

On the passage from finite braces to pre-Lie rings

Agata Smoktunowicz

Abstract

Let p be a prime number. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of strongly nilpotent braces and the set of nilpotent pre-Lie rings of cardinality p^n , for sufficiently large p . Moreover, there is an injective mapping from the set of left nilpotent pre-Lie rings into the set of left nilpotent braces of cardinality p^n for $n+1 < p$. As an application, by using well known results about the correspondence between braces and Hopf-Galois extensions we use pre-Lie algebras to describe Hopf-Galois extensions.

1 Introduction

The Lazard correspondence is one between Lazard p -Lie rings and Lazard Lie p -groups, for every prime number p . In [41], page 141, it was suggested that this correspondence could be extended to a correspondence between pre-Lie algebras and braces of cardinality p^n , and a method for constructing a brace from a pre-Lie algebra was described on page 135. As suggested in [41], the addition in a given brace and in the corresponding pre-Lie algebra is the same, which makes this correspondence very simple. In this paper, we provide a formula for passage from a brace of cardinality p^n to a pre-Lie ring, and show that it works for all braces under mild assumptions. For adjoint groups of strongly nilpotent braces, this provides us with a very simple formula for obtaining a Lie ring isomorphic to the Lie ring obtained using the Lazard's correspondence, which extends results from [46]. Recall that in [41] a formula was developed for the passage from pre-Lie rings to braces using Lazard's correspondence. Intuitively, our formula for the passage from braces to pre-Lie rings was first obtained for braces which were constructed from pre-Lie rings using Lazard's correspondence, and the main difficulty was to present this formula in a simpler form and then show that this formula will also hold in general for all strongly nilpotent braces.

There are many open questions concerned with which nilpotent groups are adjoint groups of braces. Nilpotent groups which are not adjoint groups of braces appear to be rare and difficult to construct (see [41, 2]). As mentioned in [41], this is related to Milnor's conjecture. It has been known since the 1950s (see [37]) that the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula gives an isomorphism between the category of nilpotent Lie rings with order p^n and the category of finite p -groups with order p^n provided that $p > n + 1$. This connection has been systematically exploited in order to classify finite p -groups in [37]. It was suggested in [41], that a similar approach can be used to classify adjoint groups of braces. Moreover, Bachiller showed that if $n + 1 < p$ then the additive and the multiplicative orders of elements in the underlying brace are the same [2]. Since the addition in the brace and in the corresponding Lie ring is the same, it simplifies

calculations. The formula for the multiplication in the corresponding Lie ring is also very simple for adjoint groups of strongly nilpotent braces (see section 3).

We also show that passage from pre-Lie rings to braces works for all left nilpotent pre-Lie rings of cardinality p^n where $n + 1 < p$. This gives a 1-to-1 correspondence between the set of strongly nilpotent braces and the set of nilpotent pre-Lie rings of cardinality p^n and nilpotency index k , for each $n + 1 < p, k < p$.

The following question remains unanswered however.

Question 1. *Is there a passage from all left nilpotent braces of cardinality p^n , with $n + 1 < p$, to left nilpotent pre-Lie rings?*

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let k, n be natural numbers and let $k + 1, n + 2 \leq p$, where p is a prime number. There is a one-to-one correspondence between strongly nilpotent braces of cardinality p^n and nilpotency index k (so $A^{[k]} = 0$ and $A^{[k-1]} \neq 0$) and nilpotent pre-Lie rings of cardinality p^n and nilpotency index k .*

As mentioned by Vendramin in [48], braces and skew braces of cardinality p^n can be viewed as building blocks of other braces. Indeed, it was shown in [42] that the multiplicative group of a brace and subbraces which are its Sylow's subgroups (which have cardinality p^n) determine immediately the whole brace. There is also a connection with l -groups [19].

Recall that a brace which is both left nilpotent and right nilpotent is strongly nilpotent [45]. Right nilpotent braces have associated set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation of finite multipermutation level, and this class of set-theoretic solutions was investigated by several authors [13, 23, 24, 25]. The right nilpotency of braces and skew braces has also been investigated [40, 15, 38]. In the last section, we translate some results on right nilpotent braces to right nilpotent pre-Lie algebras. At the moment, it seems that not right nilpotent braces and pre-Lie algebras are less well understood (in the case when they are left nilpotent). It was not clear if the formula obtained in [41] for the passage from pre-Lie rings to braces will hold for pre-Lie rings which are not right nilpotent. Our next result shows that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 2. *Let p be a prime number and let $n + 1 < p$ be a natural number. Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a left nilpotent pre-Lie ring of cardinality p^n . Define the operation \circ on A by*

$$a \circ b = a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(b),$$

so (A, \circ) is the group of flows of the pre-Lie algebra A . Then $(A, +, \circ)$ is a left brace which is left nilpotent. The brace $(A, +, \circ)$ is right nilpotent if and only if $(A, +, \cdot)$ is a right nilpotent pre-Lie ring. Moreover, two distinct pre-Lie rings $(A, +, \cdot_1)$ and $(A, +, \cdot_2)$ give distinct braces.

This answers Question 1 from [46]. Theorem 2 prompts the following question:

Question 2. *Let p be a prime number and let $n < p - 1$ be a natural number. Which Lie rings of cardinality p^n admit left nilpotent pre-Lie algebras?*

In [14], Jespers, Cedó and Rio asked which groups are adjoint groups of braces [14]. Notice that by using reasoning from page 141 in [41] and by using assumptions from Proposition 2 we see that if a Lie algebra of cardinality p^n with $n + 1 < p$ admits a left nilpotent pre-Lie algebra then the Lazard's group of this Lie algebra is an adjoint group of a brace. See [1, 35] for details of how the group of flows is related to the Lazard group. Note that by the adjoint group of a brace B we mean the group (B, \circ) which is also called the multiplicative group of brace B . Notice that Lie algebras admitting pre-Lie algebras have been extensively investigated, and the survey paper [7] contains many related results. However, it is not clear if similar methods as mentioned in [7] can be used for finite Lie rings and which pre-Lie algebras mentioned in [5, 7] are left nilpotent.

2 Background information

Recall that a *pre-Lie ring* A is a vector space with a binary operation $(x, y) \rightarrow x \cdot y$ and the operation $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$ such that $(A, +)$ is an abelian group satisfying

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (y \cdot x) \cdot z - y \cdot (x \cdot z)$$

and

$$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z, x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z,$$

for every $x, y, z \in A$. We say that a pre-Lie ring A is *nilpotent* or *strongly nilpotent* if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ all products of n elements in A are zero. We say that A is left nilpotent if for some n , we have $a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot (a_3 \cdot (\dots a_n) \dots)) = 0$ for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$.

Braces were introduced by Rump in 2007 [40] to describe all involutive, non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. Braces quickly found applications in other research areas, such as Hopf-Galois extensions, matched pairs of groups, regular subgroups of a holomorph, groups with bijective 1-cocycles, factorised groups, Near rings, Jacobson radical rings, quantum integrable systems, Garside monoids, l -groups and lattice theory, virtual knot theory, biracks and trusses [2, 6, 9, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 28, 25, 33, 34, 36, 41, 43, 47, 48]. Recall that a set A with binary operations $+$ and $*$ is a *left brace* if $(A, +)$ is an abelian group and the following version of distributivity combined with associativity holds.

$$(a + b + a * b) * c = a * c + b * c + a * (b * c), a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c,$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$, moreover (A, \circ) is a group, where we define $a \circ b = a + b + a * b$. In what follows we will use the definition in terms of operation ' \circ ' presented in [10] (see [40] for the original definition): a set A with binary operations of addition $+$, and multiplication \circ is a brace if $(A, +)$ is an abelian group, (A, \circ) is a group and for every $a, b, c \in A$

$$a \circ (b + c) + a = a \circ b + a \circ c.$$

In [40], Rump introduced *left nilpotent* and *right nilpotent* braces and radical chains $A^{i+1} = A * A^i$ and $A^{(i+1)} = A^{(i)} * A$ for a left brace A , where $A = A^1 = A^{(1)}$. Recall that a left brace A is left nilpotent if there is a number n such that $A^n = 0$, where inductively A^i consists of sums of elements $a * b$ with $a \in A, b \in A^{i-1}$. A left brace A is right nilpotent if there is a number n such that $A^{(n)} = 0$, where $A^{(i)}$ consists of sums of elements $a * b$

with $a \in A^{(i-1)}, b \in A$. Strongly nilpotent braces and the chain of ideals $A^{[i]}$ of a brace A were defined in [45]. Define $A^{[1]} = A$ and

$$A^{[i+1]} = \sum_{j=1}^i A^{[j]} * A^{[i+1-j]}.$$

A left brace A is *strongly nilpotent* if there is a number n such that $A^{[n]} = 0$, where $A^{[i]}$ consists of sums of elements $a * b$ with $a \in A^{[j]}, b \in A^{[i-j]}$ for all $0 < j < i$. Various other radicals in braces were subsequently introduced, see [29, 30]. We define left nilpotent, right nilpotent and strongly nilpotent pre-Lie rings in the same way, but using the operation \cdot instead of the brace operation $*$. All braces considered in this paper are left braces, but from now on we will just refer to them as braces.

3 Passage from finite braces to Pre-Lie rings

By a result of Rump [40], every brace of order p^n is left nilpotent. Assume that B is a brace which is both left nilpotent and right nilpotent, then by a result from [45] it is strongly nilpotent. In other words, there is k such that the product of any k elements, in any order, is zero (where all products are under the operation $*$). If $B^{[k]} = 0$ and $B^{[k-1]} \neq 0$, then we will say that B is strongly nilpotent of degree k , we also say that k is the nilpotency index of B .

