

Therefore, by the previous Lemma, we have

$$\partial_z \overset{\circ}{\lambda} = \alpha_z - (\alpha_K)_z - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}).$$

9.8.3 Conclusion

Finally, we combine the previous results in order to prove Proposition 33:

Proof. 1. First of all, we apply Proposition 32 to find a one-parameter family of solutions $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(0, 0, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}\right) \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta\right)$ to their respective equations which depends continuously on $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \delta) \in B_{\delta_0}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \times [0, \delta_0[$.

2. We apply Lemma 88 in order to show that \mathcal{L} satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.

3. We apply Theorem 5 with:

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{P} = [0, \bar{\delta}_0[$$

Therefore, after choosing $0 < \delta_0 \leq \bar{\delta}_0$, we obtain a one-parameter family of solutions $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta))_{\delta \in [0, \delta_0[}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} &\leq C\delta, \\ \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta_1) - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} &\leq C|\delta_1 - \delta_2|, \end{aligned}$$

4. Henceforth, the solution map $\left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(0, 0, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}\right)$ can be seen as a one-parameter family depending on δ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(0, 0, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}\right) (\delta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta} &\leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\|\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^2 + \delta \right) \\ &\leq C(\delta^2 + \delta) \leq C\delta. \end{aligned}$$

and $\forall \delta_i \in [0, \delta_0[$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(0, 0, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}\right) (\delta_1) - \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, \left(0, 0, B_z^{(A)}\right), \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}\right) (\delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\sigma \times \mathcal{L}_B \times \mathcal{L}_X \times \mathcal{L}_Y \times \mathcal{L}_\Theta} \\ &\leq C(\alpha_0) \left(\left(\left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta_1) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} + \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} \right) \left\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta_1) - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} + |\delta_1 - \delta_2| \right) \\ &\leq C(\alpha_0)\delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

10 Proof of the Main Result

By the previous section, we obtain the following result

Proposition 34. *Let $\delta_0 > 0$ and let $\delta \ni [0, \delta_0[\rightarrow \left(\overset{\circ}{\sigma}, B, \left(\overset{\circ}{X}, \overset{\circ}{Y}\right), \overset{\circ}{\Theta}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}\right) (\delta)$ be the one parameter family family of solutions to the reduced EV-system obtained by Proposition 33. Then,*

- the metric g_δ given by

$$g_\delta := -V_\delta dt^2 + 2W_\delta dt d\phi + X_\delta d\phi^2 + e^{2\lambda_\delta} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_\delta &:= \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\delta) + \lambda_K \\ X_\delta &:= X_K(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}(\delta)) \\ W_\delta &:= X_\delta(\overset{\circ}{W}(\delta) + X_K^{-1}W_K) \\ V_\delta &:= \frac{\sigma_\delta^2 - W_\delta^2}{X_\delta} \quad \text{where } \sigma_\delta := \rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\delta)),\end{aligned}\tag{10.1}$$

- and the distribution function f^δ given by

$$f^\delta(t, \phi, \rho, z, p^\rho, p^\phi, p^z) := \Phi(\varepsilon_\delta, \ell_z) \Psi_\eta(\rho - \rho_1((\varepsilon_\delta, (\ell_z)_\delta), (X_\delta, W_\delta, \sigma_\delta)))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_\delta &:= \frac{\sigma_\delta}{\sqrt{X_\delta}}(1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{W_\delta}{X_\delta}(\ell_z)_\delta, \\ (\ell_z)_\delta &:= \sqrt{X_\delta}p^\phi,\end{aligned}$$

and ρ_1 is the second largest solution of the equation

$$E_{(\ell_z)_\delta}(X_\delta, W_\delta, \sigma_\delta, \rho, 0) = \varepsilon_\delta.$$

solve the Einstein-Vlasov system on $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathcal{B}$.

