

THE SIXTH MOMENT OF AUTOMORPHIC L -FUNCTIONS

JOSHUA STUCKY

Abstract

We investigate the sixth moment of the family of L -functions associated to holomorphic modular forms on GL_2 with respect to a congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(q)$. We improve on previous work and obtain an unconditional upper bound of the correct order of magnitude.

1. INTRODUCTION

Moments of L -functions are among the central objects of study in modern analytic number theory, and there is a vast literature on the subject. In this paper, we shall be concerned with a family of L -functions attached to automorphic forms on GL_2 . Specifically, we consider the sixth moment of L -functions associated to the family of holomorphic modular forms with respect to the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(q)$ (see [6] for definitions). Our work is motivated by the work of Djanković [3] and Chandee and Li [2] on this family. For a detailed introduction to this family of L -functions, see the introductions of the above two papers.

Let $S_k(\Gamma_1(q))$ denote the space of holomorphic cusp forms on $\Gamma_1(q)$. We assume $k \geq 3$ is an odd integer and q is prime (these assumptions are made mostly to eliminate oldforms). Then $S_k(\Gamma_1(q))$ is a Hilbert space with the Petersson's inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\substack{\Gamma_1(q) \backslash \mathbb{H} \\ \chi(-1) = (-1)^k}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^{k-2} dx dy,$$

and

$$S_k(\Gamma_1(q)) = \bigoplus_{\chi \pmod{q}} S_k(\Gamma_0(q), \chi).$$

Let \mathcal{H}_χ be an orthogonal basis for $S_k(\Gamma_0(q), \chi)$ consisting of Hecke cusp forms, normalized so that the first Fourier coefficient is 1. For each $f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$, we let $L(f, s)$ be the L -function associated to f , defined for $\text{Re } s > 1$ as

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_f(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{2s}} \right)^{-1},$$

where $\{\lambda_f(n)\}$ are the Hecke eigenvalues of f . In general, these satisfy the Hecke relation

$$\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \chi(d)\lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right). \quad (1.1)$$

We define the completed L -function as

$$\Lambda\left(f, \frac{1}{2} + s\right) = \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k}{2}\right) L\left(f, \frac{1}{2} + s\right). \quad (1.2)$$

This satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda\left(f, \frac{1}{2} + s\right) = i^k \overline{\eta_f} \Lambda\left(\overline{f}, \frac{1}{2} - s\right)$$

where $|\eta_f| = 1$ when f is a newform. We define the harmonic average over \mathcal{H}_χ by

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h \alpha_f = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi} \frac{\alpha_f}{\|f\|^2},$$

where $\|f\|$ represents the norm given by Petersson's inner product. We are interested in the sixth moment

$$\mathcal{M}(q) = \frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1) = (-1)^k}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h |L(f, \frac{1}{2})|^6.$$

The conjectured asymptotic (see [2]) is

$$\mathcal{M}(q) = \frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1) = (-1)^k}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h |L(f, \frac{1}{2})|^6 \sim \mathcal{C}_q (\log q)^9$$

where $\mathcal{C}_q \asymp 1$ is an explicit constant (see (1.4) of [2] for a precise description). Using the asymptotic large sieve for the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms developed by Iwaniec and Xiaoqing Li [7], Djanković [3] has shown

$$\mathcal{M}(q) \ll q^\varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, whereas Chandee and Xiannan Li [2] have obtained the following asymptotic formula for the smoothed sixth moment:

$$\frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1) = (-1)^k}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Lambda(f, \frac{1}{2} + it)|^6 dt \sim \mathcal{C}_q (\log q)^9 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + it)|^6 dt.$$

Note that the integral in t is quite short due to the presence of the gamma function. Building on these results, we prove

Theorem 1.1. *Let q be prime and $k \geq 3$. Then, as $q \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(q) \ll (\log q)^9.$$

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 adheres closely to the work of Chandee and Li [2] and may be seen as an application of their ideas that avoids many of the technical details of their proof. Although we sacrifice an asymptotic, our result has the benefit of having no integral in t and being of the correct order of magnitude.

1.1. Notation

We use a bold letter such as \mathbf{a} to denote the pair of variables a_1, a_2 and write $f(\mathbf{a})$ to indicate that f is a function depending on these variables. However, we use \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{N} to indicate the pairs (n, m) and (N, M) , respectively. We write $n \asymp N$ to denote the condition $c_1 N \leq n \leq c_2 N$ for some suitable constants $0 < c_1 < c_2$. The use of the notation \sum^* in a sum such as $\sum_{x(c)}^*$ indicates that the is sum over residue classes x which are coprime to the modulus of the sum, in this case c . In such a sum, we denote by \bar{x} the inverse of $x \pmod{c}$. All other notation should be clear from context.

1.2. Acknowledgments

This work was accomplished in partial fulfillment of my PhD. As such, I would like to thank my advisor, Xiannan Li, for suggesting this problem to me and for many helpful comments in the development of these results. As well, I thank the anonymous referee for close readings of the initial submission and several revisions of this paper. Their suggestions have greatly improved the readability of this paper.

2. OUTLINE OF THE PAPER

To help orient the reader, we provide an outline for the proof. First, after applying the approximate functional equation for $L\left(f, \frac{1}{2}\right)^3$, the main object we need to understand is roughly of the form

$$\frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi} \sum_{m, n \asymp q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\tau_3(m)\tau_3(n)\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}.$$

We apply the functional equation for $L\left(f, \frac{1}{2}\right)^3$ rather than for $L\left(f, \frac{1}{2}\right)^6$ to avoid unbalanced sums in m and n (i.e. $m, n \asymp q^{3/2}$ rather than the weaker condition $mn \leq q^3$). We note that the t integral used [2] is included for precisely the same reason. It is also worth noting that the application of Cauchy's inequality in (3.2) immediately precludes any hope of obtaining an asymptotic formula by our method, as we completely ignore the arithmetic of the root numbers η_f . Applying Petersson's formula to the average over $f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$ leads to diagonal terms $m = n$ and off-diagonal terms. The diagonal terms are evaluated fairly easily in Section 4. The off-diagonal terms involve sums of the form

$$\sum_{m, n \asymp q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\tau_3(m)\tau_3(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} \frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \sum_c S_\chi(m, n; cq) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{cq}\right),$$

where $S_\chi(m, n; cq)$ is the Kloosterman sum defined in (4.1) and J_{k-1} is the usual Bessel function of order $k-1$.

The most important range for c is in the transition region for the Bessel function, i.e. $c \asymp q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. To focus on this region, we truncate the sum in c using the Weil estimate for Kloosterman sums. The details of this truncation are given in Section 5. The conductor of the Kloosterman sum is then essentially of size $cq \asymp q^{\frac{3}{2}}$. To understand the correlations between the Kloosterman sums and the Bessel functions, we apply harmonic analysis in the form of the Voronoi formula of Ivić [5]. Before doing so, we reduce the conductor in the Kloosterman sums by taking advantage of the average over χ . The conductor lowering trick of [2] (see Lemma 6.1) produces new Kloosterman sums of the form

$$e\left(\frac{m+n}{cq}\right) \sum_{x(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(x-1)m + \bar{q}(\bar{x}-1)n}{c}\right),$$

where the conductor is now reduced to $c \asymp q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the exponential in front may be treated as a smooth function with small derivatives. Applying the Voronoi formula then produces a single main term and eight error terms. The details of these transformations are given in Section 6. The main term is estimated in Section 7, and it is here that we require a more delicate analysis of the Laurent series coefficients D_{-i} of the third-order Estermann zeta function $E_3\left(s, \frac{\lambda}{\eta}\right)$ (see (6.8), (6.9), and (6.10)). This is accomplished via Lemma 7.4, in which we improve the trivial estimate

$D_{-i}(\eta) \ll \eta^{-1+\varepsilon}$ to

$$D_{-i}(\eta) \ll \frac{\tau_2(\eta)(\log \eta)^{3-i}}{\eta}.$$

In order to apply this estimate without losing too much from the triangle inequality, we need to suitably transform the sum. This is accomplished by an application of Poisson summation (in the form of Lemma 7.2), along with some identities involving integrals of Bessel functions. The details of these transformations are given in Subsection 7.2.

After the above transformations, we would like to extract the contribution from the residue of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$. However, it turns out that the Laurent coefficients D_{-i} are not multiplicative for $i = 1, 2$. Consequently, we require a somewhat delicate analysis of certain complex-valued arithmetic functions (see Proposition 7.5), in contrast to the simple contour shifting argument used in [2]. We then estimate the remaining sum by elementary means to obtain a final estimate of $(\log q)^9$ for the main term. These computations are given in Subsection 7.3.

Finally, the eight error terms (i.e. the dual sums arising from Voronoi summation) are estimated in Section 8. The main aspect of the calculations in this section is that the dual sums are short. This is precisely the reason for reducing the conductor in the Kloosterman sums using Lemma 6.1. The details of these calculations are standard but technical and follow closely the arguments of [2].

3. APPROXIMATE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

As is standard in such problems, we begin with an approximation functional equation for $L(f, 1/2)^3$. The derivation of this is standard. For our purposes, it suffices to cite equation (2.5) of [3], which is

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, 1/2)^3 &= \sum_{a \geq 1} \sum_{b \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(a)\chi(b)\tau_3(b)\lambda_f(an)\tau_3(n)}{(a^3b^2n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a^3b^2n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \\ &\quad + (i^k \overline{\eta}_f)^3 \sum_{a \geq 1} \sum_{b \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(a)\overline{\chi}(b)\tau_3(b)\overline{\lambda}_f(an)\tau_3(n)}{(a^3b^2n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a^3b^2n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} y^{-s} \gamma^3(s) \left(e^{s^2}\right)^3 \frac{ds}{s}, \quad \gamma(s) = (2\pi)^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})}.$$

Here we have chosen the specific function e^{s^2} to ensure that $U(y)$ is real when y is real. This is mainly for notational simplicity. The function U satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} U(y) &\ll (1+y)^{-A}, \\ U(y) &= 1 + O(y^A) \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for any $A > 1$. Applying Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(q) \ll \frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h \left| \sum_{a,b,n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(a)\tau_3(b)\tau_3(n)\chi(ab)\lambda_f(an)}{(a^3b^2n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a^3b^2n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \right|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Expanding the square and rearranging, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(q) \ll & \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n \geq 1 \\ a_2, b_2, m \geq 1}} \dots \sum \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(n)\tau_3(b_2)\tau_3(m)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_2^3 b_2^2 m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \\ & \times \left(\frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \chi(a_1 b_1) \bar{\chi}(a_2 b_2) \right) \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h \lambda_f(a_1 n) \bar{\lambda}_f(a_2 m) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (3.1), the terms with $a_1^3 b_1^2 n, a_2^3 b_2^2 m \gg q^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}$ give a contribution of q^{-2022} .

4. ORTHOGONALITY AND THE DIAGONAL CONTRIBUTION

We now apply the orthogonality relations for χ and λ_f given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *The orthogonality relation for Dirichlet characters is*

$$\frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \equiv n(q), (mn, q) = 1, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } m \equiv -n(q), (mn, q) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Petersson's formula gives

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi}^h \lambda_f(n) \bar{\lambda}_f(m) = \delta_{m=n} + \sigma_\chi(m, n),$$

where

$$\sigma_\chi(m, n) = 2\pi i^{-k} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} (cq)^{-1} S_\chi(m, n; cq) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{mn}\right)$$

and S_χ is the Kloosterman sum defined by

$$S_\chi(m, n, cq) = \sum_{a(cq)}^* \chi(a) e\left(\frac{am + \bar{a}n}{cq}\right). \quad (4.1)$$

Here \sum^* denotes a sum over residues a with $(a, cq) = 1$ and \bar{a} satisfies $a\bar{a} \equiv 1(cq)$.

