

TOWARDS A COMBINATORIAL MODEL FOR q -WEIGHT MULTIPLICITIES OF SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS (EXTENDED ABSTRACT)

CÉDRIC LECOUCVEY, CRISTIAN LENART, AND ADAM SCHULTZE

ABSTRACT. Kostka-Foulkes polynomials are Lusztig's q -analogues of weight multiplicities for irreducible representations of semisimple Lie algebras. It has long been known that these polynomials have non-negative coefficients. A statistic on semistandard Young tableaux with partition content, called *charge*, was used to give a combinatorial formula exhibiting this fact in type A . Defining a charge statistic beyond type A has been a long-standing problem. Here, we take a completely new approach based on the definition of Kostka-Foulkes polynomials as an alternating sum over Kostant partitions, which can be thought of as formal sums of positive roots. We use a sign-reversing involution to obtain a positive expansion, in which the relevant statistic is simply the number of parts in the Kostant partitions. The hope is that the simplicity of this new crystal-like model will naturally extend to other classical types.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has long been known that the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials (that is, Lusztig's q -analogues of weight multiplicities) have non-negative coefficients [9]. A statistic on semistandard Young tableaux (SSYT) with partition content, called *charge*, was used to give a combinatorial formula exhibiting this fact in type A [4]. Defining a charge statistic beyond type A has been a long-standing problem. However, Lecouvey was able to define such a statistic on Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux of classical Lie type [5, 6], but was only able to relate his charge to the corresponding Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in special cases.

In [3], Lascoux gave another combinatorial formula to show the non-negativity of Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in type A by decomposing them into so-called atomic polynomials. Unfortunately, the complexity of the decomposition made it difficult to extend to other Lie types. Recently, Lenart and Lecouvey gave a new model for such an atomic decomposition with a much simpler combinatorial structure, allowing them to extend beyond type A . In [7], they explicitly described this decomposition in all classical types for the stable cases (meaning for sufficiently large rank). However, it has proven difficult to relate the atomic decomposition to the charge statistic beyond type A .

Here, we take a completely different approach based on the definition of Kostka-Foulkes polynomials as an alternating sum over Kostant partitions as opposed to the above models which are based on tableaux. We combine the structure of the crystals $B(\infty)$ and $B^*(\infty)$ to construct a collection of modified crystal operators on Kostant partitions. These modifications are indeed needed, as the crystal structures $B(\infty)$ and $B^*(\infty)$ on their own provide too few arrows which preserve the partition size, so we cannot use them individually to cancel all the negative terms in the alternating formula. On the other hand, we note that the classical embedding of SSYT into $B(\infty)$ does not give way to the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials as the generating function of the number of parts of Kostant partitions. We then define new operators on this modified crystal graph which act similarly to the Weyl action on classical crystals. The resulting graphs have some remarkable properties: they satisfy the braid relations (although with occurrences of vertices with value zero) and they are subgraphs of the Cayley graphs of parabolic subgroups of S_n . We then provide a matching on these graphs (based on two computer verified conjectures, both of which pertain to certain occurrences

Key words and phrases. Koska-Foulkes polynomials, Kostant partitions, Kashiwara crystals, charge.

of braid relations which contain vertices of value zero) which corresponds to a sign-reversing involution that cancels out all of the negative terms in the corresponding Kostka-Foulkes polynomial. This positive expansion in terms of Kostant partitions gives way to a statistic which is simply read by counting the number of parts of the Kostant partitions. The hope is that the simplicity of this new crystal-like model will naturally extend to other classical types.

2. KOSTKA-FOULKES POLYNOMIALS AND THE KOSTANT PARTITION FUNCTION

For a finite simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , let Φ be a finite root system with simple roots α_i and W be its corresponding Weyl group with ρ being the half sum of all positive roots. Give Φ^+ , the set of positive roots, a total ordering so that we may enumerate the positive roots as α_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $N = |\Phi^+|$. For dominant weight λ and a weight μ , let $K_{\lambda, \mu}$, commonly referred to as *Kostka numbers*, be the multiplicity of the weight μ in the irreducible finite dimensional representation $V(\lambda)$ of \mathfrak{g} . The next definitions follow closely to the notation given in [8].

