Equiangular lines via matrix projection

Igor Balla^{*}

Abstract

In 1973, Lemmens and Seidel posed the problem of determining the maximum number of equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r with angle $\arccos(\alpha)$ and gave a partial answer in the regime $r \leq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$. At the other extreme where r is at least exponential in $1/\alpha$, recent breakthroughs have led to an almost complete resolution of this problem. In this paper, we introduce a new method for obtaining upper bounds which unifies and improves upon previous approaches, thereby bridging the gap between the aforementioned regimes, as well as significantly extending or improving all previously known bounds when $r \geq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$. Our method is based on orthogonal projection of matrices with respect to the Frobenius inner product and it also yields the first extension of the Alon–Boppana theorem to dense graphs, with equality for strongly regular graphs corresponding to $\binom{r+1}{2}$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r . Applications of our method in the complex setting will be discussed as well.

1 Introduction

Given n lines l_1, \ldots, l_n passing through the origin in \mathbb{R}^r , we say that they are equiangular if there exists a common angle $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ such that for all $i \neq j$, the acute angle between l_i and l_j is θ . If we choose a unit vector v_i along each line l_i , an equivalent definition is that $|\langle v_i, v_j \rangle| = \cos(\theta)$ for all $i \neq j$, and this latter definition extends naturally to complex lines in \mathbb{C}^r . Large sets of equiangular lines arise in a wide variety of different areas including elliptic geometry [10, 41], the theory of polytopes [20], frame theory [15, 42, 44], and perhaps more surprisingly, quantum information theory [16, 17, 34, 35, 63, 67, 74] with connections to algebraic number theory and Hilbert's twelfth problem [4, 5, 8]. Moreover, the question of determining the maximum number of equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r is considered to be one of the founding problems of algebraic graph theory [37].

In this paper, we will focus on the more refined question of determining $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$, the maximum number of equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r with common angle $\arccos(\alpha)$. When $r \leq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$, this question is fairly well understood due to the relative bound of Lemmens and Seidel [54], which can be derived via the Delsarte linear program. Moreover, the relative bound is tight for equiangular tight frames, of which there are a multitude of known examples [29]. On the other hand, when r is at least exponential in $1/\alpha^2$, we have $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq 2r - 2$ due to our recent work together with Dräxler, Keevash, and Sudakov [6]. Further improvements were obtained by Jiang and Polyanskii [49], culminating in the work of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50] who determined $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ completely when r is at least doubly exponential in k/α , where k is the corresponding spectral radius order, see Section 1.1 for more information. The main limitation preventing one from extending these recent results is that they rely on Ramsey's theorem from graph theory. The only other bounds known for general α were obtained by Yu [73] and Glazyrin and Yu [36] using linear/semidefinite programming via Gegenbauer polynomials.

^{*}Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel. Email: iballa1990@gmail.com. Part of this research was supported by Tel Aviv University and SNSF Project 184522.

In order to overcome the limitations of the Ramsey-theoretic approach, we introduce a method based on orthogonal projection of matrices with respect to the Frobenius inner product, thereby obtaining a unified framework for deriving all of the known upper bounds on $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ which hold for general α . Applying our method, we obtain bounds which bridge the gap between the relative bound and the exact bound of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50]. Moreover, our results substantially extend or improve all previously known bounds in the regime $r \geq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$ and we also determine $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ completely whenever r is at least doubly exponential in $k \log(1/\alpha)$, where k is the corresponding spectral radius order.

Since any regular graph on n vertices with spectral gap less than n/2 gives rise to a family of equiangular lines, our method also yields lower bounds on the second largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of any such graph, with equality for strongly regular graphs corresponding to $\binom{r+1}{2}$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r . Prior to this work, such bounds were only known for sufficiently sparse graphs (diameter ≥ 4) via the Alon–Boppana theorem and so our results may be seen as the first extension of this theorem to dense graphs.

We also consider the more general question of determining $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$, the maximum number of complex equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r with common Hermitian angle $\operatorname{arccos}(\alpha)$, see Section 1.2 for more information. As in the real case, the relative bound holds so that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$ is well understood for $r \leq 1/\alpha^2 - 1$, but no upper bounds are known in the complementary regime $r \geq 1/\alpha^2 - 1$. Our method generalizes to this setting, allowing us to obtain such bounds. In particular, we generalize the result of Yu [73] to complex lines and therefore completely determine $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$ for all $1/\alpha^2 - 1 \leq r \leq (1 - o(1))/\alpha^3$ provided that $1/\alpha^2 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and Zauner's conjecture holds in dimension $1/\alpha^2 - 1$. We also show that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$ is linear in r when α is fixed. To obtain these results, we derive a geometric inequality that is tight for collections of r^2 equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r , which may be of independent interest in quantum information theory. Additionally, the relative bound is known to follow from the first Welch bound for unit vectors v_1, \ldots, v_n , which we are also able to strengthen using our method.

Our method relies on the simple fact that in an inner product space, the length of a vector cannot increase after an orthogonal projection onto a subspace. This argument has the benefit of yielding tight bounds for collections of lines meeting a corresponding absolute bound, since in such cases the projection becomes the identity map. For this paper, we always take our inner product space to be a space of matrices, i.e. 2-tensors, but we note that by considering higher order symmetric tensors with respect to the Frobenius inner product, one can derive the classical linear programming bounds for spherical codes due to Delsarte, Goethals, and Seidel [23, 24], see the discussion at the end of Section 2. Nonetheless, we do not need the full power of this approach for the results in this paper. Indeed, our bounds may also be derived via a special case of the Schur product theorem, i.e. the fact that the entry-wise square of a positive semidefinite matrix is still positive semidefinite, see the second remark following the proof of Theorem 14.

Structure of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.1, Section 1.2, and Section 1.3 we give an introduction to and a summary of our main results on real equiangular lines, complex equiangular lines, and eigenvalues of regular graphs, respectively. Section 1.4 contains relevant notation and definitions that are used throughout the paper. In Section 2, we use orthogonal projection of matrices to derive a real and a complex version of a geometric inequality which underlies the results obtained in this paper, as well as an improvement to the first Welch bound. Then in Section 3 and Section 4, we apply our new inequalities to obtain our main results on real and complex equiangular lines, respectively. In Section 5, we use orthogonal projection of matrices to obtain Alon–Boppana-type bounds for regular graphs. Finally, in Section 6 we give some concluding remarks and directions for future research.

1.1 Real equiangular lines

Define $N^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ to be the maximum number of equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r . It is not hard to show that $N^{\mathbb{R}}(2) = 3$, with the optimal configuration being familiar to anyone who has cut a pizza pie into 6 equal slices using 3 cuts. In 1948, Haantjes [40] showed that $N^{\mathbb{R}}(3) = N^{\mathbb{R}}(4) = 6$, with an optimal configuration coming from the 6 diagonals of a regular icosahedron. The question of determining $N^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ for an arbitrary r was first formally posed in 1966 by van Lint and Seidel [56], and a few years later Gerzon (see [54]) proved the *absolute bound*

$$N^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \le \binom{r+1}{2}.$$

This bound is known to be tight for r = 2, 3, 7, 23, with the constructions for r = 7 and r = 23being based on the E_8 lattice in \mathbb{R}^8 and the Leech lattice in \mathbb{R}^{24} , see [54] and references therein. Surprisingly, we do not know if there are any other r for which this is the case. Moreover, the order of magnitude of $N^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ was not even known until 2000, when de Caen [13] gave a construction showing that $N^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \geq \frac{2}{9}(r+1)^2$ for infinitely many r.

In 1973, Lemmens and Seidel [54] proposed to study the more refined quantity $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$, the maximum number of equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r with common angle $\arccos(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. They proved the *relative bound*

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2 r} r \text{ for all } r < 1/\alpha^2,$$

which is known to be tight if and only if the unit vectors v_1, \ldots, v_n which span the lines form a tight frame, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{n}{r} I$. Equiangular tight frames correspond to strongly regular graphs with certain parameters and subject to some divisibility conditions, they are known to exist for many different values of r, n, see the survey of Fickus and Mixon [29]. Lemmens and Seidel [54] also showed that a construction meeting the absolute bound must have $r = 1/\alpha^2 - 2$, so that the relative bound can be seen as a refinement of the absolute bound when $r \leq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$. They were particularly interested in the case where $1/\alpha$ is an odd integer due to a result of Neumann (see [54]), who showed that if this is not the case, then $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq 2r$.

(see [54]), who showed that if this is not the case, then $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq 2r$. More recently, Bukh [11] showed that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ is linear in r when α is fixed, as well as conjecturing¹ the asymptotic value of $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ as $r \to \infty$ whenever $1/\alpha$ is an odd integer. Together with Dräxler, Keevash, and Sudakov [6], we significantly improved this result, showing that there exists a constant C such that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq 2r - 2$ for all $r \geq 2^{C/\alpha^2}$, with equality if and only if $\alpha = 1/3$. Some of the ideas we proposed were further clarified and extended by Jiang and Polyanskii [49], who also generalized Bukh's conjecture to any α . Using the methods developed in [6], together with a new bound on the maximum multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a graph, Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50] were able to verify this conjecture in a strong form. To state their result, we define the spectral radius order $k(\lambda)$ to be the least number of vertices in a graph having spectral radius λ (if there is no such graph, we let $k(\lambda) = \infty$). If the spectral radius order $k = k \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) < \infty$, they showed that there exists a constant C such that

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{k-1}(r-1) \right\rfloor \text{ for all } r \ge 2^{2^{Ck/\alpha}}.$$

All of these recent results crucially rely on using Ramsey's theorem in order to obtain a bound on the maximum degree of a corresponding graph which is exponential in $1/\alpha$. Therefore,

¹In fact, Lemmens and Seidel [54] had already shown that $N_{1/3}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = 2r - 2$ for $r \ge 15$ and conjectured that $N_{1/5}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = \lfloor 3(r-1)/2 \rfloor$ for r sufficiently large, which was later confirmed by Neumaier [59] (see also [39]).

such methods stop working when r is much smaller than $2^{1/\alpha}$, in which case the only known bounds were obtained using linear/semidefinite programming via Gegenbauer polynomials. Yu [73] showed that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq {\binom{1/\alpha^2-1}{2}}$ for $1/\alpha^2 - 2 \leq r \leq 3/\alpha^2 - 16$ and $\alpha \leq 1/3$, which can be seen as a refinement of the absolute bound when $r \geq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$ and later Glazyrin and Yu [36] characterized the case of equality, as well as proving the universal bound $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq (\frac{2}{3\alpha^2} + \frac{4}{7})r + 2$ for all $\alpha \leq 1/3$. In view of the 2r - 2 bound of [6], this universal bound is off by a factor of $1/\alpha^2$ when r is large relative to $1/\alpha$, and so it does not properly interpolate between the quadratic absolute bound $\binom{r+1}{2}$ and the linear 2r - 2 bound of [6].

In this paper, we introduce the method of orthogonal projection of symmetric matrices with respect to the Frobenius inner product in order to unify and improve upon all previous approaches. Using this method, we are able to extend the approach of [6] to the entire range $r \geq 1/\alpha^2 - 2$, obtaining new upper bounds on $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ which significantly extend the result of Yu [73] and successfully interpolate between the quadratic absolute bound and the linear bound of [6]. In particular, our bounds rely on a new geometric inequality for equiangular lines which is tight whenever the absolute bound is met, and we apply it to obtain bounds on the largest eigenvalue of a corresponding Gram matrix, as well as a bound on the maximum degree of a corresponding graph which is polynomial in $1/\alpha$. As a consequence, we also extend the results of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50].

Our first theorem significantly extends the bound of Yu and substantially improves the bound of Glazyrin and Yu. It follows by studying the size of the largest eigenvalue of a corresponding Gram matrix.

Theorem 1. Let
$$r \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in (0, 1/3]$$
 and define $r_{\alpha} = \frac{1 - \alpha^2 (3 + 2\alpha^2)}{\alpha^3 + \alpha^4 \left(1 + \frac{5(1+\alpha)\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha\right)}{4(1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha^2)}\right)} + 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha^3} - \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right).$
If $r < r_{\alpha}$, then we have
$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if the lines form a tight frame in $1/\alpha^2 - 2$ dimensions. Otherwise if $r \geq r_{\alpha}$, then we have

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)\left(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha\right)}{8\alpha^3} + 2.$$

Remark. As with Yu's result [73], note that if the absolute bound is met for some r, then $r = 1/\alpha^2 - 2$ and so the preceding theorem implies that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r') = \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$ for all $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 2 \leq r' < r_{\alpha}$ where $r_{\alpha} = \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^3}$, and any extremal configuration lies in a $1/\alpha^2 - 2$ -dimensional subspace. Indeed, if $\alpha = 1/5$ then $r_{\alpha} \approx 67.8$ and so we have that $N_{1/5}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = 276$ for all $23 \leq r \leq 67$.

In view of the preceding remark, it is very unlikely that Theorem 1 can be improved when $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 2 \leq r \leq \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^3}$, as it would require showing that the absolute bound doesn't hold for all sufficiently large dimensions. On the other hand, when r is large relative to $1/\alpha$, Theorem 1 still doesn't give a bound which is linear in r. Using our result regarding the maximum degree of a corresponding graph, together with an Alon–Boppana-type theorem, we obtain such a bound.

Theorem 2. For all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, if $r \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha^5}$, then we have

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \le \frac{1}{\alpha^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^4}\right)$$

and otherwise if $r > \frac{1}{2\alpha^5}$, then

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \le \left(2 + O\left(\alpha\right)\right) r$$

Moreover, when $r \gg 1/\alpha^5$, we may apply a stronger Alon–Boppana theorem in order to obtain the following improved bound, in the same way Jiang and Polyanskii [49] refined our approach in [6].

Theorem 3. Let $q \ge 2$ be an integer and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For $r \gg 1/\alpha^{2q+1}$, we have

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{q+2}\right)}\right)r + o(r).$$

In particular, if $r \ge 1/\alpha^{\omega(1)}$ then $N^{\mathbb{R}}_{\alpha}(r) \le \frac{5}{4}r + o(r)$.

Finally, when r is exponential in $1/\alpha$, our polynomial bound on the maximum degree allows us to obtain the following extension of the results of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50].

Theorem 4. There exists a constant C > 0, such that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ with corresponding spectral radius order $k = k\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right)$

$$\begin{split} 1. \ N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) &= \left\lfloor \frac{k}{k-1}(r-1) \right\rfloor \ \text{if } k < \infty \ \text{and } r \ge 2^{1/\alpha^{C(k-1)}}, \\ 2. \ N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) &\leq r + \frac{Cr \log(1/\alpha)}{\log \log r} \ \text{if } k < \infty \ \text{and } 2^{1/\alpha^{C}} \le r < 2^{1/\alpha^{C(k-1)}}, \\ 3. \ N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) &\leq r + \frac{Cr \log(1/\alpha)}{\log \log r} \ \text{if } k = \infty \ \text{and } r \ge 2^{1/\alpha^{C}}. \end{split}$$

Note that for any λ , the spectral radius order $k(\lambda) \ge \lambda - 1$. Moreover, in the special case that $\alpha = \frac{1}{2t-1}$ for some integer $t \ge 2$, we have $k\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) = t$, so that we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for all $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \ge 2$ and $r \ge 2^{k^{Ck}}$,

$$N_{\frac{k}{2k-1}}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{k-1}(r-1) \right\rfloor.$$

We now summarize the consequences of our new upper bounds Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3, and Theorem 4. In the following, $k = k \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right)$ is the corresponding spectral radius, C > 0 is a constant, and all of the asymptotic bounds are assuming $r \to \infty$ and $\alpha \to 0$.

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq \begin{cases} \binom{1/\alpha^{2}-1}{2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} - 2 < r \leq \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^{3}} \\ \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}r + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\right) & \text{if } \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^{3}} < r \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{4}} \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha^{5}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{4}}\right) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\alpha^{4}} < r \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha^{5}} \\ 2r + o(r) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2\alpha^{5}} < r \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{5}}\right) \\ \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\cos^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{q+2}\right)}\right)r + o(r) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\alpha^{2q+1}} \ll r \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2q+3}}\right) \text{ for an integer } q \geq 2 \\ \frac{5}{4}r + o(r) & \text{if } 1/\alpha^{\omega(1)} \leq r < 2^{1/\alpha^{4C}} \\ \left(1 + \frac{C\log(1/\alpha)}{\log\log r}\right)r & \text{if } 2^{1/\alpha^{4C}} \leq r < 2^{1/\alpha^{C(k-1)}} \\ \left|\frac{k}{k-1}(r-1)\right| & \text{if } 2^{1/\alpha^{C(k-1)}} \leq r. \end{cases}$$

We conclude this subsection with some lower bounds for $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ when $r \geq \alpha^2 - 2$ and thereby determine the order of growth of $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ in some cases. The following linear lower bounds are easy to obtain, see e.g. Lemma 14 of Jiang and Polyanski [49].

Lemma 6. For all $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \geq r$. Moreover, if the spectral radius order $k = k\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) < \infty$, then $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \geq \lfloor \frac{kr}{k-1} \rfloor$.

Using Lemma 6 and Theorem 2, we therefore have the following corollary.

Corollary 7. For all $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $r \geq \Omega(1/\alpha^5)$, we have $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = \Theta(r)$.

For superlinear lower bounds, note that all known constructions of $\Omega(r^2)$ lines in \mathbb{R}^r satisfy $r = \Theta(1/\alpha^2)$, where $\arccos(\alpha)$ is the common angle. In particular, de Caen's construction [13] works for all dimensions $r_t = 3 \cdot 2^{2t-1} - 1$ where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the fact that $N^{\mathbb{R}}_{\alpha}(r)$ is a non-decreasing function of r, we immediately obtain the following corollary of Theorem 1.

Corollary 8. There exists a constant C > 0 and an infinite sequence $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\alpha_t \to 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in \{\alpha_t\}$ and $\frac{C}{\alpha^2} \leq r \leq \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^3}$, we have $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) = \Theta(1/\alpha^4)$.

Note that at least for infinitely many α approaching 0, Corollary 7 and Corollary 8 determine the order of growth of $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ for $r \in \left[\frac{C}{\alpha^2}, \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{1}{\alpha^5}, \infty\right)$. Due to a lack of other constructions, we leave the remaining regime $r \in \left[\frac{1}{\alpha^3}, \frac{1}{\alpha^5}\right]$ as an open question.