We recall Lemma 15 from [45]:

Lemma 3. *Let s be a natural number and let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a left brace such that $A^s = 0$ for some s . Let $a, b \in A$, and as usual define $a * b = a \circ b - a - b$. Define inductively elements $d_i = d_i(a, b), d'_i = d'_i(a, b)$ as follows: $d_0 = a, d'_0 = b$, and for $1 \leq i$ define $d_{i+1} = d_i + d'_i$ and $d'_{i+1} = d_i d'_i$. Then for every $c \in A$ we have*

$$(a + b) * c = a * c + b * c + \sum_{i=0}^{2s} (-1)^{i+1} ((d_i * d'_i) * c - d_i * (d'_i * c)).$$

Notation 1. Let A be a strongly nilpotent brace with operations $+, \circ, *$ defined as usual, so $x \circ y = x + y + x * y$ for $x, y, z \in A$, and let $E(x, y, z) \subseteq A$ denote the set consisting of any product of elements x and y and one element z at the end of each product under the operation $*$, in any order, with any distribution of brackets, each product consisting of at least 2 elements from the set $\{x, y\}$, each product having x and y appear at least once, and having element z at the end. Notice that $E(x, y, z)$ is finite, provided that A is a strongly nilpotent brace, as we may assume that all products of k or more elements are 0, where k is the nilpotency index of A . Let $V_{x,y,z}$ be a vector obtained from products of elements x, y, z arranged in a such way that shorter products of elements are situated before longer products. We consider only products of less than k elements.

Recall that a number γ is a *primitive root* modulo m if every integer coprime to m is congruent to a power of γ modulo m . If $p > 2$ is a prime number then it is known that there exists a primitive root modulo p^i for every i . Let $k < p$ be the nilpotency index of a brace A , so $A^{[k]} = 0$ and $A^{[k-1]} \neq 0$. Let A have cardinality p^n with $n + 1 < p$. Let γ be a primitive root modulo p^p and ϕ be the Euler's function then $\phi(p^p) = (p - 1)p^{p-1}$. By Euler's theorem $\gamma^{\phi(p^p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^p}$ and γ^i is not congruent to 1 mod p^p for natural $1 \leq i < \phi(p^p) = (p - 1)p^{p-1}$.

Lemma 4. Let $p > 2$ be a prime number. Let $\xi = \gamma^{p^{p-1}}$ where γ is a primitive root modulo p^p , then $\xi^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^p}$. Moreover, ξ^j is not congruent to 1 modulo p for natural $0 < j < p-1$.

Proof. Notice that γ is also a primitive root modulo p , since it is a primitive root modulo p^p . Notice that $p-1$ divides $p^{p-1}-1$, therefore $\gamma^{p^{p-1}-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (since $\gamma^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$). Therefore, $\xi = \gamma^{p^{p-1}} \equiv \gamma \pmod{p}$. Consequently, $e^j \equiv \gamma^j \pmod{p}$ for all j . It follows that ξ^j is not congruent to 1 modulo p for every $0 < j < p-1$, since γ^j is not congruent to 1 modulo p for such j (since γ is a primitive root modulo p). \square

Below we associate to every strongly nilpotent brace a pre-Lie ring which is also strongly nilpotent and which has the same additive group.

Proposition 5. Let p be a prime number, and n, k be natural numbers such that $n+1 < p$ and $k < p$. Let A be a strongly nilpotent brace of cardinality p^n , and let k be the nilpotency index of A so $A^{[k]} = 0$ and $A^{[k-1]} \neq 0$. Let $\xi = \gamma^{p^{p-1}}$ where γ is a primitive root modulo p^p . As usual, the operations on A are $+$, \circ and $*$ where $a * b = a \circ b - a - b$. Define the binary operation \cdot on A as follows

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} ((\xi^i a) * b),$$

for $a, b \in A$, where $\xi^i a$ denotes the sum of ξ^i copies of element a . Then,

$$(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$$

for every $a, b, c \in A$. Moreover

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$$

for every $a, b, c \in A$.

Proof. By the definition of a left brace, we immediately get that $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$. We will show that $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$ for $a, b, c \in A$. Observe that

$$(a + b) \cdot c = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} ((\xi^i a + \xi^i b) * c).$$

Lemma 3 applied several times yields

$$\xi^{p-1-n} (\xi^n a + \xi^n b) * c = \xi^{p-1-n} ((\xi^n a) * c) + \xi^{p-1-n} ((\xi^n b) * c) + \xi^{p-1-n} C(n),$$

where $C(n)$ is a sum of some products (under operation $*$) of elements $\xi^n a$ and $\xi^n b$ and an element c at the end, because A is a strongly nilpotent brace. Moreover, each product has at last one occurrence of element $\xi^n a$ and also at last one occurrence of element $\xi^n b$ and an element c at the end.

To show that $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$ it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-n} C(n) = 0.$$

We may consider a vector $V_{\xi^i a, \xi^i b, c}$ obtained as in Notation 1 from products of elements $\xi^i a, \xi^i b, c$.

By Lemma 3 (applied several times) every element from the set $E(\xi x, \xi y, z)$ can be written as a linear combination of elements from $E(x, y, z)$, with integer coefficients which do not depend on x, y and z . We can then organize these coefficients in a matrix, which we will call $M = \{m_{i,j}\}$, so that we obtain

$$MV_{x,y,z} = V_{\xi x, \xi y, z}.$$

Notice that elements from $E(x, y, z)$ (and from $E(\xi x, \xi y, z)$) which are shorter appear before elements which are longer in our vectors $V_{x,y,z}$ and $V_{\xi x, \xi y, z}$. Therefore by Lemma 3 it follows that M is an upper triangular matrix.

Notice that M does not depend on x, y and z , as we only used relations from Lemma 3 to construct it (and the fact that products of k or more elements in A are 0). It follows that for every n ,

$$V_{\xi^n x, \xi^n y, z} = M^n V_{x,y,z}.$$

Observe that for $x, y \in A$ we have $\xi^{p-1}x = x$ and $\xi^{p-1}y = y$ because ξ^{p-1} is congruent to 1 modulo p^n and $p^n x = p^n y = 0$ since the group $(A, +)$ has cardinality p^n .

Therefore,

$$V_{x,y,z} = V_{\xi^{p-1}x, \xi^{p-1}y, z} = M^{p-1} V_{x,y,z} = (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^{p-1} V_{x,y,z}.$$

Notice that there is a vector V with integer entries such that

$$C(n) = V^T V_{\xi^n a, \xi^n b, c} = V^T M^n V_{a,b,c}$$

for each n , where V^T is the transposition of V .

Denote $M^0 = I$ the identity matrix. Recall that $\xi^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$, since $n < p$, hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} \xi^{(p-1)-n} C(n) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1})^n C(n) = V^T \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^n V_{a,b,c}.$$

Denote

$$Q_{a,b,c} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^n V_{a,b,c},$$

then $\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} \xi^{(p-1)-n} C(n) = V^T Q_{a,b,c}$. We need to show that $\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1})^n C(n) = 0$. It suffices to show that all entries of the vector $Q_{a,b,c}$ are zero. Notice that

$$(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^n = I - (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^{p-1},$$

therefore

$$(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) Q_{a,b,c} = (I - (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^{p-1}) V_{a,b,c} = 0,$$

as shown before. Therefore, entries of the vector $(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) Q_{a,b,c}$ are zero. Recall that entries of the vector $Q_{a,b,c}$ are elements of A and therefore their additive orders are powers of p . Recall that the diagonal entries of the matrix $I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M$ are coprime with p , and that this matrix is upper triangular. Therefore, $(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) Q_{a,b,c} = 0$ implies $Q_{a,b,c} = 0$, as required. \square

We will now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 6. *Let $p > 2$ be a prime number. Let A be a strongly nilpotent brace with nilpotency index k and cardinality p^n for some prime number p , and some natural numbers k, n such that $k, n + 1 < p$. Define the binary operation \cdot on A as follows*

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i}((\xi^i a) * b),$$

for $a, b \in A$, where $\xi^i a$ denotes the sum of ξ^i copies of element a . Then

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot c - (b \cdot a) \cdot c$$

for every $a, b, c \in A$.

Proof. By Lemma 3 applied several times we get

$$(x + y) * z = x * z + y * z + x * (y * z) - (x * y) * z + d(x, y, z),$$

$$(y + x) * z = x * z + y * z + y * (x * z) - (y * x) * z + d(y, x, z),$$

where $d(x, y, z) = E^T V_{x,y,z}$ for some vector E with integer entries which does not depend of x, y, z , and where $V_{x,y,z}$ is as in Notation 1 (moreover $d(x, y, z)$ is a combination of elements with at least 3 occurrences of elements from the set $\{x, y\}$). It follows that

$$x * (y * z) - (x * y) * z - y * (x * z) + (y * x) * z = d(y, x, z) - d(x, y, z).$$

Let $a, b, c \in A$ and let i, j be natural numbers. Applying it to $x = \xi^i a$, $y = \xi^j b$, $z = c$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\xi^i a) * ((\xi^j b) * c) - ((\xi^i a) * (\xi^j b)) * c + d(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = \\ & = (\xi^j b) * ((\xi^i a) * c) - ((\xi^j b) * (\xi^i a)) * c + d(\xi^j b, \xi^i a, c). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = a \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j}((\xi^j b) * c) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i}((\xi^i a) * \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j}((\xi^j b) * c)).$$

Consequently,

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j}((\xi^i a) * ((\xi^j b) * c)).$$

On the other hand

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i}((\xi^i a) * b) \right) \cdot c = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i}(((\xi^i a) * b) \cdot c),$$

where the last equation follows from Proposition 5.

Consequently,

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} ((\xi^j((\xi^i a) * b)) * c) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} ((\xi^i a) * (\xi^j b)) * c,$$

Recall a previous equation, multiplied by $e^{p-1-i+p-1-j}$ on both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} (\xi^i a) * ((\xi^j b) * c) - \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} ((\xi^i a) * (\xi^j b)) * c + \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = \\ & = \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} (\xi^j b) * ((\xi^i a) * c) - \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} ((\xi^j b) * (\xi^i a)) * c + \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^j b, \xi^i a, c). \end{aligned}$$

By summing the above equation for all $0 \leq i, j \leq p-2$ and subtracting the previous equations we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (b \cdot c) - (a \cdot b) \cdot c + \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = \\ b \cdot (a \cdot c) - (b \cdot a) \cdot c + \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^j b, \xi^i a, c). \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to show that $\sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = 0$, for all $a, b, c \in A$ (and hence $\sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^j b, \xi^i a, c) = 0$).

