

Virial Theorems and Virial Stresses of Micropolar Media

Martin Ostoja-Starzewski

December 17, 2021

Department of Mechanical Science & Engineering
 also Institute for Condensed Matter Theory and Beckman Institute
 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
 Urbana, IL 61801, U.S.A.
 e-mail: martinost@uiuc.edu

Abstract

A generalization of virial theorems and virial stresses to micropolar continuum mechanics is explored. The linear momentum balance in dyadic product with translation leads to (i) the *first virial theorem of micropolar continuum mechanics* involving the infinite-time limit of the kinetic translational energy and (ii) a classical formula for computing the virial force-stress known in molecular dynamics. The angular momentum balance in dyadic product with rotation leads to (i) the *second virial theorem of micropolar continuum mechanics* involving the infinite-time limit of the kinetic rotational energy and (ii) a *virial couple-stress* along with a formula for its computation. The latter stress is also uncovered in the dyadic product of linear momentum balance with rotation. The virial force-stress and virial couple-stress contain, respectively, the Reynolds force-stress and turbulent couple-stress.

1 Introduction

This communication reports a generalization of the virial theorem and virial stress from classical to micropolar continuum mechanics. The study is set in the spatial (Eulerian) description [Eringen, 2001; Lukaszewicz, 1999] in \mathbb{E}^d , $d = 2$ or 3 . For reference, the kinematics of such a continuum is described through a field with two degrees of freedom (u_i, φ_i) and their rates:

$$\text{velocity: } \dot{u}_i; \quad \text{microrotation: } \dot{\varphi}_i. \quad (1)$$

The overdot stands for the material time derivative. Standard index as well as symbolic tensor notations are used.

The local form of linear momentum balance reads

$$\rho \dot{v}_i = \rho f_i + \tau_{ki,k}, \quad (2)$$

where ρ is the mass density, f_i is the body force per unit mass, and τ_{ji} is the (generally non-symmetric) Cauchy force-stress. The latter is related to the force-traction on any surface element specified by the outer normal n_k through $t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} = \tau_{ji}n_j$.

The local form of angular momentum balance, after accounting for (2), reads

$$\rho J \frac{D\dot{\varphi}_i}{Dt} = \rho g_i + \mu_{ki,k} + T_i \quad \text{where} \quad T_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \tau_{jk}, \quad (3)$$

where J is the (micro)inertia tensor of a particle, g_i is the body torque per unit mass, and μ_{ki} is the couple-stress, which is related to the surface moment-traction $m_i^{(\mathbf{n})}$ through $m_i^{(\mathbf{n})} = \mu_{ki}n_k$. If $T_i = 0$, τ_{jk} becomes symmetric, in which case we write σ_{jk} instead. In (2) and henceforth, we work with a *microisotropic* medium, i.e. where $J_{ij} = J\delta_{ij}$.

In general, we consider the material properties to be constant, while the displacement, rotation, force-stress, and couple stress, as well as their derivatives, to be tensor-valued random fields [Malyarenko and Ostoja-Starzewski, 2019]. Denoting by $F(\mathbf{x}, t, \omega)$ a realization of any such random field, it is clearly seen to be parametrized by the (spatial) position \mathbf{x} and time t , where ω is a realization drawn from a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Thus, there are three basic types of averaging:

(i) *Space (volume) averaging* of volume integrals:

$$\overline{F}_V(\mathbf{x}, t, \omega) := \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{B}} F(\mathbf{x}', t, \omega) dV, \quad (4)$$

where $V = |\mathcal{B}|$ is the volume of domain \mathcal{B} having a bounding surface $\partial\mathcal{B}$. In the language of stochastic processes, this is *local averaging* transforming the original random field F into a new, smoother random field \overline{F}_V . In general, \overline{F}_V is a function of \mathbf{x} but, if spatial ergodicity (i.e., equivalence of spatial averaging with time averaging and/or ensemble averaging) is assumed, this dependence vanishes. This situation corresponds to passing from a statistical to a representative volume element (RVE), with the RVE size heavily dependent on the random microstructural properties involved [Ostojia-Starzewski *et al.*, 2016]. In the following, to simplify the notation, we will simply write \overline{F} .

