

XAlign: Cross-lingual Fact-to-Text Alignment and Generation for Low-Resource Languages

Tushar Abhishek, Shivprasad Sagare, Bhavyajeet Singh, Anubhav Sharma,
Manish Gupta* and Vasudeva Varma

Information Retrieval and Extraction Lab, IIIT Hyderabad, India

{tushar.abhishek,shivprasad.sagare,bhavyajeet.singh,anubhav.sharma}@research.iiit.ac.in,
{manish.gupta,vv}@iiit.ac.in

Abstract

Multiple critical scenarios (like Wikipedia text generation given English Infoboxes) need automated generation of descriptive text in low resource (LR) languages from English fact triples. Previous work has focused on *English* fact-to-text (F2T) generation. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no previous attempt on cross-lingual alignment or generation for LR languages. Building an effective *cross-lingual F2T (XF2T)* system requires alignment between English structured facts and LR sentences. We propose two unsupervised methods for cross-lingual alignment. We contribute XALIGN, an XF2T dataset with 0.45M pairs across 8 languages, of which 5402 pairs have been manually annotated. We also train strong baseline XF2T generation models on XALIGN dataset.

1 Introduction

Fact-to-text (F2T) generation (Reiter and Dale, 1997) is the task of transforming structured data into natural language. F2T systems are vital in many downstream applications like automated dialog systems, question answering, etc.

F2T generation requires a structured dataset which is well-aligned with semantically equivalent textual data. The manual creation of such a high-quality F2T dataset requires human supervision and is quite challenging to scale. Recently various automatic alignment approaches have been proposed like pairing up Wikipedia sentences with Infobox (Lebret et al., 2016), using distant supervision (Elsahar et al., 2018), finding the lexical overlap between textual and structural entities (Jin et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2020; Agarwal et al., 2021), etc.

Most of the existing F2T datasets are English-only. For example, structured Wikidata entries for

*The author is also a Principal Applied Scientist at Microsoft.




Figure 1: XF2T Example: Generating English, Hindi, Bengali, Gujarati or Tamil sentences to capture semantics from English facts.

person entities in low resource (LR) languages are minuscule in number compared to that in English (Table 6 in Appendix). Also, average facts per entity in LR languages are much smaller than in English. Thus, monolingual F2T for LR languages suffers from data sparsity. In this work, we propose a novel task of XF2T generation which takes a set of English facts as input and generates a sentence capturing the fact-semantics in the specified language. For this task, we also contribute a new dataset, XALIGN. Fig. 1 shows an XF2T example from our dataset.

Overall, we make the following contributions. (1) We propose the problem of XF2T alignment and generation for LR languages. (2) We contribute a high quality XF2T dataset, XALIGN, in 8 languages with 5402 human-labeled examples. (3) We propose transfer learning and distant supervision based methods for cross-lingual alignment. (4) We train multiple multi-lingual XF2T models which lead to BLEU score of 25.02.

2 Related Work

We propose a new cross-lingual natural language generation (NLG) task: XF2T. From a knowledge graph (KG) and text linking perspective, as against entity linking (link mention in a sentence to a KG

Dataset	Languages	A/M	I	F	P	T	X-Lingual
WikiBio	en	A	728K	19.70	1740	26.1	No
E2E	en	M	50K	5.43	945	20.1	No
WebNLG 2017	en	M	25K	2.95	373	22.7	No
fr-de Bio	fr, de	A	170K, 50K	8.60, 12.6	1331, 1267	29.5, 26.4	No
TREX	en	A	6.4M	1.77	642	79.8	No
WebNLG 2020	en, ru	M	40K, 17K	2.68, 2.55	372, 226	23.7	Yes
KELM	en	A	8M	2.02	663	21.2	No
WITA	en	A	55K	3.00	640	18.8	No
WikiTableT	en	A	1.5M	51.90	3K	115.9	No
GenWiki	en	A	1.3M	1.95	290	21.5	No
XALIGN	en + 7 LR	A	0.45M	2.02	367	19.8	Yes

Table 1: Statistics of popular F2T datasets: WikiBio (Lebret et al., 2016), E2E (Novikova et al., 2017), WebNLG 2017 (Gardent et al., 2017), WebNLG 2020 (Castro Ferreira et al., 2020), fr-de Bio (Nema et al., 2018), KELM (Agarwal et al., 2021), WITA (Fu et al., 2020), WikiTableT (Chen et al., 2021), GenWiki (Jin et al., 2020), TREX (Elsahar et al., 2018), and XAlign (ours). Alignment method could be A (automatic) or M (manual). I=number of instances, F=avg fact count, P=number of unique predicates, T=avg word count.

entity) (Botha et al., 2020) and fact linking (linking sentence to a set of facts) (Kolluru et al., 2021), XF2T is the problem of generating a sentence given a set of facts. Table 1 shows basic statistics of popular F2T datasets. Unlike other datasets which are mostly on English only, our dataset contains 8 languages and is a cross-lingual dataset.