Lemma 4.1 gives

$$\mathcal{M}(q) \ll \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{OD},$$

where \mathcal{OD} is given by (5.1) and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_+ + \mathcal{D}_-$ with

$$\mathcal{D}_+ = \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n, a_2, b_2, m \geq 1 \\ a_1 b_1 \equiv a_2 b_2(q) \\ a_1 n = a_2 m \\ (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2, q) = 1}} \dots \sum \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(n)\tau_3(b_2)\tau_3(m)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_2^3 b_2^2 m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$$

and \mathcal{D}_- is the same sum but multiplied by $(-1)^k$ with the condition $a_1 b_1 \equiv a_2 b_2 \pmod{q}$ replaced by $a_1 b_1 \equiv -a_2 b_2 \pmod{q}$. The only relevant case is when $a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2$ in \mathcal{D}_+ , since in the other

cases we have $a_1 b_1 \geq q/4$ or $a_2 b_2 \geq q/4$, which means that $a_1^3 b_1^2 n \gg q^2$ or $a_2^3 b_2^2 m \gg q^2$. Thus

$$\mathcal{D} = \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n, a_2, b_2, m \geq 1 \\ a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2 \\ a_1 n = a_2 m \\ (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2, q) = 1}} \dots \sum \frac{\mu(a_1) \mu(a_2) \tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(n) \tau_3(b_2) \tau_3(m)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_2^3 b_2^2 m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U \left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + O(q^{-2022}). \quad (4.2)$$

Neglecting the error term, we open the factors of U and write

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} q^{\frac{3}{2}(s_1 + s_2)} \mathcal{D}(1 + s_1 + s_2) \gamma^3(s_1) \gamma^3(s_2) e^{3(s_1^2 + s_2^2)} \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}. \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(s) = \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n \geq 1 \\ (a_1 b_1, q) = 1}} \frac{\mu(a_1) \tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(n)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 n)^s} \sum_{\substack{a_2, b_2, m \\ a_2 b_2 = a_1 b_1 \\ a_2 m = a_1 n \\ (a_2 b_2, q) = 1}} \mu(a_2) \tau_3(b_2) \tau_3(m).$$

We write $\mathcal{D}(s)$ as the Euler product

$$\mathcal{D}(s) = \prod_p \mathcal{D}_p(s),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_p(s) = \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n, a_2, b_2, m \geq 0 \\ a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2 \\ a_1 + n = a_2 + m}} \dots \sum \frac{\mu(p^{a_1}) \mu(p^{a_2}) \tau_3(p^{b_1}) \tau_3(p^n) \tau_3(p^{b_2}) \tau_3(p^m)}{p^{s(3a_1 + 2b_1 + n)}} = 1 + \frac{9}{p^s} + \dots$$

for $p \neq q$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_q(s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\tau_3(q^n)^2}{q^{sn}} = 1 + \frac{9}{q^s} + \dots$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{D}(s) = \zeta^9(s) H(s)$$

for some $H(s)$ that is analytic for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$. After the change of variables $u = s_1 + s_2$, $s = s_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(4)} q^{\frac{3}{2}u} \zeta^9(1 + u) H(1 + u) \gamma^3(u - s) \gamma^3(s) e^{3(u^2 - 2us)} \frac{du}{u - s} \frac{ds}{s}.$$

The rapid decay of $\gamma(s)$ and e^{3u^2} on vertical lines allows us to move the line of integration in s to $\operatorname{Re} s = -1$ and the integration in u to $\operatorname{Re} u = -\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$. In doing so, we pass a simple pole at $s = 0$ and poles of orders 9 and 10 at $u = 0$. Thus

$$\mathcal{D} = R_1 + R_2 + E_1 + E_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \operatorname{Res}_{u=0} \left[q^{\frac{3}{2}u} \zeta^9(1+u) H(1+u) \gamma^3(u) e^{3u^2} u^{-1} \right], \\
R_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-1)} \gamma^3(s) \operatorname{Res}_{u=0} \left[q^{\frac{3}{2}u} \zeta^9(1+u) H(1+u) \gamma^3(u-s) e^{3u^2-2us} \frac{1}{u-s} \right] \frac{ds}{s}, \\
E_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)} q^{\frac{3}{2}u} \zeta^9(1+u) H(1+u) \gamma^3(u) e^{3u^2} \frac{du}{u}, \\
E_2 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(-1)} \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)} q^{\frac{3}{2}u} \zeta^9(1+u) H(1+u) \gamma^3(u-s) \gamma^3(s) e^{3(u^2-2us)} \frac{du}{u-s} \frac{ds}{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula and the rapid decay of e^{3u^2} , we see that

$$E_1, E_2 \ll q^{-3/4+\varepsilon}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$R_1 \asymp (\log q)^9.$$

In R_2 , the leading order term of the residue (in terms of q) is of the form

$$\frac{C(\log q)^8}{2\pi i} \int_{(-1)} \gamma^3(s) \gamma^3(-s) \frac{ds}{s^2} \asymp (\log q)^8.$$

We deduce that $R_2 \asymp (\log q)^8$, from which it follows that

$$\mathcal{D} \asymp (\log q)^9.$$

We proceed now to our treatment of the off-diagonal terms, which constitutes the remainder of the proof

5. TRUNCATION OF THE THE OFF-DIAGONAL TERMS

The off-diagonal contribution is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{OD} &= \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n \geq 1 \\ a_2, b_2, m \geq 1}} \dots \sum \frac{\mu(a_1) \mu(a_2) \tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(n) \tau_3(b_2) \tau_3(m)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_2^3 b_2^2 m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U \left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \chi(a_1 b_1) \bar{\chi}(a_2 b_2) \right) \left(2\pi i^{-k} \sum_{c \geq 1} (cq)^{-1} \sum_{a\bar{a} \equiv 1(cq)} \chi(a) e \left(\frac{aa_2 m + \bar{a} a_1 n}{cq} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, n \geq 1 \\ a_2, b_2, m \geq 1}} \dots \sum \frac{\mu(a_1) \mu(a_2) \tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(n) \tau_3(b_2) \tau_3(m)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_2^3 b_2^2 m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U \left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\
&\quad \times 2\pi i^{-k} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{a\bar{a} \equiv 1(cq)} e \left(\frac{aa_2 m + \bar{a} a_1 n}{cq} \right) \left(\frac{2}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^k}} \chi(aa_1 b_1) \bar{\chi}(a_2 b_2) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As in [2], we introduce the operator $\mathcal{K}g = i^{-k}g + i^k\bar{g}$ for notational convenience. Let f be a smooth function supported on $[\frac{1}{2}, 3]$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{t}{2^j}\right) = 1$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Inserting two of these dyadic partitions of unity into the sums over n, m and using the orthogonality relations for χ , we find that the off-diagonal contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 a_2^3 b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_N^{\text{D}} \sum_M^{\text{D}} \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{\tau_3(n)\tau_3(m)}{(nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & \times \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{1}{c} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, c) \mathcal{K} \sum_{\substack{a(cq) \\ a \equiv \bar{a}_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 (q)}}^* e\left(\frac{aa_2 m + \bar{a} a_1 n}{c}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, c) = U\left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) f\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 n a_2 m}\right).$$

Here \sum_N^{D} denotes a dyadic sum over $N = 2^j$.

We now truncate the sum in c . Letting $C = q^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 N M}$, we write

$$\mathcal{OD} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 a_2^3 b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{N, M}^{\text{D}} \mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) \quad (5.2)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) &= \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ q|c}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{\tau_3(n)\tau_3(m)}{(nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, c), \\ \mathcal{S}_2(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) &= \sum_{\substack{c > C \\ (c, q) = 1}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{\tau_3(n)\tau_3(m)}{(nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, c), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, c) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, c) \mathcal{K} \sum_{\substack{a(cq) \\ a \equiv \bar{a}_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 (q)}}^* e\left(\frac{aa_2 m + \bar{a} a_1 n}{cq}\right).$$

The quantity \mathcal{M} is defined like \mathcal{K}_2 , except with the condition $c \leq C$ replaced by $c > C$. We now prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *For $C = q^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 N M}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2 \ll q^{-\frac{5}{12} + \varepsilon}.$$

For the proof of this proposition and for our arguments in Section 8, we will need several properties of the J -Bessel functions. These are summarized in the following lemma. These results are standard and can all be found in [8].

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{x}} \left(W(2\pi x)e\left(x - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\right) + \overline{W}(2\pi x)e\left(-x + \frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{8}\right) \right), \quad (5.3)$$

where $W^{(j)}(x) \ll_{j,k} x^{-j}$. Moreover,

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell \frac{x^{2\ell+k+1}}{\ell!(\ell+k-1)!}, \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$J_{k-1}(x) \ll \min(x^{-\frac{1}{2}}, x^{k-1}). \quad (5.5)$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1. To treat \mathcal{K}_1 , we begin by writing

$$\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c, q) = 1}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{\tau_3(n)\tau_3(m)}{(nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}, cq^r).$$

For a fixed r , we use the Chinese Remainder Theorem and the Weil bound to see that the modulus of the Kloosterman sum in \mathcal{F} is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{a \pmod{cq^{r+1}} \\ a \equiv \bar{a}_1 \bar{b}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{b}_2 \pmod{q}}}^* e\left(\frac{aa_2m + \bar{a}a_1n}{cq^{r+1}}\right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{x \pmod{q^r}}^* e\left(\frac{xa_2m + \bar{x}a_1n}{q^r}\right) \right| \left| \sum_{y \pmod{c}}^* e\left(\frac{ya_2m + \bar{y}a_1n}{c}\right) \right| \\ &\ll (cq^r)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \sqrt{(a_1n, a_2m, c)(n, m, q^r)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.5), we have

$$J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq^{r+1}}\sqrt{a_1na_2m}\right) \ll \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1a_2NM}}{cq^{r+1}}\right)^2,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) &\ll a_1a_2(NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{\frac{5r}{2}+2-\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c, q) = 1}} \frac{1}{c^{\frac{5}{2}-\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{n \asymp N \\ m \asymp M}} \tau_3(n)\tau_3(m) \sqrt{(a_1n, a_2m, c)(n, m, q^r)} \\ &\ll a_1a_2(NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{2r-\varepsilon}} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{1}{c^{2-\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{n \asymp N \\ m \asymp M}} \tau_3(n)\tau_3(m) \\ &\ll \frac{a_1a_2(NM)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{4-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have bounded the gcds by c and q^r , respectively. Returning to (5.2), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_1 &\ll \frac{1}{q^{5-\varepsilon}} \sum_{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1} \sum_{N, M} \sum_{\substack{a_1^3 b_1^2 N, a_2^3 b_2^2 M \ll q^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \sum_{\substack{D \\ (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} b_1 b_2}} \tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2) (NM)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to \mathcal{K}_2 . Again by the Chinese Remainder Theorem and the Weil bound, the modulus of the Kloosterman sum in \mathcal{F} is

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{a(cq) \\ a \equiv \bar{a}_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 (q)}}^* e\left(\frac{aa_2m + \bar{a}a_1n}{cq}\right) \right| = \left| \sum_{y \pmod{c}}^* e\left(\frac{ya_2m + \bar{y}a_1n}{c}\right) \right| \ll c^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \sqrt{(a_1n, a_2m, c)}.$$

Using (5.5) once more, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) &\ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{c>C} c^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{cq}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \lesssim N \\ m \gtrsim M}} \sqrt{(a_1n, a_2m, c)} \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{c>C} c^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{cq}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{d|c} \sqrt{d} \sum_{\substack{n \lesssim N \\ m \gtrsim M \\ (a_1n, a_2m, c)=d}} 1 \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{c>C} c^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{cq}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{d|c} \sqrt{d} \sum_{\substack{n \lesssim N \\ d|a_1n}} \sum_{\substack{m \gtrsim M \\ d|a_2m}} 1 \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{c>C} c^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{cq}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{d|c} \frac{(d, a_1)(d, a_2)}{d^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{(a_1a_2NM)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{q^{k-1}} C^{\frac{3}{2}-k+\varepsilon} \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{(a_1a_2NM)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{q^{\frac{5}{3}}}, \end{aligned}$$

so long as $k \geq 5$. On the fifth line above we have used the estimate $(d, a_i) \leq \sqrt{da_i}$. Once again returning to (5.2), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{K}_2 \ll \frac{1}{q^{\frac{8}{3}-\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ a_1^3 b_1^2 N, a_2^3 b_2^2 M \ll q^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \sum_{N, M}^D \frac{\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1a_2)^{\frac{3}{4}} b_1 b_2} (NM)^{\frac{3}{4}} \ll q^{-\frac{5}{12}+\varepsilon}.$$

□

6. VORONOI SUMMATION

It remains to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1a_2b_1b_2, q)=1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3b_1^2a_2^3b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{N, M}^D \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{\tau_3(n)\tau_3(m)}{(nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a_1^3b_1^2n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3b_2^2m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \\ &\quad \times f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) f\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) \sum_{c \leq C} \frac{1}{c} J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1na_2m}\right) \mathcal{K} \sum_{\substack{a(cq) \\ a \equiv \bar{a}_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 (q)}}^* e\left(\frac{aa_2m + \bar{a}a_1n}{cq}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Before applying Voronoi summation, we reduce the conductor in the Kloosterman sum using Lemma 5.3 of [2], which we cite in the following form.

