2-limited broadcast domination on grid graphs

Aaron Slobodin^{a,1,*}, Gary MacGillivray^{a,2}, Wendy Myrvold^{b,2}

^a University of Victoria, Mathematics and Statistics P.O. BOX 1700 STN CSC, Victoria, B.C. V8W 2Y2, Canada ^b University of Victoria, Computer Science P.O. BOX 1700 STN CSC, Victoria, B.C. V8W 2Y2, Canada

Abstract

We establish upper and lower bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of various grid graphs, in particular the Cartesian product of two paths, a path and a cycle, and two cycles. The upper bounds are derived by explicit constructions. The lower bounds are obtained via linear programming duality by finding lower bounds for the fractional 2-limited multipacking numbers of these graphs.

Keywords: Graph Theory, Domination, Broadcast Domination, Limited Broadcast Domination, Multipacking, Limited Multipacking

1. Introduction

Consider a city partitioned into neighbourhoods, each of which has a communication tower capable of transmitting a radio broadcast of some non-negative strength. Given this premise, a natural question is: how can we design a broadcast scheme such that broadcasts are heard by each neighbourhood while reducing the number of communication towers transmitting? The discrete version of this question leads to broadcast domination, which was introduced by Erwin in 2001 [7] (also see [8]).

In a k-limited broadcast, each vertex v of a graph G is assigned a broadcast strength $f(v) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$, where $k \leq \operatorname{rad}(G)$ and $\operatorname{rad}(G)$ is the radius of G. We say that a vertex u hears the broadcast from v if $d(u, v) \leq f(v)$, where d(x, v) is the distance between x and v in G. A broadcast f is dominating if each vertex of G hears the broadcast from some vertex. The cost of a broadcast f is $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$. The k-limited broadcast domination number $\gamma_{b,k}(G)$ of G is the minimum cost of a k-limited dominating broadcast. The k-limited broadcast domination number can also be formulated as an integer linear program (ILP). Let G be a graph and fix $1 \leq k \leq \operatorname{rad}(G)$. For each vertex $i \in V(G)$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, let $x_{i,\ell} = 1$ if vertex i is broadcast ig at strength ℓ and 0 otherwise. The k-limited broadcast domination number of a graph G is the cost of an optimal solution to ILP 1.

The k-limited broadcast domination number of a graph was first mentioned, although not explored, in [7]. Given a tree T on n vertices, the best possible upper bound $\gamma_{b,k}(T) \leq \lceil n(k+2)/3(k+1) \rceil$ is shown

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: aslobodin@uvic.ca (Aaron Slobodin), gmacgill@uvic.ca (Gary MacGillivray), wendym@cs.uvic.ca (Wendy Myrvold)

¹Supported by CGS-D funding from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research of Council of Canada (NSERC). ²Supported by funding from NSERC.

in [2]. It gives a bound for all graphs via spanning trees. However, these bounds are likely very far from the truth for arbitrary graphs. Specific to 2-limited broadcast domination, Yang proved that if G is a cubic graph on n vertices with no 4-cycles or 6-cycles, then $\gamma_{b,2}(G) \leq n/3$ [21].

For each fixed positive integer k, the problem of deciding whether there exists a k-limited dominating broadcast of cost at most a given integer B is NP-complete [2]. There are polynomial time algorithms to compute $\gamma_{b,k}(G)$ for strongly chordal graphs, interval graphs, circular arc graphs, and proper interval bigraphs [21].

The k-limited broadcast domination problem is a restriction of the broadcast domination problem (which was also introduced in [7]). The broadcast domination number of a graph can be obtained from ILP 1 by setting k = rad(G). The broadcast domination number of a graph with n vertices can be found in $O(n^6)$ time [14]. There exists improved algorithms for trees [4, 5], interval graphs [3], and strongly chordal graphs [20, 22]. A survey of results on broadcast domination can be found in [15].

1.1. k-Limited Multipacking

The dual of the linear programming (LP) relaxation of ILP 1 leads to fractional k-limited multipacking. For each vertex $i \in V(G)$, define a variable y_i . The fractional k-limited multipacking packing number $mp_{f,k}(G)$ of a graph G is the cost of an optimal solution to LP 2.

Maximize: $\sum_{i \in V(G)} y_i$

Subject to: (1) $\sum_{i \in V(G) \text{ s.t. } d(i,j) \le \ell} y_i \le \ell, \text{ for each vertex } j \in V(G) \text{ and } \ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \text{ and } (\text{LP } 2)$

(2) $y_i \ge 0$, for each vertex $i \in V(G)$.

The multipacking number mp(G) of a graph G is the cost of an optimal solution to LP 2 when interpreted as a 0-1 ILP and setting k = rad(G). This problem was introduced in Teshima's Master's thesis [17] in 2012 (also see [19]). The complexity of deciding whether a given graph G has a multipacking number at least a given integer B remains an open problem. There is a (2 + O(1)) approximation algorithm for this decision problem on undirected graphs [1], and there are polynomial time algorithms for trees [17, 18, 22] and strongly chordal graphs [20, 22]. For further information, see [15].

The cost of an optimal solution of LP 2, when interpreted as a 0-1 ILP, is the *k*-limited multipacking number of a graph. The *k*-limited multipacking problem is a restriction of the multipacking problem. Relatively little is known about *k*-limited multipackings on graphs. For each fixed integer k, the problem of deciding whether a given graph G has a *k*-limited multipacking number at least a given integer B is NP-complete [21]. There is a polynomial time algorithm for the *k*-limited multipacking number for strongly chordal graphs [22].

1.2. Outline

After a sequence of many papers, the 1-limited broadcast domination number (i.e. the domination number) of the Cartesian product of two paths was finally determined (in [13]). This paper focuses on extending this work to determine bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of the Cartesian product of two paths, a path and a cycle, and two cycles. Section 2 introduces the method of constructing 2-limited dominating broadcasts by "tiling" the graph with "broadcast tiles." Sections 3, 4, and 5 summarize the tiling results from Chapters 2, 3 and 4 of [16] which give 2-limited dominating broadcasts on the Cartesian products of two paths, a path and a cycle, and two cycles. Section 6 utilizes *fractional 2-limited multipacking* to establish lower bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination numbers of the Cartesian product of two paths, a path and a cycle. Section 7 includes suggested questions for future research.

2. The Tiling Method for establishing Upper Bounds

While we are able to exactly compute the 2-limited broadcast domination number of $P_m \Box P_n$, $P_m \Box C_n$, or $C_m \Box C_n$ for many values of m and n, we have yet to find a general formula. Thus, we establish upper bounds. This section describes the method of "tiling" which we use in [16] to construct 2-limited dominating broadcasts on these graphs. Our description uses examples specific to $P_4 \Box P_n$. Results for all $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge m$ are stated subsequently in Sections 3, 4, and 5.

Figure 1 depicts broadcast tiles B, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 , and B_5 which can be used to construct 2-limited dominating broadcasts on $P_4 \Box P_n$ for all $n \ge 4$. The thick blue border indicates the border of each tile. The



Figure 1: Tiles used in constructions of 2-limited dominating broadcasts on $P_4 \Box P_n$.

black circles with a black inner fill indicate vertices on each tile broadcasting at a non-zero strength. In this example, B has two vertices broadcasting at strength one (as found in the top most corners) and one vertex broadcasting at strength two (as found in the lower middle row of the center column). The thick red dotted lines indicate the broadcast ranges of the broadcasting vertices at their centers. Thick green circles with a white inner fill indicate vertices on each tile which do not hear a broadcast within the tile. Thick orange circles with an opaque orange inner fill indicate vertices exterior to the tile which can hear broadcasts from vertices on the tile.

Given the tile B_4 , $\overline{B_4}$ denotes flipping B_4 about the horizontal axis, B_4^{\dagger} indicates flipping B_4 about the vertical axis, and $\overline{B_4}^{\dagger}$ indicates flipping B_4 about the horizontal and the vertical axes. The four possible states of B_4 are shown in Figure 2 (Four left most tiles).



Figure 2: (Four left most tiles) Possible states of tile B_4 . (Right) $B_4^{\dagger}\overline{B}$ given the tile B_4 .

Given two tiles T_1 and T_2 , the sequence T_1T_2 denotes placing the right side of T_1 beside the left side of T_2 such that the vertices along the right border of T_1 and the left border of T_2 are at distance one from one another. Figure 2 (Right) depicts $B_4^{\dagger}\overline{B_4}$. Observe that, in Figure 2 (Right), the undominated vertex in the upper right corner of B_4^{\dagger} hears the broadcast from the vertex in the upper left corner of $\overline{B_4}$. Similarly, in Figure 2 (Right), the undominated vertex in the bottom left corner of $\overline{B_4}$ hears the broadcast from the vertex in the bottom right corner of B_4^{\dagger} . As all vertices of $P_4 \Box P_6$ in Figure 2 (Right) hear a broadcast under the tiling $B_4^{\dagger}\overline{B_4}$, the sequence $B_4^{\dagger}\overline{B_4}$ gives a 2-limited dominating broadcast scheme on $P_4 \Box P_6$ of cost six. Therefore, $\gamma_{b,2} (P_4 \Box P_6) \leq 6$.

As an example of our method in Section 6, we construct a valid 2-limited fractional multipacking on $P_4 \Box P_6$. For each vertex $i \in V(P_4 \Box P_6)$, define the variable y_i . Define the fractional 2-limited multipacking on $P_4 \Box P_6$ by $y_i = 1/3$ for all $i \in V(P_4 \Box P_6)$ such that i is on the boundary of $P_4 \Box P_6$ and $y_i = 0$ otherwise. This assignment satisfies the constraints of LP 2 with k = 2. Thus, $16/3 \leq mp_{f,2}(P_4 \Box P_6)$. By the duality

theorem of linear programming, $mp_{f,2}(P_4 \Box P_6) \leq \gamma_{b,2}(P_4 \Box P_6)$. As $\gamma_{b,2}(P_4 \Box P_6)$ is an integer, it follows that $6 \leq \gamma_{b,2}(P_4 \Box P_6)$. Thus, $\gamma_{b,2}(P_4 \Box P_6) = 6$.

