

# Cordiality of Digraphs<sup>\*†</sup>

LeRoy B. Beasley, Manuel A. Santana, Jonathan Mousley  
and David E. Brown

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Utah State University  
Logan, Utah 84322-3900, U.S.A

October 19, 2021

## Abstract

A  $(0, 1)$ -labelling of a set is said to be *friendly* if approximately one half the elements of the set are labelled 0 and one half labelled 1. Let  $g$  be a labelling of the edge set of a graph that is induced by a labelling  $f$  of the vertex set. If both  $g$  and  $f$  are friendly then  $g$  is said to be a *cordial* labelling of the graph. We extend this concept to directed graphs and investigate the cordiality of sets of directed graphs. We investigate a specific type of cordiality on digraphs, a restriction of quasigroup-cordiality called  $(2, 3)$ -cordiality. A directed graph is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial if there is a friendly labelling  $f$  of the vertex set which induces a  $(1, -1, 0)$ -labelling of the arc set  $g$  such that about one third of the arcs are labelled 1, about one third labelled -1 and about one third labelled 0. In particular we determine which tournaments are  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, which orientations of the  $n$ -wheel are  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, and which orientations of the  $n$ -fan are  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.

## 1 Introduction

The study of cordial graphs began in 1987 with an article by I. Cahit [2]: "Cordial Graphs: A Weaker Version of Graceful and Harmonious Graphs". In 1991, Hovey [3] generalized this concept to  $\mathcal{A}$ -cordial graphs where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian group. A further generalization, one that included cordiality of directed graphs, appeared in 2012 with an article by Pechenik and Wise [4], where the  $\mathcal{A}$  was allowed to be any quasi group, not necessarily Abelian. We modify this concept to  $(A, \mathcal{A})$ -cordial digraphs where  $A$  is a subset of the quasigroup  $\mathcal{A}$ .

---

\*AMS Classification number: 05C20, 05C38, 05C78

†Key words and phrases: tournament, wheel graph, fan graph,  $(2, 3)$ -cordial

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . A  $(0, 1)$ -labelling of the vertex set is a mapping  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and is said to be *friendly* if approximately one half of the vertices are labelled 0 and the others labelled 1. An induced labelling of the edge set is a mapping  $g : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where for an edge  $uv, g(uv) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v))$  for some  $\hat{g} : \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and is said to be cordial if  $f$  is friendly and about one half the edges of  $G$  are labelled 0 and the others labelled 1. A graph,  $G$ , is called *cordial* if there exists a cordial induced labelling of the edge set of  $G$ . In this article, as in [1], we define a cordial labelling of directed graphs that is not merely a cordial labelling of the underlying undirected graph.

A specific type of  $(A, \mathcal{A})$ -cordial digraph is a  $(2, 3)$ -cordial digraph defined by Beasley in [1]. Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a directed graph with vertex set  $V$  and arc set  $A$ . Let  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a friendly vertex labelling and let  $g$  be the induced labelling of the arc set,  $g : A \rightarrow \{0, 1, -1\}$  where for an arc  $\vec{uv}, g(\vec{uv}) = f(v) - f(u)$ . The labellings  $f$  and  $g$  are  $(2, 3)$ -cordial if  $f$  is friendly and about one third the arcs of  $D$  are labelled 1, one third are labelled -1 and one third labelled 0. A digraph,  $D$ , is called  $(2, 3)$ -cordial if there exists  $(2, 3)$ -cordial labellings  $f$  of the vertex set and  $g$  of the arc set of  $D$ .

Note that here and what follows, the term “about” when talking about fractions of a quantity we shall mean as close is possible in integral arithmetic, so about half of 9 is either 4 or 5, but not 3 or 6.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** A quasigroup is a set  $\mathcal{Q}$  with binary operation  $\circ$  such that given any  $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$  there are  $x, y \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a \circ x = b$  and  $y \circ a = b$ .

**Fact:** All two element quasigroups are Abelian.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{Q} = \{a, b\}$  is a quasi group with binary operation  $\circ$ . Then, there are  $x, y \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a \circ x = a$  and  $y \circ a = a$ . If  $x = y = b$  then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is Abelian. Otherwise, we must have  $a \circ a = a$ . Similarly either  $\mathcal{Q}$  is abelian or  $b \circ b = b$ .

Now, suppose that  $a \circ a = a$  and  $b \circ b = b$ . Then there are  $c, d \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a \circ d = b$  and  $c \circ a = b$ . Since  $a \circ a = a$ ., we must have that both  $c = b$  and  $d = b$ . That is  $\mathcal{Q}$  is Abelian. ■

We now formalize the terms mentioned in the introduction. We let  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  denote

the set of integers  $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  with arithmetic is modulo  $k$  as needed. Further let  $\mathbb{Z}_k^-$  denote the set  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  with binary operation “-”, subtraction modulo  $k$ . Clearly  $\mathbb{Z}_k^-$  is a nonabelian quasigroup.