1.2 Complex equiangular lines

For a pair of 1-dimensional subspaces $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^r$, i.e. complex lines through the origin, the quantity $\operatorname{arccos} |\langle u, v \rangle|$ is the same for any choice of unit vectors $u \in U, v \in V$, and so we may define this quantity to be the *Hermitian angle* between U and V, see e.g. [65]. Given n complex lines l_1, \ldots, l_n passing through the origin in \mathbb{C}^r , we say they are equiangular if there exists $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ such that the Hermitian angle between l_i and l_j is θ for all $i \neq j$, in which case we call θ the common Hermitian angle. As in the real case, we define $N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r)$ to be the maximum number of complex equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r with common Hermitian angle $\operatorname{arccos}(\alpha)$ and $N^{\mathbb{C}}(r) = \max_{\alpha \in [0,1)} N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r)$.

The earliest results on complex equiangular lines go back to the 1975 work of Delsarte, Goethals, and Seidel [23]. Analogous to the real case, they proved the absolute bound

$$N^{\mathbb{C}}(r) \le r^2$$

and gave matching lower bound constructions in \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathbb{C}^3 . Zauner [74] was the first to make the connection between complex equiangular lines and quantum theory, as well as showing that $N^{\mathbb{C}}(r) = r^2$ for $r \leq 5$. This lead him to conjecture that $N^{\mathbb{C}}(r) = r^2$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and moreover that such constructions can be obtained as the orbit of some vector under the action of a Weyl-Heisenberg group. Unlike the real case, this conjecture has turned out to be true for 102 different values of the dimension r, including all $r \leq 40$ and as large as r = 1299, see [67] and the survey paper of Fuchs, Hoang, and Stacey [35] for more information.

Since the work of Renes, Blume-Kohout, Scott, and Caves [63], collections of r^2 complex equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r have come to be known in quantum information theory as symmetric, informationally complete, positive operator-valued measures, or *SIC-POVMs/SICs* for short. SICs turn out to be quite remarkable objects, having applications in quantum state tomography [16] and quantum cryptography [17], as well as being candidates for a "standard quantum measurement" in the foundations of quantum mechanics, most notably in QBism [34]. Moreover, they also have applications in high-precision radar and speech recognition and it has been suggested that a SIC in \mathbb{C}^{2048} is worth patenting (see the last paragraph of [35]). Finally, it is very intriguing to note that Zauner's conjecture is related to algebraic number theory with a connection to Hilbert's twelfth problem [4, 5, 8]. Despite all of the research on $N^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$, very little is known about $N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r)$. Delsarte, Goethels, and Seidel [23] proved the analogous relative bound

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r) \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2 r} r$$
 for all $r < 1/\alpha^2$,

which is tight if and only if the unit vectors v_1, \ldots, v_n spanning the lines form a tight frame in \mathbb{C} , i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^* = \frac{n}{r} I$. To the best of our knowledge, no bound for general α is known for the remaining regime $r \ge 1/\alpha^2$. Our projection method generalizes to the complex setting, allowing us to obtain the first such bounds. Our results depends on a new geometric inequality for complex equiangular lines which is tight for any SIC. Given the potential validity of Zauner's conjecture, this relation may be of independent interest in quantum theory, see the remark following Theorem 15.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1 and therefore also the bound of Yu [73], as well as implying that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$ is linear in r when α is fixed. Just like Theorem 1, the proof of Theorem 9 is based on bounds on the largest eigenvalue of a corresponding Gram matrix.

Theorem 9. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/3]$ and define $r_{\alpha} = \frac{1-2\alpha^2 - \alpha^4}{\alpha^3 + \alpha^4 \left(1 + \frac{5}{4(1-\alpha)^2}\right)} + 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha^3} - \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)$. If $r < r_{\alpha}$, then we have

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r) \le \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$$

with equality if and only if the lines form a SIC in $1/\alpha^2 - 1$ dimensions. Otherwise if $r \ge r_{\alpha}$, then we have

$$N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)}{4\alpha^3} + 2.$$

Remark. As for Theorem 1, note that if there exists a SIC in \mathbb{C}^r , then $r = 1/\alpha^2 - 1$ and so Theorem 9 implies that for all $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1 \leq r' < r_\alpha = \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^3}$, we have $N_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}(r') = (1/\alpha^2 - 1)^2$ with any extremal configuration being a SIC in a $1/\alpha^2 - 1$ -dimensional subspace.

In view of the fact that the existence of a SIC in \mathbb{C}^r has been verified for many different dimensions r, the preceding remark is more important than in the real case. Indeed, it shows that Zauner's conjecture implies the following conjecture.

Conjecture 10. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1 \leq r < \frac{1}{\alpha^3} - \frac{C}{\alpha^2}$, we have $N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r) = (\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1)^2$.

We also note that Godsil and Roy [38] gave a construction of $r^2 - r + 1$ many equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r with cosine of the common angle $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r^2}}$ whenever r-1 is a prime power, and so we obtain the following approximate version of Conjecture 10 as a corollary of Theorem 9.

Corollary 11. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$ with $1/\alpha^2 - 2$ being a prime power and for all $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1 \le r < \frac{1}{\alpha^3} - \frac{C}{\alpha^2}$, we have $N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r) = \frac{1-o(1)}{\alpha^4}$.

1.3 Eigenvalues of regular graphs

Let G be a k-regular graph whose adjacency matrix A has second eigenvalue λ_2 and last eigenvalue λ_n . There is by now a lot of literature on how the size of λ_2 (and λ_n) relate to other properties of G, see [12, 21, 22] for more information. Inspired by the fact that G is connected if and only if the spectral gap $k - \lambda_2 > 0$, Fiedler [30] studied the spectral gap as an algebraic measure of the connectivity of a graph and showed how it relates to the usual combinatorial notions of vertex

and edge connectivity. It is also known that the spectral gap and $\max(\lambda_2, -\lambda_n)$ are related to the mixing time of random walks on a graph and that this generalises to other Markov chains, see e.g. [25, 26]. Moreover, Alon and Milman [1, 3] showed that the spectral gap as well as $\max(\lambda_2, -\lambda_n)$ are closely related to combinatorial notions of graph expansion, which can also be interpreted as discrete isoperimetric inequalities. In particular, Chung, Graham, and Wilson [18] showed that $\max(\lambda_2, -\lambda_n)$ determines how pseudo-random a graph is. We remark that the theory of graphs having good expansion properties is not only deep and interesting on its own, but also has extensive applications in mathematics and computer science [47, 53], as well as applications to other sciences, see e.g. [22, 69].

Given the above, it interesting to determine how large the spectral gap $k - \lambda_2$ can be, or equivalently, how small λ_2 can be as a function of k. If G has diameter $D \ge 4$, the well-known Alon-Boppana theorem [60] gives the lower bound $\lambda_2 \ge 2\sqrt{k-1}-(2\sqrt{k-1}-1)/\lfloor D/2 \rfloor$. As $D \to \infty$, this bound approaches $2\sqrt{k-1}$, which is known to be tight due to the existence of Ramanujan graphs, see e.g. [47]. Moreover, Alon conjectured and Friedman [32] proved that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, "most" k-regular graphs G on sufficiently many vertices will satisfies $\lambda_2(G) \le 2\sqrt{k-1}+\varepsilon$. Note that even though the Alon-Boppana theorem has been improved and generalized (see e.g. [31, 46, 48]), it seems that all previously known results require the assumption that the graph is sufficiently sparse.

In this paper, we make use of the connection between graphs and equiangular lines in order to obtain the first generalization of the Alon–Boppana theorem which holds for dense k-regular graphs with no assumption on the diameter. We only require the following mild assumption on the spectral gap of G,

$$k - \lambda_2 < \frac{n}{2}.\tag{1}$$

Note that any k-regular graph G with k < n/2 must have $\lambda_2(G) \ge 0$ (see Section 5.1), and so it must satisfy (1). Additionally, the complement of any k-regular graph is (n-1-k)-regular, so if $k \ge n/2$ then (1) holds for the complement graph. Finally, the bounds we obtain are tight for strongly regular graphs corresponding to families of equiangular lines meeting the absolute bound. To state the result, we let $m_G(\lambda_2)$ denote the multiplicity of λ_2 in A.

Theorem 12. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a k-regular graph. Let A be its adjacency matrix and let $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(A), \lambda_n = \lambda_n(A)$ be its second and last eigenvalue, respectively. If the spectral gap satisfies $k - \lambda_2 < n/2$, then we have

$$2\left(k - \frac{(k - \lambda_2)^2}{n}\right) \le \frac{\lambda_2(\lambda_2 + 1)(2\lambda_2 + 1)}{1 - \frac{2(k - \lambda_2)}{n}} - \lambda_2(3\lambda_2 + 1),$$

and

$$-\lambda_n \le \frac{\lambda_2(\lambda_2+1)}{1-\frac{2(k-\lambda_2)}{n}} - \lambda_2,$$

with equality in both whenever $n = \binom{n-m_G(\lambda_2)+1}{2} - 1$, i.e. when G is a strongly regular graph corresponding to a family of $\binom{r+1}{2}$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r for $r = n - m_G(\lambda_2)$.

Remark. $k - \lambda_2 < n/2$ implies that $2\left(k - \frac{(k-\lambda_2)^2}{n}\right) > k + \lambda_2$, so that the first bound of Theorem 12 gives an upper bound on the degree k.

If we further assume that the spectral gap is bounded away from n/2, we immediately obtain the following corollary. **Corollary 13.** Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a k-regular graph. Let A = A(G) be its adjacency matrix and let $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(A), \lambda_n = \lambda_n(A)$ be its second and last eigenvalue, respectively. If the spectral gap satisfies $k - \lambda_2 \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{n}{2}$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have

$$\lambda_2 \ge \Omega\left(\max\left(k^{1/3}, \sqrt{-\lambda_n}\right)\right).$$

In particular, if G is bipartite then $\lambda_2 \ge \Omega(\sqrt{k})$. Moreover, if the spectral gap $k - \lambda_2 = o(n)$ then

$$\lambda_2 \ge (1 - o(1)) \max\left(k^{1/3}, \sqrt{-\lambda_n}\right),$$

so that if G is bipartite, we have $\lambda_2 \ge (1 - o(1))\sqrt{k}$.

Corollary 13 raises the question of whether there actually exist k-regular graphs satisfying $\lambda_2 \leq O(k^{1/3})$ or $\lambda_2 \leq O(\sqrt{-\lambda_n})$. Interestingly, Taylor [68] constructed a family of strongly regular graphs based on the projective unitary group PU(2, q^2) which satisfy both of these conditions. In particular, for any odd prime power q, Taylor's graph has $n = q^3$ vertices, degree $k = \frac{1}{2}(q^2+1)(q-1)$, second eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)$, and smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2}(q^2+1)$. However, this construction has the downside that the spectral gap $k - \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}q^2(q-1) = (1 - \frac{1}{n^{1/3}})\frac{n}{2}$ is very close to n/2, so that we cannot apply Corollary 13 to it and the bounds of Theorem 12 are far from tight for such graphs.

1.4 Notation and definitions

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers, \mathbb{R} denote the field of real numbers, and \mathbb{C} denote the field of complex numbers. We let $S^1(\alpha) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \alpha\}$ denote the circle of radius α centered at 0 in the complex plane. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Furthermore, for any quantities f, g we write $f \leq O(g)$ or $g \geq \Omega(f)$, if f, g are implicitly assumed to be functions of an increasing parameter $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exist $C > 0, t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(t) \leq Cg(t)$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Moreover, we write $f = \Theta(g)$ if $f \leq O(g)$ and $f \geq \Omega(g)$. Also, we write $f = o(g), g = \omega(f)$ or $f \ll g$ whenever $f(t)/g(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Linear algebra

For a field \mathbb{F} and a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let I denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix, let J denote the $n \times n$ all ones matrix, and let $\mathbb{1} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ denote the all ones vector, where the dimension will be clear from context. For $i \in [n]$, we let e_i denote the *i*th standard basis vector. We define $\mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ to be the space of all $n \times m$ matrices. We identify $\mathbb{F}^{n \times 1}$ with \mathbb{F}^n and therefore, we do not distinguish between a matrix $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ and the corresponding linear map from \mathbb{F}^m to \mathbb{F}^n acting via matrix multiplication. For any function $f \colon \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ and $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$, we define $\mathfrak{C}_f(M) \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ to be the matrix obtained by applying f to each coordinate of M, i.e. $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)_{i,j} = f(M_{i,j})$ for all $i \in [n], j \in [m]$.

Given $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$, we let M^{\intercal} and M^* denote the $m \times n$ transpose matrix and adjoint matrix, respectively. We say that M is symmetric (Hermitian) if it satisfies $M^{\intercal} = M$ ($M^* = M$). We let $\mathscr{S}_n = \{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : M^{\intercal} = M\}$ denote the space of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices. Given a pair of vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we let $\langle u, v \rangle = u^*v$ denote the standard inner product of u and v.

Now let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} (\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ be symmetric (Hermitian). By the spectral theorem for symmetric (Hermitian) matrices, there exist real eigenvalues $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(M)$ for $i \in [n]$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$ and an orthonormal basis of corresponding eigenvectors $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^n (\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i u_i^*$, and so we define $m_M(\lambda) = |\{j : \lambda_j = \lambda\}|$ to be the *multiplicity* of λ . Furthermore, we say that M is *positive semidefinite* if $\lambda_n \geq 0$. Finally, we define the *Moore–Penrose generalized inverse* of M to be $M^{\dagger} = \sum_{i:\lambda_i > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^*$.

Inner product spaces

Let $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . A map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$ is called an *inner* product if it satisfies

- 1. $\langle u, \cdot \rangle_V : V \to \mathbb{F}$ is linear for all $u \in V$,
- 2. $\overline{\langle u, v \rangle_V} = \langle v, u \rangle_V$ for all $u, v \in V$,
- 3. $\langle u, u \rangle_V = 0$ implies u = 0 for all $u \in V$,

and moreover, we say that V is an *inner product space* with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$. We let $||\cdot||_V \colon V \to \mathbb{F}$ denote the corresponding *norm*, defined by $||v||_V = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_V}$. For any $u \in V$, we let $u^{\#} \colon V \to \mathbb{F}$ denote the *adjoint* of u defined by $u^{\#}v = \langle u, v \rangle_V$ for all $v \in V$. More generally, if U and V are finite-dimensional vector spaces with inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$, and $L \colon U \to V$ is a linear map, then the finite-dimensional Riesz representation theorem states that there exists a unique linear map $L^{\#} \colon V \to U$ called the *adjoint map*² which satisfies $\langle L^{\#}v, u \rangle_U = \langle v, Lu \rangle_V$ for all $u \in U, v \in V$.

Let V be an inner product space with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ and let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subseteq V$ be a finite set of vectors. We define $M_{\mathscr{C}} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ to be their *Gram matrix* (with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$), defined by $(M_{\mathscr{C}})_{i,j} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle_V$. Moreover, for any subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, we say that a collection of unit vectors \mathscr{C} is a spherical S-code if $\langle u, v \rangle_V \in S$ for all $u \neq v \in \mathscr{C}$. Finally, we let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F : \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the Frobenius inner product on matrices, which is defined by $\langle A, B \rangle_F = \operatorname{tr} (A^*B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

Graph theory

A graph G consists of a finite set V(G) whose elements are called *vertices* and a collection of unordered pairs of vertices $E(G) \subseteq \{\{u, v\} : u, v \in V(G), u \neq v\}$, whose elements are called *edges*. For convenience, whenever u and v are vertices of a graph G, we write uv instead of $\{u, v\}$ in order to represent the unordered pair. We let G^c denote the complement of G, i.e. $uv \in E(G^c)$ if and only if $uv \notin E(G)$. If H is such that $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$, then H is called a *subgraph* of G and we equivalently write $H \subseteq G$.

For disjoint subsets $H, L \subseteq V(G)$ we let $E(H, L) = E_G(H, L)$ denote the set of edges between H and L. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, we let $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$ denote its *neighborhood* and let $d(v) = d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ denote its *degree*. We also define $\overline{d}(G) = \frac{1}{|V(G)|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v)$ to be the *average degree* of G and $\Delta(G) = \max_{v \in V(G)} d(v)$ to be the *maximum degree* of G. We say that a graph G is k-regular if d(v) = k for all $v \in V(G)$. We let K_n denote the complete graph on n vertices and for $r \geq 2$, let K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r} denote the complete multipartite graph with r parts of size n_1,\ldots,n_r .

For any G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, we define the $n \times n$ adjacency matrix A = A(G) via

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i v_j \in E(G) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Since A is a symmetric matrix over \mathbb{R} , it has a full set of real eigenvalues $\lambda_1(G) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n(G)$, and we equivalently say that $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(G)$ is the *i*th eigenvalue of G, with multiplicity $m_G(\lambda_i) = m_A(\lambda_i)$. In particular, λ_1 is called the *spectral radius* of G and we say that $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ is the *spectral gap* of G.

²If we choose bases for U and V, then we can represent the linear map L as a matrix [L] with respect to those bases, in which case the adjoint map $L^{\#}$ is represented by the corresponding adjoint matrix $[L]^*$.

2 Orthogonal projection of matrices

In this section, we introduce the method of orthogonal projection of matrices with respect to the Frobenius inner product. Applying this method, we obtain real and complex variants of a new geometric inequality for finite sets of vectors, or equivalently positive semidefinite matrices, which underlie the results obtained in this paper. We also apply this method to obtain an improvement to the first Welch bound in coding theory, in particular giving another proof of the relative bound.