Set $K = \{(\beta) : \beta \in \Phi^+\}$, where each (β) is considered as a formal symbol. Let K' be the free abelian group generated by K and then define \mathcal{K} to be the set of elements in K' with coefficients from \mathbb{N} . An element of \mathcal{K} will then be considered as a Kostant partition, and will be denoted by a boldface Greek letter. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(\beta_i)$ and we then define the *evaluation* of α

to be $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \beta_i$.

The Kostka numbers can be written in terms of the Kostant partition function, \mathcal{P} , which is given by the equality $\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \frac{1}{1 - e^\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{P}(\gamma) e^\gamma$. It is worth noting that \mathcal{P} then counts the number of ways the weight γ can be written as a sum of positive roots. The Weyl character formula then gives us the identity

$$K_{\lambda, \mu} = \sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) \mathcal{P}(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)).$$

We then generalize this to define the polynomial $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$. These polynomials are often referred to as Lusztig's *t-analogue* of weight multiplicity. We do so by defining a *t-analogue* for the Kostant partition function, \mathcal{P}_t , given by the equality

$$\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \frac{1}{1 - te^\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{P}_t(\gamma) e^\gamma.$$

Here, \mathcal{P}_t is a polynomial in t where, for γ in the associated root lattice, the coefficient of t^l is the number of ways to partition γ into an unordered sum of l positive roots. It is then useful to explicitly write $\mathcal{P}_t(\gamma) :=$

$$\sum_{\substack{(n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ n_1 \beta_1 + \dots + n_N \beta_N = \gamma}} t^{n_1 + \dots + n_N}.$$

Definition 2.1. We define Lusztig's *t-analogue* of weight multiplicity as

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) := \sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) \mathcal{P}_t(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)).$$

When μ is also a dominant weight and $\lambda - \mu$ is the positive sum of simple roots, the polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$ have positive coefficients and are known as Kostka-Foulkes polynomials.

The equation defining $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ can be greatly simplified using the notation of Kostant partitions. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(\beta_i)$ be a Kostant partition. Then the *size* of α is given by $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i$. This allows us to rewrite the t -analogue Kostant partition function as $\mathcal{P}_t(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{K}, \bar{\alpha} = \gamma} t^{|\alpha|}$.

If we then let $S_{\lambda,\mu} := \{(w, \alpha) : w \in W, \alpha \in \mathcal{K} \text{ where } \bar{\alpha} = w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)\}$, we can rewrite the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials as

$$(1) \quad K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = \sum_{w \in W} \left(\text{sgn}(w) \sum_{(w,\alpha) \in S_{\lambda,\mu}} t^{|\alpha|} \right) = \sum_{(w,\alpha) \in S_{\lambda,\mu}} \text{sgn}(w) t^{|\alpha|}.$$

This paper will focus on type A_{n-1} so let us start with the basic facts of this type. We can identify the space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ with the quotient $V := \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}(1, \dots, 1)$, where $\mathbb{R}(1, \dots, 1)$ denotes the subspace in \mathbb{R}^n spanned by the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$. Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in V$ be the images of the coordinate vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . The root system is $\Phi = \{\alpha_{ij} := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$. The simple roots are $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i,i+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and the positive roots are $\Phi^+ = \{\alpha_{ij} := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : i \neq j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. It will be beneficial for us to think of the positive roots as tuples with the notation (i, j) for the root $\alpha_{i,j}$. The weight lattice is $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}(1, \dots, 1)$. The fundamental weights are $\omega_i = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. A dominant weight $\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1}$ is identified with the partition $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq \lambda_n = 0)$ having at most $n-1$ parts. Note that $\rho = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 0)$. We now produce an example of $S_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ in type A_{n-1} .

