

HARMONIC TUTTE POLYNOMIALS OF MATROIDS

HIMADRI SHEKHAR CHAKRABORTY*, TSUYOSHI MIEZAKI,
AND MANABU OURA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we introduce the concept of harmonic Tutte polynomials of matroids and discuss some of their properties. In particular, we generalize Greene's theorem, thereby expressing harmonic weight enumerators of codes as evaluations of harmonic Tutte polynomials.

Key Words: Tutte polynomials, weight enumerators, matroids, codes, harmonic functions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05B35; Secondary 94B05, 11T71.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1954, Tutte [28] introduced a celebrated graph polynomial which is originally called the dichromatic polynomial (also see [29]), now known as the Tutte polynomial, plays a key role in the study of the graph properties related to counting problems. In 1969, Crapo [12] generalised the Tutte polynomial for graphs with respect to matroids. Later, Greene [14] proved a remarkable connection between the weight enumerator $W_C(x, y)$ of an $[n, k]$ code C and the Tutte polynomial of its matroid M_C ; this identity, which is known as Greene identity, is as follows:

$$W_C(x, y) = (x - y)^k y^{n-k} T \left(M_C; \frac{x + (q - 1)y}{x - y}, \frac{x}{y} \right).$$

As an application of the above relation, Greene [14] gave an alternative proof of the MacWilliams identity for the weight enumerator of an $[n, k]$ code.

Delsarte [13] introduced discrete harmonic functions on a finite set. Bachoc [2, 3] associated the discrete harmonic functions to linear codes by introducing the harmonic weight enumerator $W_{C,f}$ of a linear code C . Bachoc [3] and Tanabe [27] independently both proved a MacWilliams identity for the harmonic weight enumerators of linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q .

*Corresponding author.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of the harmonic Tutte polynomials associated with a harmonic function of a certain degree, and give a Greene-type identity which we call the *generalized Greene identity*, which relates the harmonic weight enumerator of a code and the harmonic Tutte polynomial of the matroid corresponding to the code. Moreover, as an application of the generalized Greene identity, we give a combinatorial proof of Bachoc and Tanabe's MacWilliams-type identity which is stated in Theorem 2.5.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the basic definitions and properties in coding theory and matroid theory used in this paper. In Section 3, we define the harmonic Tutte polynomial, and obtain a relation between the harmonic Tutte polynomials of a matroid and its dual (Theorem 3.2). Moreover, we reinterpret the definition of harmonic weight enumerators of codes (Theorem 3.10). In Section 4, we give a generalization of Greene identity (Theorem 4.2) with an application in the proof of the MacWilliams identity for harmonic weight enumerator. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude the paper with some remarks.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NOTIONS

In this section, we give some basic definitions and properties of codes and matroids that are necessary for this paper. We follow [15, 18, 24, 25, 27] for the discussions. Moreover, we recall some definitions and properties of the (discrete) harmonic functions; see [2, 13, 27] for more detail.

2.1. Discrete harmonic functions. Let $E := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where n is a positive integer. Let 2^E denote the set of all subsets of E . We define $E_d := \{X \in 2^E \mid |X| = d\}$ for $d = 0, 1, \dots, n$. We denote by $\mathbb{R}2^E$ and $\mathbb{R}E_d$ the real vector spaces spanned by the elements of 2^E and E_d , respectively. An element of $\mathbb{R}E_d$ is denoted by

$$(1) \quad f := \sum_{Z \in E_d} f(Z)Z$$

with coefficients $f(Z) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\mathbb{R}E_d$ is identified with the real-valued function on E_d given by $Z \mapsto f(Z)$. Such an element $f \in \mathbb{R}E_d$ can be extended to an element $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{R}2^E$ by setting, for all $X \in 2^E$,

$$(2) \quad \tilde{f}(X) := \sum_{Z \in E_d, Z \subset X} f(Z).$$

Note that $\tilde{f}(X) = 0$ for any $X \in 2^E$ such that $|X| < d$. If an element $g \in \mathbb{R}2^E$ is equal to \tilde{f} for some $f \in \mathbb{R}E_d$, then we say that g has degree

