

Mass distributions for the Kepler problem

M. Grigorescu

The regularities in the structure of the planetary system, expressed by the Titius-Bode law, can be accounted by using a more general formula, derived not by fit but from a logarithmic integrality constraint on the areolar velocity. This work presents the elementary adiabatic invariant used in constraint, the new formula, and applications to the orbit spacing, numbering and mass distributions for planets and the Jupiter satellites.

Dedicated to the anniversary of
450 years since the birth of Johannes Kepler
Anno M. M. XXI.

1 Introduction

Regularities observed in the structure of celestial many-body systems, such as the spacings between the planetary orbits, or the spiral branching in the Galaxy, are still a puzzle for the theory [1] p. 619, despite the well known expressions of the interactions involved.

In *Mysterium Cosmographicum*, (Tübingen, 1596), Kepler has tried to relate the intervals between the planetary orbits studied in his time, to the five perfect solids: tetrahedron (\mathcal{T}), cube (\mathcal{C}), octahedron (\mathcal{O}), dodecahedron (\mathcal{D}), icosahedron (\mathcal{I}). Thus, each such solid provides a ratio¹ $\rho = d_M/d_m$, where $d_{M(m)}$ is the maximum (minimum) distance from the surface to the symmetry center. The ordering $[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}]$ yields a sequence $[\rho] = [1.73, 1.26, 1.26, 3, 1.73]$, while from the average orbital radii one obtains² $[\rho_{obs}] = [1.84, 1.38, 1.52, 3.42, 1.83]$, (or $[1.48, 1.33, 1.37, 2.97, 1.57]$ from Kepler's data on the "inter-planetary shells").

A more precise agreement is provided by the empirical rule

$$R_n = r_E(0.4 + 0.3 \cdot 2^n) \quad , n = -\infty, 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (1)$$

found by J. K. Titius (1772), and re-discovered by J. E. Bode (1776), for the average planetary radius R_n , where n is an integer index for the planet, and $R_1 = r_E = 149.6 \cdot 10^6$ km is the observed value for the Earth.

The Titius-Bode law (1) reproduces well the data for most planets, showing that the orbit spacing is not arbitrary, but it provides no "ordering principle", or indications about suitable extensions to the periodic motions in other central fields. The purpose of this work is to discuss a more general formula [2], derived from an "integrality" constraint on the areolar velocity. The elementary adiabatic invariant, specific to the Kepler problem, used in this approach, is presented in Section 2. The integrality constraint is given in Section 3, with applications extending to the planetary system the previous considerations on the Jupiter satellites ([2], Appendix C). Concluding remarks are summarized in Section 4.

2 The elementary adiabatic invariant

A point-like, nonrelativistic scalar particle of mass m , described by the canonical coordinates (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) on the momentum phase-space $M = T^*\mathbb{R}^3$, placed in the central potential $V(\mathbf{q}) = -\eta/|\mathbf{q}|$, has the Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \frac{\eta}{|\mathbf{q}|} \quad . \quad (2)$$

With respect to the Poisson bracket $\{*,*\}$, the dynamical symmetry algebra \mathfrak{g}_H of H ,

$$\mathfrak{g}_H = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(M) ; \{f, H\} = 0\}$$

¹Highly regarded by Kepler was also the "golden ratio" $\tau = 1/(\tau - 1) = 1.618\dots$, related not only to proportions in the polyhedra \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I} (e.g. L. Pacioli, *De Divina Proportione*, (1509)), or artworks, but also to the Fibonacci sequence or the logarithmic spiral.

²Neglecting the asteroid belt between the orbits of Mars and Jupiter. This belt at $2.9r_E$ introduces a new interval, and the large ratio $3.42 (\approx R_4/R_2$ from (1)) is in fact the product between 1.91 and 1.79.

is generated by the 3 components of the orbital angular momentum vector $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}$, and 3 components of the Runge-Lenz vector $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{p} + m\eta\mathbf{q}/|\mathbf{q}|$, such that [3]

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{L} = 0 \quad , \quad \mathbf{A}^2 - 2mH\mathbf{L}^2 = m^2\eta^2 \quad , \quad \{\mathbf{A}, H\} = \{\mathbf{L}, H\} = 0 \quad ,$$

and

$$\{L_i, L_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk}L_k \quad , \quad \{L_i, A_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk}A_k \quad , \quad \{A_i, A_j\} = -2mH\epsilon_{ijk}L_k \quad .$$

