

On primitive 2-closed permutation groups of rank at most four

MICHAEL GIUDICI

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of Western Australia
35 Stirling Highway, Perth, WA 6009, Australia
michael.giudici@uwa.edu.au*

LUKE MORGAN

*UP FAMNIT
University of Primorska
Glagoljška 8, Koper, 6000, Slovenia
luke.morgan@famnit.upr.si*

JIN-XIN ZHOU

*Department of Mathematics
Beijing Jiaotong University
Beijing 100044, P.R. China
jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn*

Abstract

We characterise the primitive 2-closed groups G of rank at most four that are not the automorphism group of a graph or digraph and show that if the degree is at least 2402 then there are just two infinite families of $G \leq \text{AGL}_1(p^d)$, the 1-dimensional affine semilinear group. These are the first known examples of non-regular 2-closed groups that are not the automorphism group of a graph or digraph.

Keywords: 2-closed, primitive, automorphism group

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20B25, 05C25.

1 Introduction

Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω . We say that G is *regular* on Ω if $G_\alpha = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \Omega$, where G_α is the *stabiliser* of α in G , defined as the subgroup of G consisting of those elements of G that fix α . The *2-closure* $G^{(2)}$ of G is the largest subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ containing G which has the same orbits as G in the induced action on $\Omega \times \Omega$. Clearly $G \leq G^{(2)}$ and if $G = G^{(2)}$, then G is said to be *2-closed*. Two important classes of 2-closed groups are regular groups and the automorphism groups of graphs or digraphs. A 2-closed group may not be the automorphism group of a graph or digraph. For example, V_4 is regular on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and is therefore 2-closed, but it is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph of order four.

If Γ is a graph or digraph on Ω and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ then Γ is a union of orbital digraphs for G (see Section 2) and $G^{(2)} \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Hence $G \leq G^{(2)} \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Thus if $G \neq G^{(2)}$ then G is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph. In this paper we seek to determine when there is a graph or digraph Γ such that $G = G^{(2)} = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

The question of when a regular group is the automorphism group of a graph or digraph has already been solved. A graph (or digraph) Γ is called a *GRR* (or *DRR*) of a finite group G if

the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of Γ is a regular permutation group on $V(\Gamma)$ isomorphic to G . The DRR-problem (finding all of the finite groups which admit DRRs) was solved by Babai in [1] where he proved that, with five exceptions, every finite group admits a DRR. Finding those finite groups admitting GRRs was also an active topic, and the GRR-problem was completely solved in the 1980s (see, for example, [15, 16, 17]).

Some progress has been made in the study of non-regular 2-closed permutation groups that occur as the automorphism group of some graph or digraph. See for example, [34, 35, 37]. In particular, Jing Xu [37] proved that every 2-closed permutation group that contains a cyclic regular subgroup is the automorphism group of a digraph. However, as pointed out in [39] by Ming-Yao Xu, no examples are known of non-regular 2-closed groups that are not the automorphism groups of graphs or digraphs. Let N_2R be the set of numbers n for which there exists a 2-closed transitive group of degree n without a regular subgroup, and let NC be the set of numbers n for which there exists a vertex-transitive graph of order n that is non-Cayley. Ming-Yao Xu [39] posed the question of determining $N_2R \setminus NC$. He said that to study this question, “we should first find non-regular 2-closed groups that are not the automorphism groups of (di)graphs. We do not know of any such examples.” Based on this, in 2012, Jing Xu [36] posed the following problem:

Problem A Find an infinite family of 2-closed primitive groups that are not the automorphism group of any graphs or digraphs.

The *rank* of a permutation group G on a set Ω is the number of orbits of G on $\Omega \times \Omega$. Note that the lowest possible rank of a non-trivial permutation group is two – one orbit being the diagonal $\{(\alpha, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$. It is not difficult to see that every 2-closed permutation group of rank two or three is the automorphism group of one of its orbital graphs (see Lemma 4.1). So a 2-closed primitive group that is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph has rank at least 4. In the literature, there are some impressive results on the primitive permutation groups of low rank. For example, the classification of finite primitive groups of rank at most 5 has been completed except for the subgroups of affine groups (see [4, 10, 29]), and the classification of finite affine primitive permutation groups of rank at most 3 has also been completed (see [18, 20]). More recently, Muzychuk and Spiga [27] classified the finite almost simple primitive groups of rank at most 8 with socle a sporadic simple group and the finite almost simple primitive groups of rank at most n^2 with socle an alternating group A_n .

Motivated by the facts listed above, we aim to classify the primitive 2-closed permutation groups of degree n and rank at most 4 that are not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph. In this paper, we shall first classify these groups which are not subgroups of $\text{AGL}_1(p^d)$, where $n = p^d$ is not a prime power. Using [9, 11, 30], a complete classification of primitive permutation groups of degree less than 4096 is available to us, and so we use computer algebra packages such as MAGMA [6] to aid our investigation for groups of such degrees.

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a primitive 2-closed permutation group of degree n and rank at most 4 such that $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(p^d)$ with $n = p^d$. Then there is a graph or digraph Γ such that $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ if and only if G is not permutationally isomorphic to one of the groups below:*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(m) = V \rtimes (D_8 \circ \text{GL}_m(3)) \leq \text{AGL}_{2m}(3)$, for $m \geq 2$, where $\mathcal{G}(m)$ has regular normal subgroup V and $D_8 \circ \text{GL}_m(3)$ preserves the tensor product decomposition $V = X \otimes Y$, where X is a 2-dimensional $\text{GF}(3)$ -space on which D_8 preserves a direct sum decomposition and Y is an m -dimensional $\text{GF}(3)$ -space on which $\text{GL}_m(3)$ acts naturally.

- (2) $\mathcal{H}(m) = V \rtimes ((C_3 \wr C_2) \circ \text{GL}_m(4)).2 \leq \text{AGL}_{4m}(2)$, for $m \geq 2$, where $\mathcal{H}(m)$ has regular normal subgroup V and $((C_3 \wr C_2) \circ \text{GL}_m(4)).2 \leq \Gamma\text{L}_{2m}(4)$ preserves the tensor product decomposition $V = X \otimes Y$, where X is a 2-dimensional $\text{GF}(4)$ -space on which $C_3 \wr C_2$ preserves a direct sum decomposition and Y is an m -dimensional $\text{GF}(4)$ -space on which $\text{GL}_m(4)$ acts naturally.
- (3) G is `PrimitiveGroup`(n, k) of MAGMA's database of primitive groups where (n, k) is one of $(25, 11)$, $(64, 27)$, $(81, 77)$, $(81, 87)$, $(169, 41)$, $(625, 547)$, and $(2401, 991)$.

We note that examples do arise of groups $G \leq \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(p^d)$ that are not the automorphism group of a graph or digraph. We give an infinite family in Section 3.2 and further small examples in Section 3.3, but do not attempt to classify them. Thus, we provide three infinite families of primitive 2-closed permutation groups that are not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph, and so a solution of Problem A is given.

2 Preliminaries

All groups in this paper are finite. All group actions and graph isomorphisms are written on the right, and basic group theoretic terminology may be found in [33].

2.1 Notation and definitions

For two positive integers a, b , we denote by $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ the greatest common divisor of a and b . For a positive integer n , we denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n , by \mathbb{Z}_n the ring of integers modulo n , by \mathbb{Z}_n^* the multiplicative group of \mathbb{Z}_n consisting of numbers coprime to n , by D_{2n} the dihedral group of order $2n$, by A_n the alternating group of degree n and by S_n the symmetric group of degree n . For two groups M and N , $N \rtimes M$ denotes a semidirect product of N by M . Given a group G , denote by 1 , $\text{Aut}(G)$, $Z(G)$ and $\text{soc}(G)$ the identity element, automorphism group, center and socle of G , respectively. For a subgroup H of G , denote by $C_G(H)$, $N_G(H)$ the centraliser and normaliser of H in G , respectively. Of course $C_G(H)$ is normal in $N_G(H)$, and the quotient group $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$.

For a graph or digraph Γ , we denote by $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ the automorphism group of Γ . When $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on ordered pairs of vertices of a graph at distance i for each integer $i \geq 0$, we say that Γ is *distance-transitive*.

Let Γ be a finite digraph. A sequence v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m of vertices of Γ is called an *undirected path* of length m from v_0 to v_m if for each i , there is an edge in Γ from v_i to v_{i+1} or an edge from v_{i+1} to v_i . We say that Γ is *connected* if for every pair of vertices u and v there is an undirected path from u to v .

Let $\Delta = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. The *Hamming graph* $H(d, k)$ has vertex set Δ^d , the set of ordered d -tuples of elements of Δ , or sequences of length d from Δ . Two vertices are adjacent if they differ in precisely one coordinate. The Hamming graph has valency $d(k-1)$ and diameter d . It is distance-transitive, and $\text{Aut}(H(d, k)) = S_k \wr S_d$ is primitive on the vertex set of $H(d, k)$ if and only if $k \geq 3$ (see [7, Section 9.2]).

Given a finite group G and a subset $S \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$, the *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S is the graph with vertex set G and edge set $\{\{g, sg\} \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$. It is well known that a graph is isomorphic to a Cayley graph if and only if it has a group of automorphisms acting regularly on its vertex set (see [5, Lemma 16.3]).

2.2 Primitive groups

A permutation group G on Ω is said to be *primitive* if G is transitive on Ω and the only partitions of Ω preserved by G are either the singleton subsets or the whole of Ω . Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω . An orbit $(u, v)^G$ of G on $\Omega \times \Omega$ is called an *orbital* of G on Ω , and $(v, u)^G$ is called the *paired orbital* of $(u, v)^G$. An orbital $(u, v)^G$ is called *self-paired* if it equals $(v, u)^G$ (equivalently if $(v, u) \in (u, v)^G$). The orbital $(u, u)^G$ is called *trivial*, and the others are non-trivial. To an orbital E we associate the digraph with vertex set Ω and arc set E , called the *orbital digraph* for E , denoted by the pair (Ω, E) . The orbital digraph for E is a *graph* if and only if E is self-paired. Furthermore, we extend this notation to unions of orbitals, namely, $(\Omega, \cup E_i)$, which is called a generalised orbital digraph. Each digraph with vertex set Ω admitting G is a generalised orbital digraph. For a given point u , each orbital $E = (u, v)^G$ corresponds to an orbit of G_u on Ω , namely, $\{v \mid (u, v) \in E\}$, and we say that this orbit of G_u is *self-paired* if the corresponding orbital is self-paired. The orbits of G_u on Ω are called *suborbits* of G , and their sizes are called the *subdegrees* of G . Hence, the number r of orbits of G_u on Ω is equal to the number of orbits of G on $\Omega \times \Omega$, the rank of G .

The following result is due to D.G. Higman.

Proposition 2.1. [31, Proposition 4.4] or [12, Theorem 3.2A] *A finite transitive permutation group G on Ω is primitive if and only if every non-trivial orbital digraph of G is connected.*

We will also need the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G < H$ be finite primitive permutation groups with $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(H)$. Let $X = \text{soc}(H)G \leq H$. Then $\text{soc}(X) = \text{soc}(H)$ and $G < X$.*

Proof. First note that since G is primitive, so is X . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of H . Suppose first that N is abelian. Then $N = \text{soc}(H) \leq X$. Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of X that is contained in N . Since N is abelian, so is M and so M is the unique minimal normal subgroup of X [12, Theorem 4.3B]. Thus $M = C_X(M)$ and so $N = M = \text{soc}(X)$. Next suppose that N is nonabelian. Then $N = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_k$ where the T_i are pairwise isomorphic simple groups. Now each T_i is a minimal normal subgroup of N and $\text{soc}(H)$. Moreover, H and hence G , permutes the T_i . Thus for each i , the normal closure of T_i in G is a minimal normal subgroup of X consisting of a direct product of the simple direct factors of N . Hence N is the product of minimal normal subgroups of X and so $N \leq \text{soc}(X)$. Thus $\text{soc}(H) \leq \text{soc}(X)$. If $\text{soc}(H) < \text{soc}(X)$ then there exists a minimal normal subgroup L of X such that $L \cap \text{soc}(H) = 1$. Thus $[L, \text{soc}(H)] = 1$, contradicting the fact that $C_H(\text{soc}(H)) \leq \text{soc}(H)$ [12, Theorem 4.3B]. Hence $\text{soc}(H) = \text{soc}(X)$.

