

LEVI-CIVITA CONNECTIONS ON QUANTUM SPHERES

JOAKIM ARNLIND, KWALOMBOTA ILWALE AND GIOVANNI LANDI

ABSTRACT. We introduce q -deformed connections on the quantum 2-sphere and 3-sphere, satisfying a twisted Leibniz rule in analogy with q -deformed derivations. We show that such connections always exist on projective modules. Furthermore, a condition for metric compatibility is introduced, and an explicit formula is given, parametrizing all metric connections on a free module. On the quantum 3-sphere, a q -deformed torsion freeness condition is introduced and we derive explicit expressions for the Christoffel symbols of a Levi-Civita connection for a general class of metrics. We also give metric connections on a class of projective modules over the quantum 2-sphere. Finally, we outline a generalization to any Hopf algebra with a (left) covariant calculus and associated quantum tangent space.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The quantum 3-sphere	3
2.1. The covariant calculus and the quantum tangent space	4
3. q -affine connections	5
3.1. q -affine connections on projective modules	8
4. A q -affine Levi-Civita connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$	9
5. The quantum 2-sphere	13
5.1. A left covariant calculus on S_q^2	13
5.2. Connections on projective modules over S_q^2	15
6. Further comments: sketching a generalization	16
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, a lot of progress has been made in understanding Riemannian aspects of noncommutative geometry. These are not only mathematically interesting, but also important in physics where noncommutative geometry is expected to play a key role, notably in a theory of quantum gravity. In Riemannian geometry the Levi-Civita connection and its curvature have a central role, and it turns out that there are several different ways of approaching these objects in the noncommutative setting (see e.g. [CFF93, DVMMM96, Maj05, AC10, BM11, Ros13, AW17, BGM19, BGL20]).

From an algebraic perspective, the set of vector fields and the set of differential forms are (finitely generated projective) modules over the algebra of functions, a viewpoint which is also adopted in noncommutative geometry. However, considering vector fields as derivations does not immediately carry over to noncommutative geometry, since the set of derivations of a

(noncommutative) algebra is in general not a module over the algebra but only a module over the center of the algebra. Therefore, one is led naturally to focus on differential forms and define a connection on a general module as taking values in the tensor product of the module with the module of differential forms. More precisely, let M be a (right) \mathcal{A} -module and let $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ denote a module of differential forms together with a differential $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$. A connection on M is a linear map $\nabla : M \rightarrow M \otimes \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying a version of Leibniz rule

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla(mf) = (\nabla m)f + m \otimes df$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in M$. In differential geometry, for a vector field X one obtains a covariant derivative $\nabla_X : M \rightarrow M$, by pairing differential forms with X (as differential forms are dual to vector fields). In a noncommutative version of the above, there is in general no canonical way of obtaining a ‘‘covariant derivative’’ $\nabla_X : M \rightarrow M$. In a derivation based approach to noncommutative geometry (see e.g. [DV88, DVMMM96]), one puts emphasis on the choice of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of derivations of the algebra \mathcal{A} . Given a (right) \mathcal{A} -module M one defines a connection as a map $\nabla : \mathfrak{g} \times M \rightarrow M$, usually writing $\nabla(\partial, m) = \nabla_\partial m$ for $\partial \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$, satisfying

$$\nabla_\partial(mf) = (\nabla_\partial m)f + m \partial(f)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in M$, in parallel with (1.1).

For quantum groups, it turns out that natural analogues of vector fields are not quite derivations, but rather maps satisfying a twisted Leibniz rule. For instance, as we shall see, for the quantum 3-sphere S_q^3 one defines maps $X_a : S_q^3 \rightarrow S_q^3$ satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad X_a(fg) = X_a(f)\sigma_a(g) + fX_a(g)$$

for $f, g \in S_q^3$, and $\sigma_a : S_q^3 \rightarrow S_q^3$, for $a = 1, 2, 3$, are algebra morphisms. In this note we explore the possibility of introducing a corresponding q -affine connection on a (right) S_q^3 -module M . Motivated by (1.2) we introduce a covariant derivative $\nabla_{X_a} : M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\nabla_{X_a}(mf) = (\nabla_{X_a} m)\sigma_a(f) + mX_a(f)$$

for $f \in S_q^3$ and $m \in M$. In the following, we make these ideas precise and prove that there exist q -affine connections on projective modules. Furthermore, we introduce a condition for metric compatibility, and in the particular case of a left covariant calculus over S_q^3 , we investigate a derivation based definition of torsion. Then we explicitly construct a Levi-Civita connection, that is a torsion free and metric compatible connection. Moreover, we construct metric connections on a class of projective modules over the quantum 2-sphere. We mention that the Riemannian geometry of quantum spheres was studied [BM11] from the point of view of a bimodule connection on differential forms satisfying (1.1) as well as a right Leibniz rule twisted by a braiding map. In a final section we sketch a way to generalise (some of) the constructions of the present paper to any Hopf algebra with a (left) covariant differential calculus and corresponding quantum tangent space of twisted derivations.

The present paper is an alternative and extended version of the paper [AIL20] where the left module structure of differential forms was used to construct q -affine connections, rather than the right module structure considered in the following.

2. THE QUANTUM 3-SPHERE

In this section we recall a few basic properties of the quantum 3-sphere [Wor87]. The algebra S_q^3 is a unital $*$ -algebra generated by a, a^*, c, c^* fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} ac &= qca & c^*a^* &= qa^*c^* & ac^* &= qc^*a \\ ca^* &= qa^*c & cc^* &= c^*c & a^*a + c^*c &= aa^* + q^2cc^* = \mathbb{1} \end{aligned}$$

for a real parameter q . The identification of S_q^3 with the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ is via the Hopf algebra structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a) &= a \otimes a - qc^* \otimes c & \Delta(c) &= c \otimes a + a^* \otimes c \\ \Delta(a^*) &= -qc \otimes c^* + a^* \otimes a^* & \Delta(c^*) &= a \otimes c^* + c^* \otimes a^* \end{aligned}$$

with antipode and counit

$$\begin{aligned} S(a) &= a^* & S(c) &= -qc & \epsilon(a) &= 1 & \epsilon(c) &= 0 \\ S(a^*) &= a & S(c^*) &= -q^{-1}c^* & \epsilon(a^*) &= 1 & \epsilon(c^*) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the quantum enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ is the $*$ -algebra with generators E, F, K, K^{-1} satisfying

$$K^{\pm 1}E = q^{\pm 1}EK^{\pm 1} \quad K^{\pm 1}F = q^{\mp 1}FK^{\pm 1} \quad [E, F] = \frac{K^2 - K^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The corresponding Hopf algebra structure is given by the coproduct,

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes K + K^{-1} \otimes E \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K + K^{-1} \otimes F \quad \Delta(K^{\pm 1}) = K^{\pm 1} \otimes K^{\pm 1}$$

together with antipode and counit

$$\begin{aligned} S(K) &= K^{-1} & S(E) &= -qE & S(F) &= -q^{-1}F \\ \epsilon(K) &= 1 & \epsilon(E) &= 0 & \epsilon(F) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that there is a unique bilinear pairing between $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and S_q^3 given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K^{\pm 1}, a \rangle &= q^{\mp 1/2} & \langle K^{\pm 1}, a^* \rangle &= q^{\mp 1/2} \\ \langle E, c \rangle &= 1 & \langle F, c^* \rangle &= -q^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with the remaining pairings being zero. This induces a $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ -bimodule structure on S_q^3 :

$$(2.1) \quad h \triangleright f = f_{(1)} \langle h, f_{(2)} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad f \triangleleft h = \langle h, f_{(1)} \rangle f_{(2)}$$

for $h \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and $f \in S_q^3$, with Sweedler's notation $\Delta(f) = f_{(1)} \otimes f_{(2)}$ (and implicit sum). The $*$ -structure on $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$, denoted here by \dagger (to distinguish it from the $*$ -structure of the algebra), is given by $(K^{\pm 1})^\dagger = K^{\pm 1}$ and $E^\dagger = F$. The action of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ is compatible with the $*$ -algebra structures in the following sense

$$(2.2) \quad h \triangleright f^* = (S(h)^\dagger \triangleright f)^* \quad f^* \triangleleft h = (f \triangleleft S(h)^\dagger)^*.$$

Let us for convenience list the left and right actions of the generators:

