

A Complete List of all Numbers not of the Form $ax + by$

Damanvir Singh Binner *

Department of Mathematics
 Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER)
 Mohali, Punjab, India
 damanvirsinghbinner@gmail.com

Abstract

For given coprime positive integers a and b , the classical Frobenius coin problem asked to find the largest number that cannot be expressed in the form $ax + by$ for nonnegative integers x and y , also known as the Frobenius number. Sylvester answered this question and also discovered the number of these nonrepresentable numbers. In recent times, a lot of progress has been made regarding the sums of a fixed power of these nonrepresentable numbers, commonly known as the Sylvester sums. However, the actual list of nonrepresentable numbers has remained mysterious so far. In this note, we obtain a complete list, that is a simple explicit description of these nonrepresentable numbers. We give two different proofs of our result. One of these is a short direct proof whereas the other one enables us to use Eisenstein's lattice point counting techniques to study the set of nonrepresentable numbers.

1 Introduction

Let a and b be given coprime positive integers a and b . It is well known that there are finitely many natural numbers that cannot be expressed in the form $ax + by$ for nonnegative integers x and y . These numbers are sometimes known as the *nonrepresentable numbers*. The largest such number is known as the *Frobenius number* of a and b . In 1884, Sylvester proved that the Frobenius number is $ab - a - b$, as shown in the following result, which we refer to as *Sylvester's lemma*.

Lemma 1 (Sylvester (1882)). *For natural numbers a and b such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, the equation $ax + by = n$ has a solution (x, y) , with x and y nonnegative integers, whenever $n \geq (a - 1)(b - 1)$.*

Sylvester further proved there is no solution of $ax + by = n$ for exactly half of the numbers till the Frobenius number. We state this in the following theorem, which we refer to as *Sylvester's theorem*.

*The author acknowledges the support of IISER Mohali for providing research facilities and fellowship.

Theorem 2 (Sylvester (1882)). *If a and b are coprime numbers, the number of natural numbers that cannot be expressed in the form $ax + by$ for nonnegative integers x and y is equal to $\frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2}$.*

Several curious properties of nonrepresentable numbers have been discovered in the recent years. For example, Brown and Shiue [5] discovered a formula for the sum of nonrepresentable numbers. Rødseth [11] considered the sums of higher powers of nonrepresentable numbers, commonly known as the *Sylvester sums*. A lot of progress has been made on Sylvester sums. For details, refer to [3, 4, 8, 15, 16].

However, despite the progress on Sylvester sums, the actual list of nonrepresentable numbers seems deeply mysterious, and is the main goal of this note.

Recently, the present author [2, Section 3] proved that a special case of Sylvester's theorem is equivalent to the following celebrated result of Gauss related to the law of quadratic reciprocity.

Theorem 3 (Gauss (1808)). *For distinct odd primes p and q ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{iq}{p} \right\rfloor + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{ip}{q} \right\rfloor = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4},$$

Eisenstein (see [6] or [1, Page 20]) gave a beautiful geometric proof of Theorem 3 by using lattice point counting methods.

Further, the present author [3, Section 2] showed that a mild generalization of Theorem 3 is equivalent to Sylvester's theorem. One implication of the equivalence is that using Eisenstein's lattice point counting argument (used in the geometric proof of Theorem 3), one can obtain the number of nonrepresentable numbers. However, since the present author's proof of the equivalence as well as Eisenstein's lattice point arguments involve only counting techniques, it seems that this procedure might also yield the complete set of nonrepresentable numbers, which is usually denoted by $\text{NR}(a, b)$. This is the goal of this note. That is, we obtain the complete list of nonrepresentable numbers, as described in Theorem 4 below. Let $R(a, b)$ denotes the set of numbers less than or equal to $a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$ that can be expressed in the form $ax + by$ for nonnegative integers x and y .

Theorem 4. *The set $R(a, b)$ is given as*

$$R(a, b) = \left\{ ai + bj : 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - |ai - bj| : 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\},$$

and

$$\text{NR}(a, b) = \left\{ 0, 1, 2, \dots, a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \setminus R(a, b).$$

Remark 5. Sylvester's theorem immediately follows from Theorem 4. To see this, note that

$$|R(a, b)| = \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Then,

$$|\text{NR}(a, b)| = \left(a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - |R(a, b)|.$$

From here, it is straightforward to verify that $|\text{NR}(a, b)| = \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2}$.

