

Exact Solution for Three-Dimensional Ising Model

Degang Zhang^{1,2}

¹College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610101, China

²Institute of Solid State Physics, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610101, China

Three-dimensional Ising model in zero external field is exactly solved by operator algebras, similar to the Onsager's approach in two dimensions. The partition function of the simple cubic crystal imposed by the periodic boundary condition along two directions and the screw boundary condition along the third direction is calculated rigorously. In the thermodynamic limit an integral replaces a sum in the formula of the partition function. The critical temperatures, at which order-disorder transitions in the infinite crystal occur along three axis directions, are determined. The analytical expressions for the internal energy and the specific heat are also presented.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 64.60.-i, 75.10.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

The exact solution for three-dimensional (3D) Ising model has been one of the greatest challenges to the physics community for decades. In 1925, Ising presented the simple statistical model in order to study the order-disorder transition in ferromagnets [1]. Subsequently the so-called Ising model has been widely applied in condensed matter physics. Unfortunately, one-dimensional Ising model has no phase transition at nonzero temperature. However, such systems could have a transition at nonzero temperature in higher dimensions [2]. In 1941, Kramers and Wannier located the critical point of two-dimensional (2D) Ising model at finite temperature by employing the dual transformation [3]. About two and a half years later Onsager solved exactly 2D Ising model by using an algebraic approach [4] and calculated the thermodynamic properties. Contrary to the continuous internal energy, the specific heat becomes infinite at the transition temperature $T = T_c$ given by the condition: $\sinh \frac{2J}{k_B T_c} \sinh \frac{2J'}{k_B T_c} = 1$, where (J, J') are the interaction energies along two perpendicular directions in a plane, respectively. Later, the partition function of 2D Ising model was also re-evaluated by a spinor analysis [5]. Up to now many 2D statistical systems have been exactly solved [6].

Since Onsager exactly solved 2D Ising model in 1944, much attention has been paid to the investigation of 3D Ising model. In Ref. [7], Griffiths presented the first rigorous proof of an order-disorder phase transition in 3D Ising model at finite temperature by extending the Peierls's argument in 2D case [2]. In 2000, Istrail proved that solving 3D Ising model on the lattice is an NP-complete problem [8]. We also note that the critical properties of 3D Ising model were widely explored by employing conformal field theories [9,10,11], self-consistent Ornstein-Zernike approximation [12], Renormalization group theory [13], Monte Carlo Simulations [14], the principal components analysis [15], and etc.. However, despite great efforts, 3D Ising model has not been solved exactly yet due to its complexity. It is out of question that an exact solution of 3D Ising model would be a huge jump forward, since it can be used to not only describe a broad class of phase transitions ranging from binary alloys, simple liquids and lattice gases to easy-axis magnets [16], but also verify the correctness of numerical simulations and finite-size scaling theory in three dimensions.

Because there is no dual transformation, the critical point of 3D Ising model cannot be fixed by such a symmetry. We also discover that it is impossible to write out the Hamiltonian along the third dimension of 3D Ising model with periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) in terms of the Onsager's operators. In addition, due to the existence of nonlocal rotation, 3D Ising model with PBCs seems not to be also solved by the spinor analysis [5]. Therefore, the key to solve 3D Ising model is to find out the operator expression of the interaction along the third dimension. We note that the transfer matrix in 3D Ising model is constructed by the spin configurations on a plane, which the boundary conditions (BCs) play an important role to solve exactly 3D Ising model. In this paper, we introduce a set of operators, which is similar to that in solving 2D Ising model [4]. Under suitable BCs, 3D Ising model with vanishing external field can be described by the operator algebras, and thus can be solved exactly.

II. THEORY

Consider a simple cubic lattice with l layers, n rows per layer, and m sites per row. Then the Hamiltonian of 3D Ising model is $H = -\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m,n,l} (J_1 \sigma_{ijk}^z \sigma_{i+1kj}^z + J_2 \sigma_{ijk}^z \sigma_{ij+1k}^z + J \sigma_{ijk}^z \sigma_{ijk+1}^z)$, where $\sigma_{ijk}^z = \pm 1$ is the spin on the site $[ijk]$. Assume that ν_k labels the spin configurations in the k th layer, we have $1 \leq \nu_k \leq 2^{mn}$. As a result, the




FIG. 1: (Color online) The lattice structure in each layer of the simple cubic crystal.

energy of a spin configuration of the crystal $E_{sc} = \sum_{k=1}^l E_1(\nu_k) + \sum_{k=1}^l E_2(\nu_k) + \sum_{k=1}^l E(\nu_k, \nu_{k+1})$, where $E_1(\nu_k)$ and $E_2(\nu_k)$ are the energies along two perpendicular directions in the k th layer, respectively, and $E(\nu_k, \nu_{k+1})$ is the energy between two adjacent layers. Now we define $(V_1 V_2)_{\nu_k \nu_k} = \sum_{\nu'_k} (V_1)_{\nu_k \nu'_k} (V_2)_{\nu'_k \nu_k} = (V_1)_{\nu_k \nu_k} (V_2)_{\nu_k \nu_k} \equiv \exp[-E_1(\nu_k)/(k_B T)] \times \exp[-E_2(\nu_k)/(k_B T)]$ and $(V_3)_{\nu_k \nu_{k+1}} \equiv \exp[-E(\nu_k, \nu_{k+1})/(k_B T)]$. Here we use the periodic boundary conditions along both (010) and (001) directions and the screw boundary condition along the (100) direction for simplicity [3] (see Fig. 1). So the spin configurations along the \mathbf{X} direction in a layer can be described by the spin variables $\sigma_1^z, \sigma_2^z, \dots, \sigma_{mn}^z$. Because the probability of a spin configuration is proportional to $\exp[-E_{sc}/(k_B T)] = (V_1 V_2)_{\nu_1 \nu_1} (V_3)_{\nu_1 \nu_2} (V_1 V_2)_{\nu_2 \nu_2} (V_3)_{\nu_2 \nu_3} \dots (V_1 V_2)_{\nu_l \nu_l} (V_3)_{\nu_l \nu_1}$, the partition function of 3D Ising model is