**Definition 2.2** A  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ -labelling (or simply a  $k$ -labelling) of a finite set,  $\mathcal{X}$ , is a mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$  and is said to be friendly if the labelling is evenly distributed over  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ , that is, given any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ ,  $-1 \leq |f^{-1}(i)| - |f^{-1}(j)| \leq 1$  where  $|\mathcal{X}|$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 2.3** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ , and let  $f$  be a friendly  $(0, 1)$ -labelling of the vertex set  $V$ . Given this friendly vertex labelling  $f$ , an induced  $(0, 1)$ -labelling of the edge set is a mapping  $g : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where for an edge  $uv$ ,  $g(uv) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v))$  for some  $\hat{g} : \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and is said to be cordial if  $g$  is also friendly, that is about one half the edges of  $G$  are labelled 0 and the others are labelled 1, or  $-1 \leq |g^{-1}(0)| - |g^{-1}(1)| \leq 1$ . A graph,  $G$ , is called cordial if there exists a induced cordial labelling of the edge set of  $G$ .

The induced labelling  $g$  in a cordial graph is usually  $g(u, v) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v)) = |f(v) - f(u)|$  [2],  $g(u, v) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v)) = f(v) + f(u)$  (in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ) [3], or  $g(u, v) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v)) = f(v)f(u)$  (product cordiality) [5].

In [3], Hovey introduced  $\mathcal{A}$ -friendly labellings where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an Abelian group. A labelling  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -friendly if given any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $-1 \leq |f^{-1}(b)| - |f^{-1}(a)| \leq 1$ . If  $g$  is an induced edge labelling and  $f$  and  $g$  are both  $\mathcal{A}$ -friendly Then  $g$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -cordial labelling and  $G$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -cordial. When  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}_k$  we say that  $G$  is  $k$ -cordial. We shall use this concept with digraphs.

Given an undirected graph or a digraph,  $G$ , let  $\hat{G}$  denote the subgraph (or subdigraph) of  $G$  induced by its nonisolated vertices. So  $\hat{G}$  never has an isolated vertex. The need for this will become apparent in Example 3.1.

In this article, we will be concerned mainly with digraphs. We let  $\mathcal{D}_n$  denote the set of all simple directed graphs on the vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Note that the arc set of members of  $\mathcal{D}_n$  may contain digons, a pair of arcs between two vertices each directed opposite from the other. We shall let  $\mathcal{T}_n$  denote the set of all subdigraphs of a tournament digraph. So the members of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  contain no digons. Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n$ ,  $D = (V, A)$  where  $A$  is the arc set of  $D$ . Then  $D$  has no loops, and no multiple arcs. An arc in  $D$  directed from vertex  $u$  to vertex  $v$  will be denoted  $\overrightarrow{uv}$ ,  $\overleftarrow{vu}$  or by the ordered pair  $(u, v)$ . We also let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  denote the

set of all simple undirected graphs on the vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . So all members of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  are orientations of graphs in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ .

In [4], Pechenik and Wise introduced quasigroup cordiality. When the quasigroup is nonabelian, this type of cordiality is quite suitable for studying labellings of directed graphs. In fact, if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a quasigroup with any binary operation  $\circ$  with the property that for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$   $a \circ b = b \circ a$  if and only if  $a = b$ , we have the best situation for directed graphs.

Now the set  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  with binary operation  $\circ$  where for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ ,  $a \circ b = b - a \pmod k$  is such a quasigroup.

In our investigations we make one further restriction: we will label our vertices with only a subset of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , not necessarily the whole set  $\mathcal{Q}$ :

**Definition 2.4** *Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a quasigroup with binary operation  $\circ$  and let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a directed graph with vertex set  $V$  and arc set  $A$ . Let  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be a friendly  $\mathbb{Q}$ -labelling of  $V$  and let  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be an induced arc labelling where for  $\vec{uv} \in A$ ,  $g(\vec{uv}) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v))$  for some  $\hat{g} : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . The mapping  $g$  is said to be  $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ -cordial if  $g$  is also friendly, that is, given any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $-1 \leq |g^{-1}(a)| - |g^{-1}(b)| \leq 1$ . A directed graph,  $D$ , is called  $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ -cordial if there exists a induced  $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ -cordial labelling of the arc set of  $D$ .*

We now shall restrict our attention to the smallest case of  $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ -cordiality that is appropriate for directed graphs,  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3^-)$ -cordiality, that defined by Beasley in [1],  $(2, 3)$ -cordiality.

### 3 $(2, 3)$ -orientable Digraphs.

Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a directed graph with vertex set  $V$  and arc set  $A$ . Let  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a friendly labelling of the vertices of  $D$ . As for undirected graphs, an induced labelling of the arc set is a mapping  $g : A \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  for some set  $\mathcal{X}$  where for an arc  $(u, v) = \vec{uv}$ ,  $g(u, v) = \hat{g}(f(u), f(v))$  for some  $\hat{g} : \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . As we are dealing with directed graphs, it would be desirable for the induced labelling to distinguish between the label of the arc  $(u, v)$  and the label of the arc  $(v, u)$ , otherwise, the labelling would be an induced labelling of the underlying undirected graph. If we let  $\mathcal{X} = \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and  $\hat{g}(f(u), f(v)) = f(v) - f(u)$  using real arithmetic, or arithmetic in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , we have an asymmetric labelling. In this case, if about one third of the arcs are labelled 0, about one third of the

arcs are labelled 1 and about one third of the arcs are labelled -1 we say that the labelling is (2, 3)-cordial. Formally:

**Definition 3.1** Let  $D \in \mathcal{T}_n$ ,  $D = (V, A)$ , be a digraph without isolated vertices. Let  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a friendly labelling of the vertex set  $V$  of  $D$ . Let  $g : A \rightarrow \{1, 0, -1\}$  be an induced labelling of the arcs of  $D$  such that for any  $i, j \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ ,  $-1 \leq |g^{-1}(i)| - |g^{-1}(j)| \leq 1$ . Such a labelling is called a (2, 3)-cordial labelling.

