RIGID INNER FORMS OVER GLOBAL FUNCTION FIELDS

PETER DILLERY

ABSTRACT. We construct an fpqc gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ over a global function field F such that for a connected reductive group G over F with finite central subgroup Z, the set of $G_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ -torsors contains a subset $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$ which allows one to define a global notion of (Z-)rigid inner forms and which carries localization maps $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)$ induced by morphisms $\mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ for all places v, where \mathcal{E}_v is the local gerbe constructed in [Dil20] whose cohomology set $H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)$ gives rise to local rigid inner forms. Using global rigid inner forms, we organize sets of local rigid inner forms into coherent families, which allows us to define global L-packets and give a conjectural formula for the multiplicity of an automorphic representation π in the discrete spectrum of G in terms of these L-packets. We also show that, for a connected reductive group G over a global function field F, the adelic transfer factor $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ for the ring of adeles \mathbb{A} of F serving an endoscopic datum for G decomposes as the product of the normalized local transfer factors constructed in [Dil20].

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** The goal of this paper is to develop a notion of rigid inner forms over a global function field F in order to connect the local constructions in [Dil20] to an understanding of the global Langlands correspondence for a connected reductive group G over F. More specifically, this global construction will both allow us to relate the adelic transfer factor $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ serving an endoscopic datum for G to the normalized transfer factors serving the localizations of this datum constructed in [Dil20] and give precise information about the global L-packet Π_{φ} for a tempered discrete homomorphism $\varphi: L_F \to {}^LG$, where L_F is the conjectural Langlands dual group of F. Previously, such descriptions were only possible in the case when G is quasi-split.

We first summarize the local situation discussed in [Dil20]: Let F_v be the local function field obtained by completing F at a place v, let Γ_v be its absolute Galois group (Γ denotes the absolute Galois group of F), let $Z \to G$ be a finite central F_v -subgroup. Recall that a *local Z-rigid inner* twist of a connected reductive group G over F_v is a pair $(G', (\mathcal{T}, \bar{h}))$, where $G \xrightarrow{\psi} G'$ is an inner form of G, \mathcal{T} is a(n) (fpqc) $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ -torsor on a canonically-defined (in [Dil20, §3]) local gerbe

$$\mathcal{E}_v \to \text{Schemes}/F_v$$

such that the natural $(G/Z)_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ -torsor $\mathscr{T} \times^{G_{\mathcal{E}_v}} (G/Z)_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ is the pullback of a G/Z-torsor T over F_v , and \overline{h} is an $\overline{F_v}$ -trivialization of $\mathscr{T} := \mathscr{T} \times^{G_{\mathcal{E}_v}} (G_{ad})_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ giving the same 1-cocycle valued in G_{ad} as ψ . A (tempered) representation of $(G', \mathscr{T}, \overline{h})$ is a 4-tuple $(G', (\mathscr{T}, \overline{h}), \pi)$, where π is a (tempered) representation of G'(F). The set of all equivalence classes of (tempered) representations of rigid inner forms of G is denoted by $\Pi^{rig}(G)$ ($\Pi^{rig}_{temp}(G)$), where this equivalence relation is defined on set of the 4-tuples $(G', (\mathscr{T}, \overline{h}), \pi)$ giving the data of such representations, not just on the representations π . We then predict the following picture:

This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1840234 and the Rackham pre-doctoral fellowship.

Conjecture 1.1 ([Dil20], Conjecture 7.14). Given a tempered local Langlands parameter $\varphi_v \colon W'_{F_v} \to {}^LG$, there is a finite subset $\Pi_{\varphi_v} \subset \Pi^{\text{rig}}_{\text{temp}}(G)$ and a commutative diagram

where G^* is a fixed quasi-split inner form of G which is extended to a Z-rigid inner twist of G (one can always find some Z such that this is possible), $H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G^*)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of all the torsors \mathscr{T} described above, \mathfrak{w}_v is a choice of Whittaker datum for G^* , the lower horizontal map is induced by a generalization of the local Kottwitz pairing, both horizontal maps are bijective, and $S^+_{\varphi_v}$, $Z(\overline{G})^{+,v}$ are the preimages of $Z_{\widehat{G}}(\varphi_v)$ and $Z(\widehat{G})^{\Gamma_v}$ in \overline{G} , where $\overline{G} := G/Z$, respectively.

One can ask the natural question: How does one describe the global *L*-packet Π_{φ} for a tempered discrete homomorphism $\varphi \colon L_F \to {}^L G$ using the local *L*-packets for the localizations φ_v , and how can one use the two horizontal maps of the above diagram to obtain information about these *L*-packets (namely, how they relate to the discrete spectrum of *G*)? The key to this problem is organizing families of representations of local rigid inner forms of G_{F_v} into so-called *coherent families*, which is to say, finding a notion of a *global rigid inner form* corresponding to a *global gerbe* \mathcal{E}_{V} which localizes in an appropriate way to such a family. Moreover, in order to show that the family of homomorphisms $\{H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G) \to \pi_0(Z(\overline{G})^{+,v})^*\}_v$ corresponding to a family of rigid inner forms behaves in a reasonable manner (such as having a well-defined product over all places), one would like a homomorphism

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \to [\pi_0(Z(\overline{G})^+)]^*$$

that equals the product of all of the local homomorphisms (note that if $Z(\widehat{\overline{G}})^+$ is the preimage of $Z(\widehat{G})^{\Gamma}$, then we have maps $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\overline{G}})^+) \to \pi_0(Z(\widehat{\overline{G}})^{+,v})$ for all v, so this product statement makes sense).

The combination of the local gerbe construction in [Dil20] and the construction of the global Galois gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ for number fields in [Kal18] gives a blueprint for the construction of the global gerbe for function fields described in the above paragraph (and thus of global rigid inner forms). As in the local case, the gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ will be banded by a canonically-defined profinite group denoted by $P_{\dot{V}}$ defined in an identical way as for the characteristic-zero analogue [Kal18]. We will then extract the gerbe via proving the existence of a canonical class in $H^2_{\text{fppf}}(F, P_{\dot{V}})$; unlike in the local case, this existence result requires significant work—in particular, we must study gerbes over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{A})$ and generalize the notion of *complexes of tori*, as in [KS99], to Čech cohomology of the covers \overline{F}/F and $\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{A}} := \overline{F} \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$.

Once the canonical class is established, we use the geometry of $G_{\mathcal{E}_{V}}$ -torsors on \mathcal{E}_{V} to define the cohomology sets $H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to G)$ which provides the global analogue of the sets $H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{v}, Z \to G)$, and to define a duality result for this cohomology set (analogous to local Tate-Nakayama duality) which, among other properties, gives the homomorphism $H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to G) \to [\pi_{0}(Z(\widehat{\overline{G}})^{+})]^{*}$ described above. Our constructions also provide us with morphisms of gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{v} \to \mathcal{E}_{V}$ which allow us to localize these cohomology sets.

Using the above construction, one can then define a *coherent family* of rigid inner forms

$$\{(G_{F_v}, (\mathscr{T}_v, h_v))\}_v$$

for a fixed inner quasi-split inner twist $G^* \xrightarrow{\psi} G$ to be one such that each torsor \mathscr{T}_v is the localization (defined appropriately using the localization functors described above) of a global torsor \mathscr{T} with $[\mathscr{T}] \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G^*)$ (for some appropriate choice of Z). Given a such family, we can then define the global L-packet Π_{φ} for some a fixed φ via

 $\Pi_{\varphi} := \{\pi = \otimes'_{v} \pi_{v} \mid (G_{F_{v}}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_{v}, \bar{h}_{v}), \pi_{v}) \in \Pi_{\varphi_{v}}, \iota_{\varphi_{v}}((G_{F_{v}}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_{v}, \bar{h}_{v}), \pi_{v})) = 1 \text{ for almost all } v\},\$ as desired. We show that this consists of irreducible tempered admissible representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ in Lemma 4.9 using a torsor-theoretic analogue of a result by Taïbi ([Taï18, Proposition 6.1.1]), so is well-defined. Moreover, given such a π , we can then give a conjectural description of the multiplicity of π in the discrete spectrum of G by defining (for each φ) a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle \colon \mathcal{S}_{\varphi} \times \Pi_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{C},$$

where S_{φ} is a finite group closely related to the centralizer of φ in \widehat{G} , which is defined as a product over all places of two factors involving the local pairings and (conjectural) local bijections $\iota_{\varphi_v,\mathfrak{w}_v}$. The key to proving that such a product formula is well-defined is precisely the fact that our representation π arises from a coherent family of representations of local rigid inner forms. Once we know that such a pairing exists, we have for each π and L-packet Π_{φ} containing π an integer

$$m(\varphi,\pi) := |\mathcal{S}_{\varphi}|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi}} \langle x, \pi \rangle,$$

and, furthermore, we conjecture:

Conjecture 1.2 (Kottwitz, [Kot84]). The multiplicity of π in the discrete spectrum of G is given by the sum

$$\sum_{\varphi} m(\varphi, \pi),$$

where the sum is over all φ such that $\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}$.

Local rigid inner forms were the vital ingredient in [Dil20, §7] to proving the existence of a normalized local transfer factor

$$\Delta_v = \Delta[\mathbf{w}_v, \dot{\mathbf{e}}_v, \mathbf{\mathfrak{z}}_v, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v)]$$

serving a fixed endoscopic datum \mathfrak{e} for G_{F_v} (depending on a quasi-split rigid inner form $(\psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v))$ of G_{F_v} and a Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w}_v for it). As such, global rigid inner forms give us a method of relating the global adelic transfer factor $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ defined in [LS87] (for number fields, but which is easily translated to a global function field) serving a global endoscopic datum to the transfer factors Δ_v serving the localizations of that datum. Indeed, using the relationship between the local and global pairings described above, one obtains (Proposition 4.8) a product formula

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma_{1},\delta) = \prod_{v \in V} \langle \operatorname{loc}_{v}(\mathscr{T}_{\operatorname{sc}}), \dot{y}'_{v} \rangle \cdot \Delta[\mathfrak{w}_{v}, \dot{\mathfrak{e}}_{v}, \mathfrak{z}_{v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_{v}, \bar{h}_{v})](\gamma_{1,v}, \delta_{v})$$

which expresses the value of $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ at a pair of adelic elements (γ_1, δ) as a product of each Δ_v at the localizations of these elements, along with some auxiliary factors $\langle \text{loc}_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc}), \dot{y}'_v \rangle$ which are harmless and only necessary for technical reasons. Of course, one must take each Δ_v to arise from the localizations of the same global rigid inner form and the local Whittaker data to be the

localizations of the same global Whittaker datum w, even though such a datum is not used to define the left-hand side of the above equation.

1.2. **Overview.** In §2, we prove some preliminary results that allow us to make computations using Čech cohomology, both with respect to the covers $O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}$, where S is a finite subset of places of F and E/F is a finite (not necessarily Galois) field extension, and the covers A_E/A , where $A_E = E \otimes_F A$. We also review some basic result about projective systems of abstract gerbes and their Čech cohomology (which comes from [Dil20, §2]).

In the next section, we prove an analogue of global Tate duality for the groups $H^2_{\text{fppf}}(F, Z)$, where Z is a finite multiplicative F-group scheme. After that, we define a projective system of multiplicative group schemes $\{P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}\}$ whose limit gives the pro-algebraic group $P_{\dot{V}}$ that will band our global gerbe. Once $P_{\dot{V}}$ is defined, we show that its first fppf cohomology group over F vanishes using local and global class field theory and that its second fppf cohomology group contains a canonical class. Constructing such a global class is considerably more difficult than in the local case, and requires utilizing a Čech-cohomological analogue of complexes of tori.

Once the canonical class is defined, we can construct the global gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$, whose cohomology is studied in §4, building towards proving a duality result for the cohomology sets $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$, where Z is a finite central subgroup of G. We also prove a result concerning the localizations of torsor on $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ which will be used in §5 to prove that global L-packets consist of irreducible, tempered, admissible representations.

In §5, we develop endoscopy, defining the adelic transfer factor for function fields, coherent families of rigid inner forms. We relate the local constructions of [Dil20] to global endoscopy, including the adelic transfer factor and the multiplicity formula. In §6 (which can be regarded as an appendix), we establish complexes of tori in the setting of Čech cohomology and prove several results analogous to those in the appendices of [KS99] (that used Galois cohomology) which are used in the proof of the existence of a canonical class in §3.

1.3. Notation and terminology. We will always assume that F is a global field of characteristic p > 0. For an arbitrary algebraic group G over F, G° denotes the identity component. For a connected reductive group G over F, Z(G) denotes the center of G, and for H a subgroup of G, $N_G(H), Z_G(H)$ denote the normalizer and centralizer group schemes of H in G, respectively. We will denote by $\mathscr{D}(G)$ the derived subgroup of G, by G_{ad} the quotient G/Z(G), and if G is semisimple, we denote by G_{sc} the simply-connected cover of G; if G is not semisimple, G_{sc} denotes $\mathscr{D}(G)_{sc}$. If T is a maximal torus of G, denote by T_{sc} its preimage in G_{sc} . We fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of F, which contains a separable closure of F, denoted by F^s . For E/F a Galois extension, we denote the Galois group of E over F by $\Gamma_{E/F}$, and we set $\Gamma_{F^s/F} =: \Gamma$.

We denote by V the set of all places of F, and for E/F a finite extension and $S \subseteq V$, we denote by S_E the preimage of S in V_E , the set of all places of E. We call a subset of V full if it equals S_F for some subset S of places of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ (after choosing an embedding $\mathbb{F}_p((t)) \to F$). For a finite subset $S \subset V$, we set $\mathbb{A}_S := \prod_{v \in S} F_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} O_{F_v}$, and set $\mathbb{A}_{E,S} := \mathbb{A}_{E,S_E}$.

We call an affine, commutative algebraic group over a ring R multiplicative if it is Cartier dual to an étale R-group scheme. For Z a multiplicative group over F, we denote by $X^*(Z), X_*(Z)(=X_*(Z^\circ))$ the character and co-character modules of Z, respectively, viewed as Γ -modules. We will frequently denote $\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})^{\Gamma}$ by \widehat{T}^{Γ} . For two F-schemes X, Y and F-algebra R, we set $X \times_{\text{Spec}(F)}$ $Y =: X \times_F Y$, or by $X \times Y$ if F is understood, and set $X \times_F \text{Spec}(R) =: X_R$. We also set X(Spec(R)) =: X(R), the set of F-morphisms {Spec $(R) \to X$ }.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	1
1.2. Overview	4
1.3. Notation and terminology	4
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Čech cohomology over $O_{F,S}$	5
2.2. Čech cohomology over \mathbb{A}	11
2.3. Čech cohomology and projective systems	16
3. The profinite group P_{V}	19
3.1. Tate duality for finite multiplicative Z	19
3.2. The groups $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$	24
3.3. The vanishing of $H^1(F, P_V)$ and $H^1(F_v, (P_V)_{F_v})$	30
3.4. The canonical class	36
4. Cohomology of the gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$	41
4.1. Basic definitions	41
4.2. Tate-Nakayama duality for tori	43
4.3. Extending to reductive groups	53
4.4. Unramified localizations	58
5. Applications to endoscopy	61
5.1. Adelic transfer factors for function fields	61
5.2. Endoscopic setup	65
5.3. Product decomposition of the adelic transfer factor	66
5.4. The multiplicity formula for discrete automorphic representations	68
6. Complexes of tori and Čech cohomology	71
6.1. Complexes of tori over local function fields—basic results	71
6.2. Pairing for $r = 1$	74
6.3. Complexes of tori over global function fields—basic results	77
6.4. Complexes of tori over global function fields—duality	80
References	87

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Čech cohomology over $O_{F,S}$. Fix a global function field F of characteristic p > 0, a finite set S of places of F, and an F-torus T which is unramified outside S. Let $O_{F,S}$ denote the elements of F whose valuation is non-negative at all places outside S, and for a finite Galois extension K/F, denote by $O_{K,S}$ the elements of K whose valuation is non-negative at all places outside S_K , the set of all places of K lying above S. We set $O_S := \lim_{K/F} O_{K,S}$, where K/F ranges over all finite Galois extensions which are unramified outside of S. Denote by F_S the maximal field extension of F which is unramified outside S, and denote its Galois group over F by Γ_S ; note that $F_S = \operatorname{Frac}(O_S)$. Since T is defined over the subring $O_F \subset F$, it is also defined over $O_{F,S}$ for any set of places S; it thus makes sense to ease notation by denoting the corresponding O_F - or $O_{F,S}$ -scheme also by T.

For all q > 0, it is a basic fact of fppf cohomology ([Čes16, Lemma 2.1]) that for a commutative group scheme \mathscr{G} on $O_{F,S}$ which is locally of finite presentation, we have $H^q_{\text{fppf}}(O_S, \mathscr{G}) = \underset{K/F}{\lim} H^q_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S}, \mathscr{G})$, with the transition maps induced by pullback of fppf sheaves (the same is true if we replace "fppf" by "étale").

We begin with the following commutative-algebraic lemma:

Lemma 2.1. For K/F a finite Galois extension unramified outside S and $n \ge 2$, the natural injection $O_{K,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n} \to \prod_{\Gamma_{K/F}^{n-1}} O_{K,S}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By induction, it is enough to prove the result for n = 2. First, note that $O_{K,S}/O_{F,S}$ is finite étale by assumption (since K/F is unramified outside of S). In particular, $O_{K,S}$ is finitely-generated and torsion-free as an $O_{F,S}$ -module, and both rings are Dedekind domains which are integrally closed in their fields of fractions. By base-change, we get a finite étale extension $O_{K,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S}/O_{K,S}$, which is still finitely-generated, locally free, and torsion-free as an $O_{K,S}$ -module (this last fact follows from using the injection $O_{K,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \hookrightarrow \prod_{\Gamma_{K/F}} O_{K,S}$, under which $O_{K,S}$ maps into the diagonally-embedded copy, which clearly acts on the product without torsion).

We are thus in the setting of [Con18, Theorem 1.3], which says that the composition

$$O_{K,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \hookrightarrow K \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_i K_i,$$

where each K_i is some finite separable extension of K and the last isomorphism comes from the fact that $K \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S}$ is finite étale over K a field, maps $O_{K,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S}$ isomorphically onto the product of integral closures of $O_{K,S}$ in each K_i . It is thus enough to show that we have an isomorphism $K \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\Gamma_{K/F}} K$.

Choose an element $\alpha \in O_{K,S}$ such that $K = F(\alpha)$; since $O_{K,S}$ is the integral closure of $O_{F,S}$ inside K, we know that the minimal polynomial of α over F, denoted by f, lies in $O_{F,S}[x]$, and so the desired result follows from the series of elementary manipulations

$$K \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F[x]}{(f)} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} F \otimes_{O_{F,S}} \left(\frac{O_{F,S}[x]}{(f)} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} F \otimes_{O_{F,S}} \left(\prod_{\Gamma_{K/F}} O_{K,S}\right),$$

followed by commuting the tensor product with the (finite) product and applying the canonical isomorphism $F \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} K$. We leave it to the reader to check that the isomorphism $O_{K,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{K,S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\Gamma_{K/F}} O_{K,S}$ constructed in the above proof agrees with the injection in the statement of the Lemma.

Recall from [Dil20, §2.2], that if we fix a ring homomorphism $R \to S$ and abelian sheaf \mathscr{F} on R (with the fpqc topology), then $\check{H}^i(S/R, \mathscr{F})$ denotes the *i*th cohomology group of the complex

$$\mathscr{F}(S) \to \mathscr{F}(S \otimes_R S) \to \mathscr{F}(S \otimes_R S \otimes_R S) \to \dots,$$

where the differentials are given by the alternating sum of the n + 1 natural maps $\mathscr{F}(S^{\bigotimes_R n}) \to \mathscr{F}(S^{\bigotimes_R (n+1)})$.

Corollary 2.2. We have a canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^i(O_{K,S}/O_{F,S}, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\Gamma_{K/F}, G(O_{K,S}))$ for any commutative $O_{F,S}$ -group G. Taking the direct limit also gives a canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^i(O_S/O_{F,S}, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\Gamma_S, G(O_S)).$ *Proof.* All that one must check is that the isomorphism of Lemma 2.1 preserves cocycles and coboundaries, which is straightforward. \Box

In order to compare the Čech cohomology groups $\check{H}^i(O_S/O_{F,S},T)$ with $H^i_{fppf}(O_{F,S},T)$, we need to prove some cohomological vanishing results. The first result involves étale cohomology:

Lemma 2.3. We have that $H_{et}^i(O_S, T_{O_S}) = 0$ for all i > 0.

Proof. Since we assume that T is unramified outside S, it is enough to prove the result for $T = \mathbb{G}_m$. For i = 1, the result follows from the above paragraph, using the fact that for $F \subset K \subset F_S$ a finite subextension, we have $H^1_{\text{et}}(O_{K,S}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Pic}(\text{Spec}(O_{K,S})) = \text{Cl}(O_{K,S})$ (the first equality comes from [Mil06, Proposition II.2.1]) and that $\varinjlim_{K/F} \text{Cl}(O_{K,S}) = 0$, where the limit is over all finite subextensions, by the proof of [NSW08, Proposition 8.3.6].

For i = 2, first note that $H^2_{\text{et}}(O_{K,S}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Br}(O_{K,S})$, and then by [Poo17, 6.9.2], we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Br}(O_{K,S}) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S_K} \operatorname{Br}(K_v) \xrightarrow{\sum \operatorname{inv}_v} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where K_v denotes the completion of K at v. Taking the direct limit of the first two terms shows that we have an injective map $\operatorname{Br}(O_S) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_{F_S}} \operatorname{Br}(F_S \cdot F_v)$. Note that the field extension $F_S \cdot F_v$ contains F_v^{nr} , the maximal unramified extension of F_v , using the fact that F_S/F contains all finite extensions of the constant field of F. Moreover, the valuation ring $O_{F_S \cdot F_v}$ of this field is Henselian, as it is the direct limit of the Henselian rings $O_{K_v}([\operatorname{Hocl0}, \operatorname{pp. 56}])$, and the previous sentence implies that it has algebraically closed residue field. We may then deduce from the proof of [Mil06, Proposition I.A.1] that $\operatorname{Br}(F_S \cdot F_v) = 0$, giving the desired result.

Finally, for $i \ge 2$, we have that for any K/F a finite Galois extension, we have $H^i_{\text{et}}(O_{K,S}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, by [Mil06], Remark II.2.2. Taking the direct limit gives the desired result.

Corollary 2.4. We have canonical isomorphisms $H^i(\Gamma_S, T(O_S)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i_{et}(O_{F,S}, T)$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows immediately from combining Lemma 2.3 with the spectral sequence

$$H^p(\Gamma_S, H^q_{\text{et}}(O_S, T_{O_S})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{\text{et}}(O_{F,S}, T)$$

from [Poo17, Theorem 6.7.5].

Lemma 2.5. We have that

$$H^i_{fppf}(O_S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}, T) = 0$$

for all $n, i \geq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ since we assume that T is unramified outside S. Moreover, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{K/F} H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0,$$

where the limit is over all finite subextensions of F inside F_S . By Lemma 2.1, we have a canonical identification

$$\operatorname{Spec}(O_{K,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}) = \coprod_{\underline{\sigma} \in \Gamma_{K/F}^{n-1}} \operatorname{Spec}(O_{K,S}),$$

as well as a canonical isomorphism $H^i_{\text{fppf}}(\coprod_{\underline{\sigma}} \text{Spec}(O_{K,S}), \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\underline{\sigma}} H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Also, if K'/K is finite and contained in F_S , then the natural map

$$H^{i}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(O_{K,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}, \mathbb{G}_{m}) \to H^{i}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(O_{K',S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}, \mathbb{G}_{m})$$

corresponds via this isomorphism to diagonally embedding each factor of $\prod_{\underline{\sigma}\in\Gamma_{K/F}^{n-1}}H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S},\mathbb{G}_m)$ into some subset of the factors of $\prod_{\underline{\sigma}\in\Gamma_{K'/F}^{n-1}}H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K',S},\mathbb{G}_m)$ (by means of the pullback map $H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S},\mathbb{G}_m) \to H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K',S},\mathbb{G}_m)$).

Hence, if $\alpha \in H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is any element, then to show that α vanishes in some $H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K',S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ for large K', it is enough to show that $\varinjlim_{K/F} H^i_{\text{fppf}}(O_{K,S}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ for all i, thus reducing the result to the case n = 1, which follows from combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 with Corollary 2.4.

For any abelian fppf group scheme A over $O_{F,S}$ with pro-fppf cover $R/O_{F,S}$, the Grothendieck spectral sequence gives us a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \dot{H}^p(R/O_{F,S}, \underline{H}^q_{\text{fppf}}(A)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{\text{fppf}}(O_{F,S}, A),$$

where $\underline{H}_{\text{fppf}}^{q}(A)$) denotes the fppf-sheafification of the presheaf on Sch/ $O_{F,S}$ sending U to $H^{q}(U, A_{U})$ (see [Stacks, 03AV]). We have the following result:

Proposition 2.6. ([Stacks, 03AV]) If $H^i_{fppf}(R^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}, A) = 0$ for all $n, i \ge 1$, then the above spectral sequence induces a canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^i(R/O_{F,S}, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i_{fppf}(O_{F,S}, A)$ for all i.

Remark 2.7. Strictly speaking, Lemmas 21.10.6 and 21.10.7 in [Stacks], 03AV are stated in the setting of an fppf cover $R/O_{F,S}$, but taking the direct limit of spectral sequences gives us the result for pro-fppf covers (rings R which are direct limit of fppf covers, such as O_S).

Corollary 2.8. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\dot{H}^{i}(O_{S}/O_{F,S},T) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{i}_{fppf}(O_{F,S},T)$$

for all i.

Proof. Combine Lemma 2.5 with Proposition 2.6.

We now move to the realm of possibly non-étale extensions, in order to handle the cohomology of non-smooth finite F-groups. For R an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, let $R^{\text{perf}} := \varinjlim R$, where the direct limit is over successively higher powers of the Frobenius homomorphism. For $R = O_{F,S}$, the ring $O_{F,S}^{\text{perf}}$ is obtained by adjoining all p-power roots of elements of $O_{F,S}$ (in a fixed algebraic closure \overline{F}/F). We begin by recalling an elementary lemma on the splitting of primes in rings of integers of purely inseparable extensions:

Lemma 2.9. Let F'/F be a purely inseparable extension and $\mathfrak{p} \subset O_F$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \cdot O_{F'} = (\mathfrak{p}')^{[F':F]}$ for some prime \mathfrak{p}' of $O_{F'}$.

Proof. It is evidently enough to prove this in the case when [F' : F] = p, which we now assume. We claim that $O_{F'} = O_F^{(p)}$, the extension of O_F obtained by adjoining all *p*-power roots. There is an obvious inclusion of O_F -algebras $O_{F'} \hookrightarrow O_F^{(p)}$ because $F' = F^{(p)}$. The morphism of smooth projective curves $X' \to X$ corresponding to the inclusion $F \to F'$ is purely inseparable of degree

p, so by [Stacks], 0CCV, we obtain an isomorphism of O_F -algebras $O_{F'} \xrightarrow{\sim} O_F^{(p)}$, giving the claim. The claim implies that, at the level of local rings, a uniformizer $\varpi \in O_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$ has a pth root in $O_{F',\mathfrak{p}'}$ for any prime \mathfrak{p}' above \mathfrak{p} , giving the desired result.

Denote by F_m the field extension of F obtained by adjoining all p^m -power roots; note that by the proof of the above lemma, this is a finite, purely inseparable extension. We have the following characterization of the perfect closure O_S^{perf} :

Lemma 2.10. The canonical map

$$\varinjlim_m O_{S_m} \to O_S^{perf}$$

is an isomorphism, where S_m denotes the preimage of S in $Spec(O_{F_m})$.

Proof. For the inclusion of the right-hand side into the left-hand side, note that if $x \in \overline{F}$ is such that $x^{p^m} \in O_{E,S}$ for some finite (Galois) $E \subset F_S$, then $x \in E' := E \cdot F_m$, which is unramified over F_m outside of S_m , and so $x \in O_{E',S_m} \subset O_{S_m}$. For the other inclusion, consider a finite Galois extension K' of the finite purely inseparable extension $F' := F_m/F$ with $S' := S_m$. We may factor K'/F as a tower K'/K/F, where K/F is the separable (Galois) closure of F in K' and K'/K is purely inseparable. Note that $K \cdot F' = K'$; one containment is clear, and the other follows from the fact that K and F' are linearly disjoint and [K' : F'] = [K : F].

We want to show that K/F is unramified outside S; this follows because for any prime \mathfrak{p} of O_F , we know from Lemma 2.9 that \mathfrak{p} splits as $(\mathfrak{p}')^{[F':F]}$ in $O_{F'}$, and if \mathfrak{p}' is a prime of $O_{F',S'}$, then it factors in $O_{K'}$ as $\mathfrak{P}'_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}'_r$, which means that \mathfrak{p} splits in $O_{K'}$ as $(\mathfrak{P}'_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}'_r)^{[F':F]}$. Since [F':F] = [K':K], we know that \mathfrak{p} must not ramify in O_K , or else the ramification degree would be too large. Now for any element $x \in O_{K',S'}$, we have that $x^{p^m} \in K$ and is integral over $O_{F,S}$, and hence lies in $O_{K,S}$, showing that $O_{K',S'} \subseteq O_{K,S}^{(p^m)} \subset O_S^{\text{perf}}$, giving the other inclusion. \Box

With these results in hand, we are ready to prove that passing to the perfection of O_S allows us to compute the Čech cohomology of multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -group schemes.

Lemma 2.11. For A a multiplicative F-group (which, as for tori, has a canonical model over \mathbb{Z} so it makes sense to treat it as an O_F -scheme) split over O_S , the groups $H^i_{fppf}((O_S^{perf})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}, A)$ vanish for all $i, n \ge 0$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $A = \mathbb{G}_m$ and $A = \mu_m$. We focus on the former first: Note that we may use the smoothness of \mathbb{G}_m and [Ros19, Lemma 2.2.9] to replace $(O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}$ by $[(O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}]_{\text{red}} = (O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}$, so it's enough to show that the groups

$$H^{j}_{\mathrm{fppf}}((O^{\mathrm{perf}}_{S})^{\bigotimes_{O^{\mathrm{perf}}_{F,S}}n},\mathbb{G}_{m})$$

all vanish. By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$(O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}^{\text{perf}}}n} = \varinjlim_m O_{S_m}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_m,S_m}}n},$$

and hence it's enough to show that

$$\varinjlim_{m} H^{j}_{\text{fppf}}(O_{S_{m}}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_{m}},S_{m}}n}, \mathbb{G}_{m}) = 0$$

for all $j, n \ge 1$. Now the result follows from Lemma 2.5, which shows that each term in the direct limit is zero.

We now prove the μ_m -case. For i > 1, we immediately deduce that $H^i_{\text{fppf}}((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}, \mu_m)$ vanishes from the long exact sequence in fppf cohomology and the \mathbb{G}_m -case.

For i = 1, since $H^1_{\text{fppf}}((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, we have from the long exact sequence in fppf cohomology that $H^1_{\text{fppf}}((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}, \mu_m)$ is the quotient

$$((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})^* / (((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})^*)^m.$$

We know that O_S^* is n'-divisible for n' coprime to p by [NSW08, Proposition 8.3.4], and hence so is the group $(O_S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})^*$ (using Lemma 2.1). Now $O_S^{\text{perf},*}$ is N-divisible, since it is obtained from O_S by adjoining all p-power roots, and once again this implies that $((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})^* = (O_S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})^{\text{perf},*}$ is as well.

We immediately obtain:

Corollary 2.12. For A as above, we have a canonical isomorphisms

$$\check{H}^{i}(O_{S}^{perf}/O_{F,S}, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{i}_{fppf}(O_{F,S}, A)$$

for all i. Moreover, for an F-torus T unramified outside S, the natural map

$$\check{H}^{i}(O_{S}/O_{F,S},T) \rightarrow \check{H}^{i}(O_{S}^{perf}/O_{F,S},T)$$

induced by the inclusion $O_S \to O_S^{perf}$ is an isomorphism.

In the rest of this paper, the ring O_S^{perf} will have the role that \overline{F} played in the local case, see [Dil20]. We conclude with a useful result concerning the finite-level Čech cohomology of an $O_{F,S}$ -torus T split over $O_{E,S}$. We first recall the following result from [Mor72]:

Proposition 2.13. ([Mor72, Theorem 3.2]) Let $S/R/O_{F,S}$ be two fppf covers of $O_{F,S}$; set

$$\Sigma := \left[\bigcup_{i} R^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} i}\right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{i} S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} i}\right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{i} S^{\bigotimes_{R} i}\right]$$

If \mathscr{F} is a sheaf on $(Sch/O_{F,S})_{fppf}$ such that $H^1_{fppf}(A, \mathscr{F}) = 0$ for all $A \in \Sigma$, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \dot{H}^2(R/O_{F,S},\mathscr{F}) \to \dot{H}^2(S/O_{F,S},\mathscr{F}) \to \dot{H}^2(S/R,\mathscr{F}).$$

We now obtain:

Lemma 2.14. Let E/F be a finite Galois extension, let E'/E be a finite purely inseparable extension, and $S \subset V$ a finite set of places such that $Cl(O_{E,S})$ is trivial. Then if T is an $O_{F,S}$ -torus split over $O_{E,S}$, the natural map $\check{H}^2(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S},T) \to \check{H}^2(O_{E',S}/O_{F,S},T)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. We leave it to the reader to check that the Σ -condition of Proposition 2.13 is satisfied (since everything in Σ is an $O_{E,S}$ -algebra, we may replace T with \mathbb{G}_m for this condition and use the fact that $O_{E,S}$ and $O_{E',S}$ are principal ideal domains, along with [Ros19, Lemma 2.2.9]). It thus suffices to show that the group $\check{H}^2(O_{E',S}/O_{E,S}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ vanishes. Note that, for any n, $\mathbb{G}_m(O_{E',S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{E,S}}n}) = \mathbb{G}_m([O_{E',S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{E,S}}n}]_{red})$, and now $[O_{E',S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{E,S}}n}]_{red} = O_{E',S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{E',S}}n} = O_{E,S}$, so our Čech cohomology computations on this cover reduce to that of the trivial cover $O_{E',S}/O_{E',S}$, giving the desired vanishing.

2.2. Čech cohomology over A. In this subsection we prove some basic results that allow us to do Čech cohomology on (covers of) the adele ring A of our global function field F. Let G a multiplicative F-group scheme with fixed O_{F,S_0} -model \mathcal{G} for a finite subset of places $\Sigma_0 \subset V_F$. We begin with some basic results about local fields:

Lemma 2.15. Let $F' = F_m/F$ be a finite, purely inseparable extension. Then F' and F_v are linearly disjoint over F inside $\overline{F_v}$ (recall that we have fixed such an algebraic closure).

Proof. Suppose that we know the result for $F' = F_1$. Then, proceeding by induction, F_{m-1} and F_v are linearly disjoint, the valuation v extends uniquely to a valuation v' on F_{m-1} , and $F_{m-1} \cdot F_v$ is the completion of F_{m-1} with respect to v'. Thus, F_m/F_{m-1} is of degree p, and we may replace F_v by $(F_{m-1})_{v'}$ and use the m = 1 case to deduce that $(F_{m-1})_{v'} = F_{m-1} \cdot F_v$ and F_m are linearly disjoint over F_{m-1} , which implies the desired result.

Thus, we may assume that $F' = F_1$. Note that the extension $F' \cdot F_v/F_v$ is either degree 1 or degree p, since $[F' \cdot F_v: F_v] = [F': F_v \cap F] \mid p$, and F' and F_v are linearly disjoint if and only if this degree equals p. Hence, it's enough to show that $F' \cap F_v = F$. Thus, suppose that $x \in F_v$ is such that $x^p \in F$. If $F(x) \neq F$, then F(x) = F', so that F_v contains all pth roots of F; in particular, $\varpi^{1/p} \in F_v$, where $\varpi \in O_{F,v}$ (the localization of O_F at v) is a v-adic uniformizer, which is clearly false.

Now let K/F be a finite (not necessarily separable) field extension with completion K_w for $w \mid v$. The following result is important for our adelic Čech cohomology:

Lemma 2.16. For any *n*, the natural map $O_{K_w}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n} \to K_w^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n}$ is injective.

O

Proof. The ring O_{K_w} is finite and torsion-free over the principal ideal domain O_{F_v} , and is thus free as an O_{F_v} -module. We may thus pick a basis (which is also an F_v -basis for K_w) which allows us to view the map in question as the natural map

$$(O_{F_v}^{\bigoplus m})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}}n} \to (F_v^{\bigoplus m})^{\bigotimes_{F_v}n},$$

which may be rewritten as the obvious inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{F_v}^{\oplus mn} \hookrightarrow F_v^{\bigoplus^{mn}},$$

giving the result.

We can now prove our first adelic result. Let K/F be a finite field extension; note that the equality $\mathbb{A}_K = K \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$ implies that $\mathbb{A}_K^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n} = (K^{\bigotimes_F n}) \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$. Let V denote the set of all places of F, let $\mathbb{A}_{K,v}$ denote the F_v -algebra $K \otimes_F F_v$, and let $O_{K,v}$ denote the O_{F_v} -algebra $O_K \otimes_{O_F} O_{F_v}$.

Proposition 2.17. For any finite (not necessarily Galois) extension K/F, we have a canonical identification

$$\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{v \in V}' \mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} n},$$

where the restriction is with respect to the image of the map $O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n} \to \mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n}$ (in fact, the proof will imply that this map is an inclusion).

Proof. Identifying $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{R}} n}$ with $(K^{\bigotimes_{F} n}) \otimes_{F} \mathbb{A}$, we claim that it may be identified further with the restricted product

$$\prod_{v\in V}^{\prime} (K^{\bigotimes_F n} \otimes_F F_v), \tag{1}$$

where the restricted product is with respect to the image of the homomorphisms

$$O_K^{\bigotimes_{O_F} n} \otimes_{O_F} O_{F_v} \to K^{\bigotimes_F n} \otimes_F F_v, \tag{2}$$

via the isomorphism defined on simple tensors by sending $x \otimes (a_v)_v$ to $(x \otimes a_v)_v$, proving the Proposition. The substance of this claim is that this morphism is well-defined, i.e., that for all but finitely many v, the element $x \otimes a_v$ actually lies in the image of $O_K^{\bigotimes_{O_F} n} \otimes_{O_F} O_{F_v}$. To this end, it suffices to show that we have an isomorphism

$$O_K \otimes_{O_F} O_{F_v} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{w \mid v} O_{K_w}$$

for any $v \in V_F$ (the analogous decomposition for $K \otimes_F F_v$ is clear). In fact, once this is done, the injectivity of the maps in (2) follows (using Lemma 2.16), and it is straightforward to verify that the claimed identification of $(K^{\bigotimes_F n}) \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$ with the ring in (1) is indeed an isomorphism. Letting K' be the maximal Galois subextension of K, we already know that $O_{K'} \otimes_{O_F} O_{F_v}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{w'|v} O_{K'_{w'}}$, and so we're left with the ring $O_K \otimes_{O_{K'}} [\prod_{w'|v} O_{K'_{w'}}]$.

We claim that the natural map $O_K \otimes_{O_{K'}} O_{K'_{w'}} \to O_{K_w}$ (for *w* the unique extension of *w'* to *K*) is an isomorphism. For surjectivity, note that by the proof of Lemma 2.9, we have $O_K = O_{K'}^{(1/p^m)}$, where p^m is [K: K']. We know that $O_{K'_{w'}}$ spans O_{K_w} over $O_{K'}^{(1/p^m)}$, since the ring $O_{K'_{w'}} \cdot O_{K'}^{(1/p^m)}$ is finitely-generated over the complete discrete valuation ring $O_{K'_{w'}}$, using that $O_{K'}^{(1/p^m)}$ is finite over $O_{K'}$ by the finiteness of the relative Frobenius morphism (by [Stacks], OCC6, using that $O_{K'}$ is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_q , being the coordinate ring of an affine open subscheme of a smooth curve over \mathbb{F}_q), and hence is complete as a topological ring, contains O_K , and thus must be the *w*-adic completion O_{K_w} . Injectivity immediately follows from the linear disjointness given by Lemma 2.15.