Finally, if $G = X$ then $\text{soc}(G) = \text{soc}(X) = \text{soc}(H)$, a contradiction. \square

2.3 The subdegrees of the affine rank 3 groups

One of the main approaches in this paper is to use the subdegrees of the affine rank 3 groups to calculate the subdegrees of an affine primitive 2-closed permutation group G of rank 4. The following result of Liebeck on subdegrees of affine rank 3 groups is instrumental in our investigation.

Theorem 2.3. [20, Appendix 2] *Let G be a finite primitive affine permutation group of rank 3 and of degree p^d , with socle V , where $V \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ for some prime p , and let G_0 be the stabiliser of the zero vector in V . Then the subdegrees of G are listed in Tables 1–3.*

Table 1: G in class (A) of [20, Theorem].

Type of G	p^d	subdegrees
(A1): $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(p^d)$	p^d	$\frac{p^d-1}{v}, \frac{(v-1)(p^d-1)}{v}$, where v is a prime
(A2): G_0 imprimitive	p^{2m}	$2(p^m-1), (p^m-1)^2$
(A3)–(A5): G_0 preserves $X \otimes Y$, $\dim(X) = 2$, $\dim(Y) = m \geq 2$	q^{2m}	$(q+1)(q^m-1), q(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1)$
(A6): $SU_a(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$	q^{2a}	$\begin{cases} (q^{a-1}+1)(q^a-1), q^{a-1}(q-1)(q^a-1), & a \text{ even} \\ (q^{a-1}-1)(q^a+1), q^{a-1}(q-1)(q^a+1), & a > 1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$
(A7): $\Omega_{2a}^\varepsilon(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$	q^{2a}	$\begin{cases} (q^{a-1}+1)(q^a-1), q^{a-1}(q-1)(q^a-1), & \varepsilon = + \\ (q^{a-1}-1)(q^a+1), q^{a-1}(q-1)(q^a+1), & \varepsilon = - \end{cases}$
(A8): $SL_5(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$	q^{10}	$(q^5-1)(q^2+1), q^2(q^5-1)(q^3-1)$
(A9): $B_3(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$	q^8	$(q^4-1)(q^3+1), q^3(q^4-1)(q-1)$
(A10): $D_5(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$	q^{16}	$(q^8-1)(q^3+1), q^3(q^8-1)(q^5-1)$
(A11): $Sz(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$	q^4	$(q^2+1)(q-1), q(q^2+1)(q-1)$

Table 2: G in class (B) of [20, Theorem].

r	p^d	R	subdegrees	r	p^d	R	subdegrees
3	2^6	3^{1+2}	27, 36	2	31^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	240, 720
2	3^4	R_1^1 or R_2^1	32, 48	2	47^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	1104, 1104
2	7^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	24, 24	2	3^4	R_2^1	32, 48
2	13^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	72, 96	2	3^4	R_2^2	$16a, 16b$ ($a+b=5$)
2	19^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	96, 192	2	5^4	R_2^2	240, 384
2	23^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	144, 216	2	5^4	R_3^2	240, 384
2	3^6	R_1^1 or R_2^1	264, 264	2	7^4	R_2^2	480, 1920
2	29^2	R_1^1 or R_2^1	168, 672	2	3^8	R_3^2	1440, 5120

Table 3: G in class (C) of [20, Theorem].

L	p^d	subdegrees	L	p^d	subdegrees	L	p^d	subdegrees
A_5	3^4	40, 40	A_6	5^4	144, 480	M_{11}	3^5	22, 220 or 110, 132
A_5	31^2	360, 600	A_7	2^8	45, 210	M_{24}	2^{11}	276, 1771 or 759, 1288
A_5	41^2	480, 1200	A_7	7^4	720, 1680	Suz	3^{12}	65520, 465920
A_5	7^4	960, 1440	A_9	2^8	120, 135	$G_2(4)$	3^{12}	65520, 465920
A_5	71^2	840, 4200	A_{10}	2^8	45, 210	J_2	2^{12}	1575, 2520
A_5	79^2	1560, 4680	$L_2(17)$	2^8	102, 153	J_2	5^6	7560, 8064
A_5	89^2	2640, 5280	$L_3(4)$	3^6	224, 504			
A_6	2^6	18, 45	$U_4(2)$	7^4	240, 2160			

Note. (1) As shown in [2, Sections 11 and 12], Liebeck's classes (A4) and (A5) (classes (S1) and (S0) in [2, Theorem 3.1]) preserve a tensor decomposition $V = X \otimes Y$ with $\dim(X) = 2$ so we group these classes together with (A3) in Table 1.

- (2) Table 2 lists the 'Extraspecial class': Here $G_0 \leq N_{\text{GL}_d(p)}(R)$, where R is an r -group, irreducible on V . Either $r = 2$ and $R \cong 3^{1+2}$ (extraspecial of order 27), or $r = 2$ and $|R/Z(R)| = 2^{2m}$ with $m = 1$ or 2. If $r = 2$, then either $|Z(R)| = 2$ and R is one of the two extraspecial groups R_1^m, R_2^m of order 2^{1+2m} , or $|Z(R)| = 4$ and we write $R = R_3^m$.
- (3) Table 2 lists the 'Exceptional class'. Here L is the simple socle of $G_0/(G_0 \cap Z)$ where $Z = Z(\text{GL}(a, q))$ with $q^a = p^d$. See [2, Remark 3.4(1)].
- (4) The subdegrees for the row corresponding to $L = A_9$ in Table 3 are recorded as 105 and 150 in [20, Table 14]; the correct ones should be 120 and 135 as given by [2, Remark 3.4(3)].

We will also need the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be one of the groups in Table 1. Suppose further that $p^d \geq 4096$ and that G belongs to types (A3)–(A11). Let m_1 and m_2 be the non-trivial subdegrees of G . Then exactly one of m_1 and m_2 is divisible by p . Moreover, if m_2 is the subdegree divisible by p then either:*

- (1) $m_1 < m_2$, or
- (2) $q = 2$, G has type (A6) or (A7), and $m_1 = (2^{a-1} + 1)(2^a - 1) > m_2 = 2^{a-1}(2^a - 1)$.

Proof. We work through each of the types. Suppose first that G has type (A3)–(A5). Then $m_1 = (q + 1)(q^m - 1)$ and $m_2 = q(q^{m-1} - 1)(q^m - 1)$ with $m > 1$. Thus $q(q^{m-1} - 1) - (q + 1) = q^m - 2q - 1 = q(q^{m-1} - 2) - 1 > 0$ since $q^{2m} \geq 4096$. Hence $m_1 < m_2$ in this case.

Next suppose that G has type (A8). Then $m_1 = (q^2 + 1)(q^5 - 1)$ and $m_2 = q^2(q^3 - 1)(q^5 - 1)$. Thus $q^2(q^3 - 1) - (q^2 + 1) = q^5 - 2q^2 - 1 = q^2(q^3 - 2) - 1 > 0$, and so we again have that $m_1 < m_2$.

If G has type (A9) then $p^d = q^{10}$. Moreover, $m_1 = (q^3 + 1)(q^4 - 1)$ and $m_2 = q^3(q - 1)(q^4 - 1)$. Then $q^3(q - 1) - (q^3 + 1) = q^4 - 2q^3 - 1 = q^3(q - 2) - 1 > 0$ since $q^{10} \geq 4096$. Hence $m_1 < m_2$.

Next suppose that G has type (A10). Then $m_1 = (q^3 + 1)(q^8 - 1)$ and $m_2 = q^3(q^5 - 1)(q^8 - 1)$. Thus $q^3(q^5 - 1) - (q^3 + 1) = q^8 - 2q^3 - 1 = q^3(q^5 - 2) - 1 > 0$ and so we again obtain that $m_1 < m_2$.

If G belongs to type (A11), then we have $m_1 = (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ and $m_2 = q(q - 1)(q^2 + 1)$. Thus $q(q - 1) > (q - 1)$ and so $m_1 < m_2$.

Finally, suppose that G has type (A6) or (A7). Then $p^d = q^{2a}$ with $a > 1$. If $m_1 = (q^{a-1} - 1)(q^a + 1)$ and $m_2 = q^{a-1}(q - 1)(q^a + 1)$, then $m_2 - m_1 = (q^{a-1}(q - 2) + 1)(q^a - 1) > 0$. Thus we again deduce that $m_2 > m_1$. On the other hand, if $m_1 = (q^{a-1} + 1)(q^a - 1)$ and $m_2 = q^{a-1}(q - 1)(q^a - 1)$, then $m_2 - m_1 = (q^{a-1}(q - 2) - 1)(q^a - 1)$. Thus for $q > 2$ we obtain the usual conclusion that $m_2 > m_1$. However, for $q = 2$ we have $m_2 < m_1$ and we obtain the exceptional case in the statement of the lemma. \square

2.4 Rank 4 groups

We begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a primitive permutation group on Ω of rank 4. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) G is affine, that is, $\text{soc}(G)$ is abelian.
- (2) G is almost simple, that is, $\text{soc}(G)$ is nonabelian simple.
- (3) $\text{PSL}_2(8)^2 \triangleleft G \leq G_0 \wr S_2$, and $\Omega = \Delta^2$, where $|\Delta| = 28$, $\text{PSL}_2(8) = \text{soc}(G_0)$ and G acts transitively on the simple direct factors of $\text{PSL}_2(8)^2$.
- (4) $T^3 \triangleleft G \leq G_0 \wr S_3$, and $\Omega = \Delta^3$, where G_0 is a 2-transitive group on Δ , and G acts transitively on the simple direct factors of T^3 .
- (5) $\text{soc}(G) = T \times T$ with $T = A_5$, and the point-stabiliser $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha$ is the diagonal subgroup of $T \times T$.

Proof. Assume that G is neither affine nor almost simple. Then by [23], the socle of G is T^k , where T is a non-abelian simple group and $k \geq 2$, and G has either simple diagonal action, product action or twisted wreath action on Ω (for the details of these actions see [23, Section 1]). Since G has rank 4, by [8, Proposition 5.1], we have $k \leq 3$. If G has twisted wreath action, then we have $k \geq 6$ (see [12, Theorem 4.7B(iv)]), a contradiction. If G has product action, then by [32, Theorem 1(P)], part (3) or (4) happens. If G has simple diagonal action, then by [32, Theorem 1(D)], part (5) happens. The result then follows. \square

We also need a result about the containment of rank 4 groups inside rank 3 groups.

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be an almost simple primitive group of rank 4 on a set Ω of size $n \geq 4096$. Suppose that G is contained in a permutation group H of rank 3 with $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(H)$ and $H = \text{soc}(H)G$. Then G and H have a common nontrivial subdegree d and one of the following holds:*

- (a) $\text{soc}(G) = G_2(q)$, $\text{soc}(H) = \Omega_7(q)$, $n = \frac{q^6-1}{q-1}$ and $d = q^5$; or
- (b) $\text{soc}(G) = \Omega_7$, $\text{soc}(H) = \text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$, $n = \frac{(q^4-1)(q^3+1)}{q-1}$ and $d = q^6$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Omega$. Note that since G_α leaves invariant the three orbits of H_α , it follows that only one of the two orbits of H_α on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$ splits into two G_α -orbits. Thus G and H have a common nontrivial orbital with corresponding suborbit size d . Thus $\text{soc}(G)$, $\text{soc}(H)$ and d are given by [24, Theorem 1]. It remains to check which pairs (G, H) are rank 3 and rank 4 groups. This and the degree assumption that $n \geq 4096$ rules out all the possibilities in [24, Tables 1 and 7.2] except for possibly one of the triples $(\text{soc}(G), \text{soc}(H), n) = (\text{Sp}_4(4), \text{Sp}_4(4) \times \text{Sp}_4(4), 14400)$, $(A_{23}, A_{24}, |A_{24} : M_{24}|)$, $(M_{23}, M_{24}, 40320)$, $(\Omega_7(3), \text{P}\Omega_8^+(3), 28431)$ or $(\text{Sp}_{22}(2), \Omega_{24}^+(2), |\Omega_{24}^+(2) : \text{Co}_1|)$. For the first we see from [6] that G has rank greater than 4, while for the latter we use [4], [26] and [19] to deduce that $\text{rank}(H) > 3$. This eliminates these cases.

