

Binaural Audio Rendering in the Spherical Harmonic Domain: A Summary of the Mathematics and Its Pitfalls

Jens Ahrens

Technical note* v. 1, Feb. 2022

Chalmers University of Technology

`jens.ahrens@chalmers.se`

Abstract

The present document reviews the mathematics behind binaural rendering of sound fields that are available as spherical harmonic expansion coefficients. This process is also known as binaural ambisonic decoding. We highlight that the details entail some amount peculiarity so that one has to be well aware of the precise definitions that are chosen for some of the involved quantities to obtain a consistent formulation.

1 Introduction

Any sound presentation method that uses loudspeakers can also be used for binaural rendering simply by using head-related transfer functions (HRTFs) as virtual loudspeakers. This approach has also been used in the context of ambisonics in order to achieve what is often referred to as *binaural decoding* in (Jot et al., 1998; Wiggins et al., 2001; Noisternig et al., 2003) and in a more elaborate way in (Duraishwami et al., 2005). The ambisonic signals that are being decoded originate usually from a microphone array. Very typical are spherical microphone arrays with a rigid baffle (Zotter and Frank, 2019).

More recent approaches to binaural rendering of such ambisonic sound scenes – or spherical harmonic (SH) representations of sound scenes – use a different formulation that does not employ discrete virtual loudspeakers but a continuous distribution thereof (Menzies and Al-Akaidi, 2007; Rafaely and Avni, 2010; Bernschütz, 2016). One also speaks of binaural decoding or binaural rendering *in the SH domain*. Conceptually, these methods may be summarized as follows: The SH representation of the captured sound field provides information on what the sound field is; the HRTFs represent how the human physiology influences a sound field on its way to the ear canal entrances. The methods then establish a mathematical formulation that ‘applies’ the HRTFs on the captured sound field. The result is the signals that would arise at the ears of a listener when he/she were exposed to the sound field that is represented by the SH signals. The listener is virtually placed into the sound field.

We review this more recent rendering method in this document in the variant proposed in (Rafaely and Avni, 2010). The purpose of this document is summarizing the mathematical formulation in one single place with consistent nomenclature and definitions of the building blocks. We highlight what alternative definitions of some of the building blocks are commonly used, and how the presented formulation needs to be adapted if those are being employed. Mastering this will allow for arbitrarily combining the work from different authors while maintaining a consistent formulation.

2 Spherical Harmonic Decomposition by Means of Spherical Microphone Arrays

Any square-integrable function $S(\beta, \alpha)$ that is defined on the surface of a sphere as a function of the spatial coordinates colatitude¹ β and azimuth α can be expressed as a series of surface spherical harmonics

*Find more technical notes at <http://www.ta.chalmers.se/education/texts-on-acoustics/>

¹The colatitude is also referred to as *polar angle* or *zenith angle*. Note that it is not elevation!

$Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)$ as (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005)

$$S(\beta, \alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \mathring{S}_{n,m} Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha) . \quad (1)$$

$\mathring{S}_{n,m}$ are termed the SH coefficients of $S(\beta, \alpha)$.

We assume that $Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)$ is defined as (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005, Eq. (2.1.59))

$$Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{4\pi} \frac{(n-|m|)!}{(n+|m|)!}} P_n^{|m|}(\cos \beta) e^{im\alpha} , \quad (2)$$

in which $P_n^{|m|}(\cdot)$ are the associated Legendre functions², i is the imaginary unit. Note that there are several alternative viable definitions of $Y_{n,m}(\cdot)$ including purely real ones. We highlight at this point that the formulation of some of the results derived below depends to some extent on the definition of $Y_{n,m}(\cdot)$. We refer the reader to Sec. 4.3 for a discussion of this.

