

Dynamical IP^* -sets in weak rings

Pintu Debnath ^{*} Sayan Goswami [†]

Abstract

V. Bergelson and N. Hindman in [1], proved that IP^* - sets contain all possible finite sums and products of a sum subsystem of any sequence in \mathbb{N} . In a recent work [3], the second author of this article has proved that a stronger result holds for dynamical IP^* - sets. In this article we will establish a non-commutative version of this result. We will prove that a richer configuration is contained in dynamical IP^* - sets in weak rings.

Mathematics subject classification 2020: 05D10

Keywords: IP^* -sets, Zigzag configuration, weak ring

1 Introduction

Ramsey theoretic study is deeply involved with the study of topological dynamics, ergodic theory and the algebra of the Stone-Ćech compactification of discrete semigroups. In [4], N. Hindman established a result regarding partition regularity of finite sums of a sequence. This theorem has motivated many mathematicians to study the IP -sets in Ramsey theory. For any set X , denote by $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$, the set of all nonempty finite subsets of X . Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup and a set $A \subseteq S$ is called an IP -set if and only if there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S such that $FP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$. The expression $FP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$ denotes the set $\{\prod_{n \in F} x_n : F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})\}$ and $\prod_{n \in F} x_n$ is defined to be the product in increasing order. The following theorem is known as the Hindman's Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [4] Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_r$ be a finite partition of \mathbb{N} . Then there exist $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq C_i$.

One can show that the above theorem is equivalent to the statement that if an IP -set is partitioned into finitely many cells, then one of the cell is itself an IP -set. The above theorem is true for arbitrary discrete semigroups.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Basirhat College, Basirhat -743412, North 24th parganas, West Bengal, India. pintumath1989@gmail.com

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India sayan92m@gmail.com

An IP*-set is a subset of S which intersects all possible IP-sets of S . Given a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S , we say that $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a product subsystem of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ provided there exists a sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of non-empty finite subsets such that $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ and $y_n = \prod_{t \in H_n} x_t$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that if $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a product subsystem of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, then $FP(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq FP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$. In [1], the authors have proved that the IP*-sets contain a rich combinatorial configuration by establishing the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbb{N} and A be an IP*-set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then there exists a sum subsystem $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \cup FP(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A.$$

In [3, Theorem 6], the second author of this article, has proved that a richer configuration is contained in certain IP*-sets. We will define the zigzag finite product configuration for l many sequences as follows:

Definition 1.3. [3]

1. For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and any l -sequences $\langle x_{1,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_{2,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_{l,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S , define the zigzag finite product

$$ZFP(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \prod_{t \in H} y_t : H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and} \\ y_i \in \{x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, \dots, x_{l,i}\} \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}$$

2. For any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any l -sequences $\langle x_{1,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_{2,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_{l,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S , define

$$ZFP(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^m \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \prod_{t \in H} y_t : H \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \text{ and} \\ y_i \in \{x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, \dots, x_{l,i}\} \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}$$

Clearly for $l = 1$, this is nothing but the ordinary finite product of a sequence. In [3], the second author of this article asked the following question.

Question 1.4. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an IP*-set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for any l sequences $\langle x_{1,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_{2,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_{l,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} whether there exists a l sum subsystems $\langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, of $\langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that

$$ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) \cup ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subset A.$$

We don't know the answer of the above question. In [3, Theorem 6], it has been established that certain IP*-sets, arise from the recurrence of Topological dynamics, contain the above structure. To recall dynamical IP*-sets, we need the following definitions.

Definition 1.5. [5, Definition 19.29, page 503]

1. A measure space is a triple (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) where X is a set, \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra of subsets of X , and is a countably additive measure on \mathcal{B} with $\mu(X)$ finite.

2. Given a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) a function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a measure preserving transformation if and only if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $T^{-1}[B] \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(T^{-1}[B]) = \mu(B)$.
3. Given a semigroup S and a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , a measure preserving action of S on X is an indexed family $\langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S}$ such that each T_s is a measure preserving transformation of X and $T_s \circ T_t = T_{st}$. It is also required that if S has an identity e , then T_e is the identity function on X .
4. A measure preserving system is a quadruple $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ such that (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a measure space and $\langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S}$ is a measure preserving action of S on X .