For each least residue of n modulo 10, Table 1 contains the associated sequences of broadcast tiles from Figure 1 which give a 2-limited dominating broadcast on $P_4 \Box P_n$. The costs of the constructions in Table 1 establish Proposition 1. The costs of these constructions are easily calculated from the costs of the individual broadcast tiles in Figure 1. The values of Proposition 1 are optimal for all $n \leq 99$ (as found by computation).

	Construction	Cost
$n \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-10}{10}} BB_4$	$8\left(\frac{n-10}{10}\right) + 9 = 8\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) + 1$
$n \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-11}{10}} BB_3$	$8\left(\frac{n-11}{10}\right) + 10 = 8\left(\frac{n-1}{10}\right) + 2$
$n \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-12}{10}} BB_5$	$8\left(\frac{n-12}{10}\right) + 11 = 8\left(\frac{n-2}{10}\right) + 3$
$n \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-3}{10}} \overline{B_2}$	$8\left(\frac{n-3}{10}\right) + 4$
$n \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-4}{10}} \overline{B_1}^{ }$	$8\left(\frac{n-4}{10}\right) + 4$
$n \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-5}{10}} \overline{B_4}$	$8\left(\frac{n-5}{10}\right) + 5$
$n \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-6}{10}} \overline{B_3}$	$8\left(\frac{n-6}{10}\right) + 6$
$n \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-7}{10}} \overline{B_5}$	$8\left(\frac{n-7}{10}\right) + 7$
$n \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-8}{10}} BB_2$	$8\left(\frac{n-8}{10}\right) + 8$
$n \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$:	$B_1 \left[B\overline{B} \right]^{\frac{n-9}{10}} BB_1^{ }$	$8\left(\frac{n-9}{10}\right) + 8$

Table 1: Constructions of 2-limited dominating broadcasts on $P_4 \Box P_n$ using the tiles from Figure 1.

Proposition 1. For $n \ge 4$, $\gamma_{b,2}(P_4 \Box P_n) \le 8 \lfloor \frac{n}{10} \rfloor + d(n_{10})$ where n_{10} is the least residue of n modulo 10 and $d(n_{10})$ is given in Table 2.

n_{10}	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$d(n_{10})$	1	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	8	8

Fable 2: Values of $d($	(n_{10}) in	the upper bound	of $\gamma_{b,2}$ ($(P_4 \Box P_n)$) for $n \ge 4$.
-------------------------	---------------	-----------------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

Tilings are used in Sections 3, 4, and 5 to establish upper bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number on all $P_m \Box P_n$, $P_m \Box C_n$, and $C_m \Box C_n$ grid graphs, respectively. This paper does not include the broadcast tiles to prove these bounds as, when combined, they span over 100 pages. For each stated theorem, we include the section(s) of [16] which contain the appropriate broadcast tiles. Most broadcast tiles of [16] were identified by hand by examining known optimal solutions, as found by computation, and looking for recurring patterns. This manual process was greatly facilitated by automatically creating PDF visuals of known optimal solutions using a Python script (written by Slobodin).

3. Upper Bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$

In this section, we establish upper bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of the Cartesian product of two paths. Section 3.1 states upper bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 12$ and all $n \ge m$. These results are established using the tiling method described in Section 2. For $m, n \ge 13$, Section 3.2 describes a general construction on $P_m \Box P_n$ derived from a 2-limited dominating broadcast on the Cartesian plane.

3.1. $P_{2 \le m \le 12} \Box P_{n \ge m}$

The tilings used to establish Theorem 1 are in [16, Sections 2.2 through 2.12].

Theorem 1. Fix $2 \le m \le 12$ and $n \ge m$. Let x be the value in Table 3 dependent upon m and define n_x as the least residue of n modulo x. By construction,

$$\gamma_{b,2} \left(P_m \Box P_n \right) \le b(m) + c(m, n, n_x)$$

where b(m) corresponds with the O(n) terms in Table 4 and $c(m, n, n_x)$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 19 (see Section 8).

Table 3: Value of x in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 12$ and $n \ge m$.

m	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
b(m)	$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$	$\left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$	$8 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor$	n	$18 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{16} \right\rfloor$	$18 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \right\rfloor$	$32\left\lfloor\frac{n}{22}\right\rfloor$	$16 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor$	$32\left\lfloor\frac{n}{18}\right\rfloor$	$50\left\lfloor\frac{n}{26}\right\rfloor$	$50\left\lfloor\frac{n}{24}\right\rfloor$

Table 4: Value of b(m) in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 12$ and $n \ge m$.

3.2. $P_{m\geq 13}\Box P_{n\geq 13}$

This section provides upper bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}$ $(P_m \Box P_n)$ for $m, n \ge 13$ by modifying 2-limited dominating broadcasts on the Cartesian plane. Our expectation is that this method will achieve good upper bounds as, for very large m and n, there likely exists a 2-limited dominating broadcast on $P_m \Box P_n$ which, for much of the graph, resembles this 2-limited dominating broadcast on the Cartesian plane. This method was inspired by the work on the distance domination number of grids in [9].

3.2.1. 2-limited dominating broadcasts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Let $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ denote the integer lattice. Let $G_{m,n}$ and $Y_{m+4,n+4}$ denote $P_m \Box P_n$ and $P_{m+4} \Box P_{n+4}$, respectively, embedded in \mathbb{Z}^2 with their bottom left corners at (0,0) and (-2,-2), respectively. That is, let

$$V(G_{m,n}) = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le i \le n-1 \text{ and } 0 \le j \le m-1\} \text{ and } V(Y_{m+4,n+4}) = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -2 \le i \le n+1 \text{ and } -2 \le j \le m+1\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{13} = \{0, 1, \dots, 12\}$. Define the function $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ by

$$(i, j) \mapsto 3i + 2j \pmod{13}$$
.

Fix $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. The set given by

$$\phi^{-1}(\ell) = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 3i + 2j \equiv \ell \pmod{13}\}$$

defines the vertices of \mathbb{Z}^2 which, if broadcasting at strength two, give a 2-limited dominating broadcast on \mathbb{Z}^2 [6, Lemma V.7]. Figure 3 depicts $G_{13,13}$, $Y_{17,17}$, and the broadcast vertices and their neighbourhoods given by $\phi^{-1}(8)$. The thick blue and green lines indicate the borders of $G_{13,13}$ and $Y_{17,17}$, respectively. The light blue fill and green inner fill indicate the interiors of $G_{13,13}$ and $Y_{17,17}$, respectively. The thick black lines indicate the axes of \mathbb{Z}^2 . To see that the broadcast set in Figure 3 is given by $\phi^{-1}(8)$ observe, for instance, that (2, 1) is one such broadcaster and

$$\phi(2,1) = 3(2) + 2(1) = 8 \equiv 8 \pmod{13} \Rightarrow (5,1) \in \phi^{-1}(8).$$

Prior to constructing 2-limited dominating broadcasts on $P_m \Box P_n$ for all $m, n \ge 13$ from 2-limited dominating broadcasts on \mathbb{Z}^2 , we include Example 1 to provide an introduction to our methodology.

Example 1. Suppose we wish to find a 2-limited dominating broadcast on $G_{13,13}$. Overlay one of the 13 possible 2-limited dominating broadcasts of \mathbb{Z}^2 given by $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. The broadcast vertices on $Y_{17,17}$ dominate the vertices of $G_{13,13}$. Figure 3 (Left) depicts $G_{13,13}$, $Y_{17,17}$, and the overlay of $\phi^{-1}(8)$ where the thick purple circles indicate the broadcast vertices on $Y_{17,17}$ but not $G_{13,13}$.



Figure 3: (Left) $G_{13,13}, Y_{17,17}$ and 2-limited dominating broadcast on \mathbb{Z}^2 defined by $\phi^{-1}(8)$. (Right) Resulting 2-limited dominating broadcast on $G_{13,13}$ given $\phi^{-1}(8)$.

Moving each of the broadcast vertices exterior to $G_{13,13}$ and on $Y_{17,17}$ to the nearest point on the border of $G_{13,13}$ and reducing their broadcast strength to one produces a 2-limited dominating broadcast on $G_{13,13}$. Figure 3 (Right) depicts the resulting 2-limited dominating broadcast on $G_{13,13}$ given ϕ^{-1} (8) which establishes $\gamma_{b,2} (P_{13} \Box P_{13}) \leq 35$. Note that, although this bound is not tight as $\gamma_{b,2} (P_{13} \Box P_{13}) = 32$ (as determined by computation), it is also not too far from the truth. As m and n get large, we show that the ratio of this upper bound and the lower bound of $\gamma_{b,2} (P_m \Box P_n)$ given by Theorem 11 approaches one.

Example 1 illustrates that, given some fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ and $m, n \geq 13$, if there are x and y vertices broadcasting at non-zero strength under $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ on $G_{m,n}$ and $Y_{m+4,n+4}$, respectively, then there is a 2limited dominating broadcast on $P_m \Box P_n$ of cost 2x + (y - x). Our general construction, as described in the subsequent section, uses the same approach as Example 1 but uses a choice of $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ which gives a best possible construction (in terms of cost).

3.2.2. General Constructions

This section proves Theorem 2 which, with Theorem 1, establishes upper bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ for all $m, n \geq 2$. The proof of Theorem 2 uses Lemma 1 from [9], stated as follows.

Lemma 1. [9, Lemma 3.2] Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. If either *m* or *n* is a multiple of 13, then for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ then

$$\left|\phi^{-1}\left(\ell\right)\cap V(G_{m,n})\right|=\frac{mn}{13}$$

Theorem 2. If $m, n \ge 13$ then

$$\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n) \le 2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right) + 4\left(\frac{m+n}{13}\right) + \frac{c(m_{13}, n_{13})}{13}$$

where $c(m_{13}, n_{13})$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 5 where m_{13} and n_{13} are the least residues of m and n modulo 13, respectively.

