

2D discrete Hodge-Dirac operator on the torus

Volodymyr Sushch

*Koszalin University of Technology
Sniadeckich 2, 75-453 Koszalin, Poland
volodymyr.sushch@tu.koszalin.pl*

Abstract

We discuss a discretisation of the de Rham-Hodge theory in the two-dimensional case based on a discrete exterior calculus framework. We present discrete analogues of the Hodge-Dirac and Laplace operators in which key geometric aspects of the continuum counterpart are captured. We provide and prove a discrete version of the Hodge decomposition theorem. Special attention has been paid to discrete models on a combinatorial torus. In this particular case, we also define and calculate the cohomology groups.

Key words and phrases: discrete exterior calculus, discrete operators, Hodge-Dirac operator, discrete Laplacian, Hodge decomposition, combinatorial torus, cohomology groups

Math. Subj. Clas.: 39A12, 39A70, 58A14

1 Introduction

The choice of technique to approximate the solution of partial differential equations depends on a discretisation scheme. The interest for discrete models which preserve a geometric structure of continuum counterparts has grown in the computing community [1, 2, 3, 10, 12]. It is known that a geometric discretisation scheme would be ideal if this had the same properties as the continuum. However, there are difficulties usually in definitions of discrete counterparts of the Hodge star and the wedge product between forms. Various approaches with geometric discretisation have been proposed in the literature. See, for example, [4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 19, 20, 22]. Recently, in [6], it was described a quite general framework of discrete calculus based on a new type of discrete geometry called script geometry. The proposed approach in this article was introduced by Dezin [7] and later developed in the author's previous papers [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18].

Let $\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^2) = \Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denote the graded vector space of smooth differential forms on \mathbb{R}^2 , where $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the subspace of r -forms, $r = 0, 1, 2$. Let $d : \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the exterior derivative. The codifferential $\delta : \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Lambda^{r-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by $\delta = - * d *$, where $*$ is the Hodge star operator such that $* : \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2-r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $*^2 = (-1)^r$. The operator

$$d + \delta : \Lambda(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{R}^2) \tag{1.1}$$

is called the Hodge-Dirac operator on \mathbb{R}^2 . The Laplacian $\Delta : \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by

$$\Delta = (d + \delta)^2 = d\delta + \delta d. \tag{1.2}$$

Our purpose is to develop a satisfactory discrete model of de Rham-Hodge theory on manifolds which are homeomorphic to the torus. We consider a chain complex as a combinatorial model of \mathbb{R}^2 . When we add to this discrete analogues of the exterior derivative, the Hodge star operator, and the exterior product acting on cochains, we have all of the basic ingredients for the calculus of discrete counterparts of differential forms. We show that discrete analogues of the operators (1.1) and (1.2) have properties like those in the continual case. We formulate and prove a discrete version of the Hodge decomposition theorem. We give an example illustrating how cohomology groups are calculated for our discrete model. Note that our construction of discrete versions of the Hodge-Dirac and Laplace operators is very close to the construction in Section 5 of [6]. Matrix forms of these discrete operators on the torus are the same in both of case. The difference between our approach and one in [6] is in the definitions of discrete Hodge-Dirac and Laplace operators. In [6], the definitions are given in terms of the exterior derivative and boundary operators. Meanwhile, as in the continual theory, we define these operators in terms of the exterior derivative and its adjoint.

2 Discrete model

In this section, we briefly review the construction of a discrete exterior calculus framework, which was initiated in [7] and developed in e.g., [14, 15].

The starting point for consideration is a two-dimensional chain complex (a combinatorial model of \mathbb{R}^2). Let the sets $\{x_k\}$ and $\{e_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the generators of free abelian groups of zero-dimensional and one-dimensional chains of the one-dimensional complex $C = C_0 \oplus C_1$. The free abelian group is understood as the direct sum of infinity cyclic groups generated by $\{x_k\}$, $\{e_k\}$. The boundary operator $\partial : C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ is the homomorphism defined by $\partial e_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ and the boundary of every zero chain is defined to be zero. Geometrically we can interpret the zero-dimensional basis elements x_k as points of the real line and the one-dimensional basis elements e_k as open intervals between points. We call the complex C a combinatorial real line. Let the tensor product

$$C(2) = C \otimes C$$

be a combinatorial model of the two-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 . The two-dimensional complex $C(2) = C_0(2) \oplus C_1(2) \oplus C_2(2)$ contains the free abelian groups of r -chains, $r = 0, 1, 2$, generated by the basic elements

$$x_{k,s} = x_k \otimes x_s, \quad e_{k,s}^1 = e_k \otimes x_s, \quad e_{k,s}^2 = x_k \otimes e_s, \quad V_{k,s} = e_k \otimes e_s,$$

where $k, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is convenient to introduce the shift operators τ, σ in the set of indices by

$$\tau k = k + 1 \quad \sigma k = k - 1. \quad (2.1)$$

The boundary operator $\partial : C_r(2) \rightarrow C_{r-1}(2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x_{k,s} &= 0, & \partial e_{k,s}^1 &= x_{\tau k, s} - x_{k, s} & \partial e_{k,s}^2 &= x_{k, \tau s} - x_{k, s}, \\ \partial V_{k,s} &= e_{k,s}^1 + e_{\tau k, s}^2 - e_{k, \tau s}^1 - e_{k, s}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The definition (2.2) is extended to arbitrary chains by linearity.