Some previous studies (Gardent et al., 2017) collected aligned data by crowdsourcing while others have performed automatic alignment (Agarwal et al., 2021). We explore two different unsupervised methods to perform cross-lingual alignment. Existing F2T methods can be classified as (1) template-based methods (Cimiano et al., 2013; Duma and Klein, 2013), (2) Seq-2-seq attention networks (Lebret et al., 2016; Mei et al., 2016; Shahidi et al., 2020), (3) hierarchical attention networks (Nema et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020), (4) pretrained Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) methods (Ribeiro et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2020). Template-based methods fail on fact triples in a previously unseen domain. Also, all of these methods focus on English F2T only. We focus on XF2T. We make our code and dataset publicly available¹, and hope that this will help advance further research in this critical area.

3 Data Collection & Pre-processing

The XALIGN is an XF2T dataset which consists of sentences from LR language Wikipedia mapped to English fact triples from WikiData. It contains data for the following languages: Hindi (hi), Telugu (te), Bengali (bn), Gujarati (gu), Marathi (mr), Kannada (kn), Tamil (ta) and English (en).

We gather a list of 85K person entities from Wikidata which have a link to a corresponding

¹<https://github.com/tushar117/XAlign>

Wikipedia page in at least one of our 7 LR languages. This leads to a dataset D where every instance d_i contains a tuple $\langle \text{entityID}, \text{English Wiki-data facts}, \text{LR language}, \text{LR-language Wikipedia URL for the entityID} \rangle$.

We extract facts from the 20201221 WikiData dump for all the 8 languages for each entity in D using the WikiData API². We gathered facts corresponding to only these Wikidata property (or relation) types which capture most of the useful factual information for person entities like WikibaseItem, Time, Quantity, Monolingualtext. We retain any additional supporting information associated with the fact triple as a fact qualifier. This leads to overall $\sim 0.91\text{M}$ facts extracted for $\sim 85\text{K}$ entities across all the 8 languages.

For each language, we used the Wikiextractor (Attardi, 2015) to extract text from the 20210520 Wikipedia xml dump. We split this main text into sentences using the Indic NLP Library (Kunchukuttan, 2020), with a few additional heuristics to account for Indic punctuation characters, sentence delimiters and non-breaking prefixes. We prune out (1) other language sentences using Polyglot language detector³, (2) sentences with less than 5 words or more than 100 words, (3) sentences which could potentially have no factual information (sentences with no noun or verb⁴). For each sentence per Wikipedia URL, we also store the section information.

²<https://query.wikidata.org/>

³<https://polyglot.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Detection.html>

⁴For POS tagging, we used Stanza (Qi et al., 2020) for en, hi, mr, te, ta; LDC Bengali POS Tagger (Bali et al., 2010) for bn; and (Patel and Gali, 2008) for gu.




Figure 2: XALIGN: XF2T System Architecture

4 F2T Alignment in XALIGN

For every (entity e , language l) pair, the dataset has a set F_{el} of English Wikidata facts and a set of Wikipedia sentences S_{el} in that language. Next, we build an automatic aligner to associate a sentence in S_{el} with a subset of facts from F_{el} . As shown in Fig. 2, we propose a two-stage system. The first stage (Candidate Generation) generates (facts, sentence) candidates based on automated translation and syntactic+semantic match. The second stage (Selection) retains only those candidates which are strongly aligned using transfer learning and distant supervision.

Stage 1: Candidate Generation Given a set of English facts $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{|f|}$ and set of sentences $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^{|s|}$ in language l , we compute a similarity score that captures syntactic as well as semantic similarity between a (fact f_i , sentence s_j) pair. For syntactic match, we use TFIDF by translating either the fact to language l or the sentence to English. For semantic match, we compute cosine similarity between MuRIL (Khanuja et al., 2021) representations of the fact and the sentence, or between their translations. We retain a sentence if the most similar fact has similarity score $> \tau$. For every sentence, we retain at most top- K facts sorted according to their scores. We set $\tau = 0.65$ and $K=10$ for our expts empirically.

Manual Annotations for Ground-Truth Data

We perform manual annotation in two phases. For both the phases, the annotators were presented with (LR language sentence s , K English facts) output by Stage 1. They were asked to mark facts present in s . There were also specific guidelines to ignore redundant facts, handle abbreviations, etc. More detailed annotation guidelines and ethical statement are mentioned in the Appendix.

In the first phase, we got 60 instances labeled per language by a set of 8 expert annotators (trusted graduate students who understood the task very well). In phase 2, we first tested annotators using phase 1 data as golden control set. We selected 8

annotators per language from the National Register of Translators⁵. Next, we choose up to 4 annotators per language who scored highest (on Kappa score with golden annotations) for final annotations. We report details of this dataset in Table 2.