$$Z = \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_l} (V_1 V_2)_{\nu_1 \nu_1} (V_3)_{\nu_1 \nu_2} \dots (V_1 V_2)_{\nu_l \nu_l} (V_3)_{\nu_l \nu_1} \equiv \text{tr}(V_1 V_2 V_3)^l. \quad (1)$$

We note that V_1 , V_2 and V_3 are 2^{mn} -dimensional matrices, and both V_1 and V_2 are diagonal. Following Ref. [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \exp(H_1 \sum_{\tau=1}^{mn} \sigma_{\tau}^z \sigma_{\tau+1}^z) \equiv \exp(H_1 H_x), \\ V_2 &= \exp(H_2 \sum_{\tau=1}^{mn} \sigma_{\tau}^z \sigma_{\tau+m}^z) \equiv \exp(H_2 H_y), \\ V_3 &= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{mn/2} \exp(H^* \sum_{\tau=1}^{mn} \sigma_{\tau}^x) \\ &\equiv [2 \sinh(2H)]^{mn/2} \exp(H^* H_z), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $H_1 = J_1/(k_B T)$, $H_2 = J_2/(k_B T)$, $H = J/(k_B T)$, and $H^* = \frac{1}{2} \ln \coth H = \tanh^{-1}(e^{-2H})$.

In order to diagonalize the transfer matrix $V \equiv V_1 V_2 V_3$, following the Onsager's famous work in two dimensions, we first introduce the operators

$$L_{a,a} = -\sigma_a^x, \quad L_{a,b} = \sigma_a^z \sigma_{a+1}^x \sigma_{a+2}^x \dots \sigma_{b-1}^x \sigma_b^z \quad (3)$$

in spin space Γ along the \mathbf{X} direction under the boundary conditions mentioned above. Here $a, b = 1, 2, \dots, 2mn$, σ_a^x , σ_a^y and σ_a^z are the Pauli matrices at site a , respectively. Then we have $L_{a,b}^2 = 1$ and

$$L_{a,b+mn} = L_{a+mn,b} = -Q L_{a,b} = -L_{a,b} Q \quad (4)$$

with $Q \equiv \prod_{a=1}^{nm} \sigma_a^x = \pm 1$. It is obvious that the period of $L_{a,b}$ is $2mn$. We note that these operators $L_{a,b}$ are identical to P_{ab} in Ref. [4] except mn replaces n .

H_x and H_z in the transfer matrix V can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H_x &= \sum_{a=1}^{mn} L_{a,a+1}, \\ H_z &= \sum_{a=1}^{mn} \sigma_a^x = -\sum_{a=1}^{mn} L_{a,a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Following Onsager's idea [4], we introduce the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_r &= -\frac{1}{4mn} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2mn} L_{a,b} \cos \frac{(a-b)r\pi}{mn}, \\ \beta_r &= -\frac{1}{4mn} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2mn} L_{a,b} \sin \frac{(a-b)r\pi}{mn}, \\ \gamma_r &= \frac{i}{8mn} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2mn} (L_{a,x} L_{b,x} - L_{x,a} L_{x,b}) \sin \frac{(a-b)r\pi}{mn} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where x is an arbitrary index. Obviously, we have $\alpha_{-r} = \alpha_r$, $\beta_{-r} = -\beta_r$, $\beta_0 = \beta_{mn} = 0$, $\gamma_{-r} = -\gamma_r$, and $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{mn} = 0$. Eqs. (6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_r &= -\frac{1}{2mn} \sum_{s=1}^{2mn} A_s \cos \frac{rs\pi}{mn}, \\ \beta_r &= \frac{1}{2mn} \sum_{s=1}^{2mn} A_s \sin \frac{rs\pi}{mn}, \\ \gamma_r &= -\frac{i}{2mn} \sum_{s=1}^{2mn} G_s \sin \frac{rs\pi}{mn},\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where $A_s = \sum_{a=1}^{mn} L_{a,a+s}$ and $G_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{mn} (L_{a,x} L_{a+s,x} - L_{x,a} L_{x,a+s})$. According to the orthogonal properties of the coefficients, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A_s &= \sum_{r=1}^{2mn} [-\alpha_r \cos \frac{rs\pi}{mn} + \beta_r \sin \frac{rs\pi}{mn}], \\ G_s &= i \sum_{r=1}^{2mn} \gamma_r \sin \frac{rs\pi}{mn}.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

