

Towards a Hypergraph version of the Pósa–Seymour Conjecture

Matías Pavez-Signé* Nicolás Sanhueza-Matamala† Maya Stein‡

Received 11 January 2023; Published 22 July 2023

Abstract: We prove that for fixed $r \geq k \geq 2$, every k -uniform hypergraph on n vertices having minimum codegree at least $(1 - ((\binom{r-1}{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2})^{-1})n + o(n))$ contains the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight Hamilton cycle. This result may be seen as a step towards a hypergraph version of the Pósa–Seymour conjecture.

Moreover, we prove that the same bound on the codegree suffices for finding a copy of every spanning hypergraph of tree-width less than r which admits a tree decomposition where every vertex is in a bounded number of bags.

Key words and phrases: Extremal hypergraph theory, Hamilton cycles, Hypertrees.

1 Introduction

1.1 Powers of tight Hamilton cycles

A central problem in extremal graph theory is the study of degree conditions that force a graph G to contain a copy of some large or even spanning subgraph. A classical result in this area is Dirac's theorem [8] from the 1950's which states that every graph G on $n \geq 3$ vertices with minimum degree at least $n/2$ contains a Hamilton cycle, i.e. a cycle that passes through all vertices of G .

*Supported by the European Research Council grant 947978 under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, and by a CMM postdoctoral scholarship funded by ANID PIA AFB170001 while he was at the Universidad de Chile.

†Supported by the Czech Science Foundation, grant number GA19-08740S with institutional support RVO: 67985807, and by ANID-Chile through the FONDECYT Iniciación N°11220269 grant.

‡Supported by FONDECYT Regular Grant 1221905, by MathAmSud MATH190013, by FAPESP-ANID Investigación Conjunta grant 2019/13364-7, and by ANID PIA CMM FB210005.

Over the decades to follow, there have been numerous efforts to extend Dirac’s theorem to other spanning subgraphs. One of the most important extensions concerns *r*th powers of Hamilton cycles (i.e. Hamilton cycles with an additional chord for each pair of vertices at distance at most *r*). Pósa (for *r* = 3, see [10]) and Seymour (for *r* > 3 [30]) conjectured that for all *r* ≥ 3 and all *n* ≥ *r*, every *n*-vertex graph *G* with $\delta(G) \geq (r - 1)n/r$ must contain the (*r* - 1)th power of a Hamilton cycle. This conjecture has been confirmed for every *r* ≥ 3 and for all large *n* ≥ *n*₀(*r*) by Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [19].

Many extremal results for graphs have found extensions to hypergraphs, in particular to *k*-uniform hypergraphs, which we will call *k*-graphs for short. In a *k*-graph *H*, the minimum degree condition is often translated to a condition on the *minimum codegree* $\delta(H)$, which is defined as the maximum number *m* such that each set of *k* - 1 vertices lies in at least *m* edges of *H*. For *j* ≥ *k* ≥ 1, the *j*th power of a *k*-cycle on *n* vertices, denoted by $C_{k,n}^j$, is the *k*-graph on *n* cyclically ordered vertices that contains every *k*-subset of every set of *k* + *j* - 1 consecutive vertices. The *k*-graph $C_{k,n}^1$ is also called a *tight Hamilton cycle*.

Extending Dirac’s theorem to this setting, Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [28] showed that any *n*-vertex *k*-graph *H* with $\delta(H) \geq (1/2 + o(1))n$ contains a tight Hamilton cycle. For powers of tight hypergraph cycles, not much is known in general, but Bedenknecht and Reiher [2] showed recently that any 3-graph on *n* vertices with codegree at least $(4/5 + o(1))n$ contains a copy of $C_{3,n}^2$.

Our first main result establishes a generalisation of these results to arbitrary powers of *k*-cycles and arbitrary *k* ≥ 2.

Theorem 1.1. *For all $r \geq k \geq 2$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exists n_0 such that every *k*-graph *H* on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with*

$$\delta(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{r-1}{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2}} + \alpha\right)n.$$

contains a copy of $C_{k,n}^{r-k+1}$.

Let us compare this result with what one can get from previously established results. Given *d* < *k* and a *k*-graph *H*, the *minimum d-degree* $\delta_d(H)$ is the maximum number *m* such that each set of *d* vertices lies in at least *m* edges of *H*. Lang and the second author [21] showed that *n*-vertex *k*-graphs *H* with $\delta_d(H) \geq (1 - 1/(2(k - d)) + o(1))\binom{n}{k-d}$ contain tight Hamilton cycles. By applying this result to the *r*-uniform clique graph (it will be defined in Section 3.5) and *d* = *k* - 1, a short computation yields that *n*-vertex *k*-graphs with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - 1/(2(r - k + 1)\binom{r}{k}) + o(1))n$ contain a copy of $C_{k,n}^{r-k+1}$. Theorem 1.1 yields the same outcome with a substantially lower minimum codegree condition.

For *k* = 2, and any *r* ≥ 2, Theorem 1.1 gives an asymptotic version of the Pósa–Seymour conjecture, as shown by Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [20] before their proof of the exact version in [19]. For *r* = *k* ≥ 2, the degree condition of Theorem 1.1 becomes $\delta(H) \geq (1/2 + o(1))n$, and we recover the Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi’s Dirac-type threshold for tight Hamilton cycles [28]. For *r* = *k* + 1 ≥ 3, Theorem 1.1 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *For all $k \geq 2$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exists n_0 such that every *k*-graph *H* on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - 1/(2k - 1) + \alpha)n$ contains the square (i.e. second power) of a tight Hamilton cycle.*

For $k = 3$, this equals the above-cited result by Bedenknecht and Reiher [2]. As in their proof, we will also rely on ‘absorption’ techniques combined with ‘connection’ steps. For an outline of our proof see Section 2.

1.2 Hypergraphs of bounded tree-width

With the same conditions as in Theorem 1.1, we can also embed a larger family of k -graphs, namely those of sufficiently bounded *tree-width*, which we define now. For a hypergraph H and $j \geq 1$, the j th shadow of H is the j -graph $\partial_j(H)$ on $V(H)$ where a j -set X is an edge if and only if it is contained in some edge of H . An r -uniform graph T is an r -tree if

- (i) T consists of a single edge, or
- (ii) there exists an r -tree T' , a set $X \in \partial_{r-1}(T')$, and a vertex $v \notin V(T')$ such that T is obtained from T' by adding v and the edge $X \cup \{v\}$.

The tree-width of a graph is a parameter measuring how closely the graph resembles a tree. One of the usual definitions of the tree-width uses the notion of ‘partial k -trees’, which is equivalent to defining the *tree-width* $\text{tw}(G)$ of a graph G as the minimum r such that there is an $(r + 1)$ -tree T with $G \subseteq \partial_2(T)$ (see, e.g. [3, Theorem 35], for this and equivalent definitions of tree-width). For $k \geq 3$, define the *tree-width* $\text{tw}(G)$ of a k -graph G as the minimum r such that there is an $(r + 1)$ -tree T with $G \subseteq \partial_k(T)$, in which case we say that G admits T .

With these definitions at hand, we can state our second main result.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $r \geq k \geq 2$, $\Delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exists n_0 such that the following holds for all $n \geq n_0$. Let G be an n -vertex k -graph with $\text{tw}(G) < r$, which admits an r -tree T with $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$, and let H be an n -vertex k -graph with*

$$\delta(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{r-1}{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2}} + \alpha\right)n.$$

Then $G \subseteq H$.

For $r \geq k = 2$, the codegree condition of Theorem 1.3 is $\delta(H) \geq (1 - 1/r + o(1))n$, and the graph G needs to admit an r -tree T of bounded degree. It is not difficult to see that any such G has bounded-degree and is $(r - 1)$ -degenerate, and thus has chromatic number at most r . Also, graphs of bounded degree and tree-width have sublinear bandwidth [4], so our result recovers a particular instance of the Bandwidth Theorem by Böttcher, Schacht and Taraz [5].

For $r = k \geq 2$, the codegree condition of Theorem 1.3 becomes $\delta(H) \geq (1/2 + o(1))n$, and the k -graph G needs to admit a k -tree T of bounded degree, which is equivalent to G itself being a bounded-degree k -tree. For $r = k = 2$ this is a result by Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [18] on embedding spanning bounded-degree trees, and for $r = k > 2$ we recover a previous result by the authors [26] on the embedding of spanning bounded-degree k -trees.

1.3 Lower bounds and clique tilings

Most of the results implied by our two theorems are asymptotically tight, that is, the codegree conditions in [5, 18, 19, 26, 28] are best possible apart from the $o(n)$ term. For this reason, also Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 are asymptotically tight for some choices of k and r , e.g. when $r = k$ or $k = 2$. We do not know if our results are best possible in general.

We remark that lower bounds for the codegree in our results can be inferred from bounds on *clique tiling thresholds*. A K_r^k -clique tiling is a collection of vertex-disjoint cliques of order r in a k -graph. Note that $C_{k,n}^{r-k+1}$ contains a K_r^k -clique tiling if r divides n , and the tree-width of a K_r^k -clique is less than r . This shows that, apart from the $o(n)$ term, the codegree condition in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 could not be lower than the codegree threshold $t_{k-1}(K_r^k, n)$ for finding K_r^k -clique tilings in n -vertex k -graphs (precise definitions are in Section 5.2).

From this connection, our results give upper bounds on $t(K_r^k, n)$, in particular showing that $t_{k-1}(K_r^k) \leq 1 - \binom{r-1}{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2}^{-1}$ holds for all $r \geq k \geq 3$. For some values of k, r this bound seems to be new, but we emphasize that the better bound $t_{k-1}(K_r^k, n) \leq 1 - \binom{r-1}{k-1}^{-1}$ was shown by Lo and Markström [23] to hold for some values of r, k , in particular for all r sufficiently large in terms of k . We discuss tiling thresholds with detail in Section 5.2.

Lower bounds on $t(K_r^k, n)$ can be used as a benchmark for our results, but little is known about these thresholds in general. Asymptotics [27, 23] for $t(K_4^3, n)$ (and exact values for large n [17]) imply that the term $4/5$ in the result from [2], and in our Theorem 1.1 for $(r, k) = (4, 3)$ could at best be improved to $3/4$. For k fixed and r large, the main constant in our bounds in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 is of the form $1 - cr^{1-k}$, while the clique tiling threshold can be bounded from below by the *codegree Turán threshold* guaranteeing a single copy of K_r^k which in turn has been bounded from below by $1 - c'r^{1-k} \log r$ [24], where c and c' are constants (depending on k only).

1.4 Bounding only the treewidth

Our result in Theorem 1.3 applies to k -graphs G of bounded tree-width with a ‘hypertree decomposition’ of bounded-degree, or equivalently, with the property that every vertex is in a bounded number of bags. This latter condition is necessary for our approach and it does not follow automatically for any G of bounded maximum degree: there are bounded-degree graphs which do not admit any tree decomposition with bags of optimal size where every vertex is in a bounded number of bags (see, e.g. [9, Figure 1]). However, if we increase the bag sizes we can obtain a tree decomposition where every vertex is in a bounded number of bags. We use this fact to prove a variant of Theorem 1.3 which applies to arbitrary k -graphs of bounded degree and bounded tree-width (see Section 8).

2 Proof overview

Our first main result, Theorem 1.1, will be deduced as a relatively quick consequence of Theorem 1.3, so we focus on the proof of the latter result.

Let G be a k -graph on n vertices with $\text{tw}(G) < r$ that admits an r -tree T of bounded vertex-degree, and let H be a k -graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.3. Instead of working directly with H , we

will work in the auxiliary r -clique r -graph $K_r(H)$ which captures the r -cliques in H (see Section 3.5 for a definition). This is because in order to embed G into H , it will be enough to embed T into $K_r(H)$. We will use a similar strategy as the one used in [26]. The difference is that in [26], a codegree condition on a k -graph was used to embed k -trees, while now, wishing to embed an r -tree, we do not necessarily have such a condition in the r -graph $K_r(H)$.

However, using the minimum codegree condition on the k -graph H , we will ensure that $K_r(H)$ satisfies the following three properties, which will be crucial to the proof.

- (i) *Connections.* Every pair of distinct $(r-1)$ -tuples $f, f' \in \partial_{r-1}(K_r(H))$ is connected by many tight walks of bounded length.
- (ii) *Uniformly dense clique matching.* There are a small set $V_0 \subseteq V(H)$ and a partition $\{V_j^i\}_{(i,j) \in [t] \times [r]}$ of $V(H) \setminus V_0$ such for every $i \in [t]$, the r -partite graph $K_r(H)[V_1^i, \dots, V_r^i]$ is uniformly dense.
- (iii) *Absorbers.* There is a set \mathcal{A} of tuples of vertices of H such that for each $v \in V(H)$, many tuples $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}$ can ‘absorb’ v .

We embed the absorbers from (iii), and then partition T into a bounded number of small subtrees T_0, T_1, \dots, T_ℓ such that $T_0 \cup T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_\ell$ is (tightly) connected for each $i \in [t]$. We iteratively embed the trees T_i , first finding an element of the uniformly dense clique matching from (ii) having enough unused vertices, and then using Property (i) to connect the embedding of $T_0 \cup T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_{i-1}$ with this free space (by embedding the first levels of T_i). We then finish by using the absorber, which allow us to increase the embedding of the tree vertex by vertex.