If G and H are given by [24, Theorem 1(c)], then $G < H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega_0) \wr S_m$ acting in product action on $\Omega = \Omega_0^m$, for some $m \geq 2$ and there exist $G_0 \leq H_0 \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega_0)$, such that $H_0 = \text{soc}(H_0)G_0$ and G_0 and H_0 share a common non-trivial orbital in $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$. Moreover, as discussed in [24, Section 3], we have that $G \leq G_0 \wr S_m$ and $H \leq H_0 \wr S_m$. Since $\text{rank}(H) = 3$, we must have that $m = 2$ and H_0 is 2-transitive on Ω_0 . Then as G has rank 4 it follows from Proposition 2.5, that $\text{soc}(G_0) = \text{PSL}_2(8)$ and $|\Omega_0| = 28$. This contradicts the fact that $n \geq 4096$.

It remains to consider the infinite families in [24, Table 7.1]. For the groups in lines 1–4 of [24, Table 7.1], $\text{soc}(H)$ is an alternating group, and noting that $|\Omega| \geq 4096$, by [4], we see that $\text{rank}(H) > 3$, a contradiction.

For the groups in line 5 of [24, Table 7.1], we have $\text{soc}(G) = L_2(q)$, $\text{soc}(H) = A_{q+1}$ and Ω is the set of pairs of $\{1, \dots, q+1\}$. In this case, both G and H are 3-transitive on $\{1, \dots, q+1\}$, and H does not have rank 3. However, for $n \geq 4096$, G does not have rank 4 (see [10, Theorem 1.1] or [32, Theorem 4]), a contradiction.

For the groups in line 6 of [24, Table 7.1], we have $\text{soc}(G) = G_2(q)$ and $\text{soc}(H) = \Omega_7(q)$. If $\Omega = (H : P_1)$, then $\text{rank}(H) = 3$. Moreover, the action of G on Ω is the action of G on the set of points of the associated generalised hexagon which has rank 4. Thus we obtain case (a). If $\Omega = (H : N_1^-)$ or $(H : N_1^+)$, then since $|\Omega| \geq 4096$, by [24, Lemma 6.8], we have $\text{rank}(H) > 3$, a contradiction.

For the groups in line 7 of [24, Table 7.1], we have $\text{soc}(G) = \Omega_7(q)$ and $\text{soc}(H) = \text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$. Then by [24, Lemma 6.7], $\text{rank}(H) > 3$ unless $q = 3$, but in this case $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(H)$, a contradiction.

For the groups in line 8 of [24, Table 7.1], we have $\text{soc}(G) = \Omega_{2m-1}(q)$ and $\text{soc}(H) = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$. If $\Omega = (H : P_m)$ or $(H : P_{m-1})$ then the action of H is on one of the orbits of H on maximal totally singular subspaces. Since $\text{rank}(H) = 3$, it follows from [19] that $m = 4$. Thus the three actions on $(H : P_m)$, $(H : P_{m-1})$ and $(H : P_1)$ are permuted by triality and so are permutationally isomorphic. Hence we may assume that $\Omega = (H : P_4)$ and G is the stabiliser in H of a nondegenerate hyperplane W . Then by [21, pg. 63], $H_\alpha \cap G$ is the stabiliser in G of a maximal totally singular subspace of W . Thus the action of G on Ω is equivalent to the action of G on maximal totally singular subspaces of dimension 3 in W . This action has rank 4 [7, Theorem 9.4.3] and so we get case (b), where the subdegree is given by [7, Lemma 9.4.1].

For the groups in line 9 of [24, Table 7.1], we have $G = L_m(2).2$ (where G contains a graph automorphism) and $H = \Omega_{2m}^+(2).(2, m-1)$, with $m \geq 4$. In this case, we have $\Omega = (H : N_1)$ and as seen in the proof of [24, Lemma 6.5], H has rank 3. Moreover, the action of G on Ω is the action of G on the set of decompositions $V = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2, \dots, e_m \rangle$ of an m -dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$. Note that if $\langle u \rangle \oplus U$ and $\langle v \rangle \oplus W$ lie in the same suborbit of the stabiliser of the decomposition $V = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2, \dots, e_m \rangle$, then $\dim(U \cap \langle e_2, \dots, e_m \rangle) = \dim(W \cap \langle e_2, \dots, e_m \rangle)$. Since $|\Omega| \geq 4096$, we have that $m > 5$ and so this action has rank at least 5, a contradiction.

For the groups in lines 10–13 of [24, Table 7.1], by [19], we have $\text{rank}(H) > 3$, a contradiction.

For the groups in lines 14 of [24, Table 7.1], we have that $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Sp}_m(16)$ and $\text{soc}(H) = \text{Sp}_{2m}(4)$ and $\Omega = (\text{soc}(H) : O_{2m}^\pm(4))$. By [24, Lemma 6.2], we have that $\text{rank}(H) = 3$. Moreover, by [21, 3.2.1(d)] we see that $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha = O_{2m}^\pm(16)$. The rank of this action is given in the proof of [24, Lemma 6.2], where we see that it has rank 8, a contradiction. \square

2.5 $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation group

Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω . If G is non-regular on Ω and all the nontrivial orbits of a point-stabiliser have equal size, then we say that G is a $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation group on Ω . The following proposition provides a classification of $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation groups.

Proposition 2.7. [25, Corollary 3] *Let G be a $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation group of degree n . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) G is 2-transitive;
- (2) G is a Frobenius group;
- (3) G is affine and $n = p^d$ with p prime: $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rtimes H$, where $H \leq \text{GL}_d(p)$ is one of the following groups:

- (i) $H \leq \Gamma L_1(p^d)$
 - (ii) $H = S_0(p^{d/2})$ is the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ of order $4(q-1)$ consisting of all monomial matrices of determinant ± 1 , with p an odd prime;
 - (iii) H is soluble and $n = p^d = 3^2, 5^2, 7^2, 11^2, 17^2$ or 3^4 ;
 - (iv) $\text{SL}_2(5) \leq H \leq \Gamma L_2(p^{d/2})$, where $p^{d/2} = 9, 11, 19, 29$ or 169 .
- (4) G is almost simple: either
- (a) $n = 21$, $G = A_7$ or S_7 acting on the set of pairs in $\{1, \dots, 7\}$, or
 - (b) $n = \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$ where $q = 2^f \geq 8$, and either $G = \text{PSL}_2(q)$, or $G = \text{P}\Gamma L_2(q)$ with f a prime.

We shall end this section with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rtimes H$ be a $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation group on $V = \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, where $1 \neq H \leq \text{GL}_d(p)$ and p is a prime. If H has three orbits on $V \setminus \{0\}$, then G is primitive.*

Proof. Note that each orbit of H on $V \setminus \{0\}$ has length $\frac{(p^d-1)}{3}$. Suppose that G is imprimitive. By Proposition 2.1, there exists one non-trivial orbital graph, say Γ of G , which is disconnected. Then Γ would have a connected component of order $\frac{(p^d-1)}{3} + 1$ or $\frac{2(p^d-1)}{3} + 1$, and so either $\frac{(p^d-1)}{3} + 1$ or $\frac{2(p^d-1)}{3} + 1$ divides p^d . For the former, we have $\frac{(p^d-1)}{3} + 1 = \frac{p^d+2}{3}$ divides p^d , and so $3p^d = k(p^d+2)$ for some positive integer k . It follows that $2k = (3-k)p^d$, and hence $k = 2$ and $p^d = 4$. This forces $H = 1$, a contradiction. For the latter, we have $\frac{2(p^d-1)}{3} + 1 = \frac{2p^d+1}{3}$ divides p^d , and so $3p^d = k(2p^d+1)$ for some integer k . It follows that $k = (3-2k)p^d$, and hence $k = 1$, a contradiction. Thus G is primitive. \square

3 Examples

3.1 Tensor products

We begin with the following useful lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $G_0 \leq \text{GL}(V)$ preserve the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and let $G = V \rtimes G_0 \leq \text{AGL}(V)$. Suppose that $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2)$ and that $B = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ is an orbit of G_0 . Then the orbital digraph Γ for G arising from the suborbit B is isomorphic to the Hamming graph $H(2, |V_1|)$.*

Proof. Since $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ the elements of V can be identified with ordered pairs whose first coordinate lies in V_1 and whose second coordinate lies in V_2 . With this identification V_1 is identified with those elements of V whose second coordinate is 0 and V_2 is identified with those elements of V whose first coordinate is 0. The neighbours of $(0, 0)$ in Γ are then those vertices which differ in exactly one coordinate. Since V acts transitively by addition on the set of vertices of Γ and induces automorphisms, it follows that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one coordinate. Hence Γ is the Hamming graph $H(2, |V_1|)$. \square

We can now give two infinite families of 2-closed groups of rank 4 that are not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ and let X and Y be 2- and m -dimensional $\text{GF}(3)$ -spaces, respectively. Let D_8 be the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(3)$ stabilising a decomposition of X into the direct sum of two 1-dimensional subspaces and let $D_8 \circ \text{GL}_m(3)$ act on $V = X \otimes Y$. Let $\mathcal{G}(m) = V \rtimes (D_8 \circ \text{GL}_m(3))$ be the permutation group with V as a regular normal subgroup. Then $\mathcal{G}(m)$ is a 2-closed primitive affine permutation group of degree 3^{2m} and of rank 4. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}(m)$ is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph.*

Proof. For convenience, we set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(m)$ and we let D_8 be the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(3)$ preserving the decomposition $X = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus \langle x_2 \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{G}_0 = D_8 \circ \text{GL}_m(3)$. Let $M = \text{GL}_2(3) \circ \text{GL}_m(3)$ be the stabiliser in $\text{GL}_{2m}(3)$ of the tensor decomposition $V = X \otimes Y$.

Let $V_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle \otimes Y$ and $V_2 = \langle x_2 \rangle \otimes Y$. Then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m$. Moreover, \mathcal{G}_0 is the stabiliser in M of this decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$. Let

$$B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\} \quad B_2 = (\langle x_1 + x_2 \rangle \otimes Y \cup \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle \otimes Y) \setminus \{0\}$$

and let $B_3 = V^* \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$. By [20, Lemma 1.1], $B_1 \cup B_2$ and B_3 are the two orbits of M on V^* . It is also easy to see that B_1 and B_2 are \mathcal{G}_0 -orbits. Since $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, Lemma 3.1 implies that the orbital digraph for \mathcal{G} arising from B_1 is the Hamming graph $H(2, 3^m)$. Similarly, \mathcal{G}_0 stabilises the decomposition $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ where $W_1 = \langle x_1 + x_2 \rangle \otimes Y$ and $W_2 = \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle \otimes Y$. Thus Lemma 3.1 implies that the orbital graph of \mathcal{G} arising from B_2 is also isomorphic to $H(2, 3^m)$. Note that the automorphism group of $H(2, 3^m)$ is $S_{3^m} \wr S_2$, which is larger than \mathcal{G}_0 .

Now the vectors of V that are in neither V_1, V_2, W_1 nor W_2 are of the form $\lambda_1 x_1 \otimes u + \lambda_2 x_2 \otimes v$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ is a 2-dimensional subspace of Y . Since $\text{GL}_m(3)$ acts transitively on the set of 2-dimensional subspaces of Y and $\text{GL}_m(3)_{\langle u, v \rangle}$ induces $\text{GL}_2(3)$ on $\langle u, v \rangle$, it follows that \mathcal{G}_0 acts transitively on B_3 . Hence B_3 is a common orbit of \mathcal{G}_0 and M , and hence M is contained in the automorphism group of the orbital graph of \mathcal{G} arising from B_3 . Thus \mathcal{G} is not the automorphism group of either of its three nontrivial orbital digraphs.

