

# DIVISIBILITY OF CERTAIN $\ell$ -REGULAR PARTITIONS BY 2

AJIT SINGH AND RUPAM BARMAN

ABSTRACT. For a positive integer  $\ell$ , let  $b_\ell(n)$  denote the number of  $\ell$ -regular partitions of a nonnegative integer  $n$ . Motivated by some recent conjectures of Keith and Zanello, we establish infinite families of congruences modulo 2 for  $b_3(n)$  and  $b_{21}(n)$ . We prove a specific case of a conjecture of Keith and Zanello on self-similarities of  $b_3(n)$  modulo 2. We next prove that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n+1)q^n$  is lacunary modulo arbitrary powers of 2. We also prove that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(4n)q^n$  is lacunary modulo 2.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

A partition of a positive integer  $n$  is any non-increasing sequence of positive integers whose sum is  $n$ . The number of such partitions of  $n$  is denoted by  $p(n)$ . The partition function has many congruence properties modulo primes and powers of primes. Ramanujan discovered beautiful congruences satisfied by  $p(n)$  modulo 5, 7 and 11. Let  $\ell$  be a fixed positive integer. An  $\ell$ -regular partition of a positive integer  $n$  is a partition of  $n$  such that none of its part is divisible by  $\ell$ . Let  $b_\ell(n)$  be the number of  $\ell$ -regular partitions of  $n$ . The generating function for  $b_\ell(n)$  is given by

$$G_\ell(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_\ell(n)q^n = \frac{f_\ell}{f_1}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f_k := (q^k; q^k)_\infty = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{jk})$  and  $k$  is a positive integer.

In a very recent paper [3], Keith and Zanello studied  $\ell$ -regular partition for certain values of  $\ell$ . They proved various congruences for  $b_3(n)$  and make the following conjecture regarding the self-similarity of  $b_3(n)$ .

*Conjecture 1.1.* For any prime  $p > 3$ , let  $\gamma \equiv -24^{-1} \pmod{p^2}$ ,  $0 < \gamma < p^2$ . It holds for a positive proportion of primes  $p$  that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2(pn + \gamma))q^n \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^{pn} \pmod{2}. \quad (1.2)$$

In [3, Theorem 7], Keith and Zanello proved one specific case of Conjecture 1.1 corresponding to  $p = 13$ . In the following theorem, we prove another specific case of Conjecture 1.1 corresponding to  $p = 17$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *It holds that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(34n + 24)q^n \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^{17n} \pmod{2},$$

---

*Date:* October 27, 2021.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A17, 11P83, 11F11.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\ell$ -regular partitions; Eta-quotients; modular forms; arithmetic density.

and therefore

$$b_3(2 \cdot 17^2 n + 58) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and by iteration,

$$b_3\left(2 \cdot 17^{2k} n + 17^{2k-2} \cdot 58 + 24 \left(\frac{17^{2k-2} - 1}{288}\right)\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

If we assume that Conjecture 1.1 is true for  $p = 29$ , then using the congruence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2(29n + 35))q^n \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^{29n} \pmod{2}$ , one can deduce infinite families of congruences of the form

$$b_3(2(29^2 \cdot n + 29k + 35)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $1 \leq k \leq 28$ . We do not know whether Conjecture 1.1 is true or not for  $p = 29$ . However, in the following theorem, we prove the congruences (1.3) without assuming (1.2) for  $p = 29$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in \{6, 64, 93, 122, 151, 180, 209, 238, 267, 296, 325, 354, 383, 412, 441, 470, 499, 528, 557, 586, 615, 644, 673, 702, 731, 760, 789, 818\}$ . Then for all  $n \geq 0$ , we have*

$$b_3(2(29^2 \cdot n + \alpha)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Keith and Zanello [3] also studied 2-divisibility of  $b_{21}(n)$  and proved several congruences for primes  $p \equiv 13, 17, 19, 23 \pmod{24}$ . To be specific, if  $p \equiv 13, 17, 19, 23 \pmod{24}$  is prime, then

$$b_{21}(4(p^2 n + kp - 11 \cdot 24^{-1}) + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

for all  $1 \leq k < p$ , where  $24^{-1}$  is taken modulo  $p^2$ . For example, if  $p = 13$ , then one obtains

$$b_{21}(4 \cdot 13^2 n + 52k + 309) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 12$ . In the following theorem we prove similar type of congruences for the prime  $p = 29$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\beta \in \{8, 37, 66, 95, 124, 153, 182, 211, 240, 269, 298, 327, 356, 414, 443, 472, 501, 530, 559, 588, 617, 646, 675, 704, 733, 762, 791, 820\}$ . Then for all  $n \geq 0$ , we have*

$$b_{21}(4(29^2 \cdot n + \beta) + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