These relations indicate that

$$\mathfrak{g}_H = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{so}(4, \mathbb{R}) & \text{if } H < 0 \\ \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) & \text{if } H > 0 \end{cases} \quad .$$

For an ensemble of N non-interacting, identical particles, placed in the potential V , the distribution function f on M , normalized to N [4], satisfies the Liouville equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \cdot \nabla f - \nabla V \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f = 0 \quad . \quad (3)$$

A convenient way to solve this equation is by using the Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, t)$ in momentum,

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \int d^3p \, e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) \quad . \quad (4)$$

Thus, if $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ is a solution of (3), than its Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, t)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \tilde{f} - \frac{i}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{f} + i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\nabla V) \tilde{f} = 0 \quad . \quad (5)$$

Various local observables of interest, such as the particle density $n(\mathbf{q}, t)$, or the current density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q}, t)$, can be expressed by using \tilde{f} and its derivatives at $\mathbf{k} = 0$, by

$$n(\mathbf{q}, t) \equiv \int d^3p \, f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \tilde{f}(\mathbf{q}, 0, t) \quad , \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q}, t) \equiv \int d^3p \, \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = -\frac{i}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{q}, 0, t) \quad . \quad (7)$$

In general, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ is specified by the infinite series of partial derivatives of \tilde{f} with respect to \mathbf{k} at $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Though, some solutions can be defined by using only $n(\mathbf{q}, t)$, or a simple functional of $n(\mathbf{q}, t)$. In particular, such functionals remaining unchanged during time evolution will be called coherent.

An important class of coherent solutions for (3) is provided by the "action distributions"

$$f_0(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = n(\mathbf{q}, t) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \nabla S(\mathbf{q}, t)) \quad , \quad (8)$$

remaining all the time a product between $n(\mathbf{q}, t)$ and $\delta(\mathbf{p} - \nabla S(\mathbf{q}, t))$. The two real functions of coordinates and time, $n(\mathbf{q}, t)$ and $S(\mathbf{q}, t)$ are related by the Hamiltonian flow, because for the Fourier transform

$$\tilde{f}_0(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, t) = n(\mathbf{q}, t) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla S(\mathbf{q}, t)} \quad , \quad (9)$$

(5) reduces to the coupled equations [4]

$$\partial_t \mathbf{n} = -\nabla \mathbf{j} \quad , \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \nabla [\partial_t S + \frac{(\nabla S)^2}{2m} + V] = 0 \quad , \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{j} \equiv \mathbf{n} \nabla S / m$ is the current density (7). Thus, presuming the existence of a "momentum potential" $S(\mathbf{q}, t)$, we get both the continuity and the one-particle Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

For the central potential there are three functions in involution ([1], p. 301), H , \mathbf{L}^2 , and L_z , such that the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \quad , \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\frac{\eta}{|\mathbf{q}|^3} \mathbf{q} \quad , \quad (12)$$

is completely integrable³. In the case $H = E < 0$, the integration of (11) can be reduced to the calculus of orbits for a 4-dimensional harmonic oscillator having the Hamiltonian [6]

$$H_{4d}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}) = \frac{\tilde{P}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 \tilde{Q}^2}{2} \quad , \quad (13)$$

where (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}) are the usual Cartesian coordinates on $T^*\mathbb{R}^4$. Introducing spherical coordinates $R, \theta, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ instead of $\tilde{Q} \equiv (Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$, the operator $\nabla_4 \equiv (\partial_0, \nabla_Q)$, $\partial_0 \equiv \partial/\partial Q_0$, from the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation $H_{4d}(\tilde{Q}, \nabla_4 S) = \epsilon$,

$$\frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_4 S)^2 + \frac{m\omega^2 \tilde{Q}^2}{2} = \epsilon \quad , \quad (14)$$

can be written in the form

$$\nabla_4 = \mathbf{e}_R \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + \frac{1}{R} \nabla_{Y_4} \quad , \quad R = \sqrt{\tilde{Q}^2} \quad ,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_R \partial_R$, ∇_{Y_4}/R are the radial and angular components, respectively. By changing the variable R to $r = R^2$, and then dividing (14) to r , we get

$$\frac{1}{2m} (2 \frac{\partial_r S}{r} \mathbf{e}_R + \frac{\nabla_{Y_4} S}{r})^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{r} \quad . \quad (15)$$

The angle variables $\theta, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ are coordinates on the 3d sphere $S^3 \simeq SU(2) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, and can be expressed in terms of the Euler angles φ, θ, ψ for the rigid body [7]. Moreover, by taking S independent of ψ , $\nabla_{Y_4} S/2$ reduces to the usual angular part $\nabla_Y S$ of ∇S in \mathbb{R}^3 . In this case, (15) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2m} (\nabla S)^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{4r} = -\frac{m\omega^2}{8} \quad , \quad (16)$$