d . We call the vector space $\mathbb{R}E_d$ the *homogeneous space* of degree d , and denote it by $\text{Hom}_d(n)$. The differentiation γ is the operator on $\mathbb{R}E_d$ defined by linearity from the identity

$$(3) \quad \gamma(Z) := \sum_{Y \in E_{d-1}, Y \subset Z} Y$$

for all $Z \in E_d$ and for all $d = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Also, $\text{Harm}_d(n)$ is the kernel of γ :

$$\text{Harm}_d(n) := \ker \left(\gamma|_{\mathbb{R}E_d} \right).$$

Remark 2.1 ([2, 13]). Let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. Then $\gamma^{d-i}(f) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. This means from definition (3) that

$$\sum_{X \in E_i} \left(\sum_{Z \in E_d, X \subseteq Z} f(Z) \right) X = 0.$$

This implies that $\sum_{Z \in E_d, X \subseteq Z} f(Z) = 0$ for any $X \in E_i$.

Remark 2.2. Let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. Since $\sum_{Z \in E_d} f(Z) = 0$, then it is easy to check from (3) that $\sum_{X \in E_t} \tilde{f}(X) = 0$, where $1 \leq d \leq t \leq n$.

To make the the above definitions and remarks related to harmonic functions easier to understand, we give the following example.

Example 2.3. Let $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $d = 1$. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}E_1$ be the element

$$f = a\{1\} + b\{2\} + c\{3\},$$

where $a = f(\{1\})$, $b = f(\{2\})$ and $c = f(\{3\})$. Then $\gamma(f) = (a+b+c)\emptyset$. Suppose that $f \in \text{Harm}_1(3)$. This implies $\gamma(f) = 0$. So, $a + b + c = 0$. That is, $c = -(a + b)$. Hence

$$\text{Harm}_1(3) \ni f = a\{1\} + b\{2\} - (a + b)\{3\}.$$

For $f \in \text{Harm}_1(3)$, we have the following \tilde{f} 's by (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\emptyset) &= 0, & \tilde{f}(\{1\}) &= a, & \tilde{f}(\{2\}) &= b, & \tilde{f}(\{3\}) &= -(a + b) \\ \tilde{f}(\{1, 2\}) &= a + b, & \tilde{f}(\{1, 3\}) &= -b, & \tilde{f}(\{2, 3\}) &= -a, & \tilde{f}(\{1, 2, 3\}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Linear codes. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order q , where q is a prime power. Then $V := \mathbb{F}_q^n$ denotes the vector space of dimension n with ordinary inner product:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} := u_1 v_1 + \cdots + u_n v_n$$

for $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$, where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Let $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) := \{i \in E \mid u_i \neq 0\}$ and $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) := |\text{supp}(\mathbf{u})|$ for $\mathbf{u} \in V$. Let $V_d := \{\mathbf{u} \in V \mid \text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) = d\}$. An element $f \in \mathbb{R}E_d$ can be extended to an element $\acute{f} \in \mathbb{R}V$ by setting, for all $\mathbf{u} \in V$,

$$(4) \quad \acute{f}(\mathbf{u}) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in V_d, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{u})}} f(\text{supp}(\mathbf{v})).$$

An \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n is a linear subspace of V . An \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n with dimension k is called an $[n, k]$ linear code. Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code. We denote by C^\perp the *dual code* of C , defined as:

$$C^\perp := \{\mathbf{u} \in V \mid \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in C\}.$$

The *weight distribution* of C is the sequence $\{A_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$, where A_i is the number of codewords of weight i . The polynomial

$$W_C(x, y) := \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in C} x^{n-\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} y^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i x^{n-i} y^i$$

is called the *weight enumerator* of C and satisfies the MacWilliams identity:

$$W_{C^\perp}(x, y) = \frac{1}{|C|} W_C(x + (q-1)y, x - y).$$

Bachoc [2] introduced the concept of harmonic weight enumerator for a binary code which was later defined for an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code by Tanabe [27] as follows.

