

# BANACH SPACES WITH THE (STRONG) GELFAND–PHILLIPS PROPERTY

TARAS BANAKH AND SAAK GABRIYELIAN

ABSTRACT. Several new characterizations of the Gelfand–Phillips property are given. We define a strong version of the Gelfand–Phillips property and prove that a Banach space has this stronger property iff it embeds into  $c_0$ . For an infinite compact space  $K$ , the Banach space  $C(K)$  has the strong Gelfand–Phillips property iff  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$  iff  $K$  is countable and has finite scattered height.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff and infinite, all Banach spaces are infinite-dimensional over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  of real or complex numbers, and all operators between Banach spaces are linear and continuous. For a Banach space  $E$ , we denote by  $B_E$  the closed unit ball of  $E$ , and the dual space of  $E$  is denoted by  $E'$ . For a bounded subset  $B \subseteq E$  and a functional  $\chi \in E'$ , we put  $\|\chi\|_B := \sup\{|\chi(x)| : x \in B\}$ .

A bounded subset  $B$  of a Banach space  $E$  is called *limited* if every weak\* null sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  converges uniformly on  $B$ , that is  $\lim_n \|\chi_n\|_B = 0$ . A Banach space  $E$  is called *Gelfand–Phillips* if every limited set in  $E$  is precompact. A subset  $A$  of  $E$  is *precompact* if its closure in  $E$  is compact.

In [15] Gelfand proved that every separable Banach space is Gelfand–Phillips. The condition of being separable is essential. Indeed, Phillips [26] showed that the space  $\ell_\infty = C(\beta\omega)$  is not Gelfand–Phillips, where  $\beta\omega$  is the Stone–Čech compactification of the discrete space  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers.

Bourgain and Diestel [3] defined an operator  $T : L \rightarrow E$  between Banach spaces to be *limited* if  $T(B_L)$  is a limited subset of  $E$ , or equivalently, if the adjoint operator  $T^*$  is weak\*-norm sequentially continuous. In [11], Drewnowski observed the following characterization playing a considerable role in recognizing Gelfand–Phillips spaces.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a Banach space  $E$  the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$E$  is Gelfand–Phillips;*
- (ii) *every limited weakly null sequence in  $E$  is norm null;*
- (iii) *every limited operator with range in  $E$  is compact.*

It immediately follows from (ii) that every Schur space (in particular,  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  for some infinite set  $\Gamma$ ) is Gelfand–Phillips.

Gelfand–Phillips spaces were intensively studied by many authors, see for example [11, 12, 22, 29, 30, 31] and more recent articles [5, 18, 20, 28]. Another direction for studying the Gelfand–Phillips property is to characterize Gelfand–Phillips spaces that belong to some important classes of Banach spaces. Since every Banach space is (isometrically) embedded in a  $C(K)$ -space, it is important to recognize Gelfand–Phillips spaces among Banach spaces of continuous functions in terms of the compact space  $K$ . Some sufficient conditions on compact spaces  $K$  to have Gelfand–Phillips space  $C(K)$  were obtained by Drewnowski [11], Drewnowski and Emmanuele [12], and by Schlumprecht in [29, 30].

In the first main result of the paper (Theorem 2.1) we obtain several new characterizations of Gelfand–Phillips spaces from which we deduce some sufficient conditions of being a Gelfand–Phillips space (Corollary 2.2). Our approach involves the family  $\text{BNP}(E)$  of all *bounded non-precompact*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A03; Secondary 46E10, 46E15.

*Key words and phrases.* Banach space, Gelfand–Phillips property, strong Gelfand–Phillips property.

subsets of a Banach space  $E$ , instead of *limited* sets in  $E$ . This approach leads us to the following characterization of the Gelfand–Phillips property: *A Banach space  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips if and only if for every  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ , there is a weak\* null sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  such that  $\|\chi_n\|_B \not\rightarrow 0$ .* The importance of this reformulation is that it gives not only a new characterization of the Gelfand–Phillips property, but it also allows to introduce and study a *strong* version of that property, see Definition 1.2 below.

Analysing the aforementioned characterization of the Gelfand–Phillips property, one can naturally ask: When does there exist a *fixed* weak\* null sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in the dual  $E'$  such that  $\|\chi_n\|_B \not\rightarrow 0$  for every  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ ? This question motivates to introduce the following strong version of the Gelfand–Phillips property.

**Definition 1.2.** A Banach space  $E$  is defined to have the *strong Gelfand–Phillips property* if  $E$  admits a weak\* null-sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  such that  $\|\chi_n\|_B \not\rightarrow 0$  for every  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ . In this case we will say that  $E$  is *strongly Gelfand–Phillips*.  $\square$

Strong Gelfand–Phillips spaces are studied in Section 3. It turns out that the class of such spaces is rather narrow: according to Theorem 3.1, a Banach space  $E$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips if and only if it embeds into  $c_0$ ; by Theorem 3.4, for a compact space  $K$  the Banach space  $C(K)$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips if and only if  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$  if and only if  $K$  is a countable compact space of finite scattered height.

## 2. A CHARACTERIZATION OF GELFAND–PHILLIPS SPACES

A topological space  $X$  is defined to be

- *sequentially compact* if every sequence in  $X$  contains a convergent subsequence;
- *selectively sequentially pseudocompact* at a subset  $A \subseteq X$  if for any open sets  $U_n \subseteq X$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , intersecting the set  $A$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega} \in \prod_{n \in \omega} U_n$  containing a convergent subsequence;
- *selectively sequentially pseudocompact* if  $X$  is sequentially sequentially pseudocompact at  $X$ , see [10].

