

# OPERATOR IDENTITIES ON LIE ALGEBRAS, REWRITING SYSTEMS AND GRÖBNER-SHIRSHOV BASES

HUHU ZHANG, XING GAO, AND LI GUO

**ABSTRACT.** Motivated by the pivotal role played by linear operators, many years ago Rota proposed to determine algebraic operator identities satisfied by linear operators on associative algebras, later called Rota's program on algebraic operators. Recent progresses on this program have been achieved in the contexts of operated algebra, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases. These developments also suggest that Rota's insight can be applied to determine operator identities on Lie algebras, and thus to put the various linear operators on Lie algebras in a uniform perspective. This paper carries out this approach, utilizing operated polynomial Lie algebras spanned by non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed words. The Lie algebra analog of Rota's program was formulated in terms convergent rewriting systems and equivalently in terms of Gröbner-Shirshov bases. This Lie algebra analog is shown to be compatible with Rota's program for associative algebras. As applications, a classification of differential type operators and Rota-Baxter operators are presented.

## CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction   | 2  |
| 1.1. Linear operators on associative algebras and Rota's program on operator identities | 2  |
| 1.2. Linear operators on Lie algebras   | 3  |
| 1.3. Rota's program for Lie algebras and an outline of the paper                        | 3  |
| 2. Operated Lie algebras  | 4  |
| 2.1. Associative and non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words                              | 4  |
| 2.2. Associative and non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed words                    | 5  |
| 3. Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras                               | 11 |
| 3.1. Special normal words   | 11 |
| 3.2. Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras                             | 12 |
| 3.3. Equivalence of the two formulations  | 17 |
| 3.4. Relationship between the program for associative algebras and for Lie algebras     | 19 |
| 4. Applications   | 21 |
| 4.1. Differential type OPIs and OLPIs   | 21 |
| 4.2. Rota-Baxter type OPIs and OLPIs  | 24 |
| 4.3. Modified Rota-Baxter OLPI  | 27 |
| References  | 28 |

---

*Date:* February 17, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A05, 17B40, 17B38, 16Z10, 17A61, 16S10, 13P10,

*Key words and phrases.* Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators; rewriting systems, Gröbner-Shirshov basis; operated Lie algebra; differential type operator; Rota-Baxter type operator.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies operator identities on Lie algebras that are compatible with the Lie algebra structure, borrowing the insight from operator identities on associative algebras in the context of Rota's classification problem on operator identities.

### 1.1. Linear operators on associative algebras and Rota's program on operator identities.

Various linear operators, characterized by the operator identities they satisfy, have played a pivotal role in mathematics research throughout the history and have attracted great interest in recent years.

The most well-known instances include

- (a) the homomorphisms on algebras in broad areas, in particular in Galois theory, characterized by the operator identity

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y);$$

- (b) the derivations in analysis characterized by the Leibniz rule

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y);$$

- (c) the integral operator characterized by the integration by parts formula

$$\int_a^x f'(t)g(t) dt = f(t)g(t)|_a^x - \int_a^x f(t)g'(t) dt;$$

- (d) the Rota-Baxter operator that arose from probability [3] and later found broad applications including renormalization of quantum field theory [8, 16, 29], satisfying the operator identity

$$(1) \quad P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy).$$

Some other examples are the averaging operator, Reynolds operator and the Hibert transform (also called modified Rota-Baxter operator) [9, 19, 27, 35]. See [13] for further details.

To address such linear operators uniformly, we call a linear operator **algebraic** if it satisfies an algebra operator identity that is exemplified above and will be defined in general (Definition 3.21).

Thus algebraic operators are ubiquitous in mathematics and its applications. Motivated by this phenomenon, in his landmark paper [28], Rota posed the question of classifying all operator identities satisfied by linear operators, that is, classifying algebraic operators.

In a series of papers, I have tried to show that other linear operators satisfying algebraic identities may be of equal importance in studying certain algebraic phenomena, and I have posed the problem of finding all possible algebraic identities that can be satisfied by a linear operator on an algebra.

We note that Rota's program aims at not only a summary of known algebraic operator identities, but all the potential algebraic operator identities that might arise in mathematical research. Since the problem addresses a quite fundamental issue about linear operators on associative algebras, we label this problem Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators.

In a series of papers, Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators was investigated in several steps. First the term operator identities was made precise in general terms by taking them to be elements of the algebra of operated polynomial identities, as a realization of the free objects of algebras equipped by linear operators [15, 22]. Next, the operator identities similar to the ones in the above list that Rota wanted to classify were viewed as those that are compatible with the algebraic structure of the associative algebra, that is, the associativity. This viewpoint was made precise by

the language of convergent rewriting systems and the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases. After special cases of differential type and Rota-Baxter type were treated carefully in [18, 41], a general setup was obtained in [12] with applications to modified Rota-Baxter operators.

This program has two advantages in the study of linear operators. One is that it gives a uniform approach to classes of operators, such the differential type operators and Rota-Baxter type operators, instead of dealing with one operator at a time. For example, free objects of the two types of operators were constructed simultaneously in [18, 41]. The second advantage is that the program gives characterizations of the interesting operators, which might lead to the discovery of new operators and further to their classification. For example, the notions of differential type and Rota-Baxter type operators give rise to new operators in [18, 41]. As seen in this paper, these advantages carry over for linear operators on Lie algebras.

**1.2. Linear operators on Lie algebras.** As in the case of associative algebras, linear operators on Lie algebras have also played an important role in several areas in pure and applied mathematics.

One distinct instance is the Rota-Baxter operator on Lie algebra defined by Eq. (1) on Lie algebras. It first appeared as the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation and served as a fundamental tool in integrable systems [2, 32, 30, 31]. Also appearing in the same context is the modified Rota-Baxter operator satisfying the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation [6, 21, 32]

$$[P(x), P(y)] = P([P(x), P]) + P([x, P(y)]) - [x, y].$$

Another example is the Nijenhuis operator from pseudo-complex manifolds, differential geometry, deformation theory and integrable systems [11, 20, 24]. Here the operator identity is

$$(2) \quad [N(x), N(y)] = N([N(x), y]) + N([x, N(y)]) - N^2([x, y]).$$

The study of Nijenhuis operator on an associative algebra was more recent, first introduced by Carinena and coauthors [7] to study quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems. In [36], Nijenhuis operators are constructed by analogy with Poisson-Nijenhuis geometry, from relative Rota-Baxter operators.

In recent years, other linear operators such as differential operators, Reynolds operators, Rota-Baxter families and matching Rota-Baxter algebras have been studied for Lie algebras, in the directions of deformations, cohomology and bialgebras [10, 14, 17, 23, 34, 39, 40]. Thus it is natural to adapt the program of Rota on algebraic operators from associative algebras to Lie algebras. This is the purpose of this paper.

**1.3. Rota's program for Lie algebras and an outline of the paper.** In order to adapt the approach to Rota's program of algebraic operators on associative algebras, via operated algebras, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, we first regard an operator identity on Lie algebras as an element of the operated Lie polynomial algebra. The latter is given as a realization of the free operated Lie algebras, first obtained in [25] in terms of non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed words with respect to a particular monomial order. For later applications, we give an axiomatic approach based on the notion of an invariant monomial order (Theorem 2.13). This is presented in Section 2.

Then in Section 3, we formulate Rota's program on algebraic operators for Lie algebras in the context of rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases (Problems 3.8 and 3.16). The equivalence of the two formulations is established (Theorem 3.19). Furthermore, Rota's program for associative algebras is shown to be compatible with Rota's program for Lie algebras (Theorem 3.29), allowing us to pass information between the associative case and the Lie algebra

case and to explain the phenomenon that operators on associative algebras also appeared for Lie algebras.

As applications of the general results on Rota's program, in particular the connection between the associative and Lie algebra cases, in Section 4 we focus on the special cases when the operator identities resemble the differential operator and Rota-Baxter operator respectively, allowing us to identify the operator identities that give convergent rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases (Theorems 4.7 and 4.17). Going beyond these types of operators, we also show that the modified Rota-Baxter operator has its operator identity (modified Yang-Baxter equation) giving rise to a convergent rewriting system and a Gröbner-Shirshov basis (Theorem 4.20).

## 2. OPERATED LIE ALGEBRAS

To formulate Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras, we construct free operated Lie algebras. Before analyzing the related topics, we first present the construction of free operated Lie algebras (also called Lie  $\Omega$ -algebras). The construction was first obtained in [25] with respect to a particular monomial order on bracketed words. Here we extend the construction with respect any invariant monomial order, giving us the flexibility for various applications.

**2.1. Associative and non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words.** For a set  $Y$ , let  $S(Y)$  (resp.  $M(Y)$ ) denote the free semigroup (resp. free monoid) on  $Y$ , consisting of words (resp. words including the empty word  $\mathbf{1}$ ) in the alphabet set  $Y$ . Let  $Y^*$  denote the free magma on  $Y$ , consisting of non-associative (binary) words on  $Y$ . Such a word is either in  $Y$  or is of the form  $(w) = ((u)(v))$  for words  $(u), (v) \in Y^*$ . These words can be realized as planar binary trees [26].

It is well known that non-associative Lyndon(-Shirshov) words form a linear basis for a free Lie algebra [4, 33]. Let  $(X, \geq)$  be a well-ordered set. Define the lex-order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$  on the free monoid  $M(X)$  over  $X$  by

- (a)  $\mathbf{1} >_{\text{lex}} u$  for all nonempty word  $u$ , and
- (b) for any  $u = xu'$  and  $v = yv'$  with  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$u >_{\text{lex}} v \text{ if } x > y, \text{ or } x = y \text{ and } u' >_{\text{lex}} v'.$$

For example, let  $x > y$ . Then  $\mathbf{1} > x > xx > xy > \cdots > y > yx > yy$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \geq)$  be a well-ordered set.

- (a) An associative word  $w \in S(X)$  is called an **associative Lyndon-Shirshov word** on  $X$  with respect to the lex-order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ , if  $w = uv >_{\text{lex}} vu$  for every decomposition  $w = uv$  of  $w$  with  $u, v \in S(X)$ .
- (b) A non-associative word  $(w) \in X^*$  is called a **non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov word** on  $X$  with respect to the lex-order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ , provided
  - (i) the corresponding associative word  $w$  is an associative Lyndon-Shirshov word on  $X$ ;
  - (ii) if  $(w) = ((u)(v))$ , then both  $(u)$  and  $(v)$  are non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words on  $X$ ;
  - (iii) if  $(w) = ((u)(v))$  and  $(u) = ((u_1)(u_2))$ , then  $v \geq_{\text{lex}} u_2$ .

**Remark 2.2.** An equivalent condition for  $w$  to be an associative Lyndon-Shirshov word is that, for any decomposition of  $w = uv$  with  $u, v \in S(X)$ ,  $w >_{\text{lex}} v$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  with  $x > y > z$ . Then

- (a) The words  $xy$ ,  $xyz$  and  $xzy$  are associative Lyndon-Shirshov words, but the words  $yx$ ,  $yxz$ ,  $yzx$ ,  $zxy$  and  $zyx$  are not associative Lyndon-Shirshov words.

- (b) The words  $(xy)$ ,  $(x(yz))$  and  $((xz)y)$  are non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words, but  $(yx)$  and  $((xy)z)$  are not non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words.

Denote by  $\text{ALSW}(X)$  (resp.  $\text{NLSW}(X)$ ) the set of all associative (resp. non-associative) Lyndon-Shirshov words on a well-ordered set  $X$  with respect to the lex-order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ . For any  $w \in \text{ALSW}(X)$ , there exists a unique procedure, called the **Shirshov standard bracketing** [4, 33], to give a non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov word  $[w]$ . Furthermore,

$$(3) \quad \text{NLSW}(X) = \{[w] \mid w \in \text{ALSW}(X)\}.$$

It is obtained by a recursion in which each step brackets the minimal letter in the word to the previous letter to give a new letter, and then proceeds to the next step, until there is only one letter left.

We use the following example as an illustration and refer the reader to the original literature for further details.

Take  $w = xxyxy \in \text{ALSW}(X)$  on  $X = \{x, y\}$  with  $x > y$ , we obtain  $[w] = ((x((xy)y))(xy)) \in \text{NLSW}(X)$  after the following recursion.