It remains to prove the following

1. The spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g_δ) is $C^{2,\alpha}$ -extendable to a black hole spacetime in the sense of Definition 4,
2. The extended solution $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g}_\delta)$ is asymptotically flat.

First of all, we claim that

Lemma 90. *there exists $\Omega_\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\left. \frac{W_\delta}{X_\delta} \right|_{\mathcal{H}} = -\Omega.$$

Proof. We recall that $\forall \delta \geq 0$, we have

$$\partial_z \left(\frac{W_\delta}{X_\delta} \right) = \frac{\sigma_\delta}{X_\delta} (\partial_\rho Y_\delta + (B_\rho)_\delta).$$

On the horizon, the right hand side vanishes, since σ_δ vanishes. Therefore, there exists $\Omega_\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall z \in]-\beta, \beta[\quad , \quad \frac{W_\delta}{X_\delta}(0, z) = -\Omega_\delta$$

□

We recall the following results from [17, Section 13]

Proposition 35. *Let $\delta \in [0, \delta_0[$. Then,*

1. $\left(e^{2\lambda} - \rho^{-2} X \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0.$

2. *there exists $\kappa(\delta) > 0$ such that* $\left(e^{2\lambda} - \kappa_\delta^{-2} \rho^{-2} (V - 2\Omega_\delta W - \Omega_\delta^2 X) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0.$

3. *On the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we have*

$$\left((\chi^2 + s^2) e^{2\lambda} - s^{-2} X \right) \Big|_{\{s=0\} \cup \{\chi=0\}} = 0.$$

4. *On the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, we have*

$$\left((\chi^2 + s^2) e^{2\lambda} - \kappa_\delta^{-2} \chi^{-2} (V - 2\Omega_\delta W - \Omega_\delta^2 X) \right) \Big|_{\{s=0\} \cup \{\chi=0\}} = 0.$$

5. *On the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$, we have*

$$\left(((\chi')^2 + (s')^2) e^{2\lambda} - (s')^{-2} X \right) \Big|_{\{s'=0\} \cup \{\chi'=0\}} = 0.$$

6. *On the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}$, we have*

$$\left(((\chi')^2 + (s')^2) e^{2\lambda} - \kappa_\delta^{-2} (\chi')^{-2} (V - 2\Omega_\delta W - \Omega_\delta^2 X) \right) \Big|_{\{s'=0\} \cup \{\chi'=0\}} = 0.$$

Lemma 91. *Assume that $f(\rho, z)$ is a smooth function on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$, resp. $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$, that is smooth when considered as a function on \mathbb{R}^n with the metric $d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\mathbb{S}^{n-2} + dz^2$ for $n > 2$. Then, $f(\rho, z) = g(\rho^2, z)$ for some smooth function on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}$, resp. $\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}$.*

If $f(s, \chi)$ is a smooth function on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$, that is smooth when considered as a function on \mathbb{R}^n with the metric $ds^2 + s^2 d\phi_1^2 + d\chi^2 + \chi^2 d\phi_2^2$ for $n > 2$. Then, $f(s, \chi) = g(s^2, \chi)$ for some smooth function on $\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}$.

The above proposition and lemma, together with Proposition 4 yield the extendability of (\mathcal{M}, g_δ) , for all $\delta \geq 0$. More precisely, we obtain the following result

Proposition 36. *The spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g_δ) is $C^{2,\alpha}$ -extendable to a Lorentzian manifold with corners $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g}_\delta)$ which is stationary and axisymmetric, and whose boundary corresponds to a bifurcate Killing event horizon.*

Proof. 1. We will only write the details for the extendability near the axis. The other extensions are obtained in the same way.