Lemma 6.1. *Let c, q, m, n be positive integers with $(cmn, q) = 1$, and let*

$$Y(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{a(cq) \\ a \equiv \bar{m}n(q)}}^* e\left(\frac{au + \bar{a}v}{cq}\right).$$

Then

$$Y(u, v) = e\left(\frac{n^2u + m^2v}{cqmn}\right) \sum_{x(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(mx - n)u}{mc}\right) e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(n\bar{x} - m)v}{nc}\right),$$

where \bar{q} denotes the inverse of $q \pmod{c}$.

Applying Lemma 6.1 with $m = a_1b_1$, $n = a_2b_2$, the expression in (6.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1a_2b_1b_2, q)=1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3b_1^2a_2^3b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{N, M}^D \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{\tau_3(n)\tau_3(m)}{(nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a_1^3b_1^2n}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3b_2^2m}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \\ & \quad \times f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) f\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) \sum_{\substack{c \leq C \\ (c, q)=1}} \frac{1}{c} J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1na_2m}\right) \mathcal{K}e\left(\frac{(a_1b_1)^2a_1n + (a_2b_2)^2a_2m}{cq a_1b_1a_2b_2}\right) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{x(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(a_2b_2\bar{x} - a_1b_1)a_1n}{a_2b_2c}\right) e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(a_1b_1x - a_2b_2)a_2m}{a_1b_1c}\right) \\ & = \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1a_2b_1b_2, q)=1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3b_1^2a_2^3b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{N, M}^D \sum_{c \leq C} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)}^* \mathcal{K} \mathcal{S}(c, x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(c, x) &= \mathcal{S}(c, x; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, N) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau_3(n) e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(a_2b_2\bar{x} - a_1b_1)a_1n}{a_2b_2c}\right) \sum_{m \geq 1} \tau_3(m) e\left(\frac{\bar{q}(a_1b_1x - a_2b_2)a_2m}{a_1b_1c}\right) \\ & \quad \times F_1(n) F_2(m) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1na_2m}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(y) &= y^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{a_1^2b_1y}{cq a_2b_2}\right) U\left(\frac{a_1^3b_1^2y}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \\ F_2(y) &= y^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\frac{y}{M}\right) e\left(\frac{a_2^2b_2y}{cq a_1b_1}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3b_2^2y}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1} &= \frac{\bar{q}(a_2b_2\bar{x} - a_1b_1)a_1}{a_2b_2c}, \\ \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2} &= \frac{\bar{q}(a_1b_1x - a_2b_2)a_2}{a_1b_1c}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $(\lambda_1, \eta_1) = (\lambda_2, \eta_2) = 1$. Thus

$$\mathcal{S}(c, x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} F_1(n) \tau_3(n) e\left(\frac{\lambda_1 n}{\eta_1}\right) \sum_{m \geq 1} F_2(m) \tau_3(m) e\left(\frac{\lambda_2 m}{\eta_2}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1na_2m}\right).$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}(c; \mathbf{y}) &= F_1(y_1)F_2(y_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(y_1 y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} f\left(\frac{y_1}{N}\right) f\left(\frac{y_2}{M}\right) e\left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1 y_1}{c q a_2 b_2} + \frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2}{c q a_1 b_1}\right) U\left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 y_1}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y_2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We now apply Theorem 2 of [5] with the same notations used there, except with A_3^- in place of Ivic's B_3 , first to the sum over m , and then to the sum over n , to obtain

$$\mathcal{S}(c, x) = \sum_{j=1}^9 \mathcal{T}_j(c, x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1(c, x) &= \operatorname{Res}_{s_1=1} \operatorname{Res}_{s_2=1} \left[E_3\left(s_1, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1}\right) E_3\left(s_2, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(c; y_1, y_2) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}\right) y_1^{s_1-1} y_2^{s_2-1} dy_1 dy_2 \right],\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_2(c, x) &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\eta_2^3} \operatorname{Res}_{s_1=1} E_3\left(s_1, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1}\right) \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) y_1^{s_1-1} \sum_{m \geq 1} A_3^+\left(m, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2}\right) \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) U_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3}\right) \\ &\quad \times J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}\right) dy_1 dy_2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_3(c, x) &= \frac{i\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\eta_2^3} \operatorname{Res}_{s_1=1} E_3\left(s_1, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1}\right) \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) y_1^{s_1-1} \sum_{m \geq 1} A_3^-\left(m, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2}\right) \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) V_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3}\right) \\ &\quad \times J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}\right) dy_1 dy_2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

\mathcal{T}_4 and \mathcal{T}_5 are defined as \mathcal{T}_2 and \mathcal{T}_3 , but one swaps all subscripts of 1 and 2,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_6(c, x) &= \frac{\pi^3}{\eta_1^3 \eta_2^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} A_3^+\left(n, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1}\right) A_3^+\left(m, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2}\right) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) F_2(y_2) \\ &\quad \times U_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 n y_1}{\eta_1^3}\right) U_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 y_1 a_2 y_2}\right) dy_1 dy_2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

\mathcal{T}_7 is defined similar to \mathcal{T}_6 except we replace the leading coefficient by its negative, A^+ with A^- , and U_3 with V_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_8(c, x) &= \frac{i\pi^3}{\eta_1^3 \eta_2^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} A_3^+\left(n, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1}\right) A_3^-\left(m, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2}\right) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) F_2(y_2) \\ &\quad \times U_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 n y_1}{\eta_1^3}\right) V_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 y_1 a_2 y_2}\right) dy_1 dy_2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

and \mathcal{T}_9 is defined as \mathcal{T}_8 , but one swaps all the subscripts of 1 and 2. Here and throughout, E_3 denotes the third-order Estermann zeta function:

$$E_3\left(s, \frac{\lambda}{\eta}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_3(n) e\left(\frac{n\lambda}{\eta}\right)}{n^s}.\tag{6.8}$$

The only information we require about E_3 is its Laurent series expansion at $s = 1$. For $(\lambda, \eta) = 1$, this is

$$E_3\left(s, \frac{\lambda}{\eta}\right) = \frac{D_{-3}(\eta)}{(s-1)^3} + \frac{D_{-2}(\eta)}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{D_{-1}(\eta)}{s-1} + D_0 + \cdots, \quad (6.9)$$

where the coefficients D_{-i} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-3}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2), \\ D_{-2}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2) \left(3\gamma_0\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta}\right) - 3\log \eta \right), \\ D_{-1}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2) \left(\frac{9}{2}(\log \eta)^2 - 9\gamma_0\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta}\right) \log \eta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3\gamma_0\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta}\right) \gamma_0\left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\eta}\right) + 3\gamma_1\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Here $\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)$ is 1 if η divides $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ and 0 otherwise, and γ_0, γ_1 are generalized Stieltjes constants defined by

$$\zeta(s, r) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(r)(s-1)^n, \quad (6.11)$$

where $\zeta(s, r)$ is the Hurwitz zeta function. For a proof of (6.9) and (6.10), see Equation (2.13) of [3].

Theorem 1.1 now follows from the following two propositions, which we prove in Sections 7 and 8, respectively.

Proposition 6.2. *Let*

$$\mathcal{R}(q) = \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 a_2^3 b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{N, M}^D \sum_{c \leq C} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)}^* \mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}_1(c, x).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{R}(q) \ll (\log q)^9.$$

Proposition 6.3. *For $j = 2, \dots, 9$, let*

$$\mathcal{E}_j(q) = \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 a_2^3 b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{N, M}^D \sum_{c \leq C} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)}^* \mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}_j(c, x).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}_j(q) \ll q^{-\frac{1}{8} + \varepsilon}$$

7. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.2

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1(c, x) &= \text{Res}_{s_1=1} \text{Res}_{s_2=1} \left[E_3\left(s_1, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1}\right) E_3\left(s_2, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(c; y_1, y_2) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}\right) y_1^{s_1-1} y_2^{s_2-1} dy_1 dy_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the contribution from \mathcal{T}_1 , we first compute the residues via the Laurent series for E_3 . For $j_1, j_2 \geq 0$, let

$$\mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2) = \frac{1}{j_1! j_2!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(c; y_1, y_2) (\log y_1)^{j_1} (\log y_2)^{j_2} J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) dy_1 dy_2$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(c; y_1, y_2) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{cq} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) y_1^{s_1-1} y_2^{s_2-1} dy_1 dy_2 \\ = \sum_{j_1=0}^\infty \sum_{j_2=0}^\infty \mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2) (s_1-1)^{j_1} (s_2-1)^{j_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6.9) and (6.10), we have

$$\mathcal{T}_1(x, c) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq 3 \\ 0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq 2 \\ j_i - l_i = -1}} D_{-l_1}(\eta_1) D_{-l_2}(\eta_2) \mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(q) = \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1}} \sum \sum \frac{\mu(a_1) \mu(a_2) \tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 a_2^3 b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \times \mathcal{K} \sum_{c \leq C} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)}^* \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq 3 \\ 0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq 2 \\ j_i - l_i = -1}} D_{-l_1}(\eta_1) D_{-l_2}(\eta_2) \sum_{N, M}^D \mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

As discussed in Section 2, we would like to estimate the factors of D_{-l_i} using Lemma 7.4 below. However, we will lose too much in our upper bound if we ignore the oscillations present in the integrals $\mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2)$. To take advantage of these oscillations, we apply several Fourier-analytic manipulations to suitably transform the sum over c in (7.1). This requires several technical lemmas which we collect in the following subsection. The manipulations themselves are then performed in Subsection 7.2. Finally, we conclude the proof of Proposition 6.2 in Subsection 7.3 by applying Lemma 7.4 and estimating the remaining Dirichlet series via elementary means.

7.1. Preliminary Lemmas

The first three of these are Lemmas 7.1–7.3 of [2].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $(a, \ell) = 1$. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{x(c\ell) \\ x \equiv a(\ell)}}^* 1 = c \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid \ell}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

where the sum is over x coprime to $c\ell$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let α, β, y_1, y_2 be nonnegative real numbers satisfying $\alpha y_1, \beta y_2 \ll q^2$ and define*

$$T(y_1, y_2, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\delta=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\delta} J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\delta} \sqrt{\alpha \beta y_1 y_2} \right) \mathcal{K}e \left(\frac{\alpha y_1}{\delta} + \frac{\beta y_2}{\delta} \right).$$

Further, let $L = q^{100}$ and w be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $w(x) = 1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $w(x) = 0$ if $x > 2$. Then for any $A > 0$, we have

$$T = 2\pi \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) J_{k-1}\left(4\pi\sqrt{\alpha y_1 \ell}\right) J_{k-1}\left(4\pi\sqrt{\beta y_2 \ell}\right) - 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) J_{k-1}\left(4\pi\sqrt{\alpha y_1 \ell}\right) J_{k-1}\left(4\pi\sqrt{\beta y_2 \ell}\right) d\ell + O(q^{-2022}).$$

Lemma 7.3. *Let w and L be as in Lemma 7.2 and let u be a complex number with $|\operatorname{Re} u| \ll \frac{1}{\log q}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) \frac{1}{\ell^{1+u}} - \int_0^{\infty} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) \frac{1}{\ell^{1+u}} d\ell = \zeta(1+u) + O(q^{-20}).$$

It can be seen from the proof of this last lemma that the error term is holomorphic in u , and thus we can differentiate in u to see that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) \frac{(\log \ell)^j}{\ell^{1+u}} - \int_0^{\infty} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) \frac{(\log \ell)^j}{\ell^{1+u}} d\ell = (-1)^j \zeta^{(j)}(1+u) + O(q^{-20}). \quad (7.2)$$

The last lemma we need is an improvement on the bound $D_{-i}(\eta) \ll \eta^{-1+\varepsilon}$ used by Djanković.