To carry out the proof as described, we invoke lemmas already present in [26], which allows us to shorten the proof. The new ingredients here are the connections and the clique matching, whose proofs we describe in more detail now.

We show in Section 4 that $K_r(H)$ has Property (i) via induction on r starting from k . The base case $r = k$ is equivalent to finding bounded-length tight walks between pairs of $(k-1)$ -tuples in k -graphs with large codegree, and this was already proven in [26] (a similar lemma, although with different quantification, was also shown already by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [28, Lemma 2.4]). For the induction step, we show here that for $k \leq j \leq r-1$ and a walk P in $K_j(H)$ of bounded length, the codegree in H allow us to find a bounded number of vertices which together with P define a tight walk in $K_{j+1}(H)$.

To get Property (ii), in Section 5, we prove that $K_r(H)$ contains a uniformly dense clique matching using the hypergraph regularity method. After applying the hypergraph regularity lemma to H , it suffices to find an almost spanning r -clique matching in the corresponding reduced k -graph R . Unfortunately, the reduced k -graph usually does not inherit the codegree conditions of H due to the presence of ‘irregular’ tuples. We overcome this issue by working in a supergraph $R' \supseteq R$ with the ‘correct’ codegrees, then edge-colouring R' suitably and finding an r -clique matching under some colour constraints. By choosing the edge-colouring appropriately we can make sure that most of the cliques of the found matching are actually contained in R . We combine this with powerful tools to find matchings in hypergraphs by Keevash and Mycroft [17].

3 Tools

We introduce some notation and results that will be used throughout the paper. During the paper we will use the common hierarchy notation for quantifiers: the phrase “ $a \ll b$ ” in a statement will mean “for any $b > 0$, there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < a \leq a_0$, the following holds”. Sequences of longer chained hierarchies are defined similarly. If $1/x$ appears in such a hierarchy, we also assume that x is an integer.

3.1 Ordered shadow

Given a k -graph H , its *ordered shadow* $\partial^\circ(H) \subseteq V(H)^{k-1}$ is the set of all tuples of $k-1$ distinct vertices whose (unordered) underlying set belongs to $\partial_{k-1}(H)$. Throughout the paper, we will use bold letters to denote elements from $\partial^\circ(H)$ and for ordered tuples in general. Elements from $\partial^\circ(H)$ will be used as their underlying set for set-theoretical operations. For example, if $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \partial^\circ(H)$, then $\mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$.

3.2 A function

To simplify notation throughout the paper, we define

$$f_k(j) := \frac{1}{\binom{j-1}{k-1} + \binom{j-2}{k-2}}$$

for all integers $j \geq k$. Let us observe that f_k is monotone decreasing and $f_k(k) = 1/2$.

3.3 Concentration inequalities

During our proofs, it will be useful to have the following standard concentration inequalities at hand (see [15, Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a random variable which is the sum of independent $\{0, 1\}$ -valued random variables.*

- (i) *If $x \geq 7\mathbf{E}[X]$, then $\Pr[X \geq x] \leq \exp(-x)$, and*
- (ii) *for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 3/2$, we have*

$$\Pr[|X - \mathbf{E}[X]| \geq \varepsilon \mathbf{E}[X]] \leq \exp(-\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{E}[X]/3).$$

3.4 Hypertrees

By definition, an r -tree on n vertices is a hypergraph T with $n - r + 1 \geq 1$ edges such that there are orderings e_1, \dots, e_{n-r+1} of its edges and v_1, \dots, v_n of its vertices such that $e_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, and for all $i \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}$,

- (T1) $\{v_i\} = e_{i-r+1} \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j < i-r+1} e_j$, and
- (T2) there exists $j \in [i-1]$ such that $e_{i-r+1} \setminus \{v_i\} \subseteq e_j$.

It is clear that an ordering of the edges implies an ordering of the vertices and vice versa. Any such ordering will be called a *valid ordering*. If $j \in [i - 1]$ is the smallest index such that (T2) holds for e_i and v_i , then we call e_j the *parent* of e_{i-r+1} and e_{i-r+1} a *child* of e_j .

An *r-subtree* of T is an r -tree T' such that $T' \subseteq T$. For instance, e_1, \dots, e_j induces a r -subtree of T , for any $1 \leq j \leq n - r + 1$. A *rooted r-tree* is a pair (T, \mathbf{x}) where T is an r -tree and $\mathbf{x} \in \partial^\circ(T)$.

Definition 3.2 (Layering). A *layering* for a rooted r -tree (T, \mathbf{x}) , with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})$, is a tuple $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$ partitions $V(T)$, and

- (L1) $x_i \in L_i$ for all $i \in [r - 1]$, and $|L_1| = 1$,
- (L2) for each $v \in L_{i+1}$ with $1 \leq i < m$ there are $w \in L_i, e \in E(T)$ such that $\{v, w\} \subseteq e$,
- (L3) for each $e \in E(T)$, there is $j \in [m]$ such that $|e \cap L_i| = 1$ for each $j \leq i < j + r$.

We call the tuple $(T, \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})$ a *layered r-tree*.

We say that (T, \mathbf{x}) has a *trivial layering* $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ if $|L_i| = 1$ for each $i \in [m]$. Note that a layering (L_1, \dots, L_m) of (T, \mathbf{x}) yields an homomorphism mapping all of L_i to the i th vertex of a tight path. As an example, Figure 3.4 shows a rooted 3-tree together with a layering. All rooted r -trees have layerings:

Lemma 3.3 ([26, Lemma 5.4]). *Every rooted r-tree (T, \mathbf{x}) has a layering.*




Figure 1: On the left, a 3-tree T with valid ordering v_1, \dots, v_{12} . On the right, a table shows the layering $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_6)$ of T when it is rooted at $\mathbf{x} = (v_1, v_2)$.

Given a layered r -tree $(T, \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})$, with $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$, and given $\mathbf{s} \in \partial^\circ(T)$, we say $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r-1})$ is \mathcal{L} -layered if for some $j \in [m]$, we have $s_i \in L_{i+j-1}$ for each $i \in [r - 1]$. That is, \mathbf{s} meets $r - 1$ consecutive layers of \mathcal{L} . In that case we say that j is the *rank* of \mathbf{s} . Furthermore, the tree *induced by \mathbf{s}* is the r -subtree $T_{\mathbf{s}}$ of T spanned by $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} E_i$, where E_0 is the child of \mathbf{s} and E_{i+1} contains all children of edges in E_i . The induced subtree $(T_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{s})$ has a natural layering $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{s}}$, called *inherited layering*, which consists of the sets $L_j \cap V(T_{\mathbf{s}})$. We write $T - T_{\mathbf{s}}$ for the tree obtained from T by deleting all edges in $E(T_{\mathbf{s}})$, and all vertices in $V(T_{\mathbf{s}}) \setminus \mathbf{s}$.

Lemma 3.4 ([26, Lemma 5.12]). *Let $\Delta \geq r \geq 2$, and let $(T, \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})$ be a layered r -tree with $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ and $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$. Let $F \subseteq E(T)$ be the set of all edges meeting L_1 and let $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \partial^\circ(T)$ consist of all the \mathcal{L} -layered tuples whose unordered vertices are in $\{e \setminus L_1 : e \in F\}$. Then,*

- (i) *each $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}$ is \mathcal{L} -layered and has rank 2,*
- (ii) *$|F| = |\mathbf{S}| \leq \Delta$, and*
- (iii) *$E(T) = F \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}} E(T_{\mathbf{s}})$.*

With this at hand, we can find a small subtree of a given layered r -tree.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Delta \geq r \geq 2$ and let $1/n \ll \gamma, \Delta$. Let $(T, \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})$ be a layered r -tree on n vertices with $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$. Then there exists $\mathbf{x}' \in \partial^\circ(T)$ such that*

- (i) *\mathbf{x}' is \mathcal{L} -layered,*
- (ii) *$V(T') \cap V(T - T_{\mathbf{x}'}) = \mathbf{x}'$, and*
- (iii) *$\gamma n / (2\Delta) \leq |E(T_{\mathbf{x}'})| \leq \gamma n$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{x}' be an \mathcal{L} -layered tuple with highest rank such that $E(T_{\mathbf{x}'}) \geq \gamma n / (2\Delta)$. Because of Lemma 3.4 for $(T_{\mathbf{x}'}, \mathbf{x}', \mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{x}'})$, there exists a set $F \subseteq E(T_{\mathbf{x}'})$ and $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \partial^\circ(T_{\mathbf{x}'})$ with $|F| = |\mathbf{S}| \leq \Delta$ such that $E(T_{\mathbf{x}'}) = F \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}} E(E_{\mathbf{s}})$. Using the maximality of \mathbf{x}' and $1/n \ll \Delta, \gamma$, we have

$$|E(T_{\mathbf{x}'})| \leq \Delta + \Delta \cdot \frac{\gamma n}{2\Delta} \leq \gamma n. \quad \square$$

3.5 The clique r -graph

Let $r \geq k \geq 2$. Given a k -graph H , the r -clique r -graph $K_r(H)$ is the r -graph on $V(H)$ whose edges correspond to r -cliques of H . In particular, $K_k(H) = H$ and $\partial_k(K_r(H)) \subseteq H$. Similarly, $J \subseteq K_r(\partial_k(J))$ for any r -graph J .

Given $F \in K_{r-1}(H)$, write $\deg_r(F) = \deg_{K_r(H)}(F)$ for the number of r -cliques in H that contain F . We can bound $\deg_r(F)$ by discarding from $V(H) - F$ all vertices which do not form an edge in H with at least one of the $(k-1)$ -subsets of F , this gives

$$\deg_r(F) \geq n - (r-1) - \sum_{f \in \binom{V(F)}{k-1}} (n - (r-1) - \deg_H(f)). \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $j \geq k \geq 2$, $\alpha > 0$, and let H be a k -graph on $n \geq (j+1)^2 / \alpha$ vertices with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(j+1) + \alpha)n$. Then for any $C_1, C_2 \in K_j(H)$ with $|C_1 \cap C_2| = j-1$, there are at least αn vertices v such that $C_1 \cup \{v\}, C_2 \cup \{v\} \in K_{j+1}(H)$.*

Proof. We count the number of $(k-1)$ -sets completely contained in either C_1 or C_2 . Each of C_1, C_2 has $\binom{j}{k-1}$ such sets of which $\binom{j-1}{k-1}$ are shared, so by inclusion-exclusion and by Pascal's identity, the desired number is $2\binom{j}{k-1} - \binom{j-1}{k-1} = \binom{j}{k-1} + \binom{j-1}{k-2}$. So, the number of possible choices for v is at least

$$n - j - 1 - \left(\binom{j}{k-1} + \binom{j-1}{k-2} \right) (n - (1 - f_k(j+1) + \alpha)n) \geq \alpha n. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.7. *Let $r \geq k \geq 2$, $\alpha > 0$ and let H be a k -graph on $n \geq r^2/\alpha$ vertices with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha)n$. Then for any distinct $u, v \in V(H)$ there are at least $(\alpha n)^{r-1}$ cliques $C \in K_{r-1}(H)$ such that $C \cup \{u\}, C \cup \{v\} \in K_r(H)$.*

Proof. For $k-1 \leq j \leq r-1$, let Q_j be the number of j -sets of vertices X such that both $X \cup \{u\}$ and $X \cup \{v\}$ belong to $K_{j+1}(H)$. We will prove inductively that $Q_j \geq \alpha^j n^j$. For $j = k-1$, note that since $\delta(H) \geq n/2 + \alpha n$, for any $(j-1)$ -set $S \subseteq V(H) \setminus \{u, v\}$, the sets $S \cup \{u\}$ and $S \cup \{v\}$ have at least $2\alpha n$ common neighbours. This yields $Q_{k-1} \geq \alpha^{k-1} n^{k-1}$.

For the inductive step, let $k-1 \leq j < r-1$ and consider any $X \in K_j(H)$ with $X \cup \{u\}, X \cup \{v\} \in K_j(H)$. Use Lemma 3.6, with $C_1 = X \cup \{u\}$ and $C_2 = X \cup \{v\}$, to see that there are at least αn many vertices w such that both $X \cup \{u, w\}$ and $X \cup \{v, w\}$ belong to $K_{j+1}(H)$. So $Q_{j+1} \geq \alpha n Q_j \geq \alpha^j n^j$ (the second inequality holds by induction). \square

3.6 Reservoir

Given a k -graph H , a $(k-1)$ -set $f \in \partial_{k-1}(H)$, and a subset of vertices $W \subseteq V(H)$, we define $\deg_H(f, W) = |\{v \in W : f \cup \{v\} \in H\}|$. Given an edge $e \in H$, let $d_H^K(e)$ be the number of copies of $K^{(k)}(2)$ in H in which e participates, where $K^{(k)}(2)$ is the complete k -partite k -graph with parts of size 2.

Definition 3.8. Let $\gamma, \mu > 0$ and let H be a k -graph on n vertices. We say that $W \subseteq V(H)$ is a (γ, μ) -reservoir for H if

- (i) $|W| = (\gamma \pm \mu)n$,
- (ii) for every $f \in \partial_{k-1}(H)$ we have $\deg_H(f, W) \geq (\deg_H(f)/n - \mu)|W|$, and
- (iii) for every $e \in H$, we have $d_H^K(e, W) \geq (d_H^K(e)/(n-k) - \mu) \binom{|W|-k}{k}$.

The following lemma was proved in [26] using an standard probabilistic argument.

