

# HESSIAN OF THE BUSEMANN FUNCTION ON DAMEK-RICCI SPACES

HIROYASU SATOH

ABSTRACT. In this note, we calculate the Hessian  $H_\theta = \nabla db_\theta$  of the Busemann function  $b_\theta$  on a Damek-Ricci space. We investigate eigenvalues of  $H_\theta$  and show its positive definiteness.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Damek-Ricci space is a Riemannian manifold formed by a one-dimensional extension of a nilpotent Lie group known as the generalized Heisenberg group. This class of manifolds includes the complex hyperbolic space, quaternionic hyperbolic space, and the octonionic hyperbolic plane. As a special case, the real hyperbolic space can also be regarded as a Damek-Ricci space.

A Riemannian manifold  $M$  is called a harmonic manifold if the volume density function of any geodesic sphere in  $M$  depends only on the radius. A conjecture about harmonic manifolds states that *harmonic manifolds are either rank one symmetric spaces or flat Euclidean spaces*. This conjecture, known as the Lichnerowicz conjecture, has been proven true in the 4-dimensional case and the compact case. However, the Damek-Ricci spaces provide counterexamples to this conjecture in the non-compact case. For details on the Lichnerowicz conjecture, see [9].

The Busemann function  $b_\gamma$ , determined by a geodesic  $\gamma$ , acts like a distance function from infinity, plays a crucial role in the analysis of non-compact spaces. In spaces such as a Hadamard manifold  $M$ , where an ideal boundary  $\partial M$  can be defined, the Busemann function can be regarded as a function on  $M$  determined by a boundary point  $\theta \in \partial M$ , provided that a base point is fixed. The Busemann function is significant for analyzing the asymptotic behavior of geodesics and for understanding the structure of the ideal boundary.

A level hypersurface of a Busemann function is called a horosphere. The shape operator of a horosphere is determined by the Hessian of the Busemann function. Note that while the Hessian of a function is a  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field, it can be naturally regarded as a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field via the metric  $g$ , as is customary. In rank one symmetric spaces of non-compact type, the Hessian  $H_\theta$  of the Busemann function can be expressed as

$$H_\theta = g - db_\theta \otimes db_\theta + \sum_{i=1}^d db_\theta \circ J_i \otimes db_\theta \circ J_i,$$

where  $\{J_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$  is the associated complex ( $d = 1$ ) or quaternionic ( $d = 3$ ), octonionic ( $d = 7$ ) structure. In the case of real hyperbolic space, the summation term vanishes. This indicates that horospheres are hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures, and their spectra are common for all horospheres. *Does this property characterize rank one symmetric spaces of non-compact type as the only*

---

*Date:* December 17, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20, 58C40, 22E60.

*Key words and phrases.* Damek-Ricci space, Busemann function, Hessian, eigenvalue.

*non-compact Riemannian manifolds with such features?* In [8], we investigated this question and obtained partial results. For example, suppose all horospheres in  $M$  are umbilic at every point, and the values of the principal curvatures are the same for all horospheres. In that case,  $M$  is isometric to real hyperbolic space [8, Theorem 1.1].

In this paper, we compute the Hessian of the Busemann function on Damek-Ricci spaces and analyze the properties of its spectrum.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $S$  be a Damek-Ricci space, which is a one-dimensional extension of the generalized Heisenberg group  $N = \exp(\mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z})$  and  $\partial S \cong N \cup \{\infty\}$  be the ideal boundary of  $S$ . Let  $b_\theta$  be the Busemann function on  $S$  determined by a boundary point  $\theta \in \partial S$  and  $H_\theta$  be its Hessian.

- (1) When  $\theta = \infty$ ,  $H_\theta$  has eigenvalues  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1$  at any point  $p \in S$ , with multiplicities  $1, k = \dim \mathfrak{v}$  and  $m = \dim \mathfrak{z}$ , respectively.
- (2) When  $\theta = (v, z) (\neq \infty)$  and  $p = (V, Z, a) \in S \cong \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ ,
  - (2-i) if  $\mathcal{V} = v - V = 0$  or  $\mathcal{Z} = z - Z - \frac{1}{2}[V, v] = 0$ , then  $H_\theta$  has eigenvalues  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1$  at  $p$ , with multiplicities  $1, k$  and  $m$ , respectively.
  - (2-ii) if  $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} \neq 0$ , then
    - (2-ii-a) The restriction  $H_\theta|_{\mathfrak{s}_4}$  has eigenvalues  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1$  at  $p$ , with multiplicities  $1, 2$  and  $1$ , respectively. Here  $\mathfrak{s}_4$  is a subspace of  $T_p S$  spanned by  $\{\mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Z}, A\}$ .
    - (2-ii-b) The eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $H_\theta|_{\mathfrak{s}_4^\perp}$  are solutions of the following cubic equation

$$\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (\lambda - 1) = -(\mu + 1) \frac{a^2 |\mathcal{V}|^4 |\mathcal{Z}|^2}{8F^3} \quad (1)$$

and these are all positive. Here  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of the symmetric operator  $K^2$  (see Section 2.2 for the definition), and  $F$  is a positive function on  $S \times N$  (see Section 3.1.2 for the definition).