- (a) Bracketing the minimal letter  $y$  in  $w$  to the previous letters ( $\neq y$ ) to form a new letter, we obtain a new associative Lyndon-Shirshov word  $x(xy)y(xy)$  on the new letter set  $\{x, (xy), y\}$  with  $x >_{\text{lex}} (xy) >_{\text{lex}} y$ .
- (b) Bracketing the minimal letter  $y$  to the previous letters, we get a new associative Lyndon-Shirshov word  $x((xy)y)(xy)$  on the new letter set  $\{x, (xy), ((xy)y)\}$  with  $x >_{\text{lex}} (xy) >_{\text{lex}} ((xy)y)$ .
- (c) Bracketing the minimal letter  $((xy)y)$  to the previous letters, we have a new associative Lyndon-Shirshov word  $(x((xy)y))(xy)$  on the new letter set  $\{(x((xy)y)), (xy)\}$  with  $(x((xy)y)) >_{\text{lex}} (xy)$ .
- (d) Bracketing the minimal letter  $(xy)$  to the previous letters, we have a new associative Lyndon-Shirshov word  $((x((xy)y))(xy))$  on the single letter  $\{((x((xy)y))(xy))\}$ .
- (e) Then  $[w] = ((x((xy)y))(xy))$  is in  $\text{NLSW}(X)$ .

Let  $X$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $\text{Lie}(X)$  be the Lie subalgebra of commutator Lie algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle, (-, -))$  generated by  $X$ , where  $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle = \mathbf{k}M(X)$  is the free associative algebra on  $X$ . As is well known,  $\text{Lie}(X)$  is a free Lie algebra on the set  $X$ , with a linear basis given by the non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words  $\text{NLSW}(X)$  [26].

**2.2. Associative and non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed words.** In this subsection, we summary the construction of the free operated Lie algebras in [25]. An operated version of non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words will be a linear basis of the free operated Lie algebra.

For any set  $Y$ , let

$$[Y] := \{[y] \mid y \in Y\}$$

denote a copy of  $Y$  that is disjoint from  $Y$ .

Now fix a set  $X$ . We construct the sets of associative and non-associative bracketed words on  $X$  by direct systems  $\mathfrak{S}(X)_n$  and  $\mathfrak{N}(X)_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  recursively defined as follows. First denote

$$\mathfrak{S}(X)_0 := S(X) \text{ and } \mathfrak{N}(X)_0 := X^*.$$

Next for  $k \geq 0$ , assume that  $\mathfrak{S}(X)_n$  and  $\mathfrak{N}(X)_n$  have been defined for  $n < k$ , such that

- (a)  $\mathfrak{S}(X)_{n+1} := S(X \cup [S(X)_n])$  and  $\mathfrak{N}(X)_{n+1} := (X \cup [N(X)_n])^*$ ;
- (b) there are natural injections  $\mathfrak{S}(X)_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(X)_{n+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}(X)_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{N}(X)_{n+1}$  of semigroups and magmas.

We then recursively define

$$\mathfrak{S}(X)_{k+1} := \mathcal{S}(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{S}(X)_k \rfloor) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(X)_{k+1} := (X \cup \lfloor \mathcal{N}(X)_k \rfloor)^*.$$

Further the injections  $\mathfrak{S}(X)_{k-1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(X)_k$  and  $\mathcal{N}(X)_{k-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)_k$  induce set injections

$$X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{S}(X)_{k-1} \rfloor \hookrightarrow X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{S}(X)_k \rfloor, \quad X \cup \lfloor \mathcal{N}(X)_{k-1} \rfloor \hookrightarrow X \cup \lfloor \mathcal{N}(X)_k \rfloor.$$

Then the functoriality of taking the free semigroup and free magma extends these set injections to the injections

$$\mathfrak{S}(X)_k \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(X)_{k+1} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(X)_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)_{k+1}$$

of free semigroups and free magmas.

Finally, define the direct limits

$$\mathfrak{S}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}(X)_n \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{N}(X)_n.$$

Elements of  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}(X)$ ) are called the **associative (resp. non-associative) bracketed words on  $X$** . We also denote an element of  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}(X)$ ) by  $w$  (resp.  $(w)$ ).

We also recall some basic properties and notations of bracketed words on  $X$ .

- (a) Every element  $w$  of  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  can be uniquely written in the form

$$w = w_1 \cdots w_k,$$

for  $w_i \in X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{S}(X) \rfloor$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . We call  $w_i$  **prime** and  $k$  the **breadth** of  $w$ , denoted by  $|w|$ .

- (b) Define the **depth** of  $w \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  to be  $\text{dep}(w) := \min\{n \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}(X)_n\}$ .  
(c) For any  $(w) \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ , there exists a unique  $w \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  by forgetting the brackets of  $(w)$ . Then we can define the **depth** of  $(w) \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  to be  $\text{dep}((w)) := \text{dep}(w)$ . This agrees with  $\min\{n \mid (w) \in \mathcal{N}(X)_n\}$ .  
(d) The **degree** of  $w \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ , denoted by  $\text{deg}(w)$ , is defined to be the total number of occurrences of all  $x \in X$  and  $\lfloor \rfloor$  in  $w$ .

For example, if  $w = \lfloor xy \lfloor z \rfloor y \rfloor xy \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  with  $x, y, z \in X$ , then

$$|w| = 3, \text{ dep}(w) = 2 \text{ and } \text{deg}(w) = 8.$$

If  $(w) = x(\lfloor y \rfloor(xy))z \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  with  $x, y, z \in X$ , then

$$w = x \lfloor y \rfloor x y z \in \mathfrak{S}(X) \text{ and } \text{dep}((w)) = \text{dep}(w) = 1.$$

**Definition 2.4.** [25]

- (a) An **operated Lie algebra** is a Lie algebra  $L$  together with a linear map  $P_L : L \rightarrow L$ .  
(b) A **morphism** from an operated Lie algebra  $(L_1, P_{L_1})$  to an operated Lie algebra  $(L_2, P_{L_2})$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  such that  $f \circ P_{L_1} = P_{L_2} \circ f$ .

We also recall the notion of star words. See [4, 18, 12] for details.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\star$  a symbol not in a set  $X$  and let  $X^\star = X \sqcup \{\star\}$ .

- (a) A word in  $\mathfrak{S}(X^\star)$  is called a  **$\star$ -bracketed word** on  $X$  if  $\star$  appears only once. The set of all  $\star$ -bracketed words on  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}^\star(X)$ .  
(b) For  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^\star(X)$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ , we define  $q|_u$  (or  $q|_{\star \mapsto u}$ ) to be the bracketed word on  $X$  obtained by replacing the symbol  $\star$  in  $q$  by  $u$ .  
(c) For  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^\star(X)$  and  $s = \sum_i c_i u_i \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$ , where  $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $u_i \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ , we define  $q|_s := \sum_i c_i q|_{u_i}$ .

- (d) A bracketed word  $u \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  is a **subword** of another bracketed word  $w \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  if  $w = q|_u$  for some  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)$ .

A monomial order is a well-order that is compatible with all operations in the algebraic structure. This can be given concisely by  $\star$ -bracketed words.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a set. A **monomial order** on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  is a well order  $\geq$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  such that

$$(4) \quad u > v \Rightarrow q|_u > q|_v, \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathfrak{S}(X) \text{ and } q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X).$$

Let  $\geq$  be a monomial order on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ . Given a bracketed word  $f \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$ , we let  $\bar{f}$  denote the **leading bracketed word** (monomial) of  $f$ . We call  $f$  **monic** with respect to  $\geq$  if the coefficient of  $\bar{f}$  is 1. A subset  $S \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(X)$  is called **monic** if each element of  $S$  is monic.

We introduce the following notion for the construction of free operated Lie algebras.

**Definition 2.7.** An order, in particular a monomial order,  $\geq$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  is called **invariant** if, for all prime elements  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  and  $\sigma \in S_n$ , we have

$$(5) \quad u_1 \cdots u_n \geq u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(n)} \iff u_1 \cdots u_n \geq_{\text{lex}} u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(n)},$$

where  $\geq$  is the restriction of  $\geq$  to the set of prime elements  $X \sqcup \lfloor \mathfrak{S}(X) \rfloor$ . To emphasize, we let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  denote an invariant monomial order on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ .

We display two examples of invariant monomial orders on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  for later applications.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $(X, \geq)$  be a well-ordered set. Take  $u = u_1 \cdots u_m$  and  $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$  in  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ , where  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  are prime. Define  $u >_{\text{DI}} v$  inductively on  $\text{dep}(u) + \text{dep}(v) \geq 0$ . For the initial step of  $\text{dep}(u) + \text{dep}(v) = 0$ , we have  $u, v \in S(X)$  and define  $u >_{\text{DI}} v$  by  $u >_{\text{deg-lex}} v$ , that is,

$$u >_{\text{DI}} v \text{ if } (\text{deg}(u), |u|, u_1, \dots, u_m) > (\text{deg}(v), |v|, v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Here notice that  $\text{deg}(u) = |u|$  and  $\text{deg}(v) = |v|$ . For the induction step, if  $u = \lfloor u' \rfloor$  and  $v = \lfloor v' \rfloor$ , then define  $u >_{\text{DI}} v$  if  $u' > v'$ . Otherwise, define

$$u >_{\text{DI}} v \text{ if } (\text{deg}(u), |u|, u_1, \dots, u_m) > (\text{deg}(v), |v|, v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Then  $\geq_{\text{DI}}$  is a monomial order [25] and is invariant.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $(X, \geq)$  be a well-ordered set. Denote by  $\text{deg}_X(u)$  the number of  $x \in X$  in  $u$  with repetition. Define the order  $\geq_{\text{dt}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  as follows. For any  $u = u_1 \cdots u_m$  and  $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ , where  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  are prime. Define  $u >_{\text{dt}} v$  inductively on  $\text{dep}(u) + \text{dep}(v) \geq 0$ . For the initial step of  $\text{dep}(u) + \text{dep}(v) = 0$ , we have  $u, v \in S(X)$  and define  $u >_{\text{dt}} v$  if  $u >_{\text{deg-lex}} v$ , that is

$$(\text{deg}_X(u), u_1, \dots, u_m) > (\text{deg}_X(v), v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

For the induction step, if  $u = \lfloor u' \rfloor$  and  $v = \lfloor v' \rfloor$ , define

$$u >_{\text{dt}} v \text{ if } u' >_{\text{dt}} v'.$$

If  $u = \lfloor u' \rfloor$  and  $v \in X$ , define  $u >_{\text{dt}} v$ . Otherwise, define

$$u >_{\text{dt}} v \text{ if } (\text{deg}_X(u), u_1, \dots, u_m) > (\text{deg}_X(v), v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Then  $\geq_{\text{dt}}$  is a monomial order [18], which is also invariant.

To formulate Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras, we fix an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  and construct a free operated Lie algebra on  $X$ . It is defined by a direct system of bracketed words from a recursion. First define

$$\text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_0 := \text{ALSW}(X)$$

and then

$$\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_0 := \text{NLSW}(X) = \{[w] \mid w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_0\}$$

with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ . Here  $[w]$  is obtained by using the Shirshov bracketing for  $w$ . For the recursion step, for any given  $n \geq 0$ , assume that we have defined

$$\text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n$$

and then

$$\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n = \{[w] \mid w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n\}$$

with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ . Then we first define

$$\text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_{n+1} := \text{ALSW}(X \sqcup \lfloor \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n \rfloor)$$

with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ . We then denote

$$[X \sqcup \lfloor \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n \rfloor] := \left\{ [w] := \begin{cases} w, & \text{if } w \in X, \\ \lfloor [w'] \rfloor, & \text{if } w = [w'] \end{cases} \mid w \in X \sqcup \lfloor \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n \rfloor \right\}$$

and define

$$(6) \quad \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_{n+1} := \text{NLSW}([X \sqcup \lfloor \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n \rfloor]) = \{[w] \mid w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_{n+1}\}$$

with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{lex}}$ . We finally denote

$$\text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)_n.$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X) = \{[w] \mid w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)\}.$$

Elements of  $\text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$  (resp.  $\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$ ) are called the **associative (resp. non-associative) Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed words or Lyndon-Shirshov  $\Omega$ -words** with respect to  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .

It follows from Eq. (7) that any associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed word  $w$  is associated to a unique non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed word  $[w]$ . For  $[u], [v] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$ , define  $[u] \geq [v]$  if and only if  $u \geq v$ . Note that since  $[u] \mapsto u$  is bijective, we can compare  $[u], [v]$  by comparing  $u, v$ . So the orders are essentially on associative words, and non-associative (Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed) words are compared by the corresponding associative words.