We immediately obtain:

Corollary 2.18. For any finite (not necessarily Galois) extension K/F, the ring $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}$ may be canonically identified as the direct limit over any cofinal system of finite subsets Σ of V of products as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n} = \varinjlim_{\Sigma} [\prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} n} \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma} O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_{v}}} n}].$$

This allows to decompose groups of adelic Čech cochains:

Corollary 2.19. For any finite (not necessarily Galois) extension K/F, we have a canonical identification

$$G(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}) = \lim_{\Sigma_{0} \subset \Sigma} [\prod_{v \in \Sigma} G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} n}) \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma} \mathcal{G}(O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_{v}}} n})].$$

Proof. This is immediate from the our Corollary 2.18 and [Čes16, Lemma 2.4].

In fact, since the natural map $O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n} \to \mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n}$ is injective (and so the same is true for $\mathcal{G}(O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n}) \to G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n})$), we actually get a restricted product decomposition

$$G(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}) = \prod_{v \in V}' G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} n}),$$

where the restriction is with respect to the subgroups $\mathcal{G}(O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}}n})$. If $\overline{\mathbb{A}} := \overline{F} \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$, we immediately obtain:

Corollary 2.20. We have a canonical identification

$$G(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{K/F} \prod_{v \in V}' G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n}),$$

where the direct limit is over all finite extensions K/F.

We give one more result which will be useful for Čech-cohomological computations:

Proposition 2.21. For K/F a finite extension, the above restricted product decomposition of $G(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n})$ identifies the subgroup of Čech *n*-cocycles inside $G(\mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n})$ with elements of the kernel of the map

$$\prod_{v \in V}' G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V}' G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n+1})$$

given by the Čech differentials with respect to the cover $\mathbb{A}_{K,v}/F_v$ on the $G(\mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n})$ -factors and the Čech differentials with respect to the cover $O_{K,v}/O_{F_v}$ on the $\mathcal{G}(O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n})$ -factors (note that these differentials land in the desired restricting subgroups, so this is well-defined).

Proof. It's enough to check that the restricted product identifications are compatible with the three inclusion maps $p_i: \mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n} \to \mathbb{A}_{K}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n+1}, p_i^v: \mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n} \to \mathbb{A}_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} n+1}$, and $p_i^{v,\circ}: O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n} \to O_{K,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} n+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, which is straightforward.

We now move on from examining adelic tensor products and look at some cohomological results concerning covers of \mathbb{A} , analogous to the results proved in the previous subsection for covers of $O_{F,S}$. Set $\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v := \overline{F} \otimes_F F_v$. For notational convenience, the symbol H^i will denote H^i_{fppf} .

Lemma 2.22. For M a multiplicative F-group scheme, we have $H^n(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v^{\bigotimes_{F_v} k}, M) = 0$ for all $n, k \geq 1$.

Proof. For E'/F a finite algebraic extension with Galois and purely inseparable subextensions E, F' respectively, note that we have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$(E' \otimes_F F_v)^{\bigotimes_{F_v} k} \xrightarrow{\sim} [F' \otimes_F (E \otimes_F F_v)]^{\bigotimes_{F_v} k} \xrightarrow{\sim} [\prod_{w|v} E'_{w'}]^{\bigotimes_{F_v} k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{w_1, \dots, w_k|v} \bigotimes_{F_v}^{i=1, \dots, k} E'_{w'_i},$$

where $E'_{w'}$ is the completion of E' with respect to the unique extension w' of the valuation w on E to the purely inseparable extension E', for all $w \mid v$ in V_E , and in the third term above, F_v is embedded into the direct product diagonally. and so we obtain an identification

$$H^{n}((E' \otimes_{F} F_{v})^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} k}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\substack{w_{1}, \dots, w_{n} \mid v_{F} \\ 13}} H^{n}((E'_{w'_{i}})^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} k}, M).$$

Moreover, for K'/E' two such extensions, the inductive map $(E' \otimes_F F_v)^{\bigotimes_{F_v} k} \to (K' \otimes_F F_v)^{\bigotimes_{F_v} k}$ gets translated to the map on the corresponding products defined by the product over all k-tuples (w_1, \ldots, w_k) of the maps

$$\bigotimes_{F_v}^{j=1,\ldots,k} E'_{w'_j} \to \prod_{\tilde{w}_1,\ldots\tilde{w}_k;\tilde{w}_j \mid w_j \forall j} \bigotimes_{F_v}^{j=1,\ldots,k} K'_{\tilde{w}'_j}$$

given in the obvious way. The upshot is that it's enough to show that each direct limit

$$\lim_{K'/F} H^n(K'_{(w_K)'}/F_v, M)$$

vanishes, where $\{w_K\}$ is a coherent system of places lifting v (equivalent to fixing a place \dot{v} on F^{sep} lifting v). But each direct limit of this form is isomorphic to $H^n(\overline{F_v}, M)$, which we know vanishes.

Fix an embedding $\overline{F} \to \overline{F_v}$, which is equivalent to picking a place $\dot{v} \in V_{F^{sep}}$ lying above v. Note that we have a homomorphism of F_v -algebras $h: \overline{F_v} \to \overline{\mathbb{A}}_v$ defined as follows: For any E'/F a finite algebraic extension, we may define a ring homomorphism

$$E' \cdot F_v \to E' \otimes_F F_v$$

by writing $E' = E(x^{1/p^m})$ for $x \in F$, where E/F is a finite Galois extension, and then using linear disjointness to write $E' = F(x^{1/p^m}) \otimes_F E$. Note that, even more than this, $F(x^{1/p^m})$ and $E \cdot F_v$ are linearly disjoint over F inside $\overline{F_v}$, so that our desired ring homomorphism may be obtained from any homomorphism $E \cdot F_v \to E \otimes_F F_v$ by applying the functor $F(x^{1/p^m}) \otimes_F -$. But such a homomorphism may be obtained via the composition

$$E \cdot F_v \to \prod_{w|v} E_w \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes_F F_v$$

where the first map is the diagonal embedding induced by the fixed embedding $E \cdot F_v \to \overline{F_v}$ and a choice of section $\Gamma_{E/F}/\Gamma_{E/F}^{v_E} \to \Gamma_{E/F}$, where $v_E := \dot{v}|_E$ and $\Gamma_{E/F}^{v_E}$ is the decomposition group of v_E , and the second map is the usual isomorphism from basic number theory. If we pick our sections to come from a section $\Gamma_F/\Gamma_F^{\dot{v}} \to \Gamma_F$, then it is clear that these homomorphisms splice to give the desired map h.

Corollary 2.23. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and multiplicative *F*-group *M*, the map *h* induces an isomorphism, called the "Shapiro isomorphism,"

$$S_v^k \colon \check{H}^k(\overline{F_v}/F_v, M) \to \check{H}^k(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, M).$$

Proof. Note that for any finite algebraic field extension E'/F, the extension of rings $F_v \to F_v \otimes_F E'$ is fppf. Thus, we get a natural map

$$\check{H}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}/F_{v},M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{E'/F} \check{H}^{k}((E' \otimes_{F} F_{v})/F_{v},M) \to H^{k}(F_{v},M)$$

via the natural comparison homomorphism $\check{H}^k_{\text{fppf}}(F_v, M) \to H^k(F_v, M)$ (from [Stacks, Lemma 03AX]). By taking the direct limit of the spectral sequence from [Stacks, Lemma 03AZ], we deduce that the above map $\check{H}^k(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, M) \to H^k(F_v, M)$ is an isomorphism, since the cohomology

groups $H^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} m}, M)$ vanish for all $j, m \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.22. Now the commutative diagram



implies that S_v^k is an isomorphism.

We conclude this subsection by discussing the independence of S_v^2 on the section $\Gamma_F / \Gamma_F^{\dot{v}} \to \Gamma_F$ used to construct h.

Lemma 2.24. Let s_v and s'_v be two choices of sections, M a multiplicative F-group, and \dot{S}_v^2 , $\dot{S}_v'^2$ the corresponding Shapiro homomorphisms $M(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v}3}) \to M(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v^{\bigotimes_{F_v}3})$. Then the induced maps on Čech cohomology from $\check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, M)$ to $\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, M)$ are the same.

Proof. Since the Shapiro homomorphisms are constructed via the direct limit over finite algebraic extensions, it's enough to prove that, for any fixed $x \in M((E'_v)^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ a 2-cocycle, E'/F a finite extension of fields, there is a 1-cochain $c \in M((E' \otimes_F F_v)^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 2})$ such that $dc = \dot{S}_v^2(x) \cdot \dot{S}_v'^2(x)^{-1}$, and that if we have a inductive system $\{x_{E'}\}_{E'}$ of such 2-cocycles, as E'/F ranges over an exhaustive tower of finite extensions, then the system $\{c_{E'}\}_{E'}$ is also inductive. We will construct each $c_{E'}$ explicitly using x (it will be useful later to have an explicit cochain to work with).

As above, we let E/F (resp. F'/F) denote the maximal Galois (resp. purely inseparable) subextension of E'/F, set $E_v := E_{v_E}$, and denote the extension of v_E to E' by v'. For $w \mid v$ in V_E , denote by r_w, \bar{r}_w the corresponding isomorphisms $E'_{v'} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_{w'}$ (induced by applying $F' \otimes_F -$ to the isomorphisms $E_v \xrightarrow{\sim} E_w$ defined by our sections). We define

$$c \in \prod_{w_{i_1}, w_{i_2} \mid v_F} M(E'_{w'_{i_1}} \otimes_{F_v} E'_{w'_{i_2}})$$

to be given on the (w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}) -factor by

$$(r_{w_{i_1,1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_1,3}} \otimes r_{w_{i_2,2}})(x) \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_1,2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_2,1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_2,3}})(x)^{-1},$$

where $r_{w_{i_j},k}$ denotes that the source is the kth tensor factor of $(E'_{v'})^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3}$, $1 \le k \le 3$. It is clear that such a system of 1-cochains $\{c_{E'}\}$ is inductive if the system $\{x_{E'}\}$ is. We will do an involved Čech computation. Recall that \dot{S}_v^2 , $\dot{S}_v'^2$ are group homomorphisms

$$M((E'_{v'})^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3}) \to \prod_{w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}, w_{i_3} | v_F} M(E'_{w'_{i_1}} \otimes_{F_v} E'_{w'_{i_2}} \otimes_{F_v} E'_{w'_{i_2}}).$$

To show that $dc = \dot{S}_v^2(x) \cdot \dot{S}_v'^2(x)^{-1}$, we may focus on a fixed $(w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}, w_{i_3})$ -factor of the righthand side. In this factor, the differential of c is given by the six-term product

$$(1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},3}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},2}})(x) \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},3}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},2}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},3}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},2}} \otimes 1)(x) \\ \cdot (1 \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},3}} \otimes 1)(x)^{-1}.$$

The key fact here is that $x \in M(E_v^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ is a 2-cocycle, not just a 2-cochain. Thus, we have that the factor $(1 \otimes r_{w_{i_2,1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_2,3}} \otimes r_{w_{i_3,2}})(x)$ equals

$$(\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},3}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},2}})(x) \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},3}} \otimes 1)(x) \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},1}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1}.$$
 (3)
To see this note that

To see this, note that

 $(1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_3)(x) \cdot (\mathrm{id}_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_3)(x)^{-1} \cdot (\mathrm{id}_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_2 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_3)(x) \cdot (\mathrm{id}_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_3 \otimes 1)(x)^{-1} = 1$ (inside the group $M(E_v^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$), and now applying $(\mathrm{id}_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_1 \cdot \mathrm{id}_4 \otimes \mathrm{id}_3) \circ (r_{w_{i_2}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_1}} \otimes r_{w_{i_3}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_2}})$

to the above expression gives the desired equality. We will leave the checking of similar equalities to the reader throughout the proof. Note that the second factor in (3) cancels with the last factor in the main equation. Next, we may rewrite the first term of (3) as

$$(1 \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},2}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},2}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x).$$
(4)
We may also replace $(x_{i_{1},1} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{2},2}} \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)$ from the main equation by the expression

We may also replace $(r_{w_{i_1,1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_1,3}} \otimes r_{w_{i_2,2}} \otimes 1)(x)$ from the main equation by the expression

$$(\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},1}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{2},2}} \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x),$$

reducing us to showing the equality

$$(\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},2}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1} (\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},3}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},2}})(x)^{-1} = 1.$$
(5)

Replacing the third factor of (5) by the expression

 $(r_{w_{i_1,1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_1,3}} \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_3,2}})(x) \cdot (r_{w_{i_1,1}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_3,2}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_3,3}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (r_{w_{i_1,1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_1,2}} \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_3,3}})(x)$ reduces (5) to the equality

$$(\bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},2}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \otimes 1 \otimes r_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x)^{-1} \cdot (r_{w_{i_{1},1}} \cdot \bar{r}_{w_{i_{1},2}} \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{r}_{w_{i_{3},3}})(x) = 1,$$

s easily from the fact that x is a 2-cocycle.

which follows easily from the fact that x is a 2-cocycle.

2.3. Čech cohomology and projective systems. This is a short section collecting a few results concerning the way that Čech cohomology behaves with respect to projective systems of abelian group schemes which will be used repeatedly in the following. Let R, S be rings with a homomorphism $S \to R$ and let A be a commutative group scheme over R. We begin by recalling some gerbe-theoretic constructions:

Definition 2.25 ([Dil20], Definition 2.35). Fix a Čech 2-cocycle c of A taking values in the cover S/R, that is to say, $c \in A(S^{\bigotimes_R 3})$. Then we may define an A-gerbe as follows: take the fibered category $\mathcal{E}_c \to \operatorname{Sch}/R$ whose fiber over V is defined to be the category of pairs (T, ψ) , where T is a (right) $A_{V \times_R S}$ -torsor on $V \times_R S$ with A-action m (in the τ topology), along with an isomorphism of $A_{V \times_{\mathcal{B}}(S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} S)}$ -torsors $\psi \colon p_2^*T \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^*T$, called a *twisted gluing map*, satisfying the following "twisted gluing condition" on the $A_{V \times_R(S \otimes_R 3)}$ -torsor q_1^*T :

$$(p_{12}^*\psi) \circ (p_{23}^*\psi) \circ (p_{13}^*\psi)^{-1} = m_c,$$

where m_c denotes the automorphism of the torsor q_1^*T given by right-translation by c. A morphism $(T, \psi_T) \to (S, \psi_S)$ in \mathcal{E}_c lifting the morphism of F-schemes $V \xrightarrow{f} V'$ is a morphism of $A_{V \times S}$ torsors $T \xrightarrow{h} f^*S$ satisfying, on $V \times_F (S \otimes_R S)$, the relation $f^*\psi_S \circ p_2^*h = p_1^*h \circ \psi_T$. We will call

such a pair (T, ψ) in $\mathcal{E}_c(V)$ a *c*-twisted torsor over V when A is understood. We call \mathcal{E}_c the gerbe corresponding to c.

Recall the following functoriality property of the above gerbes:

Construction 2.26 ([Dil20], Construction 2.38). Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ be an *F*-morphism of commutative group schemes and $a, b \in A(S^{\bigotimes_R 3}), B(S^{\bigotimes_R 3})$ two Čech 2-cocycles such that [f(a)] = [b] in $\check{H}^2(S/R, B)$. Then for any $x \in B(S \otimes_R S)$ satisfying $d(x) \cdot b = f(a)$, we may define a morphism of $(\operatorname{Sch}/R)_{\tau}$ -stacks $\mathcal{E}_a \xrightarrow{\phi_{a,b,x}} \mathcal{E}_b$.

For any $V \in Ob(Sch/R)$, given a *a*-twisted torsor (T, ψ) over V, we define the *b*-twisted torsor $(T', \psi') =: \phi_{a,b,x}(T, \psi)$ over V as follows. Define the $B_{V \times_R S}$ torsor T' to be $T \times^{A_{V \times S}, f} B_{V \times S}$, and take the gluing map to be $\psi' := \overline{m_{x^{-1}} \circ \psi}$, where $\overline{m_{x^{-1}} \circ \psi}$ denotes the isomorphism of contracted products

$$p_2^*(T \times^{A_{V \times S}, f} B_{V \times S}) =$$

 $(p_2^*T) \times^{A_{V \times (S \otimes_R S)}, f} B_{V \times (S \otimes_R S)} \to (p_1^*T) \times^{A_{V \times (S \otimes_R S)}, f} B_{V \times (S \otimes_R S)} = p_1^*(T \times^{A_{V \times S}, f} B_{V \times S})$

induced by $(m_{x^{-1}} \circ \psi) \times \mathrm{id}_B$ (and we are implicitly identifying x with its image in $B(V \times_F (S \otimes_B \psi))$ S))).

One checks that any morphism $\varphi \colon (T_1, \psi_1) \to (T_2, \psi_2)$ of *a*-twisted torsors induces a morphism of the corresponding b-twisted torsors by means of the map on contracted products induced by $\varphi \times id$, giving the desired morphism of $(Sch/R)_{\tau}$ -stacks.

As in [Dil20], we adopt the following convention:

Convention 2.27. When discussing an abelian *R*-group scheme A and cover S/R, we will always assume that $\check{H}^1_{\text{fppf}}(S^{\bigotimes_R n}, A) = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$.

We now can move on to recalling inverse limits of gerbes, as discussed in [Dil20, §2.7]. Fix a system $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of commutative affine groups over R with transition maps $p_{n+1,n} \colon A_{n+1} \to A_n$ (defined over R) which are epimorphisms. We also assume that we have systems of elements $\{a_n \in$ $A_n(S^{\bigotimes_R 3})$ and $\{x_n \in A_n(S \otimes_R S)\}$ such that a_n are Čech 2-cocycles and $a_n \cdot dx_n = p_{n+1,n}(a_{n+1})$. This gives rise to a system of (fpqc) gerbes $\{\mathcal{E}_n := \mathcal{E}_{a_n} \to (\mathrm{Sch}/F)_{\mathrm{fpqc}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (abbreviated as just $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$) with morphisms of Sch/*R*-categories $\pi_{n+1,n} \colon \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{E}_n$, where $\pi_{n+1,n} \coloneqq \phi_{a_{n+1},a_n,x_n}$, as in Construction 2.26. We first prove a basic result:

Lemma 2.28. The natural map $\check{H}^i(S/R, A) \to \varprojlim_n \check{H}^i(S/R, A_n)$ is surjective for all *i*.

Proof. For i = 0 this is trivial, so assume $i \ge 1$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of Čech *i*-cochains representing the classes in $H^i(S/R, A_n)$. By assumption, there is some $a_{2,1} \in A_1(S^{\bigotimes_R i})$ such that $d(a_{2,1})p_{2,1}(x_2) = x_1$. We may lift $a_{2,1}$ to $\tilde{a}_{2,1} \in A_2(S^{\bigotimes_R i})$ because of Convention 2.27, and then replacing x_2 by $d(\tilde{a}_{2,1})x_2$ gives a cohomologous element in $A_2(S^{\bigotimes_R(i+1)})$ whose projection to A_1 is x_1 . Continuing this procedure inductively gives a *i*-cocycle in $A(S^{\bigotimes_R(i+1)})$ whose image in each $\dot{H}^i(S/R, A_n)$ is $[x_n]$. \square

Definition 2.29. Define the *inverse limit* of the system $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$, denoted by $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_n \to (\operatorname{Sch}/R)_{\operatorname{fpqc}}$, to be the category with fiber over $U \in Ob(Sch/F)$ given by the systems $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $X_n \in \mathcal{E}_n(U)$ such that $\pi_{n+1,n}(X_{n+1}) = X_n$ for all n, and morphisms $(X_n) \to (Y_n)$ given by a system of morphisms $\{f_n: X_n \to Y_n\}$ such that $\pi_{n+1,n} f_{n+1} = f_n$ for all n. We have a compatible system of canonical morphisms of Sch/*R*-categories $\pi_m : \varprojlim_{17} \mathcal{E}_n \to \mathcal{E}_m$ for all *m*.

Recall from [Dil20, Proposition 2.39], that (assuming convention 2.27), the map from Čech 2cocycles of S/R valued in $A := \varprojlim_n A_n$ to A-gerbes split over S induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of such gerbes and $\check{H}^2(S/R, A)$. It is proved in [Dil20, Lemma 2.61] (which is stated for the cover \overline{F}/F , but whose proof works for any cover S/R and system $\{A_n\}$ as above) that if each A_n satisfies Convention 2.27 then so does A. In light of this, we obtain the following result from Definition 2.29:

Proposition 2.30. ([Dil20, Proposition 6.1.1]) With the setup as above, the category $\mathcal{E} := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{E}_n \to (Sch/R)_{fpqc}$ can be given the structure of an fpqc A-gerbe, split over S. Moreover, the natural map

$$\check{H}^i(S/R, A) \to \varprojlim_n \check{H}^i(S/R, A_n)$$

sends the class in $\check{H}^2(S/R, A)$ corresponding to \mathcal{E} to the element $([a_n]) \in \varprojlim_n \check{H}^2(S/R, A_n)$.

We now want to give a result which characterizes when the above surjections $\check{H}^i(S/R, A) \rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \check{H}^i(S/R, A_n)$ are isomorphisms.

Proposition 2.31. Fix $i \ge 1$; if we have $\varprojlim_n^{(1)} \check{H}^{i-1}(S/R, A_n) = 0$ and $\varprojlim_n^{(1)} B^{i-1}(n) = 0$, where $B^{i-1}(n) \in C^{i-1}(S/R, A_n)$ is the subgroup of (i-2)-coboundaries (the group of (-1)-coboundaries is defined to be trivial), then the natural map $\check{H}^i(S/R, A) \to \varprojlim_n \check{H}^i(S/R, A_n)$ is injective.

Proof. We denote the differential $A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R i}) \to A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R (i+1)})$ (which is a group homomorphism) by $d^{(k)}$. First, note that since $\varprojlim_k^{(1)} \check{H}^{i-1}(S/R, A_k) = 0$, the natural map

$$\lim_{k} [A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R i})/B^{i-1}(k)] \to \lim_{k} [(A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R i})/B^{i-1}(k))/(\check{H}^{i-1}(S/R, A_k))]$$

is surjective. Moreover, the natural map $A(S^{\bigotimes_R i}) = \varprojlim_k A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R i}) \to \varprojlim_k [A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R i})/B^{i-1}(k)]$ is surjective, since we assume that $\varinjlim_n B^{i-1}(n) = 0$.

Now by left-exactness of the inverse-limit functor, we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{k} \frac{A_{k}(S^{\bigotimes_{R}i})/B^{i-1}(k)}{\check{H}^{i-1}(S/R,A_{k})} \xrightarrow{\varprojlim} A(S^{\bigotimes_{R}(i+1)}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{k} \frac{A_{k}(S^{\bigotimes_{R}(i+1)})}{d^{(k)}(A_{k}(S^{\bigotimes_{R}i}))}$$

In particular, if $x \in A(S^{\bigotimes_R(i+1)})$ is such that its image in $\lim_{k \to k} \frac{A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R(i+1)})}{d^{(k)}(A_k(S^{\bigotimes_R i}))}$ is zero (which is the hypothesis of the Proposition), then it lies in the image of $\overline{d} := \lim_{k \to k} d^{(k)}$. But now the diagram



commutes, and since the vertical composition is surjective and such an x lies in the image of the lower-diagonal map, it lies in the image of the upper-diagonal map, giving the desired result. \Box

3. The profinite group $P_{\dot{V}}$

This section concerns the construction of the pro-algebraic group P_{V} . For a fixed a finite Galois extension E/F and $S \subset V$ a finite set of places of F, we have two common conditions that we want S to satisfy:

Conditions 3.1. (1) S contains all of the places that ramify in E

(2) Every ideal class of E contains an ideal with support in S_E , i.e., the group $Cl(O_{E,S})$ is trivial.

As in the previous section, we use H^i as a short-hand for H^i_{fppf} .

3.1. Tate duality for finite multiplicative Z. The goal of this subsection is to construct an analogue of the global Tate duality isomorphism from [Tat66] for the cohomology group $H^2_{\text{fppf}}(F, Z) = \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, Z)$, where Z is a finite multiplicative group over F. Temporarily fix a finite set of places $S \subset V$ a multiplicative group M over $O_{F,S}$ split over E; denote $X^*(M)$ by X, and $X_*(M)(=X_*(M^\circ))$ by Y.

For $v \in S$ a fixed place, we denote by $\operatorname{Res}_{E,v}(M)$ the multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -group split over the finite étale extension $O_{E,S}$ determined by the $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -module $X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\{v\}_E] =: X[\{v\}_E]$ (via the correspondence between finitely-generated $\operatorname{Aut}_{O_{F,S}}(O_{E,S}) = \Gamma_{E/F}$ -modules over \mathbb{Z} and multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -groups split over $O_{E,S}$ given by [Gil21, §17]). We set $\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(M) := \prod_{v \in S} \operatorname{Res}_{E,v}(M)$, another multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -group split over $O_{E,S}$, with character group $X[S_E]$. Note that we have an embedding $M \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(M)$ via the augmentation map on characters $X[S_E] \to X$; denote the character module of the cokernel of this embedding by $X[S_E]_0$ (the kernel of the augmentation map).

Global Tate duality for tori (as in [Tat66]) shows that there exists a class

$$\alpha_3(E,S) \in H^2(\Gamma_{E/F}, \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)}{\mathbb{G}_m}(O_{E,S}))$$

such that cup product with this class induces for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ an isomorphism

$$\widehat{H}^{i-2}(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y[S_E]_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{H}^i(\Gamma_{E/F}, T(O_{E,S}))$$

where to make sense of the relevant cup product pairing, we are making the identifications

$$Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[S_E]_0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, \mathbb{Z}[S_E]_0) = \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{E,S}\operatorname{-gp}}(\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)}{\mathbb{G}_m}, T)$$

We no longer fix T and S as above. Let Z be a finite multiplicative group defined over F, set $A = X^*(Z)$ and $A^{\vee} = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. As mentioned above, our temporary goal is to construct a functorial isomorphism

$$\Theta: \lim_{E',S'} \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E'/F}, A^{\vee}[S'_{E'}]_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(F, Z),$$

where the limit is over all finite subsets $S' \subset V$ and finite Galois extensions E'/F. Choose a finite Galois extension E/F splitting Z and a finite full subset $S \subset V$ such that S satisfies Conditions 3.1 with respect to E and the following additional condition:

Conditions 3.2. For each $w \in V_E$, there exists $w' \in S_E$ such that $Stab(w, \Gamma_{E/F}) = Stab(w', \Gamma_{E/F})$.

It is straightforward to check that such a pair (E, S) always exists, and that if $S \subseteq S'$ is finite and full, then it also satisfies Conditions 3.1 and 3.2 (with respect to E).

Note that for n a multiple of $\exp(Z)$, we have a functorial isomorphism

$$\Phi_{E,S,n} \colon A^{\vee}[S_E]_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{E,S}}(\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}, Z) = \operatorname{Hom}_{O_S}(\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}, Z), \tag{6}$$

which sends the map $g \in A^{\vee}[S_E]_0$ to the homomorphism induced by the map $A \to (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[S_E]_0$ defined by

$$a \mapsto \sum_{w \in S_E} ng(w)(a) \cdot [w],$$
(7)

where g(w) denotes the A^{\vee} -coefficient of [w] in g.

Fix a cofinal sequence $\{n_i\}$ in \mathbb{N}^{\times} and denote the associated cofinal prime-to-p sequence by $n'_i := n_i/p^{m_i}$. Identifying $\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S)$ with $\operatorname{Maps}(S_E, O_S^{\times})$ in the obvious way, we may pick functions

$$k'_i \colon \operatorname{Maps}(S_E, O_S^{\times}) \to \operatorname{Maps}(S_E, O_S^{\times})$$

such that $k'_i(x)^{n'_i} = x$ and $k'_{i+1}(x)^{n'_{i+1}/n'_i} = k'_i(x)$. Under the bijection between Čech cochains in $\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})$ and $C^{n-1}(\Gamma_S, \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S))$ (via Lemma 2.1) this also defines an analogous map

$$k_i' \colon \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n})$$

for all n. In the above we are using the fact that O_S^{\times} is n-divisible for n coprime to p (see [NSW08, Proposition 8.3.4]).

As in [Dil20], we want to extend this to *p*-power roots. First note that the map

$$\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_{E,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n}) \to \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)}{\mathbb{G}_m}(O_{E,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}n})$$

is surjective, since $H^1(O_{E,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} n}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, by combining the proof of Lemma 2.1 with the fact that $H^1(O_{E,S}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, since $O_{E,S}$ is a principal ideal domain. It follows that we may lift a cocycle representing $\alpha_3(E, S) \in \check{H}^2(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}, \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)}{\mathbb{G}_m})$ to an element $c_{E,S} \in \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_{E,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} 3})$. We may then take

$$k'_{i}(c_{E,S}) \in C^{2,2}(O_{S}/O_{F,S}, O_{E,S}, \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_{m})) := \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_{m})(O_{S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}),$$

and the right-hand side may be interpreted explicitly as

$$\prod_{w\in S_E} (O_S \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S})_w^*.$$

As in the local case, it is straightforward to check that for every $x \in O_S \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}$ and power p^{m_i} , we may find a p^{m_i} th root $x^{(1/p^m)} \in O_S^{\text{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}$ such that the resulting system of roots satisfies $(x^{(1/p^{m_{i+1}})})^{p^{m_{i+1}}/p^{m_i}} = x^{(1/p^{m_i})}$. Applying this across all $w \in S_E$, we may define an analogous map

$$(-)^{(1/p^{m_i})} \colon \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S^{\operatorname{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}).$$

We then set $\alpha_{p,i}(E,S)$ to be the image of $(k'_i(c_{E,S}))^{(1/p^{m_i})}$ in $[\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m]((O_S^{\operatorname{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}3})$. We then obtain

$$d\alpha_{p,i}(E,S) \in Z^{3,2}(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, O_{E,S}, \frac{\text{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_{n_i})}{\mu_{n_i}}),$$

and define the map

$$\Theta_{E,S} \colon \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0) \to \check{H}^2(O_S^{\mathsf{perf}}/O_{F,S}, Z),$$
$$g \mapsto d\alpha_i(E, S) \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} g,$$

where the unbalanced cup product is as defined in [Dil20, §4.2], the pairing

$$\underline{A^{\vee}[S_E]_0} \times [\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_{n_i})}{\mu_{n_i}}]_{\mathcal{O}_S^{\operatorname{perf}}} \to Z_{\mathcal{O}_S^{\operatorname{perf}}}$$

is given by (6) and we choose n_i so that it is divisible by $\exp(Z)$. One checks that this map does not depend on the choice of n_i .

As in [Kal18], we have the following important lemma which connects the above map to the global Tate duality pairing for tori discussed above (whose corresponding isomorphisms for various tori and Tate cohomology groups will all be denoted by "TN", for *Tate-Nakayama*):

Lemma 3.3. Let T be a torus defined over F and split over E, and let $Z \to T$ be an injection with cokernel \overline{T} , all viewed as $O_{F,S}$ groups in the usual way. We write $Y = X_*(T)$ and $\overline{Y} = X_*(\overline{T})$. Then the following diagram commutes, and its columns are exact.

$$\begin{split} \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y[S_E]_0) & \xrightarrow{TN} \check{H}^1(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}, T) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}^1(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, T) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, \bar{Y}[S_E]_0) & \xrightarrow{TN} \check{H}^1(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}, \bar{T}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}^1(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, \bar{T}) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{E,S}} & \check{H}^2(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, Z) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \widehat{H}^0(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y[S_E]_0) & \xrightarrow{-TN} \check{H}^2(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}, T) & \longrightarrow \check{H}^2(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, T) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \widehat{H}^0(\Gamma_{E/F}, \bar{Y}[S_E]_0) & \xrightarrow{-TN} \check{H}^2(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}, \bar{T}) & \longrightarrow \check{H}^2(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, \bar{T}) \end{split}$$

Proof. The right-hand isomorphisms on the first two lines follow from the fact that all T-torsors over $O_{F,S}$ are trivial over $O_{E,S}$. The "connecting homomorphism"

$$\check{H}^1(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S},\bar{T}) \to \check{H}^2(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S},Z)$$

is the standard connecting homomorphism in Čech cohomology (see for example [Dil20, §2.2]), and the right-hand column is exact because, applying the isomorphisms $\check{H}^i(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(O_{F,S}, M)$ for i = 1, 2 and $M = T, \overline{T}, Z$, the resulting two-column diagram commutes, by functoriality of the Čech-to-derived comparison maps and in [Ros19, Proposition E.2.1]. From here, the identical argument as in [Kal18] gives the result, using the fundamental properties of the unbalanced cup product on fppf cohomology discussed in [Dil20, \$4.2].

Corollary 3.4. The map $\Theta_{E,S}$ is a functorial injection which is independent of the choices of $c_{E,S}$, k_i , and $(-)^{(1/p^{m_i})}$.

Proof. As in the proof of [Kal18, Proposition 3.2.4], we may choose \overline{Y} to be a free $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ module, which implies that the connecting homomorphism of the left-hand column is injective, and $\Theta_{E,S}$ is the restriction of "-TN", which is an isomorphism that does not depend on the choices of $c_{E,S}$, k_i , or $(-)^{(1/p^{m_i})}$.

Recall the local analogue of $\Theta_{E,S}$ which, if $\dot{v} \in S_{F_S}$ with restriction to F (and to E, by abuse of notation) denoted by v and $c_v \in \mathbb{G}_m(E_v^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ represents the canonical class of $H^2(\Gamma_{E_v/F_v}, E_v^*)$, is defined (in [Dil20, §4.3]) by

$$\Theta_{E_v,n_i} \colon \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E_v/F_v}, A^{\vee}) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, Z_{F_v}),$$
$$g \mapsto d\alpha_v \underset{E_v/F_v}{\sqcup} \Phi_{n_i}(g),$$

where $\alpha_v \in \overline{F_v} \otimes_{F_v} \overline{F_v} \otimes_{F_v} E_v$ is an n_i th-root of c_v , chosen in an analogous way to $c_{E,S}$ above. This is also a functorial injection, independent of the choices of i, c_v , and α_v .

To compare this local construction to the above global analogue, first note that we have a homomorphism of Γ_{E_v/F_v} -modules $A^{\vee}[S_E]_0 \to A^{\vee}$ given by mapping onto the *v*-factor, as well as an $O_{F,S}$ -algebra homomorphism $(O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} 3} \to \overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3}$ determined by \dot{v} , giving a group homomorphism $Z((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} 3}) \to Z(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$. Then [Kal18, Lemma 3.2.6] shows that the resulting square

commutes, where to obtain the right-hand vertical map we are using the fact that the homomorphism $Z((O_S^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}3}) \to Z(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v}3})$ preserves Čech cocycles and cochains, which is straightforward to check.

Following [Kal18, §3.2], we now collect some basic functoriality properties of the map $\Theta_{E,S}$. The proofs are identical to the proofs loc. cit, so we state the results and refer to [Kal18].

Lemma 3.5. The natural map $\check{H}^2(O_S^{perf}/O_S, Z) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, Z)$ is injective.

Proof. The proof of [Kal18, Lemma 3.2.7] works verbatim here, replacing $H^i(\Gamma_S, M(O_S))$ with $\check{H}^i(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, M)$ for $M = T, \bar{T}, Z$ and i = 1, 2.

Let K/F be a finite Galois extension containing E, and let S' be a finite set of places of F satisfying Conditions 3.1 and 3.2 with respect to K/F. Then [Kal18] defines two maps on Tate cohomology, the first from $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0)$ to $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S'_E]_0)$ induced by the inclusion $S \subseteq S'$, and the second map, denoted by !, from $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S'_E]_0)$ to $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{K/F}, A^{\vee}[S'_K]_0)$ given by choosing a section $s \colon S'_E \to S'_K$ of the natural projection $S'_K \to S'_E$ and then defining $s_1 \colon \mathbb{Z}[S'_E]_0 \to \mathbb{Z}[S'_K]_0$ by sending [w] to [s(w)]; it is shown in [Kal18, Lemma 3.1.7] that passing

to -1-degree Tate cohomology (and tensoring with A^{\vee}) gives the claimed well-defined homomorphism. Now we have the analogue of [Kal18, Lemma 3.2.8]:

Lemma 3.6. Let *K* and *S'* be as above. Then both of the above maps are injective, and fit into the commutative diagrams:

Proof. The proof of [Kal18, Lemma 3.2.8] works verbatim here, replacing the diagram of Lemma 3.2.5 loc. cit. with the diagram from our Lemma 3.3. \Box

We then get the main result of this subsection, which characterizes the cohomology group $H^2(F, Z)$:

Proposition 3.7. The maps $\Theta_{E,S}$ splice to a functorial isomorphism

$$\Theta \colon \varinjlim_{E} \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E^{(E)}]_0) \to H^2(F, Z),$$

where the limit is over all finite Galois extensions E/F splitting Z and $S^{(E)}$ denotes an arbitrary choice of places of V satisfying Conditions 3.1 and 3.2 for E/F such that if K/E/F, we have $S^{(E)} \subset S^{(K)}$ (by Lemma 3.6, the above map does not depend on the choices of the $S^{(E)}$'s).

Proof. This proof closely follows the proof of [Kal18, Corollary 3.2.9]. It is enough to prove the result with $H^2(F, Z)$ replaced by $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, Z)$. By Corollary 3.4 and Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we obtain a functorial injective homomorphism Θ as claimed, which is independent of the choices of (appropriately chosen) $S^{(E)}$, so all that remains to prove is surjectivity.

For any $h \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, Z)$, we may find E'/F finite such that $h \in \check{H}^2(E'/F, Z)$; denote the Galois closure of F in E' by E, so that $E' = E \cdot F_m$ for some unique $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, since $E'^{\bigotimes_F 3} = \varinjlim_{S^{(E)}} O_{E',S^{(E)}}^{\bigotimes_{F,S^{(E)}} 3}$, where the direct limit is over all finite $S^{(E)} \subset V$ satisfying the required conditions with respect to E/F, there is some finite $S^{(E)}$ satisfying the required conditions with respect to E/F, there is some finite $S^{(E)} \in Z(O_{E',S^{(E)}}^{\bigotimes_{F,S^{(E)}} 3})$ with image in $Z(E'^{\bigotimes_F 3}) \to \check{H}^2(E'/F, Z)$ equal to h. We may enlarge $S^{(E)}$ even further to assume that $h_{E',S^{(E)}} \in Z^2(O_{E',S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}}, Z)$, since the Čech differential on $Z(O_{E',S^{(E)}}^{\bigotimes_{F,S^{(E)}} 3})$ is the same as that of $Z(E'^{\bigotimes_F 3})$, and we may use finitely many elements of F and E' to encode the fact that $dh_{E',S^{(E)}} = 1$ in $Z(E'^{\bigotimes_F 4})$. Denote by $\bar{h}_{E',S^{(E)}}$ the image of $h_{E',S^{(E)}}$ in $\check{H}^2(O_{E',S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}}, Z)$.

Once we have such an $\bar{h}_{E',S^{(E)}}$, choose an $O_{F,S}$ -torus $Z \hookrightarrow T$ with $\bar{T} := T/Z$ such that $\bar{Y} = X_*(\bar{T})$ is free over $\Gamma_{E/F}$, and denote the image of $\bar{h}_{E',S^{(E)}}$ in $\check{H}^2(O_{E',S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}},T)$ by $\bar{h}_{E',S^{(E)},T}$. Note that we have a commutative diagram of isomorphisms from Lemma 2.14:

$$\begin{split} \check{H}^2(O_{E,S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}},T) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \check{H}^2(O_{E',S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}},T) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \check{H}^2(O_{E,S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}},\bar{T}) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \check{H}^2(O_{E',S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}},\bar{T}), \end{split}$$

and so we may pick a (unique) preimage, denoted by $\bar{h}_{E,S^{(E)},T}$, of $\bar{h}_{E',S^{(E)},T}$ in $\check{H}^2(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S},T)$, and by the commutativity of the diagram, the image of $\bar{h}_{E,S^{(E)},T}$ in $\check{H}^2(O_{E,S^{(E)}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}},\bar{T})$ is zero. We may thus lift $-TN^{-1}(\bar{h}_{E,S^{(E)},T}) \in \hat{H}^0(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y[S_E^{(E)}]_0)$ to some $g \in \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E^{(E)}]_0)$, and then the same argument as in [Kal18, Corollary 3.2.9] shows that

$$\Theta_{E,S^{(E)}}(g) \in \check{H}^2(O_{S^{(E)}}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S^{(E)}}, Z)$$

has image in $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, Z)$ equal to h, as desired (even though we need to take the image of $\bar{h}_{E',S^{(E)},T}$ in $\check{H}^2(O_{E,S}/O_{F,S},T)$, the argument of [Kal18] uses that the image of their $\Theta_{E,S^{(E)}}(g)$ in $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F,T)$ is the same as that of h, which is still true for our g obtained via the above adjustment for non-separability).