Lemma 3.9 ([26, Lemma 6.7]). *Let $1/n \ll \mu \ll \gamma \leq 1$. Then every r -graph H on n vertices has a (γ, μ) -reservoir.*

4 Connections

An ordered sequence (x_1, \dots, x_n) of vertices from a k -graph H is a *walk* if every k consecutive vertices form an edge. We often write a walk as the juxtaposition of its vertices, i.e. $x_1 \cdots x_n$. The *length* of a walk is the number of its edges counted with possible repetitions, e.g. $x_1 \cdots x_n$ has length $n - k + 1$.

For a walk $W = x_1 \cdots x_n$ in H , the *start of W* is $\text{sta}(W) := (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \partial^\circ(H)$, and the *end of W* is $\text{ter}(W) := (x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \partial^\circ(H)$. We also say that W *goes from $\text{sta}(W)$ to $\text{ter}(W)$* . The *interior* $\text{int}(W)$ of W is the set $V(W) \setminus (\text{sta}(W) \cup \text{ter}(W))$, and we say that W *has $|\text{int}(W)|$ internal vertices*. Note that $|\text{int}(W)| \leq n - 2k - 2$. If $\text{int}(W) \cap S = \emptyset$, we call W *internally disjoint from S* .

We say that H is (α, ℓ) -*connecting* if there exists $q \leq \ell$ such that for every $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \partial^\circ(H)$ there are at least $\alpha^q v(H)^q$ walks in $V(H) \setminus (\mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2)$ which go from \mathbf{v}_1 to \mathbf{v}_2 , each of length ℓ and with exactly q internal vertices.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $r \geq k \geq 2$, let $\ell \geq 4kr!$ and let $1/n \ll \alpha \ll 1/\ell$. If H is a k -graph on n vertices with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha)n$, then $K_r(H)$ is $(\alpha/2, \ell)$ -connecting.*

In order to prove Lemma 4.1, we will use the following lemma for which we need a quick definition. We say that a k -graph H is (m, U) -large, for $U \subseteq V(H)$, if any two $f, f' \in \partial_{k-1}(H)$ have at least m common neighbours in U . Note that the k -graph H from Lemma 4.1 is $(2\alpha n, V(H))$ -large.

Lemma 4.2 ([26, Lemma 7.1]). *For k, ℓ with $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq (2k+1)\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 2k$, there exists $q \leq \ell$ with the following property. Let $1/n \ll \gamma \ll 1/k, 1/\ell$. Let H be a k -graph on n vertices which is $(\gamma n, U)$ -large for some $U \subseteq V(H)$, and let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \partial^\circ(H)$. Then there are at least $(\gamma n/2)^q$ walks W , each of length ℓ and with q internal vertices, which go from \mathbf{v}_1 to \mathbf{v}_2 and are internally disjoint from $(V(H) \setminus U) \cup \mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We consider a slightly stronger definition of connectivity for this proof. Given $\alpha > 0$ and $\ell, q, x \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that H is (α, ℓ, q, x) -connecting if for every $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \partial^\circ(H)$ and every set $X \subseteq V(H)$ with $|X| \leq x$, there are at least $\alpha^q n(H)^q$ walks which go from \mathbf{v}_1 to \mathbf{v}_2 , each of length ℓ , with q internal vertices, and avoiding $\mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2 \cup X$.

In order to prove the lemma, we will prove the following:

$$\text{for all } i \text{ with } k \leq i \leq r, \text{ and for all } \ell \geq t \geq 4ki!, \text{ there exists } q \leq t \text{ such that the } i\text{-graph } K_i(H) \text{ is } (\alpha/2, t, q, 2\ell(r-i))\text{-connecting.} \quad (4.1)$$

We prove this by induction on i . Note that since $1/n \ll \alpha \ll 1/\ell, 1/k, 1/r$, the removal of any $2\ell(r-k)$ vertices X from a $(2\alpha n, V(H))$ -large graph results in a $(\alpha n, V(H) \setminus X)$ -large graph. Thus Lemma 4.2 proves the base case $i = k$.

Now suppose that $k < i \leq r$. Let $\ell_{i-1} = 4k(i-1)!$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $q_{i-1} \leq \ell_{i-1}$ such that $K_{i-1}(H)$ is $(\alpha/2, \ell_{i-1}, q_{i-1}, 2\ell(r-i+1))$ -connecting. Let $\ell_i = i(\ell_{i-1} - i + 1)$ and $q_i := q_{i-1} + \ell_{i-1} - i + 1$. We will start by showing that $K_i(H)$ is $(\alpha/2, \ell_i, q_i, 2\ell(r-i) + \ell)$ -connecting.

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \partial^\circ(K_i(H))$ and $X \subseteq V(K_i(H))$ with $|X| \leq 2\ell(r-i) + \ell$ be arbitrary. Now let $\mathbf{v}'_1 = (v_2, \dots, v_{i-1})$ and $\mathbf{v}'_2 = (u_1, \dots, u_{i-2})$ and $X' := X \cup \{v_1, u_{i-1}\}$, so $|X'| \leq |X| + 2 \leq 2\ell(r-i) + \ell + 2 \leq 2\ell(r-i+1)$. Since $K_{i-1}(H)$ is $(\alpha/2, \ell_{i-1}, q_{i-1}, 2\ell(r-i+1))$ -connecting, there are at least $(\alpha/2)^{q_{i-1}} n^{q_{i-1}}$ walks $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\ell_{i-1}+k-1})$ in $K_{i-1}(H)$ which go from \mathbf{v}'_1 and \mathbf{v}'_2 whose interior avoids $\mathbf{v}'_1 \cup \mathbf{v}'_2 \cup X' = \mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2 \cup X$. That is, $\text{sta}(W) = (v_2, \dots, v_{i-1})$, $\text{ter}(W) = (u_1, \dots, u_{i-2})$, and every $i-1$ consecutive vertices in W belong to $K_{i-1}(H)$. Also, each W uses exactly q_{i-1} internal vertices.

For each such W , and for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell_{i-1} - i + 2$, we apply Lemma 3.6 to $H - W$ to find at least $\alpha n/2$ vertices $x_j \in V(H) \setminus (V(W) \cup X)$ such that

$$\{x_j, w_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_{j+i-2}\}, \{x_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_{j+i-1}\} \in K_i(H). \quad (4.2)$$

Then the walk W' given by

$$w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i x_1 w_2 w_3 \cdots w_{i+1} x_2 w_3 w_4 \cdots w_{i+2} x_3 \cdots x_{\ell_{i-1}-i+1} w_{\ell_{i-1}-i+2} w_{\ell_{i-1}-i+4} \cdots w_{\ell_{i-1}}$$

is a walk in $K_i(H)$ that goes from \mathbf{v}_1 to \mathbf{v}_2 , has length $i(\ell_{i-1} - i + 1) = \ell_i$ and uses q_i internal vertices, and avoids $\mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2 \cup X$. As there are at least $(\alpha/2)^{q_{i-1}} n^{q_{i-1}}$ walks W to start with, and $\alpha n/2$ choices for each of the vertices x_j , we deduce that there are at least

$$(\alpha/2)^{q_{i-1}} n^{q_{i-1}} (\alpha n/2)^{\ell_{i-1}-i+1} = (\alpha/2)^{q_i} n^{q_i}$$

such walks W' . This proves that $K_i(H)$ is $(\alpha/2, \ell_i, q_i, 2\ell(r-i) + \ell)$ -connecting.

To finish the proof of (4.1), we need to find walks of any length t satisfying $\ell \geq t > \ell_i$ avoiding any given $X \subseteq V(H)$ of size at most $2\ell(r-i)$, for that we proceed as follows. Starting from any \mathbf{v}_1 , use the degree in $K_i(H)$ to greedily find the first $t - \ell_i$ vertices of a walk starting in \mathbf{v}_1 , and say those new vertices are X' . Clearly X' can be chosen in at least $(\alpha n/2)^{t-\ell_i}$ different ways. Next, since $|X \cup X'| \leq 2\ell(r-i) + (t - \ell_i) \leq 2\ell(r-i) + \ell$, we can finish the walks using that $K_i(H)$ is $(\alpha/2, \ell_i, q_i, 2\ell(r-i) + \ell)$ -connecting to avoid $X \cup X'$. \square

We now show how to connect vertex-disjoint ordered sets from the shadow of the r -clique graph of our host graph with tight paths (not just walks) in a controlled way.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $r \geq k \geq 2$, $\ell \geq 5kr!$ and $1/n \ll \alpha, 1/\ell \leq 1$. Let H be an n -vertex k -graph with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha)n$. Then for each $U \subseteq V(H)$ with $|U| \leq \alpha n/2$, and for each vertex-disjoint pair $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \partial^\circ(K_r(H-U))$, there is a tight path P of length ℓ in $K_r(H-U)$ with $\text{sta}(P) = \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\text{ter}(P) = \mathbf{v}_2$.*

For the proof of Lemma 4.3, we need some notation. Given $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq m$, and a layering $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ of a rooted r -tree (T, \mathbf{x}) , set $V_{[\ell_1, \ell_2]} := \bigcup_{\ell_1 \leq i \leq \ell_2} L_i$. If $|L_i| \leq M$ for each $\ell_1 \leq i \leq \ell_2$, we say $V_{[\ell_1, \ell_2]}$ is M -bounded. We write $\mathbf{y} \in \partial^\circ(T[V_{[\ell_1, \ell_2]}])$ if there is an index $j \in [\ell_1, \ell_2 - r + 1]$ such that $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \partial^\circ(T)$ with $y_i \in L_{j+i-1}$ for all $i \in [r-1]$. The following auxiliary result from [26] essentially says that whenever we can connect many tuples with many paths of length ℓ , we can also embed arbitrary r -trees which have ℓ layers using those tuples.

Lemma 4.4 ([26, Lemma 8.4]). *Let $1/n \ll 1/r, 1/q, 1/\ell, 1/M, \delta, \alpha$ and $2 \leq r \leq (\ell - 1)/2$. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ be a layering of a rooted r -tree (T, \mathbf{x}) , and assume $V_{[t, t+\ell]}$ is M -bounded. If $T_I \subseteq T[V_{[t, t+\ell]}]$, G is an r -graph on n vertices, $U \subseteq V(G)$ and $\mathbf{F}_1, \mathbf{F}_2 \subseteq \partial^\circ(G)$ are such that*

- (I) $|\mathbf{F}_1|, |\mathbf{F}_2| \geq \delta n^{r-1}$, and
- (II) for every $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \mathbf{F}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbf{F}_2$ there are at least αn^q many walks going from \mathbf{v}_1 to \mathbf{v}_2 , each of length $\ell - r + 1$, each with q internal vertices all from $U \setminus \mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2$,

then there exists an embedding $\phi : V(T_I) \rightarrow V(G)$ such that

- (i) $\phi(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{F}_1$ for each $\mathbf{v} \in \partial^\circ(T_I[V_{[t, t+r-2]}])$,
- (ii) $\phi(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{F}_2$ for each $\mathbf{v} \in \partial^\circ(T_I[V_{[t+\ell-r+2, t+\ell]}])$, and
- (iii) $\phi(v) \in U$ for each $v \in V_{[t+r-1, t+\ell-r+1]}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Choose constants $1/n \ll \mu \ll \delta \ll \alpha$. Set $H' = H - U$. Because of the minimum codegree in H , for every $f \in \partial_{k-1}(H')$ we have $\deg_{H'}(f) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha/2)n$. By using Lemma 3.6 we conclude that for all $f \in \partial_{r-1}(K_r(H'))$,

$$\deg_{K_r(H')}(f) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}|V(H')|. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $\mathbf{v}_1 = (v_1, \dots, v_{r-1})$. Use (4.3) iteratively $r - 1$ times, to find greedily a tuple (u_1, \dots, u_{r-1}) such that $\mathbf{v}_1 u_1 \cdots u_{r-1}$ is a tight path on $2r - 2$ vertices in $K_r(H')$. Since there were at least $\alpha|V(H')|/2 -$

$(r - 1) \geq \alpha|V(H')|/3$ choices for each u_i , there are at least $2\delta|V(H')|^{r-1}$ possible options for the tuple (u_1, \dots, u_{r-1}) . Let \mathbf{F}'_1 be the set of all those tuples and construct \mathbf{F}'_2 analogously.