A digraph  $D \in \mathcal{T}_n$  whose subgraph  $\hat{G}$  can possess a (2, 3)-cordial labelling will be called a (2, 3)-cordial digraph.

An undirected graph  $G$  is said to be (2, 3)-orientable if there exists an orientation of  $G$  that is (2, 3)-cordial.

In [1] the concept of (2, 3)-cordial digraphs was introduced and paths and cycles were investigated. In [6] one can find further investigation of orientations of paths and trees as well as finding the maximum number of arcs possible in a (2, 3)-cordial digraph. In this article we continue this investigation, showing which tournaments, which orientations of the wheel graphs, and which orientations of the fan graphs are (2, 3)-cordial.

**Definition 3.2** Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a digraph with vertex labelling  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and with induced arc labelling  $g : A \rightarrow \{0, 1, -1\}$ . Define  $\Lambda_{f,g} : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^3$  by  $\Lambda_{f,g}(D) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  where  $\alpha = |g^{-1}(1)|$ ,  $\beta = |g^{-1}(-1)|$ , and  $\gamma = |g^{-1}(0)|$ .

Let  $D \in \mathcal{T}_n$  and let  $D^R$  be the digraph such that every arc of  $D$  is reversed, so that  $\overrightarrow{uv}$  is an arc in  $D^R$  if and only if  $\overleftarrow{vu}$  is an arc in  $D$ . Let  $f$  be a (0, 1)-labelling of the vertices of  $D$  and let  $g(\overrightarrow{uv}) = f(v) - f(u)$  so that  $g$  is a (1, -1, 0)-labelling of the arcs of  $D$ . Let  $\bar{f}$  be the complementary (0, 1)-labelling of the vertices of  $D$ , so that  $\bar{f}(v) = 0$  if and only if  $f(v) = 1$ . Let  $\bar{g}$  be the corresponding induced arc labelling of  $D$ ,  $\bar{g}(\overrightarrow{uv}) = \bar{f}(v) - \bar{f}(u)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $D \in \mathcal{T}_n$  with vertex labelling  $f$  and induced arc labelling  $g$ . Let  $\Lambda_{f,g}(D) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then

1.  $\Lambda_{f,g}(D^R) = (\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$ .
2.  $\Lambda_{\bar{f},\bar{g}}(D) = (\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$ , and
3.  $\Lambda_{\bar{f},\bar{g}}(D^R) = \Lambda_{f,g}(D)$ .

*Proof.* If an arc is labelled 1, -1, 0 respectively then reversing the labelling of the incident vertices gives a labelling of -1, 1, 0 respectively, If an arc  $\overrightarrow{uv}$  is labelled 1, -1, 0 respectively, then  $\overrightarrow{vu}$  would be labelled -1, 1, 0 respectively. ■

**Example 3.1** Now, consider a graph,  $X_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  consisting of three parallel edges and  $n-6$  isolated vertices. Is  $X_n$   $(2,3)$ -orientable? If  $n = 6$ , the answer is no, since any friendly labelling of the six vertices would have either no arcs labelled 0 or two arcs labelled 0. In either case, the orientation would never be  $(2,3)$ -cordial. That is  $X_6$  is not  $(2,3)$ -orientable, however with additional vertices like  $X_7$  the graph is  $(2,3)$ -orientable.

Thus, for our investigation here, we will use the convention that a graph,  $G$ , is  $(2,3)$ -orientable/ $(2,3)$ -cordial if and only if the subgraph of  $G$  induced by its nonisolated vertices,  $\hat{G}$ , is  $(2,3)$ -orientable/ $(2,3)$ -cordial.

### 3.1 $(2,3)$ -Orientations of a Complete Graph – Tournaments

It is an easy exercise to show that every 3-tournament is  $(2,3)$ -cordial and that two of the four non isomorphic 4-tournaments are  $(2,3)$ -cordial. See Figures 2 and 3. Note that the 4-tournaments that are not  $(2,3)$ -cordial may require more than a cursory glance to verify that they are not  $(2,3)$ -cordial.

**Lemma 3.2** Every 5-tournament is  $(2,3)$ -cordial.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}_5$  be a tournament. Then there are two vertices, without loss of generality,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , whose total out degree is four. (And hence the total in-degree of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is also four. Let  $f$  be the vertex labelling and let  $f(v_1) = f(v_2) = 1$  and  $f(v_3) = f(v_4) = f(v_5) = 0$ . Let  $g$  be the arc labelling  $g(\overrightarrow{v_i v_j}) = f(v_j) - f(v_i)$ . Then, the arc between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is labelled 0, as are the three arcs between  $v_3, v_4$  and  $v_5$ . Thus there are four arcs labelled 0. The three arcs from  $v_1$  or  $v_2$  to vertices  $v_3, v_4$  or  $v_5$  are labelled 1 and the three arcs from  $v_3, v_4$  or  $v_5$  to vertices  $v_1$  or  $v_2$  are labelled -1. In Figure 1 is an example of the labelling described above. Thus  $\Lambda_{f,g}(T) = (3, 3, 4)$ . That is  $T$  is  $(2,3)$ -cordial. ■

**Lemma 3.3** If  $n \geq 6$  and  $T \in \mathcal{D}_n$  is a tournament on  $n$  vertices then  $T$  is not  $(2,3)$ -cordial.