In addition to the study of Ramanujan-type congruences, it is an interesting problem to study the distribution of the partition function modulo positive integers  $M$ . To be precise, given an integral power series  $F(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$  and  $0 \leq r < M$ , we define

$$\delta_r(F, M; X) := \frac{\#\{n \leq X : a(n) \equiv r \pmod{M}\}}{X}.$$

An integral power series  $F$  is called *lacunary modulo  $M$*  if

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0(F, M; X) = 1,$$

that is, almost all of the coefficients of  $F$  are divisible by  $M$ . In a recent paper [1], Cotroneo et al. proved lacunarity of certain eta-quotients modulo arbitrary powers of primes. We phrase their theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1.5.** [1, Theorem 1.1] *Let  $G(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^u f_{\alpha_i}^{r_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^t f_{\beta_i}^{s_i}}$ , and  $p$  is a prime such that  $p^a$  divides  $\gcd(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u)$  and*

$$p^a \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \beta_i s_i}{\sum_{i=1}^u \frac{r_i}{\alpha_i}}},$$

*then  $G(z)$  is lacunary modulo  $p^j$  for any positive integer  $j$ .*

Keith and Zanello [3] studied lacunarity of the functions  $b_3(2n)$ ,  $b_{21}(4n)$ ,  $b_{21}(4n+1)$  and  $b_{21}(8n+3)$  modulo 2 using the technique developed by Landau [5]. In the following theorem, we prove that  $b_9(2n+1)$  is almost always divisible by arbitrary powers of 2.

**Theorem 1.6.** *The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n+1)q^n$  is lacunary modulo  $2^k$  for any positive integer  $k$ .*

Keith and Zanello [3] derived several congruences for the partition function  $b_9(n)$  modulo 2 using the theory of Hecke operators. In the following theorem we prove that  $b_9(4n)$  is almost always divisible by 2.

**Theorem 1.7.** *The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(4n)q^n$  is lacunary modulo 2.*

We prove Theorem 1.7 using the approach of Landau [5] and Theorem 1.5. However, we couldn't find a similar proof for Theorem 1.6. We use a density result of Serre to prove Theorem 1.6.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some definitions and basic facts on modular forms. For more details, see for example [4, 6]. We first define the matrix groups

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\infty} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_0(N) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_1(N) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) : a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

and

$$\Gamma(N) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \text{ and } b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

where  $N$  is a positive integer. A subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is called a congruence subgroup if  $\Gamma(N) \subseteq \Gamma$  for some  $N$ . The smallest  $N$  such that  $\Gamma(N) \subseteq \Gamma$  is called the level of  $\Gamma$ . For example,  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and  $\Gamma_1(N)$  are congruence subgroups of level  $N$ .

Let  $\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$  be the upper half of the complex plane. The group

$$\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } ad - bc > 0 \right\}$$

acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ . We identify  $\infty$  with  $\frac{1}{0}$  and define  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \frac{r}{s} = \frac{ar+bs}{cr+ds}$ , where  $\frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ . This gives an action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  on the extended upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . A cusp of  $\Gamma$  is an equivalence class in  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$  under the action of  $\Gamma$ .

The group  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  also acts on functions  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In particular, suppose that  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\ell$  is an integer, then define the slash operator  $|_\ell$  by

$$(f|_\ell \gamma)(z) := (\det \gamma)^{\ell/2} (cz+d)^{-\ell} f(\gamma z).$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of level  $N$ . A holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a modular form with integer weight  $\ell$  on  $\Gamma$  if the following hold:

(1) We have

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^\ell f(z)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and all  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma$ .

(2) If  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $(f|_\ell \gamma)(z)$  has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(f|_\ell \gamma)(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_\gamma(n) q_N^n,$$

where  $q_N := e^{2\pi iz/N}$ .

For a positive integer  $\ell$ , the complex vector space of modular forms of weight  $\ell$  with respect to a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  is denoted by  $M_\ell(\Gamma)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [6, Definition 1.15] If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character modulo  $N$ , then we say that a modular form  $f \in M_\ell(\Gamma_1(N))$  has Nebentypus character  $\chi$  if

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \chi(d)(cz+d)^\ell f(z)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and all  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ . The space of such modular forms is denoted by  $M_\ell(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ .

In this paper, the relevant modular forms are those that arise from eta-quotients. Recall that the Dedekind eta-function  $\eta(z)$  is defined by

$$\eta(z) := q^{1/24}(q; q)_\infty = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n),$$

where  $q := e^{2\pi iz}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . A function  $f(z)$  is called an eta-quotient if it is of the form

$$f(z) = \prod_{\delta|N} \eta(\delta z)^{r_\delta},$$

where  $N$  is a positive integer and  $r_\delta$  is an integer. We now recall two theorems from [6, p. 18] which will be used to prove our results.

**Theorem 2.3.** [6, Theorem 1.64] *If  $f(z) = \prod_{\delta|N} \eta(\delta z)^{r_\delta}$  is an eta-quotient such that  $\ell = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta|N} r_\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\sum_{\delta|N} \delta r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$$

and

$$\sum_{\delta|N} \frac{N}{\delta} r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24},$$

then  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \chi(d)(cz+d)^\ell f(z)$$

for every  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ . Here the character  $\chi$  is defined by  $\chi(d) := \left(\frac{(-1)^\ell s}{d}\right)$ , where  $s := \prod_{\delta|N} \delta^{r_\delta}$ .

Suppose that  $f$  is an eta-quotient satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and that the associated weight  $\ell$  is a positive integer. If  $f(z)$  is holomorphic at all of the cusps of  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , then  $f(z) \in M_\ell(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ . The following theorem gives the necessary criterion for determining orders of an eta-quotient at cusps.

**Theorem 2.4.** [6, Theorem 1.65] *Let  $c, d$  and  $N$  be positive integers with  $d | N$  and  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ . If  $f$  is an eta-quotient satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.3 for  $N$ , then the order of vanishing of  $f(z)$  at the cusp  $\frac{c}{d}$  is*

$$\frac{N}{24} \sum_{\delta|N} \frac{\gcd(d, \delta)^2 r_\delta}{\gcd(d, \frac{N}{d}) d \delta}.$$

We now recall a result of Sturm [10] which gives a criterion to test whether two modular forms are congruent modulo a given prime.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number, and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$  be modular forms of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  of characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively, where  $n_0, n_1 \geq 0$ . If either  $\chi = \psi$  and*

$$a(n) \equiv b(n) \pmod{p} \text{ for all } n \leq \frac{kN}{12} \prod_{d \text{ prime}; d|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{d}\right),$$

or  $\chi \neq \psi$  and

$$a(n) \equiv b(n) \pmod{p} \text{ for all } n \leq \frac{kN^2}{12} \prod_{d \text{ prime}; d|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d^2}\right),$$

then  $f(z) \equiv g(z) \pmod{p}$  (i.e.,  $a(n) \equiv b(n) \pmod{p}$  for all  $n$ ).

We next recall the definition of Hecke operators. Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \in M_\ell(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ . Then the action of Hecke operator  $T_m$  on  $f(z)$  is defined by

$$f(z)|T_m := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|\gcd(n,m)} \chi(d) d^{\ell-1} a\left(\frac{nm}{d^2}\right) \right) q^n.$$

In particular, if  $m = p$  is prime, we have

$$f(z)|T_p := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( a(pn) + \chi(p)p^{\ell-1}a\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \right) q^n.$$

We take by convention that  $a(n/p) = 0$  whenever  $p \nmid n$ . If  $f$  is an  $\eta$ -quotient with the properties listed in Theorem 2.3, and  $p|s$  (here  $s$  is as defined in Theorem 2.3), then  $\chi(p) = 0$  so that the latter term vanishes. In this case, we have the factorization property that

$$\left( f \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)q^{pn} \right) |T_p = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(pn)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)q^n \right).$$

We finally recall a density result of Serre [9] about the divisibility of Fourier coefficients of modular forms.

**Theorem 2.6** (Serre). *Let  $f(z)$  be a modular form of positive integer weight  $k$  on some congruence subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with Fourier expansion*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n,$$

where  $a(n)$  are algebraic integers in some number field. If  $m$  is a positive integer, then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that there are  $O\left(\frac{X}{(\log X)^c}\right)$  integers  $n \leq X$  such that  $a(n)$  is not divisible by  $m$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2