It is clear that every selectively sequentially pseudocompact space  $X$  is pseudocompact, but the converse is not true in general since  $X$  must contain non-trivial convergent sequences. In particular, the Stone–Čech compactification  $\beta D$  of an infinite discrete space  $D$  is not selectively sequentially pseudocompact. Compact selectively sequentially pseudocompact spaces form the class  $\mathcal{K}''$  introduced by Drewnowski and Emmanuele [12].

A non-trivial class of selectively sequentially pseudocompact spaces is the class of Valdivia compact spaces widely studied in Functional Analysis. Let us recall that a compact space  $K$  is *Valdivia compact* if  $K$  is homeomorphic to a subspace  $X$  of a Tychonoff cube  $[-1, 1]^\kappa$  such that for the set  $\Sigma := \{x \in [-1, 1]^\kappa : |\text{supp}(x)| \leq \omega\}$ , the intersection  $X \cap \Sigma$  is dense in  $X$ . Since the space  $\Sigma$  is sequentially compact, every Valdivia compact space is selectively sequentially pseudocompact. It should be mentioned that Banach spaces whose dual unit ball is Valdivia compact in the weak\* topology form an important and well-studied class of Banach spaces, see [21].

Let  $E$  be a Banach space. For simplicity of notations, the space  $E$  endowed with the weak topology is denoted by  $E_w$ , and  $E'_{w^*}$  denotes the dual space  $E'$  with the weak\* topology. A bounded subset  $S$  of  $E'$  is called *norming* if the formula  $\|x\|_S = \sup_{\chi \in S} |\chi(x)|$  determines an equivalent norm on  $E$ , see [14, p.160]. We generalize this classical notion as follows. Let  $B$  be a subset of  $E$ . A bounded subset  $S$  of  $E'$  is defined to be *B-norming* if there exist a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \|x\|_S \leq \|x\| \leq \lambda \|x\|_S \quad \text{for every } x \in B.$$

In some papers (e.g. [30])  $E$ -norming sets are called  $E$ -norming up to a constant.

In the following theorem, which is the main result of this section, we give several new characterizations of Gelfand–Phillips spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For a Banach space  $E$  the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips.
- (ii) For every  $X \in \text{BNP}(E)$  there exists an operator  $T : E \rightarrow c_0$  such that  $T(X)$  is not precompact in the Banach space  $c_0$ .
- (iii) For every  $X \in \text{BNP}(E)$ , there is a weak\* null-sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  such that  $\|\chi_n\|_X \not\rightarrow 0$ .
- (iv) For every  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$  there exist an infinite subset  $X \subseteq B$  such that the space  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at some  $(X - X)$ -norming set  $S \subseteq E'$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips and take any set  $X \in \text{BNP}(E)$ . Since  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips, the non-precompact set  $X$  is not limited, which means that  $\|\chi_n\|_X \not\rightarrow 0$  for some weak\* null-sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$ . By the Banach–Steinhaus Uniform Boundedness Principle, the weak\* bounded set  $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is norm-bounded in  $E'$ , which implies that the linear map

$$T : E \rightarrow c_0, \quad T : x \mapsto (\chi_n(x))_{n \in \omega},$$

is bounded. It remains to show that  $T(X)$  is not precompact in  $c_0$ . Assuming that  $T(X)$  is precompact, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a finite set  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $T(F)$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -net in  $T(X)$  (which means that for every  $x \in T(X)$  there exists  $y \in T(F)$  such that  $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$ ). Since  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is weak\* null and  $F$  is finite, there exists  $m \in \omega$  such that  $\sup_{n \geq m} \max_{y \in F} |\chi_n(y)| < \varepsilon$ . For every  $x \in X$  choose  $y \in F$  with  $\|T(x) - T(y)\| < \varepsilon$  and conclude that for every  $n \geq m$  we have

$$|\chi_n(x)| \leq |\chi_n(y)| + |\chi_n(y) - \chi_n(x)| < \varepsilon + \|T(y) - T(x)\| < 2\varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $\|\chi_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$  and contradicts the choice of the sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Given any set  $X \in \text{BNP}(E)$ , apply (ii) and find an operator  $T : E \rightarrow c_0$  such that  $T(X)$  is not precompact in  $c_0$ . For every  $n \in \omega$ , let  $\chi_n = e'_n \circ T$ , where  $e'_n$  is the  $n$ th coordinate functional in  $c_0$ . It is clear that the sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is weak\* null in  $E'$ . It remains to prove that  $\|\chi_n\|_X \not\rightarrow 0$ . To derive a contradiction, assume that  $\|\chi_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $m \in \omega$  such that  $\sup_{n \geq m} \|\chi_n\|_X < \varepsilon$ . Since  $X$  and  $T$  are bounded, the number  $b = \sup\{\|T(x)\| : x \in X\}$  is finite. Since the bounded finite-dimensional set

$$K = \{y \in c_0 : \|y\| \leq b, \text{ and } e'_n(y) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq m\}$$

is compact in  $c_0$ , there exists a finite set  $F \subseteq K$  such that for every  $x \in K$  there exists  $y \in F$  such that  $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$ . Given any  $x \in X$ , let  $y \in K$  be a unique element such that  $e'_n(y) = \chi_n(x)$  for all  $n < m$ . The choice of the number  $m$  ensures that  $\|y - T(x)\| = \sup_{n \geq m} |\chi_n(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $y \in K$ , there exists  $z \in F$  such that  $\|y - z\| < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\|x - z\| < 2\varepsilon$  which means that  $F$  is a  $2\varepsilon$ -net for  $T(X)$  and hence the set  $T(X)$  is precompact in  $c_0$ , which contradicts the choice of the operator  $T$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Given any set  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ , we apply (iii) to find a weak\* null sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  such that  $\inf_{n \in \omega} \|\chi_n\|_B > 0$ . Multiplying the elements of the sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  by a suitable constant, we can assume that  $\inf_{n \in \omega} \|\chi_n\|_B > 2b$  where  $b := \sup\{\|x - y\| : x, y \in B\} > 0$ . Taking into account that  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is weak\* null, construct inductively a sequence of points  $(x_k)_{k \in \omega}$  in  $B$  and an increasing sequence of numbers  $(n_k)_{k \in \omega}$  such that for every  $k \in \omega$  the following two conditions are satisfied:

- $|\chi_{n_k}(x_k)| > 2b$ ;
- $|\chi_{n_k}(x_i)| < b$  for every  $i < k$ .

