

TableQuery: Querying tabular data with natural language

Abhijith Neil Abraham*
Saama
abhijithneilabrahampk@gmail.com

Fariz Rahman*
ActiveLoop
fariz@activeloop.ai

Damanpreet Kaur
Oregon State University
kaurd@oregonstate.edu

Abstract

This paper presents TableQuery, a novel tool for querying tabular data using deep learning models pre-trained to answer questions on free text. Existing deep learning methods for question answering on tabular data have various limitations, such as having to feed the entire table as input into a neural network model, making them unsuitable for most real-world applications. Since real-world data might contain millions of rows, it may not entirely fit into the memory. Moreover, data could be stored in live databases, which are updated in real-time, and it is impractical to serialize an entire database to a neural network-friendly format each time it is updated. In TableQuery, we use deep learning models pre-trained for question answering on free text to convert natural language queries to structured queries, which can be run against a database or a spreadsheet. This method eliminates the need for fitting the entire data into memory as well as serializing databases. Furthermore, deep learning models pre-trained for question answering on free text are readily available on platforms such as HuggingFace Model Hub (7). TableQuery does not require re-training; when a newly trained model for question answering with better performance is available, it can replace the existing model in TableQuery.

1. Introduction

With most businesses growing digital, they are churning out large amounts of data daily. Most of this data is tabular and is dumped into spreadsheets or database tables. However, it is difficult for users from a non-technical background to analyze such large datasets. Thus, a solution to query the database using natural language makes it easier to perform this task.

The early work in querying databases using natural language focused on developing supervised models trained end-to-end like TAPAS (6), Seq2SQL (15). Although these models perform well, they are not highly generalizable and require re-training when the data domain is changed.

The tabular data in real-world applications can be humongous. It is a challenge to attend over the whole data as these datasets do not fit into the memory. TableQuery eliminates the need to fit the dataset into the memory as it uses structured queries to fetch the desired information from the stored database or spreadsheet.

2. Related Work

Querying a database using natural language has been studied extensively in the last few years. Earlier work in this area allows learning semantic parsers from natural language data (10; 14; 5). This field of work used a single database for training and testing and had limitations for the types of structured queries it could predict. Such models did not generalize well to domains different from the ones on which they were trained.

* These authors contributed equally to this work




Figure 1
System Architecture of TableQuery

Another set of techniques to approach this problem is using neural sequence-to-sequence models that predict parts of queries sequentially (11; 9; 2; 15). 13 proposed an approach to solving the serialization problem, which was observed in sequence-to-sequence models. They introduced a sequence-to-set model and column attention mechanism to synthesize the query based on the proposed sketch. 8 encoded tables and queries as graphs and answers are selected using a decoder pointer network. Using pre-trained networks on a different task for improving performance on the target task is commonly used in natural language processing. More recently, 6 proposed TAPAS (Table PARSing) which extended BERT’s architecture (4) to encode tabular data and pre-trained the network on tables and text segments. TAPAS is the current state-of-the-art in querying tabular data. Another approach introduced by 3 used a tree tagging approach that filters words according to the assigned POS tags. The main problem with their approach is that they cannot generate a query unless the user uses the column name as such from the table in the query or provides a mapping of the column name with the user-desired input. We devised an approach to utilize the existing question answering models trained on free-text to be used for tabular data. This allows us to overcome the limitations of the existing models that require separate model fine-tuning when the data domain is changed. We also allow users to query large datasets without any memory restrictions by storing the tables into a database or spreadsheet, unlike some of the existing works (6) that are not useful in real-world applications due to memory limitations.

3. System Description

This section discusses the system architecture of TableQuery as shown in the figure 1. Below we describe the main modules of TableQuery and how they are connected.

Datastore: The datastore is a collection of tables that can be queried using natural language. These tables can be a database or a directory of spreadsheets. In addition to the tabular data, the datastore contains schema files that consist of metadata for each of the tables, such as table keywords, column names, column types, column keywords (user-provided keywords for column names), etc. The schema files can be created manually for these tables or automatically generated by applying various heuristics to the data.

