Isolation of the diamond graph

Jingru Yan *

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

Abstract

A graph is *H*-free if it does not contain *H* as a subgraph. The diamond graph is the graph obtained from K_4 by deleting one edge. We prove that if *G* is a connected graph with order $n \ge 10$, then there exists a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \le n/5$ such that the graph induced by $V(G) \setminus N[S]$ is diamond-free, where N[S] is the closed neighborhood of *S*. Furthermore, the bound is sharp.

Keywords. Diamond graph, isolating set, isolation number Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C35, 05C69

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The order and the size of a graph G, denoted |V(G)| and |E(G)|, are its number of vertices and edges, respectively. For a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, the neighborhood of S is the set $N_G(S) = \{u \in V(G) \setminus S \mid uv \in E(G), v \in S\}$ and closed neighborhood of S is the set $N_G[S] = N_G(S) \cup S$. Thus $N_G(v)$ and $N_G[v]$ denote the neighborhood and closed neighborhood of $v \in V(G)$, respectively. The degree of v is $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. If the graph G is clear from the context, we will omit it as the subscript. $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the minimum and maximum degree of a graph G, respectively. Denote by G[S] the subgraph of G induced by $S \subseteq V(G)$. For terminology and notations not explicitly described in this paper, readers can refer to related books [1, 9].

Given graphs G and H, the notation G + H means the *disjoint union* of G and H. Then tG denotes the disjoint union of t copies of G. For graphs we will use equality up to isomorphism, so G = H means that G and H are isomorphic. A graph is H-free if it does not contain H as a subgraph. $\kappa(G)$ and $\gamma(G)$ denote the connectivity and domination number of a graph G, respectively. P_n, C_n, K_n and $K_{p,q}$ stand for the *path*, *cycle*, *complete graph* of order n and *complete bipartite graph* with partition sets of p and q vertices, respectively.

^{*}E-mail address: mathyjr@163.com



Let G be a graph and \mathcal{F} a family of graphs. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called an \mathcal{F} -isolating set of G if G - N[S] contains no subgraph isomorphic to any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The minimum cardinality of an \mathcal{F} -isolating set of a graph G will be denoted $\iota(G, \mathcal{F})$ and called the \mathcal{F} -isolation number of G. When $\mathcal{F} = \{H\}$, we simply write $\iota(G, H)$ for $\iota(G, \{H\})$.

The definition of isolation set is a natural extension of the commonly defined dominating set, which was introduced by Caro and Hansberg [5]. Indeed, if $\mathcal{F} = \{K_1\}$, then an \mathcal{F} isolating set coincides with a dominating set and $\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) = \gamma(G)$. A classical result of Ore [7] is that the domination number of a graph G with order n and $\delta(G) \geq 1$ is at most n/2. In other words, if G is a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$, then $\iota(G, K_1) \leq n/2$. Caro and Hansberg [5] focused mainly on $\iota(G, K_2)$ and $\iota(G, K_{1,k+1})$ and gave some basic properties, examples concerning $\iota(G, \mathcal{F})$ and the relation between \mathcal{F} -isolating sets and dominating sets. They [5] proved that if G is a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ that is not a C_5 , then $\iota(G, K_2) \leq \frac{n}{3}$. Since then, Borg [2] showed that if G is a connected graph of order n, then $\iota(G, \{C_k : k \geq 3\}) \leq \frac{n}{4}$ unless G is K_3 . After that, Borg, Fenech and Kaemawichanurat [3] proved that if G is a connected graph of order n, then $\iota(G, K_k) \leq \frac{n}{k+1}$ unless G is K_k , or k = 2 and G is C_5 . Both the bounds are sharp. Then Zhang and Wu [10] gave the result that if G is a connected graph of order n, then $\iota(G, P_3) \leq \frac{2n}{7}$ unless $G \in \{P_3, C_3, C_6\}$, and this bound can be improved to $\frac{n}{4}$ if $G \notin \{P_3, C_7, C_{11}\}$ and the girth of G at least 7. For more research on isolation set, refer to [4, 6, 8].