³Some of the angles θ_{lm} defined by $\cos \theta_{lm} = m/\sqrt{l(l+1)}$ for quantum values of \mathbf{L}^2, L_z are also characteristic angles in symmetric polyhedra such as $\mathcal{C}(l=1)$, $\mathcal{O}(l=4)$, $\mathcal{D}(l=2, 5)$ [5].

which is the same as the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $H(\mathbf{q}, \nabla S) = E$ for the Hamiltonian (2), with

$$\eta = \frac{\epsilon}{4} \quad , \quad |\mathbf{q}| = r \quad , \quad E = -\frac{m\omega^2}{8} \quad . \quad (17)$$

The ratio $J = \epsilon/\omega = \eta\sqrt{2m/\omega} = \eta\sqrt{2m/\omega}$ is an adiabatic invariant, and for a circular orbit in \mathbb{R}^3 of radius r , one obtains $E_r = -\eta/2r$ and $J_r = 2\sqrt{m\eta r} = 2L_r$, where $L = |\mathbf{L}|$. For the gravitational potential the parameter $\eta = m\gamma_G M_o$ depends on the constant $\gamma_G = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ N}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{kg}^2$ and the mass M_o of the central body (e.g. the Sun for the planets or Jupiter for its satellites). The particle velocity⁴ $v = \sqrt{\gamma_G M_o/r}$ decreases with r , and in principle attains the speed of light c at the (unrealistic small) radius $r_c = R_G/2$, where $R_G = 2\gamma_G M_o/c^2$ is the Schwarzschild radius for M_o . Thus, $J_c = 2\sqrt{m\eta r_c} = mcR_G$ can be considered as an elementary adiabatic invariant⁵. The angular momentum L_r takes the value J_c at $r = 2R_G$.

3 Orbit numbering, spacing, and mass distributions

A many-particle system described by (3), localized in the volume $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, has the angular momentum

$$\mathbf{L} = m \int_V d^3q \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q}, t) \quad , \quad (18)$$

where \mathbf{j} is the current density (7). The regularities inspiring the Kepler's geometric "shell model", or the Titius-Bode law, can be accounted for by presuming that, in certain conditions, a planar "condensed" state of equilibrium may appear, with massive bodies (planets, satellites), rotating⁶ on orbits selected by the constraint [2]

$$\log_2\left(\frac{L_n}{J_c}\right)^3 = n \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad , \quad (19)$$

where $L_n = \sqrt{M_p \eta r_n}$ is the orbital angular momentum, and $J_c = M_p c R_G$ the elementary adiabatic invariant, for a body of arbitrary mass M_p . Because $L_n/2M_p$ is the areolar velocity, independent of M_p , (19) yields for the n 'th circular orbit the radius [2]

$$r_n = R_G 2^{1+2n/3} \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad . \quad (20)$$

In the case of Jupiter, $R_G = 2.82 \text{ m}$, and the (1 bar) "surface" radius $R_J = 71492 \text{ km}$ is between $r_{35} = 59.6 \cdot 10^3 \text{ km}$ and $r_{36} = 94.5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ km}$. As indicated in Table 1, the largest satellites: Io, Europa, Ganimede, Callisto can be associated with the orbital numbers $n = 39, 40, 41, 42$, because only in this case the calculated values (r_n) are close to the observed ones, (r_{obs}).

⁴Given by Kepler's third law, found in the spring of 1618 and published in *Harmonices Mundi*, (1619).

⁵For the Coulomb potential $V_C = -\eta_C/r$ in the hydrogen atom, r_c is the classical radius of the electron, and $J_c = 2\alpha\hbar$, where $\alpha = 1/137$ is the fine structure constant.

⁶Effects of fluctuations in angular momentum due to neutrino emission have been discussed in [8].

Table 1. Comparison between the observed orbital radius (r_{obs}) of the Jupiter satellites and the calculated value (r_n); M_s is the satellite mass.