(ii) *Time averaging*, wherein fluctuations observed on a fine time scale get smoothed (smeared) on a coarse time scale;

$$F_T(\mathbf{x}, t, \omega) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F(\mathbf{x}, t', \omega) dt'. \quad (5)$$

In the language of stochastic processes, this is *local averaging* in the time domain, transforming the original random field F into a new, smoother-in-time random field F_T . In general, F_T is still a random function of t but, if temporal ergodicity (i.e., equivalence with space and/or ensemble averaging) is assumed, this dependence vanishes. The latter situation typically implies taking the $T \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

(iii) *Ensemble (statistical) averaging.*

While in either case, one obtains a coarser description than the original one, in the present study only space averaging over arbitrary volumes and time averaging over infinitely long times is considered. The latter operation, called *infinite-time averaging*, is indicated by

$$\{F(\mathbf{x}, t, \omega)\}_\infty = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \{F(\mathbf{x}, t, \omega)\}_T. \quad (6)$$

The reason for considering such a limit as well as the spatial averaging resides in our focus on the exploration of the virial theorem(s) and virial stress(es) in micropolar media. The ensuing study aims to generalize the deterministic exposition of those topics in the setting of classical media [Podio-Guidugli, 2019], whereby we follow a similar line of reasoning. The statistical exposition in the vein of that paper is not discussed here.

2 Consequences of linear momentum balance

First, we take a dyadic product of a test function ϕ_j with (2) and integrate over an arbitrary (and simply-connected) domain \mathcal{B} to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} (\phi_j \rho f_i + \phi_j \tau_{ki,k}) dV = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \phi_j \rho v_i dV. \quad (7)$$

We now consider two choices for the test function.

2.1 Translation as test function

Taking translation as the test function ($\phi_j = x_j$) in (7), we perform these steps

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}} (x_j \rho f_i + x_j \tau_{ki,k}) dV &= \int_{\mathcal{B}} [\rho (x_j v_i)' - \rho (\dot{x}_j v_i)] dV \\ \int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho f_i dV + \int_{\mathcal{B}} [(x_j \tau_{ki})_{,k} - x_{j,k} \tau_{ki}] dV &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho v_i dV \right) - \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\dot{x}_j \rho v_i) dV \\ \int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_j \tau_{ki} n_k dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \tau_{ji} dV &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho v_i dV \right) - V \overline{\rho v_j v_i} \\ \int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_j \tau_{ki} n_k dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \tau_{ji} dV &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho v_i dV \right) - V \overline{\rho v_j v_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Hence, we can write

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho x_j f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_j t_i^{(n)} dS - V \tau_{ji}^* = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho x_j v_i dV \right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\tau_{ji}^* := \overline{\tau_{ji}} - \overline{\rho v_j v_i} \quad (10)$$

is the *virial stress*. The term $\overline{\rho v_j v_i}$ contains $\overline{\rho v_j' v_i'}$, as can be seen from the Reynolds decomposition ($v_j = \overline{v_j} + v_j'$ with $\overline{v_j'} = 0$). Depending on a convention, $\overline{\rho v_j v_i}$ or $\overline{\rho v_j' v_i'}$ (with a plus or minus sign) is called the Reynolds stress. From the standpoint of turbulent flows, τ_{ji}^* is the *modified stress* in the sense that the

linear momentum, angular momentum, and energy balances are unchanged in form upon volume averaging [Ostoja-Starzewski, 2021].

Going from continuum to discrete systems such as molecular dynamics, (10) is consistent with the very well-known prescription for computation of the instantaneous volume-averaged virial (generally non-symmetric) force-stress

$$\tau_{ji}^* := \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{B}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{B}} \left(x_i^{(l)} - x_i^{(k)} \right) f_j^{(kl)} - m^{(k)} \left(v_j^{(k)} - \bar{v}_j \right) \left(v_i^{(k)} - \bar{v}_i \right) \right], \quad (11)$$

where

- k and l are particles in the domain \mathcal{B} ,
- $m^{(k)}$ is the mass of particle k ,
- $v_j^{(k)}$ is the j -th component of the velocity of particle k ,
- \bar{v}_j is the j -th component of the average velocity of particles in the volume,
- $x_i^{(k)}$ is the i -th component of the position of particle k , and
- $f_j^{(kl)}$ is the j -th component of the force applied on particle k by particle l .