Lemma 7.4. *For $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have*

$$D_{-i}(\eta) \ll \frac{\tau_2(\eta)(\log \eta)^{3-i}}{\eta}.$$

Proof. Note that $D_{-3}(\eta)$ is multiplicative, so

$$D_{-3}(\eta) = \prod_{p^r \parallel \eta} D_{-3}(p^r).$$

At a prime power, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-3}(p^r) &= \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ p^j \parallel \alpha_1}}^{p^r} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{p^r} \mathbf{1}(p^r \mid \alpha_1 \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ p^j \parallel \alpha_1}}^{p^{r-j}} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{p^r} \mathbf{1}(p^{r-j} \mid \alpha_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=0}^r \varphi(p^{r-j}) p^j = \frac{1}{p^r} \left(1 + r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) = \frac{r+1}{p^r} \left(1 - \frac{r}{p(r+1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$D_{-3}(\eta) = \frac{\tau_2(\eta)}{\eta} \prod_{p^r \parallel \eta} \left(1 - \frac{r}{p(r+1)}\right) \ll \frac{\tau_2(\eta)}{\eta}.$$

To estimate D_{-2} and D_{-1} , we need the following result of Berndt (see [1], Theorem 2) for the generalized Stieltjes constants (6.11): for $x \in (0, 1]$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\gamma_n(x) \ll_n \frac{|\log x|^n}{x}.$$

Combining this with our estimate for D_{-3} , we see that

$$D_{-2}(\eta) \ll \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\tau_2(\eta) \log \eta}{\eta}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1} &= \sum_{d|\eta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ (\alpha_1, \eta) = \frac{\eta}{d}}}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1} \\ &= \sum_{d|\eta} \frac{d}{\eta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ (\alpha_1, d)=1}}^d \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \mathbf{1}(d|\alpha_2) = \sum_{d|\eta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ (\alpha_1, d)=1}}^d \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \ll \tau_2(\eta) \log \eta, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the claimed estimate for D_{-2} . Finally, for D_{-1} , our arguments above give

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-1}(\eta) &\ll \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2) \left((\log \eta)^2 + \frac{\eta \log \eta}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha_1 \alpha_1} + \frac{\eta}{\alpha_1} \log \left(\frac{\eta}{\alpha_1} \right) \right) \\ &\ll \frac{\tau_2(\eta) (\log \eta)^2}{\eta} + \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Following our treatment of D_{-2} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} &= \sum_{d|\eta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ (\alpha_1, \eta) = \frac{\eta}{d}}}^{\eta} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(\eta|\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \sum_{d|\eta} \frac{d}{\eta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ (\alpha_1, d)=1}}^d \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^{\eta} \frac{\mathbf{1}(d|\alpha_2)}{\alpha_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{d|\eta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1=1 \\ (\alpha_1, d)=1}}^d \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \sum_{a=1}^{\eta/d} \frac{1}{a} \ll \frac{\tau_2(\eta) (\log \eta)^2}{\eta}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the claimed estimate for D_{-3} . □

7.2. Fourier-Analytic Manipulations

Returning to (7.1), we first transform the sums over N, M, c, x . This will allow us to determine the main term (in terms of $\log q$) of the double residue $\mathcal{R}(q)$, which we then estimate by purely arithmetic means in Subsection 7.3.

By the decay of the Bessel function, we may extend the sum over $c \leq C$ to all $c \geq 1$ in a similar way as our truncation in Section 5. Note that after extending the sum, the only parts of $\mathcal{R}(q)$ that depend on N, M are the factors of f . Because of the absolute convergence of the integrals $\mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2)$, we may execute the dyadic sums over N, M to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N, M}^D \mathcal{I}_1(c; j_1, j_2) &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\log y_1)^{j_1} (\log y_2)^{j_2}}{(y_1 y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U \left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 y_1}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y_2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\ &\quad \times e \left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1 y_1}{c q a_2 b_2} + \frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2}{c q a_1 b_1} \right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{c q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) dy_1 dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{R}(q)$ is

$$\frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1 \\ a_i^3 b_i^2 \ll q^{3/2+\varepsilon}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq 3 \\ 0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq 2 \\ j_i - l_i = -1}} \frac{\mu(a_1)\mu(a_2)\tau_3(b_1)\tau_3(b_2)}{(a_1^3 b_1^2 a_2^3 b_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_2(l_1, l_2, j_1, j_2) \quad (7.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_2(l_1, l_2, j_1, j_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{(\log y_1)^{j_1} (\log y_2)^{j_2}}{(y_1 y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} U\left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 y_1}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y_2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \mathcal{C}(l_1, l_2; y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(l_1, l_2; y_1, y_2) = \mathcal{K} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{\mathcal{F}(c)}{c} \sum_{x(c)}^* D_{-l_1}(\eta_1) D_{-l_2}(\eta_2),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(c) = J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}}{cq} \right) e \left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1 y_1}{cq a_2 b_2} + \frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2}{cq a_1 b_1} \right)$$

and η_1, η_2 are as in (6.2). We write

$$(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = \lambda, \quad a_1 b_1 = u_1 \lambda, \quad a_2 b_2 = u_2 \lambda, \quad (u_1 \bar{x} - u_2, c) = (u_2 x - u_1, c) = \delta, \quad (7.4)$$

where $(u_1, u_2) = 1$, and so

$$\eta_1 = \frac{u_2 c / \delta}{(a_1, u_2 c / \delta)}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{u_1 c / \delta}{(a_2, u_1 c / \delta)}. \quad (7.5)$$

We now focus on estimating the integrals \mathcal{I}_2 in (7.3). To do so, we first transform the cums \mathcal{C} . We proceed by fixing the value of δ in the sum over x and writing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(l_1, l_2; y_1, y_2) &= \mathcal{K} \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{\delta | c} \frac{\mathcal{F}(c)}{c} \sum_{\substack{x(c) \\ (u_2 x - u_1, c) = \delta}}^* D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 c / \delta}{(a_1, u_2 c / \delta)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 c / \delta}{(a_2, u_1 c / \delta)} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{K} \sum_{\delta \geq 1} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{\mathcal{F}(c\delta)}{c} D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 c}{(a_1, u_2 c)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 c}{(a_2, u_1 c)} \right) \sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ (\frac{u_2 x - u_1}{\delta}, c) = 1}}^* 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Möbius inversion in the sum over x gives

$$\sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ (\frac{u_2 x - u_1}{\delta}, c) = 1}}^* 1 = \sum_{b|c} \mu(b) \sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ u_2 x \equiv u_1(b\delta)}}^* 1.$$

Note that since $(x, b\delta) = (u_1, u_2) = 1$, the congruence $u_2 x \equiv u_1(b\delta)$ has a solution in x if and only if $(u_1 u_2, b\delta) = 1$. Applying Lemma 7.1, the last line of (7.6) can be written

$$\sum_{c \geq 1} c \sum_{\substack{b \geq 1 \\ (u_1 u_2, b) = 1}} \frac{\mu(b)}{b} D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 c b}{(a_1, u_2 c b)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 c b}{(a_2, u_1 c b)} \right) \mathcal{K} \sum_{\substack{\delta \geq 1 \\ (u_1 u_2, \delta) = 1}} \frac{\mathcal{F}(c b \delta)}{\delta} \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid b\delta}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Several more applications of Möbius inversion give

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \geq 1 \\ (u_1 u_2, \delta)=1}} \frac{\mathcal{F}(cb\delta)}{\delta} \prod_{p|(c, b\delta h)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \sum_{h|u_1 u_2} \frac{\mu(h)}{h} \sum_{\gamma|c} \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{g|\frac{c}{\gamma}} \frac{\mu(g)}{g} \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid b\gamma h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\delta \geq 1} \frac{\mathcal{F}(cbhg\gamma\delta)}{\delta},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(l_1, l_2; y_1, y_2) &= \sum_{h|u_1 u_2} \frac{\mu(h)}{h} \sum_{\substack{b \geq 1 \\ (u_1 u_2, b)=1}} \frac{\mu(b)}{b} \sum_{c \geq 1} D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 cb}{(a_1, u_2 cb)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 cb}{(a_2, u_1 cb)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\gamma|c} \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{g|\frac{c}{\gamma}} \frac{\mu(g)}{g} \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid b\gamma h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \mathcal{K} \sum_{\delta \geq 1} \frac{\mathcal{F}(cbhg\gamma\delta)}{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 7.2 with

$$\alpha = \frac{a_1^2 b_1}{a_2 b_2 c b h g \gamma \delta q}, \quad \beta = \frac{a_2^2 b_2}{a_1 b_1 c b h g \gamma \delta q}.$$

Note that $\alpha y_1, \beta y_2 \ll q^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}$ by the decay of U . Thus with negligible error, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K} \sum_{\delta \geq 1} \frac{\mathcal{F}(cbhg\gamma\delta)}{\delta} \\ &= 2\pi \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) - \int_0^\infty w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) d\ell \right) J_{k-1} \left(4\pi \sqrt{\frac{a_1^2 b_1 y_1 \ell}{a_2 b_2 c b h g \gamma q}} \right) J_{k-1} \left(4\pi \sqrt{\frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2 \ell}{a_1 b_1 c b h g \gamma q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, we set

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{a_1^2 b_1}{a_2 b_2 c b h g \gamma q}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{a_2^2 b_2}{a_1 b_1 c b h g \gamma q}}.$$

Returning to the definition of \mathcal{I}_2 , the above analysis and change of variables $y_i \rightarrow y_i^2$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2(l_1, l_2, j_1, j_2) &= Q \sum_{h|u_1 u_2} \frac{\mu(h)}{h} \sum_{\substack{b \geq 1 \\ (u_1 u_2, b)=1}} \frac{\mu(b)}{b} \sum_{c \geq 1} c D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 cb}{(a_1, u_2 cb)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 cb}{(a_2, u_1 cb)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\gamma|c} \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{g|\frac{c}{\gamma}} \frac{\mu(g)}{g} \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid b\gamma h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) - \int_0^\infty w\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) d\ell \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty (\log y_1)^{j_1} U \left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 y_1}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) J_{k-1} \left(\mathcal{A}_1 y_1 \sqrt{\ell} \right) dy_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty (\log y_2)^{j_2} U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y_2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) J_{k-1} \left(\mathcal{A}_2 y_2 \sqrt{\ell} \right) dy_2. \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_3(\ell, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ denote the product of integrals on the last line. Here and throughout this section, we let Q denote a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence, depending at most on j_1, j_2 . Opening the factor of U , the integral in y_1 is

$$\frac{Q}{2\pi i} \int_{(\alpha_1)} \gamma(s_1)^3 G^3(s_1) \left(\frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a_1^3 b_1^2} \right)^{s_1} \int_0^\infty (\log y_1)^{j_1} y_1^{-2s_1} J_{k-1} \left(\mathcal{A}_1 y_1 \sqrt{\ell} \right) dy_1 \frac{ds_1}{s_1}, \tag{7.8}$$

where $\alpha_1 > 0$, and a similar expression holds for the integral in y_2 . The inner integrals are Hankel transforms which can be evaluated explicitly using equation 6.561.14 of [4], which is

$$\int_0^\infty x^\mu J_\nu(ax) dx = 2^\mu a^{-\mu-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu + \frac{1}{2}\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}\mu)}, \quad (-\operatorname{Re} \nu - 1 < \operatorname{Re} \mu < \frac{1}{2}, a > 0).$$

