

Packing Strong Subgraph in Digraphs

Yuefang Sun¹, Gregory Gutin², Xiaoyan Zhang^{3*}

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics,

Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, P. R. China

² Department of Computer Science, Royal Holloway,
University of London, Egham, UK

³ School of Mathematical Science & Institute of Mathematics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we study two types of strong subgraph packing problems in digraphs, including internally disjoint strong subgraph packing problem and arc-disjoint strong subgraph packing problem. These problems can be viewed as generalizations of the famous Steiner tree packing problem and are closely related to the strong arc decomposition problem. We first prove the NP-completeness for the internally disjoint strong subgraph packing problem restricted to symmetric digraphs and Eulerian digraphs. Then we get inapproximability results for the arc-disjoint strong subgraph packing problem and the internally disjoint strong subgraph packing problem. Finally we study the arc-disjoint strong subgraph packing problem restricted to digraph compositions and obtain some algorithmic results by utilizing the structural properties.

Keywords: strong subgraph packing; Steiner tree packing; strong subgraph connectivity; digraph composition; quasi-transitive digraph; symmetric digraph; Eulerian digraph.

AMS subject classification (2020): 05C20, 05C40, 05C45, 05C70, 05C76, 05C85, 68R10.

1 Introduction

We refer the readers to [1] for graph-theoretical notation and terminology not given here. The Steiner Type Problems have attracted significant attention from researchers due to their importance in theoretical research and practical implications [2, 8, 14, 18, 21, 25, 30]. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V$ of at least two vertices, an S -Steiner tree is a tree T of G with $S \subseteq V(T)$. The basic problem of STEINER TREE PACKING is to find a largest collection of edge-disjoint S -Steiner trees in a given undirected graph G . Besides this classical version, some its variations were also

*Corresponding author.

Email address: sunyuefang@nbu.edu.cn (Sun), gutin@cs.rhul.ac.uk (Gutin), zhangxiaoyan@njnu.edu.cn (Zhang)

studied, see e.g. [10, 11, 19, 20, 30]. The Steiner tree packing problem has applications in a number of areas such as VLSI circuit design [14, 25] and stream broadcasting [21].

It is natural to consider extensions of the Steiner tree packing problem to directed graphs. One approach is to replace undirected tree with out-trees i.e. trees oriented from their roots, see e.g. Cheriyan and Salavatipour [10], Sun and Yeo [29]. In this paper, we will further study the STRONG SUBGRAPH PACKING problem which can be considered as another extension of the Steiner tree packing problem and is closely related to the strong arc decomposition problem [3, 6, 7, 27]. A digraph D is *strong connected* (or, *strong*), if for any pair of vertices $x, y \in V(D)$, there is a path from x to y in D , and vice versa. Let $D = (V(D), A(D))$ be a digraph of order n , $S \subseteq V$ a k -subset of $V(D)$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$. A strong subgraph H of D is called an *S -strong subgraph* if $S \subseteq V(H)$. Two S -strong subgraphs are said to be *arc-disjoint* if they have no common arc. Furthermore, two arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs are said *internally disjoint* if the set of common vertices of them is exactly S .

In this paper, we consider the following two types of strong subgraph packing problems in digraphs. The input of ARC-DISJOINT STRONG SUBGRAPH PACKING (ASSP) consists of a digraph D and a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(D)$, the goal is to find a largest collection of arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs. Similarly, the input of INTERNALLY-DISJOINT STRONG SUBGRAPH PACKING (ISSP) consists of a digraph D and a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(D)$, and the goal is to find a largest collection of internally disjoint S -strong subgraphs.

Let *internally (resp. arc-)disjoint strong subgraph packing number*, denoted by $\kappa_S(D)$ (resp. $\lambda_S(D)$), be the maximum number of internally (resp. arc-)disjoint S -strong subgraphs in D . Then the *strong subgraph k -connectivity* introduced in [28] is defined as

$$\kappa_k(D) = \min\{\kappa_S(D) \mid S \subseteq V(D), |S| = k\}.$$

Similarly, the *strong subgraph k -arc-connectivity* introduced in [26] is defined as

$$\lambda_k(D) = \min\{\lambda_S(D) \mid S \subseteq V(D), |S| = k\}.$$

A digraph D is *symmetric* if it can be obtained from an undirected graph G by replacing every edge $\{x, y\}$ of G by the pair xy, yx of edges. We denote it by $D = \overleftrightarrow{G}$. A digraph D is *Eulerian* if its undirected underlying graph is connected and the out-degree and in-degree of each vertex of D coincide. Clearly, symmetric digraphs is a subfamily of Eulerian digraphs.

It is worth mentioning that strong subgraph connectivity is related to other concepts in graph theory. It is an extension of the well-established tree connectivity of undirected graphs [21]. Since $\kappa_2(\overleftrightarrow{G}) = \kappa(G)$ [28] and $\lambda_2(\overleftrightarrow{G}) = \lambda(G)$ [26], $\kappa_k(D)$ and $\lambda_k(D)$ could be seen as generalizations of connectivity and edge-connectivity of undirected graphs, respectively. The following concept of strong arc decomposition (or good decomposition) was studied in [3, 6, 7, 27]. A digraph $D = (V, A)$ has a *strong arc decomposition* if A has two disjoint sets A_1 and A_2 such that both (V, A_1) and (V, A_2) are

strong. By definition, $\kappa_n(D) \geq 2$ (or, $\lambda_n(D) \geq 2$) if and only if D has a strong arc decomposition.