This very simple description of nonrepresentable numbers suggests that one should be able to give a short direct proof. We give such a proof in Section 3. The readers interested in a direct proof might skip Section 2 and directly go to Section 3. Though many steps in our proof of Theorem 4 in Section 2 are very similar to those in the proof of the equivalence in [2, Section 3], we provide all the details here for the sake of completeness.

2 Proof of Theorem 4

In this section, we prove Theorem 4. Our approach involves finding nonnegative integer solutions of

$$ax + by + z = a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Let T denote the set of nonnegative integer solutions of the above equation. Then, it is easy to verify that $R(a, b)$ is the same set as

$$\left\{ ax + by : \left(x, y, a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - ax - by \right) \in T \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Thus, we basically need to study the set T . We recall some notation, maps and approach from [2, Section 3].

Let X , Y , and Z denote $\left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor - x$, $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - y$, and $b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - z$, respectively. Then the given equation can be rewritten as $aX + bY + Z = b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$. We split our calculation into four different cases according to

1. $X \geq 0, Y \geq 0, Z \geq 0$,
2. $X \geq 0, Y \geq 0, Z < 0$,
3. $X \geq 0, Y < 0$, or
4. $X < 0$.

Recall the following sets:

- S_1, S_2, S_3 , and S_4 denote the set of nonnegative integer solutions of $ax + by + z = a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$ in Cases 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.
- T_1 denotes the set of nonnegative integer solutions of $ax + by + z = b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$.
- T_2 denotes the set of nonnegative integer solutions of $ax + by + z = a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor$.
- U denotes the set of solutions in T_2 that satisfy $z = 0$.

- V denotes the set of solutions in T_2 that satisfy $y = 0$.
- W denotes the set of solutions in T_1 that satisfy $x = 0$.

Next, recall the following maps from the sets $S_1, S_2, S_3,$ and S_4 to T_1 and T_2 .

- Define $\phi_1 : S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ such that $(x, y, z) \mapsto (X, Y, Z)$.
- Define $\phi_2 : S_2 \rightarrow T_2$ such that $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, -Z)$.
- Define $\phi_3 : S_3 \rightarrow T_2$ such that $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, -Y, z)$.
- Define $\phi_4 : S_4 \rightarrow T_1$ such that $(x, y, z) \mapsto (-X, y, z)$.

As mentioned in [2, Section 3], it is easy to verify that $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3,$ and ϕ_4 are well-defined injective maps and their images are given as follows:

- $\phi_1(S_1) = T_1$.
- $\phi_2(S_2) = T_2 \setminus U$.
- $\phi_3(S_3) = T_2 \setminus V$.
- $\phi_4(S_4) = T_1 \setminus W$.

In [2, Section 3], we were concerned with the cardinalities of these image sets. Presently, we note that these image sets are also easy to write out explicitly. We have

- $T_1 = \{(x, y, b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - ax - by) : 0 \leq y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{a} (\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - y) \rfloor\}$.
- $T_2 = \{(x, y, a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - ax - by) : 0 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{b} (\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - x) \rfloor\}$.
- $U = (\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0, 0)$.
- $V = \{(x, 0, a (\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - x)) : 0 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor\}$.
- $W = \{(0, y, b (\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - y)) : 0 \leq y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor\}$.

Thus we can write the image sets as follows.

- $T_1 = \{(x, y, b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - ax - by) : 0 \leq y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{a} (\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - y) \rfloor\}$.
- $T_2 \setminus U = \{(x, y, a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - ax - by) : 0 \leq x < \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{b} (\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - x) \rfloor\}$.
- $T_2 \setminus V = \{(x, y, a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - ax - by) : 0 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 < y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{b} (\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - x) \rfloor\}$.
- $T_1 \setminus W = \{(x, y, b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - ax - by) : 0 \leq y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 < x \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{a} (\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - y) \rfloor\}$.

Since these sets are in bijection with the sets S_1, S_2, S_3 and S_4 via the maps $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3,$ and ϕ_4 , which are very simple bijections, we get that the sets S_1, S_2, S_3 and S_4 are given as follows.