Let $K(2)$ be a complex of cochains with real coefficients. The cochain complex $K(2)$ with a coboundary operator defined in it is the dual object to the chain complex $C(2)$. It has a similar structure to $C(2)$ and consists of cochains of dimension 0, 1 and 2. Then $K(2)$ can be expressed by

$$K(2) = K^0(2) \oplus K^1(2) \oplus K^2(2),$$

where $K^r(2)$ is the set of all r -cochains. We will call cochains forms (or discrete forms) emphasizing their relationship with differential forms. Then the complex $K(2)$ is a discrete analogue of the grade algebra of differential forms $\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Denote by $\{x^{k,s}\}$, $\{e_1^{k,s}, e_2^{k,s}\}$ and $\{V^{k,s}\}$ the basis elements of $K^0(2)$, $K^1(2)$ and $K^2(2)$ respectively. The pairing is defined with the basis elements of $C(2)$ by the rule

$$\langle x_{k,s}, x^{i,j} \rangle = \langle e_{k,s}^1, e_1^{i,j} \rangle = \langle e_{k,s}^2, e_2^{i,j} \rangle = \langle V_{k,s}, V^{i,j} \rangle = \delta_k^i \delta_s^j, \quad (2.3)$$

where δ_k^i is the Kronecker delta. The operation (2.3) is linearly extended to arbitrary chains and cochains. Let $\vec{\omega} \in K^r(2)$, then we have

$$\vec{\omega}^0 = \sum_{k,s} \omega_{k,s}^0 x^{k,s}, \quad \vec{\omega}^1 = \sum_{k,s} (\omega_{k,s}^1 e_1^{k,s} + \omega_{k,s}^2 e_2^{k,s}), \quad \vec{\omega}^2 = \sum_{k,s} \omega_{k,s}^2 V^{k,s}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\omega_{k,s}^0, \omega_{k,s}^1, \omega_{k,s}^2$ and $\omega_{k,s}^2$ are real numbers for any $k, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The coboundary operator $d^c : K^r(2) \rightarrow K^{r+1}(2)$ is defined by

$$\langle \partial a_{r+1}, \vec{\omega} \rangle = \langle a_{r+1}, d^c \vec{\omega} \rangle, \quad (2.5)$$

where $a_{r+1} \in C_{r+1}(2)$. The operator d^c is an analog of the exterior differential. From the above it follows that

$$d^c \vec{\omega}^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d^c d^c \vec{\omega}^r = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad r = 0, 1.$$

By (2.2) and (2.3) we can calculate

$$d^c \omega^0 = \sum_{k,s} (\Delta_k \omega_{k,s}^0) e_1^{k,s} + (\Delta_s \omega_{k,s}^0) e_2^{k,s}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$d^c \omega^1 = \sum_{k,s} (\Delta_k \omega_{k,s}^2 - \Delta_s \omega_{k,s}^1) V^{k,s}, \quad (2.7)$$

where Δ_k and Δ_s are the difference operators defined by

$$\Delta_k \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s} = \overset{r}{\omega}_{\tau k,s} - \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s}, \quad \Delta_s \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s} = \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,\tau s} - \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here $\overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s}$ is a component of $\overset{r}{\omega} \in K^r(2)$ and τ is given by (2.1). Note that $\omega_{k,s}^1 = \{\omega_{k,s}^1, \omega_{k,s}^2\}$.

We now consider a multiplication of discrete forms which is an analogue of the exterior multiplication for differential forms. Denote by \cup this multiplication. For the basis elements of $K(2)$ the \cup -multiplication is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k,s} \cup x^{k,s} &= x^{k,s}, & x^{k,s} \cup e_1^{k,s} &= e_1^{k,s}, & x^{k,s} \cup e_2^{k,s} &= e_2^{k,s}, \\ x^{k,s} \cup V^{k,s} &= V^{k,s}, & e_1^{k,s} \cup x^{\tau k,s} &= e_1^{k,s}, & e_2^{k,s} \cup x^{k,\tau s} &= e_2^{k,s}, \\ V^{k,s} \cup x^{\tau k,\tau s} &= V^{k,s}, & e_1^{k,s} \cup e_2^{\tau k,s} &= V^{k,s}, & e_2^{k,s} \cup e_1^{k,\tau s} &= -V^{k,s}, \end{aligned}$$

supposing the product to be zero in all other cases. The operation is extended to arbitrary forms by linearity. It is important to note that this definition leads to the following discrete counterpart of the Leibniz rule for differential forms.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\overset{r}{\omega} \in K^r(2)$ and $\overset{p}{\varphi} \in K^p(2)$. Then*

$$d^c(\overset{r}{\omega} \cup \overset{p}{\varphi}) = d^c \overset{r}{\omega} \cup \overset{p}{\varphi} + (-1)^r \overset{r}{\omega} \cup d^c \overset{p}{\varphi}. \quad (2.9)$$

This was proved by Dezin [7].

Define the operation $*$: $K^r(2) \rightarrow K^{2-r}(2)$ by the rule

$$*x^{k,s} = V^{k,s}, \quad *e_1^{k,s} = e_2^{\tau k,s}, \quad *e_2^{k,s} = -e_1^{k,\tau s}, \quad *V^{k,s} = x^{\tau k,\tau s}. \quad (2.10)$$

Again, the operation is extended to arbitrary forms by linearity. This operation is a discrete analogue of the Hodge star operator. It is true that for any $\overset{r}{\omega} \in K^r(2)$ we have

$$\overset{r}{\omega} \cup * \overset{r}{\omega} = \sum_{k,s} (\overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s})^2 V^{k,s}. \quad (2.11)$$

Consider the two-dimensional finite chain $V \in C_2(2)$ with unit coefficients of the form

$$V = \sum_{k,s} V_{k,s}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, M. \quad (2.12)$$

This chain imitates a rectangle. Using (2.2) we have

$$\partial V = \sum_{k=1}^N e_{k,1}^1 + \sum_{s=1}^M e_{N,s}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^N e_{k,M}^1 - \sum_{s=1}^M e_{1,s}^2. \quad (2.13)$$

Then for forms $\overset{r}{\varphi}, \overset{r}{\omega} \in K^r(2)$ of the same degree r the inner product over the set V is defined by the rule

$$(\overset{r}{\varphi}, \overset{r}{\omega})_V = \langle V, \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * \overset{r}{\omega} \rangle. \quad (2.14)$$