In [26, 28], some hardness results for the decision versions of ISSP and ASSP were obtained. For general digraphs, we list such results in the following two tables.

General digraphs		
$\lambda_S(D) \geq \ell?$ $ S = k$	$k \geq 2$ constant	k part of input
$\ell \geq 2$ constant	NP-complete [28]	NP-complete [28]
ℓ part of input	NP-complete [28]	NP-complete [28]

General digraphs		
$\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell?$ $ S = k$	$k \geq 2$ constant	k part of input
$\ell \geq 2$ constant	NP-complete [26]	NP-complete [26]
ℓ part of input	NP-complete [26]	NP-complete [26]

A digraph D is *semicomplete* if at least one of the arcs xy, yx is in D for every distinct $x, y \in V(D)$. A digraph D is *locally in-semicomplete* if all in-neighbours of each vertex in D induce a semicomplete digraph. Hence, a semicomplete digraph is also locally in-semicomplete. A digraph D is *symmetric* if there is an opposite arc yx for every arc xy . For semicomplete digraphs and symmetric digraphs, the following hardness results were obtained in [28].

Theorem 1.1 [28] *Let $k, \ell \geq 2$ be fixed integers. Let D be a semicomplete digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$ with $|S| = k$. The problem of deciding whether $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ is polynomial-time solvable.*

Theorem 1.2 [28] *Let $k \geq 3$ be a fixed integer. Let D be a symmetric digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$ with $|S| = k$. The problem of deciding whether $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ is NP-complete, where $\ell \geq 1$ is an integer.*

Theorem 1.3 [28] *Let $k, \ell \geq 2$ be fixed integers. Let D be a symmetric digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$ with $|S| = k$. The problem of deciding whether $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ is polynomial-time solvable.*

Let D be a digraph with $V(D) = \{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ and let H_1, \dots, H_t be digraphs with $V(H_i) = \{u_{i,j_i} \mid 1 \leq j_i \leq n_i\}$. In the rest of this paper, we set $n_0 = \min\{n_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. The *composition* of D and H_i , denoted by $Q = D[H_1, \dots, H_t]$, is a digraph with vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V(H_i) = \{u_{i,j_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j_i \leq n_i\}$ and arc set

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^t A(H_i) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{u_i u_p \in A(D)} \{u_{i,j_i} u_{p,q_p} \mid 1 \leq j_i \leq n_i, 1 \leq q_p \leq n_p\} \right).$$

A digraph D is *quasi-transitive*, if for any triple x, y, z of distinct vertices of D , the following holds: if xy and yz are arcs of D then either xz or zx or both

are arcs of D . Based on the notion of digraph composition, Bang-Jensen and Huang gave a recursive characterization of quasi-transitive digraphs below, where the decomposition is called the *canonical decomposition* of a quasi-transitive digraph.

Theorem 1.4 [5] *Let D be a quasi-transitive digraph. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (a) *If D is not strong, then there exists a transitive oriented graph T with vertices $\{u_i \mid i \in [t]\}$ and strong quasi-transitive digraphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_t such that $D = T[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_t]$, where H_i is substituted for u_i , $i \in [t]$.*
- (b) *If D is strong, then there exists a strong semicomplete digraph S with vertices $\{v_j \mid j \in [s]\}$ and quasi-transitive digraphs Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_s such that Q_j is either a vertex or is non-strong and $D = S[Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_s]$, where Q_j is substituted for v_j , $j \in [s]$.*

Composition of digraphs is a useful concept and tool in digraph theory, especially in the structural and algorithmic applications for quasi-transitive digraphs and their extensions, see e.g. [1, 5, 13]. In addition, digraph compositions generalize some families of digraphs, including (extended) semicomplete digraphs, quasi-transitive digraphs (by Theorem 1.4) and lexicographic product digraphs (when H_i is the same digraph H for every $i \in [t]$, Q is the lexicographic product of T and H , see, e.g., [17]). In particular, semicomplete compositions generalize strong quasi-transitive digraphs. To see that strong compositions form a significant generalization of strong quasi-transitive digraphs, observe that the Hamiltonian cycle problem is polynomial-time solvable for quasi-transitive digraphs [15], but NP-complete for strong compositions (see, e.g., [3]). There are several papers appeared on the topic of digraph compositions [3, 16, 27].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the decision versions of ISSP for symmetric digraphs (Theorem 2.1) and Eulerian digraphs (Theorem 2.3). The new results together with Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 allow us to complete the following two tables, which clearly demonstrate that ISSP increases in hardness when moving from symmetric to Eulerian digraphs.

Table 1: Symmetric digraphs			
$\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell?$ $ S = k$	$k = 2$	$k \geq 3$ constant	k part of input
$\ell \geq 2$ constant	Polynomial [28]	Polynomial [28]	NP-complete
ℓ part of input	Polynomial [28]	NP-complete [28]	NP-complete [28]

Table 2: Eulerian digraphs			
$\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell?$ $ S = k$	$k = 2$	$k \geq 3$ constant	k part of input
$\ell \geq 2$ constant	NP-complete	NP-complete	NP-complete
ℓ part of input	NP-complete	NP-complete	NP-complete

In Section 3, we obtain inapproximability results on ISSP and ASSP in Theorem 3.2. Some structural properties and algorithmic results on digraph compositions will be given in Theorems 4.7 in Section 4. We also discuss the min-max relation on strong subgraph packing problem and pose an open problem.

