

AN UPDATE ON THE EXISTENCE OF KIRKMAN TRIPLE SYSTEMS WITH SUBDESIGNS

Peter J. Dukes and Esther R. Lamken

October 18, 2021

ABSTRACT. A Kirkman triple system of order v , $\text{KTS}(v)$, is a resolvable Steiner triple system on v elements. In this paper, we investigate an open problem posed by Doug Stinson, namely the existence of $\text{KTS}(v)$ which contain as a subdesign a Steiner triple system of order u , an $\text{STS}(u)$. We present several different constructions for designs of this form. As a consequence, we completely settle the extremal case $v = 2u + 1$, for which a list of possible exceptions had remained for close to 30 years. Our new constructions also provide the first infinite classes for the more general problem. We reduce the other maximal case $v = 2u + 3$ to (at present) three possible exceptions. In addition, we obtain results for other cases of the form $v = 2u + w$ and also near $v = 3u$. Our primary method introduces a new type of Kirkman frame which contains group divisible design subsystems. These subsystems can occur with different configurations, and we use two different varieties in our constructions.

1. Introduction

A *Steiner triple system* is a pair (V, \mathcal{B}) , where V is a finite set of points, $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{V}{3}$ is a set of 3-element subsets of V called *blocks*, and such that any two distinct points appear together in exactly one block. The abbreviation $\text{STS}(v)$ is used to denote a Steiner triple system as above, where $v = |V|$ is its *order*. It is easy to see from basic counting that $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ is a necessary condition for the existence of $\text{STS}(v)$. Conversely, it is known [13] that $\text{STS}(v)$ exist for all such orders $v \geq 1$. Steiner triple systems represent the first nontrivial case for block designs.

A *subdesign* in a Steiner triple system (V, \mathcal{B}) is a pair (U, \mathcal{A}) where $U \subseteq V$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, and (U, \mathcal{A}) is itself a Steiner triple system. From the Doyen-Wilson theorem, [9], there exists an $\text{STS}(v)$ containing an $\text{STS}(u)$ as a subdesign for $v > u$ if and only if u and v are both admissible orders for Steiner triple systems and $v \geq 2u + 1$. The inequality is necessary because an element in $V \setminus U$ occurs in blocks with every other point in pairs, but with at most one point of U at a time.

A combinatorial design \mathcal{D} is *resolvable* if the blocks of \mathcal{D} can be partitioned into parallel classes such that each element of \mathcal{D} is contained in precisely one block of each class. In more detail, for a resolvable Steiner triple system of order v , we have $|\mathcal{B}| = v(v-1)/6$ blocks resolving into $(v-1)/2$ parallel classes, each of size $v/3$. The term *Kirkman triple system*, with abbreviation $\text{KTS}(v)$, is generally used for a resolvable Steiner triple system. Indeed, the famous Kirkman Schoolgirl problem, posed in 1850 [14], requests the construction of a $\text{KTS}(15)$. In Figure 1, we display Kirkman's solution to the Kirkman Schoolgirl Problem, [1, Example 22.1.3]. It was constructed from what Kirkman called a 'curious arrangement' which is now known as a Room square of side 8. Note that this design contains as a subdesign an $\text{STS}(7)$ defined on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. This is the first nontrivial example of a Kirkman triple system which contains as a subdesign a Steiner triple system.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05B07 (primary); 05B05, 05B10 (secondary).

123	hi4	kl5	mn6	op7
147	il2	mo3	np5	hk6
156	no2	hl3	mp4	ik7
267	lp1	in3	ko4	hm5
245	im1	kp3	lo6	hn7
357	ho1	km2	ln4	ip6
346	kn1	hp2	io5	lm7

FIGURE 1. Kirkman’s KTS(15), with a subdesign on $\{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$

In this paper, we explore the existence question for KTS(v) containing STS(u) as subdesigns. This is listed as open problem #4 in Doug Stinson’s survey [21] on Kirkman triple systems. A further discussion of the problem and its present status appears in [5, Section 19.7]. In what follows, we assume $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $u \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ and $v > u$. In a KTS(v), a ‘Kirkman subsystem’ or sub-KTS(u) is a subdesign which is resolvable in such a way that parallel classes of the KTS(u) are restrictions of parallel classes of the KTS(v). We do not assume such extra structure for subdesigns here. Nonetheless, it is known [19, 21] that a KTS(v) with a sub-KTS(u) exists if and only if $u, v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 3u$. This provides a partial existence result for our problem.

In [17], Mullin and Vanstone consider the case $v = 2u + 1$, that is a KTS(v) containing a maximum subdesign STS(u). They generalized Kirkman’s original construction and used Room square starters to provide a direct construction for KTS($2u + 1$) with maximum subdesigns, STS(u). They also established the PBD-closure of such designs. Mullin, Stinson and Vanstone consider this case in greater detail in [16], introducing the notation MK(v) for a KTS(v) containing a maximum subdesign STS(u) for $v = 2u + 1$. They showed using a finite-field construction, PBD-closure and other recursive constructions that an MK(v) exists for all but 19 possible values of v . Stinson’s survey [21, Theorem 4.2], reported the set of possible exceptions for MK($2u + 1$) as having been reduced to

$$\mathcal{E} = \{115, 145, 205, 265, 355, 415, 649, 655, 697, 1243\}.$$

Here, we update the problem by eliminating these remaining exceptions. To take care of the cases not covered by PBD-closure, we introduce the idea of Kirkman frames which contain as subdesigns group divisible designs with block size 3.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists an MK($2u + 1$) for all $u \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.*

This result provides KTS(v) with maximum STS subdesigns for $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$. Similar methods can be used for the case $v \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$. In this case, the largest possible Steiner triple system subdesign is an STS(u) where $v = 2u + 3$.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a KTS($2u + 3$) which contains as a subdesign an STS(u) for $u \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $u \geq 3$ except possibly for $u = 3x$ for $x \in \{83, 107, 179\}$.*

In the next section, we describe preliminary results for group divisible designs and frames, and introduce the idea of frames which contain group divisible designs as subdesigns. We are primarily interested in the case when such subdesigns are maximal. The starter construction described in [17] can be generalized to provide a cyclic construction for Kirkman frames with 3-GDD subdesigns. Section 3 collects existence results for frames with maximum subdesigns. New direct constructions combined with PBD-closure and basic recursive constructions for frames are the main techniques used in this section. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are given in Section 4. Then, in Section 5, we obtain some preliminary results on the existence problem for Kirkman triple systems with subdesigns

which are not necessarily maximal. We conclude with some remarks on techniques which may be useful in future constructions and on computational challenges for completing the spectrum.

2. Preliminary results

2.1. GDDs and frames. Let T denote an integer partition of v . A *group divisible design* of type T with block sizes in K , denoted by $\text{GDD}(v, K)$ of type T or as a K -GDD of type T , is a triple (V, Π, \mathcal{B}) such that

- V is a set of v points;
- $\Pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_u\}$ is a partition of V into *groups* so that $T = (|V_1|, \dots, |V_u|)$;
- $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \cup_{k \in K} \binom{V}{k}$ is a set of blocks meeting each group in at most one point; and
- any two points from different groups appear together in exactly one block.

It is convenient to use exponential notation for the type of a GDD. That is, we say that a GDD has type $g_1^{u_1} g_2^{u_2} \dots g_t^{u_t}$ if there are u_i groups of size g_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. A GDD with a single block size k is often denoted simply as a k -GDD instead of $\{k\}$ -GDD.

Two special types of GDDs will be used in our recursive constructions. A *pairwise balanced block design* or PBD, denoted by $\text{PBD}(v, K)$, is a $\text{GDD}(v, K)$ of type 1^v . A GDD is *uniform* if all groups have the same type. A *transversal design* $\text{TD}(k, n)$ is a uniform GDD which has block size k and precisely k groups of size n , a k -GDD of type n^k . Note that the blocks in this case are transversals of the partition. It is well known that a $\text{TD}(k, n)$ is equivalent to a set of $k - 2$ mutually orthogonal latin squares (MOLS) of order n . A resolvable $\text{TD}(k, n)$ is denoted by $\text{RTD}(k, n)$ and is equivalent to a $\text{TD}(k + 1, n)$. We refer the reader to [4] for results on the existence of transversal designs.

A K -frame of type T is a K -GDD of type T whose block set can be partitioned into partial resolution classes such that each class is a partition of $V \setminus V_i$ for some $V_i \in \Pi$. The same notation that we used for GDDs is used for frames. So a frame with a single block size k is called a k -frame. In particular, 3-frames can be used to construct Kirkman triple systems and for this reason are often called Kirkman frames. In what follows, we restrict our attention to the case of block size three. We recall here that necessary and sufficient conditions are known for both 3-GDDs and Kirkman frames.

Theorem 2.1 ([11]). *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a 3-GDD of type g^u are $u \geq 3$, $g(u - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and $u(u - 1)g^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.*

Theorem 2.2 ([22]). *There exists a Kirkman frame of type t^u if and only if t is even, $u \geq 4$, and $t(u - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

We are interested in Kirkman frames which contain 3-GDDs as subdesigns. Suppose \mathcal{F} is a uniform Kirkman frame of type h^u defined on a set V with groups V_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, u$ and block set \mathcal{B} . Let \mathcal{D} be a 3-GDD of type g^u defined on a set W with groups W_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, u$ and block set \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of \mathcal{F} if $W_i \subseteq V_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, u$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. We call \mathcal{F} a Kirkman frame of type $(g; h)^u$ to emphasize the subdesign. Note that \mathcal{D} is a maximum subdesign of \mathcal{F} if $h = 2g$. The argument is similar to that in Section 1, considering an element outside the subdesign, and further details in the more general case of IGDDs can be found in [10].

There is a close connection between Kirkman triple systems with maximum subdesigns and Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns. Suppose there exists an $\text{MK}(2u + 1)$ defined on a set V

with the subdesign defined on V' , $|V'| = u$. If we delete an element $x \in V \setminus V'$, the resulting design is a Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^u$. It is easy to see that adjoining a new element to a Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^u$ will give us an $MK(2u+1)$. This provides the first examples of Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns.

Proposition 2.3. *An $MK(2u+1)$ is equivalent to a Kirkman frame of type 2^u which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 1^u .*

Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson [18, Theorem 6] describe a finite field construction for Kirkman triple systems, and the construction inherently produces a maximal sub-STS. (This construction is also described in [21].)

Lemma 2.4 ([18]). *For all prime powers $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, there exists an $MK(2q+1)$ or a Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^q$.*

2.2. Starters and cyclic designs. In [17], Mullin and Vanstone describe an algebraic construction for $MK(2u+1)$ which uses the Mullin-Nemeth strong starter for Room squares. (See [4, 6] for surveys on Room squares.) The underlying idea is to adjoin u new elements to the pairs in a Room square of side u to form triples and then complete the design by including the blocks of a Steiner triple system on the set of u new elements. This idea can be extended to construct both Kirkman triple systems and Kirkman frames having maximum subdesigns.