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{\pm 1} \triangleright a^n &= q^{\mp \frac{n}{2}} a^n & K^{\pm 1} \triangleright c^n &= q^{\mp \frac{n}{2}} c^n \\
K^{\pm 1} \triangleright (a^*)^n &= q^{\pm \frac{n}{2}} (a^*)^n & K^{\pm 1} \triangleright (c^*)^n &= q^{\pm \frac{n}{2}} (c^*)^n \\
E \triangleright a^n &= -q^{(3-n)/2} [n] a^{n-1} c^* & E \triangleright c^n &= q^{(1-n)/2} [n] c^{n-1} a^* \\
E \triangleright (a^*)^n &= 0 & E \triangleright (c^*)^n &= 0. \\
F \triangleright a^n &= 0 & F \triangleright c^n &= 0 \\
F \triangleright (a^*)^n &= q^{(1-n)/2} [n] c (a^*)^{n-1} & F \triangleright (c^*)^n &= -q^{-(1+n)/2} [n] a (c^*)^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a^n \triangleleft K^{\pm 1} &= q^{\mp \frac{n}{2}} a^n & (a^*)^n \triangleleft K^{\pm 1} &= q^{\pm \frac{n}{2}} (a^*)^n \\
c^n \triangleleft K^{\pm 1} &= q^{\pm \frac{n}{2}} c^n & (c^*)^n \triangleleft K^{\pm 1} &= q^{\mp \frac{n}{2}} (c^*)^n \\
a^n \triangleleft F &= q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [n] c a^{n-1} & (a^*)^n \triangleleft F &= 0 \\
c^n \triangleleft F &= 0 & (c^*)^n \triangleleft F &= -q^{\frac{n-3}{2}} [n] a^* (c^*)^{n-1} \\
a^n \triangleleft E &= 0 & (a^*)^n \triangleleft E &= -q^{\frac{n-3}{2}} [n] c^* (a^*)^{n-1} \\
c^n \triangleleft E &= q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [n] c^{n-1} a & (c^*)^n \triangleleft E &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $[n] = (q^n - q^{-n}) / (q - q^{-1})$.

2.1. The covariant calculus and the quantum tangent space. It is well known [Wor87] that there is a left covariant (first order) differential calculus on S_q^3 , denoted by $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$, generated as a left S_q^3 -module by

$$\omega_1 = \omega_+ = a dc - qc da \quad \omega_2 = \omega_- = c^* da^* - qa^* dc^* \quad \omega_3 = \omega_z = a^* da + c^* dc.$$

In fact, $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is a free left module with a basis given by $\{\omega_+, \omega_-, \omega_z\}$. Moreover, $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is a bimodule with respect to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_z a &= q^{-2} a \omega_z & \omega_z a^* &= q^2 a^* \omega_z & \omega_z c &= q^{-2} c \omega_z & \omega_z c^* &= q^2 c^* \omega_z \\
\omega_{\pm} a &= q^{-1} a \omega_{\pm} & \omega_{\pm} a^* &= q a^* \omega_{\pm} & \omega_{\pm} c &= q^{-1} c \omega_{\pm} & \omega_{\pm} c^* &= q c^* \omega_{\pm},
\end{aligned}$$

and, furthermore, $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is a $*$ -bimodule with

$$\omega_+^\dagger = -\omega_- \quad \omega_z^\dagger = -\omega_z$$

satisfying $(f\omega g)^\dagger = g^* \omega^\dagger f^*$ for $f, g \in S_q^3$ and $\omega \in \Omega^1(S_q^3)$.

The differential $d : S_q^3 \rightarrow \Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is computed using a dual basis $\{X_+, X_-, X_z\}$ of twisted derivations (the corresponding quantum tangent space [KS97, §14.1.2]),

$$(2.3) \quad df = (X_+ \triangleright f) \omega_+ + (X_- \triangleright f) \omega_- + (X_z \triangleright f) \omega_z, \quad f \in S_q^3,$$

with explicitly,

$$X_+ = \sqrt{q} EK \quad X_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} FK \quad X_z = \frac{1 - K^4}{1 - q^{-2}}.$$

Their twisted derivation properties are easily worked out. For $f, g \in S_q^3$ one has,

$$X_a \triangleright fg = f(X_a \triangleright g) + (X_a \triangleright f)(\sigma_a \triangleright g),$$

$a = \pm, z$ (and similarly for the right action), with

$$\sigma_+ = \sigma_- = K^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_z = K^4.$$

Furthermore, these maps satisfy the following q -deformed commutation relations

$$(2.4) \quad X_- X_+ - q^2 X_+ X_- = X_z$$

$$(2.5) \quad q^2 X_z X_- - q^{-2} X_- X_z = (1 + q^2) X_-$$

$$(2.6) \quad q^2 X_+ X_z - q^{-2} X_z X_+ = (1 + q^2) X_+.$$

As for the $*$ -structures, one checks that $X_{\pm}^{\dagger} = X_{\mp}$ and $K^{\dagger} = K$. From this, using (2.2) one computes, for $f \in S_q^3$, that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{\pm} \triangleright f^* &= -(K^{-2} X_{\mp} \triangleright f)^* = -K^2 \triangleright (X_{\mp} \triangleright f)^* \\ X_z \triangleright f^* &= -(K^{-4} X_z \triangleright f)^* = -K^4 \triangleright (X_z \triangleright f)^*. \end{aligned}$$

3. q -AFFINE CONNECTIONS

In differential geometry, a connection extends the action of derivatives to vector fields, and for S_q^3 a natural set of (q -deformed) derivations is given by $\{X_a\}_{a=1}^3 = \{X_+, X_-, X_z\}$. In this section, we will introduce a framework extending the action of X_a to a connection on S_q^3 -modules. Let us first define the set of q -deformed derivations we shall be interested in.

Definition 3.1. The quantum tangent space of S_q^3 is defined as

$$TS_q^3 = \mathbb{C} \langle X_+, X_-, X_z \rangle,$$

that is the complex vector space generated by X_a for $a = \pm, z$.

Considering TS_q^3 to be the analogue of a (complexified) tangent space of S_q^3 , we would like to introduce a covariant derivative ∇_X on a (right) S_q^3 -module M , for $X \in TS_q^3$. Since the basis elements of TS_q^3 act as q -deformed derivations, the connection should obey an analogous q -deformed Leibniz rule. The motivating example is when $M = S_q^3$ and the action of TS_q^3 is simply $\nabla_X f = X \triangleright (f) = X(f)$ for $X \in TS_q^3$ and $f \in S_q^3$. (To lighten notation, in the following we shall drop the symbol \triangleright for the left action when there is no risk of ambiguities.) In fact, let us be slightly more general and consider the action on a free module of rank n . Thus, we let M be a free right S_q^3 -module with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and write an arbitrary element $m \in M$ as $m = e_i m^i$ for $m^i \in S_q^3$, implicitly assuming a summation over i from 1 to n .

Let us define $\nabla^0 : TS_q^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ by setting

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_{X_a}^0(m) = e_i X_a(m^i)$$

for $m = e_i m^i \in M$ (and extending it linearly to all of TS_q^3). Now, it is easy to check that

$$\nabla_{X_a}^0(mf) = (\nabla_{X_a}^0 m) \sigma_a(f) + X_a(f) m$$

for $f \in S_q^3$ and $m \in M$. Let us generalize these concepts to arbitrary right S_q^3 -modules.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a right S_q^3 -module. A *right q -affine connection on M* is a map $\nabla : TS_q^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

- (1) $\nabla_X(\lambda_1 m_1 + \lambda_2 m_2) = \lambda_1 \nabla_X m_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla_X m_2$,
- (2) $\nabla_{\lambda_1 X + \lambda_2 Y} m = \lambda_1 \nabla_X m + \lambda_2 \nabla_Y m$,
- (3) $\nabla_{X_a}(mf) = (\nabla_{X_a} m) \sigma_a(f) + m X_a(f)$, $(a = \pm, z)$,

for $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$, $f \in S_q^3$, $X \in TS_q^3$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.3. A hermitian form on a right S_q^3 -module M is a map $h : M \times M \rightarrow S_q^3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(m_1, m_2 f) &= h(m_1, m_2) f & h(m_1, m_2)^* &= h(m_2, m_1), \\ h(m_1 + m_2, m_3) &= h(m_1, m_3) + h(m_2, m_3) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in S_q^3$ and $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$. Moreover, h is said to be *invertible* if the induced map $\hat{h} : M \rightarrow M^*$, defined by $\hat{h}(m_1)(m_2) = h(m_1, m_2)$, is bijective.