Lang	κ	A	I	avg/min/max T	avg/min/max F
hi	0.81	4	842	11.1/5/24	2.1/1/5
mr	0.61	4	736	12.7/6/40	2.1/1/8
te	0.56	2	734	9.7/5/30	2.2/1/6
ta	0.76	2	656	9.5/5/24	1.9/1/8
en	0.74	4	470	17.5/8/61	2.7/1/7
gu	0.50	3	530	12.7/6/31	2.1/1/6
bn	0.64	2	792	8.7/5/24	1.6/1/5
kn	0.54	4	642	10.4/6/45	2.2/1/7

Table 2: Annotation statistics of Ground Truth labeled test part of XAlign dataset. A=#Annotators, I=#instances, T=word count, F=fact count, κ =avg Kappa score

Stage 2: Candidate Selection For every entity and language pair, Stage 1 outputs sentences each associated with a maximum of K facts. We use two different techniques to retain only strongly aligned (fact, sentence) pairs: transfer learning from NLI (Natural language Inference) task and distant supervision from another English-only F2T dataset. For both methods, input=“sentence<SEP>subject—predicate—object”. Transfer learning from NLI: Given a premise sentence and a hypothesis sentence, NLI aims to predict whether the hypothesis entails, contradicts or is neutral to the given premise. Fact to sentence alignment is semantically similar to NLI where the sentence and the fact can be considered as the premise and the hypothesis respectively. We experiment with these multi-lingual NLI models: XLM-R, mT5, MuRIL. We use their Xtreme-XNLI finetuned checkpoints from Huggingface⁶. At inference time, if the model returns entailment as the prediction, we consider the (fact, sentence) pair to be aligned, else not. Thus, from amongst K candidate facts for every sentence, we select a subset of facts, which are compared with the golden fact list for the given sentence to choose the best model.

Distant supervision: Given a (English fact, LR language sentence) pair, we train a binary classifier to predict whether the fact is associated with the LR language sentence or not, using the Knowledge Enhanced Language Modeling (KELM) (Agarwal et al., 2021) dataset. We discuss details of processing the KELM dataset in the Appendix. Since our

⁵<https://www.ntm.org.in/languages/english/nrtdb.aspx>

⁶MuRIL does not support vocabulary for all XNLI languages, so we finetuned it only for en, hi and ur.

Methods		hi	mr	te	ta	en	gu	bn	kn	Avg.
Baselines	KELM-style (Agarwal et al., 2021)	0.493	0.426	0.368	0.451	0.41	0.372	0.436	0.338	0.411
	WITA-style (Fu et al., 2020)	0.507	0.574	0.517	0.459	0.602	0.500	0.535	0.530	0.528
	Stage-1 + TF-IDF	0.750	0.685	0.693	0.718	0.737	0.701	0.787	0.647	0.715
Distant supervision	MuRIL (Khanuja et al., 2021)	0.763	0.684	0.74	0.755	0.705	0.785	0.624	0.677	0.717
	XLM-Roberta (Conneau et al., 2020)	0.781	0.69	0.765	0.739	0.765	0.785	0.669	0.724	0.740
	mT5 (Xue et al., 2021)	0.790	0.714	0.776	0.786	0.766	0.800	0.698	0.705	0.754
Transfer learning	MuRIL (Khanuja et al., 2021)	0.716	0.717	0.765	0.751	0.734	0.787	0.795	0.718	0.748
	XLM-Roberta (Conneau et al., 2020)	0.772	0.767	0.78	0.812	0.79	0.805	0.831	0.727	0.786
	mT5 (Xue et al., 2021)	0.902	0.831	0.841	0.886	0.845	0.851	0.751	0.785	0.837

Table 3: Stage-2 (Fact, Sentence) Candidate Selection F1 Scores. For TF-IDF aligner, we used candidates generated from Stage-1. For KELM and WITA-style aligners, we followed method from their paper.

dataset is cross-lingual, for inference on output of the Stage 1 data, we experiment with cross-lingual, translate-test and translate-train settings. Translate-train performs the best and hence we report results using this setting.

Table 3 shows candidate selection F1 scores across all the languages on our golden annotated dataset. We observe that the mT5 with transfer learning performs the best.

5 XALIGN Dataset Analysis and XF2T Generation

We run mT5 Stage-2 aligner on Stage-1 output to get the Train+Validation part of our XALIGN Dataset. Table 4 shows basic dataset statistics. Figs. 3 and 4 in the Appendix show fact count distribution. Table 8 in the Appendix shows top 10 frequent fact properties across all the languages.