					Lea	ast res	idue r	n_{13} of	$n \mod n$	odulo	13			
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	0	0	9	5	1	10	6	2	11	7	16	12	8	4
Q	1	9	16	10	4	11	5	12	6	0	7	1	8	2
du	2	5	10	2	-6	-1	-9	-4	-12	6	11	3	8	0
mo	3	1	4	-6	10	0	3	6	-4	12	2	5	8	-2
[m	4	10	11	-1	0	-12	2	3	-9	5	6	-6	8	-4
of	5	6	5	-9	3	2	-12	0	-1	11	10	-4	8	-6
13	6	2	12	-4	6	3	0	10	-6	4	1	-2	8	5
ш	7	11	6	-12	-4	-9	-1	-6	2	10	5	0	8	3
lue	8	7	0	6	12	5	11	4	10	16	9	2	8	1
sid	9	16	7	11	2	6	10	1	5	9	0	4	8	12
re:	10	12	1	3	5	-6	-4	-2	0	2	4	6	8	10
ast	11	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Le	12	4	2	0	-2	-4	-6	5	3	1	12	10	8	6

Table 5: Value of $c(m_{13}, n_{13})$ for the upper bound for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ stated in Theorem 2.

PROOF. Let $G_{m,n}$, $Y_{m+4,n+4}$, \mathbb{Z}^2 , \mathbb{Z}_{13} , and ϕ be defined as in Section 3.2.1. Fix some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. As $Y_{m+4,n+4}$ is the distance two neighbourhood of $G_{m,n}$, the set of vertices

$$V(Y_{m+4,n+4}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)$$

if broadcasting at strength two, dominate the vertices of $G_{m,n}$. Let

$$B_2 = V(G_{m,n}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)$$
 and $B_1 = \phi^{-1}(\ell) \cap (V(Y_{m+4,n+4}) \setminus V(G_{m,n}))$

For each vertex $v \in B_1$ whose broadcast is heard by a vertex in $G_{m,n}$, let v' be the vertex of $G_{m,n}$ with minimum distance to v. Note that this choice of v' is unique and that v' necessarily hears a broadcast from v. The vertices dominated in $G_{m,n}$ by a broadcast of strength two at v are a subset of the vertices dominated in $G_{m,n}$ by a broadcast of strength one at v'. Define the set

$$B'_{1} = \left\{ v' \in V(G_{m,n}) \mid \begin{array}{c} v' \text{ is the vertex of } G_{m,n} \text{ which hears a broadcast from} \\ \text{and is at minimum distance to } v \text{ for some } v \in B_{1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Informally, B'_1 is the resulting collection of vertices formed by moving each vertex in B_1 (whose broadcast is heard by a vertex in $G_{m,n}$) to the nearest vertex in $G_{m,n}$. Each vertex in the plane hears only one broadcast under $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$ [6, Lemma V.7], hence each $v' \in B'_1$ hears only the broadcast from some $v \in B_1$. Therefore, $v' \notin B_2$ and $B_2 \cap B'_1 = \emptyset$. The broadcast $f: V(G_{m,n}) \mapsto \{0, 1, 2\}$ defined by

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } v \in B_2, \\ 1 & \text{if } v \in B'_1, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a 2-limited dominating broadcast of $G_{m,n}$. The cost of f is

$$2|B_2| + |B'_1| \le \left| V(G_{m,n}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell) \right| + \left| V(Y_{m+4,n+4}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell) \right|.$$

Note that equality holds in the above expression if and only if the broadcast from each vertex $v \in B_1$ is heard by some vertex $v' \in V(G_{m,n})$. Given this construction, it follows that

$$\gamma_{b,2} \left(P_m \Box P_n \right) \le \min_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}} \left\{ \left| V(G_{m,n}) \cap \phi^{-1} \left(\ell \right) \right| + \left| V(Y_{m+4,n+4}) \cap \phi^{-1} \left(\ell \right) \right| \right\}.$$

For a fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $|V(G_{m,n}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|$ is computed as follows. Let m_{13} and n_{13} be the least residue of m and n modulo 13, respectively. Partition $V(G_{m,n})$ into the following subsets:

$$G_1 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le i \le n - 1 - n_{13} \text{ and } 0 \le j \le m - 1\},\$$

$$G_2 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n - n_{13} \le i \le n - 1 \text{ and } 0 \le j \le m - 1 - m_{13}\}, \text{ and }$$

$$G_3 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n - n_{13} \le i \le n - 1 \text{ and } m - m_{13} \le j \le m - 1\}.$$

Figure 4 (Left) depicts $G_{16,18}$ partitioned into G_1, G_2 , and G_3 .



Figure 4: (Left) $G_{16,18}$ partitioned into G_1, G_2 , and G_3 . (Right) $G_{16,18}$ partitioned into G_1, G_2 , and G_3 overlaid by $Y_{20,22}$ partitioned into Y_1, Y_2 , and Y_3 .

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$,

$$|V(G_{m,n}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| = |G_1 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| + |G_2 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| + |G_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|.$$

By Lemma 1,

$$|G_1 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| = \frac{m(n-n_{13})}{13}$$
 and $|G_2 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| = \frac{n_{13}(m-m_{13})}{13}$ (3)

regardless of $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. Hence, to determine $|V(G_{m,n}) \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|$, what remains is to find $|G_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|$. The same methodology can be applied to $Y_{m+4,n+4}$ by defining m_{13}^Y and n_{13}^Y as the least residues of $(m_{13} + 4)$ and $(n_{13} + 4)$ modulo 13, respectively, and partitioning $V(Y_{m+4,n+4})$ into the following subsets:

$$Y_1 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -2 \le i \le n+1 - n_{13}^Y \text{ and } -2 \le j \le m+1\}, Y_2 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n+2 - n_{13}^Y \le i \le n+1 \text{ and } -2 \le j \le m+1 - m_{13}^Y\}, \text{ and} Y_3 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n+2 - n_{13}^Y \le i \le n+1 \text{ and } m+2 - m_{13}^Y \le j \le m+1\}.$$

Figure 4 (Right) depicts $G_{16,18}$ partitioned into G_1, G_2 , and G_3 , (all in grey) overlaid by $Y_{20,22}$ partitioned into Y_1, Y_2 , and Y_3 (all opaque white). By Lemma 1,

$$\left|Y_{1} \cap \phi^{-1}\left(\ell\right)\right| = \frac{\left(m+4\right)\left(n+4-n_{13}^{Y}\right)}{13} \quad \text{and} \quad \left|Y_{2} \cap \phi^{-1}\left(\ell\right)\right| = \frac{n_{13}^{Y}\left(m+4-m_{13}^{Y}\right)}{13} \tag{4}$$

regardless of $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. Finding a best choice of ℓ for the construction therefore reduces to determining, for each least residue of m and n modulo 13, the minimum values of $|G_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| + |Y_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. Said least values are given in Table 6 and the corresponding $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ is given in Table 18 (see Section 8). In the cases where there are several such values of $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ for a given value in Table 6, we state the lexicographically largest in Table 18 (see Section 8).

The values in Table 6 and Table 18 (see Section 8) can be verified by an exhaustive search. Let $c'(m_{13}, n_{13})$ correspond with the values given in Table 6. For a general $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$, by Equations 3 and $4, |\phi^{-1}(\ell) \cap V(G_{m,n})| + |\phi^{-1}(\ell) \cap V(Y_{m+4,n+4})|$ is simply

$$\frac{m\left(n-n_{13}\right)}{13} + \frac{n_{13}\left(m-m_{13}\right)}{13} + \frac{\left(m+4\right)\left(n+4-n_{13}^{Y}\right)}{13} + \frac{n_{13}^{Y}\left(m+4-m_{13}^{Y}\right)}{13} + c'\left(m_{13},n_{13}\right).$$

					Lea	ast i	esidu	$n n_{13}$	$_{3}$ of n	mod	lulo	13		
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	0	0	1	1
du	2	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	6	1	1	2	2
mo	3	1	2	2	4	4	5	6	6	8	1	2	3	3
[m	4	2	3	3	4	4	6	7	7	9	2	2	4	4
f ار	5	2	3	3	5	6	6	8	9	11	3	3	5	5
13	6	2	4	4	6	7	8	10	10	12	3	4	6	7
ш	$\overline{7}$	3	4	4	6	$\overline{7}$	9	10	12	14	4	5	7	8
ue.	8	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	14	16	5	6	8	9
sid	9	0	0	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	6	7	8
re	10	0	0	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	9
ast	11	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	7	8	9	10
Le	12	0	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	8	9	10	11

Table 6: Minimum values of $|G_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| + |Y_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ for $m, n \geq 13$.

As n_{13}^Y and m_{13}^Y are the least residues of $(m_{13} + 4)$ and $(n_{13} + 4)$ modulo 13, respectively, the previous equation can be simplified for each least residue of m and n modulo 13 by computation. The result gives

$$2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right) + 4\left(\frac{m+n}{13}\right) + \frac{c(m_{13}, n_{13})}{13}$$

where the values $c(m_{13}, n_{13})$ are given in Table 5. This proves the theorem.

4. Upper Bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$

This section establishes upper bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of the Cartesian product of a path and a cycle. Section 4.1 states bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 22$ and $n \ge 3$. Section 4.2 states bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$ and $n \ge 13$. Section 4.3 states bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$ and $3 \le n \le 12$. These results require additional case work, when compared to Section 3, since $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n) = \gamma_{b,2}(P_n \Box P_m)$ but $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ is not necessarily equal to $\gamma_{b,2}(P_n \Box C_m)$. All results in this section are established using the tiling method described in Section 2.

4.1. $P_{2 \le m \le 22} \Box C_{n \ge 3}$

The tilings used to establish Theorem 3 are in [16, Sections 3.2 through 3.13].