The triangle inequality ensures that  $|\chi_{n_k}(x_k - x_i)| > b$  for every  $i < k$ .

Let  $X = \{x_k : k \in \omega\}$ . Since the sequence  $(\chi_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$  is weak\* null, the space  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at the set  $S = \{\chi_{n_k} : k \in \omega\}$ . We claim that  $S$  is  $(X - X)$ -norming. By the Banach–Steinhaus Uniform Boundedness Principle, the weak\* null sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is norm bounded in  $E'$  and so is the set  $S \subseteq E'$ . Then the positive constant  $C = \sup\{\|\chi\| : \chi \in S\}$  is well-defined and, for every  $z \in E$ , we have  $\|z\|_S = \sup_{\chi \in S} |\chi(z)| \leq C \cdot \|z\|$ . If  $z \in X - X$ , then  $z = x_k - x_i$  for some  $k, i \in \omega$ . If  $k = i$ , then  $z = 0$  and  $\|z\|_S = 0 = \|z\|$ . If  $k \neq i$ , then

$$\|z\|_S \geq \max\{|\chi_{n_i}(x_k - x_i)|, |\chi_{n_k}(x_k - x_i)|\} > b \geq \|x_k - x_i\| = \|z\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|z\| \leq \|z\|_S \leq C\|z\|$$

for every  $z \in X - X$ , which means that the set  $S$  is  $(X - X)$ -norming.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assuming that  $E$  is not Gelfand–Phillips, we can find a limited set  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ . Since  $B$  is not precompact, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every finite subset  $F \subseteq B$  there exists  $x \in B$  such that  $\|x - y\| > \varepsilon$  for all  $y \in F$ . Using this property of  $\varepsilon$ , we can inductively construct a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \omega} \subseteq B$  such that  $\|z_n - z_i\| > \varepsilon$  for every  $i < n$ . It is clear that the set  $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$  is not precompact. By (iv), there exist an infinite subset  $X \subseteq \{z_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that the space  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at some  $(X - X)$ -norming set  $S \subseteq E'_{w^*}$ . Then there exists a positive real constant  $c$  such that  $\|z\|_S \geq c\|z\|$  for every  $z \in X - X$ . Consequently, for any distinct elements  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\|x - y\|_S = \sup_{f \in S} |f(x - y)| \geq c\|x - y\| > c\varepsilon.$$

Write the set  $X$  as  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  for pairwise distinct points  $x_n$ , and for every  $n < m$ , choose a linear functional  $f_{n,m} \in S$  such that  $|f_{n,m}(x_m - x_n)| > c\varepsilon$ .

The selective sequential pseudocompactness of  $E'_{w^*}$  at  $S$  implies that the set  $S$  is bounded in  $E'_{w^*}$  and hence bounded in  $E$  (by the Banach–Steinhaus Uniform Boundedness Principle). Therefore the set  $\{f(x) : f \in S, x \in X\}$  has compact closure  $K$  in the field  $\mathbb{F}$  (of real or complex numbers).

Using the sequential compactness of the compact metrizable space  $K^X$ , we can construct a decreasing sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of infinite sets in  $\omega$  such that for every  $n \in \omega$ , the sequence  $\{f_{n,m}|_X\}_{m \in \Omega_n}$  converges to some function  $f_n \in K^X$ .

Choose an infinite set  $\Omega \subseteq \omega$  such that  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n$  is finite for every  $n \in \omega$ . Since the compact metrizable space  $K^X$  is sequentially compact, we can replace  $\Omega$  by a smaller infinite set and additionally assume that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \Omega}$  converges to some element  $f_\infty \in K^X$ . Since the set  $\{f_\infty(x_n)\}_{n \in \Omega} \subseteq K$  admits a finite cover by sets of diameter  $< \frac{1}{4}c\varepsilon$ , we can replace  $\Omega$  by a suitable infinite subset and additionally assume that the set  $\{f_\infty(x_n)\}_{n \in \Omega}$  has diameter  $< \frac{1}{4}c\varepsilon$ .

It follows that the function  $f_\infty \in K^X$  belongs to the closure of the set  $\{f_{n,m}|_X : n, m \in \Omega, n < m\}$ . Since the space  $K^X$  is first-countable, we can choose a sequence

$$\{(n_i, m_i)\}_{i \in \omega} \subseteq \{(n, m) \in \Omega \times \Omega : n < m\}$$

such that the sequence  $\{f_{n_i, m_i}|_X\}_{i \in \omega}$  converges to  $f_\infty$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}^X$  is metrizable, for every  $i \in \omega$  the element  $f_{n_i, m_i}|_X$  of  $K^X \subseteq \mathbb{F}^X$  has an open neighborhood  $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}^X$  such that the sequence  $\{V_i\}_{i \in \omega}$  converges to  $f_\infty$  in the sense that every neighborhood of  $f_\infty$  in  $\mathbb{F}^X$  contains all but finitely many sets  $V_i$ . Since  $|f_{n_i, m_i}(x_{n_i} - x_{m_i})| > c\varepsilon$ , we can replace each set  $V_i$  by a smaller neighborhood of  $f_{n_i, m_i}|_X$  and additionally assume that  $|g(x_{n_i}) - g(x_{m_i})| > c\varepsilon$  for every  $g \in V_i$ . For every  $i \in \omega$ , consider the open neighborhood  $W_i := \{f \in E'_{w^*} : f|_X \in V_i\}$  of the functional  $f_{n_i, m_i}$  in the space  $E'_{w^*}$ .