The diamond graph is the graph obtained from K_4 by deleting one edge (see Figure 1). The book graph with p pages, denoted B_p , is the graph that consists of p triangles sharing a common edge. Obviously, B_2 is the diamond graph. For the convenience of expression, we use B_2 to represent the diamond graph in the sequel.

In this paper, we consider the isolation number of the diamond graph in a connected graph of a given order.

Theorem 1. If G is a connected graph of order n, then, unless G is the diamond graph, K_4 , or Y,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le \frac{n}{5},$$

where Y is shown in the Figure 2.

2 Main results

From the proof of Theorem 3.8 in this paper [5], we obtain Lemma 2 and give an example that satisfies the Lemma, see Figure 3. The minimum cardinality of a B_2 -isolating set of the graph H of order 15 is 3.

Lemma 2. There exists a connected graph G of order n such that $\iota(G, B_2) = \frac{n}{5}$.



Fig. 3. H

We start with two lemmas that will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 3. [2] If G is a graph, \mathcal{F} is a set of graphs, $A \subseteq V(G)$, and $B \subseteq N[A]$, then

$$\iota(G,\mathcal{F}) \le |A| + \iota(G - B,\mathcal{F})$$

In particular, if $A = \{v\}$ and B = N[A], then $\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) \leq 1 + \iota(G - N[v], \mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 4. [2] If G_1, \ldots, G_k are the distinct components of a graph G, then

$$\iota(G,\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \iota(G_i,\mathcal{F}).$$

For any graph G, let $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ and $A \cap B = \phi$. Denote by E(A, B) the set of edges of G with one end in A and the other end in B and e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|. We abbreviate $E(\{x\}, B)$ to E(x, B) and $e(\{x\}, B)$ to e(x, B).

Now, we first prove Theorem 1 when the order $n \leq 9$.

Lemma 5. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \leq 9$. Then $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ except for $G \in \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph of order n. The result is trivial if $n \leq 4$ or $\iota(G, B_2) = 0$. Suppose $5 \leq n \leq 9$ and $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$. Then we need to show that G has a B_2 -isolating set S with |S| = 1 except for G = Y.

Since $\iota(G, B_2) \ge 1$, it follows G contains B_2 and $\Delta(G) \ge 3$. Let $x \in V(G)$ such that $d(x) = \Delta(G)$. Of course, $S = \{x\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G if G - N[x] is B_2 -free. Otherwise, it implies that n = 8 with $\Delta(G) = 3$ or n = 9 with $3 \le \Delta(G) \le 4$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\Delta(G) = 3$ and n = 8 or 9

Let $u \in V(G)$ such that d(u) = 3 and $G[N[u]] = B_2$. If G - N[u] is B_2 -free, then $\iota(G, B_2) = 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. So, suppose that G - N[u] contains B_2 . For n = 8, obviously, $G - N[u] = B_2$. Since G is a connected graph and $\Delta(G) = 3$, there is an edge e = yz with $y \in N(u)$ and $z \in V(G) \setminus N[u]$. It is easy to check that $\{y\}$ or $\{z\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Hence $\iota(G, B_2) = 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. Now we prove the case of n = 9. Let us consider a copy H of B_2 in G - N[u] and let w be the remaining vertex of G - N[u] - V(H). If there is an edge e = yz with $y \in N(u)$ and $z \in V(H)$, then $\{y\}$ or $\{z\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Otherwise, w is a cut-vertex of G and G - N[w] is B_2 -free. Hence $\iota(G, B_2) = 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}$.

Case 2. $\Delta(G) = 4$ and n = 9

Let $u \in V(G)$ such that d(u) = 4 and let F = G - N[u]. We have $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$ if F is B_2 -free. Assume that F contains B_2 . Since |V(F)| = 4, then $F = B_2$ or $F = K_4$. The vertices are labeled as shown in the Figure 4. We distinguish two subcases.



Subcase 2.1. $F = K_4$. Note that $e(N(u), V(F)) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, suppose u_1 is adjacent to v. If G - N[v] is B_2 -free, $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$. Otherwise, $G - N[v] = K_4$ or B_2

since $|V(G) \setminus N[v]| = 4$. For $G - N[v] = K_4$, we have $G - \{u, u_1, v\}$ is B_2 -free. Thus, $\{u_1\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G and $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. For $G - N[v] = B_2$, $G - \{u, u_1, v\}$ contains B_2 if and only if u_2 or u_4 is adjacent to at least two vertices of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Now we have $\{u_2\}$ or $\{u_4\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G and hence $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$.