Theorem 3. Fix $2 \le m \le 22$ and $n \ge 3$. Let x be the value in Table 7 dependent upon m and define n_x as the least residue of n modulo x. By construction,

$$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_m \Box C_n\right) \le b(m) + c(m, n, n_x),$$

where b(m) corresponds with the O(n) terms in Table 8 and $c(m, n, n_x)$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 21 (see Section 8).

Table 7: Value of x in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 22$ and $n \ge 3$.

m	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
b(m)	$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$	$\left[\frac{2n}{3}\right]$	$8\left\lfloor \frac{n}{10}\right\rfloor$	n	$18 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{16} \right\rfloor$	$18 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \right\rfloor$	$32 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{22} \right\rfloor$	$16 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor$	$32\left\lfloor \frac{n}{18} \right\rfloor$	$50\left\lfloor\frac{n}{26}\right\rfloor$	$50\left\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \right\rfloor$
I					2103			2103	2103	2203	
m	13		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
b(m)	$30 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	32	$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$34 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$36 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$38 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$40 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$44 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$46 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$48 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$

Table 8: Value of b(m) in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 22$ and $n \ge 3$.

4.2. $P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_{n\geq 13}$

The tilings used to establish Theorem 4 are in [16, Section 3.14].

Theorem 4. If $m \ge 23$ and $n \ge 13$ then

$$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_m \Box C_n\right) \le 2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right) + \frac{4m}{13} + \frac{b(n)}{13} + \frac{c(m'_{13}, n_{13})}{13}$$

where

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n \equiv 0 \pmod{13}, \\ 2n & \text{for } n \equiv 4, 7, 11, \text{or } 12 \pmod{13}, \\ 3n & \text{for } n \equiv 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, \text{or } 10 \pmod{13}, \text{ and} \\ 4n & \text{for } n \equiv 3 \pmod{13} \end{cases}$$

and $c(m'_{13}, n_{13})$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 20 (see Section 8) where m'_{13} and n_{13} are the least residues of (m - 10) and n modulo 13, respectively.

4.3. $P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_{3\leq n\leq 12}$

The tilings used to establish Theorem 5 are in [16, Sections 3.16 through 3.25].

Theorem 5. Fix $m \ge 23$ and $3 \le n \le 12$. Let x be the value in Table 9 dependent upon n and define m_x as the least residue of m modulo x. By construction,

$$\gamma_{b,2} \left(P_m \Box C_n \right) \le b(n) + c(n, m_x),$$

where b(n) corresponds with the O(m) terms in Table 10 and $c(n, m_x)$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 22 (see Section 8).

Table 9: Value of x in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$ and $3 \le n \le 12$.

n	3	4	5, 6	7	8	9,10	11	12
b(n)	$\left\lceil \frac{2m}{3} \right\rceil$	$4\left\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \right\rfloor$	m	$42 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{35} \right\rfloor$	$8\left\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \right\rfloor$	$16 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{10} \right\rfloor$	$24 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{13} \right\rfloor$	$26 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{13} \right\rfloor$

Table 10: Value of b(n) in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$ and $3 \le n \le 12$.

5. Upper Bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$

This section establishes upper bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of the Cartesian product of two cycles. Section 5.1 states bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $3 \le m \le 25$ and $n \ge m$. Section 5.2 states bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 26$ and $n \ge m$. All results in this section are established using the tiling method described in Section 2.

5.1. $C_{3 \le m \le 25} \Box C_{n \ge m}$

The tilings used to establish Theorem 5 are in [16, Sections 4.2 through 4.10].

Theorem 6. Fix $3 \le m \le 25$ and $n \ge m$. Let x be the value in Table 11 dependent upon m and define n_x as the least residue of n modulo x. By construction,

$$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_m \Box C_n\right) \le b(m) + c(m, n_x),$$

where b(m) corresponds with the O(n) terms in Table 12 and $c(m, n_x)$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 23 (see Section 8).

Table 11: Value of x in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}$ $(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $3 \le m \le 25$ and $n \ge m$.

m	3	4	5, 6	7	8	9,10	11	12, 13	14	15
b(m)	$\left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$	$4\left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor$	n	$42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{35} \right\rfloor$	$8\left\lfloor\frac{n}{6}\right\rfloor$	$16 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor$	$24 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$26 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$31 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$33 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$
m	16, 17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
b(m)	$36 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$39 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$41 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$45 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$48 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$49 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$50 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor$	$52\left\lfloor\frac{n}{13}\right\rfloor$	

Table 12: Value of b(m) in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $3 \le m \le 25$ and $n \ge m$.

5.2. $C_{m\geq 26}\Box C_{n\geq m}$

The tilings used to establish Theorem 5 are in [16, Section 4.11].

Theorem 7. If $m, n \ge 26$ then

$$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_m \Box C_n\right) \le 2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right) + \frac{b(m) + b(n)}{13} - c(m_{13}, n_{13})$$

where

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \equiv x \pmod{13}, \\ 4x & \text{if } 3 \equiv x \pmod{13}, \\ 2x & \text{if } 4, 7, 11, \text{ or } 12 \equiv x \pmod{13}, \text{ and} \\ 3x & \text{if } 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, \text{ or } 10 \equiv x \pmod{13} \end{cases}$$

and $c(m_{13}, n_{13})$ corresponds with the O(1) terms in Table 24 (see Section 8) where m_{13} and n_{13} are the least residues of m and n modulo 13, respectively.

6. Multipacking

Sections 3, 4, and 5 established upper bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination numbers of the Cartesian products of two paths, a path and a cycle, and two cycles. This section is devoted to determining corresponding lower bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination numbers of these graphs. These bounds are obtained via LP duality by finding the lower bounds for the fractional 2-limited multipacking numbers of these graphs. All results, with the exception of those stated in Section 6.1, were found by using an exact LP solver [11, 12] as a part of the SoPlex distribution [10].

This section focuses on fractional 2-limited multipackings, which is defined by setting k = 2 in LP 2. Section 6.1 establishes optimal values for $mp_{f,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for all $m, n \ge 3$. Sections 6.2 and 6.3 establish lower bounds for $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ and $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$, respectively, via multipacking constructions.

6.1. The Cartesian Product of Two Cycles

This section describes a general fractional 2-limited multipacking on $C_m \Box C_n$ for $m, n \ge 3$. These results establish Theorem 8. The proof of Theorem 8 utilizes the LP relaxation of 2-limited broadcast domination. The optimal solution of the LP relaxation of ILP 1 for k = 2 defines the fractional 2-limited broadcast domination number $\gamma_{f,b,2}(G)$ of a graph G.

Theorem 8. For $m, n \geq 3$,

$$mp_{f,2}(C_m \Box C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2mn}{13} & \text{if } m, n \ge 5, \\ \frac{4n}{6} & \text{if } m = 4 \text{ and } n \ge 5, \\ \frac{6n}{11} & \text{if } m = 3 \text{ and } n \ge 5, \\ \frac{32}{11} & \text{if } m = n = 4, \\ \frac{12}{5} & \text{if } m = 4 \text{ and } n = 3, \text{ and} \\ \frac{9}{5} & \text{if } m = n = 3. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Fix $m, n \ge 5$. For each vertex $i \in V(G)$, define the variable y_i . Define the fractional 2-limited multipacking on $C_m \Box C_n$ by $y_i = 2/13$ for all $i \in V(C_m \Box C_n)$. As $C_m \Box C_n$ is vertex-transitive, for each vertex $i \in V(C_m \Box C_n)$, there are five and thirteen vertices within distance one and two, respectively, of i. This assignment therefore satisfies the constraints of LP 2 with k = 2. The cost of this multipacking is 2mn/13 which establishes $2mn/13 \le mp_{f,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$. To prove the opposite inequality, consider the following fractional 2-limited dominating broadcast. For $i \in V(C_m \Box C_n)$ and $k \in \{1,2\}$ define $x_{i,1}$ and $x_{i,2}$ as the fractional broadcasts of vertex i at strengths one and two, respectively. Define the fractional 2-limited broadcast by $x_{i,1} = 0$ and $x_{i,2} = 1/13$ for all $i \in V(C_m \Box C_n)$. As $m, n \ge 5$, for each $i \in V(C_m \Box C_n)$, there are thirteen vertices within distance two of i. This assignment therefore satisfies the constraints of the LP relaxation of ILP 1 for k = 2. The cost of this broadcast is 2mn/13 which establishes $\gamma_{f,b,2}(C_m \Box C_n) \le 2mn/13$. By the duality theorem of linear programming, $mp_{f,2}(C_m \Box C_n) = \gamma_{f,b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$.

For m = 4 and $n \ge 5$, define the fractional 2-limited multipacking on $C_4 \Box C_n$ by $y_i = 1/6$ for all $i \in V(C_4 \Box C_n)$ and the fractional 2-limited dominating broadcast by $x_{i,1} = 0$ and $x_{i,2} = 1/12$ for all $i \in V(C_4 \Box C_n)$. As $n \ge 5$, for each $i \in V(C_4 \Box C_n)$, there are five and twelve vertices within distance one and two, respectively, of i. The cost of this multipacking and this broadcast are both equal to 4n/6.

For m = 3 and $n \ge 5$, define the fractional 2-limited multipacking on $C_3 \Box C_n$ by $y_i = 2/11$ for all $i \in V(C_3 \Box C_n)$ and the fractional 2-limited dominating broadcast by $x_{i,1} = 0$ and $x_{i,2} = 1/11$ for all $i \in V(C_3 \Box C_n)$. For each $i \in V(C_3 \Box C_n)$, there are five and eleven vertices within distance one and two, respectively, of *i*. The cost of this multipacking and this broadcast are both equal to 6n/11.

For m = n = 4, use the same fractional 2-limited multipacking and fractional 2-limited dominating broadcast as defined for m = 3 and $n \ge 5$. The cost of this multipacking and this broadcast are both equal to 32/11.