The coefficients $\mathring{S}_{n,m}$ are obtained from

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m} = \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} S(\beta, \alpha) Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)^* d\Omega . \quad (5)$$

The asterisk $*$ denotes complex conjugation. Ω is an infinitesimal surface element of the unit sphere S^2 (Sorry for using S here again. It's the standard symbol for the unit sphere.). The integration over the surface of the unit sphere in (5) is explicitly performed as

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} S(\beta, \alpha) Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)^* \cos \beta d\beta d\alpha . \quad (6)$$

In the remainder, we assume that the quantity $S(\cdot)$ is frequency dependent, i.e., $S(\beta, \alpha) = S(\beta, \alpha, \omega)$ and therefore $\mathring{S}_{n,m} = \mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega)$ where $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the radian frequency in rad/s, f is the frequency in Hz. If $S(\cdot)$ is an interior sound pressure field $S(\beta, \alpha, r, \omega)$, whereby r is the radial distance from the coordinate origin, it can be represented as (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005)

$$S(\beta, \alpha, r, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \mathring{S}_{n,m}(r, \omega) Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha) , \quad (7)$$

with

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m}(r, \omega) = \check{S}_{n,m}(\omega) j_n(r, \omega) . \quad (8)$$

$j_n(\cdot)$ are the spherical Bessel functions. The goal of the following endeavor is measuring the coefficients $\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega)$ of a given sound field. Pressure microphones that are distributed over the surface of a rigid spherical scattering object were shown to be a suitable apparatus for this (Meyer and Elko, 2002; Abhayapala and Ward, 2002; Rafaely, 2005).

A sound pressure field $S^{\text{surf}}(\beta, \alpha, R, \omega)$ on the surface of a rigid spherical scatterer of radius R that is centered at the coordinate origin is given by (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005, Eq. (3.1.1))

$$S^{\text{surf}}(\beta, \alpha, R, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \mathring{S}_{n,m}^{\text{surf}}(R, \omega) Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha) , \quad (9)$$

²Associated Legendre functions are used with different normalizations. We assume that $P_n^m(\mu)$ is defined via the following Rodriguez formula (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005, Eq. (2.1.20)-(2.1.21)):

$$P_n^m(\mu) = (-1)^m (1 - \mu^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{d\mu^m} P_n(\mu), \quad \forall n \geq 0, m \geq 0 , \quad (3)$$

with

$$P_n(\mu) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{d\mu^n} (\mu^2 - 1), \quad \forall n \geq 0 . \quad (4)$$

This also the definition that MATLAB and SciPy use.

with

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m}^{\text{surf}}(R, \omega) = \mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega) b_n(R, \omega) . \quad (10)$$

$b_n(\cdot)$ is given by (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005, Eq. (4.2.10) and (4.2.13))

$$b_n(R, \omega) = j_n\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right) - \frac{j_n'\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right)}{h_n^{(2)'}\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right)} h_n^{(2)}\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right) \quad (11)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right)^2} \frac{1}{h_n^{(2)'}\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right)} . \quad (12)$$

c is the speed of sound in m/s, and $h_n^{(2)'}(\cdot)$ denotes the derivative of the n th order spherical Hankel function of second kind with respect to the argument, $j_n'(\cdot)$ the derivative of the n th order spherical Bessel function with respect to the argument.

$\mathring{S}_{n,m}^{\text{surf}}(R, \omega)$ are the SH coefficients of the sound pressure on the surface of the spherical scatterer with radius R . $\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega)$ are the SH coefficients – and thereby a complete representation – of the incident sound field with the effect of the scatterer removed. We are not aware that there is an established terminology that allows for differentiating between the SH coefficients $\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\cdot)$ that we mark with a circle and the SH coefficients $\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\cdot)$ that we mark with a breve. We have to use the mathematical notation for this.