From [5, Theorem 19.33, page 504], we get the following theorem:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ be a measure preserving system. Then for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, $C = \{s \in S : \mu(A \cap T_s^{-1}[A]) > 0\}$ an IP^* -set.*

The following one is the definition of dynamical IP^* -set.

Definition 1.7. [5, Definition 19.34, page 505] Let S be a semigroup. A subset C of S is dynamical IP^* -set if and only if there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ and an $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\{s \in S : \mu(A \cap T_s^{-1}[A]) > 0\} \subseteq C$.

In this article we will extend [3, Theorem 6] in non-commutative setting.

2 Dynamical IP^* -sets in weak rings

First we need to extend [5, Theorem 19.35, page 505] and [3, Lemma 7] for arbitrary discrete semigroups in our purpose. The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of [5, Theorem 19.35].

For any semigroup (S, \cdot) , $s, t \in S$ and $A \subseteq S$, define $s^{-1}A = \{t \in S : st \in A\}$, $As^{-1} = \{t \in S : ts \in A\}$ and $s^{-1}At^{-1} = \{x \in S : sxt \in A\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let B be a dynamical IP^* -set in (S, \cdot) . Then it follows that there is a dynamical IP^* -set $C \subset B$ such that for each $t \in C$, $t^{-1}C$ is a dynamical IP^* -set*

Proof. Let us consider a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) with a measure preserving action $(T_s)_{s \in S}$ of (S, \cdot) on X , a set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mu(A) > 0$ and $\{s \in S : \mu(A \cap T_s^{-1}A) > 0\} \subseteq B$. Let

$$C = \{s \in S : \mu(A \cap T_s^{-1}A) > 0\}.$$

To see that C is as required, let $t \in C$ and let $D = A \cap T_t^{-1}A$. We claim that

$$\{s \in S : \mu(D \cap T_s^{-1}D) > 0\} \subseteq t^{-1}C.$$

Let $s \in S$ such that $\mu(D \cap T_s^{-1}D) > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D \cap T_s^{-1}D &= A \cap T_t^{-1}A \cap T_s^{-1}(A \cap T_t^{-1}A) \\ &\subseteq A \cap T_s^{-1}(T_t^{-1}A) \\ &= A \cap T_{ts}^{-1}A \end{aligned}$$

so $ts \in C$ and $s \in t^{-1}C$. \square

The following lemma says that the intersection of finite numbers of dynamical IP^* -sets is dynamical IP^* -set.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup. Let $A, B \subseteq S$ be two dynamical IP^* -sets in (S, \cdot) . Then it follows that $A \cap B$ is also a dynamical IP^* -set in (S, \cdot) .*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \langle R_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ be two measure preserving systems and C, D be two sets guaranteed by the definition 1.7. Now we have $\{s \in S : \mu(C \cap T_s^{-1}[C]) > 0\} \subseteq A$ and $\{s \in S : \nu(D \cap R_s^{-1}[D]) > 0\} \subseteq B$. Let $(X \times Y, \mathcal{D}, \mu \otimes \nu, \langle T_s \times R_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ be a dynamical system where \mathcal{D} is the smallest σ -algebra of subsets of $X \times Y$ which contains $\{B \times C : B \in \mathcal{B}, C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, $\mu \otimes \nu$ is the countably additive measure on \mathcal{D} such that $(\mu \otimes \nu)(B \times C) = \mu(B) \cdot \nu(C)$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and $(T_s \times R_s)(x, y) = (T_s(x), R_s(y))$. So,

$$(\mu \otimes \nu) \left((C \times D) \cap (T_s \times R_s)^{-1}[C \times D] \right) = \mu(C \cap T_s^{-1}[C]) \cdot \nu(D \cap R_s^{-1}[D]).$$

This implies $s \in \left\{ (\mu \otimes \nu) \left((C \times D) \cap (T_s \times R_s)^{-1}[C \times D] \right) > 0 \right\}$ iff $s \in \left\{ s : \mu(C \cap T_s^{-1}[C]) > 0 \right\} \cap \left\{ s : \nu(D \cap R_s^{-1}[D]) > 0 \right\}$ and so $A \cap B$ is dynamical IP^* -set. \square

Let us recall the definition of weak ring.