On a free module with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$, a hermitian form is given by $h_{ij} = h_{ji}^* \in S_q^3$ by setting

$$h(m_1, m_2) = (m_1^i)^* h_{ij} m_2^j$$

for $m_1 = e_i m_1^i \in (S_q^3)^n$ and $m_2 = e_i m_2^i \in (S_q^3)^n$. Moreover, if h is invertible, then there exist $h^{ij} \in S_q^3$ such that $h^{ij} h_{jk} = \delta_k^i \mathbf{1}$. In case the module is projective (but not necessarily free) and generated by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$, one can find $h^{ij} \in S_q^3$ such that $e_i h^{ij} h_{jk} = e_k$ if the hermitian form is invertible (see e.g. [Arn21]).

Next, we will introduce a notion of compatibility between a q -affine connection and a hermitian form. To motivate Definition 3.4 below, let us study the case of free modules. For the q -affine connection ∇^0 in (3.1), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} X_+(h(m_1, m_2)) &= X_+((m_1^i)^* h_{ij} m_2^j) \\ &= (m_1^i)^* X_+(h_{ij} m_2^j) + X_+((m_1^i)^*) K^2(h_{ij} m_2^j) \\ &= (m_1^i)^* h_{ij} X_+(m_2^j) + (m_1^i)^* X_+(h_{ij}) K^2(m_2^j) + X_+((m_1^i)^*) K^2(h_{ij} m_2^j). \end{aligned}$$

For the connection ∇^0 , a natural requirement for the compatibility with h is to demand that $X_+(h_{ij}) = 0$. Then, from (2.7) $X_+(f^*) = -(K^{-2} X_-(f))^* = -K^2(X_-(f))^*$, and one has,

$$\begin{aligned} X_+(h(m_1, m_2)) &= (m_1^i)^* h_{ij} X_+(m_2^j) + X_+((m_1^i)^*) K^2(h_{ij} m_2^j) \\ &= (m_1^i)^* h_{ij} X_+(m_2^j) - (K^{-2} X_-(m_1))^* K^2(h_{ij} m_2^j) \\ &= (m_1^i)^* h_{ij} X_+(m_2^j) - K^2(X_-(m_1)^*) K^2(h_{ij} m_2^j) \\ &= (m_1^i)^* h_{ij} X_+(m_2^j) - K^2(X_-(m_1)^* h_{ij} m_2^j) \\ &= h(m_1, \nabla_{X_+}^0 m_2) - K^2(h(\nabla_{X_-}^0 m_1, m_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding formulas are easily worked out for $\nabla_{X_-}^0, \nabla_{X_z}^0$, and we shall take this as a motivation for the following definition.

Definition 3.4. A q -affine connection ∇ on a right S_q^3 -module M is compatible with the hermitian form $h : M \times M \rightarrow S_q^3$ if

$$(3.2) \quad X_+(h(m_1, m_2)) = h(m_1, \nabla_{X_+} m_2) - K^2(h(\nabla_{X_-} m_1, m_2))$$

$$(3.3) \quad X_-(h(m_1, m_2)) = h(m_1, \nabla_{X_-} m_2) - K^2(h(\nabla_{X_+} m_1, m_2))$$

$$(3.4) \quad X_z(h(m_1, m_2)) = h(m_1, \nabla_{X_z} m_2) - K^4(h(\nabla_{X_z} m_1, m_2)),$$

for $m_1, m_2 \in M$.

Note that (3.2) and (3.3) are equivalent since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(X_+(h(m_2, m_1)) - h(m_2, \nabla_{X_+} m_1) + K^2(h(\nabla_{X_-} m_2, m_1)) \right)^* \\ &= -K^{-2} \left(X_-(h(m_1, m_2)) + K^2(h(\nabla_{X_+} m_1, m_2)) - h(m_1, \nabla_{X_-} m_2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the case of a q -affine connection on a free module, one can derive a convenient parametrization of all connections that are compatible with a given hermitian form. To this end, let us introduce some notation. Let $(S_q^3)^n$ be a free right S_q^3 -module with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. A q -affine connection ∇ on $(S_q^3)^n$ can be determined by specifying the Christoffel symbols

$$\nabla_{X_a} e_i = e_j \Gamma_{ai}^j,$$

with $\Gamma_{ai}^j \in S_q^3$ for $a = \pm, z$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and setting

$$\nabla_{X_a}(e_i m^i) = (\nabla_{X_a} e_i) \sigma_a(m^i) + e_i X_a(m^i) = e_j (\Gamma_{ai}^j \sigma_a(m^i) + X_a(m^j)).$$

The next result gives the form of the Christoffel symbols for a q -affine connection compatible with an invertible hermitian form on a free module.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(S_q^3)^n$ be a free right S_q^3 -module with a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and let ∇ be a q -affine connection on $(S_q^3)^n$ given by the Christoffel symbols $\nabla_a e_i = e_j \Gamma_{ai}^j$. Furthermore, assume that h is an invertible hermitian form on $(S_q^3)^n$ and set $h_{ij} = h(e_i, e_j)$. Then ∇ is compatible with h if and only if there exist $\gamma_{ij}, \rho_{ij} \in S_q^3$ such that $\rho_{ij}^* = \rho_{ji}$ and*

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma_{+j}^i = h^{ik} \left(\frac{1}{2} X_+(h_{kj}) + K(\gamma_{kj}) \right)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \Gamma_{-j}^i = h^{ik} \left(\frac{1}{2} X_-(h_{kj}) + K(\gamma_{jk}^*) \right)$$

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_{zj}^i = h^{ik} \left(\frac{1}{2} X_z(h_{kj}) + K^2(\rho_{kj}) \right).$$

Proof. From the compatibility condition (3.2) one obtains

$$X_+(h_{ij}) = h_{ik} \Gamma_{+j}^k - K^2((\Gamma_{-i}^k)^* h_{kj}) = h_{ik} \Gamma_{+j}^k - K^2((h_{jk} \Gamma_{-i}^k)^*) = \Gamma_{+,ij} - K^2(\Gamma_{-,ji}^*),$$

with $\Gamma_{a,ij} = h_{ik} \Gamma_{aj}^k$, which can be solved by

$$\Gamma_{+,ij} = X_+(h_{ij}) + K^2(\Gamma_{-,ji}^*).$$

Writing

$$\Gamma_{-,ij} = \frac{1}{2} X_-(h_{ij}) + K(\gamma_{ji}^*) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma_{-,ji}^* = -\frac{1}{2} K^{-2} X_+(h_{ij}) + K^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}),$$

for $\gamma_{ij} \in S_q^3$, gives

$$\Gamma_{+,ij} = \frac{1}{2} X_+(h_{ij}) + K(\gamma_{ij})$$

providing the general solution to (3.2) and (3.3). Similarly, the Ansatz

$$\Gamma_{z,ij} = \frac{1}{2} X_z(h_{ij}) + K^2(\rho_{ij})$$

solves (3.4) if and only if $\rho_{ij}^* = \rho_{ji}$. Using $\Gamma_{aj}^i = h^{ik} \Gamma_{a,kj}$ one arrives at (3.5)–(3.7). \square

3.1. q -affine connections on projective modules. As expected, one can construct q -affine connections on projective modules. More precisely, one proves the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *Let M be a right S_q^3 -module and let ∇ be a q -affine connection on M . Given a projection on M , i.e. an endomorphism $p : M \rightarrow M$ such that $p^2 = p$, then $p \circ \nabla$ is a q -affine connection on the right S_q^3 -module $p(M)$.*

Proof. Since ∇ is a q -affine connection and p is an endomorphism, it is immediate that $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ satisfies properties (1) and (2) in Definition 3.2. Moreover, for $m \in p(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(mf) &= p(\nabla_{X_a}(mf)) = p((\nabla_{X_a}m)\sigma_a(f)) + p(mX_a(f)) \\ &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}m)\sigma_a(f) + mX_a(f), \end{aligned}$$

since $p(m) = m$ when $m \in p(M)$. We conclude that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a q -affine connection on $p(M)$. \square

Since we have shown in the previous section that q -affine connections exist on free modules, Proposition 3.6 implies that every projective S_q^3 -module can be equipped with a q -affine connection. Moreover, let ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ be q -affine connections on a S_q^3 -module M and define

$$\alpha(X, m) = \nabla_X m - \tilde{\nabla}_X m.$$

Then $\alpha : TS_q^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha(\lambda X + \mu Y, m_1) = \lambda \alpha(X, m_1) + \mu \alpha(Y, m_1)$$

$$(3.9) \quad \alpha(X, m_1 f + m_2 g) = \alpha(X, m_1) f + \alpha(X, m_2) g$$

for $m_1, m_2 \in M$, $X, Y \in TS_q^3$, $f, g \in S_q^3$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Conversely, every q -affine connection on a projective module M can be written as

$$\nabla_X m = p(\nabla_X^0 m) + \alpha(X, m).$$

where ∇^0 is the connection defined in (3.1) and $\alpha : TS_q^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ is an arbitrary map satisfying (3.8) and (3.9). Next, let us show that a connection on a projective module is compatible with the restricted metric if the projection is orthogonal.