Definition 2.4. Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n . Let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. The *harmonic weight enumerator* associated with C and f is

$$W_{C,f}(x, y) := \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in C} \acute{f}(\mathbf{u}) x^{n-\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} y^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})}.$$

Theorem 2.5 ([27], MacWilliams type identity). *Let $W_{C,f}(x, y)$ be the harmonic weight enumerator of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code C associated to $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. Then*

$$W_{C,f}(x, y) = (xy)^d Z_{C,f}(x, y),$$

where $Z_{C,f}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n - 2d$, and satisfies

$$Z_{C^\perp,f}(x, y) = (-1)^d \frac{q^{n/2}}{|C|} Z_{C,f} \left(\frac{x + (q-1)y}{\sqrt{q}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{q}} \right).$$

Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n and let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. Then the weight distribution of C associated to f is defined as

$$A_{i,f} := \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in C, \text{wt}(\mathbf{u})=i} f(\mathbf{u}).$$

Therefore the harmonic weight enumerator of C associated with f can be rewritten as

$$W_{C,f}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_{i,f} x^{n-i} y^i.$$

Now from the above definition we have by Theorem 2.5,

$$Z_{C,f}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_{i,f} x^{n-i-d} y^{i-d}.$$

Remark 2.6. If $\deg f = 0$, then we have $A_{i,f} = A_i$, that is, $W_{C,f}(x, y)$ becomes the usual weight enumerator $W_C(x, y)$.

Example 2.7. Let C be a binary linear code of length 3. The elements of C are listed as follows:

$$(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1).$$

We consider $f \in \text{Harm}_1(3)$ as computed in Example 2.3. Then by direct computation, we have the harmonic weight enumerator of C associated to f is as follows

$$W_{C,f} = -(a+b)x^2y + (a+b)xy^2 = (xy)^1 Z_{C,f},$$

where $Z_{C,f} = (a+b)(y-x)$.

2.3. Matroids. The matroids can be defined in several equivalent ways. We prefer the definition which is in terms of independent sets. A (finite) *matroid* M is an ordered pair (E, \mathcal{I}) consisting of set E and a collection \mathcal{I} of subsets of E satisfying the following conditions:

- (I1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$,
- (I2) if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $J \subset I$, then $J \in \mathcal{I}$, and
- (I3) if $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $|I| < |J|$, then there exists $j \in J \setminus I$ such that $I \cup \{j\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

The elements of \mathcal{I} are called the *independent* sets of M , and E is called the *ground set* of M . A subset of the ground set E that does not belong to \mathcal{I} is called *dependent*. An independent set is called a *basis* if it is not contained in any other independent set.

It follows from axiom (I3) that the cardinalities of all bases in a matroid M are equal; this cardinality is called the *rank* of M . The *rank* $\rho(J)$ of an arbitrary subset J of E is the size of the largest independent subset of J . That is, $\rho(J) := \max\{|I| : I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } I \subset J\}$. In particular, $\rho(\emptyset) = 0$. We call $\rho(E)$ the rank of M . We refer the readers to [25] for more information on matroids.

Definition 2.8. Let M be a matroid on the set E having a rank function ρ . The *Tutte polynomial* of M is defined as follows:

$$T(M; x, y) := \sum_{J \subset E} (x - 1)^{\rho(E) - \rho(J)} (y - 1)^{|J| - \rho(J)}.$$

Definition 2.9. Let A be a $k \times n$ matrix over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . This gives a matroid $M[A]$ on the set E in which a set I is independent if and only if the family of columns of A whose indices belong to I is linearly independent. Such a matroid is called a *vector matroid*.

For an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code C , M_C denotes the vector matroid that corresponds to C . In the rest of this note, we prefer to call M_C as a matroid instead of a vector matroid. Next we recall this construction, which is treated in [16]. Let G be a $k \times n$ matrix with rank k over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . The set E is indexing the columns of G . Let \mathcal{I}_G be the family of all subsets I of E for which the columns of G indexed by I are independent. Then $M_G := (E, \mathcal{I}_G)$ is a matroid. If G_1 and G_2 are generator matrices of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code C , then $(E, \mathcal{I}_{G_1}) = (E, \mathcal{I}_{G_2})$. Therefore, the matroid $M_C := (E, \mathcal{I}_C)$ of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code C is well defined by (E, \mathcal{I}_G) for any generator matrix G of C .