Proof that $\sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} d(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = 0$.

Notice that $d(a, b, c) = w(a, b, c) + v(a, b, c)$ where $w(a, b, c)$ contains all the products of elements a, b, c which appear as summands in $d(a, b, c)$ and in which a appears at least twice, and $v(a, b, c)$ is a sum of products which are summands in $d(a, b, c)$ and in which a appears only once (and hence b appears at least twice). It suffices to show that $\sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} w(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = 0$ and $\sum_{i,j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i+p-1-j} v(\xi^i a, \xi^j b, c) = 0$. Observe that it suffices to show that $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} w(\xi^i a, b', c) = 0$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j} v(a', \xi^j b, c) = 0$ for any $a, a', b, b', c \in A$ (notice that a', b' should not be confused with inverses of a and b).

We will first show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} w(\xi^i a, b', c) = 0.$$

Observe that there is a vector W with integer entries such that $w(a, b', c) = W^T V'_{a,b',c}$ where $V'_{a,b',c}$ is a vector constructed as in Notation 1 but only including as entries these products from $E(a, b', c)$ in which a appears at least twice. Consequently,

$$w(\xi^n a, b', c) = W^T V'_{\xi^n a, b', c} = W^T M^n V'_{a, b', c}$$

for each n , where W^T is the transposition of W where M is some upper triangular matrix with integer entries such that $V'_{\xi a, b, c} = M V'_{a, b, c}$ and $V'_{\xi^i a, b, c} = M^i V'_{a, b, c}$ for every i (this can be shown that such matrix M exist similarly as in Proposition 5).

Observe that the diagonal entries of the matrix $I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M$ are coprime with p , by Lemma 4. Indeed, diagonal entries of M are ξ^i where $2 \leq i < k < p$, because a appears at least twice in each product which is an entry in $V'_{a, b, c}$ (and because the nilpotency

index of A is k , so all products of length longer than $k - 1$ are zero). Denote $M^0 = I$ the identity matrix. Now we calculate

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} \xi^{(p-1)-n} w(\xi^n a, b', c) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1})^n w(\xi^n a, b', c) = W^T \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^n V'_{a,b',c},$$

where $e^0 = 1$ and $M^0 = I$ the identity matrix. Denote

$$Q_{a,b',c} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^n V'_{a,b',c},$$

then $\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1})^n w(\xi^n a, b', c) = W^T Q_{a,b',c}$. We need to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1})^n w(\xi^n a, b', c) = 0.$$

It suffices to show that all entries of the vector $Q_{a,b',c}$ are zero. Notice that

$$(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^n = I - (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^{p-1},$$

therefore

$$(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) Q_{a,b',c} = (I - (\xi^{(p-1)-1} M)^{p-1}) V_{a,b',c} = 0.$$

Therefore, entries of the vector $(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) Q_{a,b',c}$ are zero. Recall that entries of the vector $Q_{a,b',c}$ are elements of A and therefore their additive orders are powers of p . Recall that that the diagonal entries of the matrix $(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M)$ are coprime with p . Therefore, $(I - \xi^{(p-1)-1} M) Q_{a,b',c} = 0$ implies $Q_{a,b',c} = 0$, as required.

The proof that $\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j} v(a', \xi^j b, c) = 0$ for all $a', b, c \in A$ is similar. Observe that there is a vector W' with integer entries such that $v(a', b, c) = W'^T V''_{a',b,c}$, where $V''_{a',b,c}$ is a vector constructed as in Notation 1 but only including as entries those products in which b appears at least twice. By applying Lemma 3 several times, there exists a matrix \bar{M} such that $V''_{a',\xi b,c} = \bar{M} V''_{a',b,c}$ and \bar{M} is upper triangular with diagonal entries ξ^i for $i \geq 2$ (because b appears at least twice in each product which is an entry in $V''_{a',b,c}$) and for $i < k < p$. Similarly as before,

$$V''_{a',b,c} = V''_{a',\xi^{p-1} b,c} = \bar{M}^{p-1} V''_{a',b,c} = \xi^{p-1} \bar{M}^{p-1} V''_{a',b,c}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j} v(a', \xi^j b, c) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j} W'^T V''_{a',\xi^j b,c} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} W'^T (\xi^{p-1-1} \bar{M})^j V''_{a',b,c}.$$

Observe that $(1 - \xi^{p-1-1} \bar{M}) \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{p-1-1} \bar{M})^j V''_{a',b,c} = 0$. Recall that the diagonal entries of the upper triangular matrix $I - \xi^{p-1-1} \bar{M}$ are coprime with p . Consequently, $\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} (\xi^{p-1-1} \bar{M})^j V''_{a',b,c} = 0$ which implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-j} v(a', \xi^j b, c) = 0,$$

this concludes the proof. \square

Let A be a set and let $(A, +_1, \circ_1)$ and $(A, +_2, \circ_2)$ be two braces. We say that these braces are the same if for each $a, b \in A$ we have $a+_1b = a+_2b$ and $a\circ_1b = a\circ_2b$. Otherwise, we say that these two braces are distinct. Similarly, we say that pre-Lie rings $(A, +_1, \cdot_1)$ and $(A, +_2, \cdot_2)$ are the same if and only if for each $a, b \in A$ we have $a+_1b = a+_2b$ and $a\cdot_1b = a\cdot_2b$.

Proposition 7. *Let notation be as in Theorem 6, then $a \cdot b$ is a sum of products of elements a and b under operation $*$ with a appearing at least once and b appearing exactly once at the end of each product. Moreover this formula depends only of the nilpotency index of the brace A . Moreover, the obtained pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$ has the same nilpotency index as the brace A . In particular pre-Lie rings obtained from distinct braces, using Theorem 6, are distinct as pre-Lie rings.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 8 from [46]. Let $E_{a,b} \subseteq A$ denote the set consisting of any product of elements a and one element b at the end of each product under the operation $*$, in any order, with any distribution of brackets, each product consisting of at least 2 elements a . By Lemma 3,

$$a \cdot b = (p-1)a * b + \sum_{w \in E_{a,b}} \alpha_w w$$

where $\alpha_w \in \mathbb{Z}$ do not depend on a, b , but only on their arrangement in word w as an element of $E_{a,b}$. Moreover, each w is a product of at least 3 elements from the set $\{a, b\}$. Observe that coefficients α_w do not depend on the brace A as they were constructed using the formula from Lemma 3 which holds in every strongly nilpotent brace. Therefore, for any given n , the same formula will hold for all braces of nilpotency index k . Therefore $a * b = -(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{n-1})(a \cdot b - \sum_{w \in E_{a,b}} \alpha_w w)$, and now we can use this formula several times to write every element from $E_{a,b}$ as a product of elements a and b under the operation \cdot (it will work because any product of i elements under the operation \cdot will belong to $A^{[i]}$ by the formula $a \cdot b = (p-1)a * b + \sum_{w \in E_{a,b}} \alpha_w w$). Notice also that if $a \in A^{[i]}$ and $b \in A^{[j]}$ then $a \cdot b \in A^{[i+j]}$. In this way we can recover the brace $(A, +, \circ)$ from the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$. Notice that this implies that the nilpotency index of the obtained pre-Lie ring is the same as the nilpotency index of the brace A . Therefore, two distinct strongly nilpotent braces cannot give the same pre-Lie ring using the formula $a \cdot b = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} ((\xi^i a) * b)$. □

Corollary 8. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a pre-Lie ring and let α be a natural number. Define $a \odot b = \alpha(a \cdot b)$, then $(A, +, \odot)$ is a pre Lie ring.*

Proof. We see that $(a \odot b) \odot c - a \odot (b \odot c) = \alpha^2((a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c))$, this implies that A is a pre-Lie ring for every $a, b, c \in A$, this concludes the proof. □

Notation 2. Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a strongly nilpotent brace of cardinality p^n for some prime number $p \geq n + 2$. Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be the pre-Lie ring obtained from this brace as in Theorem 6, and let $(A, +, \odot)$ be the pre-Lie ring obtained from $(A, +, \cdot)$ by declaring $a \odot b = -(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{n-1})(a \cdot b)$. Then we will say that $(A, +, \odot)$ is the pre-Lie ring associated to the brace $(A, +, \circ)$.

4 From pre-Lie rings to braces

The passage from pre-Lie rings to braces is the same as in Rump's paper [41] on page 135. In [46] this method was applied for pre-Lie algebras over \mathbb{F}_p using the group of flows. For pre-Lie rings the construction is the same and we recall it below. In the following section we give a detailed explanation as to why it also holds for finite left nilpotent pre-Lie rings. Recall that the group of flows was introduced in [1].

Let A with operations $+$ and \cdot be a nilpotent pre-Lie ring of nilpotency index k and cardinality p^n . Recall that a pre-Lie ring A is nilpotent of nilpotency index k if the product of any k elements is zero in this pre-Lie ring, and k is minimal possible. Let p be a prime number larger than k and $n + 1$. Define the brace $(A, +, \circ)$ with the same addition as in the pre-Lie ring A and with the multiplication \circ defined as in the group of flows as follows. The below exposition uses the same notation as in [46].

1. Let $a \in A$, and let $L_a : A \rightarrow A$ denote the left multiplication by a , so $L_a(b) = a \cdot b$. Define $L_c \cdot L_b(a) = L_c(L_b(a)) = c \cdot (b \cdot a)$. Define

$$e^{L_a}(b) = b + a \cdot b + \frac{1}{2!}a \cdot (a \cdot b) + \frac{1}{3!}a \cdot (a \cdot (a \cdot b)) + \dots$$

where the sum 'stops' at place k , since the nilpotency index of A is k . This is well defined since $p > k$.