Proposition 3.7. *Let ∇ be a q -affine connection on the S_q^3 -module M and assume furthermore that ∇ is compatible with a hermitian form h on M . If $p : M \rightarrow M$ is an orthogonal projection, i.e. p is a projection such that, for all $m_1, m_2 \in M$,*

$$h(p(m_1), m_2) = h(m_1, p(m_2))$$

then $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a q -affine connection on $p(M)$ that is compatible with h restricted to $p(M)$.

Proof. First of all, it follows from Proposition 3.6 that $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a q -affine connection on $p(M)$. Since p is an orthogonal projection, one finds that for $m_1, m_2 \in p(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} h(m_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{X_+} m_2) - K^2(h(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_-} m_1, m_2)) &= h(m_1, p(\nabla_{X_+} m_2)) - K^2(h(p(\nabla_{X_-} m_1), m_2)) \\ &= h(p(m_1), \nabla_{X_+} m_2) - K^2(h(\nabla_{X_-} m_1, p(m_2))) \\ &= h(m_1, \nabla_{X_+} m_2) - K^2(h(\nabla_{X_-} m_1, m_2)) = X_+(h(m_1, m_2)) \end{aligned}$$

by using that ∇ is compatible with h . A similar computation shows that

$$X_z(h(m_1, m_2)) = h(m_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{X_z} m_2) - K^4(h(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_z} m_1, m_2)),$$

from which we conclude that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is compatible with h restricted to $p(M)$. \square

4. A q -AFFINE LEVI-CIVITA CONNECTION ON $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$

In this section we shall construct a q -affine connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$, compatible with an invertible hermitian form h and satisfying a certain torsion freeness condition. The module $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is a free S_q^3 -module of rank 3 with basis $\omega_+, \omega_-, \omega_z$ which implies that the results of Proposition 3.5 may be used. Although $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ has a bimodule structure, we shall only consider the right module structure of $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ in what follows. In the case of a q -affine connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$, there is a natural definition of torsion freeness, suggested by the relations (2.4)–(2.6).

Definition 4.1. A q -affine connection ∇ on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is *torsion free* if

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_- \omega_+ - q^2 \nabla_+ \omega_- = \omega_z$$

$$(4.2) \quad q^2 \nabla_z \omega_- - q^{-2} \nabla_- \omega_z = (1 + q^2) \omega_-$$

$$(4.3) \quad q^2 \nabla_+ \omega_z - q^{-2} \nabla_z \omega_+ = (1 + q^2) \omega_+.$$

In the following, we will construct a torsion free q -affine connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ that is compatible with a hermitian form. We call a connection satisfying these conditions a q -affine Levi-Civita connection. As it turns out, for such connections to exist, the hermitian form needs to satisfy a compatibility condition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let h be an invertible hermitian form on the (right) S_q^3 -module $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ and write $h_{ab} = h(\omega_a, \omega_b)$. A q -affine Levi-Civita connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ exists if and only if*

$$(4.4) \quad X_z(h_{++} - q^2 h_{--}) = K^2 X_-(h_{z+}) - q^2 X_-(h_{z-}) - q^2 K^2 X_+(h_{z-}) + X_+(h_{+z}).$$

Proof. Assume that h is an invertible hermitian form on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ and write $h_{ab} = h(\omega_a, \omega_b)$ with inverse h^{ab} . Furthermore, we write $\nabla_a = \nabla_{X_a}$ and

$$\nabla_a \omega_b = \omega_c \Gamma_{ab}^c$$

for $a, b = \pm, z$. In terms of $\Gamma_{a,bc} = h_{bp} \Gamma_{ac}^p$ the torsion free equations (4.1)–(4.3) become

$$(4.5) \quad \Gamma_{-,a+} - q^2 \Gamma_{+,a-} = h_{az}$$

$$(4.6) \quad q^2 \Gamma_{z,a-} - q^{-2} \Gamma_{-,az} = (1 + q^2) h_{a-}$$

$$(4.7) \quad q^2 \Gamma_{+,az} - q^{-2} \Gamma_{z,a+} = (1 + q^2) h_{a+}.$$

Since $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ is a free (right) module, one can apply the results of Proposition 3.5 to obtain

$$\Gamma_{+,ab} = \frac{1}{2} X_+(h_{ab}) + K(\gamma_{ab})$$

$$\Gamma_{-,ab} = \frac{1}{2} X_-(h_{ab}) + K(\gamma_{ba}^*)$$

$$\Gamma_{z,ab} = \frac{1}{2} X_z(h_{ab}) + K(\rho_{ab}),$$

for “parameters” $(\gamma_{ab}, \rho_{ab} = \rho_{ba}^*)$ in S_q^3 , giving all q -affine connections compatible with h .

Inserting the above expressions into (4.5)–(4.7) gives

$$\gamma_{+a}^* - q^2 \gamma_{a-} = K^{-1}(h_{az}) - \frac{1}{2} K^{-1} X_-(h_{a+}) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 K^{-1} X_+(h_{a-}) \equiv A_a$$

$$q^2 K(\rho_{a-}) - q^{-2} \gamma_{za}^* = K^{-1} \left[(1 + q^2) h_{a-} - \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_z(h_{a-}) + \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} X_-(h_{az}) \right] \equiv B_a$$

$$q^2 \gamma_{az} - q^{-2} K(\rho_{a+}) = K^{-1} \left[(1 + q^2) h_{a+} - \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_+(h_{az}) + \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} X_z(h_{a+}) \right] \equiv C_a.$$

Note that the right hand sides A_a, B_a and C_a only depend on the metric components h_{ab} .

The above 9 equations can be grouped into three independent sets:

Group 1

$$(G1.1) \quad \gamma_{++}^* - q^2 \gamma_{+-} = A_+$$

$$(G1.2) \quad \gamma_{+-}^* - q^2 \gamma_{--} = A_-$$

Group 2

$$(G2.1) \quad q^2 \tilde{\rho}_{+-} - q^{-2} \gamma_{z+}^* = B_+$$

$$(G2.2) \quad q^2 \tilde{\rho}_{z-} - q^{-2} \gamma_{zz}^* = B_z$$

$$(G2.3) \quad q^2 \gamma_{-z} - q^{-2} \tilde{\rho}_{-+} = C_-$$

$$(G2.4) \quad q^2 \gamma_{zz} - q^{-2} \tilde{\rho}_{z+} = C_z$$

Group 3

$$(G3.1) \quad \gamma_{+z}^* - q^2 \gamma_{z-} = A_z$$

$$(G3.2) \quad q^2 \tilde{\rho}_{--} - q^{-2} \gamma_{z-}^* = B_-$$

$$(G3.3) \quad q^2 \gamma_{+z} - q^{-2} \tilde{\rho}_{++} = C_+,$$

where, for notational convenience we denoted $\tilde{\rho}_{ab} = K(\rho_{ab})$.