Our motivation comes from studying real equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r , in which case choosing a unit vector along each line yields a collection of vectors $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ with all pairwise inner products lying in the set $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ where α is the cosine of the common angle, i.e. a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r . In our previous work together with Dräxler, Keevash, and Sudakov [6], the arguments rested upon finding a sufficiently large subset of \mathscr{C} with the property that all pairwise inner products are α , i.e. a large regular simplex, and then projecting the remaining vectors onto the orthogonal complement of the span of this simplex. Instead of looking for such a simplex in \mathscr{C} , in this paper we use the fact that the projection matrices $v_1v_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \ldots, v_nv_n^{\mathsf{T}}$ already forms a large regular simplex in \mathscr{S}_r , the space of $r \times r$ real symmetric matrices with respect to the Frobenius inner product. This result is well known and indeed, when combined with the fact that the dimension of \mathscr{S}_r is $\binom{r+1}{2}$, it yields a proof of the absolute bound. The main contribution of this paper is the observation that one can obtain new geometric inequalities by orthogonally projecting a matrix onto the span of $v_1v_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \ldots, v_nv_n^{\mathsf{T}}$ and using the fact that the Frobenius norm can only decrease. A benefit of this approach is that whenever the absolute bound is met, $v_1v_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \ldots, v_nv_n^{\mathsf{T}}$ span \mathscr{S}_r and so our inequalities become tight.

So what kind of matrices should we project? Since an orthogonal projection of an asymmetric matrix onto a space of symmetric matrices necessarily reduces its Frobenius norm and since we are interested in obtaining inequalities that have the possibility of being tight, we restrict ourselves to projecting symmetric matrices. Now let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ be the matrix with *i*th column v_i and let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We project matrices of the form $Vx(Vy)^{\intercal} + Vy(Vx)^{\intercal}$ in order to obtain the following geometric inequality. In order to state it, we recall our notation that for a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathfrak{C}_f(v)$ is the vector obtained by applying f to each coordinate of v, i.e. $\mathfrak{C}_f(v)_i = f(v_i)$ for $i \in [n]$.

Theorem 14. Let $\alpha \in (0,1), r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$. Let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with $n = |\mathscr{C}|$ and Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. Then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{2}\left(\left\langle x,Mx\right\rangle\left\langle y,My\right\rangle+\left\langle x,My\right\rangle^{2}\right)+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha^{2}n+1-\alpha^{2}}\left\langle Mx,My\right\rangle^{2}\geq\left\langle\mathfrak{C}_{f}(Mx),\mathfrak{C}_{f}(My)\right\rangle$$

with equality whenever $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$.

By choosing x, y appropriately (a standard basis vector or an eigenvector) in Theorem 14, one may obtain new geometric information about our original set of vectors \mathscr{C} , such as bounds on the largest eigenvalue of their Gram matrix or bounds on the degrees of a corresponding graph. In Section 3, we use this information to derive our new bounds on $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$.

For the purpose of proving Theorem 14, recall that for a linear map $\mathscr{L}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathscr{S}_r$ where \mathscr{S}_r is equipped with the Frobenius inner product and \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the standard inner product, the adjoint $\mathscr{L}^{\#}: \mathscr{S}_r \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $\langle \mathscr{L}^{\#}M, v \rangle = \langle M, \mathscr{L}v \rangle_F$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n, M \in \mathscr{S}_r$, and for a single matrix $M \in \mathscr{S}_r$, the adjoint $M^{\#}: \mathscr{S}_r \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $M^{\#}N = \langle M, N \rangle_F$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n, M \in \mathscr{S}_r$, $N \in \mathscr{S}_r$. We will also make use of the well-known fact that $\langle xy^{\intercal}, zw^{\intercal} \rangle_F = \langle x, z \rangle \langle y, w \rangle$ for any $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}^r$.

Proof of Theorem 14. Let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. We define $\mathscr{W} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathscr{S}_r$ to be the linear map given by $\mathscr{W}e_i = v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ for all $i \in [n]$ and consider the adjoint map $\mathscr{W}^{\#} : \mathscr{S}_r \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the Frobenius inner product on \mathscr{S}_r and the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . Observe that $\mathcal{W}^{\#}\mathcal{W}:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is a matrix satisfying

$$(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})_{i,j} = \left\langle v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}, v_j v_j^{\mathsf{T}} \right\rangle_F = \left\langle v_i, v_j \right\rangle^2 = \begin{cases} \alpha^2 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

for all $i, j \in [n]$, so that $\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W} = (1 - \alpha^2)I + \alpha^2 J$, which is invertible with $(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} \left(I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}J\right)$. It follows that $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{-1}\mathscr{W}^{\#} : \mathscr{S}_r \to \mathscr{S}_r$ is the orthogonal projection onto the range of \mathcal{W} . Indeed, one may verify that $\mathscr{P}^2 = \mathscr{P} = \mathscr{P}^{\#}$ and $\mathscr{P}\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}$.

Now let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ be the matrix with *i*th column v_i and given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define X = $Vx(Vy)^{\intercal} + Vy(Vx)^{\intercal}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} ||X||_F^2 &= \langle Vx(Vy)^{\mathsf{T}} + Vy(Vx)^{\mathsf{T}}, Vx(Vy)^{\mathsf{T}} + Vy(Vx)^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle_F = 2 \langle Vx, Vx \rangle \langle Vy, Vy \rangle + 2 \langle Vx, Vy \rangle^2 \\ &= 2 \left(\langle x, Mx \rangle \langle y, My \rangle + \langle x, My \rangle^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and moreover, since $V^{\intercal}V = M$, we have

$$(\mathscr{W}^{\#}X)_{i} = \langle v_{i}v_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}, Vx(Vy)^{\mathsf{T}} + Vy(Vx)^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle_{F} = 2 \langle v_{i}, Vx \rangle \langle v_{i}, Vy \rangle = 2(e_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}Mx)(e_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}My) = 2(Mx)_{i}(My)_{i}$$

for all $i \in [n]$. Since \mathscr{P} is an orthogonal projection, we conclude

$$2\left(\langle x, Mx \rangle \langle y, My \rangle + \langle x, My \rangle^2\right) = ||X||_F^2 \ge ||\mathscr{P}X||_F^2$$
$$= X^{\#} \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#} \mathscr{W})^{-1} \mathscr{W}^{\#} X$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} (\mathscr{W}^{\#} X)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} J\right) (\mathscr{W}^{\#} X)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \left(||\mathscr{W}^{\#} X||^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \langle \mathbb{1}, \mathscr{W}^{\#} X \rangle^2\right)$$

It now remains to compute $\langle \mathbb{1}, \mathscr{W}^{\#}X \rangle = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Mx)_{i}(My)_{i} = 2 \langle Mx, My \rangle$ and $||\mathscr{W}^{\#}X||^{2} = 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Mx)_{i}^{2} (My)_{i}^{2} = 4 \langle \mathfrak{C}_{f}(Mx), \mathfrak{C}_{f}(My) \rangle$, from which the desired inequality follows. Finally, since $\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W}$ is invertible we have that $n = \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{W})$ and moreover, the space of symmetric $r \times r$ matrices \mathscr{S}_{r} has dimension $\binom{r+1}{2}$. Thus if $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$, then $\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{W}) = \binom{r+1}{2}$. $\binom{r+1}{2}$, which implies the range of \mathscr{W} is \mathscr{S}_r and thus \mathscr{P} is the identity map, giving equality above.

Remark. Since a matrix $A \in \mathscr{S}_r$ has r^2 coordinates, we can think of it as a vector $vec(A) \in \mathbb{R}^{r^2}$. Using this idea, we could have equivalently defined $\mathscr W$ from the previous proof to be the $r^2 \times n$ matrix with *i*th column $\operatorname{vec}(v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}})$, in which case the Frobenius inner product would become the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^{r^2} and the adjoint map $\mathscr{W}^{\#}$ would become the transpose matrix ₩Т.

Remark. We note that the preceding theorem can also be obtained via an application of the Schur product theorem. Indeed, the projection inequality in the previous theorem is equivalent to $||X - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}||_F^2 \ge 0$ where c_1, \ldots, c_n are coefficients given by the projection and $X = Vx(Vy)^{\mathsf{T}} + Vy(Vx)^{\mathsf{T}}$. Since $X = (Vx + Vy)(Vx + Vy)^{\mathsf{T}} - Vx(Vx)^{\mathsf{T}} - Vy(Vy)^{\mathsf{T}}$, this inequality can be written in the form $\|\sum_{i=1}^{n+3} c_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}\|_F^2 \ge 0$ where $v_{n+1} = Vx, v_{n+2} = Vy, v_{n+3} = Vx + Vy$ and $c_{n+1} = c_{n+2} = -1, c_{n+3} = 1$. Now it suffices to observe that $\|\sum_{i=1}^{n+3} c_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} c_i c_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle^2 = c^{\mathsf{T}} \mathfrak{C}_f(M_{\mathscr{D}})c$ where $M_{\mathscr{D}}$ is the Gram matrix of $\mathscr{D} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{n+3}\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_f(M_{\mathscr{D}})$ is the entry-wise square of $M_{\mathscr{D}}$. Therefore, the desired inequality is equivalent to $c^{\mathsf{T}} \mathfrak{C}_f(M_{\mathscr{D}})c \ge 0$, which follows from the Schur product theorem applied to $M_{\mathscr{D}}$.

Another benefit of our approach is that it generalizes to the setting of complex equiangular lines, in which case we have a collection of unit vectors $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ in \mathbb{C}^r satisfying $|\langle v_i, v_j \rangle| = \alpha$ for all $i \neq j$, or equivalently a spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code where $S^1(\alpha)$ is the circle of radius α centered at 0 in the complex plane. As in the real case, it is well known that $v_1v_1^*, \ldots, v_nv_n^*$ form a regular simplex with respect to the Frobenius inner product. However, unlike in the real case, a complex linear combination of Hermitian matrices need not be Hermitian. Indeed, even for a single Hermitian matrix H we have $(iH)^* = -iH \neq iH$. Therefore, $v_1v_1^*, \ldots, v_nv_n^*$ is capable of spanning the entire space $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ of $r \times r$ complex matrices, and thus we project (not necessarily Hermitian) matrices of the form $Vx(Vy)^*$ to obtain the following.

Theorem 15. Let $\alpha \in (0,1), r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f(x) = |x|^2$. Let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{C}^r with $n = |\mathscr{C}|$ and Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. Then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$(1 - \alpha^2) \langle x, Mx \rangle \langle y, My \rangle + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 \ge \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(Mx), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle$$

with equality whenever $n = r^2$.

Remark. As noted in Section 1.2, the case of equality in Theorem 15 is of particular interest because sets of r^2 equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r are important objects in quantum information theory known as SICs, and Zauner's conjecture implies that they exist for every r. In particular, it is well known that a set of r^2 equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r with common angle $\arccos(\alpha)$ must satisfy $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{r+1}$ (see e.g. [23]), in which case Theorem 15 yields the equality

$$r \langle x, Mx \rangle \langle y, My \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(Mx), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 = (r+1) \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(My), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} |\langle Mx, My \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{r} |\langle$$

The proof of Theorem 15 is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 14, for which we will need the more general fact that $\langle xy^*, zw^* \rangle_F = \langle x, z \rangle \overline{\langle y, w \rangle}$ for any $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{C}^r$.

Proof of Theorem 15. Let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, and analogous to the proof of Theorem 14, let $\mathscr{W} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ be the linear map defined by $\mathscr{W}e_i = v_iv_i^*$ for $i \in [n]$. Consider the adjoint map $\mathscr{W}^{\#} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \to \mathbb{C}^r$ with respect to the Frobenius inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ and the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Then $\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is the matrix $\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W} = (1-\alpha^2)I + \alpha^2 J$, which is invertible with $(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} \left(I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} J\right)$ and moreover, $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{-1}\mathscr{W}^{\#} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the range of \mathscr{W} . Now let $V \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times n}$ be the matrix with *i*th column v_i so that $V^*V = M$. Given $x, y \in C^n$, we define $X = Vx(Vy)^*$ and compute

$$||X||_F^2 = \langle Vx(Vy)^*, Vx(Vy)^* \rangle_F = \langle Vx, Vx \rangle \overline{\langle Vy, Vy \rangle} = \langle x, Mx \rangle \langle y, My \rangle,$$

as well as

$$(\mathscr{W}^{\#}X)_{i} = \langle v_{i}v_{i}^{*}, Vx(Vy)^{*} \rangle_{F} = \langle v_{i}, Vx \rangle \overline{\langle v_{i}, Vy \rangle} = (e_{i}^{*}Mx)\overline{(e_{i}^{*}My)} = (Mx)_{i}\overline{(My)_{i}}$$

for all $i \in [n]$. Since \mathscr{P} is an orthogonal projection, we conclude

$$\begin{split} \langle x, Mx \rangle \langle y, My \rangle &= ||X||_F^2 \geq ||\mathscr{P}X||_F^2 = \langle X, \mathscr{P}X \rangle_F \\ &= X^{\#} \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{-1} \mathscr{W}^{\#}X \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} (\mathscr{W}^{\#}X)^* \left(I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} J\right) (\mathscr{W}^{\#}X) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \left(||\mathscr{W}^{\#}X||^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} |\langle \mathbb{1}, \mathscr{W}^{\#}X \rangle|^2 \right). \end{split}$$

It therefore remains to compute $\langle \mathbb{1}, \mathscr{W}^{\#}X \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Mx)_{i} \overline{(My)_{i}} = \langle My, Mx \rangle$ and $||\mathscr{W}^{\#}X||^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(Mx)_{i}|^{2} |(My)_{i}|^{2} = \langle \mathfrak{C}_{f}(Mx), \mathfrak{C}_{f}(My) \rangle$, which implies the desired inequality. Finally, we have $n = \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{W})$ and $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ has dimension r^{2} . Thus if $n = r^{2}$, then

the range of \mathscr{W} is $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ and thus \mathscr{P} is the identity map, giving equality above.

There is another obvious (symmetric) matrix which one might think to project, the $r \times r$ identity matrix I. It turns out that this yields a proof of the relative bound, which in turn is actually a special case of the first Welch bound, an inequality in coding theory [72] with applications in signal analysis and quantum information theory, see [28, 55, 57]. In a more general form, this bound states that for any collection $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of unit vectors in \mathbb{C}^r , we have

$$\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)\mathbb{1} = \sum_{i,j\in[n]} |\langle v_i, v_j\rangle|^2 \ge \frac{n^2}{r}.$$

Moreover, it is known that the first Welch bound follows from the inequality $0 \leq ||\frac{1}{r}I - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^*||_F^2$ and thus choosing coefficients $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}^r$ such that $||I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i v_i v_i^*||_F^2$ is minimized would clearly strengthen the bound. Since choosing such coefficients is exactly equivalent to orthogonally projecting the identity I onto the span of $v_1v_1^*, \ldots, v_nv_n^*$, our method allows us to obtain a refinement of the first Welch bound. To state our result, recall that for any real symmetric matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ and corresponding unit eigenvectors u_1, \ldots, u_n , the Moore–Penrose generalized inverse of M is $M^{\dagger} = \sum_{i:\lambda_i > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^{\intercal}$.

Theorem 16. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f(x) = |x|^2$. Let \mathscr{C} be a collection of n unit vectors in \mathbb{C}^r and let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the corresponding Gram matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{\dagger}\mathbb{1} \le r,$$

with equality if and only if the $r \times r$ identity matrix I is in the span of $v_1v_1^*, \ldots, v_nv_n^*$. Moreover

$$\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathfrak{C}_f(M) \mathbb{1} \ge \frac{n^2}{r} \left(2 - \frac{\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{\dagger} \mathbb{1}}{r} \right),$$

and when $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)$ is invertible, we have equality if and only if $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)\mathbb{1} = \frac{n}{r}\mathbb{1}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 15, let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, define the linear map $\mathscr{W} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ by $We_i = v_i v_i^*$, and consider the adjoint map $\mathscr{W}^{\#} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \to \mathbb{C}^n$. We have that

$$(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})_{i,j} = |\langle v_i, v_j \rangle|^2 = f(M_{i,j})$$

for all $i, j \in [n]$, so that $\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W} = \mathfrak{C}_f(M)$. Observe that the $r \times r$ identity matrix I satisfies $\mathscr{W}^{\#}I = \mathbb{1}$. Moreover, it is well known (see e.g. [9]) that $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{\dagger}\mathscr{W}^{\#}: \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the range of \mathscr{W} , so that we obtain our first inequality

$$r = ||I||_F^2 \ge ||\mathscr{P}I||_F^2 = \langle I, \mathscr{P}I \rangle_F = I^{\#} \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#} \mathscr{W})^{\dagger} \mathscr{W}^{\#}I = \mathbb{1}^{\intercal} \mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{\dagger} \mathbb{1}.$$

Moreover, because \mathscr{P} is a projection, we have equality above if and only if $\mathscr{P}I = I$, which occurs if and only if I lies in the span of $v_1v_1^*, \ldots, v_nv_n^*$.

Now we use the fact that $\mathscr{P}I$ is the unique minimizer of the expression $||I - X||_F^2$ over all X in the range of \mathscr{W} , in order to conclude that

$$\begin{split} r - \mathbbm{1}^{\intercal} \mathfrak{C}_{f}(M)^{\dagger} \mathbbm{1} &= ||I||_{F}^{2} - ||\mathscr{P}I||_{F}^{2} = ||I - \mathscr{P}I||_{F}^{2} \leq \left| \left| I - \frac{r}{n} \mathscr{W} \mathbbm{1} \right| \right|_{F}^{2} \\ &= I^{\#}I - \frac{2r}{n} I^{\#} \mathscr{W} \mathbbm{1} + \frac{r^{2}}{n^{2}} \mathbbm{1}^{\intercal} \mathscr{W}^{\#} \mathscr{W} \mathbbm{1} \\ &= r - \frac{2r}{n} \mathbbm{1}^{\intercal} \mathbbm{1} + \frac{r^{2}}{n^{2}} \mathbbm{1}^{\intercal} \mathfrak{C}_{f}(M) \mathbbm{1} \\ &= \frac{r^{2}}{n^{2}} \mathbbm{1}^{\intercal} \mathfrak{C}_{f}(M) \mathbbm{1} - r, \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to the second desired inequality.

Furthermore, let us assume that $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)$ is invertible, so that $\mathscr{P}I = \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{\dagger}\mathscr{W}^{\#}I = \mathscr{W}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}\mathbb{1}$. By the uniqueness of $\mathscr{P}I$, the previous inequality is tight if and only if $\mathscr{P}I = \frac{r}{r}\mathscr{W}\mathbb{1}$. Thus if $\mathscr{P}I = \frac{r}{r}\mathscr{W}\mathbb{1}$, then we conclude

$$\frac{r}{n}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)\mathbb{1} = \frac{r}{n}\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W}\mathbb{1} = \mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{P}I = \mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}.$$

On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)\mathbb{1} = \frac{n}{r}\mathbb{1}$ then $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}\mathbb{1} = \frac{r}{n}\mathbb{1}$ and applying \mathscr{W} yields $\mathscr{P}I = \mathscr{W}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}\mathbb{1} = \frac{r}{n}\mathscr{W}\mathbb{1}$, as desired.