Example 2.10. Let $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let C be a $[3, 2]$ code over \mathbb{F}_2 (given in Example 2.7) with generator matrix as follows:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The columns of the matrix G are indexed by E . Now we compute a family \mathcal{I} of subsets $I \subset E$ such that the columns of G indexed by I are independent:

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}.$$

Then the matroid corresponding to C is $M_C = (E, \mathcal{I})$.

3. HARMONIC GENERALIZATIONS OF POLYNOMIALS

3.1. Harmonic Tutte Polynomials. In this section, we define the Tutte polynomials of a (finite) matroid M associated with a harmonic

function. We also present a very useful relation between the Tutte polynomial of a matroid and its dual associated to a harmonic function.

Definition 3.1. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid with rank function ρ , and $f \in \text{Hom}_d(n)$ be a real-valued function of degree d . Then the *weighted Tutte polynomial* of M associated to f is defined as follows:

$$T(M, f; x, y) := \sum_{J \subset E} \tilde{f}(J)(x-1)^{\rho(E)-\rho(J)}(y-1)^{|J|-\rho(J)}.$$

In particular, if $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$, then we call the weighted Tutte polynomial $T(M, f; x, y)$ the *harmonic Tutte polynomial* associated with f .

We define

$$\mathcal{I}^* := \{I \in 2^E \mid I \subset E \setminus A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{B}(M)\},$$

where $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the collection of all bases of M . It is clear by [25, Theorem 2.1.1] that \mathcal{I}^* is the set of independent sets of a matroid on E . This matroid $M^* := (E, \mathcal{I}^*)$ is called the *dual matroid* of M . It is well known that if ρ is the rank function of a matroid $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$, then the rank function of $M^* = (E, \mathcal{I}^*)$ is given as follows: for any $J \subset E$,

$$\rho^*(J) := |J| + \rho(E \setminus J) - \rho(E)$$

(see [25, Proposition 2.1.9]). In particular, $\rho^*(E) + \rho(E) = |E|$. The correspondence between the harmonic Tutte polynomial of a matroid M and its dual M^* associated to a harmonic function is given as follows:

Theorem 3.2. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid with a rank function ρ , and let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. Then $T(M^*, f; x, y) = (-1)^d T(M, f; y, x)$.

Before giving a proof of the above theorem, we need to know about the following technical lemma on harmonic functions from [2].

Lemma 3.3 ([2]). Let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$ and $J \subset E$. Let

$$f^{(i)}(J) := \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ |J \cap Z| = i}} f(Z).$$

Then for all $0 \leq i \leq d$, $f^{(i)}(J) = (-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} \tilde{f}(J)$.

Lemma 3.4 ([27]). Let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$, and let $J \in 2^E$. Then $\tilde{f}(J) = (-1)^d \tilde{f}(E \setminus J)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{f}(J) = 0$ if $|J| > n - d$.

Proof. Let $I, J \in 2^E$ such that $I = E \setminus J$. Then

$$(5) \quad \tilde{f}(J) = \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset J}} f(Z) = \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ |Z \cap I| = 0}} f(Z) = f^{(0)}(I) = (-1)^d \tilde{f}(E \setminus J),$$

which is immediate by Lemma 3.3. Again, if $|J| > n - d$, then $|I| = |E \setminus J| < d$. Therefore, by (2) and (5) we have $\tilde{f}(J) = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let M be a matroid on E with rank function ρ . Then M^* is the dual matroid of M with rank function $\rho^*(J) = |J| + \rho(E \setminus J) - \rho(E)$ for any $J \subset E$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
T(M^*, f; x, y) &= \sum_{J \subset E} \tilde{f}(J)(x-1)^{\rho^*(E)-\rho^*(J)}(y-1)^{|J|-\rho^*(J)} \\
&= \sum_{J \subset E} \tilde{f}(J)(x-1)^{\rho^*(E)-|J|-\rho(E \setminus J)+\rho(E)}(y-1)^{|J|-|J|-\rho(E \setminus J)+\rho(E)} \\
&= \sum_{J \subset E} \tilde{f}(J)(x-1)^{|E \setminus J|-\rho(E \setminus J)}(y-1)^{\rho(E)-\rho(E \setminus J)} \\
&= (-1)^d \sum_{J \subset E} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J)(y-1)^{\rho(E)-\rho(E \setminus J)}(x-1)^{|E \setminus J|-\rho(E \setminus J)} \\
&= (-1)^d T(M, f; y, x).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.5. We assume that $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$. From Example 2.3 we have