For forms of different degrees the product (2.14) is set equal to zero. From (2.11) and (2.3) we have

$$(\overset{r}{\varphi}, \overset{r}{\omega})_V = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^M \overset{r}{\varphi}_{k,s} \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,s}.$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\overset{r}{\varphi} \in K^r(2)$ and $\overset{r+1}{\omega} \in K^{r+1}(2)$, $r = 0, 1$. Then we have*

$$(d^c \overset{r}{\varphi}, \overset{r+1}{\omega})_V = \langle \partial V, \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \rangle + (\overset{r}{\varphi}, \delta^c \overset{r+1}{\omega})_V, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\delta^c \overset{r+1}{\omega} = (-1)^{r+1} *^{-1} d^c * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \quad (2.16)$$

is the operator formally adjoint of d^c .

Here $*^{-1}$ is the inverse of $*$, i.e., $**^{-1} = 1$. By (2.10) for the basic elements we have

$$*^{-1} x^{k,s} = V^{\sigma k, \sigma s}, \quad *^{-1} e_1^{k,s} = -e_2^{k, \sigma s}, \quad *^{-1} e_2^{k,s} = e_1^{\sigma k, s}, \quad *^{-1} V^{k,s} = x^{k,s},$$

where σ is given by (2.1).

Proof. By Definitions (2.5), (2.14) and Formula (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (d^c \overset{r}{\varphi}, \overset{r+1}{\omega})_V &= \langle V, d^c \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \rangle = \langle V, d^c(\overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * \overset{r+1}{\omega}) - (-1)^r \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup d^c * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial V, \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \rangle + (-1)^{r+1} \langle V, \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * *^{-1} d^c * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial V, \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * \overset{r+1}{\omega} \rangle + \langle V, \overset{r}{\varphi} \cup * (\delta^c \overset{r+1}{\omega}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

The operator $\delta^c : K^{r+1}(2) \rightarrow K^r(2)$ given by (2.16) is a discrete analogue of the codifferential δ . For the 0-form $\overset{0}{\omega} \in K^0(2)$ we have $\delta^c \overset{0}{\omega} = 0$. It is obvious from (2.16) that $\delta^c \delta^c \overset{r}{\omega} = 0$ for any $r = 1, 2$. Using (2.6)–(2.8), (2.10) and (2.16) we can calculate

$$\delta^c \overset{1}{\omega} = \sum_{k,s} (-\Delta_k \omega_{\sigma k, s}^1 - \Delta_s \omega_{k, \sigma s}^2) x^{k,s}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\delta^c \omega^2 = \sum_{k,s} (\Delta_s \omega_{k,\sigma s}^2) e_1^{k,s} - (\Delta_k \omega_{\sigma k,s}^2) e_2^{k,s}. \quad (2.18)$$

In the particular case $r = 0$, the equality (2.15) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^M ((\Delta_k \varphi_{k,s}^0) \omega_{k,s}^1 + (\Delta_s \varphi_{k,s}^0) \omega_{k,s}^2) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^N (\varphi_{k,\tau M}^0 \omega_{k,M}^2 - \varphi_{k,1}^0 \omega_{k,0}^2) + \sum_{s=1}^M (\varphi_{\tau N,s}^0 \omega_{N,s}^1 - \varphi_{1,s}^0 \omega_{0,s}^1) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^M \varphi_{k,s}^0 (-\Delta_k \omega_{\sigma k,s}^1 - \Delta_s \omega_{k,\sigma s}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{k,s}^1 = \{\omega_{k,s}^1, \omega_{k,s}^2\}$. The similar equality holds in the case $r = 1$.

It should be noted that the relation (2.15) includes not only the forms with the components $\varphi_{k,s}^r$ and $\omega_{k,s}^{r+1}$, where the subscripts k, s would run only over the values from (2.12), but also the components $\varphi_{0,s}^r$, $\varphi_{\tau N,s}^r$, $\omega_{0,s}^{r+1}$, $\omega_{\tau N,s}^{r+1}$, $\varphi_{k,0}^r$, $\varphi_{k,\tau M}^r$, $\omega_{k,0}^{r+1}$ and $\omega_{k,\tau M}^{r+1}$.

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{0,s}^r &= \omega_{N,s}^r, & \omega_{\tau N,s}^r &= \omega_{1,s}^r, & s &= 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ \omega_{k,0}^r &= \omega_{k,M}^r, & \omega_{k,\tau M}^r &= \omega_{k,1}^r, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

For r -forms satisfying conditions (2.19) the inner product (2.14) generates the finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $H^r(V)$. Now we consider the operators

$$d^c : H^r(V) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(V), \quad \delta^c : H^{r+1}(V) \rightarrow H^r(V).$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\varphi \in H^r(V)$ and $\omega^{r+1} \in H^{r+1}(V)$, $r = 0, 1$. Then we have*

$$(d^c \varphi, \omega^{r+1})_V = (\varphi, \delta^c \omega^{r+1})_V. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. In fact, by use of conditions (2.19) one has

$$\langle \partial V, \varphi \cup * \omega^1 \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N (\varphi_{k,\tau M}^0 \omega_{k,M}^2 - \varphi_{k,1}^0 \omega_{k,0}^2) + \sum_{s=1}^M (\varphi_{\tau N,s}^0 \omega_{N,s}^1 - \varphi_{1,s}^0 \omega_{0,s}^1) = 0,$$

$$\langle \partial V, \varphi^1 \cup * \omega^2 \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N (\varphi_{k,1}^1 \omega_{k,0}^2 - \varphi_{k,\tau M}^1 \omega_{k,M}^2) + \sum_{s=1}^M (\varphi_{\tau N,s}^2 \omega_{N,s}^2 - \varphi_{1,s}^2 \omega_{0,s}^2) = 0,$$

where $\omega_{k,s}^1 = \{\omega_{k,s}^1, \omega_{k,s}^2\}$ and $\varphi_{k,s}^1 = \{\varphi_{k,s}^1, \varphi_{k,s}^2\}$. Hence by Proposition 2.2 it follows (2.20). \square

3 Discrete Hodge decomposition

In this section, we discuss the properties of discrete analogues of the Laplacian and Hodge-Dirac operators using the concepts of the previous section. We also present a discrete version of the Hodge decomposition theorem, emphasizing that it provides an exact counterpart to the continuum theory.