The equations in Group 1 can be solved as

$$(4.8) \quad \gamma_{++} = A_+^* + q^2 \gamma_{+-}^*$$

$$(4.9) \quad \gamma_{--} = q^{-2} \gamma_{+-}^* - q^{-2} A_-,$$

and the equations in Group 2 can be solved as

$$(4.10) \quad \gamma_{z+} = q^4 \tilde{\rho}_{+-}^* - q^2 B_+^*$$

$$(4.11) \quad \rho_{z-} = q^{-2} K^{-1}(B_z) + q^{-4} K^{-1}(\gamma_{zz}^*)$$

$$(4.12) \quad \gamma_{-z} = q^{-2} C_- + q^{-4} \tilde{\rho}_{-+}$$

$$(4.13) \quad \rho_{z+} = q^4 K^{-1}(\gamma_{zz}) - q^2 K^{-1}(C_z).$$

Note that the condition $\rho_{ab}^* = \rho_{ba}$ will not pose a problem here, since neither ρ_{-z} nor ρ_{+z} appear in any other equation, and may simply be defined as $\rho_{-z} = \rho_{z-}^*$ and $\rho_{+z} = \rho_{z+}^*$.

For the equations in Group 3, the fact that we require $\rho_{++}^* = \rho_{++}$ and $\rho_{--} = \rho_{--}^*$ gives a non-trivial condition for solutions to exist. From (G3.2) and (G3.3) one obtains

$$(4.14) \quad \gamma_{z-} = q^4 K^{-2}(\tilde{\rho}_{--}) - q^2 B_-^*$$

$$(4.15) \quad \gamma_{+z} = q^{-2} C_+ + q^{-4} \tilde{\rho}_{++},$$

and inserted into (G3.1) this gives

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{-4} \rho_{++} - q^6 \rho_{--} &= K(A_z) - q^4 K(B_-^*) - q^{-2} K(C_+^*) \quad \Leftrightarrow \\ \rho_{++} &= q^{10} \rho_{--} + q^4 K(A_z) - q^8 K(B_-^*) - q^2 K(C_+^*). \end{aligned}$$

A necessary (and sufficient) condition for solutions to exist, is that the right hand side of the above equation is hermitian. From

$$\begin{aligned} A_z &= K^{-1} \left[h_{zz} - \frac{1}{2} X_-(h_{z+}) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_+(h_{z-}) \right] \\ B_- &= K^{-1} \left[(1 + q^2) h_{--} - \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_z(h_{--}) + \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} X_-(h_{-z}) \right] \\ C_+ &= K^{-1} \left[(1 + q^2) h_{++} - \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_+(h_{+z}) + \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} X_z(h_{++}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} K(A_z) &= h_{zz} - \frac{1}{2} X_-(h_{z+}) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_+(h_{z-}) \\ K(B_-^*) &= (1 + q^2) K^2(h_{--}) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 K^{-2} X_z(h_{--}) - \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} X_+(h_{-z}) \\ &= \frac{q^2}{2(1-q^{-2})} (K^2(h_{--}) + K^{-2}(h_{--})) - \frac{q^{-2}}{1-q^{-2}} K^2(h_{--}) - \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} X_+(h_{-z}) \\ K(C_+^*) &= (1 + q^2) K^2(h_{++}) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_-(h_{+z}) - \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} K^{-2} X_z(h_{++}) \\ &= -\frac{q^{-2}}{2(1-q^{-2})} (K^2(h_{++}) + K^{-2}(h_{++})) + \frac{q^2}{1-q^{-2}} K^2(h_{++}) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 X_-(h_{+z}) \end{aligned}$$

by using that $X_z = (1 - K^4)/(1 - q^{-2})$.

Since ρ_{--} and h_{zz} , as well as $K^2(h_{--}) + K^{-2}(h_{--})$ and $K^2(h_{++}) + K^{-2}(h_{++})$, are hermitian, the non-hermitian terms of (4.16), which we denote by S , become

$$S = q^6 X_+(h_{z-}) - q^4 X_-(h_{z+}) + \frac{q^6}{1-q^{-2}} K^2(h_{--}) - \frac{q^4}{1-q^{-2}} K^2(h_{++}).$$

Thus, a necessary and sufficient condition for ρ_{++} to be hermitian is that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = S - S^* &= q^6 X_+(h_{z-}) + q^6 K^{-2} X_-(h_{-z}) - q^4 X_-(h_{z+}) - q^4 K^{-2} X_+(h_{+z}) \\ &\quad + \frac{q^6}{1-q^{-2}} K^2(h_{--}) - \frac{q^6}{1-q^{-2}} K^{-2}(h_{--}) - \frac{q^4}{1-q^{-2}} K^2(h_{++}) + \frac{q^4}{1-q^{-2}} K^{-2}(h_{++}) \\ &= q^6 X_+(h_{z-}) + q^6 K^{-2} X_-(h_{-z}) - q^4 X_-(h_{z+}) - q^4 K^{-2} X_+(h_{+z}) \\ &\quad + K^{-2} X_z(q^4 h_{++} - q^6 h_{--}) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (4.4). Hence, assuming the above relation to hold true, a solution to the torsion free equations, which is also compatible with h , is given by (4.8)–(4.16). The free parameters in this solution are γ_{+-} , γ_{-+} , γ_{zz} , ρ_{+-} and $\rho_{--}^* = \rho_{--}$, $\rho_{++}^* = \rho_{++}$. \square

Although the general q -affine Levi-Civita connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ may be written down, the expressions are rather lengthy and not particularly illuminating. However, let us explicitly write down a Levi-Civita connection in the particular case of a diagonal metric of the form

$$h_{--} = h, \quad h_{++} = q^2 h, \quad h_{zz} = h_z, \quad h_{ab} = 0 \text{ if } a \neq b,$$

with h and h_z invertible elements of S_q^3 ; note that the above choice clearly satisfies (4.4) in Proposition 4.2. Using the solution given by (4.8)–(4.16) in the proof of Proposition 4.4 one

finds that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{X_+}\omega_a &= \omega_b h^{bc} \left(\frac{1}{2} X_+(h_{ca}) + K(\gamma_{ca}) \right) \\ \nabla_{X_-}\omega_a &= \omega_b h^{bc} \left(\frac{1}{2} X_-(h_{ca}) + K(\gamma_{ac}^*) \right) \\ \nabla_{X_z}\omega_a &= \omega_b h^{bc} \left(\frac{1}{2} X_z(h_{ca}) + K^2(\rho_{ca}) \right)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{++} &= \frac{1}{2} q^2 K^{-1} X_+(h) + q^2 \gamma_{+-}^* \\ \gamma_{--} &= -\frac{1}{2} K^{-1} X_+(h) + q^{-2} \gamma_{+-}^* \\ \gamma_{+z} &= (1 + q^2) K^{-1}(h) + \frac{1}{2} q^{-2} K^{-1} X_z(h) \\ \gamma_{z+} &= q^4 K^{-1}(\rho_{-+}) \\ \gamma_{-z} &= q^{-4} K(\rho_{-+}) \\ \gamma_{z-} &= q^4 K^{-1}(\rho_{--}) - q^2(1 + q^2) K^{-1}(h) - \frac{1}{2} q^4 K^{-3} X_z(h)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{z+} &= q^4 K^{-1}(\gamma_{zz}) + q^4 K^{-2} X_+(h_z) \\ \rho_{+z} &= \rho_{z+}^* = q^4 K(\gamma_{zz}^*) - q^4 X_-(h_z) \\ \rho_{z-} &= \frac{1}{2} q^{-4} K^{-2} X_-(h_z) + q^{-4} K^{-1}(\gamma_{zz}^*) \\ \rho_{-z} &= \rho_{z-}^* = -\frac{1}{2} q^{-4} X_+(h_z) + q^{-4} K(\gamma_{zz}) \\ \rho_{++} &= q^{10} \rho_{--} + q^4 h_z - \frac{1}{2} q^4 (1 + q^2)(1 + q^4)(K^2(h) + K^{-2}(h)).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, setting $\gamma_{+-} = \rho_{-+} = \gamma_{zz} = \rho_{--} = 0$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_+\omega_+ &= \omega_+ h^{-1} X_+(h) \\ \nabla_+\omega_- &= \omega_z \frac{h_z^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}} \left((1 - \frac{1}{2} q^4) K^2(h) - \frac{1}{2} q^4 K^{-2}(h) \right) \\ \nabla_+\omega_z &= \omega_+ q^{-2} h^{-1} \left(K^2(h_z) + \frac{1}{1 - q^{-2}} \left((q^2 - \frac{1}{2} q^6) h - \frac{1}{2} q^6 K^4(h) \right) \right) + \omega_z \frac{1}{2} h_z^{-1} X_+(h_z) \\ \nabla_-\omega_+ &= \omega_z + \omega_z \frac{h_z^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}} \left((q^2 - \frac{1}{2} q^6) K^2(h) - \frac{1}{2} q^6 K^{-2}(h) \right) \\ \nabla_-\omega_- &= \omega_- h^{-1} X_-(h) \\ \nabla_-\omega_z &= \omega_- \frac{h^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}} \left((1 - \frac{1}{2} q^4) h - \frac{1}{2} q^4 K^4(h) \right) + \omega_z \frac{1}{2} h_z^{-1} X_-(h_z) \\ \nabla_z\omega_+ &= \omega_z \frac{1}{2} q^4 h_z^{-1} X_+(h_z) + \omega_+ q^2 h^{-1} K^2(h_z) + \omega_+ \frac{h^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}} \left((1 - \frac{1}{2} q^8) h - \frac{1}{2} q^8 K^4(h) \right) \\ \nabla_z\omega_- &= \omega_z \frac{1}{2} h_z^{-1} q^{-4} X_-(h_z) + \omega_- \frac{h^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} h - \frac{1}{2} K^4(h) \right) \\ \nabla_z\omega_z &= \omega_z \frac{1}{2} h_z^{-1} X_z(h_z) - \omega_+ \frac{1}{2} q^2 h^{-1} K^2 X_-(h_z) - \omega_- \frac{1}{2} q^{-4} h^{-1} K^2 X_+(h_z)\end{aligned}$$