2. By construction, all the metric coefficients are $C^{2,\alpha}$ on \mathcal{B} .

3. By Proposition 4, the Kerr metric is $C^{2,\alpha}$ (it is in fact C^∞) extendable in the sense of Definition 4.

4. By Lemma 91, in a neighbourhood of the axis of symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\circ}{X}(\delta)(\rho, z) &= \overset{\circ}{X}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z), \\ \overset{\circ}{\Theta}(\delta)(\rho, z) &= \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z), \\ \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\delta)(\rho, z) &= \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z),\end{aligned}$$

for $C^{2,\alpha}$ functions, $\overset{\circ}{X}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}, \overset{\circ}{\Theta}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}, \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}$ defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

5. In $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, we have

$$X_\delta|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \rho^2 X_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z)(1 + \overset{\circ}{X}(\delta)(\rho, z)),$$

where $X_{\mathcal{A}}(0, z) > 0$. Since δ is small so that $\|\overset{\circ}{X}(\delta)\|_{\mathcal{L}_X} < 1$, then there exists a $C^{2,\alpha}$ function $X_{\delta, \mathcal{A}} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(0, z) > 0$ and

$$X_\delta|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(\rho, z) = \rho^2 X_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z).$$

Hence, X_δ verifies the third point of Definition 4.

6. By (10.1), we have

$$W_\delta = X_\delta(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}(\delta) + X_K^{-1}W_K)$$

and

$$V_\delta = \frac{\sigma_\delta^2 - W_\delta^2}{X_\delta} \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_\delta := \rho(1 + \overset{\circ}{\sigma}(\delta)),$$

Again, we use the extendability properties of X_K and W_K in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}W_\delta|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} &= X_\delta|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}(\delta)(\rho, z) + X_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z)^{-1}W_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z)) \\ &= \rho^2 X_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z)(\overset{\circ}{\Theta}_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z) + X_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z)^{-1}W_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z)) \\ &= \rho^2 W_{\delta, \mathcal{A}}(\rho^2, z).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, W_δ verifies the second point of Definition 4.

7. The first point follows from the definition of V_δ .

8. As for the fourth point, we apply the first point of Proposition 35:

$$\left(e^{2\lambda} - \rho^{-2}X \right) \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = 0.$$

Finally, we apply Proposition 3 to conclude. \square

Remark 30. The regularity of the metric coefficients depend on the regularity of the distribution function, which depends on the regularity of the profile Φ . Moreover, the way one extends the resulting spacetimes to a larger spacetimes with event horizon depends on the regularity of the profile Φ . Hence, if Φ is C^k , then one can $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ -extend.

Finally, we state the following result

Proposition 37. $\forall \delta \in [0, \delta_0[$, the spacetime obtained by Proposition 36, $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g}_\delta)$, is asymptotically flat in the sense of Definition 9.

A Coordinate Systems for asymptotic flatness

In this appendix, we recall from [16, Appendix A] the different change of coordinates used in order to define "spatial infinity". This allows us to define a notion of asymptotic flatness adapted to our work.

Assume that (\mathcal{M}, g) is extendable to a regular black hole spacetime with corners $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g})$. $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}_t \times (0, 2\pi)_\phi \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\rho, z},$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ is covered by $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_H, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_S$. Let $K \subset \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$ be a compact subset of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A$ such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_H \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_N \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S \not\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}} \setminus K.$$

We introduce the coordinates $(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ in the region $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi) \times \overline{\mathcal{B}} \setminus K$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= \rho \cos \phi, \\ y &:= \rho \sin \phi. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Therefore, a stationary axisymmetric spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is asymptotically flat if the (t, x, y, z) coordinates defined above, the metric \tilde{g} has the following expansion in the region $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi) \times \overline{\mathcal{B}} \setminus K$

$$\tilde{g} = (1 + O(r^{-1}))(-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + O(r^{-2})(dtdx + dtdy + dx dy).$$