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two cases:




Figure 1: A  $(2, 3)$ -Cordial labellings of a 5-tournament

**Case 1.  $n$  is even.** Let  $n = 2k$ . We shall show that there must be more arcs labelled 0 than is allowed in any  $(2, 3)$ -cordial digraph with  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  arcs. For any vertex labelled 0, there are  $k - 1$  other vertices also labelled 0 so that there are  $k - 1$  arcs labelled 0 that either begin or terminate at that vertex. Also there are  $k$  such vertices so there are  $k(k - 1)/2$  arcs between pairs of vertices each labelled 0. (Note, since each arc is adjacent to two vertices we have divided the total number by 2 to get the number of distinct arcs labelled 0.) There are also  $k(k - 1)/2$  arcs between pairs of vertices each labelled 1. Thus we must have  $k(k - 1)$  arcs labelled 0.

Now, there must be at most one third the number of arcs labelled 0, so we must have  $3k(k - 1) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 2 = \frac{4k^2 - 2k + 4}{2}$ . That is, we must have  $k^2 - 2k - 2 \leq 0$ . But that only happens if  $k \leq 2$ . So if  $k \geq 3$  or  $n \geq 6$ ,  $T$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.

**Case 2.  $n$  is odd.** Let  $n = 2k + 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may




Figure 2:  $(2, 3)$ -Cordial labellings of 3- tournaments

assume that there are  $k$  vertices labelled 0 and  $k + 1$  vertices labelled 1. Thus there are  $\frac{1}{2}k(k - 1)$  arcs labelled 0 that connect two vertices labelled 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}(k + 1)k$  arcs labelled 0 that connect two vertices labelled 1. Thus there are at least  $k^2$  arcs labelled 0. To be  $(2, 3)$ -cordial we must have that  $3k^2 \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 2$ , or  $k^2 - k - 2 \leq 0$ . That happens only if  $k \leq 2$ . But, since  $n$  is odd,  $n \geq 7$  so  $k \geq 3$ . Thus,  $T$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. ■

**Lemma 3.4** *The tournaments  $T_{4,3}$  and  $T_{4,4}$  of Figure 3 are not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.*

*Proof.* Since  $T_{4,4}$  is the reversal of  $T_{4,3}$ , by Lemma 3.1 we only need show that  $T_{4,3}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. Further, by Lemma 3.1 we may assume that the upper left vertex of  $T_{4,3}$  in Figure 3 is labelled 0. Since any permutation of the other three vertices results in an isomorphic graph we may assume that the upper right vertex is labelled 0 and the bottom two are labelled 1. This results in one arc labelled 1, three arcs labelled -1 and two arcs labelled 0. Thus  $T_{4,3}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. ■

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $T$  be an  $n$ -tournament. Then  $T$  is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial if and only if  $n \leq 5$  and  $T$  is not isomorphic to  $T_{4,3}$  or  $T_{4,4}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemmas 3.4, 3.2 and 3.3 together with Figures 2 and 3 establish the theorem. ■

We end this section with a couple of observations we labell as corollaries:

**Corollary 3.1** *The property of being (or not being)  $(2, 3)$ -cordial is not closed under vertex deletion.*









Figure 3: (2,3)-Cordial labellings of two 4-tournaments and two non (2,3) cordial 4-tournaments with their out-degree sequences.

*Proof.* Every tournament on  $k$  vertices is a vertex deletion of a tournament on  $k + 1$  vertices. Thus,  $T_{4,3}$ , which is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, is a vertex deletion of a tournament on 5 vertices, which is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, and this tournament is a vertex deletion of a tournament on 6 vertices, which is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. ■

**Corollary 3.2** *The property of being (or not being)  $(2, 3)$ -cordial is not closed under arc contraction.*

*Proof.* As in the above corollary, every tournament on  $k$  vertices is an arc contraction of a tournament on  $k + 1$  vertices. Thus,  $T_{4,3}$ , which is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, is an arc contraction of a tournament on 5 vertices, which is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, and this tournament is an arc contraction of a tournament on 6 vertices, which is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. ■

### 3.2 $(2, 3)$ -Orientations of Wheel Graphs

A wheel graph on  $n$  vertices consists of an  $(n - 1)$ -star together with edges joining the non central vertices in a cycle. A 6-wheel is shown in Figure 4. Since we are not concerned with digraphs that contain digons, we shall assume that  $n \geq 4$  in this section.

An orientation of the wheel graph with the central vertex being a source/sink is called an out/in-wheel. If the outer cycle of the wheel is oriented in a directed cycle the wheel is called a cycle-wheel. If the  $n$ -wheel is oriented such that it is both an out-wheel and a cycle-wheel it is called an  $n$ -cycle-out-wheel. See Figure 5.