Lang	I	avg/min/max T	avg/min/max F	V
hi	56582	25.3/5/99	2.0/1/10	75037
mr	19408	20.4/5/94	2.2/1/10	49512
te	24344	15.6/5/97	1.7/1/10	60865
ta	56707	16.7/5/97	1.8/1/10	121212
en	132584	20.2/4/86	2.2/1/10	103626
gu	9031	23.4/5/99	1.8/1/10	34605
bn	121216	19.3/5/99	2.0/1/10	130684
kn	25441	19.3/5/99	1.9/1/10	87760

Table 4: Basic Statistics of XALIGN Train + Validation. I=number of instances, T=word count, F=fact count, V=Vocabulary size

For XF2T generation, we train multiple multi-lingual text generation models on Train+Validation part of our XALIGN dataset. We use mT5-small, a basic Transformer model, GAT+Transformer model for the XF2T task. We also compare with a baseline where English facts are translated to LR language and concatenated to generate output. While translating if mapped strings for entities were present in Wikidata they were directly used. For each of these models, we also concatenate the facts with the section header information in the input text. We list hyper-parameter settings in Appendix. Table 5 shows BLEU results across different (model, language) combinations. Naïve transla-

Model	hi	mr	te	ta	en	gu	bn	kn	Avg.
Baseline	2.7	2.0	1.0	1.7	1.01	0.6	2.7	0.4	1.5
Transformer	35.4	17.3	6.9	8.8	38.8	13.2	35.6	3.1	19.9
GAT+Trans.	29.5	17.9	4.9	7.2	40.3	11.3	30.2	5.1	18.3
mT5	40.6	20.2	11.4	13.6	43.7	16.6	45.3	8.7	25.0

Table 5: XF2T BLEU scores on XALIGN test set

tion baseline performs poorly. mT5 performs best with 25.0 avg BLEU across all languages. While the accuracy is good for en, hi and bn, further work needs to be done to improve XF2T for other LR languages. Table 7 in Appendix shows XF2T prediction examples for our mT5 model.

6 Conclusion

We propose a novel XF2T problem, contribute a new XALIGN dataset for 8 languages, propose two effective F2T alignment methods, and report BLEU results on strong baseline multi-lingual models. We strongly believe that our alignment models can significantly reduce human annotation costs in low-resource (LR) NLG. We also plan to extend this work to other LR languages.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially funded by Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), Government of India under Sanction Order No: 11(6)/2019-HCC (TDIL). The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied of MeitY.

We would like to thank the following annotators from National Translation Mission for their crucial contributions in creation of test dataset: Bhaswati Bhattacharya, Aditi Sarkar, Raghunandan B. S., Satish M., Rashmi G.Rao, Vidyarashmi P N, Neelima Bhide, Anand Bapat, Rajendra Sagare, Krishna Rao N V, Nagalakshmi DV, Aditya Bhardwaj Vupula, Nirupama Patel, Asir. T, Sneha Gupta, Dinesh Kumar and Jasmin Gilani.

References

- Oshin Agarwal, Heming Ge, Siamak Shakeri, and Rami Al-Rfou. 2021. Knowledge graph based synthetic corpus generation for knowledge-enhanced language model pre-training. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 3554–3565.
- Giuseppe Attardi. 2015. Wikiextractor. <https://github.com/attardi/wikiextractor>.
- Kalika Bali, Monojit Choudhury, and Priyanka Biswas. 2010. Indian language part-of-speech tagset: Bengali. *Linguistic Data Consortium, Philadelphia, LDC2010T16*.
- Jan A Botha, Zifei Shan, and Dan Gillick. 2020. Entity linking in 100 languages. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 7833–7845.
- Thiago Castro Ferreira, Claire Gardent, Nikolai Illykh, Chris van der Lee, Simon Mille, Diego Moussallem, and Anastasia Shimorina. 2020. [The 2020 bilingual, bi-directional WebNLG+ shared task: Overview and evaluation results \(WebNLG+ 2020\)](#). In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Natural Language Generation from the Semantic Web (WebNLG+)*, pages 55–76, Dublin, Ireland (Virtual). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- David L Chen and Raymond J Mooney. 2008. Learning to sportscast: a test of grounded language acquisition. In *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning*, pages 128–135.
- Mingda Chen, Sam Wiseman, and Kevin Gimpel. 2021. Wikitablet: A large-scale data-to-text dataset for generating wikipedia article sections. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 193–209.
- Wenhu Chen, Yu Su, Xifeng Yan, and William Yang Wang. 2020. Kgpt: Knowledge-grounded pre-training for data-to-text generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02307*.
- Philipp Cimiano, Janna Lüker, David Nagel, and Christina Unger. 2013. Exploiting ontology lexica for generating natural language texts from rdf data. In *Proceedings of the 14th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, pages 10–19.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Édouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8440–8451.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL-HLT (1)*.
- Daniel Duma and Ewan Klein. 2013. Generating natural language from linked data: Unsupervised template extraction. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computational Semantics (IWCS 2013)–Long Papers*, pages 83–94.
- Hady Elsahar, Pavlos Vougiouklis, Arslan Remaci, Christophe Gravier, Jonathon Hare, Frederique Laforest, and Elena Simperl. 2018. T-rex: A large scale alignment of natural language with knowledge base triples. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018)*.
- Fangxiaoyu Feng, Yinfei Yang, Daniel Cer, Naveen Arivazhagan, and Wei Wang. 2020. Language-agnostic bert sentence embedding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.01852*.
- Zihao Fu, Bei Shi, Wai Lam, Lidong Bing, and Zhiyuan Liu. 2020. Partially-aligned data-to-text generation with distant supervision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.01268*.
- Claire Gardent, Anastasia Shimorina, Shashi Narayan, and Laura Perez-Beltrachini. 2017. The webnlc challenge: Generating text from rdf data. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Natural Language Generation*, pages 124–133.
- Zhijing Jin, Qipeng Guo, Xipeng Qiu, and Zheng Zhang. 2020. Genwiki: A dataset of 1.3 million content-sharing text and graphs for unsupervised graph-to-text generation. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 2398–2409.
- Simran Khanuja, Diksha Bansal, Sarvesh Mehtani, Savya Khosla, Atreyee Dey, Balaji Gopalan, Dilip Kumar Margam, Pooja Aggarwal, Rajiv Teja Nagipogu, Shachi Dave, et al. 2021. Muril: Multilingual representations for indian languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.10730*.
- Keshav Kolluru, Martin Rezk, Pat Verga, William W Cohen, and Partha Talukdar. 2021. Multilingual fact linking. In *3rd Conference on Automated Knowledge Base Construction*.
- Taku Kudo and John Richardson. 2018. Sentencepiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. In *EMNLP (Demonstration)*.
- Anoop Kunchukuttan. 2020. The IndicNLP Library. https://github.com/anoopkunchukuttan/indic_nlp_library/blob/master/docs/indicnlp.pdf.