B Classical inequalities and estimates

Theorem 8 ([9]). *If $\delta < 0$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall u \in W_\delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$*

$$\|u\|_{p,\delta} \leq C \|u_r\|_{p,\delta-1},$$

where u_r is defined by

$$u_r := \langle r \rangle^{-1}(x, y, z)^t \cdot \partial u.$$

Lemma 92. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 spherically symmetric function and (ρ, ϕ) denote polar coordinates on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then, for any point $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have*

$$(x_0^2 + y_0^2)^{-1/2} \partial_\rho f|_{(x,y)=(x_0,y_0)} = \partial_{xx} f|_{(x,y)=(0,(x_0^2+y_0^2)^{1/2})}$$

We recall Theorem 6.6 of [27] concerning Schauder estimates:

Theorem 9. *Let Ω be a $C^{2,\alpha}$ domain in \mathbb{R}^n and let $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of $Lu = f$ in Ω , where $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, L is an elliptic operator and the coefficients of L satisfy, for positive constants λ, Λ ,*

$$a^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$|a^{ij}|_{0,\alpha;\Omega}, |b^i|_{0,\alpha;\Omega}, |c|_{0,\alpha;\Omega} \leq \Lambda.$$

Let $\phi(x) \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and suppose $u = \phi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then

$$|u|_{2;\alpha;\Omega} \leq C(n, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda, \Omega) (|u|_{0;\alpha;\Omega} + |\phi|_{0;\alpha;\Omega} + |f|_{0;\alpha;\Omega}).$$

We recall Theorem 10.3 of [34] concerning Schauder estimates for the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem 10 (Newtonian estimates). *Let $f \in C_c^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let K_f be given by*

$$K_f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{where} \quad G(x, y) := -\frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2}}$$

Then,

$$K_f \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and there exists $C = C(k, \alpha, n) > 0$

$$\|K_f\|_{C^{k+2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Theorem 11 (Calderon-Zygmund estimates). *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let K_f be as defined in Theorem 10. Then, K_f has weak second derivative in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have*

$$\|K_f\|_{\dot{W}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

C Classical Carter-Robinson theory

In the absence of a matter field, the metric quantities $X, W, \sigma, \theta, \lambda$ satisfy the following equations on \mathcal{B} :

- $\sigma = \rho$. Hence,

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma = 0.$$

- θ is given by

$$\theta = \frac{X^2}{\sigma} (\partial_z(X^{-1}W)d\rho - \partial_\rho(X^{-1}W)dz).$$

- W satisfies the equation

$$\partial_\rho(X^{-1}W)d\rho + \partial_z(X^{-1}W)dz = \frac{\rho}{X^2} ((\partial_\rho Y)dz - (\partial_z Y)d\rho).$$

- (X, Y) satisfies the following system on \mathbb{R}^3

$$\begin{cases} \rho^{-1} \partial_\rho(\rho \partial_\rho X) + \rho^{-1} \partial_z(\rho \partial_z X) = \frac{(\partial_\rho X)^2 + (\partial_z X)^2 - (\partial_\rho Y)^2 - (\partial_z Y)^2}{X}, \\ \rho^{-1} \partial_\rho(\rho \partial_\rho Y) + \rho^{-1} \partial_z(\rho \partial_z Y) = \frac{2(\partial_\rho Y)(\partial_\rho X) + 2(\partial_z Y)(\partial_z X)}{X}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

- λ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\rho \lambda = \frac{1}{4} \rho X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)^2 - (\partial_z X)^2 + (\partial_\rho Y)^2 - (\partial_z Y)^2) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \log X, \\ \partial_z \lambda = \frac{1}{4} \rho X^{-2} ((\partial_\rho X)(\partial_z X) + (\partial_\rho Y)(\partial_z Y)) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \log X. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

In particular $(X_K, Y_K, \sigma_K, \theta_K, \lambda_K)$ satisfies the above equations.