If $m = 2$ we can check in MAGMA that \mathcal{G} is 2-closed, so assume that $m \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{R} = V \rtimes M$. Then $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} \leq \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$. Since \mathcal{G}_0 is the stabiliser in \mathcal{R}_0 of B_1 , to prove that $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} = \mathcal{G}$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$. As noted above, \mathcal{R} has rank three and the orbits of \mathcal{R}_0 are $\{0\}, B_1 \cup B_2$ and B_3 . Thus $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ also has rank 3. Suppose, for a contradiction, that we can identify V with a cartesian product $V = \Delta^k$ for some set Δ and integer $k \geq 2$ such that $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta) \wr S_k$ in product action. Since $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ has rank three, we must have that $k = 2$, (see for example [26]) and $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} = \text{Sym}(\Delta) \wr S_2$. Moreover, the orbits of $(\mathcal{R}^{(2)})_0$ have length 1, $2(|\Delta| - 1)$ and $(|\Delta| - 1)^2$. Since $|V| = 3^{2m}$ we must have that $|\Delta| = 3^m$. However, the orbits of \mathcal{R}_0 and hence of $(\mathcal{R}^{(2)})_0$ have length 1, $4(3^m - 1) = 4(|\Delta| - 1)$ and $3^{2m} - 4(3^m - 1) - 1 = (|\Delta| - 3)(|\Delta| - 1)$, a contradiction as $|\Delta| \neq 5$. Thus $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \not\leq \text{Sym}(\Delta) \wr S_k$ and so by [28, Lemma 4.1] it follows that $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \leq \text{AGL}_{2m}(3)$. Now [38, Lemma 4.4.5(1)] implies that $(\mathcal{R}_0)^{(1)} = \mathcal{R}_0$, as \mathcal{R}_0 is the stabiliser in $\text{GL}_{2m}(3)$ of a tensor product decomposition. Thus $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} = \mathcal{R}$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $m \geq 2$ and let X and Y be 2- and m -dimensional $\text{GF}(4)$ -spaces, respectively. Let $C_3 \wr S_2$ be the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(4)$ stabilising a decomposition of X into the direct sum of two 1-dimensional subspaces and let $((C_3 \wr S_2) \circ \text{GL}_m(4)).2 \leq \text{GL}_{2m}(4)$ act on $V = X \otimes Y$. Let $\mathcal{H}(m) = V \rtimes (((C_3 \wr S_2) \circ \text{GL}_m(4)).2)$ be the permutation group with V as a regular normal subgroup. Then $\mathcal{H}(m)$ is a 2-closed primitive affine permutation group of degree 4^{2m} and of rank 4. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}(m)$ is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph.*

Proof. For convenience, we set $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(m)$ and we let $C_3 \wr S_2$ be the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(4)$ preserving the decomposition $X = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus \langle x_2 \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{H}_0 = ((C_3 \wr S_2) \circ \text{GL}_m(4)).2 \cong \text{GL}_2(2) \times \Gamma\text{L}_m(4)$.

Let $M = (\mathrm{GL}_2(4) \circ \mathrm{GL}_m(4)).2$, which for $m \geq 3$ is the stabiliser in $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_{2m}(4)$ of the tensor decomposition $V = X \otimes Y$, and for $m = 2$, M is the index two subgroup of the stabiliser of the tensor decomposition that does not interchange X and Y .

Let $V_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle \otimes Y$ and $V_2 = \langle x_2 \rangle \otimes Y$. Then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m$. Moreover, \mathcal{H}_0 is the stabiliser in M of this decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$. Let

$$B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\} \quad B_2 = (\langle x_1 + x_2 \rangle \otimes Y \cup \langle x_1 + \lambda x_2 \rangle \otimes Y \cup \langle x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2 \rangle \otimes Y) \setminus \{0\},$$

where λ is a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(4)$, and let $B_3 = V^* \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$. By [20, Lemma 1.1], $B_1 \cup B_2$ and B_3 are the two orbits of M on V^* . It is also easy to see that B_1 and B_2 are \mathcal{H}_0 -orbits. Since $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, Lemma 3.1 implies that the orbital digraph for \mathcal{G} arising from B_1 is the Hamming graph $H(2, 4^m)$. Note that the automorphism group of $H(2, 4^m)$ is $S_{4^m} \wr S_2$, which is larger than \mathcal{H}_0 .

Now the vectors of $V \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$ are of the form $\lambda_1 x_1 \otimes u + \lambda_2 x_2 \otimes v$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ is a 2-dimensional subspace of Y . Since $\mathrm{GL}_m(4)$ acts transitively on the set of 2-dimensional subspaces of Y and $\mathrm{GL}_m(4)_{\langle u, v \rangle}$ induces $\mathrm{GL}_2(4)$ on $\langle u, v \rangle$, it follows that \mathcal{H}_0 acts transitively on B_3 . Hence B_3 is a common orbit of \mathcal{H}_0 and M . In particular, \mathcal{H}_0 has rank 4 and M is contained in the automorphism group of the orbital graph of \mathcal{H} arising from B_3 .

Note that Y is a $2m$ -dimensional vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. Let $u_1 = x_1 + x_2$, $u_2 = \lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2$ and $u_3 = \lambda^2 x_1 + \lambda x_2$. Then $u_2 \in \langle x_1 + \lambda x_2 \rangle$ and $u_3 \in \langle x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2 \rangle$. Moreover, $u_1 + u_2 = u_3$. Thus $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle_{\mathrm{GF}(2)}$ has dimension two. Note that for each $w \in Y$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that $\lambda u_i \otimes w = u_i \otimes (\lambda w)$. Hence for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have that $\langle u_i \rangle_{\mathrm{GF}(2)} \otimes_{\mathrm{GF}(2)} W$ is a $2m$ -dimensional vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ which contains the same set of vectors as the $\mathrm{GF}(4)$ -space $\langle u_i \rangle_{\mathrm{GF}(4)} \otimes_{\mathrm{GF}(4)} W$. Thus B_2 is the set of simple vectors of the tensor decomposition $V = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_{\mathrm{GF}(2)} \otimes_{\mathrm{GF}(2)} W$. This decomposition is preserved by $\mathrm{GL}_2(2) \otimes \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(2)$ and so $\mathrm{GL}_2(2) \otimes \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(2) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$. Thus \mathcal{H} is not the automorphism group of either of its three nontrivial orbital digraphs.

When $m = 2$ we can check in MAGMA that \mathcal{H} is 2-closed, so suppose that $m \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{R} = V \rtimes M \geq \mathcal{H}$ and so $\mathcal{H}^{(2)} \leq \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$. As noted above, \mathcal{R} has rank three and the orbits of \mathcal{R}_0 are $\{0\}$, $B_1 \cup B_2$ and B_3 . Thus $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ also has rank 3. The same argument as in the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 3.2 comparing the orbit lengths of \mathcal{R}_0 to the orbit lengths of a rank three group in product action allows us to again deduce that $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \not\leq \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta) \wr S_k$ in product action with V identified with Δ^k for some set Δ and $k \geq 2$. Thus [28, Lemma 4.1] implies that $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ has the same socle as \mathcal{R} , that is, an elementary abelian group of order 2^{4m} . Hence $\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \leq \mathrm{AGL}_{4m}(2)$. Note that \mathcal{H}_0 , and hence $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)})_0$, fix setwise B_2 , the set of simple vectors of the tensor product decomposition $V = U \otimes W$ where U is a 2-dimensional vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ and W is a $2m$ -dimensional vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. Thus by [38, Lemma 4.4.5(1)] we have that $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)})_0 \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(2) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(2)$. By [13], $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_m(4)$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(2)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathrm{GL}_2(2) \times \Gamma\mathrm{L}_m(4)$ is maximal in $\mathrm{GL}_2(2) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(2)$. Since \mathcal{H}_0 has three orbits on $V \setminus \{0\}$ while $\mathrm{GL}_2(2) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(2)$ has only two, it follows that $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)})_0 = \mathcal{H}_0$ and so \mathcal{H} is 2-closed. \square

3.2 1-dimensional semilinear

Let ω be a primitive element of the field $\mathrm{GF}(p^d)$ of order p^d , and let $\alpha : x \mapsto x^p$ be a generator of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{GF}(p^d))$. Then $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_1(p^d) = \langle \omega \rangle \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$. Note that $\mathrm{GF}(p^d)$ is a d -dimensional vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ and we can view $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_1(p^d)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_d(p)$.

By [14, Lemma 2.1], any subgroup H of $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_1(p^d)$ can be written uniquely in the form $H =$

$\langle \omega^m, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$, where the integers m , e and s satisfy the following conditions:

$$m \mid p^d - 1, \quad s \mid d, \quad e \left(\frac{p^d - 1}{p^s - 1} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \quad (1)$$

A subgroup $\langle \omega^m, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$ of $\langle \omega, \alpha \rangle$ is said to be in *standard form* if m , e and s satisfy the conditions in Eq. (1).

The following proposition is directly obtained from [14, Theorems 3.7, 3.8]. We let $V^* = \text{GF}(p^d) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $H = \langle \omega^m, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$ (in standard form) have exactly two orbits on V^* , of lengths $\frac{m_1(p^d-1)}{m}$ and $\frac{m_2(p^d-1)}{m}$, where $m_1 < m_2$. Then $m = vm_1$, $m_2 = (v-1)m_1$, and m_1, s are odd, and the following hold.*

- (1) each prime divisor of m_1 divides $p^s - 1$;
- (2) v is an odd prime, and $v \mid (p^{sm_1(v-1)} - 1)$ but $v \nmid (p^{sm_1 t} - 1)$ for $1 \leq t < v - 1$;
- (3) $\gcd(e, m_1) = 1$;
- (4) $m_1 s (v - 1)$ divides d .

Conversely, assume that e is an integer, and that m_1 , s and d are positive integers satisfying the above conditions (1)–(4). Let $m = vm_1$. Then the group $H = \langle \omega^m, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$ is in standard form and has exactly two orbits on V^* , of lengths $\frac{m_1(p^d-1)}{m}$ and $\frac{m_2(p^d-1)}{m}$.

Definition 3.5. *Let $H = \langle \omega^m, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle \leq \Gamma L_1(p^d)$ be in standard form with $m = 3m_1$ for some odd integer m_1 with $\gcd(m_1, 3) = 1$ and so that m_1, s and d satisfy the conditions (1)–(4) of Proposition 3.4 with $v = 3$.*

Let $G_0 = \langle \omega^{3m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$ and let $G = N : G_0$, where N is the group of translations of the 1-dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(p^d)$. Let $G(q, m_1, e, s) = G^{(2)}$.

By a direct computation, we have $(\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 = \omega^{e(1+p^{d-s})} \alpha^{2s}$. Note that (2) of Proposition 3.4 with $v = 3$ implies that s is odd and (4) implies that $2s$ divides d . Moreover, $\gcd(m_1, p^s + 1) = 1$ (by (1) of Proposition 3.4 and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$) (by (2) of Proposition 3.4). Then $p^{d-s} \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ and then $e(1 + p^{d-s}) \left(\frac{p^d - 1}{p^{2s} - 1} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{3m_1}$. Hence the standard form of G_0 is $\langle \omega^{3m_1}, \omega^{e(1+p^{d-s})} \alpha^{2s} \rangle$.

Lemma 3.6. *The group $G(p^d, m_1, e, s)$ is primitive and is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph of order p^d .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4, H has exactly two orbits on V^* , of lengths $\frac{p^d-1}{3}$ and $\frac{2(p^d-1)}{3}$. Note that each orbit of $\langle \omega^{3m_1} \rangle$ on V^* has length $\frac{p^d-1}{3m_1}$. From the proof of [14, Proposition 3.3], one may see that $\omega^e \alpha^s$ induces the permutation η on the set of orbits of $\langle \omega^{3m_1} \rangle$ on V^* that is the product of two disjoint cycles of lengths m_1 and $2m_1$. Since m_1 is odd, η^2 is a product of three disjoint cycles of equal length m_1 . It follows that $G_0 = \langle \omega^{3m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$ has exactly three orbits on V^* , each of which has length $\frac{p^d-1}{3}$. By Lemma 2.8, G is primitive and hence so is $G(p^d, m_1, e, s)$.