- Rémi Lebret, David Grangier, and Michael Auli. 2016. Neural text generation from structured data with application to the biography domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07771*.
- Hongyuan Mei, TTI UChicago, Mohit Bansal, and Matthew R Walter. 2016. What to talk about and how? selective generation using lstms with coarse-to-fine alignment. In *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, pages 720–730.
- Preksha Nema, Shreyas Shetty, Parag Jain, Anirban Laha, Karthik Sankaranarayanan, and Mitesh M Khapra. 2018. Generating descriptions from structured data using a bifocal attention mechanism and gated orthogonalization. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1539–1550.
- Jekaterina Novikova, Ondřej Dušek, and Verena Rieser. 2017. The e2e dataset: New challenges for end-to-end generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.09254*.
- Chirag Patel and Karthik Gali. 2008. Part-of-speech tagging for gujarati using conditional random fields. In *Proceedings of the IJCNLP-08 Workshop on NLP for Less Privileged Languages*.
- Peng Qi, Yuhao Zhang, Yuhui Zhang, Jason Bolton, and Christopher D. Manning. 2020. [Stanza: A Python natural language processing toolkit for many human languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*.
- Gowtham Ramesh, Sumanth Doddapaneni, Aravindh Bheemaraj, Mayank Jobanputra, Raghavan AK, Ajitesh Sharma, Sujit Sahoo, Harshita Diddee, Divyanshu Kakwani, Navneet Kumar, et al. 2021. Samanantar: The largest publicly available parallel corpora collection for 11 indic languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.05596*.
- Ehud Reiter and Robert Dale. 1997. Building applied natural language generation systems. *Natural Language Engineering*, 3(1):57–87.
- Leonardo F. R. Ribeiro, Martin Schmitt, Hinrich Schütze, and Iryna Gurevych. 2021. [Investigating pretrained language models for graph-to-text generation](#).
- Hamidreza Shahidi, Ming Li, and Jimmy Lin. 2020. Two birds, one stone: A simple, unified model for text generation from structured and unstructured data. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3864–3870.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 5998–6008.
- Tsung-Hsien Wen, Milica Gasic, Nikola Mrksic, Lina M Rojas-Barahona, Pei-Hao Su, David Vandyke, and Steve Young. 2016. Multi-domain neural network language generation for spoken dialogue systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01232*.
- Thomas Wolf, Julien Chaumond, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, et al. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45.
- Linting Xue, Noah Constant, Adam Roberts, Mihir Kale, Rami Al-Rfou, Aditya Siddhant, Aditya Barua, and Colin Raffel. 2021. mt5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 483–498.
- Chao Zhao, Marilyn Walker, and Snigdha Chaturvedi. 2020. Bridging the structural gap between encoding and decoding for data-to-text generation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2481–2491.

A Ethical Statement

We do not foresee any harmful uses of this technology. In fact, F2T generation systems are vital in many downstream Natural Language Processing (NLP) applications like automated dialog systems (Wen et al., 2016), domain-specific chatbots (Novikova et al., 2017), open domain question answering (Chen et al., 2020), authoring sports reports (Chen and Mooney, 2008), etc. We believe that these systems will be useful for powering business applications like Wikipedia text generation given English Infoboxes, automated generation of non-English product descriptions using English product attributes, etc.