Subcase 2.2. $F = B_2$. The proof for this case is similar to Subcase 2.1. First suppose F has a vertex of degree 3 that is adjacent to one vertex of N(u). Without loss of generality, suppose v, $d_F(v) = 3$, is adjacent to u_1 . Then we have $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$ if G - N[v] is B_2 -free. Otherwise, G - N[v] contains B_2 . For $G - N[v] = K_4$, by the proof of Subcase 2.1, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. For $G - N[v] = B_2$, $G - \{u, u_1, v\}$ contains B_2 when one of the following four cases is true. (1) u_2 is adjacent to v_1 and v_2 and u_3 is adjacent to v_1 and v_2 . (4) u_3 is adjacent to v_3 and u_4 is adjacent to v_2 and v_3 . We can see from Figure 5 that the proof methods are similar for the four cases. So let us just consider the first case. Note that $G - N[u_2]$ contains B_2 if and only if $G[u_1, u_4, v_3] = K_3$. Observe that G = Y.

Next suppose that only the vertices of degree 2 of F are adjacent to the vertices of N(u). Suppose v_1 , $d_F(v_1) = 2$, is adjacent to u_1 . Then $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$ if $G - \{u, u_1, v_1\}$ is B_2 -free. Otherwise, since $e(v, N(u)) = e(v_2, N(u)) = 0$, we have $G[u_2, u_3, u_4, v_3]$ contains B_2 as a subgraph. Recall that $\Delta(G) = 4$, then $G[u_2, u_3, u_4, v_3] = B_2$. Moreover, $G - N[v_3]$ is B_2 -free. Thus, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$.

Hence, in all cases we obtain $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ with $n \leq 9$ except for $\iota(B_2, B_2) = 1$, $\iota(K_4, B_2) = 1$ and $\iota(Y, B_2) = 2$.

Next, we prove Theorem 1 when $\Delta(G) = 3$.

Lemma 6. Let G be a connected graph of order n. $\iota(G', B_2) = \iota(G, B_2)$ if

(1) G' is obtained from G by attaching one edge to any vertex of G,

(2) G' is obtained from G by identifying one vertex of a triangle and a vertex of G,

(3) G' is obtained from $G + K_3$ by adding an edge joining a vertex of K_3 and a vertex of G.

Proof. (1) Let S be a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G. Then, clearly, S is a B_2 -isolating set of G' and thus $\iota(G', B_2) \leq \iota(G, B_2)$. Let S' be a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G' and let x be the vertex of $V(G') \setminus V(G)$. Note that $S' \setminus \{x\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Thus, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \iota(G', B_2)$. Now the both inequalities imply the result.

(2) and (3) can be proved similarly as (1).

Lemma 7. Let G be a connected graph of order n. If $\Delta(G) = 3$, then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le \frac{n}{5}$$

except for $G \in \{B_2, K_4\}$.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph of order n with $\Delta(G) = 3$. The proof is by induction on n. By Lemma 5, the result is trivial if $n \leq 9$ or $\iota(G, B_2) = 0$. Thus, suppose that $n \geq 10$ and $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$. Since G contains B_2 , it follows that there exists at least one vertex $u \in V(G)$ such that d(u) = 3 and $G[N[u]] = B_2$. Let $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and let u_2 be the another vertex of the B_2 with degree 3. As G is connected and $\Delta(G) = 3$, then either $d(u_1) = 3$ or $d(u_3) = 3$. We distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1.
$$d(u_1) = 3$$
 and $d(u_3) = 2$ or $d(u_1) = 2$ and $d(u_3) = 3$

Without loss of generality, suppose $d(u_1) = 3$ and $d(u_3) = 2$. Let $w \in V(G - N[u])$ and w is adjacent to u_1 . Define G' = G - N[u] - w. Note that $|V(G')| = n - 5 \ge 5$. Clearly, $\{u_1\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G if G' is B_2 -free. Suppose G' contains B_2 . If G' is connected, by the induction hypothesis, $\iota(G', B_2) \le \frac{n-5}{5}$. Then by Lemma 3 and 4, we have $\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G', B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$.