giving a q -affine Levi-Civita connection on $\Omega^1(S_q^3)$ with respect to the hermitian form h .

5. THE QUANTUM 2-SPHERE

The noncommutative (standard) Podleś sphere S_q^2 [Pod87] can be considered as a subalgebra of S_q^3 by identifying the generators B_0, B_+, B_- of S_q^2 as

$$B_0 = cc^* \quad B_+ = ca^* \quad B_- = ac^* = B_+^*,$$

satisfying then the relations

$$\begin{aligned} B_- B_0 &= q^2 B_0 B_- & B_+ B_0 &= q^{-2} B_0 B_+ \\ B_- B_+ &= q^2 B_0 (1 - q^2 B_0) & B_+ B_- &= B_0 (1 - B_0). \end{aligned}$$

These elements generate the fix-point algebra of the right $U(1)$ -action

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha_z(a) = az \quad \alpha_z(a^*) = a^* \bar{z} \quad \alpha_z(c) = cz \quad \alpha_z(c^*) = c^* \bar{z}$$

for $z \in U(1)$ and $a \in S_q^3$, related to the $U(1)$ -Hopf-fibration $S_q^2 \hookrightarrow S_q^3$. Equivalently, the sphere S_q^2 is the invariant subalgebra of S_q^3 for the left action of K : $S_q^2 = \{f \in S_q^3, K \triangleright f = f\}$. Then, the left action of the X_a does not preserve the algebra S_q^2 (since their left action does not commute with that of K): one readily computes,

$$\begin{aligned} X_+ \triangleright B_0 &= qa^*c^* & X_- \triangleright B_0 &= -q^{-1}ca & X_z \triangleright B_0 &= 0 \\ X_+ \triangleright B_+ &= q(a^*)^2 & X_- \triangleright B_+ &= c^2 & X_z \triangleright B_+ &= 0 \\ X_+ \triangleright B_- &= q^2(c^*)^2 & X_- \triangleright B_- &= -q^{-1}(a)^2 & X_z \triangleright B_- &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the right action of X_a does preserve the algebra S_q^2 (since their right action does commute with the left one of K). Let us denote $Y_a = X_a$ for the right action. Then, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 \triangleleft Y_+ &= q^{-1}B_- & B_0 \triangleleft Y_- &= -q^{-1}B_+ & B_0 \triangleleft Y_z &= 0 \\ B_+ \triangleleft Y_+ &= q\mathbb{1} - q(1 + q^2)B_0 & B_+ \triangleleft Y_- &= 0 & B_+ \triangleleft Y_z &= -q^2(1 + q^2)B_+ \\ B_- \triangleleft Y_+ &= 0 & B_- \triangleleft Y_- &= -q^{-1}\mathbb{1} + q^{-1}(1 + q^2)B_0 & B_- \triangleleft Y_z &= (1 + q^{-2})B_-. \end{aligned}$$

Note that when restricted to S_q^2 the Y_a are not independent. A long but straightforward computation shows that they are indeed related as

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f \triangleleft Y_+)B_+ q + (f \triangleleft Y_-)B_- q^{-1})(1 + q^2) + (f \triangleleft Y_z) \left(1 - 2\frac{1 + q^2}{1 + q^4}B_0\right) \\ (5.2) \quad & = (f \triangleleft Y_z^2) q^{-2} \left(\frac{1 - q^2}{1 + q^4} (2q^4 + q^2 + 1)B_0 - (1 - q^6)B_0^2\right) \\ & + (f \triangleleft K^4) q^{-2}(1 + q^2)((q^4 - 1)B_0 + (1 - q^6)B_0^2), \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in S_q^2$. This can be checked on a vector space basis for the algebra S_q^2 , a basis which can be taken as $X(m)(B_0)^n$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $X(m) = (B_+)^m$ for $m \geq 0$ and $X(m) = (B_-)^{-m}$ for $m < 0$ (cf. [MNW91]).

5.1. A left covariant calculus on S_q^2 . Since the element K acts (on the left) as the identity on S_q^2 , the differential (2.3) when restricted to $f \in S_q^2$ becomes

$$(5.3) \quad df = (X_- \triangleright f) \omega_- + (X_+ \triangleright f) \omega_+.$$

In particular one finds

$$\begin{aligned} dB_+ &= q(a^*)^2 \omega_+ + c^2 \omega_-, \\ dB_- &= -q^2(c^*)^2 \omega_+ - q^{-1}a^2 \omega_-, \\ dB_0 &= c^*a^* \omega_+ - q^{-1}ca \omega_- \end{aligned}$$

which can be inverted to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_+ &= q^{-1}a^2 dB_+ - q^2c^2 dB_- + (1+q^2)ac dB_0 \\ \omega_- &= (c^*)^2 dB_+ - q(a^*)^2 dB_- - (1+q^2)c^*a^* dB_0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that the differential in (5.3) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad df &= (q^{-1}(X_+ \triangleright f) a^2 + (X_- \triangleright f) (c^*)^2) dB_+ \\ &\quad - (q^2(X_+ \triangleright f) c^2 + q(X_- \triangleright f) (a^*)^2) dB_- \\ &\quad + (1+q^2)((X_+ \triangleright f) ac - (X_- \triangleright f) c^*a^*) dB_0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_{\pm} \triangleright f \notin S_q^2$. However, from the commutation relations $KX_{\pm} = q^{\mp}X_{\mp}K$ one infer that all coefficients are in S_q^2 . For instance: $K \triangleright ((X_+ \triangleright f) a^2) = ((KX_+ \triangleright f) K \triangleright a^2) = (qX_+ \triangleright f) q^{-1} \triangleright a^2 = (X_+ \triangleright f) a^2$, and similarly for the other terms.

The form (5.3) of the differential that uses left invariant vector fields and forms can be seen as identifying the cotangent bundle of S^2 with the direct sum of the line bundles of ‘charge’ ± 2 , that is $\Omega^1(S^2) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{-2}\omega_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_{+2}\omega_+$. This identification can be used also for the quantum sphere S_q^2 with the line bundles defined as in (5.7) below.

On the other hand, from the expression in (5.4) one writes the differential d on S_q^2 in terms of the right acting operators Y_a .