No symmetry of the Cauchy stress is required in the above derivation. Also, (10) does not imply the symmetry of τ_{ji}^* unless all the body torques and couple-stresses are zero: this is seen by applying (3) on the lengthscale $L = \sqrt[d]{V}$ ($d = 2$ or 3) on which the space averaging is carried out. This would imply writing $\rho J_{ij} \frac{D\phi_j}{Dt} = \rho g_i + \mu_{ki,k}^* + \epsilon_{ijk} \tau_{jk}^*$, where μ_{ki}^* is given by (26) below. In essence, the formula (2.5) alone is not sufficient to judge whether this force-stress will be symmetric or not.

Taking the trace of (9) we get:

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho x_i f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_i t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \tau_{ii} dV = \dot{W}_u(\mathcal{B}) - 2K_u(\mathcal{B}), \quad (12)$$

where

$$W_u(\mathcal{B}) := V \overline{\rho x_i v_i}, \quad K_u(\mathcal{B}) := \frac{V}{2} \overline{\rho v_i v_i}, \quad (13)$$

with the latter being the *kinetic translational energy*.

Performing the infinite-time averaging (6), with the argument given in [Podio-Guidugli, 2019], the above becomes

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho x_i f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_i t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \tau_{ii} dV \right\}_{\infty} = -2 \{K_u(\mathcal{B})\}_{\infty}, \quad (14)$$

which, in view of Section 3 below, is called the *first virial theorem of micropolar continuum mechanics*. The arguments accompanying this infinite time limit include the assumption of kinematic uniform or periodic boundary conditions (Costanzo *et al.*, 2005).

Taking the dual vector of (9) we find two relations to be satisfied by the skew-symmetric part of the Cauchy stress tensor and, essentially, linking all the

quantities appearing in (2) and (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\rho J \ddot{\varphi}_k - \rho g_k - \mu_{ik,i}) dV &= \int_{\mathcal{B}} T_k dV \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho \epsilon_{kji} x_j f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \epsilon_{kji} x_j t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho \epsilon_{kji} x_j \dot{v}_i dV. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the special case of micropolar effects in (2)-(3) being absent, $W_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ and $K_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ in (22), so that (14) simplifies to the virial theorem of classical continuum mechanics

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho x_i f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_i t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \sigma_{ii} dV \right\}_\infty = -2 \{K(\mathcal{B})\}_\infty. \quad (16)$$

Note: there are various ways for couple-stress effects to arise, typically involving some internal material structure, and these include:

- presence of moment interactions in granular media or suspensions, e.g. [Cowin, 1974; Eringen, 2001; Vardoulakis, 2019];
 - presence of defects, phase boundaries, e.g. [Chen *et al.*, 2006; Rigelesaiyin *et al.* 2018];
 - homogenization of random media, e.g. [Trovalusci *et al.*, 2015];
 - fractal media in which the fractal structure (homogenized in the vein of dimensional regularization) is anisotropic [Li & Ostoja-Starzewski, 2011].
- The symmetric part of (9) was discussed in [Podio-Guidugli, 2019].

2.2 Rotation as test function

Taking rotation as the test function ($\phi_j = x_j$) in (7), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_j \rho f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \varphi_j t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_{j,k} \tau_{ki} dV + \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho \dot{\varphi}_j v_i dV = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho \varphi_j v_i dV \right) \quad (17)$$

Multiplying this equation by J yields

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J \varphi_j f_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} J \varphi_j t_i^{(\mathbf{n})} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} J \varphi_{j,k} \tau_{ki} dV - \left(- \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J \dot{\varphi}_j v_i dV \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J \varphi_j v_i dV \right). \quad (18)$$

The new term in parenthesis on the left-hand side, $-V \overline{\rho J \dot{\varphi}_j v_i}$, will independently arise in Section 3.2.

3 Consequences of angular momentum balance

Consider a dyadic product of test function ϕ_j with the vector (3) and integrate over \mathcal{B} to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \phi_j (\rho g_i + \mu_{ki,k} + \epsilon_{ink} \tau_{nk}) dV = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \phi_j \rho J \dot{\varphi}_i dV. \quad (19)$$

Again, there are two basic options for choosing the test function.