Example 2.1. We now build the Kostka Foulkes polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ of type A_3 for $\lambda = (2, 2, 0, 0)$ and $\mu = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. First, we build the associated $S_{\lambda,\mu}$. Recall that $S_{\lambda,\mu} = \{(w, \alpha) : w \in W, \alpha \in \mathcal{K} \text{ where } \bar{\alpha} = w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)\}$. We then get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(2,2,0,0),(1,1,1,1)} = \{ & [1, (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)], [1, (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)], [1, (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + (\alpha_2)], \\ & [1, (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_2) + (\alpha_3)], [1, (\alpha_1) + 2(\alpha_2) + (\alpha_3)], [s_1, (\alpha_2) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)], \\ & [s_1, 2(\alpha_2) + (\alpha_3)], [s_3, (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_2)], [s_3, (\alpha_1) + 2(\alpha_2)], \\ & [s_3 s_1, 2(\alpha_2)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{(2,2,0,0),(1,1,1,1)}(t) &= \sum_{(w,\alpha) \in S_{(2,2,0,0),(1,1,1,1)}} \text{sgn}(w) t^{|\alpha|} \\ &= t^2 + t^3 + t^2 + t^3 + t^4 - t^2 - t^3 - t^2 - t^3 + t^2 = t^4 + t^2. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we will provide a combinatorial method, through the use of crystals and Kostant partitions, to match like terms of opposite signs. The unmatched terms will then give way to the desired positive form of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials.

3. THE CRYSTALS $B(\infty)$ AND $B^*(\infty)$

We can endow the set \mathcal{K} of type A_{n-1} with two different crystal structures: $B(\infty)$, which comes from $U_q^-(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, and $B^*(\infty)$, the image of $B(\infty)$ by the Kashiwara $*$ -involution. For more detail on these crystals refer to [1]. This section describes realizations of $B(\infty)$ and $B^*(\infty)$ on Kostant partitions as developed in [2]. We first define two orderings on the positive roots which will be used for computing the crystal operators on a given Kostant partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 3.1. Given a Kostant partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, define W_i^α to be the string as follows:

- (1) Order the roots (a, b) of α from left to right first decreasing by a , and for the same a , increasing by b .
- (2) Place a $+$ below each root (h, i) and a $-$ below each root $(h, i + 1)$.

Then define $W_i^{*,\alpha}$ to be the string with the following rule:

- (1) Order the roots (a, b) of α from left to right first increasing by b , and for the same b , decreasing by a .
- (2) Place a $+$ below each root $(i + 1, j)$ and a $-$ below each root (i, j) .

We then define the reduced words $W_i^{\alpha,r}$ and $W_i^{*,\alpha,r}$ to be the result of canceling out any pairs of $-$ and $+$ where the $-$ appears directly to the left of a $+$ or if the only symbols between them have been previously canceled in the words W_i^α and $W_i^{*,\alpha}$ respectively. This so-called *i-pairing procedure* will be used again in Section 4 when we define a similar word. For the remainder of the paper we will drop the α superscript unless there is ambiguity between Kostant partitions.

Definition 3.2. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i(\alpha) &:= \begin{cases} \alpha - (h, i) + (h, i + 1) & \text{if the rightmost } + \text{ in } W_i^r \text{ is below an } (h, i) \\ \alpha + (i, i + 1) & \text{if there are no } + \text{ in } W_i^r \end{cases} \\ \tilde{e}_i(\alpha) &:= \begin{cases} \alpha - (h, i + 1) + (h, i) & \text{if the leftmost } - \text{ in } W_i^r \text{ is below an } (h, i + 1), h \neq i \\ \alpha - (i, i + 1) & \text{if the leftmost } - \text{ in } W_i^r \text{ is below an } (i, i + 1) \\ 0 & \text{if there are no } - \text{ in } W_i^r \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_i^*(\alpha) &:= \begin{cases} \alpha - (i + 1, j) + (i, j) & \text{if the rightmost } + \text{ in } W_i^{*,r} \text{ is below an } (i + 1, j) \\ \alpha + (i, i + 1) & \text{if there are no } + \text{ in } W_i^{*,r} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{e}_i^*(\alpha) &:= \begin{cases} \alpha - (i, j) + (i + 1, j) & \text{if the leftmost } - \text{ in } W_i^{*,r} \text{ is below an } (i, j), j \neq i + 1 \\ \alpha - (i, i + 1) & \text{if the leftmost } - \text{ in } W_i^{*,r} \text{ is below an } (i, i + 1) \\ 0 & \text{if there are no } - \text{ in } W_i^{*,r} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\varphi}_i(\alpha) &:= a_i \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(\alpha) := b_i \quad \tilde{\varphi}_i^*(\alpha) = a_i^* \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_i^*(\alpha) = b_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. [2] *The set \mathcal{K} along with the crystal operators \tilde{f}_i , \tilde{e}_i and string operators $\tilde{\varphi}_i$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$ give way to the crystal $B(\infty)$ and the set \mathcal{K} along with the crystal operators \tilde{f}_i^* , \tilde{e}_i^* and string operators $\tilde{\varphi}_i^*$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i^*$ give way to the crystal $B^*(\infty)$.*