Let us consider the operator

$$\Delta^c = d^c \delta^c + \delta^c d^c : H^r(V) \rightarrow H^r(V).$$

This is a discrete analogue of the Laplacian (1.2).

Proposition 3.1. *For any r -form $\varphi \in H^r(V)$ we have*

$$(\Delta^c \varphi, \varphi)_V \geq 0$$

and $(\Delta^c \varphi, \varphi)_V = 0$ if and only if $\Delta^c \varphi = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta^c \varphi, \varphi)_V &= (d^c \delta^c \varphi, \varphi)_V + (\delta^c d^c \varphi, \varphi)_V \\ &= (\delta^c \varphi, \delta^c \varphi)_V + (d^c \varphi, d^c \varphi)_V = \|\delta^c \varphi\|^2 + \|d^c \varphi\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm and

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = (\varphi, \varphi)_V = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^M (\varphi_{k,s})^2.$$

From this if $(\Delta^c \varphi, \varphi)_V = 0$ then $\|\delta^c \varphi\|^2 = 0$ and $\|d^c \varphi\|^2 = 0$. It gives $\delta^c \varphi = 0$ and $d^c \varphi = 0$. Hence

$$\Delta^c \varphi = d^c \delta^c \varphi + \delta^c d^c \varphi = 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.2. $\Delta^c \varphi = 0$ if and only if $\delta^c \varphi = 0$ and $d^c \varphi = 0$.

Proposition 3.3. *The operator $\Delta^c : H^r(V) \rightarrow H^r(V)$ is self-adjoint, i.e.*

$$(\Delta^c \varphi, \omega)_V = (\varphi, \Delta^c \omega)_V.$$

Proof. By (2.20) it is obvious. □

Consider the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} R_{d^c}^r &= \{d^c \varphi \in H^r(V) : \varphi \in H^{r-1}(V)\}, \\ R_{\delta^c}^r &= \{\delta^c \omega \in H^r(V) : \omega \in H^{r+1}(V)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_{\Delta^c}^r = \{\psi \in H^r(V) : \Delta^c \psi = 0\}.$$

By analogy with the continuum case the discrete r -form ω is called closed if $d^c \omega = 0$ and exact if $\omega \in R_{d^c}^r$.

Proposition 3.4. *For each $r = 0, 1, 2$ we have the direct sum decomposition*

$$H^r(V) = R_{d^c}^r \oplus R_{\delta^c}^r \oplus N_{\Delta^c}^r.$$

Proof. The space $H^1(V)$ decomposes into

$$H^1(V) = R_{d^c}^1 \oplus N_{\delta^c}^1, \quad H^1(V) = R_{\delta^c}^1 \oplus N_{d^c}^1, \quad (3.1)$$

where $N_{\delta^c}^1$ and $N_{d^c}^1$ are the orthogonal complements of the corresponding spaces. For any $\omega \in R_{\delta^c}^1$ we have $\omega = \delta^c \psi$ and

$$(d^c \varphi, \omega)_V = (d^c \varphi, \delta^c \psi)_V = (d^c d^c \varphi, \psi)_V = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in H^0(V)$. Therefore ω is orthogonal to $R_{d^c}^1$. It follows that

$$R_{\delta^c}^1 \subset N_{\delta^c}^1.$$

Similarly we find $R_{d^c}^1 \subset N_{\delta^c}^1$. Hence (3.1) becomes

$$H^1(V) = R_{d^c}^1 \oplus R_{\delta^c}^1 \oplus N^1,$$

where

$$N^1 = N_{\delta^c}^1 \cap N_{d^c}^1 = \{\varphi \in H^1(V) : d^c \varphi = 0, \delta^c \varphi = 0\}.$$

By Corollary 3.2 we have $N^1 = N_{\Delta^c}^1$.

Similar reasonings apply to the spaces $H^0(V)$ and $H^2(V)$. Thus we have

$$H^0(V) = R_{\delta^c}^0 \oplus N_{\Delta^c}^0, \quad H^2(V) = R_{d^c}^2 \oplus N_{\Delta^c}^2,$$

since $R_{d^c}^0 = \{0\}$ and $R_{\delta^c}^2 = \{0\}$. □

The Proposition 3.4 is a discrete version of the well-known Hodge decomposition theorem (see, e.g., [21]).

Let Ω be an inhomogeneous discrete form, i.e. $\Omega = \overset{0}{\omega} + \overset{1}{\omega} + \overset{2}{\omega}$, where $\overset{r}{\omega} \in H^r(V)$. The inner product (2.14) can be extended to an inner product of inhomogeneous discrete forms by the rule

$$(\Omega, \Phi)_V = \sum_{r=0}^2 (\overset{r}{\omega}, \overset{r}{\varphi})_V, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Phi = \overset{0}{\varphi} + \overset{1}{\varphi} + \overset{2}{\varphi}$. The inner product (3.2) generates the finite-dimensional Hilbert space $H(V)$. It is true that

$$H(V) = H^0(V) \oplus H^1(V) \oplus H^2(V).$$

By Proposition 3.4 the following holds

$$H(V) = R_{d^c} \oplus R_{\delta^c} \oplus N_{\Delta^c}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$R_{d^c} = R_{d^c}^1 \oplus R_{d^c}^2, \quad R_{\delta^c} = R_{\delta^c}^0 \oplus R_{\delta^c}^1,$$

and

$$N_{\Delta^c} = N_{\Delta^c}^0 \oplus N_{\Delta^c}^1 \oplus N_{\Delta^c}^2.$$

The discrete Hodge-Dirac operator is defined as

$$d^c + \delta^c : H(V) \rightarrow H(V). \quad (3.4)$$

Proposition 3.5. *Operator (3.4) is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product (3.2), i.e.*

$$((d^c + \delta^c)\Phi, \Omega)_V = (\Phi, (d^c + \delta^c)\Omega)_V.$$

Proof. By (2.20) it is obvious. \square

Proposition 3.6.