**Example 2.10.** Suppose that  $w = \lfloor xyz \rfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$  with  $x >_{\text{IM}} y >_{\text{IM}} z \in X$  and  $\lfloor xyz \rfloor >_{\text{IM}} \lfloor x \rfloor >_{\text{IM}} \lfloor y \rfloor$ . Then  $\lfloor xyz \rfloor > \lfloor x \rfloor > \lfloor y \rfloor$  and so  $\lfloor (x(yz)) \rfloor > \lfloor x \rfloor > \lfloor y \rfloor$ . It follows from Eq. (6) that

$$[w] = \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor xyz \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor xyz \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor (x(yz)) \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor.$$

Further for the word  $\lfloor (x(yz)) \rfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor$  on  $\{\lfloor (x(yz)) \rfloor, \lfloor x \rfloor, \lfloor y \rfloor\}$ , applying the Shirshov standard bracketing, we obtain  $[w] = \lfloor \lfloor (x(yz)) \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor$ .

Denote by  $\text{OLie}(X)$  the operated Lie subalgebra of  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$  generated by  $X$  under the Lie bracket  $(u, v) = uv - vu$ . We show that it is a free operated Lie algebra on  $X$ .



**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $u \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$  and  $[u] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$ . Considering  $[u]$  as a polynomial in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$ , then  $\overline{[u]} = u$  with respect to the invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $\text{dep}(u) \geq 0$ . If  $\text{dep}(u) = 0$ , the result holds by [25, Lemma 2.2]. Assume the result is true for  $u \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$  with  $\text{dep}(u) \leq n - 1$ . Depending on the breadth  $|u| \geq 1$ , we have the following two cases to consider.

**Case 1.**  $|u| = 1$ . Then  $u = \lfloor u' \rfloor$  for some  $u' \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$  and so  $[u] = \llbracket u' \rrbracket$ . Hence

$$\overline{[u]} = \overline{\llbracket u' \rrbracket} = \llbracket \overline{u'} \rrbracket = \lfloor u' \rfloor = u.$$

Here the second step following from that  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  is a monomial order and the third step employs the induction hypothesis.

**Case 2.**  $|u| > 1$ . Then we can write  $u = u_1 \cdots u_k$  for some  $k > 1$  and  $u_i \in X \cup \llbracket \mathfrak{S}(X) \rrbracket$ . By Eq. (6),  $[u] = \llbracket [u_1] \cdots [u_k] \rrbracket$ . Further by [25, Lemma 2.2],

$$[u] = \llbracket [u_1] \cdots [u_k] \rrbracket = [u_1] \cdots [u_k] + \sum_{\sigma \in S_k \setminus \{\text{id}\}} k_{\sigma} [u_{\sigma(1)}] \cdots [u_{\sigma(k)}].$$

Since  $[u]$  as a non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov word on the letter set  $\{[u_1], \dots, [u_k]\}$ , we have

$$[u_1] \cdots [u_k] \succ_{\text{lex}} [u_{\sigma(1)}] \cdots [u_{\sigma(k)}] \text{ for any } \sigma \in S_k \setminus \{\text{id}\},$$

and by Eq. (5),

$$[u_1] \cdots [u_k] \succ_{\text{IM}} [u_{\sigma(1)}] \cdots [u_{\sigma(k)}] \text{ for any } \sigma \in S_k \setminus \{\text{id}\}.$$

Finally by Case 1 and the property of monomial order,

$$\overline{[u]} = \overline{\llbracket [u_1] \cdots [u_k] \rrbracket} = \overline{[u_1] \cdots [u_k]} = u_1 \cdots u_k = u.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $X$  be a well-ordered set. For any  $(u) \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ , it has a representation  $(u) = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]$  in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ , where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $[u_i] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We first employ induction on  $\text{dep}(u) \geq 0$ . The initial step of  $\text{dep}(u) = 0$  follows from [25, Lemma 2.1]. For the induction step, we reduce the proof to the induction on the breadth  $|u| \geq 1$ . If  $|u| = 1$ , then  $u = \lfloor u' \rfloor$  for some  $u' \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$  and so  $(u) = \llbracket (u') \rrbracket$ . By the induction hypothesis on depth,  $(u') = \sum_i \alpha_i [u'_i]$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $[u'_i] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$ . Hence

$$(u) = \llbracket (u') \rrbracket = \sum_i \alpha_i \llbracket [u'_i] \rrbracket \in \text{OLie}(Z).$$

If  $|u| > 1$ , then  $(u) = ((w_1)(w_2))$  for  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ . By the induction hypothesis on breadth, we have

$$(w_1) = \sum_k \beta_k [w_{1k}] \text{ and } (w_2) = \sum_{\ell} \gamma_{\ell} [w_{2\ell}].$$

Hence

$$(u) = ((w_1)(w_2)) = \left( \left( \sum_k \beta_k [w_{1k}] \right) \left( \sum_{\ell} \gamma_{\ell} [w_{2\ell}] \right) \right) = \sum_{k,\ell} \beta_k \gamma_{\ell} ([w_{1k}][w_{2\ell}]),$$

where each word  $([w_{1k}][w_{2\ell}])$  is a non-associative word on the letter set  $\{[w_{11}], [w_{21}], \dots, [w_{1k}], [w_{2k}], \dots\}$ . By [25, Lemma 2.1],

$$(u) = \sum_{k,\ell} \beta_k \gamma_{\ell} ([w_{1k}][w_{2\ell}]) = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i],$$

for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $[u_i] \in \text{NLSW}(\{[w_{11}], [w_{21}], \dots, [w_{1k}], [w_{2k}], \dots\}) \subseteq \text{NLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$ .  $\square$

For example, let  $(u) = (([x][y])[z])$  with  $x > y > z$ . Then  $(u) = ([x]([y][z])) + (([x][z])[y])$ , where  $([x]([y][z]))$  and  $(([x][z])[y])$  are two non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov bracketed words.

**Theorem 2.13.** *For a given invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ , the operated Lie algebra  $\text{OLie}(X)$ , together with the natural embedding  $X \rightarrow \text{OLie}(X)$ , is a free operated Lie algebra on  $X$  with a linear basis  $\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{OLie}(X)$  is a free Lie algebra on  $X$ , it suffices to prove that  $\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$  is a linear basis of  $\text{OLie}(X)$ . By Lemma 2.12,  $\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X)$  is a linear generating set of  $\text{OLie}(X)$ . Suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^n k_i [u_i] = 0, \quad \text{where } k_i \in \mathbf{k} \text{ and } [u_i] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(X).$$

We are left to show  $k_1 = \dots = k_n = 0$ , which will be done by induction  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $k_1 = 0$ . Consider  $n \geq 2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $u_1 >_{\text{IM}} \dots >_{\text{IM}} u_n$ . If  $k_1 \neq 0$ , then by Lemma 2.11,

$$\overline{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i [u_i]} = \overline{[u_1]} = u_1,$$

a contradiction. So  $k_1 = 0$  and by the induction hypothesis,  $k_2 = \dots = k_n = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

Thanks to the above theorem, we propose the following notion.

**Definition 2.14.** Elements of  $\text{OLie}(X)$  are called **operated Lie polynomials**. For  $\phi \in \text{OLie}(X)$ , we call  $\phi = 0$  (or simply  $\phi$ ) an **operated Lie polynomial identity (OLPI)**. When  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , we denote  $\phi \in \text{OLie}(X)$  by  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

Given an operated Lie algebra  $(R, P)$  and a map

$$\theta : X \rightarrow R,$$

the universal property of the free operated Lie algebra  $\text{OLie}(X)$  gives a unique morphism

$$\tilde{\theta} : \text{OLie}(X) \rightarrow R$$

of operated Lie algebras extending  $\theta$ . We call this morphism the **evaluation map** at  $\theta$  and

$$\phi(r_1, \dots, r_k) := \tilde{\theta}(\phi(x_1, \dots, x_k))$$

the **evaluation** of  $\phi \in \text{OLie}(X)$  at  $\theta$ .

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $\phi \in \text{OLie}(X)$  and  $(R, P)$  be an operated Lie algebra.

(a) We say that  $R$  is a  **$\phi$ -Lie algebra** and  $P$  is a  **$\phi$ -operator**, if

$$\phi(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 0, \quad \forall r_1, \dots, r_k \in R.$$

More generally, for a subset  $\Phi \subseteq \text{OLie}(X)$ , we say that  $R$  (resp.  $P$ ) a  **$\Phi$ -Lie algebra** (resp.  **$\Phi$ -operator**) if  $R$  (resp.  $P$ ) is a  $\phi$ -Lie algebra (resp.  $\phi$ -operator) for every  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

(b) The linear operator  $P$  on the Lie algebra  $R$  is called **(Lie) algebraic** if there is  $0 \neq \Phi \subseteq \text{OLie}(X)$  such that  $P$  is a  $\Phi$ -operator.

For example, for  $\phi(x, y) = [[xy]] - [[x]y] - [x[y]] \in \text{OLie}(X)$ , a  $\phi$ -algebra is just a differential Lie algebra. Also,  $[[x][y]] - [[x]y] - [[x[y]]] + [xy]$  defines the (operator form of the) modified Yang-Baxter equation on a Lie algebra [32, 37, 38, 30, 31].

## 3. ROTA'S PROGRAM ON ALGEBRAIC OPERATORS FOR LIE ALGEBRAS

Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators is to classify linear operator identities on associative algebras and has been treated in [12, 18, 41]. As in the case of associative algebras, linear operators on Lie algebras have also played a pivot role in their studies and applications. This motivates us to adapt the study of Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators to the context of Lie algebras. This is obtained in this section, utilizing the structure of operated Lie algebra polynomials presented in the previous section.

**3.1. Special normal words.** The following result plays a key role in defining the rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases for operated Lie algebras.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set and let  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  and  $u, q|_u \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ . Then  $[q|_u] = [q'|_{uc}]$  for some  $q' \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  and  $c \in \mathfrak{S}(Z) \cup \{\mathbf{1}\}$ . Let*

$$(8) \quad [q|_u]_u := [q'|_{uc}]_{[uc] \mapsto [\dots[[[u][c_1]][c_2] \dots [c_m]]]} = [q'|_{[\dots[[[u][c_1]][c_2] \dots [c_m]]]}],$$

where  $c = c_1 c_2 \dots c_m$  with each  $c_i \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$  and  $c_j <_{\text{lex}} c_{j+1}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ . Then

$$(9) \quad [q|_u]_u = q|_{[u]} + \sum_i \alpha_i q_i|_{[u]},$$

where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  and  $\overline{[q|_u]_u} = q|_u >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_u$ .

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $\text{dep}(q|_u) \geq 0$ . The initial step of  $\text{dep}(q|_u) = 0$  follows from [25, Lemma 2.4]. For the inductive step of  $\text{dep}(q|_u) > 1$ , we have two cases to consider.

**Case 1.** The  $u$  is not contained in the operator  $[\ ]$ , that is,  $q|_u = v_1 \dots v_k u w_1 \dots w_\ell$  for some  $v_i, w_j \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ . In this case,  $[q|_u] = [v_1 \dots v_k u w_1 \dots w_\ell]$ . By [25, Lemma 2.4],

$$[q|_u] = [[v_1] \dots [v_k][u w_1 \dots w_j][w_{j+1}] \dots [w_\ell]] = [v_1 \dots v_k [uc] w_{j+1} \dots w_\ell],$$

where  $c = w_1 \dots w_j$ . Write  $c = c_1 \dots c_m$  with each  $c_i \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$  and  $c_i <_{\text{lex}} c_{i+1}$ . In terms of [25, Lemma 2.4] again,

$$\begin{aligned} [q|_u]_u &= [[v_1] \dots [v_k][[[[u][c_1]] \dots [c_m][w_{j+1}]] \dots [w_\ell]], \\ [q|_u]_u &= v_1 \dots v_k [u] w_1 \dots w_\ell + \sum_i \alpha_i q_i|_{[u]}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $q|_u = v_1 \dots v_k u w_1 \dots w_\ell >_{\text{lex}} q_i|_u$ . By Eq. (5),  $q|_u = v_1 \dots v_k u w_1 \dots w_\ell >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_u$ .