Since  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at  $S$ , there exists a convergent sequence  $(g_k)_{k \in \omega} \subseteq E'_{w^*}$  and an increasing number sequence  $\{i(k)\}_{k \in \omega}$  such that  $g_k \in W_{i(k)}$  for every  $k \in \omega$ . Let  $g_\infty \in E'_{w^*}$  be the limit of the sequence  $(g_k)_{k \in \omega}$ . The continuity of the restriction operator  $E'_{w^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^X$ ,  $f \mapsto f|_X$ , and the choice of the open sets  $V_i$ ,  $i \in \omega$ , guarantee that  $g_\infty|_X = f_\infty$ . Consequently, the sequence  $(g_k|_X)_{k \in \omega}$  converges to  $g_\infty|_X = f_\infty$  in  $\mathbb{F}^X$ .

Then for every  $k \in \omega$ , we can find a number  $j_k > k$  such that

$$\max\{|f_\infty(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{n_{i(k)}})|, |f_\infty(x_{m_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{m_{i(k)}})|\} < \frac{1}{8}c\varepsilon.$$

For every  $k \in \omega$ , consider the functional  $\mu_k := g_k - g_{j_k} \in E'$  and observe that the sequence  $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in \omega}$  converges to zero in  $E'_{w^*}$ . On the other hand, for every  $k \in \omega$ , the choice of the sequence  $(j_k)_{k \in \omega}$ , the

inequality  $\text{diam}\{f_\infty(x_n)\}_{n \in \Omega} < \frac{1}{4}c\varepsilon$ , and the inclusion  $g_k|_X \in V_{i(k)}$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} & |g_{j_k}(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{m_{i(k)}})| \\ &= |g_{j_k}(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - f_\infty(x_{n_{i(k)}}) + f_\infty(x_{m_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{m_{i(k)}}) + f_\infty(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - f_\infty(x_{m_{i(k}}))| \\ &\leq |g_{j_k}(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - f_\infty(x_{n_{i(k)}})| + |f_\infty(x_{m_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{m_{i(k)}})| + |f_\infty(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - f_\infty(x_{m_{i(k)}})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}c\varepsilon + \frac{1}{8}c\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}c\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}c\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_k(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - \mu_k(x_{m_{i(k)}})| &= |g_k(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - g_k(x_{m_{i(k)}}) + g_{j_k}(x_{m_{i(k)}})| \\ &\geq |g_k(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - g_k(x_{m_{i(k)}})| - |g_{j_k}(x_{n_{i(k)}}) - g_{j_k}(x_{m_{i(k)}})| > c\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}c\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}c\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for every  $k \in \omega$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in B} |\mu_k(x)| \geq \max\{|\mu_k(x_{n_{i(k)}})|, |\mu_k(x_{m_{i(k)}})|\} \geq \frac{1}{4}c\varepsilon,$$

witnessing that the set  $B$  is not limited. This contradiction shows that the Banach space  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips.  $\square$

In Corollary 2.2 below we apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain some new and several well-known sufficient conditions on Banach spaces to be a Gelfand–Phillips space. For this we should recall some additional definitions.

Following Castillo, González and Papini [5], we define a Banach space  $E$  to be *separably weak\*-extensible* if any weak\* null sequence in the dual of any separable subspace of  $E$  admits a subsequence which can be extended to a weak\* null sequence in  $E'$ . This class coincides with the following class of Banach spaces introduced by Correa and Tausk [6, 7]: A Banach space  $E$  has the *separable  $c_0$ -extension property* if every operator  $T : X \rightarrow c_0$  defined on a separable Banach subspace  $X \subseteq E$  can be extended to an operator  $\bar{T} : E \rightarrow c_0$ . By [6, 7], the class of Banach spaces with the separable  $c_0$ -extension property includes all weakly compactly generated Banach spaces and all Banach spaces with the separable complementation property (= every separable subspace is contained in a separable complemented subspace).

For a compact space  $K$ , let

$$P(K) := \{\mu \in C(K)'\ : \|\mu\| = \mu(\mathbf{1}_K) = 1\}$$

be the space of probability measures on  $K$ , endowed with the weak\* topology, inherited from  $C(K)'_{w^*}$ . It is well-known that the space  $P(K)$  is compact. Identifying each  $x \in K$  with the Dirac measure  $\delta_x : C(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\delta_x : f \mapsto f(x)$ , we identify  $K$  with a closed subspace of  $P(K) \subseteq C(K)'_{w^*}$ . Observe that the set  $\{\delta_x : x \in K\}$  is  $C(K)$ -norming.

**Corollary 2.2.** *A Banach space  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *the closed unit ball  $B_{E'}$  endowed with the weak\* topology is selectively sequentially pseudocompact;*
- (ii) *([15])  $E$  is separable;*
- (iii) *([5, Prop. 2])  $E$  has the separable  $c_0$ -extension property ( $\Leftrightarrow E$  is separably weak\*-extensible);*
- (iv) *(cf. [11, Th. 2.2] and [30, Prop. 2]) the space  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at some  $E$ -norming set  $S \subseteq E'$ ;*
- (v) *([12, Th. 4.1])  $E = C(K)$  for some compact selectively sequentially pseudocompact space  $K$ ;*
- (vi)  *$E = C(K)$  for some compact space  $K$  such that  $P(K)$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at some set  $A \subseteq P(K)$  containing  $K$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $B_{E'}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact in the weak\* topology, then by the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) in Theorem 2.1,  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips because  $B_{E'}$  is  $E$ -norming.