$$(d^c + \delta^c)\Omega = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Omega \in N_{\Delta^c}.$$

Proof. The equation $(d^c + \delta^c)\Omega = 0$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^c \overset{1}{\omega} &= 0, & d^c \overset{1}{\omega} &= 0, \\ d^c \overset{0}{\omega} + \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Omega \in N_{\Delta^c}$. This means that $\overset{0}{\omega} \in N_{\Delta^c}^0$, $\overset{1}{\omega} \in N_{\Delta^c}^1$ and $\overset{2}{\omega} \in N_{\Delta^c}^2$. By Corollary 3.2, $\overset{1}{\omega} \in N_{\Delta^c}^1$ if and only if $\delta^c \overset{1}{\omega} = 0$ and $d^c \overset{1}{\omega} = 0$. It is easy to show that

$$\|d^c \overset{0}{\omega} + \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega}\|^2 = (d^c \overset{0}{\omega} + \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega}, d^c \overset{0}{\omega} + \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega})_V = \|d^c \overset{0}{\omega}\|^2 + \|\delta^c \overset{2}{\omega}\|^2 \quad (3.5)$$

for any $\overset{0}{\omega} \in H^0(V)$ and $\overset{2}{\omega} \in H^2(V)$. For $\overset{0}{\omega} \in N_{\Delta^c}^0$ and $\overset{2}{\omega} \in N_{\Delta^c}^2$, by (2.20) and (3.5), we have

$$0 = (\delta^c d^c \overset{0}{\omega}, \overset{0}{\omega})_V + (d^c \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega}, \overset{2}{\omega})_V = (d^c \overset{0}{\omega}, d^c \overset{0}{\omega})_V + (\delta^c \overset{2}{\omega}, \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega})_V = \|d^c \overset{0}{\omega} + \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega}\|^2$$

and thus $d^c \overset{0}{\omega} + \delta^c \overset{2}{\omega} = 0$. The converse is trivially true. \square

Proposition 3.7. *For any inhomogeneous form $F \in R_{d^c} \oplus R_{\delta^c}$, there exists a unique form $\Omega \in R_{d^c} \oplus R_{\delta^c}$ which is a solution to the equation*

$$(d^c + \delta^c)\Omega = F. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Since the operator (3.4) is self-adjoint and $H(V)$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, the existence of the solution is a consequence of the uniqueness of the solution and vice versa. By Proposition 3.6, $(d^c + \delta^c)\Omega = 0$ implies $\Omega \in N_{\Delta^c}$. From this and by (3.3), the uniqueness of the solution $\Omega \in R_{d^c} \oplus R_{\delta^c}$ of Eq. (3.6) follows immediately. \square

Corollary 3.8. *If $\Omega \in H(V)$ is a solution of Eq. (3.6) then the following holds*

$$\|\Omega\|^2 \leq c(\|d^c\Omega\|^2 + \|\delta^c\Omega\|^2) + \|\Omega_{\Delta^c}\|^2,$$

where c is a constant, $d^c\Omega = d^c\omega^0 + d^c\omega^1$, $\delta^c\Omega = \delta^c\omega^1 + \delta^c\omega^2$ and Ω_{Δ^c} is the projection of Ω onto N_{Δ^c} .

4 Combinatorial torus

In this section, we consider an example of a discrete model of the torus in detail. We recall that the torus can be regarded as the topological space obtained by taking a rectangle and identifying each pair of opposite sides with the same orientation. Let consider the partitioning of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 by the straight lines $x = k$ and $y = s$, where $k, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $V_{k,s}$ an open square bounded by the lines $x = k$, $x = \tau k$, $y = s$ and $y = \tau s$, where τ is given by (2.1). Denote the vertices of $V_{k,s}$ by $x_{k,s}$, $x_{\tau k,s}$, $x_{k,\tau s}$, $x_{\tau k,\tau s}$. Let $e_{k,s}^1$ and $e_{k,s}^2$ be the open intervals $(x_{k,s}, x_{\tau k,s})$ and $(x_{k,s}, x_{k,\tau s})$, respectively. Such introduced geometric objects can be identified with the combinatorial objects we have considered in the previous sections. We identify the collection $V_{k,s}$ with V given by (2.12) and let $N = M = 2$. In this case the conditions (2.19) take the form

$$\overset{r}{\omega}_{0,s} = \overset{r}{\omega}_{2,s}, \quad \overset{r}{\omega}_{3,s} = \overset{r}{\omega}_{1,s}, \quad \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,0} = \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,2}, \quad \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,3} = \overset{r}{\omega}_{k,1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $k = 1, 2$ and $s = 1, 2$. If we identify the points and the intervals on the boundary of V in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,1} = x_{3,1} = x_{1,3} = x_{3,3}, & \quad x_{1,2} = x_{3,2}, & \quad x_{2,1} = x_{2,3}, \\ e_{1,1}^1 = e_{1,3}^1, & \quad e_{2,1}^1 = e_{2,3}^1, & \quad e_{1,1}^2 = e_{3,1}^2, & \quad e_{1,2}^2 = e_{3,2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

we obtain the geometric object which is homomorphic to the torus (see Figure 1). Denote by $C(T)$ the complex $C(2)$ which corresponds to the introduced geometric object. We call $C(T)$ a combinatorial torus. It is clear that by (4.2) the conditions (4.1) hold for any r -form on the combinatorial torus. The Hilbert space $H(V)$ considered in the previous sections can be regarded as a space of cochains of the complex $K(T)$ dual to $C(T)$.