By a direct computation, we have $(\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 = \omega^{e(1+p^{d-s})} \alpha^{2s}$, and $(\omega^{m_1})^{(\omega^e \alpha^s)^2} = (\omega^{m_1})^{\alpha^{2s}} = \omega^{m_1 p^{2s}}$. Since $3 \mid (p^{2s} - 1)$, we have $\omega^{m_1 p^{2s}} \langle \omega^{3m_1} \rangle = \omega^{m_1} \langle \omega^{3m_1} \rangle$. This implies that the quotient group $\langle \omega^{m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle / \langle \omega^{3m_1} \rangle$ is abelian, and hence $G_0 \leq \langle \omega^{m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$. Clearly, G_0 has index 3

in $\langle \omega^{m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$. Hence either $\langle \omega^{m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$ fixes each G_0 -orbit on V^* or it cyclically permutes them in a cycle of length 3. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\langle \omega^{m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$ fixes each G_0 -orbit on V^* . Then $\langle \omega^{m_1}, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$ would have the same orbits on V^* as $\langle \omega^{3m_1}, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$, and hence $\langle \omega^{m_1}, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$ has exactly two orbits on V^* , of lengths $\frac{p^d-1}{3}$ and $\frac{2(p^d-1)}{3}$. Now $\langle \omega^{m_1}, \omega^e \alpha^s \rangle$ is also in standard form and so by Proposition 3.4 we must have that $\frac{p^d-1}{3} = \frac{a_1(p^d-1)}{m_1}$ with $m_1 = va_1$ for some prime v . It follows that $v = 3$, contradicting $\gcd(3, m_1) = 1$. Thus, $\langle \omega^{m_1}, (\omega^e \alpha^s)^2 \rangle$ is transitive on the set of orbits of G_0 on V^* . It follows that all the three non-trivial orbital digraphs of G are isomorphic. In particular, the automorphism group of any of them contains a permutation that interchanges the other two. Hence $G(p^d, m_1, e, s)$ is not the automorphism group of any non-trivial orbital graph or digraph. \square

We do not determine the explicit structure of $G(p^d, m_1, e, s)$ but note that all of its orbital digraphs have the same valency. This is not the case for the two examples $\mathcal{G}(m)$ and $\mathcal{H}(m)$ given Section 3.1. Thus it follows from Theorem 1.1 that for $n \geq 2402$ we have that $G(p^d, m_1, e, s) \leq \text{AFL}_1(p^d)$. This implies that there do exist examples of primitive groups of rank 4 that are subgroups of $\text{AFL}_1(p^d)$ and are not the automorphism group of a graph or digraph. However, we do not attempt a complete classification of such examples as it appears that the required arguments would be very number-theoretic. In the next subsection we provide some further small examples not of the form $G(p^d, m_1, e, s)$.

3.3 Small degrees

The examples below are numbered according to the database of primitive groups in MAGMA and do not lie in any of the infinite families already given.

1. PrimitiveGroup(25,11). In this case $G = 5^2 : (D_8.2)$. Here all three nontrivial orbital graphs of G are isomorphic to $H(2, 5)$. The point stabiliser in G is not isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}(1, 25)$, and the only proper rank 4 subgroup has point stabiliser Q_8 , which is also not subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}(1, 25)$. Thus G is not of the form $G(25, m_1, e, s)$ for any m_1, e and s .
2. PrimitiveGroup(49,16). Here $G = 7^2 : \langle \omega^4, \alpha \rangle$ has two nontrivial orbital graphs isomorphic to $H(2, 7)$. The other orbital graph has automorphism group $7^2 : \langle \omega^2, \alpha \rangle$. Since the orbital graphs are not all isomorphic G is not of the form $G(49, m_1, e, s)$ for any m_1, e and s .
3. PrimitiveGroup(64,27). Here $G = 2^6 : (3_+^{1+2} : D_8)$ has two orbital graphs of valency 18 and one of valency 27. The first two have automorphism group $2^6 : 3.A_6.2$, which appears in Table 3. The orbital graph of valency 27 has automorphism group $2^6.\text{GO}_6^-(2)$, which appears in case (A7) of Table 1.
4. PrimitiveGroup(81,48). Here $G = 3^4 : \langle \omega^4, \alpha \rangle$ has two orbital graphs of valency 20 and one of valency 40. The first two have automorphism group $3^4 : \text{CO}_4^-(3)$, which appears in case (A7) of Table 1. The remaining one has automorphism group $3^4 : \langle \omega^2, \alpha \rangle$. Hence the orbital graphs are not all isomorphic and so G is not of the form $G(81, m_1, e, s)$ for any m_1, e and s .
5. PrimitiveGroup(81,77). Here $G = 3^4 : (2 \times Q_8) : A_4$ and has two orbital graphs of valency 16 and one of valency 48. The first two are Hamming graphs $H(2, 9)$, while the latter has automorphism group $3^4 : 2^{1+4}.\text{GO}_4^+(2)$ which is a rank 3 group in Table 2.

6. PrimitiveGroup(81,87). Here $G = 3^4 : (\text{GL}(1, 3) \wr D_8).2$. Here G has one orbital graph of valency 16 and two of valency 32. The first is isomorphic to $H(2, 9)$ while the automorphism groups of the other two are isomorphic to $3^4 : 2^{1+4}.\text{GO}_4^+(2)$, which is a rank 3 group in Table 2.
7. PrimitiveGroup(121,23). Here $G = 11^2 : (5 \times Q_8)$ with all nontrivial suborbits having length 40. The stabiliser in G of a point is not cyclic and G does not have a proper rank 4 subgroup. Thus G is not of the form $G(121, m_1, e, s)$ for any m_1, e or s , as all such groups for $q = 121$ are the 2-closure of a primitive group of rank 4 having cyclic point stabilisers. The automorphism group of each of the orbital digraphs is equal to $11^2 : (C_{40} : C_2) \leq \text{AFL}_1(121)$.
8. PrimitiveGroup(169,41). Here $G = 13^2 : (3 \times 3 : 8)$ which has an orbital graph of valency 24 (the Hamming graph $H(2, 13)$) and two of valency 72. The latter both have automorphism group $13^2 : 12 \circ 2^{1+2} : S_3$, which appears in Table 2.
9. PrimitiveGroup(625,547). Here $G = 5^4 : 4.A_6$ which has an orbital graph of valency 144 and two of valency 240. The graph of valency 144 has $5^4 : 4.A_6.2$, which appears in Table 3, as its automorphism group. The two orbital graphs of valency 240 have $5^4 : 4 \circ 2^{1+4}\text{Sp}_4(2)$.
10. PrimitiveGroup(2401,663). Here $G = 7^4 : \langle \omega^{10}, \omega^5 \alpha \rangle$ and has two suborbits of length 960 and one of length 480. This is not of type $G(7^4, m_1, e, s)$ for any m_1, e and s as the suborbits are not all of the same length. The orbital graph of valency 480 has automorphism group $7^4 : \langle \omega^5, \alpha \rangle \leq \text{AFL}_1(7^4)$. The other two nontrivial orbital graphs have automorphism group $7^4 : (\text{Z}(\text{GL}_2(49) \circ \text{SL}_2(5))).2$, which appears in Table 3.
11. PrimitiveGroup(2401,991). Here $G = 7^4 : C_6 \circ 2^{1+4}\Omega^-(4, 2)$ which has two orbital graphs of valency 240 and one of valency 1920. The first two have automorphism group $7^4 : C_6 \circ \text{Sp}_4(3)$, which appear in Table 3 as $\text{PSP}_4(3) \cong U_4(2)$, while the last has automorphism group $7^4 : C_6 \circ 2^{1+4}\text{GO}_4^-(2)$, which appears in Table 2.

Lemma 3.7. *If G is a 2-closed primitive group of rank 4 of degree $n \leq 4095$ that is not the automorphism group of a graph or digraph and $G \not\leq \text{AFL}_1(p^d)$ with $n = p^d$ for some prime p , then G is given in Theorem 1.1(4).*

Proof. We work through the MAGMA database of primitive groups of degree at most 4095. The ones of rank 4 can then be determined and it can be checked if they are 2-closed and not the automorphism group of a graph or digraph. This results in the groups with label (n, k) being in the set

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(16, 2), (25, 4), (25, 11), (49, 16), (64, 14), (64, 27), (81, 48), (81, 77), (81, 87), (121, 23), (121, 24), \\ &(169, 41), (256, 52), (256, 155), (289, 50), (529, 39), (625, 360), (625, 547), (729, 417), (841, 80), \\ &(1024, 26), (1681, 152), (2209, 51), (2401, 663), (2401, 991), (2809, 81), (3481, 67)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The group $(256, 155)$ is $\mathcal{H}(2)$ from Lemma 3.3 while $(729, 417)$ is $\mathcal{G}(3)$ from Lemma 3.2. Of the remaining ones, all but those listed in Theorem 1.1 are contained in $\text{AFL}(1, p^d)$ and those not listed in this section are isomorphic to $G(q, m_1, e, s)$ for some q, m_1, e and s . \square

4 A reduction

In this section, we show that if G is a primitive 2-closed permutation group of rank 4 and degree n that is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph of order n , then G is an affine primitive permutation group. Almost by definition, we obtain the following observation.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a primitive 2-closed permutation group of degree n . If G is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph of order n , then G has rank at least 4. Moreover, if G has rank 4 and is the automorphism group of a graph or digraph then it is the automorphism group of one of its orbital digraphs.*

Proof. If G has rank 2, then $G \cong S_n$ which is the automorphism group of the complete graph K_n , a contradiction. Assume that G has rank 3. Then G has two non-trivial orbital digraphs, one of which is the complement of the other. This implies that G is the automorphism group of both of its non-trivial orbital digraphs, a contradiction. Thus G has rank at least 4.

Suppose now that G is the automorphism group of some graph or digraph Γ and let E_1, E_2 and E_3 be the three nontrivial orbitals of G . Then Γ is the union of one or two orbital digraphs of G . If $\Gamma = (\Omega, E_1 \cup E_2)$, say, then the complement of Γ is the orbital digraph (Ω, E_3) . Since Γ and its complement have the same automorphism group it follows that G is the automorphism group of the orbital digraph for E_3 . \square

We will adopt the following assumption for the remainder of this paper.

Assumption I.

- Ω : a non-empty set of size $n \geq 4096$, $\alpha \in \Omega$,
- G : a primitive 2-closed permutation group on Ω of rank 4 that is not the automorphism group of any graph or digraph of order n ,
- $B_0 = \{\alpha\}, B_1, B_2, B_3$ are the four orbits of G_α on Ω ,
- $E_i = \{(\alpha, \beta)^g \mid g \in G, \beta \in B_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,
- $\Gamma_i = (\Omega, E_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The following lemma gives some basic facts for the non-trivial orbital graphs of G .

Lemma 4.2. *Under Assumption I, the following hold for each $i = 1, 2, 3$:*

- (1) $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is primitive of rank 3, with $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_\alpha$ suborbits $\{\alpha\}, B_i$ and $\cup_{j \neq i} B_j$.
- (2) Γ_i is a distance-transitive graph of order n and diameter 2.

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since G is primitive and contained in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$, the group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is primitive. Since G is 2-closed, and not equal to $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$, we see that the rank of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is less than the rank of G . Since E_i is an orbital of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ on Γ_i , the other two orbitals of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ must be the trivial orbital and $\cup_{j \neq i} E_j$. Thus (1) holds.

Note that Γ_i is connected by Lemma 4.1. As $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ has rank three, the vertices of Γ_i at distance one from α are those in B_i , and the remaining vertices in $\cup_{j \neq i} B_j$ are at distance two, so Γ_i has diameter two. It remains to show that Γ_i is a graph, i.e. that B_i is self-paired.

Let us assume that B_i is not self-paired, and let B_j be the paired suborbit of B_i and B_k the remaining suborbit of G . By (1), $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is primitive, and both B_i and $B_j \cup B_k$ are the two non-trivial suborbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$. Since $|B_i| = |B_j|$, we have $|B_i| < |B_j| + |B_k|$. This implies that the two non-trivial suborbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ are self-paired, and in particular, B_i is self-paired, a contradiction. Thus, all suborbits of G are self-paired, and each Γ_i is a graph. \square

To show that G is not almost simple, we shall use a result in [3] about the classification of almost simple $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation groups, and a result in [24] regarding the classification of those pairs H, K of primitive permutation groups on a set such that $H < K$, $\text{soc}(H) \neq \text{soc}(K)$, and H and K share a common non-trivial orbital.