3.2. The groups $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$. Let E/F be a finite Galois extension, $S \subset V$ a finite full set of places, and $\dot{S}_{E} \subseteq S_{E}$ a set of lifts for the places in S. When working with a multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -group M, we will frequently work with $\check{H}^{2}(O_{S}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, M)$ rather than $H^{2}_{\text{fppf}}(O_{F,S}, Z)$; these two groups are canonically isomorphic by Corollary 2.12. We assume that the pair (S, \dot{S}_{E}) satisfies the following:

Conditions 3.8. (1) S contains all places that ramify in E.

- (2) Every ideal class of E contains an ideal with support in S_E (i.e., $Cl(O_{E,S}) = 0$).
- (3) For every $w \in V_E$, there exists $w' \in S_E$ with $\operatorname{Stab}(w, \Gamma_{E/F}) = \operatorname{Stab}(w', \Gamma_{E/F})$.
- (4) For every $\sigma \in \Gamma_{E/F}$, there exists $\dot{v} \in \dot{S}_E$ such that $\sigma \dot{v} = \dot{v}$.

Pairs (S, \dot{S}_E) satisfying these conditions always exist, and if (S', \dot{S}'_E) contains (S, \dot{S}_E) (in the obvious sense) and the latter satisfies these conditions, then so does the former. For notational ease, denote the group $\frac{\text{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}$ introduced in the previous subsection by $\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]$. For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the group $\text{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]_{O_{E,S}})$ is a multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -group split over $O_{E,S}$, and has character group given by the kernel of the map $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S_E] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ sending $[(\sigma, w)]$ to $[\sigma]$, with $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -action induced by the obvious diagonal one on the set $\Gamma_{E/F} \times S_E$. We now define two further groups that come from this one: We first set

$$P_{E,S,n} := \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(R_{E,S}[n]_{O_{E,S}})}{\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]},$$

where the $\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]$ is embedded diagonally.

To define the second group, we first define the group $N_{\dot{S}_E}[n]$ to be the multiplicative $O_{F,S}$ -group split over $O_{E,S}$ with character group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\dot{S}_E]$, where \dot{S}_E has the trivial $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -action; note that this is isomorphic as an $O_{F,S}$ -group to $\mu_n^{\#\dot{S}_E}$. There is an obvious surjective homomorphism of $\Gamma_{E/F}$ modules $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[S_E] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\dot{S}_E]$ induced by sending a place w to the unique $\dot{w} \in \dot{S}_E$ projecting down to the same place of S. Thus, we get an embedding $N_{\dot{S}_E}[n] \hookrightarrow R_{E,S}[n]$. Moreover, since the augmentation map $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[S_E] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ factors through $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\dot{S}_E]$, the diagonal embedding $\mu_n \hookrightarrow R_{E,S}[n]$ factors through $N_{\dot{S}_E}[n]$, and hence we get an embedding

$$\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_E}[n] := \frac{N_{\dot{S}_E}[n]}{\mu_n} \hookrightarrow \bar{R}_{E,S}[n].$$

Passing to Weil restrictions, we get another embedding of $O_{F,S}$ -groups

$$\operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{E}}[n]_{O_{E,S}}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]_{O_{E,S}}).$$

It is not hard to verify (e.g., using character modules) that, via the above embedding of $O_{F,S}$ -groups, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{E}}[n]_{O_{E,S}}) \times_{\operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]_{O_{E,S}})} \bar{R}_{E,S}[n] = \bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{E}}[n],$$

where $\bar{R}_{E,S}[n] \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\bar{R}_{E,S}[n]_E)$ is the diagonal embedding, as is the induced inclusion $\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_E}[n] \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_E}[n]_{O_{E,S}})$. The upshot is that we get an inclusion of finite $O_{F,S}$ -groups

$$\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}(N_{\dot{S}_E}[n]_{O_{E,S}})}{\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_E}[n]} \hookrightarrow P_{E,S,n},$$

and we define the group $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ to be the quotient of these two groups. It is straightforward to check that, under the correspondence between tori and character modules, these constructions agree with those made in [Kal18, §3.3]. As another piece of notation, we set $X^*(P_{E,S,n}) =:$ $M_{E,S,n}$ and $X^*(P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}) =: M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$. In particular, $M_{E,S,n} = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S_{E}]_{0,0}$, where the subscript denotes the elements lying in the kernels of both augmentation maps $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S_{E}] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[S_{E}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S_{E}] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[S_{E}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S_{E}] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ and $M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ is the subgroup of elements $x \in M_{E,S,n}$ such that $x[(\sigma, w)] = 0$ if $w \notin \sigma(\dot{S}_{E})$.

We have the following purely character-theoretic lemma from [Kal18]:

Lemma 3.9. Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ -module which is finite as an abelian group.

(1) If exp(A) divides n, then we may define a homomorphism

$$\Psi_{E,S,n} \colon \operatorname{Hom}(A, M_{E,S,n})^{\Gamma} \to \widehat{Z}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0), H \mapsto h,$$

where $h := \sum_{w \in S_E} h_w[w]$, with $h_w : A \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $h_w(a) = H(a)[(e, w)]$ (identifying $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ via multiplying the left-hand side by n and taking the residue modulo n). Furthermore the above map is an isomorphism of finite abelian groups, functorial in A, which restricts to an isomorphism

$$Hom(A, M_{E, \dot{S}_{E}, n})^{\Gamma} \to A^{\vee}[\dot{S}_{E}]_{0} \cap \widehat{Z}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_{E}]_{0}).$$

(2) For $n \mid m$, the isomorphisms $\Psi_{E,S,n}$ and $\Psi_{E,S,m}$ are compatible with the natural inclusion $M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n} \to M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},m}$. Setting $M_{E,S} := \varinjlim_{n} M_{E,S,n}$, we thus obtain an isomorphism

$$\Psi_{E,S} \colon \operatorname{Hom}(A, M_{E,S})^{\Gamma} \to \widehat{Z}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0).$$

(3) The map

$$A^{\vee}[\dot{S}_E]_0 \cap \widehat{Z}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0) \to \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E/F}, A^{\vee}[S_E]_0)$$

is surjective.

Proof. See [Kal18, Lemma 3.3.2.].

Now for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and A a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ -module which is finite as an abelian group with corresponding $O_{F,S}$ -group Z such that $\exp(A)$ divides n, we obtain a map

$$\Theta_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n}}^{P} \colon \operatorname{Hom}(P_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{E,S} \circ \Psi_{E,S,n}} \check{H}^{2}(O_{S}^{\operatorname{perf}}/O_{F,S}, Z),$$
(8)

note that this map is functorial in the group Z. Now for $A = M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$, we have the canonical element id of the left-hand side of (8), and we define $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n} \in \check{H}^{2}(O_{S}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n})$ to be its image.

Note that for *n* dividing *m*, the natural inclusion of character modules $M_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n}} \to M_{E,\dot{S}_{E,m}}$ induces a surjection of $O_{F,S}$ -groups $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E,m}} \to P_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n}}$. We have the following result about how the elements $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n}}$ change as one varies *n*:

Lemma 3.10. For $n \mid m$, the induced map $\check{H}^2(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E,m}) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(O_S^{perf}/O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n})$ maps $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E,m}$ to $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}$.

Proof. After invoking the functoriality of $\Theta_{E,S}$, the argument is purely character-theoretic, and thus the proof of the analogous result (Lemma 3.3.3) in [Kal18] carries over verbatim to this setting.

We will now see how the groups $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ behave when we vary the field extension E/F. For (S', \dot{S}'_{K}) satisfying Conditions 3.8 with respect to the finite Galois extension K/F and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we write

$$(E, \dot{S}_E, n) < (K, \dot{S}'_K, m)$$

when K contains $E, S \subseteq S'$, and $\dot{S}_E \subseteq (\dot{S}'_K)_E$. Note that given $E, (S, \dot{S}_E)$, and K, one can always find such a pair (S', \dot{S}'_K) . For $(E, \dot{S}_E, n) < (K, \dot{S}'_K, m)$, we may define a homomorphism of $\Gamma_{K/F}$ -modules from $M_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}$ to $M_{K,\dot{S}'_K,m}$ (with inflated action on the left-hand side) given by

$$\sum_{(\sigma,w)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S_E} a_{\sigma,w}[(\sigma,w)] \mapsto \sum_{(\gamma,u)} a_{\bar{\gamma},u_E}[(\gamma,u)],$$

where the right-hand sum is over all pairs (γ, u) in $\Gamma_{K/F} \times S'_K$ such that $\gamma^{-1}u \in \dot{S}'_K \cap S_K$, and $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the image of γ in $\Gamma_{E/F}$. Again, we get the following result from [Kal18] (Lemma 3.3.4):

Lemma 3.11. For any $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -module A which is a finite abelian group with $exp(A) \mid n$, the following diagram commutes

where the left-hand vertical homomorphism is induced by the map from $M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ to $M_{K,\dot{S}'_{K},n}$ discussed in the above paragraph.

According to [Kal18, Lemma 3.3.5], we get the hoped-for coherence between the canonical classes $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ discussed above:

Lemma 3.12. The homomorphism $\check{H}^2(O_{S'}^{perf}/O_{F,S'}, P_{K,\dot{S}'_K,n}) \to \check{H}^2(O_{S'}^{perf}/O_{F,S'}, (P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n})_{O_{F,S'}})$ maps $\xi_{K,\dot{S}'_K,n}$ to the image of $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}$ under the inflation map

$$\check{H}^{2}(O_{S}^{perf}/O_{S}, P_{E, \dot{S}_{E}, n}) \to \check{H}^{2}(O_{S'}^{perf}/O_{S'}, (P_{E, \dot{S}_{E, n}})_{O_{F, S'}}).$$

Moreover, it is straightforward to check that for $n \mid m$, the following square commutes:



Fix a system of quadruples $(E_i, S_i, \dot{S}_{E_i}, n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that (S_i, \dot{S}_i) satisfies Conditions 3.8 with respect to the finite Galois extension E_i/F , the E_i form an exhaustive tower of finite Galois extensions of F, the S_i form an exhaustive tower of finite subsets of V, the n_i form a cofinal system in \mathbb{N}^{\times} , we have the containment $\dot{S}_i \subseteq (\dot{S}_{i+1})_{E_i}$ for all i, and $n_i \mid n_{i+1}$ for all i. Such a system evidently exists. Note that $\dot{V} := \varprojlim_i \dot{S}_i$ is a subset of $V_{F^{sep}}$ of lifts of V, and the group

$$P_{V}^{\cdot} := \varprojlim_{i} P_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}}$$

is a profinite algebraic group independent of the choice of system $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ which carries the natural structure of a $\varinjlim_i O_{F,S_i} = F$ -scheme. Note that for any finite *F*-group *Z*, we obtain from the maps $\Theta_{F_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}^P$ (and Lemma 3.11) a homomorphism

$$\Theta^P_{\dot{V}} \colon \operatorname{Hom}(P_{\dot{V}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, Z) (= H^2(F, Z)),$$

which factors through the homomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}(P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i},Z)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i}} \check{H}^2(O_{S_i}^{\operatorname{perf}}/O_{F,S_i},Z) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F,Z) \tag{9}$$

for all sufficiently large i, and hence is surjective, since we may choose i with $\exp(Z) \mid n_i$ and invoke Lemma 3.9 and Proposition 3.7 to deduce the surjectivity of the map (9) for all sufficiently large j > i.

From [Kal18, Lemma 3.3.6], we have the following alternative characterization of $\text{Hom}_F(P_{\dot{V}}, Z)$ for Z a finite multiplicative F-group:

Lemma 3.13. Let Z be a finite multiplicative F-group and $A = X^*(Z)$. Let $A^{\vee}[\dot{V}]_0$ denote the kernel of the augmentation map $A^{\vee}[\dot{V}] \to A^{\vee}$. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$Hom_F(P_{\dot{V}}, Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\vee}[\dot{V}]_0$$

We conclude this subsection by discussing some local-global compatibility regarding $P_{\dot{V}}$ and its local analogues u_v from [Dil20]. For a fixed place $v \in \dot{V}$, recall the multiplicative F_v -groups

$$u_{E_v/F_v,n} := \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E_v/F_v}(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}, u_v := \varprojlim_{E_v/F_v,n} u_{E_v/F_v,n},$$

where the former groups are finite, and the latter group is profinite. For more details, see [Kal16, §3.2] or [Dil20, §3.1]. For Z a finite multiplicative F_v -group with $\exp(Z) \mid n$, denote the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{F_v}(u_{E_v/F_v,n},Z) \to \widehat{Z}^{-1}(\Gamma_{E_v/F_v},A^{\vee})$$

by $\Psi_{E_{v,n}}$ —these are the local analogues of our maps $\Psi_{E,S,n}$ (see [Dil20, §3.1] for an explicit description).

We now define a localization map

$$\operatorname{loc}_{v}^{P} \colon u_{v} \to (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_{v}}$$

for a fixed $v \in \dot{V}$. Fix E/F a finite Galois extension along with a triple (S, \dot{S}_E, n) such that (S, \dot{S}_E) satisfies Conditions 3.8 with respect to E/F. Then if $I_{E_v/F_v,n}$ denotes the character group of $u_{E_v/F_v,n}$ (which is just $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_v/F_v}]_0$), we may define a map

$$\operatorname{loc}_{v}^{M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}}\colon M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}\to I_{E_{v}/F_{v},n},$$

given by

$$H = \sum_{(\sigma,w)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S_E} c_{\sigma,w}[(\sigma,w)] \mapsto \sum_{(\sigma,v),\sigma\in\Gamma_{E_v/F_v}} c_{\sigma,v}[\sigma] := H_v$$

This is a well-defined homomorphism of Γ_{E_v/F_v} -modules, and hence induces a morphism of F_v -group schemes $\operatorname{loc}_v^{P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}}: u_{E_v/F_v,n} \to (P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n})_{F_v}$. It is clear that these morphism glue as we range over all 4-tuples $(E_i, S_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i)$, so that we get an induced homomorphism of profinite F_v -groups $\operatorname{loc}_v^P: u_v \to (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}$, as desired.

For a finite F-group Z, there is a local analogue of the map Θ_{V}^{P} : Hom_F $(P_{V}, Z) \to \check{H}^{2}(\overline{F}/F, Z)$ constructed above, which we denote by

$$\Theta_v \colon \operatorname{Hom}_{F_v}(u_v, Z) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, Z)$$

and is defined by $\varprojlim_i (\Theta_{(E_i)v,n_i} \circ \Psi_{(E_i)v,n_i})$ (see our §3.1 for the definition of the $\Theta_{E_v,n}$ -maps). The following result, once again from [Kal18], shows that these local maps agree with the global map Θ_{V}^{P} after localization:

Lemma 3.14. For E/F finite Galois splitting Z, (S, \dot{S}_E) satisfying Conditions 3.8 with respect to $E, n \in \mathbb{N}$ a multiple of exp(Z), and $\dot{v} \in \dot{V}$ (with $\dot{v}_F, \dot{v}_E =: v$, by abuse of notation), the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Hom}_{F}(P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n},Z) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}^{P}} \check{H}^{2}(\overline{F}/F,Z) \\ \downarrow_{loc_{v}}^{P} \downarrow_{e,\dot{S}_{E},n} & \downarrow \\ \textit{Hom}_{F_{v}}(u_{E_{v}/F_{v},n},Z_{F_{v}}) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{E_{v},n}^{u}} \check{H}^{2}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v},Z_{F_{v}}), \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is induced by the inclusion $\overline{F} \to \overline{F_v}$ determined by \dot{v} .

Recall from Lemma 3.10 that elements $\xi_i := \xi_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}$ form a coherent system in the projective system of groups $\{\check{H}^2(O_{S_i}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S_i}, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})\}_i$. We also have (by Lemma 3.5), for all *i*, injective homomorphisms

$$\check{H}^2(O_{S_i}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S_i}, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}),$$

and hence the element $(\xi_i)_i$ may be viewed as an element of $\varprojlim_i \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$. Let $\xi_v \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, u_v) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see [Dil20, Theorem 3.3]) denote the canonical class obtained by taking the preimage of $-1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. We may now deduce the final result of this subsection:

Corollary 3.15. For $\dot{v} \in \dot{V}$, consider the maps

$$\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{\dot{V}}) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}) \leftarrow \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, u_v)$$

where the left map is induced by the inclusion $\overline{F} \to \overline{F_v}$ determined by \dot{v} and the right map is loc_v^P . If $\tilde{\xi} \in H^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{\dot{V}})$ is any preimage of (ξ_i) (which exists by Lemma 2.28) then the images of $\tilde{\xi}$ and ξ_v in the middle term are equal. *Proof.* We claim first that the natural homomorphism

$$\check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}) \to \varprojlim_i \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$$

is an isomorphism. To simplify notation, set $P_i := (P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})_{F_v}$; by Lemma 2.31, it suffices to show that $\varprojlim_i^{(1)} \check{H}^1(\overline{F_v}/F_v, P_i) = 0$ and $\varprojlim_i^{(1)} B^1(i) = 0$ (using the notation of the previously cited lemma). By [RZ00], 2.2, this vanishing would follow if we knew that these systems consisted of profinite groups with continuous transition maps. For $B^1(i) := d(P_i(\overline{F_v}))$, this trivially follows because each P_i is finite over F_v , and so this is a system of finite groups. The groups $H^1(F_v, P_i)$ fit into the exact sequence

$$H^{1}(\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E_{i}/F}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{i}}[n_{i}])}{\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{i}}[n_{i}]}) \to H^{1}(F_{v}, P_{E_{i},S_{i},n_{i}}) \to H^{1}(F_{v}, P_{i}) \to$$

$$\to H^{2}(F_{v}, \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E_{i}/F}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{i}}[n_{i}])}{\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_{i}}[n_{i}]}) \to H^{2}(F_{v}, P_{E_{i},S_{i},n_{i}}),$$
(10)

so it's enough to prove this condition for the second and fourth systems of groups, provided that the left- and right-most arrows are continuous (assuming the left- and right-most groups have also been given profinite topologies).

Focusing on the system $H^1(F_v, P_{E_i,S_i,n_i})$, we can apply the same reduction to deduce the result from the analogous long exact sequence result for the groups $H^j(F_v, (\operatorname{Res}_{E_i/F}(\bar{R}_{E_i,S_i}[n_i]))_{F_v})$ and $H^j(F_v, \bar{R}_{E_i,S_i}[n_i]_{F_v})$ with j = 1, 2. Since Weil restriction commutes with base-change, we may use Shapiro's lemma to replace the former by $H^j((E_i)_v, \bar{R}_{E_i,S_i}[n_i]_{(E_i)_v})$. Applying the long exact sequence argument one more time, we reduce ourselves to showing that the following systems are profinite with continuous transition maps:

$$H^{k}(F_{v},\mu_{n_{i}}), H^{k}(F_{v},(R_{E_{i},S_{i}}[n_{i}])_{F_{v}}), H^{k}((E_{i})_{v},\mu_{n_{i}}), H^{k}((E_{i})_{v},R_{E_{i},S_{i}}[n_{i}]_{(E_{i})_{v}}),$$

k = 1, 2, 3, and we also must prove that the corresponding long exact sequence morphisms are continuous.

The first and third systems are all profinite for all k by various basic results in local class field theory (using the profiniteness $K^*/K^{*,n}$ for any non-archimedean local field K). Since $(E_i)_v$ is an $O_{E_i,S}$ -algebra, the fourth groups are direct products of the groups $H^k(E_v, \mu_{n_i})$, and hence these systems are profinite for all k as well. Finally, we may break up the group $(R_{E_i,S_i}[n_i])_{F_v}$ into a product of Weil restrictions $[\operatorname{Res}_{E_i^{d,v'}/F}(\mu_{n_i})]_{F_v}$ as v' ranges over S_i , where $E_i^{d,v'}$ denotes the decomposition field of v' in E_i/F , and then once again invoke Shapiro's Lemma and local class field theory to get the desired profiniteness of all the second systems. The continuity of the corresponding long-exact sequence maps immediately follows by looking at their explicit descriptions.

It is straightforward to apply a similar argument to deduce that the projective system of groups $H^2(F_v, (\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E_i/F}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_i}[n_i])}{\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_i}[n_i]})_{F_v})$ may also be given the structure of a system of profinite groups with continuous transition maps. Similarly, one checks that $H^1(F_v, \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E_i/F}(\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_i}[n_i])}{\bar{N}_{\dot{S}_i}[n_i]})$ and $H^2(F_v, P_{E_i,S_i,n_i})$ have natural profinite topologies for which the maps in (10) are continuous, giving the claim about the closedness of the kernel and image of the two middle maps, and thus the desired vanishing of $\varprojlim_i^{(1)} \check{H}^1(\overline{F_v}/F_v, P_i)$.

The isomorphism we just proved implies that the map $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{V}) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, (P_{V})_{F_v})$ factors as the composition

$$\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{V}) \to \varprojlim_i \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}) \to \varprojlim_i \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, (P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})_{F_v}),$$

where the second map is the inverse limit of the obvious maps for each *i*. It is thus enough to show that, for each *i*, the map $\operatorname{loc}_{v}^{P_{E_{i}},\dot{S}_{i},n_{i}}$ sends $\xi_{(E_{i})v,n_{i}} \in \check{H}^{2}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v}, u_{(E_{i})v}/F_{v},n_{i})$ to the image of $\xi_{E_{i}},\dot{S}_{i},n_{i}$ under the map

$$\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, (P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})_{F_v}).$$

Once we have reached this step, we get the desired result from the proof of [Kal18, Corollary 3.8], which may be followed verbatim here.

3.3. The vanishing of $H^1(F, P_{\dot{V}})$ and $H^1(F_v, (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v})$. In the local case, an instrumental property of the groups u_v was that $H^1(F, u_v) = 0$; our goal in this subsection is to prove the analogue for $P_{\dot{V}}$ and its localizations.

The following alternative characterization of $M_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}$ will be useful: As a $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -module, $M_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}$ is canonically isomorphic to the subgroup of elements

$$x = \sum_{(\sigma, v) \in \Gamma_{E/F} \times S} a_{\sigma, v}[(\sigma, v)] \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]$$

such that $\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{E/F}^{\dot{v}}} a_{\theta\sigma,v} = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Gamma_{E/F}$, $v \in S$ (where $\dot{v} \in \dot{S}_E$ denotes the unique lift of v to \dot{S}_E) and $\sum_{v \in S} a_{\theta,v} = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Gamma_{E/F}$, with $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -action given by

$$\gamma \cdot (\sum_{(\sigma,v) \in \Gamma_{E/F} \times S} a_{\sigma,v}[(\sigma,v)]) := \sum_{(\sigma,v) \in \Gamma_{E/F} \times S} a_{\sigma,v}[(\tau\sigma,v)].$$

The proposed identification is given by

$$\sum_{(\sigma,w)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S_E} a_{\sigma,w}[(\sigma,w)]\mapsto \sum_{(\sigma,v)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S} a_{\sigma,\sigma\dot{v}}[(\sigma,v)].$$

As a consequence, we get the exact sequence of $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -modules

$$0 \to M_{E,\dot{S}_E,n} \to \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_0 \to \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S_E]_0 \to 0,$$

where we identify $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ via $\overline{1} \mapsto \overline{1/n}$ (as above), the middle term denotes the kernel of the augmentation map $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}, S] \to \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S]$, and the second map is defined by

$$\sum_{(\sigma,v)\in \Gamma_{E/F}\times S}a_{\sigma,v}[(\sigma,v)]\mapsto \sum_{(\theta,v)\in \Gamma_{E/F}\times S}(\sum_{\tau\in \Gamma_{E/F}^{\dot{v}}}a_{\theta\tau,v})[\theta\dot{v}]$$

for a proof of exactness, see [Kal18, Lemma 3.4.2].

Set $\mu_n^S := \prod_{v \in S} \mu_n$. At the level of $O_{F,S}$ -groups, the above exact sequences identifies $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ with the quotient

$$\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^S)/\mu_n^S}{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n)/\mu_n}$$

where the embedding $\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n)/\mu_n \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^S)/\mu_n^S$ is induced by the embedding

$$\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^S)$$

given on the direct factor $\operatorname{Res}_{E,v}(\mu_n) = \operatorname{Res}_{E^{d,v}/F}(\mu_n)$ by taking inclusion into the *v*th factor $\mu_n \to \mu_n^S$, applying $\operatorname{Res}_{E^{d,v}/F}(-)$, and then applying the natural map $\operatorname{Res}_{E^{d,v}/F}(\mu_n^S) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^S)$.

Under these identifications, the transition maps $P_{K,\dot{S}'_{K},m} \rightarrow P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$ become (after making the above identification), at the level of character groups, the maps

$$M_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n} \to M_{K,\dot{S}'_{K},m}, \sum_{(\sigma,v)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S} a_{\sigma,v}[(\sigma,v)] \mapsto \sum_{(\gamma,v)\in\Gamma_{K/F}\times S} a_{\bar{\gamma},v}[(\gamma,v)]$$

which is well-defined because $S \subseteq S'$. At the level of F-groups, this is the map

$$\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{K/F}(\mu_m^{S'})/\mu_m^{S'}}{\operatorname{Res}_{K,S'}(\mu_m)/\mu_m} \to \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^S)/\mu_n^S}{\operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mu_n)/\mu_n}$$

induced by the homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}_{K/F}(\mu_m^{S'}) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^S)$ defined by composing the norm map $\operatorname{Res}_{K/F}(\mu_m^{S'}) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_m^{S'})$ with $\operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(-)$ applied to the composite map $\mu_m^{S'} \to \mu_n^S$ given by the projection map $\mu_m^{S'} \to \mu_m^S$ and (on each component) the m/n-power map $\mu_m \to \mu_n$. Note the similarity to the local transition maps $u_{K_v/F_v,m} \to u_{E_v/F_v,n}$, as defined in [Dil20, §3.1]. The transition maps fit into a commutative diagram of $\Gamma_{K/F}$ -modules

where the middle map is induced by the map $\operatorname{Res}_{K/F}(\mu_m^{S'}) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/F}(\mu_n^{S})$ as described above, and the right-most map is defined by

$$\sum_{(\sigma,w)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S_E} a_{\sigma,w}[(\sigma,w)]\mapsto \sum_{(\gamma,u)\in\Gamma_{K/F}\times S_K} (\#\Gamma^u_{K/E})a_{\bar{\gamma},u_E}[(\gamma,u)]$$

The following result is a key first step in the argument for the desired cohomological vanishing; it is a simpler version of [Kal18, Lemma 3.4.3]:

Lemma 3.16. Given (E, \dot{S}_E, n) , there exists $(K, \dot{S}'_K, m) > (E, \dot{S}_E, n)$ such that for all subgroups $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_{K/F}$, the transition map

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S_E]_0 \to \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S'_K]_0$$

is zero.

Proof. In our situation we can strengthen the result by insisting that m = n; choose K/F such that $\#\Gamma^u_{K/E}$ is a multiple of n for all places $u \in S_K$ and take S'_K and $\dot{S}'_K \subset S'_K$ satisfying Conditions 3.8 with respect to K/F such that $S \subset S'$ and $(\dot{S}'_K)_E \subseteq \dot{S}$. Then any $\xi \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S_E]_0$ has trivial image in $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S'_K]_0$, because for all $u \in S_K$ we have $(\#\Gamma_{K/E,u}) \cdot \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$.

We may now deduce some preliminary cohomological vanishing:

Lemma 3.17. The following colimits over (E, \dot{S}_E, n) vanish.

- (1) $\lim_{\to} H^1(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_0) = 0;$
- (2) $\operatorname{Iim} H^1(\Gamma_v, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_0) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

Proof. The beginning of this argument follows the proof of [Kal18, Lemma 3.4.4]. Since the inclusion $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_0 \hookrightarrow \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]$ has a $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -equivariant splitting given by choosing an arbitrary place of S, the inclusion also induces an inclusion at the level of cohomology groups, so we may prove the result for the modules $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]$ instead. Now, as a Γ -module, $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]$ is isomorphic to $\prod_S \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$, and under this identification the transition map $\prod_S \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}] \to \prod_{S'} \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{K/F}]$ which is obtained by taking the maps $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}] \to \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{K/F}]$ and then including S into S' to determine the direct factors. Thus, we may further replace the system of modules $\{\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]\}$ by $\{\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]\}$.

After making this reduction (which is identical to the one done in the proof of [Kal18, Lemma 3.4.4]), we may use the same argument as that in [Kal18, Lemma 3.4.4] to deduce that the first system has vanishing colimit. We now turn to the system $\varinjlim H^1(\Gamma_v, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}])$. The Mackey formula and Shapiro's lemma tell us that

$$H^{1}(\Gamma_{v}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]) = \bigoplus_{w|v} H^{1}(\Gamma_{E_{w}}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

where the sum runs over all places $w \in V_E$ lying above v. Identifying each $H^1(\Gamma_{E_w}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ with $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{E_w}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$, the transition map

$$\bigoplus_{w|v} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{E_w}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{u|v} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{K_u}, \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$$

is given by the maps

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{E_w}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{u|w} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{K_u}, \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the inclusions $\Gamma_{K_u} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{E_w}$ (and $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$). For a fixed homomorphism $f_w \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{E_w}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$, the kernel H_{f_w} of f_w is an open normal subgroup of Γ_{E_w} , and so if K/E is a large enough finite Galois extension, we have $\Gamma_{K_u} \subseteq H_{f_w}$ for all $u \mid w$ places of K. Note that, given such a K as in the previous sentence, this property also holds for any K'/K/F finite Galois and $\tilde{u} \mid w$ a place of K'. Thus, given $(f_w) \in \bigoplus_{w \mid v} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{E_w}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$, we may look at all f_w as w ranges over $\{v\}_E \subseteq V_E$ to find a finite Galois extension K/F such that for any $w \in V_E$ with $f_w \neq 0$ and $u \in V_K$ with $u \mid w$, we have $\Gamma_{K_u} \subseteq H_{f_w}$. This means that the image of $(f_w)_w$ in $\bigoplus_{u \mid v} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_{K_u}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, showing that the second colimit in the statement of the proposition vanishes.

Proposition 3.18. For any $v \in \dot{V}$, we have $H^1(F_v, (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}) = 0$.

Proof. The first thing to note is that $H^1(F_v, (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}) = \varprojlim_i H^1(F_v, (P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})_{F_v})$, since the derived inverse limit $\varprojlim_i^{(1)} H^0(F_v, (P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})_{F_v}) = 0$, because the system $H^0(F_v, (P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})_{F_v})$ consists of finite groups. Thus, local Poitou-Tate duality gives

$$H^{1}(F_{v}, (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_{v}}) = \varprojlim_{i} (H^{1}(\Gamma_{v}, M_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}})^{*}) = (\varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\Gamma_{v}, M_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}}))^{*}$$

where the second equality holds by the universal property of colimits. Now we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to C_i \to H^1(\Gamma_v, M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}) \to H^1(\Gamma_v, \frac{1}{n_i} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_i/F} \times S_i]_0),$$

where C_i is a subquotient of $\frac{1}{n_i}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0$, and the colimits of the outer two terms are zero, by Lemmas 3.16 and 3.17, giving the result.

For the next result, we need to recall a result from global class field theory. Let \overline{C} denote the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{K/F} \overline{C_K}$, where $\overline{C_K}$ is the profinite completion of the idéle class group of the finite Galois extension K/F, and the limit is over all such extensions. For fixed K/F finite Galois and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, note that we have $\overline{C}[n]^{\Gamma_K} = \overline{C_K}[n]$.

Corollary 3.19. The completed universal norm group

$$\overline{N} := \varprojlim_{\overline{K/F}} N_{K/F}(\overline{C_K})$$

is trivial (viewed as a subgroup of $\overline{C_F}$).

Proof. For any such K/F, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to N_{K/F}(C_K) \to C_F \xrightarrow{(-,K/F)} \Gamma_{K/F}^{ab} \to 0.$$

Since the group $N_{K/F}(C_K)$ is open of finite index in C_F , the inverse limit over all open subgroups of C_F of finite index may be taken over all open subgroups of finite index which lie in $N_{K/F}(C_K)$, and for any such subgroup U, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{N_{K/F}(C_K)}{U} \to \frac{C_F}{U} \to \Gamma_{K/F}^{\mathrm{ab}} \to 0,$$

which after applying the (left-exact) functor $\lim(-)$ yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to N_{K/F}(C_K)^{\wedge} \to \overline{C_F} \to \Gamma_{K/F}^{\mathrm{ab}} \to 0;$$

note that surjectivity is preserved because the kernels are all finite groups. Now since C_K is dense in $\overline{C_K}$, we have that $N_{K/F}(\overline{C_K}) = N_{K/F}(C_K)^{\wedge}$ inside $\overline{C_F}$, by continuity of the norm map, yielding the short exact sequence

$$0 \to N_{K/F}(\overline{C_K}) \to \overline{C_F} \to \Gamma^{ab}_{K/F} \to 0,$$

which, after applying the inverse limit over all finite Galois K/F, yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{N} \to \overline{C_F} \to \Gamma^{\rm ab}$$

so it's enough to show that the completed universal residue map $\overline{C_F} \to \Gamma^{ab}$ is injective, which is a basic fact of global class field theory (see e.g. [NSW08, Proposition 8.1.26]).

We move on to a slightly more involved vanishing result:

Lemma 3.20. The following colimit over (E, \dot{S}_E, n) vanishes:

$$\varinjlim H^2(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_0) = 0.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.17, it is enough to show that the colimit $\varinjlim H^2(\Gamma_E, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes, with the transition maps given by the restriction homomorphism. For (E, n) fixed, by [NSW08, Theorem 8.4.4] (with $S = V_E$), we have an isomorphism

$$H^2(\Gamma_E, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\widehat{H}^0(\Gamma_E, \overline{C}[n]))^{\vee}$$

where recall that $\widehat{H}^0(\Gamma_E, \overline{C}[n]) := \varprojlim_{K/E} \widehat{H}^0(\Gamma_{K/E}, \overline{C}[n]^{\Gamma_K})$, with transition maps given by the projections

$$\frac{\overline{C_E}[n]}{N_{K'/E}(\overline{C_{K'}}[n])} \to \frac{\overline{C_E}[n]}{N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}[n])};$$

recall that for M a locally-compact Hausdorff topological group, M^{\vee} denotes $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

We claim that the natural map

$$\overline{C_E}[n] \to \widehat{H}^0(\Gamma_E, \overline{C}[n])$$

is an isomorphism. To see this, note that it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{K/E} N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}[n]) = \varprojlim^{(1)} N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}[n]) = 0.$$

For the first vanishing, note that we have a natural inclusion $N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}[n]) \hookrightarrow N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K})[n]$, and so we also get an inclusion

$$\varprojlim N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}[n]) \hookrightarrow (\varprojlim N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K})))[n] = 0,$$

where the last term equals zero by Corollary 3.19. Thus, to prove the claim it suffices to show that $\lim_{K/E} (\overline{C_K}[n]) = 0$, which follows from the fact that the system $\{N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}[n])\}$ may be given the structure of a system of profinite groups with continuous transition maps. In conclusion, we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^2(\Gamma_E, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{C_E}[n]^{\vee}.$$

From here, we have reduced the proposition to showing that the direct limit $\varinjlim \overline{C_E}[n]^{\vee}$ vanishes, where the transition maps are induced by the maps $\overline{C_K}[m] \to \overline{C_E}[n]$ given by $\overline{N_{K/E}}$ composed with the m/n-power map. If $(f) \in \varinjlim \overline{C_E}[n]^{\vee}$, then choosing a representative $f \in \overline{C_E}[n]^{\vee}$, we have for any (K, m) > (E, n) a factorization

$$\overline{C_K}[m] \xrightarrow{f'} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\downarrow^{\cdot(m/n) \circ N_{K/E}} \parallel$$

$$\overline{C_E}[n] \xrightarrow{f} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $f' \in \overline{C_K}[m]^{\vee}$ also represents (f). But now since f is continuous and $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ is finite, the kernel of f is an open subgroup of $\overline{C_E}[n]$, and since the norm groups $N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}) \subseteq \overline{C_E}$ shrink to the identity, there is some large enough (K,m) > (E,n) such that $N_{K/E}(\overline{C_K}) \subseteq \ker(f)$ (using the finite intersection property). This shows that the image of f in $\overline{C_K}[m]^{\vee}$ is zero, giving the desired result.

Before we prove the main vanishing result, we need a result about the Čech cohomology of $P_{\dot{V}}$, which is the analogue of [Dil20, Corollary 3.3]:

Lemma 3.21. For all p, the natural map $\check{H}^p(?, P_{\check{V}}) \to H^p(?, P_{\check{V}})$ is an isomorphism for $? = F, F_v$.

Proof. By [Stacks], 03F7, It's enough to show that the groups $H^j(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_{?} n}, P_{V})$ vanish for all $j, n \ge 1$. Since this is true for P_V replaced by any P_i , the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim^{(1)} H^{j-1}(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_{?} n}, P_i) \to H^j(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_{?} n}, P_{\dot{V}}) \to \varprojlim H^j(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_{?} n}, P_i) \to 0$$

reduces the lemma to showing that the derived inverse limit $\varprojlim^{(1)} H^0(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_? n}, P_i)$ vanishes for all n. This is immediate from the fact that the transition maps $P_{i+1}(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_? n}) \to P_i(\overline{?}^{\bigotimes_? n})$ are all surjective.

Proposition 3.22. We have $H^1(F, P_{\dot{V}}) = 0$.

Proof. Since we have a natural localization map $\check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, P_{\dot{V}}) \to \check{H}^1(\overline{F_v}/F_v, P_{\dot{V}})$ for all $v \in \dot{V}$, the isomorphisms from Lemma 3.21 give a localization map $H^1(F, P_{\dot{V}}) \to H^1(F_v, P_{\dot{V}})$ for all $v \in \dot{V}$. We get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker^1(F, P_{\dot{V}}) \to H^1(F, P_{\dot{V}}) \to \prod_{\dot{v} \in \dot{V}} H^1(F_{\dot{v}}, P_{\dot{V}}),$$

and so Lemma 3.17 implies that it's enough to show that $\ker^1(F, P_{\dot{V}}) = 0$. Since the natural map $H^1(F, P_{\dot{V}}) \to \varprojlim_i H^1(F, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$ is an isomorphism, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\ker^1(F,P_{\dot{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_i \ker^1(F,P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i})$$

so it's enough to show that the right-hand side vanishes.

For *i* fixed, [Čes16, Lemma 4.4] tells us that we have a perfect pairing of finite abelian groups

$$\ker^{1}(F, P_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}}) \times \ker^{2}(F, \underline{M_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}}}) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where M_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i} is the étale *F*-group scheme associated to the Γ -module M_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i} (and is Cartier dual to the finite flat *F*-group scheme P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i}). Thus, it's enough to show that

$$\lim_{i} (\ker^2(\Gamma, M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}))^* = (\lim_{i} \ker^2(\Gamma, M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}))^* = 0,$$

which we will do by showing that the direct limit $\varinjlim_i \ker^2(\Gamma, M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$ vanishes, for which we will use an easier version of the analogous argument in [Kal18], proof of Proposition 3.4.6.