Let us distribute pressure microphones on the surface of the spherical scatterer and thereby establish a spherical microphone array (SMA). The computation of $\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega)$ from the microphone signals $S^{\text{surf}}(\beta, \alpha, R, \omega)$ can be performed via (Rafaely, 2005)

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m}^{\text{surf}}(R, \omega) = \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} S^{\text{surf}}(\beta, \alpha, R, \omega) Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)^* d\Omega \quad (13)$$

and

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega) = \mathring{S}_{n,m}^{\text{surf}}(R, \omega) b_n^{-1}(R, \omega) , \quad (14)$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega) = b_n^{-1}(R, \omega) \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} S^{\text{surf}}(\beta, \alpha, R, \omega) Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)^* d\Omega . \quad (15)$$

$b_n^{-1}(R, \omega)$ is termed *radial filters* in the SMA literature. These filters exhibit impractically high gains at low frequencies at high orders (because $b_n(R, \omega)$ tends to 0 there) so that they require regularization. The effect of this is well documented in the SMA literature (Moreau et al., 2006; Bernschütz, 2016).

In practical implementations, the integrals in (13) and (15) are discretized because a continuous layer of pressure microphones cannot be implemented but a finite set of discrete microphones has to be used. This bounds the maximum order n that can be extracted to $n \leq N$ because the orthogonality of $Y_{n,m}$ is maintained only then. One speaks of an N th order decomposition.

3 Binaural Rendering

This section summarizes how a sound field whose SH coefficients $\mathring{S}_{n,m}(\omega)$ are known can be auralized – i.e. rendered/decoded – binaurally. We define an HRTF $H(\phi, \theta, \omega)$ as the ear response to a plane wave that carries a time-domain impulse and that propagates into the direction (ϕ, θ) , whereby ϕ is the colatitude and θ is the azimuth in a spherical coordinate system³.

Any interior sound field $S(\vec{x}, \omega)$ can be represented by its *Herglotz kernel* or *signature function* $\bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega)$ as (Kress, 2001, Sec. 1.2.1.7, Eq. (72)), (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005, Eq. (7.1.27))

$$S(\vec{x}, \omega) = \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} \bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega) e^{-i\vec{k}(\phi, \theta, \omega)^T \vec{x}} d\Omega , \quad (16)$$

whereby $\Omega = \Omega(\phi, \theta)$ is an infinitesimal surface element of the unit sphere S^2 , $\vec{x} = [x, y, z]^T$ is a location in a Cartesian coordinate system, and $\vec{k}(\phi, \theta, \omega) = \frac{\omega}{c} [\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi]^T$ is the wave vector. In

³HRTFs do indeed exhibit some amount of distance dependence. But this is only relevant at distances of, say, 1 m or shorter (cf., for example, (Wierstorf et al., 2011)). HRTFs that were measured at farther distances can be interpreted as plane-wave HRTFs.

other words, $S(\vec{x}, \omega)$ is represented by a continuum of propagating plane waves, and $\bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega)$ are the coefficients of the plane waves⁴.

It is presented in (Gumerov and Duraiswami, 2005, Eq. (7.1.26)-(7.1.28)) (and more explicitly in (Ahrens, 2012, App. E.4.2)) that $\bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega)$ is related to the SH coefficients $\check{S}_{n,m}(\omega)$ of $S(\vec{x}, \omega)$ by

$$\bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \frac{1}{4\pi i^n} \check{S}_{n,m}(\omega) Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta) . \quad (17)$$

In order to compute the signal $B(\omega)$ that arises at the ears of a listener due to exposure of the listener to $S(\vec{x}, \omega)$, we can employ the representation (16). We recall that an HRTF represents the ear response to a plane wave. Instead of integrating over plane waves like in (16), we integrate over the HRTFs $H(\phi, \theta, \omega)$ and weight each HRTF with the strength $\bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega)$ of the corresponding plane wave component as (Menzies and Al-Akaidi, 2007)