2. We can formally add element 1 to A , so $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ in our pre-Lie ring (see the next section) and define

$$W(a) = e^{L_a}(1) - 1 = a + \frac{1}{2!}a \cdot a + \frac{1}{3!}a \cdot (a \cdot a) + \dots$$

where the sum 'stops' at place k . Notice that $W : A \rightarrow A$ is a bijective function, provided that A is a nilpotent pre-Lie ring.

3. Let $\Omega : A \rightarrow A$ be the inverse function to the function W , so $\Omega(W(a)) = W(\Omega(a)) = a$. Following [35] the first terms of Ω are

$$\Omega(a) = a - \frac{1}{2}a \cdot a + \frac{1}{4}(a \cdot a) \cdot a + \frac{1}{12}a \cdot (a \cdot a) + \dots$$

where the sum stops at place k . In [35] the formula for Ω is given using Bernoulli numbers. This assures that p does not appear in a denominator.

4. Define

$$a \circ b = a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(b).$$

Here the addition is the same as in the pre-Lie ring A . It was shown in [1] that (A, \circ) is a group. The same argument will work in our case, as $(W(a) \circ W(b)) \circ W(c) = W(a) \circ (W(b) \circ W(c))$ for $a, b, c \in A$ by BCH formula (at this stage the result is related to Lazard's correspondence). We can immediately see that $(A, +, \circ)$ is a left brace because

$$a \circ (b + c) + a = a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(b + c) + a = (a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(b)) + (a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(c)) = a \circ b + a \circ c.$$

5 The case of left nilpotent but not necessarily right nilpotent pre-Lie rings

In this section we give additional explanations as to why the formula from the previous section also works for left nilpotent pre-Lie rings. Notice that if A is a left nilpotent pre-Lie ring of cardinality p^n (where p is prime) then $A^{n+1} = 0$ since $A^i = A^{i+1} = A \cdot A^i$ implies $A^i = A^{i+1} = A^{i+2} = \dots = 0$. Therefore, the formula for function W from the previous section is well defined. We give below detailed explanation why W is also well defined for pre-Lie rings which are not pre-Lie algebras, for the reader's convenience.

It is known that an identity element can be added to a pre-Lie algebra. Notice that the well known construction of adding the identity element to an associative ring will also work for pre-Lie rings.

The Dorroh extension. Each pre-Lie ring A can be embedded in a ring with identity. In fact, if A is an arbitrary pre-Lie ring, then $(A \times \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ together with

$$(r, n) + (s, m) = (r + s, n + m)$$

$$(r, n) \cdot (s, m) = (rs + ns + mr, nm)$$

for $r, s \in A$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a ring with the identity element $(0, 1)$. Notice that rn denotes the sum of n copies of r . The identity element is $(0, 1)$ and the embedding is $r \rightarrow (r, 0)$. This can be verified by a direct calculation. This pre-Lie ring will be denoted as A^{identity} .

Notation 3. Let A be a pre-Lie ring A and A^{identity} be its Doorroh extension. By S_A we denote the associative ring whose elements are maps from A^{identity} to A^{identity} and such that if $\alpha \in S_A$ then $\alpha(a + b) = \alpha(a) + \alpha(b)$ for $a, b \in A^{\text{identity}}$. In this ring we have the following operations for $\alpha : A^{\text{identity}} \rightarrow A^{\text{identity}}, \beta : A^{\text{identity}} \rightarrow A^{\text{identity}} \in S_A$ and $x \in A^{\text{identity}}$:

$$(\alpha + \beta)(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x), (\alpha \circ \beta)(x) = \alpha(\beta(x)).$$

Let $a \in A$. We denote by $L_a : A^{\text{identity}} \rightarrow A^{\text{identity}}$ the left multiplication by a , so $L_a(a) = a \cdot b$ where \cdot is the multiplication in the pre-Lie ring A . Then L_a is a nilpotent map, and it belongs to the associative ring S_A . Let S'_A be the subring of S_A generated by maps L_a for $a \in A$. Note that S'_A is a nilpotent ring and any product of $n + 1$ elements in this ring is zero, provided that A has cardinality p^n and A is left nilpotent.

Remark. It is known (see for example [37], page 390 or [31]) that for any associative nilpotent ring R with $R^{n+1} = 0$ for some $n + 1 < p$, and for every $x, y \in R$ we have $e^x e^y = e^v$ where v is obtained by the BCH formula.

$$v = x + y - \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \frac{1}{12}[y, x, x] - \frac{1}{12}[y, x, y] + \dots,$$

where $[y, x, x] = [[y, x], x]$ and $[y, x, y] = [[y, x], y]$. We will use notation $v = BCH(x, y)$.

Take $R = S'_A$, $x = L_a, y = L_b$ as above. Then we obtain the following associative multiplication

$$e^{L_a} \circ e^{L_b} = e^{BCH(L_a, L_b)}.$$

Notice that

$$BCH(L_a, L_b) = L_{BCH(a, b)}$$

because A is a pre-Lie ring.

It follows from the fact that $L_a + L_b = L_{a+b}$ and $[L_a, L_b](c) = L_a(L_b(c)) - L_b(L_a(c)) = L_{[a,b]}(c)$ for $a, b \in A$, $c \in A^{\text{identity}}$. Indeed, notice that $[L_a, L_b](c) = a \cdot (b \cdot c) - b \cdot (a \cdot c)$ and $L_{[a,b]}(c) = (a \cdot b - b \cdot a) \cdot c$, which agrees for pre-Lie rings.

Remark. (This is a known fact, see Lemma 3.43 [7] for pre-Lie algebras over real numbers.) If a pre-Lie ring is left nilpotent then the associated Lie ring is nilpotent, where the multiplication in the associated Lie ring $L(A)$ is $[a, b] = a \cdot b - b \cdot a$ where \cdot is the multiplication in the pre-Lie ring (and the addition is the same).

Sketch of the proof: Let A be a left nilpotent pre-Lie ring. Recall that we showed before that $L_a L_b - L_b L_a = L_{[a,b]}$ for $a, b \in A$, since A is a pre-Lie ring. Consequently,

$$[[L_a, L_b], L_c] = [L_{[a,b]}, L_c] = L_{[[a,b],c]}.$$

Continuing on in this way, we obtain that L_l for any l in the Lie ring $L(A)$, can be obtained by applying operators L_a for $a \in A$ from the left side, so it will always reach zero. This follows because $[x, y] \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z)$ in a pre-Lie ring. We can then show by induction that $[a_1, \dots, a_i] \cdot z \in A^{i+k}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $z \in A^k$. Notice that, $[[a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}], z] = [[a_1, \dots, a_i], a_{i+1}] \cdot z = [a_1, \dots, a_i] \cdot (a_{i+1} \cdot z) - a_{i+1} \cdot ([a_1, \dots, a_i] \cdot z)$, and the result follows by applying by induction with respect to i . We can apply it for $z = 1$. This shows that $L(A)$ is a nilpotent Lie ring.

Lemma 9. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a left nilpotent pre-Lie ring of cardinality p^n for some prime number $p \geq n+2$. Define $W(a) = e^{L_a}(1) - 1$ for $a \in A$, $1 \in A^{\text{identity}}$. Then $W(a) = W(b)$ for some $a, b \in A$ implies $a = b$. Therefore, $W : A \rightarrow A$ is a bijective map. Moreover every element $a \in A$ can be presented as $a = e^{L_b}(1) - 1$ for some $b \in A$. Consequently, (A, \circ) is a group, where we define*

$$(e^{L_a}(1) - 1) \circ (e^{L_b}(1) - 1) = e^{L_{BCH(a,b)}}(1) - 1,$$

for $a, b \in A$.

Proof. Suppose $W(a) = W(b)$ for some $a, b \in A$, this implies $e^{L_a}(1) = e^{L_b}(1)$. By applying the map e^{-L_a} to both sides we get $1 = e^{L_{BCH(-a,b)}}(1)$. Denote $s = L_{BCH(-a,b)}(1)$ then $s \in S'_A$ and $s(1) + \frac{1}{2}s \cdot s(1) + \dots = 0$ (since $1 = e^s(1)$ as above). So $s(1) = f(s)(1)$ where $f(s) = -(\frac{1}{2}s \cdot s + \frac{1}{6}s \cdot (s \cdot s) + \dots)$. Substituting (several times) $f(s)(1)$ for $s(1)$ in the $s(1)$ at the end in each product appearing in the right side of the equation $s(1) = f(s)(1)$ we get that $s(1) \in A^i$ for every i , and since A is a left nilpotent then $s(1) = 0$.

Now, because $s(1) = 0$ we get that $L_{BCH(-a,b)} = L_0$, hence $BCH(L_{-a}, L_b) = 0$ (this follows because A is a pre-Lie ring). This implies that e^{L_b} is the inverse of $e^{L_{-a}}$ so $e^{L_b} = e^{L_a}$ in S'_A , so $e^{L_b}(x) = e^{L_a}(x)$ for all x in A^{identity} .

We will now show that this implies that $a = b$. Denote $c = b - a$. Note that $A^t = 0$ for some t since A is left nilpotent. Suppose that $c \neq 0$ and let i be such that $c \cdot A^i = 0$. Let $x \in A^{i-1}$. Notice that $L_c L_a^j(x) \in c \cdot A^i = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Consequently,

$$e^{L_{a+c}}(x) = e^{L_b}(x) = e^{L_a}(x)$$

for $x \in A^{\text{identity}}$ implies

$$c \cdot x = L_c(x) \in \sum_{i=1,2,\dots} \mathbb{Z} L_a^i L_c(x) = \sum_{i=1,2,\dots} \mathbb{Z} L_a^i(c \cdot x).$$

Now substituting this expression of $c \cdot x$ in the right hand side of the above expression we can increase the length of words appearing on the right hand side, hence we obtain $c \cdot x = 0$, so $c = c \cdot 1 = 0$.