(ii) If  $E$  is separable, then  $B_{E'}$  is compact metrizable and hence selectively sequentially pseudocompact in the weak\* topology. By (i),  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips.

(iii) Assume that  $E$  has the separable  $c_0$ -extension property. In order to apply Theorem 2.1, it suffices for every  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$  to find an operator  $T : E \rightarrow c_0$  such that  $T(B)$  is not precompact in  $c_0$ . Given any  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ , find a separable Banach subspace  $X \subseteq E$  such that the set  $X \cap B$  is not precompact in  $X$ . By (ii), the separable Banach space  $X$  is Gelfand–Phillips. By Theorem 2.1, there exists an operation  $T : X \rightarrow c_0$  such that the set  $T(X \cap B)$  is not precompact in  $c_0$ . Since  $E$  has the separable  $c_0$ -extension property, the operator  $T$  can be extended to an operator  $\bar{T} : E \rightarrow c_0$ . It is clear that the set  $\bar{T}(B) \supseteq \bar{T}(X \cap B)$  is not precompact in  $c_0$ .

(iv) Assume that the space  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at some  $E$ -norming set  $S \subseteq E'$ . By (iv) of Theorem 2.1, the Banach space  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips.

(v) Assume that  $E = C(K)$  for some compact selectively sequentially pseudocompact space  $K$ . Identify  $K$  with the set of Dirac measures in  $E'_{w^*}$ . Then  $K$  is an  $E$ -norming set and  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at  $K$ . By (iv) of Theorem 2.1, the space  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips.

(vi) Assume that  $E = C(K)$  for some compact space  $K$  such that  $P(K)$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at some set  $A \subseteq P(K)$  containing  $K$ . Identify  $P(K)$  with the subspace of positive functionals in  $E'_{w^*}$  and observe that  $E'_{w^*}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact at  $A$  and  $A \supseteq K$  is  $E$ -norming. By Theorem 2.1 the space  $E$  is Gelfand–Phillips.  $\square$

Sinha and Arora [31, Corollary 2.4] showed that for any Valdivia compact space, the Banach space  $C(K)$  is Gelfand–Phillips. As we mentioned above, every Valdivia compact is selectively sequentially pseudocompact, and hence their result follows from Corollary 2.2(v).

It was noticed in [5] that the condition to have the separable  $c_0$ -extension property in (iii) of Corollary 2.2 is only sufficient to have the Gelfand–Phillips property. Below we give a concrete example. Let us recall that the *split interval*  $\mathbb{I}$  is the space  $[0, 1] \times \{0, 1\}$  endowed with the interval topology generated by the lexicographic order  $\leq$  defined by  $(x, i) \leq (y, j)$  if and only if either  $x < y$  or  $x = y$  and  $i \leq j$ . It is well known that the split interval is first-countable and separable but not metrizable.

**Example 2.3.** *For the split interval  $\mathbb{I}$ , the Banach space  $C(\mathbb{I})$  is Gelfand–Phillips but fails to have the separable  $c_0$ -extension property.*

*Proof.* Being compact and first-countable, the split interval  $\mathbb{I}$  is sequentially compact and hence selectively sequentially pseudocompact. By Corollary 2.2(vi), the Banach space  $C(\mathbb{I})$  is Gelfand–Phillips. Since the space  $\mathbb{I}$  is linearly ordered, separable and non-metrizable, we can apply Theorem 2.2 of [7] and conclude that the Banach space  $C(\mathbb{I})$  does not have the separable  $c_0$ -extension property.  $\square$

By the Phillips result [26], the Banach space  $C(\beta\omega)$  is not Gelfand–Phillips. Below we generalize this result. Recall that a Tychonoff space  $X$  is an  $F$ -space if every functionally open set  $A$  in  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in the sense that every bounded continuous function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a continuous extension  $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For numerous equivalent conditions for a Tychonoff space  $X$  to be an  $F$ -space, see [16, 14.25]. In particular, the Stone–Čech compactification  $\beta\Gamma$  of any discrete space  $\Gamma$  is a compact  $F$ -space.

**Example 2.4.** *For any infinite compact  $F$ -space  $K$ , the Banach space  $C(K)$  is not Gelfand–Phillips.*

*Proof.* Being infinite, the Tychonoff space  $K$  contains a sequence  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of nonempty pairwise disjoint open sets. For every  $n \in \omega$ , fix a point  $v_n \in V_n$  and a continuous function  $f_n : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n(v_n) = 1$  and  $f_n(K \setminus V_n) = \{0\}$ . Consider the operator  $T : c_0 \rightarrow C(K)$  assigning to each sequence  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \omega} \in c_0$  the continuous function  $T(x) = \sum_{n \in \omega} x_n \cdot f_n$ , and observe that  $T$  is an isometric embedding of  $c_0$  into  $C(K)$ . By Corollary 4.5.9 of [8], the Banach space  $C(K)$  has the Grothendieck property, which means that the identity map  $C(K)'_{w^*} \rightarrow C(K)'_w$  is sequentially continuous (where  $C(K)'_w$  denotes the dual space of  $C(K)$  endowed with the weak topology).