$$\text{Harm}_1(3) \ni f = a\{1\} + b\{2\} - (a+b)\{3\}$$

is a harmonic function of degree 1, where $f(\{1\}) = a$, $f(\{2\}) = b$ and $f(\{3\}) = -(a+b)$. Let $M_C = (E, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid corresponding to a $[3, 2]$ code C that is discussed in Example 2.10. Now we can easily find the ranks of all the subsets of E as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\emptyset) &= 0, & \rho(\{1\}) &= 1, & \rho(\{2\}) &= 1, & \rho(\{3\}) &= 1 \\
\rho(\{1, 2\}) &= 1, & \rho(\{1, 3\}) &= 2, & \rho(\{2, 3\}) &= 2, & \rho(\{1, 2, 3\}) &= 2.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(M_C, f; x, y) &= \sum_{J \subset E} \tilde{f}(J)(x-1)^{\rho(E)-\rho(J)}(y-1)^{|J|-\rho(J)} \\
&= (a+b)(x-1)(y-1) - (a+b).
\end{aligned}$$

3.2. Harmonic Weight Enumerator. In this section, we introduce a new approach to define the harmonic weight enumerators of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code. This formulation is inspired by Jurrius and Pellikaan [16].

Definition 3.6. Let E be a finite set of cardinality n . Again let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n . Then for an arbitrary subset $J \subset E$, we

define

$$\begin{aligned} C(J) &:= \{\mathbf{c} \in C \mid c_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J\} \\ \ell(J) &:= \dim C(J) \\ B_J &:= q^{\ell(J)} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7 ([16]). *Let C be an $[n, k]$ linear code with generator matrix G . Assume that the columns of G are indexed by the set E . Let G_J be the $k \times t$ submatrix of G consisting of the columns of G indexed by $J \in E_t$, and let $\rho(J)$ be the rank of G_J . Then $\ell(J) = k - \rho(J)$.*

Now we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$ and $J \subset E$. Define*

$$B_{t,f} := \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) B_J.$$

Then we have the following relation between $B_{t,f}$ and $A_{i,f}$ as follows:

$$B_{t,f} = (-1)^d \sum_{i=d}^{n-t} \binom{n-d-i}{t-d} A_{i,f},$$

if $d \leq t \leq n-d$; otherwise $B_{t,f} = 0$.

Proof. It is immediate from (2) and Lemma 3.4 that $B_{t,f} = 0$ for $0 \leq t < d$ and $n-d < t \leq n$. We now focus on t with $d \leq t \leq n-d$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{t,f} &= \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) B_J \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) B_J \\ &= (-1)^d \binom{t}{d}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) B_J. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that for $d \leq t \leq n-d$,

$$\binom{t}{d}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) B_J = \sum_{i=d}^{n-t} \binom{n-d-i}{t-d} A_{i,f}.$$

Now following the definition of B_J , we can easily observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) B_J &= \sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ X \subset J}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c} \in C, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap J = \emptyset, \\ \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c} \in C, \\ \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}}} \sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap J = \emptyset, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) \\
&= \sum_{w=1}^{n-t} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c} \in C, \\ \text{wt}(\mathbf{c})=w}} \sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap J = \emptyset, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J).
\end{aligned}$$

For $X \in E_d$ and $\mathbf{c} \in C$, let

$$A_X(\mathbf{c}) := \{J \in E_t \mid X \subset J \text{ and } \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap J = \emptyset\}.$$