Remark. If \mathscr{C} is a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code, then $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} \left(I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} J\right)$ and so $\mathbb{1}^{\intercal} \mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{\dagger} \mathbb{1} \leq r$ in the above reduces to the relative bound.

Remark. If $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)$ is invertible, then the term $\mathbb{1}^{\intercal}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}\mathbb{1}$ in Theorem 16 can be computed without having to determine $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}$. Indeed, it suffices to find a solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)x =$ $\mathbb{1}$, since then $\mathbb{1}^{\intercal}x = \mathbb{1}^{\intercal}\mathfrak{C}_f(M)^{-1}\mathbb{1}$.

Connection to the Delsarte linear program

We conclude this section by discussing the connection between our projection method and the classical linear programming approach of Delsarte, Goethels, and Seidel [23, 24] via Gegenbauer polynomials. Observe that if we let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r , then with respect to the Frobenius inner product, projecting each $v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ onto the orthogonal complement of the identity I results in a collection of matrices $\mathscr{C}' = \{v_1 v_1^{\mathsf{T}} - \frac{1}{r}I, \ldots, v_r v_r^{\mathsf{T}} - \frac{1}{r}I\}$ which has the Gram matrix $M_{\mathscr{C}'} = \mathfrak{C}_{G_2^r}(M)$, where $G_2^r(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{r}$ is a scaled version of the second Gegenbauer polynomial of the r - 1-sphere. While spherical harmonics and Gegenbauer polynomials are usually derived using orthogonal spaces of harmonic homogeneous polynomials, see e.g. [24], one may equivalently obtain them via an appropriate generalization of the previous argument to symmetric tensors, see Ehrentraut and Muschik [27] for more information. Indeed, a symmetric matrix which is orthogonal to the identity can be viewed as a traceless symmetric 2-tensor and in general, there is an isomorphism between the inner product spaces of traceless symmetric k-tensors and harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree k. While this approach to spherical harmonics via symmetric tensors does not seem to be common in the mathematics literature, it is more well known in the physics literature, see e.g. [19, 27, 45, 62, 75].

In addition to being generalizations of the Chebyshev and Legendre polynomials, the significance of Gegenbauer polynomials goes back to a classical result of Schoenberg [66], who proved that a function $f: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ has the property that $\mathfrak{C}_f(M_{\mathscr{C}})$ is positive semidefinite for any finite set of unit vectors \mathscr{C} in \mathbb{R}^r , if and only if f is a nonnegative linear combination of Gegenbauer polynomials. Moreover, the inequality $\mathbb{1}^{\intercal} \mathfrak{C}_f(M_{\mathscr{C}}) \mathbb{1} \geq 0$ for a carefully chosen f underlies the linear programming approach of Delsarte, Goethels, and Seidel, which has the benefit of only requiring an upper bound on the off-diagonal entries of $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)$ in order to obtain an upper bound on n. Our approach could therefore be seen as a more refined use of the Euclidean geometry of (symmetric) matrices/tensors, with the drawback of requiring some control over the (generalized) inverse of $\mathfrak{C}_f(M)$ in order to be effective.

3 Equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r

In this section we will prove our main theorems regarding real equiangular lines. Our methods build on some of the ideas appearing in [6, 49, 50]. Letting $\mathscr{L} = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n\}$ be a set of n equiangular lines, we say that a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ represents \mathscr{L} if $v_i \in \ell_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. As noted in previous sections, choosing a unit vector along each l_i always yields a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code which represents \mathscr{L} where α is the cosine of the common angle. Therefore, instead of working with a family of lines directly, we will always consider some spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} which represents it.

The case $\alpha = 0$ is trivial since it corresponds to orthogonal vectors, so we shall henceforth assume $\alpha > 0$. As in [6], we will make use of the following inequality which quantifies the idea that a matrix whose off-diagonal entries are small compared to its diagonal entries must have high rank, see the survey of Alon [2] for more information.

Lemma 17. Let $L \in \mathscr{S}_n$ be a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(L)^2 \le \operatorname{tr}(L^2)\operatorname{rk}(L).$$

Proof. Let $r = \operatorname{rk}(L)$ and note that $\operatorname{tr}(L) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(L)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(L^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(L)^2$. The result now follows via Cauchy-Schwarz.

A crucial part of our approach will be to apply Theorem 14 to the Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ of a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} in order to obtain bounds on $\lambda_1(M)$, as well as a bound on the maximum degree of a corresponding graph. As a consequence, we will obtain upper bounds on the quantity $tr((M-H)^2)$, where H will be an appropriately chosen rank 1 matrix with a relatively small trace. Since subtracting H from M does not change the trace or rank by much, applying Lemma 17 to L = M - H will yield upper bounds on n. In particular, in Section 3.1 we will take $H = \lambda_1 u u^{\mathsf{T}}$ where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$ is the largest eigenvector of M and u is a corresponding unit eigenvector, and in Section 3.2 we will take $H = \alpha J$, i.e. the all α matrix.

3.1Spectral bounds

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1. The argument will proceed by using Theorem 14 to In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1. The argument will proceed by using Theorem 14 to show that when $n \ge \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$ of the Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ of a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} in \mathbb{R}^r is either quite small or very large. More precisely, we will show that $p(\lambda_1) \ge 0$ where p is a degree 3 polynomial with a positive leading term and 3 real roots $x_0 > x_1 > x_2$ satisfying $x_0 \ge \alpha n - \frac{1+o(1)}{4\alpha^2}$ and $x_1 \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$, so that either $\lambda_1 \ge x_0$ or $\lambda_1 \le x_1$. When λ_1 is large, i.e. $\lambda_1 \ge \alpha n - \frac{1+o(1)}{4\alpha^2}$, we will conclude that $n \le (1+o(1))\frac{r}{2\alpha}$ via the aforementioned argument, wherein we apply Lemma 17 with $L = M - \lambda_1 u u^{\intercal}$ and u being a unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 . Otherwise λ_1 is small, i.e. $\lambda_1 \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$, in which case we have that $n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) = tr(M^2) \le \lambda_1 tr(M) \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}n$ and therefore immediately conclude that

that $n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) = \operatorname{tr}(M^2) \leq \lambda_1 \operatorname{tr}(M) \leq \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} n$ and therefore immediately conclude that $n \leq \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$. Combining these two bounds will yield Theorem 1.

Lemma 18. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. If $n = |\mathscr{C}|$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$ is the largest eigenvalue then

$$\lambda_1^3 - \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) \lambda_1 + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) n \ge 0,$$

with equality whenever $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$.

Proof. Let x be a unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 and let $i \in [n]$. Letting $y = e_i$, we compute that $\langle x, Mx \rangle = \lambda_1$, $\langle y, My \rangle = 1$, $\langle x, My \rangle = e_i^{\mathsf{T}} Mx = \lambda_1 x_i$, $\langle Mx, My \rangle = e_i^{\mathsf{T}} M^2 x = \lambda_1^2 x_i$, and for $f(t) = t^2$, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathfrak{C}_f(Mx), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle &= \left\langle \lambda_1^2 \mathfrak{C}_f(x), \alpha^2 \mathbbm{1} + (1 - \alpha^2) e_i \right\rangle = \alpha^2 \lambda_1^2 \left\langle \mathfrak{C}_f(x), \mathbbm{1} \right\rangle + (1 - \alpha^2) \lambda_1^2 \left\langle \mathfrak{C}_f(x), e_i \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha^2 \lambda_1^2 + (1 - \alpha^2) \lambda_1^2 x_i^2. \end{split}$$

Applying Theorem 14 therefore gives

$$\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2 x_i^2\right) + \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda_1^4 x_i^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \ge \alpha^2 \lambda_1^2 + (1-\alpha^2) \lambda_1^2 x_i^2.$$

Since $\alpha, \lambda_1 > 0$ and x is a unit vector, multiplying by $\frac{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2 \lambda_1}$ and summing over all $i \in [n]$ yields the desired inequality. Moreover, if $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$ then via Theorem 14 we have equality in the above.

In order to bound the largest root of the polynomial in the preceding lemma, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 19. Let $p(x) = x^3 - bx + c$ be a polynomial such that b, c > 0 and $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} \le 0.31$. Then the largest root of p is at least $\sqrt{b} - \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{3c^2}{4b^{5/2}}$.

Proof. Let $z = \frac{c}{b^{3/2}}$ and $x = 1 - \frac{z}{2} - \frac{3}{8}z^2 - \frac{6}{5}z^3$ so that $\sqrt{b}x \ge \sqrt{b} - \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{3c^2}{4b^{5/2}}$. Since the leading term of p is positive, it will suffice to show that $p\left(\sqrt{b}x\right) \le 0$. To this end, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p\left(\sqrt{b}x\right)}{b^{3/2}} &= x^3 - x + z = z^3\left(-\frac{7}{5} + \frac{1197}{320}z + \frac{1017}{640}z^2 + \frac{37341}{12800}z^3 - \frac{2133}{800}z^4 - \frac{81}{50}z^5 - \frac{216}{125}z^6\right) \\ &< z^3\left(-\frac{7}{5} + \frac{1197}{320}z + \frac{1017}{640}z^2 + \frac{37341}{12800}z^3\right) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Via Viète's formula, the largest root of $x^3 - bx + c$ is $2\sqrt{\frac{b}{3}}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(\frac{-3^{3/2}c}{2b^{3/2}}\right)\right)$. However, to use this expression we would still need to approximate it by a Taylor polynomial with an explicit bound on the error term, so we found it simpler to derive such a bound directly.

We now obtain the desired bounds on the roots of the polynomial from Lemma 18. In particular, it will be convenient to obtain a bound on the square of the largest root, as follows.

Lemma 20. Let $\alpha \leq 1/3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$. Then the polynomial $p(x) = x^3 - \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) x + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) n$ has 3 real roots $x_0 > x_1 > x_2$ satisfying $x_1 \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$ and $x_0^2 > n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}n - \frac{5(1-\alpha^2)^2(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha)}{16\alpha^4}$.

Proof. Let $b = \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} \right)$ and $c = \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) n$ so that $p(x) = x^3 - bx + c$. We would like to apply Lemma 19 so we need to bound $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} = \frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{2\alpha^3 \sqrt{n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}} \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} \right)^{3/2}}$.

Using the assumption that $n \ge \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$, one may check by differentiating that $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}}$ is decreasing in n, and therefore

$$\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} \le \frac{(1-\alpha^2)^2(1-2\alpha^2)}{4\alpha^7 \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^4}} \left(\frac{(1-\alpha^2)^2}{2\alpha^4}\right)^{3/2}} = \frac{\alpha(1-2\alpha^2)}{(1-\alpha^2)^{3/2}} < .31,$$

where the last inequality follows since $\frac{\alpha(1-2\alpha^2)}{(1-\alpha^2)^{3/2}}$ is increasing in α on the interval [0, 1/3]. Thus, we may apply Lemma 19 to conclude that $x_0 \ge \sqrt{b} - \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{3c^2}{4b^{5/2}}$ and hence

$$\begin{split} x_0^2 &\geq b - \frac{c}{\sqrt{b}} - \frac{5c^2}{4b^2} + \frac{3c^3}{4b^{7/2}} + \frac{9c^4}{16b^5} \\ &> b - \frac{c}{\sqrt{b}} - \frac{5c^2}{4b^2} \\ &= n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha} n \sqrt{\frac{n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2}}{n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}}} - \frac{5(1 - \alpha^2)^2 n^2}{16\alpha^4 \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} \right)^2} \\ &> n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} n - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha} n \left(1 + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{4\alpha^2 n} \right) - \frac{5(1 - \alpha^2)^2}{16\alpha^4} \\ &= n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \alpha)}{2\alpha} n - \frac{5(1 - \alpha^2)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{5} \alpha \right)}{16\alpha^4}, \end{split}$$

as desired.

Now we turn to bounding the second largest root x_1 by $\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$. To this end, first note that p(0) > 0 and since p has a positive leading term, the smallest root $x_2 < 0$. Moreover, we have

$$p\left(\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) = \left(\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right)^3 - \frac{(1-\alpha^2)^2}{4\alpha^2}\left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) \le \left(\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right)^3 - \frac{(1-\alpha^2)^2}{4\alpha^2}\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^4} = 0,$$

and hence p must have a root in the interval $\left[0, \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right]$. To see that x_1 must be this root, it remains to check that $x_0 > \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$. Using the fact that $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} < .31$ and $n \ge \binom{1/\alpha^2-1}{2}$, we conclude $x_0 \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} - \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{c}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2\right)\sqrt{b} \ge \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{b} > \frac{3}{4}\alpha\left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) \ge \frac{3(1-\alpha^2)^2}{8\alpha^3} > \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$. \Box We also need the following simple upper bound on the largest eigenvalue of the Gram matrix.

Lemma 21. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. Then $\lambda_1(M) \leq \alpha n + 1 - \alpha$.

Proof. Let u be a unit eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1(M)$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz, we obtain

$$\lambda_1(M) = u^{\mathsf{T}} M u \leq \sum_{i,j \in [n]} |M_{i,j}| |u_i| |u_j| = (1-\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|\right)^2$$
$$\leq (1-\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 + \alpha n \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2$$
$$= 1 - \alpha + \alpha n.$$

We now have what we need to verify Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code representing $n = N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r and let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the corresponding Gram matrix with largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$. Via Lemma 18, we have that

$$p(\lambda_1) = \lambda_1^3 - \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) \lambda_1 + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) n \ge 0.$$

Furthermore, recalling that $r_{\alpha} = \frac{1-\alpha^2(3+2\alpha^2)}{\alpha^3+\alpha^4\left(1+\frac{5(1+\alpha)\left(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha\right)}{4(1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha^2)}\right)} + 1$, we observe that when $r > r_{\alpha}$,

$$\frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r-1) > \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r^*-1) = \frac{\binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2} - 2}{1 + \frac{5\alpha(1 + \frac{2}{5}\alpha)}{4(1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha^2)}} > \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2} - 2 - \frac{5(1+\alpha)\left(1 + \frac{2}{5}\alpha\right)}{8\alpha^3}$$

where the last inequality can be verified by cross-multiplication. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that $n \ge \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$, as the upper bound we are trying to prove is always at least this quantity. Hence we may apply Lemma 20 to conclude that p has roots $x_0 > x_1 > x_2$ satisfying $x_1 \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$ and $x_0^2 > n(\alpha^2(n-1)+1) - \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}n - \frac{5(1-\alpha^2)^2(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha)}{16\alpha^4}$. Since p is a degree 3 polynomial with a positive leading term, p(x) < 0 for all $x \in (x_1, x_0)$ and hence either $\lambda_1 \le x_1$ or $\lambda_1 \ge x_0$.

We first consider the case that $\lambda_1 \leq x_1$. Letting $r' = \operatorname{rk}(M)$ and using $x_1 \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$, we immediately conclude

$$n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) = \operatorname{tr}(M^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{r'} \lambda_i(M)^2 \le \lambda_1 \operatorname{tr}(M) \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} n$$

and hence obtain the bound $n \leq \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$ with equality if and only if $\lambda_i(M) = \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r'$. Note also that r' is the dimension of the span of \mathscr{C} and by changing bases, we may assume that $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{r'}$, so that if we let V be the $r' \times n$ matrix with *i*th column v_i , then $M = V^{\mathsf{T}}V$ has the same nonzero eigenvalues as the $r' \times r'$ matrix $VV^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}$. Therefore, $n = \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$ implies that $\lambda_i(M) = \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r'$ which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} I_{r'}$. Moreover, by taking the trace we have $\binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2} = n = \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}r'$ and so $r' = 1/\alpha^2 - 2$, i.e. \mathscr{C} forms a tight frame in $\mathbb{R}^{1/\alpha^2 - 2}$.

Now let us consider the alternative case that $\lambda_1 \geq x_0$. Let u be a unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 and let $L = M - \lambda_1 u u^{\intercal}$. Lemma 21 implies that $\operatorname{tr}(L) = n - \lambda_1 \geq (1 - \alpha)(n - 1)$ and we also have $\operatorname{rk}(L) = r - 1$ and $\operatorname{tr}(L^2) = \operatorname{tr}(M^2) - \lambda_1^2 \leq n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - x_0^2$. Therefore, applying Lemma 17 to L and using our bound on x_0^2 yields

$$(1-\alpha)^2 (n-1)^2 \le \operatorname{tr}(L)^2 \le (r-1)\operatorname{tr}(L^2) \le (r-1)(n(\alpha^2 n+1-\alpha^2)-\lambda_1^2) < (r-1)\left(\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}n+\frac{5(1-\alpha^2)^2(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha)}{16\alpha^4}\right)$$

and dividing by $(1 - \alpha)^2 n$, we conclude

$$n - 2 < \frac{(n-1)^2}{n} < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)^2\left(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha\right)}{16\alpha^4}\frac{(r-1)}{n}.$$
 (2)

Now using $n \ge \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$ and solving for r - 1 in (2), we conclude that $r - 1 > r_{\alpha} - 1$. Thus if we assume that $r \le r_{\alpha}$, then we have shown that the case $\lambda_1 \ge x_0$ cannot occur and so we must have $\lambda_1 \le x_1$, in which case we showed that $n \le \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$ with equality only if \mathscr{C} forms a tight frame in $\mathbb{R}^{1/\alpha^2-2}$. Otherwise suppose that $r > r_{\alpha}$. If $\lambda_1 \leq x_1$, we showed that $n \leq \binom{1/\alpha^2 - 1}{2}$ and therefore, via a previous calculation we obtain the desired bound $n \leq {\binom{1/\alpha^2-1}{2}} < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)\left(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha\right)}{8\alpha^3} + 2$. Otherwise $\lambda_1 \geq x_0$. In this case, we may assume that $n \geq \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r-1)$ (as otherwise we would be done) and therefore, we may use (2) to obtain the desired bound $n < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)^2(1+\frac{2}{5}\alpha)}{8\alpha^3} + 2.$

3.2**Degree bounds**

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 2, Theorem 3, and Theorem 4 via studying the graph of negative inner products corresponding to a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} . More precisely, given $\mathscr C$ we define the corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr C}$ to have vertex set $V(G) = \mathscr C$ and edge set $E(G) = \{uv : u, v \in \mathscr{C} \text{ and } \langle u, v \rangle = -\alpha\}.$ We will denote the elements of \mathscr{C} by v_1, \ldots, v_n and will refer to them as vertices or vectors depending on the context. Letting A = A(G) be the adjacency matrix of G and $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the Gram matrix of \mathscr{C} , the connection between equiangular lines and graphs is encapsulated in the equation

$$M = \alpha J - 2\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)I.$$
(3)

We will also need to choose the spherical code which represents our given family of equiangular lines more carefully. To this end, note that negating a vector v in $\mathscr C$ yields another spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code which represents the same family of lines. This operation is known in the literature as switching and in terms of the corresponding graph, it has the effect of exchanging the edges and non-edges incident to v. We say that $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$ is a restricted spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code if the corresponding graph $G_{\mathscr{C}}$ has an isolated vertex, and note that any spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code can be made as such by applying a switching to the vertices neighboring a given vertex.