Since the operator  $T : c_0 \rightarrow C(K)$  is an embedding, the image  $B := T(B_{c_0})$  is bounded and not precompact in  $C(K)$ , i.e.,  $B \in \text{BNP}(C(K))$ . Assuming that  $C(K)$  is Gelfand–Phillips, we can find a

weak\* null sequence  $S = \{\mu_n\}$  in  $E'$  such that  $\|\mu_n\|_B \not\rightarrow 0$ . Since the identity map  $C(K)'_{w^*} \rightarrow C(K)'_w$  is sequentially continuous, we obtain that the sequence  $S$  converges to zero in the weak topology of the dual Banach space  $C(K)'$ . Then, for the adjoint operator  $T^* : C(K)'_w \rightarrow (c'_0)_w = (\ell_1)_w$ , the sequence  $\{T^*(\mu_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$  converges to zero in the weak topology of the Banach space  $\ell_1$ . By the Schur Theorem [9, VII], this sequence converges to zero in norm. For every  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in B_{c_0}$ , we have

$$\|\mu_n\|_B = \sup_{x \in B_{c_0}} |\mu_n(T(x))| = \sup_{x \in B_{c_0}} |T^*(\mu_n)(x)| = \|T^*(\mu_n)\| \rightarrow 0,$$

which contradicts the choice of the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \omega}$ . Thus the Banach space  $C(K)$  is not Gelfand–Phillips.  $\square$

It is known that Gelfand–Phillips spaces are not preserved by taking quotients, see [29]. Below we present a simple example witnessing this fact.

**Example 2.5.** *There are compact Hausdorff spaces  $X \subseteq Y$  such that the Banach space  $C(Y)$  is Gelfand–Phillips but the Banach space  $C(X)$  is not Gelfand–Phillips. In particular, a quotient of a Gelfand–Phillips Banach space can fail to be Gelfand–Phillips.*

*Proof.* In the Cantor cube  $Y := \{0, 1\}^{\mathfrak{c}}$  of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  take a subspace  $X$ , homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega$ . Being Valdivia compact, the Cantor cube  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathfrak{c}}$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact and, by Corollary 2.2(v), the Banach  $C(Y)$  is Gelfand–Phillips. By Example 2.4, the Banach space  $C(X)$  is not Gelfand–Phillips.  $\square$

It is worth mentioning that, by results of Schlumprecht [29, 30], the Gelfand–Phillips property is not a three space property (see also Theorem 6.8.h in [4]).

We finish this section with two questions. The first one is related to a known open problem of characterizing Banach spaces  $E$  for which the dual unit ball  $B_{E'}$  is weak\* sequentially compact (for historical remarks and the latest results, see [24]).

**Problem 2.6.** *Characterize Banach spaces  $E$  for which the dual unit ball  $B_{E'}$  is weak\* selectively sequentially pseudocompact.*

The following problem is motivated by the conditions (v) and (vi) of Corollary 2.2.

**Problem 2.7.** *Is there an infinite compact space  $K$  whose space of probability measures  $P(K)$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact but  $K$  contains no non-trivial convergent sequences?*

**Remark 2.8.** By [1], under Jensen’s Diamond Principle  $\diamond$  (which is stronger than the Continuum Hypothesis), there exists an infinite compact space  $K$  such that the compact space  $P(K)$  is selectively sequentially pseudocompact but  $K$  contains no topological copies of the spaces  $\beta\omega$  and  $\omega + 1 = \omega \cup \{\omega\}$ . By Corollary 2.2(vi), the Banach space  $C(K)$  is Gelfand–Phillips, yet  $K$  contains no nontrivial convergent sequences (a CH-example of a compact space with these two properties has been constructed by Schlumprecht in [29, § 5.4]). The space  $K$  shows that Problem 2.7 has an affirmative answer under  $\diamond$ . So, this problem essentially asks about the existence of a ZFC-example. It should be mentioned that infinite compact spaces containing no topological copies of the spaces  $\beta\omega$  and  $\omega + 1$  are called *Efimov*. The problem of the existence of Efimov compact spaces in ZFC is one of major unsolved problems of Set-Theoretic Topology, see [25], [17].  $\square$

### 3. BANACH SPACES WITH THE STRONG GELFAND–PHILLIPS PROPERTY

Below we characterize Banach spaces with the strong Gelfand–Phillips property.

**Theorem 3.1.** *A Banach space  $E$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips if and only if it embeds into  $c_0$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming that  $E$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips, find a weak\* null sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  such that  $\|\chi_n\|_B \not\rightarrow 0$  for any  $B \in \text{BNP}(E)$ . Therefore every bounded subset of the Banach subspace  $Z = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \chi_n^{-1}(0)$  of  $E$  is precompact, which implies that the subspace  $Z$  is finite-dimensional. Unifying the

weak\* null sequence  $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  with a finite set of functionals separating points of the finite-dimensional space  $Z$ , we can assume that  $Z = \{0\}$ . In this case the linear map

$$T : E \rightarrow c_0, \quad T : x \mapsto (\chi_n(x))_{n \in \omega},$$

is injective. By the Banach–Steinhaus Uniform Boundedness Principle, the map  $T$  is continuous. Assuming that the operator  $T$  is not a topological embedding, we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega} \subseteq E$  of elements of norm 1 such that  $T(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

We claim that the bounded set  $B = \{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is not precompact in  $E$ . Indeed, in the opposite case, by the completeness of  $E$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  would contain a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \omega}$  that converges in  $E$  to some element  $x_\infty \in E$  of norm  $\|x_\infty\| = 1$ . The continuity of the operator  $T$  ensures that  $T(x_\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = 0$ , which contradicts the injectivity of  $T$ . This contradiction shows that the set  $B$  is not precompact in  $E$ .