Definition 2.3. [5, Definition 16.33, page 419]

1. A left weak ring is a triple $(S, +, \cdot)$ such that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are semi-groups and the left distributive law holds. That is, for all $x, y, z \in S$ one has $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$.
2. A right weak ring is a triple $(S, +, \cdot)$ such that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are semi-groups and the right distributive law holds. That is, for all $x, y, z \in S$ one has $(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$.
3. A weak ring is a triple $(S, +, \cdot)$ which is both a left weak ring and a right weak ring.

Recall that in $FP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty})$ the products are taken in increasing order of indices. The following definition of ‘all product’ of a sequence is taken from [5, Definition 16.36, page 420].

Definition 2.4. Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup, let $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in S , and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $AP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^k)$ is the set of all products of terms of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^k$ in any order with no repetitions. Similarly $AP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$ is the set of all products of terms of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in any order with no repetitions.

For example, for $k = 3$, we obtain the following:

$$AP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^3) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1x_2, x_2x_1, x_1x_3, x_3x_1, x_2x_3, x_3x_2, \\ x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_3x_2, x_2x_1x_3, x_2x_3x_1, x_3x_1x_2, x_3x_2x_1\}.$$

From [5, Theorem 16.38, page 421], we have the following theorem for IP^* -sets.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak ring, let A be an IP^* set in $(S, +)$, and let $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any sequence in S . Then there exists a sum subsystem $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in S such that $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \cup AP(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$.*

In this article our aim is to prove a zigzag version of the above theorem for dynamical IP^* -sets. We are thankful to the referee for improvement of the following definition from the previous draft.

Definition 2.6. Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak ring, let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\langle x_{1,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_{2,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_{l,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, be any l sequences in S .

1. $ZAP(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^r x_{\gamma(t), \tau(t)} : r \in \mathbb{N}, \tau : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \xrightarrow{1-1} \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \gamma : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \right\}$.
2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $ZAP(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^k \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^r x_{\gamma(t), \tau(t)} : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \tau : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \xrightarrow{1-1} \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \gamma : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \right\}$.
3. $ZFS(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \left\{ \sum x_{\gamma(t), t} : F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } \gamma : F \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \right\}$.
4. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $ZFS(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^k \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \left\{ \sum_{t \in F} x_{\gamma(t), t} : \emptyset \neq F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \gamma : F \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \right\}$.

Let us demonstrate the above definition by a simple example.

Example 2.7. Suppose we have $k, l = 2$. The following is the zigzag all product configuration:

$$ZAP(\langle \langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^2 \rangle_{i=1}^2) = \left\{ x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}, x_{1,1}x_{1,2}, x_{1,2}x_{1,1}, x_{1,1}x_{2,2}, \right. \\ \left. x_{2,2}x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}x_{1,2}, x_{1,2}x_{2,1}, x_{2,1}x_{2,2}, x_{2,2}x_{2,1} \right\}$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak ring and let A be a dynamical IP^* -set in $(S, +)$. Then for all $s, t \in S$, $s^{-1}A$, As^{-1} and $s^{-1}At^{-1}$ are dynamical IP^* -sets in $(S, +)$.*

Proof. Pick a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle T_t \rangle_{t \in S})$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mu(C) > 0$ and $D = \{v \in S : \mu(C \cap T_v^{-1}[C]) > 0\} \subseteq A$. We will do the proof for $s^{-1}At^{-1}$.