As part of this work, we collected labeled data as discussed in Section 4. The dataset does not involve collection or storage of any personal identifiable information or offensive information at any stage. Human annotators were paid appropriately while performing data collection according to the standard wages set by National Translation Mission (<https://www.ntm.org.in/>) and mutually agreed upon.

B Wikidata+Wikipedia statistics across languages

As shown in Table 6, structured Wikidata entries for person entities in low resource (LR) languages are minuscule in number compared to that in English. Also, average facts per entity in LR languages are much smaller than in English.

C Annotation Guidelines

C.1 Main Task

The task is to mark English facts that are present in the given LR language sentence. You should choose all the facts that can be inferred from the given sentence by selecting the checkbox against it. Also, mention if the set of selected facts partially/completely cover the semantic information mentioned in the sentence.

C.2 Instructions related to platform

- When you select a question, you will see a sentence in low resource (LR) language and a list of English facts.
- Please read the LR sentence carefully. Although English translated sentence is provided for the reference, don't rely entirely on it. The translated sentence may not be accurate all the time.
- You will find list of English facts below the sentence. Please choose the facts that can be inferred from the given sentence by selecting the checkbox against it.
- If the sentence is grammatically incorrect, incomplete or erroneous for any other reason, please mention the reason in the textbox at the bottom.

C.3 Instructions related to annotations

- Exact Fact Matching: Information should exactly match what is present in the sentences

Lang.	WikiData entries	Facts	Average facts per entity	Wikipedia articles
hi	26.0K	271.0K	10.43	22.9K
mr	16.5K	174.0K	10.56	15.9K
te	12.4K	142.2K	11.49	7.8K
ta	26.0K	280.4K	10.77	25.6K
en	1.3M	30.2M	22.8	627.9K
gu	3.5K	37.8K	10.88	1.9K
bn	36.2K	501.9K	13.87	29.0K
kn	7.5K	83.6K	11.1	4.5K

Table 6: WikiData+Wikipedia statistics for the person entities across languages

(some exceptions are mentioned later; other than them, follow this rule strictly). For example,

- Sentence: Tina Munim (DOB: 11 Feb 1955) is an actress who acts in Hindi movies.
 - Fact: Date of Birth — 11 February 1957.
 - Although the fact mentions that date of birth is 11 Feb 1957 but we won't consider it as a valid alignment for the sentence.
- Implied Information in facts
 - If information is related to language related inference and does not require external world knowledge (a piece of knowledge not embedded in language itself), we mark that fact.
 - * Sentence: P. Nagarajan is a Member of Parliament in India's 16th Lok Sabha.
 - * Facts: P Nagarajan — position held — Member of the 16th Lok Sabha : P Nagarajan — occupation — politician.
 - * For the given sentence, the information that the subject is a politician isn't written, but we can say that a Member of Parliament will be a politician, hence we mark it.
 - * As another example, consider a sentence that says that a person did her Masters in Geography but doesn't explicitly mention her occupation directly. Still, we can mark the occupation=geographer fact as valid.
 - If information in the fact requires external world knowledge, we do not mark that fact.
 - * Sentence: Amruta's mother is a Malayali and her father is a Punjabi, and she was born in Mumbai.
 - * Fact: Place of Birth — Chembur.
 - * Even if you know that Chembur is in Mumbai, please don't mark it.
 - If some facts contain redundant information , then don't mark it.
 - Abbreviations: If the part of the sentence is abbreviated in the facts or if the part of fact is