This theorem shows that if all horospheres in a Damek-Ricci space  $S$  have constant principal curvatures, then  $S$  is a rank one symmetric space of non-compact type.

**Remark 2.** We note that the foundational aspects of the Hessian computation in [6] are based on earlier results from a preliminary version of this work. In [6], the focus is on the spectral analysis of the Hessian in connection with the rank of geodesics and the visibility axiom, utilizing a slightly different framework and notation. In contrast, this paper revisits the Hessian's spectral properties from a broader perspective, further clarifying the eigenvalue structure and its implications in the geometry of Damek-Ricci spaces. These differences in approach provide complementary insights into the problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide the necessary preliminaries, including a review of generalized Heisenberg groups, the operator  $K$ , and the definition of Damek-Ricci spaces. Section 3 focuses on the Hessian  $H_\theta$  of the Busemann function on Damek-Ricci spaces, where we analyze its components and eigenvalues in detail for both  $\theta = \infty$  and  $\theta \neq \infty$ . The proof of the main theorem, Theorem 1, is presented here, including the spectral properties of  $H_\theta$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Generalized Heisenberg groups.** Let  $(\mathfrak{n}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}})$  denote a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with a positive definite inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  denote the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\mathfrak{v}$  its orthogonal complement. For  $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ , we define a skew-symmetric linear map  $J_Z : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$  by  $\langle J_Z V_1, V_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} = \langle Z, [V_1, V_2]_{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$  for  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{v}$ . If for every  $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ ,

$$(J_Z)^2 = -|Z|^2 \text{id}_{\mathfrak{v}} \quad (2)$$

holds, then we say that  $\mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{n}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}})$  is a *generalized Heisenberg algebra*. Here  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{v}}$  is the identity map on  $\mathfrak{v}$ .

**Lemma 3** ([3, p.24–25]). Let  $(\mathfrak{n}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}})$  be a generalized Heisenberg algebra. For  $V, V_i \in \mathfrak{v}$  and  $Z, Z_i \in \mathfrak{z}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), the following equations hold:

- (i)  $J_{Z_1} \circ J_{Z_2} + J_{Z_2} \circ J_{Z_1} = -2\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{v}}$
- (ii)  $\langle J_{Z_1} V_1, J_{Z_2} V_2 \rangle + \langle J_{Z_2} V_1, J_{Z_1} V_2 \rangle = 2\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle$
- (iii)  $\langle J_Z V_1, J_Z V_2 \rangle = |Z|^2 \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle$
- (iv)  $\langle J_{Z_1} V, J_{Z_2} V \rangle = |V|^2 \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle$
- (v)  $[J_Z V_1, V_2] - [V_1, J_Z V_2] = -2\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle Z$
- (vi)  $[J_{Z_1} V, J_{Z_2} V] = [V, J_{Z_1} J_{Z_2} V]$
- (vii)  $[J_Z V_1, J_Z V_2] = -|Z|^2 [V_1, V_2] - 2\langle V_1, J_Z V_2 \rangle Z$
- (viii)  $[V, J_Z V] = |V|^2 Z$

*Proof.* Using the polarization identity, (i) can be derived from (2). (ii) is obtained from the skew-symmetry of  $J_Z$  and (i). In (ii), replacing  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  with  $Z$  yields (iii). Similarly, in (ii), replacing  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with  $V$  yields (iv).

Equations (v) and (i) are equivalent. In (v), replacing  $Z$  with  $Z_1$ ,  $V_1$  with  $V$ , and  $V_2$  with  $J_{Z_2} V$  yields (vi). In (v), replacing  $V_2$  with  $J_Z V_2$  also yields (vi). Additionally, in (v), replacing both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with  $V$  yields (vi).  $\square$

We denote the formal adjoint map of  $\text{ad}(V) = [V, \cdot] : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$  by  $\text{ad}(V)^*$ . Then, (v) and (viii) in lemma 3 are written as

$$\text{ad}(V_1) \circ \text{ad}(V_2)^* + \text{ad}(V_2) \circ \text{ad}(V_1)^* = 2\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{z}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{ad}(V) \circ \text{ad}(V)^* = |V|^2 \text{id}_{\mathfrak{z}}. \quad (4)$$

Fix a non-zero vector  $V \in \mathfrak{v}$ , we obtain an orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathfrak{v}$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{v} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V)) \oplus J_3 V, \quad J_3 V := \{J_Y V \mid Y \in \mathfrak{z}\}. \quad (5)$$

Since  $V \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))$  can be decomposed as the orthogonal direct sum  $\mathbb{R}V$  and its orthogonal complement in  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))$ , denoted by  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0$ :

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}V \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0 \oplus J_3 V. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, fix a non-zero vector  $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ , we obtain an orthogonal decomposition

$$J_3 V = \mathbb{R}J_Z V \oplus J_{Z^\perp} V, \quad Z^\perp = \{Y \in \mathfrak{z} \mid \langle Z, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

Hence we have

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}V \oplus \mathbb{R}J_Z V \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0 \oplus J_{Z^\perp} V. \quad (8)$$

By using  $J_Z V$  instead of  $V$ , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}V \oplus \mathbb{R}J_Z V \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(J_Z V))_0 \oplus J_{Z^\perp}(J_Z V). \quad (9)$$