For any (E, S, n), the long exact sequence in cohomology gives the exact sequence

$$H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_{0}) \to H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[S_{E}]_{0}) \to H^{2}(\Gamma, M_{E, \dot{S}_{E}, n}) \to H^{2}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F} \times S]_{0}),$$

and so applying the (exact) functor $\lim_{n \to \infty} (-)$ and Lemmas 3.17, 3.20, we get an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_{i}} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]_{0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{i} H^{2}(\Gamma, M_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}}).$$

We have the inductive system of short exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{1}{n_i} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0 \to \frac{1}{n_i} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_i)_{E_i}] \to \frac{1}{n_i} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

where the induced transition map from $\frac{1}{n_i}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ to $\frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the natural inclusion followed by multiplication by $[E_{i+1}: E_i]$. It follows that after taking direct limits, the right-hand term in the short exact sequence vanishes and we get an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_{i}} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]_{0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_{i}} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]).$$

For i fixed, we have an isomorphism

$$H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_{i}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{v \in S_{i}} H^{1}(\Gamma_{E_{i}} \cdot \Gamma_{F^{\mathrm{sep}}/F}^{\dot{v}}, \frac{1}{n_{i}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$$

by Shapiro's Lemma, and for $v \in S_i$, this isomorphism translates the transition map to the map given on the v-factor by

$$H^{1}(\Gamma_{E_{i}} \cdot \Gamma_{F^{\mathrm{sep}}/F}^{\dot{v}}, \frac{1}{n_{i}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cdot (\#\Gamma_{E_{i+1}/E_{i}}^{\circ E_{i+1}}) \circ \operatorname{Res}} H^{1}(\Gamma_{E_{i+1}} \cdot \Gamma_{F^{\mathrm{sep}}/F}^{\dot{v}}, \frac{1}{n_{i+1}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus, if $(x) \in \lim_{i \to i} H^1(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_i} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_i)_{E_i}])$ is fixed with representative $x \in H^1(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_i} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_i)_{E_i}])$, some *i* fixed, we may choose k > i large enough so that $\#\Gamma_{E_k/E_i}^{\dot{v}_{E_i+1}} = \#\Gamma_{(E_k)_{\dot{v}}/(E_i)_{\dot{v}}}$ is divisible by n_i for all $\dot{v} \in \dot{S}_i$, guaranteeing that the image of x in $H^1(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_k} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_k)_{E_k}])$ is zero, which shows that

$$\varinjlim_{i} H^{2}(\Gamma, M_{E_{i}, \dot{S}_{i}, n_{i}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_{i}} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]_{0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n_{i}} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]) = 0.$$

This gives the desired result, since $\varinjlim_i \ker^2(\Gamma, M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$ injects into $\varinjlim_i H^2(\Gamma, M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$. \Box

3.4. The canonical class. The purpose of this final subsection is to show that there is a canonical element $\xi \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{V})$ lifting the element $(\xi_i) \in \varprojlim_i \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i})$ constructed above. For notational convenience, set $P := P_{V}$, $P_i := P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}$ and $M_i := M_{E_i, \dot{S}_i, n_i}$, and denote the projection $P \to P_i$ by p_i . Whenever we work with an embedding $\overline{F} \to \overline{F_v}$ for $v \in V$, we assume it is the one induced by $\dot{v} \in \dot{V}$ unless otherwise specified. We begin by proving some basic results about some Čech cohomology groups that associated to P_{V} .

Lemma 3.23. The natural maps

$$\check{H}^k(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P) \to \varprojlim_i \check{H}^k(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P_i)$$

are isomorphisms for k = 0, 1, 2.

Proof. The case k = 0 is trivial, so we only need to focus on k = 1, 2. By Lemma 2.31, it's enough to show that $\varprojlim_{i}^{(1)} \check{H}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}/F_{v}, P_{i}) = 0$ for k = 0, 1 and that $\varprojlim_{i}^{(1)} B^{1}(i) = 0$. The vanishing of $\varprojlim_{i}^{(1)} \check{H}^{0}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}/F_{v}, P_{i})$ follows from the fact that $\check{H}^{0}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}/F_{v}, P_{i}) = P_{i}(F_{v})$, and the system $\{P_{i}(F_{v})\}$ consists of finite groups. The vanishing of $\varprojlim_{i}^{(1)} B^{1}(i)$ comes from the fact that the system $\{B^{1}(i)\}$ has surjective transition maps: On Čech 0-cochains the transition maps $P_{i+1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}) \to P_{i}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v})$ are all
surjective by Lemma 2.22, and since the Čech differentials are compatible with F-homomorphisms (in our case, the transition maps $P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i$), this surjectivity carries over to the group of 1-coboundaries.

It remains to show that the derived inverse limit $\varprojlim_i^{(1)} \check{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P_i)$ vanishes. The proof of Corollary 2.23 shows that the groups $\check{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P_i)$ are (compatibly) isomorphic to $H^1(F_v, P_i)$, and so it's enough to show that $\varprojlim_i^{(1)} H^1(F_v, P_i) = 0$, which follows from the fact that the system $\{H^1(F_v, P_i)\}_i$ may be given the structure of a system of profinite groups with continuous transition maps, as we showed in the proof of Corollary 3.14.

Combining Lemma 3.23 with the proof of Corollary 2.23 gives an isomorphism

$$\check{H}^{1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}/F_{v},P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{i} \check{H}^{1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}/F_{v},P_{i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{i} \check{H}^{1}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v},P_{i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}^{1}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v},P),$$

and so Lemma 3.21 lets us identify $\check{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P)$ with $H^1(F_v, P)$ as well. The local canonical class $\xi_v \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, u_v) = H^2(F_v, u_v)$ maps via $S_v^2 \circ \operatorname{loc}_v$ to a class in $\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P)$ (notation as in §2.3).

The goal is to construct a canonical class $x \in \check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$ such that for each $\dot{v} \in \dot{V}$ and $v := \dot{v}_F$, its image in $\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P)$ (via the ring homomorphism $\overline{F} \otimes_F \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \pi_v} \overline{F_v} \otimes_F F_v$, where $\iota : \overline{F} \to \overline{F_v}$ is our fixed inclusion, and π_v is projection onto the *v*th-factor) equals $S_v^2(\operatorname{loc}_v(\xi_v))$. We will proceed by constructing a Čech-theoretic analogue of the construction in [Kal18, §3.5]. Fix $\dot{\xi}_v \in u_v(\overline{F_v}^{\otimes_{F_v}3})$ a Čech 2-cocycle representing ξ_v , and let $\Gamma_{\dot{v}} \subseteq \Gamma$ denote the decomposition group of $\dot{v} \in \dot{V}$; choose a (set-theoretic) section $\Gamma/\Gamma_{\dot{v}} \to \Gamma$ —recall from §2.3 that this is equivalent to fixing a compatible system of diagonal embeddings

$$E \cdot F_v \to \prod_{w \in V_E, w \mid v} E_w$$

as we range over all finite Galois extensions E/F (which are the identity $E \cdot F_v \to E_{\dot{v}_E}$ on the \dot{v}_{E^-} factor), and thus (as explained in §2.3) an explicit realization of the Shapiro map $h: G(\overline{F_v}^{\otimes_{F_v} 3}) \to G(\overline{\mathbb{A}_v}^{\otimes_{F_v} 3})$ at the level of Čech 2-cochains for any multiplicative *F*-group scheme *G*, which is functorial in *G* (with respect to *F*-homomorphisms) and compatible with the Čech differentials on both sides.

As we range through all *i*, these maps $S_{v,i}^2: P_i(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3}) \to P_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ splice to give a group homomorphism

$$\dot{S}_{v}^{2} \colon P(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3}) \to P(\overline{\mathbb{A}_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3}),$$

and we set $\dot{x}_v := \dot{S}_v^2(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v)) \in Z^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P).$

Note that for fixed *i*, we have $p_i(\dot{x}_v) = 1 \in P_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}_v}^{\otimes_{F_v}3})$ for all $\dot{v} \in \dot{V}$ such that $v \notin S_i$. Indeed, the functoriality of the Shapiro maps implies that $p_i \circ \dot{S}_v^2 \circ \operatorname{loc}_v = S_{v,i}^2 \circ p_i \circ \operatorname{loc}_v$ on $P_i(\overline{F_v}^{\otimes_{F_v}3})$, and now $p_i \circ \operatorname{loc}_v : u_v \to P_{F_v} \to (P_i)_{F_v}$ is trivial for $v \notin S_i$, since it is induced by the direct limit over $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (with $\dot{v}_{E_i} \in \dot{S}_j$) of Γ_v -module homomorphisms

$$\frac{1}{n_i}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_i/F} \times (S_i)_{E_i}]_{0,0} \to \frac{1}{n_j}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_j/F} \times (S_j)_{E_j}]_{0,0} \to X^*(u_{n_j,E_j/F_v}),$$

where the kernel of the second map contains all elements whose (σ, \dot{v}_{E_j}) -coefficients $c_{\sigma, \dot{v}_{E_j}}$ are zero for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_{E_j/F}$, and the image of the first map lands in the subgroup of elements whose

coefficients $c_{\sigma,w}$ are zero for all $w \in (S_j)_{E_j}$ which do not lie above an element of $(S_i)_{E_i}$, which is the case for \dot{v}_{E_j} , since $\dot{v}_{E_i} \in (S_i)_{E_i}$ means that $v \in S_i$, which is not the case, giving our desired triviality.

The above paragraph implies that the element $\prod_{v \in V} p_i(\dot{x}_v) \in \prod_{v \in V} P_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ is trivial in all but finitely-many *v*-coordinates, so we may view $\prod_{v \in V} p_i(\dot{x}_v)$ as an element of

$$\bigoplus_{v \in S_i} Z^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v/F_v, P_i)$$

By viewing $\prod_{v \in V} p_i(\dot{x}_v)$ as an element of the restricted product $\prod'_v P_i(\mathbb{A}_{L,v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ for some sufficiently large finite extension L/F (possible because $\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v = \lim_{v \to \infty} \mathbb{A}_{K,v}$ over all finite extensions K/F and each P_i/F is of finite type), where this product is restricted with respect to the subgroups $P_i(O_{L,v}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v}} 3})$, cf. §2.2), we obtain by Proposition 2.21 an element of $Z^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P_i)$. It is straightforward to check that as we vary across all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, these elements describe an element of the projective system $\{Z^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P_i)\}_i$, giving an element $\dot{x} \in Z^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$.

Following [Kal18], we will now show that the class of \dot{x} in $\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$ is independent of the choice of local canonical class representatives $\dot{\xi}_v$ and section $\Gamma/\Gamma_v \to \Gamma$. Showing independence on the choices of $\dot{\xi}_v$ follows easily from the analogous argument in [Kal18, §3.5, pp. 318] after replacing the group cohomological differentials loc. cit. with Čech differentials.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.24, for any $v \in V$ we may find $c_v \in P(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v \otimes_{F_v} \overline{\mathbb{A}}_v)$ such that $dc_v = \dot{S}_v(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v)) \cdot \dot{S}'_v(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v))^{-1}$. Moreover, we claim that we may choose c_v such that for i fixed and $v \notin S_i$, we have $p_i(c_v) = 1$ in $P_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v \otimes_{F_v} \overline{\mathbb{A}}_v)$. Indeed, by the construction in the proof of Lemma 2.24, we may take

$$c_v = (r_1 \cdot \bar{r}_3 \otimes r_2)(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v)) \cdot (\bar{r}_2 \otimes r_1 \cdot \bar{r}_3)(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v))^{-1},$$

where $r: \overline{F_v} \to \overline{\mathbb{A}}_v$ is the direct limit of the maps $E'_{(\dot{v}_E)'} \to \prod_{w|v} E'_{w'}$ defined on the *w*-coordinate by the isomorphism $r_w: E'_{(\dot{v}_E)'} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_{w'}$ determined by the section *s*, similarly with \bar{r} , where as in the proof of Lemma 2.24, the subscript *i* in r_i denotes that its source is the *i*th tensor factor of $(E'_{v'})^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3}$. Since the maps r, \bar{r} are F_v -homomorphisms, they commute with the projections p_i , and hence

$$p_i(c_v) = (r_1 \cdot \bar{r}_3 \otimes r_2)(p_i \mathbf{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v)) \cdot (\bar{r}_2 \otimes r_1 \cdot \bar{r}_3)(p_i \mathbf{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v))^{-1},$$

giving the existence of such a c_v .

As a result, the element $\tilde{c} := \prod_{v} c_v \in \prod_{v \in V} P(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v \otimes_{F_v} \overline{\mathbb{A}}_v)$ has projection $p_i(\tilde{c})$ with all but finitely-many trivial coordinates, and hence has well-defined image in $P_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}})$ (using Corollary 2.20), and setting $c := \varprojlim_i p_i(\tilde{c})$ gives an element of $P(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}})$ which satisfies $\dot{S}_v^2(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v)) \cdot \dot{S}_v'^2(\operatorname{loc}_v(\dot{\xi}_v))^{-1} = dc$, concluding the argument for why the class $[\dot{x}] \in \check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$ is canonical.

The final key step in constructing a canonical class in $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P)$ is showing that there is a unique element of $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P)$ whose image in $\check{H}^2(\overline{A}/A, P)$ is the class $x := [\dot{x}]$, and whose image in $\varprojlim_i \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_i)$ is (ξ_i) , which we turn to now. The argument will use complexes of tori, following the analogous one in [Kal18, §3.5]. The first result that makes this possible can be taken directly from [Kal18] (Lemma 3.5.1 loc. cit.).

Lemma 3.24. For each *i*, there exists an isogeny of tori $f_i: T_i \to U_i$ defined over *F* with kernel equal to P_i . Moreover, we have the commutative diagram



where K_i and K'_i are tori.

For any *i*, consider the double complex of abelian groups $K^{p,q} =$

Note that the complex with *j*th term $C^j := H^0(K^{j,\bullet})$ $(j \ge 0)$ is exactly the Čech complex of P_i with respect to the fpqc cover $\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}$, and so the low-degree exact sequence for the spectral sequence associated to a double complex gives an injective map

$$\check{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P_i) \hookrightarrow H^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i).$$

Moreover, lemma 6.7 tells us that we may canonically identify $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i)$ with the group $H^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i)$, and the majority of the results we will be using in this section, developed in Appendix A, are stated for the latter group. This identification, along with the analogous one for the groups $\overline{H}^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i)$ (Lemma 6.8) will be implicit in what follows, in order to apply our results from Appendix A.

Since the kernels of $T_{i+1} \to T_i$ and $U_{i+1} \to U_i$ are tori, combining Corollary 2.20 with Lemma 2.22 tells us that the maps $T_{i+1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}) \to T_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n})$ and $U_{i+1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}) \to U_i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n})$ are surjective for all n (this is also the case when $\overline{\mathbb{A}}$ is replaced by \mathbb{A}^{sep} , by smoothness). It follows that the induced map

$$C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{i+1} \to U_{i+1}) \to C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{i} \to U_{i})$$

(where $C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U)$ is the group of *j*-cochains for the corresponding total complex) is surjective for any *j*, and so the system $\{C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{i} \to U_{i})\}_{i\geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag-Lefler condition. Replacing $\overline{\mathbb{A}}$ by \mathbb{A}^{sep} in order to use group cohomology (Lemma 6.9), it follows from [NSW08, Theorem 3.5.8] that we obtain the exact sequence

$$1 \to \varprojlim^{(1)} H^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i) \to H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U) \to \varprojlim H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i) \to 1,$$
(11)

where the middle term denotes the cohomology of the complex with *j*th term

$$C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U) := \varprojlim C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{i} \to U_{i}) = C^{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T) \oplus C^{j-1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, U),$$

where $T = \varprojlim_i T_i$ and $U := \varprojlim_i U_i$ are pro-tori over F (note that, using left-exactness of inverse limits, the kernel of $T \to U$ is our group P). Once again, the low-degree exact sequence for double complexes gives us a map

$$\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P) \to H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U),$$

which need not be injective. We also have the natural map $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P) \to \check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$.

We have the following analogue of [Kal18, Proposition 3.5.2]:

Proposition 3.25. There exists a unique element of $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P)$ whose image in $\varprojlim \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_i)$ equals the canonical system (ξ_i) , and whose image in $H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U)$ coincides with the image of the class $x \in \check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$ there.

Proof. If $\tilde{\xi} \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P)$ is any preimage of $(\xi_i) \in \varprojlim \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_i)$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{\mathbb{A}}$ denotes its image in $\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$, the images of x and $\tilde{\xi}_{\mathbb{A}}$ in $\varprojlim H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i)$ via the composition

$$\check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P) \to H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U) \to \varprojlim H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i)$$

coincide by the identical argument in [Kal18, Proposition 3.5.2], replacing the use of [KS99, Theorem C.1.B] loc. cit. with our Proposition 6.12 and the use of Corollary 3.3.8 loc. cit. with our Corollary 3.15. To finish the proof of the Proposition, we need the following analogue of [Kal18, Lemma 3.5.3]:

Lemma 3.26. The natural map

$$\varprojlim^{(1)}\check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F,P_i)\to\varprojlim^{(1)}H^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A},T_i\to U_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have the tautological short exact sequence of topological groups (see §6.4 for the definition of the topologies, replacing F^{sep} and \mathbb{A}^{sep} in that section with \overline{F} and $\overline{\mathbb{A}}$ via the canonical identifications)

$$1 \to H^{1}(\overline{F}/F, T_{i} \to U_{i})/\ker^{1}(\overline{F}/F, T_{i} \to U_{i}) \to H^{1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{i} \to U_{i}) \to \operatorname{cok}^{1}(\overline{F}/F, T_{i} \to U_{i}) \to 1,$$
(12)

and by Corollary 6.19, the group $\operatorname{cok}^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)$ is compact as a topological group. Since the projective system $\{\operatorname{cok}^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)\}_{i\geq 0}$ consists of compact, locally profinite groups, it is a system of profinite groups, and we thus get that $\varprojlim^{(1)} \operatorname{cok}^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i) = 0$.

As in [Kal18], the next step is to show that $\varprojlim \operatorname{cok}^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)$ also vanishes. By Proposition 6.20, the compact group $\operatorname{cok}^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)$ is Pontryagin dual to the discrete group $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U}_i \to \widehat{T}_i)_{\mathrm{red}}/\operatorname{ker}^1(W_F, \widehat{U}_i \to \widehat{T}_i)_{\mathrm{red}}$, which by Proposition 6.14 and Lemma 6.22 is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U}_i \to \widehat{T}_i)_{\mathrm{red}}/\operatorname{ker}^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U}_i \to \widehat{T}_i)_{\mathrm{red}}$. Using Lemma 6.22, we may further identify the group $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U}_i \to \widehat{T}_i)_{\mathrm{red}}$ with $H^2(\Gamma, X^*(U_i) \to X^*(T_i)) = H^1(\Gamma, M_i)$ (this last identification comes from the five-lemma), and compatibly (with respect to the first identification) identify the group $\operatorname{ker}^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U}_i \to \widehat{T}_i)_{\mathrm{red}}$ with $\operatorname{ker}^2(\Gamma, X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T)) = \operatorname{ker}^1(\Gamma, M_i)$. Thus, $\varprojlim \operatorname{cok}^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i) = \varprojlim (H^1(\Gamma, M_i)/\operatorname{ker}^1(\Gamma, M_i)])^{\vee} = (\varinjlim [H^1(\Gamma, M_i)/\operatorname{ker}^1(\Gamma, M_i)])^{\vee}$,

so the claim will follow from showing that $\lim_{n \to \infty} H^1(\Gamma, M_i)$ vanishes, which is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.16 and Lemma 3.17 part (1).

Applying the functor $\lim_{\to \infty} (-)$ to the short exact sequence (12), the vanishing results we just proved tell us that the map

$$\varprojlim^{(1)}[H^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)/\ker^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)] \to \varprojlim^{(1)}H^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i)$$

is an isomorphism. But now since the system $\{\ker^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)\}_{i\geq 0}$ consists of finite groups (by Lemma 6.20), it has vanishing $\lim^{(1)}$, and hence the natural map

$$\varprojlim^{(1)} H^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i) \to \varprojlim^{(1)} [H^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i) / \ker^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)]$$

is also an isomorphism. The claim of the lemma then follows from the fact that the natural inclusion $\check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, P_i) \to H^1(\overline{F}/F, T_i \to U_i)$ is an isomorphism, by the five-lemma.

The short exact sequence (11) and the above lemma imply that we may modify $\tilde{\xi}$ by an element of $\lim_{K \to 0} (1) \check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, P_i)$ to ensure that the images of $\tilde{\xi}_{\mathbb{A}}$ and x in $H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U)$ are equal, proving the existence claim of the proposition. Uniqueness follows from the fact that the composition

$$\varprojlim^{(1)} \check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, P_i) \to \varprojlim^{(1)} H^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_i \to U_i) \to H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U)$$

is injective (any two such ξ differ by an element of $\varprojlim^{(1)} \check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, P_i)$ which has trivial image in $H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U)$).

We may now define our canonical class of $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P)$:

Definition 3.27. The canonical class $\xi \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P)$ is the element whose existence and uniqueness is asserted in Proposition 3.25.

As explained in [Kal18] in the remarks following Definition 3.5.4, the class ξ is independent of the choice of tower of isogenies $\{f_i: T_i \to U_i\}$.

4. Cohomology of the gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$

4.1. **Basic definitions.** As in previous sections, we write H^i for H^i_{fppf} . Let $\xi \in \check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, P_{\dot{V}})$ be the canonical class of Definition 3.27. By [Dil20, §2], ξ corresponds to an isomorphism class of (fpqc) $P_{\dot{V}}$ -gerbes split over \overline{F} . Let $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}} \to (\operatorname{Sch}/F)_{fpqc}$ be such a gerbe. We can equip $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ with the structure of a site by giving it the fpqc topology inherited from $(\operatorname{Sch}/F)_{fpqc}$. Recall that for G an affine algebraic group over F and $Z \subset G$ a finite central F-subgroup, the set $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, G_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}})$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of (fpqc) $G_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ -torsors on $(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}})_{fpqc}$. Any such torsor \mathscr{T} carries a (left) action of $(P_{\dot{V}})_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$, denoted by

$$\iota\colon (P_{\dot{V}})_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}\times\mathscr{T}\to\mathscr{T}.$$

We define a subset $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \subseteq H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, G_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}})$ by declaring that an isomorphism class $[\mathscr{T}]$ lies in $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$ if and only if this action is induced by a homomorphism of F-groups $\phi \colon P_{\dot{V}} \to Z \hookrightarrow G$ —we refer to such a torsor \mathscr{T} as Z-twisted. Note that since the target of such a homomorphism is finite, it always factors through the projection $P_{\dot{V}} \to P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n_i}$ for some i. For any other choice of $P_{\dot{V}}$ -gerbe $\mathcal{E}'_{\dot{V}}$ split over \overline{F} representing ξ , we have an isomorphism of $P_{\dot{V}}$ -gerbes $h \colon \mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}} \to \mathcal{E}'_{\dot{V}}$, inducing an isomorphism $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, G_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, G_{\mathcal{E}'_{\dot{V}}})$ which, since

 $\check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, P_{\check{V}})$ vanishes by Proposition 3.22, is independent of the choice of *h*, by [Dil20, Lemma 2.55].

Let \mathcal{A} denote the category whose objects are pairs (Z, G) as above and whose morphisms from (Z_1, G_1) to (Z_2, G_2) are F-morphisms from G_1 to G_2 that map Z_1 to Z_2 . As shown in [Dil20, §2.6], we have the "inflation-restriction" exact sequence (of pointed sets or abelian groups, if G is abelian)

$$1 \to H^1(F,G) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to G) \to \operatorname{Hom}_F(P_{V}, Z) \to H^2(F,G)$$

where the H^2 -term is to be ignored if G is non-abelian. The above map from $\operatorname{Hom}_F(P_{\dot{V}}, Z)$ to $H^2(F, G)$ can be described as the composition of the map $\Theta_{\dot{V}}^P$: $\operatorname{Hom}_F(P_{\dot{V}}, Z) \to H^2(F, Z)$ defined in §3.2 with the natural map $H^2(F, Z) \to H^2(F, G)$. Even more generally, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} H^1(F,G) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}},Z \to G) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_F(P_{\dot{V}},Z) & \longrightarrow & H^2(F,G) \\ \\ & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(F,G) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F,G/Z) & \longrightarrow & H^2(F,Z) & \longrightarrow & H^2(F,G), \end{array}$$

where the second vertical map comes from defining the $(G/Z)_{\mathcal{E}_{V}}$ -torsor $\mathscr{T} \times^{G_{\mathcal{E}_{V}}} (G/Z)_{\mathcal{E}_{V}}$, which, by construction, has trivial P_{V} -action, and thus is the pullback of a G/Z-torsor T over F, whose class we take to be the image of $[\mathscr{T}]$. We have the following two direct translations of results from [Kal18]:

Lemma 4.1. If G is either abelian or connected and reductive, then the map

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(F, G/Z)$$

defined above is surjective.

Proof. The identical argument as in [Kal18, Lemma 3.6.1] works here, replacing the use of Lemma A.1 loc. cit. with [Tha19, Corollary 1.10] for connected reductive G.

We get an analogue of [Kal18, Lemma 3.6.2]:

Lemma 4.2. If G is connected and reductive, then for each $x \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$, there exists a maximal torus $T \subset G$ such that x is in the image of $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$.

Proof. One can use the same proof as for the corresponding result in [Kal18], once again replacing the use of Lemma A.1 loc. cit. with [Tha19, Corollary 1.10]. \Box

The next goal is to construct a localization map $loc_v \colon H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)$ for any $v \in \dot{V}$, where \mathcal{E}_v denotes the local gerbe defined in [Dil20, §3], such that the diagram

commutes, where (using Čech cohomology) the left-hand vertical map is induced by the inclusion $\overline{F} \to \overline{F_v}$ and the right-hand map is induced by the *F*-homomorphism $\log_v^P : u_v \to (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}$ defined §3.2.

We have the category $(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}})_{F_v} := \mathcal{E} \times_{\operatorname{Sch}/F} (\operatorname{Sch}/F_v)$, which is an fpqc $(P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}$ -gerbe split over $\overline{F_v}$; recall that the objects of $(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}$ are pairs (X, f), where $f : S \to \operatorname{Spec}(F_v)$ is an F-morphism and X lies in $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}(S)$. Morphisms are defined in the obvious way.

Fixing an isomorphism of P_{V} -gerbes $(\mathcal{E}_{V})_{F_{v}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{x_{v}}$, where x_{v} denotes a Čech 2-cocycle representing the image of $\xi \in \check{H}^{2}(\overline{F}/F, P_{V})$ in $\check{H}^{2}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v}, P_{V})$, and an isomorphism of u_{v} -gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{v} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\xi_{v}}$, where ξ_{v} is a Čech 2-cocycle representing the local canonical class $[\xi_{v}]$, the fact that $\operatorname{loc}_{v}^{P}([\xi_{v}]) = [x_{v}]$ (by Corollary 3.15) implies, by the functoriality of gerbes given by Construction 2.26, that we have a (non-canonical) morphism of fibered categories over F_{v} from $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_{v}}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{x_{v}}$ which is the morphism $\operatorname{loc}_{v}^{P}$ on bands, and, via the composition

$$\mathcal{E}_v \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\xi_v} o \mathcal{E}_{x_v} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}})_{F_v} o \mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}},$$

we obtain a functor $\mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$.

The functor $\mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ defined above is highly non-canonical. However, the morphism $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_v} \to \mathcal{E}_{x_v}$ is unique up to post-composing by an automorphism of \mathcal{E}_{x_v} determined by a Čech 1-cocycle of $P_{\dot{V}}$ valued in $\overline{F_v}$ (see [Dil20, §2]), and since, by Proposition 3.18, the group $\check{H}^1(\overline{F_v}/F_v, P_{\dot{V}})$ is trivial, such a 1-cocycle is in fact a 1-coboundary. The same is true for the normalizing isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_{\xi_v}$ and $(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}})_{F_v} \to \mathcal{E}_{x_v}$, using Proposition 3.18 and [Dil20, Corollary 3.5]. We are now ready to define our main localization map.

We can use the functor $\mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ to pull back any Z-twisted $G_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ -torsor to a Z_{F_v} -twisted $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ -torsor, giving a map

$$\operatorname{loc}_{v}: H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \to H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{v}, Z \to G)$$

which, by combining the above paragraph with [Dil20, Proposition 2.54], is canonical. Note that this map is canonical up to finer equivalence classes of $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ -torsors, where we replace isomorphism classes with classes whose elements are related via isomorphisms $\mathscr{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \eta^* \mathscr{T}$ of $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ -torsors induced by translation by $z^{-1} \in Z(\overline{F_v})$, where $\eta \colon \mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_v$ is the automorphism of gerbes induced by the 1-coboundary d(z). It is straightforward to check that this localization map makes the diagram (13) commute.

4.2. Tate-Nakayama duality for tori. We are now ready to discuss duality for tori. As in [Kal18], we define $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$ to be the full subcategory consisting of objects $[Z \to G]$ for which G is a torus, and for $v \in \dot{V}$, the category \mathcal{T}_v the category of pairs $[Z \to T]$ where T is an F_v -torus and Z is finite (and defined over F_v), with morphisms given as in \mathcal{A} . Recall from [Dil20, §4.1] that associated to such a pair $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}_v$ we have the group

$$\overline{Y}_{+v,\text{tor}}[Z \to T] := (X_*(T/Z)/[I_vX_*(T)])_{\text{tor}} = (X_*(T/Z)/[I_vX_*(T)])^{N_{E/F_v}}$$

where $I_v \subset \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_v]$ denotes the augmentation ideal, E/F_v denotes a finite Galois extension splitting T, and the superscript N_{E/F_v} denotes the kernel of the norm map. Moreover, by [Dil20, Theorem 4.8], we have a canonical functorial isomorphism

$$\iota_v \colon \overline{Y}_{+v, \text{tor}}[Z \to T] \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to T)$$

which commutes with the maps of both groups to $\operatorname{Hom}_{F_v}(u_v, Z)$.

Following [Kal18], the first step is to construct the global analogue of the groups $\overline{Y}_{+v,\text{tor}}[Z \to T]$, which is unchanged in the function field setting. For fixed $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}$ we set $Y := X_*(T)$, $\overline{Y} := X_*(T/Z)$, and $A^{\vee} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(Z), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to Y \to \overline{Y} \to A^{\vee} \to 0,$$

due to the vanishing of the $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ -functor for free abelian groups. For any *i*, the $\Gamma_{E_i/F}$ -module $\mathbb{Z}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0$ is a free abelian group, and thus we may tensor it with the above exact sequence, giving a new short exact sequence

$$0 \to Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0 \to \overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0 \to A^{\vee}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0 \to 0,$$

and denote by $\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0 \subseteq \overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0$ the preimage of the subgroup $A^{\vee}[\dot{S}_i]$ under the above surjection; note that, by construction $\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0$ contains the image of $Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0$.

Choosing any section $s: (S_i)_{E_i} \to (S_{i+1})_{E_{i+1}}$ such that $s(\dot{S}_i) \subset \dot{S}_{i+1}$, we may define a map

$$s_! \colon \overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0 \to \overline{Y}[(S_{i+1})_{E_{i+1}}, \dot{S}_{i+1}]_0$$

by

$$s_!(\sum_{w \in (S_i)_{E_i}} c_w[w]) = \sum_{w' \in (S_{i+1})_{E_{i+1}}, s((w')_{E_i}) = w'} c_{(w')_{E_i}}[w']$$

The following result of [Kal18] (Lemma 3.7.1 loc. cit.) carries over verbatim to our situation:

Lemma 4.3. The assignment $f \mapsto s_1 f$ induces a well-defined homomorphism

$$!: \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0} \to \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_{i+1})_{E_{i+1}}, \dot{S}_{i+1}]_0}{I_{E_{i+1}/F}Y[(S_{i+1})_{E_{i+1}}]_0}$$

which is independent of the choice of s.

Definition 4.4. We define

$$\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+, \text{tor}} := \varinjlim_{i} \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}, \dot{S}_{i}]_{0}}{I_{E_{i}/F}Y[(S_{i})_{E_{i}}]_{0}} [\text{tor}],$$

with transition maps given by !. We also define

$$Y[V_{\overline{F}}]_{0,\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}} := \varinjlim_{i} \frac{Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0}[\mathrm{tor}],$$

with transition maps induced by !.

The above two groups fit into the short exact sequence

$$0 \to Y[V_{\overline{F}}]_{0,\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}} \to \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\mathrm{tor}} \to A^{\vee}[\dot{V}]_0 \to 0,$$

where the last term is as defined in Lemma 3.13.

For any $v \in V$ we can define a localization morphism

$$l_v \colon \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+, \mathrm{tor}} \to \overline{Y}_{+v, \mathrm{tor}}$$

as follows. For a fixed index i, choose a representative $\dot{\tau} \in \Gamma_{E_i/F}$ for each right coset $\tau \in \Gamma_{E_i/F}^{\dot{v}} \setminus \Gamma_{E_i/F}$ such that $\dot{\tau} = 1$ for the trivial coset, and then for $f = \sum_{w \in (S_i)_{E_i}} c_w[w] \in \overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0$, set

$$l_v^i(f) = \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma_{E_i/F}^{\dot{v}} \backslash \Gamma_{E_i/F}} {}^{\dot{\tau}} c_{\tau^{-1}}{}_{(\dot{v})} \in \overline{Y}.$$

With the construction of l_v^i in hand, the following result of [Kal18] (which is unchanged in our setting) shows that it provides the desired localization map:

Lemma 4.5. The assignment $f \mapsto l_v^i(f)$ descends to a group homomorphism

$$l_v^i \colon \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0} \to \frac{\overline{Y}}{I_vY}$$

that is independent of the choices of representatives $\dot{\tau}$ and is compatible with the transition maps ! defined above.

Proof. See the proof of [Kal18, Lemma 3.7.2].

We may thus define the localization map l_v as the direct limit of the maps l_v^i . We can now give the statement of the global Tate-Nakayama isomorphism, following [Kal18, Theorem 3.7.3] in the number field case:

Theorem 4.6. There exists a unique isomorphism

$$U_{V}: \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,tor} \to H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$$

of functors $\mathcal{T} \to AbGrp$ that fits into the commutative diagram

$$Y[V_{\overline{F}}]_{0,\Gamma,tor} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,tor} \longrightarrow A^{\vee}[\dot{V}]_{0}$$

$$\downarrow^{TN} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\iota_{\dot{V}}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{1}(F,T) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T) \longrightarrow Hom_{F}(P_{\dot{V}}, Z).$$

where TN denotes the colimit over i of the finite global Tate-Nakayama isomorphisms

$$H^{-1}(\Gamma_{E_i/F}, Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0) \to H^1(\Gamma_{E_i/F}, T(O_{E_i,S_i}))$$

first mentioned in Lemma 3.3 in §3.1 (these splice to give a well-defined map, by Lemma 3.1.2 and Corollary 3.1.8 from [Kal18]), and the right vertical arrow is the one from Lemma 3.13.

Moreover, for each $v \in V$, the following diagram commutes

As in [Kal18], this theorem takes some work to prove. We start with some linear algebraic results which can be taken directly from [Kal18]. Although $\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0$ is not $\Gamma_{E_i/F}$ -stable, it still makes sense to define the group $\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0^{N_{E_i/F}}$ as the intersection $\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0 \cap \overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0^{N_{E_i/F}}$.

Lemma 4.7. We have the equality

$$\frac{\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0^{N_{E_i/F}}}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0} = \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0}[tor].$$

Proof. This is [Kal18, Lemma 3.7.6].

Lemma 4.8. Every element of $\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0/I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0$ has a representative supported on \dot{S}_i .

Proof. This is [Kal18, Lemma 3.7.7].

Following the outline of [Kal18, §3.7], the first step is proving an analogous Tate-Nakayama isomorphism involving not $P_{\dot{V}}$, but the groups P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i} , which are defined as $\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i,n}$; note that an alternative description of $P_{\dot{V}}$ is as the limit $\varprojlim_i P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i}$; for more details, see [Kal18, §3.3]. Fix a triple (E, S, \dot{S}_E) satisfying Conditions 3.8, since we will be focusing on only one fixed index i at first. Denote by \mathcal{T}_E the full subcategory of objects $[Z \to T]$ of \mathcal{T} such that T splits over E.

Note that $\check{H}^2(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_S, P_{E,\dot{S}_E}) = H^2(O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E}) = \varprojlim_n H^2(O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n})$; the first equality is a straightforward exercise, and the second one follows from the vanishing of the derived limit $\varliminf^{(1)} H^1(O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n})$ due to:

Lemma 4.9. The groups $H^1(O_{F,S}, P_{E,S_{F,n}})$ are finite for all finite n.

Proof. Set $P = P_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}$. By [Čes16, Proposition 4.12] (and its proof), the natural map

$$H^1(O_{F,S}, P) \to H^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,S}, P) = \prod_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, P) \times \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(O_v, P)$$

has closed, discrete image and finite kernel, so it suffices to show that the image is finite. We claim that the right-hand side is compact—for this claim, it's enough by Tychonoff's theorem to prove that each $H^1(F_v, P)$ and $H^1(O_v, P)$ is compact. We showed this result for the former groups in Corollary 3.15; for the latter, note that [Čes16] (3.1.1) says that each subset $H^1(O_v, P) \subseteq$ $H^1(F_v, P)$ may be canonically topologized so that this inclusion is open, and since $H^1(F_v, P)$ is profinite (and hence totally disconnected), it is also closed, and therefore compact. Now the result follows, since closed, discrete subspaces of compact spaces are finite.

We thus have a canonical class $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E} \in \check{H}^2(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_S, P_{E,\dot{S}_E}) = \varprojlim_n \check{H}^2(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n})$ given by the inverse limit of the classes $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E,n}$ defined just before our Lemma 3.10, which form a coherent system by that same lemma. By [Dil20, §2.3], the group $\check{H}^2(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_S, P_{E,\dot{S}_E})$ is in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of P_{E,\dot{S}_E} -gerbes (over Sch $/O_{F,S}$) split over O_S^{perf} ; fix such a gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}$. For any $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}_E$, the group $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}, Z \to T)$ is defined identically as above. We have the usual inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$1 \to H^1(O_S, T) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{E, \dot{S}_E}, Z \to T) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{O_{F,S}}(P_{E_i, \dot{S}_i}, Z) \to H^2(O_S, T),$$

where the last map is the composition of the direct limit of the maps $\Theta_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n}^{P}$ defined by equation (8) with the natural map $H^{2}(O_{F,S}, Z) \to H^{2}(O_{F,S}, T)$.

(8) with the natural map $H^2(O_{F,S}, Z) \to H^2(O_{F,S}, T)$. Pick any 2-cochain $c_{E,S} \in [\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)](O_{E,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}} 3})$ lifting a choice of 2-cocycle

$$\overline{c_{E,S}} \in [\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m](O_{E,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}3})$$

representing the Tate class discussed in §3.1, a cofinal system $\{n_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N}^{\times} , as well as a system of compatible n_i -root maps

$$k_i \colon \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_S \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}) \to \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_S^{\operatorname{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_S^{\operatorname{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{E,S}),$$

as constructed in §3.1 (by "compatible," we mean in the sense discussed in §3.1). Recall that for $id \in End(M_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i})^{\Gamma}$, we get that $\Psi_{E,S,n_i}(id) := \beta_i \in Maps(S_E, M_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}^{\vee})_0$ which is a -1-cocycle given by

$$\beta_i(w)(\sum_{(\gamma,w)\in\Gamma_{E/F}\times S_E}c_{(\gamma,w)}[(\gamma,w)])=c_{(1,w)}\in\frac{1}{n_i}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Finally, we showed in §3.1 that the class ξ_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i} was represented by the *explicit* 2-cocycle

$$\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n_{i}} := d(\overline{k_{i}(c_{E,S})}) \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} \beta_{i}$$

where for $x \in [\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)](R)$, \overline{x} denotes its image in $[\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m](R)$.

Fact 3.2.3 from [Kal18] shows that for any finite Z, $A_Z^{\vee} := \text{Hom}(X^*(Z), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, and $n \mid m$ multiples of $\exp(Z)$, the map

$$\Phi_{E,S,n} \colon \operatorname{Maps}(S_E, A_Z^{\vee})_0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}, Z)$$

constructed in §3.2 (and used to provide the pairing used in the above cup product) satisfies $\Phi_{E,S,m}(g) = \Phi_{E,S,n}(g) \circ (-)^{\frac{m}{n}}$, so that if $p_{i+1,i}: P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_{i+1}} \to P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}$ denotes the transition map (defined over $O_{F,S}$), we compute—using Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 from [Dil20] for Čech cup product computations, replacing the extension E/F loc. cit. with $O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}$, which behaves the same way—that

$$p_{i+1,i}(d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})}) \sqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} \beta_{i+1}) = p_{i+1,i}[d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})}) \sqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} \Phi_{E,S,n_{i+1}}(\beta_{i+1})] =$$

 $d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})}) \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} (p_{i+1,i} \circ \Phi_{E,S,n_{i+1}}(\beta_{i+1})) = d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})}) \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} (p_{i+1,i} \circ \Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\beta_{i+1}) \circ (-)^{\frac{\epsilon+1}{n_i}}),$ and by functoriality in the argument Z this last expression can be rewritten as

$$d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})}) \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} (\Phi_{E,S,n_i}(p_{i+1,i}^{\vee}(\beta_{i+1})) \circ (-)^{\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i}}) = d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})}) \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} (\Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\beta_i) \circ (-)^{\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i}})$$

Since the map $(-)^{\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i}}$ is clearly defined over *F*, basic Čech cup product calculations (cf. [Dil20, §4.2]) show that the above expression may be rewritten as

$$((-)^{\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i}} [d(\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})})]) \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} (\Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\beta_i)) = d(\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}) \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} (\Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\beta_i)) = \dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i},$$

showing that the system $\{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n_{i}}\}_{i}$ is a well-defined 2-cocycle valued in $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}((O_{S}^{\text{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}3})$, which we will denote by $\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$. Note that the corresponding $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$ -gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}}$ is split over O_{S}^{perf} and represents the canonical class in $\check{H}^{2}(O_{S}^{\text{perf}}/O_{S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})$ discussed above (in the above notation, we can take $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$, which is not explicit, to be $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}}$, which is explicit). It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}}$ with morphisms $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n_{i}}}$ induced by the projection maps $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}} \rightarrow$ $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n_{i}}}$ may be canonically identified with the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{i} \mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n_{i}}}$ of the explicit finite-level gerbes (see §2.3 for a discussion of this construction).