**Definition 3.3** *Let  $W_n = (V, A)$  be an  $n$ -wheel digraph with central vertex  $v_n$  and with vertex labelling  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $g$  be the induced arc labelling  $g : A \rightarrow \{0, 1, -1\}$  where  $g(\vec{uv}) = f(v) - f(u)$ . Let  $S$  be the set of arcs incident with the central vertex and let  $T$  be the set of arcs not incident with the central vertex. Define  $\Lambda_{f,g}^S$  to be the real triple  $\Lambda_{f,g}^S(D) = (\alpha_S, \beta_S, \gamma_S)$  where  $\alpha_S = |g^{-1}(1) \cap S|$ ,  $\beta_S = |g^{-1}(-1) \cap S|$ , and  $\gamma_S = |g^{-1}(0) \cap S|$  and  $\Lambda_{f,g}^T$  to be the real triple  $\Lambda_{f,g}^T(D) = (\alpha_T, \beta_T, \gamma_T)$  where  $\alpha_T = |g^{-1}(1) \cap T|$ ,  $\beta_T = |g^{-1}(-1) \cap T|$ , and  $\gamma_T = |g^{-1}(0) \cap T|$ . Since  $S \cup T = A$ , the set of all arcs of  $D$ ,  $\alpha_S + \alpha_T = \alpha$ ,  $\beta_S + \beta_T = \beta$ , and  $\gamma_S + \gamma_T = \gamma$ , where  $\Lambda_{f,g}(D) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .*




Figure 4: A 6-wheel graph.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $W_n$  be the  $n$ -wheel graph with central vertex  $v_n$ , and let  $\vec{W}$  be a cyclic-out orientation of  $W_n$ . Then  $\vec{W}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.

*Proof.* We proceed with two cases, the case that  $n$  is even then the case that  $n$  is odd. Let  $W = (V, A)$  and let  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a vertex labelling and  $g : A \rightarrow \{0, 1, -1\}$  be the induced arc labelling,  $g(\vec{uv}) = f(v) - f(u)$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  is a  $(2, 3)$ -cordial labelling.

**Case 1:**  $n = 2k$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f(v_n) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha_S = k, \beta_S = 0$  and  $\gamma_S = k - 1$ . Further, since the orientation is cyclic,  $\alpha_T = \beta_T$ . Since  $\alpha - 1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha + 1$  we have  $\alpha_T + k - 1 = \alpha_T + \alpha_S - 1 = \alpha - 1 \leq \beta = \beta_T + \beta_S = \beta_T = \alpha_T$ . Thus  $k - 1 \leq 0$  or  $k \leq 1$ , a contradiction since  $n \geq 4$ .

**Case 2:**  $n = 2k + 1$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $f(v_n) = 0$ . Since either  $k$  or  $k + 1$  of the non central vertices must be labelled 0, we have two possibilities:  $\alpha_S = k$  or  $\alpha_S = k + 1$ .

**Subcase 1.**  $\alpha_S = k$  Here we have  $\gamma_S = k$  and  $\beta_S = 0$ . Further,  $\alpha_T = \beta_T$




Figure 5: A 6-cycle-out-wheel graph.

since  $\vec{W}$  is cyclic. Since the labelling is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial,  $\alpha - 1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha + 1$ . Thus  $k + \alpha_T - 1 = \alpha_S = \alpha_T - 1 = \alpha - 1 \leq \beta = \beta_S + \beta_T = \alpha_T$ . That is  $k - 1 \leq 0$  or  $k \leq 1$ , a contradiction since  $n \geq 4$ .

**Subcase 2.**  $\alpha_S = k + 1$  Here we have  $\gamma_S = k - 1$  and  $\beta_S = 0$ . Further,  $\alpha_T = \beta_T$  since  $\vec{W}$  is cyclic. Since the labelling is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial,  $\alpha - 1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha + 1$ . Thus  $k + \alpha_T = k + 1 + \alpha_T - 1 = \alpha_S + \alpha_T - 1 = \alpha - 1 \leq \beta = \beta_S + \beta_T = \alpha_T$ . That is  $k \leq 0$ , a contradiction since  $n \geq 4$ .

In all cases we have arrived at a contradiction thus we must have that  $\vec{W}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. ■

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $C$  be an undirected cycle with a  $(0, 1)$ -vertex labelling. Then, there is an even number of edges in  $C$  whose incident vertices are labelled differently.*

*Proof.* We may assume that the vertex  $v_1$  is labelled 0. Going around the cycle, the labelling goes from 0 to 1 then back again to zero. This two step

change must happen a fixed number of times then return to vertex  $v_1$ . Thus there are an equal number of changes in labelling from 0 to 1 and from 1 to 0. That is, the total number of changes is an even number. ■

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $W_n$  be the undirected wheel graph on  $n$  vertices. Then,  $W_n$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -orientable if and only if  $n = 2k$  for some integer  $k$ ,  $4$  does not divide  $n$ , and  $2n - 2 = 3z$  for some integer  $z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\overrightarrow{W}_n$  be an orientation of the wheel graph on  $n$  vertices with central vertex  $v_n$ . Let  $A_H$  be the set of arcs incident with  $v_n$ , and let  $A_R$  be the arcs not incident with  $v_n$ . Then  $A = A_H \cup A_R$ . Let  $f$  be a friendly vertex labelling and  $g$  the induced arc labelling of  $\overrightarrow{W}_n$ . Define  $\lambda_{f,H}(x) = |g^{-1}(x) \cap A_H|$ , and  $\lambda_{f,R}(x) = |g^{-1}(x) \cap A_R|$ . Define  $\lambda_f(x) = \lambda_{f,H}(x) + \lambda_{f,R}(x)$ , that is  $\lambda_f(x) = |g^{-1}(x)|$ .