From (8) and (9), we have

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}V \oplus \mathbb{R}J_Z V \oplus \text{span}\{J_{Z^\perp} V, J_{Z^\perp}(J_Z V)\} \oplus (\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0 \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(J_Z V))_0). \quad (10)$$

If  $\mathfrak{n}$  satisfies the  $J^2$ -condition, i.e., for any orthogonal vectors  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathfrak{z}$ , there exists  $Z_3 \in \mathfrak{z}$  such that  $J_{Z_1} \circ J_{Z_2} = J_{Z_3}$ , then we can find that

$$J_{Z^\perp} V = J_{Z^\perp}(J_Z V), \quad \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0 = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(J_Z V))_0.$$

**2.2. The operator  $K$  and the map  $\overline{K}$ .** In this section, we choose arbitrary unit vectors  $V \in \mathfrak{v}, Z \in \mathfrak{z}$  and fix them.

**Definition 4.** We define an endomorphism  $K = K_{V,Z} : Z^\perp \rightarrow Z^\perp$  by

$$K(X) := \text{ad}(V) \circ \text{ad}(J_Z V)^*(X) = [V, J_X J_Z V].$$

We can immediately see that  $K_{V,Z}$  is skew-symmetric with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$ .

The operator  $K$  can be interpreted as follows. From the decomposition (8) of  $\mathfrak{v}$ , we find that for  $X \in Z^\perp$  there exist  $U \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0$  and  $Z' \in Z^\perp$  such that  $J_X J_Z V = U + J_{Z'} V$ . Then, by using lemma 3 (viii), we have

$$K(X) = [V, J_X J_Z V] = [V, J_{Z'} V + U] = [V, J_{Z'} V] = |V|^2 Z' = Z',$$

from which we have

$$J_X J_Z V = J_{K_{V,Z}(X)} V + U. \quad (11)$$

Therefore,  $J_{K_{V,Z}(X)} V$  is the  $J_{\mathfrak{z}}$ -part of  $J_X J_Z V$ .

**Remark 5.** Since  $K^2$  is a symmetric operator, the eigenvalues of  $K^2$  are all real numbers and it is also known that they lie within  $[-1, 0]$ . Moreover, the eigenspace  $L_\mu$  corresponding to a non-zero eigenvalue  $\mu$  has even dimension, because if  $K^2(X) = \mu X$ , then  $K^2(K(X)) = \mu K(X)$ . See [3, pp.33–34] for details.

**Definition 6.** We define a linear map  $\overline{K}_{V,Z} : \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0 \rightarrow Z^\perp$  by the restriction of  $\text{ad}(J_Z V)$  to  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0$ .

**Lemma 7.** The operator  $K = K_{V,Z}$  and the map  $\overline{K} = \overline{K}_{V,Z}$  satisfy

$$\overline{K} \circ \overline{K}^* = \text{id}_{Z^\perp} + K^2, \quad (12)$$

where the asterisk  $*$  means the formal adjoint map with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$ .

*Proof.* At first, we remark that  $\text{ad}(J_Z V)^*(Z^\perp)$  is not a subset of  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0$ . Hence, we have  $\overline{K}^* = \pi_0 \circ \text{ad}(J_Z V)^*$ , where  $\pi_0$  is the projection from  $\mathfrak{v}$  onto  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0$ . From (11), we have for any  $X \in Z^\perp$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K} \circ \overline{K}^*(X) &= \text{ad}(J_Z V) \circ \pi_0 \circ \text{ad}(J_Z V)^*(X) \\ &= \text{ad}(J_Z V) \circ \pi_0(J_X J_Z V) \\ &= \text{ad}(J_Z V)(J_X J_Z V - J_{K_{V,Z}(X)} V) \\ &= [J_Z V, J_X J_Z V] - [J_Z V, J_{K_{V,Z}(X)} V] \\ &= |J_Z V|^2 X - [J_{K_{V,Z}(X)} J_Z V, V] \\ &= X + [V, J_{K_{V,Z}(X)} J_Z V] = X + K_{V,Z}^2(X), \end{aligned}$$

from which (12) is obtained.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** (i) the subspace  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(V))_0 \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(J_Z V))_0$  in (10) is the kernel of  $\overline{K}_{V,Z}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathfrak{n}$  satisfies the  $J^2$ -condition, then  $\overline{K}_{V,Z}^* = 0$ . Hence, in this case,  $K_{V,Z}^2 = -\text{id}_{Z^\perp}$ .

**2.3. Damek-Ricci spaces.** Let  $(\mathfrak{n}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}})$  be a generalized Heisenberg algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}A$  is a one dimensional real vector space with generator  $A$ , and define a bracket product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{s}}$  and an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$  by

$$[U_1 + Y_1 + s_1 A, U_2 + Y_2 + s_2 A]_{\mathfrak{s}} = \frac{s_1}{2} U_2 - \frac{s_2}{2} U_1 + s_1 Y_2 - s_2 Y_1 + [U_1, U_2]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \quad (13)$$

$$\langle U_1 + Y_1 + s_1 A, U_2 + Y_2 + s_2 A \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} + \langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} + s_1 s_2, \quad (14)$$

where  $U_i \in \mathfrak{v}, Z_i \in \mathfrak{z}, s_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), respectively. We find immediately that the derived subalgebra  $[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]_{\mathfrak{s}}$  of  $\mathfrak{s}$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{n}$ . This shows that  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a solvable Lie algebra.