2 Complexity for $\kappa_S(D)$ on symmetric digraphs and Eulerian digraphs

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\ell \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Let D be a symmetric digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$ ($k = |S|$ is part of the input). The problem of deciding whether $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ is NP-complete.*

Proof: It is easy to see that this problem is in NP. We will reduce from the NP-complete problem of 2-coloring of hypergraphs (see [22]). That is, we are given a hypergraph H with vertex set $V(H)$ and edge set $E(H)$, and want to determine if we can 2-colour the vertices $V(H)$ such that every hyperedge in $E(H)$ contains vertices of both colours.

Define a symmetric digraph D as follows. Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\ell-2}\}$ and let $V(D) = V(H) \cup E(H) \cup U \cup \{r\}$ and let the arc set of D be defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A(D) = & \{xe, ex \mid x \in V(H), e \in e(H) \text{ and } x \in V(e)\} \\ & \cup \{ru_i, u_i r, u_i e, eu_i \mid u_i \in U \text{ and } e \in E(H)\} \\ & \cup \{rx, xr \mid x \in V(H)\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $S = E(H) \cup \{r\}$. This completes the construction of D and S . We will show that $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ if and only if H is 2-colourable, which will complete the proof.

First assume that H is 2-colourable and let R be the red vertices in H and B be the blue vertices in H in a proper 2-colouring of H . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2$, let D_i contain all arcs between u_i and S . Let $D_{\ell-1}$ contain all arcs between r and R , and for each edge $e \in E(H)$ we add all arcs between R and e in D to $D_{\ell-1}$ (this is possible as every edge in H contains a red vertex). Analogously, let D_ℓ contain all arcs between r and B , and for each edge $e \in E(H)$ we add all arcs between B and e in D to D_ℓ (again, this is possible as every edge in H contains a blue vertex). Observe that D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ are internally disjoint S -strong subgraphs in D , so $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$.

Conversely, assume that $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ and let $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_\ell$ be a set of ℓ internally disjoint S -strong subgraphs in D . At least two of these subgraphs contain no vertex from U (as $|U| = \ell - 2$). Without loss of generality assume that D'_1 and D'_2 do not contain any vertex from U . Let $B' = V(D'_1) \cap V(H)$ and $R' = V(D'_2) \cap V(H)$. Observe that $B' \cap R' = \emptyset$ as D'_1 and D'_2 are internally disjoint. Every $e \in E(H)$ has at least one neighbour in B' and R' , respectively. Therefore H is 2-colourable (any vertex in H that is not in either R' or B' can be assigned arbitrarily to either B' or R'). This completes the proof. \square

Recall that it was proved in [28] that $\kappa_2(\overleftrightarrow{G}) = \kappa(G)$, which means that $\kappa_2(\overleftrightarrow{G})$ can be computed in polynomial time. In fact, the argument also means that $\kappa_{\{x,y\}}(\overleftrightarrow{G}) = \kappa_{\{x,y\}}(G)$, that is, the maximum number of disjoint $x - y$ paths in G , therefore can be computed in polynomial time. Then combining with Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 2.1, we can complete all the entries of Table 1.

Sun and Yeo proved the NP-completeness of the DIRECTED 2-LINKAGE problem for Eulerian digraphs. DIRECTED 2-LINKAGE is an NP-hard problem which can be stated as follows: Given a digraph D and four vertices s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2 , decide whether there are vertex-disjoint paths from s_1 and t_1 and from s_2 to t_2 .

Theorem 2.2 [29] *The 2-linkage problem restricted to Eulerian digraphs is NP-complete.*

Using Theorem 2.2, we will now prove the following result for Eulerian digraphs which gives Table 2.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $k, \ell \geq 2$ be fixed. Let D be an Eulerian digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$ with $|S| = k$. The problem of deciding whether $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ is NP-complete.*

Proof: Let (D, s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) be an instance of DIRECTED 2-LINKAGE restricted to Eulerian digraphs. Let us first construct a new digraph D' by adding to D vertices x, y, r_1, r_2 and arcs

$$t_1x, xs_1, t_2y, ys_2, xs_2, s_2x, yt_1, t_1y, s_1r_1, r_1t_2, s_2r_2, r_2t_1.$$

Secondly, we add to D' $\ell - 2$ copies of the 2-cycle xyx and subdivide the arcs of every copy to avoid parallel arcs, that is, we insert each arc xy (resp. yx) a new vertex z_i (resp. z'_i) where $i \in [\ell - 2]$. Let us denote the new digraph by D'' . Note that $D'' = D'$ for $\ell = 2$.

Finally, we add to D'' $k - 2$ new vertices x_1, \dots, x_{k-2} and arcs of ℓ 2-cycles xx_ix for each $i \in [k - 2]$. Subdivide the new arcs to avoid parallel arcs, that is, we insert each arc xx_i (resp. x_ix) a new vertex $x_{i,j}$ (resp. $x'_{i,j}$) where $j \in [\ell]$. Let us denote the new digraph by D''' . Observe that D''' is Eulerian as D is Eulerian.