Lemma 5.1. *For $f \in S_q^2$, the differential in (5.3) can be written as*

$$(5.5) \quad df = (f \triangleleft V_+) dB_+ + (f \triangleleft V_-) dB_- + (f \triangleleft V_0) dB_0$$

where

$$V_+ = Y_+(1 - q^{-2}(1+q^2)B_0)q^{-1} - Y_z B_- \frac{q^{-2}(1+q^6)}{1+q^4} + Y_z^2 B_- \frac{1-q^2}{(1+q^2)(1+q^4)}$$

$$V_- = -Y_-(1 - q^2(1+q^2)B_0)q + Y_z B_+ \frac{q^{-2}(1+q^6)}{1+q^4} - Y_z^2 B_+ \frac{1-q^2}{(1+q^2)(1+q^4)}$$

$$V_0 = (Y_+ B_+ q^{-1} - Y_- B_- q)(1+q^2) + Y_z B_0 \frac{(1-q^4)(1+q^6)}{1+q^4} - Y_z^2 B_0 \frac{1-q^2}{1+q^4}.$$

Proof. By acting on the vector space basis $X(m)(B_0)^n$ (as introduced previously), one explicitly checks the equality of (5.3) and (5.5) via a tedious but straightforward computation. \square

Remark 5.2. When $q = 1$ the derivative (5.5) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (5.6) \quad df &= 2((f \triangleleft Y_+) B_+ - (f \triangleleft Y_-) B_-) dB_0 \\ &\quad + ((f \triangleleft Y_+) (1 - 2B_0) - (f \triangleleft Y_z) B_-) dB_+ \\ &\quad + (- (f \triangleleft Y_-) (1 - 2B_0) + (f \triangleleft Y_z) B_+) dB_-. \end{aligned}$$

Classically, the vector field X_a are the left invariant vector fields on $S^3 = SU(2)$ with dual left invariant forms ω_a . Thus they do not project to vector fields on the base space S^2 with commuting coordinates (B_+, B_-, B_0) and relation $B_+B_- = B_0(1 - B_0)$: $X_a \triangleright f$ is not a function on S^2 even when f is. On the other hand, the vector fields Y_a are the right invariant vector fields on $SU(2)$ and thus they project to vector fields on S^2 , where they are not independent any longer and are related by

$$2(B_+Y_+ + B_-Y_-) + (1 - 2B_0)Y_z = 0,$$

which is just the relation to which (5.2) reduces when $q = 1$.

By changing coordinates $B_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)$ so that the radius condition for S^2 is written as $r^2 = 4B_+B_- + x^2$, the exterior derivative operator in (5.6) becomes

$$df = \partial_x f dx + \partial_+ f dB_+ + \partial_- f dB_- - (\Delta f)(x dx + 2B_- dB_+ + 2B_+ dB_-)$$

where $\Delta = x \partial_x + B_+ \partial_+ + B_- \partial_-$ is the Euler (dilatation) vector field. One then computes $dr^2 = 2(1 - r^2)(x dx + 2B_- dB_+ + 2B_+ dB_-)$, which vanishes when restricting to S^2 : $r^2 - 1 = 0$.

5.2. Connections on projective modules over S_q^2 . In this section, we construct q -affine connections on a class of projective modules over S_q^2 . The definition of q -affine connections applies equally well to the subalgebra S_q^2 , and since $a \triangleleft Y \in S_q^2$ for $a \in S_q^2$ and $Y \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$, we will in the following consider the right action of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ on S_q^2 .

The quantum Peter–Weyl theorem for S_q^3 results into an explicit (vector space) decomposition of the algebra S_q^3 , that is $S_q^3 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_n$, with

$$(5.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_n = \{f \in S_q^3 : \alpha_z(f) = \bar{z}^n f\},$$

for the $U(1)$ action α_z in (5.1). Equivalently, $\mathcal{L}_n = \{f \in S_q^3, K \triangleright f = q^{-\frac{n}{2}} f\}$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_0 = S_q^2$, as well as $\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_m \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$. Clearly, the right action of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ leaves each \mathcal{L}_n invariant. Moreover, it is easy to see that \mathcal{L}_n is a S_q^2 -bimodule. For $f, g \in S_q^2$ and $\psi_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$,

$$\alpha_z(f \psi_n g) = \alpha_z(f) \alpha_z(\psi_n) \alpha_z(g) = \bar{z}^n (f \psi_n g),$$

which implies that \mathcal{L}_n is a S_q^2 -bimodule.

As a right (or equivalently left) module, each \mathcal{L}_n can be realised as a finitely generated projective S_q^2 -module as we now briefly recall (cf. [BM98, HM99, Lan18]).

For $n \geq 0$ and $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, n$, let $(\Psi_n)_\mu, (\Phi_n)_\mu \in S_q^3$ be given as

$$(\Phi_n)_\mu = \sqrt{\alpha_{n\mu}} c^{n-\mu} a^\mu \quad (\Psi_n)_\mu = \sqrt{\beta_{n\mu}} (c^*)^\mu (a^*)^{n-\mu}$$

with

$$\alpha_{n\mu} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-\mu-1} \frac{1 - q^{2(n-k)}}{1 - q^{2(k+1)}} \quad \beta_{n\mu} = q^{2\mu} \prod_{k=0}^{\mu-1} \frac{1 - q^{-2(n-k)}}{1 - q^{-2(k+1)}}.$$

It is straight-forward to check that

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^n (\Phi_n)_\mu^* (\Phi_n)_\mu = \sum_{\mu=0}^n (\Psi_n)_\mu^* (\Psi_n)_\mu = \mathbf{1},$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned}(p_n)^\mu{}_\nu &= (\Psi_n)_\mu (\Psi_n)_\nu^* = \sqrt{\beta_{n\mu}\beta_{n\nu}} (c^*)^\mu (a^*)^{n-\mu} a^{n-\nu} c^\nu \\ (p_{-n})^\mu{}_\nu &= (\Phi_n)_\mu (\Phi_n)_\nu^* = \sqrt{\alpha_{n\mu}\alpha_{n\nu}} c^{n-\mu} a^\mu (a^*)^\nu (c^*)^{n-\nu}\end{aligned}$$

satisfy $p_n^2 = p_n$ and $p_{-n}^2 = p_{-n}$. Moreover, it is easy to see that the entries $(p_n)^\mu{}_\nu$ and $(p_{-n})^\mu{}_\nu \in S_q^2$, which implies that one has finitely generated projective S_q^2 -modules

$$M_n = \begin{cases} p_n(S_q^2)^{n+1} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ p_{-|n|}(S_q^2)^{|n|+1} & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

These modules M_n are isomorphic as right S_q^2 -modules to \mathcal{L}_n for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, let $\{e_\mu\}_{\mu=0}^n$ be a basis of $(S_q^2)^{n+1}$. Given an invertible hermitian form h on $(S_q^2)^{n+1}$, Proposition 3.5 gives a q -affine connection on $(S_q^2)^{n+1}$ compatible with h as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{X_+} e_\mu &= e_\nu \Gamma_{+\mu}^\nu = e_\nu h^{\nu\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{\rho\mu} \triangleleft Y_+ + a_{\rho\mu} \triangleleft K \right) \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{X_-} e_\mu &= e_\nu \Gamma_{-\mu}^\nu = e_\nu h^{\nu\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{\rho\mu} \triangleleft Y_- + a_{\mu\rho}^* \triangleleft K \right) \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{X_z} e_\mu &= e_\nu \Gamma_{z\mu}^\nu = e_\nu h^{\nu\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{\rho\mu} \triangleleft Y_z + b_{\rho\mu} \triangleleft K^2 \right).\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $a_{\mu\nu}, b_{\mu\nu} \in S_q^2$ such that $b_{\mu\nu}^* = b_{\nu\mu}$.

If $n \geq 0$ then $\hat{e}_\mu = e_\nu (p_n)^\nu{}_\mu$ are generators of $M_n = p_n(S_q^2)^{n+1}$ and Proposition 3.6 implies that $\nabla = p_n \circ \tilde{\nabla}$ is a q -affine connection on M_n with

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{X_+} \hat{e}_\mu &= p_n(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_+} e_\nu (p_n)^\nu{}_\mu) = p_n(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_+} e_\nu) ((p_n)^\nu{}_\mu \triangleleft K^2) + \hat{e}_\nu ((p_n)^\nu{}_\mu \triangleleft X_+) \\ &= \hat{e}_\gamma h^{\gamma\rho} q^{2(\mu-\nu)} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{\rho\nu} \triangleleft X_+ + a_{\rho\nu} \triangleleft K \right) (p_n)^\nu{}_\mu + \hat{e}_\nu ((p_n)^\nu{}_\mu \triangleleft X_+) \\ \nabla_{X_-} \hat{e}_\mu &= \hat{e}_\gamma h^{\gamma\rho} q^{2(\mu-\nu)} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{\rho\nu} \triangleleft X_- + a_{\nu\rho}^* \triangleleft K \right) (p_n)^\nu{}_\mu + \hat{e}_\nu ((p_n)^\nu{}_\mu \triangleleft X_-) \\ \nabla_{X_z} \hat{e}_\mu &= \hat{e}_\gamma h^{\gamma\rho} q^{A(\mu-\nu)} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{\rho\nu} \triangleleft X_z + b_{\rho\nu} \triangleleft K^2 \right) (p_n)^\nu{}_\mu + \hat{e}_\nu ((p_n)^\nu{}_\mu \triangleleft X_z)\end{aligned}$$

using that $(p_n)^\mu{}_\nu \triangleleft K = q^{\nu-\mu} (p_n)^\mu{}_\nu$. Moreover, if p_n is orthogonal with respect to h , then ∇ is compatible with the restriction of h to M_n . A similar construction goes for $n < 0$.