<https://github.com/abhijithneilabraham/tableQA>.

	TableQuery (Ours)	TAPAS (6)	ln2sql (3)
Data length	Any size	Max. sequence length 512*	Any size
Debuggability	Yes	No	Yes
Re-training	No ⁺	Yes	No
Column types			
Numeric	Yes	Yes	Yes
String	Yes	Yes	Yes
Date	Yes	Yes	Yes
Categorical	Yes	Yes	Yes
Supports Joins	No	No	Yes

Table 1

Comparison of TableQuery with existing models to query on tabular data.

* It is possible to run TAPAS for large datasets by splitting them into multiple small datasets of length 512. However, 1) Performance might suffer. 2) Multiple row queries will not work.

+ Please note that the question answering model in TableQuery can be switched for any other existing model trained on the free-text question answering dataset.

TableQuery supports various column types such as INTEGER, FLOAT, STRING, DATE, and CATEGORICAL. These types can have subtypes; for example, AGE and YEAR are INTEGER subtypes with appropriate ranges.

Table selector: The table selector selects the appropriate table from the datastore given an input query. This is done by extracting keywords from the input query and finding the table with the maximum overlap coefficient between the table's keywords from the schema (as well as column names, column keywords, etc.) and the question keywords. See appendix 2.1 for the pseudo-code of the table selector component.

Known Fields Extractor: The known fields extractor extracts the columns for which values are already given in the query. The values corresponding to each of those columns are also extracted. This is done with the help of a deep learning model pre-trained to perform question answering on free text. For instance, consider the following user query on cancer death data in appendix table 1 - "How many men had stomach cancer in the year 2012?". The known fields extractor outputs the column names as "gender," "cancer_site," and "year," and their respective values as "male," "Stomach," and "2012". See appendix 2.2 for the pseudo-code of known fields extractor component.

Unknown Fields Extractor: The unknown fields extractor extracts columns for which values have to be retrieved from the selected table. This is done by excluding columns for which values have already been extracted from the query by the known fields extractor and finding the column with maximum overlap coefficient between its column keywords and the question keywords. The columns extracted using the known field extractor are excluded from the search. For instance, in the query "How many men had stomach cancer in the year 2012?" on the cancer death dataset in appendix table 1, the unknown field is "Death Count" See appendix 2.3 for the pseudo-code of unknown fields extractor component.

Aggregate function classifier: While some queries require just the retrieval of values for unknown fields from the selected table, some might require performing further operations over those columns. This is achieved by including SQL aggregate functions such as COUNT, SUM, MIN, MAX, AVG, etc., in the generated SQL query. The aggregate function classifier decides the aggregate function to be used given an input query. To perform this task, we trained a two-layer neural network that takes the query encoded using Universal Sentence Encoder (1) as input and outputs the appropriate aggregate function to be used, if any. The model was trained on a commonly used dataset for tabular question-answering models, WikiSQL (15), which was preprocessed to extract relevant components from it. We split our dataset into 80-20 training and testing splits and got an accuracy of 84.2 on the

SNo	Year	Nationality	Gender	Cancer site	Death Count	age
0	2016	Expatriate	Female	Liver And Intrahepatic Bile Ducts	1	50
1	2013	Expatriate	Male	Stomach	1	55
2	2017	Expatriate	Male	Oropharynx	1	55
				...		
				...		
				...		
33	2013	Expatriate	Female	Colorectum	1	65
34	2015	National	Female	Thyroid	1	50
35	2015	National	Male	Leukaemia	1	75

Give me the death count in 2012?

TAPAS: SUM of 2, 3 ✓

TableQuery: SELECT SUM(death_count) FROM cancer_death WHERE year = '2012' ✓

Give me death count of people below age 40 who had stomach cancer?

TAPAS: SUM of 1 ✓

TableQuery: SELECT SUM(death_count) FROM cancer_death WHERE cancer_site = 'Stomach' AND age < 40 ✓

Give me death count between age 30 and 60 due to pancreas cancer?