Let $S = \{x, y, x_i \mid i \in [k - 2]\}$, $U = \{x_i, x_{i,j}, x'_{i,j} \mid i \in [k - 2], j \in [\ell]\}$, $Z = \{z_j \mid j \in [\ell - 2]\}$ and $Z' = \{z'_j \mid j \in [\ell - 2]\}$. It remains to show that (D, s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) is a positive instance of DIRECTED 2-LINKAGE restricted to Eulerian digraphs if and only if $\kappa_S(D''') \geq \ell$.

Suppose (D, s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) is a positive instance of DIRECTED 2-LINKAGE restricted to Eulerian digraphs, that is, there is a pair of vertex-disjoint $s_1 - t_1$ path P_1 and $s_2 - t_2$ path P_2 . Let H_1 be the subdigraph of D''' consisting of the arcs xs_1, t_1x, t_1y, yt_1 , the path P_1 , and the cycle $x, x_{i,\ell-1}, x_i, x'_{i,\ell-1}, x$ where $i \in [k - 2]$. Let H_2 be the subdigraph of D''' consisting of the arcs ys_2, t_2y, s_2x, xs_2 and the path P_2 , and the cycle $x, x_{i,\ell}, x_i, x'_{i,\ell}, x$ where $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$. For $3 \leq j \leq \ell$, let H_j be the subdigraph of D''' consisting of the cycles $x, z_{j-2}, y, z'_{j-2}, x$ and $x, x_{i,j-2}, x_i, x'_{i,j-2}, x$ where $i \in [k - 2]$. Observe

that $\{H_i \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ is a family of internally disjoint S -subgraphs, therefore $\kappa_S(D''') \geq \ell$.

If $\kappa_S(D''') \geq \ell$, then there is a set of internally disjoint S -subgraphs, say $\{H_i \mid i \in [\ell]\}$. Observe that $\{H'_i = H_i - U \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ is a set of ℓ internally disjoint $\{x, y\}$ -subgraphs in D'' . Since $\deg_{D''}^+(x) = \deg_{D''}^-(x) = \deg_{D''}^+(y) = \deg_{D''}^-(y) = \ell$, each H'_i contains precisely one out-neighbour and one in-neighbour of x (resp. y). Therefore, there are two subdigraphs, say H'_1, H'_2 , such that $V(H'_i) \cap Z = \emptyset$ for each $i \in [2]$. Let us consider two cases.

Case 1: $V(H'_i) \cap Z' = \emptyset$ for each $i \in [2]$. Then we have $V(H'_i) \subseteq V(D')$ for each $i \in [2]$. Since the in-degree of x in D' is 2, we may without loss of generality assume that $t_1 \in V(H'_1)$ and $s_2 \in V(H'_2)$. As y has in-degree 2 in D' and $t_1 \in V(H'_1)$ we must have $t_2 \in V(H'_2)$. As the out-degree of x is 2, we analogously have $s_1 \in V(H'_1)$ (as $s_2 \in V(H'_2)$). Note that now we have both s_i and t_i belong to $V(H'_i)$. Therefore, there must be a path P_i from s_i to t_i in H'_i and by definition of D' , P_i will not have vertices outside of D . As H_1 and H_2 are internally disjoint, the paths are disjoint, so (D, s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) is a positive instance of DIRECTED 2-LINKAGE restricted to Eulerian digraphs.

Case 2: $V(H'_i) \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in [2]$. We will reduce Case 2 to Case 1. We just consider the case that $V(H'_1) \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$ and $V(H'_2) \cap Z' = \emptyset$ since the argument for the remaining case is similar. Let $V(H'_1) \cap Z' = \{z'_1\}$. Observe that there must exist some H'_i , say H'_3 , such that $V(H'_3) \cap Z' = \emptyset$. Let P' be a $y - x$ path in H'_3 . Then we update H'_1 and H'_3 by exchanging the two paths yz'_1x and P' (note that in this procedure we may need to delete some vertices or arcs to guarantee the strong connectedness of updated H'_1 and H'_3 if necessary, and this will not affect the correctness), and we now also have $V(H'_i) \cap Z' = \emptyset$ for $i \in [2]$ and still make sure that the updated $\{H'_i \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ is a family of ℓ internally disjoint $\{x, y\}$ -subgraphs in D'' . \square

Note that by Tables 1 and 2, for any fixed integers $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 2$, the problem of deciding whether $\kappa_S(D) \geq \ell$ is NP-complete for an Eulerian digraph. However, when restricted to the class of symmetric digraphs, the above problem becomes polynomial-time solvable.

3 Inapproximability results on ISSP and ASSP

In the SET COVER PACKING problem, the input consists of a bipartite graph $G = (C \cup B, E)$, and the goal is to find a largest collection of pairwise disjoint set covers of B , where a *set cover* of B is a subset $S \subseteq C$ such that each vertex of B has a neighbor in S . Feige et al. [12] proved the following inapproximability result on the SET COVER PACKING problem.

Theorem 3.1 [12] *Unless $P=NP$, there is no $o(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for SET COVER PACKING, where n is the order of G .*

We now get our inapproximability results for ISSP and ASSP by reductions from the SET COVER PACKING problem.