*Proof.* We first recall the following even-odd dissection of the 3-regular partitions [3, (6)]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(n)q^n = \frac{f_3}{f_1} \equiv \frac{f_1^8}{f_3^2} + q \frac{f_3^{10}}{f_1^4} \pmod{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, extracting the terms with even powers of  $q$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^n \equiv \frac{f_1^4}{f_3} \pmod{2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$G_{3,1}(z) := \frac{\eta^4(z)\eta^2(51z)\eta(17z)}{\eta(3z)}$$

and

$$G_{3,2}(z) := \frac{\eta^4(17z)\eta^2(3z)\eta(z)}{\eta(51z)}.$$

By Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 we find that  $G_{3,1}(z)$  and  $G_{3,2}(z)$  are modular forms of weight 3, level 51 and character  $\chi_0 = \left(\frac{-3 \cdot 17^3}{\bullet}\right)$ . By (3.2) the Fourier expansions of our forms satisfy

$$G_{3,1}(z) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^{n+5} \right) f_{51}^2 f_{17}$$

and

$$G_{3,2}(z) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^{17n+1} \right) f_3^2 f_1.$$

We then calculate that

$$G_{3,1}(z)|T_{17} \equiv \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(34n+24)q^{n+1} \right) f_3^2 f_1 \pmod{2}.$$

Since the Hecke operator is an endomorphism on  $M_3(\Gamma_0(51), \chi_0)$ , we have that  $G_{3,1}(z)|T_{17} \in M_3(\Gamma_0(51), \chi_0)$ . By Theorem 2.5, the Sturm bound for this space of forms is 18. We wish to verify the congruence

$$q \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(34n+24)q^n \right) f_3^2 f_1 \equiv q \frac{f_{17}^4}{f_{51}} f_3^2 f_1 \pmod{2}.$$

The coefficient of  $q^{18}$  on the left side involves the value  $b_3(636)$ ; thus,  $f_3/f_1$  must be expanded at least that far, and the product on the right side must be constructed up to the  $q^{18}$  terms. Finally, expansion with a calculation package such as *Sage* confirms that all coefficients up to the desired bound are congruent modulo 2, and the first part of the theorem is established.

Since only powers for which  $17|n$  can be nonzero on the right side of the statement, we obtain:

$$b_3(34(17n+1)+24) = b_3(2 \cdot 17^2 n + 58) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Finally, recursively applying the relation

$$b_3(2n) \equiv b_3(34 \cdot 17n + 24) \pmod{2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_3(2 \cdot 17^2 n + 58) &\equiv b_3(2 \cdot 17^2(17^2 n + 29) + 24) \pmod{2} \\ &= b_3(2 \cdot 17^4 n + 17^2 \cdot 58 + 24) \\ &\equiv b_3(2 \cdot 17^6 n + 17^4 \cdot 58 + 17^2 \cdot 24 + 24) \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv \dots \\ &\equiv b_3 \left( 2 \cdot 17^{2k} n + 17^{2k-2} \cdot 58 + 24 \left( \frac{17^{2k-2} - 1}{288} \right) \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is given by a finite geometric summation. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

We prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 using the approach developed in [7, 8]. Throughout this section,  $\Gamma$  denotes the full modular group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We recall that the index of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  in  $\Gamma$  is

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma_0(N)] = N \prod_{p|N} (1 + p^{-1}),$$

where  $p$  denotes a prime.

For a positive integer  $M$ , let  $R(M)$  be the set of integer sequences  $r = (r_\delta)_{\delta|M}$  indexed by the positive divisors of  $M$ . If  $r \in R(M)$  and  $1 = \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_k = M$  are the positive divisors of  $M$ , we write  $r = (r_{\delta_1}, \dots, r_{\delta_k})$ . Define  $c_r(n)$  by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_r(n) q^n := \prod_{\delta|M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_\infty^{r_\delta} = \prod_{\delta|M} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n\delta})^{r_\delta}. \quad (4.1)$$

The approach to proving congruences for  $c_r(n)$  developed by Radu [7, 8] reduces the number of coefficients that one must check as compared with the classical method which uses Sturm's bound alone.

Let  $m$  be a positive integer. For any integer  $s$ , let  $[s]_m$  denote the residue class of  $s$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$  be the set of all invertible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}_m \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$  be the set of all squares in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ . For  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $r \in R(M)$ , we define a subset  $P_{m,r}(t) \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  by

$$P_{m,r}(t) := \left\{ t' : \exists [s]_{24m} \in \mathbb{S}_{24m} \text{ such that } t' \equiv ts + \frac{s-1}{24} \sum_{\delta|M} \delta r_\delta \pmod{m} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.1.** Suppose  $m, M$  and  $N$  are positive integers,  $r = (r_\delta) \in R(M)$  and  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ . Let  $k = k(m) := \gcd(m^2 - 1, 24)$  and write

$$\prod_{\delta|M} \delta^{|r_\delta|} = 2^s \cdot j,$$

where  $s$  and  $j$  are nonnegative integers with  $j$  odd. The set  $\Delta^*$  consists of all tuples  $(m, M, N, (r_\delta), t)$  satisfying these conditions and all of the following.