Lemma 4.10. The pullback maps

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}}, T_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}}}) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}}, T_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}}})$$

induce an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E},n_{i}}}, Z \to T) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}}, Z \to T).$$

Proof. Using the equivalence of categories between $T_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E},\dot{S}_{E}}}$ -torsors and $\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$ -twisted T-torsors given by [Dil20, Proposition 2.49] (replacing the fpqc cover \overline{F}/F loc. cit. with $O_{S}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}$ in our setting—the arguments are unchanged), it's enough to prove the corresponding statement for twisted torsors. Picking an O_{S}^{perf} -trivialization of any such torsor (X, ψ) (where, recall that X is a T-torsor over O_{S}^{perf} and ψ is the *twisted gluing isomorphism* $\psi : p_{2}^{*}X \to p_{1}^{*}X$), we may assume that $X = T_{O_{S}^{\text{perf}}}$ is the trivial torsor. The $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$ -action on X is defined by an $O_{F,S}$ -homomorphism $\varphi : P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}} \to Z$, which factors through a homomorphism $\varphi_{i} : P_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n_{i}}} \to Z$ for some i, and our twisted gluing map ψ is equivalent to giving an element $x \in T(O_{S}^{\text{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F,S}} O_{S}^{\text{perf}})$ whose differential is $\varphi(\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}) = \varphi_{i}(\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n_{i}}})$. The data of φ_{i} and x thus defines a $\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_{E,n_{i}}}$ -twisted T-torsor whose pullback is isomorphic to (X, ψ) , as desired.

For a fixed $[Z \to T]$ in \mathcal{T}_E , we set $\overline{T} := T/Z$, and recall our usual notation with cocharacter groups. Applying the (exact) functor $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[S_E]_0$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to Y \to \overline{Y} \to A^{\vee} \to 0$$

gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \to Y[S_E]_0 \to \overline{Y}[S_E]_0 \to A^{\vee}[S_E]_0 \to 0.$$
(14)

There is an obvious pairing of $O_{F,S}$ -group schemes

$$\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)}{\mathbb{G}_m} \times \underline{Y[S_E]_0} \to T,$$
(15)

where we are making the canonical identification of $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -modules

$$Y[S_E]_0 = \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mathbb{G}_m)}{\mathbb{G}_m}, T).$$

Note that for *i* large enough so that $\exp(Z)$ divides n_i , for $g \in \overline{Y}[S_E]_0$, we have $n_i \cdot g \in Y[S_E]_0$ and the restriction of $n_i \cdot g$ to the subgroup $\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mu_{n_i})/\mu_{n_i}$ factors through the subgroup Z, and in fact gives the map

$$\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mu_{n_i})}{\mu_{n_i}} \to Z$$

given by $[g] \times - \to Z$, via the pairing $A^{\vee}[S_E]_0 \times \operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mu_{n_i})/\mu_{n_i} \to Z$ induced by (6), where [g] denotes the image of g in $A^{\vee}[S_E]_0$ in the short exact sequence (14).

Define $A^{\vee}[\dot{S}_E]^{N_{E/F}}$ to be $A^{\vee}[S_E]_0^{N_{E/F}} \cap A^{\vee}[\dot{S}_E]_0$, which is in bijection with $\text{Hom}(P_{E,\dot{S}_E},Z)^{\Gamma}$ via the map $\Psi_{E,S}$ defined in Lemma 3.9. Following the linear algebraic situation for the group $P_{\dot{V}}$, define $\overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0$ as the preimage of $A^{\vee}[\dot{S}_E]_0$ in $\overline{Y}[S_E]_0$, and set $\overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}} := \overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0 \cap \overline{Y}[S_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}$. We are now ready to give the first version of the extended Tate-Nakayama isomorphism, which is the analogue of in [Kal18, Proposition 3.7.8]:

Proposition 4.11. (1) Given $\overline{\Lambda} \in \overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}$ and *i* large enough so that exp(Z) divides n_i , we may define a $\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}$ -twisted Čech 2-cocycle valued in *T* (see [Dil20, §2.5]) by the pair

$$z_{\bar{\Lambda},i} := (\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_i \bar{\Lambda}, \Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}])),$$

where the unbalanced cup product is with respect to the pairing (15).

- (2) The pullback $p_{i+1,i}^*(z_{\bar{\Lambda},i})$ coincides with the $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_{i+1}}$ -twisted cocycle $z_{\bar{\Lambda},i+1}$. Thus, pulling back any $z_{\Lambda,i}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E}}$ defines the same $\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}$ -twisted cocycle, denoted by $z_{\bar{\Lambda}}$.
- (3) The assignment $\overline{\Lambda} \mapsto z_{\overline{\lambda}}$ defines an isomorphism

$$i_{E,\dot{S}_E} \colon \frac{\overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}}{I_{E/F}Y[S_E]_0} \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}}, Z \to T)$$

which is functorial in $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}_E$ and makes the following diagram commute:

Proof. The proof will follow the same outline as the analogous one in [Kal18]. Proving the first claim just means showing, for fixed large enough *i*, the equality

$$d(\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_i \bar{\Lambda}) = \Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}])(\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}).$$

Viewing $n_i \bar{\Lambda}$ as a -1-cochain, we see that $d(n_i \bar{\Lambda}) = 0$, since by construction $\bar{\Lambda}$ is killed by $N_{E/F}$. Hence, it follows from [Dil20, Proposition 4.3],that

$$d(\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})} \sqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_i \bar{\Lambda}) = d[\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}] \sqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_i \bar{\Lambda},$$
(16)

and now since $d[\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}]$ lies in the subgroup $[\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mu_{n_i})/\mu_{n_i}]((O_S^{\operatorname{perf}})^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}3})$ and we know that the restriction of $n_i\overline{\Lambda}$ to $\operatorname{Res}_{E/S}(\mu_{n_i})/\mu_{n_i}$ is equal to $\Phi_{E,S,n_i}([\overline{\Lambda}])$, we can rewrite the righthand term of (16) as $d[\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}] \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} \Phi_{E,S,n_i}([\overline{\Lambda}])$. By functoriality, this term can be rewritten as $d[\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}] \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} \Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\overline{\Lambda}])^{\vee}(\beta_i))$, which again by functoriality may further be expressed as

$$d[\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}] \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} \Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}]) \circ \Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\beta_i) = \Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}])(d[\overline{k_i(c_{E,S})}] \underset{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}}{\sqcup} \Phi_{E,S,n_i}(\beta_i)),$$

where to obtain the above equality we are using the fact $\Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}])$ is $\Gamma_{E/F}$ -fixed to apply [Dil20, Lemma 4.5]. But now by definition this last term equals $\Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}])(\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i})$, as desired.

We now move to the second claim of the proposition. The first step is noting that $p_{i+1,i} \circ \Psi_{E,S,n_{i+1}}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}]) = \Psi_{E,S,n_i}^{-1}([\bar{\Lambda}])$, since, as discussed in Lemma 3.9, the maps $\Psi_{E,S,n}$ are compatible

with the projection maps for the system $\{P_{E,\dot{S}_E,n_i}\}_i$. Moreover, we have by the \mathbb{Z} -bilinearity of the unbalanced cup product and coherence of the system of maps $\{k_i\}_i$ that

$$\overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_{i+1}\overline{\Lambda} = \overline{k_{i+1}(c_{E,S})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} (\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i})[n_i\overline{\Lambda}] = \overline{k_i(c_{E,S})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_i\overline{\Lambda},$$

concluding the proof of the second claim.

It is clear that the map $\bar{\Lambda} \mapsto z_{\bar{\Lambda}}$ defines a functorial homomorphism from $\overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_E/F}$ to $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}}, Z \to T)$. Moreover, if $\bar{\Lambda}$ lies in the subgroup $Y[S_E]_0$, then we have, first of all, that $[\bar{\Lambda}]$ vanishes in $A^{\vee}[S_E]_0$, so that the homomorphism associated to $z_{\bar{\Lambda}}$ is trivial. By \mathbb{Z} -bilinearity and the fact that already $\bar{\Lambda} \in Y[S_E]_0$, the associated twisted cocycle (which is, by the previous line, an actual cocycle) is given by $\overline{c_{E,S}} \sqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} \bar{\Lambda}$, which, since $\overline{c_{E,S}}$ is valued in the finite étale extension $O_{\pi,\sigma}/O_{\pi,\sigma}$ (Dil20) Proposition 4.11 and [Kal16, §4.3] tell us that (after applying the appropriate

 $O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}$, [Dil20, Proposition 4.1] and [Kal16, §4.3] tell us that (after applying the appropriate comparison isomorphisms) this cup product may be computed as the usual Galois-cohomological cup product $\overline{c_{E,S}} \cup \overline{\Lambda}$, which sends all of $I_{E/F}Y[S_E]_0$ to 1-coboundaries, showing that the above map induces a functorial homomorphism

$$\frac{\overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}}{I_{E/F}Y[S_E]_0} \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E, \dot{S}_E}}, Z \to T),$$

as asserted. This argument also shows that the top square in the diagram of the proposition commutes. The commutativity of the middle square is by construction, and the final square commutes by the diagram in Lemma 3.3. Since all horizontal maps in the diagram apart from i_{E,S_E} are isomorphisms, it is an isomorphism as well by the five-lemma.

The issue now is that, given our non-canonical explicit gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}}$, it is not clear that such an isomorphism will be canonical, or even that the groups $H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}, Z \to T)$ are canonical. The following result addresses these concerns:

Proposition 4.12. The group $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}, Z \to T)$ is independent of the choice of gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}$ up to unique isomorphism, and is equipped with a canonical functorial isomorphism ι_{E,\dot{S}_E} to the group

$$\frac{\overline{Y}[S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}}{I_{E/F}Y[S_E]_0}$$

that fits into the commutative diagram of Proposition 4.11.

Proof. The map ι_{E,\dot{S}_E} is obtained by composing an isomorphism (which the proposition asserts is unique) $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}, Z \to T) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}}, Z \to T)$ induced by any isomorphism of P_{E,\dot{S}_E} -gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E}}$ and then applying i_{E,\dot{S}_E} from Proposition 4.11.

This proposition requires work to show, but all the necessary arguments are done in [Kal18, §3.7]. The main ingredient is Lemma 3.7.10 loc. cit., which is purely group-theoretic and carries over to our setting unchanged (in the statement of that Lemma, eliminate the use of S and replace \mathbb{N}_S by \mathbb{N}). Once this result is known, [Kal18, Corollary 3.7.11] proves the proposition. The proof of this corollary relies on Lemma 3.7.9 loc. cit., which holds in our setting with \mathbb{N}_S replaced by \mathbb{N} , Proposition 3.7.8 loc. cit., which is our Proposition 4.11, and the finiteness of $H^1(O_{F,S}, T)$, which is true in our setting as well.

Note that, in particular, the isomorphism ι_{E,\dot{S}_E} does not depend on the choice of cochain $c_{E,S}$ lifting a representative of the canonical Tate class in $H^2(O_{F,S}, \operatorname{Res}_{E,S}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m)$ which was used to construct the explicit gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}_{E,\dot{S}_E},n_i}$ and the isomorphism i_{E,\dot{S}_E} in Proposition 4.11.

In order to extend the isomorphism of Proposition 4.11 to $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$, we need to investigate what happens as we vary the extension E/F. As such, let K/F be a finite Galois extension containing E, and $(S'\dot{S}'_K)$ be a pair satisfying Conditions 3.8. We may assume that $S \subset S'$ and $\dot{S}_E \subset (\dot{S}'_K)_E$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_K}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}$ be gerbes corresponding to the canonical classes $\xi_{K,\dot{S}'_K} \in \check{H}^2(O_{S'}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S'}, P_{K,\dot{S}'_K})$ and $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_E} \in \check{H}^2(O_S^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S}, P_{E,\dot{S}_E})$, respectively. The first step is to construct an inflation map

$$\mathrm{nf}\colon H^1(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E},Z\to T)\to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_K},Z\to T)$$

We begin by pulling back $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$, which we recall is a $P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$ -gerbe over $O_{F,S}$ that is split over O_{S}^{perf} , to the $(P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})_{O_{F,S'}}$ -gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}} \times_{\text{Sch}/O_{F,S}} (\text{Sch}/O_{F,S'}) =: (\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})_{O_{F,S'}}$, which is split over $O_{F,S'} \cdot O_{S}^{\text{perf}}$ (taken inside \overline{F}), contained in $O_{S'}^{\text{perf}}$. It is straightforward to check that the Čech cohomology class in $\check{H}^{2}(O_{S'}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F,S'}, (P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})_{O_{F,S'}})$ corresponding to $(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})_{O_{F,S'}}$ is the image of $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$ under the obvious morphism of Čech cohomology groups. We have a projection map $P_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}} \rightarrow (P_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})_{O_{F,S'}}$ given by the inverse limit of the finite-level projection maps, which on degree-2 Čech cohomology groups, by Lemma 3.12, sends $\xi_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}}$ to the image of $\xi_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$. Using this equality of cocycles, picking normalizations of $\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}}$ and using Construction 2.26 allows us to construct a (non-canonical) morphism of stacks over $O_{F,S'}$ from $\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}}$ to $(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}})_{O_{F,S'}}$. By pulling back torsors via the composition of functors

$$\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_K} \to (\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E})_{O_{F,S'}} \to \mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}$$

we get the desired inflation map.

The map we just constructed from $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_E}, Z \to T)$ to $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_K}, Z \to T)$ is evidently functorial in $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}_E$, but (since we had to choose normalizations of gerbes as well as a 1-coboundary) it is not a priori clear that it is canonical. The following result addresses this issue, and is taken directly from [Kal18]:

Proposition 4.13. The inflation map constructed above is independent of the choice of functor $\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}} \to \mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}$, injective, functorial in $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}_{E}$, and fits into the two commutative diagrams below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{E,\dot{S}_{E}},Z\to T) & \stackrel{Inf}{\longrightarrow} & H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}},Z\to T) \\ & \stackrel{\iota_{E,\dot{S}_{E}}}{\longrightarrow} & \stackrel{\iota_{K,\dot{S}'_{K}}}{\uparrow} \\ & \frac{\overline{Y}[S_{E},\dot{S}_{E}]_{0}^{N_{E/F}}}{I_{E/F}Y[S_{E}]_{0}} & \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} & \frac{\overline{Y}[S'_{K},\dot{S}'_{K}]_{0}^{N_{K/F}}}{I_{K/F}Y[S'_{K}]_{0}}, \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutativity of the second diagram is by construction. For injectivity, note that the homomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(P_{E,\dot{S}_E},Z)^{\Gamma} \to \operatorname{Hom}(P_{K,\dot{S}'_K},Z)^{\Gamma}$ is injective, since it's given by the homomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(A, M_{E,\dot{S}_E})^{\Gamma} \to \operatorname{Hom}(A, M_{K,\dot{S}'_K})^{\Gamma}$ induced by the $M_{E,\dot{S}_E} \to M_{K,\dot{S}'_K}$ which is given as the direct limit of injective maps, and is thus itself injective. Moreover, the inflation map $H^1(O_{F,S},T) \to H^1(O_{F,S'},T)$ is injective by [Kal18, Lemma 3.1.10], which works in our setting via étale-to-group cohomology comparison discussed in §2.1. Now the desired injectivity follows from the second diagram and basic diagram-chasing. The rest of the proposition follows from the argument given in [Kal18] for the proof of Proposition 3.7.12 loc. cit. \Box

Recall the exhaustive tower of finite Galois extensions E_i/F and pairs (S_i, \dot{S}_i) satisfying Conditions 3.8 and the inclusions $S_i \subset S_{i+1}$ and $\dot{S}_i \subset (\dot{S}_{i+1})_{E_i}$. For any P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i} -gerbe \mathcal{E}_i over O_{F,S_i} , split over $O_{S_i}^{\text{perf}}$, representing the Čech 2-cocycle ξ_{E_i,\dot{S}_i} , we first get the $(P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i})_F$ -gerbe $(\mathcal{E}_i)_F \to \mathcal{E}_i$ over F, split over \overline{F} ; note that the gerbe $(\mathcal{E}_i)_F$ corresponds to the Čech cohomology class given by the image of ξ_{E_i,\dot{S}_i} in $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, (P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i})_F)$. By construction of the canonical class ξ , the image of ξ in $\check{H}^2(\overline{F}/F, (P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i})_F)$ equals this image of ξ_{E_i,\dot{S}_i} . Thus, after normalizing the gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ and \mathcal{E}_i and choosing a coboundary, we get a functor $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}} \to \mathcal{E}_i$, and thus by pullback a group homomorphism

Inf:
$$H^1(\mathcal{E}_i, Z \to T) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to T).$$

Proposition 4.14. The above inflation maps splice together to give a canonical isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{T} \to AbGrp$:

$$\varinjlim_{i} H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{i}, Z \to T) \to H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T).$$

Proof. Following the structure of the proof of [Kal18, Proposition 3.7.13], the first step is showing that each inflation map is injective. This follows from an identical argument as in Proposition 4.13, replacing $O_{F,S'}$ with F and \mathcal{E}_{K,S'_K} with $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$, using that $P_{\dot{V}} \to P_{E_i,\dot{S}_i}$ is surjective and, again from [Kal18, Lemma 3.1.10], that the inflation map $H^1(O_{F,S_i},T) \to H^1(F,T)$ is injective. Then the argument in the proof of [Kal18, Proposition 3.7.13] shows that each inflation map is independent of gerbe normalizations and choice of coboundary (and is thus canonical). From here, the rest of the argument in [Kal18] carries over verbatim to our situation (this argument uses Lemmas 3.7.10 and 3.1.10 loc. cit, which, as we have argued, are true in the global function field setting)

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.6. We obtain the functorial isomorphism $\iota_{\dot{V}}$ by first (using Lemma 4.7) applying the functorial isomorphism $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_i \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0^{N_{E_i}/F}}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_{E_i}]_0}$,

52

and

then taking the functorial isomorphism

$$\lim_{i} \iota_{E_i, \dot{S}_i} \colon \varinjlim_{i} \frac{\overline{Y}[(S_i)_{E_i}, \dot{S}_i]_0^{N_{E_i/F}}}{I_{E_i/F}Y[(S_i)_E]_0} \to \varinjlim_{i} H^1(\mathcal{E}_i, Z \to T),$$

which is canonical and well-defined by Proposition 4.13, and then finally applying the canonical identification $\lim_{K \to i} H^1(\mathcal{E}_i, Z \to T) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to T)$ of Proposition 4.14. Applying the direct limit functor to the diagram of Proposition 4.11 (and using Proposition 4.12) gives the commutativity of the first diagram in Theorem 4.6—the fact that we can apply the direct limit functor to this diagram is a consequence of Proposition 4.13. Now the uniqueness of ι_{V} making the first diagram commute, as well as the commutativity of the second diagram, both follow from the abstract framework of [Kal18, Lemma 3.7.10], as explained in the proof of Theorem 3.7.3 loc. cit.

We conclude this subsection by collecting some local-to-global consequences of Theorem 4.6.

Corollary 4.15. We have the following commutative diagram with exact bottom row

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T) & \xrightarrow{(loc_{v})_{v}} \bigoplus_{v \in \dot{V}} H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{v}, Z \to T) \\ & & & \downarrow_{\dot{V}} \uparrow & & & \\ & & & \downarrow_{v} \uparrow & & & \\ \hline \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,tor} & \xrightarrow{(l_{v})_{v}} \bigoplus_{v \in \dot{V}} \overline{Y}_{+v,tor} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Y}}_{IY}[tor]. \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of [Kal18, Corollary 3.7.4] (the argument loc. cit. relies on that paper's analogue of Theorem 4.6 and arguments involving the bottom-row, which are purely Galois-cohomological and thus are unchanged in our setting). \Box

Corollary 4.16. Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$ with connected reductive G and $x \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$. Then $loc_v(x)$ is the neutral element in $H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)$ for almost all $v \in \dot{V}$.

Proof. As explained in [Kal18], this is a consequence of finding an element in $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$ for some maximal torus T which maps to x (possible by Lemma 4.2), deducing the result for this element of $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$ using the previous corollary, and then invoking the functoriality of our localization maps.

4.3. Extending to reductive groups. Let \mathcal{R} denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{A} consisting of objects $[Z \to G]$ where G is a connected reductive group over F. In the corresponding section (§3.8) of [Kal18], it is necessary for duality theorems to replace the sets $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$ with a quotient, denoted by $H^1_{ab}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$. However, in our case, due to the vanishing of $H^1(F, G)$ for all simply-connected (semi-simple) connected groups G over F (which is is an immediate consequence of [Tha08, Theorem 2.4]), this replacement will not be necessary for us.

The first step in extending Theorem 4.6 to \mathcal{R} is defining an analogue of the linear algebraic data $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to T])$ for $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}$. First, for a maximal *F*-torus *T* of *G*, we let T_{sc} denote $T \cap G_{\text{sc}}$, a maximal torus of G_{sc} (recall that G_{sc} denotes the simply connected cover of the derived group $\mathscr{D}G$). We then can define the abelian group

$$\lim_{(E,S_E,\dot{S}_E)} \frac{[X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{\rm sc})][S_E,\dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}}{I_{E/F}([X_*(T)/X_*(T_{\rm sc})][S_E]_0)}$$

where the colimit is over any cofinal system of triples (E, S_E, \dot{S}_E) , where E/F is a finite Galois extension splitting T and the pair (S_E, \dot{S}_E) satisfies Conditions 3.8; the transition maps are given by the map ! defined in the previous section. The only term appearing in this colimit that we need to define is $[X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{sc})][S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0$, which we take to be those elements of $[X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{sc})][S_E]_0$ such that if $w \notin \dot{S}_E$, then $c_w \in X_*(T)/X_*(T_{sc})$ (as usual, the superscript $N_{E/F}$ denotes those elements which are killed by the E/F-norm).

Now for two such tori T_1, T_2 , we can define a map

$$\lim_{\to} \frac{[X_*(T_1/Z)/X_*(T_{1,sc})][S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_E/F}}{I_{E/F}([X_*(T_1)/X_*(T_{1,sc})][S_E]_0)} \to \lim_{\to} \frac{[X_*(T_2/Z)/X_*(T_{2,sc})][S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_E/F}}{I_{E/F}([X_*(T_2)/X_*(T_{2,sc})][S_E]_0)}$$
(17)

as follows. By [Kal16, Lemma 4.2], for any $g \in G(F^{sep})$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(g)(T_1) = T_2$, we get an isomorphism $X_*(T_1/Z)/X_*(T_{1,sc}) \to X_*(T_2/Z)/X_*(T_{2,sc})$ which is independent of the choice of g, and is thus Γ -equivariant. It follows that $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ also induces the desired homomorphism (17) on direct limits. We then define a functor $\mathcal{R} \to \operatorname{AbGrp}$ given by

$$\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+, \text{tor}}([Z \to G]) := \varinjlim[\lim_{(E, S_E, \dot{S}_E)} \frac{[X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{\text{sc}})][S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0^{N_{E/F}}}{I_{E/F}([X_*(T)/X_*(T_{\text{sc}})][S_E]_0)}]$$

where the outer colimit is over all maximal F-tori T of G via the maps constructed above. It is clear that this extends the functor $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}$ constructed in the previous section for $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{R}$, so our notation is justified. In what follows, we will always take our colimits over the fixed cofinal system (E_i, S_i, \dot{S}_i) constructed above (such a system eventually splits any F-torus T).

We can now prove an extended duality theorem:

Theorem 4.17. The isomorphism of functors $\iota_{\dot{V}}$ from Theorem 4.6 extends to a unique isomorphism of functors (valued in pointed sets) on \mathcal{R} , also denoted by $\iota_{\dot{V}}$, from $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,tor}$ to $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, -)$.

Proof. Fix $[Z \to G]$ in \mathcal{R} and T a maximal F-torus of T. We claim that the fibers of the composition

$$\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+, \text{tor}}([Z \to T]) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\dot{V}}} H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$$

are torsors under the image of

$$Y[V_{\overline{F}}]_{0,\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}}(T_{\mathrm{sc}}) \to \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\mathrm{tor}}([Z \to T]).$$

By twisting, it's enough to prove this for the fiber over the class of the trivial torsor in the pointed set $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to G)$. That the image of an element x lands in this class means that it lies in the subset $H^1(F, G)$ of the right-most term, and hence its image in the middle term lies in $H^1(F, T)$; this already means that $x \in Y[V_F]_{0,\Gamma,tor}(T)$. Moreover, the image of x in $H^1(F,T)$ lies in the fiber over the neutral class for the map $H^1(F,T) \to H^1(F,G)$ We have the commutative diagram of pointed sets with exact rows

$$(G/T)(F) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F,T) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F,G)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$(G_{\rm sc}/T_{\rm sc})(F) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F,T_{\rm sc}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F,G_{\rm sc}),$$

and since the natural map $G_{sc}/T_{sc} \to G/T$ is an isomorphism (of *F*-schemes, not groups), we may lift the image of x in $H^1(F,T)$ to an element $x_{sc} \in H^1(F,T_{sc})$. Now the claim is clear by the functoriality of Tate-Nakayama duality for tori. The above claim immediately implies that we have an injective map

$$\frac{Y[V_{\overline{F}},V]_{0,+,\mathrm{tor}}([Z\to T])}{\mathrm{Im}[Y[V_{\overline{F}}]_{0,\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}}(T_{\mathrm{sc}})]}\to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}},Z\to G).$$

Arguments involving cocharacter modules (see [Kal18], proof of Theorem 3.8.1) show that the image $\text{Im}[Y[V_{\overline{F}}]_{0,\Gamma,\text{tor}}(T_{\text{sc}})]$ is exactly the kernel of the natural map

$$\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+, \text{tor}}([Z \to T]) \to \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+, \text{tor}}([Z \to G]),$$

and so, putting the above two observations together, we have a natural inclusion

$$\operatorname{Im}(\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\operatorname{tor}}([Z \to T]) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\operatorname{tor}}([Z \to G])) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G).$$

Now note that any two elements of $Y[V_{\overline{F}}, V]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G])$ lie in the image of the group $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to T])$ for some maximal F-torus $T \subset G$. The analogous argument using elliptic maximal tori (over the local fields F_v) in the proof of [Kal18, Theorem 3.8.1] works for us, once we replace [PR94, Corollary 7.3] with [Tha13, Lemma 3.6.1], using that $H^2(F_v, T'_{sc})$ vanishes for any F_v -anisotropic maximal torus T'_{sc} (by Tate-Nakayama duality), and the fact that the map $H^2(F, T'_{sc}) \to \prod_{v \in V_F} H^2(F_v, T'_{sc})$ is injective whenever there exists a place $v \in S$ such that $(T'_{sc})_{F_v}$ is an F_v -anisotropic maximal torus in a connected semisimple group G_{sc} (see [PR94, Proposition 6.12], the proof of which works for function fields).

We now claim that if $x_i \in \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to T_i])$ for i = 1, 2 map to the same element in $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G])$, then their images $\iota_{\dot{V}}(x_i) \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T_i)$ map to the same element of $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$. We show this explicitly: Choose j large enough so that E_j splits T_i , and such that $\exp(Z) \mid n_j, x_i$ comes from $\overline{\Lambda}_i \in \overline{Y}_i[(S_j)_{E_j}, \dot{S}_j]_0^{N_{E_j/F}}$; choose also a lift $c_{E_j,S_j} \in$ $[\operatorname{Res}_{E_j,S_j}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m](O_{E_j,S}^{\bigotimes_{O_{F,S}}3})$ of a 2-cocycle representative of the global Tate class (and n_j -root maps k_j as constructed in §2.2). Denote by $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_j$ the explicit gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_{E_j,\dot{S}_j}}$ defined in §3.2, similarly with $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{j,n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that $i_{\dot{V}}(x_i)$ is represented in $H^1(\dot{\mathcal{E}}_j, Z \to T_i)$ by the pullback of the twisted 2-cocycles $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_i,n_j}$ (defined in §4.2), (to get an element of $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T_i)$, use the canonical inflation map from $H^1(\dot{\mathcal{E}}_j, Z \to T_i)$), denoted by $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}$. We want to show that the twisted 2-cocycles $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}$ give the same class of torsor in $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$; since any choice of functor $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}} \to \dot{\mathcal{E}}_j$ factors through $(\dot{\mathcal{E}}_j)_{\overline{F}}$ (by construction), it is enough to show that $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}$ are equivalent as twisted 2-cocycles in $H^1((\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{j,n_j})_{\overline{F}}, Z \to G)$ (cf. [Dil20, §2.5]); due to the fact that by construction both $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}$ are pulled back from $H^1((\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{j,n_j})_{\overline{F}}, Z \to G)$, it's enough to show the equality of $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_1,n_j}$ and $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_2,n_j}$ in the latter cohomology set. The next part of the argument is essentially the proof of [Dil20, Lemma 5.9].

It is clear that the images $[\bar{\Lambda}_i] \in \text{Hom}(A, M_{E_j,S_j,n_j})^{\Gamma} = A^{\vee}[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0^{N_{E_j}/F}$ are equal, which is the first step to showing equality of twisted cocycles. Choose $g \in G(F^{\text{sep}})$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)\bar{\Lambda}_1 = \bar{\Lambda}_2 + M$ for $M \in X_*(T_{2,\text{sc}}/Z)[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0$ (which exists by assumption). We have the $\Gamma_{E_j/F}$ -equivariant injection $X_*(T_i/Z) \to X_*(T_{i,\text{ad}}) \oplus X_*(G/(Z \cdot \mathscr{D}G))$ induced by the isogeny $T_i/Z \to T_i/(Z \cdot Z(\mathscr{D}G))$, and we write $\bar{\Lambda}_1 = q_1 + r$ according to this decomposition. Now since $\bar{\Lambda}_2 = \text{Ad}(g)(\bar{\Lambda}_1) + M$, we get that the corresponding decomposition for $\bar{\Lambda}_2$ is given by $(\text{Ad}(g)q_1 + M) + r$, since $M \in X_*(T_{2,\text{sc}}/Z)[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0$, and the image of $X_*(T_{2,\text{sc}}/Z)$ in $X_*(G/(Z \cdot \mathscr{D}G))$ is trivial, since the projection of $T_{2,\text{sc}}$ to G factors through $\mathscr{D}G$. We may replace n_j with $n_{j'}$ for a sufficiently large

 $j' \in \mathbb{N}$ to assume that $n_j q_1 \in X_*(T_{1,sc})[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0^{N_{E_j/F}}$ (possible because $T_{1,sc} \to T_{1,ad}$ is an isogeny), and that $n_j r \in X_*(Z(G)^\circ)[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0$ (possible because $Z(G)^\circ \to G/(Z \cdot \mathscr{D}G)$ is an isogeny).

We are now ready to demonstrate the equality of the twisted cocycles $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_1,n_i}$ and $z_{\bar{\Lambda}_2,n_i}$ (or rather, their images in $H^1((\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{j,n_i})_{\overline{F}}, Z \to G)$). Recall (since we've already shown equality of the associated homomorphisms) that this means finding some $x \in G(\overline{F})$ such that

$$\overline{k_j(c_{E_j,S_j})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_j \overline{\Lambda}_2 = p_1(x) \cdot [\overline{k_j(c_{E_j,S_j})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_j \overline{\Lambda}_1] \cdot p_2(x)^{-1}$$

inside the group $G(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$. Decomposing $\overline{\Lambda}_i$ as above and noting that $\overline{k_j(c_{E_j,S_j})} \underset{O_F \leq I/O_F \leq I}{\sqcup} n_j r \in \mathbb{R}$

 $Z(G)(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$, this reduces to the same equality with $\overline{\Lambda}_1$ replaced by q_1 and $\overline{\Lambda}_2$ replaced by $q_2 := \operatorname{Ad}(g)q_1 + M$. Following [Kal18], we set

$$c_i := \overline{k_j(c_{E_j,S_j})} \bigsqcup_{O_{E,S}/O_{F,S}} n_j q_i \in T_{i,\text{sc}}(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F});$$

note that, by construction, $n_j q_i \in X_*(T_{i,sc})[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0^{N_{E_j/F}}$. The image of c_i in $T_{i,ad}(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$ is equal (by \mathbb{Z} -bilinearity of the unbalanced cup product, using that $q_i \in X_*(T_{1,ad})[(S_j)_{E_j}]_0$ to $\overline{c_{E_j,S_j}} \bigsqcup_{E/F} q_i$ —here, since we are working with Čech cohomology with respect to \overline{F} , we have switched the unbalanced cup product notation. But now $\overline{c_{E_j,S_j}} \bigsqcup_{E/F} q_i =$ $\overline{c_{E_j,S_j}} \cup q_i$ is a Čech 1-cocycle of $T_{i,ad}(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$, so we may twist G_{sc} by c_1 to obtain the twisted \overline{F} -form $G_{\rm sc}^1$ with isomorphism

$$\phi \colon (G_{\mathrm{sc}})_{\overline{F}} \to (G^1_{\mathrm{sc}})_{\overline{F}}$$

such that $p_1^*\phi \circ p_2^*\phi^{-1} = \operatorname{Ad}(c_1)$ on $(G_{\operatorname{sc}})_{\overline{F}\otimes_F\overline{F}}$.

We claim that $p_1^*\phi(c_2 \cdot c_1^{-1})$ is a 1-cocycle in $G_{sc}^1(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$; an identical computation as in the proof [Dil20, Lemma 5.9] shows that the differential of $p_1^*\phi(c_2 \cdot c_1^{-1})$ post-composed with the isomorphism $q_1^* \phi^{-1}$ (where $q_1 \colon \overline{F} \to \overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F 3}$ is inclusion into the first factor) gives $dc_2 \cdot dc_1^{-1}$, where, by our unbalanced cup product formulas,

$$dc_i = d[\overline{k_j(c_{E_j,S_j})}] \bigsqcup_{E/F} n_j q_i,$$

using that the E_j/F -norm of n_jq_i vanishes. The cocycle claim is proven after we observe that, as explained in [Kal18], the inclusions $Z(G_{sc}) \rightarrow T_{i,sc}$ give maps

$$X_{*}(T_{i,\mathrm{ad}})[(S_{j})_{E_{j}}]_{0} \to \frac{X_{*}(T_{i,\mathrm{ad}})}{X_{*}(T_{i,\mathrm{sc}})}[(S_{j})_{E_{j}}]_{0} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\frac{\mathrm{Res}_{E_{j},S_{j}}(\mu_{n_{j}})}{\mu_{n_{j}}}, Z(G_{\mathrm{sc}})),$$

under which the images of q_1 and q_2 coincide.

By the vanishing of $H^1(F, G_{sc}^1) = \check{H}^1(\overline{F}/F, G_{sc}^1)$ (since G_{sc}^1 is simply-connected and connected), there is some $x \in G_{sc}(\overline{F})$ such that

$$c_2 \cdot c_1^{-1} = p_1(x)^{-1} c_1 p_2(x) c_1^{-1},$$

and hence the image of x in $G(\overline{F})$ realizes the desired equivalence of twisted cocycles.

We may finally deduce the claim of the theorem. We showed above that for a maximal F-torus T of G, there is a natural inclusion

$$\operatorname{Im}(\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0, +, \operatorname{tor}}([Z \to T]) \to \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0, +, \operatorname{tor}}([Z \to G])) \hookrightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G),$$

and, as we have shown, these images capture all elements of $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G])$. Thus, for $x \in \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G])$, we define $\iota_{\dot{V}}(x)$ to be the image of $\iota_{\dot{V}}(y) \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$, where $y \in \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to T])$ maps to x. By our above argument, the induced map $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G]) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$ does not depend on the choice of preimage y. This map is evidently surjective, by Lemma 4.2, and is injective because of the above natural inclusion and the fact that any two elements of $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G])$ both lie in the image of $\overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to T])$ for some T. By construction, these isomorphisms extend the isomorphism of functors $\iota_{\dot{V}}$ defined on the full subcategory \mathcal{T} , and are functorial with respect to morphisms $[Z \to T] \to [Z \to G]$ in \mathcal{R} given by inclusions of maximal tori defined over F. Since every $x \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$ lies in the image of some $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$, it follows that the extension of $\iota_{\dot{V}}$ to \mathcal{R} also defines an isomorphism of functors on \mathcal{R} .

To conclude this subsection, we state some local-global compatibilities that arise from Theorem 4.17. Note that the morphism of functors from \mathcal{T} to AbGrp defined in the previous section, given by, for a fixed $v \in V$ and $[Z \to T] \in \mathcal{T}$, the map $l_v \colon \overline{Y}[V_{\overline{F}}, \dot{V}]_{0,+,\text{tor}}([Z \to T]) \to \overline{Y}_{+v,\text{tor}}([Z \to T])$, may be extended to a morphism of functors on \mathcal{R} induced by (after picking a set of coset representatives for $\Gamma_{E_i/F}^{\dot{v}} \setminus \Gamma_{E_i/F}$) mapping $f \in [X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{\text{sc}})][S_E, \dot{S}_E]_0$ to an element of $X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{\text{sc}})$ via the same formula as in the tori case. We also define a new functor $\mathcal{R} \to Ab$ Grp, denoted by $\overline{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}$, by

$$[Z \to G] \mapsto \lim_{\longrightarrow} \frac{X_*(T/Z)/X_*(T_{\rm sc})}{I(X_*(T)/X_*(T_{\rm sc}))} [\text{tor}],$$

where I is the augmentation ideal of Γ , the colimit is taken over all maximal F-tori T of G, and the transition maps are induced by Ad(g).

Corollary 4.18. We have a commutative diagram with exact bottom row:

where the symbol \square denotes the subset of the direct product of pointed sets in which all but finitely many coordinates equal the neutral element, and the map Σ makes sense since any maximal F_v torus of G_{F_v} is $G(\overline{F_v})$ -conjugate to the base-change T_{F_v} of a maximal F-torus T in G.

Proof. The commutativity is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.15, the functoriality of $\iota_{\dot{V}}$, and the fact that every $x \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$ lies in the image of some $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to T)$. The exactness of the bottom row is a straightforward character-theoretic argument.

We also have the following analogue of [Kal18, Corollary 3.8.2]:

Corollary 4.19. The image of

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G) \xrightarrow{(loc_v)_v} \bigsqcup_{v \in V} H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)$$

consists precisely of those elements which map trivially under the composition

$$\bigsqcup_{v\in\dot{V}}H^1(\mathcal{E}_v,Z\to G)\to\bigoplus_{v\in V}\overline{Y}_{+v,tor}([Z\to G])\to\overline{Y}_{+,tor}([Z\to G]).$$

Proof. Unlike in [Kal18], where some work is needed, this is a trivial consequence of Corollary 4.18.

4.4. Unramified localizations. Let G be a connected reductive group over F with finite central F-subgroup Z. Note that for any Z-twisted $G_{\mathcal{E}_{V}}$ -torsor \mathscr{T} (denote the set of such torsors by $Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to G)$), we can pull \mathscr{T} back to the $G_{\mathcal{E}_{V}, \overline{F_{v}}}$ -torsor $\mathscr{T}_{\overline{F_{v}}}$, and then via picking gerbe normalizations and a 1-coboundary, we get a functor $\Phi \colon \mathcal{E}_{v} \to \mathcal{E}_{V}$, and then $\log_{v}(\mathscr{T}) \coloneqq \Phi^{*}(\mathscr{T}_{\overline{F_{v}}})$ is a Z-twisted $G_{\mathcal{E}_{v}}$ -torsor, which depends on our choice of normalizations and coboundary up to replacing $\log_{v}(\mathscr{T})$ by the canonically-isomorphic (via translation by a^{-1}) torsor $\eta^{*}(\log_{v}(\mathscr{T}))$, where $\eta \colon \mathcal{E}_{v} \to \mathcal{E}_{v}$ is the automorphism induced by a 1-coboundary d(a), for $a \in u_{v}(\overline{F_{v}})$.