From Eqs. (5)-(8), H_x and H_z have the expansions

$$\begin{aligned}H_x &= A_1 = -2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} (\alpha_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} - \beta_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn}) \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 + \alpha_{mn}, \\ H_z &= -A_0 = \alpha_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \alpha_r + \alpha_{mn}.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Because $A_{mn+s} = -QA_s = -A_sQ$ and $G_{mn+s} = -QG_s = -G_sQ$, and combining with Eqs. (8), we have

$$[1 + (-1)^r Q] \alpha_r = [1 + (-1)^r Q] \beta_r = [1 + (-1)^r Q] \gamma_r = 0. \quad (10)$$

When $Q = 1$, $\alpha_{2r} = \beta_{2r} = \gamma_{2r} = 0$ while $\alpha_{2r+1} = \beta_{2r+1} = \gamma_{2r+1} = 0$ if $Q = -1$. So we can investigate the algebra (8) with $Q = 1$ or -1 independently. However, we keep them together for convenience. In order to diagonalize the transfer matrix V , we must first determine the commutation relations among the operators α_r , β_r and γ_r . Similar to those calculations in Ref. [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[A_i, A_j] &= 4G_{i-j}, \quad [G_i, G_j] = 0, \\ [G_i, A_j] &= 2(A_{j+i} - A_{j-i}).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Substituting Eqs. (8) into Eqs. (11), we arrive at

$$[\alpha_r, \beta_r] = 2i\gamma_r, \quad [\beta_r, \gamma_r] = 2i\alpha_r, \quad [\gamma_r, \alpha_r] = 2i\beta_r, \quad (12)$$

where $r = 1, 2, \dots, mn-1$, and all the other commutators vanish. Obviously, the algebra (12) is associated with the site r , and hence is local. Because α_r , β_r , and γ_r obey the same commutation relations with $-X_r$, $-Y_r$, and $-Z_r$ in Ref. [4], we have the further relations

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(1-Q) = R_0, \\ \alpha_{mn}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^{mn}Q] = R_{mn}, \\ \alpha_r \beta_r &= i\gamma_r, \quad \beta_r \gamma_r = i\alpha_r, \quad \gamma_r \alpha_r = i\beta_r, \\ \alpha_r^2 &= \beta_r^2 = \gamma_r^2 = R_r^2 = R_r, \quad \alpha_r = R_r \alpha_r = \alpha_r R_r, \\ \beta_r &= R_r \beta_r = \beta_r R_r, \quad \gamma_r = R_r \gamma_r = \gamma_r R_r.\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

We note that $A_{sm} = \sum_{p=1}^m A_{p,s} = \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{a=1}^n L_{a,a+s}^p = \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{a=1}^n L_{p+(a-1)m, p+(a-1+s)m}$ and $G_{sm} = \sum_{p=1}^m G_{p,s} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{a=1}^n [L_{p+(a-1)m,x} \times L_{p+(a-1+s)m,x} - L_{x,p+(a-1)m} L_{x,p+(a-1+s)m}]$, where $s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, and

$$\begin{aligned}A_{p,s} &= \sum_{q=1}^{2n} \left\{ -\alpha_{p+(q-1)m} \cos \frac{[p+(q-1)m]s\pi}{n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta_{p+(q-1)m} \sin \frac{[p+(q-1)m]s\pi}{n} \right\}, \\ G_{p,s} &= i \sum_{q=1}^{2n} \gamma_{p+(q-1)m} \sin \frac{[p+(q-1)m]s\pi}{n}.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

When $m = p = 1$, Eqs. (14) recover the results in two dimensions [4]. It is obvious that $A_{p,i}$ and $G_{p,j}$ also satisfy the commutation relations (11). When $p \neq p'$, $[A_{p,i}, A_{p',i'}] = [G_{p,j}, G_{p',j'}] = [A_{p,i}, G_{p',i'}] = 0$.

We have obtained the expressions of H_x and H_z in terms of the operators α_r , β_r and γ_r in the space Γ . In order to get the Hamiltonian in the third dimension, we project the operator algebra in the space Γ into the \mathbf{Y} direction.




FIG. 2: (Color online) Operator renormalization: schematic of $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}^p$ in Γ_p along the \mathbf{Y} direction and $L_{a,b}^p$ in Γ along the \mathbf{X} direction.

Then we have m subspaces $\Gamma_p (p = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, in which the operator algebra with period $2n$ is same with that in Γ . In Γ_p , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{a,a}^p &= -\sigma_{p+(a-1)m}^x, \\ \mathcal{L}_{a,b}^p &= \sigma_{p+(a-1)m}^z \sigma_{p+am}^x \cdots \sigma_{p+(b-2)m}^x \sigma_{p+(b-1)m}^z \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

along the \mathbf{Y} direction. Then we have $\mathcal{A}_{p,s} = \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{a,a+s}^p$ and $\mathcal{G}_{p,s} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n [\mathcal{L}_{p+(a-1)m,x} \mathcal{L}_{p+(a-1+s)m,x} - \mathcal{L}_{x,p+(a-1)m} \times \mathcal{L}_{x,p+(a-1+s)m}]$, which also obey the same commutation relations (11) and (12), similar to $A_{p,s}$ and $G_{p,s}$. Then the Hamiltonian $H_y = \sum_{p=1}^m \mathcal{A}_{p,1}$.