Suppose that G' is disconnected. It is easy to check that d(w) = 3 and G' has exactly two components. Let $G' = G_1 + G_2$. If $G_1 \neq B_2$ and $G_2 \neq B_2$, the union of a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G_1 , a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G_2 and $\{u_1\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 4,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le 1 + \iota(G_1, B_2) + \iota(G_2, B_2) \le 1 + \frac{|V(G_1)|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_2)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$$

If $G_1 = B_2$ and $G_2 = B_2$, we have n = 13. Observe that $\{w\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Hence $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. So, it remains to consider the case of exactly one of $\{G_1, G_2\}$ is isomorphic to B_2 . Suppose that $G_1 = B_2$ and $G_2 \neq B_2$. Let w_1 be the neighbor of w in G_2 and let $G'' = G' - V(G_1) - w_1$. Note that |V(G'')| = n - 10.

If G'' is connected and $G'' \neq B_2$, by the induction hypothesis, $\iota(G'', B_2) \leq \frac{n-10}{5}$. Then the union of $\{w\}$ and a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G'' is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Thus, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-10}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$. Observe that n = 14 and $\{w, w_1\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of Gwhen $G'' = B_2$. We also have $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. Suppose that G'' is disconnected. Recall that $\Delta(G) = 3$, then $d(w_1) = 3$ and G'' has exactly two components. Let $G'' = G'_1 + G'_2$ (see Figure 6). Now let us consider the components G'_1 and G'_2 . If $G'_1 \neq B_2$ and $G'_2 \neq B_2$, then



Fig. 6.

the union of a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G'_1 , a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G'_2 and $\{w\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Thus, by Lemma 3, 4 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le 1 + \iota(G_1', B_2) + \iota(G_2', B_2) \le 1 + \frac{|V(G_1')|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_2')|}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

If $G'_1 = B_2$ and $G'_2 = B_2$, we have n = 18 and $\{w, w_1\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Hence $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. So, it remains to consider the case of exactly one of $\{G'_1, G'_2\}$ is isomorphic to B_2 . Suppose that $G'_1 = B_2$ and $G'_2 \neq B_2$. Note that the union of a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G'_2 , the neighbor of w_1 in G'_1 and $\{w\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Therefore, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + 1 + \frac{|V(G'_2)|}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$. This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. $d(u_1) = 3$ and $d(u_3) = 3$

If $N(u_1) = N(u_3)$, denote $G^* = G \setminus (N[u_1] \cup \{u_3\})$. Then G^* is connected and $|G^*| = n-5 \ge 5$ since $\Delta(G) = 3$ and $n \ge 10$. Observe that the union of $\{u_1\}$ and a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G^* is a B_2 -isolating set of G. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, $\iota(G, B_2) \le 1 + \frac{|V(G^*)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$. Otherwise, there exist two vertices $w, z \in V(G)$ such that u_1 is adjacent to w and u_3 is adjacent to z.

We first prove G - N[u] is connected. Let G' = G - N[u] - w. Note that this case differs from Case 1 only in that there is an edge between u_3 and G'. By Lemma 6 and the proof of Case 1, we have $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. Therefore, we omit the proof. Next we treat G - N[u] is disconnected. Since $\Delta(G) = 3$, then G - N[u] contains exactly two components and w and z belong to different components. Define $G - N[u] = G_w + G_z$, where G_w contains w and G_z contains z. Obviously, if $G_w = B_2$ or $G_z = B_2$, the union of $\{u_1\}$ and a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G_z or the union of $\{u_3\}$ and a minimum B_2 -isolating set of G_w is a B_2 -isolating set of G, respectively. Hence $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. So, suppose that $G_w \neq B_2$ and $G_z \neq B_2$. Let $G' = G - V(G_z) - N[u] - w$. By Lemma 6 (3), we have $\iota(G_z, B_2) = \iota(G[V(G_z) \cup \{u, u_2, u_3\}], B_2)$. Similarly, using the same method of Case 1, we have $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

So far, it remains to consider Theorem 1 when $\Delta(G) \ge 4$.