Then from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap J = \emptyset, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) &= \sum_{\substack{X \in E_d, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap X = \emptyset}} \sum_{J \in A_X(\mathbf{c})} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{X \in E_d, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap X = \emptyset}} \sum_{J \in A_X(\mathbf{c})} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset E \setminus J}} f(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{T \in E_t, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap T = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{X \in E_d, \\ X \subset T}} \sum_{J \in A_X(\mathbf{c})} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset E \setminus T}} f(Z) \\
&= \binom{t}{d} \binom{n-d-w}{t-d} \sum_{\substack{T \in E_t, \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap T = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset E \setminus T}} f(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{c} \in C$ with $\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}) = w$ such that $0 < w < d$, by Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{T \in E_t, \\ T \cap \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset E \setminus T}} f(Z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^w \sum_{\substack{Y \in E_i, \\ Y \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{c})}} \binom{w}{i} \binom{n-t-w}{d-i} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Y \subset Z}} f(Z) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\mathbf{c} \in C$ with $\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}) = w$ such that $d \leq w \leq n-t$, by Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{T \in E_t, \\ T \cap \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset E \setminus T}} f(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{c})}} f(Z) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{Y \in E_i, \\ Y \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{c})}} \binom{w}{i} \binom{n-t-w}{d-i} \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Y \subset Z}} f(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Z \in E_d, \\ Z \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{c})}} f(Z) + 0 \\
&= \acute{f}(\mathbf{c})
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{J \in E_t, X \in E_d, \\ X \subset J}} \tilde{f}(E \setminus J) B_J &= \sum_{w=d}^{n-t} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c} \in C, \\ \text{wt}(\mathbf{c})=w}} \binom{t}{d} \binom{n-d-w}{t-d} \acute{f}(\mathbf{c}) \\
&= \sum_{w=d}^{n-t} \binom{t}{d} \binom{n-d-w}{t-d} A_{i,f}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.9. Let C be a binary linear code of length 3 given in Example 2.7. We consider $f \in \text{Harm}_1(3)$ and its \tilde{f} 's as in Example 2.3. Now we compute $B_{t,f}$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2$ in two ways: by direct computation and by using Proposition 3.8.

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{1,f} &= \sum_{J \in E_1} \tilde{f}(J) B_J & B_{1,f} &= (-1)^1 \sum_{i=1}^2 \binom{2-i}{0} A_{i,f} \\
&= a.1 + b.1 - (a+b).1 & &= -A_{1,f} - A_{2,f} \\
&= 0 & &= (a+b) - (a+b) \\
& & &= 0 \\
\\
B_{2,f} &= \sum_{J \in E_2} \tilde{f}(J) B_J & B_{2,f} &= (-1)^1 \sum_{i=1}^1 \binom{2-i}{1} A_{i,f} \\
&= (a+b).1 - b.0 - a.0 & &= -A_{1,f} \\
&= a+b & &= a+b
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n , and let $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$. Then*

$$Z_{C,f}(x, y) = (-1)^d \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} B_{t,f}(x-y)^{t-d} y^{n-t-d}.$$

Proof. By using Proposition 3.8 and using the binomial expansion of $x^{n-i} = ((x-y) + y)^{n-i}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^d \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} B_{t,f}(x-y)^{t-d} y^{n-t-d} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \sum_{i=d}^{n-t} \binom{n-d-i}{t-d} A_{i,f}(x-y)^{t-d} y^{n-t-d} \\ &= \sum_{i=d}^{n-d} A_{i,f} \left(\sum_{t=d}^{n-i} \binom{n-d-i}{t-d} (x-y)^{t-d} y^{(n-d-i)-(t-d)} \right) y^{i-d} \\ &= \sum_{i=d}^{n-d} A_{i,f} x^{n-d-i} y^{i-d} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n A_{i,f} x^{n-i-d} y^{i-d} \\ &= Z_{C,f}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

since $A_{i,f} = 0$ for $i < d$ and $i > n-d$. \square

4. GENERALIZED GREENE'S IDENTITY

Let M_C be a matroid associated to an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code C of length n . It is immediate from [11, 14, 16] that $(M_C)^* = M_{C^\perp}$. Now we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let C be an $[n, k]$ code and M_C be its matroid. Let f be a harmonic function of degree d . Then*

$$T(M_C, f; x, y) = \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) (x-1)^{\ell(J)} (y-1)^{\ell(J)-(k-t)}.$$

Proof. The proposition follows from $\ell(J) = k - \rho(J)$ for any $J \in E_t$ by Lemma 3.7, and $\rho(E) = k$. \square

Now we have the following harmonic generalization of the Greene's identity.