- $S_1 = \{(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - i, j, b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor + ai - bj) : 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{bj}{a} \rfloor\}$.
- $S_2 = \{(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + i, \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - j, bj - ai) : 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 < i \leq \lfloor \frac{bj}{a} \rfloor\}$.
- $S_3 = \{(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - i, j, b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor + ai - bj) : 0 < i \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{ai}{b} \rfloor\}$.
- $S_4 = \{(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - i, \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor + j, ai - bj) : 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 < j \leq \lfloor \frac{ai}{b} \rfloor\}$.

The union of the sets S_i then gives the set T . Therefore, by (1), we get that the set $R(a, b)$ is given as

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4, \quad (2)$$

where the sets A_i are as follows.

- $A_1 = \{a(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - i) + bj : 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{bj}{a} \rfloor\}$.
- $A_2 = \{a(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + i) + b(\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - j) : 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor, 0 < i \leq \lfloor \frac{bj}{a} \rfloor\}$.
- $A_3 = \{a(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - i) + bj : 0 < i \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{ai}{b} \rfloor\}$.
- $A_4 = \{a(\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor - i) + b(\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor + j) : 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor, 0 < j \leq \lfloor \frac{ai}{b} \rfloor\}$.

Using Eisenstein's lattice point argument in the geometric proof of Theorem 3, we can combine A_1 and A_3 to get

$$A_1 \cup A_3 = \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor - (ai - bj) : 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can combine A_2 and A_4 to get

$$A_2 \cup A_4 = \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - |ai - bj| : 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Thus, the set of numbers less than or equal to $a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$ that can be expressed in the form $ax + by$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(a, b) &= A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \\ &= \left\{ ai : 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + bj : 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor - (ai - bj) : 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - |ai - bj| : 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the third set in the above union as:

$$\left\{ ai + bj : 0 \leq i < \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Then, we can combine the first three sets to get the set

$$\left\{ ai + bj : 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Therefore, we can write $R(a, b)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} R(a, b) = & \left\{ ai + bj : 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - |ai - bj| : 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Sylvester's lemma, $a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$ is a number greater than the Frobenius number of a and b . Therefore, the set $\text{NR}(a, b)$ of numbers that cannot be expressed in the form $ax + by$ can be obtained by removing the elements of $R(a, b)$ from the set of numbers in the interval $[0, a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor]$. That is, we have

$$\text{NR}(a, b) = \left\{ 0, 1, 2, \dots, a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \setminus R(a, b).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

3 A short direct proof of Theorem 4

We have

$$R(a, b) = \left\{ ax + by : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, ax + by \leq a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Note that for $ax + by \leq a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$, it is not possible to have $x > \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $y > \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$. Thus, we have the following three cases.

1. $x \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$.
2. $x > \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, y < \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$.
3. $y > \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor, x < \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Next, we find the contribution to $R(a, b)$ from each of these three cases.

Case 1: Let $x \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$. In this case, it is clear that $ax + by \leq a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor$. Thus the contribution to $R(a, b)$ from Case 1 is given by

$$\left\{ ax + by : 0 \leq x \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 0 \leq y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Case 2: Let $x > \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor$ and $y < \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$. Set $X = x - \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor$ and $Y = \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor - y$. Note that $X \geq 1$ and $1 \leq Y \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$. Then the condition $ax + by \leq a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$ can be rewritten as $aX \leq bY$. Therefore,

$$1 \leq X \leq \left\lfloor \frac{bY}{a} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor}{a} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ax + by &= a \left(X + \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + b \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - Y \right) \\ &= a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + (aX - bY). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the contribution to $R(a, b)$ from Case 2 is given by

$$\left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor + (aX - bY) : 1 \leq X \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq Y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor, aX \leq bY \right\}.$$

Note that it is clear that the members of the above set indeed lie in $R(a, b)$. A similar analysis shows that the contribution to $R(a, b)$ from Case 3 is given by

$$\left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - (aX - bY) : 1 \leq X \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq Y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor, aX \geq bY \right\}.$$

Combining the contributions from Cases 2 and 3, we get that the net contribution to $R(a, b)$ from these cases is given by

$$\left\{ a \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor - |aX - bY| : 1 \leq X \leq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq Y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Combining this with the contribution to $R(a, b)$ from Case 1 completes the proof of first part of Theorem 4. The second part of Theorem 4 simply follows from the fact that $a \lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor + b \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$ is a number greater than the Frobenius number of a and b , which is a consequence of Sylvester's lemma.