**Definition 9** ([4]). Let  $S$  be a simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $(\mathfrak{s}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{s}})$  equipped with the left invariant metric  $g$  induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ . We call  $(S, g)$  a *Damek-Ricci space*.

If we identify  $S$  with  $\mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  via the exponential map, the group structure on  $S$  is given by

$$(V_1, Z_1, a_1) \cdot (V_2, Z_2, a_2) = \left( V + \sqrt{a_1}V_2, Z + a_1Z_2 + \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{2}[V_1, V_2], a_1a_2 \right). \quad (15)$$

We refer to [3, Chap. 4] for details.

**2.4. The Busemann function.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete, simply connected, non-compact Riemannian manifold with no focal points. Here no focal point means that every geodesic has no focal point as a one dimensional submanifold (see [5]). Then, for a geodesic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  with unit speed, we can define a function  $b_\gamma$  on  $M$ , called the *Busemann function*, by

$$b_\gamma(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (d(\gamma(t), x) - t). \quad (16)$$

The Busemann function is a  $C^2$ -convex function [2]. Then we can define the Hessian  $\nabla db_\gamma$  of the Busemann function and find that  $\nabla db_\gamma$  is positive semi-definite.

**Remark 10.** The gradient vector field  $\nabla b_\gamma$  of the Busemann function  $b_\gamma$  is a unit vector field. Hence, we find that the Hessian of  $b_\gamma$  has 0-eigenvalue associated with  $\nabla b_\gamma$ .

Every Riemannian manifold  $M$ , such as those described above, carries its ideal boundary, denoted by  $\partial M$ , which is a quotient space of all geodesic rays on  $M$  divided by an equivalence relation  $\sim$  defined as follows:  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$  if and only if  $d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty$  on  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . If  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ , then  $b_{\gamma_1} - b_{\gamma_2}$  is a constant function on  $M$ . Hence, we find that if  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ , then the Hessian of  $b_{\gamma_1}$  coincides with of  $b_{\gamma_2}$ . Namely, the Hessian of the Busemann function, denoted by  $H_\theta$ , is determined for a boundary point  $\theta \in \partial M$ .

We refer to [5] for details about the Busemann function.

A Damek-Ricci space  $S$  is a Hadamard manifold, i.e., a complete, simply connected, non-compact Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature is non-positive. It is known that such a space has no focal points (see [5]). The ideal boundary of  $S$  is identified with  $N \cup \{\infty\}$  (see [1, 7]). Let  $b_\theta$  be the Busemann function of a Damek-Ricci space  $S$ . We refer to a group-theoretic representation of the Busemann function to [7] as follows.

**Proposition 11** ([7, Theorem 4]). For  $p = (V, Z, a) \in S \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z} \times \mathbb{R}$ , the Busemann function is represented by

$$b_\theta(p) = \begin{cases} \log \left( \frac{(a + \frac{1}{4}|v - V|_{\mathfrak{n}}^2)^2 + |z - Z - \frac{1}{2}[V, v]_{\mathfrak{n}}|^2}{a \left( (1 + \frac{1}{4}|v|_{\mathfrak{n}}^2)^2 + |z|_{\mathfrak{n}}^2 \right)} \right), & \theta = (v, z) \\ -\log a, & \theta = \infty \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

### 3. HESSIAN OF THE BUSEMANN FUNCTION ON $S$

In this section, we compute the Hessian  $H_\theta = \nabla db_\theta$  of the Busemann function  $b_\theta$  on a Damek-Ricci space  $S$ . We consider both cases:  $\theta = \infty$  and  $\theta \neq \infty$ , and analyze the eigenvalues of  $H_\theta$  in detail. The map  $K^2$  and its spectral properties play a key role in our computations.

**3.1. Components of  $H_\theta$ .** To proceed, we introduce a global coordinate system for  $S \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$  and  $\{e_{k+r}\}_{r=1,\dots,m}$  be orthonormal bases of  $\mathfrak{v}$  and  $\mathfrak{z}$ , respectively, with  $V^i, i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $Z^r, r = 1, \dots, m$  as the corresponding coordinates on  $N \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z}$ . Here  $k = \dim \mathfrak{v}$  and  $m = \dim \mathfrak{z}$ . Moreover, we set  $a : \mathbb{R}A \ni sA \mapsto e^s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then, a left-invariant orthonormal frame field of  $S$  at  $p = (V, Z, a)$  is written as

$$\begin{cases} E_0 = a \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \\ E_i = \sqrt{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i} - \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{r=1}^m A_{ij}^r V^j \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^r}, & (i=1, 2, \dots, k), \\ E_{k+r} = a \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^r}, & (r=1, 2, \dots, m), \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where  $A_{ij}^r := \langle [e_i, e_j]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle$  (see [3, 4.1.5]). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_i} E_j &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_r A_{ij}^r E_{k+r} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} E_0, & \nabla_{E_i} E_{k+r} &= \nabla_{E_{k+r}} E_i = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j A_{ij}^r E_j, \\ \nabla_{E_i} E_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} E_i, & \nabla_{E_{k+r}} E_{k+l} &= \delta_{rl} E_0, & \nabla_{E_{k+r}} E_0 &= -E_{k+r}, & \nabla_{E_0} E_\alpha &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq i, j \leq k, 1 \leq r, l \leq m$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq k+m$  (see [3, 4.1.6]).