Lemma 8. The connected graph Y of order 9 has the following properties: (1) $\kappa(Y) = 4$, (2) $\Delta(Y) = \delta(Y) = 4$, (3) for any two vertices $u, v \in V(Y)$, $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \le 2$, (4) for any vertex $u \in V(Y)$, there exists a vertex $v \in V(Y) \setminus \{u\}$ such that the graph induced by $V(Y) \setminus (\{u\} \cup N[v])$ is P_3 .

Proof. It is easy to check these properties of the graph Y (see Figure 2). \Box

Lemma 9. [5] Let G be a graph on n vertices and \mathcal{F} a family of graphs and let $A \cup B$ be a partition of V(G). Then

$$\iota(G,\mathcal{F}) \le \iota(G[A],\mathcal{F}) + \gamma(G[B]).$$

Lemma 10. Let G be a connected graph of order n. If $\Delta(G) \ge 4$, then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le \frac{n}{5}$$

except for G = Y.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph of order n with $\Delta(G) \geq 4$. The proof is by induction on n. By Lemma 5, the result is trivial if $n \leq 9$ or $\iota(G, B_2) = 0$. Thus, suppose that $n \geq 10$ and $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$. Denote by $d(u) = \Delta(G)$ and H = G - N[u]. Obviously, $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$ if H is B_2 -free. If $H = B_2$ or K_4 , $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + 1 = 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ for $n \geq 10$. If H = Y, then $\Delta(G) \geq 5$. Hence we have $n \geq 15$ and $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \iota(Y, B_2) = 3 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. Suppose that $H \neq B_2, K_4, Y$. By Lemma 7 and the induction hypothesis, it is easy to check that $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ when H is connected. Therefore, let $H = G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_k$ with $k \geq 2$ and $|V(G_i)| = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. If H does not contain B_2, K_4 or Y as a component, by Lemma 3, 4, 7 and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u\}| + \sum_{i=1}^k \iota(G_i, B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n_1}{5} + \frac{n_2}{5} + \dots + \frac{n_k}{5} = \frac{n - \Delta(G) + 4}{5}.$$

Since $\Delta(G) \ge 4$, then $\iota(G, B_2) \le \frac{n}{5}$.

Next suppose that at least one component of H is B_2, K_4 or Y. We sort the components of H in the order of K_4 , Y, B_2 with one vertex of degree 3 of B_2 is adjacent to one vertex of N(u), B_2 with only vertices of degree 2 of B_2 are adjacent to vertices of N(u), and others. Then G_1 is isomorphic to K_4, Y , or B_2 . Let $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{\Delta(G)}\}$. Since G is connected, without loss of generality, suppose $N(u_1) \cap V(G_1) \neq \phi$. Denote by $G^* = G - u_1 - V(G_1)$. Obviously, $|V(G^*)| \geq 5$.

Case 1. G^* is connected.

Subcase 1.1. $G_1 = K_4$. If $G^* = Y$, we have n = 14 and $\Delta(G) = 5$. By Lemma 8 (4), there exists a vertex $v \in V(G^*)$ such that the graph induced by $G^* - u - N[v]$ is P_3 . Since $\Delta(G) = 5$, we have $\{u_1, v\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G and hence $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. If $G^* \neq Y$, by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 7, $\iota(G^*, B_2) \leq \frac{n-5}{5}$. Then, by Lemma 9, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \gamma(G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}]) + \iota(G^*, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$.

Subcase 1.2. $G_1 = Y$. Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$. If $G^* = Y$, we have n = 19and $\Delta(G) = 5$. Then, by Lemma 8 (4), there exist a vertex $v_1 \in V(G^*)$ such that the graph induced by $G^* - u - N[v_1]$ is P_3 and a vertex $v_2 \in V(G_1)$ such that the graph induced by $G_1 - x - N[v_2]$ is P_3 . Then $\{v_1, u_1, v_2\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G and $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 3 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. If $G^* \neq Y$, similar to Subcase 1.1, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \gamma(G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}]) + \iota(G^*, B_2) \leq 2 + \frac{n-10}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$.