Satellite/n	Io/39	Eu/40	Ga/41	Ca/42
$r_{obs}/10^3\text{km}$	421.6	670.8	1070	1882
$r_n/10^3\text{km}$	378.5	600.8	953.7	1514
r_{obs}/r_n	1.11	1.12	1.12	1.24
$M_s/10^{20}\text{kg}$	723	470	1550	966

For the Sun $R_G = 2.95$ km, the surface radius $R_S = 6.9 \cdot 10^5$ km is between $r_{25} = 6.14 \cdot 10^5$ km and $r_{26} = 9.7 \cdot 10^5$ km, and the known planets (including also the asteroid ring A), can be assigned to $35 \leq n \leq 45$, (Table 2). The calculated values r_n are in reasonable agreement with the astronomical data for all planets (e.g. the ratio r_{obs}/r_n is between 0.93 and 1.14), excepting Jupiter, for which r_n is close to $(r_{40} + r_{41})/2$, and it was calculated using $n = 40.5$.

Table 2. Comparison between the observed average planetary orbital radius (r_{obs}), and the calculated values: (R_{TB}) by the Titius-Bode formula (1), and (r_n) by (20), using as unit r_E ; M_p/M_E is the ratio between the mass of the planet and the Earth's mass M_E .

Planet/n	$M_e/35$	V/36	E/37	$M_a/38$	A/39	J/40,41	S/42	U/43	N/44	P/45
r_{obs}/r_E	0.39	0.72	1	1.52	2.9	5.2	9.54	19.18	30.06	39.7
R_{TB}/r_E	0.4	0.7	1	1.6	2.8	5.2	10	19.6	38.8	77.2
r_n/r_E	0.42	0.66	1.05	1.67	2.65	5.3	10.6	16.8	26.7	42.4
r_{obs}/r_n	0.93	1.1	0.95	0.91	1.1	0.98	0.9	1.14	1.12	0.93
M_p/M_E	0.055	0.85	1	0.107	~ 0.1	318	95.2	14.53	17.16	0.083

Apart from the scale factor⁷ R_G , a peculiar common trait for these systems is the mass-weighted average \bar{n} of the orbital number,

$$\bar{n} = \frac{\sum_n n M_n}{\sum_n M_n} , \quad (21)$$

where M_n denotes the mass of the body assigned to the radius r_n , (M_s in Table 1 and M_p in Table 2). Thus, one obtains $\bar{n} = 41$ for the planetary system (considering $M_{40} = M_{41} = M_{Jupiter}/2$), and $\bar{n} = 40.7$ for the satellites of Table 1.

⁷Table 1 shows that for Jupiter this factor needs a small increase, possibly because $R_J > r_{35}$.

4 Concluding remarks

The present attempt to understand the regularities observed in the spacing of planetary orbits is based on the integrality constraint (19), $L_n = J_c \cdot 2^{n/3}$, where L_n is the angular momentum. By contrast to \hbar in the Bohr quantization condition $L_n = n\hbar$ for the atomic electrons, J_c depends on mass, and (19) reduces to the condition (20) for the orbital radius. Nevertheless, the integer n seems to be relevant for the overall mass distribution⁸ as the weighted average (21) is 41 both for the Jupiter satellites and for the planetary system. Though, the orbits 40,41 around Jupiter carry a satellite each, while the ones around the Sun are "collapsing" into the orbit of Jupiter ($n = 40.5$). Worth noting here is also the large interval of empty orbits ($25 < n < 35$), between the surface of the Sun and the first visible planet. In the case of Jupiter the orbits 36, 37, 38 seem to be empty⁹, but one may speculate that the 16350 km wide, quasi-stable oval structure, known as the Great Red Spot, could evolve¹⁰ towards a "preformed satellite", related to the first orbit ($n = 35$), below the 1 bar level.

References

- [1] R. Abraham, J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Benjamin/Cummings, New York (1978).
- [2] M. Grigorescu, *Quantum distributions for the plane rotator*, Physica A **519** 313 (2019).
- [3] A. O. Barut, R. Raczka, *Theory of Group Representations and Applications*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa (1980).
- [4] M. Grigorescu, *Classical probability waves*, Physica A **387** 6497 (2008).
- [5] G. S. Anagnostatos, *The geometry of the quantization of angular momenta (l, s, j) in fields of central symmetry*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **28** 573 (1980).
- [6] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Geometric Asymptotics*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **14**, American Mathematical Society (1977).
- [7] M. Grigorescu, *Coherent distributions for the rigid rotator*, J. Math. Phys. **57** 062702 (2016).
- [8] S. Hayakawa, *Neutrino and gravity*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **21** 324 (1959).

⁸In atoms the n 'th atomic shell may contain at most $2n^2$ electrons.

⁹A small satellite, Amalthea, has an orbital radius $\approx 1.2r_{37}$.

¹⁰The longitudinal extent of the oval decreases, and in 20 years from now it may become circular.