- (1) Each prime divisor of  $m$  is also a divisor of  $N$ .
- (2)  $\delta|M$  implies  $\delta|mN$  for every  $\delta \geq 1$  such that  $r_\delta \neq 0$ .
- (3)  $kN \sum_{\delta|M} r_\delta mN/\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ .
- (4)  $kN \sum_{\delta|M} r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .
- (5)  $\frac{24m}{\gcd(-24kt - k \sum_{\delta|M} \delta r_\delta, 24m)}$  divides  $N$ .
- (6) If  $2|m$ , then either  $4|kN$  and  $8|sN$  or  $2|s$  and  $8|(1-j)N$ .

Let  $m, M, N$  be positive integers. For  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma$ ,  $r \in R(M)$  and  $r' \in R(N)$ , set

$$p_{m,r}(\gamma) := \min_{\lambda \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}} \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\delta|M} r_\delta \frac{\gcd^2(\delta a + \delta k \lambda c, m c)}{\delta m}$$

and

$$p_{r'}^*(\gamma) := \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\delta|N} r'_\delta \frac{\gcd^2(\delta, c)}{\delta}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** [7, Lemma 4.5] *Let  $u$  be a positive integer,  $(m, M, N, r = (r_\delta), t) \in \Delta^*$  and  $r' = (r'_\delta) \in R(N)$ . Let  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subseteq \Gamma$  be a complete set of representatives of the double cosets of  $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_\infty$ . Assume that  $p_{m,r}(\gamma_i) + p_{r'}^*(\gamma_i) \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $t_{\min} = \min_{t' \in P_{m,r}(t)} t'$  and*

$$\nu := \frac{1}{24} \left\{ \left( \sum_{\delta|M} r_\delta + \sum_{\delta|N} r'_\delta \right) [\Gamma : \Gamma_0(N)] - \sum_{\delta|N} \delta r'_\delta \right\} - \frac{1}{24m} \sum_{\delta|M} \delta r_\delta - \frac{t_{\min}}{m}.$$



If the congruence  $c_r(mn + t') \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$  holds for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and  $0 \leq n \leq \lfloor \nu \rfloor$ , then it holds for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and  $n \geq 0$ .

To apply Lemma 4.2, we utilize the following result, which gives us a complete set of representatives of the double coset in  $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_\infty$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** [11, Lemma 4.3] *If  $N$  or  $\frac{1}{2}N$  is a square-free integer, then*

$$\bigcup_{\delta|N} \Gamma_0(N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_\infty = \Gamma.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* From (3.1), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(n)q^n = \frac{f_3}{f_1} \equiv \frac{f_1^8}{f_3^2} + q \frac{f_3^{10}}{f_1^4} \pmod{2}.$$

Extracting the terms with even powers of  $q$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_3(2n)q^n \equiv \frac{f_1^4}{f_3} \pmod{2}.$$

Let  $(m, M, N, r, t) = (841, 3, 87, (4, -1), 64)$ . It is easy to verify that  $(m, M, N, r, t) \in \Delta^*$  and  $P_{m,r}(t) = \{6, 64, 151, 180, 209, 238, 296, 412, 499, 615, 673, 702, 731, 760\}$ . By

Lemma 4.3, we know that  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \delta|87 \right\}$  forms a complete set of double coset

representatives of  $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_\infty$ . Let  $\gamma_\delta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $r' = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in R(87)$

and we use *Sage* to verify that  $p_{m,r}(\gamma_\delta) + p_{r'}^*(\gamma_\delta) \geq 0$  for each  $\delta|N$ . We compute that the upper bound in Lemma 4.2 is  $\lfloor \nu \rfloor = 14$ . Using *Sage* we verify that  $b_3(1682n + 2t') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and for  $n \leq 14$ . By Lemma 4.2 we conclude that  $b_3(1682n + 2t') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ . To prove the remaining congruences, we take  $(m, M, N, r, t) = (841, 3, 87, (4, -1), 93)$ . It is easy to verify that  $(m, M, N, r, t) \in \Delta^*$  and  $P_{m,r}(t) = \{93, 122, 267, 325, 354, 383, 441, 470, 528, 557, 586, 644, 789, 818\}$ . Following similar steps as shown before, we find that  $b_3(1682n + 2t') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* We begin our proof by recalling the following even-odd dissection formula of the 21-regular partitions [3, (9)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{21}(n)q^n &= \frac{f_{21}}{f_1} \equiv f_1^8 f_3^4 + q^3 f_1^8 f_{21}^4 + q^6 \frac{f_1^8 f_{21}^8}{f_3^4} + q \frac{f_3^{16}}{f_1^4} \\ &\quad + q^4 \frac{f_3^{12} f_{21}^4}{f_1^4} + q^7 \frac{f_3^8 f_{21}^8}{f_1^4} \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Extracting the terms with odd powers of  $q$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{21}(2n+1)q^n \equiv q f_1^4 f_{21}^2 + \frac{f_3^8}{f_1^2} + q^3 \frac{f_3^4 f_{21}^4}{f_1^2} \pmod{2}.$$