For the last part, notice that for $a, b \in A$ we have $L_a, L_b \in S'_A$ hence it is known that

$$e^{L_a} \circ e^{L_b} = e^{L_{BCH(a,b)}}.$$

We define

$$(e^{L_a}(1) - 1) \circ (e^{L_b}(1) - 1) = e^{L_{BCH(a,b)}}(1) - 1.$$

Note that knowing $e^{L_a}(1) - 1$ we can recover a and L_a in the unique way, so this is a well defined multiplication. Indeed, note that by direct calculation we get that

$$((e^{L_a}(1) - 1) \circ (e^{L_b}(1) - 1)) \circ (e^{L_c}(1) - 1) = (e^{L_a}(1) - 1) \circ ((e^{L_b}(1) - 1) \circ (e^{L_c}(1) - 1)).$$

□

From Lemma 9 we obtain:

Theorem 10. *Let Notation be as in Lemma 9. Because $W : A \rightarrow A$ is a bijective function, then there exists a function $\Omega : A \rightarrow A$ which is the inverse function to the function W , so $\Omega(W(a)) = W(\Omega(a))$ for all $a \in A$. Then $(A, +, \circ)$ is a brace, where (A, \circ) is the group of flows of the pre-Lie ring A , so*

$$a \circ b = a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(b).$$

Then $(A, +, \circ)$ is a left nilpotent brace.

Proof. Note that $(A, +, \circ)$ is a brace, since (A, \circ) is a group, $(A, +)$ is an abelian group and

$$a \circ (b + c) = a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(b + c) = a \circ b + a \circ c - a.$$

By a result of Rump from [40] every brace of cardinality p^n is left nilpotent, hence A is left nilpotent.

□

6 The correspondence is one-to-one

In this section we consider strongly nilpotent braces and nilpotent nilpotent pre-Lie algebras, and we show that for them the correspondence is 1-to-1.

We begin with the following lemma, which uses results from the previous section.

Lemma 11. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a left nilpotent pre-Lie ring of cardinality p^n for some prime $p > n + 1$. Let $\Omega : A \rightarrow A$ be the inverse function of W (so $W(\Omega(a)) = a$) where $W(a) = e^{L_a}(1) - 1$, where $1 \in A^{\text{identity}}$. Then there are $\alpha_w \in \mathbb{Z}$ not depending on a such that $\Omega(a) = a + \sum_w \alpha_w w(a)$ where w are finite non associative words in variable x (of degree at least 2), and $w(a)$ is a specialisation of w at a (so for example if $w = x \cdot (x \cdot x)$ then $w(a) = a \cdot (a \cdot a)$).*

Proof. Let $W(a) = e^{L_a}(1) - 1$, and let $W^2(a) = W(W(a))$ and $W^3(a) = W(W(W(a)))$, continuing in this way we define $W^i(a)$ for every i . Note, that because A is a finite pre-Lie ring it follows that for a given a there are $0 < i < j \leq p^n + 1$ such that

$$W^i(a) = W^j(a).$$

By Lemma 9 this implies $W^{j-i}(a) = a$, and consequently

$$W^{p^n!}(a) = a,$$

since $j - i$ divides $p^n!$ (since $i - j \leq p^n$). Notice that it holds for every $a \in A$. Therefore, $\Omega(a) = W^{p^n!-1}(a)$, since $W(\Omega(a)) = \Omega(W(a)) = W^{p^n!}(a) = a$. This concludes the proof. \square

We are now ready to state our main results.

Theorem 12. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a nilpotent pre-Lie ring of cardinality p^n and nilpotency index k where $k, n+1 < p$, where p is a prime number. Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be the brace associated to A by using the group of flows, as in previous sections (so (A, \circ) is the group of flows of pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$). Let $(A, +, \odot)$ be the pre-Lie ring associated to the brace $(A, +, \circ)$ as in Notation 2. Then the pre-Lie rings $(A, +, \cdot)$ and $(A, +, \odot)$ are the same, so for each $a, b \in A$, $a \odot b = a \cdot b$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 7 in [46]. We repeat it for readers' convenience. Notice that, since (A, \circ) is the group of flows of the pre-Lie ring A , then

$$a * b = a \cdot b + \sum_{w \in P_{a,b}} \alpha_w w$$

where α_w are integers and $P_{a,b}$ is the set of all products (under the operation \cdot) of elements a and b from (A, \cdot) with b appearing only at the end, and a appearing at least two times in each product. Moreover, α_w does not depend on a and b , but only on their arrangement in word w as an element of set $P_{a,b}$. This follows from the construction of $\Omega(a)$, by Lemma 11. Notice that each word w will be a product of at most $k - 1$ elements because pre-Lie ring A has nilpotency index k . Let $w \in P_{a,b}$, then w is a product of some elements a and element b . We define the word w_{ξ^i} to be the word obtained if at each place where a appears in w we write $\xi^i a$ instead of a . It follows that:

$$(\xi^i a) * b = (\xi^i a) \cdot b + \sum_{w \in P_{a,b}} \alpha_w w_{\xi^i}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} ((\xi^i a) * b) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} [(\xi^i a) \cdot b + \sum_{w \in P_{a,b}} \alpha_w w_{\xi^i}].$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} (\xi^i a) \cdot b = (p-1)a \cdot b.$$

Therefore,

$$-(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{n-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} (\xi^i a) \cdot b = (1 - p^n) a \cdot b = a \cdot b.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that for every $w \in P_{a,b}$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} w_{\xi^i} = 0.$$

We know that every pre-Lie algebra is distributive, hence $w_{\xi^i} = (\xi^i)^j w$ where j is the number of occurrences of a in the product which gives w . It suffices to show that $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} (\xi^i)^j \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ for $j \geq 2$. Because $2 \leq j < k$ this is true, since $(\xi^{j-1} - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} \xi^{ji} = (\xi^{j-1})^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^p}$, which concludes the proof.

Notice that by the formula for the multiplication $*$ in the group of flows, the nilpotency index in the constructed brace (as the group of flows) will be the same as the nilpotency index of the pre-Lie algebra A . \square

We now show that the correspondence is one-to-one.

Theorem 13. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a strongly nilpotent brace of nilpotency index k and cardinality p , where $k, n + 1 < p$, where p is a prime number. Let $(A, +, \odot)$ be a nilpotent pre-Lie ring obtained from this brace as in Notation 2. Then (A, \circ) is the group of flows of the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \odot)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar the proof of Theorem 8 in [46]. Recall that $\xi^{p-1} - 1$ is divisible by p^n . Let $E_{a,b} \subseteq A$ denote the set consisting of any product of elements a and one element b at the end of each product under the operation $*$, in any order, with any distribution of brackets, each product consisting of at least 2 elements a . Observe that by Lemma 3 applied several times

$$a \odot b = a * b + \sum_{w \in E_{a,b}} \alpha_w w$$

where α_w are integers which do not depend on a, b , but only on their arrangement in word w as an element of $E_{a,b}$. Moreover, each w is a product of at least 3 elements from the set $\{a, b\}$. Observe that coefficients α_w do not depend on the brace A as they were constructed using the formula from Lemma 3 which holds in every strongly nilpotent brace. Therefore, for any given n , the same formula will hold for all braces of nilpotency index not exceeding n . Therefore $a * b = a \odot b - \sum_{w \in E_{a,b}} \alpha_w w$, and now we can use this formula several times to write every element from $E_{a,b}$ as a product of elements a and b under the operation \odot . Note that $A^{[i]} \odot A^{[j]} \subseteq A^{[i+j]}$. In this way we can recover the brace $(A, +, \circ)$ from the pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \odot)$.

Therefore two distinct strongly nilpotent braces cannot give the same pre-Lie algebra using the formula from Notation 2.

Let $(A', +, \circ')$ be the brace obtained as in Theorem 10 from pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \odot)$. By Theorem 12 pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \odot)$ can be obtained as in Notation 2 from the brace $(A', +, \circ')$. It follows that $(A, \circ)'$ is the group of flows of pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \odot)$.

Notice that, by applying construction from Notation 2 to braces $(A, +, \circ)$ and $(A, +, \circ')$ we obtain pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \odot)$. By the above braces $(A, +, \circ)$ and $(A, +, \circ')$ are the same, so (A, \circ) is the group of flows of pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \odot)$. \square

Theorem 14. *Let k, n be natural numbers and let $k + 1, n + 2 \leq p$, where p is a prime number. There is one-to-one correspondence between strongly nilpotent braces of cardinality p^n and nilpotency index k (so $A^{[k]} \neq 0$ and $A^{[k+1]} = 0$) and nilpotent pre-Lie rings of cardinality p^n and nilpotency index k .*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof in the case when A is an \mathbb{F}_p -brace, as in [46]. For every pre-Lie ring of nilpotency index k we can attach the brace which is its group of flows and form a pair. Since the group of flows is uniquely defined, every pre-Lie algebra will be in exactly one pair. Moreover, every brace will be in some pair, by Theorem 13. Observe that every brace will be in exactly one pair, as otherwise there would be two distinct pre-Lie algebras which give the same group of flows. However, by Theorem 12 we can apply the formula from Notation 2,

$$a \odot b = -(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{n-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \xi^{p-1-i} ((\xi^i a) * b),$$

to recover these pre-Lie algebras from this brace. Because the formula defines uniquely the underlying pre-Lie algebra every brace is in at most one pair. \square

Notice that the group of flows is related to Lazard's correspondence, since $W(a) \circ W(b) = W(BCH(a, b))$, therefore the above correspondence is related to Lazard's correspondence and the obtained structures are isomorphic to the structures obtained using Lazard's correspondence.