Differentiating this with respect to μ , we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty x^\mu (\log x)^j J_\nu(ax) dx = (-1)^j 2^\mu a^{-\mu-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu + \frac{1}{2}\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}\mu)} \mathcal{P}_j(\log a, \mu, \nu), \quad (7.9)$$

for $j \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{P}_j(w, \mu, \nu)$ is a monic polynomial of degree j in w with coefficients involving polygamma functions and the parameters μ, ν . For instance,

$$\mathcal{P}_1(w) = w - \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}\mu)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu + \frac{1}{2}\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu + \frac{1}{2}\mu)}.$$

In the present case, specifying $\nu = k - 1$ and $\mu = -2s_i$, the coefficients will be holomorphic and of rapid decay on vertical lines so long as $\alpha_i > -k/2 + \varepsilon$, say. Applying (7.9), we see that (7.8) is

$$\frac{(-1)^{j_1} Q}{2\pi i} \int_{(\alpha_1)} \gamma(s_1)^3 G^3(s_1) \left(\frac{4\pi^2 q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a_1^3 b_1^2} \right)^{s_1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{a_1^2 b_1 \ell}{a_2 b_2 c b h g \gamma q}} \right)^{2s_1-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_1)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_1)} \mathcal{P}_j \left(\log(\mathcal{A}_1 \sqrt{\ell}), s_1 \right) \frac{ds_1}{s_1}.$$

Here we have suppressed the dependence of \mathcal{P}_j on k . A similar expression holds for the integral in y_2 , and thus $\mathcal{I}_3(\ell, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{j_1+j_2} Q \frac{c b h g \gamma q}{\ell \sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(\alpha_1)} \int_{(\alpha_2)} \gamma(s_1)^3 G^3(s_1) \gamma(s_2)^3 G^3(s_2) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_1)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_2)} \\ & \times \left(\frac{4\pi^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell}{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 c b h g \gamma} \right)^{s_1+s_2} \mathcal{P}_{j_1} \left(\log(\mathcal{A}_1 \sqrt{\ell}), s_1 \right) \mathcal{P}_{j_2} \left(\log(\mathcal{A}_2 \sqrt{\ell}), s_2 \right) \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \frac{ds_1}{s_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $P_{j_i}(n, s_i)$ denote the coefficient of w^n in $\mathcal{P}_{j_i}(w, s_i)$. We view the product of \mathcal{P}_{j_1} and \mathcal{P}_{j_2} as a polynomial \mathcal{P} in $\log \ell$ of degree $j_1 + j_2$, where the coefficient of $(\log \ell)^n$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & P_n(\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2, s_1, s_2) \\ & = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{n=k_1+k_2} \sum_{n_1=k_1}^{j_1} \sum_{n_2=k_2}^{j_2} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2} P_{j_1}(n_1, s_1) P_{j_2}(n_2, s_2) (\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{n_1-k_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{n_2-k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (7.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell \geq 1} w \left(\frac{\ell}{L} \right) \frac{\mathcal{P}(\log \ell)}{\ell^{1-s_1-s_2}} - \int_0^\infty w \left(\frac{\ell}{L} \right) \frac{\mathcal{P}(\log \ell)}{\ell^{1-s_1-s_2}} d\ell \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{j_1+j_2} P_n(\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2, s_1, s_2) \zeta^{(n)}(1-s_1-s_2) + O(q^{-20}) \\ & =: \mathcal{P}^*(\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2, s_1, s_2), \end{aligned}$$

say. Up to a negligible error term, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} w \left(\frac{\ell}{L} \right) - \int_0^\infty w \left(\frac{\ell}{L} \right) d\ell \right) \mathcal{I}_3(\ell, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \\
&= Q \frac{cbhg\gamma q}{\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(\alpha_1)} \int_{(\alpha_2)} \gamma(s_1)^3 G^3(s_1) \gamma(s_2)^3 G^3(s_2) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_1) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_1) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_2)} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{4\pi^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 cbhg\gamma} \right)^{s_1 + s_2} \mathcal{P}^*(\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2, s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \frac{ds_1}{s_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

say. Note the coefficient of $(\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{j_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{j_2}$ in $\mathcal{P}^*(\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2, s_1, s_2)$ is $\zeta(1 - s_1 - s_2)$. We deal only with the contribution of this term, as it will be clear from our analysis that the other terms of \mathcal{P}^* can be treated similarly. Returning to (7.7), we see that the representative term of $\mathcal{I}_2(l_1, l_2, j_1, j_2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q \frac{q}{\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \sum_{h|u_1 u_2} \mu(h) \sum_{\substack{b \geq 1 \\ (u_1 u_2, b) = 1}} \mu(b) \sum_{c \geq 1} c D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 c b}{(a_1, u_2 c b)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 c b}{(a_2, u_1 c b)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\gamma|c} \sum_{g|\frac{c}{\gamma}} \mu(g) \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid b\gamma h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{j_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{j_2} \mathcal{Y}(cbhg\gamma),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}(w) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(1)} \int_{(1)} \gamma(s_1)^3 G^3(s_1) \gamma(s_2)^3 G^3(s_2) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_1) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - s_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_1) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + s_2)} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 w} \right)^{s_1 + s_2} \\
&\quad \times \zeta(1 - s_1 - s_2) \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(1)} \int_{(1)} \mathcal{G}(s_1, s_2) \left(\frac{4\pi^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 w} \right)^{s_1 + s_2} \zeta(1 - s_1 - s_2) \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \frac{ds_1}{s_1},
\end{aligned}$$

say, and we have taken the lines of integration to 1. Here \mathcal{G} is holomorphic and decays rapidly on vertical lines so long as $\operatorname{Re} s_1, \operatorname{Re} s_2 < \frac{k}{2}$. Changing variables, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Y}(w) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(1)} \int_{(2)} \mathcal{G}(s, z - s) \left(\frac{4\pi^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 w} \right)^z \zeta(1 - z) \frac{du}{z - s} \frac{ds}{s}. \tag{7.11}$$

We deal first with the case $cbhg\gamma > q$. For $w > q$, we take the line of integration in z to $\operatorname{Re} u = \frac{9}{2}$. Applying the functional equation for ζ and Stirling's formula, we see that in this case

$$\mathcal{Y}(w) \ll q^{\frac{9}{4}} \frac{1}{(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 w)^{\frac{9}{2}}}.$$

Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and noting the ranges of summations for the variables in the expression \mathcal{I}_2 , we have

$$\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2 \ll \log(bcq) \ll (cbq)^\varepsilon.$$

Using the trivial bound $D_{-i}(\eta) \ll 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{c>q} c D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 c b}{(a_1, u_2 c b)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 c b}{(a_2, u_1 c b)} \right) \sum_{\gamma|c} \sum_{g|\frac{c}{\gamma}} \mu(g) \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid b\gamma h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \mathcal{Y}(j_1, j_2, c b h g \gamma) \\ & \ll \frac{q^{\frac{9}{4}+\varepsilon} b^\varepsilon}{(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 b h)^{\frac{9}{2}}} \sum_{c>q} c^{-\frac{7}{2}+\varepsilon} \ll q^{-\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon} \frac{b^\varepsilon}{(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 b h)^{\frac{9}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (7.3) and (7.7), we see that the contribution to $\mathcal{R}(q)$ from those terms with $c > q$ is $O(q^{-\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon})$ (the error term can be improved here, but this suffices for our purposes).

7.3. The Remaining Dirichlet Series

Let $\mathcal{H}(c)$ denote the product of c and the factors of D_{-l_i} . We consider the sum over c ,

$$\sum_{c \leq q} \mathcal{H}(c) \sum_{\gamma|c} \sum_{g|\frac{c}{\gamma}} \mu(g) \prod_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid \gamma b h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{j_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{j_2} \mathcal{Y}(c b h g \gamma).$$

Since b and h are squarefree, we may rewrite this as

$$\sum_{d|bh} \sum_{\substack{\lambda c_d \geq 1 \\ (\lambda, bh)=1 \\ p|c_d \iff p|d}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda c_d) \sum_{\gamma|\lambda c_d} \prod_{\substack{p|\lambda c_d \\ p \nmid \gamma b h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{g|\frac{\lambda c_d}{\gamma}} \mu(g) (\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{j_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{j_2} \mathcal{Y}(\lambda c_d b h g \gamma),$$

and the variable c has been modified in the definitions of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$. For fixed $d | bh$ and $\gamma | \lambda c_d$, we write $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$, where $\gamma_1 | \lambda$ and $\gamma_2 | c_d$ so that

$$\prod_{\substack{p|\lambda c_d \\ p \nmid \gamma b h}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{\varphi(\lambda c_d)}{\lambda c_d} \prod_{p|(\lambda c_d, \gamma b h)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} = \frac{\varphi(\lambda c_d)}{\lambda c_d} \prod_{p|\gamma_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p|c_d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} = \frac{\gamma_1 \varphi(\lambda)}{\lambda \varphi(\gamma_1)}.$$

The sum over γ becomes

$$\frac{\varphi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \sum_{\gamma_1|\lambda} \frac{\gamma_1}{\varphi(\gamma_1)} \sum_{g_1|\frac{\lambda}{\gamma_1}} \mu(g) \sum_{\gamma_2|c_d} \sum_{g_2|\frac{c_d}{\gamma_2}} \mu(g_2) (\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{j_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{j_2} \mathcal{Y}(\lambda c_d b h g_1 g_2 \gamma_1 \gamma_2),$$

where again, the variables in the definitions of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ have been appropriately modified. Moving the sums over γ_1, γ_2 inside the integral, we are led to consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(n) &= \mathcal{E}_1(n, z; j_1, j_2) = \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{\gamma|n} \frac{\gamma}{\varphi(\gamma)} \frac{(\log \gamma)^{j_1}}{\gamma^z} \sum_{g|\frac{n}{\gamma}} \frac{\mu(g) (\log g)^{j_2}}{g^z}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2(n) &= \mathcal{E}_2(n, z; j_1, j_2) = \sum_{\gamma|n} \frac{(\log \gamma)^{j_1}}{\gamma^z} \sum_{g|\frac{n}{\gamma}} \frac{\mu(g) (\log g)^{j_2}}{g^z}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

where now the j_1, j_2 are arbitrary nonnegative integers.

Proposition 7.5. For all integers $n \geq 2$, $j_1, j_2 \geq 0$, and z with $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_1(n), \mathcal{C}_2(n) \leq (\log n)^{j_1+j_2}.$$

Proof. Let $v(n)$ be either 1 or $\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}$ and consider the function

$$\mathcal{C}(n, z, s) = v(n) \sum_{\gamma|n} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)\gamma^z} \sum_{g|\frac{n}{\gamma}} \frac{\mu(g)}{g^s}.$$

We will show that

$$\left[\frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial z^{j_1}} \frac{\partial^{j_2}}{\partial s^{j_2}} \mathcal{C}(n, z, s) \right]_{s=u} \leq (\log n)^{j_1+j_2}$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and z with $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(n, z, s) &= \frac{v(n)}{n^u} \prod_{p^r|n} \left(\frac{1}{v(p^r)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left(\frac{p^z}{v(p^{r-1})} + \cdots + \frac{p^{(r-1)z}}{v(p)} + p^{ru} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p^r|n} \left(\frac{1}{p^{rz}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left(v(p) + \frac{1}{p^{rz}} \left(\frac{p^{rz} - p^z}{p^z - 1} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p^r|n} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s), \end{aligned}$$

say, since $v(p^r) = v(p)$ for all p and $r \geq 1$. For $s = z$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(n, z, z) = \prod_{p^r|n} \left(v(p) + \frac{v(p) - 1}{p^z} \right),$$

and specifying $v(p) = 1$ and $v(p) = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, it follows that $|\mathcal{C}(n, z, z)| \leq 1$. This gives the case $j_1 = j_2 = 0$.