Note that since $\operatorname{Res}[\mathscr{T}] \in \operatorname{Hom}_F(P_{V}, Z)$ factors through P_{E_i,S_i,n_i} for some *i*, for all $v \notin S_i$ we have that $\operatorname{Res}[\operatorname{loc}_v(\mathscr{T})]$ is trivial, and hence $\operatorname{loc}_v(\mathscr{T})$ is the pullback of some *G*-torsor over F_v via the projection $\mathcal{E}_v \xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Sch}/F_v$. The canonical inclusion $Z(O_{F_v^{\operatorname{nr}}}) \to Z(\overline{F_v})$ is an equality for all but finitely many *v* (because *Z* is split over F_v^{nr} for all but finitely many *v*, and $O_{F_v^{\operatorname{nr}}}$ contains all roots of unity in $\overline{F_v}$). Choose an $O_{F,S}$ -model \mathcal{G} of *G* for a some finite subset $S \subset V$; note that, for almost all *v*, the subgroups $\mathcal{G}(O_{F_v^{\operatorname{nr}}})$ and $\mathcal{G}(O_{F_v^{\operatorname{nr}}}^{\operatorname{perf}})$ inside $G(F_v^{\operatorname{sep}})$ and $G(\overline{F_v})$ (respectively) do not depend on the choice of model \mathcal{G} . Our goal in this subsection is to prove the following function field analogue of [Taï18, Proposition 6.1.1]:

Proposition 4.20. Let $\mathscr{T} \in Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z \to G)$. For all but finitely many $v \in V$, the torsor $loc_v(\mathscr{T}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)/d(Z)$ is inflated from a \mathcal{G} -torsor \mathcal{T}_v over O_{F_v} . Here, we are using $Z^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z \to G)/d(Z)$ to denote equivalence classes of $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}$ -torsors with the equivalence relation given by $\mathscr{T} \sim \eta^* \mathscr{T}$ for $\eta \colon \mathcal{E}_v \to \mathcal{E}_v$ induced by d(a) for $a \in u_v(\overline{F_v}) \mapsto z \in Z(\overline{F_v})$ (we can always assume that $z \in Z(O_{F_v}^n)$, by the above discussion).

Moreover, choosing normalizations \mathcal{E}_{ξ} and \mathcal{E}_{ξ_v} of the gerbes \mathcal{E}_{V} and \mathcal{E}_v and viewing \mathscr{T} as a torsor on \mathcal{E}_{ξ} (the choice of normalization and class ξ does not affect the class of \mathscr{T} in $Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi}, Z \to G)/d(Z)$), we may canonically identify \mathscr{T} with a ξ -twisted G-torsor ($\mathcal{S}', \operatorname{Res}(\mathscr{T}), \psi'$), where \mathcal{S}' is a G-torsor over \overline{F} . Fix a $Z(\overline{F})$ -orbit of trivializations $\mathcal{O} = \{\mathcal{S}' \xrightarrow{h'} \underline{G}\}$; then for all but finitely many v, for any $h \in \mathcal{O}$, we may choose the \mathcal{G} -torsors \mathcal{T}_v over O_{F_v} such that the trivializations $h_{\overline{F_v}}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\overline{F_v}}$ are induced by the pullback of a trivialization $h_v: \mathcal{T}_v \to \underline{G}$ over the ring $O_{F_v}^{perf}$.

Proof. This proof is essentially a summary of [Taï18, §6.2] with some minor adjustments to accommodate the positive-characteristic situation. Let ξ_v denote a representative in $u_v(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$ of the local canonical class, $\dot{\xi} \in P_{\dot{V}}(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F 3})$ a representative of the global canonical class given in Definition 3.27. Pick a tower of resolutions by tori $(P_k \to T_k \to U_k)_k$ as in §3.4, and set $T := \lim_{k \to \infty} T_k, U := \lim_{k \to \infty} U_k$, which are pro-tori.

By construction of the global canonical class $[\dot{\xi}]$, the image of $[\dot{\xi}]$ in $H^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \to U)$ coincides with the image of the adelic canonical class $[x] \in \check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, P)$, which, unpacking the construction of [x], is to say (by the definition of the differentials arising from the double complex associated to $T \to U$) that there is some $a \in T(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}})$ and $b \in U(\overline{\mathbb{A}})$ such that

$$\xi = \left[\prod_{v \in V} \dot{S}_v^2(\operatorname{loc}_v(\xi_v))\right] \cdot d(a) \tag{18}$$

inside $T(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}}3})$ and $\overline{a} = db$ inside $U(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}})$, where recall that $\dot{S}_{v}^{2}(\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\xi_{v}))$ denotes the image of $\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\xi_{v}) \in P(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$ in $P(\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$ under a choice of Shapiro map (defined in §2.2—note that such a map is not canonical until one passes to cohomology). To make sense of the above product expression, we remind the reader that $P(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}}3}) = \varprojlim_{i} P_{i}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}}3})$, and for a fixed *i*, all but finitely-many projections $p_{i}[\dot{S}_{v}^{2}(\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\xi_{v}))]$ are trivial, and hence it makes sense to take this product in each $P_{i}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}}3}) = \varinjlim_{K/F} \prod_{v}' P_{i}(\mathbb{A}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$ (by Corollary 2.20) and then take the inverse limit.

Recall that $\dot{v} \in V_{F^{sep}}$ determines a ring homomorphism $\operatorname{pr}_{\dot{v}} \colon \overline{\mathbb{A}} \to \overline{F_v}$ defined by the direct limit of the the projection maps $\mathbb{A}_K = \prod'_{w \in V_K} K_w \to K_{\dot{v}_K}$ over all finite extensions K/F, where by \dot{v}_K we mean the unique extension of $\dot{v}_{K'}$, where K' is the maximal Galois subextension of K/F, to a valuation on K. Restricting this ring homomorphism to the subring $\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v \subset \overline{\mathbb{A}}$ gives a homomorphism of F_v -algebras. It is straightforward to check that we may choose our section $\Gamma/\Gamma_v \to \Gamma$ (cf. the construction of the Shapiro maps in §2.2) such that, on k-cochains, we have $\operatorname{pr}_v|_{\overline{\mathbb{A}}_v} \circ \dot{S}_v^k = \operatorname{id}_{\overline{F_v}}$. We also have the projection map $\overline{\mathbb{A}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_v} \overline{\mathbb{A}}_v$ defined the same way except using the direct limit of the project maps $\prod'_{w \in V_K} K_w \to \prod_{w \mid v} K_w$.

Applying $\operatorname{pr}_{v}|_{\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{v}} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{v}$ to the equality (18), we see that, for a fixed $v \in V$, the image of $\dot{\xi}$ in $T(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$, denoted by $\operatorname{res}_{v}(\dot{\xi})$ is given by $\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\xi_{v}) \cdot d(a_{v})$, where $a_{v} := \operatorname{pr}_{v}(a) \in T(\overline{F_{v}} \otimes_{F_{v}} \overline{F_{v}})$. Although this equality is a priori taking place in $T(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$, since the image of $\dot{\xi}$ and $\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\xi_{v})$ both lie in the subgroup $P(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$, we see that in fact $d(a_{v}) \in P(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}3})$ and thus this equality takes place in P. Set $b_{v} := \operatorname{pr}_{v}(b) \in U(\overline{F_{v}})$, and choose a lift $\tilde{b}_{v} \in T(\overline{F_{v}})$ of b_{v} , which is possible since the derived inverse limit $\varprojlim_{i}^{1} P_{i}(\overline{F_{v}})$ vanishes, since it consists of surjective maps and thus satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. Define $a'_{v} := a_{v}/d(\tilde{b}_{v})$, which lies in $P(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}}2})$ since its image under $T \to U$ equals $\operatorname{pr}_{v}(\overline{a})/\operatorname{pr}_{v}(db)$ (using that the isogenies $T_{k} \to U_{k}$ are defined over F, so they commute with Čech differentials), which is trivial by construction. We may replace a_{v} by a'_{v} and retain the equality

$$\operatorname{res}_{v}(\xi) = \operatorname{loc}_{v}(\xi_{v}) \cdot d(a'_{v}).$$

Continuing to follow [Taï18], for $k \ge 0$ and $v \in V$, we denote by $a_{v,k}$ (resp. $b_{v,k}$, $\tilde{b}_{v,k}$, $a'_{v,k}$) the image of a_v (resp. b_v , \tilde{b}_v , a'_v) in $T_k(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 2})$ (resp. $U_k(\overline{F_v})$, $T_k(\overline{F_v})$, $P_k(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 2})$). We claim that there is a finite set of places S' of F such that for all $v \notin S$, the element $a'_{v,k}$ lies in the subgroup $P_k([O_{F_w}^{\text{perf}}]^{\bigotimes_{O_{F_v} 2}})$. Recall that

$$a_k \in T_k(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}}) = \varinjlim_{E/F} T_k(\mathbb{A}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{A}_E) = \varinjlim_{E/F} (\varinjlim_S T_k(\mathbb{A}_{E,S} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_S} \mathbb{A}_{E,S})),$$

where the outside limit is over all finite extensions E/F and the inside limit is over all finite sets of places of F. It follows that we may find K/F finite containing E_k and finite $S' \subset V$ containing S_k such that the maximal Galois subextension K'/F of K is unramified outside S', such that T_k is split over K', $a_k \in T_k(\mathbb{A}_{K,S'} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{S'}} \mathbb{A}_{K,S})$, and $b_k \in U_k(\mathbb{A}_{K,S'})$. It follows that, for $v \notin S'$, we have $a_{k,v} \in T_k(O_{K_v} \otimes_{O_{F_v}} O_{K_v})$, and moreover, K'_v/F_v is unramified, so that $a_{k,v} \in T_k(O_{F_v}^{perf} \otimes_{O_{F_v}} O_{F_v}^{perf})$. Since the group P_k is killed by the n_k -power map, there is a unique morphism $U_k \to T_k$ such that the composition $U_k \to T_k \to U_k$ is the n_k -power map. Since $b_{k,v} \in U_k(O_{K_{\dot{v}}})$ for all $v \notin S'$ and T_k and U_k are split over K, any preimage of $b_{k,v}$ lies in $T_k([O_{K_{\dot{v}}}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})})$, where $[O_{K_{\dot{v}}}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})}$ denotes the fppf extension of $O_{K_{\dot{v}}}$ given by the composition of two extensions defined as follows. If n'_k is the prime-to-p part of n_k with $n_k/n'_k = p^{m_k}$, then we first take the extension $O_{K_{\dot{v}}}^{(n'_k)}/O_{K_{\dot{v}}}$ obtained by adjoining all n'_k -roots of elements of $O_{K_{\dot{v}}}^{\times}$, which is finite étale, followed by the extension $[O_{K_{\dot{v}}}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})}$ defined by adjoining all p^{m_k} -power roots to $O_{K_{\dot{v}}}^{(n'_k)}$, which is finite flat.

 $[O_{K_v}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})}$ defined by adjoining all p^{m_k} -power roots to $O_{K_v}^{(n'_k)}$, which is finite flat. We claim that the extension $[O_{K_v}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})}/O_{F_v}$ lies in $O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}}$. Indeed, since $O_{K_v}^{\text{perf}} = O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}}$, it's enough to check that $[O_{K_v}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})}$ lies in $O_{K_v}^{\text{perf}}$, which is clear since, as explained above, it factors as a finite étale extension of O_{K_v} followed by the extension obtained by adjoining all p^{m_k} -power roots. Thus, for any $v \notin S'$, we have $a'_{v,k} \in P_k([O_{K_v}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})} \otimes_{O_{F_v}} [O_{K_v}^{(n'_k)}]^{(1/p^{m_k})})$, and hence, since we showed in §3.4 that the image of $loc_v(\xi_v)$ is trivial in P_k for all $v \notin S_k \subseteq S'$, we get the equality

$$\operatorname{res}_{v}(\xi_{k}) = d(a'_{v,k}) \in P_{k}(\overline{F_{v}}^{\bigotimes_{F_{v}} 3}),$$

where ξ_k denotes the image of $\dot{\xi}$ in $P(\overline{F_v}^{\bigotimes_{F_v} 3})$.

Let $\mathscr{T} \in Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}, Z \to G)$, and choose normalizations of \mathcal{E}_v and $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$, so that we may identify them with the explicit gerbes \mathcal{E}_{ξ_v} and $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}}$, respectively. Recall that, after passing from $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{res}_v(\dot{\xi})}$ (which we have explicitly identified with $(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}})_{\overline{F_v}}$), choosing different normalizations has the effect of twisting $\operatorname{loc}_v(\mathscr{T})$ by d(z) for $z \in Z(O_{F_v^{\operatorname{nr}}})$ with $z = \operatorname{Res}([\mathscr{T}])(x)$ for some $x \in u_v(\overline{F_v})$, and thus does not affect the statement of the proposition. Changing the representatives $\dot{\xi}$ and ξ_v for the canonical classes has the same effect.

Having chosen normalizations, we may canonically identify $G_{\mathcal{E}_{?}}$ -torsors on the gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{?}$ with ?twisted G-torsors, for $? = \operatorname{res}_{v}(\dot{\xi}), \xi_{v}, \dot{\xi}$, by [Dil20, Proposition 2.49]; write $\mathscr{T} = (\mathscr{S}', \operatorname{Res}(\mathscr{T}), \psi')$ under this identification. Choose k sufficiently large so that $\operatorname{Res}(\mathscr{T}) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(P, Z)$ factors through P_{k} via $\varphi_{k} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(P_{k}, Z), \mathscr{S}'$ equals $j^{*}\mathscr{S}''$ for a \mathcal{G} -torsor \mathscr{S}'' over $O_{S_{k}}^{\operatorname{perf}}$, for $j : \operatorname{Spec}(\overline{F}) \to$ $\operatorname{Spec}(O_{S_{k}}^{\operatorname{perf}})$, such that h equals $j^{*}h_{S_{k}}$ for an $O_{S_{k}}^{\operatorname{perf}}$ -trivialization $h_{S_{k}}$ of \mathscr{S}'' , and such that the "twisted gluing isomorphism" $\psi' : p_{2}^{*}\mathscr{S}' \to p_{1}^{*}\mathscr{S}'$ is given by $j^{*}\psi$ for an isomorphism of \mathcal{G} -torsors

$$\psi\colon p_2^*\mathcal{S}''\to p_1^*\mathcal{S}'';$$

choose $S' \supseteq S_k$ corresponding to k as in the above paragraphs. We have a morphism of gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_v} \to \mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{res}_v(\dot{\xi})}$ given at the level of objects by sending the ξ_v -twisted torsor (T', ψ) to the $\operatorname{res}_v(\dot{\xi})$ -twisted torsor

$$(T' \times^{u_v, \operatorname{loc}_v} P_{\dot{V}}, m_{(a'_v)^{-1}} \circ \psi),$$

cf. our Construction 2.26 (or Construction 2.38 in [Dil20] for more detail). Under this identification, pulling back by the morphism we just constructed sends the $\operatorname{res}_v(\dot{\xi})$ -twisted *G*-torsor $(S'_{\overline{F_v}}, \operatorname{Res}(\mathscr{T}), \psi')$ to the ξ_v -twisted *G*-torsor $(S'_{\overline{F_v}}, \operatorname{Res}(\mathscr{T}) \circ \operatorname{loc}_v, m_{a'_v} \circ \psi')$. Note that, by construction, for any $v \notin S'$, the homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}(\mathscr{T}) \circ \operatorname{loc}_v$ on u_v is trivial, and hence $(S'_{\overline{F_v}}, m_{a'_v} \circ \psi')$ gives a descent datum for a *G*-torsor S over F_v ; we claim that the pair of S and the $\overline{F_v}$ -trivialization induced by $h_{\overline{F_v}}$ descends further to a \mathcal{G} -torsor over O_{F_v} with an $O_{F_v}^{\operatorname{perf}}$ -trivialization.

Define this new \mathcal{G} -torsor \mathcal{T} as follows: we take the descent data with respect to the fpqc cover $O_{F_v^{\text{prf}}}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F_v}$ given by the torsor $\mathcal{S}''_{O_{F_v^{\text{prf}}}}$, where this is well-defined since for $v \notin S'$, the ring $O_{F_v^{\text{prf}}}^{\text{perf}}$

is an $O_{S_k}^{\text{perf}}$ -algebra, and the gluing isomorphism given by $m_{\text{Res}(\mathscr{T})(a'_v)} \circ \psi$, which is well-defined since $\text{Res}(\mathscr{T})(a'_v) = \varphi_k(a'_{v,k})$, the morphism φ_k is defined over $O_{F,S'}$, and $a'_{v,k} \in P_k(O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F_v}} O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}})$; this gives a well-defined gluing map by construction, and finishes the construction of \mathcal{T} by design, $h_v := (h_{S_k})_{O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}}}$ trivializes \mathcal{T} over $O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}}$. The pullback of \mathcal{T} is evidently equal to \mathcal{S} , since the descent datum giving \mathcal{T} pulls back via the morphisms $\text{Spec}(F_v) \to \text{Spec}(O_{F_v})$ and $\text{Spec}(\overline{F_v}) \to \text{Spec}(O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}})$ to the descent datum giving \mathcal{S} ; similarly, h_v pulls back to $h_{\overline{F_v}}$. This proves the result.

5. APPLICATIONS TO ENDOSCOPY

In this section, we use the above constructions to analyze an adelic transfer factor for a global function field F. In what follows, G will be a connected reductive group over F.

5.1. Adelic transfer factors for function fields. In this subsection, we follow [LS87, §6.3] to construct adelic transfer factors for connected reductive groups over a global function field F. Let $\psi: G_{F_s} \to G_{F_s}^*$ be a quasi-split inner form of G, with Langlands dual group \widehat{G}^* and Weil-form ${}^LG^* := \widehat{G}^* \rtimes W_F$.

Definition 5.1. A global endoscopic datum for G is a tuple (H, \mathcal{H}, s, ξ) where H is a quasi-split connected reductive group over F, \mathcal{H} is a split extension of W_F by $\hat{H}, s \in Z(\hat{H})$ is any element, and $\xi \colon \mathcal{H} \to {}^L G^*$ is an L-embedding such that:

- (1) The homomorphism $W_F \to \text{Out}(\hat{H}) = \text{Out}(H)$ determined by \mathcal{H} is the same as the homomorphism $W_F \to \Gamma \to \text{Out}(H)$ induced by the usual Γ -action on H.
- (2) The map ξ restricts to an isomorphism of algebraic groups over \mathbb{C} from \widehat{H} to $Z_{\widehat{G}^*}(t)^{\circ}$, where $t := \xi(s)$.
- (3) The first two conditions imply that we have a Γ-equivariant embedding Z(Ĝ*) → Z(Ĥ). We require that the image of s in Z(Ĥ)/Z(Ĝ*), denoted by s̄, is fixed by W_F and maps under the connecting homomorphism H⁰(W_F, Z(Ĥ)/Z(Ĝ*)) → H¹(W_F, Z(Ĝ*)) to an element which is killed by the homomorphism H¹(W_F, Z(Ĝ*)) → H¹(W_{Fv}, Z(Ĝ*)) for all v ∈ V_F (such an an element is called *locally trivial*).

Note that any global endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \xi)$ induces, for any place v of F, a local endoscopic datum given by $(H_{F_v}, \mathcal{H}_v, s_v, \xi_v)$, where \mathcal{H}_v is the pullback of the two maps $\mathcal{H} \to W_F$ and $W_{F_v} \to W_F$ (which carries a natural splitting), $\xi_v \colon \mathcal{H}_v \to {}^L(G_{F_v}^*)$ is induced by ξ and the natural map $\mathcal{H}_v \to \mathcal{H}$, which one checks is an L-embedding, and $s_v = s \in Z(\widehat{H})$. Following [Kal18], we will denote such a local endoscopic datum by $\mathfrak{e}_v = (H, \mathcal{H}, s_v, \xi)$. Fix a global endoscopic datum (H, \mathcal{H}, s, ξ) ; we will temporarily assume that $\mathcal{H} = {}^L \mathcal{H}$. Up to equivalence, a global endoscopic datum only depends on the image of s in $\pi_0([Z(\widehat{H})/Z(\widehat{G}^*)]^{\Gamma})$. Recall that a stronglyregular semisimple element $\gamma_H \in H(F)$ with centralizer T_H (a maximal torus of H defined over F) is called G-regular if it is the preimage of a strongly-regular semisimple element $\gamma_G \in G(F)$ under an admissible isomorphism $T_H \to T_G := Z_G(\gamma_G)$. We'll need the following basic lemma:

Lemma 5.2. There is an admissible embedding of T_G into G^* .

Proof. This follows from [Dil20, Lemma 7.6], which is a generalization of [Kot82, Corollary 2.2]. Note that the Lemma loc. cit. is stated for a local function field F, but the proof holds verbatim for global function fields.

It immediately follows that for any G-regular strongly-regular semisimple $\gamma_H \in H(F)$, we have an admissible embedding of T_H in G^* (which is not unique). We say that γ_H is a related to $\gamma_G \in G(\mathbb{A})$ if for all $v \in V$, the image of γ_H in $H(F_v)$ is an image (under an admissible embedding $(T_H)_{F_v} \to \widehat{G_{F_v}}$) of the element $\gamma_{G,v} \in G(F_v)$. If we fix an admissible embedding of T_H in G^* , with image a maximal F-torus denoted by T and image of γ_H denoted by $\gamma \in G^*(F)$, then the above condition means requiring that there exist $x_v \in G^*(F_v^{sep})$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(x_v) \circ \psi$ maps the maximal torus $T_{G,v}$ in G_{F_v} containing $\gamma_{G,v}$ to T_{F_v} (over F_v) and sends $\gamma_{G,v}$ to (the restriction of) γ .

Then for elements γ_H , $\bar{\gamma}_H$ related to γ_G , $\bar{\gamma}_G$ (respectively), we define

$$\mu_{v} = \operatorname{inv}\left(\frac{\gamma_{H}, \gamma_{G,v}}{\bar{\gamma}_{H}, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v}}\right),\tag{19}$$

which lies in the group $H^1(F_v, U)$, where $U = (T_{sc} \times \overline{T}_{sc})/Z_{sc}$, where everything is as defined in [Dil20, §6.3.3].

We need the following analogue of [LS87, Lemma 6.3.A], whose proof we follow:

Lemma 5.3. $\mu_v = 1$ for all but finitely many $v \in V$.

Proof. Suppose that L/F is a finite Galois extension splitting T such that the map ψ is defined over L. Note that for all but finitely many v, the map ψ is defined over F_v , and that that since L splits T_H , for any $v \in V$, the completion L_v splits the maximal F_v -tori $(T_H)_{F_v}$, $T_{G,v}$, and T_{F_v} . It is straightforward to verify that, in this case, we have

$$\mu_v = \frac{\operatorname{inv}(\gamma_H, \gamma_{G,v})}{\operatorname{inv}(\bar{\gamma}_H, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v})},$$

where $\operatorname{inv}(\gamma_H, \gamma_{G,v})$ is defined by choosing some $h \in G^*(L_v)$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(h)\psi(\gamma_{G,v}) = \gamma$ and then setting $\operatorname{inv}(\gamma_H, \gamma_{G,v}) := [p_1(h)p_2(h)^{-1}] \in \check{H}^1(L_v/F_v, T_{sc})$, similarly with γ_H and $\gamma_{G,v}$ replaced by $\bar{\gamma}_H$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{G,v}$, where the above quotient takes place in the group $H^1(F_v, U)$ via the maps $H^1(F_v, T_{sc}) \to H^1(F_v, U)$, similarly for \bar{T}_{sc} , induced by the canonical maps $T_{sc}, \bar{T}_{sc} \to U$.

Note that for all but finitely many places v, the extension L/F is unramified at v, the image of γ in $T(F_v)$ lies in $T(O_{F_v})$, the map ψ is defined over F_v , the element $\gamma_{G,v}$ lies in $T_G(O_{F_v}) \subset \mathcal{G}(O_{F_v})$ for some fixed integral model \mathcal{G} of G, and for each root $\alpha \in \Phi(G_{L'}^*, T_{L'})$, we have $\alpha(\gamma) \in O_{L_v}^{\times}$. Then Lemme 8.3 from [Lan83] (which is stated for p-adic local fields, but whose proof relies results from Bruhat-Tits theory that are stated for an arbitrary nonarchimedean local field, see [Tit79]) shows that $\gamma_{G,v}$ and γ are in fact conjugate under $G(O_{F_v})$ for all but finitely-many v. From here, the same argument as in the proof of [LS87, Lemma 6.3.A] shows that the class $inv(\gamma_H, \gamma_{G,v}) \in \check{H}^1(L_v/F_v, T_{sc}) = H^1(F_v, T_{sc})$ (which is well-defined because G_{F_v} is quasi-split, see [Dil20, §6.3.3]), vanishes. Of course, the same argument can be applied to show that the class $inv(\bar{\gamma}_H, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v})$ vanishes, giving the result by the above paragraph.

Note that a strongly *G*-regular $\gamma_H \in H(F)$ is related to $\gamma_G \in G(\mathbb{A})$ if and only if there exists $h \in G_{sc}^*(\overline{\mathbb{A}})$ such that $h\psi(\gamma_G)h^{-1} = \gamma$. Now for any $u \in G_{sc}^*(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(u) = p_1^*\psi \circ p_2^*\psi^{-1}$, we define $\mu_T \in \overline{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{sc})$ as the the image of $p_1(h)up_2(h)^{-1} \in T(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}})$ in $T(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \overline{\mathbb{A}})/T(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$. Identifying $\overline{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{sc})$ with $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T_{sc})$ (notation as in §6.3),

we get from our discussion in §6.3 a pairing $\overline{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{sc}) \times H^1(\Gamma, X^*(T)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Identifying $X^*(T)$ with $X_*(\widehat{T})$, this determines a pairing

$$\overline{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{\mathrm{sc}}) \times \pi_0(\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\Gamma}) \to \mathbb{C}^*,$$

as explained in §6.1 of [Dil20]. Our element $s \in \pi_0([Z(\widehat{H})/Z(\widehat{G}^*)]^{\Gamma})$ determines an element $\mathbf{s}_T \in \pi_0(\widehat{T}_{ad}^{\Gamma})$ via the canonical (Γ -equivariant) map $Z(\widehat{H}) \to \widehat{T}$, and we thus obtain a value $\langle \mu_T, \mathbf{s}_T \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^*$, which we denote by $d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G)$; it is clear that $d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G)$ is independent of the admissible embedding of T_H into G^* .

On the other hand, it follows from the above lemma and the isomorphism

$$H^2(\mathbb{A}, U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{v \in V} H^2(F_v, U)$$

that the classes μ_v determine a well-defined element of $H^2(\mathbb{A}, U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, U)$; denote by μ_U the image of this class in $\bar{H}^2(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, U)$. As explained in [LS87, §3.4], the global endoscopic datum determines an element $\mathbf{s}_{U,v} \in \pi_0(\widehat{U}^{\Gamma_{F_v}})$ for all v, as well as $\mathbf{s}_U \in \pi_0(\widehat{U}^{\Gamma})$. Then via the pairing of the above paragraph, we obtain a value

$$\langle \mu_U, \mathbf{s}_U \rangle = \prod_v \langle \mu_v, \mathbf{s}_{U,v} \rangle, \tag{20}$$

where the equality comes from the local-global compatibility of the Tate-Nakayama pairing for tori, see [Mil06, §4] for more details. We also have the equality

$$\langle \mu_U, \mathbf{s}_U \rangle = \frac{d(\bar{\gamma}_H, \bar{\gamma}_G)}{d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G)}.$$

Lemma 6.3.B in [LS87] discusses how the values $d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G)$ change as one varies the inputs—its proof also holds in our setting, and we record the result here:

Lemma 5.4. (1) $d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) = d(\gamma'_H, \gamma_G)$ if γ'_H is stably-conjugate to γ_H in H(F). (2) $d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) = d(\gamma_H, \gamma'_G)$ if γ'_G is $G(\mathbb{A})$ -conjugate to γ_G . (3) $d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) = d(\bar{\gamma}_H, \bar{\gamma}_G)$ if $\gamma_G, \bar{\gamma}_G \in G(F)$.

Fix a strongly *G*-regular $\bar{\gamma}_H \in H(F)$ which is related to $\bar{\gamma}_G \in G(F)$. If there are no such elements, we define $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma_H, \gamma_G)$ to be 0 for all $\gamma_H \in H(F)$, $\gamma_G \in G(\mathbb{A})$. Otherwise, we then define the adelic transfer for a strongly *G*-regular $\gamma_H \in H(F)$ and $\gamma_G \in G(\mathbb{A})$ by the quotient

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) := \frac{d(\bar{\gamma}_H, \bar{\gamma}_G)}{d(\gamma_H, \gamma_G)} \tag{21}$$

if γ_H is related to γ_G , and zero otherwise. It follows immediately from Lemma 5.4 that this factor is independent of the choice of the elements $\bar{\gamma}_H$ and $\bar{\gamma}_G$, the choice of γ_H up to stable conjugacy, the choice of γ_G up to $G(\mathbb{A})$ -conjugacy, and thus equals 0 if γ_H is related to γ_G such that the $G(\mathbb{A})$ -conjugacy class contains an element of G(F).

We conclude this subsection by discussing local-global compatibility. Note that, if $\gamma_H \in H(F)$ is a strongly *G*-regular semisimple element which is related to $\gamma_G \in G(\mathbb{A})$, then for all $v \in V$, we have that the image of γ_H in $H(F_v)$, denoted by $\operatorname{res}_v(\gamma_H)$, is strongly G_{F_v} -regular and is related to the element $\gamma_{G,v} \in G(F_v)$. We have the following result concerning the local transfer factor (see [Dil20, §6.3] for the definitions of the various component factors), where the local transfer factors are taken with respect to the local endoscopic data $(H, \mathcal{H}, s_v, \xi)$ coming from the fixed global endoscopic datum (H, \mathcal{H}, s, ξ) as explained above:

Proposition 5.5. ([LS87, Theorem 6.4.A])

- (1) For almost all v, the values $\Delta_i(\operatorname{res}_v(\gamma_H), \gamma_{G,v})$ equal 1 for $i = I, II, III_2, IV$.
- (2) $\prod_{v} \Delta_i(\operatorname{res}_v(\gamma_H), \gamma_{G,v}) = 1$ for $i = I, II, III_2, IV$.

Proof. We closely follow the analogous proof in [LS87]. As in [Dil20, §6.2.1], we may define, for the quasi-split simply-connected reductive group G_{sc}^* with maximal torus T_{sc} , a global splitting invariant $\lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{sc}) \in H^1(F, T_{sc})$ which depends on an *F*-pinning of G_{sc}^* and a choice of *a*-data $\{a_{\alpha}\}$ for *T* (see [Dil20, §6.2.1]). By the construction of the local splitting invariant, it is clear that $\lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{sc})$ maps to the local splitting invariant $\lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{Fv,sc})$ (where we are viewing the *a*-data $\{a_{\alpha}\}$ as an *a*-data for T_{Fv}) under the canonical map $H^1(F, T_{sc}) \to H^1(Fv, T_{Fv,sc})$. Since for all but finitely many *v* the image of $\lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{sc})$ lands in the subgroup $H^1(O_{Fv}, T_{Fv,sc}) = 0$, it follows that $\langle \lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{Fv,sc}), \mathbf{s}_{T,v} \rangle = \Delta_1(\operatorname{res}_v(\gamma_H), \gamma_{G,v}) = 1$ for all but finitely many *v*.

Our above observation and the exact sequence

$$H^1(F, T_{\mathrm{sc}}) \to H^1(\mathbb{A}, T_{\mathrm{sc}}) = \check{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{\mathrm{sc}}) \to \bar{H}^1(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{\mathrm{sc}})$$

(see [KS99, §D.1]) imply that the image $\overline{\lambda}$ of the element $(\langle \lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{F_{v},sc}), \mathbf{s}_{T,v} \rangle)_{v} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{A}, T_{sc}) = \bigoplus_{v} H^{1}(F_{v}, T_{F_{v},sc})$ is trivial in $\overline{H}^{1}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T_{sc})$, and so it follows by local-global compatibility of the Tate-Nakayama pairing that

$$\prod_{v} \langle \lambda_{\{a_{\alpha}\}}(T_{F_{v}, \mathrm{sc}}), \mathbf{s}_{T, v} \rangle = \langle \bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}_{T} \rangle = 1,$$

as desired for the case i = I. The arguments for the remaining cases of i = II, III_2 , and IV may be taken verbatim from the proof of [LS87, Theorem 6.4.A].

It follows from Lemma 5.3 that the value $\Delta_{III_1}(\operatorname{res}_v(\gamma_H), \gamma_{G,v}, \operatorname{res}_v(\bar{\gamma}_H), \bar{\gamma}_{G,v}) = \langle \mu_v, \mathbf{s}_{U,v} \rangle$, the remaining component of the local transfer factors, equals 1 for all but finitely v, and from the equality (20) the identity

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) = \prod_{v} \Delta_{III_1}(\operatorname{res}_v(\gamma_H), \gamma_{G,v}, \operatorname{res}_v(\bar{\gamma}_H), \bar{\gamma}_{G,v})$$

We now use the above constructions to define a transfer factor for adelic elements of H. We call an element $\gamma \in H(\mathbb{A})$ semisimple if $\gamma_v \in H(F_v)$ is semisimple for all v, and we call it strongly G-regular if $\gamma \in H_{G-sr}(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{F}})$, where $H_{G-sr} \subset H_{\overline{F}}$ is the \overline{F} -scheme characterized by the Zariski open subset of strongly G-regular semisimple elements of the variety $H(\overline{F})$. Similarly, we call a semisimple element $\delta \in G(\mathbb{A})$ strongly regular if it lies in $G_{sr}(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{F}})$, where $G_{sr} \subset G_{\overline{F}}$ is the Zariski open subscheme characterized by the strongly regular elements of $G(\overline{F})$.

Definition 5.6. For $\gamma \in H_{G-sr}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\delta \in G_{sr}(\mathbb{A})$, we set $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma, \delta) = 0$ if there is no strongly *G*-regular element of H(F) which is related to an element of G(F), and otherwise fix such a pair $\overline{\gamma}_{H}, \overline{\gamma}_{G}$ and define

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma,\delta) := \prod_{v} \Delta(\gamma_{v}, \delta_{v}, \bar{\gamma}_{H,v}, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v}).$$
(22)

This product is well-defined due to the following result:

Lemma 5.7. In the notation of the above definition, the local transfer factor $\Delta(\gamma_v, \delta_v, \bar{\gamma}_{H,v}, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v})$ equals one for all but finitely many v.

Proof. For all but finitely many v, the group G_{F_v} is quasi-split, in which case we may write

$$\Delta(\gamma_v, \delta_v, \bar{\gamma}_{H,v}, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v}) = \frac{\Delta(\gamma_v, \delta_v)}{\Delta(\bar{\gamma}_{H,v}, \bar{\gamma}_{G,v})}.$$

For a quasi-split connected reductive group over a local field, the (absolute) local transfer factor may be defined purely using Galois cohomology (cf. [Dil20, 6.3, 6.2.1]). In such cases, the claim of the Lemma follows from the analogous fact in the characteristic-zero case, which is stated in [KS99, 7.3, pp. 109].

Remark 5.8. It follows from Proposition 5.5 that the two formulas (21) and (22) given above for $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ coincide when $\gamma_H \in H(F)$, so there is no notational ambiguity.

Remark 5.9. In the case that $\mathcal{H} \neq {}^{L}H$ in our global endoscopic datum, the formula for $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ is slightly more complicated. To begin, we fix a z-pair (H_1, ξ_{H_1}) for the endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \xi)$, which always exist over fields of arbitrary characteristic. For any place v of F, this z-pair gives rise to a z-pair $(H_{1,v}, \xi_{H_1,v})$ for the local endoscopic datum \mathfrak{e}_v . We may then define the adelic transfer factor for pairs of elements $\gamma_1 \in H_{1,G-\mathrm{sr}}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\delta_v \in G_{\mathrm{sr}}(\mathbb{A})$, where $\gamma_1 \in$ $H_{1,G-\mathrm{sr}}(\mathbb{A})$ means that its image in $H(\mathbb{A})$ is G-strongly regular, using the relative local transfer factors for z-pairs as in [Dil20, §6.4]:

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma_1,\delta) := \prod_{v} \Delta(\gamma_{1,v},\delta_v,\bar{\gamma}_{H,v},\bar{\gamma}_{G,v}).$$

5.2. Endoscopic setup. This subsection is an analogue of [Kal18, §4.2, §4.3], which explain how to pass from global to local refined endoscopic data and discuss coherent families of local rigid inner twists; recall the notion of a refined endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \xi)$ over a local function field F, defined in [Dil20, §7.2]. A fixed global endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \xi)$ induces a canonical embedding $Z(G) \to Z(H)$, and we set $\overline{H} := H/Z_{der}$, where $Z_{der} := Z(\mathscr{D}(G^*)), Z_{sc} := Z(G_{sc}^*),$ and $\overline{G}^* := G^*/Z_{der}$. Note that $\overline{G}^* = G_{ad}^* \times Z(G^*)/Z_{der}$ and $\overline{G}^* = \widehat{G}_{sc}^* \times Z(\widehat{G}^*)^\circ$. We also set Z := Z(G).

The *L*-embedding ξ induces an embedding $\widehat{H} \to \widehat{G}^*$ with image equal to $Z_{\widehat{G}^*}(t)^\circ$, where recall that $t := \xi(s)$ (this is well-defined because \widehat{G}^* maps to \widehat{G}^* , which contains *t*). Then for $s_{sc} \in \widehat{G}^*_{sc}$ a fixed preimage of the image s_{ad} of *s* in \widehat{G}^*_{ad} and a place $v \in V$, the third condition in the definition of a global endoscopic datum implies that we may find an element $y_v \in Z(\widehat{G}^*)$ such that $s_{der} \cdot y_v \in Z(\widehat{H})^{\Gamma_v}$, where $s_{der} \in \mathscr{D}(\widehat{G}^*)$ denotes the image of s_{sc} . We can then write $y_v = y'_v \cdot y''_v$ for $y'_v \in Z(\mathscr{D}(\widehat{G}^*))$ and $y''_v \in Z(\widehat{G}^*)^\circ$, and we choose a lift $\dot{y}'_v \in \widehat{Z}_{sc}$ of y'_v . Then the element $(s_{sc} \cdot \dot{y}'_v, y''_v) =: \dot{s}_v$ lies in $\widehat{G}^* = \widehat{G}^*_{sc} \times Z(\widehat{G}^*)^\circ$, which, via the above *L*-embedding, belongs to the group $Z(\widehat{H})^{+v}$, and $\dot{\mathfrak{e}}_v := (H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}_v, \xi)$ defines a local refined endoscopic datum at the place v. As noted [Kal18], we will show that the global objects coming from this collection $(\dot{\mathfrak{e}}_v)_v$ do not depend on the choices of s_{sc}, \dot{y}'_v , or y''_v , only on the equivalence class of the global endoscopic datum \mathfrak{e} .

We now discuss coherent families of local rigid inner twists. For an equivalence class Ψ of inner twists $G_{F^{sep}}^* \to G_{F^{sep}}$ (where two isomorphisms ψ , ψ' from G^* to G are equivalent if they differ by pre-composing with $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ for $g \in G_{\operatorname{ad}}^*(F^{\operatorname{sep}})$), base-changing to F_v for any $v \in V$ gives an equivalence class of Ψ_v of rigid inner twists $G_{F_v}^{\operatorname{sep}} \to G_{F_v}^{\operatorname{sep}}$. The class Ψ gives an element of $H^1(F, G_{\operatorname{ad}}^*)$ which by Lemma 4.1 has a preimage in the set $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{V}, Z_{\operatorname{sc}} \to G_{\operatorname{sc}}^*)$. It follows that for every $\psi \in \Psi$, we can find a Z_{sc} -twisted $G^*_{sc,\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ -torsor \mathscr{T}_{sc} along with an isomorphism of $(G^*_{ad})_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ -torsors $\bar{h}: (\overline{\mathscr{T}_{sc}})_{\overline{F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} ((G^*_{ad})_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}})_{\overline{F}}$, where $\overline{\mathscr{T}_{sc}} := \mathscr{T}_{sc} \times^{G^*_{sc,\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}} (G^*_{ad})_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ and $(G^*_{ad})_{\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}}$ -torsor, such that $p_1^*\bar{h} \circ p_2^*\bar{h}^{-1}$ is translation by $\bar{x} \in G^*_{ad}(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$ which satisfies $\operatorname{Ad}(\bar{x}) = p_1^*\psi^{-1} \circ p_2^*\psi$.