Further, we have that: $\langle wt(\alpha), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = a_i + a_i^ - b_i - b_i^*$.*

These are *Stembridge crystals*, meaning that they adhere to the following axioms [1]. Each of these axioms have dual forms ($S0'$ – $S3'$) in terms of the f_i operators.

Stembridge Axiom S0. If $e_i(x) = 0$, then $\varepsilon_i(x) = 0$.

Stembridge Axiom S1. When $i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$, if $x, y \in B$ and $y = e_i x$, then $\varepsilon_j(y)$ equals either $\varepsilon_j(x)$ or $\varepsilon_j(x) + 1$. The second case where $\varepsilon_j(y) = \varepsilon_j(x) + 1$ is only possible when α_i and α_j are not orthogonal roots.

Stembridge Axiom S2. Assume that $i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$. If $x \in B$ with $\varepsilon_i(x) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_j(e_i x) = \varepsilon_j(x) > 0$, then $e_i e_j x = e_j e_i x$ and $\varphi_i(e_j x) = \varphi_i(x)$.

Stembridge Axiom S3. Assume that $i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$. If $x \in B$ with $\varepsilon_j(e_i x) = \varepsilon_j(x) + 1 > 1$ and $\varepsilon_i(e_j x) = \varepsilon_i(x) + 1 > 1$, then $e_j e_i^2 e_j x = e_i e_j^2 e_i x \neq 0$, $\varphi_i(e_j x) = \varphi_i(e_j^2 e_i x)$ and $\varphi_j(e_i x) = \varphi_j(e_i^2 e_j x)$.

4. A CRYSTAL STRUCTURE ON KOSTANT PARTITIONS

Recall that for two dominant weights λ, μ where $\lambda - \mu$ is the positive sum of simple roots, we write the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in terms of $S_{\lambda, \mu} := \{(w, \alpha) | \bar{\alpha} = w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)\}$ where α is viewed as a multiset of positive roots or a Kostant partition. In this section we will define operators that act on the Kostant partitions in a way that preserves size. We will then use these operators to define crystal-like operators on $(w, \alpha) \in S_{\lambda, \mu}$ which are size preserving for α and only change the element $w \in S_n$ by the multiplication of a simple reflection on the left. This will then be used to produce a sign-reversing size preserving involution on the elements of $S_{\lambda, \mu}$ which will give way to the main result.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ and recall the associated words $W_i^{*,r}, W_i^r$ from Section 3. Now consider the concatenation $w_i := W_i^{*,r} W_i^r = (+)^{a_i^*} (-)^{b_i^*} (+)^{a_i} (-)^{b_i}$ and its reduced word

$$(2) \quad w_i^r = (+)^{u_i} (-)^{v_i} = \begin{cases} (+)^{a_i^* + a_i - b_i^*} (-)^{b_i} & \text{if } b_i^* < a_i \\ (+)^{a_i^*} (-)^{b_i^* - a_i + b_i} & \text{if } b_i^* \geq a_i \end{cases}$$

We use w_i^r to define operators that act on $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ which act similar to those given in Definition 3.2. However, we define them in such a way that they will never change the size of the Kostant partition that they act upon.