Let now consider the forms $\varphi \in K^0(T)$, $\omega \in K^1(T)$ and $\psi \in K^2(T)$, that is

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 \varphi_{k,s} x^{k,s}, \quad \omega = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 (u_{k,s} e_1^{k,s} + v_{k,s} e_2^{k,s}), \quad \psi = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 \psi_{k,s} V^{k,s}.$$

By (4.2) for these forms the formulas (2.6), (2.7), (2.17) and (2.18) become

$$\begin{aligned} d^c\varphi = & (\varphi_{2,1} - \varphi_{1,1})e_1^{1,1} + (\varphi_{1,1} - \varphi_{2,1})e_1^{2,1} + (\varphi_{2,2} - \varphi_{1,2})e_1^{1,2} \\ & + (\varphi_{1,2} - \varphi_{2,2})e_1^{2,2} + (\varphi_{1,2} - \varphi_{1,1})e_2^{1,1} + (\varphi_{1,1} - \varphi_{1,2})e_2^{1,2} \\ & + (\varphi_{2,2} - \varphi_{2,1})e_2^{2,1} + (\varphi_{2,1} - \varphi_{2,2})e_2^{2,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$




Figure 1: Combinatorial torus

$$d^c \omega = (u_{1,1} - u_{1,2} + v_{2,1} - v_{1,1})V^{1,1} + (u_{2,1} - u_{2,2} - v_{2,1} + v_{1,1})V^{2,1} \\ + (u_{1,2} - u_{1,1} + v_{2,2} - v_{1,2})V^{1,2} + (u_{2,2} - u_{2,1} + v_{1,2} - v_{2,2})V^{2,2}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\delta^c \omega = (u_{2,1} - u_{1,1} + v_{1,2} - v_{1,1})x^{1,1} + (u_{1,1} - u_{2,1} - v_{2,1} + v_{2,2})x^{2,1} \\ + (u_{2,2} - u_{1,2} + v_{1,1} - v_{1,2})x^{1,2} + (u_{1,2} - u_{2,2} + v_{2,1} - v_{2,2})x^{2,2}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\delta^c \psi = (\psi_{1,1} - \psi_{1,2})e_1^{1,1} + (\psi_{2,1} - \psi_{2,2})e_1^{2,1} + (\psi_{2,2} - \psi_{1,2})e_2^{1,2} \\ + (\psi_{2,1} - \psi_{1,1})e_2^{1,1} + (\psi_{1,2} - \psi_{1,1})e_1^{1,2} + (\psi_{2,2} - \psi_{2,1})e_1^{2,2} \\ + (\psi_{1,2} - \psi_{2,2})e_2^{2,2} + (\psi_{1,1} - \psi_{2,1})e_2^{2,1}. \quad (4.6)$$

It should be noted that the formulas above can be expressed in matrix form. Let introduce the following row vectors

$$[\varphi] = [\varphi_{1,1} \ \varphi_{2,1} \ \varphi_{1,2} \ \varphi_{2,2}], \quad [\omega] = [u_{1,1} \ u_{2,1} \ v_{1,2} \ v_{1,1} \ u_{1,2} \ u_{2,2} \ v_{2,2} \ v_{2,1}],$$

$$[x] = [x^{1,1} \ x^{2,1} \ x^{1,2} \ x^{2,2}], \quad [e] = [e_1^{1,1} \ e_1^{2,1} \ e_2^{1,2} \ e_2^{1,1} \ e_1^{1,2} \ e_1^{2,2} \ e_2^{2,2} \ e_2^{2,1}],$$

$$[\psi] = [\psi_{1,2} \ \psi_{2,2} \ \psi_{1,1} \ \psi_{2,1}], \quad [V] = [V^{1,2} \ V^{2,2} \ V^{1,1} \ V^{2,1}].$$

Denote by $[\cdot]^T$ a corresponding column vector. Then we have

$$d^c \varphi = A[\varphi]^T[e], \quad d^c \omega = B[\omega]^T[V], \quad \delta^c \omega = A^T[\omega]^T[x], \quad \delta^c \psi = B^T[\psi]^T[e],$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and A^T, B^T are the transpose of A, B .

The discrete Hodge-Dirac operator (3.4) on the combinatorial torus can be represented by the following block matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T & 0 \\ A & 0 & B^T \\ 0 & B & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where 0 is a zero square matrix of the corresponding size.

In the same way the discrete Laplacian on the combinatorial torus can be written as

$$\Delta^c \varphi = D[\varphi]^T[x], \quad \Delta^c \omega = D_1[\omega]^T[e], \quad \Delta^c \psi = D[\psi]^T[V],$$

where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us define analogues of the cohomology groups $\mathcal{H}^r(T)$ of the combinatorial torus $C(T)$. The quotient space of the linear space of closed r -forms

$$N_{dc}^r(T) = \{\omega \in K^r(T) : d^c \omega = 0\}$$

modulo the subspace of exact r -forms

$$R_{dc}^r(T) = \{\omega \in K^r(T) : \exists \varphi \in K^{r-1}(T) \quad \omega = d^c \varphi\}$$

is called the r -th cohomology group of $C(T)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}^r(T) = N_{dc}^r(T)/R_{dc}^r(T).$$

Two closed r -forms ω^1 and ω^2 are cohomologous, $\omega^1 \sim \omega^2$, if and only if they differ by an exact form, i.e.,

$$\omega^1 \sim \omega^2 \Leftrightarrow \omega^1 - \omega^2 \in R_{d^c}^r(T).$$

An element of $\mathcal{H}^r(T)$ is thus an equivalence class $[\omega]$ of closed r -forms $\omega + d^c\varphi$, defined by the equivalence relation \sim . These equivalence classes endow $\mathcal{H}^r(T)$ with a group structure.