Let $s, t \in S$ be given and define for $u \in S$, $R_u : X \rightarrow X$ by $R_u = T_{sut}$. Then given $u, v \in S$, $R_u \circ R_v = T_{sut} \circ T_{svt} = T_{sut+svt} = T_{s(u+v)t} = R_{u+v}$. Therefore $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle R_u \rangle_{u \in S})$ is a measure preserving system. It suffices to show that $\{u \in S : \mu(C \cap R_u^{-1}[C]) > 0\} \subseteq s^{-1}At^{-1}$. So assume that $u \in S$ and $\mu(C \cap R_u^{-1}[C]) > 0$. Then $\mu(C \cap T_{sut}^{-1}[C]) > 0$, so $sut \in D \subseteq A$ so $u \in s^{-1}At^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup and let A be an IP^* -set in S . Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, let $\langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in S . There exists a sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ and, if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $y_{i,n} = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_{i,t}$, then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $FS(\langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$. In particular, there exists $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $\sum_{t \in H_n} x_{i,t} \in A$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on l . Assume first that $l = 1$. By [5, Lemma 5.11], there exists an idempotent $p \in \beta S$ such that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $FS(\langle x_{1,n} \rangle_{n=m}^\infty) \in p$. Since A is an IP^* -set, $A \in p$. Then by [5, Theorem 5.14], there exists a sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ for each n and, if $y_{1,n} = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_{1,t}$ then $FS(\langle y_{1,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$.

Now assume that $l > 1$ and the statement is true for $l - 1$. Pick a sequence $\langle K_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\max K_n < \min K_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l - 1\}$, letting $z_{i,n} = \sum_{t \in K_n} x_{i,t}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $FS(\langle z_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $z_{l,n} = \sum_{t \in K_n} x_{l,t}$. Using [5, Lemma 5.11 and Theorem 5.14] again, pick a sequence $\langle M_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\max M_n < \min M_{n+1}$ for each n and, if $y_{l,n} = \sum_{j \in M_n} z_{l,j}$, then $FS(\langle y_{l,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $H_n = \bigcup_{j \in M_n} K_j$. Note that for each n , $y_{l,n} = \sum_{j \in M_n} z_{l,j} = \sum_{j \in M_n} \sum_{t \in K_j} x_{l,t} = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_{l,t}$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l - 1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_{i,n} = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_{i,t}$. Then $y_{i,n} = \sum_{j \in M_n} z_{i,j}$ so $FS(\langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq FS(\langle z_{i,j} \rangle_{j=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$. \square

We are thankful to the referee for his help to strengthen the following theorem from our previous draft.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak ring and let A be a dynamical IP^* -set in $(S, +)$. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, let $\langle x_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in S . There exists a sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ and, if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $y_{i,n} = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_{i,t}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $ZFS(\langle \langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) \cup ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,n} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subseteq A$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we may pick a dynamical IP*-set $C \subseteq A$ such that for each $s \in C$, $-s + C$ is dynamical IP*-set.

We construct $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ by induction so that if $n > 1$, then $\max H_n > \min H_{n-1}$ and, letting $y_{i,n} = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_{i,t}$,

$$ZFS(\langle \langle y_{i,t} \rangle_{t=1}^n \rangle_{i=1}^l) \cup ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,t} \rangle_{t=1}^n \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subseteq C.$$

Pick by Lemma 2.9, $H_1 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $y_{i,1} = \sum_{t \in H_1} x_{i,t}$, then $y_{i,1} \in C$. Then

$$ZFS(\langle \langle y_{i,t} \rangle_{t=1}^1 \rangle_{i=1}^l) \cup ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,t} \rangle_{t=1}^1 \rangle_{i=1}^l) = \{y_{1,1}, y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{l,1}\} \subseteq C$$

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that we have chosen $\langle H_s \rangle_{s=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\min H_n > \max H_{n-1}$ if $n > 1$ and

$$B = ZFS(\langle \langle y_{i,s} \rangle_{s=1}^n \rangle_{i=1}^l) \cup ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,s} \rangle_{s=1}^n \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subseteq C,$$

where for $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $y_{i,s} = \sum_{t \in H_s} x_{i,t}$. Let

$$D = C \cap \bigcap_{s \in B} (-s + C) \cap \bigcap_{s \in B} s^{-1}C \cap \bigcap_{s \in B} Cs^{-1} \cap \bigcap_{s \in B} \bigcap_{u \in B} (s^{-1}Cu^{-1}).$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.8, D is a dynamical IP*-set.