Subcase 1.3. $G_1 = B_2$ and there is one vertex of degree 3 of $V(G_1)$ is adjacent to u_1 . Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$ and $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 3$. If $G^* = Y$, we have n = 14and $\Delta(G) = 5$. Then, similarly, there exists $v \in V(G^*)$ such that $G^* - u - N[v] = P_3$. Define $P = P_3$. If $G^* - N[u_1] - N[v]$ has no B_2 , then $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. Otherwise, let $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and let $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 3$. Observe that $G^* - N[u_1] - N[v]$ contains B_2 if and only if $e(V(P), x_1) = 3$ or $e(V(P), x_3) = 3$. Assume that $e(V(P), x_3) = 3$, then $d(x_3) = 5$. By Lemma 8 (1), $G - (N[x_3] \setminus \{x\})$ is a connected graph of order 9. Since $G - (N[x_3] \setminus \{x\}) \neq Y$, by Lemma 3 and 5,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{x_3\}| + \iota(G - (N[x_3] \setminus \{x\}), B_2) \le 1 + 1 \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

If $G^* \neq Y$, similar to Subcase 1.1, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \gamma(G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}]) + \iota(G^*, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$.

Subcase 1.4. $G_1 = B_2$ and only vertices of degree 2 of $V(G_1)$ are adjacent to u_1 . Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$ and $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 2$ and Let $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 2$. Note that the two remaining vertices of $V(G_1) \setminus \{x, x_2\}$ have degrees of 3 in G. First we prove the case of

 $u_1 \in N(x_2)$ and the case $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$ and $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \leq 1$. If $G^* = Y$, we have n = 14and $\Delta(G) = 5$. By Lemma 8 (4), there exists $v \in V(G^*)$ such that $G^* - u - N[v] = P_3$. Since $\Delta(G) = 5$, then $\{u_1, v\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of G and $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$. If $G^* \neq Y$, by the induction hypothesis, Lemma 6 (1) and 7, $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$. It remains the case of $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$ and $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \geq 2$, we will deal with it later.

Case 2. G^* is disconnected.

It implies that $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), u_1)$ for some $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k\}$. Let us denote the components satisfying $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), u_1)$ as $G_{11}, G_{12}, \ldots, G_{1t}, t \ge 1$. Let G_u be the component contains u in G^* . Then $G^* = G_{11} + G_{12} + \cdots + G_{1t} + G_u$. Assume that there are s_1B_2 , s_2K_4 and s_3Y in $\{G_{11}, G_{12}, \ldots, G_{1t}\}$.

Subcase 2.1. $G_1 = K_4$. Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$ and let $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. It is easy to check that $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{|V(G_{11})|}{5} + \cdots + \frac{|V(G_{1t})|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_u)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ if $G_{11}, G_{12}, \ldots, G_{1t}, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$. If $G_u = K_4$, then $\Delta(G) = 4$. Hence, by Lemma 3, 4, 7 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (5 + 4 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

If $G_u = B_2$, then $\Delta(G) = 4$. Note that $G[N[u] \cup V(G_1)] - \{u, u_1, x\}$ contains B_2 if and only if $e(u_2, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$ or $e(u_4, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$. Without loss of generality, suppose $e(u_2, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$. Then $d(u_2) = 4$ and $G - N[u_2]$ is a connected graph of order n - 5or the union of a connected graph of order n - 6 and an isolated vertex. By Lemma 8, $G - N[u_2]$ does not contain Y as an induced subgraph. Hence, by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 7,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_2\}| + \iota(G - N[u_2], B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$$

If $G_u = Y$, by Lemma 8 (4), there exists $v \in V(G_u)$ such that $G_u - u - N[v] = P_3$. Note that $\Delta(G) = 5$, then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 2 + s_3 + \frac{n - (5 + 9 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

Suppose $G_u \neq B_2, K_4, Y$. Then at least one of $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ is not less than one. Obviously,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) + \iota(G_u, B_2) \le 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (5 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

when $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$. For $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) > 0$, $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u_1, x\} \neq B_2, K_4$. If $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u_1, x\} = Y$, by Lemma 8 (4), there exists v such that $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u_1, x, u\} - N[v] = P_3$. Then we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 2 + s_3 + \frac{n - (2 + 9 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