Lemma 4.3. *Under Assumption I, G is not almost simple.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G is almost simple and recall that $n \geq 4096$. By Lemma 4.2, the orbital digraphs Γ_i of G are graphs. We shall divide the proof into the following two cases:

Case 1 There are two orbital graphs, Γ_1 and Γ_2 say, of G such that

$$\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)) = \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)) = \text{soc}(G).$$

Note that B_1 and $B_2 \cup B_3$ are the two orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)_\alpha$ on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Clearly, $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)_\alpha$, so all the orbits of $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha$ on B_1 have the same cardinality. Similarly, all the orbits of $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha$ on $B_2 \cup B_3$ have the same cardinality. Also, note that B_2 and $B_1 \cup B_3$ are the two orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_\alpha$ on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and each B_i is an orbit of G_α . All the orbits of $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha$ on B_2 have the same cardinality. It then follows that all the orbits of $\text{soc}(G)_\alpha$ on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$ have the same cardinality, and hence $\text{soc}(G)$ is $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive. By Proposition 2.7 or [3, Theorem 1.2], either $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Alt}(7)$, $n = 21$ and Ω is the set of pairs in $\{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$, or $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}_2(q)$, $n = \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$ and $q = 2^f \geq 8$. In the former case, $\text{soc}(G)$ has rank 3, a contradiction. In the latter case, either $G = \text{soc}(G)$ or $G = \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_2(2^f)) = \text{PSL}_2(2^f).f$, where f is prime. It is shown in [3, Lemma 6.2] that $\text{PSL}_2(2^f)$ has rank 2^{f-1} while $\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_2(2^f))$ has rank $1 + (2^{f-1} - 1)/f$. Since G has rank 4 it follows that $G = \text{PSL}_2(8)$ or $\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_2(2^5))$. If $G = \text{PSL}_2(8)$ then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) = \text{PSL}_2(8)$ or $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(8)$. However, the first has rank 4 while the second has rank 2, contradicting the fact that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$ has rank 3. Similarly, if $G = \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_2(2^5))$ then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) = G$, contradicting the fact that G is not the automorphism group of a graph.

Case 2 There is at most one orbital graph of G whose automorphism group has the same socle as G .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1))$ and $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2))$. Since G has rank 4 and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$ has rank 3 it follows that B_1 is the only common orbit of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)_\alpha$ and G_α on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Let $X = \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1))G$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\text{soc}(X) = \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1))$ and $X \neq G$. Since G is 2-closed and rank 4 it follows that X has the same orbitals as $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$ and so has rank 3. Since $n \geq 4096$, it follows that $\text{soc}(G)$, $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1))$ and $|B_1|$ are given by Proposition 2.6 using $H = X$ and $d = |B_1|$. Similarly, B_2 is the only common orbit of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_\alpha$ and G_α on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Thus $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \neq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)$. Moreover, we again see that $\text{soc}(G)$, $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2))$ and $|B_2|$ are also given by Proposition 2.6 using $H = \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2))G$ and $d = |B_2|$. In particular, $|B_1| = |B_2|$.

From Proposition 2.6 one may see that $N_{S_n}(\text{soc}(G))$ has rank 4. This implies that $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3))$. Since G_α and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_\alpha$ have B_3 as the only orbit on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$, we again have that $\text{soc}(G)$, $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3))$ and $|B_3|$ are given by Proposition 2.6 using $H = \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3))G$ and $d = |B_3|$. It follows that $|B_1| = |B_2| = |B_3|$, and so $n - 1 = 3|B_1|$. However, this is impossible given the possibilities for n and $|B_i|$. \square

Finally, we shall prove that G is an affine primitive permutation group of rank 4.

Proposition 4.4. *Under Assumption I, G is affine.*

Proof. Since G is primitive of rank 4, the structure of G is given by cases (1)–(5) of Proposition 2.5. By Lemma 4.3, (2) does not hold. For (3), we use MAGMA to see that G is the automorphism group of one of its orbital graphs, which is against Assumption I. Suppose that (4) holds. Then since G has rank 4 and preserves the product structure $\Omega = \Delta^3$, the four orbits of G_α must be

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= \{(\delta, \delta, \delta)\}, \\ B_1 &= \{(\delta, \delta, \gamma), (\delta, \gamma, \delta), (\gamma, \delta, \delta) \mid \gamma \in \Delta \setminus \{\delta\}\}, \\ B_2 &= \{(\delta, \beta, \gamma), (\beta, \delta, \gamma), (\beta, \gamma, \delta) \mid \beta, \gamma \in \Delta \setminus \{\delta\}\}, \\ B_3 &= \{(\mu, \beta, \gamma) \mid \mu, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta \setminus \{\delta\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $|B_1| = 3(n_0 - 1)$, $|B_2| = 3(n_0 - 1)^2$ and $|B_3| = (n_0 - 1)^3$. It is easy to see that all orbitals of G are self-paired, and that the orbital graph $\Gamma_1 = (\Omega, E_1)$ is of diameter 3, where $E_1 = \{(\alpha, \beta)^g \mid g \in G, \beta \in B_1\}$. This is impossible by Lemma 4.2 (2).

Finally, suppose that (5) holds. Then every orbital graph of G is a Cayley graph

$$\Sigma = \text{Cay}(A_5, \{g^{-1}tg \mid g \in A_5\}),$$

where $t = (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2, 3)$ or $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. By Magma [6], $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ has rank 4. This is again impossible by Lemma 4.2 (1). \square

Lemma 4.5. *Under Assumption I with $n \geq 4096$, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is not one of the rank three groups given in Tables 2 and 3.*

Proof. We construct in MAGMA each of the rank three groups given in Tables 2 and 3 of degree larger than 4095, and determine if they have any subgroups of rank four. Only two do and we eliminate them as follows:

1. $G = 3^8 : 2^{1+6}.2^4.A_5.2 < 3^8 : 2^{1+6}\text{GO}_6^-(2) = H$. (In this case G has proper subgroups which are also rank four. However, this implies that they have the same four orbitals as G and hence are not 2-closed.) Here G_α splits the orbit of H_α of length 1440 into one of length 160 and one of length 1280. The orbit of length 160 yields the Hamming graph $H(2, 3^4)$ whilst G is the automorphism group of the orbital graph of valency 1280.
2. $G = 5^6 : (4.\text{GU}(3, 3).2) < 5^6 : (4.J_2.2) = H$. Here G_α splits the orbit of H_α of length 7560 into one of length 1512 and one of length 6048. However, G is the automorphism group of its orbital graph of valency 1512. \square

The results of this section combined with Lemma 3.7 allow us to adopt the following assumption, in addition to Assumption I.

Assumption II.

- G has socle V , where $V \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ for some prime p and integer d ,
- $V = \Omega$,
- $V^* = V \setminus \{0\}$,
- G_0 is the stabiliser of the zero vector 0 in V ,
- $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is not in Tables 2 and 3 for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,
- $n = p^d \geq 4096$.

5 The orbital graphs

In this section, we show that under Assumptions I and II, either Γ_i or its complement is isomorphic to a Hamming graph, or $\text{soc}(G) = \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i))$ for all i , and at least one of the subgroups $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is contained in $\Gamma L_1(p^d)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Under Assumptions I and II, suppose that $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)) = \text{soc}(G)$ for all i . Then for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is of type (A1) in Table 1, that is, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(p^d)$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is not of type (A1) in Table 1 for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $V = \text{soc}(G) \cong C_p^d$. Since $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)) = V$ for all i , each $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is a primitive affine permutation group of rank 3, and by Theorem 2.3 and Assumption II we have that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is listed in Table 1.

Suppose first that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is of type (A2) for some i . Then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ preserves the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$. Thus $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) \leq \text{Sym}(|V_1|) \wr S_2$ and since $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is 2-closed and rank 3 we must have $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) = \text{Sym}(|V_1|) \wr S_2$. Since $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is affine and $p^d \geq 4096$, we have a contradiction. Thus no $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is of type (A2). It follows from the possibilities listed in Table 1 that $d = 2m$ with $m \geq 1$.

Recall that B_1, B_2 and B_3 are the three orbits of G_0 on the set of non-zero vectors of V , and B_i is also an orbit of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Assume that $|B_1| \leq |B_2| \leq |B_3|$. Then $B_1, B_2 \cup B_3$ are two orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)_0$, $B_2, B_1 \cup B_3$ are two orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$, and $B_3, B_1 \cup B_2$ are two orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$. Clearly $|B_1| < |B_2| + |B_3|$ and $|B_2| < |B_1| + |B_3|$.

We split our analysis into the following cases and derive a contradiction in each instance.

Case 1 p divides $|B_i|$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Since $|B_1| + |B_2| + |B_3| = p^{2m} - 1$, there are at most two B_i 's such that p divides $|B_i|$.

Suppose first that there is only one B_i such that $|B_i| = pk$ for some integer k . Then by Table 1, the other two will have size of the form: $(a+1)(b-1)$, $(c+1)(d-1)$, respectively, for some powers a, b, c, d of p . As $|B_1| + |B_2| + |B_3| = p^{2m} - 1$, it follows that

$$pk + ab - a + b - 1 + cd - c + d - 1 = p^{2m} - 1,$$

contradicting a, b, c and d all being divisible by p .

Suppose now that there are two B_i 's such that p divides $|B_i|$. Thus at least one of $|B_1|$ or $|B_2|$ is divisible by p . If $|B_1|$ is divisible by p , then since $|B_1| < |B_2| + |B_3|$, Lemma 2.4 implies that $p = 2$, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)_0$ is of type (A6) or (A7), and $|B_1| = (2^m - 2^{m-1})(2^m - 1) = 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$. Similarly, if $|B_2|$ is divisible by p then $p = 2$ and $|B_2| = (2^m - 2^{m-1})(2^m - 1) = 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$.

Suppose that 2 divides both $|B_1|$ and $|B_2|$. Then we have $|B_1| = |B_2| = (2^m - 2^{m-1})(2^m - 1)$ and so $|B_3| = 2^m - 1 < |B_1|$, a contradiction. Thus we have that $|B_i|$ is coprime to 2 and $|B_j| = (2^m - 2^{m-1})(2^m - 1) = 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$, where $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. Then $|B_i| + |B_3| = 2^{2m} - 1 - 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1) = (2^m + 1 - 2^{m-1})(2^m - 1) = (2^{m-1} + 1)(2^m - 1)$. Hence $|B_i|$ is not divisible by $2^m + 1$. Then by checking Table 1, we see that $|B_i| = (2^a + 1)(2^m - 1)$ and $|B_3| = 2^s(2^t - 1)(2^m - 1)$, where a, s, t are positive integers satisfying $s + t = m$. So we have

$$2^{m-1} = 2^a + 2^s(2^t - 1) = 2^a + 2^m - 2^s.$$

It follows that $2^s - 2^a = 2^{m-1}$, and hence $a = m - 1$ and $s = m$. Thus $t = m - s = 0$, contradicting $t > 0$.

Case 2 $p \nmid |B_i|$ for every $i = 1, 2, 3$.

From Table 1, we can divide this case into the following four subcases:

Subcase 2.1 $|B_1| = (s+1)(p^m - 1)$, $|B_2| = (\ell+1)(p^m - 1)$ and $|B_3| = (r+1)(p^m - 1)$, where $s, \ell, r < p^m$ are powers of p .

As $|B_1| + |B_2| + |B_3| = p^{2m} - 1 = (p^m - 1)(p^m + 1)$, it follows that

$$s + \ell + r + 2 = p^m.$$

Hence $p = 2$. As $|B_1| \leq |B_2| \leq |B_3|$, one has $s \leq \ell \leq r$. Then the above equality implies that $(s, \ell, r, p^m) = (2, 2, 2, 8)$ or $(2, 4, 8, 16)$, which contradicts the assumption that $n = p^{2m} \geq 4096$.

Subcase 2.2 $|B_1| = (s-1)(p^m + 1)$, $|B_2| = (\ell-1)(p^m + 1)$ and $|B_3| = (r-1)(p^m + 1)$, where $s, \ell, r < p^m$ are powers of p .

By checking Table 1, we see that in this case, each $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is of one of types (A6), (A7) or (A11). Now $|B_1| + |B_2| + |B_3| = p^{2m} - 1 = (p^m - 1)(p^m + 1)$, and so

$$s + \ell + r - 2 = p^m.$$

Hence $p = 2$. Also, as $|B_1| \leq |B_2| \leq |B_3|$, one has $s \leq \ell \leq r$. Then the above equality implies that $s = 2$. Since $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)_0$ is of one of types (A6), (A7) or (A11), the subdegrees in Table 1 imply that $p^d = 2^4$, contradicting $n = p^d \geq 4096$.

Subcase 2.3 One of $|B_i|$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) has the form $(s-1)(p^m + 1)$, and the other two have the form $(r+1)(p^m - 1)$, where $s, r < p^m$ are powers of p .

As $|B_1| + |B_2| + |B_3| = p^{2m} - 1 = (p^m - 1)(p^m + 1)$, it follows that $p^m - 1$ divides $(s-1)(p^m + 1)$. Since $\gcd(p^m - 1, p^m + 1)$ divides 2, either $p = 2$ and $p^m - 1$ divides $s - 1$, or p is odd and $\frac{p^m - 1}{2}$ divides $s - 1$. Since $s < p^m$ it follows that p is odd and $\frac{p^m - 1}{2} = s - 1$. Thus $p^m - 1 = 2s - 2$, contradicting s being a power of p .

