

Targeted Trojan-Horse Attacks on Language-based Image Retrieval

Fan Hu*, Aozhu Chen*, Xirong Li[†]

MoE Key Lab of Data Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, Renmin University of China
AIMC Lab, School of Information, Renmin University of China



Figure 1: Examples of attacking Language-based Image Retrieval system. Note that images in red frames indicate that they are trojan-horse images.

ABSTRACT

When a retrieval system expands data, its database is at risk of being attacked. In this paper, we introduce the concept of targeted Trojan-horse (TTH) attacks for language-based image retrieval (LBIR), the first keyword-wise targeted attack against the database of retrieval system. Specifically, given a specific keyword, TTH generates a QR-code patch that can be applied to a set of different images to gain the targeted Trojan-horse images, which closes to target keyword in the common space of cross-modal matching of retrieval model. With Uploading the generated TTH images to the database, TTH images will rank high in a normal search, even though the images are completely irrelevant to the query. We evaluate the attacks on

* Fan Hu and Aozhu Chen contribute equally to this work.

[†] Corresponding author: Xirong Li (xirong@ruc.edu.cn).

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Woodstock '18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06... \$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

standard language-based image retrieval benchmarks (i.e. Flickr30k and MSCOCO) and compare the results retrieved with and without the Trojan-horse images.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Computer systems organization** → **Embedded systems**; **Redundancy**; **Robotics**; • **Networks** → **Network reliability**.

KEYWORDS

Text-to-Image retrieval, Adversarial Attack in Deep Learning

ACM Reference Format:

Fan Hu*, Aozhu Chen*, Xirong Li[†]. 2018. Targeted Trojan-Horse Attacks on Language-based Image Retrieval. In *Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 8 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

1 INTRODUCTION

Language-based image retrieval is the use of a natural language to retrieve the required images that best match the text query from image database. The core business of language-based image retrieval is cross-modal representation. And the majority of the top-performed methods in benchmarks evaluation are deep neural

networks (DNNs) [6, 9, 12, 14, 16, 23]. These methods mainly aggregate the deep features extracted from pre-trained or fine-tuned DNN models, and then measure cross-modal similarity by calculating the Euclidean distance or cosine similarity.

However, recent studies have shown that DNNs based models are susceptible to adversarial examples [1, 10, 24]. In the inference stage, these examples will be incorrectly classified as any other label (non-targeted attack) or specific label (targeted attack). For image attacks, there are two methods: perturbations or patches. Perturbations are usually invisible to humans, but usually need to modify the entire image. In contrast, the patch is obvious, but only covers a small area, so it is more feasible in real scenes.

Similar to image classification, adversarial attacks also have been proposed in the domain of image retrieval. For image-to-image retrieval, different approaches such as PIRE [20], UAA-GAN [31], and AP-GAN [30] have been proposed to realize non-targeted image-specific attack. TMAA [25] is the first targeted attack against image-to-image retrieval and AdvHash [13] extends the targeted attack to class-wise scenario, where the attack method is effective to all queries which belong to a specific class. For cross-modal hamming retrieval, Li et al. [18] first explore cross-modal adversarial samples and then Li et al. [17] investigate the black-box settings. However, two existing works of cross-modal retrieval attack still fall into perturbations on the non-targeted scenario and we aim to let the cross-modal retrieval system return results according to our attack targets.

In the field of image retrieval or cross-modal retrieval, most of the attack methods are to modify the query to achieve the purpose of returning incorrect retrieval results [13, 17, 18, 20, 25, 30, 31]. However, most image search engines allow users to upload pictures, or automatically crawl pictures from the website into the database. Therefore, we can achieve the purpose of interfering with the retrieve process or placing advertisements by uploading the adversarial images to the retrieval database without modifying the user query, which is a back-end attack. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to explore the back-end attack towards the retrieval system.

As shown in Fig. 2, we upload the images with the adversarial patch to the database of a retrieval system to attack the retrieval system in this paper. A image with the adversarial patch is like a Trojan-horse, so we call it Trojan horse attacks. A Trojan horse has come to mean any trick or stratagem that causes a target to invite a foe into a securely protected bastion or place. A malicious computer program that tricks users into willingly running it is also called a Trojan horse or simply a Trojan.