Otherwise,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G[V(G_u) \cup V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}], B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2)$$
$$\le 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (2 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

Subcase 2.2. $G_1 = Y$. Note that none of the components of H is K_4 . It follows that $s_2 = 0$. Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$. It is easy to check that $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 + \frac{|V(G_{11})|}{5} + \cdots + \frac{|V(G_{1t})|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_u)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ if $G_{11}, G_{12}, \ldots, G_{1t}, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$. Since $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, we have $G_u \neq B_2, K_4$. If $G_u = Y$, then $\Delta(G) = 5$. Similar to the proofs of Subcase 1.2 and Subcase 2.1, we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le 3 + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 3 + s_3 + \frac{n - (19 + 4s_1 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

Suppose $G_u \neq Y$. Then at least one of $\{s_1, s_3\}$ is not less than one. If $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$, we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le \iota(G_1 + u_1, B_2) + \iota(G_u, B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1]) \le 2 + s_3 + \frac{n - (10 + 4s_1 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

Otherwise,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G[V(G_u) \cup V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}], B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2)$$
$$\le 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (2 + 4s_1 + 9s_3)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

since the component of $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u_1, x\}$ is not B_2, K_4 or Y.

Subcase 2.3. $G_1 = B_2$ and there is one vertex of degree 3 of $V(G_1)$ is adjacent to u_1 . Note that none of the components of H is K_4 or Y. It follows that $s_2 = s_3 = 0$. Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$ and $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 3$. It is easy to check that $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ if $G_{11}, G_{12}, \ldots, G_{1t}, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$. If $G_u = K_4$, by the proof of the case of $G_u = B_2$ in Subcase 2.1, we have $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$. If $G_u = B_2$, then $\Delta(G) = 4$. Let $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and let $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 3$. Define $G' = G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u, u_1, x\}$. Note that G'contains B_2 when one of the following four cases is true. (1) u_2 is adjacent to x_1 and x_2 and u_3 is adjacent to x_1 . (2) u_2 is adjacent to x_2 and x_3 and u_3 is adjacent to x_3 . (3) u_3 is adjacent to x_1 and u_4 is adjacent to x_1 and x_2 . (4) u_3 is adjacent to x_3 and u_4 is adjacent to x_2 and x_3 . We can see that the proof methods are similar for the four cases. So let us just consider the first case. Then $G - N[u_2]$ is a connected graph with order n - 5 or the union of a connected graph with order n - 6 and a isolated vertex. By Lemma 8, $G - N[u_2]$ does not contain Y as an induced subgraph. Thus

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_2\}| + \iota(G - N[u_2], B_2) \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

If $G_u = Y$, we have $\Delta(G) = 5$. By Lemma 8 (4), there exists $v \in V(G_u)$ such that $G_u - u - N[v] = P_3$. Denote $P = P_3$. Then $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u, u_1, x\} - N[v]$ contains B_2 if and only if $e(x_1, V(P)) = 3$ or $e(x_3, V(P)) = 3$. Suppose $e(x_1, V(P)) = 3$. Then $d(x_1) = 5$. By Lemma 8 (1), $G - N[x_1] \setminus \{x\}$ is a connected graph with order n - 5 and $G - N[x_1] \setminus \{x\} \neq Y$. Therefore,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{x_1\}| + \iota(G - (N[x_1] \setminus \{x\}), B_2) \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

Next suppose $G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$. Then $s_1 \ge 1$. Obviously,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G_u, B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n - 5 - 4s_1}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

when $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$. If $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) > 0$, then $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u_1, x\} \neq B_2, K_4$. If $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u_1, x\} = Y$, by Lemma 8 (4), there exists v such that $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u, u_1, x\} - N[v] = P_3$. Then we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 2 + \frac{n - (2 + 9 + 4s_1)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

Otherwise, $\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G - u_1 - x, B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n - (2 + 4s_1)}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$