Now we compute components of  $H_\theta = (b_{\alpha,\beta})$  with respect to  $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0,1,\dots,k+m}$ , i.e.,

$$b_{\alpha,\beta} := (\nabla_{E_\alpha} db_\theta)(E_\beta) = E_\alpha(E_\beta b_\theta) - (\nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta) b_\theta.$$

**3.1.1. The case of  $\theta = \infty$ .** From Proposition 11, we immediately obtain the following.

**Theorem 12.** If  $\theta = \infty$ , then we have

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad b_{k+r,k+l} = \delta_{rl}, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.$$

From this theorem, we obtain Theorem 1 (1). This result aligns with the known structure of horospheres in symmetric spaces.

**3.1.2. The case of  $\theta \neq \infty$ .** To compute  $H_\theta$  in the case  $\theta \neq \infty$ , it is convenient to define certain maps on  $S \times N$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} : S \times N &\rightarrow \mathfrak{v}; & \mathcal{V}(p, \theta) &= v - V, \\ \mathcal{Z} : S \times N &\rightarrow \mathfrak{z}; & \mathcal{Z}(p, \theta) &= z - Z - \frac{1}{2}[V, v]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and positive functions  $f, F : S \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$f(p, \theta) = a + \frac{1}{4} |\mathcal{V}(p, \theta)|^2, \quad F(p, \theta) = f(p, \theta)^2 + |\mathcal{Z}(p, \theta)|^2, \quad (20)$$

where  $x = (V, Z, a) \in S$  and  $\theta = (v, z) \in N \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z}$ . Then, we have

$$b_\theta(p) = \log F(p, \theta) - \log a + C(\theta). \quad (21)$$

Here  $N$  means  $\partial S \setminus \{\infty\}$  and  $C(\theta)$  is a certain function on  $N$ . Below, we will omit the variables  $(p, \theta)$  and denote simply as  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Z}, f$  and  $F$ .

Easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 f &= a, & E_i f &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2} \langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle, & E_{k+r} f &= 0, \\ E_0 F &= 2af, & E_i F &= -\sqrt{a} \langle f\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle, & E_{k+r} F &= -2a \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by using lemma 3, we have

$$b_{0,0} = \frac{2a}{F^2} (fF + aF - 2af^2), \quad (22)$$

$$b_{0,i} = -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F^2} \{ (fF + 2aF - 4af^2) \langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle + (4af - F) \langle J_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \}, \quad (23)$$

$$b_{0,k+r} = \frac{2a}{F^2} (2af - F) \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \quad (24)$$

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{a}{2F} (\langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle \mathcal{V}, e_j \rangle + \langle [e_i, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, [e_j, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle) - \frac{a}{F^2} \langle f\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle f\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{V}, e_j \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad (25)$$

$$b_{i,k+r} = -\frac{2a\sqrt{a}}{F^2} \langle f\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+r} \rangle - \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \langle [e_i, (f - 2a)\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \quad (26)$$

$$b_{k+r,k+l} = -\frac{4a^2}{F^2} \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+r} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+l} \rangle + \frac{1}{F} (F - 2af + 2a^2) \delta_{rl}. \quad (27)$$

**Proposition 13.** Assume that  $\theta \neq \infty$ .

(I) If  $\mathcal{V} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ , then we have

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad b_{k+r,k+l} = \delta_{rl}, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.$$

(II) If  $\mathcal{V} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} \neq 0$ , then we have

$$b_{0,0} = \frac{4a^2}{F^2} (F - a^2), \quad b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad b_{k+r,k+l} = -\frac{4a^2}{F^2} \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+r} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+l} \rangle + \delta_{rl},$$

$$b_{0,k+r} = \frac{2a}{F^2} (2a^2 - F) \langle \mathcal{Z}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.$$

(III) If  $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ , then we have

$$b_{0,0} = \frac{2a(f-a)}{f^2}, \quad b_{i,j} = \frac{a}{2f^2} (-\langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle \mathcal{V}, e_j \rangle + \langle [e_i, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, [e_j, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij},$$

$$b_{0,i} = -\frac{\sqrt{a}(f-2a)}{2f^2} \langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle, \quad b_{i,k+r} = \frac{\sqrt{a}(f-2a)}{2f^2} \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+r}} \mathcal{V} \rangle,$$

$$b_{k+r,k+l} = \frac{1}{F} (F - 2af + 2a^2) \delta_{rl}, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* From (20), if  $\mathcal{V} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ , then we have  $f = a$  and  $F = f^2$ , respectively. Substituting these equations into (22) – (27), we obtain our lemma.  $\square$

From the above proposition, we obtain Theorem 1 (2-i)

*Proof of Theorem 1 (2-i).* (I) Case of  $\mathcal{V} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = 0$  : Our assertion is trivial from lemma 13 (i).