Theorem 3.2 *The following assertions hold:*

(i) *Unless $P=NP$, there is no $o(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for ISSP, even restricted to the case that D is a symmetric digraph and S is independent in D , where n is the order of D .*

(ii) *Unless $P=NP$, there is no $o(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for ASSP, even restricted to the case that S is independent in D , where n is the order of D .*

Proof: Part (i) Let $G(C \cup B, E)$ be an instance of SET COVER PACKING. We construct an instance (D, S) of ISSP by setting

$$V(D) = \{x\} \cup C \cup B,$$

$$A(D) = \{xu, ux \mid u \in C\} \cup \{uv, vu \mid u \text{ and } v \text{ are adjacent in } G\}$$

and

$$S = \{x\} \cup B.$$

If $\{C_i \subseteq C \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ is a set cover packing, then the subdigraph in D induced by the vertex set $\{x\} \cup C_i \cup B$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) forms a set of ℓ internally disjoint S -strong subgraphs in D .

Conversely, let $\{D_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ be a set of ℓ internally disjoint S -strong subgraphs in D . Since B is an independent set in D , for each D_i , there is a set $C_i \subseteq C$ of vertices satisfying the following: every vertex in B has a neighbor in C_i such that it can reach the vertex x . Observe that these sets C_i are pairwise disjoint and form a set cover packing of cardinality ℓ . Note that D is symmetric and S is an independent set of D . This completes the proof of (i) by Theorem 3.1.

Part (ii) We construct an instance (D', S') of ASSP from (D, S) with $V(D') = \{x\} \cup B \cup \{u^+, u^- \mid u \in C\}$ and $S' = S = \{x\} \cup B$ such that:

- (1) $u^-u^+ \in A(D')$ for each $u \in C$;
- (2) $vu^- \in A(D')$ if $vu \in A(D)$, $v \in S'$, $u \in C$;
- (3) $u^+v \in A(D')$ if $uv \in A(D)$, $v \in S'$, $u \in C$.

If $\{C'_i \subseteq C \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ is a set cover packing, then the subdigraph in D' induced by the vertex set $\{x\} \cup \{u^-, u^+ \mid u \in C'_i\} \cup B$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) forms a set of ℓ arc-disjoint S' -strong subgraphs in D' .

Now let $\{D'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ be a set of ℓ arc-disjoint S' -strong subgraphs in D' . In each D'_i , since B is an independent set in D' , each vertex $v \in B$ has to pass through an arc of type u^-u^+ to reach x for some $u \in C$. Hence, in G there is a set $C'_i \subseteq C$ of vertices such that every vertex in B has a neighbor in C'_i . Furthermore, since the strong subgraphs D'_i are pairwise arc-disjoint, the sets C'_i ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) are pairwise disjoint and form a set cover packing of cardinality ℓ . Note that S' is an independent set of D' . This completes the proof of (ii) by Theorem 3.1. \square

4 Structural properties and algorithmic results on digraph compositions

A digraph is *Hamiltonian decomposable* if it has a family of Hamiltonian cycles such that every arc of the digraph belongs to exactly one of the cycles.

Ng [23] proved the following result on the Hamiltonian decomposition of complete regular multipartite digraphs.

Theorem 4.1 [23] *The digraph $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{r,r,\dots,r}$ (s times) is Hamiltonian decomposable if and only if $(r, s) \neq (4, 1)$ and $(r, s) \neq (6, 1)$.*

By Theorem 4.1, we can determine the precise value for the strong subgraph k -arc-connectivity of a complete bipartite digraph.

Lemma 4.2 *For two positive integers a and b with $a \leq b$, we have*

$$\lambda_k(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}) = a$$

for $2 \leq k \leq a + b$.

Proof: Let $V(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}) = V_1 \cup V_2$ with $V_1 = \{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq a\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq b\}$. By Theorem 4.1, the subgraph of $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}$ induced by $\{u_i, v_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq a\}$ can be decomposed into a Hamiltonian cycles: H_i ($1 \leq i \leq a$). For each $1 \leq i \leq a$, let D_i be the strong spanning subgraph of $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}$ obtained from H_i by adding the arc set $\{u_i v_j, v_j u_i \mid a + 1 \leq j \leq b\}$. Observe that these subgraphs are pairwise arc-disjoint, and so $\lambda_{a+b}(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}) \geq a$. It is known [26] that $\lambda_{k+1}(D) \leq \lambda_k(D)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n - 1$) and $\lambda_k(D) \leq \min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$ for a digraph D with order n , we have that $a = \min\{\delta^+(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}), \delta^-(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b})\} \geq \lambda_2(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{a+b}(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{a,b}) \geq a$. This completes the proof. \square

The *lexicographic product* [17] $G \circ H$ of two digraphs G and H is the digraph with vertex set

$$V(G \circ H) = V(G) \times V(H) = \{(u, u') \mid u \in V(G), u' \in V(H)\}$$

and arc set

$$A(G \circ H) = \{(u, u')(v, v') \mid uv \in A(G), \text{ or } u = v \text{ and } u'v' \in A(H)\}.$$

The following result was also proved by Ng, where \overline{K}_r stands for the digraph of order r with no arcs and \overrightarrow{C}_t is the directed cycle of order t .