We begin by showing that for  $n = 2k$  for some integer  $k$ ,  $k = 2\ell + 1$  for some integer  $\ell$ , and  $2n - 2 = 3z$  for some integer  $z$  that  $W_n$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -orientable. In this case, We may assume that  $f(v_n) = 0$  and  $\lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,H}(-1) = k$ , an odd integer. By Lemma 3.5 the number of arcs that are not incident with  $v_n$  and labelled either 1 or -1 is even. Thus  $\lambda_f(1) + \lambda_f(-1) = (\lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,H}(-1)) + (\lambda_{f,R}(1) + \lambda_{f,R}(-1))$  is the sum of an even integer and an odd integer, so that  $\lambda_f(1) + \lambda_f(-1)$  is an odd integer. But since the total number of arcs is  $2n - 2 = 3z$ , if  $\overrightarrow{W}_n$  is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial, we must have  $\lambda_f(1) + \lambda_f(-1) = 2z$ , an even integer, a contradiction. Thus, in this case  $W_n$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -orientable.

We now show that for all other cases  $W_n$  is  $(2, 3)$ -orientable. We divide the proof into three cases, those being whether the total number of edges in  $W_n$  is a multiple of three, one more than a multiple of three, or two more than a multiple of three.

**Case 1.**  $2n - 2 = 3z$  for some integer  $z$ .

**Subcase 1.1.**  $n = 2k$  and  $k = 2\ell$ . In this case, let  $f$  be the labelling such that the labelling of the cycle has  $2(z - \frac{k}{2})$  edges incident with vertices labelled differently. Orient all arcs not incident with  $v_n$  clockwise around the cycle. Orient half the arcs incident with  $v_n$  that are labelled 1 away from  $v_n$ , and half toward  $v_n$ . In this case,  $\lambda_{f,H}(0) = k - 1$ , and  $\lambda_{f,H}(1) = \lambda_{f,H}(-1) = \frac{k}{2} = \ell$ . Further,  $\lambda_{f,R}(1) = \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = z - \frac{k}{2}$ . Thus,  $\lambda_f(1) = \lambda_f(-1) = \lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,R}(1) = \ell + z - \frac{k}{2} = z$ . Thus, we must also have  $\lambda_f(0) = z$ , and that  $W_n$  is  $(2, 3)$ -orientable.

**Subcase 1.2.**  $n = 2k + 1$ . In this case proceed as in Subcase 1 labelling the

vertices not incident with  $v_n$  with an even number of 1's (either  $k$  or  $k+1$ ). Let  $\ell$  be half of this even number. Then, we produce a  $(2,3)$ -cordial orientation of  $W_n$  the same as in Subcase 1.1.

**Case 2.**  $2n - 2 = 3z + 1$  for some integer  $z$ .

**Subcase 2.1.**  $n = 2k$ ,  $k = 2\ell$ . In this case, let  $f$  be the labelling such that the labelling of the cycle has  $2(z - \frac{k}{2})$  edges incident with vertices labelled differently. Orient all arcs not incident with  $v_n$  clockwise around the cycle. Orient half the arcs incident with  $v_n$  that are labelled 1 away from  $v_n$ , and half toward  $v_n$ . In this case,  $\lambda_{f,H}(0) = k - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{f,H}(1) = \lambda_{f,H}(-1) = \frac{k}{2} = \ell$ . Further,  $\lambda_{f,R}(1) = \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = z - \frac{k}{2}$ . Thus,  $\lambda_f(1) = \lambda_f(-1) = \lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,R}(1) = \ell + z - \frac{k}{2} = z$ . Thus, we must also have  $\lambda_f(0) = z + 1$ , and that  $W_n$  is  $(2,3)$ -orientable.

**Subcase 2.2.**  $n = 2k$ ,  $k = 2\ell + 1$  In this case, let  $f$  be the labelling such that the labelling of the cycle has  $2(z - \frac{k-1}{2})$  edges incident with vertices labelled differently. Orient  $\ell$  of the arcs incident with  $v_n$  that are labelled 1 away from  $v_n$ , and  $\ell + 1$  of those arcs toward  $v_n$ . In this case,  $\lambda_{f,H}(0) = k - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{f,H}(1) = \ell$  and  $\lambda_{f,H}(-1) = \ell + 1$ . Further,  $\lambda_{f,R}(1) = \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = z - \frac{k}{2} = z - \ell$ . Thus,  $\lambda_f(1) = \lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,R}(1) = \ell + z - \ell = z$ , and  $\lambda_f(-1) = \lambda_{f,H}(-1) + \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = \ell + 1 + z - \ell = z + 1$ . Thus, we must also have  $\lambda_f(0) = 2n - 2 - (z) - (z + 1) = z$ , and thus  $W_n$  is  $(2,3)$ -orientable.

**Subcase 2.3.**  $n = 2k + 1$ . In this case proceed as in Subcase 2.1 labelling the vertices not incident with  $v_n$  with an even number of 1's (either  $k$  or  $k + 1$  depending upon whether  $k$  is even or odd). Let  $\ell$  be half of this even number. Then, we produce a  $(2,3)$ -cordial orientation of  $W_n$  the same as in Subcase 2.1.