We propose targeted Trojan-horse Attacks on Language-based Image retrieval (TTH), the first keywords-wise targeted attack against language-based image retrieval, where one single patch with targeted keywords information can be effectively applied to a set of image samples to cause the mismatch when queries contain the targeted keywords. Different from the attack method of disturbing the query, TTH breaks the return list by uploading adversarial images to the retrieval database. Moreover, the attack in TTH is targeted, i.e., TTH wishes the adversarial images ranking as high as possible when retrieving queries including targeted keyword. We formulate this as an images-to-keyword problem and design loss function to increase the cos similarity between embeddings

of adversarial images and the contextualized deep embedding of the given keyword. We use the average embedding of different sentences containing targeted keyword to represent the contextualized deep embedding. Then we use the gradient descent method to optimize the patch.

In summary, this paper makes the following contributions:

- We propose TTH, the first targeted trojan horse attack against language-based image retrieval, where one single patch with targeted keyword information can be applicable to a set of image samples.
- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to investigate the back-end attack towards the language-based image retrieval system. We upload adversarial keyword images (i.e. TTH images) to the image database, which has a wide range of application scenarios and poses a huge threat to commercial image search engines.
- Our extensive experiments on the benchmark Flickr30k and MSCOCO have verified that TTH is very effective in attacking the state-of-the-art open-source deep learning solutions CLIP, CLIP-*flickr* and CLIP-*coco*.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Language-based Image Retrieval

In language-based image retrieval, the objective is to identify the correspondences between a set of text query and images in database, belonging to two different modalities. Since each modality is different, the embeddings produced by specific feature extractors (text, image) will not be inherently aligned. Therefore, the most frequent approach in the literature is to construct a common feature space [2, 4, 9, 11, 23, 28]. For text representation, BERT [5] is a good text encoder. For image representation, ViT [8] gained superior improvements compared to CNN based model [21, 26, 27]. Recently, CLIP [23], a large pre-trained model with BERT and ViT as text and visual encoders, becomes popular in language-based image retrieval. In this paper, we use CLIP and finetuned CLIP in target dataset as our deep retrieval model.

2.2 Adversarial Attacks in Image Retrieval

For image-to-image retrieval, different approaches such as PIRE [20], UAA-GAN [31], and AP-GAN [30] have been proposed to realize non-targeted image-specific attack. TMAA [25] is the first targeted attack against image-to-image retrieval and AdvHash [13] extends the targeted attack to class-wise scenario, where the attack method is effective to all queries which belong to a specific class. DAIR [3] explores a query-efficient decision-based black-box attack against image retrieval. For cross-modal hamming retrieval, Li et al. [18] first explore tag-to-image adversarial samples and then AACH [17] is proposed to investigate the black-box settings.

As summarized in Tab. 1, attack on sentence query modality remains unexplored. Whether it is an attack on image retrieval or tag-to-image retrieval, the usual approach is to push the feature embedding of the target query away from its original region in the feature space. In this way, the images related to the query will not appear in the top list returned by the retrieval. However, these attacks present the same shortcomings: they require test-time queries




Figure 2: A conceptual illustration of Trojan-horse image generation. Given a specific word w and a set of benign images X_b , we construct a set of Trojan-horse images $X_{h,w}$ by overlaying a word-specific adversarial patch δ on each image in X_b . The patch is derived by iteratively making a white-box black on an existing cross-modal matching network to enforce the Trojan-horse images to be more close to the given word in the cross-modal feature space.

to be modified to trigger the attack, which may be unrealistic in practice. Our attack aims to let the searcher see the image specified by the attacker without query modified. This setting is of more practical significance, such as advertising recommendations, avoiding violent image filtering, and so on.

Table 1: An summary of previous works on attacking deep-learning image retrieval. By inserting targeted Toran-horse images into the database of a language-based image retrieval system, this paper differs from the prior works in two aspects, i.e., query modality and attack point.

Method	Query modality	Attack point	Attack range	Targeted
PIRE, ICMR19 [20]	Image	Front-end	Single query	No
TMAA, ICCV19 [25]	Image	Front-end	Single query	Yes
CMLA, NIPS19 [18]	Tag	Front-end	Single query	No
DAIR, SIGIR21 [3]	Image	Front-end	Single query	No
AACH, ICCV21 [17]	Tag	Front-end	Single query	No
AdvHash, MM21 [13]	Image	Front-end	Query set	Yes
<i>This paper</i>	<i>Sentence</i>	<i>Back-end</i>	<i>Query set</i>	<i>Yes</i>

3 PROPOSED METHOD

3.1 Problem Formalization

We formalize a targeted Trojan-horse (TTH) attack on a given language-based image retrieval LBIR system as follows. Suppose the system, driven by a deep cross-modal matching network \mathcal{N} , has indexed a set of n_0 images X_0 . Each image $x \in X_0$ has been represented by a cross-modal feature vector denoted by $e(x)$. The system answers an ad-hoc query, expressed in the form of a natural-language sentence s , by first encoding the query into a cross-modal feature $e(s)$ that shares the same feature space as $e(x)$. The relevance of each image w.r.t to the query is computed in terms of certain (dis)similarity between the corresponding features. The top k most relevant images are returned as the search result. A TTH attack is to construct a set of n_h Trojan-horse images X_h such that

once the indexed collection is expanded as $X_0 \cup X_h$, the top k images will contain items from X_h . Consequently, users are shown with images the attacker wants them to see, even though the images can be completely irrelevant to the users' information need.