Let $p = \max H_n$. By Lemma 2.9 applied to the sequences $\langle x_{i,t} \rangle_{t=p+1}^\infty$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, pick $H_{n+1} \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ with $\min H_{n+1} > p$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $y_{i,n+1} \in D$ where $y_{i,n+1} = \sum_{t \in H_{n+1}} x_{i,t}$. We need to show that $ZFS(\langle \langle y_{i,s} \rangle_{s=1}^{n+1} \rangle_{i=1}^l) \cup ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,s} \rangle_{s=1}^{n+1} \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subseteq C$.

To see that $ZFS(\langle \langle y_{i,s} \rangle_{s=1}^{n+1} \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subseteq C$, let $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$, let $\gamma : F \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, and let $z = \sum_{t \in F} x_{\gamma(t), t}$. If $n+1 \notin F$, then $z \in C$ by assumption. If $F = \{n+1\}$, then $z = y_{\gamma(n+1), n+1} \in D \subseteq C$. So assume that $n+1 \in F$ and $G = F \setminus \{n+1\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $s = \sum_{t \in G} y_{\gamma(t), t}$. By assumption $s \in B$ so $y_{\gamma(n+1), n+1} \in -s + C$ so that $z \in C$.

To see that $ZFP(\langle \langle y_{i,s} \rangle_{s=1}^{n+1} \rangle_{i=1}^l) \subseteq C$, let us assume $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$, let $\tau : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \xrightarrow{1-1} \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$, let $\gamma : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, and let $z = \prod_{t=1}^r y_{\gamma(t), \tau(t)}$. If $n+1 \notin \tau[\{1, 2, \dots, r\}]$, then $z \in C$ by assumption so assume we have $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $n+1 = \tau(m)$. If $r = 1$, then $m = 1$ so that $z = y_{\gamma(1), n+1} \in C$. So assume that $r > 1$. If $m = r$, then $s = \prod_{t=1}^{r-1} y_{\gamma(t), \tau(t)} \in B$ so $y_{\gamma(r), n+1} \in s^{-1}C$ so $z \in C$.

If $m = 1$, define $\eta : \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\} \xrightarrow{1-1} \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\nu : \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ by $\eta(t) = \tau(t+1)$ and $\nu(t) = \gamma(t+1)$. Then $s = \prod_{t=1}^{r-1} y_{\nu(t), \tau(t)} \in B$ so $y_{\gamma(1), n+1} \in Cs^{-1}$ and thus $z = y_{\gamma(1), n+1}s \in C$. Finally, assume $1 < m < r$. Define $\eta : \{1, 2, \dots, r-m\} \xrightarrow{1-1} \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\nu : \{1, 2, \dots, r-m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ by $\eta(t) = \tau(m+t)$ and $\nu(t) = \gamma(m+t)$. Then $s = \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} y_{\gamma(t), \tau(t)} \in B$ and $u = \prod_{t=1}^{r-m} y_{\nu(t), \eta(t)} \in B$ so $z = sy_{\gamma(m), n+1}u \in C$. \square

Acknowledgment: The second author acknowledges the grant UGC-NET SRF fellowship with id no. 421333 of the CSIR-UGC NET December 2016. We acknowledge the anonymous referee for his helpful comments to improve the article.

References

- [1] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman, IP^* -sets and central sets, *Combinatorica* 14 (1994), 269-277.
- [2] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman, Quotient sets and density recurrent sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 4495-4531.
- [3] S. Goswami, Combined Zigzag structure in Dynamically IP^* -sets, *Topology and its Applications*, volume 300, 107752.
- [4] N. Hindman, Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of \mathbb{N} , *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* 17(1) (1974) 1–11.
- [5] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification: theory and applications*, second edition, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.