Because $[\mathcal{L}_{a,a+s}^p, L_{b,b+s}^p] = 0$ (see Fig. 2), we have $[\mathcal{A}_{p,s}, A_{p,s}] = 0$, which leads to $\mathcal{A}_{p,s} \equiv A_{p,s}$ due to their common local algebra (12). *This is a renormalization of operator, which means that $\mathcal{A}_{p,s}$ and $A_{p,s}$ have same eigenfunctions and eigenvalues in Γ_p or Γ space.* We note that V_2 is the transfer matrix along \mathbf{Y} direction, which must be calculated in Γ rather than Γ_p space by mapping $\mathcal{A}_{p,1} \equiv A_{p,1}$ in order to diagonalize total transfer matrix V . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_y &= \sum_{p=1}^m \mathcal{A}_{p,1} = \sum_{p=1}^m A_{p,1} \equiv A_m \\ &= -\alpha_0 - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} (\alpha_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} - \beta_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{n}) \\ &\quad - (-1)^m \alpha_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here, we would like to mention that $H_z = -\sum_{p=1}^m \mathcal{A}_{p,0} \equiv -A_0$, which is same with that in (9). This means that when $J_1 = 0$, the Hamiltonian of 2D Ising model is recovered immediately.

Because $[Q, H_x] = [Q, H_y] = [Q, H_z] = [Q, V] = 0$, V and Q can be simultaneously diagonalized on the same basis. In other words, the eigenvalue problem of V can be classified by the value ± 1 of Q .

The transfer matrix V with Eqs. (9) and (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} V &= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} e^{H_1 A_1} e^{H_2 A_m} e^{-H^* A_0} \\ &= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} e^{(H^* - H_1 - H_2) \alpha_0} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^{mn-1} U_r e^{[H^* + H_1 - (-1)^m H_2] \alpha_{mn}}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_r &= e^{-2H_1 (\alpha_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} - \beta_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn})} \\ &\quad \times e^{-2H_2 (\alpha_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} - \beta_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{n})} e^{2H^* \alpha_r}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix V , we first diagonalize U_r by employing the general unitary transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\frac{i}{2} \eta_r \gamma_r} e^{a_r (\alpha_r \cos \theta_r + \beta_r \sin \theta_r)} U_r \\ &\times e^{-a_r (\alpha_r \cos \theta_r + \beta_r \sin \theta_r)} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \eta_r \gamma_r} = e^{\xi_r \alpha_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here θ_r is an arbitrary constant and can be taken to be zero without loss of generality, and

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \xi_r &= \mathcal{D}_r, \\ \sinh \xi_r \cos \eta_r &= \mathcal{A}_r, \quad \tanh(2a_r) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_r}{\mathcal{B}_r}, \\ \sinh \xi_r \sin \eta_r &= \mathcal{B}_r \cosh(2a_r) - \mathcal{C}_r \sinh(2a_r), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_r &= \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \\
&\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\
&\quad - \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} \\
&\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}, \\
\mathcal{B}_r &= \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\
&\quad + \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{n} \\
&\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}, \\
\mathcal{C}_r &= \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\
&\quad + \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{n} \\
&\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}, \\
\mathcal{D}_r &= \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \\
&\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\
&\quad - \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} \\
&\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\mathcal{D}_r^2 + \mathcal{C}_r^2 - \mathcal{A}_r^2 - \mathcal{B}_r^2 \equiv 1$, which ensures that 3D Ising model can be solved exactly in the whole parameter space. When $H_2 = 0$ (i.e. $J_2 = 0$) and $n = 1$, or $H_1 = 0$ (i.e. $J_1 = 0$) and $m = 1$, we have $a_r = H^*$. So Eqs. (19) recover the Onsager's results in 2D Ising model [4].

Then the transfer matrix V has a diagonal form

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{i}{2} \eta_r \gamma_r} e^{\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a_r \alpha_r} V e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a_r \alpha_r} \\
&\times e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{i}{2} \eta_r \gamma_r} = [2 \sinh(2H^*)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} \\
&\times e^{(H^* - H_1 - H_2) \alpha_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \xi_r \alpha_r + [H^* + H_1 - (-1)^m H_2] \alpha_{mn}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

III. TRANSFORMATIONS

A. Transformation 1

In order to explore the symmetries in 3D Ising model, we take the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_r^* &= -\alpha_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} + \beta_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn}, \\
\beta_r^* &= \alpha_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn} + \beta_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn}, \quad \gamma_r^* = -\gamma_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It is easy to prove that α_r^* , β_r^* and γ_r^* satisfy the same commutation relations with α_r , β_r and γ_r . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_x &= \alpha_0^* + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \alpha_r^* + \alpha_{mn}^*, \\
H_y &= \alpha_0^* + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} [\alpha_r^* \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn} + \beta_r^* \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}] \\
&\quad - (-1)^m \alpha_{mn}^*, \\
H_z &= -\alpha_0^* - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} [\alpha_r^* \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} - \beta_r^* \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn}] + \alpha_{mn}^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Obviously, by comparing with Eqs. (9) and (16), such a transformation (21) exchanges the interaction forms in $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ directions (i.e. H_x and H_z), but changes the interaction form in $(0, 1, 0)$ direction (i.e. H_y). Therefore, 3D Ising model has no a dual transformation, and the critical point cannot be fixed by the Kramers and Wannier's approach [3].