List of Symbols

$\tilde{A}^{K,abs}$, 81	η , 55, 56
$\tilde{\theta}_1$, 71	λ , 16
\tilde{q}^+ , 64	$\mathcal{A}_{scattered}^+$, 79
\tilde{q}_1 , 64	$\mathcal{A}_{scattered}^-$, 79
\tilde{r}^+ , 64	$\mathcal{A}_{abs}^{<1}$, 79
\tilde{r}_{max}^+ , 53	$\mathcal{A}_{abs}^{\geq 1}$, 79
\tilde{r}_1 , 64	\mathcal{A}_{abs} , 79
\tilde{r}_2 , 64	\mathcal{A}_{bound}^+ , 79
$\tilde{\ell}_z$, 36	\mathcal{A}_{bound}^- , 79
ℓ_{min} , 62	$\mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+,\geq 1}$, 46
$A^{K,abs}$, 80	$\mathcal{A}_{circ}^{+,\leq 1}$, 46
$A^{K,scat}$, 80	$\mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-,\geq 1}$, 46
$A^{K,trapped}$, 80	$\mathcal{A}_{circ}^{-,\leq 1}$, 46
$A^{K,z>0}$, 81	\mathcal{A}_{circ} , 46, 79
A , 28	$\mathcal{A}_{scattered}$, 79
E_{ℓ_z} , 26	$\mathcal{A}_{spherical}$, 65
J , 23	\mathcal{O} , 30
R , 35	\mathcal{A}_{bound} , 79
S^K , 30	\mathcal{M} , 15
T , 35	\mathcal{A} , 18
V , 16	$\mathcal{B}_A^{(\beta)}$, 16
W , 16	$\mathcal{B}_H^{(\beta)}$, 16
X , 16	$\mathcal{B}_N^{(\beta)}$, 16
Z^K , 41	$\mathcal{B}_S^{(\beta)}$, 16
$Z^{K,abs}$, 73	\mathcal{B} , 15
$Z^{K,scat}$, 74	\mathcal{H} , 18
$Z^{K,trapped}$, 73	μ_{\pm} , 70
$Z^{K,z<0}$, 74	$\bar{\theta}_{max}^{<1}$, 71
$Z^{K,z>0}$, 74	$\bar{\theta}_{max}^{\geq 1}$, 71
Z , 28	\bar{q} , 65
Δ , 29, 30	\bar{r} , 65
Γ , 14	$\overline{\mathcal{B}_A}^{(\beta)}$, 17
Ω , 22	$\overline{\mathcal{B}_H}^{(\beta)}$, 16
Φ_{\pm} , 47	$\overline{\mathcal{B}_N}^{(\beta)}$, 17
Π , 29, 30	$\overline{\mathcal{B}_S}^{(\beta)}$, 17
Ψ_{\pm} , 47	ρ_{ms}^{\pm} , 51
Σ , 29, 30	ρ_{max} , 101
β , 31	σ , 16
ℓ_z , 22	θ_1 , 70
ℓ_{ub}^{\pm} , 54	θ , 16
ℓ_{min}^+ , 51	ε_{min}^{\pm} , 51
ℓ_{min}^- , 51	ε , 22
ℓ_{ub}^{\pm} , 54	
ℓ , 55, 56	

d , 36	r_{mb}^{\pm} , 52
q_s^i , 64	r_{ph}^{\pm} , 48
q_{max} , 62	r_{lb} , 63
q , 34	r_{ms}^{\pm} , 49
$r_{abs}^0(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$, 77	r_m , 64
r_s^1 , 64	r , 36
$r_{tr}^1(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$, 78	z_{max}^K , 101
r_s^2 , 64	
$r_{tr}^2(\varepsilon, \ell_z, q(\cdot))$, 78	\tilde{q} , 36
$r_{max}^{\pm}(\varepsilon)$, 52	
r_{min}^{\pm} , 52	\tilde{r} , 36