Now the choice of the sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  ensures that the sequence  $(\|\chi_n\|_B)_{n \in \omega}$  does not converge to zero. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(x_n)\| = 0$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\|T(x_i)\| = \sup_{k \in \omega} |\chi_k(x_i)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i \geq n$ . Since the sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  weak\* null, there exists a natural number  $m \geq n$  such  $|\chi_k(x_i)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i \leq n$  and  $k \geq m$ . Then for every  $k \geq m$ , we have

$$\|\chi_k\|_B = \sup_{i \in \omega} |\chi_k(x_i)| = \max \left\{ \max_{i \leq n} |\chi_k(x_i)|, \sup_{i > n} |\chi_k(x_i)| \right\} < \varepsilon,$$

which means that  $\|\chi_k\|_B \rightarrow 0$ . This contradiction shows that the operator  $T : E \rightarrow c_0$  is a topological embedding.

Conversely, assume now that  $E$  is a subspace of the Banach space  $c_0$ . For every  $n \in \omega$ , let  $\chi_n = e'_n \upharpoonright_E$  be the restriction of the coordinate functional  $e'_n \in c'_0 = \ell_1$  to the subspace  $E \subseteq c_0$ . Clearly,  $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is weak\*-null in  $E'$ . Repeating the argument of the proof of the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) in Theorem 2.1, we can show that every bounded set  $B \subseteq E \subseteq c_0$  with  $\|\chi_n\|_B \rightarrow 0$  is precompact. Thus the sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  witnesses that  $E$  has the strong Gelfand–Phillips property.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** It is well known (see, e.g. [23, 2.d.6]) that the Banach space  $c_0$  contains closed infinite-dimensional subspaces which are not isomorphic to  $c_0$ .  $\square$

As a corollary we obtain the following three space property for the class of strongly Gelfand–Phillips spaces.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $H \subseteq E$  be a closed linear subspace. Then the Banach space  $E$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips if and only if the Banach spaces  $H$  and  $E/H$  are strongly Gelfand–Phillips.*

*Proof.* If  $E$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips, then by Theorem 3.1,  $E$  can be identified with a subspace of  $c_0$ . By Theorem 3.1, the Banach space  $H \subseteq E \subseteq c_0$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips. By a result of Johnson and Zippin [19], the quotient space  $c_0/H$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ , and so is the quotient space  $E/H \subseteq c_0/H$ . By Theorem 3.1, the quotient space  $E/H$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips.

Now assume that the Banach spaces  $H$  and  $E/H$  are strongly Gelfand–Phillips. By Theorem 3.1, these spaces are isomorphic to subspaces of  $c_0$ . Consequently, there are isomorphic embeddings  $f_1 : H \rightarrow c_0$  and  $f_2 : E/H \rightarrow c_0$ . Being isomorphic to subspaces of  $c_0$ , the Banach spaces  $H$  and  $E/H$  are separable and so is the Banach space  $E$ . By (an implication of) the Sobczyk Theorem [9, p.72], the linear embedding  $f_1 : H \rightarrow c_0$  extends to an operator  $\bar{f}_1 : E \rightarrow c_0$ . Let  $q : E \rightarrow E/H$  be the quotient operator. It can be shown that the operator  $f : E \rightarrow c_0 \times c_0$ ,  $f : x \mapsto (\bar{f}_1(x), f_2 \circ q(x))$ , is an isomorphic embedding of  $E$  into the Banach space  $c_0 \times c_0$ . By Theorem 3.1, the Banach space  $E$  has is strongly Gelfand–Phillips.  $\square$

Let us recall that a Tychonoff space  $K$  is *pseudocompact* if each real-valued continuous function on  $K$  is bounded. Observe that for a pseudocompact space  $K$ , the space  $C(K)$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ -valued continuous functions on  $K$  is Banach with respect to the norm  $\|f\| := \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|$ .

A topological space  $X$  is *scattered* if each nonempty subspace of  $X$  has an isolated point. For a topological space  $X$ , let  $X^{(0)} := X$  and let  $X^{(1)}$  be the space of non-isolated points of  $X$ . For a non-zero ordinal  $\alpha$ , let  $X^{(\alpha)} := \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} (X^{(\beta)})^{(1)}$ . It is well known that a topological space  $X$  is scattered if and only if  $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . The smallest ordinal  $\alpha$  with  $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$  is called the *scattered height* of  $X$ .

By a classical result of Bessaga and Pełczyński [2] (see also [27, 2.14]), *for a compact space  $K$ , the Banach space  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$  if and only if  $K$  is countable and has finite scattered height*. Using this result we prove the second main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For an infinite pseudocompact space  $K$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the Banach space  $C(K)$  is strongly Gelfand–Phillips;*
- (ii) *the Banach space  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ ;*
- (iii)  *$K$  is compact and countable, and the Banach space  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$ ;*
- (iv) *the space  $K$  is compact, countable and has finite scattered height.*