3.2 Trojan-horse Image Generation

We start with a set of n_h benign images X_b . To simulate a common procedure that expands the database of an image search engine by adding advertising images, we instantiate X_b with such types of images randomly collected from the Internet, see Fig. 3. The Trojan-horse image set X_h is generated by modifying certain amount of pixels of X_b to embed the TTH attack.

In order to let $x \in X_h$ be ranked higher w.r.t. a given query s , the similarity between $e(x)$ and $e(s)$ shall be larger. However, due to the *ad-hoc* nature of queries in LBIR, s is not known a priori. Directly targeting the query is thus difficult. Alternatively, we aim to construct X_h for a specific word w so that the TTH attack remains effective for a given query s_w that contains w . A word-specific X_h is denoted as $X_{h,w}$. In order to let $x_h \in X_{h,w}$ be more close to w in the cross-modal feature space, we introduce a loss as follows

$$\ell(X_h, w) = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{x_h \in X_h} (1 - \cos(e(w), e(x_h))), \quad (1)$$

where \cos indicates the cosine similarity as commonly used for cross-modal matching [7]. We generate $X_{h,w}$ by minimizing $\ell(X_h, w)$.

TTH Attack via adversarial patches. As putting a QR code on an advertising image is common, we propose a patch-based TTH attack where the adversarial information is embedded into the QR code yet without affecting its usability. Specifically, we use δ to indicate an adversarial patch. Such a patch is practically obtained in an iterative manner, so we use δ_i to denote the patch after the i -th iteration, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, where t is a pre-specified maximum number of iterations. Accordingly, an TTH image derived from a specific

benign image x_b can be formally expressed as

$$x_{h,i} = (1 - M) \odot x_b + M \odot (\text{zero-padding}(\delta_i)), \quad (2)$$

where M is a pre-specified binary mask that determines where x_b is overlaid with δ_i and \odot represents pixel-wise multiplication. In this work, the patch is placed at the top-right corner, see Fig. 2. To make the patch less significant in $x_{h,i}$, it is downsized, say to one-tenth of the benign image size. Hence, zero padding on δ_i is needed in Eq. 2. The initial state of the patch, denoted by δ_o , is fixed to be the QR code of the Wikipedia page of Trojan-horse¹.

Minimizing Eq. 1 alone will introduce distortion to the patch that makes the QR code not scannable. To preserve the code’s usability, we add an $L2$ distance based constrain, obtaining a combined loss as

$$\underbrace{\ell(X_h, w)}_{\text{Attack effectiveness}} + \lambda \underbrace{\|\delta - \delta_o\|^2}_{\text{QR-code usability}}, \quad (3)$$

where λ is a positive hyper-parameter that strikes a balance between the attack effectiveness and the QR-code usability. Per iteration, given ∇_i as the back-propagated gradient *w.r.t.* Eq. 3, the adversarial patch is updated as

$$\delta_i = \max(0, \min(255, \delta_{i-1} + \eta \cdot \nabla_i)), \quad (4)$$

with η as the learning rate. Note the max-min operation is used to ensure the validity of the pixel values.

Concerning the word embedding $e(w)$, a straightforward choice is to derive $e(w)$ directly from the network \mathcal{N} . However, the meaning of a word is context-dependent, subject to the sentence that uses the word. In order to obtain a contextualized embedding of a given word, we gather m sentences having w , denoted as S_w , from a training corpus, and subsequently perform meaning pooling over the sentence embeddings, *i.e.*,

$$e(w) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s \in S_w} e(s). \quad (5)$$

As $e(w)$ is fixed, maximizing the cosine similarity between $e(x_h)$ and $e(w)$ means performing an iterative images-to-word move in the cross-modal feature space, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The entire procedure is summarized as Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Trojan-horse image set generation

input : A given word w ;
 A benign-image image set X_b ;
 A normal QR code δ_o ;
 A cross-modal matching network \mathcal{N} ;
output : A Trojan-horse image set $X_{h,w}$