References

- [1] Ellery Ames, Håkan Andréasson, and Anders Logg. On axisymmetric and stationary solutions of the self-gravitating Vlasov system. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 33(15):155008, 2016.
- [2] Håkan Andréasson, David Fajman, and Maximilian Thaller. Static Solutions to the Einstein–Vlasov System with a Nonvanishing Cosmological Constant. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 47(4):2657–2688, 2015.
- [3] Håkan Andréasson, Markus Kunze, and Gerhard Rein. Existence of axially symmetric static solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov system. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 308(1):23, 2011.
- [4] Håkan Andréasson, Markus Kunze, and Gerhard Rein. Rotating, stationary, axially symmetric spacetimes with collisionless matter. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 329(2):787–808, 2014.
- [5] Håkan Andréasson, Alan D. Rendall, and Marsha Weaver. Existence of CMC and constant areal time foliations in T^2 symmetric spacetimes with Vlasov matter. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 29(1-2):237–262, 2004.
- [6] J. M. Baarden. Rapidly rotating stars, disks and black holes. In *Les Houches Summer School of Theoretical Physics: Black Holes*, pages 241–290, 1973.
- [7] J. M. Bardeen. Timelike and null geodesics in the Kerr metric. In *Les Houches Summer School of Theoretical Physics: Black Holes*, pages 215–240, 1973.
- [8] James M Bardeen, William H Press, and Saul A Teukolsky. Rotating black holes: locally nonrotating frames, energy extraction, and scalar synchrotron radiation. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 178:347–370, 1972.
- [9] Robert Bartnik. The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 39(5):661–693, 1986.
- [10] Jürgen Batt, W Faltenbacher, and E Horst. Stationary spherically symmetric models in stellar dynamics. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 93(2):159–183, 1986.
- [11] Léo Bigorgne, David Fajman, Jérémie Joudioux, Jacques Smulevici, and Maximilian Thaller. Asymptotic stability of Minkowski space-time with non-compactly supported massless Vlasov matter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.03346*, 2020.
- [12] Brandon Carter. Republication of: Black hole equilibrium states. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 41(12):2873, 2009.
- [13] Brandon Carter. Republication of: Black hole equilibrium states part ii. general theory of stationary black hole states. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 42(3):653–744, 2010.
- [14] Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar. The mathematical theory of black holes. In *Oxford, UK: Clarendon (1992) 646 p., OXFORD, UK: CLARENDON (1985) 646 P.*, 1985.
- [15] Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar. *The mathematical theory of black holes*, volume 69. Oxford University Press, 1998.

-
- [16] Otis Chodosh and Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman. Stationary axisymmetric black holes with matter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.08024*, 2015.
- [17] Otis Chodosh and Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman. Time-periodic Einstein-Klein-Gordon bifurcations of Kerr. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 356(3):1155–1250, 2017.
- [18] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. Problème de Cauchy pour le système intégro-différentiel d'Einstein-Liouville. In *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, volume 21, pages 181–201, 1971.
- [19] Piotr T Chruściel, João Lopes Costa, and Markus Heusler. Stationary black holes: uniqueness and beyond. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 15(1):7, 2012.
- [20] Mihalis Dafermos. A note on the collapse of small data self-gravitating massless collisionless matter. *Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, 3(04):589–598, 2006.
- [21] Mihalis Dafermos and Alan D Rendall. Strong cosmic censorship for T^2 -symmetric cosmological spacetimes with collisionless matter. *arXiv preprint gr-qc/0610075*, 2006.
- [22] Mihalis Dafermos and Alan D Rendall. Strong cosmic censorship for surface-symmetric cosmological spacetimes with collisionless matter. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 69(5):815–908, 2016.
- [23] L.C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [24] David Fajman. The nonvacuum Einstein flow on surfaces of negative curvature and nonlinear stability. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 353(2):905–961, 2017.
- [25] David Fajman, Jérémie Joudioux, and Jacques Smulevici. The Stability of the Minkowski space for the Einstein-Vlasov system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06141*, 2017.
- [26] F Fayos and Ch Teijón. Geometrical locus of massive test particle orbits in the space of physical parameters in Kerr space–time. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 40(11):2433–2460, 2008.
- [27] David Gilbarg and Neil S Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Springer, 2015.
- [28] Markus Heusler. *Black hole uniqueness theorems*, volume 6. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [29] Werner Israel. Relativistic kinetic theory of a simple gas. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 4(9):1163–1181, 1963.
- [30] Fatima Ezzahra Jabiri. Static spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov bifurcations of the Schwarzschild spacetime. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 22(7):2355–2406, 2021.
- [31] J. H. Jeans. On the theory of star-streaming and the structure of the universe. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 76:70–84, 1915.
- [32] James Hopwood Jeans. *Problems of cosmogony and stellar dynamics*. CUP Archive, 2017.
- [33] Leon Lichtenstein. *Gleichgewichtsfiguren rotierender Flüssigkeiten*. Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [34] Elliott H Lieb, Michael Loss, et al. Graduate studies in mathematics. *Analysis*, 14, 2001.