The transfer matrix can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} e^{H_1 A_1} e^{H_2 A_m} e^{-H^* A_0} \\
&= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} e^{(H_1 + H_2 - H^*) \alpha_0^*} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^{mn-1} U_r^* e^{[H_1 - (-1)^m H_2 + H^*] \alpha_{mn}^*},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_r^* &= e^{2H_1 \alpha_r^*} e^{2H_2 [\alpha_r^* \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn} + \beta_r^* \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}]} \\
&\quad \times e^{-2H^* (\alpha_r^* \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} - \beta_r^* \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the procedure above, we can diagonalize the transfer matrix V , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{i}{2} \eta_r^* \gamma_r^*} e^{\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a_r^* \alpha_r^*} V e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a_r^* \alpha_r^*} \\ & \times e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{i}{2} \eta_r^* \gamma_r^*} = [2 \sinh(2H^*)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} \\ & \times e^{(H_1+H_2-H^*)\alpha_0^* + \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \xi_r \alpha_r^* + [H_1 - (-1)^m H_2 + H^*] \alpha_{mn}^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \xi_r \cos \eta_r^* &= \mathcal{A}_r^*, \quad \tanh(2a_r^*) = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_r}{\mathcal{B}_r^*}, \\ \sinh \xi_r \sin \eta_r^* &= \mathcal{B}_r^* \cosh(2a_r^*) + \mathcal{C}_r \sinh(2a_r^*), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_r^* &= \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \\ & - \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\ & - \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\ & + \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}, \\ \mathcal{B}_r^* &= \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\ & + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{mn} \\ & + \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $\mathcal{D}_r^2 + \mathcal{C}_r^2 - \mathcal{A}_r^{*2} - \mathcal{B}_r^{*2} \equiv 1$.

B. Transformation 2

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_r &= -\alpha_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} + \beta_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{n}, \\ \beta'_r &= \alpha_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{n} + \beta_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{n}, \quad \gamma'_r = -\gamma_r, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_x &= \alpha'_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} [\alpha'_r \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn} - \beta'_r \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}] \\ & - (-1)^m \alpha'_{mn}, \\ H_y &= \alpha'_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \alpha'_r + \alpha'_{mn}, \\ H_z &= -\alpha'_0 - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} [\alpha'_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} - \beta'_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{n}] \\ & - (-1)^m \alpha'_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By also comparing with Eqs. (9) and (16), the transformation (26) exchanges the interaction forms in $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ directions (i.e. H_y and H_z), but changes the interaction form in $(1, 0, 0)$ direction (i.e. H_x). Therefore, such the transformation is not a dual transformation yet, which cannot be used to fix the critical point [3].

The transfer matrix reads

$$\begin{aligned} V &= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} e^{H_1 A_1} e^{H_2 A_m} e^{-H^* A_0} \\ &= [2 \sinh(2H)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} e^{(H_1+H_2-H^*)\alpha'_0} \\ & \times \prod_{r=1}^{mn-1} U'_r e^{[-(-1)^m H_1 + H_2 - (-1)^m H^*] \alpha'_{mn}}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U'_r &= e^{2H_1 [\alpha'_r \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn} - \beta'_r \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}]} e^{2H_2 \alpha'_r} \\ & \times e^{-2H^* (\alpha'_r \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} - \beta'_r \sin \frac{r\pi}{n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{i}{2} \eta'_r \gamma'_r} e^{\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a'_r \alpha'_r} V e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a'_r \alpha'_r} \\ & \times e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{i}{2} \eta'_r \gamma'_r} = [2 \sinh(2H^*)]^{\frac{mn}{2}} \\ & \times e^{(H_1+H_2-H^*)\alpha'_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \xi_r \alpha'_r + [H_2 - (-1)^m (H_1+H^*)] \alpha'_{mn}}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}\sinh \xi_r \cos \eta'_r &= \mathcal{A}'_r, \quad \tanh(2a'_r) = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_r}{\mathcal{B}'_r}, \\ \sinh \xi_r \sin \eta'_r &= \mathcal{B}'_r \cosh(2a'_r) + \mathcal{C}_r \sinh(2a'_r),\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}'_r &= \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \\ &\quad - \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{r\pi}{n} \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(2m-1)r\pi}{mn}, \\ &\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn}, \\ \mathcal{B}'_r &= \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{r\pi}{n} \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \sin \frac{(m-1)r\pi}{mn} \\ &\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \sin \frac{(2m-1)r\pi}{mn}.\end{aligned}$$

The identity $\mathcal{D}'_r + \mathcal{C}'_r - \mathcal{A}'_r - \mathcal{B}'_r \equiv 1$ also holds.