- 1 Compute word embedding $e(w)$ by Eq. 5;
 - 2 **for** $i = 1, \dots, t$ **do**
 - 3 Generate trojan-horse images
 $X_i = (1 - M) \odot X_b + M \odot (\text{zero-padding}(\delta_{i-1}))$;
 - 4 Compute image embeddings $e(X_i)$ using \mathcal{N} ;
 - 5 Compute the combined loss by Eq. 3;
 - 6 Update the patch δ_i by Eq. 4;
 - 7 $X_{h,w} \leftarrow X_t$
-

¹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trojan_horse

3.3 Cross-Modal Matching Network

As our proposed method is generic, any cross-modal matching network that produces $e(w)$ and $e(x)$ in an end-to-end manner can in principle be used. We instantiate \mathcal{N} with CLIP (ViT-B/32) [23], an up-to-date open-source model for image-text matching². CLIP consists of a BERT for text embedding and a Vision Transformer (ViT) for image embedding. Both $e(w)$ and $e(x)$ have the same dimensionality of 512. The model has been pre-trained on web-scale image-text corpora by contrastive learning.




Figure 3: Twenty benign images used in our experiments, consisting of diverse advertisement images we randomly downloaded from the Internet. They act as carriers of our Trojan-horse attacks.

4 EXPERIMENTS

To verify that the trojan horse images we generated can play an attacking role when retrieve texts contain the target keyword, we make experiments on the commonly used public datasets (*i.e.* Flickr30k [22] and MSCOCO [19]) on models (*i.e.* CLIP [23], CLIP-flickr and CLIP-coco). We upload the trojan horse images to the test dataset. When the queries contain the targeted keyword, the trojan horse images returned in the retrieval results rank high, which proves that our attack is effective.

4.1 Experimental Setting

Datasets Flickr30k [22] contains 31,000 images collected from Flickr, together with 5 reference sentences provided by human annotators. We follow the split in [29], 1,000 images for validation, 1,000 images for testing and the rest for training. MSCOCO [19] contains about 120,000 images. Each image is described with five related sentences. We adopt the widely used Karpathy split [15], 5,000 images for validation, 5,000 images for testing and the rest for training.

²<https://github.com/openai/CLIP>

Table 2: R10 of truly relevant images and novel images *w.r.t.* specific queries. LBIR setup: CLIP + Flickr30ktest. Adversarial patches are learned with Flickr30ktrain as training data. The clear drop of R10 for truly relevant images and the clear increase of R10 for novel images show the success of the proposed method for making TTH attacks.

Query set	Truly relevant images		Benign or Novel images	
	w/o TTH	w/ TTH	w/o TTH	w/ TTH
waiter	100.0	20.0	0.0	100.0
motorcycle	90.5	28.6	0.0	100.0
run	92.3	30.8	0.0	100.0
dress	92.4	42.4	0.0	100.0
floating	90.0	40.0	0.0	100.0
smiling	94.6	48.2	0.0	100.0
policeman	100.0	58.3	0.0	100.0
feeding	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0
maroon	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0
navy	100.0	66.7	0.0	100.0
cow	100.0	73.3	0.0	100.0
little	91.9	29.0	0.0	98.9
swimming	97.8	43.5	0.0	97.8
climbing	95.5	11.4	0.0	97.7
blue	95.4	61.4	0.0	97.3
dancing	80.0	33.3	0.0	96.7
yellow	93.2	68.9	0.0	96.3
floor	97.7	70.5	0.0	95.5
reading	94.7	52.6	0.0	94.7
jacket	91.4	69.9	0.0	94.6
pink	94.3	52.9	0.0	94.3
green	94.9	76.0	0.0	92.0
female	100.0	73.9	0.0	89.1
front	92.0	78.0	0.0	88.6
MEAN	94.9	52.1	0.0	97.2

Keyword list. In order to create a list of representative keywords, we first gather nouns, verbs and adjectives by performing NLTK-based part-of-speech (POS) tagging on the test queries of Flickr30k. Per POS, we selected randomly eight words. Consequently, we built a list of 24 keywords as follows: 1) *noun*: jacket (96), dress (68), floor (48), female (47), motorcycle (22), policeman (12), cow (15), waiter (5), 2) *verb*: smiling (58), climbing (49), swimming (46), reading (37), run (35), dancing (29), floating (10), feeding (5), and 3) *adjective*: blue (346), front (263), little (192), green (178), yellow (167), pink (88), navy (6), maroon (5), where numbers in the parentheses are word frequency.