For m = 4 and n = 3, define the fractional 2-limited multipacking on $C_4 \square C_3$ by $y_i = 1/5$ for all $i \in V(C_4 \square C_3)$ and the fractional 2-limited dominating broadcast by $x_{i,1} = 1/5$ and $x_{i,2} = 0$ for all

 $i \in V(C_4 \square C_3)$. For each $i \in V(C_4 \square C_3)$, there are five and ten vertices within distance one and two, respectively, of *i*. The cost of this multipacking and this broadcast are both equal to 12/5.

For m = n = 3, use the same fractional 2-limited multipacking and fractional 2-limited dominating broadcast as defined for m = 4 and n = 3. The cost of this multipacking and this broadcast are both equal to 9/5.

Combined, the results found in Section 5 and Theorem 8 establish the upper and lower bounds, respectively, in Table 13 (excluding m = n = 3, m = n = 4, and m = 4 and n = 3, the values of which are given in Theorem 8) for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of Cartesian products of two cycles. The values of the constant terms in the upper bounds in Table 13 can be found in their respective theorems in Section 5 and are omitted here. To easily compare the upper and lower bounds in Table 13 we also, for some bounds, include a simpler lower bound. Observe that the bounds in Table 13 give optimal values for $\gamma_{b,2} (C_m \Box C_n)$ for all $m, n \ge 3$ such that $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$ and $\gamma_{b,2}(C_4 \Box C_n)$ for all $n \ge 5$ such that $n \equiv 0, 2, 4$, and 5 (mod 6).

	Lower Bound	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_m \Box C_n\right)$	Upper Bound
$1.6\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil 6\left(\frac{n}{11}\right) \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_3\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$
	$\left\lceil 4\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_4\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 4\left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left\lceil 10\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_5\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq n$
	$\left\lceil 12\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_6\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq n + O(1)$
$37.6\left(\frac{n}{35}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil 14\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_7 \Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{35} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$7.3\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil 16\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_8 \Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 8\left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$13.8\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil 18\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_9\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 16 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$15.3\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil 20\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{10}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 16 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left\lceil 22\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{11}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 24 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left[24\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right] \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{12}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 26 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left[26\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right] \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{13}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 26 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left[28\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right] \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{14}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 31 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 30\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{15}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 33 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 32\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{16}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 36 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 34\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{17}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 36 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 36\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{18}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 39 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left\lfloor 38\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right\rfloor \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{19}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 41 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 40\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{20}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 42\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{21}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 45 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 44\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{22}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 48 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 46\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{23}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 49 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 48\left(\frac{n}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{24}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 50 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 50\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{25}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 52 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left 2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right)\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(C_{m\geq 26}\Box C_{n\geq 26}\right)$	$\leq 2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right) + O(m+n)$

Table 13: Upper and lower bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $m, n \ge 3$ excluding m = n = 3, m = n = 4, and m = 4 and n = 3, the values of which are given in Theorem 8.

6.2. The Cartesian Product of a Path and a Cycle

-

This section describes the construction and associated costs of fractional 2-limited multipackings on $P_m \Box C_n$ for $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 3$. For each $2 \le m \le 22$, an explicit fractional 2-limited multipacking is given on $P_m \Box C_n$ for all $n \ge 3$. These multipackings were found by examining fractional 2-limited multipackings on $P_m \Box C_5$ for $2 \le m \le 22$. These constructions are used to prove Theorem 9. For $m \ge 23$ and all $n \ge 3$

a fractional 2-limited multipacking is given on $P_m \Box C_n$. This multipacking was determined by examining fractional 2-limited multipackings on $P_{23} \Box C_5$. This construction is used to prove Theorem 10.

Theorem 9. For $2 \le m \le 22$ and all $n \ge 3$, $f(n) \le mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ where f(n) is given in Table 14.

m	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
f(n)	$\frac{n}{2}$	$\frac{2n}{3}$	$\frac{4n}{5}$	$\frac{26n}{27}$	$\frac{29n}{26}$	$\frac{19n}{15}$	$\frac{212n}{149}$
m	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
f(n)	$\frac{52n}{33}$	$\frac{780n}{451}$	$\frac{81n}{43}$	$\frac{273n}{134}$	$\frac{5042n}{2301}$	$\frac{2324n}{991}$	$\frac{5690n}{2277}$
m	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
f(n)	$\frac{15593n}{5878}$	$\frac{28417n}{10125}$	$\frac{103240n}{34873}$	$\frac{3896n}{1251}$	$\frac{337976n}{103415}$	$\frac{304705n}{89043}$	$\frac{548313n}{153338}$

Table 14: Values of f(n) for lower bounds for $mp_2(P_m \Box C_n)$ where $2 \le m \le 22$ and $n \ge 3$.

PROOF. Fix $2 \le m \le 22$. Let **v** be the $m \times 1$ vector as given in either Table 15 (for $2 \le m \le 13$) or Table 25 (for $m \ge 14$, see Section 8). Let $\mathbf{J}_{1,n}$ be the all ones matrix of size $1 \times n$.



Table 15: Vectors used in the proof of the lower bound for $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 13$

The product $\mathbf{vJ}_{1,n}$ defines an $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{M} where the elements within each row, respectively, have identical entries. For example if m = 3 and n = 6 then

$\mathbf{M} =$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ 0	$\frac{1}{3}$ 0	$\frac{1}{3}$ 0	$\frac{1}{3}$ 0	$\frac{1}{3}$ 0	
	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, define the fractional 2-limited multipacking f on $P_m \Box C_n$ by letting the variable $x_{i,j}$ (corresponding to the vertex in row i and column j of $P_m \Box C_n$) be the value in the *i*th row and *j*th column of **M**. As the elements within each row are identical, due to symmetry, to check that f is

a valid fractional 2-limited multipacking it suffices to check the entries in the first column of $P_m \Box C_n$. The check can be done by computation. Let $v_1, \ldots v_m$ denote the elements of **v**. The cost of f is $n \sum_{i=1}^m v_i$. For each $2 \le m \le 22$ the cost of f corresponds with the values in Table 14. This completes the proof.

Theorem 10. For $m \ge 23$ and all $n \ge 3$,

$$\frac{2mn}{13} + \frac{2620n}{13767} \le mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n).$$

PROOF. The general case follows from the case m = 23. Letting **v** be the vector in Figure 5 for m = 23 and proceeding with as in the proof of Theorem 9 (with \mathbf{v}^T) yields a fractional 2-limited multipacking on $P_{23} \Box C_n$ for all $n \ge 3$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{16639} & \underline{1717} & \underline{686} & \underline{814} & \underline{1895} & \underline{2903} & \underline{8573} & \underline{697} & \underline{2} & \underline{13} & \cdots & \underline{13} & \underline{697} & \underline{8573} & \underline{2903} & \underline{1895} & \underline{814} & \underline{686} & \underline{1717} & \underline{16639} \\ \underline{55068} & \underline{18356} & \underline{4589} & \underline{4589} & \underline{13767} & \underline{4589} & \underline{13767} & \underline{18356} & \underline{55068} \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 5: Vector used in the proof of the lower bound for $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$

The cost of such a multipacking is

$$(m-16)\left(\frac{2n}{13}\right) + \frac{36508n}{13767} = 7\left(\frac{2n}{13}\right) + \frac{36508n}{13767}.$$

Observe that the center most row of this multipacking on $P_{23}\Box C_n$ is composed solely of vertices with weight 2/13. Moreover, all vertices within distance 2 of the center row also have weight 2/13. As this row does not violate the fractional 2-limited multipacking on $P_{23}\Box C_n$, adding additional center rows, all of which contain vertices with weight 2/13, will yield valid fractional 2-limited multipacking on $P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_n$. The cost of such a multipacking will be

$$(m-16)\left(\frac{2n}{13}\right) + \frac{36508n}{13767}$$

which when simplified proves the theorem.

Combined, the results found in Section 4 and Theorems 8, 9, and 10 establish the upper and lower bounds in Table 16 for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of the Cartesian products of a path and a cycle. Note that Theorem 8 is used in the case of $P_m \Box C_3$ and $P_m \Box C_4$ for $m \ge 23$ since $mp_{f,2}(C_m \Box C_n) \le$ $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$. The value of the constant terms in the upper bounds in Table 16 can be found in the respective theorems in Section 4 and are omitted here. To easily compare the upper and lower bounds in Table 16 we also, for some bounds, include a simpler lower bound.

Observe that the bounds in Table 16 give exact values for $\gamma_{b,2} (P_2 \Box C_n)$ and $\gamma_{b,2} (P_3 \Box C_n)$. These bounds also give optimal values for $\gamma_{b,2} (P_4 \Box C_n)$ for all $n \ge 3$ such that $n \not\equiv 1$ or 5 (mod 10) and $\gamma_{b,2} (P_m \Box C_4)$ for all $m \ge 23$ such that $m \equiv 2$ and 5 (mod 6).

6.3. The Cartesian Product of Two Paths

This section determines lower bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ via fractional 2-limited multipackings. For $2 \leq m \leq 22$, Section 6.2 provides lower bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ since $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n) \leq mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$. For $m, n \geq 23$, a construction very similar to the proof of Theorem 10 can be found in [16, Section 5.4] and is omitted here for the sake of brevity. This construction establishes Theorem 11.