**Case 2.** The  $u$  is contained in the operator  $[\ ]$ , that is,  $q|_u = v[p|_u]w$  for some  $v, w \in \mathfrak{S}(X) \cup \{\mathbf{1}\}$ . So  $[q|_u] = [v[p|_u]w]$ . By the induction hypothesis,

$$[p|_u] = [p'|_{uc}] \text{ and } [p|_u]_u = p|_{[u]} + \sum_i \alpha_i p_i|_{[u]} \text{ with } p|_u >_{\text{IM}} p_i|_u.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} [q|_u] &= [v[p|_u]w] = [v[[p'|_{uc}]]w], \\ [q|_u]_u &= [v[[p'|_{uc}]]w]_u = [v[[p'|_{uc}]_u]w] = v[p|_{[u]} + \sum_i \alpha_i p_i|_{[u]}]w \\ &= v[p|_{[u]}]w + \sum_i \alpha_i v[p_i|_{[u]}]w = q|_{[u]} + \sum_i \alpha_i v[p_i|_{[u]}]w, \text{ with } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p|_u >_{\text{IM}} p_i|_u$ , we obtain  $\overline{[q|_u]_u} = q|_u >_{\text{IM}} v[p_i|_{[u]}]w$ , as required.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $Z = \{x, y, z\}$  be a set with  $x > y > z$ . Let  $q = \star z$  and  $u = xy$ . Then  $q|_u = xyz \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$  and so

$$[q|_u] = (x(yz)) = [xyz] = [\star|_{uz}]$$

is in  $\text{NLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ . By Eq. (8),

$$[q|_u]_u = [\star|_{[[xy][z]]}] = [[xy][z]] = [(xy)z] = ((xz)y) + (x(yz)) \in \mathbf{kNLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z),$$

which can also be expressed in form of Eq. (9):

$$[q|_u]_u = ((xz)y) + (x(yz)) = ((xy)z) = (xy)z - z(xy) = \star z|_{[xy]} - z \star|_{[xy]},$$

with  $\star z|_{xy} = xyz >_{\text{IM}} zxy = z \star|_{xy}$ .

The above result can be extended from bracketed monomials to bracketed polynomials.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  and  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic. We call

$$[q|_f]_{\bar{f}} := [q|_{\bar{f}}]_{\bar{f}|_{\bar{f}} \mapsto f}$$

a **special normal  $f$ -word** if  $q|_{\bar{f}} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ , where  $[q|_{\bar{f}}]_{\bar{f}}$  is defined by Eq. (8).

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic and  $q|_{\bar{f}} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ . Then

$$[q|_f]_{\bar{f}} = q|_f + \sum_i \alpha_i q_i|_f,$$

where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  and  $q|_{\bar{f}} >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_{\bar{f}}$ .

**3.2. Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras.** With the preparation of the previous subsection, we can formulate Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras in the contexts of rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

**3.2.1. Rewriting systems.** We first recall some basic concepts of rewriting systems from [1, 12, 41].

Let  $V$  be a vector space with a linear basis  $W$ .

- For  $f = \sum_{w \in W} c_w w \in V$  with  $c_w \in \mathbf{k}$ , the **support**  $\text{Supp}(f)$  of  $f$  is the set  $\{w \in W \mid c_w \neq 0\}$ . By convention, we take  $\text{Supp}(0) = \emptyset$ .
- Let  $f, g \in V$ . We use  $f \dot{+} g$  to indicate the property that  $\text{Supp}(f) \cap \text{Supp}(g) = \emptyset$ . If this is the case, we say  $f \dot{+} g$  is a **direct sum** of  $f$  and  $g$  and use  $f \dot{+} g$  to denote the sum  $f + g$ .
- For  $f \in V$  and  $w \in \text{Supp}(f)$  with the coefficient  $\alpha_w$ , write  $R_w(f) := \alpha_w w - f \in V$ . So  $f = \alpha_w w \dot{+} (-R_w(f))$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $V$  be a vector space with a linear basis  $W$ .

- A **term-rewriting system**  $\Pi$  on  $V$  with respect to  $W$  is a binary relation  $\Pi \subseteq W \times V$ . An element  $(t, v) \in \Pi$  is called a (term-) rewriting rule of  $\Pi$ , denoted by  $t \rightarrow v$ .
- The term-rewriting system  $\Pi$  is called **simple with respect to  $W$**  if  $t \dot{+} v$  for all  $t \rightarrow v \in \Pi$ .
- If  $f = \alpha_t t \dot{+} (-R_t(f)) \in V$ , using the rewriting rule  $t \rightarrow v$ , we get a new element  $g := \alpha_t v - R_t(f) \in V$ , called a **one-step rewriting** of  $f$  and denoted  $f \rightarrow_{\Pi} g$  or  $f \xrightarrow{(t,v)}_{\Pi} g$ .
- The reflexive-transitive closure of  $\rightarrow_{\Pi}$  (as a binary relation on  $V$ ) is denoted by  $\xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi}$  and, if  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi} g$ , we say  $f$  **rewrites to  $g$  with respect to  $\Pi$** .
- Two elements  $f, g \in V$  are **joinable** if there exists  $h \in V$  such that  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi} h$  and  $g \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi} h$ ; we denote this by  $f \downarrow_{\Pi} g$ .

- (f) A **fork** is a pair of distinct reduction sequences  $(f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi} g_1, f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi} g_2)$  starting from the same  $f \in V$ . The fork is called **joinable** if  $g_1 \downarrow_{\Pi} g_2$ .
- (g) An element  $f \in V$  is a **normal form** if no more rules from  $\Pi$  can apply.

As usual [1], a term-rewriting system  $\Pi$  on  $V$  is called

- (a) **terminating** if there is no infinite chain of one-step rewritings

$$f_0 \rightarrow_{\Pi} f_1 \rightarrow_{\Pi} f_2 \rightarrow_{\Pi} \cdots .$$

- (b) **confluent** if every fork is joinable.  
(c) **convergent** if it is both terminating and confluent.

A family of OLPIs give rise to a rewriting system. Let  $\Phi \subseteq \text{OLie}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$  be monic. For each  $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\Phi} := \{\phi(u_1, \dots, u_k) \mid \phi \in \Phi, u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)\}$  and  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$ , if  $q|_{\bar{s}} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$ , then we may write

$$[q|_s]_{\bar{s}} := [q|_{\bar{s}}] \dot{+} (-R([q|_s]_{\bar{s}})) \in \mathbf{k}\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z),$$

which under the order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  can be viewed as a rewriting rule

$$[q|_s]_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow R([q|_s]_{\bar{s}}).$$

Let us give an example to illustrate these notions and the induced rewriting rules.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\phi(x, y) = [[x][y]] - [[[x]y]] - [[x][y]] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$ . Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set and

$$s = \phi([u], [v]) = [[[u]][v]] - [[[[u][v]]]] - [[u][v]]$$

with  $u >_{\text{IM}} v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$ . For  $q_1 = \star$ ,  $q_2 = \star[w]$  and  $v >_{\text{IM}} w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$ , we have  $\bar{s} = [u][v]$  and

$$[q_1|_s]_{\bar{s}} = [q_1|_{\bar{s}}]_{\bar{s}|_{\bar{s}} \mapsto s} = s = [[[u]][v]] \dot{+} (-[[[[u][v]]]] - [[u][v]]).$$

It induces a rewriting rule

$$([[u]][v]) \rightarrow [[[[u][v]]]] + [[u][v]] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z) \times \text{OLie}(Z).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} [q_2|_s]_{\bar{s}} &= [q_2|_{\bar{s}}]_{\bar{s}|_{\bar{s}} \mapsto s} \\ &= [\star[w]]|_{[u][v]}|_{[u][v]}|_{[[u][v]] \mapsto s} \\ &= [[[u][v]][w]]|_{[[u][v]] \mapsto s} \\ &= ((([u][v])[w]))|_{([[u][v]]) \mapsto s} \\ &= (s[w]) \\ &= ([[u][v]][w]) - ([[u][v]][w]) - ([[u][v]][w]) \\ &= ([[u][v]][w]) \dot{+} ([[u][w]][v]) - ([[u][v]][w]) - ([[u][v]][w]). \end{aligned}$$

It induces a rewriting rule

$$([[u]][[v]][w]]) \rightarrow -([[u][w]][v]) + ([[u][v]][w]) + ([[u][v]][w])$$

in  $\text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z) \times \text{OLie}(Z)$ .

Next let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $S$  be a monic subset of  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ . With an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ , we define a term-rewriting system (10)

$$\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}} := \left\{ [q|_{\bar{s}}] \rightarrow R([q|_{s_i}]_{\bar{s}}) \mid s \in S, q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), q|_{\bar{s}} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z) \right\} \subseteq \text{NLSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z) \times \text{OLie}(Z).$$

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be a system of monic OLPIs. Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. We call  $\Phi$  **convergent** if  $\Pi_{S_\Phi}^{\text{Lie}}$  is convergent, where

$$S_\Phi = \{\phi(u_1, \dots, u_k) \mid u_1, \dots, u_k \in \text{OLie}(Z), \phi \in \Phi\} \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z).$$

Now we formulate Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras in terms of rewriting systems.

**Problem 3.8.** (Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras via rewriting systems) *Determine all convergent systems of OLPIs.*

3.2.2. *Gröbner-Shirshov bases.* We will fix an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  in this subsection. In particular, a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  is taken with respect to this order. There are two kinds of nontrivial compositions.

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $f, g \in \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic.

- (a) If there are  $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{S}(Z)$  such that  $w = \bar{f}u = v\bar{g}$  with  $\max\{|\bar{f}|, |\bar{g}|\} < |w| < |\bar{f}| + |\bar{g}|$ , then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w := \langle f, g \rangle_w^{u,v} := [fu]_{\bar{f}} - [vg]_{\bar{g}}$$

is called the **intersection composition of  $f$  and  $g$  with respect to  $w$** .

- (b) If there is  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  such that  $w = \bar{f} = q|_{\bar{g}}$ , then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w := \langle f, g \rangle_w^q := f - [q]_{\bar{g}}$$

is called the **including composition of  $f$  and  $g$  with respect to  $w$** .

Here comes the main notion on Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic.

- (a) An element  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$  is called **trivial modulo  $(S, w)$**  if

$$f = \sum_i \alpha_i [q_i|_{s_i}]_{\bar{s}_i}$$

with  $w >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}$  for each special normal  $s_i$ -word  $[q_i|_{s_i}]_{\bar{s}_i}$ , where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$ ,  $s_i \in S$ . In this case, we write  $f \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$ .

- (b) We call  $S$  a **Gröbner-Shirshov basis** in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  with respect to  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  if, for all pairs  $f, g \in S$ , every intersection composition of the form  $\langle f, g \rangle_w^{u,v}$  is trivial modulo  $(S, w)$ , and every including composition of the form  $\langle f, g \rangle_w^q$  is trivial modulo  $(S, w)$ .

Expanding the approach in [5], we establish the Composition-Diamond lemma for operated Lie algebras with respect to an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  be an invariant monomial order on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  and  $f, g \in \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  monic. Then*

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w - (f, g)_w \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(\{f, g\}, w)},$$

where  $(f, g)_w$  and  $\equiv_{\text{ass}} 0$  are the composition of  $f$  and  $g$ , and the triviality modulo  $(\{f, g\}, w)$  in associative framework.

*Proof.* There are two cases to consider.

**Case 1.**  $w = \bar{f}u = v\bar{g}$  for some  $u, v \in \mathfrak{S}(Z)$ . Then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = [fu]_{\bar{f}} - [vg]_{\bar{g}} \text{ and } (f, g)_w = fu - vg.$$

By Corollary 3.4,

$$[fu]_{\bar{f}} - [vg]_{\bar{g}} = fu + \sum_i \alpha_i p_i|_f - vg - \sum_j \beta_j q_j|_g$$

for some  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $p_i, q_j \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  with  $w >_{\text{IM}} p_i|_{\bar{f}}$  and  $w >_{\text{IM}} q_j|_{\bar{g}}$ . Therefore

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w - (f, g)_w = \sum_i \alpha_i p_i|_f - \sum_j \beta_j q_j|_g \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(\{f, g\}, w)}.$$

**Case 2.**  $w = \bar{f} = q|_{\bar{g}}$  for  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$ . Then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = f - [q]_{\bar{g}} = f - q|_g - \sum_i \alpha_i q_i|_g$$

for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  with  $q|_{\bar{g}} >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_{\bar{g}}$ . Further by  $(f, g)_w = f - q|_g$ ,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w - (f, g)_w = - \sum_i \alpha_i q_i|_g \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(\{f, g\}, w)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  be an invariant monomial order on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  and  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  monic. Then  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  if and only if  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* By Definition 3.9 and Lemma 2.11, for any  $f, g \in S$ , they have compositions in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  if and only if so do in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ .

Assume that  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ . By Definition 3.10, for any composition  $\langle f, g \rangle_w$  from  $S$ , we obtain

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = \sum_i \alpha_i [q_i|_{s_i}]_{\bar{s}_i} \text{ for } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}, s_i \in S, q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$$

with  $w >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}$ . Further by Corollary 3.4,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = \sum_i \alpha_i [q_i|_{s_i}]_{\bar{s}_i} = \sum_i \alpha_i (q_i|_{s_i} + \sum_j \beta_{ij} q_{ij}|_{s_{ij}}) \text{ for } \beta_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}, s_{ij} \in S, q_{ij} \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z),$$

$$[q]_{\bar{f}}|_{\bar{f}} = q|_f + \sum_i \alpha_i q_i|_f, \text{ where } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}, q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), q|_{\bar{f}} >_{\text{IM}} q_i|_{\bar{f}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.11, we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w - (f, g)_w = \sum_i \alpha_i (q_i|_{s_i} + \sum_j \beta_{ij} q_{ij}|_{s_{ij}}) - (f, g)_w \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.$$

Hence  $\langle f, g \rangle_w \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$  and so  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ .

Conversely, supposed that  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ . For any composition  $\langle f, g \rangle_w$  from  $S$ , we have  $\langle f, g \rangle_w \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$ . Again by Lemma 3.11,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(S, w)},$$

and so  $\langle f, g \rangle_w \in \text{Id}(S)$ . Further by Composition-Diamond lemma for operated algebras in [5], write

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i q_i |_{s_i} \text{ for } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}, s_i \in S, q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$$

with  $w >_{\text{IM}} q_1 |_{s_1} >_{\text{IM}} \cdots >_{\text{IM}} q_n |_{s_n}$ . Since  $\langle f, g \rangle_w \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ ,  $\overline{\langle f, g \rangle_w} = q_1 |_{s_1} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ . Let

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w^0 := \langle f, g \rangle_w \text{ and } \langle f, g \rangle_w^1 := \langle f, g \rangle_w - \alpha_1 [q_1 |_{s_1}]_{s_1} \in \text{OLie}(Z).$$

Then  $\overline{\langle f, g \rangle_w} = q_1 |_{s_1} >_{\text{IM}} \overline{\langle f, g \rangle_w^1}$ . By Corollary 3.4 and  $\langle f, g \rangle_w \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$ , we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w^1 \equiv_{\text{ass}} 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.$$

Using induction on  $\langle f, g \rangle_w^n$ , we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w^n = \langle f, g \rangle_w^{n-1} - \beta_{n-1} [p_{n-1} |_{s_{n-1}}]_{s_{n-1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = \sum_i \beta_i [p_i |_{s_i}]_{s_i},$$

with  $w >_{\text{IM}} p_i |_{s_i}$ . This proves that  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  the Lie ideal of  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  generated by  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z)$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [q_i |_{s_i}]_{s_i} \mid n \geq 1, \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}, s_i \in S \text{ and } q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z) \right\}.$$

Define

$$\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) := \{[w] \mid w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z), w \neq q |_{s} \text{ for } s \in S \text{ and } q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)\}.$$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  be an invariant monomial order on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  and  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  monic. Then, for any  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ ,  $f$  has a representation:*

$$f = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] + \sum_j \beta_j [q_j |_{s_j}]_{s_j}$$

where each  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $s_i \in S$ ,  $[u_i] \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ ,  $\bar{f} \geq_{\text{IM}} u_i$  and  $\bar{f} \geq_{\text{IM}} q_j |_{s_j}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ , we can write  $f = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]$ , where each  $[u_i] \in \text{NLSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u_1 >_{\text{IM}} u_2 >_{\text{IM}} \cdots$ . If  $[u_1] \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , then let  $f_1 := f - \alpha_1 [u_1]$ . If  $[u_1] \notin \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , then there exists  $s \in S$  and  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  such that  $u_1 = q |_{s}$ . In this case, let

$$f_1 := f - [q |_{s}]_{s}.$$

Hence, in both cases, we have  $\bar{f} >_{\text{IM}} \bar{f}_1$ . Then the result follows from the induction on  $\bar{f}$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove that the following Composition-Diamond Lemma for operated Lie algebras.

**Lemma 3.14.** (Composition-Diamond lemma for operated Lie algebras) *Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set and  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  an invariant monomial order on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ . Let  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ .
- (b) For all  $f \neq 0$  in  $\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ ,  $\bar{f} = q |_{s} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$  for some  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$  and  $s \in S$ .
- (c)  $\text{OLie}(Z) = \mathbf{k}\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \oplus \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  and  $\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of  $\text{OLie}(Z)/\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ .



*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Notice that  $f \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \subseteq \text{Id}(S)$ , where  $\text{Id}(S)$  is the ideal of  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  generated by  $S$ . By Lemma 3.12 and the Composition-Diamond lemma for operated algebras in [5], we have  $\overline{f} = q|_{\overline{s}}$  for some  $s \in S$  and  $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) First, we show that elements in  $\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  are linear independent. Assume that  $\sum \alpha_i [u_i] = 0$  in  $\text{OLie}(Z)/\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  with  $u_1 >_{\text{IM}} u_2 >_{\text{IM}} \cdots$ , for each  $[u_i] \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . Then  $\sum \alpha_i [u_i] \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . If there exists the first non-zero coefficient  $\alpha_k$ , then  $\overline{\sum \alpha_i [u_i]} = u_k$ . Further by (b), we have  $u_k = q|_s \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$  for some  $s \in S$  and so  $[u_k] \notin \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Second, by Lemma 3.13, for any  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ , we have

$$f = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] + \sum_j \beta_j [q_i|_{s_i}]_{\overline{s_i}}, [u_i] \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S).$$

Therefore,

$$f + \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] + \sum_j \beta_j [q_i|_{s_i}]_{\overline{s_i}} + \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] + \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S), [u_i] \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S),$$

and so  $\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  is a linear basis of  $\text{OLie}(Z)/\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) For any composition  $\langle f, g \rangle_w$  of  $f, g \in S$ , we know that  $\langle f, g \rangle_w \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . By Lemma 3.13,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = \sum_j \beta_j [q_i|_{s_i}]_{\overline{s_i}},$$

where each  $\beta_j \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $s_i \in S$  and  $w >_{\text{IM}} \overline{\langle f, g \rangle_w} \geq_{\text{IM}} q_i|_{\overline{s_i}}$ . Therefore  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ .  $\square$

Then Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators can be formulated for Lie algebras as follows in terms of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq \text{OLie}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$  be a system of monic OLPIs. Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. We call  $\Phi$  a **Gröbner-Shirshov system** if  $S_\Phi$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ , where

$$S_\Phi = \{\phi(u_1, \dots, u_k) \mid u_1, \dots, u_k \in \text{OLie}(Z), \phi \in \Phi\} \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z).$$

**Problem 3.16.** (Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras via Gröbner-Shirshov bases) *Determine all Gröbner-Shirshov systems of OLPIs.*

**3.3. Equivalence of the two formulations.** In this subsection, we will establish a connection between the two formulations of Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras, stated in Problem 3.8 and Problem 3.16 respectively.

An element  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$  is called  **$S$ -reducible** if there exists  $g \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ ,  $g \neq f$ , such that  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} g$ . The following result gives a sufficient condition for terminating.

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $S$  be a monic set of  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ , and let  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  be the term-rewriting system from  $S$  in Eq. (10) with an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ . Then  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is terminating.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{f \in \text{OLie}(Z) \mid \text{there is an infinite } S\text{-reduction chain } f := f_0 \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} f_1 \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} \cdots\}.$$

We only need to prove that  $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is  $S$ -reducible for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  is a well-order on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ , the set

$$\mathcal{L} := \{L(f) := \max\{w \in \mathfrak{S}(Z) \mid [w] \text{ is a monomial of } f \text{ and } w \text{ is } S\text{-reducible}\}\}$$

is non-empty and has a least element  $w_0$ . We fix an  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $L(f) = w_0$  and fix an infinite  $S$ -reduction chain

$$f := f_0 \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} f_1 \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} \cdots.$$

Then we have  $f_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and hence  $S$ -reducible for all  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $w_i = L(f_i)$ . By the definition of  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$ ,  $w_0 \geq w_i \geq \cdots$ . Since every  $f_i$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $w_0$  is the least element in  $\mathcal{L}$ , we must have  $w_0 = w_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $g_i = f_i - \alpha_i[w_i]$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is the coefficient of  $w_i$  in  $f_i$ . Then we have the infinite reduction sequence  $g_0 \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} g_1 \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} \cdots$  and  $L(g_0) < L(f) = L(f_0)$ , by none of the  $[w_i]$  is involved in the  $S$ -reduction of the fixed sequence starting with  $f$ . This is a contradiction, showing that  $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Thanks to Lemma 3.17, we obtain

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $S$  be a monic set of  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ , and let  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  be the term-rewriting system from  $S$  in Eq. (10). With an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ .*

- (a) *If  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} g$  for  $f, g \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ , then  $f - g \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ .*
- (b)  $\text{OLie}(Z) = \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) + \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ .
- (c) *If  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is confluent, then  $f \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  if and only if  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} 0$ .*
- (d)  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is confluent if and only if  $\mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \cap \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) If  $f = g$ , then  $f - g \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . Suppose  $f \neq g$  and  $n \geq 1$  is the minimum number such that  $f$  rewrites to  $g$  in  $n$  steps. We prove the result by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , we write

$$f = \alpha[q|_{\bar{s}}] \dot{+} h \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} \alpha[R([q|_{\bar{s}}])] + h = g,$$

with  $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $h \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ . By Eq. (10),

$$f - g = \alpha[q|_{\bar{s}}] - \alpha[R([q|_{\bar{s}}])] = \alpha[q|_{\bar{s}}] \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S).$$

Assume that the result is true for  $n \geq 1$  and consider the case of  $n + 1$ . Then we have the following rewritings

$$f \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} f' \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} g$$

for some  $f \neq f' \in \text{OLie}(Z)$  and  $f'$  rewrites to  $g$  in  $n$  steps. By the induction hypothesis,  $f - f' \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  and  $f' - g \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . Thus  $f - g \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , as required.

(b) For any  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$ , by Lemma 3.17,  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is terminating. Thus there is  $g \in \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  such that  $f$  has a normal form  $g$ . By Item (a),  $f - g \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  and so  $f \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) + \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ .

(c) For  $f \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , by Eq. (11), we have

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}]_{\bar{s}_i}, \text{ where each } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}, s_i \in S \text{ and } q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z).$$

For each  $[q|_{\bar{s}_i}]_{\bar{s}_i}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we may write

$$[q|_{\bar{s}_i}]_{\bar{s}_i} = [q|_{\bar{s}_i}] \dot{+} (-[R([q|_{\bar{s}_i}])]).$$

Thus

$$\alpha_i [q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}]_{\bar{s}_i} = \alpha_i [q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}] - \alpha_i [R([q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}])] \rightarrow_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} \alpha_i [R([q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}])] - \alpha_i [R([q_i|_{\bar{s}_i}])] = 0,$$

and so  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} 0$ . Conversely, if  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} 0$ , then  $f$  is in  $\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  from Item (c).

(d) Suppose that  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is not confluent. By Lemma 3.17,  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is terminating. So there is an  $f \in \text{OLie}(Z)$  such that  $f$  has two distinct normal forms, say  $g$  and  $h$ . Then  $g, h \in \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  and so  $g - h \in \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . By Item (a),  $f - g \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  and  $f - h \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . Hence  $0 \neq g - h \in$

$\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \cap \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , this is a contradiction. Conversely, suppose  $\mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \cap \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq f \in \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \cap \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ . Since  $f \in \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ , it is in normal form. On the other hand, from  $f \in \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  and Item (c), we have  $f \xrightarrow{*}_{\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}} 0$ . Thus  $w$  has two normal forms  $f$  and  $0$ , contradicting that  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is confluent.  $\square$

Now we can give several equivalent conditions for Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $S$  be a monic set of  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ , and let  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  be the term-rewriting system from  $S$  in Eq. (10). With an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is convergent.
- (b)  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is confluent.
- (c)  $\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \oplus \mathbf{kIrr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) = \text{OLie}(Z)$ .
- (d)  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  with respect to  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.17,  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is terminating. Then Item (a) and Item (b) are equivalent. Further by Items (b) and (d) in Lemma 3.18, Item (b) and Item (c) are equivalent. The equivalence of Item (c) and Item (d) is obtained by Composition-Diamond Lemma 3.14.  $\square$

Now we are ready to give the relationship between the two formulations of Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras.