IV. RESULTS

Because $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{mn}$ have the common eigenvectors χ_0 with the corresponding eigenvalues $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{mn}$, from Eq. (20), we have $V\psi = \lambda\psi$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &= e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} a_r \alpha_r} e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \frac{1}{2} \eta_r \gamma_r} \chi_0, \\ \ln \lambda &= \frac{1}{2} mn \ln[2 \sinh(2H)] + (H^* - H_1 - H_2) \Delta_0 \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{mn-1} \xi_r \Delta_r + [H_1 - (-1)^m H_2 + H^*] \Delta_{mn}.\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

At the critical point, we have $\xi_0 = H^* - H_1 - H_2 = 0$ [4]. This leads to a critical temperature $T = T_c$ given by the condition

$$\sinh(2H) \sinh(2H_1 + 2H_2) = 1.\tag{32}$$

If $H_2 = 0$ or $H_1 = 0$, we obtain the critical temperature in 2D Ising model [3, 4]. We note that the exact critical line (32) between the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases coincides completely with the result found in the domain wall analysis [17]. In the anisotropic limit, i.e. $\eta = (H_1 + H_2)/H \rightarrow 0$, the critical temperature determined by Eq. (32) also agrees perfectly with the asymptotically exact value $H = 2[\ln\eta^{-1} - \ln\ln\eta^{-1} + 0(1)]^{-1}$ shown in Refs. [18,19].

When $H_1 = H_2 = H$, the critical value $H_c = J/(k_B T_c) = 0.30468893$, which is larger than the conjectured value about 0.2216546 from the previous numerical simulations [12,14]. We shall see from the analytical expressions (35) and (36) of the partition function per atom below that this discrepancy mainly comes from the oscillatory terms with respect to the system size m along \mathbf{X} direction, which were not taken into account in all the previous numerical simulations.

We note that the thermodynamic properties of a large crystal are determined by the largest eigenvalue λ_{\max} of the transfer matrix V . Following Ref. [4], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \lambda_{\max} &- \frac{1}{2} mn \ln[2 \sinh(2H)] \\ &\quad \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_{2L-1} \quad \text{for } mn = 2L; \\ &= \{ \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_{2L-1} \\ &\quad + H_1 - (-1)^m H_2 + H^* \quad \text{for } mn = 2L + 1.\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

Here $\Delta_1 = \Delta_3 = \dots = \Delta_{mn-1} = 1$, which are same with the eigenvalues of the operators X_r in Ref. [4]. We note that these two results above can be combined due to $\xi_{-r} = \xi_r$ and $\xi_{mn} = 2[H_1 - (-1)^m H_2 + H^*]$. So Eqs. (33) have the compact form

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \lambda_{\max} &- \frac{1}{2} mn \ln[2 \sinh(2H)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{mn} \xi_{2r-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{mn} \cosh^{-1}[\cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(2r-1)\pi}{2mn} \\ &\quad - \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(2r-1)\pi}{2mn} \\ &\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos \frac{(m-1)(2r-1)\pi}{2mn}].\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

In order to calculate the partition function per atom $\lambda_\infty = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{\max})^{\frac{1}{mn}}$ for the infinite crystal, we replace the sum in Eq. (34) by the integral

$$\ln \lambda_\infty = \frac{1}{2} \ln[2 \sinh(2H)] + \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \xi_m(\omega) d\omega, \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \xi_m(\omega) &= \mathcal{D}(\omega) = \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos \omega \\ &\quad - \cosh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \sinh(2H^*) \cos(m\omega) \\ &\quad + \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_2) \cosh(2H^*) \cos[(m-1)\omega]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Similarly, the continuous $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$, $\mathcal{A}^*(\omega)$, $\mathcal{A}'(\omega)$, $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$, $\mathcal{B}^*(\omega)$, $\mathcal{B}'(\omega)$, $\mathcal{C}(\omega)$, $\xi_m(\omega)$, $\eta(\omega)$, $\eta^*(\omega)$, and $\eta'(\omega)$ replace the discrete \mathcal{A}_r , \mathcal{A}_r^* , \mathcal{A}'_r , \mathcal{B}_r , \mathcal{B}_r^* , \mathcal{B}'_r , \mathcal{C}_r , ξ_r , η_r , η_r^* , and η'_r , respectively, by letting $\omega = \frac{r\pi}{mn}$. Here we emphasize that when $H_2 = 0$, or $H_1 = 0$, Eq. (35) is nothing but the Onsager's famous result in the 2D case [4]. We also note that very different from the 2D case, the partition function of 3D Ising model is oscillatory with m . Therefore, the conjectured values extrapolating to the infinite system in the numerical calculations seem to be inaccurate, and the 3D finite-size scaling theory must be modified.

For a crystal of $N = mnl$, the free energy

$$F = U - TS = -Nk_B T \ln \lambda_\infty, \quad (37)$$

the internal energy

$$\begin{aligned} U &= F - T \frac{dF}{dT} = Nk_B T^2 \frac{\ln \lambda_\infty}{dT} \\ &= -Nk_B T \left[H_1 \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1} + H_2 \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_2} + H \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and the specific heat