Let G be an additive abelian group of order g , and let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of order h , where $g-h$ is even. A *frame starter* in $G \setminus H$ is a set of unordered pairs $S = \{\{s_i, t_i\} : 1 \leq i \leq (g-h)/2\}$ such that

- (1) $\{s_i : 1 \leq i \leq (g-h)/2\} \cup \{t_i : 1 \leq i \leq (g-h)/2\} = G \setminus H$.
- (2) $\{\pm(s_i - t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq (g-h)/2\} = G \setminus H$

If S has the additional property that $s_i + t_i = s_j + t_j$ implies $i = j$ and $s_i + t_i \notin H$ for all i , then S is called a *strong starter*.

An *adder* for S is an injective mapping $A : S \rightarrow G \setminus H$ such that $\{s_i + a_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/2\} \cup \{t_i + a_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/2\} = G \setminus H$ where $A(s_i, t_i) = a_i$. A strong starter has the property that $A = \{-(s_i + t_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/2\}$. A frame starter in $G \setminus H$ and a corresponding adder generate a uniform Room frame of type $h^{g/h}$. Note that when $H = \{0\}$ and $|H| = h = 1$, a frame starter is known as a starter. Starters and adders can be used [6] to construct Room squares, or equivalently Room frames of type 1^n .

Let $V = G \times \{0, 1\}$. A starter for a Kirkman frame of type $(h; 2h)^{(g/h)}$ in $(G \setminus H) \times \{0, 1\}$ is a set of triples, $S_1 = \{\{(x_i, 1), (s_i, 0), (t_i, 0)\} : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/2\} \cup T$, with the following properties:

- (1) $S = \{\{(s_i, 0), (t_i, 0)\} : 1 \leq i \leq (g-h)/2\}$ is a frame starter in $(G \setminus H) \times \{0\}$.
- (2) Every element of $G \setminus H$ occurs precisely once in the set $\{s_i - x_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/2\} \cup \{t_i - x_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/2\}$.
- (3) $T = \{T_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, (g-h)/6\}$ is a set of base blocks in $(G \setminus H) \times \{1\}$ for a 3-GDD of type $h^{g/h}$.
- (4) Every element of $(G \setminus H) \times \{0, 1\}$ occurs precisely once in S_1 .

After developing under the group G , the starter S_1 generates a Kirkman frame of type $(2h)^{(g/h)}$ which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type $h^{(g/h)}$ on V . Note that in the case that the underlying frame starter S is a strong starter, we have $x_i = -a_i = s_i + t_i$.

The following example provides a simple illustration of this construction.

Example 2.5. The set $S = \{\{4, 6\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ is a strong starter over \mathbb{Z}_7 , and therefore a corresponding adder is $A = \{4, 1, 2\}$. The resulting Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^7$ defined on $\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \{0, 1\}$ is generated by

$$S_1 = \{\{3_1, 4_0, 6_0\}, \{6_1, 1_0, 5_0\}, \{5_1, 2_0, 3_0\}, \{1_1, 2_1, 4_1\}\},$$

where for convenience we abbreviate (y, i) by y_i for $y \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ and $i = 0, 1$.

2.3. Howell designs. Howell designs [6] can also be used to construct Kirkman triple systems with subdesigns. This construction produces designs with STS and KTS subdesigns which are disjoint. It is our first direct construction where the STS subdesign is not necessarily maximal. Our method is similar to that used for Room squares; we adjoin a new set of u elements to a Howell design of side u along the rows (or columns) and then use the blocks of an STS(u) on the new set of elements to form resolution classes. Since the Howell design is missing pairs, an additional step is needed to form triples to cover these ‘missing’ pairs and combine these with blocks of the STS(u) to form additional resolution classes.

In particular, suppose $u \equiv w \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. We describe a construction for a KTS($2u + w$) defined on a set $U \times \{0, 1\} \cup W$ where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$. Let $A = U \times \{0\}$ and $B = U \times \{1\}$. The first step is to construct an H($u, u + w$) on $A \cup W$ with the properties that it is missing all pairs in W and all pairs in $\frac{w-1}{2}$ parallel classes of triples on A . Next, adjoin B as a set of u new elements along the rows (or columns) to form triples. Each column (respectively row) will be missing $\frac{u-w}{2}$ elements from B ; these are used to construct $\frac{u-w}{6}$ triples from an STS(u) defined on B . A count of pairs and triples tells us that we have the right number of triples remaining to construct $\frac{w-1}{2}$ parallel classes on A and $\frac{w-1}{2}$ parallel classes on B . These are combined with a KTS(w) defined on W to provide $\frac{w-1}{2}$ additional classes. The resulting design will be a KTS($2u + w$) which contains as disjoint subdesigns an STS(u) defined on B and a KTS(w) defined on W .

We give two examples to illustrate this method. These examples will be used later in recursive constructions.

Example 2.6. A KTS(21) with a sub-STS(9) can be constructed by adjoining 9 new elements, $0_0, 0_1, 0_2, 1_0, 1_1, 1_2, 3_0, 3_1, 3_2$, to the nonempty cells of the rows of an H(9, 12) as indicated in Figure 2. The triples in the last row complete the nine resolution classes given by the columns. The last resolution class consists of blocks of the form $\{i_0, i_1, i_2\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$. The resolution classes of this design are listed explicitly in the Appendix. In Lemma 4.6, we describe an alternative way of viewing this interesting design.

Example 2.7. A KTS(75) which contains a sub-STS(33) and a disjoint sub-KTS(9) can be constructed from an H(33, 42) and a cyclically-generated STS(33) with short orbit blocks for difference 11. Here, the ‘extra’ 4 resolution classes are constructed from the short orbit and a carefully chosen full orbit which partitions into 3 parallel classes of the STS(33).

REMARK. The first of these is simple enough to produce by hand; however, the latter is found with a relatively fast hill climbing algorithm. For our results to follow, Examples 2.6 and 2.7 are sufficient.

0_0	$4_2 5_1$		$6_0 2_0$	$4_1 5_2$		$4_0 5_0$		$6_2 2_1$	$6_1 2_2$
0_1		$4_1 5_1$	$4_2 5_0$	$6_0 2_2$	$6_1 2_1$		$6_2 2_0$		$4_0 5_2$
0_2	$6_2 2_2$	$6_0 2_1$			$4_0 5_1$	$6_1 2_0$	$4_1 5_0$	$4_2 5_2$	
1_0		$2_0 4_0$	$2_2 4_1$	$2_1 4_2$		$5_1 6_2$	$5_2 6_1$	$5_0 6_0$	
1_1	$2_1 4_1$	$5_0 6_2$		$5_1 6_1$	$5_2 6_0$			$2_2 4_0$	$2_0 4_2$
1_2	$5_0 6_1$		$5_2 6_2$		$2_0 4_1$	$2_2 4_2$	$2_1 4_0$		$5_1 6_0$
3_0	$4_0 6_0$	$4_2 6_1$		$2_0 5_0$		$2_1 5_2$	$2_2 5_1$		$4_1 6_2$
3_1	$2_0 5_2$		$2_1 5_1$	$4_0 6_2$	$2_2 5_0$		$4_2 6_0$	$4_1 6_1$	
3_2		$2_2 5_2$	$4_0 6_1$		$4_2 6_2$	$4_1 6_0$		$2_0 5_1$	$2_1 5_0$
	$0_1 1_0 3_2$	$0_0 1_2 3_1$	$0_2 1_1 3_0$	$0_2 1_2 3_2$	$0_0 1_0 3_0$	$0_1 1_1 3_1$	$0_0 1_1 3_2$	$0_1 1_2 3_0$	$0_2 1_0 3_1$

FIGURE 2. A Howell design $H(9, 12)$ equivalent to a $KTS(21)$ with sub- $STS(9)$

But we remark that larger examples of a similar structure can be found with more computing resources. In general, hill climbing algorithms are known to be efficient methods for constructing strong starters, [7], Steiner triple systems, [20], and Howell designs, [8]. Hill climbing is the basis for most of our direct computer constructions in the Appendix.

3. Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns

In this section, we collect constructions and existence results for Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns. First, we observe that the direct product construction for frames [22] can be applied to inflate the size of a frame and its subdesign.

Proposition 3.1. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^n$ and a resolvable $TD(3, m)$, then there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(gm; 2gm)^n$.*

Two other well known recursive constructions for frames, ‘filling in the holes’ and ‘pulling out a group’, can also be used to construct Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDDs, [22]. We will use the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(gm; 2gm)^n$ and a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^{m+1}$, then there is a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^{mn+1}$.*

It is well known that Wilson’s Fundamental Construction (WFC) can be used to construct Kirkman frames, [22]. We apply [15, Construction 4.4] to show that applying WFC with Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns as ingredients will result in a Kirkman frame with a maximum 3-GDD subdesign.

Theorem 3.3. *If there exists a $PBD(v, K)$ such that for every $k \in K$ there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^k$, then there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^v$.*

PROOF. We briefly sketch the proof to indicate the placement of the 3-GDD subdesign. Suppose (X, \mathcal{B}) is a $PBD(v, K)$ where $|X| = v$. We construct a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^v$ defined on $X \times (\{1, 2, \dots, g\} \cup \{1', 2', \dots, g'\})$ as follows. Let $B = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ be a block of the PBD. Replace each block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with a Kirkman frame of type $(g; 2g)^k$ defined on the set $B \times (\{1, 2, \dots, g\} \cup \{1', 2', \dots, g'\})$ where the holes (groups) of the frame are $a_i \times (\{1, 2, \dots, g\} \cup \{1', 2', \dots, g'\})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and the groups of the 3-GDD are $a_i \times \{1', 2', \dots, g'\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Note that the resulting 3-GDD of type g^v has groups $x \times \{1', 2', \dots, g'\}$ for $x \in X$. \square

Theorem 3.3 shows that Kirkman frames of type $(g; 2g)^n$ are PBD-closed on the number of groups, n . Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$ can be used to construct KTS with maximum STS subdesigns. A necessary condition for the existence of these frames is $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We construct Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$ for $n \geq 5$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ with a small number of possible exceptions for n using PBD-closure for the set $\{5, 7, 9\}$ and direct constructions for small values. We start with the current PBD-closure result.

Theorem 3.4 (see IV.3.23 in [4]). *There exists a PBD($v, \{5, 7, 9\}$) for $v \geq 5$, $v \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ with the definite exceptions $v \in \{11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 27, 29, 31, 33, 39\}$ and the possible exceptions $v \in \{43, 51, 59, 71, 75, 83, 87, 95, 99, 107, 111, 113, 115, 119, 139, 179\}$.*

Lemma 3.5. *There exist Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$ for each $n \in \{5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 27, 29, 31, 33, 39, 43, 51, 59, 71, 75, 95, 99, 113, 115, 119\}$.*

PROOF. If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ is a prime power, then by Lemma 2.4 there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^n$. Expand using a 3-RGDD of type 3^3 to get a Kirkman frame of type $(3; 6)^n$ (Proposition 3.1). This construction takes care of $n \in \{7, 13, 19, 31, 43\}$. For $n \in \{5, 9, 11, 15, 17, 23, 27, 29, 33, 39, 51, 59\}$, we use Kirkman frame starters of type $(3; 6)^n$. The starters are listed in the Appendix.