(II) Case of  $\mathcal{V} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} \neq 0$  : We choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{k+r}\}_{r=1,\dots,m}$  of  $\mathfrak{z}$  satisfying

$$e_{k+1} = \overline{\mathcal{Z}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|} \mathcal{Z}, \quad \text{and} \quad e_{k+r} \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp, r = 2, \dots, m. \quad (28)$$

Then, we can write the matrix  $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ , of which some rows and columns are interchanged, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & O & O \\ O & \frac{1}{2} \text{id}_{\mathfrak{b}} & O \\ O & O & \text{id}_{\mathcal{Z}^\perp} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,k+1} \\ b_{k+1,0} & b_{k+1,k+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{F^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4a^2(F-a^2) & 2a(2a^2-F)\sqrt{F-a^2} \\ 2a(2a^2-F)\sqrt{F-a^2} & (F-2a^2)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Easy computation show that  $\det(B_1) = 0$  and  $\det(B_1 - I_2) = 0$  from which we find that eigenvalues of the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $B_1$  are 0 and 1. Hence, in this case the matrix  $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$  has eigenvalues  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  and 1 whose multiplicities are 1,  $k$  and  $1 + (m-1) = m$ , respectively.

(III) Case  $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = 0$  : We choose an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{v}$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = \bar{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|}\mathcal{V}, \\ e_i \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\mathcal{V}))_0, & i=2, \dots, k-m, \\ e_{(k-m)+r} = J_{e_{k+r}}\bar{\mathcal{V}} = \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}})^*(e_{k+r}) \in J_3\mathcal{V}, & r=1, \dots, m, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

We remark that in this case  $e_{k+r} = \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}})(e_{(k-m)+r})$ . Then, we can write the matrix  $H_\theta = (b_{\alpha,\beta})$  of which some rows and columns are interchanged, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_2 & O & O \\ O & \frac{1}{2} \text{id}_{\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\mathcal{V}))_0} & O \\ O & O & B_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,1} \\ b_{1,0} & b_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{f^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2a(f-a) & -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) \\ -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) & \frac{1}{2}(f-2a)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} (b_{k-m+r,k-m+l}) & (b_{k-m+r,k+l}) \\ (b_{k+r,k-m+l}) & (b_{k+r,k+l}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{f^2} \begin{pmatrix} \{2a(f-a) + \frac{1}{2}f^2\} \text{id}_{J_3\mathcal{V}} & -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}})^* \circ \text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} \\ -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) \text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} \circ \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}}) & (f^2 - 2af + 2a^2) \text{id}_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Easy computation show that  $\det(B_2) = 0$  and  $\det(B_2 - \frac{1}{2}I_2) = 0$  from which we find that eigenvalues of the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $B_2$  are 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . On the other hand, by appropriately permuting the rows and columns of  $B_3$ , it becomes a block diagonal matrix with the following  $2 \times 2$ -matrix

$$B'_3 = \frac{1}{f^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2a(f-a) + \frac{1}{2}f^2 & -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) \\ -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) & f^2 - 2a(f-a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that satisfies  $B'_3$  satisfies  $\det(B'_3 - I_2) = \det(B'_3 - \frac{1}{2}I_2) = 0$ , which means that  $B_3$  has eigenvalues  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 1 whose multiplicities are  $m$ . Hence, in this case the matrix  $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$  has eigenvalues  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  and 1 whose multiplicities are 1,  $1 + (k-m-1) + m = k$  and  $m$ , respectively.  $\square$

From these computations, we find that when  $\mathcal{V} = 0$  or  $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ , the eigenvalues remain  $0, \frac{1}{2}$ , and 1. For the general case, the eigenvalues are solutions of a cubic equation, indicating a richer structure.



3.1.3. *The case of  $\theta \neq \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z} \neq 0$ .* We choose orthonormal basis  $\{e_i, e_{k+r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq r \leq m}$  of  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$  satisfying (28) and (29).

We set a  $4 \times 4$ -matrix  $B_0$  defined by

$$B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,1} & b_{0,k-m+1} & b_{0,k+1} \\ b_{1,0} & b_{1,1} & b_{1,k-m+1} & b_{1,k+1} \\ b_{k-m+1,0} & b_{k-m+1,1} & b_{k-m+1,k-m+1} & b_{k-m+1,k+1} \\ b_{k+1,0} & b_{k+1,1} & b_{k+1,k-m+1} & b_{k+1,k+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,0} &= \frac{2a}{F^2} (fF + aF - 2af^2), \\ b_{1,0} &= -b_{k+1,k-m+1} = -\frac{1}{F^2} (fF + 2aF - 4af^2) \sqrt{a(f-a)}, \\ b_{k-m+1,0} &= b_{k+1,1} = -\frac{1}{F^2} (4af - F) \sqrt{a(f-a)(F-f^2)}, \\ b_{k+1,0} &= \frac{2a}{F^2} (2af - F) \sqrt{F-f^2}, \\ b_{1,1} &= -\frac{2a}{F^2} (f-a)(2f^2 - F) + \frac{1}{2}, \\ b_{k-m+1,1} &= \frac{4af}{F^2} (f-a) \sqrt{F-f^2}, \\ b_{k-m+1,k-m+1} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2a}{F^2} (f-a)(2f^2 - F), \\ b_{k+1,k+1} &= 1 - \frac{2a}{F^2} (fF + aF - 2af^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 14.** The eigenvalues of  $B_0$  are  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1$  whose multiplicities are  $1, 2$  and  $1$ , respectively.