Subcase 2.4 One of $|B_i|$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) has the form $(s+1)(p^m - 1)$, and the other two have the form $(r-1)(p^m + 1)$, where $s, r < p^m$ are powers of p .

As $|B_1| + |B_2| + |B_3| = p^{2m} - 1 = (p^m - 1)(p^m + 1)$, it follows that $p^m + 1$ divides $(s+1)(p^m - 1)$. Since $\gcd(p^m - 1, p^m + 1)$ divides 2, either $p = 2$ and $p^m + 1$ divides $s + 1$, or p is odd and $\frac{p^m + 1}{2}$ divides $s + 1$. Since $p^m > s$ it follows that p is odd and $\frac{p^m + 1}{2} = s + 1$. Thus $p^m + 1 = 2s + 2$, contradicting s being a power of p . \square

Lemma 5.2. *Under Assumptions I and II, if there exists an orbital graph, say Γ_1 such that $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)) \neq \text{soc}(G)$, then the following hold:*

- (1) G_0 stabilises a pair $\{V_1, V_2\}$ of subspaces of V , where $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m$;
- (2) Γ_1 is isomorphic to the Hamming graph $H(2, |V_1|)$ or its complement with $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \cong (\text{Sym}(V_1) \times \text{Sym}(V_2)) \rtimes S_2$;
- (3) For $i = 2$ and 3 , either $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is affine with socle $V = \text{soc}(G)$ or $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$.

Proof. Note that for each i , G and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ have a common orbital, that is, the set of edges of Γ_i . Since G is not 2-transitive, [24, Theorem 1] implies that if $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i))$ then $G < \text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega_0) \wr S_m$ with $\Omega = \Omega_0^m$. Moreover, as $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ has rank three it follows that $m = 2$ and the three orbitals of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ are the three orbitals of the rank three group $\text{Sym}(\Omega_0) \wr S_2$. Thus

Γ_i is either the Hamming graph $H(2, |\Omega_0|)$ or its complement, and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) = \text{Sym}(\Omega_0) \wr S_2$. This gives (2) and (3). Now $\text{AGL}_d(p) \cap (\text{Sym}(\Omega_0) \wr S_2) = C_p^d \rtimes (\text{GL}(d/2, p) \wr C_2)$, where $\text{GL}(d/2, p) \wr C_2$ is the stabiliser in $\text{GL}_d(p)$ of the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m = d/2$. Thus G_0 stabilises this decomposition and we have part (1). \square

6 G_0 is imprimitive

We begin with the following two lemmas

Lemma 6.1. *Under Assumptions I and II, suppose that G_0 stabilises a pair $\{V_1, V_2\}$ of subspaces of V , where $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m$. Then $(V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ is an orbit of G_0 on the non-zero vectors of V .*

Proof. Since G is primitive of rank 4, G_0 acts irreducibly on V , and so G_0 acts transitively on $\{V_1, V_2\}$. Let $(G_0)_{V_i}$ be the setwise stabiliser of V_i in G_0 for $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that $(G_0)_{V_1}$ is intransitive on $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(G_0)_{V_2}$ is also intransitive on $V_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Thus G_0 has at least 2 orbits on $(V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$. Since G_0 has exactly 3 orbits on $V \setminus \{0\}$, we conclude that G_0 has exactly 2 orbits on $(V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ and is transitive on $V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Let K be the kernel of G_0 acting on $\{V_1, V_2\}$. Then $K = (G_0)_{V_1}$ and $K \triangleleft G_0$ with $G_0/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, K is intransitive on both V_1 and V_2 . Let $u, v \in V_1$ be in different orbits of K and let $a, b \in V_2$ be in different orbits of K . Since K fixes V_1 and V_2 , the four vectors

$$u + a, u + b, v + a, v + b$$

are pairwise inequivalent under the action of K . This implies that K has at least 4 orbits on $V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$ and so G_0 has at least two orbits on $V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$, which is a contradiction.

Thus $(G_0)_{V_1}$ is transitive on $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and hence $(G_0)_{V_2}$ is also transitive on $V_2 \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that $(V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ is an orbit of G_0 . \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let $H \leq \text{GL}_{2m}(p)$ be such that H stabilises the pair $\{V_1, V_2\}$ of subspaces of V , where $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m$. Suppose that $(V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ is an orbit of H on the non-zero vectors of V . Then every orbit of H has length divisible by $|V_1| - 1$.*

Proof. Let K be the kernel of H acting on $\{V_1, V_2\}$ and note that K is transitive on $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $V_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Take a non-zero vector $v \in V_1$. It is clear that every orbit of K on $V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$ has the form $\{(v + x)^k \mid k \in K\}$ for some $x \in V_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$|\{(v + x)^k \mid k \in K\}| = |K : K_v \cap K_x| = |K : K_v| |K_v : K_v \cap K_x| = (|V_1| - 1) |K_v : K_v \cap K_x|.$$

This implies that every orbit of K on $V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$ has length divisible by $|V_1| - 1$. As $|H : K| = 2$, every orbit of H on $V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$ is either an orbit of K or is a union of two orbits of K of equal length. Thus the result follows. \square

For the remainder of this section, we shall make the following assumption:

Assumption III.

- Suppose that G_0 stabilises a pair $\{V_1, V_2\}$ of subspaces of V , where $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = m$.
- $B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$.
- $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)_0$ is not a subgroup of $\Gamma L_1(p^d)$ for any i .

We shall first consider the case where either Γ_2 or Γ_3 is isomorphic to Γ_1 .

Lemma 6.3. *Under Assumptions I, II and III, if either Γ_2 or Γ_3 is isomorphic to Γ_1 , then $G \cong \mathcal{G}(m)$ as given in Lemma 3.2.*

Proof. Recall the three orbits of G_0 are B_1, B_2 and B_3 with $B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ and $|B_2| + |B_3| = (p^m - 1)^2$. Assume that $|B_2| \leq |B_3|$. By Lemma 6.2, we have $p^m - 1$ divides $\gcd(|B_2|, |B_3|)$.

If $\Gamma_2 \cong \Gamma_3 \cong \Gamma_1$, then $|B_2| = |B_3| = 2(p^m - 1)$ and so $\frac{(p^m - 1)^2}{2} = 2(p^m - 1)$. It follows that $p^m - 1 = 4$, contrary to the assumption that $p^d = p^{2m} \geq 4096$. Consequently, we have $\Gamma_2 \not\cong \Gamma_3$. If $\Gamma_3 \cong \Gamma_1$, then we must have $|B_3| = 2(p^m - 1)$ and then $|B_2| = (p^m - 3)(p^m - 1)$. Since $|B_2| \leq |B_3|$, it follows that $p^m - 3 \leq 2$ and hence $p^m = 5$ or 4 . Again, this is contrary to our assumption $p^d = p^{2m} \geq 4096$. Thus $\Gamma_2 \cong \Gamma_1$ and so $|B_3| = (p^m - 3)(p^m - 1)$. Since $n \geq 4096$ we may assume that $p^m - 3 \geq 4$. Note that $|B_1|$ and $|B_2|$ are the valency of the Hamming graph $H(2, |V_1|)$ and so by comparing valencies, Γ_3 is not the complement of $H(2, |V_1|)$. Thus by Lemma 5.2, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)$ is affine. Hence $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)$ is one of the groups in Proposition 2.3. Clearly, $|B_3| = (p^m - 3)(p^m - 1) > 4(p^m - 1) = |B_1 \cup B_2|$ and $B_1 \cup B_2$ is an orbit of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$.

By Assumption III, we have that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0 \not\leq \Gamma\text{L}_1(p^{2m})$. As $p^{2m} \geq 4096$, we may assume that $(p, m) \neq (3, 2), (2, 3)$. Since $|B_1 \cup B_2| = 4(p^m - 1)$ and $|B_3| = (p^m - 3)(p^m - 1)$, we have only one possibility for the cases (A3)–(A11) in Table 1, namely that $p = 3$, $m \geq 2$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ is of type (A3)–(A5) in Table 1. Thus $V = X \otimes Y$ with $\dim(X) = 2$ and $\dim(Y) = m$, and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0 \leq \text{GL}_2(3) \circ \text{GL}_m(3) =: M$. Moreover, by [20, Lemma 1.1], the orbits of M are

$$\{x \otimes y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x_1 \otimes y_1 + x_2 \otimes y_2 \mid X = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \text{ and } \dim(\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle) = 2\},$$

and since $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)$ has rank 3, these must also be the orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$. Since $|B_1 \cup B_2| = 4(3^m - 1)$, we have

$$B_1 \cup B_2 = \{x \otimes y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Now $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that $x \otimes y, x' \otimes y' \in V_1$. Since $\dim(V_1) = m \geq 3$ we may choose y and y' such that $\dim\langle y, y' \rangle = 2$. Now $x \otimes y + x' \otimes y' \in V_1$. Then as V_1 only contains simple tensors it follows that $\dim\langle x, x' \rangle = 1$. Hence $V_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle \otimes Y$ and $V_2 = \langle x_2 \rangle \otimes Y$ where $X = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. Since G is 2-closed it follows that G_0 is the subgroup of M fixing $\{V_1, V_2\}$ and so $G_0 \cong D_8 \circ \text{GL}_m(3)$. Thus $G \cong \mathcal{G}(m)$. \square

Next we show that in the remaining cases we must have that both $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ are of type (A3)–(A5)

Proposition 6.4. *Under Assumptions I, II and III, if neither Γ_2 nor Γ_3 is isomorphic to Γ_1 then both $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ are of type (A3)–(A5) of Proposition 2.3.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.1 we may assume that $B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, the orbital graph Γ_1 is isomorphic to the Hamming graph $H(2, |V_1|)$, and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \cong (\text{Sym}(V_1) \times \text{Sym}(V_2)) \rtimes S_2$. Then $|B_2| + |B_3| = |V| - 1 - 2(p^m - 1) = (p^m - 1)^2$.

Suppose that neither Γ_2 nor Γ_3 are isomorphic to Γ_1 . Since they have valency less than $|V| - 1 - |B_1|$ they are not isomorphic to the complement of Γ_1 either. Thus Lemma 5.2 implies that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ is affine for $i = 2$ and 3 . Now $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)$ are point stabilisers of rank 3 affine groups with suborbits B_2 and B_3 respectively. By Assumption III, neither $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ nor $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ are contained in $\Gamma\text{L}_1(p^{2m})$. Moreover, neither are of type (A2) (as otherwise one of Γ_2 or Γ_3 would be isomorphic to Γ_1 or its complement.). Since $|B_2| + |B_3| < p^{2m} - 1$, it follows from Proposition 2.3, that $|B_2|$ and $|B_3|$ appear in different rows of Table 1, but not from the rows

corresponding to types (A1) or (A2). The subdegrees listed there are of one of the following forms:

$$(p^m - 1)(s - 1), (p^m + 1)(s - 1), (p^m - 1)(p^m - s), (p^m + 1)(p^m - s),$$

where $1 < s < p^m$ is a power of p . By Lemma 6.2, $p^m - 1$ divides $\gcd(|B_2|, |B_3|)$. Moreover, $\gcd(p^m - 1, p^m + 1)$ divides 2, with equality if and only if p is odd.

Suppose first that either $|B_2|$ or $|B_3|$ is of the form $(p^m + 1)(s - 1)$. Since $p^m - 1$ divides $\gcd(|B_2|, |B_3|)$ and noting that $1 < s < p^m$, we deduce that p is odd and $\frac{p^m - 1}{2} = s - 1$. Thus $p^m - 1 = 2s - 2$ and so $p^m - 2s = -1$, contradicting the fact that $s > 1$ is a power of p .

Next suppose that either $|B_2|$ or $|B_3|$ is of the form $(p^m + 1)(p^m - s)$. Then we deduce that p is odd and $\frac{p^m - 1}{2} = p^m - s$. Thus $p^m - 1 = 2(p^m - s)$, which contradicts the fact that $s > 1$ is a power of p .

Thus it remains to consider the following possibilities.

Case 1 $|B_2| = (s + 1)(p^m - 1)$ and $|B_3| = (r + 1)(p^m - 1)$, where s and r are powers of p , and $s, r < p^m$.