**Corollary 3.20.** *With an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ , the two formulations of Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras in Problem 3.8 and Problem 3.16 are equivalent.*

**3.4. Relationship between the program for associative algebras and for Lie algebras.** We now establish the relationship between Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for associative algebras and the one for Lie algebras.

**3.4.1. Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for associative algebras.** We first recall Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for associative algebras [12, 18, 41].

Let  $X$  be a set. Similar to the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}(X)$  in Section 2.1, we define the free operated monoid  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  by replacing  $S$  with  $M$ . Also analogous to  $\mathfrak{S}^*(X)$ , we can define  $\mathfrak{M}^*(X)$ . See [12] for more details. Let  $\phi \subseteq \mathbf{kM}(X)$ . We call  $\phi = 0$  (or simply  $\phi$ ) an **operated polynomial identity (OPI)**.

We now recall some basic concepts for operated algebras.

**Definition 3.21.** Let  $X$  be a set.

- (a) For an operated algebra  $(R, P)$ , we say that  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -**algebra** and  $P$  is a  $\phi$ -**operator**, if

$$\phi(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 0, \quad \text{for all } r_1, \dots, r_k \in R.$$

More generally, for a subset  $\Phi \subseteq \mathbf{kM}(X)$ , we call  $R$  (resp.  $P$ ) a  $\Phi$ -**algebra** (resp.  $\Phi$ -**operator**) if  $R$  (resp.  $P$ ) is a  $\phi$ -algebra (resp.  $\phi$ -operator) for each  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

- (b) Let  $R$  be an algebra. A linear operator  $P$  on  $R$  is called **algebraic** if there is  $0 \neq \Phi \subseteq \mathbf{kM}(X)$  such that  $P$  is a  $\Phi$ -operator.

**Definition 3.22.** Let  $\geq$  be a monomial order on  $\mathfrak{M}(Z)$  and  $S$  be a monic subset of  $\mathbf{kM}(Z)$ . We define

$$(12) \quad \Pi_S^{\text{ass}} := \{q|_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow q|_{R(s)} \mid q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(Z), s = \bar{s} - R(s) \in S\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(Z) \times \mathbf{kM}(Z).$$

**Definition 3.23.** Let  $X$  be a set, and let  $\Phi \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$  be a system of OPIs. We call  $\Phi$  **convergent** if  $\Pi_{\Phi}^{\text{ass}}$  is convergent.

On the one hand, Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators can be interpreted in terms of rewriting systems.

**Problem 3.24.** [12] (Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators via rewriting systems). *Determine all convergent systems of OPIs.*

On the other hand, Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators can also be interpreted in terms of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

**Problem 3.25.** [12] (Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators via Gröbner-Shirshov bases). *Determine all Gröbner-Shirshov bases systems of OPIs.*

The relationship between Problem 3.24 and Problem 3.25 has also been studied. For this, we need the relationship between a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of OPIs and a convergent rewriting system of OPIs. We denote by  $\text{Id}(S)$  the ideal of  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(Z)$  generated by  $S$ . Denote

$$\text{Irr}(S) := \left\{ w \mid w \in \mathfrak{M}(Z), w \neq q|_s \text{ for } s \in S \text{ and } q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(Z) \right\}.$$

**Lemma 3.26.** [12] *Let  $Z$  be a set, and let  $\geq$  be a monomial order on  $\mathfrak{M}(Z)$ . Let  $S$  be a monic subset of  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(Z)$ , and let  $\Pi_S^{\text{ass}}$  be the term-rewriting system from  $S$  in Eq. (12). Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\Pi_S^{\text{ass}}$  is convergent.
- (b)  $\Pi_S^{\text{ass}}$  is confluent.
- (c)  $\text{Id}(S) \cap \mathbf{k}\text{Irr}(S) = 0$ .
- (d)  $\text{Id}(S) \oplus \mathbf{k}\text{Irr}(S) = \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(Z)$ .
- (e)  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(Z)$  with respect to  $\geq$ .

**Remark 3.27.** If the set  $S$  does not contain  $\mathbf{1}$ , then we obtain a nonunital version of Lemma 3.26. This will be used later.

**Corollary 3.28.** [12] *With a monomial order on  $\mathfrak{M}(Z)$ , the two versions Problem 3.24 and Problem 3.25 of Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators are equivalent.*

3.4.2. *Relationship between Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators between two types of algebras.* Chen and Qiu [25] studied the relationship between Gröbner-Shirshov bases in free operated associative algebras and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in free operated algebras with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{DI}}$ . In fact this relationship also works with respect to an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .

For any element  $[w]$  in  $\text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ , there is a unique  $w$  in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ . Based on this, we have the following equivalence.

**Theorem 3.29.** *Let  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic. With an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  is convergent.
- (b)  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$ .
- (c)  $\Pi_S^{\text{ass}}$  is convergent.
- (d)  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ .

*In other words, under the above hypothesis, the Problems 3.8, 3.16, 3.24 and 3.25 are equivalent to each other with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.19, Lemma 3.26 and Remark 3.27, Item (a) is equivalent to Item (b) and Item (c) is equivalent to Item (d). Further by Lemma 3.12 and the order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ , Item (b) is equivalent to Item (d).  $\square$

**Remark 3.30.** Let  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  be monic OLPs. If  $S \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  give a “good” operator on an associative algebra via the classification in Problem 3.24 or Problem 3.25, then  $S \subseteq \text{OLie}(Z)$  also give a “good” operator on a Lie algebra via the classification in Problem 3.8 or Problem 3.16. See next section.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we apply Theorem 3.29 to find some OPLs that are Gröbner-Shirshov bases in free operated Lie algebras. They are related to two particular classes of operators, namely, those that generalize differential or Rota-Baxter operators respectively, were studied in [18, 41]. The first one is the class of differential type operators and the second one is the class of Rota-Baxter type operators.

4.1. **Differential type OPIs and OLPIs.** We first recall the notion of differential type OPIs.

**Definition 4.1.** [18] A **differential type OPI**, defining a differential type operator, is

$$\phi(x, y) := \llbracket xy \rrbracket - N(x, y),$$

where

- (a)  $N(x, y)$  is multi-linear in  $x$  and  $y$ ;
- (b)  $N(x, y)$  is a normal  $\phi$ -form, that is,  $N(x, y)$  does not contain any subword of the form  $\llbracket uv \rrbracket$ , for any non-units  $u, v \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ ;
- (c) For any set  $Z$  with  $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{M}(Z) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ ,  $N(uv, w) - N(u, vw) \xrightarrow{\ast}_{\Pi_{\phi}^{\text{ass}}} 0$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Condition (a) is imposed so that the operators are linear. Condition (b) is needed to avoid infinite rewriting under  $\Pi_{\phi}$ . Condition (c) is needed so that the rewriting  $\llbracket uv \rrbracket \rightarrow N(u, v)$  is compatible with the associativity  $(uv)w = u(vw)$ .

A classification of differential type OPIs was proposed in [18].

**Theorem 4.3.** (Classification of differential type operators) [18] *Let  $a, b, c, e \in \mathbf{k}$ . The OPI  $\phi(x, y) := \llbracket xy \rrbracket - N(x, y)$ , where  $N(x, y)$  is taken from the list below, is of differential type.*

- (a)  $b(x\llbracket y \rrbracket + \llbracket x \rrbracket y) + c\llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket + exy$  where  $b^2 = b + ce$ ,
- (b)  $ce^2yx + exy + c\llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket - ce(y\llbracket x \rrbracket + \llbracket y \rrbracket x)$ ,
- (c)  $\sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{ij} \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket^i xy \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket^j$  with the convention that  $\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket^0 = \mathbf{1}$ ,
- (d)  $x\llbracket y \rrbracket + \llbracket x \rrbracket y + ax\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket y + bxy$ ,
- (e)  $\llbracket x \rrbracket y + a(x\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket y - xy\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket)$ ,
- (f)  $x\llbracket y \rrbracket + a(x\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket y - \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket xy)$ .

It was conjectured that this list includes all differential type operators.

Parallel to Definition 4.1, we propose the following concept in the context of Lie algebras.

**Definition 4.4.** A **differential type OLPI** is

$$\phi(x, y) := \llbracket \llbracket xy \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket N(x, y) \rrbracket \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\}),$$

with  $x > y$  such that

- (a)  $\llbracket N(x, y) \rrbracket$  is multi-linear in  $x$  and  $y$ ;

- (b)  $[N(x, y)]$  is a normal  $\phi$ -form, that is,  $[N(x, y)]$  does not contain subwords  $[[[u][v]]]$  for any  $u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(\{x, y\})$  with  $u >_{\text{IM}} v$ ;
- (c) For any well-ordered set  $Z$  with  $u >_{\text{IM}} v >_{\text{IM}} w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ ,

$$[N([[u][w]], [v])] - [N([[u][v]], [w])] + [N([u], [[v][w]])] \xrightarrow{\ast} \Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} 0,$$

where

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} := \left\{ [q|_{[uv]}] \rightarrow R([q|_{\phi(u,v)}]_{[uv]}) \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^\star(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v \right\}.$$

A linear operator on a Lie algebra satisfying a differential type OLPI is called a **differential type operator** on a Lie algebra.

**Remark 4.5.** Condition (c) is needed so that the rewriting  $[[uv]] \rightarrow [N(u, v)]$  is compatible with the Jacobi identity.

Now we propose

**Problem 4.6.** (Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras: the differential case) *Find all OLPIs of differential type by finding all expressions  $[N(x, y)] \in \text{OLie}(X)$  of differential type.*

We now characterize differential type operators in terms of rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in the free operated Lie algebras and the free operated associative algebras.

Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Define  $z^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{S}(Z)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , recursively by

$$z^{(0)} := 0, z^{(n+1)} := [z^{(n)}] \text{ for } m \geq 0.$$

Denote

$$(13) \quad \Delta(Z) := \{z^{(n)} \mid z \in Z, n \geq 0\}.$$

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let*

$$\phi(x, y) = [[xy]] - [N(x, y)] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\}) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(\{x, y\}),$$

with  $x > y$  and  $[N(x, y)]$  satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) in Definition 4.4. Let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  be an invariant monomial order satisfying  $\phi(u, v) = [uv]$  for  $u >_{\text{IM}} v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$  (such as  $\geq_{\text{dt}}$  given in Example 2.9). The following statements are equivalent.

- (a)  $\phi(x, y) = [[xy]] - [N(x, y)] (\in \mathbf{k}\text{OLie}(\{x, y\}))$  is a differential type OLPI.
- (b) The term-rewriting system induced in Eq. (10):

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} = \left\{ [q|_{[uv]}] \rightarrow R([q|_{\phi(u,v)}]_{[uv]}) \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^\star(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v \right\}$$

is convergent.

- (c)  $S_\phi = \{[[[u][v]]] - [N([u], [v])] \mid u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v\}$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  with respect to the monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .
- (d) The free  $\phi$ -Lie algebra on  $Z$  is the free Lie algebra  $\mathbf{k}\text{NLSW}(\Delta(Z))$  on  $\Delta(Z)$  together with the operator  $d$  on  $\mathbf{k}\text{NLSW}(\Delta(Z))$ . Here  $\Delta(Z)$  is given in Eq. (13), and  $d$  on  $\mathbf{k}\text{NLSW}(\Delta(Z))$  defined by the following recursion:

For any  $[u] = [[u_1] \cdots [u_m]] \in \text{NLSW}(\Delta(Z))$  with  $u_i \in \Delta(Z)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$

- (i) if  $m = 1$ , then  $[u] = z^{(n)} \in \Delta(Z)$  for some  $n \geq 0$  and  $z \in Z$ , define  $d([u]) := z^{(n+1)}$ ;
- (ii) if  $m > 1$ , then recursively define  $d([u]) := [N([u_1], [u_2] \cdots [u_m])]$ .

- (e)  $S_\phi = \{\llbracket uv \rrbracket - N(u, v) \mid u, v \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)\}$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .
- (f) The term-rewriting system

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{ass}} = \{q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} \rightarrow q|_{N(u,v)} \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)\}$$

is convergent.