4 Examples

Let $a = 7$ and $b = 5$. By Sylvester's lemma and Sylvester's theorem, we know that every number greater than or equal to 24 can be expressed in the form $7x + 5y$ and exactly 12 numbers are nonrepresentable. Here, we find all the nonrepresentable numbers using Theorem 4. We have

$$\text{NR}(7, 5) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 29\} \setminus R(7, 5),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(7, 5) &= \{7i + 5j : 0 \leq i \leq 2, 0 \leq j \leq 3\} \\ &\cup \{29 - |7i - 5j| : 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 3\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

i	j	$7i + 5j$	$7i - 5j$	$ 7i - 5j $	$29 - 7i - 5j $
1	1	12	2	2	27
1	2	17	-3	3	26
1	3	22	-8	8	21
2	1	19	9	9	20
2	2	24	4	4	25
2	3	29	-1	1	28

Table 1: The calculation of $\text{NR}(7, 5)$.

In the first set in (3), the values when either i or j is 0 are given by $\{0\} \cup \{7i : 1 \leq i \leq 2\} \cup \{5j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\} = \{0\} \cup \{7, 14\} \cup \{5, 10, 15\} = \{0, 5, 7, 10, 14, 15\}$. For finding the remaining values in the two sets in (3), we construct Table 1.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R(7, 5) &= \{0, 5, 7, 10, 14, 15\} \\
&\cup \{12, 17, 22, 19, 24, 29\} \\
&\cup \{27, 26, 21, 20, 25, 28\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$R(7, 5) = \{0, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29\},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{NR}(7, 5) &= \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 29\} \setminus R(7, 5) \\
&= \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 29\} \setminus \{0, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29\} \\
&= \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 23\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We describe our method for another much lengthier example. Let $a = 29$ and $b = 23$. By Sylvester's lemma and Sylvester's theorem, we know that every number greater than or equal to 616 can be expressed in the form $29x + 23y$ and exactly 308 numbers are nonrepresentable. Here, we find all the nonrepresentable numbers using Theorem 4. We have

$$\text{NR}(29, 23) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 641\} \setminus R(29, 23),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R(29, 23) &= \{29i + 23j : 0 \leq i \leq 11, 0 \leq j \leq 14\} \\
&\cup \{641 - |29i - 23j| : 1 \leq i \leq 11, 1 \leq j \leq 14\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the first set in (4), the values when either i or j is 0 are given by $\{0\} \cup \{29i : 1 \leq i \leq 11\} \cup \{23j : 1 \leq j \leq 14\}$, which can be written explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{0\} \cup \{29, 59, 87, 116, 145, 174, 203, 232, 261, 290, 319\} \\
&\cup \{23, 46, 69, 92, 115, 138, 161, 184, 207, 230, 253, 276, 299, 322\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The calculation for finding the remaining values in the two sets in (4) is somewhat lengthy and it is time saving to work for a fixed value of j and all values of i . As an example, we

demonstrate the computation of these sets in the case $j = 4$ and $1 \leq i \leq 11$. Other cases are similar. For $j = 4$, we have $29i + 23j = 92 + 29i$, and its set of values as $1 \leq i \leq 11$ is given as

$$\{121, 150, 179, 208, 237, 266, 295, 324, 353, 382, 411\}.$$

Similarly, for $j = 4$, we have $29i - 23j = 29i - 92$, and its set of values as $1 \leq i \leq 11$ is given as

$$\{-63, -34, -5, 24, 53, 82, 111, 140, 169, 198, 227\},$$

and thus the set of values of $|29i - 23j|$ is given as

$$\{63, 34, 5, 24, 53, 82, 111, 140, 169, 198, 227\}.$$

Therefore, the set of values of $641 - |29i - 23j|$ is given as

$$\{578, 607, 636, 617, 588, 559, 530, 501, 472, 443, 414\}.$$

The calculation for other values of j can be done with similar ease, and the answers are recorded in Table 2.