**Case 3.**  $2n - 2 = 3z + 2$  for some integer  $z$ .

**Subcase 3.1.**  $n = 2k$ ,  $k = 2\ell$ . In this case, let  $f$  be the labelling such that the labelling of the cycle has  $2(z - \frac{k}{2})$  edges incident with vertices labelled differently. Orient all arcs not incident with  $v_n$  clockwise around the cycle. Orient half the arcs incident with  $v_n$  that are labelled 1 away from  $v_n$ , and half toward  $v_n$ . In this case,  $\lambda_{f,H}(0) = k - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{f,H}(1) = \lambda_{f,H}(-1) = \frac{k}{2} = \ell$ . Further,  $\lambda_{f,R}(1) = \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = z - \frac{k}{2}$ . Thus,  $\lambda_f(1) = \lambda_f(-1) = \lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,R}(1) = \ell + z - \frac{k}{2} = z$ . Thus, we must also have  $\lambda_f(0) = z + 1$ , and that  $W_n$  is  $(2,3)$ -orientable.

**Subcase 3.2.**  $n = 2k$ ,  $k = 2\ell + 1$  In this case, let  $f$  be the labelling such that the labelling of the cycle has  $2(z - \frac{k-1}{2})$  edges incident with vertices labelled differently. Orient  $\ell$  of the arcs incident with  $v_n$  that are labelled 1 away from  $v_n$ , and  $\ell + 1$  toward  $v_n$ . In this case,  $\lambda_{f,H}(0) = k - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{f,H}(1) = \ell$

and  $\lambda_{f,H}(-1) = \ell + 1$ . Further,  $\lambda_{f,R}(1) = \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = z - \frac{k}{2} = z = \ell$ . Thus,  $\lambda_f(1) = \lambda_{f,H}(1) + \lambda_{f,R}(1) = \ell + z - \ell = z$ , and  $\lambda_f(-1) = \lambda_{f,H}(-1) + \lambda_{f,R}(-1) = \ell + 1 + z - \ell = z + 1$ . Thus, we must also have  $\lambda_f(0) = z$ , and that  $W_n$  is  $(2, 3)$ -orientable.

**Subcase 3.3.**  $n = 2k + 1$ . In this case proceed as in subcase 3.1 labelling the vertices not incident with  $v_n$  with an even number of 1's (either  $k$  or  $k + 1$  depending upon whether  $k$  is even or odd. Let  $\ell$  be half of this even number. Then, we produce a  $(2, 3)$ -cordial orientation of  $W_n$  the same as in Subcase 3.1.

We have now established the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.3 $(2, 3)$ -Orientations of Fan Graphs.

A fan graph is isomorphic to a wheel graph with one edge of the cycle deleted. Thus, by deleting one properly chosen arc from the cycle of a  $(2, 3)$ -oriented  $n$ -wheel graph we obtain an orientation of the  $n$ -fan graph that is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. Note that if there are at least as many arcs labelled  $x$  ( $x = 1, -1$  or  $0$ ) as any other labelling, the properly chosen arc would be in the set of arcs labelled  $x$ .) Thus there is only one case to consider, the case where  $2n - 2 = 3z$ ,  $n = 2k$  and  $k = 2\ell + 1$  for some  $z, k$ , and  $\ell$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $n \geq 5$  and let  $F_n$  be the  $n$ -fan graph with central vertex  $v_1$ , that is the edges not on the cycle are all incident to  $v_1$ . Let  $\vec{F}$  be a cyclic-out orientation of  $F_n$ . Then  $\vec{F}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.*

*Proof.* As for wheel graphs, the number of arcs labelled 1 on the cycle is equal to the number of arcs labelled -1 and there are at least two arcs labelled 1 on the interior of the cycle. thus, the number of arcs labled 1 in  $\vec{F}$  is at least two more that the arcs labelled -1 in  $\vec{F}$ . That is  $\vec{F}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $F_n$  be the fan graph on  $n$  vertices,  $2n - 3 = 3z + 2$ ,  $n = 2k$  and  $k = 2\ell + 1$  for some integers  $k, \ell$ , and  $z$ . Then there is an orientation of  $F_n$  that is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = z - \ell + 1$ , and define  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by  $f(v_{2i-1}) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \alpha$ ,  $f(v_{2i}) = 1, i = 1, \dots, \alpha$ ,  $f(v_{2\alpha+i}) = 1, i = 1, \dots, k - \alpha$ , and  $f(v_{k+\alpha+i}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k - \alpha$ , Note that  $(k - \alpha) + (k + \alpha) = 2k = n$ , so all vertices are labelled. Orient the cycle clockwise, so that the oriented cycle is  $\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}, \overrightarrow{v_2v_3}, \dots, \overrightarrow{v_{n-1}v_n}, \overrightarrow{v_nv_1}$ . See Figure 6 where the vertex labellings are outside the cycle.




Figure 6:  $F_n$  with oriented cycle arcs.