Lemma 4.3 [24] *For any two integers $t \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$, the product digraph $\overrightarrow{C}_t \circ \overline{K}_r$ is Hamiltonian decomposable.*

It follows from the constructive proof of Lemma 4.3 that the Hamiltonian cycles in the lemma can be found in $O(n^2)$ time. Recall that a strong semicomplete digraph is also locally in-semicomplete. By Camion's Theorem [9], there is a Hamiltonian cycle in a strong semicomplete digraph. In fact, Bang-Jensen and Hell obtained a stronger result.

Theorem 4.4 [4] *There is an $O(m+n \log n)$ algorithm for finding a Hamiltonian cycle in a strong locally in-semicomplete digraph.*

Recall that $n_0 = \min\{n_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, the following result holds.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $Q = D[H_1, \dots, H_t]$ with $|D| = t \geq 2$ and $|V(H_i)| \geq 3$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. If D is a strong semicomplete digraph, then Q has at least n_0 arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs. Moreover, these strong subgraphs can be found in time $O(n^2)$, where n is the order of Q .*

Proof: By Theorem 4.4, we can find a Hamiltonian cycle of D in time $O(n^2)$. Clearly, Q contains $\vec{C}_t \circ \overline{K_{n_0}}$ as a spanning subgraph, where $t \geq 2$. By Lemma 4.3, $\vec{C}_t \circ \overline{K_{|V(H_1)|}}$ is Hamiltonian decomposable, and these Hamiltonian cycles can be found in time $O(n^2)$. Furthermore, these cycles are desired strong spanning subgraphs in Q . \square

Let $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{\vec{C}_3[\overline{K_2}, \overline{K_2}, \overline{K_2}], \vec{C}_3[\overline{P_2}, \overline{K_2}, \overline{K_2}], \vec{C}_3[\overline{K_2}, \overline{K_2}, \overline{K_3}]\}$. Sun, Gutin and Ai obtained the following characterization on arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs in digraph compositions.

Theorem 4.6 [27] *Let D be a strong semicomplete digraph on $t \geq 2$ vertices and let $n_0 \geq 2$. Then $Q = D[H_1, \dots, H_t]$ has a pair of arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs if and only if $Q \notin \mathcal{Q}_0$.*

We now give two sufficient conditions for a digraph composition to have at least n_0 arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs for any $S \subseteq V(Q)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(Q)|$.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $Q = D[H_1, \dots, H_t]$ with $t \geq 2$. Then Q has at least n_0 arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs for any $S \subseteq V(Q)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(Q)|$ if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) D is a strong symmetric digraph;
- (ii) D is a strong semicomplete digraph and $Q \notin \mathcal{Q}_0$.

Moreover, these strong subgraphs can be found in time $O(n^4)$, where n is the order of Q .

Proof: Part (i) For any $S \subseteq V(Q)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(Q)|$, we will obtain n_0 arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs using the following three steps:

Step 1. We obtain a spanning subgraph $Q' = D[H'_1, \dots, H'_t]$ of Q such that $V(H'_i) = V(H_i)$ and each H'_i has no arcs, where $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Step 2. For each pair of $1 \leq p, q \leq t$ such that $u_p u_q, u_q u_p \in A(D)$, let $Q_{p,q}$ be the subgraph of Q induced by the vertex set $\{u_{p,j_p}, u_{q,j_q} \mid 1 \leq j_p \leq n_p, 1 \leq j_q \leq n_q\}$. We obtain n_0 arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs: $\{D_{p,q,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq n_0\}$ in $Q_{p,q}$ by the construction of Lemma 4.2, since each $Q_{p,q}$ is a complete bipartite digraph.

Step 3. For each $1 \leq s \leq n_0$, let D_s be the union of all $D_{p,q,s}$ with $u_p u_q, u_q u_p \in A(D)$.

Observe that the subgraphs in Step 3 are strong and pairwise arc-disjoint, so we obtain a set of n_0 arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs of Q' , furthermore, these subgraphs are desired arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs of Q .

Step 1 can be performed in $O(n^2)$ time. In Step 2, there are at most $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of p, q , and note that $\{D_{p,q,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq n_0\}$ can be found in $O(n^2)$

time in $Q_{p,q}$ by the construction of Lemma 4.2, so Step 2 can be executed in time $O(n^4)$. Step 3 can be performed in time $O(n^2)$. Hence the desired subgraphs can be found in polynomial time $O(n^4)$. This completes the proof of part (i).

Part (ii) For the case that $n_0 = 1$, Q itself is the desired strong subgraph. The result holds for the case that $n_0 = 2$ by Theorem 4.6 and the fact that a strong spanning subgraph is an S -strong subgraph for any $S \subseteq V(Q)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(Q)|$. It follows from the construction proof of Theorem 4.6 that these strong spanning subgraphs can be found in $O(n^3)$ time.

For the case that $n_0 \geq 3$, we will get n_0 arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs for any $S \subseteq V(Q)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(Q)|$ by the following two steps:

Step 1. Find n_0 arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs: D'_1, \dots, D'_{n_0} in Q' by Lemma 4.5, where $Q' = D[H'_1, \dots, H'_t]$ is an induced subgraph of Q such that $V(H'_i) = \{u_{i,j_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j_i \leq n_0\}$.

Step 2. For each $1 \leq j \leq n_0$, we construct a spanning subgraph D_j of Q from D'_j by adding arcs between $V(Q) \setminus V(Q')$ and $\{u_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$.