j	$29i + 23j : 1 \leq i \leq 11$	$641 - 29i - 23j : 1 \leq i \leq 11$
1	52, 81, 110, 139, 168, 197, 226, 255, 284, 313, 342	635, 606, 577, 548, 519, 490, 461, 432, 403, 374, 345
2	75, 104, 133, 162, 191, 220, 249, 278, 307, 336, 365	624, 629, 600, 571, 542, 513, 484, 455, 426, 397, 368
3	98, 127, 156, 185, 214, 243, 272, 301, 330, 359, 388	601, 630, 623, 594, 565, 536, 507, 478, 449, 420, 391
4	121, 150, 179, 208, 237, 266, 295, 324, 353, 382, 411	578, 607, 636, 617, 588, 559, 530, 501, 472, 443, 414
5	144, 173, 202, 231, 260, 289, 318, 347, 376, 405, 434	555, 584, 613, 640, 611, 582, 553, 524, 495, 466, 437
6	167, 196, 225, 254, 283, 312, 341, 370, 399, 428, 457	532, 561, 590, 619, 634, 605, 576, 547, 518, 489, 460
7	190, 219, 248, 277, 306, 335, 364, 393, 422, 451, 480	509, 538, 567, 596, 625, 628, 599, 570, 541, 512, 483
8	213, 242, 271, 300, 329, 358, 387, 416, 445, 474, 503	486, 515, 544, 573, 602, 631, 622, 593, 564, 535, 506
9	236, 265, 294, 323, 352, 381, 401, 439, 468, 497, 526	463, 492, 521, 550, 579, 608, 637, 616, 587, 558, 529
10	259, 288, 317, 346, 375, 404, 433, 462, 491, 520, 549	440, 469, 498, 527, 556, 585, 614, 639, 610, 581, 552
11	282, 311, 340, 369, 398, 427, 456, 485, 514, 543, 572	417, 446, 475, 504, 533, 562, 591, 620, 633, 604, 575
12	305, 334, 363, 392, 421, 450, 479, 508, 537, 566, 595	394, 423, 452, 481, 510, 539, 568, 597, 626, 627, 598
13	328, 357, 386, 415, 444, 473, 502, 531, 560, 589, 618	371, 400, 429, 458, 487, 516, 545, 574, 603, 632, 621
14	351, 380, 409, 438, 467, 496, 525, 554, 583, 612, 641	348, 377, 406, 435, 464, 493, 522, 551, 580, 609, 638

Table 2: The calculation of $\text{NR}(29, 23)$.

Collecting all the values in Table 2, along with those in (5) and then sorting, we get that $R(29, 23)$ consists of the following numbers.

0, 23, 29, 46, 52, 58, 69, 75, 81, 87, 92, 98, 104, 110, 115, 116, 121, 127,
 133, 138, 139, 144, 145, 150, 156, 161, 162, 167, 168, 173, 174, 179, 184, 185,
 190, 191, 196, 197, 202, 203, 207, 208, 213, 214, 219, 220, 225, 226, 230, 231,
 232, 236, 237, 242, 243, 248, 249, 253, 254, 255, 259, 260, 261, 265, 266, 271,
 272, 276, 277, 278, 282, 283, 284, 288, 289, 290, 294, 295, 299, 300, 301, 305,
 306, 307, 311, 312, 313, 317, 318, 319, 319, 322, 322, 323, 324, 328, 329, 330,
 334, 335, 336, 340, 341, 342, 342, 345, 346, 347, 348, 351, 351, 352, 353, 357,
 358, 359, 363, 364, 365, 365, 368, 369, 370, 371, 374, 375, 376, 377, 380, 380,
 381, 382, 386, 387, 388, 388, 391, 392, 393, 394, 397, 398, 399, 400, 403, 404,
 405, 406, 409, 409, 410, 411, 411, 414, 415, 416, 417, 420, 421, 422, 423, 426,
 427, 428, 429, 432, 433, 434, 434, 435, 437, 438, 438, 439, 440, 443, 444, 445,
 446, 449, 450, 451, 452, 455, 456, 457, 457, 458, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 466, (6)
 467, 467, 468, 469, 472, 473, 474, 475, 478, 479, 480, 480, 481, 483, 484, 485,
 486, 487, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 495, 496, 496, 497, 498, 501, 502, 503, 503,
 504, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522,
 524, 525, 525, 526, 526, 527, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539,
 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 554, 555,
 556, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 570, 571, 572, 572, 573,
 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 583, 584, 585, 587, 588, 589,
 590, 591, 593, 594, 595, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605,
 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 612, 613, 614, 616, 617, 618, 618, 619, 620,
 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636,
 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 641.