Definition 4.1. For any Kostant partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ along with its associated word w_i and $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, the crystal operators f_i, e_i along with the string operators ε_i, φ_i are defined as follows:

$$f_i(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i(\alpha) & \text{if } b_i^* < a_i \\ \tilde{f}_i^*(\alpha) & \text{if } b_i^* \geq a_i \text{ and } a_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$e_i(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i(\alpha) & \text{if } b_i^* \leq a_i, b_i > 0, \text{ and the leftmost } - \text{ in } W_i^r \text{ is not below the root } (i, i + 1) \\ \tilde{e}_i^*(\alpha) & \text{if } b_i^* > a_i \text{ and the leftmost } - \text{ in } W_i^{*,r} \text{ is not below the root } (i, i + 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{e}_i(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i(\alpha) & \text{if } b_i^* \leq a_i \text{ and } b_i > 0 \\ \tilde{e}_i^*(\alpha) & \text{if } b_i^* > a_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_i(\alpha) := \max \{k : e_i^k(\alpha) \neq 0\}, \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_i(\alpha) := \max \{k : \hat{e}_i^k(\alpha) \neq 0\}, \quad \varphi_i(\alpha) := \max \{k : f_i^k(\alpha) \neq 0\}$$

Remarks 4.2. (1) The definition of f_i and e_i on the set \mathcal{K} of Kostant partitions can be phrased as the following composition (up to removing the arrows which add/remove roots): diagonally embed \mathcal{K} into $B^*(\infty) \otimes B(\infty)$, then apply these operators to the tensor product (using the well-known tensor product rule), and then project onto the component in which the change occurs.

(2) The definitions of f_i, e_i , and \hat{e}_i are equivalent (up to the condition on the leftmost $-$ for e_i) to the i -pairing procedure on the concatenation $W_i^* W_i$.

(3) The first two cases in the definitions of $f_i(\alpha)$ and $e_i(\alpha)$ produce a nonzero result, which is a Kostant partition with the same number of parts as α . Similarly, the first two cases in the definition of $\hat{e}_i(\alpha)$ also produce a nonzero result, but this Kostant partition can have one less part than α , namely $\hat{e}_i(\alpha) = \alpha - (\alpha_i)$.

(4) Note that $\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = u_i$ but $\widehat{\varepsilon}_i, \varepsilon_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \leq v_i$ unlike classical crystals (cf. Definition 3.2).

(5) The weight function $wt(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is the negative sum of positive roots and should not be confused with $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. We in fact have $wt(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = -\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$.

(6) Proposition 3.3 along with Equation 2 give that $wt_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \langle wt(\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = u_i - v_i$.

The theorems below show that the f . and e . operators follow the Stembridge axioms (cf. Section 3) with the below possible exceptions found when applying e . operators for the case of $|i-j|=1$.

Definition 4.3. Let $e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$ if e_i attempts to act on a simple root α_i in the Kostant partition $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, i.e., if $e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \neq \widehat{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$, where necessarily $e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 0$. Equivalently, let $e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \not\simeq 0$ if $e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$, where the latter can be 0. Similarly, we let $e_i e_j \dots e_l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$ if $\boldsymbol{\beta} := e_j \dots e_l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \neq 0$ and $e_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \simeq 0$.

Exception BD (broken diamond). We have $e_i e_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$ and $e_j e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$. This happens only if $\widehat{\varepsilon}_i(e_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.

Exception BO1 (broken octagon of first type). We have $e_i e_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$ and $e_i e_j^2 e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$. This happens only if $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + 1$.

Exception BO2 (broken octagon of second type). We have $e_i^2 e_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$ and $e_i e_j^2 e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \simeq 0$. This happens only if $\widehat{\varepsilon}_i(e_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + 1$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) = \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + 1$.




FIGURE 1. Visualizations of the Stembridge Exceptions BD, BO1 and BO2 (left to right). Here, the dotted arrows represent e_i and solid arrows represent e_j . The labels 0 and 1 denote respective changes in ε_i on solid lines and ε_j on dotted lines.