TAPAS: 1 ✗

TableQuery: SELECT SUM(death_count) FROM cancer_death WHERE cancer_site = 'Pancreas' AND age BETWEEN 30 AND 60 ✓

Get me the average deaths due to stomach cancer?

TAPAS: AVERAGE of 1, 17, 30 ✗

TableQuery: SELECT AVG(death_count) FROM dataframe WHERE cancer_site = 'Stomach' ✓

Get me the highest age for each cancer type?

TAPAS: *No Output* ✗

TableQuery: SELECT MAX(cancer_site) FROM dataframe ✗

Table 2

Comparison of SOTA model, TAPAS and TableQuery (Ours).

✗ is an incorrect prediction. ✓ is a correct prediction.

testing split. See appendix 2.4 for the pseudo-code of the aggregate function classifier component.

SQL Generator: The SQL Generator combines the known fields and values, unknown fields, and aggregate function using the logic described in the pseudo-code (appendix section 2) to build an SQL query which, when run against the selected table, returns the desired result. See appendix 2.6 for the pseudo-code of the SQL generator component.

4. Results

We compared the features of our tool with the existing state-of-the-art work in querying tabular data using natural language. Table 1 summarizes information about these models. TableQuery does not impose any data length

(Star (Pismis24-#))	(Spectral type)	(Magnitude (M bol))	(Temperature (K))	(Radius (R +))	(Mass (M +))
1NE	O3.5 If *	-10.0	42000	17	74
1SW	O4 III	-9.8	41500	16	66
2	O5.5 V(f)	-8.9	40000	12	43
3	O8 V	-7.7	33400	9	25
10	O9 V	-7.2	31500	8	20
12	B1 V	-5.3	30000	4	11
13	O6.5 III((f))	-8.6	35600	12	35
15	O8 V	-7.8	33400	10	25
16	O7.5 V	-9.0	34000	16	38
17	O3.5 III	-10.1	42700	17	78
18	B0.5 V	-6.4	30000	6	15

What is the smallest possible radius?

TAPAS: SUM of 4 ✓

TableQuery Answer: [(4,)] ✓

TableQuery: SELECT MIN(Radius (R +)) FROM dataframe ✓

Expected Answer: select MIN(Radius (R +)) FROM dataframe

What are all the spectral types for star mismis24-# is 1sw?

TAPAS: O3.5 If *, O4 III ✗

TableQuery Answer: [('1NE', 'O3.5 If *'), ('1SW', 'O4 III'), ('2', 'O5.5 V(f)'), ('3', 'O8 V'), ('10', 'O9 V'), ('12', 'B1 V'), ('13', 'O6.5 III((f)'), ('15', 'O8 V'), ('16', 'O7.5 V'), ('17', 'O3.5 III'), ('18', 'B0.5 V')]

TableQuery: SELECT star_pismis24_, spectral_type FROM dataframe ✗

Expected Answer: select spectral_type FROM dataframe where star_pismis24_ = 1SW

If a radius is 10, what is the lowest possible mass?

TAPAS: SUM of 11 ✗

TableQuery Answer: [(25,)] ✓

TableQuery: SELECT MIN(mass_m_) FROM dataframe WHERE radius_r_ = '10' ✓

Expected Answer: select MIN(mass_m_) FROM dataframe where radius_r_ = 10

Table 3

Comparison of SOTA model, TAPAS and TableQuery (Ours) on WikiSQL dataset. (Table-id: 1-10015132-16)

✗ is an incorrect prediction. ✓ is a correct prediction.

restrictions, unlike TAPAS (6) and allows to query data of arbitrary length. It does not require any re-training on the new dataset as the pre-trained model can be switched easily with any other model with better performance.

Additionally, it is easy to debug mistakes in TableQuery compared to TAPAS as the final query is constructed piece-by-piece using the results of each module.