- (g)  $\phi(x, y) = \llbracket xy \rrbracket - N(x, y) (\in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(\{x, y\}))$  is a differential type OPI.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.29, Item (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (e)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (f). Further by [18, Theorem 5.7] and Remark 3.27, Item (f)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (g).

Now we prove Item (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (c). Note that  $\overline{\phi(x, y)} = \llbracket xy \rrbracket$  by our assumption. There are no intersection compositions and only three kinds of including compositions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi(q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket}, w), \phi(u, v) \rangle_{\llbracket q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} w \rrbracket} \text{ for } u >_{\text{IM}} v, q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} >_{\text{IM}} w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), \\ &\langle \phi(u, q|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket}), \phi(v, w) \rangle_{\llbracket uq|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \rrbracket} \text{ for } v >_{\text{IM}} w, u >_{\text{IM}} q|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), \\ &\langle \phi(uv, w), \phi(u, vw) \rangle_{\llbracket uvw \rrbracket} \text{ for } u >_{\text{IM}} v >_{\text{IM}} w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

The trivialities of the first two intersection compositions follow from

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi(q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket}, w), \phi(u, v) \rangle_{\llbracket q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} w \rrbracket} \\ &= \phi(q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket}, w) - \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} \\ &= -[N(\llbracket q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)] + \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket q|_{N(u,v)} \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \\ &\equiv -[N(\llbracket q|_{N(u,v)} \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)] + [N(\llbracket q|_{N(u,v)} \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)] \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, \llbracket q|_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} w \rrbracket)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi(u, q|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket}), \phi(v, w) \rangle_{\llbracket uq|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \rrbracket} \\ &= \phi(u, q|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket}) - \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \\ &= -[N(\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket q|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \rrbracket)] + \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{N(v,w)} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \\ &\equiv -[N(\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket q|_{N(v,w)} \rrbracket)] + [N(\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket q|_{N(v,w)} \rrbracket)] \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, \llbracket uq|_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \rrbracket)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi(uv, w), \phi(u, vw) \rangle_{\llbracket uvw \rrbracket} \\ &= \llbracket \phi(uv, w) \rrbracket_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} - \llbracket \phi(u, vw) \rrbracket_{\llbracket vw \rrbracket} \\ &= \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket - [N(\llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)] + [N(\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis if and only if the composition

$$\langle \phi(uv, w), \phi(u, vw) \rangle_{\llbracket uvw \rrbracket} = \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket - [N(\llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)] + [N(\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket)]$$

is trivial modulo  $(S, \llbracket uvw \rrbracket)$ , that is

$$(14) \quad \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket - [N(\llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)] + [N(\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket)] = \sum_i \alpha_i [q_i]_{s_i}^{\overline{s_i}},$$

where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z)$ ,  $s_i \in S$  and  $[q_i]_{s_i}^{\overline{s_i}} <_{dt} \llbracket uvw \rrbracket$ . Since

$$\llbracket \llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket - [N(\llbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \rrbracket, \llbracket v \rrbracket)] = \llbracket \llbracket uvw \rrbracket \rrbracket_{uv} = \llbracket \llbracket \star v \rrbracket_{uv} \rrbracket_{\overline{\phi(u,w)}},$$

and  $\lfloor uvw \rfloor <_{dt} \lfloor uvw \rfloor$ , Eq. (14) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} & \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor - [N(\lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor, \lfloor w \rfloor)] + [N(\lfloor u \rfloor, \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor)] \\ &= \left( [N(\lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor, \lfloor v \rfloor)] + \lfloor \star v \rfloor_{\phi(u,w)} \right) - [N(\lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor, \lfloor w \rfloor)] + [N(\lfloor u \rfloor, \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor)] \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \lfloor q_i \rfloor_{\bar{s}_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this condition is equivalent to that

$$[N(\lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor, \lfloor v \rfloor)] - [N(\lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor, \lfloor w \rfloor)] + [N(\lfloor u \rfloor, \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor)]$$

is reduced to zero by the rewriting system  $\Pi_S^{\text{Lie}}$  defined in Eq. (10), and is equivalent to that  $\phi(x, y)$  is of differential type.

Item (c)  $\iff$  Item (d) follows from Lemma 3.14 and  $\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) = \text{NLSW}(\Delta(Z))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** In Theorem 4.7, the invariant monomial order can taken to be  $\geq_{dt}$  [18], but can't be  $\geq_{DI}$  [25]. For example, for  $\phi(u, v) = \lfloor \lfloor uv \rfloor \rfloor - \lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor - \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor$  with  $u >_{DI} v$ , we have  $\phi(u, v) = \lfloor u \rfloor v$ , as  $\lfloor u \rfloor v >_{DI} u \lfloor v \rfloor >_{DI} \lfloor uv \rfloor$ .

**Definition 4.9.** The **operator degree**  $\text{deg}_p(u)$  of a monomial  $u$  in  $\text{OLie}(X)$  is the total number that the operator  $\lfloor \ ]$  appears in the monomial. The **operator degree** of a polynomial  $f$  in  $\text{OLie}(X)$  is the maximum of the operator degrees of the monomials appearing in  $f$ .

Next we try to find all differential type OLPs under a restriction on the number of operators. Since  $\mathbf{1}$  is not in  $\text{OLie}(X)$ , we need to remove the cases for associative algebras involving  $\mathbf{1}$  in Theorem 4.3 and propose the following answer to the Problem 4.6 in the case of operator degree not exceeding two.

**Conjecture 4.10.** (Classification of differential type OLPs) *Let  $a, b, c, e \in \mathbf{k}$ . Every expressions  $[N(x, y)] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$  of differential type takes one (or more) of the forms below for  $x > y$*

- (a)  $b(\lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor) + \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor y + c(\lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor) + e[xy]$  where  $b^2 = b + ce$ ,
- (b)  $ce^2 \lfloor yx \rfloor + e[xy] + c(\lfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor x \rfloor) - ce(\lfloor y \rfloor \lfloor x \rfloor) + \lfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor x$ .

By Theorems 4.3 and 4.7 and the fact that  $\mathbf{1} \notin \text{OLie}(X)$ , we have

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $[N(x, y)] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\}) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(\{x, y\})$  be from the list in Conjecture 4.10. Then all the statements in Theorem 4.7 hold.*

**4.2. Rota-Baxter type OPIs and OLPs.** Similar to the case of differential type, the classification of Rota-Baxter type OPIs was also studied.

**Definition 4.12.** [41] A **Rota-Baxter type OPI**, defining a Rota-Baxter type operator, is

$$\phi(x, y) := \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor - \lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor,$$

where

- (a)  $\lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor$  is multi-linear in  $x$  and  $y$ ;
- (b)  $\lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor$  is a normal  $\phi$ -form, that is,  $B(x, y)$  does not contain any subword of the form  $\lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor$ , for any  $u, v \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ ;
- (c) The term-rewriting system  $\Pi_\phi$  is terminating;
- (d) For any set  $Z$  with  $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{M}(Z)$ ,  $B(B(u, v), w) - B(u, B(v, w)) \xrightarrow{\Pi_\phi^*} 0$ .

For Rota-Baxter type operators with low operator orders, we have



**Theorem 4.13.** [41] (Classification of Rota-Baxter type operators) *For any  $c, \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ ,  $\phi := \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor - \lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor$ , where  $B(x, y)$  is taken from the list below, is of Rota-Baxter type.*

- (a)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor$  (average operator),
- (b)  $\lfloor x \rfloor y$  (inverse average operator),
- (c)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + y \lfloor x \rfloor$ ,
- (d)  $\lfloor x \rfloor y + \lfloor y \rfloor x$ ,
- (e)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y - \lfloor xy \rfloor$  (Nijenhuis operator),
- (f)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y + \lambda xy$  (Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$ ),
- (g)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor - x \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y + \lambda xy$ ,
- (h)  $\lfloor x \rfloor y - x \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y + \lambda xy$ ,
- (i)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y - x \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y + \lambda xy$  (generalized Leroux TD operator with weight  $\lambda$ ),
- (j)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y - xy \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor - x \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y + \lambda xy$ ,
- (k)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y - x \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y - \lfloor xy \rfloor + \lambda xy$ ,
- (l)  $x \lfloor y \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y - x \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y - \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor xy + \lambda xy$ ,
- (m)  $cx \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor y + \lambda xy$  (generalized endomorphisms),
- (n)  $cy \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor x + \lambda yx$  (generalized antimorphisms).

This list of Rota-Baxter type operators may not be complete. See [41] for more details. Next we turn to Rota-Baxter type OLPIs.

**Definition 4.14.** A Rota-Baxter type OLPI is a

$$\phi(x, y) := \lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \rfloor - \lfloor \lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor \rfloor \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$$

with  $x > y$  such that

- (a)  $\lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor$  is multi-linear in  $x$  and  $y$ ;
- (b)  $\lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor$  is a normal  $\phi$ -form, that is,  $\lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor$  does not contain the subword  $\lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \rfloor$  for any  $u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(X)$  with  $u >_{\text{IM}} v$ ;
- (c) The term-rewriting system

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} = \{[q]_{\lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor} \rightarrow [R([q]_{\phi(u,v)}]_{\lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor}) \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v\}$$

is terminating;

- (d) For any well-ordered set  $Z$  with  $u >_{\text{IM}} v >_{\text{IM}} w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z)$ ,

$$\lfloor B(\lfloor B(\lfloor u \rfloor, \lfloor w \rfloor), \lfloor v \rfloor) \rfloor - \lfloor B(\lfloor B(\lfloor u \rfloor, \lfloor v \rfloor), \lfloor w \rfloor) \rfloor + \lfloor B(\lfloor u \rfloor, \lfloor B(\lfloor v \rfloor, \lfloor w \rfloor)) \rfloor \xrightarrow{*} \Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} 0,$$

where

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} = \{[q]_{\lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor} \rightarrow R([q]_{\phi(u,v)}]_{\lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor}) \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq \text{IM}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v\}.$$

A linear operator on a Lie algebra that satisfies a Rota-Baxter type OLPI is called a **Rota-Baxter type operator** on a Lie algebra.

**Remark 4.15.** The condition (d) in Definition 4.12 is to ensure  $(\lfloor u \rfloor \lfloor v \rfloor) \lfloor w \rfloor = \lfloor u \rfloor (\lfloor v \rfloor \lfloor w \rfloor)$ ; while the above condition (d) is for the consistence with the Jacobi identity

$$\lfloor \lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor w \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor w \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor + \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor u \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor w \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \lfloor \lfloor \lfloor v \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor.$$

**Problem 4.16.** (Rota's Program on Algebraic Operators for Lie algebras: the Rota-Baxter case) *Find all OLPIs of Rota-Baxter type by finding all expressions  $\lfloor B(x, y) \rfloor \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$  of Rota-Baxter type.*

We give some criteria for Rota-Baxter type OLPIs.

**Theorem 4.17.** *Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let*

$$\phi(x, y) = \llbracket [x][y] \rrbracket - \llbracket [B(x, y)] \rrbracket \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\}) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(\{x, y\}),$$

with  $x > y$  and  $\phi(x, y)$  satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) in Definition 4.14. Let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  be an invariant monomial order satisfying  $\overline{\phi(u, v)} = \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket$  for  $u >_{\text{IM}} v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$  (such as  $\geq_{\text{DI}}$  in Example 2.8). The following statements are equivalent.

- (a)  $\phi(x, y) = \llbracket [x][y] \rrbracket - \llbracket [B(x, y)] \rrbracket \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$  is a Rota-Baxter type OLPI.
- (b) The term-rewriting system

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} = \left\{ [q]_{\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket} \rightarrow R([q]_{\phi(u, v)})_{\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket} \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v \right\}$$

is convergent.

- (c) The set  $S_\phi = \{ \llbracket \llbracket [u] \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket [v] \rrbracket \rrbracket - \llbracket [B([u], [v])] \rrbracket \mid u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v \}$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .
- (d) The set

$\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) := \{ [w] \mid w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), w \neq q]_{\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket} \text{ for } q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v \}$   
is a linear basis of the free  $\phi$ -Lie algebra  $\text{OLie}(Z)/\text{Id}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$ .