6. FURTHER COMMENTS: SKETCHING A GENERALIZATION

As final section of comments we sketch a way to generalise (some of) the constructions above for any Hopf algebra with a left covariant differential calculus and corresponding quantum tangent space [Wor89]. While referring to [KS97, 14.1] for details, we recall that a first order differential calculus (Γ, d) over the Hopf algebra $(H, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$ is called left-covariant if there is a linear map $\Delta_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow H \otimes \Gamma$ such that, for all $a, b \in H$ it holds that

$$\Delta_\Gamma(f dg) = \Delta(f)(\text{id} \otimes d)\Delta(g).$$

An element $\rho \in \Gamma$ is called left-invariant if $\Delta_\Gamma(\rho) = 1 \otimes \rho$ and we let ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$ denote the vector space of invariant elements. There is then a corresponding quantum tangent space $T_\Gamma \subset H^\circ$ (the dual Hopf algebra) with a unique bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : T_\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that T_Γ

$$\langle X, f dg \rangle = \varepsilon(f)X(g),$$

for $g, f \in H$, and $X \in T_\Gamma$. The vector spaces ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$ and T_Γ form a non-degenerate dual pair with respect to this bilinear form. Also, the pairing can be written as a left action as in (2.1),

$$X \triangleright f = f_{(1)} \langle h, f_{(2)} \rangle$$

for $X \in T_\Gamma$ and $f \in H$. Furthermore, one has dual bases $\{X_a, a = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of T_Γ and $\{\omega_a, a = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$ and a family of functionals $\{\sigma_b^a, a, b = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} df &= \sum_a (X_a \triangleright f) \omega_a \\ X_a \triangleright (fg) &= f X_a \triangleright (g) + X_b \triangleright (f) \sigma_a^b \triangleright (g). \end{aligned}$$

In H° we have

$$\Delta \sigma_b^a = \sigma_c^a \otimes \sigma_b^c, \quad S(X_a) = -X_b S(\sigma_a^b).$$

With compatible $*$ -structures, using the second relation and (2.2) one readily computes:

$$(6.2) \quad X_a \triangleright f^* = -\sigma_a^b \triangleright (X_b^\dagger \triangleright f)^*.$$

By way of illustration let us consider the trivial right module $M = H$ with hermitian form $h(m_1, m_2) = m_1^* m_2$. The analogue of the condition (3) in Definition 3.2 is read from (6.1) as

$$(6.3) \quad \nabla_{X_a} \triangleright (mf) = m X_a \triangleright (f) + (\nabla_{X_b} \triangleright (m)) \sigma_a^b \triangleright (f).$$

In turn, the compatibility with the hermitian form reads:

$$(6.4) \quad X_a(h(m_1, m_2)) = h(m_1, \nabla_{X_a} m_2) - \sigma_a^b \triangleright (h(\nabla_{X_b^\dagger} m_1, m_2)).$$

Indeed, using (6.1) and (6.2), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} X_a(h(m_1, m_2)) &= X_a \triangleright (m_1^* m_2) \\ &= X_a \triangleright (m_1^* m_2) = m_1^* X_a \triangleright (m_2) + X_b \triangleright (m_1^*) \sigma_a^b \triangleright (m_2) \\ &= X_a \triangleright (m_1^* m_2) = m_1^* X_a \triangleright (m_2) - \sigma_b^c \triangleright (X_c^\dagger \triangleright m_1)^* \sigma_a^b \triangleright (m_2) \\ &= X_a \triangleright (m_1^* m_2) = m_1^* X_a \triangleright (m_2) - \sigma_a^b \triangleright (X_b^\dagger \triangleright m_1)^* (m_2) \end{aligned}$$

from which (6.4) follows.

Equations (6.3) and (6.4) can be the starting point for a theory of affine connections on a quantum group with a quantum tangent space. For a torsion freeness condition one would need (twisted) commutation relations among the elements of T_Γ . In general these commutation relations could be involved; in particular they do not need to be quadratic as in the classical case or in the example in (2.6)–(2.4). Details should await a different time.

Acknowledgements: The paper is partially supported by INFN-Trieste. GL is supported by INFN, Iniziativa Specifica GAST, by INDAM - GNSAGA and by the INDAM-CNRS IRL-LYSM. JA is supported by grant 2017-03710 from the Swedish Research Council. Furthermore, JA would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste for hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [AC10] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani. Noncommutative gravity solutions. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 60(3):375–393, 2010.
- [AIL20] J. Arnlind, K. Ilwale, G. Landi. On q -deformed Levi-Civita connections. arXiv:2005.02603.
- [AW17] J. Arnlind, M. Wilson. Riemannian curvature of the noncommutative 3-sphere. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 11(2):507–536, 2017.
- [Arn21] J. Arnlind. Levi-Civita connections for a class of noncommutative minimal surfaces. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, 18, 2021.
- [BGM19] J. Bhowmick, D. Goswami, S. Mukhopadhyay. Levi-civita connections for a class of spectral triples. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 110:835–884, 2019.
- [BGL20] J. Bhowmick, D. Goswami, G. Landi. On the Koszul formula in noncommutative geometry. *Rev. Math. Phys.* in press; arXiv:1910.09306
- [BM98] T. Brzeziński, S. Majid. Line bundles on quantum spheres. In *Particles, fields, and gravitation (Łódź, 1998)*, vol. 453 *AIP Conf. Proc.*, pp 3–8. AIP, Woodbury, NY, 1998.
- [BM11] E. J. Beggs, S. Majid. $*$ -compatible connections in noncommutative Riemannian geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 61(1):95–124, 2011.
- [CFF93] A. H. Chamseddine, G. Felder, J. Fröhlich. Gravity in noncommutative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 155(1):205–217, 1993.
- [DV88] M. Dubois-Violette. Dérivations et calcul différentiel non commutatif. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 307(8):403–408, 1988.
- [DVMMM96] M. Dubois-Violette, J. Madore, T. Masson, J. Mourad. On curvature in noncommutative geometry. *J. Math. Phys.*, 37(8):4089–4102, 1996.
- [HM99] P. M. Hajac, S. Majid. Projective module description of the q -monopole. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 206(2):247–264, 1999.
- [KS97] A. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*. Springer (1997).
- [Lan18] G. Landi. Twisted sigma-model solitons on the quantum projective line. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 108(8):1955–1983, 2018.
- [Maj05] S. Majid. Noncommutative Riemannian and spin geometry of the standard q -sphere. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 256(2):255–285, 2005.
- [MNW91] T. Masuda, Y. Nakagami, J. Watanabe. Noncommutative differential geometry on the quantum two sphere of Podleś. I. An algebraic viewpoint. *K-Theory*, 5(2):151–175, 1991.
- [Pod87] P. Podleś. Quantum spheres. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 14(3):193–202, 1987.
- [Ros13] J. Rosenberg. Levi-Civita’s theorem for noncommutative tori. *SIGMA*, 9:071, 2013.
- [Wor87] S. L. Woronowicz. Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 23(1):117–181, 1987.
- [Wor89] S. L. Woronowicz. Differential Calculus on Compact Matrix Pseudogroups (Quantum Groups). *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 122(1):125–170, 1989.

(Joakim Arnlind) DEPT. OF MATH., LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY, 581 83 LINKÖPING, SWEDEN
Email address: joakim.arnlind@liu.se

(Kwalombota Ilwale) DEPT. OF MATH., LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY, 581 83 LINKÖPING, SWEDEN
Email address: kwalombota.ilwale@liu.se

(Giovanni Landi) MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRIESTE, 34127 TRIESTE, ITALY
 AND INSTITUTE FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS (IGAP) TRIESTE, ITALY
 AND INFN, TRIESTE, ITALY
Email address: landi@units.it