For each $v \in V$, we set \mathscr{T}_v to be the Z-twisted $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}^*$ -torsor given by $\operatorname{loc}_v(\mathscr{T})$, where $\mathscr{T} := \mathscr{T}_{sc} \times^{G_{sc}^*} G_{\mathcal{E}_v}^*$, and loc_v is as defined at the beginning of §4.4; the \overline{F} -trivialization \overline{h} evidently induces a $\overline{F_v}$ -trivialization of \mathscr{T}_v (noting that $\overline{\mathscr{T}_{sc}} = \mathscr{T}$), denoted by \overline{h}_v . Note that, by construction, the triple $(\psi, \mathscr{T}_v, \overline{h}_v)$ is a rigid inner twist over F_v (see [Dil20], Definition 7.1); we thus get a collection $(\psi, \mathscr{T}_v, \overline{h}_v)_v$ of local rigid inner twists which depends on the definition of the localization maps loc_v (see §4.4), but only up to twisting torsors by d(z) for an element $z \in Z_{sc}(\overline{F_v})$, which does not affect any associated cohomology sets. However, this family will in general depend on the choice of torsor \mathscr{T}_{sc} . Note that, in fact, since \mathscr{T} is induced by the Z_{sc} -twisted G_{sc}^* -torsor \mathscr{T}_{sc} , it is actually Z_{der} -twisted, not just Z-twisted; that is, we may view \mathscr{T}_v as an element of the set $H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z_{der} \to G^*)$.

5.3. Product decomposition of the adelic transfer factor. As in the previous section, Z denotes Z(G). We will use the above results to show that the adelic transfer factor that we defined in §5.1 admits a decomposition in terms of the normalized local transfer factors constructed in [Dil20, §7.2], following [Kal18, §4.4]. We fix an equivalence class Ψ of inner twists $G_{F^{sep}}^* \to G_{F^{sep}}$, endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \xi)$ for G^* , and a z-pair $\mathfrak{z} = (H_1, \xi_1)$ for \mathfrak{e} . We assume that there exist strongly G-regular $\gamma_{1,0} \in H_1(F)$ and $\delta_0 \in G(F)$ such that $\gamma_{1,0}$ is related to δ_0 (so that, in particular, the image of $\gamma_{1,0}$ in H(F), denoted by γ_0 , is related to δ_0). We can associate to \mathfrak{e} the collection of refined local endoscopic data $(\mathfrak{e}_v)_{v \in V}$ as explained in §5.2, to the global z-pair \mathfrak{z} a collection of local z-pairs $(\mathfrak{z}_v)_{v \in V}$, to the class Ψ a coherent family of local rigid inner twists $(\psi, \mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v)_{v \in V}$ as explained in §5.2, and to a fixed global Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} for G^* , a collection of local Whittaker data $(\mathfrak{w}_v)_{v \in V}$.

For any v, we can use the local Whittaker datum and z-pair to obtain from [Dil20, §7.2], the w_v -normalized local transfer factor

 $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}_{v}, \dot{\mathfrak{e}}_{v}, \mathfrak{z}_{v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_{v}, \bar{h}_{v})] \colon H_{1,G-\mathrm{sr}}(F_{v}) \times G_{\mathrm{sr}}(F_{v}) \to \mathbb{C}.$

This relates to the adelic transfer factor defined in §5.1 as follows:

Proposition 5.10. For any $\gamma_1 \in H_{1,G-sr}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\delta \in G_{sr}(\mathbb{A})$, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma_1,\delta) = \prod_{v \in V} \langle loc_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc}), \dot{y}'_v \rangle \cdot \Delta[\mathfrak{w}_v, \dot{\mathfrak{e}}_v, \mathfrak{z}_v, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v)](\gamma_{1,v}, \delta_v).$$

In the above formula, $\dot{y}'_v \in \widehat{Z}_{sc}$ as in §5.2 and the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle \colon H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z_{sc} \to G^*_{sc}) \times \widehat{Z}_{sc} \to \mathbb{C}$ is from [Dil20, Corollary 7.11], which is well-defined since $\dot{y}'_v \in \widehat{Z}_{sc} = Z(\widehat{G^*_{sc}/Z_{sc}})^+$. For almost all $v \in V$, the corresponding factor in the product equals 1. For all v, the corresponding factor is independent of the choices of \dot{y}'_v and y''_v made in §5.2.

Proof. The argument closely follows [Kal18, Proposition 4.4.1]; as in the proof of the result loc. cit., it follows from [LS87, Corollary 6.4.B] that the above product identity follows if we can show that the normalized factors $\langle \text{loc}_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc}), \dot{y}'_v \rangle \cdot \Delta[\mathfrak{w}_v, \dot{\mathfrak{e}}_v, \mathfrak{z}_v, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v)](\gamma_{1,v}, \delta_v)$ satisfy the following properties: First, that they are absolute transfer factors, and second, that their values at the *F*-rational pair $(\gamma_{1,0,v}, \delta_{0,v})$ equal 1 for all but finitely many v and have a product over all v that equals 1. The first property automatically holds for the above factors by [Dil20, Proposition 7.12] (the extra $\langle loc_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc}), \dot{y}'_v \rangle$ -factor cancels out and thus makes no difference for this verification).

The same argument as in the proof of [Kal18, Proposition 4.4.1] (replacing the use of [LS87, Theorem 6.4.A] in the proof loc. cit. with our Proposition 5.5 and noting that the discussion of local and global ϵ -factors in [KS99], which in turn uses the construction of such factors in [Tat79], §3, works for local and global fields of arbitrary characteristic) reduces the second property above to showing that the terms

$$\langle \operatorname{loc}_{v}(\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{sc}}), \dot{y}'_{v} \rangle^{-1} \langle \operatorname{inv}((G_{F_{v}}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_{v}, \bar{h}_{v}), \delta_{0,v}), \delta^{*}_{0,v}), \dot{s}_{v,\gamma_{0},\delta^{*}_{0}} \rangle$$

$$(23)$$

are equal to 1 for almost all v and have product over all v equal to 1, where $\delta_0^* \in G^*(F)$ is the image of γ_0 under a choice of admissible embedding of $T_{0,H}$ into G^* and $T_0 := Z_{G^*}(\delta_0^*)$, the map $\operatorname{inv}(-, \delta_{0,v}^*) \colon C_{Z_{\operatorname{der}}}(\delta_{0,v}^*) \to H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z_{\operatorname{der}} \to T_0)$ is as defined in [Dil20, §7.1], the element $\dot{s}_{v,\gamma_0,\delta_0^*} \in \pi_0(\widehat{T}_0^{+,v})$ is the image of $\dot{s}_v \in \pi_0(Z(\widehat{H})^{+,v})$ under the composition $\hat{\varphi} \colon Z(\widehat{H}) \to \widehat{T_{0,H}} \to \widehat{T}_0$ (as in §5.2, the bar indicates that we are quotienting out by Z_{der}) induced by our choice of admissible embedding of $T_{0,H}$ into G^* , and the right-hand pairing is from [Dil20, Corollary 7.11].

In order to work explicitly with the invariant at a place v, it will be convenient to fix an explicit Čech 2-cocycle ξ_v representing the canonical class in $\check{H}^2(\overline{F_v}/F_v, u_v)$ and replace the notion of Z_{der} -twisted torsors on the gerbe \mathcal{E}_{ξ_v} with ξ_v -twisted 1-cocycles, as explained in [Dil20, §2.5]; we know by §7.2 loc. cit. that the invariant map and corresponding local transfer factor do not depend on such a choice, and hence we may do so without loss of generality.

By construction, the elements δ_0^* and δ_0 are stably conjugate, so that there exists $g \in G^*(\overline{F})$ such that $\psi(g\delta_0^*g^{-1}) = \delta_0$, and then $\operatorname{inv}((G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \delta_{0,v}), \delta_{0,v}^*) \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_v, Z_{\operatorname{der}} \to T_0) =$ $H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi_v}, Z_{\operatorname{der}} \to T_0)$ is represented by the ξ_v -twisted (Čech) 1-cocycle

$$x_v := (p_1(g)^{-1} z_v p_2(g), \phi_v),$$

where (z_v, ϕ_v) is a choice of ξ_v -twisted 1-cocycle corresponding to the Z_{der} -twisted $G_{\mathcal{E}_v}^*$ -torsor \mathscr{T}_v , as explained in [Dil20, §7.1]. We may choose g so that it is the image of some $g_{sc} \in G_{sc}^*(\overline{F})$, and then we may lift the twisted cocycle x_v to the ξ_v -twisted cocycle $x_{v,sc} := (p_1(g_{sc})^{-1}z_{sc,v}p_2(g_{sc}), \phi_{sc,v})$, where $(z_{sc,v}, \phi_{sc,v}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi_v}, Z_{sc} \to G_{sc}^*)$ is a choice of ξ_v -twisted cocycle corresponding to the Z_{sc} -twisted G_{sc}^* -torsor $loc_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc})$ on \mathcal{E}_v .

Using the decomposition $\overline{T}_0 = (\widehat{T}_0)_{sc} \times Z(\widehat{G^*})^\circ$, we may use the notation of §5.2 to write $\dot{s}_{v,\gamma_0,\delta_0^*} = (\dot{y}'_v \hat{\varphi}(s_{sc}), y''_v)$. The functoriality of the pairing from [Dil20, Corollary 7.11] with respect to the morphism $[Z_{sc} \to T_{0,sc}] \to [Z_{der} \to T_0]$, then implies that

$$\langle \operatorname{inv}((G_{F_v},\psi,(\mathscr{T}_v,\bar{h}_v),\delta_{0,v}),\delta_{0,v}^*),\dot{s}_{v,\gamma_0,\delta_0^*}\rangle = \langle x_{v,\operatorname{sc}},\dot{y}'_v\hat{\varphi}(s_{\operatorname{sc}})\rangle.$$

By construction, the restriction of the character $\langle x_{v,sc}, - \rangle$ on $\pi_0(\widehat{\overline{T_{0,sc}}}^{+v})$ to $Z(\widehat{\overline{G_{sc}}}^{+v})^{+v}$ equals the character $\langle (z_{sc,v}, \phi_{sc,v}) - \rangle$ by the functoriality of the pairing with respect to the morphism $[Z_{sc} \rightarrow T_{0,sc}] \rightarrow [Z_{sc} \rightarrow G_{sc}^*]$. It then follows by bilinearity that the expression (23) reduces to

$$\langle x_{v,\mathrm{sc}}, \hat{\varphi}(s_{\mathrm{sc}}) \rangle.$$
 (24)

We have already fixed normalizations \mathcal{E}_{ξ_v} of the gerbes \mathcal{E}_v for all v—we now also fix a normalization $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}}$ of the gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$. Such a normalization identifies \mathscr{T}_{sc} with a $\dot{\xi}$ -twisted (Čech) 1-cocycle (z_{sc}, ϕ_{sc}) , where $z_{sc} \in G_{sc}^*(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F})$, which by construction has image in $Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi_v}, Z_{sc} \to G_{sc}^*)$ equal to $(z_{sc,v}, \phi_{sc,v})$. We may thus define a global twisted 1-cocycle by the formula

$$x_{\rm sc} := (p_1(g_{\rm sc})^{-1} z_{\rm sc} p_2(g_{\rm sc}), \phi_{\rm sc}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi}, Z_{\rm sc} \to T_{0, \rm sc}),$$

which satisfies $loc_v(x_{sc}) = x_{v,sc}$, where loc_v on twisted 1-cocycles is induced by the maps $u_v \rightarrow (P_{\dot{V}})_{F_v}$ and $G_{sc}^*(\overline{F} \otimes_F \overline{F}) \rightarrow G_{sc}^*(\overline{F_v} \otimes_{F_v} \overline{F_v})$ for a fixed v. It then follows from Corollary 4.16 that the class $[x_{sc}] \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi}, Z_{sc} \rightarrow T_{0,sc})$ is such that $[loc_v(x_{sc})] = [x_{v,sc}] \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\xi_v}, Z_{sc} \rightarrow T_{0,sc})$ is trivial for all but finitely-many v, which shows that the expression (24), and thus also the expression (23), is 1 for all but finitely many v, as desired.

To finish proving the product identity, we first recall the functor $\overline{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}$: $\mathcal{R} \to \text{AbGrp.}$ It follows from the proof of [Kal16, Proposition 5.3], (the proof of which is purely character-theoretic) that we have a functorial embedding

$$\overline{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}([Z \to G]) \hookrightarrow \pi_0([Z(\overline{G})^+])^*, \tag{25}$$

and it is straightforward to check that for any $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{R}$, the diagram

commutes, where the left-hand vertical map is the sum of the local embeddings

$$\overline{Y}_{+v,\mathrm{tor}}([Z \to G]) \hookrightarrow \pi_0([Z(\overline{G})]^{+v})^*$$

and the lower horizontal map is induced by restricting characters on the groups $\pi_0([Z(\widehat{\overline{G}})]^{+v})$ to $\pi_0([Z(\widehat{\overline{G}})]^+)$.

If for each v we restrict the character $\langle \text{loc}_v([x_{\text{sc}}]), - \rangle$ on $\pi_0(\widehat{[T_{0,\text{sc}}]}^{+v})$ to $\pi_0(\widehat{[T_{0,\text{sc}}]}^+)$ and then take the product over all v (these characters are trivial for all but finitely-many v due to the above discussion and Corollary 4.18), we obtain the trivial character on $\pi_0(\widehat{[T_{0,\text{sc}}]}^+)$ via combining the above discussion with Corollary 4.19. By construction, we have that the image of $\hat{\varphi}(s_{\text{sc}}) \in \widehat{T_0}$ in $\widehat{T_{0,\text{sc}}}/(\widehat{[T_{0,\text{sc}}]}^{+,\circ})$ lies in $\pi_0(\widehat{[T_{0,\text{sc}}]}^+)$, which combines with the first part of this paragraph to give the equality $\langle x_{\text{sc}}, \hat{\varphi}(s_{\text{sc}}) \rangle = 1$, where the pairing is induced by the embedding (25) and Theorem 4.17, proving that the above product over all places equals 1, as desired. Finally, as in the number field case, the absence of \dot{y}'_v and \dot{y}''_v in the expression (23) implies that the product does not depend on the choice of such elements. Moreover, since $\langle x_{v,\text{sc}}, \hat{\varphi}(s_{\text{sc}}) \rangle$ only depends on the cohomology class of $x_{\text{sc},v}$, the product also does not depend on the choice of gerbe normalizations used to construct the torsors $\log_v(\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\text{sc}})$.

5.4. The multiplicity formula for discrete automorphic representations. We use the same notation as in the previous subsection; in particular, \overline{G} denotes G/Z_{der} . As in [Kal18, §4.5], fix an *L*-homomorphism $\varphi \colon L_F \to {}^LG^*$ with bounded image, where L_F is the hypothetical Langlands group of *F*. At each place $v \in V$, the parameter φ has a localization, which is a parameter $\varphi_v \colon L_{F_v} \to {}^LG^*$. The local conjecture ensures that there exists an *L*-packet Π_{φ_v} of tempered representations of rigid inner twists of G^* together with a bijection

$$\iota_{\varphi_v,\mathfrak{w}_v} \colon \Pi_{\varphi_v} \to \operatorname{Irr}(S_{\varphi_v}^+)$$

In the above setting, the set Π_{φ_v} consists of equivalence classes of tuples $(G'_v, \psi'_v, (\mathscr{T}'_v, \overline{h}'_v), \pi'_v)$, where $(\psi'_v, \mathscr{T}'_v, \overline{h}'_v) \colon G^*_{F_v} \to G'_v$ is a rigid inner twist over F_v and π'_v is an irreducible tempered representation of $G'(F_v)$. The group $S^+_{\varphi_v}$ is the preimage in $\widehat{\overline{G}^*}$ of $S_{\varphi_v} := Z_{\widehat{G^*}}(\varphi_v)$ and \mathfrak{w}_v is a local Whittaker datum on which the bijection depends. As explained in [Kal18, §4.4], we may choose a global Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} for G^* and let \mathfrak{w}_v be its localization at each place v.

Recall that we have fixed a quasi-split inner twist $\psi: G_{F^{sep}}^* \to G_{F^{sep}}$ of G; choose a coherent family of local rigid inner twists $(\psi, \mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v)_v$ as in §5.2, and consider the subset $\Pi_{\varphi_v}(G) \subseteq \Pi_{\varphi_v}$ consisting of (isomorphism classes of) tuples $(G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \pi_v)$. We then define the *L*-packet $\Pi_{\varphi} := \{\pi = \otimes_v' \pi_v \mid (G_{F_v}, \psi, \mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v, \pi_v) \in \Pi_{\varphi_v}(G), \iota_{\varphi_v}((G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \pi_v)) = 1 \text{ for almost all } v\}.$

The following result is of crucial importance:

Lemma 5.11. The set Π_{φ} consists of irreducible admissible tempered representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that we have picked a normalization of the gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$, which recall is a choice of representative $\dot{\xi}$ of the canonical class, as well as an isomorphism of $P_{\dot{V}}$ -gerbes $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}} \to \mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}}$; we will nevertheless continuing using the notation $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{V}}$ for the explicit gerbe $\mathcal{E}_{\dot{\xi}}$. As in the proof of [Kal18, Lemma 4.5.1], everything is clear except for the fact that the representation π_v is unramified for almost all v. As explained in [Kal18], we may find a finite set S of places of F such that G^* and G have $O_{F,S}$ -models \mathcal{G}^* , \mathcal{G} (respectively), the inner twist isomorphism ψ is defined over $O_S \subset F^{\text{sep}}$, the Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w}_v is unramified for every $v \notin S$, the local parameter φ_v is unramified. We have the $G^*_{\mathcal{E}_V}$ -torsor \mathscr{T} with fixed \overline{F} -trivialization \overline{h} of $\overline{\mathscr{T}}$; note that if $s \colon \text{Sch}/\overline{F} \to \mathcal{E}_V$ is the canonical embedding of categories given by [Dil20, Lemma 2.37], we obtain a G^* -torsor $s^*\mathscr{T}$ over \overline{F} , and we may pick a trivialization of this torsor over \overline{F} which is compatible with the trivialization \overline{h} (see [Dil20, §7.1]). Such a compatible trivialization is equivalent to picking a trivialization h of S over \overline{F} , where $(S, \text{Res}(\mathscr{T}), \psi_S)$ is the twisted $G^*_{\overline{F}}$ -torsor corresponding to \mathscr{T} , such that the induced trivialization of the $G^*_{\mathrm{ad},\overline{F}}$ -torsor $S \times^{G^*} G^*_{\mathrm{ad}}$ over \overline{F} associated to the twisted torsor $(S \times^{G^*} G^*_{\mathrm{ad}}, 0, \bar{\psi}_S)$ equals the trivialization induced by \overline{h} .

We know from Proposition 4.20 that we may enlarge S to ensure that, for all $v \notin S$, the pair of each localization \mathscr{T}_v and $\overline{F_v}$ -trivialization h_v (induced by h) is the pullback of a $\mathscr{G}^*_{O_{F_v}}$ -torsor T_v over O_{F_v} with trivialization $h_{O_{F_v}}$ over $O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}}$. Note that a priori each \mathscr{T}_v is a torsor on \mathscr{E}_v , not on Sch/ F_v , but we may enlarge S to ensure that \mathscr{T}_v is the pullback of a unique G*-torsor over F_v , which we identify with \mathscr{T}_v (see §4.4), so that this latter statement makes sense.

The cohomology set $\check{H}^1(O_{F_v^{\rm nr}}^{\rm perf}/O_{F_v}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ classifies isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G}^* -torsors over O_{F_v} which have a trivialization over the fpqc extension $O_{F_v^{\rm nr}}^{\rm perf}$. We have a natural injective map

$$\check{H}^1(O_{F_v}^{\text{perf}}/O_{F_v},\mathcal{G}^*) \to \check{H}^1_{\text{fppf}}(O_{F_v},\mathcal{G}^*),$$

where the latter set classifies isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G}^* -torsors over O_{F_v} . Moreover, the set $\check{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppf}}(O_{F_v}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ is trivial, by [Čes16, Corollary 2.9] (and Lang's theorem), giving the triviality of $\check{H}^1(O_{F_v}^{\mathrm{perf}}/O_{F_v}, \mathcal{G}^*)$. It follows that we may find an element $g \in G^*(O_{F_v}^{\mathrm{perf}}) = \mathcal{G}^*(O_{F_v}^{\mathrm{perf}})$ whose Čech differential coincides with the element of $\mathcal{G}^*(O_{F_v}^{\mathrm{perf}} \otimes_{O_{F_v}} O_{F_v}^{\mathrm{perf}})$ whose left-translation gives $p_1^*h_{O_{F_v}} \circ p_2^*h_{O_{F_v}}^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{G}^*_{O_{F_v}}O_{F_v}^{\mathrm{perf}}$. As a consequence, we get by fpqc descent that the morphism $f' := \psi_{O_{F_v}} \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})$ descends to an O_{F_v} -morphism $f: \mathcal{G}^* \to \mathcal{G}$.

The element $g \in G^*(\overline{F_v})$ defines an F_v -trivialization of \mathscr{T}_v by means of (the descent of) the composition

$$\Psi := \ell_g \circ h_v \colon (\mathscr{T}_v)_{\overline{F_v}} \to (\underline{G^*_{\mathcal{E}_v}})_{\overline{F_v}},$$

where ℓ_g denotes left-translation by g; by construction, this map descends to F_v . As a consequence, (f, Ψ) defines an isomorphism of rigid inner twists from $(\psi, \mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v)$ to the trivial rigid inner twist $(\mathrm{id}_{G^*}, G_{\mathcal{E}_v}^*, \mathrm{id})$. Choosing S large enough, the construction of Π_{φ} then implies that $\iota_{\varphi_v}((G^*, \mathrm{id}_{G^*}, G_{\mathcal{E}_v}^*, \mathrm{id}, \pi_v \circ f)) = 1$, which means that the representation $\pi_v \circ f$ of $G^*(F_v)$ is \mathfrak{w}_v generic. This latter fact implies, by [CS80], that the representation $\pi_v \circ f$ is unramified with respect to the hyperspecial subgroup $G^*(O_{F_v})$ of $G^*(F_v)$. The fact that the isomorphism f is defined over O_{F_v} then implies that π_v is unramified with respect to the subgroup $G(O_{F_v})$, as desired. \Box

As is conjectured in the number field case, we expect that every tempered discrete automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ belongs to a set Π_{φ} for some discrete parameter φ . Moreover, for any such representation π , our framework allows for a conjectural description of the multiplicity of π in the discrete spectrum of G; to begin this description, we need some setup. First, note that we have a short-exact sequence of L_F -modules

$$1 \to Z(\widehat{G^*}) \to \widehat{G^*} \to (\widehat{G^*})_{\mathrm{ad}} \to 1,$$

where the L_F -action is defined via ad $\circ \varphi$, which gives a connecting homomorphism

$$Z_{(\widehat{G^*})_{\mathrm{ad}}}(\varphi) \to H^1(L_F, Z(\widehat{G^*})).$$

We then define $S^{\rm ad}_{\varphi}$ to be the kernel of the composition

$$Z_{(\widehat{G^*})_{ad}}(\varphi) \to H^1(L_F, Z(\widehat{G^*})) \to \prod_v H^1(L_{F_v}, Z(\widehat{G^*}))$$

and set $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi} := \pi_0(S_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{ad}})$. We will construct a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle \colon \mathcal{S}_{\varphi} \times \Pi_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{C}$$

which yields an integer

$$m(\varphi,\pi) := |\mathcal{S}_{\varphi}|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi}} \langle x, \pi \rangle.$$

We then expect (from [Kot84]) the multiplicity of π in the discrete spectrum of G to be given by

$$\sum_{\varphi} m(\varphi, \pi),$$

where the sum is over all equivalence classes (as in [Kot84, §10.4]) of φ such that $\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}$.

The construction of the above pairing is identical to the number field in analogue in [Kal18], but we review it here for completeness. For some $s_{ad} \in S_{\varphi}^{ad}$, we choose a lift $s_{sc} \in S_{\varphi}^{sc}$ (the preimage of S_{φ}^{ad} in $(\widehat{G^*})_{sc}$). Then, as explained in [Kal18, §4.5], we obtain from s_{sc} an element $\dot{s}_v \in S_{\varphi_v}^+$ for each $v \in \dot{V}$, which we write as $(s_{sc} \cdot \dot{y}'_v, y''_v)$ for $y'_v \in Z((\widehat{G^*})_{der})$ and $y''_v \in Z(\widehat{G^*})^\circ$ via the decomposition $\widehat{\widehat{G^*}} = (\widehat{\widehat{G^*}})_{sc} \times Z(\widehat{G^*})^\circ$. Following [Kal18], we denote by

$$\langle (s_{\mathrm{sc}} \cdot \dot{y}'_v, y''_v), (G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \pi_v) \rangle$$

the character of the representation $\iota_{\varphi_v}((G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \pi_v))$ of $\pi_0(S_{\varphi_v}^+)$ evaluated at \dot{s}_v . These values behave well after taking the product over all v in the following sense:

Proposition 5.12. ([Kal18, Proposition 4.5.2]) The value

$$\langle loc_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc}), \dot{y}'_v \rangle^{-1} \cdot \langle (s_{sc} \cdot \dot{y}'_v, y''_v), (G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \pi_v) \rangle$$

equals 1 for all but finitely many v, where \mathcal{T}_{sc} is as in §4.2, and the product

$$\langle s_{ad}, \pi \rangle := \prod_{v \in V} \langle loc_v(\mathscr{T}_{sc}), \dot{y}'_v \rangle^{-1} \cdot \langle (s_{sc} \cdot \dot{y}'_v, y''_v), (G_{F_v}, \psi, (\mathscr{T}_v, \bar{h}_v), \pi_v) \rangle$$

is independent of the choices of s_{sc} , \dot{y}'_v , y''_v , the torsor \mathscr{T}_{sc} , and the global Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} . Moreover, the function $s_{ad} \mapsto \langle s_{ad}, \pi \rangle$ is the character of a finite-dimensional representation of \mathcal{S}_{φ} .

Proof. This proof is identical to the proof of the analogous result in [Kal18], replacing the use of Corollary 3.7.5 loc. cit. with our Corollary 4.16 and the (conjectural) endoscopic character identities from [Kal16, §3.4], with the analogous identities from [Dil20, §7.3].

6. COMPLEXES OF TORI AND ČECH COHOMOLOGY

This section gives an extension of the theory of *complexes of tori* developed in the appendices of [KS99] to the setting of local and global function fields.

6.1. Complexes of tori over local function fields—basic results. Suppose that we have a complex of commutative R-groups, which is concentrated in degrees 0 and 1, denoted by $G \xrightarrow{f} H$ (or, when both groups are R-tori, by $T \xrightarrow{f} U$). For any fpqc ring homomorphism $R \to S$, we obtain a double complex $K^{\bullet,\bullet}$ by taking the Čech complexes associated to G and H; that is, the double complex

for our applications, it will always be the case that the Čech cohomology groups $\check{H}^i(S/R, G)$ compute the fppf cohomology $H^i(R, G)$ (although S/R itself need not be an fppf cover). As usual, we can associate to this double complex a new complex L^{\bullet} , whose degree-*r* term is given by

$$L^{r}(T^{\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{m+n=r} K^{m,n} = G(S^{\bigotimes_{R} r}) \oplus H(S^{\bigotimes_{R} r-1}),$$

with differentials defined by $(d_G \oplus f - d_H)$. Following [KS99], we call the elements of L^r (Čech) *r*-hypercochains, and the elements of the kernel of the *r*th differential (Čech) *r*-hypercocycles. Denote by $H^r(S/R, G \xrightarrow{f} H)$ the *r*th cohomology group of the complex L^{\bullet} . Note that, by fpqc descent, $H^0(S/R, G \xrightarrow{f} H) = \ker(G(R) \to H(R)) = \ker(f)(R)$, which will be useful when $\ker(f)$ is a finite-type *F*-group scheme whose cohomology we want to investigate.

The spectral sequences associated to a double complex give us the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^r(S/R, G \xrightarrow{J} H) \to \check{H}^r(S/R, G) \to \check{H}^r(S/R, H) \to H^{r+1}(S/R, G \xrightarrow{J} H) \to \dots,$$
(26)

where the first map sends [(x, y)] to [x], the last map sends [x] to [(0, x)], and the middle map is induced by f. They also give the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \check{H}^{r}(S/R, \ker(f)) \to H^{r}(S/R, G \xrightarrow{f} H) \to H^{r-1}(\operatorname{cok}(f^{\bigotimes \bullet})) \to \check{H}^{r+1}(S/R, \ker(f)) \to \dots,$$
(27)

where $\operatorname{cok}(f^{\bigotimes \bullet})$ denotes the complex with degree-*r* term given by $\frac{H(S^{\bigotimes_R r})}{f(G(S^{\bigotimes_R r}))}$.

In the long exact sequence (27), the first map is given by $[x] \mapsto [(x,0)]$, the middle map by $[(x,y)] \mapsto [\bar{y}]$, and the last map by the composition of the map $H^{r-1}(\operatorname{cok}(f^{\otimes \bullet})) \to H^r(\operatorname{im}(f^{\otimes \bullet}))$ defined by picking a preimage $x \in H(S^{\otimes_R r})$ of an *r*-cocycle $\bar{x} \in \frac{H(S^{\otimes_R r})}{f(G(S^{\otimes_R r}))}$ and then applying the Čech differential, and the map $H^r(\operatorname{im}(f^{\otimes \bullet})) \to \check{H}^{r+1}(S/R, \ker(f))$ given by picking a preimage in $G(S^{\otimes_R (r+1)})$ of $x \in f(G(S^{\otimes_R (r+1)}))$ and then differentiating.

We now make the situation more concrete by setting R = F a field; the following result is an immediate extension of the fact that, for a smooth finite type commutative F-group scheme G, the comparison map $\check{H}^i(F^{\text{sep}}/F, G) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(\overline{F}/F, G)$ is always an isomorphism:

Lemma 6.1. For all $i \ge 1$, the natural map $H^i(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^i(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows immediately from the five-lemma, applied to the commutative diagram with exact rows induced by (26)

where all vertical maps other than the one in consideration are isomorphisms, since T and U are tori (in particular, are smooth).

We also have the following relation between Čech hypercohomology with respect to F^{sep}/F and Galois cohomology:

Lemma 6.2. For all *i*, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{i}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{i}(\Gamma, T(F^{sep}) \to U(F^{sep})),$$

where the latter group is as defined in [KS99], Appendix A.

Proof. This is immediate from applying the comparison isomorphisms discussed in $\S1.1$.

We now discuss a local Tate-Nakayama pairing in this context; there is not much work to do here, as we may simply follow [KS99]. We will now assume that R = F is a local function field, $S = \overline{F}$ a fixed algebraic closure, $G \xrightarrow{f} H$ is a complex of F-tori, denoted by $T^{\bullet} := T \xrightarrow{f} U$, with dual complex of character modules (over Γ) $X^{*,\bullet} := X^*(U) \xrightarrow{-f^*} X^*(T)$, concentrated in degrees -1 and 0. The character groups are just Γ -modules, so the theory of [KS99], appendix A applies,
giving us a double complex $K^{\bullet,\bullet}_*$ equal to

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X^*(U) & \longrightarrow & C^1(\Gamma, X^*(U)) & \longrightarrow & C^2(\Gamma, X^*(U)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ X^*(T) & \longrightarrow & C^1(\Gamma, X^*(T)) & \longrightarrow & C^2(\Gamma, X^*(T)) & \longrightarrow & \dots, \end{array}$$

where all vertical arrows are induced by f^* ; the associated complex is $L^r_*(X^{*,\bullet}) = C^r(\Gamma, X^*(T)) \oplus$ $C^{r+1}(\Gamma, X^*(U)).$

We have a pairing of abelian groups

$$\cup : L^{r}(T^{\bullet}) \times L^{s}_{*}(X^{*,\bullet}) \to \mathbb{G}_{m}(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_{F} r+s})$$

defined by taking the sum of the pairing $T(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F r}) \times C^s(\Gamma, X^*(T)) \to \mathbb{G}_m(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F r+s})$ and $(-1)^{r-1}$ times the pairing $U(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F r-1}) \times C^{s+1}(\Gamma, X^*(U)) \to \mathbb{G}_m(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F r+s})$. It is straightforward to check that this cup product satisfies the identity $d(a \cup b) = (da) \cup b + (-1)^r (a \cup db)$ for all $x \in L^r(T^{\bullet})$, and thus induces a pairing

$$H^{r}(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^{s}(L^{\bullet}_{*}(X^{*, \bullet})) \to \check{H}^{r+s}(\overline{F}/F, \mathbb{G}_{m}) = H^{r+s}(F, \mathbb{G}_{m}).$$

Note that, via degree-shifting, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{s}(L^{\bullet}_{*}(X^{*,\bullet})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{s+1}(\Gamma, X^{*}(U) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} X^{*}(T)),$$

where, as the notation indicates, we are now viewing the complex $X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T)$ as concentrated in degrees 0 and 1 and taking the cohomology of the corresponding total complex.

Remark 6.3. There is an apparent discrepancy between our use of cohomology with respect to the fqpc cover $\operatorname{Spec}(\overline{F}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(F)$ when dealing with the tori T. U, and our use of cohomology with respect to the fpqc cover $\operatorname{Spec}(F^{\operatorname{sep}}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(F)$ implicit in our use of Γ -cohomology to treat the Cartier dual group schemes $\underline{X}^*(T), \underline{X}^*(U)$. However, we remind the reader that since for any F-torus S the Cartier dual group scheme $\underline{X}^*(S)$ is étale, the natural inclusion $\underline{X}^*(S)((F^{\text{sep}})^{\bigotimes_F n}) \to \underline{X}^*(S)(\overline{F}^{\bigotimes_F n})$ is an isomorphism, which means that we may canonically identify all groups of Čech cochains (and hence the cocycles and coboundaries) with respect to these two different covers.

As such, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may apply this identification and the invariant map $H^2(F, \mathbb{G}_m) \to$ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} to obtain the *Tate-Nakayama pairing*

$$H^r(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^{3-r}(F, X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that for any F-torus S, we have $H^i(F, S) = 0$ for all $i \ge 3$, since $H^i(F, S) = H^i(\Gamma, S(F^{sep}))$, and the cohomological dimension of F is 2. This same reasoning also implies that $H^i(\Gamma, X^*(S)) =$ 0 for all i > 3. Using the long exact sequence (26), we deduce that both of the groups in the above pairing are zero for r > 4 and negative r.

We now reach the analogue of [KS99, Lemma A.2.A]:

Lemma 6.4. The above pairing induces an isomorphism

$$H^r(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^{3-r}(F, X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T))^*$$

for r = 2, 3. For r = 2, 3, the group $H^r(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is finitely-generated, and is free for r = 3.

Proof. The identical proof of [KS99, Lemma A.2.A] works in our situation, using our long exact sequence (26). \Box

6.2. **Pairing for** r = 1. This section is primarily a summary of [KS99, §A.3]; when necessary, we explain why the arguments loc. cit. carry over to our double complex of Čech cochains valued in \overline{F} rather than Galois cochains. The usual exponential exact sequences give a diagram of Γ -modules

which gives a boundary map on hypercohomology

$$H^r(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to H^{r+1}(\Gamma, X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T)),$$

giving a pairing $H^r(\Gamma, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^{2-r}(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ (embedding \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{C}^{\times} via the exponential map). As noted in [KS99], this pairing is insufficient for our purposes; we instead want to define a pairing involving the hypercohomology groups $H^r(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$, where W_F denotes the Weil group of F.

Recall that the hypercohomology groups $H^r(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$ are defined as follows: For any Ftorus S, we set $C^0(W_F, \widehat{S}) = \widehat{S}(\mathbb{C})$ (with inflated W_F -action), $C^1(W_F, \widehat{S})$ the group of continuous 1-cocycles of W_F in $\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})$, and all other cochain groups to be zero. We then define r-hypercochains with respect to the complex $\widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}$ to be elements of

$$C^r(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) = C^r(W_F, \widehat{U}) \oplus C^{r-1}(W_F, \widehat{T}),$$

with the same differentials as in our previous total complexes, and corresponding cohomology groups $H^r(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \widehat{T})$.

To construct the desired pairing, we need to introduce one more homological construction. For K/F a finite Galois extension and $W_{K/F}$ the relative Weil group of K/F, we define the group $H_0(W_{K/F}, X_*(T) \xrightarrow{f_*} X_*(U))$ to be the kernel of $X_*(T) \oplus C_1(X_*(U)) \xrightarrow{f_* \oplus -\partial} X_*(U)$ modulo the image of

$$C_1(X_*(T)) \oplus C_2(X_*(U)) \xrightarrow{(\partial \oplus 0, f_* \oplus -\partial)} X_*(T) \oplus C_1(X_*(U)),$$

where $C_i(-)$ denotes the group of *i*-chains and ∂ is the usual differential from group homology. (with respect to the abstract group $W_{K/F}$). We then define $H_0(W_{K/F}, X_*(T) \xrightarrow{f_*} X_*(U))_0$ as the subgroup of elements whose $X_*(T)$ - coordinates are killed by the K/F-norm. We then have maps

$$\phi \colon C_1(X_*(T)) \to T(K),$$

$$\psi \colon X_*(T)_0 \to Z^1(K/F, T) = Z^1(\Gamma_{K/F}, T(K))$$

which together induce, via (ψ, ϕ) , a canonical isomorphism

$$H_0(W_{K/F}, X_*(T) \xrightarrow{f_*} X_*(U))_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Gamma_{K/F}, T(K) \xrightarrow{f} U(K)) = H^1(K/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U).$$
(28)

For the explicit construction of ϕ and ψ and the proof that they induce such an isomorphism, see [KS99, §A.3], (the constructions of the two maps are involved, and we omit summarizing them

here). Note that since K/F is a finite Galois extension, we may work with group cohomology, so the arguments of [KS99] are unchanged in our new setting. Now since \mathbb{C}^{\times} is divisible, we have an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_0(W_{K/F}, X_*(T) \xrightarrow{f_*} X_*(U)), \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\operatorname{abs}}(W_{K/F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}),$$

where the subscript "abs" means that we are viewing $W_{K/F}$ as an abstract group, and then restricting to subgroups, an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_0(W_{K/F}, X_*(T) \xrightarrow{f_*} X_*(U))_0, \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(W_{K/F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}),$$

(for details on these isomorphisms, see [KS99, §A.3]) which, combined with the isomorphism (28), gives a pairing

$$H^1(K/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^1(W_{K/F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

Passing to direct limits gives a pairing

$$H^{1}(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^{1}(W_{F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times},$$
 (29)

and then applying our isomorphism from Lemma 6.1 finally gives our desired pairing

$$H^1(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}.$$
 (30)

We now discuss some basic properties of this pairing. To match more closely with [KS99], we work with $H^1(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) = H^1(\Gamma_F, T(F^{\text{sep}}) \xrightarrow{f} U(F^{\text{sep}}))$, but we could just as well replace the left-hand group with $H^1(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ (cf. Lemma 6.1). We have two exact sequences

$$\cdots \to H^0(F, U) \xrightarrow{j} H^1(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \xrightarrow{i} H^1(F, T) \to \dots,$$

$$\cdots \to H^0(W_F, \widehat{T}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{j}} H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{i}} H^1(W_F, \widehat{U}) \to \dots,$$

from which we derive two compatibilities of pairings. First, we have $\langle j(u), \hat{z} \rangle = \langle u, \hat{i}(\hat{z}) \rangle^{-1}$, where the left-hand pairing is (29) and the right-hand pairing $U(F) \times H^1(W_F, \hat{U}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is given by Langlands duality for tori. Second, we have $\langle z, \hat{j}(\hat{t}) \rangle = \langle i(z), \hat{t} \rangle$, where the left-hand pairing is again from (29) and the right-hand pairing $H^1(F, T) \times \hat{T}^{\Gamma_F} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ comes from Tate-Nakayama duality.

We may endow $H^1(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ with a natural locally-profinite topology as follows. To see this, we first claim that the image $f(T(F)) \subseteq U(F)$ is closed. The scheme-theoretic image f(T)is a closed subscheme of U by the closed orbit lemma, so that f(T)(F) is closed in U(F), which means that we can replace U by f(T) to reduce to the case where f is (scheme-theoretically) surjective. We then may find an F-torus T' such that f factors as a composition $T \xrightarrow{f'} U' \xrightarrow{f''} U$ where the kernel of f' is a torus and f'' is an isogeny. Note that f'' is finite, and hence proper, which means that, at the F-rational level, the continuous map $U'(F) \to U(F)$ is proper (as a map of topological spaces), which means it's closed (since U(F) is locally compact and Hausdorff), and so we can reduce further to the case where the kernel of $T \to U$ is a torus.

Note that, in this final case, the morphism $T \xrightarrow{f} U$ is smooth—indeed, quotient maps are always flat and surjective, and the smoothness of the kernel implies that we get a short-exact sequence at the level of tangent spaces at the identity. It then follows from the inverse function theorem for analytic manifolds ([Ser92, Theorem III.9.2], which again, is proved for all analytic manifolds

over complete nonarchimedean fields) that f is open, and hence closed (since we are working with totally-disconnected Hausdorff topological spaces). In fact, the above argument shows that $f: T(F) \rightarrow U(F)$ is closed.