Finally, extracting the terms with even powers of  $q$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{21}(4n+1)q^n \equiv \frac{f_3^4}{f_1} \pmod{2}.$$

Let  $(m, M, N, r, t) = (841, 3, 87, (-1, 4), 414)$ . We verify that  $(m, M, N, r, t) \in \Delta^*$  and  $P_{m,r}(t) = \{8, 124, 182, 211, 240, 269, 356, 414, 501, 530, 559, 588, 646, 762\}$ . By Lemma 4.3, we know that  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \delta | 87 \right\}$  forms a complete set of double coset representatives of  $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_\infty$ . Let  $\gamma_\delta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $r' = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in R(87)$  and we use *Sage* to verify that  $p_{m,r}(\gamma_\delta) + p_{r'}^*(\gamma_\delta) \geq 0$  for each  $\delta | N$ . We compute that the upper bound in Lemma 4.2 is  $\lfloor \nu \rfloor = 14$ . Using *Sage* we verify that  $b_{21}(4(841n + t') + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and for  $n \leq 14$ . By Lemma 4.2 we conclude that  $b_{21}(4(841n + t') + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ . To prove the remaining congruences, we take  $(m, M, N, r, t) = (841, 3, 87, (-1, 4), 443)$ . It is easy to verify that  $(m, M, N, r, t) \in \Delta^*$  and  $P_{m,r}(t) = \{37, 66, 95, 153, 298, 327, 443, 472, 617, 675, 704, 733, 791, 820\}$ . Following similar steps as shown before, we find that  $b_{21}(4(841n + t') + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.6 AND 1.7

In order to prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7, we first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n+1)q^n = \frac{f_2^2 f_3 f_{18}}{f_1^3 f_6}; \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(4n)q^n \equiv \frac{f_3^7}{f_1 f_9^2} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* Letting  $\ell = 9$  in (1.1) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(n)q^n = \frac{f_9}{f_1}. \quad (5.3)$$

From Lemma 3.5 in [12] we have

$$\frac{f_9}{f_1} = \frac{f_{12}^3 f_{18}}{f_2^2 f_6 f_{36}} + q \frac{f_4^2 f_6 f_{36}}{f_2^3 f_{12}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Extracting the terms with odd powers of  $q$  and then using (5.4), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n+1)q^n = \frac{f_2^2 f_3 f_{18}}{f_1^3 f_6}.$$

From (5.4), extracting the terms with even powers of  $q$  and then using (5.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n)q^n = \frac{f_6^3 f_9}{f_1^2 f_3 f_{18}} \equiv \frac{f_3^5}{f_1^2 f_9} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.5)$$

From [2, (2.5)] we have

$$\frac{f_1^3}{f_3} = \frac{f_4^3}{f_{12}} - 3q \frac{f_2^2 f_{12}^3}{f_4 f_6^2}. \quad (5.6)$$

Magnifying equation (5.6) by  $q \rightarrow q^3$  and combining with (5.5), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n)q^n \equiv \frac{f_3^2}{f_1^2} \left( \frac{f_{12}^3}{f_{36}} + q^3 \frac{f_6^2 f_{36}^3}{f_{12} f_{18}^2} \right) \pmod{2}.$$

Extracting the terms with even powers of  $q$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(4n)q^n \equiv \frac{f_3^7}{f_1 f_9^2} \pmod{2}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Let

$$A(z) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{54n})^2}{(1 - q^{108n})} = \frac{\eta^2(54z)}{\eta(108z)}.$$

Then using the binomial theorem we have

$$A^{2^k}(z) = \frac{\eta^{2^{k+1}}(54z)}{\eta^{2^k}(108z)} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$