Lang.	Input	Reference Text	Generated Text
hi	generate hindi : (H) natalie portman (R) place_of_birth (T) jerusalem (QR) country (QT) israel (S) early life	पोर्टमैन का जन्म इज़रायल के जेरूसलम में हुआ था ।	पोर्टमैन का जन्म जेरूसलम में हुआ था ।
mr	generate marathi : (H) carlos i of portugal (R) date_of_birth (T) 28 september 1863 (R) date_of_death (T) 01 february 1908 (R) noble_title (T) king of portugal (S) introduction	कार्लोस पहिला (२८ सप्टेंबर, १८६३ - १ फेब्रुवारी, १९०८) हा पोर्तुगालचा राजा होता.	कार्लोस पहिला (२८ सप्टेंबर १८६३ - १ फेब्रुवारी १९०८) हा पोर्तुगालचा राजा होता.
te	generate telugu : (H) hardik pandya (R) date_of_birth (T) 11 october 1993 (R) member_of_sports_team (T) baroda cricket team (R) occupation (T) cricketer (S) introduction	హార్దిక్ పాండ్య (1993 అక్టోబరు 11 జననం) బరోడా క్రికెట్ టీంకు చెందిన ఖాళీ క్రీకెటర్.	హార్దిక్ పాండ్య (జననం 11 అక్టోబర్ 1993) ఒక ఖాళీ క్రీకెట్ ఆటగాడు.
ta	generate tamil : (H) n. s. n. nataraj (R) country_of_citizenship (T) india (R) occupation (T) politician (R) position_held (T) member_of_the_tamil_nadu_legislative_assembly (S) introduction	என். எஸ். நடராஜ் என்பவர் ஓர் இந்திய அரசியல்வாதியும், தமிழகத்தின் முன்னாள் சட்டமன்ற உறுப்பினரும் ஆவார்.	என். எஸ். என் நடராஜ் ஓர் இந்திய அரசியல்வாதியும், தமிழக சட்டமன்றத்தின் முன்னாள் சட்டமன்ற உறுப்பினரும் ஆவார்.
en	generate english : (H) george knew, jr. (R) country_of_citizenship (T) united_kingdom (R) date_of_birth (T) 05 march 1954 (R) occupation (T) cricketer (S) introduction	George Alan Knew (born 5 March 1954) is a former English cricketer.	George Knew (born 5 March 1954) is a former English first-class cricketer.
gu	generate gujarati : (H) anand bakshi (R) date_of_birth (T) 21 july 1930 (R) date_of_death (T) 30 march 2002 (R) occupation (T) lyricist (R) occupation (T) poet (S) introduction	આનંદ બક્ષી (જુલાઈ ૨૧ ૧૯૩૦ - માર્ચ ૩૦ ૨૦૦૨), આરતના પ્રસિદ્ધ કવિ અને ગીતકાર હતા.	આનંદ બક્ષી (૨૧ જુલાઈ ૧૯૩૦ - ૩૦ માર્ચ ૨૦૦૨) હિન્દી ફિલ્મોના એક પ્રસિદ્ધ ગીતકાર હતા.
bn	generate bengali : (H) rampriti mandal (R) country_of_citizenship (T) india (R) member_of_political_party (T) janata dal united (R) occupation (T) politician (S) introduction	রামপ্রীত মণ্ডল হলেন একজন ভারতীয় রাজনীতিবিদ, যিনি জনতা দল (সংযুক্ত) এর রাজনীতির সাথে যুক্ত ।	রামশ্রী মণ্ডল হলেন একজন ভারতীয় রাজনীতিবিদ, যিনি জনতা দল (সংযুক্ত) এর রাজনীতির সাথে যুক্ত ।
kn	generate kannada : (H) barry c. barish (R) award_received (T) henry draper medal (QR) point_in_time (QT) 2017 (S) awards_and_honours	ಬ್ಯಾರಿ ಸಿ. ಬರಿಶ್ ೨೦೧೭ ರ ಹೆನ್ರಿ ಡ್ರೇಪರ್ ಮೆಡಲ್‌ನಿಂದ ನೋಂದಾಯಿಸಿದರು.	೨೦೧೭ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಹೆನ್ರಿ ಡ್ರೇಪರ್ ಮೆಡಲ್ ಗೆ ಪಡೆದರು.

Table 7: Test Dataset Examples with reference text and predictions from our mT5-small model.

abbreviated in the sentence, we don’t consider those facts.

more specific information and we mark it as valid.

- Sentence: Field Marshal Archibald Percival Wavell, 1st Earl Wavell, GCB, GCSI, GCIE, CMG, MC, KStJ, PC (5 May 1883 – 24 May 1950) was a senior officer of the British Army and an Indian Viceroy.
- Facts: Archibald Wavell, 1st Earl Wavell — award received — Virtuti Militari

D Case Studies

Table 7 shows examples of text generation from our mT5 model along with reference text. We choose one example per language from the test dataset of our XALIGN dataset.

E Details of F2T Alignment in XALIGN

E.1 Stage 1: Candidate Generation

Besides MuRIL, we also experimented with mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019), XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2020) and LaBSE (Feng et al., 2020), but found MuRIL to perform the best on a small dataset of 500 examples, separately annotated for Stage-1 quality evaluation. The similarity score $sim(f_i, s_j)$ is obtained as an average of the following 4 scores: $MuRIL(f_i, s_j)$, $TFIDF-cos(translate(f_i, l), s_j)$, $TFIDF-cos(f_i, translate(s_j, English))$, $MuRIL(translate(f_i, l), translate(s_j, English))$. For translating sentences, we use IndicTrans (Ramesh et al., 2021). When translating the facts, we retain the label of entities within the fact tuple for which Wikidata multi-lingual label is present in LR language, and we translate the remaining parts of the fact.

We obtain $sim(f_i, s_j)$, i.e. a score between 0 and 1, for every (fact, sentence) pair. We filter out sentences if the most similar fact has similarity score less than a threshold τ . By manual inspection, we fix $\tau = 0.65$. For every remaining sentence, we retain at most top- K facts sorted according to their scores. Empirically we observe that most sentences can be covered by less than 10 facts. Hence, we fix $K=10$.