- [35] Hans Lindblad and Martin Taylor. Global stability of Minkowski space for the Einstein–Vlasov system in the harmonic gauge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06079*, 2017.
- [36] Pierre-Louis Lions and Benoît Perthame. Propagation of moments and regularity for the 3-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 105(1):415–430, 1991.
- [37] Yasushi Mino. Perturbative approach to an orbital evolution around a supermassive black hole. *Physical Review D*, 67(8):084027, 2003.
- [38] Charles W Misner, Kip S Thorne, and John Archibald Wheeler. *Gravitation*. Princeton University Press, 2017.
- [39] Barrett O’Neill. *The geometry of Kerr black holes*. Courier Corporation, 2014.
- [40] Klaus Pfaffelmoser. Global classical solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson system in three dimensions for general initial data. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 95(2):281–303, 1992.
- [41] Gerhard Rein. Static solutions of the spherically symmetric Vlasov–Einstein system. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 115, pages 559–570. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [42] Gerhard Rein and Alan D Rendall. Global existence of solutions of the spherically symmetric Vlasov-Einstein system with small initial data. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 150(3):561–583, 1992.
- [43] Gerhard Rein and Alan D Rendall. Smooth static solutions of the spherically symmetric Vlasov-Einstein system. In *Annales de l’IHP Physique théorique*, volume 59, pages 383–397, 1993.
- [44] Hans Ringström. *On the Topology and Future Stability of the Universe*. OUP Oxford, 2013.
- [45] Olivier Sarbach and Thomas Zannias. The geometry of the tangent bundle and the relativistic kinetic theory of gases. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 31(8):085013, 2014.
- [46] Jack Schaeffer. A Class of Counterexamples to Jeans’ Theorem for the Vlasov–Einstein System. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 204(2):313–327, 1999.
- [47] Jacques Smulevici. Strong cosmic censorship for T^2 -symmetric spacetimes with cosmological constant and matter. In *Annales Henri Poincaré*, volume 9, pages 1425–1453. Springer, 2008.
- [48] Jacques Smulevici. On the area of the symmetry orbits of cosmological spacetimes with toroidal or hyperbolic symmetry. *Analysis & PDE*, 4(2):191–245, 2011.
- [49] John Lighton Synge. The energy tensor of a continuous medium. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada*, page 127, 1934.
- [50] Gerald E Tauber and JW Weinberg. Internal state of a gravitating gas. *Physical Review*, 122(4):1342, 1961.
- [51] Martin Taylor. The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for the massless Einstein–Vlasov system. *Annals of PDE*, 3(1):9, 2017.
- [52] Robert M Wald. *General relativity*. University of Chicago press, 2010.

-
- [53] Marsha Weaver. On the area of the symmetry orbits in T^2 -2 symmetric spacetimes with Vlasov matter. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 21(4):1079, 2004.
- [54] Gilbert Weinstein. On rotating black holes in equilibrium in general relativity. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 43(7):903–948, 1990.
- [55] Gilbert Weinstein. The stationary axisymmetric two-body problem in general relativity. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 45(9):1183–1203, 1992.