To produce the logarithmic factors, we differentiate in z and s . Writing $n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_{\omega(n)}^{r_{\omega(n)}}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \mathcal{C}(n, z, s) = \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_{\omega(n)} = j} \binom{j}{j_1, \dots, j_{\omega(n)}} \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(n)} \frac{\partial^{j_i}}{\partial s^{j_i}} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s),$$

where $\binom{j}{j_1, \dots, j_{\omega(n)}}$ denotes the multinomial coefficient. A similar expression holds for the j th partial derivative with respect to z . For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s) &= (-1)^{j-1} \left(\frac{(\log p)^j}{p^s} \right) \left(v(p) + \frac{1}{p^{rz}} \left(\frac{p^{rz} - p^z}{p^z - 1} \right) \right), \\ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial z^j} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s) &= (-\log p)^j \left(\frac{r^j}{p^{rz}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left(\frac{1}{p^z} + \frac{2^j}{p^{2z}} + \cdots + \frac{(r-1)^j}{p^{(r-1)z}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $v(p) \leq 1$, we have

$$\left| v(p) + \frac{1}{p^{rz}} \left(\frac{p^{rz} - p^z}{p^z - 1} \right) \right| \leq r,$$

and thus

$$\left| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s) \right| \leq r(\log p)^j$$

for $\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Re} s \geq 0$. Likewise, if we set $s = z$, then

$$\left[\frac{\partial^j}{\partial z^j} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s) \right]_{s=u} = (-\log p)^j \left(\frac{1}{p^{rz}} + \frac{2^j - 1}{p^{2z}} + \cdots + \frac{r^j - (r-1)^j}{p^{rz}} \right),$$

and thus

$$\left| \left[\frac{\partial^j}{\partial z^j} \mathcal{C}_p(u, s) \right]_{s=u} \right| \leq (\log p)^j (1 + (2^j - 1) + \cdots + (r^j - (r-1)^j)) = (r \log p)^j$$

so long as $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. Since we have already shown that $|\mathcal{C}_p(z, s)| \leq 1$, we deduce that

$$\left| \left[\frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \mathcal{C}(n, z, s) \right]_{s=z} \right| \leq \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_{\omega(n)} = j} \binom{j}{j_1, \dots, j_{\omega(n)}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ j_i > 0}}^{\omega(n)} r_i (\log p_i)^{j_i} \leq (\log n)^j,$$

and the same estimate holds for the j th partial with respect to u evaluated when $s = u$. It remains to deal with the case when both j_1, j_2 are nonzero. In this case, we have

$$\frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial u^{j_1}} \frac{\partial^{j_2}}{\partial s^{j_2}} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s) = \frac{-(-\log p)^{j_1+j_2}}{p^s} \left(\frac{1}{p^z} + \frac{2^{j_1}}{p^{2z}} + \cdots + \frac{(r-1)^{j_1}}{p^{(r-1)z}} \right),$$

and as before, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[\frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial z^{j_1}} \mathcal{C}(n, z, s) \right]_{s=z} \right| &= \left| \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \cdots + k_{\omega(n)} = j_1 \\ l_1 + \cdots + l_{\omega(n)} = j_2}} \binom{j_1}{k_1, \dots, k_{\omega(n)}} \binom{j_2}{l_1, \dots, l_{\omega(n)}} \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(n)} \frac{\partial^{k_i}}{\partial z^{k_i}} \frac{\partial^{l_i}}{\partial s^{l_i}} \mathcal{C}_p(z, s) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \cdots + k_{\omega(n)} = j_1 \\ l_1 + \cdots + l_{\omega(n)} = j_2}} \binom{j_1}{k_1, \dots, k_{\omega(n)}} \binom{j_2}{l_1, \dots, l_{\omega(n)}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ (k_i, l_i) \neq (0,0)}}^{\omega(n)} (r_i \log p_i)^{k_i + l_i} \\ &\leq (\log n)^{j_1 + j_2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Returning to our analysis, we now study the sum over $c \leq q$ with the additional assumption that $cbhg\gamma \leq q$, so $\log \mathcal{A}_1, \log \mathcal{A}_2 \ll \log q$. We decompose the sum over c as in the beginning of this subsection, move the sums over g, γ inside the integral, take the line of integration in \mathcal{Y} to $\operatorname{Re} z = \frac{1}{\log q}$, and apply Proposition 7.5. The factor $(\log \mathcal{A}_1)^{j_1} (\log \mathcal{A}_2)^{j_2}$ in (7.10) produces products of logarithms of various combinations of the summation variables, but no matter how they are arranged, their boundedness by $\log q$ combined with Proposition 7.5 shows that we obtain a power of $(\log q)^{j_1 + j_2}$ that may be factored through the entire sum after applying the triangle inequality. From (7.3) and (7.10), we deduce that the representative term of $\mathcal{R}(q)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1 \\ a_i^3 b_i^2 \ll q^{3/2 + \varepsilon}}} \sum_{\substack{\tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(b_2) \tau_2(u_1 u_2) \\ a_1^2 b_1 a_2^2 b_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq 3 \\ 0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq 2 \\ j_i - l_i = -1}} (\log q)^{j_1 + j_2 + 1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{b \geq 1} \sum_{c \leq q} c \left| D_{-l_1} \left(\frac{u_2 cb}{(a_1, u_2 cb)} \right) D_{-l_2} \left(\frac{u_1 cb}{(a_2, u_1 cb)} \right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the extra $\log q$ comes from the factor of ζ in \mathcal{Y} and we have ignored the contribution from $c > q$. Each variable in the summation is bounded a power of q , and thus so are the arguments of D_{-l_i} . We note at this point that if one considers a term other than the leading term in \mathcal{P}^* , one obtains a higher power of $\log q$ from the zeta factor (which will have been differentiated some additional number of times), but the total powers of logarithms of the other variables are smaller, and so we still obtain the same estimate as above. Thus after applying Lemma 7.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(q) &\ll (\log q)^5 \sum_{\substack{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1 \\ (a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2, q) = 1 \\ a_1^3 b_1^2 \ll q^{3/2+\varepsilon}}} \frac{\tau_3(b_1) \tau_3(b_2) \tau_2(u_1 u_2)}{a_1^2 b_1 a_2^2 b_2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{1}{b^2} \sum_{c \leq q} \frac{(a_1, u_2 cb)(a_2, u_1 cb)}{c} \tau_2\left(\frac{u_2 cb}{(a_1, u_2 cb)}\right) \tau_2\left(\frac{u_1 cb}{(a_2, u_1 cb)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the bounds

$$\tau_j(ab) \leq \tau_j(a) \tau_j(b), \quad \tau_j\left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \leq \tau_j(a) \text{ if } d \mid a, \quad \tau_j(a) \ll a^\varepsilon, \quad (a, bc) \leq (a, b)(a, c),$$

and neglecting several summation conditions, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(q) &\ll (\log q)^5 \sum_{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1} \frac{(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^\varepsilon (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2)^2 (a_1, u_2)(a_2, u_1)}{(a_1 a_2)^3 (b_1 b_2)^2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{(a_1 a_2, b) \tau_2(b)^2}{b^2} \right) \left(\sum_{c \leq q} \frac{(a_1, c)(a_2, c) \tau_2(c)^2}{c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The sum over b is

$$\sum_{d \mid a_1 a_2} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{b \geq 1 \\ (\frac{a_1 a_2}{d}, b) = 1}} \frac{\tau_2(bd)^2}{b^2} \leq \sum_{d \mid a_1 a_2} \frac{\tau_2(d)^2}{d} \sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{\tau_2(b)^2}{b^2} \ll \tau_2(a_1 a_2) \ll (a_1 a_2)^\varepsilon,$$

so we are left to consider

$$(\log q)^5 \sum_{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1} \frac{(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^\varepsilon (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2)^2 (a_1, u_2)(a_2, u_1)}{(a_1 a_2)^3 (b_1 b_2)^2} \sum_{c \leq q} \frac{(a_1, c)(a_2, c) \tau_2(c)^2}{c}.$$

Let $\delta = (a_1, a_2)$ and write $a_1 = \delta \lambda_1$, $a_2 = \delta \lambda_2$ with $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 1$. Then the sum over c is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{d \mid \delta} \sum_{\substack{c \leq q \\ (c, \delta) = d}} \frac{(\delta \lambda_1, c)(\delta \lambda_2, c) \tau_2(c)^2}{c} \leq \sum_{d \mid \delta} \tau_2(d)^2 d \sum_{\substack{c \leq q/d \\ (c, \frac{\delta}{d}) = 1}} \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2, c) \tau_2(c)^2}{c} \\ &\leq \sum_{d \mid \delta} \tau_2(d)^2 d \sum_{c \leq q} \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2, c) \tau_2(c)^2}{c} \leq \sum_{d \mid \delta} \tau_2(d)^2 d \sum_{g \mid \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \sum_{c \leq q} \frac{\tau_2(cg)^2}{c} \\ &\leq \sum_{d \mid \delta} \tau_2(d)^2 d \sum_{g \mid \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \tau_2(g)^2 \sum_{c \leq q} \frac{\tau_2(c)^2}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

The inner sum over c on the right is bounded by $(\log q)^4$, the sum over g by $(a_1 a_2)^\varepsilon$, and the sum over d by $(a_1 a_2)^\varepsilon (a_1, a_2)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{R}(q) \ll (\log q)^9 \sum_{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 1} \frac{(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^\varepsilon (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2)^2 (a_1, u_2)(a_2, u_1)(a_1, a_2)}{(a_1 a_2)^3 (b_1 b_2)^2}.$$

Let \mathscr{D} denote the sum on the right. To see that \mathscr{D} converges, we take $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and express \mathscr{D} as an Euler product $\mathscr{D} = \prod_p \mathscr{D}_p$ with

$$\mathscr{D}_p = \sum_{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 0} \sum \sum \sum p^{y(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2)},$$

and

$$y(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) = \varepsilon(a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2) + 2 \min(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2) + \min(a_1, u_2) + \min(a_2, u_1) \\ + \min(a_1, a_2) - 3(a_1 + a_2) - 2(b_1 + b_2).$$

Here we have written $u_i = a_i + b_i - \min(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2)$. It suffices to show that for a_i, b_i not all 0, we have $y(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \leq -\frac{3}{2}$, say. We have trivially that

$$y(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \leq \frac{1}{4}(a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2) + (a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2) + a_1 + a_2 \\ + \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) - 3(a_1 + a_2) - 2(b_1 + b_2) \\ = -\frac{1}{4}(a_1 + a_2) - \frac{3}{4}(b_1 + b_2).$$

Thus we may assume that $a_1 + a_2 \leq 5$ and $b_1 + b_2 \leq 1$. This leaves only a few cases to check, and one may verify by direct computation that we indeed have $y(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \leq -\frac{3}{2}$ unless all the a_i, b_i are 0. Therefore the sum converges, and we have

$$\mathcal{R}(q) \ll (\log q)^9.$$

8. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.3

The analysis of the other 8 terms coming from Voronoi summation adheres closely to the analysis in Section 8 of [2]. Recall that by the decay of U , we may assume $a_i^3 b_i^2 N_i \ll q^{3/2+\varepsilon}$. For $j = 2, \dots, 8$, let

$$E_j(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{c \leq C} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(c)}^* \mathcal{T}_j(c, x).$$

Changing variables in the sum over c as in (7.4) – (7.6), we have

$$E_j(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{\delta \leq C} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ (u_2 x - u_1, c\delta) = \delta}}^* \mathcal{T}_j(c\delta, x),$$

where u_1, u_2 are as in (7.4).