Theorem 4.2. *Let C be an $[n, k]$ code and f be a harmonic function with degree d . Then*

$$Z_{C,f}(x, y) = (-1)^d (x - y)^{k-d} y^{n-k-d} T \left(M_C, f; \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y}, \frac{x}{y} \right).$$

Proof. By using the Proposition 4.1 and Remark 2.2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T \left(M_C, f; \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y}, \frac{x}{y} \right) &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) \left(\frac{qy}{x-y} \right)^{\ell(J)} \left(\frac{x-y}{y} \right)^{\ell(J)-(k-t)} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) q^{\ell(J)} \left(\frac{y}{x-y} \right)^{\ell(J)} \left(\frac{x-y}{y} \right)^{\ell(J)-(k-t)} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) ((q^{\ell(J)} - 1) + 1) (x-y)^{-(k-t)} y^{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) (B_J + 1) (x-y)^{-(k-t)} y^{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} \left(\sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) B_J + \sum_{J \in E_t} \tilde{f}(J) \right) (x-y)^{-(k-t)} y^{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} (B_{t,f} + 0) (x-y)^{-(k-t)} y^{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} B_{t,f} (x-y)^{-(k-t)} y^{k-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Theorem 3.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^d (x-y)^{k-d} y^{n-k-d} T \left(M_C, f; \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y}, \frac{x}{y} \right) \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{t=d}^{n-d} B_{t,f} (x-y)^{t-d} y^{n-t-d} \\ &= Z_{C,f}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we give an alternative proof of the \mathbb{F}_q -analogue of Bachoc's MacWilliams type identity (see [2]) stated in Theorem 2.5 as an application of Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let C be an $[n, k]$ code, and M_C be its matroid. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^d \frac{q^{n/2}}{|C|} Z_{C,f} \left(\frac{x + (q-1)y}{\sqrt{q}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{2d} \frac{q^{n/2}}{q^k} \left(\frac{qy}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^{k-d} \left(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^{n-k-d} T \left(M_C, f; \frac{x}{y}, \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y} \right) \\
&= (x-y)^{n-k-d} y^{k-d} T \left(M_C, f; \frac{x}{y}, \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y} \right) \\
&= (-1)^d (x-y)^{(n-k)-d} y^{n-(n-k)-d} T \left(M_{C^\perp}, f; \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y}, \frac{x}{y} \right) \\
&= (-1)^d (x-y)^{\dim C^\perp - d} y^{n-\dim C^\perp - d} T \left(M_{C^\perp}, f; \frac{x + (q-1)y}{x-y}, \frac{x}{y} \right) \\
&= Z_{C^\perp, f}(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Theorem is proved. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Let n, k, t and λ be non-negative integers such that $n \geq k \geq t$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. A t -(n, k, λ) *design* (in short, t -design) is a pair $\mathcal{D} := (E, \mathcal{B})$, where E is a finite set of point of cardinality n , and \mathcal{B} is a collection of k -element subsets of E called *blocks*, with the property that any t points are contained in precisely λ blocks. Some properties of combinatorial t -designs obtained from codes were discussed in [1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 17, 21, 22, 23, 26] and their analogies in the theory of lattices and vertex operator algebras were discussed in [4, 5, 6, 7, 19, 20, 21].

The harmonic functions have many applications; particularly, the relations between design theory and coding theory were stated in Bachoc [2]: the set of words with fixed weight in a binary code C forms a t -design if and only if $W_{C,f}(x, y) = 0$ for all $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$, $1 \leq d \leq t$. Now we have the following design theoretical remark that gives an application of the harmonic function connecting the t -designs with matroids.

Remark 5.1. If $T(M_C, f; x, y) = 0$ for all $f \in \text{Harm}_d(n)$, $1 \leq d \leq t$, then the set of words with fixed weight in an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code C forms a t -design.