Proposition 3.2 is applied to take care of two cases. For $n = 71$, start with a Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^7$ and expand using an RTD(3, 30) to get a Kirkman frame of type $(30; 60)^7$. Next apply Proposition 3.2 with $g = 3$, $m = 10$ and a Kirkman frame of type $(3; 6)^{11}$ to construct a Kirkman frame of type $(3; 6)^{71}$. For $n = 113$, start with a Kirkman frame of type $(3; 6)^7$ and expand using an RTD(3, 16) to get a Kirkman frame of type $(48; 96)^7$. Apply Proposition 3.2 with $m = 16$ and using a Kirkman frame of type $(3; 6)^{17}$.

The remaining five cases are done using PBDs with larger block sizes. There exist PBD(v, K) for the following (v, K) parameter sets: $(75, \{5, 15\})$, $(95, \{5, 19\})$, $(99, \{9, 11\})$, $(115, \{5, 23\})$, $(119, \{7, 17\})$. (In each case, the PBD is constructed from a TD(k, m) where $K = \{k, m\}$.) We apply Theorem 3.3 with $g = 3$ to construct Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$ for $n \in \{75, 95, 99, 115, 119\}$. \square

Combining Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 results in the following existence result for Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$.

Theorem 3.6. *There exists a Kirkman frame of type 6^n which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 3^n for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for $n \geq 5$ except possibly for $n \in \mathcal{E}_2 = \{83, 87, 107, 111, 139, 179\}$.*

It is also useful to have some small Kirkman frames of other types.

Lemma 3.7. *There exist Kirkman frames for the following types:*

- $(2; 4)^7$
- $(6; 12)^n$ for $n = 5, 7, 8, 9$
- $(12; 24)^n$ for $n = 4, 5, 7, 8, 9$.

PROOF. Kirkman frames of types $(2; 4)^7$, $(6; 12)^n$ for $n = 5, 8, 9$, $(12; 24)^4$, and $(12; 24)^8$ are constructed directly using Kirkman frame starters; these starters are listed in the Appendix. A Kirkman frame of type $(6; 12)^7$ is constructed using Proposition 3.1 and the Kirkman frame of type $(2; 4)^7$ with $m = 3$. Kirkman frames of types $(12; 24)^n$ for $n = 5, 7, 9$ are constructed using the Kirkman frame direct product, Proposition 3.1, using Kirkman frames of types $(3; 6)^n$ with $m = 4$. \square

These small cases are enough to allow us to use PBD-closure to construct Kirkman frames of types $(6; 12)^n$ and $(12; 24)^n$ for all but a small number of exceptions.

Theorem 3.8. *There exists a Kirkman frame of type 24^n which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 12^n for n a positive integer, $n \geq 4$ except possibly for $n \in \{6, 10, 12, 14, 18, 26, 30\}$.*

PROOF. Using the PBD-closure for the set $\{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$, [4, IV.3.23], there exist Kirkman frames of type $(12; 24)^n$ for $n \geq 4$ and $n \notin \mathcal{E}_3 = \{6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27, 30, 51\}$. Applying the frame direct product, Proposition 3.1, with Kirkman frames of types $(3; 6)^n$ with $n = 11, 15, 19, 23, 27, 51$ and $m = 4$ takes care of the remaining odd values of $n \in \mathcal{E}_3$. \square

PBD-closure for the set $\{5, 7, 8, 9\}$, [4, IV.3.23] also gives us the following result. We can use Theorem 3.3 with $g = 2$ and $k = 7$ to take care of one of the exceptions, namely $n = 43$.

Theorem 3.9. *There exists a Kirkman frame of type 12^n which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 6^n for all integers $n \geq 4$, except possibly for*

$$n \in \{4, 6, 10, \dots, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, \dots, 34, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46, 51, 52, 60, 94, 95, 96, 98, 99, 100, 102, 104, 106, 107, 108, 110, 111, 116, 138, 140, 142, 146, 150, 154, 156, 158, 162, 166, 170, 172, 174, 206\}.$$

REMARK. Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 are sufficient for our results to follow. It is likely that direct constructions for some of the smaller designs could be used to reduce the number of possible exceptions for these results. For example, if a Kirkman frame with a sub-GDD of type $(6, 12)^4$ were available, this would reduce the list of possible exceptions for Theorem 3.9 to just 13 cases, \mathcal{E}_3 .

4. Maximum subdesigns

In this section, we treat the case of maximal subdesigns in Kirkman triple systems, proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We consider each case in turn.

4.1. Completing the spectrum for $\text{MK}(v)$. Here, we let $u \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $v = 2u + 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$. Let $\text{RMK} = \{u : \text{there exists an MK}(2u + 1)\}$. It is known [16, 17] that RMK is PBD-closed; that is, if there exists a $\text{PBD}(v, K)$ such that for every $k \in K$ there exists an $\text{MK}(2k + 1)$, then there exists an $\text{MK}(2v + 1)$. The main construction in [16] uses Lemma 2.4, the existence of $\text{MK}(2q + 1)$ for q a prime power congruent to 1 $\pmod{6}$, together with PBD-closure of the set of such integers.

We begin with an updated PBD-closure result for prime powers congruent to 1 $\pmod{6}$. Note that this immediately settles two values listed as exceptions in [21], namely $655, 1243 \in \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem 4.1 ([4, IV.3.23]). *Let $Q_{1(6)} := \{q : q \text{ is a prime power, } q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}\}$. There exists a $\text{PBD}(v, Q_{1(6)})$ for all $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, except for $v = 55$ and possibly $v \in \mathcal{E}_1$ where*

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{115, 145, 205, 235, 265, 319, 355, 391, 415, 445, 451, 493, 649, 667, 685, 697, 745, 781, 799, 805, 1315\}.$$

We use Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns to construct most of the remaining cases. The first construction is a direct product construction combined with ‘filling in the holes’. For completeness, a brief proof is included to indicate how the 3-GDD subdesign is constructed.

Theorem 4.2. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(2g)^n$ which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type g^n , a resolvable $TD(3, m)$, and an $MK(2gm + 3)$, then there exists an $MK(2gmn + 3)$.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{F} be a Kirkman frame of type $2g^n$ defined on $V = \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$ where $V_i = X_i \cup Y_i$, $|X_i| = |Y_i| = g$ and such that the 3-GDD of type g^n has groups Y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Expand using an $RTD(3, m)$ to get a Kirkman frame of type $(2gm)^n$ which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type $(gm)^n$. Note that the groups of this frame are $V_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and the groups of the GDD are $Y_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let M_i denote an $MK(2gm + 3)$ defined on $V_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup Z$, where $Z = \{\alpha, \beta, \infty\}$ and where an $STS(gm + 1)$ subdesign exists on $Y_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup \{\infty\}$. The design M_i has $gm + 1$ parallel classes; let them be denoted by R_i^j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, gm + 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose R_i^{gm+1} contains the block Z for each i . Fill in each frame hole with the resolution classes R_i^j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, gm$. This gives us gmn resolution classes. Another class is given by $\cup_{i=1}^n (R_i^{gm+1} \setminus \{Z\}) \cup \{Z\}$. The subdesigns $STS(gm + 1)$ fit in the groups of the 3-GDD of type $(gm)^n$ to construct an $STS(gmn + 1)$ subdesign. \square

REMARK. Note that this $KTS(2gmn + 3)$ also contains as subdesigns $KTS(2gm + 3)$ and $STS(gm + 1)$.

Kirkman frames of types $(6; 12)^n$ and $(12; 24)^n$ can be used directly to construct Kirkman triple systems with maximum subdesigns.

Lemma 4.3. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(6; 12)^n$, then there is a $KTS(12n + 3)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(6n + 1)$.*

PROOF. Suppose there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(6; 12)^n$ defined on $\cup_{i=1}^n W_i$ where $W_i = X_i \cup Y_i$ and $|X_i| = |Y_i| = 6$. The groups of the frame are W_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The 3-GDD is defined on $\cup_{i=1}^n Y_i$, with groups Y_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Put $Z = \{\alpha, \beta, \infty\}$ as a set of new points.

We fill in the holes of the frame with copies of a $KTS(15)$ defined on each $W_i \cup Z$, where Z is a block of each. Let R'_i denote the class of the corresponding $KTS(15)$ which contains the block Z . Put $R' = \cup_{i=1}^n (R'_i \setminus \{Z\}) \cup \{Z\}$. The remaining six parallel classes of the KTS are used to complete the $6n$ frame classes. This gives us $6n + 1$ classes altogether, and thus a $KTS(12n + 3)$.

The 3-GDD of type 6^n has groups defined on Y_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Each of these groups gets filled in with an $STS(7)$ defined on $Y_i \cup \{\infty\}$ since each $KTS(15)$ contains such a subdesign. This results in an $STS(6n + 1)$ which intersects each $KTS(15)$ in an $STS(7)$. \square

The construction is similar for Kirkman frames of type $(12; 24)^n$. In this case, we fill in the holes of the frames using a $KTS(27)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(13)$.

Lemma 4.4. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(12; 24)^n$, then there exists a $KTS(24n + 3)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(12n + 1)$.*

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1 and settle the remaining cases. As in [16], we use PBD-closure for our main construction. This leaves us with the values in \mathcal{E}_1 from the updated PBD-closure result, Theorem 4.1. The case $u = 55$ was added without proof as an addendum in [16]. We include a recursive construction for this case.

Lemma 4.5. *There exists an $MK(2u + 1)$ for $u \in \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \{55\}$.*

PROOF. For $u \in \{55, 319, 355, 391, 415, 445, 451, 493, 745, 481, 799, 805, 1315\}$, we apply Lemma 4.3 using $n = 9, 53, 59, 65, 69, 74, 75, 82, 124, 130, 133, 134$, and 219 (respectively). Theorem 3.9 provides the existence of the required $(6; 12)^n$ Kirkman frames. For $u \in \{205, 265, 649, 697\}$, we apply Lemma 4.4 using Kirkman frames of type $(12; 24)^n$ for $n = 17, 22, 54$, and 58, which come from Theorem 3.8.

There are three special cases: $u = 115, 145, 235$. The case $u = 115$ is constructed directly using a strong starter in \mathbb{Z}_u and cyclic $STS(u)$ on \mathbb{Z}_u . A strong starter used to construct this Kirkman frame of type $(1; 2)^{115}$ is listed in the Appendix. For $u = 145$, we apply Theorem 4.2 with $g = 12$, $n = 4$, $m = 3$, and an MK(75) from Lemma 2.4. Theorem 4.2 is also used for $u = 235$ with $g = 1$, $n = 13$, $m = 18$, and an MK(39) from Lemma 2.4. \square

REMARK. In [16], an indirect product construction was used to fill in the gaps left by PBD closure. The applications are given in several tables. Instead of just filling in the remaining values of \mathcal{E} , we show how to use Kirkman frames with maximum 3-GDD subdesigns and a single computer-generated construction to take care of the values in \mathcal{E}_1 . Note that a complete existence result for Kirkman frames of type $(6; 12)^n$ would also settle the existence of MK($12n + 3$); see Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 4.3.

4.2. **The case $v = 2u + 3$.** Here, we construct $KTS(v)$ with maximum $STS(u)$ subdesigns in the congruence class $v \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$. In this case, $v = 2u + 3$ where $u \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. We first note the existence of the two smallest designs.

Lemma 4.6. *There exists an $KTS(2u + 3)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(u)$ for $u = 3$ and $u = 9$.*

PROOF. For $u = 3$, there exists a $KTS(9)$ and the subdesign is a single block of size 3.