$$\begin{aligned} B(\omega) &= \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} \bar{S}(\phi, \theta, \omega) H(\phi, \theta, \omega) d\Omega \\ &= \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} \sum_n \sum_m \frac{1}{4\pi i^n} \check{S}_{n,m}(\omega) Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta) \times \sum_{n'} \sum_{m'} \hat{H}_{n',m'}(\omega) Y_{n',m'}(\phi, \theta) d\Omega \\ &= \sum_n \sum_m \frac{1}{4\pi i^n} \check{S}_{n,m}(\omega) \sum_{n'} \sum_{m'} \hat{H}_{n',m'}(\omega) \times \oint_{\Omega \in S^2} Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta) Y_{n',m'}(\phi, \theta) d\Omega . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The basis functions $Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta)$ are orthonormal, which means that

$$\oint_{\Omega \in S^2} Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta)^* Y_{n',m'}(\phi, \theta) d\Omega = \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{m,m'} , \quad (19)$$

whereby δ denotes the Kronecker delta. Noting that $Y_{n,-m}(\cdot) = Y_{n,m}(\cdot)^*$ for the present definition of $Y_{n,m}(\cdot)$ (Eq. (2)), we reformulate (19) as

$$\oint_{\Omega \in S^2} Y_{n,-m}(\phi, \theta) Y_{n',m'}(\phi, \theta) d\Omega = \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{m,m'} . \quad (20)$$

In order to apply (20) in (18), we replace m in (18) with $-m$ without loss of generality. This leads finally to

$$B(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \frac{1}{4\pi i^n} \check{S}_{n,-m}(\omega) \hat{H}_{n,m}(\omega) . \quad (21)$$

Eq. (21) may be termed the *binaural rendering equation*. A variant of it was initially published in (Rafaely and Avni, 2010). It describes how the left and right ear signals $B^{L,R}(\omega)$ are obtained from the SH coefficients $\check{S}_{n,-m}(\omega)$ of the sound field and the SH coefficients $\hat{H}_{n,m}^{L,R}(\omega)$ of the left-ear and right-ear HRTFs. Recall (1) and (8)-(10) to appreciate the difference between the coefficients marked with a breve ($\check{X}_{n,m}$) and those marked with a ring ($\hat{X}_{n,m}$).

4 Peculiarities

4.1 Sign conventions

This is a very general matter. The choice of the signs in the exponents of the complex exponential in the time and the spatial Fourier transforms determine what the propagation direction of a wave is. The chosen sign convention does indeed affect the mathematical expressions that are used in the present document. We refer the reader to (Ahrens, 2022) for more information.

⁴Despite using propagating plane waves as basis functions, the representation (16) is a general representation that applies to *any* interior sound field (Kress, 2001, Sec. 1.2.1.7).

4.2 Definition of the HRTFs

HRTFs are usually defined as the ear response to sound impinging from a given source position or direction. This is also how the data are stored. Yet, as per the definitions above, $\hat{H}_{n,m}(\omega)$ are the SH coefficients under the interpretation that an HRTF is the ear response to a plane wave that propagates into a given direction (ϕ, θ) . Otherwise, Eq. (18) would not be applicable.

This means that we have to convert the coordinates of the sound incidence directions that are usually part of the HRTF data into propagation directions before being able to use (5) for expanding $H(\phi_{\text{prop.}}, \theta_{\text{prop.}}, \omega)$ into $\hat{H}_{n,m}(\omega)$. This conversion is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\text{prop.}} &= \pi - \phi_{\text{incid.}} \\ \theta_{\text{prop.}} &= \pi + \theta_{\text{incid.}} .\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Recall that θ denotes azimuth, and ϕ denotes colatitude.

4.3 Definition of the Spherical Harmonics $Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta)$

Sec. 2 stays entirely viable with any conceivable definition of $Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta)$. Using a real valued definition simply makes the complex conjugation that is performed in some equations redundant.