Theorem 11. For $m, n \geq 23$,

$$\frac{2mn}{13} + \frac{14287568}{75254411} (m+n) - \frac{177612468}{978307343} \le mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box P_n).$$

	Lower Bound	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_m \Box C_n\right)$	Upper Bound
	$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_2\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$
	$\left \frac{2n}{3}\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_3\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq \left \frac{2n}{3}\right $
	$\left \frac{4n}{5}\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_4\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 8\left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
<i>.</i>	$\left \frac{26n}{27}\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_5\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq n + O(1)$
$17.8\left(\frac{n}{16}\right) \le$	$\left\lfloor \frac{29n}{26} \right\rfloor \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_6\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 18 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{16} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$17.7\left(\frac{n}{14}\right) \le$	$\left \frac{19n}{15}\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_7 \Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 18 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$31.3\left(\frac{n}{22}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{212n}{149}\right] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_8\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 32 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{22} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$15.7\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) \le$	$\left \frac{52n}{33}\right \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_9\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 16 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{10} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$31.1\left(\frac{n}{18}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{780n}{451} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{10}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 32 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{18} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$48.9\left(\frac{n}{26}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{81n}{43} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{11}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 50 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{26} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$48.8\left(\frac{n}{24}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{273n}{134} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{12}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 50 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$28.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{5042n}{2301} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{13}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 30 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$30.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{2324n}{991} \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{14}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 32 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$32.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{5690n}{2277} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{15}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 34 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$34.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{15593n}{5878} \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{16}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 36 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$36.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{28417n}{10125} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{17}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 38 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$38.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{103240n}{34873} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{18}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 40 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$40.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{3896n}{1251} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{19}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$42.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{337976n}{103415} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{20}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 44 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$40.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{304705n}{89043} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{21}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 46 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$46.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{548313n}{153338} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{22}\Box C_n\right)$	$\leq 48 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$\lceil 2 ($	$\left \frac{mn}{13}\right) + \frac{2620n}{13767}\right \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_{n\geq 13}\right)$	$\leq 2\left(\frac{mn}{13}\right) + \frac{4m}{13} + O(n)$
$1.6\left(\frac{m}{3}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil 6\left(\frac{m}{11}\right) \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_3\right)$	$\leq \left\lceil \frac{2m}{3} \right\rceil$
	$\left\lceil 4\left(\frac{m}{6}\right) \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_4\right)$	$\leq 4\left\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left[\frac{10m}{13} + \frac{13100}{13767}\right] \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_5\right)$	$\leq m+1$
	$\left\lceil \frac{12m}{13} + \frac{5240}{4589} \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_6\right)$	$\leq m+1$
$37.6\left(\frac{m}{35}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{14m}{13} + \frac{18340}{13767}\right] \le 1$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_7\right)$	$\leq 42 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{35} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$7.3\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{16m}{13} + \frac{20960}{13767}\right] \le 1$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_8\right)$	$\leq 8 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$13.8\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{18m}{13} + \frac{7860}{4589} \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_9\right)$	$\leq 16 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{10} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$15.3\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) \le$	$\left[\frac{20m}{13} + \frac{26200}{13767}\right] \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_{10}\right)$	$\leq 16 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{10} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left[\frac{22m}{13} + \frac{28820}{13767}\right] \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_{11}\right)$	$\leq 24 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
	$\left\lceil \frac{24m}{13} + \frac{10480}{4589} \right\rceil \le$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box C_{12}\right)$	$\leq 26 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$

Table 16: Upper and lower bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_m\Box C_n\right)$ for $m,n\geq 3$.

	Lower Bound	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_m\Box P_n\right)$	Upper Bound
	$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_2\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq \left \frac{n}{2}\right + 1$
	$\left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_3\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$
	$\left\lceil \frac{4n}{5} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_4\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 8\left[\frac{n}{10}\right] + O(1)$
	$\left\lceil \frac{26n}{27} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_5\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq n+1$
$17.8\left(\frac{n}{16}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{\overline{29n}}{26} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_6\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 18 \left \frac{n}{16} \right + O(1)$
$17.7\left(\frac{n}{14}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{\overline{19n}}{15} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_7\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 18 \left[\frac{n}{14} \right] + O(1)$
$31.3\left(\frac{n}{22}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil \frac{212n}{149} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_8\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 32 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{22} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$15.7\left(\frac{n}{10}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{52n}{33} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_9\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 16\left[\frac{\overline{n}}{10}\right] + O(1)$
$31.1\left(\frac{n}{18}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{780n}{451} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{10}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 32 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{18} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$48.9\left(\frac{n}{26}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{81n}{43} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{11}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 50 \left[\frac{n}{26} \right] + O(1)$
$48.8\left(\frac{n}{24}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil \frac{273n}{134} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{12}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 50\left[\frac{n}{24}\right] + O(1)$
$28.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left\lceil \frac{5042n}{2301} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{13}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 30 \left[\frac{n}{13} \right] + O(1)$
$30.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil \frac{2324n}{991} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{14}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 32 \left[\frac{n}{13} \right] + O(1)$
$32.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil \frac{5690n}{2277} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{15}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 34 \left[\frac{n}{13} \right] + O(1)$
$34.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil \frac{15593n}{5878} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{16}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 36 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$36.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \le$	$\left[\frac{28417n}{10125}\right] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{17}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 38 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$38.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{103240n}{34873}\right] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{18}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 40 \left[\frac{n}{13} \right] + O(1)$
$40.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left\lceil \frac{3896n}{1251} \right\rceil \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{19}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 42 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$42.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{337976n}{103415}\right] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{20}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 44 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{13} \right\rfloor + O(1)$
$40.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{304705n}{89043}\right] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{21}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 46 \left[\frac{n}{13}\right] + O(1)$
$46.4\left(\frac{n}{13}\right) \leq$	$\left[\frac{548313n}{153338}\right] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{22}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq 48 \left[\frac{n}{13} \right] + O(1)$
$\int \frac{2mn}{13} + \frac{14287568}{75254411} \left(m - \frac{14287568}{13}\right) \left(m - 1428756$	$(+n) - O(1)] \leq$	$\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_{m\geq 23}\Box P_n\right)$	$\leq \frac{2mn}{13} + \frac{4}{13}(m+n) + O(1)$

Table 17: Upper and lower bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}\left(P_m\Box P_n\right)$ for $m,n\geq 2$.

Combined, the results found in Section 3 and Theorems 9 and 11 establish the upper and lower bounds, respectively, in Table 17 for the 2-limited broadcast domination numbers of the Cartesian products of two paths. The value of the constant terms in the upper bounds in Table 17 can be found in the respective theorems in Section 3 and are omitted here. To easily compare the upper and lower bounds in Table 17 we also, for some bounds, include a simpler lower bound.

Observe that the bounds in Table 17 give optimal values for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ for odd n, optimal values for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_3 \Box P_n)$, and optimal values for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_4 \Box P_n)$ when $n \equiv 4$ or 9 (mod 10). The periodically optimal values for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ allow for an easy proof of Proposition 2.

Proposition 2. For $n \ge 2$, $\gamma_{b,2}(P_2 \Box P_n) = \left|\frac{n}{2}\right| + 1$.

PROOF. If $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ the claim is easily verified. Fix $n \ge 6$. Suppose n is odd. As

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil = \frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

by the bounds for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_2 \Box P_n)$ in Theorem 9 and Theorem 1, the claim holds.

Suppose now that n is even and that there exists a 2-limited dominating broadcast f of $P_2 \Box P_n$ of cost $\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Observe that a vertex broadcasting at strength one or two on $P_2 \Box P_n$ can be heard by at most four or eight vertices, respectively. Therefore, given $v \in V(P_2 \Box P_n)$, the broadcast from v can be heard by at most 4f(v) vertices. Consider the leftmost column of $P_2 \Box P_n$. Any 2-limited broadcast of $P_2 \Box P_n$ which dominates this column necessarily "wastes" a portion of a broadcast from a vertex. By "wastes" we mean that, in dominating the leftmost column, there exists a vertex v whose broadcast either overlaps with the broadcast from some other vertex v' or is heard by at least one less than 4f(v) vertices. In either case, a broadcast from such a vertex is heard by at least one less than 4f(v) vertices. The same logic applies to the rightmost column of $P_2 \Box P_n$. This follows since $n \geq 6$. Therefore, there cannot exist a vertex which dominates a vertex in the left and rightmost columns. By assumption therefore, f can dominate at most

$$4\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 2 = 4\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - 2 = 2n - 2 < 2n = |V(P_2 \Box P_n)|$$

vertices. This is a contradiction.

7. Conclusion

This paper summarizes the results from Chapters 2 through 5 of [16]. These results establish upper and lower bounds for the 2-limited broadcast domination number of the Cartesian product of two paths, a path and a cycle, and two cycles. Although we have bounded the 2-limited broadcast domination number for the Cartesian products of two paths, a path and a cycle, and two cycles, few of our bounds are tight. Additionally, given a graph G, since $\gamma_{b,k}(G) \leq \gamma_{b,k-1}(G)$, our bounds provide upper bounds for the k-limited broadcast domination numbers for these graphs where $k \geq 2$. However, these bounds are very likely far from the truth with respect to large grids. Given this, we present the following problems.

Problem 1. Determine $\gamma_{b,k}(P_m \Box P_n)$ for all $m, n \ge 2$ for $k \ge 2$.

Problem 2. Determine $\gamma_{b,k}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for all $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 3$ for $k \ge 2$.

Problem 3. Determine $\gamma_{b,k} (C_m \Box C_n)$ for all $m, n \ge 3$ for $k \ge 2$.

Acknowledgements

All computations for this paper we run on machines purchased by Myrvold using NSERC funding. We would also like to thank the referees for their thoughtful and instructive comments.