- (e)  $S_\phi = \{ \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket - [B(u, v)] \mid u, v \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z) \}$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  with respect to the order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .
- (f) The term-rewriting system

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{ass}} = \{ q]_{\llbracket uv \rrbracket} \rightarrow q]_{\llbracket B(u, v) \rrbracket} \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z) \}$$

is convergent.

- (g)  $\phi(x, y) = \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket - [B(x, y)] \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(\{x, y\})$  is of Rota-Baxter type OPI.

*Proof.* Theorem 3.29 gives the equivalences

$$\text{Item (b)} \Leftrightarrow \text{Item (c)} \Leftrightarrow \text{Item (e)} \Leftrightarrow \text{Item (f)}.$$

Also [41, Corollary 3.13] yields Item (f)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (g). Further, Item (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (d) follows from Lemma 3.14 and our assumption that  $\overline{\phi(u, v)} = \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket$  for  $u >_{\text{IM}} v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$ .

We finally prove Item (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Item (c). As the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7, we only expose one type of including composition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(uv, w), \phi(u, vw) \rangle_{\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket} \\ &= [\phi(uv, w)]_{\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket} - [\phi(u, vw)]_{\llbracket v \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket} \\ &= [\llbracket \llbracket [u] \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket [w] \rrbracket \rrbracket \llbracket [v] \rrbracket \rrbracket - [B([B([u], [v])], [w])] + [B([u], [B([v], [w])])] \\ &= [B([B([u], [w])], [v])] - [B([B([u], [v])], [w])] + [B([u], [B([v], [w])])] + [\star]_{\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket} \overline{\phi(u, w)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $u >_{\text{IM}} v >_{\text{IM}} w \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$  and  $\llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket >_{\text{IM}} \llbracket u \rrbracket \llbracket w \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.18.** Note that in the above Theorem 4.17 (d), the space  $\mathbf{k}\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  can be view as the free  $\phi$ -Lie algebra with the operator  $P := \llbracket \ ]$  and with the multiplication  $\{-, -\}$  on  $\mathbf{k}\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  defined on  $\text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S)$  as follows and extended by bilinearity:

For any  $[u], [v] \in \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \subseteq \text{NLSW}(\Delta(Z))$ ,

- (a) if either  $u \in \text{NLSW}(X)$  or  $v \in \text{NLSW}(Z)$ , then define  $\{[u][v]\} := \llbracket [u][v] \rrbracket$ ;
- (b) if  $u = [u']$  and  $v = [v']$  are both in  $\llbracket \text{Irr}_{\text{Lie}}(S) \rrbracket$ , then define  $\{[u][v]\} := \llbracket [B([u'], [v'])] \rrbracket$ ;
- (c) if  $[u] = \llbracket [u_1] \rrbracket \cdots \llbracket [u_m] \rrbracket$ ,  $[v] = \llbracket [v_1] \rrbracket \cdots \llbracket [v_n] \rrbracket$  with  $m > 1$  or  $n > 1$ , the recursively define

$$\{[u][v]\} := \llbracket [u_1] \rrbracket \cdots \llbracket [u_{m-1}] \rrbracket \{[u_m][v_1]\} \cdots \llbracket [v_n] \rrbracket.$$

**Corollary 4.19.** *Let  $[B(x, y)] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\}) \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(\{x, y\})$  be from the following list. For any  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ , the expressions  $[B(x, y)] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$  in the list below are of Rota-Baxter type, for  $x > y$*

- (a)  $[x[y]]$  (average operator),
- (b)  $[[x]y]$  (inverse average operator),
- (c)  $[[x]y] + [[y]x]$ ,
- (d)  $[x[y]] + [y[x]]$ ,
- (e)  $[x[y]] + [[x]y] - [[xy]]$  (Nijenhuis operator),
- (f)  $[[x]y] + [x[y]] + \lambda[xy]$  (Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$ ).

Then any (and hence all) the statements in Theorem 4.17 hold.

*Proof.* Note that the list in the corollary is obtained from the list in Theorem 4.13 by only taking the ones without **1**. Thus the corollary follows from Theorems 4.13 and 4.17, and the fact that **1** is not in  $\text{OLie}(X)$ .  $\square$

A natural question is to determine whether these are all the Rota-Baxter type OPLIs.

**4.3. Modified Rota-Baxter OLPI.** To give applications beyond the differential type and Rota-Baxter type OLPIs, we consider the **modified Rota-Baxter OLPI** of weight  $\lambda$ , defined to be

$$\phi(x, y) = [[x][y]] - [[x[y]]] - [[x]y] - \lambda[xy] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\}),$$

with  $x > y$ . When  $\lambda = -1$ , the modified Rota-Baxter Lie algebra is a Lie algebra  $L$  equipped with a linear map  $P : L \rightarrow L$  satisfying

$$[P(x)P(y)] = P([P(x)y]) + P([xP(y)]) - [xy] \text{ for } x, y \in L,$$

called the **modified Yang-Baxter equation** [6, 21, 32].

**Theorem 4.20.** *Let*

$$\phi(x, y) = [[x][y]] - [[x[y]]] - [[x]y] - \lambda[xy] \in \text{OLie}(\{x, y\})$$

*be an OLPI with  $x > y$ . Let  $Z$  be a well-ordered set. Let  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  be an invariant monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  satisfying  $\overline{\phi(u, v)} = [u][v]$  for  $u >_{\text{IM}} v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z)$  (such as  $\geq_{\text{DI}}$  [25] in Example 2.8). The following equivalent statements hold.*

- (a)  $S_\phi = \{[[[u]][[v]]] - [[u][[v]]] - [[[[u]][v]]] - \lambda[[u][v]] \mid u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v\}$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\text{OLie}(Z)$  with respect to the monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .

- (b) The term-rewriting system

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{Lie}} = \{[q]_{[[u][v]]} \rightarrow R([q]_{\phi(u, v)})_{[[u][v]]} \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \text{ALSBW}_{\geq_{\text{IM}}}(Z), u >_{\text{IM}} v\}$$

*is convergent.*

- (c)  $S_\phi = \{[u][v] - [u[v]] - [[u]v] - \lambda uv \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{S}(Z)\}$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(Z)$  with respect to the monomial order  $\geq_{\text{IM}}$ .

- (d) The term-rewriting system

$$\Pi_{S_\phi}^{\text{ass}} = \{[q]_{[[u][v]]} \rightarrow q|_{[[u][v]] + [u[v]] + \lambda uv} \mid q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(Z), u, v \in \mathfrak{S}(Z)\}$$

*is convergent.*

*Proof.* The equivalence of the four statements follows from Theorem 3.29. By [12, Theorem 4.6], statement (c) and hence the other statements hold.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12071191, 11861051), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (Grant No. 20JR5RA249) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020MA002).

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Baader and T. Nipkow, Term Rewriting and All That, Cambridge University Press, 1998. [12](#), [13](#)
- [2] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010) 553-596. [3](#)
- [3] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731-742. [2](#)
- [4] L. A. Bokut and Y. Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: after A.I. Shirshov, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **31** (2007), 1057-1076. [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [5] L.A. Bokut, Y. Chen, J. Qiu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operations and free Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **214** (2010), 89-100. [14](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [6] M. Bordemann, Generalized Lax pairs, the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation, and affine geometry of Lie groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* (1990) 201-216. [3](#), [27](#)
- [7] J. Cariněna, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, Quantum bi-hamiltonian systems, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A.* **15** (2000), 4797-4810. [3](#)
- [8] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization, and noncommutative geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1998), 203-242. [2](#)
- [9] M. Cotlar, A unified theory of Hilbert transforms and ergodic theorems, *Revista Matematica Cuyana* **1** (1955), 05-167. [2](#)
- [10] A. Das, Deformations of associative Rota-Baxter operators, *J. Algebra* **560** (2020), 144-180. [3](#)
- [11] A. Frölicher and A. Nijenhuis, Theory of vector valued differential forms. Part I, *Indag. Math.* **18** (1956) 338-360. [3](#)
- [12] X. Gao and L. Guo, Rota's Classification Problem, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *Journal of Algebra* **470** (2017), 219-253. [3](#), [6](#), [11](#), [12](#), [19](#), [20](#), [27](#)
- [13] X. Gao, L. Guo and H. Zhang, Rota's program on algebraic operators, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *Adv in Math (China)*, to appear, arXiv:2108.11823 [2](#)
- [14] X. Gao, L. Guo and Y. Zhang, Matching Rota-Baxter algebras, matching dendriform algebras and matching pre-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **552** (2020), 134-170. [3](#)
- [15] L. Guo, Operated semigroups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics* **29** (2009), 35-62. [2](#)
- [16] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press and Higher Education Press, 2012. [2](#)
- [17] L. Guo, H. Lang and Y. Sheng, Integration and geometrization of Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, *Adv. Math* **387** (2021), 107834. [3](#)
- [18] L. Guo, W. Sit and R. Zhang, Differential type operators and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **52** (2013) 97-123. [3](#), [6](#), [7](#), [11](#), [19](#), [21](#), [23](#), [24](#)
- [19] J. Kampéde Fériet, L'état actuel du problème de la turbulance (**I** and **II**), *La Sci. Aérienne* **3** (1934), 9-34, **4** (1935), 12-52. [2](#)
- [20] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35-81. [3](#)
- [21] B. A. Kupershmidt, What a classical  $r$ -matrix really is, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **6** (1999), 448-488. [3](#), [27](#)
- [22] A.G. Kurosh, Free sums of multiple operator algebras, *Siberian. Math. J.* **1**(1960), 62-70. [2](#)
- [23] A. Lazarev, Y. Sheng and R. Tang, Deformations and Homotopy Theory of Relative Rota-Baxter Lie Algebras, *Comm Math Phys.* **383** (2021), 595-631. [3](#)
- [24] A. Nijenhuis,  $X_{n-1}$ -forming sets of eigenvectors. *Indag. Math.* **13** (1951) 200-212. [3](#)
- [25] J. Qiu and Y. Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Lie  $\Omega$ -algebras and free Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, *J. Alg. Appl.* **16** (2017), 1750190. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [9](#), [11](#), [20](#), [24](#), [27](#)
- [26] C. Reutenauer, Free Lie algebras, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. [4](#), [5](#)
- [27] O. Reynolds, On the dynamic theory of incompressible viscous fluids, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A.* **136** (1895), 123-164. [2](#)
- [28] G.-C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, in Joseph P.S.Kung, Editor, Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries, Birkhäuser, Boston, 504-512, 1995. [2](#)

- [29] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 325-329, 330-334. [2](#)
- [30] A.G. Reyman, M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Reduction of Hamilton systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations, *Invent. Math.* **54** (1979) 81-100. [3](#), [10](#)
- [31] A.G. Reyman, M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Reduction of Hamilton systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations II, *Invent. Math.* **63** (1981) 423-432. [3](#), [10](#)
- [32] M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical R-matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **17** (1983), 259-272. [3](#), [10](#), [27](#)
- [33] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.* **3** (1962), 292-296 (in Russian); *English translation in SIGSAM Bull.* **33** (2) (1999), 3-6. [4](#), [5](#)
- [34] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Deformations and their controlling cohomologies of  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **368** (2019), 665-700. [3](#)
- [35] F. G. Tricomi, On the finite Hilbert transformation, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **2** (1951), 199-211. [2](#)
- [36] K. Uchino, Twisting on associative algebras and Rota-Baxter type operators, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **4** (2010), 349-379. [3](#)
- [37] X. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Free modified Rota-Baxter algebra and Hopf algebra, *International Electronic J. Alg.* **25** (2019), 12-34. [10](#)
- [38] X. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Modified Rota-Baxter algebras, shuffle products and Hopf algebras, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **42** (2019), 3047-3072. [10](#)
- [39] Y. Zhang and X. Gao, Free Rota-Baxter family algebras and (tri)dendriform family algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **301** (2019), 741-766. [3](#)
- [40] Y. Zhang, X. Gao and D. Manchon, Free (tri)dendriform family algebras. *J. Algebra* **547** (2020), 456-493. [3](#)
- [41] S. Zheng, X. Gao, L. Guo and W. Sit, Rota-Baxter type operators, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Symbolic Computation*, accepted, arXiv:1412.8055v1. [3](#), [11](#), [12](#), [19](#), [21](#), [24](#), [25](#), [26](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730000, P. R. CHINA  
*Email address:* zhanghh17@lzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730000, P. R. CHINA  
*Email address:* gaoxing@lzu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, NJ 07102, USA  
*Email address:* liguo@rutgers.edu