Implementation Details. Contextualized deep embedding $e(w)$ is obtained by sampling 500 captions from all captions containing targeted keyword w in the training dataset. For patch generation, we set the learning rate equal to 0.01 in all our experiments and perform 300 iterations. If there is no convergence, we half the learning rate and increase the number of iterations by a factor of 2 and re-start. We also set the patch percentage of each sample to be 0.1 and $\lambda = 0.3$.

Performance metrics. We report Recall at 10 (R10), *i.e.*, the percentage of test queries that have relevant items included in the top-10 retrieved items. A successful TTH attack shall decrease R10

Table 3: R10 of truly relevant images and novel images *w.r.t.* specific queries. LBIR setup: CLIP-flickr + Flickr30ktest. Adversarial patches are learned with Flickr30ktrain as training data.

Query set	Truly relevant images		Benign or Novel images	
	w/o TTH	w/ TTH	w/o TTH	w/ TTH
cow	100.0	86.7	0.0	100.0
motorcycle	100.0	95.2	0.0	100.0
policeman	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
waiter	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
feeding	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
reading	94.7	86.8	0.0	97.4
swimming	100.0	100.0	0.0	91.3
floor	100.0	100.0	2.3	86.4
dress	100.0	95.5	1.5	86.4
pink	97.7	96.6	0.0	86.2
climbing	95.5	84.1	0.0	84.1
smiling	100.0	98.2	3.6	83.9
dancing	90.0	83.3	0.0	83.3
yellow	97.5	93.8	3.1	77.6
green	98.9	97.1	0.6	73.1
floating	100.0	90.0	0.0	70.0
run	100.0	92.3	0.0	69.2
navy	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
little	98.9	98.4	1.1	65.6
female	100.0	100.0	2.2	60.9
jacket	96.8	95.7	0.0	57.0
blue	98.2	97.9	1.2	41.6
maroon	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0
front	97.3	96.6	4.2	29.9
MEAN	98.6	95.3	0.8	77.1

of truly relevant images and meanwhile increase R10 of the TTH images.

4.2 Experiment 1. White-box Attack

We summarize the performance of White-box Attack from two aspects R10 of truly relevant images and novel images *w.r.t.* specific queries. The detailed results are shown in Tab. 2, 3, 4 and 5. The order of keyword is in descending order according to R10 increase of novel images before and after attacking. First, after targeted trojan-horse attack, the R10 of advertisement images retrieved by queries including targeted keyword has increased significantly, which proves that pasting adversarial patch successfully hides the original information of advertisement images and embeds corresponding keyword information. Second, after targeted trojan-horse attack, the R10 of the truly relevant images retrieved by targeted queries are decreased. This is the effect of the higher ranking of the trojan-horse images. From Tab. 6, we can find R10 of truly relevant images and novel images *w.r.t.* specific queries. In a total of four white-box setting, the average R10 of novel images with targeted trojan-horse attack is 75.4, which shows the effect of our proposed TTH.

As shown in Tab. 3, there is a large difference in the attack performance of different keywords. We assume that some keywords, such as *front*, are composed of sentences with rich meanings and sparse meaning distribution in the model subspace, so it is more difficult

Table 4: R10 of truly relevant images and novel images w.r.t. specific queries. LBIR setup: CLIP + COCOtest. Adversarial patches are learned with COCOtrain as the training data.

Query set	Truly relevant images		Benign or Novel images	
	w/o TTH	w/ TTH	w/o TTH	w/ TTH
waiter	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
policeman	80.0	20.0	0.0	100.0
dancing	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0
floating	68.4	18.4	0.0	100.0
maroon	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0
motorcycle	62.7	31.5	0.0	98.1
smiling	77.6	35.8	0.0	97.8
cow	76.4	52.8	0.0	95.3
pink	89.7	68.4	0.0	94.1
climbing	94.1	58.8	0.0	88.2
little	82.4	34.5	0.0	87.9
jacket	75.0	53.1	0.0	87.5
dress	88.7	52.8	0.0	84.9
navy	83.3	66.7	0.0	83.3
swimming	93.5	78.3	0.0	80.4
reading	93.6	70.2	0.0	78.7
female	64.4	46.7	0.0	77.8
run	45.5	39.4	0.0	72.7
yellow	78.6	63.6	0.0	70.9
blue	75.0	60.4	0.0	65.5
floor	85.8	67.6	0.0	64.2
feeding	76.4	69.1	0.0	56.4
front	68.8	64.8	0.0	47.0
green	72.1	66.5	0.0	45.4
MEAN	80.5	51.2	0.0	82.3

to construct a unified patch to attack. To prove the assumption, we select two keywords (policeman and swimming) with good attack effects and two keywords (front and run) with poor attack effects. As depicted in Fig. 4, we visualize CLIP-*flickr* subspace embeddings of all images in flickr30k test dataset, texts including targeted keyword in flickr30k test dataset and trojan-horse images. Because the embeddings of "front" and "run" are scattered in the subspace, it is difficult to put the embeddings of trojan-horse images and all texts including "front" or "run" in subspace close to each other.