7 Some ideals in braces of cardinality p^n

Let A be a brace of cardinality p^n with $p > n + 1$ and $ann(p^i)$ be the set of elements of additive order p^i in this brace, $ann(p^i) = \{a \in A : p^i a = 0\}$ where $p^i a$ denotes the sum of p^i copies of element a .

Let A be a brace and $a \in A$, by $a^{\circ n}$ we mean the product of n copies of a in the multiplicative group of brace, so $a^{\circ n} = a \circ a \circ \dots \circ a$. By a^n we will mean the product of n copies of a under the operation $*$, so $a^n = a * a * \dots * a$. Recall that in any brace A , we use the notation $a * b = a \circ b - a - b$. Let i be a natural number, by $A^{\circ p^i}$ we denote the subgroup of the group (A, \circ) generated by elements $a^{\circ p^i}$ where $a \in A$.

Recall that $A^1 = A$ and $A^{i+1} = A * A^i$. By Rump's result if A is a brace of cardinality p^n , where p is a prime number, then $A^{n+1} = 0$ [41].

Proposition 15. *Let i, n be natural numbers. Let A be a brace of cardinality p^n for some prime number $p > n + 1$. Then, $p^i A = \{p^i a : a \in A\}$ is an ideal in A for each i . Moreover*

$$A^{\circ p^i} = p^i A.$$

Proof. We need to show that $(p^i c) * b \in p^i A$, for all $b, c \in A$, as all other properties follow from the definition of a brace, since $c * (p^i b) = c * (b + b + \dots + b) = p^i (c * b)$.

By Lemma 14 from [44] we have that $a^{\circ p^i} * b = \sum_{k=1}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{k} e_k$ where $e_1 = a * b$, $e_2 = a * (a * b)$, and $e_{k+1} = a * e_k$ for each k .

By Rump's result $A^{n+1} = 0$ since A is a brace of cardinality p^n , hence $e_{n+1} = 0, e_{n+2} = 0$. Recall that $p > n + 1$, it follows that $a^{\circ p^i} * b \in p^j A$, since $\binom{p^i}{k}$ is divisible by p^i for $k \leq p - 1$.

Let $A^{\circ p^j}$ be the subgroup of the group (A, \circ) which is generated by elements $a^{\circ p^j}$ for $a \in A$. By Lemma 14 from [44], we have $a^{\circ p^i} = \sum_{k=1}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{k} a_k$ where $a_1 = a$, $a_2 = a * a$, and $a_{k+1} = a * a_k$ for each k . Similarly as above, it follows that $A^{\circ p^j} \subseteq p^j A$. Notice that, also by the above, if $g \in A^{\circ p^j}$ then $g * b \subseteq p^j A$ (this follows from the fact that $\lambda_a(\lambda_b(c)) = \lambda_{a \circ b}(c)$ for $a, b, c \in A$ where $\lambda_a(c) = a * c + c = a \circ c - a$).

It suffices to show that $p^i A \subseteq A^{\circ p^i}$. If $i = n$ then $a^{\circ p^i} = 0$, since the group (A, \circ) has p^n elements, so $p^n A = 0$, so the result holds.

We proceed by induction on $j = n - i$. If $j = 0$ then the result holds by the above. Suppose it holds for some i and we will show it holds for $i + 1$. So we need to show that $p^i A \subseteq A^{\circ p^j}$ provided that $p^{i+1} A \subseteq A^{\circ p^{i+1}}$.

Let $a \in A$, we will show that $p^i a \in A^{\circ p^i}$. Observe first that $(-a)^{\circ p^i} \circ (p^i a) = a_2$ for some $a_2 \subseteq p^i A^2$. Similarly, $(-a_2)^{p^i} \circ a_2 = a_3$ for some $a_3 \subseteq p^i A^3$. Continuing in this way $(-a_n)^{p^i} \circ \dots \circ (-a_2)^{p^i} \circ (p^i a) = a_{n+1} \in A^{n+1} = 0$ (the last equation follows from Rump's paper [40]). Therefore, $(p^i a) = ((-a)^{-1})^{p^i} \circ ((-a_2)^{-1})^{p^i} \circ \dots \circ ((-a_n)^{-1})^{p^i} \in A^{\circ p^i}$. \square

Corollary 16. *Let i, n be natural numbers. Let A be a brace of cardinality p^n for some prime number $p > n + 1$. Let I be an ideal in A . Then, $p^i I = \{p^i a : a \in I\}$ is an ideal in A for each i .*

Proof. Notice that I is a brace, since it is an ideal. By Proposition 15, applied to brace I we get

$$I^{\circ p^i} = p^i I.$$

Therefore, $(p^i I) * A = I^{\circ p^i} * A \subseteq p^i I$. The last inclusion follows from the fact that $a^{\circ p^i} * b = \sum_{k=1}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{k} e_k$ where $e_1 = a * b$, $e_2 = a * (a * b)$, and $e_{k+1} = a * e_k$ for each k (By Lemma 14 from [44]). Moreover $e_{n+i} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ since $I^{n+1} = 0$, so $\binom{p^i}{k} = p^i \cdot k^{-1} \binom{p^i-1}{k-1}$ is divisible by p^i for $k \leq p - 1$. Notice also that $a * (p^i b) = p^i a * b$ by the brace relation $a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c$. \square

Lemma 17. *Let n, i be a natural numbers. Let A be a brace of cardinality p^n for some prime number $p > n + 1$. Let $\text{ann}(p^i) = \{a \in A : p^i a = 0\}$. Then, $\text{ann}(p^i)$ is an ideal in A .*

Proof. Notice that $a * (p^i b) = p^i (a * b)$. Therefore, if $a \in A$ and $b \in \text{ann}(p^i)$ then $a * b \in \text{ann}(p^i)$. To show that $\text{ann}(p^i)$ is an ideal it suffices to show that $a * b \in \text{ann}(p^i)$ provided that $a \in \text{ann}(p^i)$, $b \in A$. Note that if $a \in \text{ann}(p^i)$ then $a^{\circ p^i} = 0$ by the formula from Lemma 14 in [44], since $a^{\circ p^i} = \sum_{k=1}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{k} a_k$ where $a_1 = a$, $a_2 = a * a$, and $a_{k+1} = a * a_k$ for each i . It follows because $a_{n+1} \in A^{n+1} = 0$ by Rump's Theorem, and $\binom{p^i}{k}$ is divisible by p^i for $k \leq p - 1$.

Recall also the formula $a^{\circ p^i} * b = \sum_{k=1}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{k} e_k$ where $e_1 = a * b$, $e_2 = a * (a * b)$, and $e_{k+1} = a * e_k$ for each k . Therefore, for $a \in \text{ann}(p^i)$, $a^{\circ p^i} * b = 0 * b = 0$, hence

$$p^i e_1 = - \sum_{k=2}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{k} e_k$$

since $A^{n+1} = 0$ and $e_i \in A^i$. Notice that $p^i e_2 = a * (a * (p^i a * b)) = a * (a * (p^i e_1))$. Similarly $p^i e_k = a * (a * (\dots a * (p^i e_1) \dots))$. Note that $\binom{p^i}{k}$ is divisible by p^k for $k \leq p - 1$, hence we can substitute the expression for $p e_1$ in the expressions for $\binom{p^i}{k} e_k$ in the right-hand side of the above equation. Applying it several times we get $p e_1 \in A^{n+1} = 0$. Therefore, $p a = 0$ implies $p(a * b) = 0$ for each $b \in A$, hence $\text{ann}(p)$ is an ideal in brace A . \square

8 Applications of brace theory for pre-Lie algebras

In this section we translate some results from brace theory to pre-Lie algebras with almost identical proofs. Whilst several colleagues at conferences have suggested that these results may be new for pre-Lie algebras, we would not be suprised if they were known as ‘common knowledge’ to experts working in pre-Lie algebras.

Recall that a pre-Lie ring is left nilpotent if

$$A \cdot (A \cdot (A \cdots A)) = 0$$

for some number of copies of A . We denote $A \cdot (A \cdot (A \cdots A))$ with n ocurences of A by A^n . We denote $((A \cdots A) \cdot A) \cdot A$, with n occurrences of A by $A^{(n)}$. A pre-Lie ring A is right nilpotent if $A^{(n)} = 0$ for some n .

We say that an ideal I in a pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$ is solvable if $I_n = 0$ for some n , where $I_1 = I$ and $I_{i+1} = I_i \cdot I_i$ where $I_i \cdot I_i$ consists of sums of elements $a \cdot b$ where $a, b \in I_i$.

Recall that if I is an ideal in a pre-Lie ring A then $I \cdot A$ is the additive subgroup of A generated by elements $i \cdot a$ where $a \in A, i \in I$.

A result of Rump in [40] assures that if I is an ideal in a brace A then $I * A$ is also an ideal in A , and that $A^{(n)}$ is an ideal in a left brace A . This can be generalised for pre-Lie rings as follows:

Proposition 18. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a pre-Lie ring and I be an ideal in A then $I \cdot A$ is also an ideal in A . In particular $A^{(n)}$ is an ideal in A .*

Proof. Observe that $I \cdot A \subseteq I$ since I is an ideal in A , and hence $(I \cdot A) \cdot A \subseteq I \cdot A$. To show that I is an ideal in A it suffices to show that $A \cdot (I \cdot A) \subseteq I \cdot A$. Let $a, c \in A, b \in I$ then we have by the pre-Lie ring relation:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot c - b \cdot (a \cdot c).$$

Therefore $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c + b \cdot (a \cdot c) - (b \cdot a) \cdot c \subseteq I \cdot A$. It follows that $A \cdot (I \cdot A) \subseteq I \cdot A$. \square

We can defined the socle of a pre-Lie ring A as

$$Soc(A) = \{a \in A : a \cdot A = 0\}.$$

Rump [41] defined a socle of a brace and showed that the socle of a brace is always an ideal in this brace. We show below that a similar result holds for pre-Lie rings.