In view of (3), our argument will then proceed by using Theorem 14 to conclude that when $n \geq \Omega(1/\alpha^5)$, the degree of each vertex is either small (at most $\frac{1+o(1)}{8\alpha^4}$) or large (at least $n-\alpha^2$) $\frac{1+o(1)}{8\alpha^4}$). Using the fact that M is positive semidefinite, we will show that the number of vertices with large degree is small and by switching them, we will ensure that our graph has maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ bounded by $\frac{1+o(1)}{4\alpha^4}$.

Next, we will introduce a spectral graph parameter $\beta(G)$ which can be seen as a generalization of the second largest eigenvalue of a regular graph and we will apply an Alon–Boppana-type argument to $\beta(G)$ in order to obtain sharper bounds on Δ . In particular, we will show that either Δ is large, i.e. $\Delta \geq \alpha n/4$, in which case we will immediately conclude $n \leq \frac{1+o(1)}{\alpha^5}$ or otherwise, Δ is small, i.e. $\Delta \leq \frac{1+o(1)}{4\alpha^2}$. In this case, we will conclude that $n \leq (1+o(1))2r$ via the argument mentioned in the beginning of Section 3, wherein we apply Lemma 17 with $L = M - \alpha J$. Combining these bounds will yield Theorem 2. Moreover, when $r \gg 1/\alpha^5$ we will apply a sharper Alon–Boppana-type theorem using a result of Jiang [48] to obtain Theorem 3. Finally, when $r \geq 2^{1/\alpha^C}$ where C is a constant, we will combine our bound on Δ with the arguments of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50] to obtain Theorem 4.

Lemma 22. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a restricted spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with v_1 being the isolated vertex of the corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr{C}}$. For all $i \geq 2$, the degree $d(v_i)$ of the *i*th vertex satisfies

$$\left(n-2d(v_i)+\frac{2}{\alpha}-2\right)^2 \ge \left(n+\frac{1}{\alpha^2}-1\right)\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}-3\right)\right),$$

with equality whenever $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $i \ge 2$, $x = e_1$, $y = e_i$, and let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the corresponding Gram matrix. Since v_1 is an isolated vertex, we compute that $\langle x, My \rangle = M_{1,i} = \alpha$ and $\langle x, Mx \rangle = \langle y, My \rangle = 1$. Note also that $Me_1 = \alpha \mathbb{1} + (1 - \alpha)e_1$ and via (3) we have $\langle \mathbb{1}, Me_i \rangle = 1 - \alpha + \alpha(n - 2d(v_i))$, so that

$$\langle Mx, My \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbb{1}, Me_i \rangle + \alpha (1 - \alpha) = \alpha^2 \left(n - 2d(v_i) + 2/\alpha - 2 \right)$$

and moreover, letting $f(t) = t^2$ we have

$$\langle \mathfrak{C}_f(Mx), \mathfrak{C}_f(My) \rangle = \left\langle \alpha^2 \mathbb{1} + (1 - \alpha^2)e_1, \alpha^2 \mathbb{1} + (1 - \alpha^2)e_i \right\rangle = \alpha^4 n + 2\alpha^2 (1 - \alpha^2).$$

Applying Theorem 14, we therefore conclude

$$\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\left(1+\alpha^2\right) + \frac{\alpha^6(n-2d(v_i)+2/\alpha-2)^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \ge \alpha^4 n + 2\alpha^2(1-\alpha^2).$$

Multiplying by $\frac{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^6}$ now yields the desired bound. Moreover, if $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$ then equality in the above also follows from Theorem 14.

Note that when $n \ge \Omega(1/\alpha^5)$, Lemma 22 implies that the vertices of G can be partitioned into those of low and high degree. We now show that the number of high degree vertices is small, so that by negating them, we obtain a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \le \frac{1+o(1)}{4\alpha^4}$.

Lemma 23. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \to 0$ and let \mathscr{L} be a family of n equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r with common angle $\arccos(\alpha)$. If $n \ge \Omega(1/\alpha^5)$, then there exists a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} representing \mathscr{L} whose corresponding graph $G_{\mathscr{C}}$ has maximum degree

$$\Delta\left(G_{\mathscr{C}}\right) \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{4\alpha^4}.$$

Proof. As previously mentioned, choosing a unit vector along each line of \mathscr{L} yields a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and by negating the vertices incident to v_1 , we may assume that \mathscr{C} is restricted with $d(v_1) = 0$. Applying Lemma 22 for $i \in [n]$ with $i \geq 2$, we have that

$$\left| n - 2d(v_i) + \frac{2}{\alpha} - 2 \right| \ge \sqrt{n^2 - \frac{1 + O(\alpha^3)}{2\alpha^4}} \ge n - \frac{1 + O(\alpha^3)}{4\alpha^4}$$

and we therefore conclude that the vertices of \mathscr{C} may be partitioned into those of low degree $L = \{v \in \mathscr{C} : d(v) \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}\}$ and high degree $H = \{v \in \mathscr{C} : d(v) \geq n - \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}\}$.

We now show that $|H| \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}$ by bootstrapping a series of weaker bounds. Let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the corresponding Gram matrix and let $A = A(G_{\mathscr{C}})$ be the adjacency matrix of $G_{\mathscr{C}}$. Using (3) and the fact that M is positive semidefinite, we first obtain that

$$0 \leq \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} M \mathbb{1} = \alpha \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} J \mathbb{1} + (1-\alpha) \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} I \mathbb{1} - 2\alpha \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} A \mathbb{1} = \alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n - 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n d(v_i)$$
$$\leq (1+O(\alpha^4))\alpha n^2 - 2\alpha (1-O(\alpha))n|H|$$

and hence $|H| \leq (1 + O(\alpha))\frac{n}{2}$. We now count |E(H, L)| the number of edges between H and L in two ways, yielding

$$|H|\left(n - \frac{1 + O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}\right) - |H|^2 \le \sum_{v \in H} d(v) - |H|^2 \le |E(H, L)| \le \sum_{v \in L} d(v) \le (n - |H|) \frac{1 + O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}$$

and therefore

$$|H|\left(1-\frac{|H|}{n}\right) \le \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}$$

Since $|H| \leq (1 + O(\alpha))\frac{n}{2}$, the previous inequality implies the stronger bound $|H| \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{4\alpha^4}$ and applying the previous inequality again with this stronger bound, we conclude that $|H| \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}$.

Now define $\mathscr{C}' = \{-v : v \in H\} \cup L$, i.e. the spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code obtained from \mathscr{C} by switching all vectors in H. Note that \mathscr{C}' still represents \mathscr{L} and the graph $G' = G_{\mathscr{C}'}$ corresponding to \mathscr{C}' is obtained from G by flipping all edges between H and L. Therefore, for each vertex $v \in L$, its degree can only increase by |H|, i.e. $d_{G'}(v) \leq d_G(v) + |H| \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{4\alpha^4}$. Moreover, for each vertex $v \in H$ the degree of -v in G' is

$$d_{G'}(-v) = |L \setminus N_G(v)| + |H \cap N_G(v)| \le n - d_G(v) + |H| \le \frac{1 + O(\alpha)}{\alpha^4},$$

as desired.

Now that we have established an upper bound on Δ , the proof of Theorem 2 will proceed by using Alon–Boppana-type arguments in order to obtain sharper bounds on Δ . To this end, for any graph G on n vertices with adjacency matrix A = A(G), we define

$$\beta(G) = \max_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\\x \perp 1}} \frac{x^{\mathsf{T}} A x}{x^{\mathsf{T}} x},$$

i.e. the maximum Rayleigh quotient over all vectors orthogonal to the all ones vector 1. Note that by the Courant min-max principle, the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_2(G) \leq \beta(G)$ with equality when G is regular, so that β can be seen as an extension to all graphs of the second eigenvalue of a regular graph. Using (3), we immediately obtain the following characterization of β for the graph corresponding to a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code.

Lemma 24. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr{C}}$. Then

$$\beta(G) \le \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$$

with equality whenever $n \geq r+2$.

Proof. Let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the corresponding Gram matrix and A = A(G) be the adjacency matrix of the corresponding graph. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \perp 1$. Then Jx = 0 and so via (3) we have

$$0 \le x^{\mathsf{T}} M x = (1 - \alpha) x^{\mathsf{T}} I x - 2\alpha x^{\mathsf{T}} A x,$$

so that dividing by $x^{\mathsf{T}}x$ we obtain $\frac{x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax}{x^{\mathsf{T}}x} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$. Since x was arbitrary, we conclude that $\beta(G) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$ as desired.

Moreover, if $n \ge r+2$ then since $\operatorname{rk}(M) \le r$, the nullspace $\{x : Mx = 0\}$ of M has dimension at least 2 and therefore must contain a nonzero vector x which is orthogonal to 1. Using (3), we conclude

$$0 = x^{\mathsf{T}} M x = (1 - \alpha) x^{\mathsf{T}} x - 2\alpha x^{\mathsf{T}} A x$$

which implies that $\beta(G) \ge \frac{x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax}{x^{\mathsf{T}}x} = \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$.

Since we have just obtained an upper bound on β , we now show how to bound it from below by a function of its average or maximum degree, as in the Alon–Boppana theorem. In particular, we employ Friedman and Tillich's approach of starting with a subgraph H and "projecting out the constant" [33], as demonstrated in the following lemma.

Lemma 25. Let G be a graph on n vertices with maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ and average degree $\overline{d} = \overline{d}(G)$. For any subgraph $H \subseteq G$, we have

$$\beta(G) \ge \lambda_1(H) - \frac{2\Delta - \overline{d}}{n}|H|.$$

Proof. Let A = A(G) be the adjacency matrix corresponding to G and let x be a unit eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1(H)$, so that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{|H|}$ and by the Perron–Frobenius theorem all coordinates of x are nonnegative. Observe that we may extend x to \mathbb{R}^n by adding 0s such that $x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax = \lambda_1(H)$.

Now we define $y = x - \frac{\langle x, 1 \rangle}{n} \mathbb{1}$, i.e. the projection of x onto the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{1}$. Observe that $y^{\mathsf{T}}y = ||x||^2 - \frac{\langle x, 1 \rangle^2}{n} \leq 1$ and moreover, if we let $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ then

$$\begin{split} y^{\mathsf{T}}Ay &= x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax - 2\frac{\langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle}{n} \mathbbm{1}^{\mathsf{T}}Ax + \frac{\langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle^2}{n^2} \mathbbm{1}^{\mathsf{T}}A\mathbbm{1} = \lambda_1(H) - 2\frac{\langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i d(v_i) + \frac{\langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle^2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n d(v_i) \\ &\geq \lambda_1(H) - 2\frac{\langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle}{n} \Delta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{\langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle^2}{n} \overline{d} \\ &= \lambda_1(H) - \frac{2\Delta - \overline{d}}{n} \langle x, \mathbb{I} \rangle^2 \,. \end{split}$$

Additionally, note that since x has at most |H| nonzero coordinates, we have via Cauchy-Schwarz that $\langle x, 1 \rangle \leq ||x|| \sqrt{|H|} = \sqrt{|H|}$. Therefore, we conclude the desired bound

$$\beta(G) \ge \frac{y^{\mathsf{T}} A y}{y^{\mathsf{T}} y} \ge y^{\mathsf{T}} A y \ge \lambda_1(H) - \frac{2\Delta - \overline{d}}{n} |H|.$$

The previous lemma suggests finding a small subgraph H with $\lambda_1(H)$ large and indeed, in the proof of the Alon–Boppana theorem one takes H to be a ball centered at a vertex. For the proof of Theorem 2, we will take $H = K_{1,t}$, i.e. the graph consisting of a vertex connected to tother vertices, where $t \leq \Delta$ will be chosen appropriately depending on how large Δ is relative to n. We first show that $\lambda_1(K_{1,t}) = \sqrt{t}$.

Lemma 26. For all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\lambda_1(K_{1,t}) = \sqrt{t}$.

Proof. Let $H = K_{1,t}$ and assume without loss of generality that $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{t+1}\}$ and $v_{t+1}v_i \in E(H)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let A = A(H) be the corresponding adjacency matrix and observe that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{t+1}$, $x^{\intercal}Ax = 2x_{t+1}\sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i$. Note that $\lambda_1(H)$ is the maximum of $x^{\intercal}Ax$ over all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{t+1}$ satisfying ||x|| = 1. It is a straightforward calculation to verify that the maximum occurs when $x_i = 1/\sqrt{2t}$ for $i \in [t]$ and $x_{t+1} = 1/\sqrt{2}$, in which case we have $x^{\intercal}Ax = \sqrt{t}$.

Now we combine Lemma 25 and Lemma 26 to obtain the desired lower bound on β .

Lemma 27. Let G be a graph on n vertices with maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$. For all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \leq \Delta$, we have

$$\beta(G) \ge \sqrt{t} - \frac{2\Delta(t+1)}{n}.$$

Proof. Observe that we can always find a copy of $K_{1,t}$ as a subgraph of G. Indeed, just consider a vertex v having degree $d(v) = \Delta$, together with t of its neighbors. Letting H be this subgraph and applying Lemma 26 and Lemma 25, we obtain the desired

$$\beta(G) \ge \lambda_1(H) - \frac{2\Delta}{n} |H| \ge \sqrt{t} - \frac{2\Delta(t+1)}{n}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 2, we will apply Lemma 17 to the matrix $L = M - \alpha J$, thereby deriving a bound on n in terms of the average degree of the corresponding graph. We will also make use of this argument when proving Theorem 3, so we state it as a separate lemma.

Lemma 28. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with corresponding graph $G_{\mathscr{C}}$ having average degree $\overline{d} = \overline{d}(G_{\mathscr{C}})$. Then

$$n \le \left(1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^2 \overline{d}\right)(r+1).$$

Proof. Let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the Gram matrix corresponding to \mathscr{C} and let $A = A(G_{\mathscr{C}})$ be the adjacency matrix of the corresponding graph. If we define $L = M_{\mathscr{C}} - \alpha J$ then using the subadditivity of rank, we have $\operatorname{rk}(L) \leq \operatorname{rk}(M) + \operatorname{rk}(-\alpha J) \leq r + 1$. Moreover, using (3) we also have $L = (1 - \alpha)I - 2\alpha A$, so that $\operatorname{tr}(L) = (1 - \alpha)n$ and

$$\operatorname{tr}(L^2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n L_{i,j}^2 = (1-\alpha)^2 n + 4\alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d(v_i) = \left((1-\alpha)^2 + 4\alpha^2 \overline{d}\right) n.$$

Clearly L is symmetric and so we may apply Lemma 17 to conclude

$$(1-\alpha)^2 n^2 = \operatorname{tr}(L)^2 \le \operatorname{tr}(L^2) \operatorname{rk}(L) \le ((1-\alpha)^2 + 4\alpha^2 \overline{d}) n(r+1)$$

Dividing by $(1 - \alpha)^2 n$ now yields the desired bound.

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider $n = N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r . Observe that it suffices to show that $n \leq \max\left(\frac{1+O(\alpha)}{\alpha^5}, \left(2+O(\alpha^2)\right)r\right)$. If $n \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^5}$ then we are done, so henceforth we may assume that $n > \frac{1}{\alpha^5}$ without loss of generality. Therefore, we may apply Lemma 23 to conclude that there exists a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} representing these lines whose corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr{C}}$ has maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G) \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{4\alpha^4}$. We now consider two cases based on whether Δ is small or large relative to $n^{2/3}$.

First let us suppose that Δ is sufficiently large, i.e. $4\Delta^{3/2} \ge n$. Then $t = \left\lceil \frac{n^2}{16\Delta^2} \right\rceil$ satisfies $t \le \Delta$ and so we may apply Lemma 27 to obtain

$$\beta(G) \ge \sqrt{t} - \frac{2\Delta(t+1)}{n} \ge \frac{n}{4\Delta} - \frac{2\Delta\left(\frac{n^2}{16\Delta^2} + 2\right)}{n} = \frac{n}{8\Delta} - O(\alpha).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 24 gives $\beta(G) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$ and so we immediately obtain our desired bound $n \leq \frac{4\Delta}{\alpha} \leq \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{\alpha^5}$.