Let $H'' = H \setminus (\mathbf{v}_1 \cup \mathbf{v}_2)$ and \mathbf{F}_1 to be the set of tuples of \mathbf{F}'_1 which survive in H'' , and similarly define \mathbf{F}_2 . Clearly $\deg_{K_r(H'')}(f) \geq \alpha|V(H'')|/3$ and $|\mathbf{F}_1|, |\mathbf{F}_2| \geq \delta|V(H'')|^{r-1}$. Because of Lemma 4.1, we know that $K_r(H'')$ is $(\alpha/6, \ell - 2r + 2)$ -connecting. In particular, there exists $q \leq \ell - 2r + 2$ such that for every choice of $\mathbf{v}'_i \in \mathbf{F}_i$ there are at least $(\alpha/6)^q V(H'')^q$ walks in $V(H'') \setminus (\mathbf{v}'_1 \cup \mathbf{v}'_2)$ which go from \mathbf{v}'_1 to \mathbf{v}'_2 and have length $\ell - 2r + 2$ and exactly q internal vertices. Thus we can use Lemma 4.4 with T being a tight path of length $\ell - 2r + 2$, which is clearly 1-bounded with the trivial layering with one vertex in each cluster. This gives a tight path of length $\ell - 2r + 2$ in $K_r(H'')$ which connects some $\mathbf{v}'_1 \in \mathbf{F}_1$ with some $\mathbf{v}'_2 \in \mathbf{F}_2$. In turn, this yields a tight path of length ℓ which goes from \mathbf{v}_1 to \mathbf{v}_2 and avoids U . \square

5 Uniformly dense clique matching

In this section we show a matching lemma which is required in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5.1 Matching lemma and outline of its proof

Given a k -graph G and disjoint subsets $V_1, \dots, V_k \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[V_1, \dots, V_k]$ be the k -partite graph with vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_k , having all edges of G that intersect each of the sets V_i exactly once. Let $e_G(V_1, \dots, V_k)$ denote the number of edges of $G[V_1, \dots, V_k]$. A k -partite k -graph G on sets V_1, \dots, V_k of the same size m is called (ε, d) -uniformly dense if for all choices of $W_i \subseteq V_i$ with $|W_i| = h \geq \varepsilon m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$e_G(W_1, \dots, W_k) \geq dh^k. \tag{5.1}$$

Let G be a k -graph, let $\varepsilon, d > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that G has an (ε, d) -uniformly dense perfect matching $\mathcal{M} = \{(V_1^i, \dots, V_k^i)\}_{i \in [t]}$ and we call sets $\{V_j^i\}_{j \in [k]}$ its edges if

- (i) $\{V_a^i\}_{a \in [k], i \in [t]}$ partitions $V(G)$,
- (ii) $|V_a^i| = |V_b^j|$ for all $a, b \in [k], i, j \in [t]$, and
- (iii) $G[V_1^i, \dots, V_k^i]$ is (ε, d) -uniformly dense for each $i \in [t]$.

The aim of this section is to show the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 (Matching lemma). *Let $r \geq k \geq 2$, let $1/n \ll 1/M \ll d \ll \varepsilon, \delta, \alpha, 1/r$, and let H be an n -vertex k -graph with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha)n$. Then H has a subgraph $H' \subseteq H$ with $|V(H')| \geq (1 - \delta)n$ such that $K_r(H')$ admits an (ε, d) -uniformly dense perfect matching with at most M edges.*

The proof can be summarised in the following three steps.

- (i) *Obtaining a regular partition.* An application of hypergraph regularity to H will yield a partition of H into few parts \mathcal{P} and a reduced k -graph R on vertex set \mathcal{P} , consisting on k -tuples of clusters which are both ‘dense’ and ‘regular’. Each clique on r vertices in R will correspond to a collection of r clusters which could form an edge in a uniformly dense cluster matching of H . Given this, the goal is to find a K_r^k -matching (for definitions see below) in R which is as large as possible.

- (ii) *Finding a large clique factor.* Our approach to find such a matching is to use the codegree tiling threshold for clique factors. More precisely, in this step we will verify that the codegree of H suffices to find a K_r^k -factor in H , that is, a K_r^k -matching which covers every vertex. Hence, if we could show that R inherits the relative codegree conditions of H (up to scaling and a small error), we would find the desired large K_r^k -matching in R and we would be done.
- (iii) *Avoiding the irregular edges.* However, an obstacle is that R usually will *not* inherit the codegree conditions of H due to the presence of ‘irregular’ edges, which constitute a k -graph I on $V(R)$. Since the union graph $R \cup I$ will inherit the codegree conditions of H , we can use tools from the previous step to find a clique factor in $R \cup I$. We would like to have the factor avoiding as much as possible of I , which can be done by defining an edge-colouring and introducing some restraints with respect to this edge-colouring.

Although the structure of the proof is simple, the use of hypergraph regularity requires long and technical definitions, whose use has however become quite standard. To avoid clutter here, we defer the technical details of step (i) to Appendix A, where the proof of Lemma 5.1 is given in its entirety. In what remains of this section we will prove step (ii) (in Lemma 5.2) and step (iii) (in Lemma 5.4), which constitute the novel and non-standard ingredients of the proof.

We also remark that (as pointed to us by a referee) the outcome of steps (ii)–(iii) can be achieved in an alternative way by considering the codegree threshold of *almost* perfect clique tilings. We decided to keep our original proof because we believe it to be novel and independently interesting, but we will sketch this alternative briefly in Remark 5.6.

5.2 Clique factors in hypergraphs

For k -graphs G and H , an H -matching in G is a set of vertex-disjoint copies of H in G , and an H -factor is a spanning H -matching. Clearly, if G has an H -factor, then $|V(H)|$ divides $|V(G)|$. Given $1 \leq d < k$ and an r -vertex k -graph H , the d -degree H -tiling threshold $t_d(H, n)$ is the minimum h such that every n -vertex k -graph G with $\delta_d(G) \geq h$ and n divisible by r has an H -factor. The asymptotic d -degree H -tiling threshold is $t_d(H) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_d(H, nr) / \binom{nr-d}{k-d}$.

For $r \geq k \geq 2$, K_r^k is the k -uniform clique on r vertices. The Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem [13] states that $t_1(K_r^2) = 1 - 1/r$. Estimates on $t_{k-1}(K_r^k)$ for $r \geq k \geq 3$ are given in the following lemma, which is the core ingredient to find the clique factor needed in step (ii) of our proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. *For all $r \geq k \geq 3$, we have $t_{k-1}(K_r^k) \leq 1 - f_k(r)$.*

We point out that better upper bounds on $t_{k-1}(K_r^k)$ are known by the work of Lo and Markström [23, Corollary 1.5], but unfortunately their results are stated only for specific combinations of r, k which do not cover all the cases $r \geq k \geq 3$ which we need.

For the proof of Lemma 5.2, we use the powerful framework for hypergraph matchings of Keevash and Mycroft [17] (see also Han [14] for a simplified proof).

We need the following standard concepts. The *down-closure* \mathcal{H} of a k -graph H is the usual downward closure of the edge set of H . More generally, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, an r -complex is a hypergraph J whose edges have size at most r , and whose edge set is closed under inclusion. For $0 \leq i \leq r$, let $J_i = \{e \in J : |E| = i\}$,

and for each i -edge $e \in J_i$, let $\deg_J(e) = |\{e' \in J_{i+1} : e \subseteq e'\}|$. The *minimum i -degree* $\delta_i(J)$ of J is the minimum of $\deg_J(e)$ taken over all i -edges $e \in J_i$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_t\}$ be a partition of the vertex set of a k -graph H . The *index vector* $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(S) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ of a set $S \subseteq V(H)$ has coordinates $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(S)_i = |S \cap V_i|$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. A vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ is a k -vector if its coordinates are nonnegative and their sum equals k . Moreover, if for some $\mu > 0$, at least $\mu|V|^k$ edges $e \in E(H)$ satisfy $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(e) = \mathbf{v}$, we call \mathbf{v} a μ -robust edge vector.

Let $L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu}(H)$ be the lattice generated by the set of all μ -robust edge-vectors. This lattice is *incomplete* if it does not contain all k -vectors in \mathbb{Z}^t . For $1 \leq j \leq t$, let \mathbf{u}_j be the j th unit vector, i.e. the vector which has a 1 in the j th coordinate and 0 otherwise. A *transferral* is the vector $\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

Theorem 5.3 ([17, Theorem 2.12]). *Let $1/n \ll \mu \ll \alpha, 1/r$ with r dividing n , and let J be an r -complex on n vertices with $\delta_i(J) \geq (1 - i/r + \alpha)n$ for each $0 \leq i < r$. Then one of the following is true:*

- (i) J_r contains a perfect matching, or
- (ii) J has a divisibility barrier, i.e. there is a partition \mathcal{P} of $V(J)$ into at most r parts, each of size at least $\delta_{r-1}(J) - \mu n$, such that $L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu}(J_r)$ is incomplete and transferral-free.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. It suffices to prove that, given any $\alpha > 0$, for n sufficiently large and divisible by r , any k -graph H on n vertices and $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + 3\alpha)n$ has a K_r^k -factor. We select $1/n \ll \mu \ll \alpha, 1/r$ according to the relations in Theorem 5.3. Given a k -graph H satisfying the degree conditions, set $J_r := K_r(H)$ and let J be the down-closure of J_r . Our aim is to find a perfect matching in J_r . To do so, we first estimate the degree sequence of J . By (3.1), we see that for each $k - 1 \leq i < r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i(J) &\geq n - i - \binom{i}{k-1}(n - i - \delta(H)) \geq n - i - \binom{i}{k-1}(n - i - (1 - f_k(r) + 3\alpha)n) \\ &\geq n - i - \binom{i}{k-1}(f_k(r) + 2\alpha)n \geq (1 - \binom{i}{k-1}f_k(r) + \alpha)n \geq \left(1 - \frac{i}{r} + \alpha\right)n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that

$$i\left(\binom{r-1}{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2}\right) \geq r\binom{i}{k-1},$$

which in turn is easy to check for $i = 0$ and $i = r - 1$ and thus holds for all $0 \leq i < r$ since $i/\binom{i}{k-1}$ is decreasing in $i > 0$. So the conditions of Theorem 5.3 are fulfilled.

Now, let \mathcal{P} be any partition of $V(J)$ into $d \leq r$ parts, each of size at least $\delta_{r-1}(J) - \mu n$, such that $L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu}(J_r)$ is incomplete (so in particular, $d \geq 2$). We only need to show that \mathcal{P} is not transferral-free. Then, by Theorem 5.3, J_r has a perfect matching, and we are done.

Corollary 3.7 shows that for distinct $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$, there are at least αn^{r-1} edges K in J_{r-1} such that $K \cup \{v_1\}$ and $K \cup \{v_2\}$ form edges in J_r , call these edges (v_1, v_2) -cliques. At most $c := \binom{r+d-2}{d-1}$ vectors in \mathbb{Z}^d are $(r - 1)$ -vectors, that is, vectors corresponding to the index vector of an $(r - 1)$ -set in $V(J)$. So by the pigeonhole principle, there is an $(r - 1)$ -vector $\mathbf{i}_{v_1, v_2} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(K) = \mathbf{i}_{v_1, v_2}$ for at least $\alpha c^{-1} n^{r-1}$ many (v_1, v_2) -cliques K . Since $|V_1|, |V_2| \geq \delta_{r-1}(J) - \mu n \geq n/k$, there are at least $(n/k)^2$ choices for $(v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$. By the pigeonhole principle, there is a set $F \subseteq V_1 \times V_2$ with $|F| \geq c^{-1}(n/k)^2$, and an $(r - 1)$ -vector $\mathbf{i}^* \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\mathbf{i}_{w_1, w_2} = \mathbf{i}^*$ for all $(w_1, w_2) \in F$. Hence there are at least $|F|\alpha c^{-1} n^{r-1}/n \geq \alpha n^r/(c^2 k^2) \geq \mu n^r$ edges in J_r with index vector $\mathbf{i}^* + \mathbf{u}_1$. Thus $\mathbf{i}^* + \mathbf{u}_1$ is a μ -robust edge vector. The same holds for $\mathbf{i}^* + \mathbf{u}_2$, and hence $(\mathbf{i}^* + \mathbf{u}_1) - (\mathbf{i}^* + \mathbf{u}_2) = \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu}(H)$. So $L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu}(H)$ is not transferral-free, which is what we needed to show. \square

5.3 Almost spanning factors which avoid bad edges

Now we carry out step (iii) of our proof of Lemma 5.1. This is given by the following lemma, which states that any k -graph G of d -degree slightly above the threshold guaranteeing an H -factor has an almost spanning H -matching which avoids any small set of ‘bad edges’ fixed beforehand.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $1/n \ll a \ll \gamma, 1/r, b$ and $1 \leq d < k \leq r$, let H be an r -vertex k -graph, let G be an n -vertex k -graph and let $I \subseteq G$. If $\delta_d(G) \geq (t_d(H) + \gamma) \binom{n-d}{k-d}$ and $|I| \leq an^k$, then $G \setminus I$ has an H -matching which covers all but at most bn vertices.*

To prove Lemma 5.4 we will use a result by Coulson, Keevash, Perarnau and Yepremyan [6]. Given an edge-colouring of a k -graph G , a subgraph $F \subseteq G$ is *rainbow* if all edges of F have different colours. An edge-colouring of a k -graph G on n vertices is μ -bounded if, for every colour α ,

- (i) at most μn^{k-1} edges use colour α , and
- (ii) for every S of $k-1$ vertices, at most μn edges of colour α contain S .

Theorem 5.5 ([6, Theorem 1.2]). *Let $1/n \ll \mu \ll \gamma, 1/r$ and $1 \leq d < k \leq r$ with n divisible by r , let H be a k -graph on r vertices, and let G be a k -graph on n vertices. If $\delta_d(G) \geq (t_d(H) + \gamma) \binom{n-d}{k-d}$, then any μ -bounded edge-colouring of G admits a rainbow H -factor.*

Proof of Lemma 5.4. Let μ be given by Theorem 5.5 for input γ, r . Set $a = \mu b / (28r)$, and let n be sufficiently large. Let G and H be given, as well as $I \subseteq G$ with $|I| \leq an^k$, as in the lemma. We need to show that $G \setminus I$ has an H -matching which covers all but at most bn vertices. First, remove at most $r-1$ arbitrarily chosen vertices from G to pass to a subgraph G' such that $|V(G')| = m$ is divisible by r . Set $I' = I \cap G'$ and note that $|I'| \leq an^k \leq 2am^k$.