8.1. The Sums $\mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_5$

Since each of these sums has the same form and behavior, we treat only \mathcal{T}_2 . The residue in the definition of \mathcal{T}_2 gives

$$\sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ (u_2 x - u_1, c\delta) = \delta}}^* \mathcal{T}_2(c\delta, x) \\ = \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\eta_2^3} \sum_{m \geq 1} A_3^+ \left(m, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2} \right) \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) (D_{-1}(\eta_1) + D_{-2}(\eta_1) \log y_1 + \frac{1}{2} D_{-3}(\eta_1) (\log y_1)^2) \\ \times \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3} \right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{c\delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) dy_2 dy_1 \sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ (u_2 x - u_1, c\delta) = \delta}}^* 1$$

where as before,

$$F_1(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1 y}{c\delta q a_2 b_2}\right) U\left(\frac{a_1^3 b_1^2 y}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right),$$

$$F_2(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\frac{y}{M}\right) e\left(\frac{a_2^2 b_2 y}{c\delta q a_1 b_1}\right) U\left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right).$$

By Lemma 7.4, we have $D_{-i}(\eta_1) \ll q^\varepsilon \eta_1^{-1}$, and from (8.9) of [5], we have

$$A_3^\pm\left(m, \frac{\lambda}{\eta}\right) \ll (\eta m)^\varepsilon \eta^{\frac{3}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (8.1)$$

We analyze the term coming from D_{-1} , as the analysis of the other two terms is nearly identical. Thus

$$E_2(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) \ll q^\varepsilon \sum_{\delta \leq C} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{1}{\eta_1 \eta_2^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{m \geq 1} m^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} |I(m)|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(m) &= \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) U_3\left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi}{c\delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}\right) dy_2 dy_1, \\ &= \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) I_1(m, y_1) dy_1, \end{aligned}$$

say, and we have bounded the sum over x trivially by $c\delta$. To estimate E_2 , we write

$$E_2(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) = H_1 + H_2,$$

where H_1 is the contribution to E_2 from $m \leq q^\varepsilon \eta_2^3/M$, and H_2 is the rest.

8.1.1 The Contribution of H_1

For brevity, put $C_1 = q^{-1} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 N M}$. Using (3.17) of [5], which is

$$U_3(x) \ll x^\varepsilon, \quad (8.2)$$

and (5.5), we have

$$I(m) \ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \min\left(\left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{k-1}, \left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &\ll q^\varepsilon \sum_{\delta \leq C} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{1}{\eta_1 \eta_2^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{m \leq \eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon / M} m^{\frac{1}{4}} (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \min\left(\left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{k-1}, \left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{\delta \leq C} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{\eta_2^{\frac{9}{4}}}{\eta_1} \min\left(\left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{k-1}, \left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}} a_1 u_1^{\frac{9}{4}}}{M^{\frac{3}{4}} u_2} \sum_{\delta \leq C} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} c^{\frac{5}{4}} \min\left(\left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{k-1}, \left(\frac{C_1}{c\delta}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}} a_1 u_1^{\frac{9}{4}}}{M^{\frac{3}{4}} u_2} C_1^{\frac{9}{4}} \\ &\ll (a_1^3 b_1^2 N)^{\frac{13}{8}} (a_2^3 b_2^2 M)^{\frac{3}{8}} q^{-\frac{9}{4} + \varepsilon} \\ &= q^{\frac{3}{4} + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the estimates

$$\eta_2 \leq u_1 c, \quad \eta_1 \geq \frac{u_2 c}{a_1}.$$

Summing the above estimate over $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}$ gives the desired result. All of the estimates that follow will be sufficient when summed over these variables, so we omit this sort of remark in what follows.

8.1.2 The Contribution of H_2

To handle H_2 , we use the following identity for U_3 , given by (3.12) of [5]. For some suitable constants c_j, d_j , we have

$$U_3(\pi^3 x) = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{x^{\frac{j}{3}}} \left(c_j e \left(3x^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) + d_j e \left(-3x^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{x^{(K+1)/3}} \right). \quad (8.3)$$

In the present case, we have $\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_1^3} \gg q^\varepsilon$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(m, y_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^K \left(\frac{\eta_2}{M^{\frac{1}{3}} m^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^j \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) \left(\frac{M}{y_2} \right)^{\frac{j}{3}} \left(c_j e \left(\frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) + d_j e \left(-\frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \times J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{c\delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) dy_2 + O(q^{-2022}), \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

for K sufficiently large in terms of ε . This also gives the trivial bound

$$I(m) \ll \frac{\eta_2}{m^{\frac{1}{3}}} N^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{6}} \ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8.5)$$

Let $C_2 = 8\pi(q\delta)^{-1} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 N M}$. We divide into two cases depending as $c \leq C_2$ and $c > C_2$.

Case 1: $c > C_2$. Using (5.4), we can write I_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(m, y_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^K \left(\frac{\eta_2}{M^{\frac{1}{3}} m^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^j \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell! (\ell + k - 1)!} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_j(y_1, y_2, \ell) \left(c_j e \left(\frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) + d_j e \left(-\frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) \right) e \left(\frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2}{c\delta q a_1 b_1} \right) dy_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_j(y_1, y_2, \ell) = y_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{y_2}{M} \right)^{-\frac{j}{3}} f \left(\frac{y_2}{M} \right) \left(\frac{2}{c\delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right)^{2\ell + k - 1} U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y_2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right).$$

We now analyze the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_j(y_1, y_2, \ell) e(\omega_\pm(m, y_2)) dy_2,$$

where

$$\omega_\pm(m, y_2) = \pm \frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}} + B y_2, \quad B = \frac{a_2^2 b_2}{c\delta q a_1 b_1}.$$

We have

$$\omega'_{\pm}(m, y_2) = \pm \frac{m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{y_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \eta_2} + B$$

If $m > 64(B\eta_2)^3 M^2$ or $m < \frac{1}{64}(B\eta_2)^3 M^2$, we have $\omega'_{\pm}(m, y_2) \gg \frac{m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{y_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \eta_2} \gg \frac{q^\varepsilon}{M}$. Thus the contribution of these terms is negligible by integrating by parts many times. Thus we need only consider those m for which $m \asymp (B\eta_2)^3 M^2$. But since

$$(B\eta_2)^3 M^2 \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\delta^3},$$

there are no terms of this form unless $M \gg \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(a_2^2 b_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ and $\delta \ll q^\varepsilon$. Using the trivial bound (8.5) we see that the contribution to H_2 of these terms is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} q^\varepsilon N^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{6}} \sum_{\delta \ll q^\varepsilon} \sum_{c > C_2} \frac{1}{\eta_1 \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{m \ll q^\varepsilon} m^{-\frac{1}{12} + \varepsilon} &\ll q^\varepsilon N^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{6}} a_1^{\frac{5}{4}} b_1^{\frac{1}{4}} a_2^{\frac{1}{4}} b_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{\delta \ll q^\varepsilon} \sum_{c > C_2} c^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon N^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{6}} a_1^{\frac{5}{4}} b_1^{\frac{1}{4}} a_2^{\frac{1}{4}} b_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{N M a_1 a_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll q^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} a_1 b_1^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} b_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} M^{-\frac{1}{12}} \\ &\ll q^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} b_1^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} b_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{(a_2^2 b_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll q^{\frac{3}{8} + \varepsilon} (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} (a_1^3 b_1^2 N)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\ll (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{3}{4} + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the estimate

$$\eta_1 \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{u_2 c}{a_1} \left(\frac{u_1 c}{a_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{b_1^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} b_2}{a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq c^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{b_1^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} b_2}{a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^{\frac{3}{4}}} = c^{\frac{3}{2}} a_1^{-\frac{5}{4}} b_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} a_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} b_2^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Case 2: $c \leq C_2$. We return to (8.4), but instead use (5.3) in place of (5.4) to write I_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(m, y_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^K \left(\frac{\eta_2}{M^{\frac{1}{3}} m^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^j \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) \left(\frac{M}{y_2} \right)^{\frac{j}{3}} \left(c_j e \left(\frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) + d_j e \left(-\frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{c \delta q}{2 \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(2 \operatorname{Re} W \left(\frac{4\pi}{c \delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) e \left(\frac{2}{c \delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \right) \right) dy_2 \\ &\quad + O(q^{-2022}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this gives the trivial bound

$$I(m) \ll \frac{\eta_2}{m^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left(\frac{c \delta q}{\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{-\frac{1}{12}}. \quad (8.6)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{H}_j(y_1, y_2) = y_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} y_2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\frac{M}{y_2} \right)^{\frac{j}{3}} f \left(\frac{y_2}{M} \right) W_1 \left(\frac{4\pi}{c \delta q} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2} \right) U \left(\frac{a_2^3 b_2^2 y_2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right),$$

where W_1 is either W or \overline{W} . For some absolute constants b_j , we find that I_1 is (up to a negligible error term) a sum of expressions of the form

$$I_1(m, y_1) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c\delta q}}{(a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) \sum_{j=1}^K b_j \left(\frac{\eta_2}{M^{\frac{1}{3}} m^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^j \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}_j(y_1, y_2) e(\omega(y_1, y_2)) dy_2,$$

where

$$\omega(y_1, y_2) = \pm \frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \pm 2A y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + B y_2, \quad A = \frac{(a_1 a_2 y_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{c\delta q}, \quad B = \frac{a_2^2 b_2}{c\delta q a_1 b_1}.$$

Differentiating with respect to y_2 gives

$$\omega'(y_1, y_2) = \pm \frac{m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} y_2^{-\frac{2}{3}} \pm A y_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + B y_2.$$

We divide into several cases.

Case 1.1: $a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 4a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 M^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then it is easily checked that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{A}{y_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \left| \pm A y_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + B y_2 \right| \leq 2 \frac{A}{y_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

If $m \geq 64(A\eta_2)^3 M^{\frac{1}{2}}$ or $m \leq \frac{1}{64}(A\eta_2)^3 M^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $|\omega'(y_1, y_2)| \gg \frac{m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{y_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \eta_2} \gg \frac{q^\varepsilon}{M}$, since $n \gg \frac{\eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon}{M}$. Thus we may integrate by parts many times to see that the contribution of these terms is negligible. For the terms with $\frac{1}{64}(A\eta_2)^3 M^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq m \leq 64(A\eta_2)^3 M^{\frac{1}{2}}$, note that

$$(A\eta_2)^3 M^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \frac{(a_1^3 b_1^2 N)^{\frac{3}{2}} (a_2^3 M)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q^3 \delta^3} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\delta^3}.$$

Moreover, the left side is only $\gg 1$ if $M \gg q^{\frac{3}{2}}/a_2^3$ and $\delta \ll q^\varepsilon$. By (8.6), the contribution from these terms is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \frac{(NM)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{M^{\frac{1}{3}}(a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_{\delta \leq q^\varepsilon} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{c \leq C_2} \frac{c^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_1 \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{m \ll q^\varepsilon} m^{-\frac{1}{12}+\varepsilon} \\ & \ll q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \frac{(NM)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{M^{\frac{1}{3}}(a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} a_1 a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} (a_1^3 N)^{\frac{1}{4}} (a_2^3 M)^{\frac{1}{4}} (a_2^3 M)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \\ & \ll a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the trivial bound $\eta_i \geq \frac{c}{a_i}$.

Case 1.2: $a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 M^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 4a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then as before, one checks that $\frac{1}{2}B \leq \left| \pm A y_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + B \right| \leq \frac{3}{2}B$. By the same arguments as in the previous case, the range of m that should be considered is of size $(B\eta_2)^3 M$, and the contribution to H_2 of this range is bounded by $a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}$.

Case 1.3: $\frac{1}{4}a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 M^{\frac{1}{2}} < a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}} < 4a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 M^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this case $A y_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \asymp B$, and so the range of m that should be considered is of size $(A\eta_2)^3 M^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by the same arguments above, and the contribution from this range is also bounded by $a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}$.

8.2. The Sums $\mathcal{T}_6, \dots, \mathcal{T}_9$

Each of the four sums $\mathcal{T}_6, \dots, \mathcal{T}_9$ has essentially the same form and behavior, so we deal only with \mathcal{T}_6 . The treatment these sums is very similar to that of $\mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_5$, so we shall be somewhat brief. Recall that

$$E_6(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{\delta \leq C} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\substack{x(c\delta) \\ (u_2x - u_1, c\delta) = \delta}}^* \frac{\pi^3}{\eta_1^3 \eta_2^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} A_3^+ \left(n, \frac{\lambda_1}{\eta_1} \right) A_3^+ \left(m, \frac{\lambda_2}{\eta_2} \right) \\ \times \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) F_2(y_2) U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 n y_1}{\eta_1^3} \right) U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3} \right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{c\delta q} \sqrt{a_1 y_1 a_2 y_2} \right) dy_1 dy_2,$$

where

$$\eta_1 = \frac{u_2 c}{(a_1, u_2 c)}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{u_1 c}{(a_2, u_1 c)}.$$

As before, we use (8.1) and estimate the sum over x trivially by $c\delta$ to see that

$$E_6(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) \ll \sum_{\delta \leq C} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{1}{(\eta_1 \eta_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} (nm)^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} |I(n, m)|,$$

where

$$I(n, m) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F_1(y_1) F_2(y_2) U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 n y_1}{\eta_1^3} \right) U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3} \right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{c\delta q} \sqrt{a_1 y_1 a_2 y_2} \right) dy_1 dy_2.$$

Recall that it suffices to show that $E_6 \ll (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4} + \varepsilon}$. Following our previous analysis, we write

$$E_6 = \sum_{i=1}^4 E_{6,i},$$

where $E_{6,i}$ is the contribution to E_6 from case i below.