References

- L. Beaudou, R. C. Brewster, and F. Foucaud. Broadcast domination and multipacking: bounds and the integrality gap. Australasian Journal of Cominatorics, 74:86–97, 2019.
- [2] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, and M. L. Puertas. General bounds on limited broadcast domination. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 20:13, 2018.
- [3] R. Y. Chang and S. L. Peng. A linear-time algorithm for broadcast domination problem on interval graphs. Proceedings of the 27th Workshop on Combinatorial Mathematics and Computation Theory, pages 184–188, 2010.
- [4] J. Dabney. A linear-time algorithm for broadcast domination in a tree. Master's Thesis, Clemson University, 2007.
- [5] J. Dabney, B. C. Dean, and S. T. Hedetniemi. A linear-time algorithm for broadcast domination in a tree. Networks, 53(2):160–169, 2009.
- [6] S. L. Smith E. Fata and S. Sundaram. Distributed dominating sets on grids. Proceedings of the 32nd ACC 2013, pages 211–216, 2013.
- [7] D. J. Erwin. Cost domination in graphs. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Western Michigan University, 2001.
- [8] D. J. Erwin. Dominating broadcasts in graphs. Bulletin of the ICA, 42:89–105, 2004.
- M. Farina and A. Grez. New upper bounds on the distance domination numbers of grids. Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal, 17(7):133–145, 2016.
- [10] A. Gleixner, L. Eifler, T. Gally, G. Gamrath, P. Gemander, R. L. Gottwald, G. Hendel, C. Hojny, T. Koch, M. Miltenberger, B. Müller, M. Pfetsch, C. Puchert, D. Rehfeldt, F. Schlösser, F. Serrano, Y. Shinano, J. M. Viernickel, S. Vigerske, D. Weninger, J. T. Witt, and J. Witzig. The SCIP optimization suite 5.0. Technical Report 17-61, ZIB, Takustr. 7, 14195 Berlin, 2017.
- [11] A. Gleixner, D. Steffy, and K. Wolter. Improving the accuracy of linear programming solvers with iterative refinement. Technical Report 12-19, ZIB, Takustr. 7, 14195 Berlin, 2012.
- [12] A. Gleixner, D. Steffy, and K. Wolter. Iterative refinement for linear programming. Technical Report 15-15, ZIB, Takustr. 7, 14195 Berlin, 2015.
- [13] Daniel Gonçalves, Alexandre Pinlou, Michaël Rao, and Stéphan Thomassé. The domination number of grids. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 25(3):1443–1453, 2011.
- [14] P. Heggernes and D. Lokshtanov. Optimal broadcast domination in polynomial time. Discrete Mathematics, 306(24):3267 – 3280, 2006.
- [15] M. A. Henning, G. MacGillivray, and F. Yang. Broadcast domination in graphs. In T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and M. A. Henning, editors, *Structures of Domination in Graphs*, pages 15–46. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021.
- [16] A. Slobodin. 2-limited broadcast domination on grid graphs. Master's Thesis, University of Victoria, 2021. http://hdl.handle.net/1828/13190.
- [17] L. Teshima. Broadcasts and multipackings in graphs. Master's Thesis, University of Victoria, 2012.
 - B] L. Teshima and C. M. Mynhardt. Broadcasts and multipackings in trees. Utilitas Mathematica, 104:227–242, 2017.
- [19] L. Teshima, C. M. Mynhardt, and R. C. Brewster. New bounds for the broadcast domination number of a graph. Central European Journal of Mathematics, 11:1334–1343, 2013.
- [20] F. Yang. New results on broadcast domination and multipacking. Master's Thesis, University of Victoria, 2015.
- [21] F. Yang. Limited broadcast domination. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Canada, 2019.
- [22] F. Yang, G. MacGillivray, and R. C. Brewster. Broadcast domination and multipacking in strongly chordal graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 261:108–118, 2019.

8. Tables 18 – 25

					Leas	st res	idue	n ₁₃ 0	f <i>n</i> n	nodul	o 13			
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	0	4	10	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	12	11	10	4
g	1	11	12	11	10	12	11	12	11	7	11	11	11	11
du	2	10	12	10	10	12	10	12	1	10	12	11	12	11
mo	3	4	4	4	12	11	10	12	4	11	11	11	12	11
[<i>u</i>	4	10	12	10	12	1	10	12	7	9	11	7	12	4
of	5	10	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	12	11	10	12	4
13	6	0	11	10	12	11	10	12	7	9	11	10	12	11
ш	7	12	10	10	12	10	12	1	10	12	11	10	12	10
.ue	8	6	4	10	12	10	12	4	10	12	11	0	11	4
sid	9	12	10	12	1	10	12	7	9	11	$\overline{7}$	9	11	11
re re	10	12	10	12	12	10	12	12	12	1	10	12	12	12
ast	11	11	10	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	12	12	12
Le	12	4	10	12	12	12	1	12	12	7	12	12	12	12

Table 18: Lexicographically largest $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ corresponding with the minimum values of $|G_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)| + |Y_3 \cap \phi^{-1}(\ell)|$ for $m, n \geq 13$.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								m					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	n_x		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0		1	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1				2		2	3	4	3	4	5	4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2				3		4	4	5	5	6	6	7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	n = 21			4		5	5	7	6	7	8	9
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	$n \neq 21$			4		5	5	7	6	8	8	9
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4				4		6	7	8	8	9	10	11
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5				5		7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	n = 6			6		8	9	11	11	13	14	15
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	n > 6			6		9	9	11	11	13	14	15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7				7		9	11	12	13	15	16	17
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8				8		11	12	14	15	16	18	20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9				8		11	13	15	16	18	19	21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10						13	14	16		20	22	24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11						14	16	18		22	24	25
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12						15	17	20		24	25	28
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13						16	18	21		25	28	29
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14						18		23		27	30	32
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15						18		24		29	31	34
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16								25		31	33	36
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17								27		32	35	38
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18								28			37	40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19								30			39	42
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20								31			41	45
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21								32			43	46
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22											44	49
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23											47	50
25 50	24											49	
	25											50	

Table 19: Value of $c(m, n, n_x)$ in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box P_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 12$ and $n \ge m$.

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	0	0	-2	13	-21	1	-7	-18	-6	-14	-12	-10	2	-9
	1	0	6	6	-18	-9	-7	-20	-9	-7	-7	-7	4	4
~	2	0	1	12	-15	-6	-7	-22	1	0	-15	-17	6	-9
$\frac{13}{13}$	3	0	9	5	-12	-3	-7	-24	-2	-6	-10	-14	-5	-9
<i>m</i> ulc	4	0	4	-2	-22	-13	-20	-26	-5	-12	-18	-11	-3	4
lue lod	5	0	-1	4	-19	3	-7	-15	-8	-5	-13	-21	-1	-9
sid m	6	0	$\overline{7}$	10	-16	-7	-7	-17	-11	-11	-8	-18	1	-9
10 re	7	0	2	16	-13	-4	-7	-19	-1	-4	-16	-15	3	-9
ast 1 –	8	0	10	9	-23	-1	-7	-21	-4	-10	-11	-12	-8	-9
Le f n	9	0	5	2	-20	-11	-7	-23	-7	-16	-6	-9	7	-9
0	10	0	0	8	-17	-8	-7	-25	-10	-9	-14	-19	-4	-9
	11	0	8	1	-14	-5	-7	-27	-13	-15	-9	-16	-2	-9
	12	0	3	7	-24	-2	-7	-29	-3	-8	-17	-13	0	4

Least residue n_{13} of n modulo 13

Table 20: Constant term $c(m'_{13}, n_{13})$ for the upper bound for $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$ and $n \ge 13$.

	I	1										m										
n_{r}		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1				2	1	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	9	9
2				2		3	3	4	4	4	5	5	8	8	9	9	9	10	11	11	12	12
3	n = 3			3		4	5	6	6	7	8	8	9	10	10	11	11	12	13	14	14	15
0	n > 3			3		4	5	6	6	7	8	8	9	11	11	12	13	14	15	15	17	16
4	n=4			4		5	6	6	7	8	8	9	10	10	10	12	12	13	14	14	15	16
-	n > 4			4		5	6	7	7	8	9	9	11	11	12	12	14	14	15	16	17	18
5	n = 5			5		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	n > 5			5		7	8	9	10	10	12	12	14	15	10	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
6	n = 0			5 5		(8	9	10	11	12	13	14 15	15	10	10	18	19	20	21	22	23 96
	n > 0 n = 7			0 6		0	0	9	10	11	12	10	$10 \\ 17$	10	10	19 91	20	21 93	22	20 26	$\frac{24}{97}$	20 28
7	n-1 n > 7			6		9	10	11	12	14	15	16	$17 \\ 17$	18	20	$\frac{21}{22}$	$\frac{22}{22}$	23 24	$\frac{24}{25}$	$\frac{20}{26}$	$\frac{21}{27}$	$\frac{20}{28}$
	$n \ge 1$ n = 8			7		10	11	12^{11}	14	15	16	18	19	$\frac{10}{20}$	$\frac{20}{22}$	$\frac{22}{23}$	$\frac{22}{24}$	$\frac{24}{26}$	$\frac{20}{27}$	$\frac{20}{28}$	30	31
8	n = 0 n > 8			7		10	11	13^{12}	14	15^{10}	17	18	$\frac{10}{20}$	$\frac{20}{21}$	$\frac{22}{23}$	$\frac{20}{24}$	$\frac{21}{25}$	$\frac{20}{27}$	$\frac{2}{28}$	$\frac{20}{30}$	31	33
0	n = 9			8		11	13	14	16	18	19	$\overline{21}$	$\overline{22}$	$\overline{24}$	$\frac{-6}{26}$	$\overline{27}$	$\frac{-0}{29}$	$\frac{-}{30}$	$\frac{-0}{32}$	34	34	37
9	n > 9			8		11	13	14	16	17	19	20	22	24	26	28	29	31	33	34	36	37
10	n = 10					12	13	15		18	20	21	23	24	26	28	29	31	32	34	38	37
10	n > 10					12	13	15		18	20	21	24	26	27	29	31	32	35	36	38	40
11						14	15	18		21	23	25	27	28	30	32	33	36	37	39	41	32
19	n = 12					14	16	18		22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46
12	n > 12					14	16	18		22	24	26	29	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46
13						16	18	20		24	27	29										
14	n = 14					17		21		25	28	30										
15	n > 14					17		22		25	28	30										
15						18		23		28	31	33										
10 17								24		29	31 94	34 27										
11								$\frac{20}{27}$		32	34 36	31 30										
10								$\frac{21}{20}$			38	39 41										
20								30			30	42										
$\frac{20}{21}$								32			42	46										
$\frac{-1}{22}$											43	47										
$\overline{23}$											46	50										
24											48											
25											50											

Table 21: Value of $c(m, n, n_x)$ in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $2 \le m \le 22$ and $n \ge 3$.

						n				
m_x	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	2
1		2			4	3	3	4	5	2
2		2			D C	4	D C	$\frac{5}{7}$	0	(
3 4		ა 4			7	7	0	0	9	9 11
4 5		4			8	8	10	10	10	12
6		4			10	0	11	10	14	15
7					11		13	$12 \\ 13$	15	$17 \\ 17$
8					12^{11}		15	15	18	19
$\tilde{9}$					13		16	16	19	21
10					14				$\overline{21}$	$23^{$
11					16				23	25
12					17				24	27
13					18					
14					19					
15					20					
16					22					
17					23					
18					24					
19					25					
20					26					
21					28					
22					29					
23					3U 21					
$\frac{24}{25}$					31 30					
$\frac{20}{26}$					34 34					
$\frac{20}{27}$					35					
$\frac{-1}{28}$					36					
$\frac{-0}{29}$					37					
$\overline{30}$					$\overline{38}$					
31					40					
32					41					
33					42					
34					43					

Table 22: Value of $c(n, m_x)$ in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $m \ge 23$ and $3 \le n \le 12$.