Theorem 4.4. *The Stembridge Axioms S1, S2, S1', and S2' hold for the e . and f . operators, respectively, when $|i-j| > 1$, where in the first two axioms we can use both the ε . and $\widehat{\varepsilon}$. functions.*

Theorem 4.5. *The Stembridge Axioms S1'–S3' hold for the f . operators when $|i-j|=1$. Consider i, j with $|i-j|=1$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ with $e_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \neq 0$. The Stembridge Axiom S1 holds with ε_j replaced with $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j$. If, in addition, $e_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \neq 0$, then we are in one of the situations described in the Stembridge Axioms S2 and S3 (we again use $\widehat{\varepsilon}$.), unless we have Exceptions BD, BO1, or BO2, possibly with i, j switched in the last two.*

5. THE ϕ_i OPERATOR ON KOSTANT PARTITIONS

We now use our f_i and e_i operators, which act on Kostant partitions and preserve their size, to define operators which act on pairs $(w, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \in S_{\lambda, \mu}$. The following operators are, by their very nature, sign-reversing with respect to w and size preserving with respect to $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. We will then be able to use these operators to define an involution on the elements of $S_{\lambda, \mu}$ which will give the desired cancelation in the related Kostka-Foulkes polynomial.

Definition 5.1. For $i \in I$ and $k_i = \langle w(\lambda + \rho), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, define the operator

$$\phi_i(\alpha) := \begin{cases} f_i^{-k_i}(\alpha) & \text{if } k_i < 0 \\ e_i^{k_i}(\alpha) & \text{if } k_i > 0 \text{ and } e_i^{k_i}(\alpha) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $k_i \neq 0$, as $\lambda + \rho$ is in the dominant cone and so $w(\lambda + \rho)$ does not lie on any reflecting hyperplane. Extend this operator to pairs (w, α) where $w \in S_n$ and α is a Kostant partition with weight $w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)$. This then gives way to a graph where there is an edge $(w, \alpha) \xrightarrow{i} (w', \beta)$ if and only if $w' = s_i w$ and $\beta = \phi_i(\alpha) = f_i^{-k_i}(\alpha)$.

Before we can relate these new operators to the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials, we need to understand the graph structure induced by the ϕ_i operators. We now show that the ϕ_i operators satisfy the braid relations, and further, that the graphs induced by the ϕ_i operators actually consists of certain subgraphs of Cayley graphs.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that for a given Kostant partition α , we have i, j such that $k_i, k_j < 0$ and $\phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $\phi_j(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then*

- (1) *if $|i - j| > 1$, $\phi_i \phi_j(\alpha) = \phi_j \phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$ and*
- (2) *if $|i - j| = 1$, $\phi_j \phi_i \phi_j(\alpha) = \phi_i \phi_j \phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$.*

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that for a given Kostant partition α , we have i, j such that $k_i, k_j > 0$ and $\phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $\phi_j(\alpha) \neq 0$.*

- (1) *If $|i - j| > 1$, then $\phi_i \phi_j(\alpha) = \phi_j \phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $|i - j| = 1$.*
 - (a) *If $\varepsilon_i(e_j^{k_j} \alpha) < k_i + k_j$, then $\phi_j \phi_i \phi_j(\alpha) = \phi_i \phi_j \phi_i(\alpha) = \phi_i \phi_j(\alpha) = 0$ but $\phi_j \phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$.*
 - (b) *Otherwise, $\phi_j \phi_i \phi_j(\alpha) = \phi_i \phi_j \phi_i(\alpha) \neq 0$.*

Remark 5.4. We will refer to the case of 2a in Theorem 5.3 as a *broken hexagon* and we will say α is *broken by ϕ_i after ϕ_j* .

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is a constructive one, using the Stembridge axioms to build larger, and more general, relations. The proof of Theorem 5.3 is contingent upon the following conjecture which is believed to be true through computer testing.