Table 2 shows some examples comparing TableQuery and TAPAS. We performed this comparison on an open-source database available in the health category from Abu Dhabi Open Platform

(<https://addata.gov.ae/>), an initiative to make datasets publicly available by the Government of Abu Dhabi. Due to the memory restrictions of TAPAS, we randomly filtered 36 rows from our existing cancer deaths dataset. TableQuery performs better than TAPAS when the queries are complex and multiple conditions are

evaluated in the WHERE clause. Both the models perform equally well when the queries are relatively simple or a single condition is evaluated. The filtered 36 rows from the dataset used for testing are shown in appendix table 1.

Season	Driver	Team	Engine	Poles	Wins	Podiums	Points	Margin of defeat
1950	Juan Manuel Fangio	Alfa Romeo	Alfa Romeo	4	3	3	27	3
1951	Alberto Ascari	Ferrari	Ferrari	2	2	3	25	6
1952	Giuseppe Farina	Ferrari	Ferrari	2	0	4	24	12
1953	Juan Manuel Fangio	Maserati	Maserati	1	1	4	28	6.5
			..					
			..					
			..					
2008	Felipe Massa	Ferrari	Ferrari	6	6	10	97	1
2009	Sebastian Vettel	Red Bull	Renault	4	4	8	84	11
2010	Fernando Alonso	Ferrari	Ferrari	2	5	10	252	4
2011	Jenson Button	McLaren	Mercedes	0	3	12	270	122

Which podiums did the Williams team have with a margin of defeat of 2?

TableQuery Answer: [(‘Renault’, 7), (‘Williams’, 9), (‘Ferrari’, 9), (‘McLaren’, 10)] ✗

TableQuery: SELECT team,podiums FROM dataframe WHERE margin_of_defeat = ‘2’ ✗

Expected Answer: select MIN(Radius (R +)) FROM dataframe

How many drivers on the williams team had a margin of defeat of 2?

TableQuery Answer: [(1,)] ✓

TableQuery: SELECT COUNT(driver) FROM dataframe WHERE margin_of_defeat = ‘2’ AND team = ‘Williams’ ✓

Expected Answer: select COUNT(driver) FROM dataframe where team = Williams and margin_of_defeat = 2

How many seasons was clay regazzoni the driver?

TableQuery Answer: [(1,)] ✓

TableQuery: SELECT COUNT(season) FROM dataframe WHERE driver = ‘Clay Regazzoni’ ✓

Expected Answer: select COUNT(season) FROM dataframe where driver = Clay Regazzoni

Which margin of defeats had points of 30?

TableQuery Answer: [(‘12’,)] ✓

TableQuery: SELECT margin_of_defeat FROM dataframe WHERE points = ‘30’ ✓

Expected Answer: select margin_of_defeat FROM dataframe where points = 30

Which podiums did the alfa romeo team have?

TableQuery Answer: [(3,)] ✓

TableQuery: SELECT podiums FROM dataframe WHERE team = ‘Alfa Romeo’ ✓

Expected Answer: select podiums FROM dataframe where team = Alfa Romeo

Table 4

Performance of TableQuery (Ours) on WikiSQL dataset (Table-id: 1-10753917-1). TAPAS does not work for this example due to the length of the table.

✗ is an incorrect prediction. ✓ is a correct prediction.

5. Ablation Study

In this section, we share some qualitative results from experiments conducted on the WikiSQL dataset (15), a dataset for developing language interfaces for relational databases. Since TableQuery currently does not support inner queries and joins, we do not perform a quantitative analysis; rather select a subset of tables without needing any joins. Tables 3 and 4 above highlight some of the selected results. TAPAS fails to generate results in cases where the table is large (example in 4).

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced TableQuery, a novel tool that allows querying data present in tabular format using natural language. Unlike other solutions, which are deep learning models that are trained end-to-end to perform this task, TableQuery uses existing question answering models pre-trained on free text. Our tool improves the limitations of existing work, such as the need to store the dataset into memory and re-training the model to expand the domain coverage.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank the authors of HuggingFace transformers for making it easier to work with pre-trained deep learning models (12) and the authors of Universal Sentence Encoder (1).