Observe that these subgraphs in Step 2 are strong and pairwise arc-disjoint, so we obtain a set of n_0 arc-disjoint strong spanning subgraphs of Q , furthermore, these subgraphs are desired arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs. Step 1 can be performed in $O(n^2)$ time by Lemma 4.3 and Step 2 can be performed in $O(n^3)$ time. This completes the proof of part (ii). \square

Corollary 4.8 *Let $Q = D[H_1, \dots, H_t]$ with $t \geq 2$. Then*

$$\lambda_k(Q) \geq n_0$$

for any $2 \leq k \leq |V(Q)|$ if one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) *D is a strong symmetric digraph;*
- (ii) *D is a strong semicomplete digraph and $Q \notin \mathcal{Q}_0$.*

Moreover, the bound is sharp in each case.

Proof: The bound clearly holds by Theorem 4.7. For the sharpness of the bound for the first case, let $Q = D[\overline{K}_r, \dots, \overline{K}_r]$ with $|D| \geq 2$ and D be a strong symmetric digraph with $\min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\} = 1$. We clearly have $\lambda_k(Q) \geq n_0 = r$. Furthermore, by the fact that $\lambda_k(Q) \leq \min\{\delta^+(Q), \delta^-(Q)\} = r$, we have $\lambda_k(Q) = r$ for $2 \leq k \leq |V(Q)|$.

For the sharpness of this bound for the second case, consider the digraph $Q = \overrightarrow{C}_3[\overline{K}_r, \dots, \overline{K}_r]$ with $r \geq 3$. We clearly have $\lambda_k(Q) \geq r$. Furthermore, by the fact that $\lambda_k(Q) \leq \min\{\delta^+(Q), \delta^-(Q)\} = r$, we have $\lambda_k(Q) = r$ for $2 \leq k \leq |V(Q)|$. \square

By definition, we have $G \circ H \cong G[H, \dots, H]$. Then by Lemma 4.5, Theorems 4.6 and 4.7, the following result directly holds:

Corollary 4.9 *The lexicographic product $G \circ H$ has at least $|V(H)|$ arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs for any $S \subseteq V(G \circ H)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(G \circ H)|$, if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *G is a strong symmetric digraph.*

(ii) G is a strong semicomplete digraph and $H \not\cong \overline{K_2}$.
 Moreover, these subgraphs can be found in polynomial time.

Recall that strong semicomplete compositions generalize strong quasi-transitive digraphs. Therefore, the following result holds by Theorem 4.7:

Corollary 4.10 *Let $Q \notin \mathcal{Q}_0$ be a strong quasi-transitive digraph. We can in polynomial time find at least n_0 arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs in Q for any $S \subseteq V(Q)$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq |V(Q)|$.*

5 Discussiones

Let G be a connected graph with $S \subseteq V(G)$. We say that a set of edges C of G an S -Steiner-cut if there are at least two components of $G \setminus C$ which contain vertices of S . Similarly, let D be a strong digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$; we say that a set of arcs C of D an S -strong subgraph-cut if there are at least two strong components of $D \setminus C$ which contain vertices of S .

Kriesell posed the following well-known conjecture which concerns an approximate min-max relation between the size of an S -Steiner-cut and the number of edge-disjoint S -Steiner trees.

Conjecture 5.1 [19] *Let G be a graph and $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \geq 2$. If every S -Steiner-cut in G has size at least 2ℓ , then G contains ℓ pairwise edge-disjoint S -Steiner trees.*

Lau [20] proved that the conjecture holds if every S -Steiner-cut in G has size at least 26ℓ . West and Wu [30] improved the bound significantly by showing that the conjecture still holds if 26ℓ is replaced by 6.5ℓ . So far the best bound $5\ell + 4$ was obtained by DeVos, McDonald and Pivotto as follows.

Theorem 5.1 [11] *Let G be a graph and $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \geq 2$. If every S -Steiner-cut in G has size at least $5\ell + 4$, then G contains ℓ pairwise edge-disjoint S -Steiner trees.*

Similar to Theorem 5.1, it is natural to study an approximate min-max relation between the size of minimum S -strong subgraph-cut and the maximum number of arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs in a digraph D . Here is an interesting problem which is analogous to Conjecture 5.1.

Problem 5.2 *Let D be a digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$ with $|S| \geq 2$. Find a function $f(\ell)$ such that the following holds: If every S -strong subgraph-cut in G has size at least $f(\ell)$, then D contains ℓ pairwise arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs.*

Note that there is a linear function $f(\ell)$ for a strong symmetric digraph: Let $D = \overleftrightarrow{G}$ be a strong symmetric digraph and $S \subseteq V(D)$. If every S -strong subgraph-cut in D has size at least $10\ell + 8$, then D contains ℓ pairwise arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs. The argument is as follows: Let c_1 and c_2 be the sizes of the minimum S -Steiner-cut in G and the minimum S -strong subgraph-cut in D , respectively. We deduce that $c_1 \geq \frac{c_2}{2}$. Indeed,

let $C_1 = \{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq c_1\}$ be the minimum S -Steiner-cut in G . Let $C'_1 = \{a_i, a'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq c_1\}$, where a_i, a'_i be the two arcs in D corresponding to the edge e_i . It can be checked that C'_1 is an S -strong subgraph-cut of D . Hence, $c_2 \leq |C'_1| = 2c_1$. The assumption means that $c_2 \geq 10\ell + 8$ and so $c_1 \geq \frac{c_2}{2} \geq 5\ell + 4$. By Theorem 5.1, G contains ℓ pairwise edge-disjoint S -Steiner trees. For each S -Steiner tree, we can obtain an S -strong subgraph in D by replacing each edge of this tree with the corresponding arcs of both directions in D . Observe that we now obtain ℓ pairwise arc-disjoint S -strong subgraphs.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Gexin Yu and Professor Hehui Wu for their helpful suggestions and constructive comments. This work was supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LY20A010013), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.11871280 and 11971349) and Qing Lan Project.