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{dU}{dT} = Nk_B \left[H_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1^2} + H_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_2^2} + H^2 \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2H_1 H_2 \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1 \partial H_2} + 2H_1 H \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1 \partial H} + 2H_2 H \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_2 \partial H} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \cos \eta^* d\omega, \\ \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_2} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \frac{\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial H_2}}{\sinh \xi_m} d\omega, \\ \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H} &= \cosh(2H^*) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sinh(2H^*) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \cos \eta d\omega, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1^2} &= \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \eta^* \coth \xi_m d\omega, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_2^2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \left[4 - \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \xi_m} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial H_2} \right)^2 \right] \coth \xi_m d\omega, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H^2} &= 2 \sinh^2(2H^*) \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \coth(2H^*) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \cos \eta d\omega \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \eta \coth \xi_m d\omega - 1 \right], \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1 \partial H_2} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\omega}{\sinh \xi_m} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}^*}{\partial H_2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial H_2} \cos \eta^* \coth \xi_m \right), \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_1 \partial H} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sinh(2H^*) \\ &\quad \times \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\omega}{\sinh \xi_m} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}^*}{\partial H^*} - 2 \cosh \xi_m \cos \eta \cos \eta^* \right), \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln \lambda_\infty}{\partial H_2 \partial H} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sinh(2H^*) \\ &\quad \times \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\omega}{\sinh \xi_m} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial H_2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial H_2} \cos \eta \coth \xi_m \right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that at the critical point, $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \xi_m \rightarrow 0$. However, $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial H_2} / \sinh \xi_m \rightarrow -\cos \eta(0)$. Therefore, we can see from Eqs. (37) and (38) that at the critical point, the internal energy U is continuous while the specific heat C becomes infinite, similar to the 2D case.

We consider the special case of $J_1 = J_2$, where the calculation of the thermodynamic functions can be simplified considerably. After integrating, Eq. (36) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \xi_\infty(\omega) &= \cosh(2H_1) \cosh(2H_{2D}^*) \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H_1) \sinh(2H_{2D}^*) \cos \omega, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where

$$H_{2D}^* = H^* - H_1. \quad (41)$$

It is surprising that Eq. (40) is nothing but that in 2D Ising model with the interaction energies (J_1, J_{2D}) and $H_{2D} = \frac{J_{2D}}{k_B T}$. Therefore, $\ln \lambda_\infty - \frac{1}{2} \ln[2 \sinh(2H)]$ in three dimensions can be obtained from $\ln \lambda_\infty^{2D} - \frac{1}{2} \ln[2 \sinh(2H_{2D})]$ in two dimensions by taking the transformation (41). In other words, the thermodynamic properties of 3D Ising model originate from those in 2D case. We can also see from Eq. (41) that both 2D and 3D Ising systems approach simultaneously the critical point, i.e. $H_{2D}^* = H_1$ and $H^* = 2H_1$. It is expected that the scaling laws near the critical point in two dimensions also hold in three dimensions [6].

The energy U and the specific heat C of 2D Ising model with the quadratic symmetry (i.e. $H_1 = H_{2D}$) have been calculated analytically by Onsager and can be expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integrals [4]. The critical exponent associated with the specific heat $\alpha_{2D} = 0$. Because 3D Ising model with the simple cubic symmetry (i.e. $H_1 = H_2 = H$) can be mapped exactly into 2D one by Eq. (41), the expressions of U and C in three dimensions have similar forms with those in two dimensions. So the critical exponent α_{3D} of the 3D Ising model is identical to α_{2D} , i.e. $\alpha_{3D} = 0$. According to the scaling laws $d\nu = 2 - \alpha$ and $\mu + \nu = 2 - \alpha$ [6], we have $\nu_{3D} = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\mu_{3D} = \frac{4}{3}$.

Up to now, we have obtained the partition function per site and some physical quantities when the z axis is chosen as the transfer matrix direction. However, if the $x(y)$ axis is parallel to the transfer matrix direction, the corresponding partition function per site can be achieved from Eqs. (35) and (36) by exchanging the interaction constants along the $x(y)$ and z axes. Therefore, the total physical quantity in 3D Ising model, such as the free energy, the internal energy, the specific heat, and etc., can be calculated by taking the average over three directions. We note that the average of a physical quantity naturally holds for 2D Ising model.

V. HIGH TEMPERATURE EXPANSIONS

Now we calculate the high temperature expansions of the partition function per atom when $J_1 = J_2 = J$. According to the identity

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \ln(2 \cosh x - 2 \cos \omega') d\omega' = 2\pi x, \quad (42)$$

from Eqs. (35) and (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{\lambda_\infty}{2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \ln \{ \cosh^3(2H) \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H) \cosh(2H) [\cos \omega + \cos(m\omega)] \\ &\quad + \sinh^2(2H) \cosh(2H) \cos[(m-1)\omega] \\ &\quad - \sinh(2H) \cos \omega' \} d\omega d\omega' \\ &= 3 * \ln(\cosh(H)) + \frac{3}{2} \ln(1+k^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \ln \{ 1 - \frac{2k(1-k^2)}{(1+k^2)^2} [\cos \omega + \cos(m\omega)] \\ &\quad + \frac{4k^2}{(1+k^2)^2} \cos[(m-1)\omega] \\ &\quad - \frac{2k(1-k^2)^2}{(1+k^2)^3} \cos \omega' \} d\omega d\omega' \\ &= 3 * \ln(\cosh(H)) - 3k^4 - 62k^6 - \frac{2081}{2} k^8 \\ &\quad - 21024k^{10} - \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $k = \tanh H$. Therefore, the partition function per atom in high temperatures is

$$\lambda_\infty = 2 \cosh^3 H (1 - 3k^4 - 62k^6 - 1036k^8 - 20838k^{10} - \dots). \quad (44)$$

We note that for PBCs, the high temperature partition function per atom reads [20]

$$\lambda_\infty^p = 2 \cosh^3 H (1 + 3k^4 + 22k^6 + 192k^8 + 2046k^{10} + \dots). \quad (45)$$