4.3 Experiment 2. Surrogate-dataset Attack

To explore the generalization ability of our proposed TTH method, we explore Surrogate-dataset experiment. We use R10 of trojan horse images to measure the attack performance.

From the Surrogate-dataset Attack experimental results in Tab. 6, we can find that using different datasets for training and test, performance will drop slightly. When LBIR setup is CLIP+Flickr30k-test, if we change the Training data from Flickr30k-train to COCO-train, the R10 of Novel images will drop from 97.2 to 83.1. When LBIR setup is CLIP+COCO-test, if we change the Training data from COCO-train to Flickr30k-train, the R10 of Novel images will drop from 82.3 to 77.8.

Table 5: R10 of truly relevant images and novel images w.r.t. specific queries. LBIR setup: CLIP-coco + COCOtest. Adversarial patches are learned with COCOtrain as the training data.

Query set	Truly relevant images		Benign or Novel images	
	w/o TTH	w/ TTH	w/o TTH	w/ TTH
waiter	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
policeman	80.0	40.0	0.0	100.0
dancing	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0
navy	83.3	83.3	0.0	83.3
maroon	80.0	60.0	20.0	80.0
pink	94.1	86.0	0.0	68.4
reading	97.9	91.5	6.4	57.4
dress	98.1	94.3	1.9	56.6
motorcycle	86.8	77.8	0.0	54.0
cow	90.6	86.6	0.0	45.7
smiling	95.5	94.0	0.7	44.0
feeding	89.1	89.1	1.8	38.2
little	93.1	92.4	0.3	37.9
swimming	97.8	97.8	0.0	32.6
yellow	92.4	92.0	1.2	30.6
floating	76.3	73.7	2.6	26.3
floor	92.0	90.9	1.1	25.6
jacket	89.1	89.1	0.0	25.0
climbing	94.1	94.1	0.0	23.5
blue	91.5	89.9	0.2	21.3
green	89.3	88.9	0.4	14.6
front	87.8	87.8	1.0	4.5
female	84.4	84.4	4.4	4.4
run	78.8	78.8	0.0	3.0
MEAN	90.1	83.9	1.8	44.9

4.4 Experiment 3. Surrogate-model Attack

To explore the generalization ability of our proposed TTH method, we explore Surrogate-dataset experiment. We use R10 of trojan horse images to measure the attack performance.

From the Surrogate-model Attack experimental results in Tab. 6, we can find two conclusions. First, using different models to generate trojan horse images and test results have certain attack performance. Second, the cross-model attack between CLIP-*flickr* and CLIP-*coco* works good, while the cross-model attack with CLIP is less effective (R10 drops a lot). We speculate that CLIP is a large-scale pre-training model, which is quite different from the CLIP-*flickr* and CLIP-*coco* that are finetuned in the corresponding data set and corresponding task, resulting in a lot of decrease in attack performance.


4.5 Experiment 4. Ablation Study

To explore the influence of λ and the patch size, we make two ablation studies on CLIP+Flickr30ktest LBIR setup. All other experiment settings remain unchanged as Sec. 4.1.

The influence of λ . Take **motorcycle** and **policeman** for example, the λ is set to 0, 0.1, 0.3, 1 and 10, respectively. Fig. 5 shows R10 of TTH images and whether QRcode is scannable with the increase of λ , it can be seen that adversarial patch (QR-code) gradually becomes clear with the increase of λ from 0 to 1, but R10 unaffected. On the other hand, when set $\lambda \geq 0.3$, QR code is scannable.

Table 6: R10 of truly relevant images and novel images in three different TTH attack modes, i.e., white-box attack, surrogate-dataset attack and surrogate-model attack..