	I										m												
n_x	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1		2		1	3	2	2	4	5	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	9	9	9	9
2		2		1	4	4	4	5	6	6	7	8	8	8	9	9	10	11	11	12	12	13	12
3		3		1	5	6	5	7	8	8	10	10	11	12	13	13	14	15	15	16	17	18	18
4		3			6	6	7	7	9	10	10	11	12	12	13	13	14	16	15	18	17	19	19
5		4			7	8	9	10	11	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
6					8		10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21	22	23	23	25	26	27	28
7					9		12	13	14	16	16	18	19	20	21	23	24	25	25	27	29	30	32
8					10		13	14	16	16	19	21	22	22	25	26	28	29	30	32	33	34	34
9					12		15	16	18	20	21	23	25	26	28	29	31	33	34	36	37	39	40
10					13				20	22	23	25	27	28	30	32	34	35	36	39	39	43	45
11					14				21	24	24	27	29	30	32	35	36	37	39	41	43	45	47
12					16				24	26	26	30	32	33	35	36	40	42	42	46	48	50	51
13					16																		
14					18																		
15					19																		
10					20																		
10					21																		
18					22																		
19					24 25																		
20 91					20																		
$\frac{21}{22}$					$\frac{20}{27}$																		
22					$\frac{21}{20}$																		
$\frac{20}{24}$					$\frac{20}{30}$																		
$\frac{24}{25}$					31																		
$\frac{20}{26}$					32																		
$\frac{-0}{27}$					33																		
$\frac{-1}{28}$					34																		
29					36																		
30					37																		
31					38																		
32					39																		
33					40																		
34					42																		

Table 23: Value of $c(m, n_x)$ in the upper bound of $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $3 \le m \le 25$ and $n \ge m$.

				Lea	istre	sique	n_{13}	01 n 1	nouu	0 10				
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\overline{7}$	8	9	10	11	12
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	21	26	58	22	15	33	24	30	35	40	18	23
du	2	0	26	20	55	19	15	35	14	23	43	37	16	10
no	3	0	71	55	81	33	46	82	37	63	47	70	51	35
l n	4	0	22	19	46	35	36	59	13	27	24	47	14	11
f ار	5	0	28	28	59	23	54	28	10	28	54	41	10	23
13	6	0	33	48	82	46	28	30	26	47	49	64	34	36
ш	7	0	11	14	37	13	10	26	9	32	22	25	21	-2
ue	8	0	17	23	50	27	28	34	32	33	26	45	30	23
sid	9	0	35	43	60	37	41	49	22	52	34	68	28	36
re	10	0	27	37	70	47	41	51	25	58	42	65	26	10
ast	11	0	18	16	51	14	10	34	21	43	28	26	26	11
Le	12	0	23	23	35	24	36	23	-2	75	23	23	11	-2

Least residue n_{13} of n modulo 13

Table 24: Constant term $c(m_{13}, n_{13})$ in the upper bound for $\gamma_{b,2}(C_m \Box C_n)$ for $m, n \ge 26$.

				m				
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
$\begin{bmatrix} 599\\ 1982\\ 185\\ 1982\\ 297\\ 1982\\ 351\\ 1982\\ 136\\ 991\\ 317\\ 1982\\ 303\\ 1982\\ 303\\ 1982\\ 303\\ 1982\\ 303\\ 1982\\ 303\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 136\\ 1982\\ 297\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 1982\\ 599\\ 1982\\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{688}{2277} \\ 71 \\ 759 \\ 340 \\ 2277 \\ 45 \\ 253 \\ 104 \\ 759 \\ 40 \\ 253 \\ 359 \\ 2277 \\ 112 \\ 759 \\ 359 \\ 2277 \\ 40 \\ 253 \\ 104 \\ 759 \\ 45 \\ 253 \\ 340 \\ 2277 \\ 71 \\ 759 \\ 688 \\ 2277 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{888}{2939} \\ \frac{275}{2939} \\ \frac{275}{11756} \\ \frac{1085}{2939} \\ \frac{1757}{11756} \\ \frac{405}{2939} \\ \frac{464}{2939} \\ \frac{458}{2939} \\ \frac{1791}{11756} \\ \frac{1791}{11756} \\ \frac{458}{2939} \\ \frac{464}{2939} \\ \frac{405}{2939} \\ \frac{2085}{11756} \\ \frac{1757}{11756} \\ \frac{275}{2939} \\ \frac{888}{2939} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 6119\\ 20250\\ 631\\ 6750\\ 1514\\ 10125\\ 599\\ 3375\\ 278\\ 2025\\ 119\\ 750\\ 3151\\ 20250\\ 508\\ 3375\\ 1591\\ 10125\\ 508\\ 3375\\ 1591\\ 10125\\ 508\\ 3375\\ 1591\\ 10125\\ 508\\ 3375\\ 1591\\ 10125\\ 599\\ 3375\\ 1514\\ 10125\\ 631\\ 6750\\ 6119\\ 20250\\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 10537\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{3262}\\ 34873\\ \underline{5211}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5211}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5515}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5515}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5416}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5515}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5515}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5515}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5241}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{5211}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{144}\\ \overline{811}\\ \underline{5211}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{3262}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{10537}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{34873}\\ \underline{10537}\\ \overline{34873}\\ \underline{10537}\\ \underline{34873}\\ \underline{10537}\\ \underline{10537}\\ \underline{34873}\\ \underline{10537}\\ \underline$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{42}{139} \\ \frac{13}{139} \\ \frac{13}{139} \\ \frac{13}{1251} \\ \frac{74}{417} \\ \frac{172}{1251} \\ \frac{22}{1251} \\ \frac{21}{139} \\ \frac{65}{417} \\ \frac{21}{1251} \\ \frac{64}{147} \\ \frac{194}{1251} \\ \frac{64}{1251} \\ \frac{21}{139} \\ \frac{65}{417} \\ \frac{22}{139} \\ \frac{172}{1251} \\ \frac{74}{417} \\ \frac{187}{1251} \\ \frac{74}{139} \\ \frac{139}{139} \\ \frac{42}{139} \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{31248}{103415}\\ \frac{31248}{103415}\\ \frac{103415}{103415}\\ \frac{15458}{103415}\\ \frac{103415}{103415}\\ \frac{384}{2795}\\ \frac{103415}{103415}\\ \frac{1241}{7955}\\ \frac{3114}{20683}\\ \frac{16119}{103415}\\ \frac{15848}{103415}\\ \frac{15848}{103415}\\ \frac{16376}{103415}\\ \frac{3114}{7955}\\ \frac{3114}{2795}\\ \frac{3114}{20683}\\ \frac{16376}{103415}\\ \frac{384}{2795}\\ \frac{16376}{103415}\\ \frac{15458}{103415}\\ \frac{15458}{103415}\\ \frac{15458}{103415}\\ \frac{15458}{103415}\\ \frac{103415}{31248}\\ 103415\\ \frac{31248}{103415}\\ \frac{103415}{31248}\\ 103415\\ \frac{103415}{31248}\\ 10$	$\begin{bmatrix} 26905\\ \overline{89043}\\ 2776\\ 29681\\ 26617\\ \overline{178086}\\ 10537\\ \overline{59362}\\ 12238\\ \overline{89043}\\ 4697\\ \overline{29681}\\ 13898\\ \overline{89043}\\ 89043\\ \overline{89043}\\ 8945\\ \overline{59362}\\ 27665\\ \overline{178086}\\ 4572\\ \overline{29681}\\ 27665\\ \overline{178086}\\ \overline{4572}\\ \overline{29681}\\ 27665\\ \overline{178086}\\ \overline{89043}\\ 4572\\ \overline{29681}\\ 27665\\ \overline{138988}\\ \overline{89043}\\ 4697\\ \overline{29681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{129681}\\ \overline{29681}\\ 29681$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2155\\7132\\667\\7132\\11460\\76669\\10537\\76669\\10537\\76669\\10537\\76669\\10537\\76669\\1129\\7132\\47835\\306676\\11559\\76669\\11920\\76669\\11920\\76669\\47169\\306676\\11770\\76669\\11920\\76669\\11920\\76669\\11920\\76669\\11920\\76669\\11559\\76669\\11920\\76669\\11920\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1155\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1155\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1129\\76669\\1155\\76669\\11460\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\13608\\76669\\132\\2155\\7132\\7155\\7132\\7155\\7132\\7155\\7155\\7155\\7155\\7155\\7155\\7155\\715$

Table 25: Vectors used in the proof of the lower bound for $mp_{f,2}(P_m \Box C_n)$ for $14 \le m \le 22$