References

- [1] Daniel Cer, Yinfei Yang, Sheng-yi Kong, Nan Hua, Nicole Limtiaco, Rhomni St John, Noah Constant, Mario Guajardo-Céspedes, Steve Yuan, Chris Tar, et al. 2018. Universal sentence encoder. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.11175*.
- [2] Minseok Cho, Reinald Kim Amplayo, Seung-won Hwang, and Jonghyuck Park. 2018. Adversarial tableqa: Attention supervision for question answering on tables. In *Asian Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 391–406. PMLR.
- [3] Benoît Couderc and Jérémy Ferrero. 2015. fr2sql: Interrogation de bases de données en français. In *22ème Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles*.
- [4] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- [5] Ruifang Ge and Raymond Mooney. 2005. A statistical semantic parser that integrates syntax and semantics. In *Proceedings of the Ninth Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL-2005)*, pages 9–16.
- [6] Jonathan Herzig, Pawel Krzysztof Nowak, Thomas Müller, Francesco Piccinno, and Julian Eisenschlos. 2020. TaPas: Weakly supervised table parsing via pre-training. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4320–4333, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [7] HuggingFace. Huggingface models. <https://huggingface.co/models>.
- [8] Thomas Mueller, Francesco Piccinno, Peter Shaw, Massimo Nicosia, and Yasemin Altun. 2019. Answering conversational questions on structured data without logical forms. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 5904–5912.

- [9] Arvind Neelakantan, Quoc V Le, and Ilya Sutskever. 2015. Neural programmer: Inducing latent programs with gradient descent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.04834*.
- [10] Lappoon R Tang and Raymond Mooney. 2000. Automated construction of database interfaces: Intergrating statistical and relational learning for semantic parsing. In *2000 Joint SIGDAT Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Very Large Corpora*, pages 133–141.
- [11] Oriol Vinyals, Samy Bengio, and Manjunath Kudlur. 2015. Order matters: Sequence to sequence for sets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06391*.
- [12] Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [13] Xiaojun Xu, Chang Liu, and Dawn Song. 2017. Sqlnet: Generating structured queries from natural language without reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.04436*.
- [14] Navid Yaghmazadeh, Yuepeng Wang, Isil Dillig, and Thomas Dillig. 2017. Sqlizer: query synthesis from natural language. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 1(OOPSLA):1–26.
- [15] Victor Zhong, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2017. Seq2sql: Generating structured queries from natural language using reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/1709.00103.

A. Appendix 1 - Cancer Death Dataset

Table 5 contains a random subset of cancer death dataset from the health data category available publicly on the Abu Dhabi Open Data Platform.

SNo	Year	Nationality	Gender	Cancer site	Death Count	age
0	2016	Expatriate	Female	Liver And Intrahepatic Bile Ducts	1	50
1	2013	Expatriate	Male	Stomach	1	55
2	2017	Expatriate	Male	Oropharynx	1	55
3	2017	National	Male	Pancreas	2	50
4	2016	Expatriate	Male	Oropharynx	1	45
5	2012	Expatriate	Female	Pancreas	2	60
6	2014	National	Male	Leukaemia	2	70
7	2011	National	Male	Colorectum	2	75
8	2017	Expatriate	Female	Secondary Respiratory And Digestive Organs	1	65
9	2016	National	Female	Colon	1	40
10	2015	Expatriate	Female	Leukaemia	1	45
11	2018	National	Male	Rectosigmoid Junction	1	55
12	2018	Expatriate	Male	Colon	1	50
13	2014	National	Female	Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	2	60
14	2014	Expatriate	Female	Trachea, bronchus and lung	2	60
15	2011	National	Male	Brain, nervous system	1	35
16	2016	National	Female	Bronchus And Lung	1	50
17	2016	National	Female	Stomach	1	20
18	2014	Expatriate	Male	Trachea, bronchus and lung	3	60
19	2018	Expatriate	Female	Breast	11	55
20	2016	Expatriate	Female	Pancreas	1	35
21	2014	Expatriate	Male	Leukaemia	5	45
22	2014	Expatriate	Female	Leukaemia	1	20
23	2012	Expatriate	Male	Pancreas	3	70
24	2018	National	Female	Bronchus And Lung	1	55
25	2011	National	Male	Lymphoma	1	60
26	2017	Expatriate	Male	Follicular [Nodular] Non-Hodgkin'S Lymphoma	1	55
27	2011	Expatriate	Female	Pancreas	1	60
28	2016	National	Female	Colon	1	85
29	2018	Expatriate	Male	Liver And Intrahepatic Bile Ducts	2	55
30	2011	National	Male	Stomach	1	70
31	2013	National	Male	Leukaemia	2	30
32	2014	Expatriate	Female	Other Cancer	1	60
33	2013	Expatriate	Female	Colorectum	1	65
34	2015	National	Female	Thyroid	1	50
35	2015	National	Male	Leukaemia	1	75