Conjecture 5.5. *Let $\alpha \in S_{\lambda, \mu}$ and $k_i, k_{i+1} > 0$ be defined as in Definition 5.1. Suppose that $\phi_i(\alpha)$ and $\phi_{i+1}(\alpha)$ are both non-zero. Then the paths $E_i := e_i^{k_{i+1}} e_{i+1}^{k_i + k_{i+1}} e_i^{k_i}(\alpha)$ and $E_{i+1} := e_{i+1}^{k_i} e_i^{k_i + k_{i+1}} e_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}}(\alpha)$ in the graph induced by the modified crystal operators do not contain any edges that give way to Stembridge Exception BD.*

6. AN INVOLUTION ON $S_{\lambda, \mu}$ AND THE MAIN RESULT

The graphs resulting from the ϕ_i operators acting on $S_{\lambda, \mu}$ have nice additional structures which we will now discuss. This structure will then give way to the main theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Each connected component of the graph given by the ϕ_i operators is a subgraph Γ of the Cayley graph of S_n such that $w \in \Gamma \implies u \in \Gamma$ for any $u \leq w$ in the weak Bruhat order.*

We note that for (id, α) in $S_{\lambda, \mu}$ we have $k_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle > 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Proposition 6.2. *Assume $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ is such that $e_i^{k_i}(\alpha) = 0$. Then for any (w, α') in the connected component of (id, α) where $k'_i > 0$, we have $e_i^{k'_i}(\alpha) = 0$. Equivalently, in the component of (id, α) , an arrow \xrightarrow{i} can exist only if there is such an arrow starting from (id, α) .*

Corollary 6.3. *Each connected component C of the graph given by the ϕ_i operators is isomorphic to a subgraph Γ of the Cayley graph of a parabolic subgroup of S_n determined by its source vertex such that $w \in \Gamma \implies u \in \Gamma$ for any $u \leq w$.*

We can conjecturally describe these subgraphs further via the following which gives a larger scale version of Proposition 6.2.

Conjecture 6.4. *If in a given component C of the graph given by the ϕ_i operators there is a broken hexagon broken by some ϕ_i after some ϕ_j , then the source vertex of the component also has a broken hexagon broken by ϕ_i after some ϕ_j . This has been confirmed via computer testing.*

We now define a matching on each component C of the graph given by the ϕ_i operators. We will show that the following function is actually an involution.

Definition 6.5. We define a function $\psi : S_{\lambda,\mu} \rightarrow S_{\lambda,\mu}$. Let C be a connected component of the graph induced on $S_{\lambda,\mu}$ by the ϕ_i operators with source vertex (id, α) . Then $C \cong S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$, where $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and each n_k corresponds to the length of the k -th block of successive indices of the arrows in C (see Corollary 6.3). Let ψ_C be the restriction of ψ to the component C . If C consists only of α , then let ψ_C be the identity map. Otherwise, let $\psi_C = \phi_i$ where i is determined as follows:

- (1) If α is not broken by ϕ_1 after ϕ_2 , let $i = 1$.
- (2) Otherwise, let $i = \max\{j \in [n_1 - 1] : \alpha \text{ is broken by } \phi_{l-1} \text{ after } \phi_l \text{ for all } 1 < l \leq j\}$ (cf. Remark 5.4).

Note that Definition 6.5 is based on viewing labels on C through the isomorphism with subgraphs of Cayley graphs of symmetric groups. This means that the labels on the actual graph C may be translated and not actually start at 1.

We can now state our main theorem. Note that, for the remainder of this section, **we are assuming the validity of Conjectures 6.4 and 5.5.**

Theorem 6.6. *We write the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial with all positive coefficients as follows:*

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = \sum_{(w,\alpha) \in S_{\lambda,\mu}} \text{sgn}(w)t^{|\alpha|} = \sum_{(w,\alpha) \in D} \text{sgn}(w)t^{|\alpha|} = \sum_{(id,\alpha) \in D} t^{|\alpha|}$$

where D is the collection of fixed points of ψ . Furthermore, the terms of these fixed points correspond directly to the elements $\alpha \in S_{\lambda,\mu}$ where $\phi_i(\alpha) = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

Example 6.1. We now consider an example using the tableau notation for Kostant partitions, α , as was used in [8] and are to be read as follows: each entry j in row i represents the positive root $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ in the formal sum that is α .