We define an edge-colouring c of G' as follows. Each edge of I' gets a colour chosen independently and uniformly at random from a set C with $|C| = \lceil 14am/\mu \rceil$. Each edge of $G' \setminus I'$ gets a fresh and unique colour which is not in C .

We claim that, with non-zero probability, c is μ -bounded. Since each colour $\alpha \notin C$ is used for exactly one edge, we only need to check the properties for the remaining colours. Let $\alpha \in C$ and let $I_\alpha \subseteq I'$ be the edges of colour α . Then $\mathbf{E}[|I_\alpha|] = |I'|/|C| \leq 2am^k/|C| \leq \mu m^{k-1}/7$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1(i),

$$\Pr[|I_\alpha| \geq \mu m^{k-1}] \leq \exp(-\mu m^{k-1}) < \exp(-\mu(n-r)^{k-1}) < \frac{1}{2|C|}, \quad (5.2)$$

where the last inequality holds by the choice of n . Similarly, let $d_\alpha(S)$ be the number of edges of I_α containing S , for each $(k-1)$ -set S and $\alpha \in C$. Then we see that $\mathbf{E}[d_\alpha(S)] \leq m/|C| \leq \mu/(14a) \leq \mu m/7$, and therefore, by Lemma 3.1(i),

$$\Pr[d_\alpha(S) \geq \mu m] \leq \exp(-\mu m) < \frac{1}{2m^{k-1}|C|}. \quad (5.3)$$

Estimates (5.2) and (5.3), together with a union bound, show that with non-zero probability, c is μ -bounded, as claimed.

So we can assume c is μ -bounded. Apply Theorem 5.5 to see that G' has a rainbow H -factor F . Obtain F' from F by removing all copies of H that use a colour from C . Since F is rainbow, there are at most $|C|$ such copies, and thus F' covers all but at most $r|C| \leq 15arm/\mu \leq bm/2$ vertices from G' . In G , the number of uncovered vertices is at most $bm/2 + r \leq bn$, as required. \square

Remark 5.6. We finish by remarking that we can replace the use of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 with a different approach. Lo and Markström [22, Corollary 1.7] showed that for every $\varepsilon > 0, \alpha > 0$, and sufficiently large n , every n -vertex k -graph H with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - \binom{r-1}{k-1}^{-1} + \alpha)n$ admits a collection of vertex-disjoint copies of K_r^k which leave at most εn vertices uncovered. Then, to prove Lemma 5.4, one can follow the approach of Ferber and Kwan [11, Lemma 3.3]. Partition the vertex set of H randomly into n/Q pieces of size Q each, where Q is a large constant. It is possible to show (cf. [11, Lemma 3.4]) that for almost every set S in such a partition, the (relative) minimum codegree of $(H \setminus I)[S]$ is close to $\delta(H)$. So the result of Lo and Markström gives an almost-perfect matching in each $(H \setminus I)[S]$. The union of these matchings is an almost-perfect matching in $H \setminus I$.

6 Hypergraphs with bounded tree-width

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we will need to recall or adapt some facts about absorbers originally used in [26], then we combine this with the new tools developed in Sections 4–5.

6.1 Absorbing structures

We now introduce an absorption technique for hypergraphs which will allow us to complete an embedding of almost all of a spanning tree to a full embedding. We start by introducing our absorbing structure, after a quick definition. If H is an r -graph and $v \in V(H)$, write $H(v)$ for the $(r-1)$ -hypergraph consisting of all $f \in \partial_{r-1}(H)$ with $f \cup \{v\} \in H$ (usually called the *link-graph of H at v*).

Definition 6.1 (Absorbing X -tuple). Let $r \geq 3$ and let X be an $(r-1)$ -tree on $h \geq r-1$ vertices, with a fixed valid ordering x_1, \dots, x_h . For an r -tree T , we say that an $(h+1)$ -tuple (v_1, \dots, v_h, v^*) of vertices of T is an X -tuple if

- (i) $V(T(v^*)) = \{v_1, \dots, v_h\}$, and
- (ii) the map $x_i \mapsto v_i$ is a hypergraph isomorphism between X and $T(v^*)$.

Let H be an r -graph on n vertices and let $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V(H)$ be distinct. An *absorbing X -tuple for (v_1, \dots, v_r)* is an $(h+1)$ -tuple (u_1, \dots, u_h, u^*) of vertices of H such that

- (A) $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}, u^*\} \in H$, and
- (B) there exists a copy \tilde{X} of X on $\{u_1, \dots, u_h\}$ such that $\tilde{X} \subseteq H(v_r) \cap H(u^*)$.

We write $\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ for the set of absorbing X -tuples for (v_1, \dots, v_r) , and let Λ_X be the union of $\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ over all distinct $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V(H)$. For an embedding $\varphi: V(T) \rightarrow V(H)$ and $u_1, \dots, u_h, u^* \in V(H)$, we say that (u_1, \dots, u_h, u^*) is *X -covered by φ* if there exists an X -tuple (v_1, \dots, v_h, v^*) of vertices of T such that $\varphi(v^*) = u^*$ and $\varphi(v_i) = u_i$ for all $i \in [h]$.

We will need some auxiliary results from [26]. The first result allows us to complete a partial embedding of an r -tree to a full embedding of an r -tree, provided the partial embedding has sufficiently many X -covered absorbing tuples.

Lemma 6.2 ([26, Lemma 9.2]). *Let $n \geq h \geq r \geq 3$ and let $0 < \delta < \gamma$. Let T be an r -tree on n vertices with a valid ordering of $V(T)$ given by v_1, \dots, v_n , and let $T_0 = T[\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}]$ be an r -subtree of T on $n' \geq (1 - \delta)n$ vertices. Let K be an r -graph on n vertices, and suppose there are an embedding $\varphi_0 : V(T_0) \rightarrow V(K)$, an $(r - 1)$ -tree X on h vertices and a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Lambda_X$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *the tuples in \mathcal{A} are pairwise vertex-disjoint,*
- (ii) *every tuple in \mathcal{A} is X -covered by φ_0 , and*
- (iii) *$|\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r) \cap \mathcal{A}| \geq \gamma n$ for all tuples such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\} \in \partial_{r-1}(K)$ and $v_r \notin \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$.*

Then there exists an embedding of T in K .

The second result we need finds a suitable family of vertex-disjoint absorbing tuples.

Lemma 6.3 (Lemma 9.5 in [26]). *Let $1/n \ll \beta' \ll \beta, 1/r, 1/h$ with $h \geq r \geq 2$. Let K be an r -graph on n vertices and let X be an $(r - 1)$ -tree on h vertices. If $|\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r)| \geq \beta n^{h+1}$ for every r -tuple (v_1, \dots, v_r) of distinct vertices of K , then there is a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Lambda_X$ of at most $\beta' n$ pairwise disjoint $(h + 1)$ -tuples of vertices of K such that $|\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r) \cap \mathcal{A}| \geq \beta \beta' n / 8$ for every r -tuple (v_1, \dots, v_r) of distinct vertices of K .*

The third result we need shows that every r -tuple has many absorbing tuples in a suitable host graph H . The next lemma and its proof resembles that of Proposition 9.4 in [26], but requires some changes which we give for completeness.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $1/n \ll \beta \ll \alpha, 1/h, 1/k, 1/r$ with $h \geq r \geq k \geq 2$. Let H be an n -vertex k -graph with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha)n$, let X be an h -vertex $(r - 1)$ -tree, and let $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V(H)$ be distinct with $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\} \in K_{r-1}(H)$. Then $|\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r)| \geq \beta n^{h+1}$.*

Proof. Let $K = K_r(H)$. We construct an absorbing X -tuple for (v_1, \dots, v_r) in two steps. First, we select an arbitrary vertex $u^* \in N_K(\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}) \setminus \{v_r\}$. (By our condition on $\delta(H)$ and (3.1), there are at least αn choices for u^* .) Next, consider the $(r - 1)$ -graph $K' := K(v_r) \cap K(u^*)$. By Corollary 3.7, K' contains at least $(\alpha n)^{r-1}$ edges. Since X is an h -vertex $(r - 1)$ -tree, it is an $(r - 1)$ -partite $(r - 1)$ -graph. In particular, its Turán density is zero, and so we get many copies of X in K' using a supersaturation argument (see, e.g. [16, Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.2]). More precisely, and using $\beta \ll \alpha$, we have at least $2\beta n^h$ copies of X in K' , for any choice of u^* .

For each different choice of u^* and each different copy of X in $K(v_r) \cap K(u^*)$ we get a different gadget in $\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r)$. Thus, with the αn possible ways to choose u^* , this yields $|\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r)| \geq \beta n^{h+1}$. \square

6.2 Embedding trees

We need three more results from [26] that will help us with embedding T from Theorem 1.3 into $K_r(H)$.

The first lemma ensures that for each small r -tree T , there exists an $(r-1)$ -tree X such that T can be embedded while X -covering tuples in some host graph H . The lemma follows easily by concatenating Proposition 9.3 and Lemma 9.7 of [26].

Lemma 6.5. *Let $1/n \ll \beta \ll \nu \ll \gamma, 1/h' \ll 1/\Delta, r$ with $\Delta, r \geq 2$, and let $\ell \geq r$. Let T be an r -tree on νn vertices with $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$. Then there exists an $(r-1)$ -tree X on $h \leq h'$ vertices with the following property:*

If K is any (γ, ℓ) -connecting r -graph on n vertices, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Lambda_X$ consists of at most βn pairwise disjoint $(h+1)$ -tuples of vertices of K which are X -tuples; then for any $\mathbf{v} \in \partial^\circ(K)$ and $\mathbf{v}' \in \partial^\circ(T)$, there is an embedding $\phi : V(T) \rightarrow V(K)$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{v}') = \mathbf{v}$ and every tuple in \mathcal{A} is X -covered by ϕ .

Given an r -graph H on n vertices and $\theta > 0$, we say an edge $e \in H$ is θ -extensible if e lies in at least $\theta \binom{n-r}{r}$ copies of $K^{(r)}(2)$ in H . Most edges are extensible:

Lemma 6.6 ([26, Lemma 6.5]). *Let $1/n, \theta \ll \varepsilon, 1/r$. In any r -graph on n vertices, all but at most $\varepsilon \binom{n}{r}$ edges are θ -extensible.*

Finally, the following lemma shows that we can embed any almost-spanning bounded-degree r -tree in a connecting r -graph, given a reservoir and a uniformly dense perfect matching. We can also embed the root of such r -tree into a specified extensible edge.

Proposition 6.7 ([26, Proposition 10.2]). *Let $\Delta, r \geq 2$, let $\theta \ll 1/M$, and let $1/n \ll \mu \ll \theta \ll \varepsilon, \delta \ll \alpha, \gamma, 1/r, 1/\Delta$. Let $\ell \geq r$ and let K be a (γ, ℓ) -connecting r -graph on n vertices with a (δ, μ) -reservoir W . Let \mathcal{M} be an (ε, d) -uniformly dense perfect matching of $K - W$ with $|\mathcal{M}| \leq M$. If (T, \mathbf{x}) is a rooted r -tree with $|V(T)| \leq (1-\alpha)n$ and $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$, then K contains T . Moreover, for any θ -extensible edge e , any $\mathbf{v} \in \partial^\circ(K)$ with $\mathbf{v} \subseteq e$ can be chosen as the image of \mathbf{x} .*

We remark that in the statements corresponding to Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 6.7 which appear on [26], the hypothesis of being (γ, ℓ) -connecting is actually replaced by the stronger hypothesis of being $(\gamma n, W)$ -large. However, the proofs of the corresponding results only use the fact of being $(\gamma n, W)$ -large to ensure that every $(r-1)$ -set of host graph K has enough neighbours into the reservoir. Then we use Lemma 4.2 inside the reservoir in order to show that $K[W]$ is $(\gamma/2, \ell)$ connecting for $\ell \geq (2r+1)\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 2r$. After this is done the proofs do not need any other alteration, so indeed the statements as written here remain true.

6.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We can finally prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Given r, k, Δ, α as in the theorem, we choose

$$1/n_0 \ll \mu \ll \theta \ll 1/M_0 \ll \gamma, d \ll \varepsilon \ll \delta' \ll \delta \ll \alpha, 1/r, 1/\Delta.$$

We are given G, T and H . Since $G \subseteq \partial_k(T)$, in order to show that $G \subseteq H$ it is clearly enough to show that $T \subseteq K_r(H)$, which is our task from now on.

We begin by choosing the useful structures in the r -tree T . Choose an arbitrary root \mathbf{r} of T , and find a layering $(T, \mathbf{r}, \mathcal{L})$ using Lemma 3.3. Now, use Lemma 3.5 to find $\mathbf{x} \in \partial^\circ(T)$, and an r -subtree $T' = T_{\mathbf{x}}$ of T , such that $|T'| = \nu n$, where $\mu \ll \nu \ll \delta'$. Apply Lemma 6.5 to T' to obtain an $(r-1)$ -tree X with the properties in that statement and say X has h vertices.

Now we find useful structures in $K_r(H)$. We begin by finding the absorbing tuples. Use Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4 with suitable β, β' fulfilling $\delta \ll \beta' \ll \beta \ll \alpha$ to find a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Lambda_X$ of at most $\beta'n$ pairwise disjoint $(h+1)$ -tuples of vertices of $K_r(H)$ such that $|\Lambda_X(v_1, \dots, v_r) \cap \mathcal{A}| \geq \beta\beta'n/8 > \delta n$ for every r -tuple (v_1, \dots, v_r) of distinct vertices such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\} \in K_{r-1}(H)$.