For $u = 9$, Example 2.6 produces the required $KTS(21)$ by adjoining elements to an $H(9, 12)$ Howell design. See also Figure 2. We offer here an alternate viewpoint for this construction. Begin with a Fano plane, expand each block by an $RTD(3, 3)$. It turns out that the set of blocks so produced can be resolved; see the Appendix for an explicit resolution. Notice that the first 9 classes listed can be decomposed into 7 disjoint $RTD(3, 3)$ s, where each of these classes contains one block from each $RTD(3, 3)$. The last parallel class comes from the groups of these TDs. A similar construction appears in [12] and this design is also among those listed in the compilation [3] of $KTS(21)$ with nontrivial automorphism group. \square

Our first recursive construction is an easy application of Wilson's Fundamental Construction, [23].

Proposition 4.7. *If there exists a $KTS(v)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(u)$, then there exists a $KTS(3v)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(3u)$.*

PROOF. Apply Wilson's Fundamental Construction, giving weight 3 and replacing each block of the KTS with an $RTD(3, 3)$. Parallel classes of the resulting design are induced from those of the $KTS(v)$ and $RTDs$. \square

Note that when $v = 2u + 1$, the resulting design is a $KTS(6u + 3)$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(3u)$.

The key recursive construction for this case uses Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$.

Theorem 4.8. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type 6^n which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 3^n , then there is a KTS($6n + 3$) which contains as a subdesign an STS($3n$).*

PROOF. Let $V = \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$ where $V_i = X_i \cup Y_i$ and $|X_i| = |Y_i| = 3$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose \mathcal{F} is a Kirkman frame of type 6^n defined on V where the 3-GDD has groups $\cup Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Adjoin a set of 3 new elements, $Z = \{\alpha, \beta, \infty\}$, and fill in the holes of the frame with an RTD(3, 3) defined on $X_i \cup Y_i \cup Z$. This results in a resolvable 3-GDD of type 3^{2n+1} which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 3^n . Fill in the groups of this design as an additional resolution class. The result is a KTS($6n + 3$) with a maximum subdesign STS($3n$). \square

REMARK. This construction actually produces a \diamond -type design, [19]. That is, the resulting KTS($6n + 3$) contains as subdesigns a KTS(9) and an STS($3n$) which intersect in a single block Y_i .

The next construction uses a tripling construction for Kirkman frames.

Lemma 4.9. *If there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(3; 6)^n$, then there is a KTS($18n + 3$) which contains as a subdesign an STS($9n$).*

PROOF. Give weight 3 and replace blocks with an RTD(3, 3) to produce a Kirkman frame of type $(9; 18)^n$. Add 3 new elements and fill the groups of the frame with a KTS(21) which contains as a subdesign an STS(9) (see Lemma 4.6) where the STS(9) are aligned on the groups of the sub-GDD of type 9^n . \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. Using the existence of Kirkman frames of type $(3; 6)^n$, Theorem 3.6, together with the main frame construction, Theorem 4.8, we can construct KTS($6u + 3$) which contain as a subdesign an STS($3u$) for $u \geq 5$, $u \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $u \notin \mathcal{E}_2$. Lemma 4.6 takes care of $u < 5$. This leaves 6 cases to consider.

Lemma 4.10. *There exist KTS($6u + 3$) which contain as a subdesign an STS($3u$) for*

$$u \in \{87, 111, 139\}.$$

PROOF. For $u = 87$ and $u = 111$, apply the Kirkman frame tripling construction, Lemma 4.9 with $n = 29$ and $n = 37$ respectively. The largest case, $u = 139 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, is handled by Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 4.7. \square

Our results here leave only three remaining open cases, namely KTS($6u + 3$) which contain STS($3u$) for $u \in \{83, 107, 179\}$. The existence of two \diamond -type designs could be used to complete these cases. If there exists a KTS(69) which contains as subdesigns a KTS(21) and an STS(33) which intersect in an STS(9), then there is a KTS($6u + 3$) which contains an STS($3u$) for $u = 107$. If there exists a KTS(117) which contains as subdesigns a KTS(21) and an STS(57) intersecting in an STS(9), then the other two cases, $u = 83$ and 179 , could also be handled.

5. More general subdesigns

5.1. Subdesigns at a fixed offset from maximality. An MK($2v + 1$) is extremal in the sense that the gap, call it w , between the KTS order and double the subsystem order is as small as possible, namely $w = 1$. We have also considered the case $w = 3$. In this section, we offer some preliminary constructions and results for more general values of w .

We begin with a product construction that achieves a prescribed gap value.

Lemma 5.1. *If there exists an $MK(2v+1)$, an $RTD(3, w)$, and an $STS(w)$ with chromatic index at most $\frac{1}{2}(w-1) + v$, then there exists a $KTS(2vw+w)$ containing a sub- $STS(vw)$.*

PROOF. Apply Wilson's Fundamental Construction, giving every element of the $MK(2v+1)$ weight w and replacing blocks with $RTD(3, w)$. The result is a resolvable GDD of type w^{2v+1} with a sub-GDD of type w^v . Fill groups with $STS(w)$, so that the result is an $STS(2vw+w)$ containing an $STS(vw)$ subdesign. It remains to resolve the blocks of the larger STS. For this, we follow a similar strategy as in [2, Lemma 2.1], which we summarize below. By our assumption on the chromatic index of the $STS(w)$, there exists a resolution of blocks into partial parallel classes such that every element is missed equally often and at most v times. The $MK(2v+1)$ induces v parallel classes which are 'flat' with respect to the direct product. So, we may break up sufficiently many of these classes to combine with partial parallel classes of the $STS(w)$ in each group. \square

REMARK. Assuming $v \geq 7$, the assumption on chromatic index can be achieved using a $KTS(w)$ if $w \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, a 'Hanani triple system' if $w \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $w \geq 19$, or directly for $w \in \{7, 13\}$; see [4, II.2.79-83].

We next give a singular style product construction that yields some different instances of $KTS(2u+w)$ having subdesigns of order u . This construction uses a different type of GDD subdesign where the groups of the frame and the GDD subdesign coincide. Suppose \mathcal{F} is a uniform Kirkman frame of type h^u defined on a set V with groups V_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, u$ and block set \mathcal{B} . Let \mathcal{D} be a 3-GDD of type h^t defined on $\cup_{i=1}^t V_i$ with groups V_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and block set \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of \mathcal{F} if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Note that in this case, $h \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $u \geq 2t+1$. This type of Kirkman frame with GDD subdesign arises naturally from KTS with maximum STS subdesigns. For example, deleting an element from the $STS(7)$ in an $MK(15)$ results in a Kirkman frame of type 2^7 which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 2^3 .

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, so that there exists an $MK(2v+1)$.*

(a) *For $w \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $w \geq 7$, there exists a $KTS(v(w+1)+1)$ containing a sub- STS of order $\frac{1}{2}(v-1)(w+1)+1$ and a sub- $KTS(w+2)$ intersecting in one element.*

(b) *For $w \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $z \in \{3, 9, 15\}$ with $w \geq z$ and $w+z \geq 18$, there exists a $KTS(v(w+z)+z)$ containing a sub- STS of order $\frac{1}{2}(v-1)(w+z)+z$ and sub- $KTS(w+2z)$ intersecting in a sub- $KTS(z)$.*

PROOF. (a) Delete a point from the $STS(v)$ subdesign of an $MK(2v+1)$, producing a Kirkman frame of type 2^v containing a sub-GDD of type $2^{(v-1)/2}$. Give every point weight $(w+1)/2$ and replace blocks with $RTD(3, (w+1)/2)$. The result is a Kirkman frame of type $(w+1)^v$ having a sub-GDD of type $(w+1)^{(v-1)/2}$. Add one new element ∞ and fill groups with $KTS(w+2)$ having a common element ∞ .

(b) We begin as in (a), except with weight $(w+z)/2$. Note that our assumption implies that this weight is at least 9, and hence an $RTD(3, (w+z)/2)$ exists. This gives a Kirkman frame of type $(w+z)^v$ having a sub-GDD of type $(w+z)^{(v-1)/2}$. Add a set $Z = \{\infty_i : i = 1, \dots, z\}$ of new elements and fill groups with $KTS(w+2z)$ having a common subsystem on Z . We note that such a KTS exists since $w \geq z$. The result is a KTS of order $v(w+z)+z$ having a sub- STS of order $\frac{1}{2}(v-1)(w+z)+z$ (from the sub-GDD), a sub- KTS of order $w+2z$ (from one group outside this GDD) and these subdesigns intersect in the subdesign on Z , a $KTS(z)$. \square

We demonstrate part (a) of this construction with a bound for gap size $w = 7$. For this proof and some to follow, it is helpful to extend the exponential notation for frames with sub-GDDs to the nonuniform setting $(g_1; h_1)^{u_1} \cdots (g_t; h_t)^{u_t}$.

Proposition 5.3. *For all integers $u \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $u \geq 2551$, there exists a $KTS(2u+7)$ containing a sub-STS(u).*

PROOF. Begin with a $TD(9, n)$. Truncate all but p points in the 8th group and all but q points in the 9th group. Give weights $(6; 12)$ to all remaining points, setting up simultaneous applications of Wilson's fundamental construction. Replace the blocks, which have sizes in $\{7, 8, 9\}$, with Kirkman frames having subdesigns of type $(6; 12)^x$ for $x \in \{7, 8, 9\}$. The result is a Kirkman frame with a sub-GDD of type $(6n; 12n)^7(6p; 12p)^1(6q; 12q)^1$. Add a set of 9 new elements with 1 of these points assigned to the subdesign.

From Lemma 5.2(a) with $w = 7$, there exists a $KTS(48t + 9)$ containing a sub-STS($24t + 1$) and a sub-KTS(9) intersecting in one element. Put $n = 4t$ and fill the first seven groups of the above frame with these designs, aligning as usual the sub-STS on the groups of the sub-GDD and the sub-KTS(9) on the new elements. Similarly, put $p = 4s$ and fill the 8th group with a $KTS(48s + 9)$ containing a sub-STS($24s + 1$).

From Lemma 5.1, there exists a $KTS(84r + 21)$ containing a sub-STS($42r + 7$) for each integer $r \geq 0$. Letting $q = 7r + 1$, we fill the last group, plus extra points, with a $KTS(12q + 9)$ containing a sub-STS($6q + 1$). (Note that there is no condition needed on existence of a sub-KTS(9) for this last group.)

The existence of a $TD(9, n)$ is guaranteed [4, III.3.81] for $n > 570$, which implies our construction succeeds for all admissible $u > 25000$. However, many smaller values of n admit a $TD(9, n)$; see the table at [4, III.3.87]. Using a computer to check below the guarantee, we have verified that any $u \geq 2551$, $u \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, admits a representation as $u = 168t + 24s + 42r + 7$, where $n = 4t \geq 4s, 7r$ and there exists a $TD(9, n)$. \square

REMARK. Our bound on u could be considerably lowered if a Kirkman frame with sub-GDD of type $(6; 12)^4$ were available. In this case, a $TD(5, n)$ could be used in place of a $TD(9, n)$.

The case $w = 9$ can be handled similarly, but with different ingredient designs.

Proposition 5.4. *For all integers $u \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $u \geq 21429$, there exists a $KTS(2u+9)$ containing a sub-STS(u).*

PROOF. As in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we work from a frame of type $(12n)^7(12p)^1(12q)^1$ having a sub-GDD of type $(6n)^7(6p)^1(6q)^1$. Add 27 new elements, 9 of which are assigned to the subdesign.

Use Lemma 5.2(b) with $v = 6t + 1$ and $w = z = 9$ to produce a $KTS(108t + 27)$ containing a sub-STS($54t + 9$) and sub-KTS(27) intersecting in an STS(9). Put $n = 9t$ and fill the first seven groups of our frame with these designs, aligning the subdesigns as usual. Similarly, put $p = 9s$ and fill the 8th group with a $KTS(108s + 27)$ containing a sub-STS($54s + 9$) and sub-KTS(27) intersecting in an STS(9).

We fill the 9th group with a design constructed as follows. Begin with a frame of type $(3; 6)^{2r+1}$, where $r \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, 12\}$, from Lemma 3.5, and expand using an $RTD(3, 11)$. Example 2.7 (see also the Appendix) gives a direct construction of a $KTS(75)$ containing a sub-STS(33) and a disjoint

KTS(9). We fill groups of the frame with this design, pulling out the common KTS(9) on new elements. This yields a KTS($132r + 75$) containing a sub-STS($66r + 33$). Letting $q = 11r + 4$, so that $6q + 9 = 66r + 33$, we place this design on the 9th group. Note that if we remove the common sub-KTS(27) and sub-KTS(9) subdesigns used on the first 8 groups, then this design in the 9th group need not have those subdesigns.

The result is a KTS of order $2u + 9$ having a sub-STS(u), where $u = 378t + 54s + 66r + 33 = 6(63t + 9s + 11r + 4) + 3$.

A TD($9, 9t$) exists for all integers $t \geq 55$; see [4, III.3.87]. A straightforward computer-assisted check shows that every integer $k \geq 3571$ can be represented as $63t + 9s + 11r + 4$ for some $t \geq 55$, $0 \leq s \leq t$, and $r \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, 12\}$. This implies our construction succeeds for the claimed lower bound $u \geq 6 \cdot 3571 + 3 = 21429$. \square

REMARK. Again, this is merely a preliminary bound obtained from a concise set of available designs. The construction succeeds in practice for many smaller values of u . In particular, Proposition 4.7 nearly completely settles the case $u \equiv 9 \pmod{18}$.

Next, we consider general gap size w .

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose $w \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. There exists a KTS($2u + w$) containing a sub-STS(u) for all $u \equiv w \pmod{6}$, $u \geq 24w^2 + O(w)$.*

PROOF. The result follows for $w \in \{1, 3, 7, 9\}$ from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and Propositions 5.3 and 5.4. So we assume $w \geq 13$.

The rest of the proof divides into cases. Suppose first that $w \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. By Lemma 5.1 and the remark following it, there exists a KTS of order $(12s + 3)w$ containing a sub-STS($(6s + 1)w$) for any positive integer s . By Lemma 5.2(a), there exists a KTS of order $(6t + 1)(w + 1) + 1 = 6(w + 1)t + w + 2$ containing a subdesign of order $3(w + 1)t + 1$ for any positive integer t . Since w is odd, it is relatively prime to $4(w + 1)$. From the lower bound on u , we can write $u = 6k + w$, where $k = 4(w + 1)t + ws$ for positive integers s, t . (Some mild restrictions on s, t are detailed below, but these incur at most a linear increase to the Frobenius bound.)

As in Proposition 5.3, we start with a TD($9, n$). Truncate all but p points in the last group and give weights $(6; 12)$ to all remaining points. Replace the blocks of sizes in $\{8, 9\}$ with Kirkman frames having subdesigns of type $(6; 12)^x$ for $x \in \{8, 9\}$. The result is a Kirkman frame with a sub-GDD of type $(6n; 12n)^8(6p; 12p)^1$. Now let $n = t(w + 1)/2$ and $6p + 1 = (6s + 1)w$. Add a set of $w + 2$ new elements, one of which is to be allocated to the subdesign. Fill groups with KTS having subdesigns so that, additionally, on the first 8 groups, a common KTS subdesign of order $w + 2$ is aligned on the new points. The resulting KTS has order $8 \times 6(w + 1)t + (12s + 3)w = 2u + w$ and a subdesign of order $8 \times 3(w + 1)t + (6s + 1)w = u$, as required.

In the case $w \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $w \geq 15$, we proceed similarly, using Lemma 5.2(b) with two different choices for z . We add a set of $w + z$ new points with z of them allocated to the subdesign. Put $6n = 3t(w + z)$ and $6p + z = 3s(w + z') + z'$ for positive integers s, t and z, z' as in the lemma. Since z and z' can be chosen so that $\gcd(8(w + z), w + z') = 6$, we have a representation $u = 3((8t(w + z) + s(w + z')) + z')$ as needed for all $u \geq 24w^2 + O(w)$. \square

5.2. Smaller subdesigns. For relatively small subdesign orders, the existence of KTS having subdesigns follows from earlier work.

Proposition 5.6. *There exists a $KTS(v)$ containing an $STS(u)$ as a subdesign if*

- $v \geq 3u$, for $u \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, and
- $v \geq 6u + 3$, for $u \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

PROOF. The first case follows as a direct result of existence [19, 21] of $KTS(v)$ containing Kirkman subsystems. For the second case, let $w = 2u + 1$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a $KTS(w)$, call it κ , containing a subdesign $STS(u)$. Since $v \geq 3w$, there exists a $KTS(v)$ containing a Kirkman subsystem $KTS(w)$. Replace this subsystem with a copy of κ . The resulting $KTS(v)$ is still resolvable and it contains the $STS(u)$ in (the copy of) κ as a subdesign. \square

Frames with sub-frames can lead to some additional existence results. This type of construction depends on the existence of ‘small’ KTS with STS subdesigns. In this case, we use $KTS(21)$ which have STS subdesigns of orders 9 and 7, respectively.

Theorem 5.7. (a) *For all $u \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $u \geq 27$, there exists a $KTS(3u - 6)$ containing a sub- $STS(u)$.* (b) *For all $u \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $u \geq 25$, there exists a $KTS(3u)$ containing a sub- $STS(u)$.*

PROOF. First note that a Kirkman frame with sub-GDD of type $(6; 18)^n$ exists for all integers $n \geq 4$. To see this, we simply apply the tripling construction to a Kirkman frame of type 6^n and view it as a sub-GDD. Add a set of 3 new elements $\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$.

(a) Fill groups with $KTS(21)$ having a subdesign of order 9, where a common block is used on $\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$. This produces a $KTS(18n + 3)$ having a sub- $STS(6n + 3)$.

(b) Fill groups with $KTS(21)$ having a subdesign of order 7 and where a common block $\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$ intersects each subdesign in one element, say, ∞_1 . The result is a $KTS(18n + 3)$ having a sub- $STS(6n + 1)$. \square

Our results leave a gap when the order of the KTS is in the intermediate range between double and triple the order of the subdesign. Kirkman frames with subdesigns achieving a given ratio of orders may be useful in future work. Even so, however, new ideas are likely needed to fully settle Stinson’s problem of constructing KTS with STS subdesigns, or even to reduce it to a finite list of exceptions.

6. Conclusion

We have obtained many existence results for Kirkman triple systems containing subdesigns. Small subdesigns can be embedded using Proposition 5.6. We have shown that maximal subdesigns have connections to other topics, including strong starters and Howell designs. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 nearly completely settle the existence question in each of two different congruence classes. More generally, we showed that KTS whose subdesign orders are a fixed offset from maximality exist with only finitely many possible exceptions; explicit bounds for two small offsets appear in Propositions 5.3 and 5.4.

We have also introduced the idea of frames with sub-GDDs. Many of our results are carried by direct computations of Kirkman frames with sub-GDDs combined with recursive constructions for such designs. So far, these frames with sub-GDDs have landed in two special categories in which the

groups of the subdesign are either a union of groups of the frame or comprise a constant proportion of each group. Our existence results for such frames have also been restricted to uniform frames. Breaking free of these constraints and considering more general types of sub-GDDs is likely one of the next steps in our research.

There remain a number of interesting and difficult computational problems in finding KTS with STS subdesigns. We can construct $KTS(v)$ with all possible admissible $STS(u)$ for the two smallest cases, $v = 15$ and $v = 21$. But, although it is easy to construct $KTS(27)$ with sub- $STS(u)$ for $u = 3, 9, 13$, it appears difficult to find a $KTS(27)$ with an $STS(7)$ subdesign. Similarly, the existence of $KTS(v)$ with an $STS(7)$ subdesign is unknown for $v = 33$ and 39 . New direct constructions are needed for these cases. \diamond -designs are also difficult to construct since they require both KTS and STS subdesigns which intersect in a smaller STS. As we indicated in Section 4.2, the existence of \diamond -designs could be used together with Kirkman frames with sub-GDDs to help complete the spectrum.

Another useful tool in constructing subdesigns is the ability to remove a subdesign and replace it with another. We used this idea in Proposition 5.6. However, in general, replacing a Steiner triple system in a KTS will require finding another resolution for the resulting STS. Our direct constructions use a cyclic group; this means that the STS subdesign is generated cyclically. For example, there is a $KTS(39)$ which contains a cyclic $STS(19)$ as a subdesign. Although, there exists an $STS(19)$ with an $STS(7)$ subdesign, we cannot swap the designs and expect to retain the resolution. It would be nice to be able to alter the direct construction so that the resulting design admits further subdesigns.

Although many challenging open cases remain, we are hopeful that creative new constructions might arise for resolvable designs with subdesigns. We offer one such example of an ad-hoc construction.

Example 6.1. We construct a Kirkman frame of type 8^{13} which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type 8^3 . We begin by listing the blocks of a PBD(13, {3, 4}) defined on the set $V = \{1_i, 2_i, 3_i, 4_i : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\infty\}$. The blocks are:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{1_1, 1_2, 1_3\} & A_2 &= \{2_1, 2_2, 2_3\} & A_3 &= \{3_1, 3_2, 3_3\} \\ A_4 &= \{1_1, 3_3, 4_2\} & A_5 &= \{1_2, 2_3, 4_1\} & A_6 &= \{2_1, 3_2, 4_3\} \\ A_7 &= \{1_1, 2_2, 4_3\} & A_8 &= \{1_3, 3_2, 4_1\} & A_9 &= \{2_3, 3_1, 4_2\} \\ A_{10} &= \{1_2, 3_1, 4_3\} & A_{11} &= \{1_3, 2_1, 4_2\} & A_{12} &= \{2_2, 3_3, 4_1\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \{1_1, 2_3, 3_2, \infty\} & B_2 &= \{1_2, 2_1, 3_3, \infty\} & B_3 &= \{1_3, 2_2, 3_1, \infty\} & B_4 &= \{4_1, 4_2, 4_3, \infty\} \\ B_5 &= \{1_1, 2_1, 3_1, 4_1\} & B_6 &= \{1_2, 2_2, 3_2, 4_2\} & B_7 &= \{1_3, 2_3, 3_3, 4_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Replace each block of size 4 with a Kirkman frame of type 8^4 . Each block $a = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is replaced by a frame with groups $x_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Let $R_j(a, x_i)$ denote the 4 partial resolution classes associated with the hole $x_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Replace each block of size 3, say $b = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, with a set of 3 MOLS with groups $y_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$. This set of MOLS provides 8 resolution classes for the elements $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$. Label these 8 classes $M_j(b)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$.