3.1 Rotation as test function

On account of the Green-Gauss Theorem and taking rotation as the test function ($\phi_j = \varphi_j$), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_j \rho g_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \varphi_j m_i^{(n)} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_{j,k} \mu_{ki} dV + \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_j \epsilon_{ink} \tau_{nk} dV \\ = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J \varphi_j \dot{\varphi}_i dV \right) - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J \dot{\varphi}_j \dot{\varphi}_i dV. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Taking the trace of (20) we obtain (with T_i defined in (3)₂)

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_i \rho g_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \varphi_i m_i^{(n)} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_{i,k} \mu_{ki} dV + \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_i T_i dV = \dot{W}_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) - 2K_\varphi(\mathcal{B}), \quad (21)$$

where

$$W_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) := V \overline{\rho J \varphi_j \dot{\varphi}_i}, \quad K_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) := \frac{V}{2} \overline{\rho J \dot{\varphi}_i \dot{\varphi}_i}, \quad (22)$$

with the latter being the *kinetic rotational energy*; compare with (13).

Under the infinite-time averaging (6), we find

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho \varphi_i g_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \varphi_i m_i^{(n)} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_{i,k} \mu_{ki} dV + \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_i T_i dV \right\}_\infty = -2 \{K_\varphi(\mathcal{B})\}_\infty, \quad (23)$$

which we call the *second virial theorem of micropolar continuum mechanics*.

3.2 Translation as test function

Considering $\phi_j = x_j$ in (19) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho g_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_j m_i^{(n)} dS - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mu_{ji} dV + \int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \epsilon_{ink} \tau_{nk} dV = \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J x_j \dot{\varphi}_i dV \right) - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J \dot{x}_j \dot{\varphi}_i dV, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which can be written as

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j \rho g_i dV + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} x_j m_i^{(n)} dS - V \mu_{ji}^* + \int_{\mathcal{B}} x_j T_i dV = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho J x_j \dot{\varphi}_i dV \right), \quad (25)$$

where

$$\mu_{ji}^* := \overline{\mu_{ji}} - \overline{\rho J \dot{\varphi}_j v_i} \quad (26)$$

is the *virial couple-stress*. As can be seen from the Reynolds decomposition of φ_j and v_i , the term $-\overline{\rho J \dot{\varphi}_j v_i}$ contains

$$S_{ji} := -\overline{\rho J \dot{\varphi}'_j v'_i}. \quad (27)$$

which was called the *turbulent couple-stress* in [Ostoja-Starzewski, 2021]. No microisotropy restriction was assumed there, so the formula for S_{ji} was a bit more general. This couple-stress may be thought of as an analogue of the Reynolds stress $-\overline{\rho v'_j v'_i}$ brought up in Section 2.1.

Interestingly, $-\overline{\rho J \dot{\varphi}_j v_i}$ appeared via a completely different route in (18). As discussed in [Ostojja–Starzewski, 2021], from the standpoint of turbulent flows, μ_{ji}^* is the modified stress in the sense that, if it replaces μ_{ji} along with the heat flux and internal energy density being also replaced by modified expressions, then the angular momentum and energy balance equations are unchanged in form upon volume averaging.

Again, going from continuum to discrete systems such as the molecular/particle dynamics, (26) gives a prescription for computation of the instantaneous volume-averaged virial couple-stress

$$\mu_{ji}^* := \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{B}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{B}} (x_i^{(l)} - x_i^{(k)}) m_j^{(kl)} - J^{(k)} (\dot{\varphi}_j^{(k)} - \overline{\dot{\varphi}_j}) (v_i^{(k)} - \overline{v_i}) \right], \quad (28)$$

where

- k and l are particles in the domain \mathcal{B} ,
- $J^{(k)}$ is the mass moment of inertia of particle k ,
- $v_j^{(k)}$ is the j -th component of the velocity of particle k ,
- $\overline{v_j}$ is the j -th component of the average velocity of particles in the volume,
- $\dot{\varphi}_i^{(k)}$ is the i -th component of the velocity of particle k ,
- $\overline{\dot{\varphi}_i}$ is the i -th component of the average velocity of particles in the volume,
- $x_i^{(k)}$ is the i -th component of the position of particle k , and
- $m_j^{(kl)}$ is the j -th component of the moment applied on particle k by particle l .

Note the analogy between the formulas (28) and (11).

4 Conclusions

This communication generalizes the virial theorem and virial stress to micropolar continuum mechanics. The study hinges on exploring the dyadic products of linear and angular momentum balances with translational and rotational test functions. In generic terms, the results are summarized as follows.