*Proof.* We can compute that

$$\det(B_0) = \det(B_0 - I_4) = \det\left(B_0 - \frac{1}{2}I_4\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}(B_0) = 2,$$

from which we obtain our result. Here  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.  $\square$

The above theorem implies Theorem 1 (2-ii-a).

From (26), for  $2 \leq i \leq k-m$  and  $2 \leq r \leq m$ , we have

$$b_{i,k+r} = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \langle [e_i, J_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} |\mathcal{V}| |\mathcal{Z}| \langle \overline{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}(e_i), e_{k+r} \rangle.$$

On the other hand, from (26), for  $2 \leq r, l \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{(k-m)+l,k+r} &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \langle [J_{e_{k+l}} \overline{\mathcal{V}}, (f-2a)\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \left\{ (f-2a) \langle [J_{e_{k+l}} \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle - \langle [J_{e_{k+l}} \overline{\mathcal{V}}, J_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \left\{ -(f-2a) \langle \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \langle e_{k+l}, e_{k+r} \rangle - \langle \overline{\mathcal{V}}, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V} \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \left\{ (f-2a) |\mathcal{V}| \langle e_{k+l}, e_{k+r} \rangle + |\mathcal{V}| |\mathcal{Z}| \langle \overline{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}(e_{k+l}), e_{k+r} \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 15.** We set

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2a}{F} (f-a) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{2F} |\mathcal{V}|^2, \quad (30)$$

$$b_2 = 1 - \frac{2a}{F} (f-a) = 1 - \frac{a}{2F} |\mathcal{V}|^2, \quad (31)$$

$$b_3 = \frac{1}{F}(f - 2a)\sqrt{a(f - a)} = \frac{1}{2F}(f - 2a)\sqrt{a}|\mathcal{V}|, \quad (32)$$

$$b_4 = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F}|\mathcal{Z}||\mathcal{V}|. \quad (33)$$

Then, the matrix  $H_\theta = (b_{\alpha,\beta})$ , of which some rows and columns are interchanged can be described as follows:

$$H_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\text{id}_{\text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}}))_0} & O & -b_4\bar{K}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}^* \\ O & b_1\text{id}_{J_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp}\bar{\mathcal{V}}} & \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}})^* \circ (b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} - b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}) \\ -b_4\bar{K}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}} & (b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} + b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}) \circ \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}}) & b_2\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Moreover,  $b_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  satisfy

$$b_1b_2 - b_3^2 - b_4^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_1 + b_2 = \frac{3}{2}. \quad (35)$$

Here, we examine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $B$ . Let

$$\mathbf{x} = U + J_{Z_1}\bar{\mathcal{V}} + Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ J_{Z_1}\bar{\mathcal{V}} \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \in T_pS$$

be an eigenvector of  $B$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , where  $U \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}}))_0$ ,  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp$ . The equation  $(B - \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = 0$  is equivalent to the following three equations:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)U - b_4\bar{K}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}^*Z_2 = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$(b_1 - \lambda)J_{Z_1}\bar{\mathcal{V}} + \text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}})^* \circ (b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} - b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}})Z_2 = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$-b_4\bar{K}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}U + (b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} + b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}})Z_1 + (b_2 - \lambda)Z_2 = 0. \quad (38)$$

From these equations, we derive the conditions that  $U, Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$  must satisfy.

By applying  $\text{ad}(\bar{\mathcal{V}})$  to both sides of (37), we have

$$(b_1 - \lambda)Z_1 + (b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} - b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}})Z_2 = 0, \quad (39)$$

which means that  $Z_1$  is expressed by using  $Z_2$ . By multiplying  $(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)(b_1 - \lambda)$  to (38) and eliminating  $U$  and  $Z_1$  using (36) and (39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(b_1 - \lambda)b_4^2\bar{K}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}^*\bar{K}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}Z_2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)(b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} + b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}})(b_3\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} - b_4K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}})Z_2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)(b_1 - \lambda)(b_2 - \lambda)Z_2 \\ &= -(b_1 - \lambda)b_4^2(\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} + K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}^2)Z_2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)(b_3^2\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} - b_4^2K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}^2)Z_2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)\left(\lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda + b_1b_2\right)Z_2 \\ &= \left\{- (b_1 - \lambda) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)\right\}b_4^2(\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\perp} + K_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}^2)Z_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right) \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda + b_1 b_2 - b_3^2 - b_4^2\right) Z_2 \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1\right) b_4^2 \left(\text{id}_{Z^\perp} + K_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}^2\right) Z_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)^2 (1 - \lambda) Z_2,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}^2 Z_2 & = - \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)^2 (1 - \lambda)}{b_4^2 \left(b_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) Z_2 \\
& = - \left\{1 + \frac{8F^3}{a^2 |\mathcal{V}|^4 |\mathcal{Z}|^2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (\lambda - 1)\right\} Z_2, \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain Theorem 1 (2-ii-b). From the above, the following can be concluded about  $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z^\perp$ ;

(i)  $Z_2 \in L_\mu$ , where

$$\mu = - \left\{1 + \frac{8F^3}{a^2 |\mathcal{V}|^4 |\mathcal{Z}|^2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (\lambda - 1)\right\}, \tag{41}$$

(ii) from (39),  $Z_1$  is determined by  $Z_2$  and it can be seen that also  $Z_1 \in L_\mu$ .