Next, we find an extensible tuple and a reservoir in $K_r(H)$. Let $H' = H - V(\mathcal{A})$. Since $|V(\mathcal{A})| \leq (h+1)\beta'n$ and $\beta' \ll \alpha$, we have $\delta(H') \geq \delta(H) - \alpha n/100$. Next, we use Lemma 6.6 to find a θ -extensible edge e_0 in $K_r(H')$ and fix an $(r-1)$ -subset $f_0 \subseteq e_0$. By Lemma 3.9, $K_r(H')$ has a $(\gamma, \mu/2)$ -reservoir W' . Now let $W = W' \setminus f_0$ and $H'' = H - W$. Then $\mathcal{A}, e_0 \subseteq H''$, and W is a (γ, μ) -reservoir for $K_r(H')$. Note that $\delta(H'') \geq \delta(H) - \alpha n/50$.

Choose an r -tree T'' with $T' \subseteq T'' \subseteq T$ and $(1 - \delta/2)n \geq |T''| \geq (1 - \delta)n$ (this can be done by iteratively removing leaves from T). If there is an embedding $\varphi_0 : V(T'') \rightarrow V(K_r(H))$ such that every tuple in \mathcal{A} is X -covered by φ_0 , then Lemma 6.2 implies that $T \subseteq K_r(H)$, as desired. So it only remains to find φ_0 of this form.

Since $\delta(H'') \geq \delta(H) - \alpha n/50$, Lemma 4.1 implies that $K_r(H'')$ is $(\alpha/4, \ell)$ -connecting for some ℓ with $1/\ell \ll 1/k, 1/r$. The conditions required by Lemma 6.5 are met by T', X and $K_r(H'')$. So we obtain an embedding φ_1 of T' such that $\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) = e_0$, and such that every tuple in \mathcal{A} is X -covered by φ_1 . Set $H^* := H - \varphi_1(V(T') \setminus e_0)$. Note that $\delta(H^*) \geq \delta(H) - \alpha n/25$.

By Lemma 5.1, $H^* - W$ has a subgraph H'' with $|H''| \geq (1 - \delta')|H^* - W| \geq (1 - 2\delta')n$ such that $K_r(H'')$ admits an (ε, d) -uniformly dense matching \mathcal{M} with $|\mathcal{M}| \leq M_0$. By Proposition 6.7 and since $\delta' \ll \delta$, there is an embedding φ_2 of $T'' \setminus (T' - f_0)$ in $K_r(H^*)$ such that $\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{x})$. Together, φ_1 and φ_2 constitute the embedding φ_0 of T'' we were looking for. \square

7 Powers of tight Hamilton cycles

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We start with a definition.

Definition 7.1 (ν -path-absorbing). Let $r \geq k \geq 2$, let H be a k -graph, and let $v \in V(H)$. Call a $(2r-2)$ -tuple $(v_1, \dots, v_{2r-2}) \in (V(H) \setminus \{v\})^{2r-2}$ of distinct vertices ν -path-absorbing if both $v_1 \dots v_{2r-2}$ and $v_1 \dots v_{r-1} \nu v_r \dots v_{2r-2}$ consist of $(r-k+1)$ th powers of a tight Hamilton path.

We now show that in the situation of Theorem 1.1, there is always a set of pairwise disjoint tuples which are very useful for absorbing vertices.

Lemma 7.2. Let $1/n \ll \beta \ll \nu \ll \alpha, 1/r$ and $r \geq k \geq 2$, and let H be an n -vertex k -graph with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha)n$. Then there is a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (V(H))^{2r-2}$ of pairwise vertex-disjoint tuples such that $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \nu n$, and for each $v \in V(H)$ there are at least βn ν -path-absorbing tuples in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. For each $v \in V(H)$, let \mathcal{A}_v be the set of v -path-absorbing $(2r-2)$ -tuples. We start by showing that

$$\text{for each } v \in V(H), |\mathcal{A}_v| \geq (\alpha n)^{2r-2}. \quad (7.1)$$

Indeed, let $v \in V(H)$ be arbitrary. Initially, let v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k-1} be arbitrary distinct vertices, distinct from v as well. Having chosen v_2, \dots, v_j with $k-1 \leq j < r$, equation (3.1) shows that there are at least αn ways to choose v_{j+1} such that $\{v, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1}\} \in K_{j+1}(H)$. At the end of this process we have defined v_2, \dots, v_r such that $\{v_2, \dots, v_{r-1}, v, v_r\} \in K_r(H)$. Note that $\{v_2, \dots, v_{r-1}, v_r\}$ and $\{v_2, \dots, v_{r-1}, v\}$ belong to $K_{r-1}(H)$ and intersect in $r-2$ vertices. So, by Lemma 3.6, there are at least αn choices for a vertex v_1 such that both $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r-1}, v\}$ are in $K_r(H)$.

Next, suppose we have defined v_1, \dots, v_j for some $r \leq j < 2r-2$ such that both the sets $\{v_{j-r+1}, \dots, v_j\}$ and $\{v, v_{j-r+2}, \dots, v_j\}$ are in $K_r(H)$. Then the two $(r-1)$ -sets $\{v_{j-r+2}, v_{j-r+3}, \dots, v_j\}$ and $\{v, v_{j-r+3}, \dots, v_j\}$ are in $K_{r-1}(H)$ and they intersect in $r-2$ vertices. Lemma 3.6 then implies the existence of at least αn choices of v_{j+1} such that both $\{v_{j-r+2}, v_{j-r+3}, \dots, v_j, v_{j+1}\}$ and $\{v, v_{j-r+3}, \dots, v_j, v_{j+1}\}$ are edges in $K_r(H)$. At the end of this process we have found (v_1, \dots, v_{2r-2}) which is a v -path-absorbing tuple. Since there were at least αn choices for each v_i , we get (7.1).

Let $\beta \ll c \ll v$ and set $p = cn^{-2r+1}$. Consider $\mathcal{A}^\cup := \bigcup_{v \in V(H)} \mathcal{A}_v$. Construct a set $\mathcal{A}^p \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\cup$ by independently choosing each element of \mathcal{A}^\cup with probability p . Note that $\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{A}^p|] \leq cn$ and $\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{A}^p \cap \mathcal{A}_v|] \geq \alpha^{2r-2}cn$ for all $v \in V(H)$. So Lemma 3.1(i)–(ii), and the union bound, imply that

- (i) $\Pr[|\mathcal{A}^p| \geq 7cn] \leq \exp(-7cn) < 1/4$, and
- (ii) $\Pr[|\mathcal{A}^p \cap \mathcal{A}_v| \leq \alpha^{2r-2}cn/2 \text{ for some } v \in V(H)] \leq n \exp(-\alpha^{2r-2}cn/12) < 1/4$,

for n sufficiently large.

Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}^\cup)^2$ be the pairs of absorbing tuples in \mathcal{A}^\cup which share at least one vertex. There are clearly at most $(2r-2)n^{4r-5}$ such pairs in \mathcal{X} . Let $\mathcal{X}^p \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be the set of pairs such that both of which survived in \mathcal{A}^p . Clearly $\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{X}^p|] = |\mathcal{X}|p^2 \leq (2r-2)n^{4r-5}p^2 = (2r-2)c^2n$. By Markov's inequality, we have that

- (iii) $\Pr[|\mathcal{X}^p| \geq (4r-4)c^2n] \leq 1/2$.

Therefore, there exists a realisation \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{A}^p such that $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 7cn \leq vn$, $|\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_v| \geq \alpha^{2r-2}cn/2$ for all $v \in V(H)$, and $|\mathcal{X}^p| < (4r-4)c^2n$. By removing one tuple from each pair \mathcal{X}^p , we arrive at a collection of vertex-disjoint tuples $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\mathcal{A}'| \leq vn$ and, for each $v \in V(H)$, $|\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_v| \geq |\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_v| - |\mathcal{X}^p| \geq \beta n$, as required. \square

Lemma 7.3 (Absorbing lemma: power of cycles). *Let $1/n \ll 1/r$ and $2 \leq k \leq r$, let $0 < \delta < \beta$ and let $n' \geq (1-\delta)n$. Let X be the $(r-k+1)$ th power of a tight k -cycle on n' vertices, and let H be an n -vertex k -graph with $X \subseteq H$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq V(H)^{2r-2}$, and assume that*

- (i) *each tuple in \mathcal{A} is a segment of length $2r-2$ in X ,*
- (ii) *the elements of \mathcal{A} are pairwise vertex-disjoint, and*
- (iii) *for all $v \in V(H)$ there are at least βn v -path-absorbing tuples in \mathcal{A} .*

Then H contains the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight Hamilton cycle.

Proof. Write $V(H - X) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-n'}\}$. For $0 \leq i \leq n - n'$, we will inductively construct a graph X_i and a collection of vertex-disjoint tuples $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

- (i) X_i is a copy of $C_{k, n'+i}^{r-k+1}$,
- (ii) every tuple in \mathcal{A}_i is a segment of length $2r - 2$ in X_i , and
- (iii) for each $x \in \{x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-n'}\}$ there are at least $\beta n - i$ x -path-absorbing tuples in \mathcal{A}_i .

In the final step $i = n - n'$ this construction will give the desired $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight Hamilton cycle.

Set $X_0 = X$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$. Now, let $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ and assume we have found X_i and \mathcal{A}_i . Let $v_1, \dots, v_{n'+i}$ be an enumeration of $V(X_i)$. We construct X_{i+1} by adding x_{i+1} to X_i using an x_{i+1} -path-absorbing tuple. Because of (iii) for i , we know there are at least $\beta n - i \geq (\beta - \delta)n > 0$ suitable tuples in \mathcal{A}_i , and thus by (ii) for i , there is $j \in [n' + i]$ such that $\mathbf{v} = (v_{j+1}, \dots, v_{j+2r-2}) \in \mathcal{A}_i$ is an x_{i+1} -absorbing tuple. Set $\mathcal{A}_{i+1} = \mathcal{A}_i \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}$. Then $X_{i+1} = v_1 \dots v_j v_{j+r-1} x_{i+1} v_{j+r} \dots v_{j+2r-2} \dots v_{n'+i}$ is a copy of $C_{k, n'+i+1}^{r-k+1}$, which is as desired for (i), while (ii) and (iii) clearly hold by construction. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin by letting $\ell = 5kr!$ and choosing constants

$$1/n_0 \ll \mu \ll \gamma \ll \delta \ll \beta \ll \nu \ll \alpha/(r\ell)$$

The proof is divided into three main steps.

Step 1: Finding an absorbing path. Let $U \subseteq V(H)$ be an (γ, μ) -reservoir for $V(H)$ given by Lemma 3.9. Since $|U| \leq 2\gamma n$ and $\gamma \ll \alpha$, we may use Lemma 7.2 in $H \setminus U$ to find a family $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_t\} \subseteq (V(H) \setminus U)^{2r-2}$ of $t \leq \nu n$ vertex-disjoint $(2r - 2)$ -tuples such that for each vertex $v \in V(H)$ there are at least βn v -path-absorbing tuples in \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathbf{v}_i^1, \mathbf{v}_i^2 \in (V(H) \setminus U)^{r-1}$ with $\mathbf{v}_i = (\mathbf{v}_i^1, \mathbf{v}_i^2)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

We now construct in $H - U$ the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight path covering every tuple in \mathcal{A} by successively applying Lemma 4.3. For $1 \leq i < t$, let us suppose that we have constructed a tight path in $K_r(H)$ covering $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i$ such that for each $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$ there is a path P_j of length at most ℓ connecting \mathbf{v}_j^2 with \mathbf{v}_{j+1}^1 . Since we need to avoid U and the at most $kt\ell \ll \alpha n/4$ already used vertices, we may apply Lemma 4.3 to find a tight path P_i of length ℓ in $K_r(H - (U \cup V(P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_{i-1})))$ such that $\text{sta}(P_i) = \mathbf{v}_i^2$ and $\text{ter}(P_i) = \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^1$. Then $P = P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_t$ is the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight path covering each tuple of \mathcal{A} .

Step 2: Finding an almost spanning $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight cycle. Let $H' = H - (U \cup V(P))$ and note that $\delta(H') \geq \delta(H) - |U| - |V(P)| \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha/2)n$. Using Theorem 1.3, we can thus find in H' a spanning k -subgraph P' which is the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight path. Since every element in $\partial_{k-1}(H)$ has at least $(\delta(H)/n - \mu)|U| \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha/2)|U|$ neighbours in U , we can greedily find $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in U^{r-1}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_1 P \mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 P' \mathbf{w}_2$ are $(r - k + 1)$ th powers of tight paths. Since $\delta(H[U]) \geq (\delta(H)/n - \mu)|U| \geq (1 - f_k(r) + \alpha/2)|U|$, we can use Lemma 4.3 to find two disjoint paths

Q, Q' in $K_r(H[U])$ such that $\text{sta}(Q) = \mathbf{u}_1$ and $\text{ter}(Q) = \mathbf{u}_2$, and $\text{sta}(Q') = \mathbf{w}_1$ and $\text{ter}(Q') = \mathbf{w}_2$. Thus we have found the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight cycle of length $n' \geq n - |U| \geq (1 - \delta)n$.