- The linear momentum balance with translation leads to:
 - (i) A virial theorem known in classical continuum theories involving the infinite-time limit of the kinetic translational energy. It is called the *first virial theorem of micropolar continuum mechanics*.
 - (ii) A classical formula for computing the virial force-stress known in molecular dynamics.
- The angular momentum balance with rotation leads to a new virial theorem involving the infinite-time limit of the kinetic rotational energy. It is called the *second virial theorem of micropolar continuum mechanics*.

- The angular momentum balance with translation leads to a *virial couple-stress* along with a formula for its computation. The same stress arises independently in the linear momentum balance with rotation.
- The virial couple-stress contains the *turbulent couple-stress*, just like the virial force-stress contains the Reynolds stress.
- The virial force-stress and couple-stress formulas do not require ‘infinitely’ large volumes (on the RVE level) and can be used to assess tensor-valued random fields on mesoscale levels as in [Ostoja-Starzewski and Laudani [2020].

These results have applicability in computational studies as well as a stepping-stone to micropolar extensions of various complex phenomena such as presented in, say, [Ganghoffer, 2015; Chen and Diaz, 2016, 2018].

Acknowledgment

Comments by two anonymous reviewers are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Chen, Y., Lee, J. and Xiong, L. [2006] ”Stresses and strains at nano-/micro scales,” *J. Mech. Mater. Struct.* **1**(4), 705-723.
- Chen, Y. and Diaz, A. [2016] ”Local momentum and heat fluxes in transient transport processes and inhomogeneous systems,” *Phys. Rev. E* **94**(5), 053309.
- Chen, Y. and Diaz, A. [2018] ”Physical foundation and consistent formulation of atomic-level fluxes in transport processes,” *Phys. Rev. E* **98**(5), 052113.
- Costanzo, F., Gray, G.L. and Andia, P.C. [2005] ”On the definitions of effective stress and deformation gradient for use in MD: Hill’s macro-homogeneity and the virial theorem,” *International Journal of Engineering Science* **43**, 533–555.
- Cowin, S.C. [1974] ”The theory of polar fluids,” *Advances in Applied Mechanics* **14**, 279-347.
- Eringen, A.C. [2001] *Microcontinuum Field Theories II: Fluent Media*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Ganghoffer, J.-F. [2015] ”Spatial and material stress tensors in continuum mechanics of growing solid bodies,” *MEMOCS* **3**(4), 341-363.
- Li, J. and Ostoja-Starzewski, M. [2011] ”Micropolar continuum mechanics of fractal media,” *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* (A.C. Eringen special issue) **49**, 1302-1310.
- Lukaszewicz, G. [1999] *Micropolar Fluids: Theory and Applications*. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- Malyarenko, A. and Ostoja-Starzewski, M. [2019] *Tensor-Valued Random Fields for Continuum Physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ostoja-Starzewski, M., Kale, S., Karimi, P., Malyarenko, A., Raghavan, B., Ranganathan, S.I. and J. Zhang, J. [2016] ”Scaling to RVE in random media,” *Advances in Applied Mechanics* **49** (eds. S.P.A. Bordas and D.S. Balint), 111-211.

Ostoja-Starzewski, M. and Laudani, R. [2020] "Violations of the Clausius-Duhem inequality in Couette flows of granular media," *Proc. R. Soc. A* **476**: 20200207.

Ostoja-Starzewski, M. [2021] "Averaging of turbulent micropolar media: Turbulent couple-stress, heat flux, and energy," *ZAMP* **72**:106.

Podio-Guidugli, P. [2018] "The virial theorem: A pocket primer," *J. Elast.* **137**, 219-235.

Rigelesaiyin, J., Diaz, A., Li, W., Xiong, L., Chen, Y. [2018] "Asymmetry of the atomic-level stress tensor in homogeneous and inhomogeneous materials," *Proc. R. Soc. A* **474**: 20180155.

Trovalusci, P., Ostoja-Starzewski, M., De Bellis, M.L., Murrari, A. [2015] "Scale-dependent homogenization of random composites as micropolar continua," *Europ. J. Mech.-A/Solids* **49**, 396-407.

Vardoulakis, I. [2019] *Cosserat Continuum Mechanics: with Applications to Granular Media* (Springer Science & Business Media, Basel).