Proposition 19. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a pre-Lie ring, then the socle of A is an ideal in A .*

Proof. Let $a \in Soc(A)$ then $a \cdot b = 0$ for $b \in A$, so it remains to show that $b \cdot a \in Soc(A)$. It suffices to show that $(b \cdot a) \cdot c = 0$ for $b, c \in A$. Notice that by the pre-Lie ring relation

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (b \cdot a) \cdot c - b \cdot (a \cdot c).$$

Hence, $(b \cdot a) \cdot c = 0$ since $a \cdot A = 0$. \square

We say that a pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$ is nilpotent if $A^{[i]} = 0$ for some n , where we define by induction $A^{[1]} = A$ and $A^{[i+1]} = \sum_{j=1}^i A^{[j]} \cdot A^{[i+1-j]}$. Notice that $A^{[i]}$ is an ideal in A .

It was shown in [45] that braces which are left nilpotent are strongly nilpotent if and only if they are right nilpotent. Here we will show that an analogous result holds for pre-Lie rings.

Theorem 20. *Let A be either a pre-Lie ring or a brace. Let m, n be natural numbers, then there is $s_{n,m}$ depending only of m and n such that if $A^{(n)} = 0$ and $A^m = 0$ then $A^{[s_{n,m}]} = 0$. Moreover, $s_{n+1,m} \leq s_{n,m}(m+1)$.*

Proof. We use a similar proof as in Theorem 12 in [45]. If A is a brace then this shows that such $s_{m,n}$ exists by Theorem 12 [45].

Suppose now that A is a pre-Lie algebra. We will proceed by induction on n . If $n = 0$ then $0 = A^2 = A \cdot A = A^{(2)} = A^{[2]}$, so the result holds.

Suppose that there is a natural number $s_{n,m}$ such that any left brace satisfying $A^{(n)} = 0$ and $A^m = 0$ satisfies $A^{[s_{n,m}]} = 0$. Assume now that our pre-Lie algebra satisfies $A^{(n+1)} = 0$ and $A^m = 0$. Let $\alpha \geq s_{n,m} \cdot (m+1)$ and suppose that $a \in A^{[\alpha]}$. Then $a = \sum a_i \cdot b_i$ with $a_i \in A^{[q_i]}$, $b_i \in A^{[\alpha-q_i]}$. Observe that if $q_i \geq s_{n,m}$ then $a_i \in A^{(n)}$ (by the inductive assumption applied to pre-Lie algebra $A/A^{(n)}$; this pre-Lie algebra is well defined since $A^{(n)}$ is an ideal in A). In this case we get $a_i \cdot b_i \in A^{(n)} \cdot A = A^{(n+1)} = 0$. Consequently we can assume that all $q_i < s_{n,m}$.

For each i we can now write $b_i = \sum_j a_{i,j} \cdot b_{i,j}$, and by the same argument as before, we get that each $a_{i,j} \in A^{[r_{i,j}]}$ for some $r_{i,j} < s_{n,m}$ (as otherwise $a_{i,j} \in A^{(n)}$ by the inductive assumption applied to $A/A^{(n)}$, and so $a_{i,j} \cdot b_{i,j} \in A^{(n+1)} = 0$).

Observe now that since A is a left brace then

$$\sum_i a_i \cdot b_i = \sum_i (a_i \cdot \sum_j a_{i,j} \cdot b_{i,j}) = \sum_{i,j} a_i \cdot (a_{i,j} \cdot b_{i,j}).$$

Continuing in this way we get that $a \in \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m} (c_1 \cdot (c_2 \cdots c_{m-1} \cdot (c_m \cdot A)))$ and since $A^m = 0$ we get that each $a = 0$, so $A^{[\alpha]} = 0$. \square

Notice that if A is a right nilpotent pre-Lie ring (or a brace) of cardinality p^n then $A^{(n+1)} = 0$ since $A^{(i)} = A^{(i+1)}$ implies $A^{(i)} = A^{(i)} \cdot A = A^{(i+2)} = \cdots = 0$. Similarly, if A is left nilpotent then $A^{n+1} = 0$ since $A^i = A^{i+1}$ implies $A^i = A^{i+1} + A^{i+2} + \cdots = 0$.

Corollary 21. *Let A be either a pre-Lie ring or a brace of cardinality p^n for some n . Suppose that A is both left nilpotent and right nilpotent. Then that $A^{[(n+1)^{n+1}]} = 0$.*

We are now ready to present proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. The first part of Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 10. Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a pre-Lie ring of cardinality p^n which is left nilpotent, then $A^{n+1} = 0$, hence $A^{p-1} = 0$. Notice that $W(\Omega(a)) = a$ for every $a \in A$, hence $a = \Omega(a) + \frac{1}{2}\Omega(a) \cdot \Omega(a) + \cdots$. Denote $e_1 = \Omega(a)$, $e_2 = \Omega(a) \cdot \Omega(a)$ and $e_{i+1} = \Omega(a) \cdot e_i$ for each i . Consequently,

$$a = e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{3!}e_3 + \cdots$$

Notice that by the formula for the multiplication in the group of flows we get

$$a * a = e^{L_{\Omega(a)}}(a) - a = e_2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \alpha_i e_i,$$

for some integers α_i not depending on a and not depending on the multiplication \cdot in the pre-Lie algebra A . Denote $f_1 = a$, $f_2 = a * a$, and $f_{i+1} = a * f_i$. By calculating expressions for f_i similarly as above, we obtain that

$$f_i = \sum_{j=i}^{p-1} m_j e_j$$

for some integers m_j (not depending on a and not depending on multiplication \cdot). Consequently, there are some integers β_i (not depending on a and not depending on multiplication \cdot) such that

$$e_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i f_i.$$

Recall that $e_1 = \Omega(a)$. Notice now that for element $b \in A$ we have

$$a * b = e'_1 + \frac{1}{2}e'_2 + \frac{1}{3!}e'_3 + \dots$$

where $e'_1 = \Omega(a) \cdot b$ and $e'_{i+1} = \Omega(a) \cdot e'_i$. Reasoning similarly as above, we can calculate elements f'_i in the brace $(A, +, \circ)$, where $f'_1 = a * b$, $f'_2 = a * (a * b)$ and $f'_{i+1} = a * f'_i$, and obtain that

$$\Omega(a) \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i f'_i,$$

for some integers γ_i not depending on a and b and not depending on multiplication \cdot in pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \cdot)$.

We will now prove uniqueness. Let $(A, +, \cdot_1)$ and $(A, +, \cdot_2)$ be two left nilpotent pre-Lie rings and let $(A, +, \circ_1)$ and $(A, +, \circ_2)$ be braces which are their groups of flows. Suppose that braces $(A, +, \circ_1)$ and $(A, +, \circ_2)$ are identical, and denote them as $(A, +, \circ)$. By the formula,

$$\Omega(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i f_i$$

the element $\Omega(a)$ calculated in the first pre-Lie algebra using the multiplication \cdot_1 gives the same element in the set A , as the element $\Omega(a)$ calculated using the multiplication \cdot_2 . Moreover $\Omega(a) \cdot_1 b = \Omega(a) \cdot_2 b$ by the formula

$$\Omega(a) \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i f'_i.$$

Indeed, this formula implies,

$$\Omega(a) \cdot_1 b = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i f'_i = \Omega(a) \cdot_2 b.$$

By Lemma 9, $W : A \rightarrow A$ is a bijective function, hence $\Omega : A \rightarrow A$ is a bijective function, so every element in A can be presented as $\Omega(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Therefore, pre-Lie algebras $(A, +, \cdot_1)$ and $(A, +, \cdot_2)$ are equal.

Suppose now that $(A, +, \cdot)$ is right nilpotent, then it is nilpotent by Corollary 21. By Lemma 11 and by the formula for the multiplication in the group of flows it follows that $(A, +, \circ)$ is right nilpotent. On the other hand, let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be not right nilpotent. Suppose on the contrary, that the obtained brace $(A, +, \circ)$ is right nilpotent, then it is strongly nilpotent by Corollary 21. By using the formula $\Omega(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i f_i$ we see that $\Omega(a) \in A^{[i]}$ provided that $a \in A^{[i]}$ where $A^{[i]}$ is defined as usually for brace $(A, +, \circ)$. Now using the formula

$$\Omega(a) \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i f'_i,$$

we see $A \cdot A \subseteq A * A$, and if $a \in A^{[i]}$ and $b \in A^{[j]}$ then $a \cdot b \in A^{[i+j]}$. Recall that $A^{[m]} = 0$ for some m . This shows that pre-Lie algebra $(A, +, \cdot)$ is nilpotent.

Corollary 22. *Let n be a natural number and let $p > (n + 1)^{n+1}$ be a prime number. Then every brace of cardinality p^n which is right nilpotent is obtained as in Theorem 2 from some nilpotent pre-Lie ring. Moreover, braces which are groups of flows of given pre-Lie rings are isomorphic if and only if these pre-Lie rings are isomorphic.*

9 Hopf-Galois extensions and pre-Lie rings

In [2] Bachiller discovered that there is a correspondence between braces and Hopf-Galois extensions of abelian type. This was subsequently investigated in a series of papers [4, 36, 47, 16, 32] where a correspondence with skew braces was investigated, new structures were investigated such as bi-braces, and some details were clarified. Let $(A, +)$ be an abelian group of cardinality p^n for some n and some prime number $p > (n + 1)^{n+1}$. An exposition from the last section from [39] about correspondence between braces and Hopf-Galois extension, combined with Corollary 22 yields the following method of constructing Hopf-Galois structures of type $(A, +)$.