Let us reconsider Sec. 3 on the example of the definition used in (Williams, 1999, Eq. (6.20)), which reads

$$Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{4\pi} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!}} P_n^m(\cos \beta) e^{im\alpha} .\tag{23}$$

Compare (23) of (2) and appreciate the differences. Here's the crux: While the previous definition (2) leads to $Y_{n,-m}(\cdot) = Y_{n,m}(\cdot)^*$, the present definition (23) leads to (Williams, 1999, Eq. (6.44))

$$Y_{n,-m}(\cdot) = (-1)^m Y_{n,m}(\cdot)^* .\tag{24}$$

As a consequence, we need to add a factor of $(-1)^m$ to (20) and (21) if (23) is employed (Andersson, 2017).

Missing out on this factor of $(-1)^m$ does not make the binaural signals unusable. It rather rotates the scene by 180° along the azimuth, which one can detect easily and correct for accordingly.

When using a real definition of $Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha)$ ⁵, the orthonormality relation (19) reads

$$\oint_{\Omega \in S^2} Y_{n,m}(\phi, \theta) Y_{n',m'}(\phi, \theta) d\Omega = \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{m,m'} .\tag{26}$$

Exploiting this in (18) turns the rendering equation (21) into

$$B(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n \frac{1}{4\pi i^n} \check{S}_{n,m}(\omega) \hat{H}_{n,m}(\omega) .\tag{27}$$

4.4 Propagation Direction of a Plane Wave Vs. Incidence Direction

Some authors prefer to define plane waves not by their propagation direction but by their direction of incidence (see, for example, (Rafaely and Avni, 2010)). This requires a lot of modifications of the present formulation as, for example, (16) changes and also the whole spiel around the HRTFs that is discussed in Sec. 4.2 is exactly the other way round. Not least does this relate to the general topic of sign conventions (of the exponent of the complex exponential that described the spatial part of a sound field), which is in itself rather convoluted (We refer the reader to (Ahrens, 2022) for more information on that.).

⁵Such as (Whittaker and Watson, 1963, Sec. 18.4)

$$Y_{n,m}(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{4\pi} \frac{(n-|m|)!}{(n+|m|)!}} P_n^{|m|}(\cos \beta) \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sin |m|\alpha, & \forall m < 0 \\ 1, & \forall m = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \cos |m|\alpha, & \forall m > 0 \end{cases} ,\tag{25}$$

which corresponds to the definition that is used in the N3D ambisonic format (Nachbar et al., 2011).

We might expand this section in the future to highlight how exactly this modifies the present formulation. For now, we leave the reader with the information that such definition of plane wave direction may lead to the requirement of complex conjugating $\check{S}_{n,-m}(\omega)$ in (21). Cf. (Sheaffer et al., 2015, Eq. (9)).

4.5 Definition of the Radial Filters

Some authors define the radial filters $b_n(R, \omega)$, Eq. (11), with an extra factor $4\pi i^n$ for being able to interpret the representation as a plane wave decomposition (cf. (16)) (Rafaely, 2019, Ch. 2.4 and Eq. (2.62)). The definition reads

$$b_n^{(2)}(R, \omega) = 4\pi i^n \left[j_n\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right) - \frac{j_n'\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right)}{h_n^{(2)'}\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right)} h_n^{(2)}\left(\omega \frac{R}{c}\right) \right]. \quad (28)$$

As a consequence, the right-hand sides of (17) and (21) need to be multiplied by $4\pi i^n$ to be correct for this definition of $b_n(R, \omega)$.

5 Conclusions

We summarized the mathematics of binaural audio rendering in the spherical harmonic domain, and we highlighted the pitfalls, which relate to some of the definitions of the employed quantities. We recommend in critical situations, such as when implementing a given method, that the reader uses the present document as reference to verify the consistency of the definitions in the literature that presents the method to be implemented and the definitions used by the implementation.

We also have to highlight that some of the literature is inconsistent in itself, which includes some of our own publications.