Otherwise, Δ cannot be too large, i.e. $4\Delta^{3/2} < n$. In this case, we will show that Δ must in fact be very small via bootstrapping weaker bounds. To this end, note that applying Lemma 24 and Lemma 27 with $t = \Delta$ gives

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} \ge \beta(G) \ge \sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2\Delta(\Delta+1)}{n}.$$
(4)

Using (4) together with $4\Delta^{3/2} < n$, we obtain $\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} \ge \sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2\Delta^2}{n} - \frac{2\Delta}{n} \ge \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} - O(\alpha)$. It follows that $\Delta \le 1/\alpha^2 + O(1)$ and so by once again applying (4) and using this stronger bound, we

conclude that $\Delta \leq \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right)^2 + O(1)$. Now applying Lemma 28 and using the fact that the average degree $\overline{d}(G) \leq \Delta$, we conclude the desired bound

$$n \le \left(1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right)^2 + O(1)\right)\right) (r+1) = \left(2 + O\left(\alpha^2\right)\right) (r+1).$$

In the previous proof, we used Lemma 27 with H being a ball of radius 1, but in order to prove Theorem 3, we will take H to be a ball of some radius $q \ge 2$. More specifically, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any graph G with vertex $v \in V(G)$, we define G(v,q) to be the subset of vertices for which there exists a path from v of length at most q, i.e. the ball of radius q centered at vin G. We first recall the well-known fact that $|G(v,q)| \le \sum_{i=0}^{q} \Delta^i = \frac{\Delta^{q+1}-1}{\Delta^{-1}}$. Indeed, there can be at most Δ^i vertices at a distance of exactly i from v. We will also make use of the following lemma of Jiang [48] (see also Jiang and Polyanskii [49]) showing that for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, every graph has a ball of radius q whose first eigenvalue is large.

Lemma 29 (Jiang [48]). Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be a graph on n vertices with average degree $\overline{d} = \overline{d}(G) \geq 1$. Then there exists a vertex $v_0 \in V(G)$ such that

$$\lambda_1(G(v_0,q)) \ge 2\sqrt{\overline{d}-1}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{q+2}\right)$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Consider $n = N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r . Note that we may assume $n \ge r$ as otherwise we are done, so that if $n \le 1/\alpha^5$ then we are done for α sufficiently small. Otherwise, we may assume that $n > 1/\alpha^5$ and so we may apply Lemma 23 to conclude that there exists a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} representing these lines whose corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr{C}}$ has maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G) \le \frac{1+O(\alpha)}{8\alpha^4}$. Repeating the argument in Theorem 2 and using the fact that $n > 1/\alpha^5$, we conclude that Δ must be small, i.e. $\Delta \le O(1/\alpha^2)$.

We now use Lemma 25 in order to obtain a strong upper bound on \overline{d} . If $\overline{d} \leq 1$ then we are done, and otherwise we may apply Lemma 29 to obtain a vertex v_0 such that $H = G(v_0, q)$, i.e. the ball of radius q centered at v_0 , satisfies $\lambda_1(H) \geq 2\sqrt{\overline{d}-1} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{q+2}\right)$. Also, we have that $|H| \leq \frac{\Delta^{q+1}-1}{\Delta-1} \leq O(\Delta^q)$ and thus $\frac{\Delta|H|}{n} \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2q+2}r}\right) \leq \frac{o(1)}{\alpha}$. Therefore, we may apply Lemma 24 and Lemma 25 in order to conclude

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} \ge \beta(G) \ge \lambda_1(H) - \frac{2\Delta|H|}{n} \ge 2\sqrt{d-1}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{q+2}\right) - \frac{o(1)}{\alpha},$$

so that $\overline{d} \leq \frac{1+o(1)}{16\alpha^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{q+2}\right)}$. The desired result now follows via Lemma 28.

We now turn to proving Theorem 4, for which we will need the following sublinear bound on the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a connected graph obtained by Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50].

Theorem 30. There exists a constant B > 0 such that if G is a connected graph on n vertices with maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, then the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(G)$ satisfies

$$m_G(\lambda_2) \le \frac{B\log\Delta}{\log\log n}n.$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [50], one may take $c(\Delta, 2) = \frac{1}{B \log \Delta}$ for a sufficiently large constant B.

Will also need Lemma 6, the lower bound construction mentioned in Section 1.1. Since the proofs of Lemma 6 and Theorem 4 follow that of Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.2 of [50], we only provide sketches of the proofs here. In particular, we do not attempt to optimize the constant C in Theorem 4.

Proof sketch of Lemma 6. By taking a standard basis in \mathbb{R}^r and rotating each vector the

same amount towards the all ones vector, we trivially have $N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r) \geq r$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If the spectral radius $k < \infty$ then there exists a graph H with k vertices such that $\lambda_1(H) = \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$, so we may let G consist of $\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{k-1} \right\rfloor$ disjoint copies of H together with $d-1-(k-1)\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{k-1} \right\rfloor$ isolated vertices. In view of (3) and using the adjacency matrix of A = A(G) of G, we define $M = (1 - \alpha)I + \alpha J - 2\alpha A$ and observe that it is an $n \times n$ positive semidefinite with rank at most r, where $n = \left\lfloor \frac{k(r-1)}{k-1} \right\rfloor$. Therefore, M is the Gram matrix of some spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with n elements.

Proof sketch of Theorem 4. For the lower bound in claim 1 of Theorem 4, we use Lemma 6. Now for the upper bound, consider $n = N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{R}}(r)$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r where $r \geq 2^{1/\alpha^C}$ and C is a sufficiently large constant. If $n \leq r+1$ then we are done and otherwise n is large enough so that we may apply Lemma 23 to conclude that there exists a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code \mathscr{C} representing these lines whose corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr{C}}$ has maximum degree $\Delta \leq O(1/\alpha^4)$. Since the These fines whose corresponding graph $G = G_{\mathscr{C}}$ has maximum degree $\Delta \leq O(1/\alpha^{-1})$. Since the nullspace of the corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ has dimension at least 2, using (3) one may conclude that $\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$ is an eigenvalue of G. Therefore $\lambda_1(G) \geq \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$ and so if we consider the connected components G_1, \ldots, G_t of G ordered so that $\lambda_1(G_1) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_1(G_t)$, then we either have $\lambda_1(G_1) > \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$ or $\lambda_1(G_1) = \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$. If $\lambda_1(G_1) > \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$, then one can show that $\lambda_1(G_i) < \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$ for all $i \geq 2$ (follows from $\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} = \beta(G) \geq z^{\mathsf{T}}Az$ where z is a linear combination of eigenvectors corresponding to the largest eigenvalues of G_1 and G_i , such that $z \perp 1$). Therefore, $m_{G_1}(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}) = m_G(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha})$. Moreover, using (3) the rank-nullity theorem, and the subadditivity of rank, one may show that

over, using (3), the rank–nullity theorem, and the subadditivity of rank, one may show that $n - m_G\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) \le r+1$ and so we may apply Theorem 30 to G_1 to conclude

$$n - r - 1 \le \mathrm{m}_G\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}\right) = \mathrm{m}_{G_1}\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}\right) \le O\left(\frac{\log\Delta}{\log\log n}n\right) \le O\left(\frac{\log(1/\alpha)}{\log\log r}n\right).$$

Since $r \ge 2^{1/\alpha^C}$, we obtain the bound $n \le r + \frac{C \log(1/\alpha)}{2 \log \log r} r$ for C sufficiently large. Otherwise $\lambda_1(G_1) = \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$, in which case the existence of G_1 implies that the spectral radius $k = k \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) < \infty$, and so we have proven claim 3 of Theorem 4. Furthermore, one can show that $m_G\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) \ge n-r+1$. Indeed, by the rank–nullity theorem, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{rk}\left((1-\alpha)I - 2\alpha A\right) \le \operatorname{rk}(M) - 1$, which follows from (3) by using the fact that $(1-\alpha)I - 2\alpha A$ and αJ are both positive semidefinite (so that the intersection of their nullspaces is the nullspace of M) and using the Perron-Frobenius theorem (there is an eigenvector in the nullspace of $(1-\alpha)I-2\alpha A$ with all nonnegative coordinates, so it is not in the nullspace of αJ). Furthermore, if we let $S = \{i : \lambda_1(G_i) = \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}\}$, then by definition of k we have $|V(G_i)| \ge k$ for all $i \in S$ and hence $n \ge |S|k$. Moreover, the Perron–Frobenius theorem implies that $\lambda_2(G_i) < \lambda_1(G_i)$ for all i and hence $|S| = m_G(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha})$. Therefore, $n \ge m_G(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}) k \ge (n-r+1)k$ and so we conclude that $n \le \left| \frac{k(r-1)}{k-1} \right|.$

Finally, observe that $r \ge 2^{1/\alpha^{C(k-1)}}$ implies that $\left\lfloor \frac{k(r-1)}{k-1} \right\rfloor > r + \frac{C \log(1/\alpha)}{2 \log \log r} r$ for C sufficiently large, which establishes claim 1 of Theorem 4. Otherwise if $r < 2^{1/\alpha^{C(k-1)}}$, then $\left\lfloor \frac{k(r-1)}{k-1} \right\rfloor < 1$ $r + \frac{C \log(1/\alpha)}{\log \log r} r$ and so claim 2 of Theorem 4 follows.

4 Equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r

In this section, the goal will be to obtain upper bounds on $N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r)$, the maximum number of complex equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r with common angle $\arccos(\alpha)$, thereby proving Theorem 9. This can be seen as a complex version of Theorem 1 and indeed the proof proceeds analogously, except with Theorem 14 replaced by Theorem 15.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathscr{L} = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n\}$ be a set of n equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r . As noted in Section 2, if we choose a complex unit vector $v_i \in l_i$ along the *i*th line, the resulting collection $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ forms a spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code, where $S^1(\alpha)$ is the circle of radius α centered at 0 in \mathbb{C} . Similar to Section 3, we say that \mathscr{C} represents \mathscr{L} and so instead of working with \mathscr{L} directly, we will consider some spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code \mathscr{C} which represents \mathscr{L} . Just as in Theorem 14, the case $\alpha = 0$ is trivial and so we assume $\alpha > 0$.

We will then follow the argument of Section 3.1 almost identically. We will show that the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$ of the corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ satisfies $p(\lambda_1) \ge 0$ where p is a degree 3 polynomial with a positive leading term and 3 real roots $x_0 > x_1 > x_2$ such that $x_0 \ge \alpha n - \frac{1+o(1)}{2\alpha^2}$ and $x_1 \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}$. If $\lambda_1 \ge x_0$, then we show that $n \le \frac{1+o(1)}{\alpha}r$ via applying Lemma 17 with $L = M - \lambda_1 u u^*$ where u is a unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 . Otherwise $\lambda_1 \le x_1$, in which case we immediately conclude that $n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}n$ and hence $n \le \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$. As in Section 3.1, combining these bounds will yield Theorem 9.

Lemma 31. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code in \mathbb{C}^r with corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. If $n = |\mathscr{C}|$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$ is the largest eigenvalue then

$$\lambda_1^3 - \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right)^2 \lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha^2) \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right) n \ge 0$$

with equality whenever $n = \binom{r+1}{2}$.

Proof. The proof will be the same as Lemma 18, except that we use Theorem 15 instead of Theorem 14. Let x be a unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 and let $i \in [n]$, $y = e_i$. Now we apply Theorem 15 with x, y in order to conclude that

$$(1 - \alpha^2)\lambda_1 + \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda_1^4 |x_i|^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \ge \alpha^2 \lambda_1^2 + (1 - \alpha^2) \lambda_1^2 |x_i|^2.$$

Since $\alpha, \lambda_1 > 0$ and x is a unit vector, multiplying by $\frac{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2 \lambda_1}$ and summing over all $i \in [n]$ yields the desired inequality. Moreover, if $n = (\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1)^2$ then via Theorem 15 we have equality.

We now obtain the desired bounds on the roots of the polynomial from the preceding lemma.

Lemma 32. Let $\alpha \leq 1/3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$. Then the polynomial $p(x) = x^3 - \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right)^2 x + (1-\alpha^2) \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) n$ has β real roots $x_0 > x_1 > x_2$ satisfying $x_1 \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}$ and $x_0^2 > n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}n - \frac{5(1-\alpha^2)^2}{4\alpha^4}$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 20, and so we let $b = \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right)^2$ and $c = (1 - \alpha^2) \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) n$, so that $p(x) = x^3 - bx + c$. We would like to apply Lemma 19 so we need to bound $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} = \frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{\alpha^3 \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right)^2}$. Using the assumption that $n \ge \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$, one may check

by differentiating that $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}}$ is decreasing in n, and therefore $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} \leq \alpha(1-\alpha^2) < .3$, so we may apply Lemma 19 to conclude that $x_0 \ge \sqrt{b} - \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{3c^2}{4b^{5/2}}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^2 > b - \frac{c}{\sqrt{b}} - \frac{5c^2}{4b^2} &= n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) + (1 - \alpha^2) \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha}n - \frac{5(1 - \alpha^2)^2 n^2}{4\alpha^4 \left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2}\right)^2} \\ &> n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha}n - \frac{5(1 - \alpha^2)^2}{4\alpha^4}. \end{aligned}$$

To see that the second largest root $x_1 \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}$, first note that p(0) > 0 and since p has a positive leading term, the smallest root $x_2 < 0$. Moreover, since $n \ge \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$ it follows that $p\left(\frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) \leq 0$ and so p has a root in the interval $\left[0, \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right]$. To see that x_1 is this root, we use the fact that $\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} < .3$ and $n \ge \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$ to conclude that

$$x_0 \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{c}{b^{3/2}} - \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{c}{b^{3/2}}\right)^2\right)\sqrt{b} > \frac{3}{4}\alpha\left(n + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right) \ge \frac{3(1 - \alpha^2)}{4\alpha^3} > \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2}.$$

Lemma 21 generalizes to the complex setting, yielding the same upper bound on $\lambda_1(M)$.

Lemma 33. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code in \mathbb{C}^r with corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. Then $\lambda_1(M) \leq \alpha n + 1 - \alpha$.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Lemma 21.

The proof of Theorem 9 will proceed almost identically to that of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 9. We let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code representing a set of $n = N_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(r)$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{C}^r and let $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ be the corresponding Gram matrix with largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M)$. Lemma 31 implies that $p(\lambda_1) = \lambda_1^3 - \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right)^2 \lambda_1 + (1-\alpha^2)^2 \lambda_1 + (1-\alpha^2)^$ $(\alpha^2)\left(n+\frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}\right)n \ge 0$. Now recalling that $r_{\alpha} = \frac{1-2\alpha^2-\alpha^4}{\alpha^3+\alpha^4\left(1+\frac{5}{4(1-\alpha)^2}\right)} + 1$, we observe that if $r > r_{\alpha}$ then $\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}-1\right)^2 < \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)}{4\alpha^3} + 2$ and so we may assume without loss of generality that $n \ge \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}-1\right)^2$. Therefore, we may apply Lemma 32 to conclude that p has real roots $x_0 > x_1 > x_2$ satisfying $x_1 \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}$ and $x_0^2 > n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}n - \frac{5(1-\alpha^2)^2}{4\alpha^4}$. Since p has a positive leading term, $p(\lambda_1) \ge 0$ implies that either $\lambda_1 \le x_1$ or $\lambda_1 \ge x_0$.

If $\lambda_1 \leq x_1$, then letting $r' = \operatorname{rk}(M)$ we conclude

$$n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) = \operatorname{tr}(M^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{r'} \lambda_i(M)^2 \le \lambda_1 \operatorname{tr}(M) \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} n$$

so that $n \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$ with equality if and only if $\lambda_i(M) = \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r'$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we may assume that $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}^{r'}$ without loss of generality and so letting V be the $r' \times n$ matrix with *i*th column v_i , we note that $M = V^*V$ has the same nonzero eigenvalues as $VV^* = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i v_i^*$. Thus $n = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i v_i^* = \frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}I$ and by taking the trace we conclude that $r' = 1/\alpha^2 - 1$, i.e. \mathscr{C} forms a SIC in $\mathbb{C}^{1/\alpha^2 - 1}$.

Otherwise, we have $\lambda_1 \geq x_0$. Now let u be a unit eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 and let $L = M - \lambda_1 u u^*$. Lemma 33 implies that $tr(L) = n - \lambda_1 \ge (1 - \alpha)(n - 1)$ and we also have

 $\operatorname{rk}(L) = r - 1$ and $\operatorname{tr}(L^2) = \operatorname{tr}(M^2) - \lambda_1^2 \leq n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - x_0^2$. Therefore, Lemma 17 yields

$$(1-\alpha)^2 (n-1)^2 \le \operatorname{tr}(L)^2 \le (r-1)\operatorname{tr}(L^2) \le (r-1)(n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \lambda_1^2)$$

$$< (r-1)\left(\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}n + \frac{5(1-\alpha^2)^2}{4\alpha^4}\right)$$

and dividing by $(1-\alpha)^2 n$, we conclude

$$n-2 < \frac{(n-1)^2}{n} < \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)^2}{4\alpha^4}\frac{(r-1)}{n}.$$
(5)

Now using $n \ge \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$ and solving for r - 1 in (5), we conclude that $r - 1 > r_\alpha - 1$. If we assume that $r \le r_\alpha$, then we have shown that we must have $\lambda_1 \le x_1$, in which case we showed that $n \le \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2$ with equality only if \mathscr{C} forms a SIC in $\mathbb{C}^{1/\alpha^2 - 1}$. Otherwise suppose that $r > r_{\alpha}$. In the case that $\lambda_1 \leq x_1$, we have the desired bound $n \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^2 < 1$ $\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)}{4\alpha^3} + 2$. Otherwise $\lambda_1 \ge x_0$. If $n < \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}(r-1)$, then we are done and otherwise, (5) yields the desired bound $n < \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}(r-1) + \frac{5(1+\alpha)}{4\alpha^3} + 2$.

Eigenvalues of regular graphs $\mathbf{5}$

In this section, we obtain our main results on the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of regular graphs, thereby obtaining a generalization of the Alon–Boppana theorem to dense regular graphs.

5.1What is known?

In this subsection, we briefly summarize some well-known results about the first, second, and last eigenvalue of a graph. Let G be a k-regular graph with corresponding adjacency matrix A and eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$. It is known that the Perron–Frobenius theorem implies that $\lambda_1 = k$ with 1 as a corresponding eigenvector and that the multiplicity of λ_1 , $m_G(\lambda_1)$, is equal to the number of connected components of G, see e.g. [37]. Moreover, we have that $-\lambda_n \leq k$ with equality if and only if G is bipartite. Note that for regular graphs, questions about λ_2 and $m_G(\lambda_2)$ are essentially equivalent to that of λ_n and $m_G(\lambda_n)$. Indeed, the complement G^c of G is n-k-1regular and satisfies $A(G) + A(G^c) = J - I$, from which it follows that $\lambda_2(G) = -\lambda_n(G^c) - 1$ and $m_G(\lambda_2) = m_{G^c}(-\lambda_n - 1)$. Also note that if G is disconnected, its adjacency matrix has a block diagonal form with one block for each connected component, so that its eigenvalues are the union of the eigenvalues of each of its connected components, taken with multiplicity. Thus it suffices to study the eigenvalues of connected graphs.