To construct Hopf-Galois structures of type $(A, +)$ we can proceed as follows:

1. First we find all not right nilpotent braces with additive group $(A, +)$. We list these braces as A'_1, \dots, A'_m , where $A'_i = (A, +, \circ'_i)$.
2. We then find all Hopf-Galois extensions related to brace A'_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, using the method described in the last section in [39].
3. It remains to construct all Hopf-Galois extensions related to right nilpotent braces with additive group $(A, +)$. This can be done as follows:
4. We construct all nilpotent pre-Lie rings with abelian group $(A, +)$. Recall that a pre-Lie ring is nilpotent if for some j product of any j elements in this pre-Lie ring is zero.
5. We list all pairwise not isomorphic pre-Lie rings of cardinality p^n as A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k , where $A_i = (A, +, \cdot_i)$ where $(A, +)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.
6. For a pre-Lie ring A_i we construct all Hopf-Galois extensions related to this pre-Lie ring using the method described below.

7. For a given pre-Lie ring A_i we obtain a Hopf-Galois extensions of type $(A, +)$ with Galois group isomorphic to the group of flows of the pre-Lie ring A_i .

We can construct all **Hopf-Galois extensions related to a pre-Lie ring** $(A, +, \cdot)$ as follows:

1. Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a pre-Lie ring.
2. Let γ_i be the automorphisms of the abelian group $(A, +)$.
3. Check which γ_i are also automorphisms of the whole pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$.

Remark. Notice that γ is an automorphism of the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$ if and only if γ is an automorphism of the the group of flows of $(A, +, \cdot)$, by Theorem 13.

4. We find coset representatives of $\text{Aut}(A, +)/\text{Aut}(A, +, \cdot)$, we call them ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots

Recall that automorphisms α and β of $(A, +)$ are the same in $\text{Aut}(A, +)/\text{Aut}(A, +, \cdot)$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(A, +, \cdot)$ (so $\alpha(a) = \beta(\gamma(a))$ for all $a \in A$).

5. For the automorphisms ξ_i of the group $(A, +)$ from our list above we find the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot_{\xi_i})$, with the same addition as in $(A, +, \cdot)$ and with the multiplication

$$a \cdot_{\xi_i} b = \xi_i^{-1}(\xi_i(a) \cdot \xi_i(b)).$$

Remark. Notice that if $(A, +, \circ)$ is the group of flows of the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$ and $(A, +, \circ_{\xi_i})$ is the group of flows of $(A, +, \cdot_{\xi_i})$ then $a \circ_{\xi_i} b = \xi_i^{-1}(\xi_i(a) \circ \xi_i(b))$.

6. The corresponding Hopf-Galois extension is the regular subgroup (a, λ_a) (denoted above as $a\lambda_a$) of the holomorph $\text{Hol}(A, +)$ where

$$\lambda_a(b) = a \circ_{\xi_i} b - a,$$

where $a \circ_{\xi_i} b$ is calculated using the formula for the group of flows of the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot_{\xi_i})$.

We collect all Hopf-Galois extensions obtained in this way for all ξ_i to obtain all Hopf-Galois extensions corresponding to the pre-Lie ring $(A, +, \cdot)$. The obtained Hopf-Galois extensions are pairwise distinct.

Acknowledgments. The author acknowledges support from the EPSRC programme grant EP/R034826/1 and from the EPSRC research grant EP/V008129/1. The author is grateful to the University of Edinburgh for providing her with a sabbatical in the Fall semester 2021.

References

- [1] A. Agrachev, R. Gamkrelidze, *Chronological algebras and nonstationary vector fields*, J. Sov. Math. 17 No1 (1981), 1650–1675.
- [2] D. Bachiller, *Counterexample to a conjecture about braces*, J. Algebra, Volume 453, (2016), 160–176.

- [3] D. Bachiller, *Classification of braces of order p^3* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra Volume 219, Issue 8, (2015), Pages 3568–3603.
- [4] D. Bachiller, *Solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation associated to skew left braces, with applications to racks*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, Vol. 27, No. 08, 1850055 (2018).
- [5] C. Bai, Introduction to pre-Lie algebras, <https://b-ok.org/book/2665901/384dc8> Year: 2016.
- [6] T. Brzeziński, *Trusses: Between braces and rings*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 372(6), 4149–4176.
- [7] D. Burde, *Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 4 (2006) 323–357.
- [8] F. Catino, F. Cedó, P. Stefanelli, *Nilpotency in left semi-braces*, arXiv:2010.04939v1 [math.QA].
- [9] F. Catino, R. Rizzo, *Regular subgroups of the affine group and radical circle algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 79 (2009), no. 1, 103–107.
- [10] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński, *Braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 327, (2014), 101–116.
- [11] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński, *Primitive set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:2003.01983v1 [math.RA].
- [12] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński, *New simple solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and solutions associated to simple left braces*, to appear in Advances in Mathematics (also arXiv:2012.08400 [math.QA]).
- [13] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński, *Retractability of set theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Advances in Mathematics Volume 224, Issue 6, (2010), 2472–2484.
- [14] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, A. del Rio, *Involutive Yang-Baxter groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 2541–2558.
- [15] F. Cedó, A. Smoktunowicz, L. Vendramin, *Skew left braces of nilpotent type*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 118, no. 6, (2019), 1367–1392.
- [16] L. N. Childs, *Skew braces and the Galois correspondence for Hopf-Galois structures*, Journal of Algebra 511 (2018), 270–291.
- [17] F. Chouraqui, *Garside Groups and Yang-Baxter Equation*, Comm. Algebra, Vol. 38, Issue 12, (2009), 4441–4460.
- [18] I. Colazzo, E. Jespers, L. Kubat, *Set-theoretic solutions of the Pentagon Equation*, to appear in Communications in Mathematical Physics.
- [19] C. Dietzel, W. Rump, X. Zhang, *One-sided orthogonality, orthomodular spaces, quantum sets, and a class of Garside groups*, Journal of Algebra, Volume 526, (2019), Pages 51–80.

- [20] A. Doikou, A. Smoktunowicz, *From braces to Hecke algebras and quantum groups*, arXiv:1912.03091v2 [math-ph].
- [21] A. Doikou, A. Smoktunowicz, *Set-theoretic Yang-Baxter and reflection equations and quantum group symmetries*. Lett. Math. Phys. 111, 105 (2021).
- [22] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, A. Soloviev, *Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*. Duke Math. J. 100, no. 2, (1999), 169–209
- [23] T. Gateva-Ivanova, *Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, Braces and Symmetric groups*, Adv. Math. 338 (2018), 649–701.
- [24] T. Gateva-Ivanova, P. Cameron, *Multipermutation Solutions of the Yang–Baxter Equation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics volume 309, (2021), 583–621.
- [25] T. Gateva-Ivanova, M. Van den Bergh, *Semigroups of I-type*, Journal of Algebra, 206, (1998) 97–112.
- [26] L. Guareni, L. Vendramin, *Skew braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Math. Comput. 86 (2017), 2519–2534.
- [27] N. Iyudu, *Classification of contraction algebras and pre-Lie algebras associated to braces and trusses*, arXiv:2008.06033 [math.RA].
- [28] P. Jedlička, A. Pilitowska, A. Zamojska-Dzienio, *The retraction relation for biracks*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra Volume 223, Issue 8 (2019), 3594–3610.
- [29] E. Jespers, L. Kubat, Arne Van Antwerpen, L. Vendramin, *Radical and weight of skew braces and their applications to structure groups of solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:2001.10967 [math.RA].
- [30] E. Jespers, L. Kubat, Arne Van Antwerpen, L. Vendramin, *Radical and weight of skew braces and their applications to structure groups of solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:2001.10967 [math.RA].
- [31] E. I. Khukhro, *p -automorphisms of finite p -groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, v.246, Cambridge University, Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [32] A. Koch, P. J. Truman, *Opposite skew left braces and applications*, J. Algebra Volume 546, 15 March 2020, Pages 218–235.
- [33] I. Lau, *An Associative Left Brace is a Ring*, J. Algebra Appl. 19 (09), 2050179.
- [34] V. Lebed and L. Vendramin, *Cohomology and extensions of braces*, Pacific J. Math. 284 (2016), no. 1, 191–212.
- [35] D. Manchon, *A short survey on pre-Lie algebras*, Noncommutative Geometry and Physics: Renormalisation, Motives, Index Theory, (2011), 89–102.
- [36] K. Nejabati Zenouz, *Skew braces and Hopf-Galois structures of Heisenberg type*, J. Algebra 524 (2019) 187–225.
- [37] M.F. Newman, E.A. O’Brien and M.R. Vaughan-Lee, *Groups and Nilpotent Lie rings whose order is the sixth power of a prime*, J. Algebra 278 (2004) 383–401.

- [38] D. Puljić, *Right nilpotency of braces of cardinality p^4* , arXiv:2112.15041v1 [math.RA].
- [39] D. Puljić, A. Smoktunowicz, K. Nejabati-Zenouz, *Some braces of cardinality p^4 and related Hopf-Galois extensions*, to appear in New York J. Math. (also arXiv:2106.13735v1 [math.RA]).
- [40] W. Rump, *Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra Volume 307, (2007), 153–170.
- [41] W. Rump, *The brace of a classical group*, Note Mat. 34 (2014) no. 1, 115–144.
- [42] W. Rump, *Construction of finite braces*, Ann. Comb. 23, (2019), 391–416.
- [43] Agata Smoktunowicz, Alicja Smoktunowicz, *Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and new classes of R -matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 546 (2018), 86–114.
- [44] A. Smoktunowicz, *A note on set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra, Volume 500, 15 (2018), 3–18.
- [45] A. Smoktunowicz, *On Engel groups, nilpotent groups, rings, braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. (2018), Vol 370 (9), 6535–6564.
- [46] A. Smoktunowicz, *A new formula for Lazard’s correspondence for finite braces and pre-Lie algebras*, J. Algebra, Volume 594 (2022) 202–229.
- [47] A. Smoktunowicz, L. Vendramin, *On skew braces (with an appendix by N. Byott and L. Vendramin)*, J. Comb. Algebra, 2(1), 2018, 47–86.
- [48] L. Vendramin, *Problems on left skew braces*, Advances in Group Theory and Applications, 2019 AGTA 7 (2019), pp. 15–37.