A frame resolution of the resulting blocks defined on $V \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ is shown in Figure 3. Notice that each block of size 3 in the underlying PBD induces a 3-GDD of type 8^3 as a subdesign in this Kirkman frame of type 8^{13} . From this frame, we obtain a KTS of order $8 \cdot 13 + 1 = 105$ which contains as a subdesign an $STS(25)$.

Frame hole	Resolution classes, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$
$1_1 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$R_j(B_5, 1_1) \cup R_j(B_7, 2_3) \cup R_j(B_6, 3_2) \cup R_j(B_1, 1_1)$
$1_2 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$R_j(B_6, 1_2) \cup R_j(B_5, 2_1) \cup R_j(B_7, 3_3) \cup R_j(B_2, 1_2)$
$1_3 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$R_j(B_7, 1_3) \cup R_j(B_6, 2_2) \cup R_j(B_5, 3_1) \cup R_j(B_3, 1_3)$
$2_1 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_j(A_7) \cup M_j(A_8) \cup M_j(A_9) \cup R_j(B_2, 2_1)$
$2_2 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_j(A_4) \cup M_j(A_5) \cup M_j(A_6) \cup R_j(B_3, 2_2)$
$2_3 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_j(A_{10}) \cup M_j(A_{11}) \cup M_j(A_{12}) \cup R_j(B_1, 2_3)$
$3_1 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_{4+j}(A_4) \cup M_{j+4}(A_5) \cup M_{j+4}(A_6) \cup R_j(B_3, 3_1)$
$3_2 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_{4+j}(A_{10}) \cup M_{j+4}(A_{11}) \cup M_{j+4}(A_{12}) \cup R_j(B_1, 3_2)$
$3_3 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_{4+j}(A_7) \cup M_{j+4}(A_8) \cup M_{j+4}(A_9) \cup R_j(B_2, 3_3)$
$4_1 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$R_j(B_5, 4_1) \cup R_j(B_6, 4_1) \cup R_j(B_7, 4_1) \cup R_j(B_4, 4_1)$
$4_2 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_j(A_1) \cup M_j(A_2) \cup M_j(A_3) \cup R_j(B_4, 4_2)$
$4_3 \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$M_{j+4}(A_1) \cup M_{j+4}(A_2) \cup M_{j+4}(A_3) \cup R_j(B_4, 4_3)$
$\infty \times \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$	$R_j(B_1, \infty) \cup R_j(B_2, \infty) \cup R_j(B_3, \infty) \cup R_j(B_4, \infty)$

FIGURE 3. Classes for a Kirkman frame of type 8^{13} having a sub-GDD of type 8^3 .

The construction of Example 6.1 can be generalized. If there exists a Kirkman frame of type m^4 and 3 MOLS of order m , then there is a Kirkman frame of type m^{13} which contains as a subdesign a 3-GDD of type m^3 . To get a KTS, we need $m \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. A further generalization to the underlying PBD would be interesting as a topic for future work.

Acknowledgments

Research of Peter Dukes is supported by NSERC grant 312595–2017.

Appendix: Small direct constructions

Each of the following frames with sub-GDDs is presented either as a strong starter together with a set of triples, or as a set of base blocks with subdesign using primed and unprimed elements. In either case, we develop blocks under the corresponding cyclic group.

- $(g; h)^u = (1, 2)^{115}$
strong starter: $\{102, 103\}, \{70, 72\}, \{5, 8\}, \{35, 39\}, \{84, 89\}, \{40, 46\}, \{87, 94\}, \{83, 91\}, \{34, 43\},$
 $\{31, 41\}, \{48, 59\}, \{7, 110\}, \{99, 112\}, \{10, 24\}, \{37, 52\}, \{76, 92\}, \{32, 49\}, \{12, 109\}, \{9, 28\}, \{78, 98\},$
 $\{26, 47\}, \{79, 101\}, \{22, 45\}, \{29, 53\}, \{57, 82\}, \{55, 81\}, \{68, 95\}, \{65, 93\}, \{38, 67\}, \{3, 88\}, \{30, 114\},$
 $\{58, 90\}, \{11, 44\}, \{2, 36\}, \{16, 96\}, \{14, 50\}, \{69, 106\}, \{27, 104\}, \{4, 80\}, \{60, 100\}, \{23, 64\}, \{71, 113\},$
 $\{13, 85\}, \{61, 105\}, \{6, 51\}, \{17, 86\}, \{19, 66\}, \{15, 63\}, \{42, 108\}, \{25, 75\}, \{33, 97\}, \{21, 73\}, \{54, 107\},$
 $\{1, 62\}, \{56, 111\}, \{18, 74\}, \{20, 77\}$
triples: $\{28, 62, 12\}, \{14, 70, 106\}, \{88, 50, 1\}, \{71, 76, 8\}, \{109, 79, 39\}, \{5, 4, 110\}, \{108, 11, 7\},$
 $\{93, 26, 99\}, \{42, 111, 113\}, \{104, 49, 22\}, \{56, 31, 19\}, \{114, 101, 10\}, \{80, 41, 95\}, \{68, 17, 36\}, \{9, 30, 83\},$
 $\{75, 32, 40\}, \{20, 23, 3\}, \{54, 25, 47\}, \{102, 44, 18\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (2; 4)^7$
 $\{6'_0, 5'_1, 2_0\}, \{3'_0, 4'_0, 1_0\}, \{6'_1, 2'_1, 4_1\}, \{2'_0, 5'_0, 1_1\}, \{1'_1, 3'_1, 6_0\}, \{1'_0, 4'_1, 3_0\}, \{2_1, 4_0, 5_0\}, \{6_1, 5_1, 3_1\},$
 $\{6'_1, 5'_0, 2_1\}, \{3'_0, 1'_1, 5_1\}, \{2'_1, 3'_1, 4_0\}, \{1'_0, 5'_1, 6_1\}, \{6'_0, 4'_0, 5_0\}, \{4'_1, 2'_0, 3_1\}, \{6_0, 2_0, 1_1\}, \{4_1, 1_0, 3_0\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^5$
 $\{4'_0, 3'_0, 1_1\}, \{2'_0, 1'_1, 4_2\}, \{1'_0, 3'_2, 2_2\}, \{2'_2, 4'_1, 3_0\}, \{3'_1, 2'_1, 4_1\}, \{1'_2, 4'_2, 3_1\}, \{1_2, 2_0, 3_2\}, \{2_1, 1_0, 4_0\},$
 $\{4'_1, 1'_0, 2_0\}, \{2'_0, 4'_0, 3_1\}, \{4'_2, 3'_1, 2_1\}, \{3'_2, 1'_1, 4_1\}, \{1'_2, 2'_1, 4_2\}, \{3'_0, 2'_2, 1_0\}, \{2_2, 1_1, 3_2\}, \{1_2, 4_0, 3_0\},$
 $\{1'_0, 2'_2, 4_2\}, \{3'_1, 2'_0, 4_0\}, \{4'_2, 3'_2, 1_0\}, \{1'_2, 3'_0, 2_2\}, \{4'_0, 1'_1, 3_0\}, \{4'_1, 2'_1, 3_2\}, \{1_1, 2_0, 4_1\}, \{1_2, 2_1, 3_1\}$

- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^9$
 strong starter: $\{23, 24\}, \{19, 21\}, \{2, 5\}, \{4, 8\}, \{7, 12\}, \{11, 17\}, \{13, 20\}, \{25, 6\}, \{16, 26\}, \{3, 14\}, \{10, 22\}, \{15, 1\}$
 triples: $\{8, 14, 3\}, \{11, 25, 21\}, \{24, 23, 26\}, \{22, 10, 2\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{11}$
 strong starter: $\{12, 13\}, \{30, 32\}, \{9, 6\}, \{23, 27\}, \{15, 20\}, \{4, 10\}, \{28, 2\}, \{26, 1\}, \{16, 25\}, \{21, 31\}, \{7, 19\}, \{5, 18\}, \{3, 17\}, \{14, 29\}, \{8, 24\}$
 triples: $\{21, 18, 5\}, \{16, 6, 1\}, \{24, 12, 31\}, \{13, 7, 9\}, \{28, 3, 4\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{15}$
 strong starter: $\{18, 19\}, \{32, 34\}, \{2, 5\}, \{17, 21\}, \{26, 31\}, \{43, 4\}, \{33, 40\}, \{20, 28\}, \{16, 25\}, \{42, 7\}, \{1, 12\}, \{44, 11\}, \{41, 9\}, \{24, 38\}, \{13, 29\}, \{22, 39\}, \{35, 8\}, \{36, 10\}, \{3, 23\}, \{6, 27\}, \{37, 14\}$
 triples: $\{11, 29, 34\}, \{39, 27, 19\}, \{8, 22, 24\}, \{36, 32, 35\}, \{18, 25, 31\}, \{40, 23, 14\}, \{9, 20, 44\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{17}$
 strong starter: $\{36, 37\}, \{5, 7\}, \{3, 6\}, \{11, 15\}, \{42, 47\}, \{44, 50\}, \{31, 38\}, \{19, 27\}, \{9, 18\}, \{20, 30\}, \{10, 21\}, \{23, 35\}, \{39, 1\}, \{49, 12\}, \{25, 40\}, \{16, 32\}, \{41, 8\}, \{14, 33\}, \{28, 48\}, \{43, 13\}, \{24, 46\}, \{22, 45\}, \{2, 26\}, \{4, 29\}$
 triples: $\{6, 39, 42\}, \{23, 35, 37\}, \{1, 2, 8\}, \{45, 29, 4\}, \{20, 15, 44\}, \{13, 32, 36\}, \{30, 21, 41\}, \{24, 11, 3\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{23}$
 strong starter: $\{27, 28\}, \{39, 41\}, \{52, 55\}, \{49, 53\}, \{56, 61\}, \{1, 7\}, \{58, 65\}, \{12, 20\}, \{33, 42\}, \{54, 64\}, \{13, 24\}, \{22, 34\}, \{25, 38\}, \{43, 57\}, \{32, 47\}, \{3, 19\}, \{4, 21\}, \{11, 29\}, \{67, 17\}, \{16, 36\}, \{9, 30\}, \{44, 66\}, \{63, 18\}, \{59, 15\}, \{48, 5\}, \{35, 62\}, \{51, 10\}, \{8, 37\}, \{45, 6\}, \{40, 2\}, \{68, 31\}, \{50, 14\}, \{26, 60\}$
 triples: $\{27, 36, 9\}, \{67, 65, 3\}, \{21, 1, 57\}, \{66, 16, 50\}, \{2, 19, 13\}, \{34, 20, 24\}, \{60, 29, 68\}, \{4, 26, 58\}, \{14, 59, 62\}, \{47, 7, 35\}, \{43, 44, 18\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{27}$
 strong starter: $\{47, 48\}, \{40, 42\}, \{22, 25\}, \{57, 61\}, \{34, 39\}, \{26, 32\}, \{1, 8\}, \{58, 66\}, \{11, 20\}, \{33, 43\}, \{65, 76\}, \{55, 67\}, \{74, 6\}, \{37, 51\}, \{79, 13\}, \{75, 10\}, \{18, 35\}, \{23, 41\}, \{30, 49\}, \{77, 16\}, \{69, 9\}, \{63, 4\}, \{45, 68\}, \{72, 15\}, \{59, 3\}, \{62, 7\}, \{36, 64\}, \{17, 46\}, \{80, 29\}, \{78, 28\}, \{70, 21\}, \{50, 2\}, \{19, 53\}, \{38, 73\}, \{24, 60\}, \{56, 12\}, \{14, 52\}, \{5, 44\}, \{31, 71\}$
 triples: $\{8, 75, 34\}, \{45, 70, 33\}, \{71, 42, 39\}, \{35, 77, 5\}, \{17, 22, 55\}, \{51, 61, 59\}, \{36, 23, 40\}, \{74, 50, 16\}, \{38, 57, 56\}, \{20, 13, 48\}, \{24, 18, 2\}, \{29, 65, 44\}, \{15, 26, 46\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{29}$
 strong starter: $\{20, 21\}, \{25, 27\}, \{45, 48\}, \{3, 7\}, \{69, 74\}, \{12, 18\}, \{10, 17\}, \{5, 13\}, \{70, 79\}, \{34, 44\}, \{80, 4\}, \{30, 42\}, \{6, 19\}, \{43, 57\}, \{24, 39\}, \{37, 53\}, \{68, 85\}, \{46, 64\}, \{56, 75\}, \{51, 71\}, \{31, 52\}, \{14, 36\}, \{59, 82\}, \{23, 47\}, \{38, 63\}, \{55, 81\}, \{76, 16\}, \{60, 1\}, \{54, 84\}, \{41, 72\}, \{83, 28\}, \{2, 35\}, \{61, 8\}, \{67, 15\}, \{62, 11\}, \{49, 86\}, \{40, 78\}, \{26, 65\}, \{33, 73\}, \{9, 50\}, \{77, 32\}, \{66, 22\}$
 triples: $\{79, 32, 74\}, \{45, 36, 46\}, \{85, 68, 9\}, \{55, 75, 53\}, \{12, 33, 20\}, \{76, 57, 42\}, \{15, 77, 47\}, \{34, 60, 67\}, \{7, 71, 21\}, \{43, 39, 8\}, \{28, 64, 16\}, \{65, 81, 38\}, \{40, 2, 86\}, \{17, 80, 11\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{33}$
 strong starter: $\{77, 78\}, \{74, 76\}, \{59, 62\}, \{91, 95\}, \{2, 7\}, \{29, 35\}, \{38, 45\}, \{97, 6\}, \{81, 90\}, \{30, 40\}, \{60, 71\}, \{34, 46\}, \{24, 37\}, \{69, 83\}, \{94, 10\}, \{86, 3\}, \{48, 65\}, \{18, 36\}, \{63, 82\}, \{68, 88\}, \{5, 26\}, \{42, 64\}, \{93, 17\}, \{32, 56\}, \{25, 50\}, \{28, 54\}, \{20, 47\}, \{75, 4\}, \{85, 15\}, \{11, 41\}, \{27, 58\}, \{89, 22\}, \{53, 87\}, \{14, 49\}, \{79, 16\}, \{43, 80\}, \{84, 23\}, \{31, 70\}, \{98, 39\}, \{67, 9\}, \{13, 55\}, \{57, 1\}, \{8, 52\}, \{51, 96\}, \{72, 19\}, \{73, 21\}, \{44, 92\}, \{12, 61\}$
 triples: $\{50, 47, 34\}, \{84, 65, 59\}, \{77, 6, 23\}, \{13, 42, 3\}, \{55, 17, 43\}, \{28, 19, 30\}, \{71, 16, 40\}, \{49, 44, 81\}, \{39, 92, 69\}, \{26, 74, 18\}, \{86, 90, 27\}, \{96, 62, 15\}, \{36, 29, 78\}, \{45, 25, 10\}, \{97, 98, 20\}, \{35, 21, 93\}$
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{39}$
 strong starter: $\{108, 109\}, \{35, 37\}, \{91, 94\}, \{81, 85\}, \{82, 87\}, \{69, 75\}, \{33, 40\}, \{72, 80\}, \{113, 5\}, \{86, 96\}, \{10, 21\}, \{95, 107\}, \{25, 38\}, \{18, 32\}, \{47, 62\}, \{11, 27\}, \{60, 77\}, \{55, 73\}, \{44, 63\}, \{110, 13\}, \{105, 9\}, \{2, 24\}, \{41, 64\}, \{92, 116\}, \{17, 42\}, \{53, 79\}, \{7, 34\}, \{48, 76\}, \{100, 12\}, \{20, 50\}, \{23, 54\}, \{61, 93\}, \{65, 98\}, \{102, 19\}, \{88, 6\}, \{15, 51\}, \{8, 45\}, \{36, 74\}, \{26, 66\}, \{70, 111\}, \{89, 14\}, \{58, 101\}, \{103, 30\}, \{67, 112\}, \{99, 28\}, \{59, 106\}, \{4, 52\}, \{22, 71\}, \{83, 16\}, \{115, 49\}, \{68, 3\}, \{31, 84\}, \{43, 97\}, \{1, 56\}, \{90, 29\}, \{57, 114\}, \{46, 104\}$

- triples: {90, 55, 84}, {102, 28, 17}, {80, 5, 61}, {83, 32, 29}, {67, 51, 79}, {82, 9, 96}, {108, 75, 58},
{111, 3, 86}, {88, 81, 12}, {14, 69, 45}, {18, 19, 113}, {97, 95, 25}, {34, 13, 8}, {116, 106, 98}, {87, 60, 22},
{24, 104, 44}, {36, 40, 76}, {89, 74, 21}, {30, 43, 101}
- $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{51}$
strong starter: {72, 73}, {5, 7}, {112, 115}, {28, 32}, {135, 140}, {82, 88}, {118, 125}, {67, 75}, {92, 101},
{49, 59}, {69, 80}, {33, 45}, {47, 60}, {8, 22}, {83, 98}, {15, 31}, {106, 123}, {114, 132}, {107, 126},
{116, 136}, {130, 151}, {105, 127}, {76, 99}, {46, 70}, {142, 14}, {77, 103}, {139, 13}, {11, 39}, {9, 38},
{122, 152}, {128, 6}, {57, 89}, {4, 37}, {19, 53}, {56, 91}, {120, 3}, {150, 34}, {133, 18}, {23, 62}, {137, 24},
{104, 145}, {12, 54}, {43, 86}, {50, 94}, {29, 74}, {78, 124}, {141, 35}, {36, 84}, {95, 144}, {96, 146},
{27, 79}, {40, 93}, {17, 71}, {10, 65}, {117, 20}, {90, 147}, {52, 110}, {41, 100}, {61, 121}, {87, 148}, {1, 63},
{134, 44}, {55, 119}, {66, 131}, {2, 68}, {111, 25}, {81, 149}, {16, 85}, {109, 26}, {42, 113}, {129, 48},
{138, 58}, {143, 64}, {108, 30}, {21, 97}
triples: {32, 131, 119}, {55, 38, 68}, {113, 1, 87}, {56, 45, 114}, {26, 71, 115}, {97, 7, 111}, {33, 4, 36},
{58, 98, 132}, {139, 104, 39}, {140, 37, 18}, {92, 69, 117}, {19, 15, 95}, {130, 91, 148}, {11, 105, 35},
{34, 109, 94}, {73, 110, 100}, {10, 62, 143}, {53, 48, 20}, {63, 42, 124}, {61, 5, 67}, {112, 57, 65},
{83, 126, 125}, {52, 16, 14}, {13, 150, 6}, {59, 127, 81}
 - $(g; h)^u = (3; 6)^{59}$
strong starter: {130, 131}, {136, 138}, {73, 76}, {151, 155}, {14, 19}, {20, 26}, {53, 60}, {121, 129}, {79, 88},
{149, 159}, {4, 15}, {116, 128}, {160, 173}, {164, 1}, {43, 58}, {7, 23}, {18, 35}, {147, 165}, {142, 161},
{55, 75}, {81, 102}, {77, 99}, {17, 40}, {108, 132}, {95, 120}, {5, 31}, {127, 154}, {24, 52}, {45, 74},
{54, 84}, {119, 150}, {57, 89}, {11, 44}, {83, 117}, {36, 71}, {50, 86}, {125, 162}, {29, 67}, {166, 28},
{82, 122}, {152, 16}, {30, 72}, {144, 10}, {135, 2}, {56, 101}, {63, 109}, {98, 145}, {32, 80}, {51, 100},
{107, 157}, {123, 174}, {115, 167}, {25, 78}, {87, 141}, {93, 148}, {170, 49}, {12, 69}, {6, 64}, {96, 156},
{153, 37}, {113, 175}, {27, 90}, {42, 106}, {61, 126}, {158, 47}, {176, 66}, {104, 172}, {65, 134}, {41, 111},
{114, 8}, {13, 85}, {143, 39}, {137, 34}, {94, 169}, {92, 168}, {62, 139}, {68, 146}, {33, 112}, {91, 171},
{105, 9}, {21, 103}, {97, 3}, {163, 70}, {140, 48}, {38, 124}, {46, 133}, {22, 110}
triples: {78, 116, 140}, {142, 18, 160}, {159, 58, 21}, {121, 47, 74}, {71, 143, 43}, {147, 12, 61}, {54, 95, 34},
{173, 163, 133}, {109, 31, 29}, {4, 88, 175}, {169, 115, 123}, {90, 45, 153}, {94, 93, 50}, {174, 170, 89},
{20, 72, 41}, {158, 60, 48}, {8, 166, 40}, {125, 68, 141}, {150, 39, 62}, {108, 52, 134}, {44, 69, 127},
{16, 25, 3}, {155, 14, 7}, {32, 49, 82}, {80, 77, 9}, {91, 106, 161}, {35, 1, 164}, {139, 79, 144}, {15, 128, 26}
 - $(g; h)^u = (6; 12)^5$
{8', 7', 29}, {17', 19', 1}, {3', 6', 14}, {27', 1', 28}, {28', 22', 11}, {11', 4', 7}, {26', 18', 24}, {23', 14', 2},
{13', 24', 17}, {21', 9', 8}, {12', 29', 6}, {16', 2', 18}, {13, 12, 4}, {19, 26, 22}, {21, 23, 9}, {16, 27, 3}
 - $(g; h)^u = (6; 12)^8$
strong starter: {47, 4}, {44, 21}, {26, 5}, {25, 10}, {43, 9}, {29, 28}, {30, 19}, {18, 20}, {6, 36}, {13, 41},
{15, 22}, {39, 45}, {12, 2}, {46, 17}, {35, 23}, {14, 31}, {37, 34}, {1, 27}, {7, 11}, {33, 42}, {3, 38}
triples: {13, 43, 44}, {11, 2, 22}, {39, 34, 46}, {12, 33, 47}, {30, 20, 5}, {29, 7, 26}, {25, 19, 21}
 - $(g; h)^u = (6; 12)^9$
strong starter: {38, 19}, {46, 39}, {2, 17}, {34, 48}, {50, 37}, {30, 8}, {21, 47}, {14, 52}, {26, 3}, {10, 22},
{40, 29}, {33, 16}, {4, 1}, {53, 23}, {6, 5}, {7, 28}, {12, 41}, {44, 49}, {32, 42}, {15, 35}, {13, 11}, {24, 20},
{43, 51}, {25, 31}
triples: {42, 17, 34}, {4, 25, 30}, {46, 26, 16}, {41, 37, 43}, {6, 47, 7}, {10, 52, 21}, {48, 51, 13}, {23, 8, 1}
 - $(g; h)^u = (12; 24)^4$
{46', 47', 17}, {23', 25', 38}, {13', 10', 39}, {39', 34', 13}, {15', 21', 18}, {26', 19', 33}, {18', 9', 7}, {33', 43', 26},
{38', 27', 29}, {7', 42', 37}, {11', 45', 46}, {17', 2', 11}, {6', 37', 23}, {1', 31', 6}, {41', 22', 47}, {14', 35', 25},
{29', 3', 2}, {5', 30', 15}, {31, 30, 41}, {42, 35, 21}, {45, 19, 22}, {34, 5, 3}, {9, 27, 14}, {43, 1, 10}
 - $(g; h)^u = (12; 24)^5$
strong starter: {58, 59}, {46, 48}, {38, 41}, {32, 36}, {28, 34}, {7, 14}, {2, 54}, {1, 52}, {18, 29}, {21, 33},
{24, 37}, {12, 26}, {3, 19}, {8, 51}, {4, 22}, {16, 57}, {23, 44}, {31, 53}, {6, 43}, {11, 47}, {13, 39},
{9, 42}, {17, 49}, {27, 56}
triples: {17, 28, 9}, {48, 11, 27}, {16, 43, 14}, {3, 37, 41}, {42, 33, 39}, {36, 29, 12}, {31, 44, 32}, {46, 4, 18}
 - $(g; h)^u = (12; 24)^8$
strong starter: {89, 90}, {33, 35}, {11, 14}, {9, 13}, {94, 3}, {59, 65}, {66, 73}, {49, 58}, {36, 46}, {67, 78},
{43, 55}, {17, 30}, {70, 84}, {19, 34}, {22, 39}, {77, 95}, {68, 87}, {21, 41}, {53, 74}, {76, 2}, {93, 20},