References

- Thushara Abhayapala and Darren Ward. Theory and design of high order sound field microphones using spherical microphone array. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, pages 1949–1952, 2002.
- Jens Ahrens. *Analytic Methods of Sound Field Synthesis*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
- Jens Ahrens. Sign Conventions in Acoustics, or: Which Way Is My Sound Field Propagating? Technical note v. 1, Chalmers University of Technology, 2022.
- Carl Andersson. Headphone auralization of acoustic spaces recorded with spherical microphone arrays. MSc thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, 2017.
- Benjamin Bernschütz. Microphone arrays and sound field decomposition for dynamic binaural recording. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2016.
- Ramani Duraiswami, Dmitry N. Zotkin, Zhiyun Li, Elena Grassi, Nail A. Gumerov, and Larry S. Davis. High Order Spatial Audio Capture and Its Binaural Head-Trackable Playback Over Headphones with HRTF Cues. In *119th Conv. of the AES*, October 2005.
- Nail Gumerov and Ramani Duraiswami. *Fast Multipole Methods for the Helmholtz Equation in Three Dimensions*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005.
- Jean-Marc Jot, Scott Wardle, and Veronique Larcher. Approaches to binaural synthesis. In *110th Convention of the AES*, September 1998.
- Rainer Kress. Specific theoretical tools. In Roy Pike and Pierre Sabatier, editors, *Scattering: Scattering and Inverse Scattering in Pure and Applied Science*, chapter 1.2.1, pages 37–51. Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.
- Dylan Menzies and Marwan Al-Akaidi. Nearfield binaural synthesis and ambisonics. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 121(3):1559–1563, 2007.

- Jens Meyer and Gary Elko. A highly scalable spherical microphone array based on an orthonormal decomposition of the soundfield. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, pages 1781–1784, 2002.
- Sébastien Moreau, Jérôme Daniel, and Stéphanie Bertet. 3D sound field recording with higher order ambisonics – objective measurements and validation of a 4th order spherical microphone. In *120th Conv. of the AES*, May 2006.
- Christian Nachbar, Franz Zotter, Etienne Deleflie, and Alois Sontacchi. ambiX - A Suggested Ambisonics Format (With Comments). In *Ambisonics Symposium*, Lexington, KY, June 2011. https://iem.kug.ac.at/fileadmin/media/iem/projects/2011/ambisonics11_nachbar_zotter_sontacchi_deleflie.pdf.
- Markus Noisternig, Thomas Musil, Alois Sontacchi, and Robert Höldrich. 3D binaural sound reproduction using a virtual ambisonic approach. In *IEEE International Symposium on Virtual Environments, Human-Computer Interfaces and Measurement Systems, 2003. VECIMS '03. 2003*, pages 174–178, 2003.
- Boaz Rafaely. Analysis and design of spherical microphone arrays. *IEEE Trans. on Speech and Audio Proc.*, 13(1):135–143, 2005.
- Boaz Rafaely. *Fundamentals of Spherical Array Processing*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2019.
- Boaz Rafaely and Amir Avni. Interaural cross correlation in a sound field represented by spherical harmonics. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 127(2):823–828, 2010.
- Jonathan Sheaffer, Maarten van Walstijn, Boaz Rafaely, and Konrad Kowalczyk. Binaural reproduction of finite difference simulations using spherical array processing. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 23(12):2125–2135, 2015.
- Edmund T. Whittaker and George N. Watson. *Modern Analysis*. The Syndics of the Cambridge University Press, London, 4th edition, 1963.
- Hagen Wierstorf, Matthias Geier, and Sascha Spors. A free database of head related impulse response measurements in the horizontal plane with multiple distances. In *130th Convention of the AES, e-Brief 6*, London, UK, May 2011. AES.
- Bruce Wiggins, Iain Paterson-Stephens, and Pieter Schillebeeckx. The analysis of multi-channel sound reproduction algorithms using HRTF data. In *19th Int. Conf. of the AES*, Schloss Elmau, Germany, 2001.
- Earl Williams. *Fourier Acoustics: Sound Radiation and Nearfield Acoustical Holography*. Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- Franz Zotter and Matthias Frank. *Ambisonics: A Practical 3D Audio Theory for Recording, Studio Production, Sound Reinforcement, and Virtual Reality*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2019.