• Fact Generalisation

- If specific information is present in the sentence but there isn’t an exact match in the fact list, then select the apt synonyms.
 - * Sentence: He had established the image of a good litterateur through his poems.
 - * Now if the fact list contains occupation as poet, and there is no other fact with occupation as litterateur, we consider the apt synonym and mark this fact as valid.
- If facts contain more specific terms as compared to the term present in the sentence then consider that fact for annotation (facts can contain more specific information).
 - * Sentence: Rajagopal Chidambaram (born 12 November 1936), commonly known as R. Chidambaram, is a Padma Vibhushan honored Indian scientist.
 - * Fact: Rajagopala Chidambaram — occupation — nuclear physicist
 - * We mark this fact as a nuclear physicist is also a scientist. The fact has

No.	hi	mr	te	ta	en	gu	bn	kn
1	occupation	occupation	occupation	occupation	occupation	occupation	occupation	occupation
2	date of birth	date of birth	date of birth	position held	date of birth	date of birth	date of birth	cast member
3	position held	position held	position held	date of birth	position held	cast member	country of citizenship	date of birth
4	cast member	date of death	cast member	cast member	country of citizenship	position held	cast member	award received
5	country of citizenship	country of citizenship	date of death	country of citizenship	educated at	award received	member of sports team	position held
6	award received	place of birth	place of birth	educated at	date of death	date of death	date of death	date of death
7	place of birth	member of sports team	award received	place of birth	award received	languages spoken written or signed	educated at	performer
8	date of death	member of political party	member of political party	date of death	place of birth	place of birth	place of birth	place of birth
9	educated at	cast member	country of citizenship	award received	member of sports team	author	position held	author
10	languages spoken written or signed	award received	educated at	member of political party	member of political party	country of citizenship	award received	educated at

Table 8: Top-10 frequent fact properties across languages.

E.2 Stage 2: Distant Supervision using KELM

KELM is a distantly supervised dataset with automatically aligned (Wikipedia sentence, Wikidata facts) for English language. For a Wikipedia page corresponding to Wikidata entity e , a sentence s is aligned with a Wikidata fact $f = \langle e, r, e' \rangle$ if s contains subject e and object e' .

For every sentence in the dataset, we create a positive instance for every fact aligned with the sentence. For example, if sentence s has two aligned facts f_1 and f_2 , we create two positive instances. For every positive instance, we also create a negative instance as mentioned next. We order all the other sentences on the same Wikipedia page (which contains s) in decreasing order of semantic similarity and choose a sentence s' randomly from top 10. We skipped top two sentences as they can be very similar to sentence s . We then use a fact extracted from sentence s' along with the original sentence s as a negative instance. We split the dataset in 90:10 for training and validation. Overall, the dataset contains 1,177,636 (54% positive, 46% negative) training instances and 130,849 (54% positive, 46% negative) validation instances.

F Further analysis of XALIGN dataset

Figs. 3 and 4 show fact count distribution across languages and data subsets respectively. We observe that a large percent of sentences contain more than one fact across languages. Also, the distribution is similar across languages and data subsets.

Table 8 shows top 10 frequent fact properties across all the languages.




Figure 3: Fact Count Distribution across languages

G Hyper-parameter Settings

All experiments were run on a machine equipped with four 10GB RTX 2080 GPUs. For Stag-2: Distant supervision and Transfer learning approaches we have used large pretrained models publicly available on Huggingface (Wolf et al., 2020) library. For all experiments associated with it, we finetune for 5 epochs with L2-norm weight decay of 0.001 and dropout of 0.1. We set the learning rate of $1e-5$, $2e-5$ and $1e-3$ for XLM-Roberta, MuRIL and mT5 respectively. We use batch size set of 32, 32 and 16 for XLM-Roberta, MuRIL and mT5 respectively.

For all cross-lingual fact-to-text generation model excepts mT5 and translation baseline, we use a vocabulary size of 64K subword learnt from training corpus using SentencePiece (Kudo and Richardson, 2018) tokenizer. For transformer and GAT-Transformer model, we use 6 encoder and decoder layers, input embeddings of size 512 with 8 attention heads and feedforward dimension of 2048. We optimized the cross entropy loss using the AdamW optimizer. We use an initial learning rate of $1e-4$, 4000 warmup steps and the learning rate annealing schedule as proposed in Vaswani et




Figure 4: Fact Count Distribution across data subsets

al. (Vaswani et al., 2017). We finetune the transformer and GAT-transformer models with batch size of 64 and 32 respectively for 100 epochs and early stopping with patience of 15. We finetune mT5-small model with constant learning rate of $1e-3$, batch size of 2, weight decay 0.001 and dropout of 0.1. We optimize cross entropy loss using the Adafactor optimizer for 30 epochs. For all models, we use beam search with a beam size of 5 and length penalty set to 1.

H Evaluation Metric

For cross-lingual fact-to-text generation, we use overall BLEU scores for evaluating the multi-lingual models. We follow BLEU calculation steps mentioned in Ramesh et al. (Ramesh et al., 2021) for English-Indic fact-sentence pairs.