(iii) In addition, when  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows from (36) that  $Z_2$  is not only in  $L_{-1}$  but also in  $\text{Ker}(\overline{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}^*)$ .

**Remark 16.** Since  $\mu \in [-1, 0]$  and  $\frac{F^3}{a^2 |\mathcal{V}|^4 |\mathcal{Z}|^2} > 1$ , we find that  $1 \geq \lambda \geq \lambda_0 (> 0)$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is the solution of the cubic equation

$$\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (\lambda - 1) = -\frac{1}{8}. \tag{42}$$

The condition that  $U \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\mathcal{V}))_0$  must satisfy depends on whether the value of  $\lambda$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$  or not. In the case  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , substituting (39) into (38), and from (35) and  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}^2 Z_2 = -Z_2$ , we have  $\overline{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}} U = 0$ , i.e.,  $U \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}))_0 \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(J_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}}\overline{\mathcal{V}}))_0$ . In the case of  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , from (36), we find that  $U$  can be expressed using  $Z_2$  as

$$U = -\frac{b_4}{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \overline{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}^* Z_2. \tag{43}$$

**Remark 17.** From the definition of  $b_1$ , we have  $0 < b_1 < 1$ , so it is possible that  $\lambda = b_1$ . However, in this case,  $Z_2 = 0$  follows from equation (39),  $U = 0$  follows from (36), and  $Z_1 = 0$  follows from (38). Therefore,  $b_1$  cannot be an eigenvalue of  $B$ .

**Remark 18.** Since  $H_\theta$  is positive semi-definite, it suffices to show that the determinant of  $B$  is positive to show that all eigenvalues of  $H_\theta$  are positive. From properties of a determinant and spectral analysis of  $K^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(B) & = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\text{id} & O & -b_4 \overline{K}^* \\ O & b_1 \text{id} & \text{ad}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})^* \circ (b_3 \text{id} - b_4 K) \\ -b_4 \overline{K} & (b_3 \text{id} + b_4 K) \circ \text{ad}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) & b_2 \text{id} \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\text{id} & O & -b_4 \overline{K}^* \\ O & b_1 \text{id} & \text{ad}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})^* \circ (b_3 \text{id} - b_4 K) \\ O & (b_3 \text{id} + b_4 K) \circ \text{ad}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) & b_2 \text{id} - 2b_4^2 \overline{K} \overline{K}^* \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\text{id} & O & -b_4 \overline{K}^* \\ O & b_1 \text{id} & \text{ad}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})^* \circ (b_3 \text{id} - b_4 K) \\ O & O & b_2 \text{id} - 2b_4^2 \overline{K} \overline{K}^* - \frac{1}{b_1} (b_3 \text{id} + b_4 K) \circ (b_3 \text{id} - b_4 K) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-m-1}} \det (b_1 b_2 \text{id} - 2b_1 b_4^2 (\text{id} + K^2) - (b_3^2 \text{id} - b_4^2 K^2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-m-1}} \det ((b_1 b_2 - b_3^2 - b_4^2) \text{id} - 2b_1 b_4^2 (\text{id} + K^2) + b_4^2 (\text{id} + K^2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-m-1}} \det \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{id} - (2b_1 - 1) b_4^2 (\text{id} + K^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-2}} \det \left( \text{id} - \frac{a^2 |\mathcal{V}|^4 |\mathcal{Z}|^2}{2F^3} (\text{id} + K^2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the eigenvalues  $\mu$  of  $K^2$  satisfies  $-1 \leq \mu \leq 0$  and  $\frac{a^2 |\mathcal{V}|^4 |\mathcal{Z}|^2}{2F^3} < \frac{1}{2}$  holds, we find that  $\det(B) > 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.- P. Anker, E. Damek and C. Yacoub, Spherical analysis on harmonic  $AN$  groups, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **23** (1996), pp.643–679.
- [2] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Progr. Math. **61**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [3] J. Berndt, F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1598**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [4] E. Damek and F. Ricci, A class of nonsymmetric harmonic Riemannian spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1992), pp.139–142.
- [5] N. Innami, Convexity in Riemannian Manifolds without Focal Points, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **3** (1984), pp.311–332.
- [6] M. Itoh, S. Kim, J.H. Park and H. Satoh, Hessian of Busemann functions and rank of Hadamard manifolds, arXiv:1702.03646 (2017).
- [7] M. Itoh and H. Satoh, Information Geometry of Poisson Kernels on Damek-Ricci Spaces, Tokyo J. Math. **33**(2010), pp.129–144.
- [8] M. Itoh and H. Satoh, Horospheres and Hyperbolic Spaces, Kyushu J. Math. **67** (2013), pp.309–326.
- [9] G. Knieper, A survey on noncompact harmonic and asymptotically harmonic manifolds, In: Aravinda CS, Farrell FT, Lafont J-F, eds. *Geometry, Topology, and Dynamics in Negative Curvature*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2016, pp.146–197.

LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES, NIPPON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 4-1 GAKUENDAI, MIYASHIROMACHI, SAITAMA 345-8501, JAPAN

*Email address:* [hiroyasu@nit.ac.jp](mailto:hiroyasu@nit.ac.jp)