Calculation of $\mathcal{H}^0(T)$. Since there are no -1 -forms, a 0 -form φ can never be exact, i.e. $R_{d^c}^0(T) = \{0\}$. If $\varphi \in N_{d^c}^0(T)$ then $d^c\varphi = 0$. By (4.3) it follows immediately that $\varphi_{1,1} = \varphi_{2,1} = \varphi_{1,2} = \varphi_{2,2}$. Hence

$$\varphi = c(x^{1,1} + x^{2,1} + x^{1,2} + x^{2,2}),$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Thus $\mathcal{H}^0(T)$ is isomorphic to the group generated by one independent generator which is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} and we write $\mathcal{H}^0(T) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Calculation of $\mathcal{H}^1(T)$. Let $\omega^1 = \{u^1, v^1\} \in R_{d^c}^1(T)$. Then $\omega^1 = d^c\varphi$ for some $\varphi \in K^0(T)$. From (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,1}^1 &= \varphi_{2,1} - \varphi_{1,1}, & u_{1,2}^1 &= \varphi_{2,2} - \varphi_{1,2}, \\ u_{2,1}^1 &= \varphi_{1,1} - \varphi_{2,1}, & u_{2,2}^1 &= \varphi_{1,2} - \varphi_{2,2}, \\ v_{1,1}^1 &= \varphi_{1,2} - \varphi_{1,1}, & v_{2,1}^1 &= \varphi_{2,2} - \varphi_{2,1}, \\ v_{1,2}^1 &= \varphi_{1,1} - \varphi_{1,2}, & v_{2,2}^1 &= \varphi_{2,1} - \varphi_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$u_{2,1}^1 = -u_{1,1}^1, \quad u_{1,2}^1 = -u_{2,2}^1, \quad v_{1,2}^1 = -v_{1,1}^1, \quad v_{2,1}^1 = -v_{2,2}^1.$$

Hence any form $\omega^1 \in R_{d^c}^1(T)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^1 &= u_{1,1}^1 e_1^{1,1} - u_{1,1}^1 e_1^{2,1} - u_{2,2}^1 e_1^{1,2} + u_{2,2}^1 e_1^{2,2} \\ &\quad + v_{1,1}^1 e_2^{1,1} - v_{1,1}^1 e_2^{1,2} - v_{2,2}^1 e_2^{2,1} + v_{2,2}^1 e_2^{2,2} \\ &= u_{1,1}^1 (e_1^{1,1} - e_1^{2,1}) + u_{2,2}^1 (e_1^{2,2} - e_1^{1,2}) + v_{1,1}^1 (e_2^{1,1} - e_2^{1,2}) + v_{2,2}^1 (e_2^{2,2} - e_2^{2,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let now $\omega = \{u, v\}$ be a closed 1 -form, i. e., $\omega \in N_{d^c}^1(T)$ and $d^c\omega = 0$. By (4.4) the requirement $d^c\omega = 0$ means that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,1} - u_{1,2} + v_{2,1} - v_{1,1} &= 0, \\ u_{2,1} - u_{2,2} - v_{2,1} + v_{1,1} &= 0, \\ u_{1,2} - u_{1,1} + v_{2,2} - v_{1,2} &= 0, \\ u_{2,2} - u_{2,1} + v_{1,2} - v_{2,2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,1} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,1} - u_{2,2} &= 0, \\ v_{1,1} - v_{2,1} + v_{1,2} - v_{2,2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence any form $\omega \in N_{dc}^1(T)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= u_{1,1}e_1^{1,1} + (u_{1,2} - u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})e_1^{2,1} + u_{1,2}e_1^{1,2} + u_{2,2}e_1^{2,2} \\ &\quad + v_{1,1}e_2^{1,1} + (v_{1,1} + v_{1,2} - v_{2,2})e_2^{2,1} + v_{1,2}e_2^{1,2} + v_{2,2}e_2^{2,2}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= u_{1,1}(e_1^{1,1} - e_1^{2,1}) + u_{1,2}(e_1^{2,1} + e_1^{1,2}) + u_{2,2}(e_1^{2,2} + e_1^{2,1}) \\ &\quad + v_{1,1}(e_2^{1,1} + e_2^{2,1}) + v_{1,2}(e_2^{2,1} + e_2^{1,2}) + v_{2,2}(e_2^{2,2} - e_2^{2,1}) \\ &= (u_{1,2} + u_{2,2})(e_1^{2,1} + e_1^{1,2}) + (v_{1,2} + v_{1,1})(e_2^{2,1} + e_2^{1,2}) + \omega^0,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega^0 = u_{1,1}(e_1^{1,1} - e_1^{2,1}) + u_{2,2}(e_1^{2,2} - e_1^{1,2}) + v_{1,1}(e_2^{1,1} - e_2^{1,2}) + v_{2,2}(e_2^{2,2} - e_2^{2,1})$$

and note that $\omega^0 \in R_{dc}^1(T)$. Hence

$$\omega \sim (u_{1,2} + u_{2,2})(e_1^{2,1} + e_1^{1,2}) + (v_{1,2} + v_{1,1})(e_2^{2,1} + e_2^{1,2}) \in \mathcal{H}^1(T).$$

This means that $\mathcal{H}^1(T)$ has two independent generators. Thus $\mathcal{H}^1(T) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$.