- (1) $n \ll \frac{\eta_1^3 q^\varepsilon}{N}$ and $m \ll \frac{\eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon}{M}$;
- (2) $n \gg \frac{\eta_1^3 q^\varepsilon}{N}$ and $m \ll \frac{\eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon}{M}$;
- (3) $n \ll \frac{\eta_1^3 q^\varepsilon}{N}$ and $m \gg \frac{\eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon}{M}$;
- (4) $n \gg \frac{\eta_1^3 q^\varepsilon}{N}$ and $m \gg \frac{\eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon}{M}$.

By symmetry, the treatment of cases (2) and (3) is the same, so we treat only the second case.

8.2.1 The Contribution of $E_{6,1}$

For this case, we use the estimate

$$U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 n_i y_i}{\eta_i^3} \right) \ll q^\varepsilon$$

along with (5.5) to see that

$$I(n, m) \ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \min \left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 NM}}{c\delta q} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 NM}}{c\delta q} \right)^{k-1} \right),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{6,1}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}) &\ll q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\delta \leq C} \sum_{c \leq C/\delta} \frac{1}{(\eta_1 \eta_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \min \left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 NM}}{c \delta q} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 NM}}{c \delta q} \right)^{k-1} \right) \\
&\times \sum_{n \ll \frac{\eta_1^3 q^\varepsilon}{N}} \sum_{m \ll \frac{\eta_2^3 q^\varepsilon}{M}} (nm)^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} \\
&\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{(u_1 u_2)^{\frac{9}{4}}}{(NM)^{-\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{\delta \leq C} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 NM}}{\delta q} \right)^{\frac{13}{4}} \\
&\ll q^{-\frac{13}{4} + \varepsilon} (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{31}{8}} (b_1 b_2)^{\frac{9}{4}} (NM)^{\frac{7}{8}} \\
&\ll q^{-\frac{13}{4} + \varepsilon} (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_1^3 b_1^2 N)^{\frac{9}{8}} (a_2^3 b_2^2 M)^{\frac{9}{8}} \\
&\ll (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{8} + \varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

8.2.2 The Contribution of $E_{6,2}$

We write

$$I(n, m) = \int_0^\infty F_2(y_2) U_3 \left(\frac{\pi^3 m y_2}{\eta_2^3} \right) I_1(n, y_2) dy_1 dy_2.$$

The integration in y_2 can be bounded trivially and the sum over m can be treated as in the previous subsection. The integral $I_1(n, y_2)$ can be handled in the same way as cases 1 and 2 in the Section 8.1.2, and we obtain $E_{6,2} \ll a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$.

8.2.3 The Contribution of $E_{6,4}$

As in Section 8.1.2, we let $C_2 = 8\pi(q\delta)^{-1} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 NM}$ and divide into two cases depending as $c \leq C_2$ and $c > C_2$.

Case 1: $c > C_2$. We again use (5.4) and (8.3) and consider integrals of the form

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(y_1, y_2) e \left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1 y_1}{c \delta q a_2 b_2} + \frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2}{c \delta q a_1 b_1} \pm \frac{3n^{\frac{1}{3}} y_1^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_1} \pm \frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \right) dy_1 dy_2,$$

where $\frac{\partial^j \partial^k \mathcal{H}(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1^j \partial y_2^k} \mathcal{H}(y_1, y_2) \ll N^{-j} M^{-k}$, $\mathcal{H}(y_1, y_2) \ll 1$, and is supported on $[N, 2N] \times [M, 2M]$. Thus the range of integration is $O(NM)$.

By the same arguments as in Case 1 of Section 8.1.2, it suffices to consider the case when $c_1 (B_1 \eta_1)^3 N^2 \ll n \ll c_2 (B_1 \eta_1)^3 N^2$ and $c_1 (B_2 \eta_2)^3 M^2 \ll m \ll c_2 (B_2 \eta_2)^3 M^2$, where c_1, c_2 are some constants,

$$B_1 = \frac{a_1^2 b_1}{c \delta q a_2 b_2} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \frac{a_2^2 b_2}{c \delta q a_1 b_1}.$$

The terms outside these ranges give negligible contribution from integration by parts many times. As before, we have

$$(B_1 \eta_1)^3 N^2 \ll \frac{(a_1^2 b_1)^3 N^2}{\delta^3 q^3} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\delta^3}, \quad (B_2 \eta_2)^3 M^2 \ll \frac{(a_2^2 b_2)^3 M^2}{\delta^3 q^3} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\delta^3}.$$

There are no terms of this form unless

$$N \gg \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(a_1^2 b_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad M \gg \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(a_2^2 b_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \delta \ll q^\varepsilon.$$

The contribution to $E_{6,4}$ of the terms with $c > C_2$ is bounded by

$$\frac{q^\varepsilon (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_1 u_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{\delta \ll q^\varepsilon} \sum_{c > C_2} \frac{((a_1, u_2 c)(a_2, u_1 c))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{c^3}. \quad (8.7)$$

To estimate the sum over c , let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (a_1, u_2), & a_1 &= \lambda_1 g_1, & u_2 &= \gamma_1 g_1, \\ g_2 &= (a_2, u_1), & a_2 &= \lambda_2 g_2, & u_1 &= \gamma_2 g_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \quad \lambda_1 = \alpha_1 d, \quad \lambda_2 = \alpha_2 d,$$

where $(\lambda_1, \gamma_1) = (\lambda_2, \gamma_2) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 1$. Then the sum over c is

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{c > C_2} \frac{((\lambda_1, c)(\lambda_2, c))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{c^3} &= (g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\ell | d} \sum_{\substack{c > C_2 \\ (c, d) = \ell}} \frac{((\alpha_1 d, c)(\alpha_2 d, c))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{c^3} \\ &= (g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\ell | d} \sum_{\substack{c > \frac{C_2}{\ell} \\ (c, \frac{d}{\ell}) = 1}} \frac{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2, c)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{c^3} = (g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\ell | d} \sum_{k | \alpha_1 \alpha_2} k^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c > \frac{C_2}{\ell} \\ (c, \frac{d}{\ell}) = 1 \\ (\alpha_1 \alpha_2, c) = k}} \frac{1}{c^3} \\ &= (g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\ell | d} \sum_{k | \alpha_1 \alpha_2} k^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c > \frac{C_2}{\ell k} \\ (c, \frac{d}{\ell}) = 1 \\ (\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{k}, c) = 1}} \frac{1}{c^3} \ll \frac{(g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{C_2^2} \sum_{\ell | d} \ell^2 \sum_{k | \alpha_1 \alpha_2} k^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll \delta^2 q^{2+\varepsilon} \frac{(g_1 g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a_1 a_2 N M} d^2 (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \delta^2 q^{2+\varepsilon} \frac{(a_1, u_2)(a_2, u_1)(a_1, a_2)}{N M (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the total contribution is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} q^{2+\varepsilon} \frac{(a_1, u_2)(a_2, u_1)(a_1, a_2)}{(u_1 u_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N M)^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\ll q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \frac{(a_1, u_2)(a_2, u_1)(a_1, a_2)}{(u_1 u_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1^{\frac{3}{4}} a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \\ &\ll q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \frac{(a_1, a_2)}{(u_1 u_2 a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1^{\frac{3}{4}} a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) = q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} (a_1, a_2) (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) (b_1 b_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\ll q^{\frac{7}{8}+\varepsilon} (a_1, a_2) (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Summing this over a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 produces several factors of $\log q$, and thus the contribution from these terms is sufficiently small.

Case 2: $c \leq C_2$. We proceed as in the last case, except that we use (5.3) in place of (5.4). The integrals we consider have the form

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(y_1, y_2) e(\varphi(m, n, y_1, y_2)) dy_1 dy_2,$$

where

$$\varphi(m, n, y_1, y_2) = \frac{a_1^2 b_1 y_1}{c \delta q a_2 b_2} + \frac{a_2^2 b_2 y_2}{c \delta q a_1 b_1} \pm \frac{3n^{\frac{1}{3}} y_1^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_1} \pm \frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}} y_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta_2} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1 y_2}}{c \delta q}$$

$\frac{\partial^j \partial^k G(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1^j \partial y_2^k} G(y_1, y_2) \ll N^{-j} M^{-k}$, $G(y_1, y_2) \ll 1$. As before, the range of integration is $O(NM)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi(m, n, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} &= B_1 \pm \frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{y_1^{\frac{2}{3}} \eta_1} \pm \frac{A_1}{y_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi(m, n, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} &= B_2 \pm \frac{m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{y_1^{\frac{2}{3}} \eta_2} \pm \frac{A_2}{y_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where B_1, B_2 are as above and $A_1 = \frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_2}}{c \delta q}$ and $A_2 = \frac{\sqrt{a_1 a_2 y_1}}{c \delta q}$. We now follow closely the analysis for Case 2 of Section 8.1.2, dividing into several subcases.

Case 2.1: $a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 M^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 4a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For this case, we have $\left| \frac{A_1}{y_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \pm B_1 \right| \asymp \frac{A_1}{y_1}$ and $\left| \frac{A_2}{y_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \pm B_2 \right| \asymp B_2$.

By similar arguments to Case 2 of Section 8.1.2, we consider the ranges $n \asymp (A_1 \eta_1)^3 N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $m \asymp (B_2 \eta_2)^3 M^2$ and note that

$$(A_1 \eta_1)^3 N^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\delta q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^3 N^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \frac{q^\epsilon}{\delta^3}, \quad (B_2 \eta_2)^3 M^2 \ll \left(\frac{(a_2^2 b_2)^3 M^2}{\delta^3 q^3} \right) \ll \frac{q^\epsilon}{\delta^3},$$

and thus there are no terms of this form unless $N \gg \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a_1^3}$, $M \gg \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(a_2^2 b_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ and $\delta \ll q^\epsilon$. The contribution from these terms to $E_{6,4}$ is $O(a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$.

Case 2.2: $a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 4a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 N^2$. The calculation as in Case 2.1 gives an error of $O(a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$.

Case 2.3: $\frac{1}{4} a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}} < a_2^{\frac{3}{2}} b_2 M^{\frac{1}{2}} < 4a_1^{\frac{3}{2}} b_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For this case, we have $\frac{A_1}{y_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \asymp B_1$ and $\frac{A_2}{y_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \asymp B_2$. By similar arguments to Cases 1.2 and 1.3, we need only consider the ranges $n \asymp (A_1 \eta_1)^3 N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $m \asymp (A_1 \eta_1)^3 N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The contribution from these terms is also $O\left(a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. Berndt. On the Hurwitz zeta function. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 2(1):151–157, 1972.
- [2] V. Chandee and X. Li. The sixth moment of automorphic L -functions. *Algebra Number Theory*, (3), 2017.
- [3] G. Djanković. The sixth moment of the family of $\Gamma_1(q)$ -automorphic L -functions. *Arch. Math.*, (6):535–547, 2011.
- [4] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, New York, 7th edition, 2007.

- [5] A. Ivić. On the Ternary Additive Divisor Problem and the Sixth Moment of the Zeta-Function. In *Sieve Methods, Exponential Sums, and their Applications in Number Theory*, number 237 in London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, pages 205–243. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [6] H. Iwaniec. *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*. Number 17 in Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [7] H. Iwaniec and X. Li. The orthogonality of Hecke eigenvalues. *Compos. Math.*, (3):541–565, 2007.
- [8] G. N. Watson. *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1944.