Removing the numbers in (6) from the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 641\}$, we find the set $N(29, 23)$.
 That is, the complete list of nonnegative integers that cannot be expressed in the form
 $29x + 23y$ for nonnegative integers x and y is given as follows.

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,
24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44,
45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67,
68, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 88, 89, 90, 91,
93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112,
113, 114, 117, 118, 119, 120, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132,
134, 135, 136, 137, 140, 141, 142, 143, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154,
155, 157, 158, 159, 160, 163, 164, 165, 166, 169, 170, 171, 172, 175, 176, 177,
178, 180, 181, 182, 183, 186, 187, 188, 189, 192, 193, 194, 195, 198, 199, 200,
201, 204, 205, 206, 209, 210, 211, 212, 215, 216, 217, 218, 221, 222, 223, 224,
227, 228, 229, 233, 234, 235, 238, 239, 240, 241, 244, 245, 246, 247, 250, 251,
252, 256, 257, 258, 262, 263, 264, 267, 268, 269, 270, 273, 274, 275, 279, 280,
281, 285, 286, 287, 291, 292, 293, 296, 297, 298, 302, 303, 304, 308, 309, 310,
314, 315, 316, 320, 321, 325, 326, 327, 331, 332, 333, 337, 338, 339, 343, 344,
349, 350, 354, 355, 356, 360, 361, 362, 366, 367, 372, 373, 378, 379, 383, 384,
385, 389, 390, 395, 396, 401, 402, 407, 408, 412, 413, 418, 419, 424, 425, 430,
431, 436, 441, 442, 447, 448, 453, 454, 459, 465, 470, 471, 476, 477, 482, 488,
494, 499, 500, 505, 511, 517, 523, 528, 534, 540, 546, 557, 563, 569, 586, 592,
615.

References

- [1] O. Baumgart, *The Quadratic Reciprocity Law: A Collection of Classical Proofs*, Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [2] D. S. Binner, The number of solutions to $ax + by + cz = n$ and its relation to quadratic residues, *J. Integer Seq.* **23** (2020), Article 20.6.5.
- [3] D. S. Binner, Generalization of a Result of Sylvester Related to the Frobenius Coin Problem, *J. Integer Seq.* **24** (2021), Article 21.8.4.
- [4] D. S. Binner, Combinatorial methods for integer partitions, *PhD Thesis*, Simon Fraser University, 2021, available online at <http://summit.sfu.ca/item/21451>.
- [5] T. C. Brown and P. J. Shiue, A remark related to the Frobenius problem, *Fibonacci Quart.*, **31**, 32–36, 1993.
- [6] G. Eisenstein. Geometrischer Beweis des Fundamentaltheorems für die quadratischen Reste, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **28** (1844), 246–248.
- [7] C. F. Gauss, Theorematis arithmetici demonstratio nova, *Comment. Soc. regiae sci. Göttingen* **XVI** (1808), 69.

- [8] T. Komatsu and Y. Zhang, Weighted Sylvester sums on the Frobenius set, arxiv preprint, 2021. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2105.08274.pdf>.
- [9] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman and H. L. Montgomery, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, John Wiley and Sons Inc, New York, 2004.
- [10] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem*, volume 30 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.
- [11] Ø. J. Rødseth, A note on Brown and Shiue’s paper on a remark related to the Frobenius problem, *Fibonacci Quart.* **32**, 407–408, 1994.
- [12] J. J. Sylvester, On subinvariants, i.e. semi-invariants to binary quantics of an unlimited order, *Amer. J. Math.* **5** (1882), 79–136.
- [13] J. J. Sylvester, Problem 7382, *Mathematical Questions, with their Solutions, from the Educational Times* **41** (1884), 21.
- [14] A. Tripathi, The number of solutions to $ax + by = n$, *Fibonacci Quart.* **38** (2000), 290–293.
- [15] H. J. H. Tuenter, The Frobenius problem, sums of powers of integers, and recurrences for the Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory* **117**, 376–386, 2006.
- [16] W. Wang and T. Wang, Alternate Sylvester sums on the Frobenius set, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56**, 1328–1334, 2008.