Define  $B_k(z)$  by

$$B_k(z) := \left( \frac{\eta^2(6z)\eta(9z)\eta(54z)}{\eta^3(3z)\eta(18z)} \right) A^{2^k}(z) = \frac{\eta^2(6z)\eta(9z)\eta^{2^{k+1}+1}(54z)}{\eta^3(3z)\eta(18z)\eta^{2^k}(108z)}.$$

Modulo  $2^{k+1}$ , we have

$$B_k(z) \equiv \frac{\eta^2(6z)\eta(9z)\eta(54z)}{\eta^3(3z)\eta(18z)} = q^2 \left( \frac{(q^6; q^6)_{\infty}^2 (q^9; q^9)_{\infty} (q^{54}; q^{54})_{\infty}}{(q^3; q^3)_{\infty}^3 (q^{18}; q^{18})_{\infty}} \right). \quad (5.7)$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.7), we obtain

$$B_k(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(2n+1)q^{3n+2} \pmod{2^{k+1}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Now,  $B_k(z)$  is an eta-quotient with  $N = 324$ . We next prove that  $B_k(z)$  is a modular form for all  $k \geq 6$ . We know that the cusps of  $\Gamma_0(324)$  are represented by fractions  $\frac{c}{d}$ , where  $d \mid 324$  and  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ . By Theorem 2.4, we find that  $B_k(z)$  is holomorphic at a cusp  $\frac{c}{d}$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (2^{k+1} + 1) \frac{\gcd(d, 54)^2}{54} + 2 \frac{\gcd(d, 6)^2}{6} + \frac{\gcd(d, 9)^2}{9} - 3 \frac{\gcd(d, 3)^2}{3} - \frac{\gcd(d, 18)^2}{18} \\ & - 2^k \frac{\gcd(d, 108)^2}{108} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, if and only if

$$L := (2^{k+2} + 2)G_1 + 36G_2 + 12G_3 - 108G_4 - 6G_5 - 2^k \geq 0,$$

where  $G_1 = \frac{\gcd(d, 54)^2}{\gcd(d, 108)^2}$ ,  $G_2 = \frac{\gcd(d, 6)^2}{\gcd(d, 108)^2}$ ,  $G_3 = \frac{\gcd(d, 9)^2}{\gcd(d, 108)^2}$ ,  $G_4 = \frac{\gcd(d, 3)^2}{\gcd(d, 108)^2}$ , and  $G_5 = \frac{\gcd(d, 18)^2}{\gcd(d, 108)^2}$  respectively.

We now consider the following four cases according to the divisors of 324 and find the values of  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ . Let  $d$  be a divisor of  $N = 324$ .

Case (i). For  $d|324$  and  $d \notin \{4, 12, 36, 108, 324\}$ , we find that  $G_1 = 1$ ,  $1/81 \leq G_2 \leq 1$ ,  $1/36 \leq G_3 \leq 1$ ,  $1/324 \leq G_4 \leq 1$  and  $1/9 \leq G_5 \leq 1$ . Hence,

$$L \geq 2^{k+2} + 2 + 36/81 + 12/36 - 108 - 6 - 2^k = 3 \cdot 2^k - 112 - 7/9.$$

Since  $k \geq 6$ , we have  $L \geq 0$ .

Case (ii). For  $d = 4, 12$ , we find that  $G_1 = G_2 = G_5 = 1/4$  and  $G_3 = G_4 = 1/16$ . Hence,  $L = 2$ .

Case (iii). For  $d = 36$ , we find that  $G_1 = G_5 = 1/4$ ,  $G_2 = 1/36$ ,  $G_3 = 1/16$  and  $G_4 = 1/144$ . Hence, the value of  $L$  is equal to 0.

Case (iv). For  $d = 108, 324$ , we find that  $G_1 = 1/4$ ,  $G_2 = 1/324$ ,  $G_3 = 1/144$ ,  $G_4 = 1/1296$  and  $G_5 = 1/36$ . Hence, we have value of  $L$  equal to  $4/9$ .

Hence,  $B_k(z)$  is holomorphic at every cusp  $\frac{c}{d}$  for all  $k \geq 6$ . Using Theorem 2.3, we find that the weight of  $B_k(z)$  is equal to  $2^{k-1}$ . Also, the associated character for  $B_k(z)$  is given by  $\chi_1 = (\frac{4 \cdot 3^{3 \cdot 2^k + 2}}{\bullet})$ . This proves that  $B_k(z) \in M_{2^{k-1}}(\Gamma_0(324), \chi_1)$  for all  $k \geq 6$ . Also, the Fourier coefficients of  $B_k(z)$  are all integers. Hence by Theorem 2.6, the Fourier coefficients of  $B_k(z)$  are almost always divisible by  $m = 2^k$ , for any positive integer  $k$ . Due to (5.8), the same holds for  $b_9(2n+1)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 1.7. We recall the following classical result due to Landau [5].