In this case, we have $(p^m - 1)^2 = |B_2| + |B_3| = (s + r + 2)(p^m - 1)$, and hence $p^m - 1 = s + r + 2$. It follows that $p^m = s + r + 3$. As s and r are two prime power of p and $s, r < p^m$, one has $s = r = 3$, and hence $p^m = 9$. Consequently, we have $p^{2m} = 81$, contrary to our assumption that $n \geq 4096$.

Case 2 $|B_2| = (p^m - 1)(p^m - s)$ and $|B_3| = (p^m - 1)(p^m - r)$ where s and r are powers of p , and $s, r < p^m$.

In this case we have $(p^m - 1)^2 = |B_2| + |B_3| = (p^m - 1)(2p^m - s - r)$. Thus $p^m - 1 = 2p^m - s - r$, contradicting s and r being powers of p with $r, s > 1$.

Case 3 $|B_2| = (s + 1)(p^m - 1)$ and $|B_3| = (p^m - r)(p^m - 1)$, where s and r are powers of p , and $s, r < p^m$.

In this case, we have $s + 1 + p^m - r = p^m - 1$, and then $s + 2 = r$. Since $s = 2$ and $n = p^{2m} \geq 4096$ it follows from the list of subdegrees in Table 1 that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ is of type (A3)–(A5). Since $r = 4$ we see that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ is not of types (A9) or (A10). Moreover, if $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ is of types (A6)–(A8), then in all these cases, the fact that $r = 4$ implies that $n < 4096$, a contradiction. Hence $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ is also of type (A3)–(A5). This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we show that $G \cong \mathcal{H}(m/2)$.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that Assumptions I, II and III hold. If $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ are both of type (A3)–(A5) of Proposition 2.3, then $G \cong \mathcal{H}(m/2)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $|B_2| \leq |B_3|$. Since $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ is of type (A3)–(A5) of Proposition 2.3 we have that $p^d = p^{2m} = q^{2\ell}$ for some power q of p and positive integer $\ell > 1$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ preserves a decomposition $V = U \otimes W$ where U and W are $\text{GF}(q)$ -spaces with dimension 2 and ℓ respectively. By Table 1, the sizes of the two orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ on V^* are:

$$(q + 1)(q^\ell - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad q(q^{\ell-1} - 1)(q^\ell - 1)$$

and by [20, Lemma 1.1], the corresponding $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)_0$ orbits are

$$\{u \otimes w \mid u \in U, w \in W\} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{u_1 \otimes v_1 + u_2 \otimes v_2 \mid U = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \text{ and } \dim(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) = 2\}.$$

As $p^d \geq 4096$, we have $(p, m) \neq (2, 2)$, and hence $(q + 1)(q^\ell - 1) \leq q(q^{\ell-1} - 1)(q^\ell - 1)$. Since $|B_2| \leq |B_3|$ it follows that $|B_2| = (q + 1)(q^\ell - 1)$. Hence $B_2 = \{u \otimes w \mid u \in U, w \in W\}$.

Next, since $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ is also of type (A3)–(A5) then $|B_3| = (r+1)(r^s-1)$ or $r(r^{s-1}-1)(r^s-1)$, where $r^s = p^m$. Note that $|B_2| + |B_3| = (p^m - 1)^2$. Suppose first that $|B_3| = (r+1)(r^s-1)$. Then $(p^m - 1)^2 = |B_2| + |B_3| = (q+r+2)(p^m - 1)$, and then $q+r+3 = p^m$. It follows that $q = r = 3$ and $(p, m) = (3, 2)$, which is impossible because $p^{2m} \geq 4096$. Thus $|B_3| = (r^s - r)(r^s - 1)$. Then $(p^m - 1)^2 = |B_2| + |B_3| = (q + p^m - r + 1)(p^m - 1)$, and then $q + 2 = r$. It follows that $(q, r) = (2, 4)$. Thus $4^s = 2^m = 2^\ell$ and so $m = \ell = 2s$. Then $|B_2| = 3(2^m - 1)$ and $|B_3| = (2^m - 4)(2^m - 1)$. Since $p^{2m} \geq 4096$, one has $m = 2s \geq 6$.

Now $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ stabilises a decomposition $V = X \otimes Y$, where X and Y are 2- and s -dimensional $\text{GF}(4)$ -subspaces of V , respectively. By [20, Lemma 1.1], the orbits of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ are

$$\{x \otimes y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x_1 \otimes y_1 + x_2 \otimes y_2 \mid X = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \text{ and } \dim(\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle) = 2\}.$$

Since $B_1 \cup B_2$ is an orbit of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ of size $5(2^m - 1)$, we have

$$B_1 \cup B_2 = \{x \otimes y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Moreover, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ is the stabiliser of the decomposition $X \otimes Y$ in $\Gamma L_m(4)$ and so $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0 = (\text{GL}_2(4) \circ \text{GL}(m/2, 4)).2$

Recall that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $B_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ where V_1 and V_2 are m -dimensional $\text{GF}(2)$ -subspaces of V . Take $x \otimes y, x' \otimes y' \in V_1$. Since $\dim(V_1) = m \geq 6$, we can choose y and y' such that $\langle y, y' \rangle$ is a 2-dimensional $\text{GF}(4)$ -subspace. Now $x \otimes y + x' \otimes y' \in V_1$. Then as V_1 only contains simple tensors, it follows that $\langle x, x' \rangle$ is a 1-dimensional $\text{GF}(4)$ -subspace. Hence, we have $V_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle \otimes Y$ for some $x_1 \in X$ and similarly, $V_2 = \langle x_2 \rangle \otimes Y$ where $X = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. Then

$$B_2 = (\langle x_1 + x_2 \rangle \otimes Y \cup \langle x_1 + \lambda x_2 \rangle \otimes Y \cup \langle x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2 \rangle \otimes Y) \setminus \{0\}$$

where λ is a generator of the multiplicative group of $\text{GF}(4)$. Hence G_0 is the stabiliser in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_3)_0$ of the partition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and so $G_0 = ((C_3 \wr C_2) \circ \text{GL}(m/2, 4)).2$. Thus $G \cong \mathcal{H}(m/2)$ as in Lemma 3.3. \square

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Lemmas 3.2, 3.6 and 3.7, the groups $\mathcal{G}(m)$, $\mathcal{H}(m)$ and the seven small groups in Theorem 1.1 (3) are 2-closed groups of rank 4 that are not the automorphism group of a digraph. Conversely, suppose that G is a 2-closed group of rank 4 of degree n that is not the automorphism group of a digraph such that $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(p^d)$ with $n = p^d$. If $n < 4096$ then Lemma 3.7 implies that G is given by the theorem. Suppose that $n > 4096$. By Lemma 4.1 we may assume that Assumption I holds. Proposition 4.4 then implies that G is affine with socle $V \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, and Lemma 4.5 then allows us to assume that Assumption II holds. Since G is not a subgroup of $\text{AGL}_1(p^d)$ we have that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(p^d)$ for any i and so by Lemma 5.1, $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i))$ for some i . Then Lemma 5.2 implies that Assumption III holds. If either Γ_2 or Γ_3 is isomorphic to Γ_1 , then Lemma 6.3 implies that $G = \mathcal{G}(m)$ where $p^d = p^{2m}$. On the other hand, if neither Γ_2 nor Γ_3 are isomorphic to Γ_1 , then Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 imply that $G \cong \mathcal{H}(m/2)$ where $p^d = p^{2m}$ and m is even.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11671030, 12071023, 12161141005), the 111 Project of China (B16002) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2022JBCG003). The first and second authors were supported

by Australian Research Council Discovery Project Grant DP190101024. They thank Beijing Jiaotong University for the generous hospitality during their respective visits, and thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the programme Groups, Representations and Applications: New Perspectives (supported by EPSRC grant no. EP/R014604/1), where further work on this paper was undertaken.

References

- [1] L. Babai, Finite digraphs with given regular automorphism groups, *Period. Math. Hungar.* 11 (1980) 257–270.
- [2] J. Bamberg, A. Devillers, J. A. Fawcett, C. E. Praeger, Partial linear spaces with a rank 3 affine group of automorphisms, *J. London Math. Soc.* 104 (2021) 1011–1084.
- [3] J. Bamberg, M. Giudici, M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, The classification of almost simple $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365 (2013) 4257–4311.
- [4] E. Bannai, Maximal subgroups of low rank of finite symmetric and alternating groups, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 18 (1972) 475–486.
- [5] N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [6] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 24 (1997) 235–265.
- [7] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1989.
- [8] P. J. Cameron, Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 13 (1981) 1–22.
- [9] H. J. Coutts, M. Quick, C.M. Roney-Dougal, The primitive permutation groups of degree less than 4096, *Comm. Algebra* 39 (2011) 3526–3546.
- [10] H. Cuypers, Low rank permutation representations of the finite groups of Lie type, Part 1 of: Geometries and permutation groups of small rank, *Doctoral thesis*, Rijksuniversiteit, Utrecht, 1989.
- [11] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, The primitive permutation groups of degree less than 1000, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 103 (1988) 213–238.
- [12] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996
- [13] R. H. Dye, Spreads and classes of maximal subgroups of $GL_n(q)$, $SL_n(q)$, $PGL_n(q)$ and $PSL_n(q)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 158 (1991) 33–50.
- [14] D. A. Foulser, Michael J. Kallagher, Solvable, flag-transitive, rank 3 collineation groups, *Geometriae Delicata* 7 (1978) 111–130.
- [15] C. D. Godsil, On the automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981) 243–256.

- [16] C. D. Godsil, GRR's for non-solvable groups, in: Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory, Vol. I and II (Szeged, 1978), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 25 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981), pp. 221–239.
- [17] C. D. Godsil, The automorphism group of some cubic Cayley graphs, European J. Combin. 4 (1983) 25–32.
- [18] B. Huppert, Zweifach transitive, auflösbare Permutationsgruppen, Math. Z. 68 (1957) 126–150.
- [19] W. M. Kantor, R. A. Liebler, The rank 3 permutation representations of the finite classical groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 271 (1982) 1–71.
- [20] M. W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, Proc. London Math. Soc. 54 (1987) 477–516.
- [21] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (432) 1990.
- [22] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, A classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite alternating and symmetric groups, J. Algebra 111 (1987) 365–383.
- [23] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 44 (1988) 389–396.
- [24] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, Primitive permutation groups with a common suborbit, and edge-transitive graphs, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 84 (2002) 405–438.
- [25] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, The classification of $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation groups and $\frac{1}{2}$ -transitive linear groups, arXiv: 1412.3912v1 [Math. GR] 12 Dec 2014.
- [26] M. W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, The finite primitive permutation groups of rank three, Bull. London Math. Soc. 18 (1986) 165–172.
- [27] M. Muzychuk, P. Spiga, Finite primitive groups of small rank: symmetric and sporadic groups, J. Algebr. Comb. 52 (2020) 103–136.
- [28] C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, Closures of finite primitive permutation groups, Bull. London Math. Soc. 24 (1992) 151–258.
- [29] C. E. Praeger, L. H. Soicher, Low Rank Representations and Graphs for Sporadic Groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [30] C. M. Roney-Dougal, W. R. Unger, The affine primitive permutation groups of degree less than 1000, J. Symb. Comput., 35 (2003) 421–439.
- [31] C. C. Sims, Graphs and finite permutation groups, Math. Zeitschr. 95 (1967) 76–86.
- [32] A. K. Vauhkonen, Finite primitive permutation groups of rank 4, Doctoral thesis, Imperial College London, 1993. (URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/10044/1/58543>)
- [33] H. Wielandt, Finite Permutation Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [34] J. Xu, Vertex-transitive tournaments of order a product of two distinct primes, J. Group Theory 13 (2010) 565–576.

- [35] J. Xu, Metacirculant tournaments whose order is a product of two distinct primes, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011) 571–576.
- [36] J. Xu, Digraph representations of 2-closed permutation groups, 2012 Shanghai Conference on Algebraic Combinatorics, 2012, <http://math.sjtu.edu.cn/Conference/SCAC/slides.html>.
- [37] J. Xu, Digraph representations of 2-closed permutation groups with a normal regular cyclic subgroup, *Electron. J. Combin.* 22(4) (2015) #P4.31
- [38] J. Xu, M. Giudici, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, Invariant relations and Aschbacher classes of finite linear groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 18 (1) (2011) #R225.
- [39] M.-Y. Xu, A note on permutation groups and their regular subgroups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 85 (2008) 283–287.