References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*, 2nd Edition, Springer, London, 2009.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin and A. Yeo, Steiner type problems for digraphs that are locally semicomplete or extended semicomplete, *J. Graph Theory*, 44(3), 2003, 193–207.
- [3] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin and A. Yeo, Arc-disjoint strong spanning subdigraphs of semicomplete compositions, *J. Graph Theory* 95(2), 2020, 267–289.
- [4] J. Bang-Jensen and P. Hell, Fast algorithms for finding Hamiltonian paths and cycles in in-tournament digraphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 41(1), 1993, 75–79.
- [5] J. Bang-Jensen and J. Huang, Quasi-transitive digraphs, *J. Graph Theory*, 20(2), 1995, 141–161.
- [6] J. Bang-Jensen and J. Huang, Decomposing locally semicomplete digraphs into strong spanning subdigraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 102, 2012, 701–714.
- [7] J. Bang-Jensen and A. Yeo, Decomposing k -arc-strong tournaments into strong spanning subdigraphs, *Combinatorica* 24(3), 2004, 331–349.
- [8] B. Brešar and T. Gologranc, On a local 3-Steiner convexity, *Euro. J. Comb.* 32(8), 2011, 1222–1235.
- [9] P. Camion, Chemins et circuits hamiltoniens des graphes complets, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, 249, 1959, 2151–2152.

- [10] J. Cheriyan and M. Salavatipour, Hardness and approximation results for packing Steiner trees, *Algorithmica*, 45, 2006, 21–43.
- [11] M. DeVos, J. McDonald, I. Pivotto, Packing Steiner trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 119, 2016, 178–213.
- [12] U. Feige, M. Halldorsson, G. Kortsarz, and A. Srinivasan, Approximating the domatic number, *SIAM J. Comput.* 32(1), 2002, 172–195.
- [13] H. Galeana-Sánchez and C. Hernández-Cruz, Quasi-transitive digraphs and their extensions, in *Classes of Directed Graphs* (J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, eds.), Springer, 2018.
- [14] M. Grötschel, A. Martin, R. Weismantel, The Steiner tree packing problem in VLSI design, *Math. Program.* 78, 1997, 265–281.
- [15] G. Gutin, Polynomial algorithms for finding Hamiltonian paths and cycles in quasi-transitive digraphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 10, 1994, 231–236.
- [16] G. Gutin and Y. Sun, Arc-disjoint in- and out-branchings rooted at the same vertex in compositions of digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 343(5), 2020, 111816.
- [17] R.H. Hammack, Digraphs Products, in *Classes of Directed Graphs* (J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, eds.), Springer, 2018.
- [18] M.A. Henning, M.H. Nielsen, O.R. Oellermann, Local Steiner convexity, *Eur. J. Comb.*, 30(5), 2009, 1186–1193.
- [19] M. Kriesell, Edge-disjoint trees containing some given vertices in a graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 88, 2003, 53–65.
- [20] L. Lau, An approximate max-Steiner-tree-packing min-Steiner-cut theorem, *Combinatorica* 27, 2007, 71–90.
- [21] X. Li and Y. Mao, *Generalized Connectivity of Graphs*, Springer, Switzerland, 2016.
- [22] L. Lovász, Coverings and colorings of hypergraphs, *Proc. 4th South-eastern Conf. on Comb.*, *Utilitas Math.* (1973), 3–12.
- [23] L.L. Ng. Hamiltonian decomposition of complete regular multipartite digraphs. *Discrete Math.* 177(1-3), 1997, 279–285.
- [24] L.L. Ng. Hamiltonian decomposition of lexicographic products of digraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 73(2), 1998, 119–129.
- [25] N. Sherwani, *Algorithms for VLSI Physical Design Automation*, 3rd Edition, Kluwer Acad. Pub., London, 1999.
- [26] Y. Sun, G. Gutin, Strong subgraph connectivity of digraphs, *Graphs Combin.* 37, 2021, 951–970.

- [27] Y. Sun, G. Gutin, J. Ai, Arc-disjoint strong spanning subdigraphs in compositions and products of digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 342(8), 2019, 2297–2305.
- [28] Y. Sun, G. Gutin, A. Yeo, X. Zhang, Strong subgraph k -connectivity, *J. Graph Theory*, 92(1), 2019, 5–18.
- [29] Y. Sun and A. Yeo, Directed Steiner tree packing and directed tree connectivity, arXiv:2005.00849v3 [math.CO] 9 Nov 2020.
- [30] D. West, H. Wu, Packing Steiner trees and S-connectors in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 102, 2012, 186–205.