*Proof.* The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from Theorem 3.1, and the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is trivial.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Assume that the Banach space  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ . Then the Banach space  $C(K)$  has separable dual Banach space  $C(K)'$ . Identifying each point  $x \in K$  with the Dirac measure supported at  $x$ , we see that  $\|x - y\| = 2$  for any distinct points  $x, y \in K \subseteq C(K)'$ . Now the separability of the dual Banach space  $C(K)'$  implies that the Tychonoff space  $K$  is countable. Therefore  $K$  is Lindelöf, and since  $K$  is also pseudocompact, it is compact by Theorems 3.10.21 and 3.10.1 of [13]. By the Bessaga–Pełczyński Theorem [27, 2.14], the Banach space  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $C[0, \omega^{\omega^\alpha}]$  for some ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Theorem 2.15 of [27] implies that the Banach space  $C[0, \omega^{\omega^\alpha}]$  has Szlenk index  $\text{Sz}(C[0, \omega^{\omega^\alpha}]) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$  and, by Proposition 2.27 in [27],  $\text{Sz}(c_0) = \omega$ . By Corollary 2.19 in [27], the Banach space  $C(K)$ , being isomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ , has Szlenk index  $\text{Sz}(C(K)) \leq \text{Sz}(c_0)$ . Then

$$\omega^{\alpha+1} = \text{Sz}(C[0, \omega^{\omega^\alpha}]) = \text{Sz}(C(K)) \leq \text{Sz}(c_0) = \omega$$

implies that  $\alpha = 0$ . Therefore  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $C[0, \omega^{\omega^0}] = C[0, \omega]$ , which is isomorphic to  $c_0$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Since  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$ , the compact countable space  $K$  has finite scattered height by Theorem 2 in [2] (see also [27, 2.14]).

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) If  $K$  is compact, countable and has finite scattered height, then the Banach space  $C(K)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$  by the Bessaga–Pełczyński theorem [2].  $\square$

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their sincere thanks to Tomek Kania for the suggestion to apply the Szlenk index in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and pointing out the papers [6, 7] devoted to the separable  $c_0$ -extension property.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banach, S. Gabrielyan, *A simple Efimov space with sequentially-nice space of probability measures*, preprint.
- [2] C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński, *Spaces of continuous functions, IV*, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 53–62.
- [3] J. Bourgain, J. Diestel, *Limited operators and strict cosingularity*, *Math. Nachr.* **119** (1984), 55–58.
- [4] J.M.F. Castillo, M. González, *Three-space Problems in Banach Space Theory*, in: Springer Lecture Notes in Math. v. **1667**, 1997.
- [5] J.M.F. Castillo, M. González, P.L. Papini, *On weak\*-extensible Banach spaces*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **75** (2012), 4936–4941.
- [6] C. Correa, D. Tausk, *On extensions of  $c_0$ -valued operators*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **405:2** (2013), 400–408.
- [7] C. Correa, D. Tausk, *Compact lines and the Sobczyk property*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266:9** (2014), 5765–5778.
- [8] H.G. Dales, F.K. Dashiell, Jr., A.T.-M. Lau, D. Strauss, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions as Dual Spaces*, Springer, 2016.
- [9] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, GTM **92**, Springer, 1984.
- [10] A. Dorantes-Aldama, D. Shakhmatov, *Selective sequential pseudocompactness*, *Topology Appl.* **222** (2017), 53–69.

- [11] L. Drewnowski, *On Banach spaces with the Gelfand–Phillips property*, Math. Z. **193** (1986), 405–411.
- [12] L. Drewnowski, G. Emmanuele, *On Banach spaces with the Gelfand–Phillips property, II*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **38** (1989), 377–391.
- [13] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [14] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Pelant, V. Zizler, *Banach space theory. The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [15] I. Gelfand, *Abstrakte Funktionen und lineare Operatoren*, Mat. Sbornik **4** (1938), 235–284.
- [16] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [17] K.P. Hart, *Efimov’s problem*, in: *Open Problems in Topology, II* (E. Pearl, ed.), 171–177, Elsevier, 2007.
- [18] I. Ghenciu, P. Lewis, *The Dunford–Pettis property and the Gelfand–Phillips property, and  $L$ -sets*, Colloq. Math. **106** (2006), 311–324.
- [19] W.B. Johnson, M. Zippin, *Subspaces and quotient spaces of  $(\sum G_n)_{i_p}$  and  $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$* , Israel J. Math. **17** (1974), 50–55.
- [20] B. Josefson, *A Gelfand–Phillips space not containing  $\ell_1$  whose dual ball is not weak\* sequentially compact*, Glasgow Math. J. **43** (2001), 125–128.
- [21] O. Kalenda, *Valdivia compact spaces in topology and Banach space theory*, Extracta Math. **15**:1 (2000), 1–85.
- [22] D.H. Leung, *A Gelfand–Phillips property with respect to the weak topology*, Math. Nachr. **149** (1990), 177–181.
- [23] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. I. Sequence spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [24] G. Martínez-Cervantes, *Banach spaces with weak\*-sequential dual ball*, Proc. Amer. math. Soc. **146** (2018), 1825–1832.
- [25] P.J. Nyikos, *Classic problems — 25 years later. I*. Proceedings of the Spring Topology and Dynamical Systems Conference (Morelia City, 2001). Topology Proc. **26**:1 (2001/02), 345–356.
- [26] R.S. Phillips, *On linear transformations*, Trans. Amer. math. Soc. **48** (1940), 516–541.
- [27] H.P. Rosenthal, *The Banach spaces  $C(K)$* , in: *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces*, Vol. 2, 1547–1602, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [28] M. Salimi, S.M. Moshtaghioun, *The Gelfand–Phillips property in closed subspaces of some operator spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), 84–92.
- [29] T. Schlumprecht, *Limited sets in Banach spaces*, Dissertation, Univ. Munich, 1987.
- [30] T. Schlumprecht, *Limited sets in  $C(K)$ -spaces and examples concerning the Gelfand–Phillips property*, Math. Nachr. **157** (1992), 51–64.
- [31] D.P. Sinha, K.K. Arora, *On the Gelfand–Phillips property in Banach spaces with PRI*, Collect. Math. **48**:3 (1997), 347–354.

IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE) AND JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE (POLAND)  
 Email address: t.o.banakh@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA, P.O. 653, ISRAEL  
 Email address: saak@math.bgu.ac.il