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $r(n)$  and  $s(n)$  be quadratic polynomials. Then*

$$\left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{r(n)} \right) \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{s(n)} \right)$$

*is lacunary modulo 2.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* We first recall the following identity [3, (7)]:

$$f_1^3 \equiv f_3 + qf_9^3 \pmod{2}.$$

We rewrite the above identity as

$$\frac{f_3}{f_1} \equiv f_1^2 + q \frac{f_9^3}{f_1} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.9)$$

Combining (5.2) and (5.9), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(4n)q^n \equiv \frac{f_3^6 f_1^2}{f_9^2} + q \frac{f_3^6 f_9^2}{f_1} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.10)$$

We note that the second term of (5.10) is lacunary modulo 2, by Theorem 1.5. For the first term of (5.10), we again recall the following identity [3, p. 12]

$$\frac{f_1^3}{f_3} \equiv 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(3n-1)^2} \pmod{2},$$

which is quadratic. Hence, the same holds true for  $(f_3^3/f_9)^2$ , by substituting  $q$  with  $q^6$ . More precisely, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{f_3^3}{f_9} \right)^2 \equiv 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{6(3n-1)^2} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.11)$$

Now, squaring the Euler's Pentagonal Number formula, we have

$$f_1^2 \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n(3n-1)} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.12)$$

Finally combining (5.11) and (5.12), and then applying Lemma 5.2 we conclude that the first term of (5.10) is also lacunary modulo 2. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* Theorem 1.7 can also be proved using the Serre's density result as shown in the proof of Theorem 1.6. For this, we rewrite (5.2) in terms of  $\eta$ -quotients and obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_9(4n)q^{12n+1} \equiv \frac{\eta^7(36z)}{\eta(12z)\eta^2(108z)} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.13)$$

Let  $F(z) = \frac{\eta^7(36z)}{\eta(12z)\eta^2(108z)}$ . As shown in the proof of Theorem 1.6, one can prove that  $F(z) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(1296), (\frac{2^8 3^7}{\bullet}))$ . By Theorem 2.6, the Fourier coefficients of  $F(z)$  are almost always divisible by  $m = 2$ . Due to (5.13), the same holds for  $b_9(4n)$ .

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to Professor Fabrizio Zanello for many helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Cotron, A. Michaelson, E. Stamm and W. Zhu, *Lacunary eta-quotients modulo powers of primes*, Ramanujan J. 53 (2020), 269–284.
- [2] T. Kathiravan, *Ramanujan-type congruences modulo  $m$  for  $(l, m)$ -regular bipartitions*, Indian J Pure Appl Math, DOI: 10.1007/s13226-021-00015-w.
- [3] W. J. Keith, F. Zanello, *Parity of the coefficients of certain eta-quotients*, Journal of Number Theory, DOI: 10.1016/j.jnt.2021.06.034.
- [4] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).
- [5] E. Landau, *Über die Einteilung der positiven ganzen Zahlen in vier Klassen nach der Mindestzahl der zu ihrer additiven Zusammensetzung erforderlichen Quadrate*, Arch. Math. Phys., 13 (3) (1908), 305–312.
- [6] K. Ono, *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and  $q$ -series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 102, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [7] S. Radu, *An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan's congruences*, Ramanujan J. 20 (2) (2009), 295–302.
- [8] S. Radu and J. A. Sellers, *Congruence properties modulo 5 and 7 for the pod function*, Int. J. Number Theory 7 (8) (2011), 2249–2259.
- [9] J.-P. Serre, *Divisibilité des coefficients des formes modulaires de poids entier*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (A) 279 (1974), 679–682.
- [10] J. Sturm, *On the congruence of modular forms*, Springer Lect. Notes Math. 1240 (1984), 275–280.
- [11] L. Wang, *Arithmetic properties of  $(k, \ell)$ -regular bipartitions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 95 (2017), 353–364.
- [12] E. X. W. Xia, X.M. Yao, *Some modular relations for the Göllnitz-Gordon functions by an even-odd method.*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387 (2012), 126–138.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, ASSAM, INDIA,  
PIN- 781039

*Email address:* [ajit18@iitg.ac.in](mailto:ajit18@iitg.ac.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, ASSAM, INDIA,  
PIN- 781039

*Email address:* [rupam@iitg.ac.in](mailto:rupam@iitg.ac.in)