Calculation of $\mathcal{H}^2(T)$. A 2-form

$$\psi^1 = \psi_{1,1}^1 V^{1,1} + \psi_{2,1}^1 V^{2,1} + \psi_{1,2}^1 V^{1,2} + \psi_{2,2}^1 V^{2,2}$$

is an element of $R_{dc}^2(T)$ if $\psi^1 = d^c\omega$ for some 1-form $\omega = \{u, v\}$. Using (4.4) $\psi^1 = d^c\omega$ gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1,1}^1 &= u_{1,1} - u_{1,2} + v_{2,1} - v_{1,1}, & \psi_{2,1}^1 &= u_{2,1} - u_{2,2} - v_{2,1} + v_{1,1}, \\ \psi_{1,2}^1 &= u_{1,2} - u_{1,1} + v_{2,2} - v_{1,2}, & \psi_{2,2}^1 &= u_{2,2} - u_{2,1} + v_{1,2} - v_{2,2}.\end{aligned}$$

Adding these equations we obtain

$$\psi_{1,1}^1 + \psi_{2,1}^1 + \psi_{1,2}^1 + \psi_{2,2}^1 = 0.$$

Hence any element $\psi^1 \in R_{dc}^2(T)$ can be written as

$$\psi^1 = \psi_{1,1}^1 V^{1,1} + \psi_{2,1}^1 V^{2,1} + \psi_{1,2}^1 V^{1,2} + (-\psi_{1,1}^1 - \psi_{2,1}^1 - \psi_{1,2}^1) V^{2,2}.$$

Since $d^c\psi = 0$ for any 2-form ψ , $N_{dc}^2(T) = K^2(T)$. Any element of $N_{dc}^2(T)$ can be expressed as

$$\psi = (\psi_{1,1} + \psi_{2,1} + \psi_{1,2} + \psi_{2,2}) V^{2,2} + \psi^0,$$

where

$$\psi^0 = \psi_{1,1} V^{1,1} + \psi_{2,1} V^{2,1} + \psi_{1,2} V^{1,2} + (-\psi_{1,1} - \psi_{2,1} - \psi_{1,2}) V^{2,2}.$$

Thus

$$\psi \sim (\psi_{1,1} + \psi_{2,1} + \psi_{1,2} + \psi_{2,2}) V^{2,2},$$

since $\psi^0 \in R_{dc}^2(T)$. This means that $\mathcal{H}^2(T)$ has only one independent generator. So that $\mathcal{H}^2(T) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Thus the cohomology groups are exactly the same as in the continuum case.

References

- [1] D.N. Arnold, R.S. Falk, and R. Winther. Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications, *Acta Numer.* **15** (2006), 1-155.
- [2] D.N. Arnold, R.S. Falk, and R. Winther. Finite element exterior calculus: from Hodge theory to numerical stability, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47**(2) (2010), 281-354.
- [3] R. Ayoub, A. Hamdouni, and D. Razafindralandy. A new Hodge operator in discrete exterior calculus. Application to fluid mechanics. *Communications on Pure & Applied Analysis* **20**(6) (2021), 2155–2185.
- [4] V. de Beaucé, S. Sen and J.C. Sexton. Chiral dirac fermions on the lattice using geometric discretisation, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **129–130** (2004), 468–470.
- [5] P. Becher and H. Joos. The Dirac-Kähler equation and fermions on the lattice, *Z. Phys. C* **15** (1982), 343–365.
- [6] P. Cerejeiras, U. Kähler, F. Sommen , and A. Vajiac. Script Geometry. In: Bernstein S., Kähler U., Sabadini I., Sommen F. (eds) *Modern Trends in Hypercomplex Analysis*. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Cham. (2016), 79–110.
- [7] A.A. Dezin. *Multidimensional analysis and discrete models*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [8] J. Dodziuk. Finite-difference approach to Hodge theory of harmonic forms, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 79–104.
- [9] J.M. Rabin. Homology theory of lattice fermion doubling, *Nucl. Phys. B* **201** (2) (1982), 315–332.
- [10] I. Nitschke, S.Reuther, and A. Voigt. Discrete Exterior Calculus (DEC) for the Surface Navier-Stokes Equation. In: Bothe D., Reusken A. (eds) *Transport Processes at Fluidic Interfaces*. Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics. Birkhäuser, Cham. (2017), 177–197.
- [11] S. Sen, S. Sen, J.C. Sexton, and D. Adams. A geometric discretisation scheme applied to the Abelian Chern-Simons theory, *Phys. Rev. E* **61** (2000), 3174–3185.
- [12] A. Stern, P. Leopardi. The abstract Hodge-Dirac operator and its stable discretization, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **54**(6) (2016), 3258–3279.
- [13] V. Sushch. Difference Poisson equation on a curvilinear mesh. *Differ. Equations* **32**(5) (1996), 693–697; translation from *Differ. Uravn.* **32**(5) (1996), 684–688.

- [14] V. Sushch. On some finite-difference analogs of invariant first-order hyperbolic systems, *Diff. Equ.* **35**(3) (1999), 414–420; translation from *Differ. Uravn.* **35**(3) (1999), 411–417.
- [15] V. Sushch. Green function for a two-dimensional discrete Laplace-Beltrami operator. *Cubo* **10**(2) (2008), 47-59.
- [16] V. Sushch. A discrete model of the Dirac-Kähler equation. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **73**(1) (2014), 109–125.
- [17] V. Sushch. A discrete Dirac-Kähler equation using a geometric discretisation scheme. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg.* **28:72**, 1–17 (2018)
- [18] V. Sushch. A discrete version of plane wave solutions of the Dirac equation in the Joyce form. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg.* 30, No. 3, Paper No. 46, 20 p. (2020).
- [19] F.L. Teixeira, W.C. Chew. Lattice electromagnetic theory from a topological viewpoint, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**(1) (1999), 168–187.
- [20] S. Watterson. The chiral and flavour projection of Dirac-Kähler fermions in the geometric discretization, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **5**(3) (2008), 345-362.
- [21] F. W. Warner. Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [22] S.O. Wilson. Differential forms, fluids, and finite models, *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (2011), 2597–2604.