It is also well known that $\lambda_2(K_n) = -1$ for any complete graph K_n , that $\lambda_2(K_{n_1,\dots,n_r}) = 0$ for any complete multipartite graph K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r} , and that $\lambda_2(H) > 0$ for any other nontrivial connected graph H, see e.g. [22] p. 163. Beyond this, there is a line of research characterizing graphs H with $\lambda_2(H) \leq c$ or $\lambda_2(H) = c$ for small constant c such as $1/3, 1, \sqrt{2} - 1, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2, 2, d$ see [21]. There are also important results on characterizing graphs H with the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_n(H) \geq -c$ for small c, such as the classical result for c = 2 [14, 37] and the more recent partial generalization to c = 3 [52].

5.2Lower bounds for λ_2

In this subsection, we will prove Theorem 12 and Corollary 13, which can be seen as generalizations of the Alon-Boppana theorem to dense graphs. Our approach will be to use (3) in order to convert the given regular graph into a corresponding system of real equiangular lines and then apply the methods of Section 2. Our goal will be to use this connection to equiangular lines in order to prove spectral inequalities which are tight whenever our graph is a strongly regular graph corresponding to $\binom{r+1}{2}$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^r . To this end, we first derive an improved version of Theorem 14 in the special case where y = 1 is an eigenvector of M.

Theorem 34. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. If $\mathbb{1}$ is an eigenvector of M with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{2\lambda}\left\langle x,Mx\right\rangle + \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{n} - \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\right)\left\langle x,\mathbb{1}\right\rangle^2 \ge \left\langle x,M^2x\right\rangle$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with equality whenever $n = \binom{r+1}{2} - 1$.

Proof. Let us suppose we are in the setting of the proof of Theorem 14, so that $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and we have $W_i = v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ for $i \in [n]$, with the corresponding linear map $\mathscr{W} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathscr{S}_r$ defined by $\mathscr{W}e_i = W_i$ for $i \in [n]$ and $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W})^{-1}\mathscr{W}^{\#} : \mathscr{S}_r \to \mathscr{S}_r$ being the orthogonal projection onto the span of W_1, \ldots, W_n .

Now let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define $X_y = \frac{1}{2} (Vy(V\mathbb{1})^{\intercal} + V\mathbb{1}(Vy)^{\intercal})$. As in the proof of Theorem 14, we compute

$$||X_y||_F^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle y, My \rangle \langle \mathbb{1}, M\mathbb{1} \rangle + \langle y, M\mathbb{1} \rangle^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda n \langle y, My \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle y, \mathbb{1} \rangle^2 \right), \tag{6}$$

and $||\mathscr{P}X_y||_F^2 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} \left(\langle f(My), f(M\mathbb{1}) \rangle - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \langle My, M\mathbb{1} \rangle^2 \right)$, where f is defined by $f(t) = t^2$. Now we observe that $f(M\mathbb{1}) = f(\lambda\mathbb{1}) = \lambda^2\mathbb{1}$, so that $\langle f(My), f(M\mathbb{1}) \rangle = \lambda^2 \langle f(My), \mathbb{1} \rangle = \lambda^2 ||My||^2 = \lambda^2 \langle y, M^2y \rangle$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$||\mathscr{P}X_{y}||_{F}^{2} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^{2}} \left(\lambda^{2} \left\langle y, M^{2}y \right\rangle - \frac{\alpha^{2}\lambda^{4}}{\alpha^{2}n+1-\alpha^{2}} \left\langle y, \mathbb{1} \right\rangle^{2}\right).$$
(7)

We first let y = 1, so that via (6) and (7) we have $||X_1||_F^2 = \lambda^2 n^2$ and

$$||\mathscr{P}X_{1}||_{F}^{2} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^{2}} \left(\lambda^{4}n - \frac{\alpha^{2}\lambda^{4}n^{2}}{\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}}\right) = \frac{\lambda^{4}n}{1-\alpha^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^{2}n}{\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}}\right) = \frac{\lambda^{4}n}{\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}}.$$

We now claim that $X_1 - \mathscr{P}X_1 \neq 0$. Indeed, otherwise we would have

$$0 = ||X_{1} - \mathscr{P}X_{1}||_{F}^{2} = ||X_{1}||_{F}^{2} - ||\mathscr{P}X_{1}||_{F}^{2} = \lambda^{2}n^{2} - \frac{\lambda^{4}n}{\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}} = \lambda^{2}n\left(n - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}}\right),$$

which implies $\lambda^2 = n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2)$. However, we also have $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(M)^2 = \operatorname{tr}(M^2) = n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2)$, so that all eigenvalues of M except λ must be 0 and thus $M = \frac{\lambda}{n} \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}}$, which yields a contradiction since $\frac{\lambda}{n} = M_{1,1} = 1$ while $\frac{\lambda}{n} = |M_{1,2}| = \alpha < 1$.

Therefore, we may define

$$Z = \frac{X_{1} - \mathscr{P}X_{1}}{\sqrt{||X_{1}||_{F}^{2} - ||\mathscr{P}X_{1}||_{F}^{2}}} = \frac{X_{1} - \mathscr{P}X_{1}}{\lambda\sqrt{n^{2} - \frac{\lambda^{2}n}{\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}}}}$$

and observe that Z is orthogonal to W_1, \ldots, W_n and satisfies $||Z||_F = 1$. It follows that $\mathscr{P}' = \mathscr{P} + ZZ^{\#}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the span of W_1, \ldots, W_n, Z and moreover,

$$||X_x||_F^2 \ge ||\mathscr{P}'X_x||_F^2 = ||\mathscr{P}X_x||_F^2 + \langle Z, X_x \rangle_F^2$$

Now we apply (6) and (7) with y = x to obtain $||X_x||_F^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda n \langle x, Mx \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle x, 1 \rangle^2 \right)$, as well as $||\mathscr{P}X_x||_F^2 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} \left(\lambda^2 \langle x, M^2x \rangle - \frac{\alpha^2\lambda^4}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \langle x, 1 \rangle^2 \right)$, so that it remains to compute $\langle Z, X_x \rangle_F$. To this end, we have $\langle X_1, Vx(V1)^{\intercal} \rangle_F = \langle V1, Vx \rangle \langle V1, V1 \rangle = \langle M1, x \rangle \langle 1, M1 \rangle = \lambda^2 n \langle x, 1 \rangle$ and similarly $\langle X_1, V1(Vx)^{\intercal} \rangle_F = \lambda^2 n \langle x, 1 \rangle$, so that $\langle X_1, X_x \rangle_F = \lambda^2 n \langle x, 1 \rangle$. Moreover, for all $i \in [n]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\langle W_i, V1(Vy)^{\intercal} \rangle_F = \langle v_i, V1 \rangle \langle v_i, Vy \rangle = \langle e_i, M1 \rangle \langle e_i, My \rangle = \lambda (My)_i$ and similarly $\langle W_i, V1(Vy)^{\intercal} \rangle_F = \lambda (My)_i$, so that $\mathscr{W}X_y = \lambda My$. Now recalling the fact that $\mathscr{W}^{\#}\mathscr{W} = (1 - \alpha^2)I + \alpha^2J$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathscr{P}X_{\mathbb{1}}, X_x \rangle &= X_{\mathbb{1}}^{\#} \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}^{\#} \mathscr{W})^{-1} \mathscr{W}^{\#}X_x = \lambda^2 (M\mathbb{1})^{\mathsf{T}} ((1-\alpha^2)I + \alpha^2 J)^{-1} Mx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^3}{1-\alpha^2} \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} J\right) Mx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^3}{1-\alpha^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2 n}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}\right) \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} Mx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^4}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \langle x, \mathbb{1} \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\langle Z, X_x \rangle_F = \frac{\langle X_1, X_x \rangle_F - \langle \mathscr{P}X_1, X_x \rangle_F}{\lambda \sqrt{n^2 - \frac{\lambda^2 n}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}}} = \frac{\lambda^2 n \langle x, 1 \rangle - \frac{\lambda^4}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \langle x, 1 \rangle}{\lambda \sqrt{n^2 - \frac{\lambda^2 n}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}}} \\ = \frac{\lambda}{n} \sqrt{n^2 - \frac{\lambda^2 n}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2}} \langle x, 1 \rangle .$$

Putting everything together, we finally obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda n \left\langle x, Mx \right\rangle + \lambda^2 \left\langle x, \mathbb{1} \right\rangle^2 \right) &\geq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \left(\lambda^2 \left\langle x, M^2x \right\rangle - \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^4}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \left\langle x, \mathbb{1} \right\rangle^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2} \left(n^2 - \frac{\lambda^2 n}{\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2} \right) \left\langle x, \mathbb{1} \right\rangle^2. \end{split}$$

Now multiplying by $\frac{1-\alpha^2}{\lambda^2}$ and collecting terms corresponding to $\langle x, \mathbb{1} \rangle^2$, we conclude

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{2\lambda} \langle x, Mx \rangle \ge \left\langle x, M^2x \right\rangle - \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{n} - \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\right) \left\langle x, \mathbb{1} \right\rangle^2,$$

as desired. Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 14, we note that \mathscr{S}_r has dimension $\binom{r+1}{2}$ so that if $n = \binom{r+1}{2} - 1$, then since $\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{W}) = n$ and Z is orthogonal to W_1, \ldots, W_n , we have that W_1, \ldots, W_n, Z span \mathscr{S}_r and thus \mathscr{P}' is the identity map, giving equality above.

Remark. The inequality of Theorem 34 is equivalent to the matrix $\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2\lambda}M + \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{n} - \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\right)J - M^2$ being positive semidefinite.

We now apply Theorem 34 to obtain a lemma whose first claim improves on Lemma 18 for spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -codes whose Gram matrices have 1 as an eigenvector.

Lemma 35. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let \mathscr{C} be a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code in \mathbb{R}^r with corresponding Gram matrix $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$. If $\mathbb{1}$ is an eigenvector of M with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ then

$$\lambda^2 \ge n(\alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} n\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} - 1\right),$$

and for any eigenvalue μ of M corresponding to an eigenvector orthogonal to 1, we have

$$\mu \le \frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{2\lambda},$$

with equality in both whenever $n = \binom{r+1}{2} - 1$.

Proof. Note that $\langle e_1, M^2 e_1 \rangle = \alpha^2 n + 1 - \alpha^2$. Thus applying Theorem 34 with $x = e_1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}-1\right)+\frac{\lambda^2}{n}=\frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{2\lambda}+\frac{\lambda^2}{n}-\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\geq\alpha^2n+1-\alpha^2,$$

which is equivalent to the first bound.

For the second bound, if $\mu = 0$ then it holds trivially. Otherwise, we let x be a unit eigenvector corresponding to μ . Since $\langle x, 1 \rangle = 0$, we have via Theorem 34 that $\frac{(1-\alpha^2)n}{2\lambda}\mu \ge \mu^2$, so dividing by μ gives the desired bound. Moreover, $n = \binom{r+1}{2} - 1$ implies we have equality in Theorem 34 and therefore also in both of the above bounds.

Using Lemma 35, we are now able to obtain the desired bounds on the eigenvalues of regular graphs and prove Theorem 12.

Proof of Theorem 12. First note that if k = 0 then both inequalities hold trivially. Assume now that $k \ge 1$. We claim that $\lambda_2 > 0$. Indeed, if G is connected then, as mentioned in Section 5.1, it is well known that $\lambda_2 > 0$ provided that G is not a complete graph or a complete multipartite graph. Moreover, one can immediately verify that the spectral gap of a complete graph on n vertices is n and that the spectral gap of a regular complete multipartite graph with $t \ge 2$ parts of size n/t is $n - n/t \ge n/2$, contradicting the assumption that $k - \lambda_2 < n/2$. Otherwise, if G isn't connected, then via the Perron–Frobenius theorem we must have $\lambda_2 = k \ge 1$.

Now let A = A(G) be the adjacency matrix of G and for each $i \in [n]$, let $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(G)$ be the *i*th largest eigenvalue of G. By the spectral theorem for symmetric matrices, we have $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ for an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As previously mentioned, the Perron–Frobenius theorem implies $\lambda_1 = k$ and u_1 can be taken to be a scalar multiple of $\mathbb{1}$. Since $||\mathbb{1}|| = \sqrt{n}$ we may take $u_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbb{1}$. Since $J = \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}}$, we therefore have

$$A = \frac{k}{n}J + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Let $U = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix with u_1, \ldots, u_n as columns. Since u_1, \ldots, u_n form an orthonormal basis, U is an orthogonal matrix and so we have

$$I = UU^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{1}{n}J + \sum_{i=2}^n u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

We now define $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2+1}$ and note that $0 < \alpha < 1$ since $\lambda_2 > 0$. In view of (3), we define $M = \alpha J - 2\alpha A + (1-\alpha)I$ and observe that $M_{i,i} = 1$ and $|M_{i,j}| = \alpha$ for all $i \neq j \in [n]$. We have

$$M = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2 + 1} \left(J - 2A + 2\lambda_2 I \right) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2 + 1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{2(k - \lambda_2)}{n} \right) J + 2\sum_{i=2}^n (\lambda_2 - \lambda_i) u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}} \right),$$

which implies that M is positive semidefinite and has 1 as an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{n-2(k-\lambda_2)}{2\lambda_2+1} > 0$. Moreover, this implies that the dimension of the null space of M

is precisely the multiplicity of λ_2 in G, $m_G(\lambda_2)$, so that $r = \operatorname{rk}(M) = n - m_G(\lambda_2)$. It follows that there exists a collection of vectors $\mathscr{C} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^r such that $M = M_{\mathscr{C}}$ is their Gram matrix, i.e. \mathscr{C} is a spherical $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ -code and so we may apply Lemma 35 to obtain

$$\lambda^{2} \geq n(\alpha^{2}n + 1 - \alpha^{2}) - \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{2\lambda} + \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2})n}{2}$$
$$= \frac{n(n + (2\lambda_{2} + 1)^{2} - 1)}{(2\lambda_{2} + 1)^{2}} - \frac{((2\lambda_{2} + 1)^{2} - 1)n^{2}}{2(2\lambda_{2} + 1)(n - 2(k - \lambda_{2}))} + \frac{(2\lambda_{2} + 1)^{2} - 1)n}{2(2\lambda_{2} + 1)^{2}}.$$

Multiplying by $\frac{(2\lambda_2+1)^2}{n}$, we therefore have

$$n - 4k + 4\lambda_2 + \frac{4(k - \lambda_2)^2}{n} = \frac{(2\lambda_2 + 1)^2}{n}\lambda^2$$

$$\geq n + (2\lambda_2 + 1)^2 - 1 - \frac{(2\lambda_2 + 1)((2\lambda_2 - 1)^2 - 1)n}{2(n - 2(k - \lambda_2))} + \frac{(2\lambda_2 + 1)^2 - 1}{2}$$

$$= n - \frac{2\lambda_2(2\lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_2 + 1)}{1 - \frac{2(k - \lambda_2)}{n}} + 6\lambda_2^2 + 6\lambda_2,$$

which implies the first desired bound

$$2k - \frac{2(k - \lambda_2)^2}{n} \le \frac{\lambda_2(2\lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_2 + 1)}{1 - \frac{2(k - \lambda_2)}{n}} - \lambda_2(3\lambda_2 + 1)$$

Moreover, it follows from the spectral decomposition of M that it also has u_n as an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue $\mu = \frac{2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_n)}{2\lambda_2 + 1}$ and so we may appply Lemma 35 to obtain

$$\frac{2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_n)}{2\lambda_2 + 1} = \mu \le \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)n}{2\lambda} = \frac{((2\lambda_2 + 1)^2 - 1)n}{2(2\lambda_2 + 1)(n - 2(k - \lambda_2))}$$

Multiplying by $\frac{(2\lambda_2+1)}{2}$ and subtracting λ_2 we conclude the second desired bound

$$-\lambda_n \le \frac{\lambda_2(\lambda_2+1)}{1-\frac{2(k-\lambda_2)}{n}} - \lambda_2$$

Finally, $n = \binom{n-m(\lambda_2)+1}{2} - 1 = \binom{r+1}{2} - 1$ implies we have equality in both bounds of Lemma 35 and therefore also in both of the above.

Proof of Corollary 13. We first suppose that there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $k - \lambda_2 \le (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{n}{2}$, or equivalently $1 - \frac{2(k - \lambda_2)}{n} \ge \varepsilon$. Via Theorem 12 and the remark following it, we have

$$k < 2\left(k - \frac{(k - \lambda_2)^2}{n}\right) \le \frac{\lambda_2(2\lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_2 + 1)}{\varepsilon} - \lambda_2(3\lambda_2 + 1) \le O(\lambda_2^3),$$

and therefore $\lambda_2 \geq \Omega\left(k^{1/3}\right)$. Also via Theorem 12, we have $-\lambda_n \leq \frac{\lambda_2(\lambda_2+1)}{\varepsilon} - \lambda_2 \leq O(\lambda_2^2)$, so that $\lambda_2 \geq \Omega\left(\sqrt{-\lambda_n}\right)$. Moreover, if G is bipartite, then $\lambda_n = -k$ and so $\lambda_2 \geq \Omega\left(\sqrt{k}\right)$.

Now let us further suppose that $k - \lambda_2 = o(n)$. In this case, Theorem 12 implies

$$(1 - o(1))2k + o(1)\lambda_2 = 2\left(k - \frac{(k - \lambda_2)^2}{n}\right) \le \frac{\lambda_2(2\lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_2 + 1)}{1 - o(1)} - \lambda_2(3\lambda_2 + 1)$$
$$= (1 + o(1))2\lambda_2^3,$$

so that $\lambda_2 \ge (1-o(1))k^{1/3}$. Again using Theorem 12 we have $-\lambda_n \le \frac{\lambda_2(\lambda_2+1)}{1-o(1)} - \lambda_2 \le (1+o(1))\lambda_2^2$, so that $\lambda_2 \ge (1-o(1))\sqrt{-\lambda_n}$. Finally, if G is bipartite, we have $\lambda_n = -k$ and so $\lambda_2 \ge (1-o(1))\sqrt{k}$.