Obviously, the difference between λ_∞ and λ_∞^p comes from the screw boundary condition along the \mathbf{X} direction (see Fig. 1). We note that the k^2 term in Eqs. (44) and (45) vanishes, which can be seen as a feature of 3D Ising model.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have exactly solved 3D Ising model by an algebraic approach. The critical temperature $T_{ic}(i = 1, 2, 3)$, at which an order transition occurs, is determined. The expression of T_{ic} is consistent with the exact formula in Ref. [17]. At T_{ic} , the internal energy is continuous while the specific heat diverges. We note that if and only if the screw boundary condition along the (100) direction and the periodic boundary conditions along both (010) and (001) directions are imposed, the Onsager operators (15) along \mathbf{Y} direction can form a closed Lie algebra, and then the Hamiltonian H_y (16) is obtained rigorously. For PBCs, the Onsager operators along \mathbf{X} or \mathbf{Y} direction cannot construct a Lie algebra, and hence 3D Ising model is not solved exactly. Therefore, the numerical simulations on 3D finite Ising model with PBCs are unreliable due to the unclosed spin configurations on the transfer matrix plane. It is known that the BCs (the surface terms) affect heavily the results on small system, which lead to the different values extrapolating to the infinite system. However, the impact of the BCs on the critical temperatures can be neglected in the thermodynamic limit. Because the partition function per atom of 3D Ising model with $H_1 = H_2$ is equivalent to that of a 2D Ising model, the thermodynamic properties in three dimensions are highly correlated to those of 2D Ising system. When the interaction energy in the third dimension vanishes, the Onsager's exact solution of 2D Ising model is recovered immediately. This guarantees the correctness of the exact solution of 3D Ising model.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Sichuan Normal University and the "Thousand Talents Program" of Sichuan Province, China.

-
- [1] Ising, E. Beitrag zur theorie des ferromagnetismus. Zeitschrift fur Physik A Hadrons and Nuclei **1925**, 31, 253-258.
 - [2] Peierls, R. On Ising's model of ferromagnetism. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **1936**, 32, 477-481.
 - [3] Kramers, H. A.; Wannier, G. H. Statistics of the Two-Dimensional Ferromagnet. Phys. Rev. **1941**, 60, 252-276.
 - [4] Onsager, L. Crystal Statistics. I. A Two-Dimensional Model with an Order-Disorder Transition. Phys. Rev. **1944**, 65, 117-149.
 - [5] Kaufman, B. Crystal Statistics. II. Partition Function Evaluated by Spinor Analysis. Phys. Rev. **1949**, 76, 1232-1243.
 - [6] Baxter, R. J. Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics, Academic Press, London, 1982.
 - [7] Griffiths, R. B. Peierls Proof of Spontaneous Magnetization in a Two-Dimensional Ising Ferromagnet. Phys. Rev. **1964**, 136, A437-A438.
 - [8] Istrail, S. Statistical Mechanics, Three-Dimensionality and NP-Completeness: I. Universality of Intractability of the Partition Functions of the Ising Model Across Non-Planar Lattices, in Proceeding of the 32nd ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (STOC00), ACM Press, Portland, Oregon, May 21-23, 2000, pp. 87-96.
 - [9] Polyakov, A. M. Conformal symmetry of critical fluctuations. JETP Lett. **1970**, 12, 381-383.
 - [10] El-Showk, S.; Paulos, M. F.; Poland, D.; Rychkov, S.; Simmons-Duffin, D.; Vichi, A. Solving the 3D Ising model with the conformal bootstrap. Phys. Rev. D **2012**, 86, 025022.
 - [11] Nakayama, Y. Bootstrapping Critical Ising Model on Three Dimensional Real Projective Space. Phys. Rev. Lett. **2016**, 116, 141602.
 - [12] Dickman, R.; Stell, G. Self-Consistent Ornstein-Zernike Approximation for Lattice Gases. Phys. Rev. Lett. **1996**, 77, 996-999.
 - [13] Fisher, M. E. Renormalization group theory: Its basis and formulation in statistical physics. Rev. Mod. Phys. **1998**, 70, 653.
 - [14] Ferrenberg, A. M.; Xu, J.; Landau, D. P. Pushing the limits of Monte Carlo simulations for the three-dimensional Ising model. Phys. Rev. E **2018**, 97, 043301.
 - [15] Sanchez-Islas, M.; Toledo-Roy, J. C.; Frank, A. Criticality in a multisignal system using principal component analysis. Phys. Rev. E **2021**, 103, 042111 (2021).
 - [16] Cardy, J. Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
 - [17] Zandvliet H. J. W.; Hoede, C. Boundary tension of 2D and 3D Ising models, Memorandum 1880 (September 2008), ISSN 1874-4850.
 - [18] Weng, C.-Y.; Griffiths, R. B.; Fisher, M. E. Critical Temperatures of Anisotropic Ising Lattices. I. Lower Bounds. Phys. Rev. **1967**, 162, 475-479.
 - [19] Fisher, M. E. Critical Temperatures of Anisotropic Ising Lattices. II. General Upper Bounds. Phys. Rev. **1967**, 162, 480-485.
 - [20] Domb, C. in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, C. Domb and M.S. Green, eds. Vol. 3, Academic Press, London, 1974.