TTH attack setup		LBIR configuration		Truly relevant images		Novel images	
Network	Training data	Network	Image set	w/o TTH	w/ TTH	w/o TTH	w/ TTH
White-box attack							
CLIP	Flickr30k-train	CLIP	Flickr30k-test	94.9	52.1	0.0	97.2
CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	Flickr30k-train	CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	Flickr30k-test	98.6	95.3	0.8	77.1
CLIP	COCO-train	CLIP	COCO-test	80.5	51.2	0.0	82.3
CLIP- <i>coco</i>	COCO-train	CLIP- <i>coco</i>	COCO-test	90.1	83.9	1.8	44.9
Surrogate-dataset Attack							
CLIP	COCO-train	CLIP	Flickr30k-test	94.9	70.5	0.0	83.1
CLIP	Flickr30k-train	CLIP	COCO-test	80.5	53.5	0.0	77.8
CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	COCO-train	CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	Flickr30k-test	98.6	97.7	0.8	58.6
CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	Flickr30k-train	CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	COCO-test	85.0	82.8	0.1	34.4
CLIP- <i>coco</i>	COCO-train	CLIP- <i>coco</i>	Flickr30k-test	90.1	84.0	1.8	44.5
CLIP- <i>coco</i>	Flickr30k-train	CLIP- <i>coco</i>	COCO-test	98.6	97.7	0.8	58.6
Surrogate-model attack							
CLIP	Flickr30k-train	CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	Flickr30k-test	97.8	97.8	0.8	18.5
CLIP	COCO-train	CLIP- <i>coco</i>	COCO-test	90.1	90.1	1.8	7.8
CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	COCO-train	CLIP- <i>coco</i>	COCO-test	90.1	87.1	1.8	37.5
CLIP- <i>coco</i>	Flickr30k-train	CLIP- <i>flickr</i>	Flickr30k-test	98.6	97.2	0.8	70.6

**Figure 4: Visualization of CLIP-*flickr* model embeddings of four keywords in Flickr30Ktest. Grey dots, purple dots, and yellow dots mean all images in test dataset, texts including targeted keyword in test dataset and trojan-horse images.**

The influence of the patch size. Fig. 6 shows the changing of R10 of TTH images as the adversarial patch size increases. It can be seen that as the patch percentage increases, R10 increases accordingly. When the ratio to benign-image size is greater than 0.1, the performance improvement is relatively flat. What's more, a large adversarial patch size would obscure the main part of the

	$\lambda = 0$	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda = 0.3$	$\lambda = 1$	$\lambda = 10$
Keyword: motorcycle					
Adversarial patch					
R10 of TTH images	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	85.7
QR-code scannable	×	×	√	√	√
Keyword: policeman					
Adversarial patch					
R10 of TTH images	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	91.7
QR-code scannable	×	×	√	√	√

Figure 5: Attack performance with different λ on keyword motorcycle and policeman. LBIR setup: CLIP + Flickr30ktest. Adversarial patches are learned with Flickr30ktrain as training data.

advertisement image. To balance the effect of advertisement and attack performance, we set the patch ratio to 0.1.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, We propose TTH, the first targeted attack against language-based image retrieval with adversarial patch. TTH is a back-end attack (i.e. upload TTH images to the database of the retrieval system) and works on a set of queries which contain a




Figure 6: Attack performance (mean trojan-horse R10) with different patch ratio. LBIR setup: CLIP + Flickr30ktest. Adversarial patches are learned with Flickr30ktrain as training data.