The closedness of f(T(F)) in U(F) implies that the quotient U(F)/f(T(F)) has the canonical structure of a topological group. We then give $H^1(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the unique locally-profinite topology such that the map

$$U(F)/[f(T(F))] \to H^1(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$$

is an open immersion (note that $H^1(F,T)$ is always finite).

Proposition 6.5. Using the above topology, the pairing (30) induces a surjective homomorphism

$$H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to Hom_{cts}(H^1(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$$

with kernel equal to the image of $(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_F})^{\circ}$ under the natural map

$$\hat{j}: \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_F} \to H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \widehat{T}).$$

Proof. The proof proceeds identically as in the proof of [KS99, Lemma A.3.B], using our above compatibilities of pairings and the fact that Langlands duality for tori and Tate-Nakayama duality are unchanged in the local function field setting. \Box

We set $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})_{\text{red}}$ to be the quotient $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})/\widehat{j}[(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_F})^\circ]$. Note that the group $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$ is redundant when f is an isogeny, by the following result:

Proposition 6.6. The canonical inclusion

$$H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. First note that for any finite extension K/F splitting U and T, we have an "inflation-restriction" sequence, given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\Gamma_{K/F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) \to H^1(W_{K/F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) \to H^1(K^*, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}),$$

where in the last term we are viewing K^* as a topological group. Indeed, suppose that we have a 1-hypercocycle $(\underline{u},t) \in C^1(W_F, \hat{U}) \oplus \hat{T}(\mathbb{C})$ such that its restriction to K^* is a 1-coboundary; that is, we have $x \in \hat{U}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(\underline{u},t) = (dx, f(x)^{-1})$. This means that for all $z \in F^*$, we have $\underline{u}(z) = {}^z x \cdot x^{-1} = 1$, so that \underline{u} is trivial on K^* , and is therefore inflated from any 1-cocycle $\underline{\tilde{u}}$ of $\Gamma_{K/F}$ determined by picking a set-theoretic section $\Gamma_{K/F} \to W_{K/F}$. Since the $W_{K/F}$ -action is inflated from $\Gamma_{K/F}$, the element $(\underline{\tilde{u}}, t)$ is a 1-hypercocycle of $\Gamma_{K/F}$ mapping to (\underline{u}, t) , as desired.

For a fixed K/F as above, fix $x \in H^1(W_{K/F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})$; to show that, for large enough L/F containing K, it lies in the image of the inflation map, it's enough to show that its image in $H^1(L^*, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(L^*, \operatorname{ker}(\widehat{f}))$ is zero for large enough L. This is possible, since any continuous homomorphism $\chi \colon K^* \to \operatorname{ker}(\widehat{f})$ has finite-index open kernel and the images of the norm maps $N_{L/K}(L^*)$ shrink to the identity as L/K varies over all finite Galois extensions of F containing K.

6.3. Complexes of tori over global function fields—basic results. The last two subsections extend the content of [KS99], Appendix C, to a global function field F. We fix a 2-term complex of F-tori $T \xrightarrow{f} U$. Let $\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}} := F^{\text{sep}} \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$. We first define $\overline{H}^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ to be the hypercohomology of the double complex



giving us a long exact sequence

 $\cdots \to H^{i}(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^{i}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \overline{H}^{i}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^{i+1}(\overline{F}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \dots$ (31)

Let S be a finite set of places of F containing all places at which T and U are ramified. For every place v of F, we fix an algebraic closure $\overline{F_v}$ as well as an embedding $\overline{F} \hookrightarrow \overline{F_v}$. The following two results let us work in the group-cohomological setting:

Lemma 6.7. For all $i \ge 0$, the natural map $H^i(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is an isomorphism, and the same is true with \mathbb{A} replaced by F.

Proof. Combining the proof of Lemma 2.22 with our results on adelic tensor products in §2.2 shows that $H^{j}((\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}} n}, M)$ vanishes for any *F*-torus $M, j, n \ge 1$, and hence the natural map $\check{H}^{i}(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, M) \to H^{i}(\mathbb{A}, M)$ is an isomorphism. Since this is also true with \mathbb{A}^{sep} replaced by $\overline{\mathbb{A}}$, the same argument in the proof of Lemma 6.1 gives the result. The argument for *F* is the same. \Box

Corollary 6.8. For all $i \ge 0$, the natural map $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \overline{H}^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of combining Lemma 6.7 with the long exact sequence (31) and applying the five-lemma.

The next two results are left as straightforward exercises:

Lemma 6.9. For all *i*, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{i}(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^{i}(\Gamma_{F}, T(\mathbb{A}^{sep}) \xrightarrow{f} U(\mathbb{A}^{sep})).$$

Corollary 6.10. For all i, we have a canonical isomorphism

 $\bar{H}^{i}(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \bar{H}^{i}(\Gamma_{F}, T(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/T(F^{sep}) \xrightarrow{f} U(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/U(F^{sep})).$

We now give an analogue of [KS99, Lemma C.1.A], which we need in order to work with restricted products. Note that the complex $T \xrightarrow{f} U$ is defined over the ring $O_{F,S}$. Let O_v denote the completion of O_F at a place v, and O_v^{nr} the ring of integers inside the maximal unramified extension F_v^{nr}/F_v .

Lemma 6.11. For any place $v \notin S$, the group $H^i(O_v^{nr}/O_v, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is equal to the kernel of $T(O_v) \xrightarrow{f} U(O_v)$ if i = 0, to the cokernel of the same map if i = 1, and is trivial if $i \ge 2$.

Moreover, the natural map

$$H^{i}(O_{v}^{nr}/O_{v}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^{i}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$$

is injective for all *i*.

Proof. To prove the first statement, using the long exact sequence (26), it's enough to show that $\check{H}^i(O_v^{nr}/O_v, M) = 0$ for any *F*-torus *M* which is unramified at *v* for $i \ge 1$ (applying this result to *T* and *U*). We first claim that these groups may be identified with $H^i(O_v, M)$ under the natural Čech-to-fppf comparison map. As usual, it's enough to show that the fppf cohomology groups $H^j((O_v^{nr})^{\bigotimes_{O_v} n}, M)$ vanish for all $j, n \ge 1$. Since O_v is the ring of integers in a nonarchimedean local field, for a fixed finite unramified extension E_w/F_v , we have the chain of identifications

$$O_w \otimes_{O_v} O_w \xrightarrow{\sim} O_w \otimes_{O_v} O_v[\varpi] \xrightarrow{\sim} O_w \otimes_{O_v} O_v[x]/(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\Gamma_{E_w/F_v}} O_w$$

where $\varpi \in O_w$ and $f \in O_v[x]$. In the usual way, we are thus reduced to the case when n = 1; i.e., showing that the groups $H^i(O_v^{nr}, M)$ vanish for all $i \ge 1$. This follows immediately from the fact that they are the direct limit of the groups $H^i(O_{E_w}, M)$, where E_w is as above, which all vanish by [Čes16, Corollary 2.9], using that O_{E_w} is a Henselian local ring with finite residue field k_w , and M_{k_w} is connected, being a k_w -torus. With the claim in hand, the result is immediate from the same Corollary, since O_v is a Henselian local ring with finite residue field k_v such that M_{k_v} is connected.

We now move on to the second statement. Using the first statement, we only need to show this for i = 1. As in the proof of [KS99, Lemma C.1.A], it's enough to show that any element $u \in U(O_v) \cap f(T(F_v))$ lies in $f(T(O_v))$. To this end, we may assume that f is surjective, and we may again factor f as the composition $T \xrightarrow{f'} U' \xrightarrow{f''} U$, where f' has a torus as its kernel and f'' is an isogeny. The argument of the proof of [KS99, Lemma C.1.A] proves the result for f', so that $U'(O_v) \cap f'(T(F_v)) = f'(T(O_v))$.

Note that f'' is proper as a morphism of F_v -schemes, so the map $U'(F_v) \to U(F_v)$ is proper as a morphism of topological spaces; this implies that the preimage of the compact subgroup $U(O_v)$ under f'' is a compact subgroup of $U'(F_v)$, and so lies in $U'(O_v)$, the maximal compact subgroup. Thus, if $t \in T(F_v)$ is such that $f(t) \in U(O_v)$, then $f'(t) \in U'(O_v)$, so that f'(t) = f'(x) for some $x \in T(O_v)$, and now f(t) = f(x), as desired.

We now give a restricted product structure to the groups $H^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ with respect to the subgroups of the above lemma:

Proposition 6.12. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{i}(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{v \in V_{F}}^{\prime} H^{i}(\overline{F_{v}}/F_{v}, T \xrightarrow{f} U),$$

where the product is restricted with respect to the subgroups $H^i(O_v^{nr}/O_v, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ for $v \notin S$ (which are indeed subgroups by Lemma 6.11). When $i \geq 2$, this restricted product is a direct sum.

Proof. The first step is to use Lemma 6.7 to replace $H^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ by $H^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, and Lemma 6.1 to replace $H^i(\overline{F_v}/F_v, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ by $H^i(F_v^{\text{sep}}/F_v, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$. Consider a finite Galois extension K/F, and let $S_{(K)}$ denote a large finite set of places containing S such that K is unramified outside $S_{(K)}$. For any place $w \in V_K$ lying over $v \notin S_{(K)}$, the natural map $H^i(O_w/O_v, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^i(O_v^{nr}/O_v, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is an isomorphism (replace O_v^{nr} by O_w in the proof of Lemma 6.11). From here, we may work with group cohomology and use the identical argument of [KS99, Lemma C.1.B] to deduce the result.

Continuing to follow [KS99, §C], we topologize our adelic cohomology groups. We work with the Galois versions $H^i(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, $H^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, and $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$. We give $H^i(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the discrete topology for all *i*. We give $H^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the topology it inherits as a closed subgroup of $T(\mathbb{A})$, and $H^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the topology determined by declaring that the map $U(\mathbb{A})/f[T(\mathbb{A})] \to H^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is an open immersion; note that $f[T(\mathbb{A})]$ is closed in $U(\mathbb{A})$, since $f(T(F_v)) \cap U(O_v) = f(T(O_v))$ for $v \notin S$ and $\prod_{v \notin S} f(T(O_v))$ is compact, and $f(T(F_v))$ is closed in $U(F_v)$ for $v \in S$ (by an argument that we made earlier in this subsection). In the above discussion, we are using [Čes16, Theorem 2.20] to decompose $T(\mathbb{A})$ and $U(\mathbb{A})$ as restricted products. We give the groups $H^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the discrete topology for $i \geq 2$.

We now turn to topologizing the groups $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, which is the most complicated of the three cases. Note that for any *F*-torus *S*, the group $S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})$ carries a natural topology, given by the direct limit topology of the topological groups $S(\mathbb{A}_K)$, where K/F ranges over all finite Galois extensions. These topologies coincide with the topologies induced by giving \mathbb{A}^{sep} the structure of a topological ring via the direct limit topology. Note that the ring \mathbb{A}^{sep} is Hausdorff; to see, this, note that each \mathbb{A}_K is a metrizable topological space (by [KS20, Proposition 1.1]), and is thus normal; now the direct limit of normal spaces with transition maps that are closed immersions (as is the case with $\mathbb{A}_K \to \mathbb{A}_L$) is a normal topological space, and hence a fortiori Hausdorff.

It follows that $S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})$ is Hausdorff (by [Con11, Proposition 2.1]). Since S(K) is closed in $S(\mathbb{A}_K)$ for all K, it follows that $S(F^{\text{sep}})$ is a closed subgroup of $S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})$ (using that $S(F^{\text{sep}}) \cap S(\mathbb{A}_K) = S(K)$), so the topological group $S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/S(F^{\text{sep}})$ makes sense. Moreover, the subgroup $[S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/S(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$ is closed, since it's the intersection over all elements $\sigma \in \Gamma$ of the subsets $[S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/S(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\sigma}$, which are the preimages of the (closed) diagonal $\Delta(S(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/S(F^{\text{sep}}))$ under the continuous map

$$\mathrm{id} \times (-)^{\sigma} \colon S(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}}) / S(F^{\mathrm{sep}}) \to S(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}}) / S(F^{\mathrm{sep}}) \times S(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}}) / S(F^{\mathrm{sep}})$$

Moreover, using these topologies, the natural map

$$[T(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/T(F^{\mathrm{sep}})]^{\Gamma} \to [U(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/U(F^{\mathrm{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$$

is continuous, and hence the closed kernel (our group $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$) has the natural structure of a topological group, settling the i = 0 case.

We claim that the image of the map

$$[T(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma} \to [U(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/U(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$$

is in fact a closed subgroup (with topologies given as above). First, observe that for K/F finite, the map $T(\mathbb{A}_K) \xrightarrow{f} U(\mathbb{A}_K)$ is closed; this follows from the closedness of f as a map from $T(K_v)$ to $U(K_v)$ for all v, the observation that $f(T(K_v)) \cap U(O_{K_v}) = f(T(O_{K_v}))$, and the structure of the adelic topology on $U(\mathbb{A}_K)$ (using the restricted-product decomposition of $U(\mathbb{A}_K)$ from [Čes16, Theorem 2.20]). Now note that the image of the map in question is the direct limit of the images of the maps of topological groups $[T(\mathbb{A}_K)/T(K)]^{\Gamma_{K/F}} \to [U(\mathbb{A}_K)/U(K)]^{\Gamma_{K/F}}$, and so it's enough to show that all of these images are closed. This follows immediately from the closedness of $T(\mathbb{A}_K) \xrightarrow{f} U(\mathbb{A}_K)$ and the fact that $[T(\mathbb{A}_K)/T(K)]^{\Gamma_{K/F}}$ is closed in $T(\mathbb{A}_K)/T(K)$ (implied by our above arguments).

We give $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the topology determined by declaring that the map

$$\operatorname{cok}([T(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}})/T(F^{\operatorname{sep}})]^{\Gamma} \to [U(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}})/U(F^{\operatorname{sep}})]^{\Gamma}) \to \bar{H}^{1}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$$

is an open immersion (where the left-hand side has the natural quotient topology). For any $i \ge 2$, we give $\bar{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ the discrete topology.

6.4. Complexes of tori over global function fields—duality. We now discuss duality for the groups $\overline{H}^i(\overline{\mathbb{A}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$; it will be more convenient to replace these groups by (the canonically-isomorphic) $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$. As in the local case, we have a Tate-Nakayama pairing

$$\bar{H}^{r}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^{3-r}(\Gamma, X^{*}(U) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} X^{*}(T)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$
(32)

where the \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} comes from identifying the 2nd cohomology group of the complex with degree-n (≥ 0) term

$$\mathbb{G}_m((\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}}(n+1)})/\mathbb{G}_m((F^{\mathrm{sep}})^{\bigotimes_F(n+1)})$$

with $H^2(\Gamma, C)$ (where $C = \varinjlim_{K/F} C_K$ is the universal idéle class group) and then identifying this last group with \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} via the global invariant map. For an *F*-torus *S*, denote by $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, S)$ the *i*th cohomology of the complex with degree-*n* term

$$S((\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})^{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{A}}(n+1)})/S((F^{\mathrm{sep}})^{\bigotimes_{F}(n+1)})$$

(we can define an analogue for $\overline{\mathbb{A}}$, but we won't use that here).

According to [KS99, Lemma D.2.A],(which relies on the results of [Mil06, §4], which are stated for arbitrary nonarchimedean local fields) the groups $\overline{H}^r(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)$ vanish for $r \geq 3$, and for r = 1, 2 we having a pairing

$$\bar{H}^r(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}}/\mathbb{A},T) \times H^{2-r}(\Gamma,X^*(T)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

which induces isomorphisms $\bar{H}^r(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A},T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^{2-r}(\Gamma, X^*(T)), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, and the group $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A},T)$ is finite.

We now extend this to our complexes:

Lemma 6.13. For $r \ge 4$, the groups $\overline{H}^r(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ vanish. For r = 2, 3, the pairing (32) induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{H}^{r}(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^{3-r}(\Gamma, X^{*}(U) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} X^{*}(T)), \mathbb{Q}/Z)$$

For r = 2, 3, the group $\overline{H}^r(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is finitely-generated, and for r = 3 it is free.

Proof. See the explanation following [KS99, Lemma C.2.A].

We now give a duality theorem for r = 1, which will use the absolute Weil group W_F of F(corresponding to the inverse limit of extensions of \mathbb{A}_K/K^* by $\Gamma_{K/F}$ corresponding to the canonical H^2 -class, as K/F ranges over all finite Galois extensions) as in the local case. We define the cochain groups $C^m(W_F, \hat{T})$ and cohomology groups $H^m(W_F, \hat{T})$ in the same way as in the local case. Note that $H^m(W_F, \hat{T})$ vanishes for $m \ge 2$, and $H^1(W_F, \hat{T})$ is canonically isomorphic to

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A},T),\mathbb{C}^{\times})$, by [Lan97]. We define the hypercochain groups $C^m(W_F,\widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})$ the same way as in the local case, and take $H^m(W_F,\widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$ to be the cohomology of the corresponding complex. Note that $H^m(W_F,\widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T}) = 0$ for $m \geq 3$. We have the following global analogue of Proposition 6.6:

Proposition 6.14. When $T \xrightarrow{f} U$ is an isogeny, the canonical inclusion $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 6.6, the inflation-restriction sequence shows that it's enough to show that the image of any element in $\text{Hom}_{cts}(\mathbb{A}_K/K^*, \ker(\hat{f}))$ is zero in some large finite Galois extension L/F containing K, which follows from the fact that the kernel of any such homomorphism is open and finite-index and the universal norm group of (the idele class groups of) a global function field is trivial (see [NSW08, Proposition 8.1.26]).

We may define a pairing

$$\bar{H}^{1}(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \times H^{1}(W_{F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$
(33)

exactly as in the local case, and, like in the local case, it induces a surjective homomorphism

$$H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$$

with kernel the image of $(T^{\Gamma})^{\circ} \subseteq H^0(W_F, \widehat{T})$ in $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$, the quotient by which we will denote by $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\text{red}}$.

We now define a compact subgroup $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$ of $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ for i = 0, 1. We first set $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)_1$ to be the kernel of the group homomorphism

$$H\colon [T(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/T(F^{\mathrm{sep}})]^{\Gamma} \to X_{*}(T)^{\Gamma}$$

determined by, for all $\lambda \in X^*(T)^{\Gamma}$, the equality

$$\langle \lambda, H(\bar{t}) \rangle = \deg(\lambda(\bar{t})),$$

where we are using the fact that $[(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})^{\times}/(F^{\text{sep}})^{\times}]^{\Gamma} = \mathbb{A}^{\times}/F^{\times}$, and deg: $\mathbb{A}^{\times}/F^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the homomorphism defined by deg $(\bar{\alpha}) = \sum_{v \in V} v(\alpha_v)[k_v : k]$, where k denotes the constant field of the global function field F.

Lemma 6.15. The kernel of the above homomorphism is a compact subgroup of $[T(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/T(F^{sep})]^{\Gamma}$ (topologized as in the previous subsection).

Proof. This follows from elementary results concerning the structure of tori over global fields. We have a canonical isogeny $T \to T_a \times T_s$, where T_a is the maximal *F*-anisotropic subtorus of *T* and T_s is the maximal *F*-split subtorus of *T*. Note that this induces an injective group homomorphism $X_*(T)^{\Gamma} \to X_*(T_a \times T_s)^{\Gamma} = X_*(T_s)$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (T(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/T(F^{\mathrm{sep}}))^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & ((T_a \times T_s)(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/(T_a \times T_s)(F^{\mathrm{sep}}))^{\mathrm{I}} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow & \\ & & X_*(T)^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & X_*(T_s), \end{array}$$

and since the lower horizontal map is injective, the kernel of the left-hand vertical map (the group we're analyzing) is the kernel of the right-down composition, i.e, the preimage of the kernel of the right-hand vertical map. Since the top horizontal map is induced by the isogeny $T \to T_a \times T_s$ (which is proper), if we can show that the kernel of the right-hand vertical map is compact, then its preimage in $[T(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$ is also compact (since the properness of f implies that the map of topological groups $T(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}) \xrightarrow{f_K} U(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})$ is proper, by [Con11, Proposition 5.8]). Rewriting the group $[(T_a \times T_s)(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/(T_a \times T_s)(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$ as

$$[T_a(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T_a(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma} \times [T_s(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T_s(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma},$$

it's clear that the kernel in question equals $[T_a(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/T_a(F^{sep})]^{\Gamma} \times K_s$, where K_s denotes the kernel of the map $H_s: [T_s(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/T_s(F^{sep})]^{\Gamma} \to X_*(T_s)$. First, note that the group $[T_a(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/T_a(F^{sep})]^{\Gamma}$ is already compact; this follows from the fact that it contains $T_a(\mathbb{A})/T_a(F)$ as a finite-index closed subgroup, and this latter group is compact (by [Con20, Theorem 8.1.3], using that T_a is F-anisotropic).

We have thus reduced the lemma to the case in which $T = T_s$ is F-split. Pick a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of $X^*(T) = X^*(T)^{\Gamma}$. Then \bar{t} lies in the kernel of H if and only if deg $[\lambda_i(\bar{t})] = 0$ for all i. In fact, we have an F-isomorphism

$$T \xrightarrow{(\lambda_i)} \mathbb{G}_m^n,$$

and now the kernel of H is the preimage under the above isomorphism of the kernel of the map $(\mathbb{A}^{\times}/F^{\times})^n \xrightarrow{\deg^n} \mathbb{Z}^n$, which is the *n*-fold product of the compact subgroups C_F^0 of $\mathbb{A}^{\times}/F^{\times}$ (by [NSW08, Proposition 8.1.25]).

We then define $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$ to be the intersection of the group $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \subseteq [T(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$ with the above kernel. It is easy to check that when f is an isogeny this intersection is all of $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$. We now proceed to the i = 1 construction.

For any $\lambda \in X^*(U)^{\Gamma}$, we have a map of complexes from $[T \xrightarrow{f} U]$ to $[1 \to \mathbb{G}_m]$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow^{\lambda} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m, \end{array}$$

which induces a map $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, 1 \to \mathbb{G}_m) = \bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{A}^{\times}/F^{\times}$, which we may then map to \mathbb{Z} via deg, as above. This determines a homomorphism $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to X_*(U)^{\Gamma}$, and we declare $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$ to be the kernel of the composition

$$H^{(1)} \colon \bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to X_*(U)^{\Gamma} \to \frac{X_*(U)^{\Gamma}}{f_*(X_*(T)^{\Gamma})}$$

Note that we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

We claim now that the map $H_T: \overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T) \to X_*(T)^{\Gamma}$ is split; indeed, this time using the isogeny $T_a \times T_s \to T$, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((T_a \times T_s)(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/(T_a \times T_s)(F^{\mathrm{sep}}))^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & (T(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/T(F^{\mathrm{sep}}))^{\Gamma} \\ & & \downarrow^{H_{T_a \times T_s}} & & \downarrow^{H_T} \\ & & X_*(T_s) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X_*(T)^{\Gamma}, \end{array}$$

where, as we have indicated, the bottom horizontal map is an isomorphism. As in the proof of the Lemma 6.15, to split $H_{T_a \times T_s}$, it's enough to split H_{T_s} . As before, we have characters $\lambda_i \in X^*(T_s)$ such that $T_s \xrightarrow{(\lambda_i)} \mathbb{G}_m^n$ is an isomorphism, and so it's enough to split the map $(\mathbb{A}^{\times}/F^{\times})^n \xrightarrow{\deg^n} \mathbb{Z}^n$, which is clearly possible. Our splitting of $H_{T_a \times T_s}$ gives a splitting of H_T by applying the inverse isomorphism $X_*(T)^{\Gamma} \to X_*(T_s)$, giving the main claim. Of course the same argument works with T replaced by U. Along with the obvious product decompositions of $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)$ and $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)$, we get an induced splitting $X_*(U)^{\Gamma}/f_*(X_*(T)^{\Gamma}) \to \overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ of $H^{(1)}$, realizing $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ as the product $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1 \times [X_*(U)^{\Gamma}/f_*(X_*(T)^{\Gamma})]$.

Lemma 6.16. The group $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$ is compact (as a subgroup of the topological group $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$).

Proof. We have a natural injection $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)_1/f(\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)_1) \hookrightarrow \overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$, which, by the definition of our topologies, is a closed immersion. We claim that, in fact, this is a subgroup of a finite index in the target. By the commutative diagram (34), we have

$$\delta^{-1}[\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1] = \bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)_1 \cdot f[\bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)] \subseteq \bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)_1$$

and hence the image of the above natural injection equals

$$\delta[\bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)] \cap \bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{J} U)_1,$$

and hence is of finite index, since $\delta[\bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)]$ is of finite index in $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, by the finiteness of $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)$. Since $\bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, U)_1/f(\bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T)_1)$ is itself compact (by Lemma 6.15), the result follows.

Corollary 6.17. When f is an isogeny, the group $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is compact.

Proof. This follows immediately from the above lemma and the fact that $X_*(U)^{\Gamma}/X_*(T)^{\Gamma}$ is finite, due to the fact that $X_*(T) \subseteq X_*(U)$ is finite-index and $X_*(U)^{\Gamma} \cap X_*(T) = X_*(T)^{\Gamma}$. \Box

We conclude this section by giving new global duality results that involve the above cohomology groups. We have a natural map

$$H^{i}(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to H^{i}(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U),$$

and we will denote its kernel by ker^{*i*}($F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U$) and its cokernel by cok^{*i*}($F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U$); our primary case of interest in this paper is when i = 1; Using Proposition 6.12, we may also describe ker^{*i*}($F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U$) as the kernel of the map

$$H^{i}(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \prod_{v \in V} H^{i}(F_{v}^{\text{sep}}/F_{v}, T \xrightarrow{f} U).$$

We have, from the long exact sequence (31), the short exact sequences

$$1 \to \operatorname{cok}^{i}(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \overline{H}^{i}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \operatorname{ker}^{i+1}(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to 1.$$
(35)

The following is an analogue of [KS99, Lemma C.3.A]:

Lemma 6.18. For all *i*, the image of $H^i(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is discrete in $H^i(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$. Moreover, the map

$$\operatorname{cok}^{i}(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \overline{H}^{i}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$$

induces an isomorphism of topological groups from $cok^i(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ to an open subgroup of $\overline{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ for i = 0, 1.

Proof. The first statement is clear for $i \neq 1$ (cf. the analogous argument in [KS99]), so we only need to prove both statements for i = 1. For the first statement, it's enough to show that the intersection of $f[H^1(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)]$ with the open subgroup $U(\mathbb{A})/f(T(\mathbb{A}))$ is discrete. Since the image of U(F)/f(T(F)) is of finite index in $[U(\mathbb{A})/f(T(\mathbb{A}))] \cap f[H^1(F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)]$ (because the kernel of $H^1(F, T) \to \prod'_v H^1(F_v, T)$ is finite), it's enough to show that the image of U(F)/f(T(F)) is discrete in $U(\mathbb{A})/f(T(\mathbb{A}))$.

Similarly to what we've done before, we have a split surjective homomorphism $T(\mathbb{A}) \to X_*(T)^{\Gamma}$ with closed (not necessarily compact) kernel $T(\mathbb{A})_1$, similarly for U, and the induced product structures are compatible with the homomorphism f, allowing us to rewrite f as

$$T(\mathbb{A})_1 \times X_*(T)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{f \times f_*} U(\mathbb{A})_1 \times X_*(U)^{\Gamma}$$

leading to a decomposition

$$U(\mathbb{A})/f(T(\mathbb{A})) = U(\mathbb{A})_1/f(T(\mathbb{A})_1) \times X_*(U)^{\Gamma}/f_*(X_*(T)^{\Gamma}),$$

and image of U(F)/f(T(F)) in $U(\mathbb{A})/f(T(\mathbb{A}))$ lands in the factor $U(\mathbb{A})_1/f(T(\mathbb{A})_1)$.

The subgroup f(T(F)) is evidently discrete in $U(\mathbb{A})_1$, since the subgroup U(F) is discrete in $U(\mathbb{A})$ (by [Con11], Example 2.2, using that F is discrete in \mathbb{A}). Thus, $U(\mathbb{A})_1/f(T(F))$ contains the discrete subgroup U(F)/f(T(F)) and the compact subgroup $f(T(\mathbb{A})_1)/f(T(F))$ (the compactness follows from Lemma 6.15). The desired discreteness then follows by the analogous argument in the proof of [KS99, Lemma C.3.A].

As in [KS99], to prove the second statement for i = 1 it suffices to show that the map

$$U(\mathbb{A}) \to [U(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/U(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}/f[T(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$$

is open. Note that the image $U(\mathbb{A})/U(F) \hookrightarrow [U(\mathbb{A}^{sep})/U(F^{sep})]^{\Gamma}$ is closed (a straightforward exercise in the topology of adelic points), and is also finite index (by the finiteness of the kernel of $H^1(F, U) \to H^1(\mathbb{A}, U)$), and is hence open. Since quotient maps are open, the composition

 $U(\mathbb{A}) \to U(\mathbb{A})/U(F) \to [U(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/U(F^{\mathrm{sep}})]^{\Gamma} \to [U(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/U(F^{\mathrm{sep}})]^{\Gamma}/f[T(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}})/T(F^{\mathrm{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$

is open, as desired.

It remains to show that the injection $\operatorname{cok}^0(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ has open image. As in [KS99], it's enough to show that the map $H^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \text{ker}[T(\mathbb{A})/T(F) \to U(\mathbb{A})/U(F)]$ is open (because, as in [KS99], $T(\mathbb{A})/T(F)$ is open in $[T(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}})/T(F^{\text{sep}})]^{\Gamma}$). Define the closed subgroup $B := \{t \in T(\mathbb{A}) \mid f(t) \in U(F)\}$ of $T(\mathbb{A})$. Note that $H^0(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is a closed subgroup of B, and we thus have a closed immersion $B/[H^0(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)] \hookrightarrow$ $U(F) \hookrightarrow U(\mathbb{A})$, where the last closed immersion has discrete image. It follows that, since $H^{0}(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is a closed subgroup of B with discrete quotient, it's open, and then the result follows from the fact that $B/T(F) = \ker[T(\mathbb{A})/T(F) \to U(\mathbb{A})/T(F)].$

We immediately obtain:

Corollary 6.19. The group

$$cok^{1}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_{1} := cok^{1}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \cap \bar{H}^{1}(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_{1}$$

is compact. Moreover, when f is an isogeny, the group $cok^1(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is compact.

Define the group

$$\ker^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\mathrm{red}} := \ker[H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\mathrm{red}} \to \prod_{v \in V} H^1(W_{F_v}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\mathrm{red}}].$$

We have the following useful result:

Proposition 6.20. We have a duality isomorphism

$$Hom_{cts}(cok^{1}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}(W_{F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})_{red}/ker^{1}(W_{F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})_{red}$$

Moreover, the group ker¹($F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U$) is finite.

Proof. Using that $\operatorname{cok}^1(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is an open subgroup of $\overline{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(-, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ to the short exact sequence (35) with i = 1 gives that the group $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\operatorname{cok}^1(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ is canonically isomorphic to the quotient

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\bar{H}^{1}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})/\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\operatorname{ker}^{2}(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times}).$$

Moreover, the same short exact sequence tells us that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\operatorname{ker}^2(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ is canonically isomorphic to the subgroup

$$\ker[\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\bar{H}^{1}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(H^{1}(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})].$$

But now we know that Hom_{cts} $(\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ is canonically isomorphic to the group $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\text{red}}$ via the pairing (33), that $H^1(\mathbb{A}^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\prod'_{v} H^{1}(F_{v}^{\text{sep}}/F_{v}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ (by Proposition 6.12), and that each $H^{1}(F_{v}^{\text{sep}}/F_{v}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ has continuous dual canonically isomorphic to $H^{1}(W_{F_{v}}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\text{red}}$, which gives the result.

For the finiteness of ker¹($F^{\text{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U$), one checks that the map

$$\bar{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U) \to \mathrm{Ker}(f_*|_{X_*(T)^{\Gamma}})$$

from the diagram (34) remains surjective when restricted to the subgroup $\operatorname{cok}^0(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$, which means that $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$ surjects onto $\operatorname{ker}^1(F^{\operatorname{sep}}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ with open kernel (this openness follows from Lemma 6.18). Since $\overline{H}^0(\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{sep}}/\mathbb{A}, T \xrightarrow{f} U)_1$ is compact, its quotient by an open subgroup is finite.

In fact, we have the following exact analogue of [KS99, Lemma C.3.B], whose adaptation we leave here (for completeness) as an exercise (Proposition 6.20 is the only part of this result used in the above paper):

Proposition 6.21. The groups ker^{*i*}($F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U$) are finite for all *i* and vanish unless i = 1, 2, 3. For i = 1, 2, 3, we have dual finite abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} & Hom(ker^{1}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times}) = ker^{2}(W_{F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T}), \\ & Hom(ker^{1}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{C}^{\times}) = ker^{1}(W_{F}, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{red}, \\ & Hom(ker^{3}(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = ker^{1}(\Gamma, X^{*}(U) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} X^{*}(T)). \end{aligned}$$

The groups $cok^i(F^{sep}/F, T \xrightarrow{f} U)$ vanish for $i \ge 4$, and for $i \le 3$ we have duality isomorphisms

$$\begin{split} & Hom_{cts}(cok^{0}(F^{sep}/F,T\xrightarrow{f}U),\mathbb{C}^{\times}) = H^{2}(W_{F},\widehat{U}\xrightarrow{\hat{f}}\widehat{T})/ker^{2}(W_{F},\widehat{U}\xrightarrow{\hat{f}}\widehat{T}),\\ & Hom_{cts}(cok^{1}(F^{sep}/F,T\xrightarrow{f}U),\mathbb{C}^{\times}) = H^{1}(W_{F},\widehat{U}\xrightarrow{\hat{f}}\widehat{T})_{red}/ker^{1}(W_{F},\widehat{U}\xrightarrow{\hat{f}}\widehat{T})_{red},\\ & cok^{2}(F^{sep}/F,T\xrightarrow{f}U) = Hom(H^{1}(\Gamma,X^{*}(U)\xrightarrow{f^{*}}X^{*}(T))/ker^{1}(\Gamma,X^{*}(U)\xrightarrow{f^{*}}X^{*}(T)),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\\ & cok^{3}(F^{sep}/F,T\xrightarrow{f}U) = \bar{H}^{3}(\mathbb{A}^{sep}/\mathbb{A},T\xrightarrow{f}U) = Hom(H^{0}(\Gamma,X^{*}(U)\xrightarrow{f^{*}}X^{*}(T)),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \end{split}$$

where all groups not already defined above are defined in analogy to the corresponding objects in [KS99].

We conclude this section with a few results involving the group $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$. First, we define $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\text{red}}$ to be the quotient of $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$ by the image of $(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma})^{\circ} \subseteq H^0(\Gamma, \widehat{T})$. For any $v \in V$, we define the quotient $H^1(\Gamma_v, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\text{red}}$ of $H^1(\Gamma_v, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})$ analogously, with Γ replaced by Γ_v . Finally, we set

$$\ker^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\mathrm{red}} := \ker[H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\mathrm{red}} \to \prod_{v \in V} H^1(\Gamma_v, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{\mathrm{red}}].$$

We have the following analogue of [KS99, Lemma C.3.C]:

Lemma 6.22. The natural map from $H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{red}$ to $H^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{red}$ maps the group $ker^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{red}$ isomorphically onto $ker^1(W_F, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{red}$. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms

$$H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{f} \widehat{T})_{red} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\Gamma, X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T))$$

and

$$ker^1(\Gamma, \widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \widehat{T})_{red} \xrightarrow{\sim} ker^2(\Gamma, X^*(U) \xrightarrow{f^*} X^*(T)).$$

Proof. These second two maps are induced by the boundary map coming from the commutative diagram of short exact sequences of Γ -modules

viewed as a short exact sequence of length-2 complexes. The proof of this result is identical to that of [KS99, Lemma C.3.C]. \Box

REFERENCES

- [Čes16] Kęstutis Česnavičius, *Poitou-Tate without restrictions on the order*. Mathematical Research Letters, vol 22., no. 6, 2016.
- [Con18] Brian Conrad, "Discriminants and étale algebras," from Math 248A at Stanford University, http://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/248APage/handouts/etaledisc.pdf, 2018.
- [Con20] Brian Conrad, "Reductive groups over fields," from Math 249B course notes typed by Tony Feng, Stanford University, URL http://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/249BW16Page/handouts/249B_2016.pdf, 2020.
- [Con11] Brian Conrad, "Weil and Grothendieck approaches to adelic points." Available online at http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/adelictop.pdf, 2011.
- [CS80] W. Casselman and J. Shalika. *The unramified principal series of p-adicgroups. II. The Whittaker function*. Compositio Math. 41, no. 2, 207–231,1980.
- [Dil20] Peter Dillery, Rigid inner forms over local function fields. arXiv preprint, 2020.
- [Gil21] Philippe Gille, "Introduction to reductive group schemes over rings." Available online at http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/homes-www/gille/prenotes/reductive.pdf, 2021.
- [Hoc10] Mel Hochster, "Math 615 lecture notes." Available online at http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~hochster/615W10/615.pdf, 2010.
- [Kal16] Tasho Kaletha. *Rigid inner forms of real and p-adic groups*. Annals of Mathematics, vol. 184, no. 2, pp. 559-632, 2016.
- [Kal18] Tasho Kaletha. *Global rigid inner forms and multiplicities of discrete automorphic representations*. Invent. Math. 213, no. 1, 271–369, 2018.
- [Kot82] R.E. Kottwitz. *Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups*. Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 785-806, 1982.
- [Kot84] R.E. Kottwitz. *Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms*. Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 611-650, 1984.
- [KS20] J. Kelly and C. Samuels. Direct limits of adele rings and their completions. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. vol, 50. Issue, 3., 1021-1043, 2020.
- [KS99] R. E. Kottwitz and D. Shelstad. Foundations of twisted endoscopy. Astérisque No. 255, 1999.
- [Lan83] R.P. Langlands. Les débuts d'une formules des traces stable. Publ. Math. de l'Univ. Paris VII, vol. 13, Paris, 1983.
- [Lan97] R.P. Langlands. *Representations of abelian algebraic groups*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 231-250, 1997.

- [LS87] R. P. Langlands and D. Shelstad, *On the definition of transfer factors*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 278, pp. 219-271, 1987.
- [Mil06] James Milne. Arithmetic duality theorems (2nd ed.). Kea Books, Booksurge, LLC, 2006.
- [Mor72] R. A. Morris, *The inflation-restriction theorem for Amitsur cohomology*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 791-797, 1972.
- [NSW08] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [Poo17] Bjorn Poonen. Rational points on varieties. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, vol. 186, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [PR94] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk. Algebraic Groups and Number Theory. Academic Press, 1994.
- [RZ00] Luis Ribes and Pavel Zelesskii. *Profinite groups*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, vol. 40, Heidelberg, 2000.
- [Ros19] Zev Rosengarten. Tate duality in positive dimension over function fields. arXiv:1805.00522, 2019.
- [Ser92] J.P. Serre. Lie Algebras and Lie Groups, second ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1500, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Stacks] The Stacks project authors. The Stacks Project. https://stacks.math.columbia.edu, 2021.
- [Taï18] Olivier Taïbi. Akizuki-Witt maps and Kaletha's global rigid inner forms. Algebra Number Theory 12, no. 4, 833–884, 2018.
- [Tat66] John Tate. *The cohomology groups of tori in finite Galois extensions of number fields*. Nagoya Math Journal, vol. 27, no.2, pp. 706-719, 1966.
- [Tat79] John Tate Number theoretic background, Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, vol. 33, part 2, pp. 165-184, 1979.
- [Tha08] Nguyen Quoc Thang. On Galois cohomology of semisimple groups over local and global fields of positive characteristic. Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 259, pp. 457-467, 2008.
- [Tha13] Nguyen Quoc Thang. On Galois cohomology of semisimple groups over local and global fields of positive characteristic, III. Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 275, pp. 1287-1315, 2013.
- [Tha19] Nguyen Quoc Thang. On Galois cohomology of connected reductive groups and Kottwitz exact sequence. Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques, vol. 151, pp. 66-138, 2019.
- [Tit79] Jacques Tits. "Reductive groups over local fields," in *Proc. of AMS Summer Institute on L-functions and automorphic representations* by A. Borel, W. Casselman, AMS Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS *Email address*: dillery@umich.edu