{25, 50}, {37, 63}, {1, 28}, {23, 51}, {85, 18}, {27, 57}, {71, 6}, {75, 12}, {52, 86}, {47, 82}, {45, 81},
 {7, 44}, {62, 4}, {15, 54}, {60, 5}, {92, 38}, {26, 69}, {83, 31}, {61, 10}, {79, 29}, {91, 42}
 triples: {21, 94, 20}, {45, 54, 79}, {92, 35, 23}, {27, 44, 86}, {9, 55, 90}, {6, 36, 89}, {81, 85, 67},
 {19, 57, 13}, {70, 73, 63}, {10, 5, 38}, {41, 60, 39}, {3, 14, 50}, {93, 26, 52}, {15, 91, 46}

Following are two Kirkman triple systems with subdesigns used in our recursive constructions.

- KTS(21) with STS(9) as a subdesign (see also [3, 12])
 Design: $\{0, 1, 3\}$ developed (mod 7), then expanded using an RTD(3, 3).
 Resolution:
 $\{0_1, 1_0, 3_2\}, \{1_1, 2_1, 4_1\}, \{2_0, 3_1, 5_2\}, \{3_0, 4_0, 6_0\}, \{4_2, 5_1, 0_0\}, \{5_0, 6_1, 1_2\}, \{6_2, 0_2, 2_2\}$
 $\{0_0, 1_2, 3_1\}, \{1_0, 2_0, 4_0\}, \{2_2, 3_2, 5_2\}, \{3_0, 4_2, 6_1\}, \{4_1, 5_1, 0_1\}, \{5_0, 6_2, 1_1\}, \{6_0, 0_2, 2_1\}$
 $\{0_2, 1_1, 3_0\}, \{1_0, 2_2, 4_1\}, \{2_1, 3_1, 5_1\}, \{3_2, 4_0, 6_1\}, \{4_2, 5_0, 0_1\}, \{5_2, 6_2, 1_2\}, \{6_0, 0_0, 2_0\}$
 $\{0_2, 1_2, 3_2\}, \{1_0, 2_1, 4_2\}, \{2_0, 3_0, 5_0\}, \{3_1, 4_0, 6_2\}, \{4_1, 5_2, 0_0\}, \{5_1, 6_1, 1_1\}, \{6_0, 0_1, 2_2\}$
 $\{0_0, 1_0, 3_0\}, \{1_2, 2_0, 4_1\}, \{2_2, 3_1, 5_0\}, \{3_2, 4_2, 6_2\}, \{4_0, 5_1, 0_2\}, \{5_2, 6_0, 1_1\}, \{6_1, 0_1, 2_1\}$
 $\{0_1, 1_1, 3_1\}, \{1_2, 2_2, 4_2\}, \{2_1, 3_0, 5_2\}, \{3_2, 4_1, 6_0\}, \{4_0, 5_0, 0_0\}, \{5_1, 6_2, 1_0\}, \{6_1, 0_2, 2_0\}$
 $\{0_0, 1_1, 3_2\}, \{1_2, 2_1, 4_0\}, \{2_2, 3_0, 5_1\}, \{3_1, 4_2, 6_0\}, \{4_1, 5_0, 0_2\}, \{5_2, 6_1, 1_0\}, \{6_2, 0_1, 2_0\}$
 $\{0_1, 1_2, 3_0\}, \{1_1, 2_2, 4_0\}, \{2_0, 3_2, 5_1\}, \{3_1, 4_1, 6_1\}, \{4_2, 5_2, 0_2\}, \{5_0, 6_0, 1_0\}, \{6_2, 0_0, 2_1\}$
 $\{0_2, 1_0, 3_1\}, \{1_1, 2_0, 4_2\}, \{2_1, 3_2, 5_0\}, \{3_0, 4_1, 6_2\}, \{4_0, 5_2, 0_1\}, \{5_1, 6_0, 1_2\}, \{6_1, 0_0, 2_2\}$
 $\{0_0, 0_1, 0_2\}, \{1_0, 1_1, 1_2\}, \{2_0, 2_1, 2_2\}, \{3_0, 3_1, 3_2\}, \{4_0, 4_1, 4_2\}, \{5_0, 5_1, 5_2\}, \{6_0, 6_1, 6_2\},$
- KTS(75) with disjoint STS(33) and KTS(9) subdesigns
 Design: developed as translates (mod 33) of the base blocks
 $\{0, 11, 22\}, \{0, 10, 14\}, \{18, 13, 30\}, \{23, 5, 25\}, \{11, 17, 8\}, \{0, 1, 26\}, \{0', 11', 22'\}, \{0', 1', 5'\}$
 $\{ \infty_1, 25', 32 \}, \{ \infty_2, 19', 6 \}, \{ \infty_3, 32', 16 \}, \{ \infty_4, 12', 28 \}, \{ \infty_5, 0', 14 \}, \{ \infty_6, 28', 27 \}, \{ \infty_7, 8', 29 \},$
 $\{ \infty_8, 11', 12 \}, \{ \infty_9, 17', 10 \}, \{ 29', 27', 19 \}, \{ 13', 16', 21 \}, \{ 10', 4', 22 \}, \{ 5', 31', 9 \}, \{ 30', 22', 24 \},$
 $\{ 23', 14', 20 \}, \{ 3', 26', 3 \}, \{ 21', 9', 7 \}, \{ 20', 7', 2 \}, \{ 15', 1', 4 \}, \{ 6', 24', 15 \}, \{ 2', 18', 31 \}$
 Resolution: the underlined blocks define four parallel classes with KTS(9) on $\{ \infty_1, \dots, \infty_9 \}$;
 the remaining blocks define 33 parallel classes under translates (mod 33).

References

- [1] I. Anderson, Combinatorial Designs: Construction Methods, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, England, 1990.
- [2] J.H. Chan, P.J. Dukes, E.R. Lamken and A.C.H. Ling, Asymptotic existence of resolvable group divisible designs. *J. Combin. Des.* 21 (2013) 112–126.
- [3] M.B. Cohen, C.J. Colbourn, L.A. Ives and A.C.H. Ling, Kirkman triple systems of order 21 with nontrivial automorphism group. *Mathematics of Computation* 71 (2002), 873–881.
- [4] C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, eds., The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, 2nd edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2006.
- [5] C.J. Colbourn and A. Rosa, *Triple Systems*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1999.
- [6] J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinton, “Room Squares and Related Designs” in Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys, J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson (Editors), John Wiley & Sons, (1992), 137–204.
- [7] J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, A fast algorithm for finding strong starters. *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.* 2 (1981), 50–56.
- [8] J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, A note on Howell designs of odd side. *Utilitas Math.* 18 (1980), 207–216.
- [9] J. Doyen and R.M. Wilson, Embeddings of Steiner triple systems. *Discrete Math.* 5 (1973), 229–239.
- [10] P.J. Dukes, E.R. Lamken and A.C.H. Ling, An existence theory for incomplete designs. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 59 (2016), 287–302.
- [11] H. Hanani, Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs. *Discrete Math.* 11 (1975), 255–360.
- [12] J.I. Kokkala and P.R.J. Östergård, Kirkman triple systems with subsystems. *Discrete Math.* 343 (2020), 111960, 8 pp.
- [13] T.P. Kirkman, On a Problem in Combinations. *The Cambridge and Dublin Mathematical Journal* II (1847), 191–204.
- [14] T.P. Kirkman, Query VI. *Lady’s and Gentleman’s Diary* 147 (1850), 48.

- [15] R.C. Mullin, P.J. Schellenberg, S.A. Vanstone, and W.D. Wallis, On the existence of frames. *Discrete Math.* 37 (1981)79–104.
- [16] R.C. Mullin, D.R. Stinson, and S.A. Vanstone, Kirkman triple systems containing maximum subdesigns. *Utilitas Math.* 21 (1982), 283–300.
- [17] R.C. Mullin and S.A. Vanstone, Steiner systems and Room squares. *Annals of Discrete Math.* 7 (1980) 95–104.
- [18] D.K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R.M. Wilson, Solution of Kirkman’s school-girl problem. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 19 (1971), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 187–203.
- [19] R. Rees and D.R. Stinson, On the existence of Kirkman triple systems containing Kirkman subsystems. *Ars Combin.* 26 (1988), 3–16.
- [20] D.R. Stinson, Hill-climbing algorithms for the construction of combinatorial designs. *Ann. Discrete Math.* 26 (1985), 321–334.
- [21] D.R. Stinson, A survey of Kirkman triple systems and related designs. *Discrete Math.* 92 (1991), 371–393.
- [22] D.R. Stinson, Frames for Kirkman systems. *Discrete Math.* 65 (1987), 289–300.
- [23] R.M. Wilson, Constructions and uses of pairwise balanced designs. in *Mathematisch Centre Tracts*, vol 55, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1974, 18–41.

Peter J. Dukes: Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

Email address: `dukes@uvic.ca`

Esther R. Lamken: 773 Colby Street, San Francisco, CA, USA 94134

Email address: `esther.lamken@gmail.com`