specific keyword. To that end, users are shown with images the attacker wants them to see. Our extensive experiments verify that TTH is highly effective at attacking state-of-the-art model CLIP and fine-tuned CLIP in Flickr30k and MSCOCO.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tom B. Brown, Dandelion Mané, Aurko Roy, Martin Abadi, and Justin Gilmer. 2017. Adversarial Patch. arXiv:1712.09665
- [2] Jie Cao, Shengsheng Qian, Huaiwen Zhang, Quan Fang, and Changsheng Xu. 2021. Global Relation-Aware Attention Network for Image-Text Retrieval. In *ICMR*.
- [3] Mingyang Chen, Junda Lu, Yi Wang, Jianbin Qin, and Wei Wang. 2021. DAIR: A query-efficient decision-based attack on image retrieval systems. In *SIGIR*.
- [4] Minh-Son Dao, Pham Quang Nhat Minh, Asem Kasem, and Mohamed Saleem Haja Nazmudeen. 2018. A context-aware late-fusion approach for disaster image retrieval from social media. In *ICMR*.
- [5] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *NAACL-HLT*.
- [6] Haiwen Diao, Ying Zhang, Lin Ma, and Huchuan Lu. 2021. Similarity Reasoning and Filtration for Image-Text Matching. In *AAAI*.
- [7] Jianfeng Dong, Xirong Li, Chaoxi Xu, Xun Yang, Gang Yang, and Xun Wang. 2021. Dual Encoding for Video Retrieval by Text. *TPAMI (2021)*.
- [8] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xi-aohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. 2021. An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale. In *ICLR*.
- [9] Fartash Faghri, David J. Fleet, Jamie Ryan Kiros, and Sanja Fidler. 2018. VSE++: Improving Visual-Semantic Embeddings with Hard Negatives. In *BMVC*.
- [10] Ian J. Goodfellow, Jonathon Shlens, and Christian Szegedy. 2015. Explaining and Harnessing Adversarial Examples. In *ICLR*.
- [11] Yutian Guo, Jingjing Chen, Hao Zhang, and Yu-Gang Jiang. 2020. Visual relations augmented cross-modal retrieval. In *ICMR*.
- [12] Fan Hu, Aozhu Chen, Ziyue Wang, Fangming Zhou, and Xirong Li. 2021. Lightweight Attentional Feature Fusion for Video Retrieval by Text. arXiv:2112.01832
- [13] Shengshan Hu, Yechao Zhang, Xiaogeng Liu, Leo Yu Zhang, Minghui Li, and Hai Jin. 2021. AdvHash: Set-to-set Targeted Attack on Deep Hashing with One Single Adversarial Patch. In *ACM MM*.
- [14] Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc V. Le, Yun-Hsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. 2021. Scaling up visual and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision. In *ICML*.
- [15] Andrej Karpathy and Li Fei-Fei. 2015. Deep visual-semantic alignments for generating image descriptions. In *CVPR*.
- [16] Wonjae Kim, Bokyung Son, and Ildoo Kim. 2021. ViLT: Vision-and-Language Transformer Without Convolution or Region Supervision. In *ICML*.
- [17] Chao Li, Shangqian Gao, Cheng Deng, Wei Liu, and Heng Huang. 2021. Adversarial Attack on Deep Cross-Modal Hamming Retrieval. In *ICCV*.
- [18] Chao Li, Shangqian Gao, Cheng Deng, De Xie, and Wei Liu. 2019. Cross-Modal Learning with Adversarial Samples. In *NeurIPS*.
- [19] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *ECCV*.
- [20] Z Liu, Z Zhao, and M Larson. 2019. Who's Afraid of Adversarial Queries?: The Impact of Image Modifications on Content-based Image Retrieval. In *ICMR*.
- [21] Xinyao Nie, Hong Lu, Zijian Wang, Jingyuan Liu, and Zehua Guo. 2019. Weakly Supervised Image Retrieval via Coarse-scale Feature Fusion and Multi-level Attention Blocks. In *ICMR*. 48–52.
- [22] Bryner A Plummer, Liwei Wang, Chris M Cervantes, Juan C Caicedo, Julia Hockenmaier, and Svetlana Lazebnik. 2015. Flickr30k entities: Collecting region-to-phrase correspondences for richer image-to-sentence models. In *ICCV*.
- [23] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. 2021. Learning Transferable Visual Models from Natural Language Supervision. In *ICML*.
- [24] Christian Szegedy, Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, Joan Bruna, Dumitru Erhan, Ian J. Goodfellow, and Rob Fergus. 2014. Intriguing properties of neural networks. In *ICLR*.
- [25] Giorgos Tolias, Filip Radenovic, and Ondrej Chum. 2019. Targeted mismatch adversarial attack: Query with a flower to retrieve the tower. In *ICCV*.
- [26] Federico Vaccaro, Marco Bertini, Tiberio Uricchio, and Alberto Del Bimbo. 2020. Image retrieval using multi-scale CNN features pooling. In *ICMR*.
- [27] Lucas Pascotti Valem and Daniel Carlos Guimarães Pedronette. 2019. An unsupervised genetic algorithm framework for rank selection and fusion on image retrieval. In *ICMR*. 58–62.
- [28] Liwei Wang, Yin Li, Jing Huang, and Svetlana Lazebnik. 2018. Learning two-branch neural networks for image-text matching tasks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 41, 2 (2018), 394–407.
- [29] Peter Young, Alice Lai, Micah Hodosh, and Julia Hockenmaier. 2014. From image descriptions to visual denotations: New similarity metrics for semantic inference over event descriptions. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 2 (2014), 67–78.
- [30] Guoping Zhao, Mingyu Zhang, Jiajun Liu, Yaxian Li, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2020. AP-GAN: Adversarial patch attack on content-based image retrieval systems. *Geoinformatica* (2020), 1–31.
- [31] Guoping Zhao, Mingyu Zhang, Jiajun Liu, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2019. Unsupervised adversarial attacks on deep feature-based retrieval with GAN. arXiv:1907.05793