Table 5

Random 36 rows of cancer death data from Abu Dhabi Open Data Platform (<https://addata.gov.ae/>).

B. Appendix 2 - Pseudo code

Below we describe the pseudo-code which can be used to replicate the code for our tool.

Algorithm 1 2.1 Select Table

```
function SELECT_TABLE(input_query, datastore)
    question_tokens = TOKENIZE(input_query)
    table = ARGMAX(table ∈ datastore) OVERLAP_COEFFICIENT(question_tokens, table.keywords)
    return table
end function
```

Algorithm 2 2.2 Extract Known Fields

```
function EXTRACT_KNOWN_FIELDS(input_query, selected_table)
    known_fields = {}
    for col in selected_table.columns do
        if IS_NUMERIC(col.type) then
            col_query = "how many " + col.name
        else
            col_query = "which are " + col.name
        end if
        column_value = QUESTION_ANSWERING(input_query, col_query)
        known_fields[col.name] = ADAPT(column_value, col.type)
    end for
    return known_fields
end function
```

Algorithm 3 2.3 Extract Unknown Fields

```
function EXTRACT_UNKNOWN_FIELDS(input_query, selected_table, known_fields)
    unknown_fields = []
    question_tokens = TOKENIZE(input_query)
    for col in selected_table.columns do
        if col not in known_fields and OVERLAP_COEFFICIENT(question_tokens, col.keywords) > THRESHOLD then
            Append col.name to unknown_fields
        end if
    end for
    return unknown_fields
end function
```

Algorithm 4 2.4 Get Aggregate Outputs

```
function GET_AGGREGATE_OPERATOR(input_query)
    input_query_encoded = UNIVERSAL_SENTENCE_ENCODER(input_query)
    return AGGREGATE_OPERATION_CLASSIFIER_MODEL.PREDICT(input_query_encoded)
end function
```

Algorithm 5 2.5 Get comparison output

```
function GET_COMPARISON_OPERATOR(column_value)
  comparison_operators = ["LESS THAN", "GREATER THAN", "MORE THAN", "BETWEEN", ...]
  for comparison_op in comparison_operators do
    if comparison_op in value then
      return column_value.replace(comparison_op, SQL_KEYWORD_FOR(comparison_op))
    end if
  end for
  return column_value
end function
```

Algorithm 6 2.6 Generate SQL query

```
function GENERATE_SQL_QUERY(input_query,selected_table, known_fields,unknown_fields,aggregate_operator)
  selected_table = SELECT_TABLE(input_query)
  known_fields = EXTRACT_KNOWN_FIELDS(input_query, selected_table)
  for index, key, value in 0..length(known_field) - 1, known_fields.keys, known_fields.values do
    if index = 0 then
      sql_conditions = "WHERE" + key + "IS" + value
    else if index > 0 then
      sql_conditions += "AND" + key + "IS" + value
    end if
  end for
  unknown_fields = EXTRACT_UNKNOWN_FIELDS(input_query, selected_table, known_fields)
  aggregate_operator = GET_AGGREGATE_OPERATOR(input_query)
  output_sql_query = "SELECT" + aggregate_operator + unknown_fields + "FROM" + selected_table.name
  + sql_conditions
  return output_sql_query
end function
```