Refer to Figure 2 for the graph induced by the ϕ_i operators acting on elements from $S_{[2,2],[1,1,1,1]}$ (cf. Example 2.1). We can also see the pairing given by ψ on each component. Note that the two singleton components (the fixed points of ψ) are of sizes 2 and 4, which give way to the reduced Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $t^4 + t^2$ from Example 2.1. Further, note that this example shows that we need the actions from both $B^*(\infty)$ and $B(\infty)$.




FIGURE 2. The graph induced by the ϕ_i operators acting on vertices from $S_{[2,2],[1,1,1,1]}$.

We now wish to describe the fixed points of ψ in a more direct combinatorial manner. Recall that the fixed points of ψ were exactly the elements $\alpha \in S_{\lambda,\mu}$ where $\phi_i \alpha = 0$ for all $i \in I$. We also found that these fixed points were only of the form (id, α) and so $k_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle > 0$ for all $i \in I$.

Definition 6.7. Consider a Kostant partition α and the associated words $W_i^* W_i$ and positive integers k_i for $i \in I$. We say that α is *admissible* if for any i , when looking at the word $W_i^* W_i$ from the right, there are

- (1) never k_i or more $-$'s than $+$'s, or
- (2) at some point there are k_i or more $-$'s than $+$'s but at least one of the k_i left-most $-$'s are associated to a simple root $(i, i + 1)$.

Corollary 6.8. Consider the set $S_{\lambda,\mu}^{w=id} := \{(id, \alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{K} \text{ where } \bar{\alpha} = \lambda - \mu\}$. Then the collection of fixed points of ψ is $D = \{\alpha \in S_{\lambda,\mu}^{w=id} : \alpha \text{ is admissible}\}$ and we again have

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = \sum_{\alpha \in D} t^{|\alpha|}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bump and A. Schilling. *Crystal Bases: Representations and Combinatorics*. World Scientific, 2017.
- [2] J. Claxton and P. Tingley. Young tableaux, multisegments, and PBW bases. *Sem. Lothar. Combin.*, 73, Art. B73c, 2015.
- [3] A. Lascoux. Cyclic permutations on words, tableaux and harmonic polynomials. *Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conf. on Alg. Groups.*, 323-247, 1991.
- [4] A. Lascoux and M. Schützenberger. Sur une conjecture de H. O. Foulkes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 286(7):A323–A324, 1978.
- [5] C. Lecouvey. Kostka-Foulkes polynomials, cyclage graphs and charge statistic for the root system C_n . *J. Algebraic combin.* 21:203-240, 2005.
- [6] C. Lecouvey. Combinatorics of crystal graphs and Kostka-Foulkes polynomials for the root systems B_n, C_n , and D_n . *European J. Combin.*, 27:526-557, 2006.
- [7] C. Lecouvey and C. Lenart. Atomic decomposition of characters and crystals. *Adv. Math.*, IF 1.494, 2020.
- [8] K. Lee and B. Salisbury. Young tableaux, canonical bases, and the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, Vol. 51: 289-309, 2014.
- [9] G. Lusztig. Singularities, character formulas, and a q -analogue of weight multiplicities. *Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (II-III)*, Astérisque 101-102:208-227, 1983.

(Cédric Lecouvey) LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOURS, TOURS,
CENTRE-VAL DE LOIRE, 37020, FRANCE

Email address: `cedric.lecouvey@lmpt.univ-tours.fr`

(Cristian Lenart) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT AL-
BANY, ALBANY, NY 12222, U.S.A.

Email address: `clenart@albany.edu`

(Adam Schultze) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. OLAF COLLEGE,
1520 ST. OLAF AVENUE, NORTHFIELD, MN 55057, U.S.A.

Email address: `schult24@stolaf.edu`