Step 3: Finishing the embedding. In the previous step we constructed the $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a tight cycle on $n' \geq (1 - \delta)n$ vertices covering all tuples in \mathcal{A} . So Lemma 7.3 implies that H also contains a spanning $(r - k + 1)$ th power of a Hamilton tight cycle. \square

8 A variant of Theorem 1.3

In this short section, we show the following variant of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 8.1. *For any $r \geq k \geq 2$, $\Delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, any n -vertex k -graph G of tree-width less than r with $\text{tw}(G) < r$, and any n -vertex k -graph H with $\delta(H) \geq (1 - ((\binom{r'-1}{k-1} + \binom{r'-2}{k-2}))^{-1} + \alpha)n$, where $r' := 36(r+1)(k-1)\Delta$, we have $G \subseteq H$.*

For the proof, we will need a definition and a lemma. Given a graph G and a clique K_r on r vertices, the graph $G \boxtimes K_r$ is obtained from G by replacing every vertex by a clique on r vertices, and each edge is replaced by a complete bipartite graph between the two corresponding cliques. The following result was proven by Distel and Wood [7].

Lemma 8.2. *Let G be a graph of treewidth $t \geq 1$ with maximum degree at most Δ . Then there exists a tree T of degree at most 6Δ such that $G \subseteq T \boxtimes K_{18(t+1)\Delta}$.*

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Let G be an n -vertex k -graph with $\text{tw}(G) \leq r$ and $\Delta_1(G) \leq \Delta$. Then $\Delta(\partial_2(G)) \leq (k-1)\Delta$ and $\text{tw}(\partial_2(G)) = \text{tw}(G)$. Apply Lemma 8.2 to $\partial_2(G)$ to see that $\partial_2(G) \subseteq T \boxtimes K_{18(r+1)(k-1)\Delta}$ for some tree T of maximum degree at most $6(k-1)\Delta$. It is not overly hard to see that $T \boxtimes K_{18(r+1)(k-1)\Delta}$ (and therefore G) admits an $(r'+1)$ -tree T' with $r' < 36(r+1)(k-1)\Delta$ and $\Delta_1(T') \leq \Delta(T)18(r+1)(k-1)\Delta \leq 108(r+1)(k-1)^2\Delta^2$. Combined with Theorem 1.3 this yields a copy of G in the n -vertex k -graph H . \square

Appendix

A Proof of Lemma 5.1

In this Appendix we give the proof of Lemma 5.1. We start by introducing hypergraph regularity, which we use to find uniformly dense tuples (Proposition A.13), which in turn allows us to prove the lemma.

We follow the exposition by Allen, Böttcher, Cooley and Mycroft [1] and their ‘Regular Slice Lemma’, which is a convenient formulation of the hypergraph regularity machinery, previously developed by many authors [12, 29, 25].

Recall that the down-closure \mathcal{H} of a k -graph H was defined in Section 5.2. We say a set S of $k+1$ vertices is *supported on* \mathcal{H} if every k -set $S' \subseteq S$ is an edge of \mathcal{H} . Given an i -partite $(i-1)$ -graph H_{i-1} , observe that $K_i(H_{i-1})$ is an i -partite i -graph whose edges are all the i -sets supported on H_{i-1} .

Definition A.1. Let $i \geq 2$, let H_i be an i -partite i -graph and let H_{i-1} be an i -partite $(i-1)$ -graph on a common vertex set V . The *relative density of H_i with respect to H_{i-1}* is defined to be 0 if $|K(H_{i-1})| = 0$ and

$$d(H_i|H_{i-1}) = \frac{|K_i(H_{i-1}) \cap H_i|}{|K_i(H_{i-1})|}$$

otherwise. More generally, if $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_s)$ is a collection of not necessarily disjoint subgraphs of H_{i-1} , we define $K_i(\mathbf{Q}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^s K_i(Q_j)$. When this set is non empty, we define

$$d(H_i|\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{|K_i(\mathbf{Q}) \cap H_i|}{|K_i(\mathbf{Q})|},$$

and we set $d(H_i|\mathbf{Q}) = 0$ otherwise.

Definition A.2. Let $i \geq 2$, let H_i be an i -partite i -graph and let H_{i-1} be an i -partite $(i-1)$ -graph on a common vertex set V . We say that H_i is (d_i, ε, s) -regular with respect to H_{i-1} if $d(H_i|\mathbf{Q}) = d_i \pm \varepsilon$ for every s -set \mathbf{Q} of subgraphs of H_{i-1} such that $|K_i(\mathbf{Q})| > \varepsilon|K_i(H_{i-1})|$. In the case that $s = 1$, it will be referred simply as (d_i, ε) -regularity.

An i -graph G whose vertex set contains that of H_{i-1} is called (d_i, ε, s) -regular with respect to H_{i-1} if the i -partite subgraph of G induced by the vertex classes of H_{i-1} is (d_i, ε, s) -regular with respect to H_{i-1} . We call d_i the *relative density of G with respect to H_{i-1}* .

Recall that when \mathcal{J} is a k -complex, $\mathcal{J}_i = \{e \in \mathcal{J} : |e| = i\}$. If \mathcal{J} is \mathcal{P} -partite for some partition \mathcal{P} , we refer to \mathcal{P} as the *ground partition* of \mathcal{J} and to the parts of \mathcal{P} as the *clusters* of \mathcal{J} . When \mathcal{J} is r -partite with vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_r and $A \subseteq [r]$, we denote $V_A = \bigcup_{i \in A} V_i$.

Definition A.3. Let \mathcal{H} be an r -partite $(k-1)$ -complex on vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_r , where $r \geq k-1 \geq 2$. We say that \mathcal{H} is $(d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon)$ -regular if for any $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ and any $A \in \binom{[r]}{i}$, the induced subgraph $\mathcal{H}_i[V_A]$ is (d_i, ε) -regular with respect to $\mathcal{H}_{i-1}[V_A]$. For a $(k-1)$ -tuple $\mathbf{d} = (d_k, \dots, d_2)$ we write $(\mathbf{d}, \varepsilon)$ -regular to mean $(d_k, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon)$ -regular.

Definition A.4. We say that a $(k-1)$ -complex \mathcal{J} is (t_0, t_1, ε) -equitable if

- (i) \mathcal{J} has a ground partition into t parts of equal size, where $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$.
- (ii) There exists a density vector $\mathbf{d} = (d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2)$ such that for each $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ we have $d_i \geq \frac{1}{t_1}$, $\frac{1}{d_i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and the $(k-1)$ -complex \mathcal{J} is $(\mathbf{d}, \varepsilon)$ -regular.

We write $(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)$ -equitable if we do not need to state t_0, t_1 explicitly.

Definition A.5. Let \mathcal{J} be a partite $(k-1)$ -complex, let X be a k -set of clusters of \mathcal{J} , and let G be a k -graph on $V(\mathcal{J})$. We say that G is (ε_k, s) -regular with respect to X in \mathcal{J} if there exists some d such that G is (d, ε_k, s) -regular with respect to $\mathcal{J}_{k-1}[V_X]$. We also write $d_{\mathcal{J}}^*(X)$ for the relative density of G with respect to $\mathcal{J}_{k-1}[V_X]$, or simply $d^*(X)$ when \mathcal{J} is clear from the context.

Define the *weighted reduced k -graph $R_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$* as the complete weighted k -graph whose vertices are the clusters of \mathcal{J} and where each edge X has weight $d^*(X)$. When \mathcal{J} is clear from the context we often simply write $R(G)$ instead of $R_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$.

Definition A.6. Given $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_k > 0, s, t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, a k -graph G and a $(k-1)$ -complex \mathcal{J} on $V(G)$, we call \mathcal{J} a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular slice for G if \mathcal{J} is (t_0, t_1, ε) -equitable and G is (ε_k, s) -regular with respect to all but at most $\varepsilon_k \binom{t}{k}$ of the k -sets of clusters in \mathcal{J} , where t is the number of clusters of \mathcal{J} .

Definition A.7. Let G be a k -graph on n vertices. Given a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size $k-1$, the *relative codegree* $\overline{\deg}(S; G)$ of S with respect to G is defined to be

$$\overline{\deg}(S; G) = \frac{|\{e \in G : S \subseteq e\}|}{n - k + 1}.$$

We extend the definition to weighted graphs G by replacing $|\{e \in G : S \subseteq e\}|$ with the sum of the weights of the edges containing S . Moreover, if \mathcal{S} is a collection of $(k-1)$ -sets in $V(G)$ then $\overline{\deg}(\mathcal{S}; G)$ is defined to be the average of $\overline{\deg}(S; G)$ over all sets $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Lemma A.8 (Regular Slice Lemma [1, Lemma 10]). *Let $k \geq 3$ be a fixed integer. For all $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$ and all functions $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, 1]$, there are integers t_1 and n_0 such that the following holds for all $n \geq n_0$ which are divisible by $t_1!$.*

Let V be a set of size n and suppose that G is a k -graph on V . Then there exists a $(k-1)$ -complex \mathcal{J} on V which is a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon(t_1), \varepsilon_k, s(t_1))$ -regular slice for G , and for each set Y of $k-1$ clusters of \mathcal{J} , we have

$$|\overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) - \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1}[V_Y]; G)| < \varepsilon_k.$$

Definition A.9. Let G be a k -graph and let \mathcal{J} be a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular slice for G . We define two k -graphs $R_d(G)$ and $I(G)$ whose vertex set is the clusters of \mathcal{J} . For $d > 0$, the d -reduced k -graph $R_d(G)$ is the k -graph whose edges are all the k -sets X of clusters of \mathcal{J} such that G is (ε_k, s) -regular with respect to X and $d^*(X) \geq d$. The *irregularity k -graph* $I(G)$ is the k -graph whose edges are all the k -sets X of clusters of \mathcal{J} such that G is not (ε_k, s) -regular.

Note that $R_d(G)$ and $I(G)$ are edge-disjoint k -graphs on the same vertex set. The next lemma states that for regular slices \mathcal{J} from the Regular Slice Lemma, the codegree of G is almost preserved in $R_d(G) \cup I(G)$.

Lemma A.10 ([1, Lemma 12]). *Let G be a k -graph and let \mathcal{J} be a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular slice for G . For any set Y of $k-1$ clusters of \mathcal{J} we have*

$$\overline{\deg}(Y; R_d(G) \cup I(G)) \geq \overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) - d. \tag{A.1}$$

Note that if \mathcal{J} is a partite $(d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon)$ -regular $(k-1)$ -complex and G is supported on \mathcal{J}_{k-1} , then $\mathcal{J} \cup G$ is a k -complex which is partite with the same partition of \mathcal{J} . If for all k -sets X of \mathcal{J} , G is (ε_k, s) -regular with respect to X and $d_{\mathcal{J}}^*(X) \geq d_k$, then we say that $\mathcal{J} \cup G$ is a $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular complex.

Lemma A.11 (Counting Lemma [1, Lemma 27]). *Let k, r, s, m be positive integers, and let $d_2, \dots, d_k, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, \beta$ be positive constants such that $1/d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ and*

$$\frac{1}{m} \ll \frac{1}{s}, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_k \ll \beta, \frac{1}{r}.$$

Let K_r^k be a k -uniform clique with vertex set $[r]$, let \mathcal{J} be an r -partite $(k-1)$ -complex with r clusters V_1, \dots, V_r , each of size m , and also let G be a k -graph which is supported on \mathcal{J}_{k-1} . Suppose $G \cup \mathcal{J}$ is a $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular complex. Then the number of copies of K_r^k in G such that $i \in V_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ is at least

$$(1 - \beta) \left(\prod_{i=2}^k d_i^{(r)} \right) m^r. \tag{A.2}$$

Lemma A.12 (Regular Restriction Lemma [1, Lemma 28]). Suppose k, r, s, m are positive integers and $\zeta, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_k > 0$ are such that

$$\frac{1}{m} \ll \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_k \ll \zeta, \frac{1}{k}.$$

Let \mathcal{J} be an r -partite $(k-1)$ -complex whose vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_r each have size m , let G be a k -graph supported on \mathcal{J}_{k-1} , and suppose that $G \cup \mathcal{J}$ is a $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular complex. Let $V'_i \subseteq V_i$ be such that $|V'_i| = |V'_j| \geq \zeta m$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, and let $\mathcal{J}' \cup G' = (\mathcal{J} \cup G)[V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_r]$ be the induced subcomplex. Then $\mathcal{J}' \cup G'$ is a $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}, s)$ -regular complex.

Proposition A.13. Suppose k, r, m, s, t_0, t_1 are positive integers and $\zeta, \rho, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_k > 0$ are such that

$$\frac{1}{m} \ll \frac{1}{s}, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_{k-1}, \quad \varepsilon_k \ll \zeta, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{r}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \ll d_2, \dots, d_k, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{r}$$

Let G be a k -graph, and let \mathcal{J} be a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular slice for G . Let V_1, \dots, V_r be r clusters of \mathcal{J} , each of size m , which form a copy of K_r^k in $R_{d_k}(G)$. Then $H[V_1, \dots, V_r]$ is (ζ, ρ) -uniformly dense, where $H = K_r(G)$.

Proof. We need to show that $H[V_1, \dots, V_r]$ is (ζ, ρ) -uniformly dense, which is equivalent to the following: for each $m' \geq \zeta m$, and each choice of $W_1, \dots, W_r \subseteq V(G)$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $|W_i| = m'$ and $W_i \subseteq V_i$, we need

$$e_H(W_1, \dots, W_r) \geq \rho (m')^r. \tag{A.3}$$

From now on, fix $m' \geq \zeta m$ and sets W_1, \dots, W_r as before, and let us check (A.3) holds for these choices.