Now, orient  $\ell$  of the inner arcs from  $v_1$  to arcs labelled 1 (except for  $v_2$  which is not an inner arc) away from  $v_1$  and the remaining  $\ell$  such arcs inward so that we get  $\vec{F}_n = (V, A)$ . Let  $g : A \rightarrow \{0, 1, -1\}$  be the induced labelling,  $g(\vec{uv}) = f(v) - f(u)$ . Then there are  $\alpha$  arcs labelled 1 on the cycle,  $\alpha$  arcs labelled  $-1$  on the cycle,  $\ell$  of the inner arcs are labelled  $-1$  and  $\ell$  of the inner arcs are labelled 1. Thus, in all of  $\vec{F}_n$  there are  $\alpha + \ell = z + 1$  arcs labelled  $-1$ ,  $\alpha + \ell = z + 1$  arcs labelled 1 and (hence)  $z$  arcs labelled 0. That is, this orientation of  $F_n$  is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. ■

### 3.4 Extremes of $(2, 3)$ Cordiality

As seen in section 3, complete graphs are not  $(2, 3)$ -orientable if  $n \geq 6$ . So the question arises: How large can a  $(2, 3)$ -orientable graph be (how many edges)? Or: How large can a  $(2, 3)$ -cordial digraph be? That question was fully answered by M. A. Santana in [6] For completeness we shall include the proofs of his



results.

**Theorem 3.6** [6, Theorem 3.1] *Every simple directed graph is  $(2, 3)$  cordial if and only if there exists a friendly vertex labelling such that about  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the edges are connected by vertices of the same label.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph such that there exists a friendly labelling on  $G$  such that about  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the edges are connected by vertices of the same label. This would mean about  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the edges are connected by vertices of different labels, and therefore arcs may be assigned such that  $G$  is cordial. Now let  $H$  be a graph such that there does not exist a friendly labelling on  $H$  such that about  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the edges are connected by vertices of the same label then there will be no way  $H$  can be cordial since only then could about one third of the edges be labelled 0. ■

Santana's application of Theorem 3.6 is

**Theorem 3.7** [6, Theorem 4.2] *Given a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with vertex set  $V$  and  $n = |V|$  with  $n \geq 6$ , and edge set  $E$ , the maximum size of  $E$  such that  $G$  is cordial for any given  $n$  is*

$$|E|_{max} = \binom{n}{2} - Z + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \left( \binom{n}{2} - Z \right) \right\rceil Z = \binom{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}{2} + \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{2}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* From section 3 we have that for any tournament with  $n \leq 5$  there exists a cordial labelling, save for the case when  $n = 4$  thus we begin with a complete graph with  $n \geq 6$ . Recall that the number of vertices on a complete graph is  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Due to our cordial labelling the number of edges with an induced labelling of 0 will be our  $Z$ . This is because it will be the number of edges connected by two vertices of the same label, as shown in Figure 7. If  $n$  is even that will mean that  $Z = 2\binom{\frac{n}{2}}{2}$ , i.e., it will be the number of edges on two  $\frac{n}{2}$  complete graphs represented by the labellings of ones and zeros. The floor and ceiling function in (1) simply account for the odd case.

For every tournament with  $n \geq 6$  vertices,  $Z > \frac{1}{3}\binom{n}{2}$ . Therefore some of the arcs labelled zero will need to be removed to get a cordial graph. How many arcs need to be removed is going to be equal to how much greater  $Z$  is than the number of half the number of arcs not labelled zero. By the definition of a directed cordial graph we know that  $Z$  can be larger than  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  and we can still have a cordial graph, hence the ceiling function. ■




Figure 7: A complete graph. Dashed Lines represent edges labelled zero regardless of arc orientation

As mentioned in the introduction, the smallest non  $(2, 3)$ -cordial digraph is an orientation of  $X_n$ , three parallel arcs. A question may be asked: What is the minimum number of arcs in a non  $(2, 3)$ -cordial digraph that has no isolated vertices?

## 4 Conclusions

In this article we have shown that the only tournaments that are  $(2, 3)$ -cordial are when  $n \leq 5$  and then not for two 4-tournaments. That except for one case when  $n$  is even, the  $n$ -wheel graph has an orientation that is  $(2, 3)$ -cordial and that at least one orientation of any wheel graph is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial. Further, we show that every fan graph has a  $(2, 3)$ -cordial orientation, and as for wheel graphs there is always an orientation of the  $n$ -fan that is not  $(2, 3)$ -cordial.

## References

- [1] L. B. Beasley, Cordial Digraphs, In Press.
- [2] I. Cahit, Cordial graphs: A weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs, *Ars Comb.* 23(1987) 201-208.
- [3] M. Hovey, A-cordial graphs, *Discrete Math.* 93 (1991) 183-194.

- [4] O. Pechenik and J. Wise, Generalized graph cordiality, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 32(2012) 557-567.
- [5] E Salehi, PC-labelling of a graph and its PC-set, *Bull. Inst. Comb. Appl.* 58(2010) 112-121.
- [6] M. A. Santana, J. M. Mousley, D. E. Brown, and L. B. Beasley, (2,3)-Cordial Trees and Paths, Preprint.

Authors email addresses: LeRoy B. Beasley, [leroy.b.beasley@aggiemail.usu.edu](mailto:leroy.b.beasley@aggiemail.usu.edu);  
Manuel Santana, [manuelarturosantana@gmail.com](mailto:manuelarturosantana@gmail.com); Jonathan Mousley, [jonathan-mousley@gmail.com](mailto:jonathan-mousley@gmail.com); David E. Brown, [david.e.brown@usu.edu](mailto:david.e.brown@usu.edu)