6 Concluding remarks

In this section, we make some concluding remarks and suggest some directions for future research. Although any significant improvements to any of our upper bounds or matching lower bound constructions would be interesting, we would be especially interested in any new directions in which we may apply or generalize our projection method.

- 1. Regarding real equiangular lines, one of the most interesting questions is to determine how far the approach of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50] can be extended. Their method relied on two ingredients: A bound on the maximum degree Δ of a corresponding graph Gwhich only depends on α , and a sublinear bound on the multiplicity $m(\lambda_2)$ of the second eigenvalue λ_2 of any connected graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Since we now have strong bounds on Δ , the only limitation to extending their result is that their bound on second eigenvalue multiplicity $m(\lambda_2)$ for a connected graph with maximum degree Δ is only $O(n \log \Delta / \log \log n)$. Note that for normalized adjacency matrices and therefore also for regular graphs, McKenzie, Rasmussen, and Srivastava [58] improve on the approach of [50] to improve the upper bound to $O_{\Delta}(n/\log^c n)$ for some constant c. However, they give reason to suggest that their methods cannot go beyond $O_{\Delta}(n/\log n)$. Moreover, Haiman, Schildkraut, Zhang, and Zhao [43] give a construction of a graph with max degree $\Delta = 4$ and $m(\lambda_2) \geq \sqrt{n/\log n}$, and they also point out that for bounded degree ($\Delta = O(1)$) graphs, \sqrt{n} is a natural barrier for group representation based constructions such as theirs.
- 2. Regarding the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a graph, we also point out that a related question was considered by Colin de Verdiére [71]. He conjectured that the maximum of the multiplicity of the second smallest eigenvalue of a generalized Laplacian operator, over all such operators on an orientable surfaces S, is precisely chr(S) - 1 where chr(S) is the chromatic number of the surface. Moreover, via Heawood's formula [64] it is known that $chr(S) = \left\lfloor \left(7 + \sqrt{48g(S) + 1}\right)/2 \right\rfloor$ where g(S) is the genus of S. In view of this, Tlusty [70] formulates an analogous statement in the setting of graphs: Given a graph G, the maximum multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a weighted³ Laplacian matrix on Gis $\left\lfloor \left(7 + \sqrt{48g(G) + 1}\right)/2 \right\rfloor - 1$, where g(G) is the genus of the graph. Moreover, he proves this claim for a class of graphs including paths, cycles, complete graphs, and their Cartesian products. Now note that via the generalized Euler's formula, we have $2 - 2g(G) \ge -|E(G)|$ and thus if Tlusty's statement were to be true for say, the unweighted Laplacian kI - Aof a k-regular graph G on n vertices with adjacency matrix A, then we would be able to conclude that $m_G(\lambda_2) \le \left\lfloor \left(7 + \sqrt{24|E(G)| + 49}\right)/2 \right\rfloor - 1 = O\left(\sqrt{kn}\right)$. Also note that for graphs whose corresponding matrix satisfies a certain technical condition known as the strong Arnold hypothesis, Theorem 5 of Pendavingh [61] implies the asymptotic version of this result $m_G(\lambda_2) \le O(\sqrt{|E(G)|})$. In particular, for bounded degree graphs this give a bound of $O(\sqrt{n})$, matching the natural barrier discussed previously.
- 3. From the point of view of applications, one of the most important questions regarding complex equiangular is Zauner's conjecture, i.e. that there exist r^2 equiangular lines (a SIC) in \mathbb{C}^r for all r. An interesting consequence of Theorem 9 is that if one constructs r^2 equiangular lines in $\mathbb{C}^{(1-o(1))(r+1)^{3/2}}$ with $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{r+1}$, then one immediately obtains a SIC in \mathbb{C}^r , thereby providing a potential alternative approach to proving Zauner's conjecture.

³For a graph G with vertex set V(G) = [n], a symmetric matrix $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called a weighted Laplacian of G if $L \mathbb{1} = 0$ and for all $i \neq j$, $L_{i,j} = 0$ when $ij \notin E(G)$ and $L_{i,j} < 0$ when $ij \in E(G)$.

- 4. Another natural question is whether the graph-based methods used in Section 3.2 for real case can be extended to complex equiangular lines. We remark that starting with the Gram matrix M of a spherical $S^1(\alpha)$ -code in \mathbb{C}^r , one may use (3) to define a matrix A which is like a complex generalization of an adjacency matrix, and moreover that the methods of Section 3.2 can be generalized to give an upper bound on $\max_{i \in [n]} \operatorname{Re}(e_i^{\mathsf{T}}A\mathbb{1})$, a quantity analogous to the maximum degree. However, one would also need an appropriate generalization of the Alon-Boppana type argument which is applicable to A, or at least to its real part $\operatorname{Re}(A)$ (which corresponds to a weighted graph).
- 5. Since we have a way of generalizing the maximum degree bound to complex equiangular lines, it would be interesting to determine if the results of Jiang, Tidor, Yao, Zhang, and Zhao [50] can be extended in order to exactly determine $N^{\mathbb{C}}_{\alpha}(r)$ when r is large relative to $1/\alpha$. We note that this would require complex versions of the bound on the multiplicity of the second largest eigenvalue, as well as a complex version of the Perron–Frobenius theorem.
- 6. We observe that the projection methods used in this paper can applied to other matrices associated to a graph, such as the unweighted Laplacian, in order to obtain new spectral inequalities. Indeed, the method applies for any positive semidefinite matrix and any matrix can be made as such by adding a sufficient multiple of the identity.
- 7. It would be interesting to obtain generalizations of our results to arbitrary spherical Lcodes in \mathbb{R}^r with |L| = s, i.e. to s-distance sets for $s \ge 2$, as well as for $L = [-1, \alpha]$,
 i.e. the classical coding theory question which is equivalent to packing spherical caps on a
 sphere in \mathbb{R}^r . In particular, as a first step towards understanding $[-1, \alpha]$ -codes, it would
 be interesting to see if our methods can be applied for $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -codes.
- 8. We expect that our approach should extend beyond lines to higher-order equiangular subspaces with respect to different notions of angle, which are described in [7]. In particular, we predict that our methods can be generalized to equiangular subspaces with respect to the chordal distance.
- 9. The first Welch bound is the first in a family of higher-order Welch bounds. By generalizing our projection method to higher-order tensors, we expect that it is possible to obtain an improvement to all of the Welch bounds in the same way as we have done for the first.
- 10. Note that spherical {α, -α}-codes correspond to signed complete graphs, and so it would be interesting to generalize and apply our methods to signed graphs and more generally, to unitary signings of graphs. In particular, Koolen, Cao, and Yang [51] have recently used a Ramsey-theoretic approach analogous to [6] in order to study signed graphs, and thus our methods can be applied to extend their result.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Noga Alon and Michael Krivelevich for stimulating discussions, as well as Dustin Mixon for information regarding the novelty of one of our bounds. We would also like to thank Boris Bukh and Yufei Zhao for helpful feedback regarding an earlier draft of this paper. Finally, we would like to thank Sheila Friedmann for her support during the time this work was written.

References

[1] Alon, N. (1986). Eigenvalues and expanders. Combinatorica, 6 (2), 83–96.

- [2] Alon, N. (2009). Perturbed identity matrices have high rank: Proof and applications. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 18 (1-2), 3–15.
- [3] Alon, N., & Milman, V. D. (1985). λ_1 , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 38 (1), 73–88.
- [4] Appleby, M., Flammia, S., McConnell, G., & Yard, J. (2017). SICs and algebraic number theory. Foundations of Physics, 47 (8), 1042–1059.
- [5] Appleby, M., Flammia, S., McConnell, G., & Yard, J. (2020). Generating ray class fields of real quadratic fields via complex equiangular lines. Acta Arithmetica, 192, 211–233.
- [6] Balla, I., Dräxler, F., Keevash, P., & Sudakov, B. (2018). Equiangular lines and spherical codes in Euclidean space. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 211 (1), 179–212.
- [7] Balla, I., & Sudakov, B. (2019). Equiangular subspaces in Euclidean spaces. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 61 (1), 81–90.
- [8] Bengtsson, I. (2017). The number behind the simplest SIC-POVM. Foundations of Physics, 47 (8), 1031–1041.
- [9] Ben-Israel, A., & Greville, T. N. (2003). Generalized inverses: theory and applications (Vol. 15). Springer Science & Business Media.
- [10] Blumenthal, L. M. (1953). Theory and applications of distance geometry. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- [11] Bukh, B. (2016). Bounds on equiangular lines and on related spherical codes. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 30 (1), 549–554.
- [12] Brouwer, A. E., & Haemers, W. H. (2011). Spectra of graphs. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [13] de Caen, D. (2000). Large equiangular sets of lines in Euclidean space. the electronic journal of combinatorics, 7, R55–R55.
- [14] Cameron, P. J., Goethals, J. M., Seidel, J. J., & Shult, E. E. (1976). Line graphs, root systems, and elliptic geometry. *Journal of Algebra*, 43 (1), 305–327.
- [15] Casazza, P. G., Kutyniok, G., & Philipp, F. (2013). Introduction to finite frame theory. *Finite frames*, 1–53.
- [16] Caves, C. M., Fuchs, C. A., & Schack, R. (2002). Unknown quantum states: the quantum de Finetti representation. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 43 (9), 4537–4559.
- [17] Fuchs, C. A., & Sasaki, M. (2003). Squeezing quantum information through a classical channel: measuring the "quantumness" of a set of quantum states. *Quantum Information* & Computation, 3 (5), 377–404.
- [18] Chung, F. R. K., Graham, R. L., & Wilson, R. M. (1989). Quasi-random graphs. Combinatorica, 9 (4), 345–362.
- [19] Copi, C. J., Huterer, D., & Starkman, G. D. (2004). Multipole vectors: A new representation of the CMB sky and evidence for statistical anisotropy or non-Gaussianity at $2 \le l \le 8$. *Physical Review D*, 70 (4), 043515.
- [20] Coxeter, H. S. M. (1973). Regular polytopes (3rd ed.). Dover Publications.
- [21] Cvetković, D., & Simić, S. (1995). The second largest eigenvalue of a graph (a survey). Filomat, 449–472.
- [22] Cvetković, D., Doob, M., & Sachs, H. (1995). Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Applications. 3rd revised a. enl. ed.
- [23] Delsarte, P., Goethals, J. M., & Seidel, J. J. (1975). Bounds for systems of lines, and Jacobi polynomials. *Philips Research Reports*, 30, 91–105.
- [24] Delsarte, P., Goethals, J. M., & Seidel, J. J. (1977). Spherical codes and designs. Geometriae Dedicata, 6 (3), 363–388.
- [25] Desai, M. P., & Rao, V. B. (1993). On the convergence of reversible Markov chains. SIAM journal on matrix analysis and applications, 14 (4), 950–966.

- [26] Diaconis, P., & Stroock, D. (1991). Geometric bounds for eigenvalues of Markov chains. The Annals of Applied Probability, 36–61.
- [27] Ehrentraut, H., & Muschik, W. (1998). On symmetric irreducible tensors in d-dimensions. ARI-An International Journal for Physical and Engineering Sciences, 51 (2), 149–159.
- [28] Eldar, Y. C., & Forney, G. D. (2002). Optimal tight frames and quantum measurement. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 48 (3), 599-610.
- [29] Fickus, M., & Mixon, D. G. (2016). Tables of the existence of equiangular tight frames. arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.00253v2.
- [30] Fiedler, M. (1973). Algebraic connectivity of graphs. Czechoslovak mathematical journal, 23 (2), 298–305.
- [31] Friedman, J. (1993). Some geometric aspects of graphs and their eigenfunctions. Duke Mathematical Journal, 69 (3), 487–525.
- [32] Friedman, J. (2008). A proof of Alon's second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems. American Mathematical Society.
- [33] Friedman, J., & Tillich, J. P. (2005). Generalized Alon-Boppana Theorems and Error-Correcting Codes. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 19 (3), 700–718.
- [34] Fuchs, C. A., & Stacey, B. C. (2019). QBism: Quantum theory as a hero's handbook. In Foundations of Quantum Theory (pp. 133–202). IOS Press.
- [35] Fuchs, C. A., Hoang, M. C., & Stacey, B. C. (2017). The SIC question: History and state of play. Axioms, 6 (3), 21.
- [36] Glazyrin, A., & Yu, W. H. (2018). Upper bounds for s-distance sets and equiangular lines. Advances in Mathematics, 330, 810–833.
- [37] Godsil, C., & Royle, G. F. (2001). Algebraic graph theory (Vol. 207). Springer Science & Business Media.
- [38] Godsil, C., & Roy, A. (2009). Equiangular lines, mutually unbiased bases, and spin models. European Journal of Combinatorics, 30 (1), 246–262.
- [39] Greaves, G., Koolen, J. H., Munemasa, A., & Szöllősi, F. (2016). Equiangular lines in Euclidean spaces. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 138, 208–235.
- [40] Haantjes, J. (1948). Equilateral point-sets in elliptic two- and three-dimensional spaces. Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 22, 355–362.
- [41] Haantjes, J., & Seidel, J. J. (1947). The congruence order of the elliptic plane. Indagationes Mathematicae, 9, 403–405.
- [42] Haas, J. I., & Casazza, P. G. (2017, July). On the structures of Grassmannian frames. In 2017 International Conference on Sampling Theory and Applications (SampTA) (pp. 377– 380). IEEE.
- [43] Haiman, M., Schildkraut, C., Zhang, S., & Zhao, Y. (2022). Graphs with high second eigenvalue multiplicity. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*.
- [44] Heath, R. W., & Strohmer, T. (2003). Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication. Applied and computational harmonic analysis, 14, 257–275.
- [45] Higuchi, A. (1987). Symmetric tensor spherical harmonics on the N-sphere and their application to the de Sitter group SO(N, 1). Journal of mathematical physics, 28 (7), 1553–1566.
- [46] Hoory, S. (2005). A lower bound on the spectral radius of the universal cover of a graph. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 93 (1), 33–43.
- [47] Hoory, S., Linial, N., & Wigderson, A. (2006). Expander graphs and their applications. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 43 (4), 439–561.
- [48] Jiang, Z. (2019). On spectral radii of unraveled balls. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 136, 72–80.
- [49] Jiang, Z., & Polyanskii, A. (2020). Forbidden subgraphs for graphs of bounded spectral radius, with applications to equiangular lines. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 1–29.

- [50] Jiang, Z., Tidor, J., Yao, Y., Zhang, S., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Equiangular lines with a fixed angle. Annals of Mathematics, 194 (3), 729–743.
- [51] Koolen, J. H., Cao, M. Y., & Yang, Q. (2020). Recent progress on graphs with fixed smallest eigenvalue. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.11935.
- [52] Koolen, J. H., Yang, J. Y., & Yang, Q. (2018). On graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and their lattices. Advances in Mathematics, 338, 847–864.
- [53] Krivelevich, M., & Sudakov, B. (2006). Pseudo-random graphs. In More sets, graphs and numbers (pp. 199–262). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [54] Lemmens, P. W. H., & Seidel, J. J. (1973). Equiangular lines. J. Algebra, 24, 494–512.
- [55] Lin, J., & Li, S. (2014). Sparse recovery with coherent tight frames via analysis Dantzig selector and analysis LASSO. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 37 (1), 126– 139.
- [56] van Lint, J. H., & Seidel, J. J. (1966). Equilateral point sets in elliptic geometry. Indag. Math., 28, 335–348.
- [57] Massey, J. L., & Mittelholzer, T. (1993). Welch's bound and sequence sets for code-division multiple-access systems. In Sequences II (pp. 63–78). Springer, New York, NY.
- [58] McKenzie, T., Rasmussen, P. M. R., & Srivastava, N. (2021, June). Support of closed walks and second eigenvalue multiplicity of graphs. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing* (pp. 396–407).
- [59] Neumaier, A. (1989). Graph representations, two-distance sets, and equiangular lines. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 114, 141–156.
- [60] Nilli, A. (1991). On the second eigenvalue of a graph. Discrete Mathematics, 91 (2), 207–210.
- [61] Pendavingh, R. (1998). On the relation between two minor-monotone graph parameters. Combinatorica, 18 (2), 281–292.
- [62] Plebański, J. (1970). Symmetric tensors and the trace operator. Reports on Mathematical Physics, 1 (2), 87–103.
- [63] Renes, J. M., Blume-Kohout, R., Scott, A. J., & Caves, C. M. (2004). Symmetric informationally complete quantum measurements. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 45 (6), 2171–2180.
- [64] Ringel, G., & Youngs, J. W. (1968). Solution of the Heawood map-coloring problem. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 60 (2), 438.
- [65] Scharnhorst, K. (2001). Angles in complex vector spaces. Acta Applicandae Mathematica, 69 (1), 95–103.
- [66] Schoenberg, I. J. (1942). Positive definite functions on spheres. Duke Mathematical Journal, 9 (1), 96–108.
- [67] Stacey, B. C. (2021). A First Course in the Sporadic SICs (Vol. 41, Ser. SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics). Springer Nature.
- [68] Taylor, D. E. (1977). Regular 2-graphs. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3 (2), 257–274.
- [69] Tlusty T. (2007). A model for the emergence of the genetic code as a transition in a noisy information channel. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 249 (2), 331–342.
- [70] Tlusty, T. (2007). A relation between the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a graph Laplacian, Courant's nodal line theorem and the substantial dimension of tight polyhedral surfaces. The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 16, 315–324.
- [71] de Verdière, Y. C. (1987). Construction de laplaciens dont une partie finie du spectre est donnée. In Annales scientifiques de l'école normale supérieure (Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 599–615).
- [72] Welch, L. (1974). Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals (corresp.). IEEE Transactions on Information theory, 20 (3), 397–399.
- [73] Yu, W. H. (2017). New bounds for equiangular lines and spherical two-distance sets. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 31 (2), 908–917.

- [74] Zauner, G. (2011). Grundzüge einer nichtkommutativen Designtheorie (Doctoral dissertation, PhD thesis, University of Vienna, 1999. Published in English translation: Int. J. Quantum Inf. 9).
- [75] Zou, W. N., & Zheng, Q. S. (2003). Maxwell's multipole representation of traceless symmetric tensors and its application to functions of high-order tensors. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 459* (2031), 527–538.