Let \mathcal{J}^r, G^r be the restrictions of \mathcal{J} and G , respectively, to the clusters V_1, \dots, V_r . Thus \mathcal{J}^r is an r -partite $(k-1)$ -complex with r vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_r , each of size m , and G^r is supported on \mathcal{J}_{k-1}^r . Since the clusters V_1, \dots, V_r form a clique K_r^k in $R_{d_k}(G)$, we deduce that $\mathcal{J}^r \cup G^r$ is a $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, s)$ -regular complex. Now, let $\mathcal{J}' \cup G' = (\mathcal{J}^r \cup G^r)[W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_r]$ be the induced subcomplex. Since $m' \geq \zeta m$, by Lemma A.12 we deduce that $\mathcal{J}' \cup G'$ is a $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}, s)$ -regular complex.

Now, apply Lemma A.11 with

object/parameter	k	s	r	m'	d_i	$\sqrt{\varepsilon}$	$\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}$	$1/2$	\mathcal{J}'	G'	W_i
playing the role of	k	s	r	m	d_i	ε	ε_k	β	\mathcal{J}	G	V_i

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. This yields that the number of copies of K_r^k (with vertices labelled by $1, \dots, r$) in G' such that $i \in W_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ is at least

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=2}^k d_i^{(r)} \right] (m')^r \geq \rho (m')^r,$$

where the last inequality follows from the choice of ρ . Since $G' \subseteq G$, and each K_r^k of this form corresponds to an edge in $H[W_1, \dots, W_r]$, we deduce that $e_H(W_1, \dots, W_r) \geq \rho(m')^r$. This shows (A.3) and finishes the proof. \square

With these tools at hand we can finally show Lemma 5.1, using the sketch outlined at the beginning of Section 5.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We begin by setting the necessary constants and functions. We are given $\alpha, \delta, \varepsilon, k, r$. Let n_1, a_1 correspond to the values of n, a obtained from Lemma 5.4 with inputs $\alpha/2, r, \delta/2$ in place of γ, r, b . Define $d_k = \alpha/8$ and $t_0 = n_1$, and let ε_1 be small enough so that Proposition A.13 holds with k, r, ε_1 and ε in place of k, r, ε_k and ζ , respectively. Let $\varepsilon_k = \min\{\varepsilon_1, a_1/2, \alpha/8\}$. Choose functions $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that, for all $t \geq 0$, Proposition A.13 is valid with $s(t), \varepsilon(t), \varepsilon_k$ in place of $s, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k$, and $1/t$ in place of d_2, \dots, d_{k-1} . Let t_1 and n_0 be given by Lemma A.8 with the already-chosen $k, t_0, \varepsilon_k, s, \varepsilon$. Let ρ be such that Proposition A.13 is true with $1/t_1$ playing the role of d_2, \dots, d_{k-1} and k, r, d_k as chosen before; and let $d = \rho$. Let m be such that Proposition A.13 is true with $s(t_1), \varepsilon(t_1)$ in place of s, ε . Finally, let $n \geq \max\{n_0 + t_1!, 2\delta^{-1}t_1!, 8\alpha^{-1}t_1!, mt_1 + t_1!\}$.

Now, suppose H is as in the statement of the lemma. Our task is to show that there exists $H' \subseteq H$ with $|V(H')| \geq (1 - \delta)n$ such that $K_r(H')$ admits an (ε, d) -uniformly dense matching.

Remove an arbitrary set $V_0 \subseteq V(H)$ of size less than $t_1!$ to obtain a subgraph $H'' \subseteq H$ whose number of vertices is divisible by $t_1!$. Note that the number of vertices of H'' is at least n_0 , and that $\delta(H'') \geq \delta(H) - \alpha n/8$. This allows us to apply Lemma A.8 to H'' to obtain a $(k-1)$ -complex \mathcal{J} on $V(H'')$ such that \mathcal{J} is a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon(t_1), \varepsilon, s(t_1))$ -regular slice for H'' , and for each set Y of $k-1$ clusters of \mathcal{J} , we have

$$|\overline{\deg}(Y; R(H'')) - \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1}[V_Y]; H'')| < \varepsilon_k \tag{A.4}$$

Let $R = R_d(H'')$ and $I = I(H'')$ be the d_k -reduced k -graph and the irregularity k -graph of H'' with respect to \mathcal{J} , respectively. If Y an arbitrary $(k-1)$ -tuple of clusters of \mathcal{J} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\deg}(Y; R \cup I) &\stackrel{(A.1)}{\geq} \overline{\deg}(Y; R(H'')) - d_k \stackrel{(A.4)}{\geq} \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1}[V_Y]; H'') - \varepsilon_k - d_k \\ &\stackrel{d_k, \varepsilon_k \leq \alpha/8}{\geq} \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1}[V_Y]; H'') - \frac{\alpha}{4} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\binom{r-1}{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2}} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 5.2}}{\geq} t(K_r^k) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where the second-to-last inequality follows from $\delta(H'') \geq \delta(H) - \alpha n/8$. If t is the number of clusters of \mathcal{J} , this implies that $\delta(R \cup I) \geq (t(K_r^k) + \alpha/2) \binom{t}{k}$. Moreover, since \mathcal{J} is a regular slice, we have $|I| \leq \varepsilon_k t^k \leq a_1 t^k$. By the choice of a_1 and $t \geq t_0 \geq n_1$, Lemma 5.4 implies that R contains a K_r^k -matching \mathcal{K} covering all but at most $\delta t/2$ vertices of R . The choice of n and $t \leq t_1$ implies that each cluster of \mathcal{J} has size at least m . Since $\rho = d$ and by the choice of ε , Proposition A.13 implies that each copy of K_r^k in \mathcal{K} yields an r -tuple of equal-sized clusters (V_1, \dots, V_r) which form an (ε, d) -uniformly dense tuple in $K_r(H'')$ (and thus also in $K_r(H)$). Thus, if $V(\mathcal{K})$ is the set of vertices in clusters covered by \mathcal{K} , we deduce that $H[V(\mathcal{K})]$ has a (ε, d) -uniformly dense matching.

To finish the proof, set $H' = H[V(\mathcal{K})]$. Then we have

$$|V(H')| \geq |V(H'')| - \frac{\delta}{2}tm = n - |V_0| - \frac{\delta}{2}|V(H')| \geq (1 - \delta)n,$$

where the first inequality follows because \mathcal{K} covers all but $\delta t/2$ clusters of \mathcal{J} and each cluster has size $m = |V(H')|/t$, and later we used that $|V_0| < t_1! \leq \delta n/2$. \square

References

- [1] P. Allen, J. Boettcher, O. Cooley, and R. Mycroft, “Tight cycles and regular slices in dense hypergraphs,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 149, pp. 30–100, 2017. doi: [10.1016/j.jcta.2017.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2017.01.003). 22, 24, 25
- [2] W. Bedenknecht and C. Reiher, “Squares of Hamiltonian cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs”, *Random Structures Algorithms*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 339–372, doi: [10.1002/rsa.20876](https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.20876). 2, 3, 4
- [3] H. L. Bodlaender, “A partial k -arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth”, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 209, no. 1-2, pp. 1–45, doi: [10.1016/S0304-3975\(97\)00228-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(97)00228-4). 3
- [4] J. Böttcher, K. P. Pruessmann, A. Taraz, and A. Würfl, “Bandwidth, expansion, treewidth, separators and universality for bounded-degree graphs”, *European J. Combin.*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1217–1227, 2010, doi: [10.1016/j.ejc.2009.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2009.10.010). 3
- [5] J. Böttcher, M. Schacht, and A. Taraz, “Proof of the bandwidth conjecture of Bollobás and Komlós”, *Math. Ann.*, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 175–205, 2009, doi: [10.1007/s00208-008-0268-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-008-0268-6). 3, 4
- [6] M. Coulson, P. Keevash, G. Perarnau, and L. Yepremyan, “Rainbow factors in hypergraphs”, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 172, pp. 105184, 2020, doi: [10.1016/j.jcta.2019.105184](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2019.105184) 15
- [7] M. Distel and D. R. Wood, “Tree-Partitions with Bounded Degree Trees”, *arXiv:2210.12577*, 2022. 22
- [8] G. A. Dirac, “Some theorems on abstract graphs”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. 2, pp. 69–81, 1952, doi: [10.1112/plms/s3-2.1.69](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-2.1.69). 1
- [9] G. Ding and B. Oporowski, “Some results on tree decomposition of graphs”, *J. Graph Theory*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 481–499, 1995, doi: [10.1002/jgt.3190200412](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190200412). 4
- [10] P. Erdős, “Problem 9”, *Theory of Graphs and its Applications (Proc. Sympos. Smolenice, 1963)*, vol. 13, pp. 85–90, 1964, *Publ. House Czechoslovak Acad. Sci., Prague*. 2
- [11] A. Ferber and M. Kwan, “Dirac-type theorems in random hypergraphs”, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, vol. 155, pp. 318–357, 2022, doi: [10.1016/j.jctb.2022.02.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2022.02.009). 16
- [12] W. T. Gowers, “Hypergraph regularity and the multidimensional Szemerédi theorem”, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 166, no. 3, pp. 897–946, 2007, doi: [10.4007/annals.2007.166.897](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2007.166.897). 22

- [13] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, “Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős”, *Combinatorial theory and its applications, II (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969)*, pp. 6001-623, 1970. [13](#)
- [14] J. Han, “On perfect matchings in k -complexes”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2020, doi:[10.1093/imrn/rnz343](#). [13](#)
- [15] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Rucinski, “Random graphs”, *Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization*, 2000, doi:[10.1002/9781118032718](#). [6](#)
- [16] P. Keevash, “Hypergraph Turán problems”, *Surveys in Combinatorics 2011*, vol. 392, pp. 83–139, 2011, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge*. [17](#)
- [17] P. Keevash and R. Mycroft, “A geometric theory for hypergraph matching”, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 233, no. 1098, pp. vi+95, 2015, doi:[10.1090/memo/1098](#). [4](#), [5](#), [13](#), [14](#)
- [18] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, “Proof of a packing conjecture of Bollobás”, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 241–255, 1995, doi: [10.1017/S0963548300001620](#). [3](#), [4](#)
- [19] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, “Proof of the Seymour conjecture for large graphs”, *Ann. Comb.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 43-60, 1998, doi:[10.1007/BF01626028](#) [2](#), [4](#)
- [20] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, “On the Pósa-Seymour conjecture”, *J. Graph Theory*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 167–176, 1998, doi:[10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0118\(199811\)29:3<167::AID-JGT4>3.0.CO;2-O](#). [2](#)
- [21] R. Lang and N. Sanhueza-Matamala, “Minimum degree conditions for tight Hamilton cycles”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, vol. 105, no. 4, pp. 2249–2323, 2022, doi:[10.1112/jlms.12561](#). [2](#)
- [22] A. Lo and K. Markström, “A multipartite version of the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for graphs and hypergraphs”, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 97–111, 2013, doi:[10.1017/S096354831200048X](#). [16](#)
- [23] A. Lo and K. Markström, “ F -factors in hypergraphs via absorption”, *Graphs Combin.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 679–712, 2015, doi:[10.1007/s00373-014-1410-8](#). [4](#), [13](#)
- [24] A. Lo and Y. Zhao, “Codegree Turán density of complete r -uniform hypergraphs”, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 1154–1158, 2018, doi:[10.1137/18M1163956](#). [4](#)
- [25] B. Nagle, V. Rödl, and M. Schacht, “The counting lemma for regular k -uniform hypergraphs”, *Random Structures Algorithms*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 113–179, 2006, doi:[10.1002/rsa.20117](#). [22](#)
- [26] M. Pavez-Signé, N. Sanhueza-Matamala, and M. Stein, “Dirac-type conditions for spanning bounded-degree hypertrees”, *arXiv:2012.09824*, 2020. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [16](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [27] O. Pikhurko, “Perfect matchings and K_4^3 -tilings in hypergraphs of large codegree”, *Graphs Combin.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 391–401, 2008, doi:[10.1007/s00373-008-0787-7](#). [4](#)

- [28] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi, “An approximate Dirac-type theorem for k -uniform hypergraphs”, *Combinatorica*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 229–260, 2008, doi:[10.1007/s00493-008-2295-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00493-008-2295-z). [2](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [29] V. Rödl and J. Skokan, “Regularity lemma for k -uniform hypergraphs”, *Random Structures Algorithms*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–42, 2004, doi:[10.1002/rsa.20017](https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.20017). [22](#)
- [30] P. D. Seymour, “Problem Section, Problem 3, Combinatorics”, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. (Proc. British Combinatorial Conf., Univ. Coll. Wales, Aberystwyth, 1973)*, pp. 201–202, 1974. [2](#)

AUTHORS

Matías Pavez-Signé
University of Warwick
Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
matias.pavez-signe@warwick.ac.uk
<https://sites.google.com/view/mpsigne/home>

Nicolás Sanhueza-Matamala
Universidad de Concepción
Concepción, Chile
nsanhuezam@udec.cl
<https://sanhueza.net/nicolas/>

Maya Stein
Universidad de Chile
Santiago, Chile
mstein@dim.uchile.cl
<https://www.dim.uchile.cl/~mstein/>