Subcase 2.4. $G_1 = B_2$ and only vertex of degree 2 of $V(G_1)$ is adjacent to u_1 . Let x be a neighbor of u_1 in $V(G_1)$ and $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 2$ and Let $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 2$. Note that the two remaining vertices of $V(G_1) \setminus \{x, x_2\}$ have degrees of 3 in G. First we prove the case of $u_1 \in N(x_2)$ and the case $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$ and $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| = 1$. It is easy to check that

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le \frac{n}{5} \text{ if } G_2, \dots, G_t, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}. \text{ If } G_u = B_2 \text{ or } K_4, \text{ then } \Delta(G) \le 4 \text{ and hence}$$
$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n - 9 - 4s_1}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}.$$

If $G_u = Y$, by Lemma 6 (3), $\iota(G_u, B_2) = \iota(V(G_u) \cup (V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}), B_2)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 8 (4), there exists $v \in V(G_u)$ such that $G_u - u - N[v] = P_3$. Then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \le 2 + \frac{n - 5 - 9 - 4s_1}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

Suppose $G_u \neq B_2, K_4, Y$. Then $s_1 \geq 1$. We have $\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \frac{n-5-4s_1}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$. It remains the case of $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$ and $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \geq 2$.

In the end, we deal with the case of $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$ and $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \ge 2$, whether G^* is connected or not. Assume that $u_2, u_3 \in N(x_2)$. Denote by $G'' = G - \{u_2, u_3, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Obviously, if G'' is connected, then $G'' \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ and we have $\iota(G, B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$. If G'' is disconnected, it implies that $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), \{u_2, u_3\})$ for some $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k\}$. Let us denote the components satisfying $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), \{u_2, u_3\})$ as $G''_{11}, G''_{12}, \ldots, G''_{1t}, t \ge 1$ and let G''_u be the component contains u in G''. Then $G'' = G''_{11} + G''_{12} + \cdots + G''_{1t} + G''_u$. Clearly, $G''_u \ne K_4$. By Lemma 8 (3), $G''_u \ne Y$. And from the proof of above Subcase 2.4, we have $\iota(G, B_2) \le \frac{n}{5}$ if any component of $\{G''_{11}, G''_{12}, \ldots, G''_{1t}\}$ is B_2 . In other words, $G''_{1i} \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. Now, we distinguish two cases. If $G''_u \ne B_2$, then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{x_2\}| + \iota(G''_u, B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G''_{1i}, B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}.$$

If $G''_u = B_2$, then $\Delta(G) = 4$. Note that $|V(G''_u) \cup N[x_2]| = 9$ and $\{u_2\}$ is a B_2 -isolating set of $G[V(G''_u) \cup N[x_2]]$. Hence

$$\iota(G, B_2) \le |\{u_2\}| + \iota(G - (V(G''_u) \cup N[x_2] \setminus \{u_3\}), B_2) \le 1 + \frac{n-8}{5} \le \frac{n}{5}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 10.

Proof of Theorem 1. From Lemma 2, we can see that the bound is sharp. Combining the results in Lemma 5, 7, 10, we obtain Theorem 1. \Box

Acknowledgement This research was supported Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM) grant 18dz2271000.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, in: GTM, vol. 244, Springer, 2008.
- [2] P. Borg, Isolation of cycles, Graphs Combin. 36 (2020), no. 3, 631–637.
- [3] P. Borg, K. Fenech, P. Kaemawichanurat, Isolation of k-cliques, Discrete Math. 343 (2020), no. 7, 111879, 5 pp.
- [4] P. Borg, P. Kaemawichanurat, Partial domination of maximal outerplanar graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 283 (2020), 306–314.
- [5] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, Partial domination the isolation number of a graph, Filomat 31 (2017), no. 12, 3925–3944.
- [6] O. Favaron, P. Kaemawichanurat, Inequalities between the K_k -isolation number and the independent K_k -isolation number of a graph, Discrete Appl. Math. 289 (2021), 93–97.
- [7] O. Ore, Theory of Graphs, in: American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI,1962.
- [8] S. Tokunaga, T. Jiarasuksakun, P. Kaemawichanurat, Isolation number of maximal outerplanar graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 267 (2019), 215–218.
- [9] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1996.
- [10] G. Zhang, B. Wu, $K_{1,2}$ -isolation in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 304 (2021), 365–374.