We will more precisely discuss about a relation between matroids and combinatorial designs with respect to “harmonic Tutte polynomials” in a forthcoming paper. Moreover, we give the generalizations of the results in this paper in [8].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Thomas Britz for helpful discussions. The authors would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their beneficial comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. The second author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (22K03277).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.F. Assmus, Jr., and H.F. Mattson, Jr., New 5-designs, *J. Comb. Th.* **6** (1969), 122–151.
- [2] C. Bachoc, On harmonic weight enumerators of binary codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **18** (1999), no. 1-3, 11-28.
- [3] C. Bachoc, Harmonic weight enumerators of nonbinary codes and MacWilliams identities, *Codes and Association Schemes*, 1–23, Piscataway, NJ, 1999. *DI-MACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput.* **56**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2001.
- [4] E. Bannai, M. Koike, M. Shinohara, and M. Tagami, Spherical designs attached to extremal lattices and the modulo p property of Fourier coefficients of extremal modular forms, *Mosc. Math. J.* **6** (2006), 225–264.
- [5] E. Bannai, and T. Mieazaki, Toy models for D. H. Lehmer’s conjecture, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), no. 3, 687–705.
- [6] E. Bannai, and T. Mieazaki, Toy models for D. H. Lehmer’s conjecture II, *Quadratic and higher degree forms*, 1–27, *Dev. Math.* **31**, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [7] E. Bannai, T. Mieazaki, and V.A. Yudin, An elementary approach to toy models for Lehmer’s conjecture, (Russian) *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **75** (2011), no. 6, 3–16; translation in *Izv. Math.* **75** (2011), no. 6, 1093–1106.
- [8] T. Britz, H.S. Chakraborty, R. Ishikawa, and T. Mieazaki, Harmonic Tutte polynomials of matroids II, submitted.
- [9] T. Britz, and K. Shiromoto, Designs from subcode supports of linear codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **46** (2008), 175–189.
- [10] T. Britz, G. Royle, and K. Shiromoto, Designs from matroids, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* **23** (2009), 1082–1099.
- [11] P.J. Cameron, *Combinatorics 2: Structure, Symmetry and Polynomials*, Lecture note.
- [12] H.H. Crapo, The Tutte Polynomial, *Aequationes Math.* **3** (1969), 211-229.
- [13] P. Delsarte, Hahn polynomials, discrete harmonics, and t -designs, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **34** (1978), no. 1, 157-166.
- [14] C. Greene, Weight enumeration and the geometry of linear codes, *Studia Appl. Math.* **55** (1976), 119–128.
- [15] W.C. Huffman, and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, first edition, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2003.

- [16] R. Jurrius, and R. Pellikaan, Codes, Arrangements and Matroids, *Algebraic Geometry Modeling in information Theory, Series on Coding Theory and Cryptology* **8** (2013), 219–325.
- [17] N. Horiguchi, T. Miezaki, and H. Nakasora, On the support designs of extremal binary doubly even self-dual codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **72** (2014), 529–537.
- [18] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes*, North-Holland Editor, 1977.
- [19] T. Miezaki, Conformal designs and D.H. Lehmer’s conjecture, *J. Algebra* **374** (2013), 59–65.
- [20] T. Miezaki, Design-theoretic analogies between codes, lattices, and vertex operator algebras, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **89** (2021), 763–780.
- [21] T. Miezaki, A. Munemasa, and H. Nakasora, An note on Assmus–Mattson theorems, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **89** (2021), 843–858.
- [22] T. Miezaki, and H. Nakasora, An upper bound of the value of t of the support t -designs of extremal binary doubly even self-dual codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **79** (2016), 37–46.
- [23] T. Miezaki, and H. Nakasora, The support designs of the triply even binary codes of length 48, *J. Combin. Designs* **27** (2019), 673–681.
- [24] T. Miezaki, M. Oura, T. Sakuma, and H. Shinohara, A generalization of the Tutte polynomials, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **95**, no. 10, (2019), 111–113.
- [25] J.C. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [26] D.K. Ray-Chaudhuri, and R.W. Wilson, On t -design, *Osaka J. Math.* **12** (1975), 737–744.
- [27] K. Tanabe, A new proof of the Assmus–Mattson theorem for non-binary codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **22** (2001), 149–155.
- [28] W.T. Tutte, A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomial, *Canadian J. Math.* **6** (1954), 80–91.
- [29] W.T. Tutte, On dichromatic polynomials, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **2** (1967), 301–320.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHJALAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SYLHET-3114, BANGLADESH,
Email address: himadri-mat@sust.edu

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, TOKYO 169-8555, JAPAN,
Email address: miezaki@waseda.jp

INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY, ISHIKAWA 920-1192, JAPAN
Email address: oura@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp