

Cyclic Base Ordering of Graphs*

Jessica Li¹, Eric Yang², William Zhang³ †

¹Westwood High School

Round Rock, Texas 78750

Email: jessicaalbertaone.li@gmail.com

²Allen High School

Allen, Texas 75002

Email: eyangch@gmail.com

³Harker High School

San Jose, California 95129

Email: wzyang24@gmail.com

Abstract

A cyclic base ordering of a connected graph G , is a cyclic ordering of $E(G)$ such that every cyclically consecutive $|V(G)| - 1$ edges form a spanning tree. In this project, we study cyclic base ordering of various families of graphs, including square of cycles, wheel graphs, generalized wheel graphs and broken wheel graphs, fan and broken fan graphs, prism graphs, and maximal 2-degenerate graphs. We also provide a polynomial time algorithm to verify any given edge ordering is a cyclic base ordering.

Key words: cyclic base ordering, spanning tree, square graph, wheel graph, fan graph, prism graph, maximal 2-degenerate

*This is a summer research project (2021) of three high school students Jessica Li, Eric Yang and William Zhang under the supervision of Dr. Xiaofeng Gu of University of West Georgia.

†The authors are listed in alphabetical order by last name.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The square of cycles	4
3	Wheel and generalized wheel graphs	6
3.1	CBO of wheel graphs	6
3.2	CBO of double wheel graphs	8
4	Fan and broken fan graphs	11
5	Broken wheel graphs	14
5.1	General broken wheel graphs	14
5.2	Broken wheel graphs missing one spoke	15
5.3	Broken wheel graphs $W(n, r)$	17
6	Prism graphs	18
7	Maximal 2-degenerate graphs	21
8	A polynomial time algorithm	22

1 Introduction

Let G be a connected graph on n vertices with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. A **cyclic base ordering** or shortly **CBO** of G , is a cyclic ordering of $E(G)$ such that every cyclically consecutive $n - 1$ edges form a spanning tree. Equivalently, a cyclic base ordering is a bijection $\mathcal{O} : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |E(G)|\}$ such that $\{\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k) : k = i, i + 1, \dots, i + n - 2\}$ forms a spanning tree of G , where the labelling k is equivalent modulo $|E(G)|$. Here is a simple fact. If a graph has a CBO, then the CBO can start with any edge, since it is cyclically ordered.

By definition, it is easy to see that any path, cycle, and tree has a CBO. The well-known Petersen graph has a CBO, as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: CBO of the Petersen graph

The **density** of G , denoted by $d(G)$, is defined to be

$$d(G) = \frac{|E(G)|}{|V(G)| - 1}.$$

A graph G is **uniformly dense** if $d(H) \leq d(G)$ for every subgraph H of G .

Conjecture 1 (Kajitani, Ueno and Miyano [3]). *A connected graph G has a cyclic base ordering if and only if G is uniformly dense.*

The necessity was confirmed in [3], however, the sufficiency is still unsolved. To support this conjecture, the following results have been proved in [3] and [2].

Theorem 1.1 ([2,3]). *The following graphs have cyclic base ordering.*

- Any complete graph K_n .
- Any complete bipartite graph.

- Any k -tree for $k \in \{2, 3\}$ (A graph G is a k -tree if $G = K_{k+1}$ or G has a vertex v such that $G - v$ is a k -tree and such that v is adjacent to all vertices in a clique of order k).
- Any (multi)graph consisting of exactly 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees.

We prove that the conjecture is true for several families of uniformly dense graphs in the next several sections, including square of cycles, wheel graphs, generalized wheel graphs and broken wheel graphs, fan and broken fan graphs, prism graphs, as well as maximal 2-degenerate graphs. We also provide a polynomial time algorithm to verify any giving edge ordering is a cyclic base ordering.

Given an edge ordering of a graph G , every cyclically consecutive $|V(G)| - 1$ edges is called a **progression**. To verify an edge ordering is a CBO, it suffices to show that any progression of this edge ordering induces a spanning tree.

2 The square of cycles

The **square of a cycle** C_n , denoted by C_n^2 , is a graph obtained by joining every pair of vertices of distance two in C_n .

Theorem 2.1. *Every graph C_n^2 has a cyclic base ordering.*

Proof. Suppose that the vertices of C_n^2 are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n in the order on the cycle C_n . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let a_i be the edge joining v_i and v_{i+2} , and b_i be the edge joining v_i and v_{i+1} , where the subscripts are equivalent modulo n .

For $n = 2k + 1$, we define $\mathcal{O}_{2k+1} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}, b_1, b_3, b_2, b_5, b_4, \dots, b_{2k+1}, b_{2k})$. An example is shown in Figure 2 when $n = 7$. We can show \mathcal{O}_{2k+1} is a cyclic base ordering of C_{2k+1}^2 .




Figure 2: CBO of C_7^2

For an odd $t \geq 5$, any progression starting with a_t is in the form of

$$a_t, \dots, a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}, b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{t-4}, b_{t-5}, b_{t-2}, b_{t-3}.$$

All edges a_i in this progression form two paths $v_t v_{t+2} \dots v_{2k+1} v_2$ and $v_{t+1} v_{t+3} \dots v_{2k} v_1$, while all edges b_i form a path $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{t-1}$. Clearly, v_1 and v_2 are the only common vertices of the three paths and all vertices are connected through the three paths. Thus it is a spanning tree.

For an odd $t \geq 5$, any progression starting with b_t is in the form of

$$b_t, b_{t-1}, b_{t+2}, b_{t+1}, \dots, b_{2k+1}, b_{2k}, a_1, \dots, a_{t-3}.$$

All edges a_i in this progression form two paths $v_1 v_3 \dots v_{t-2}$ and $v_2 v_4 \dots v_{t-1}$, while all edges b_i form a path $v_{t-1} v_t \dots v_{2k+1} v_1$. Clearly, the three paths form a longer spanning path, and thus is a spanning tree.

For an even $t \geq 4$, any progression starting with a_t is in the form of

$$a_t, \dots, a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}, b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{t-3}, b_{t-4}, b_{t-1}.$$

All edges a_i in this progression form two paths $v_t v_{t+2} \dots v_{2k} v_1$ and $v_{t+1} v_{t+3} \dots v_{2k+1} v_2$, while all edges b_i form two paths $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{t-2}$ and $v_{t-1} v_t$. The two paths formed by edges b_i are connected by the path $v_t v_{t+2} \dots v_{2k} v_1$, and the final path $v_{t+1} v_{t+3} \dots v_{2k+1} v_2$ only shares one vertex with any of the other 3 paths, so the progression induces a spanning tree.

For an even $t \geq 4$, any progression starting with b_t is in the form of

$$b_t, b_{t+3}, b_{t+2}, b_{t+5}, \dots, b_{2k+1}, b_{2k}, a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}.$$

All edges a_i in this progression form two paths $v_1 v_3 \dots v_{t-1} v_{t+1}$ and $v_2 v_4 \dots v_{t-2} v_t$, while all edges b_i form two paths $v_t v_{t+1}$ and $v_{t+2} v_{t+3} \dots v_{2k+1} v_1$. Since the four paths form a longer spanning path, the progression induces a spanning tree.

Thus \mathcal{O}_{2k+1} is a cyclic base ordering of C_{2k+1}^2 .

For $n = 2k$, define $\mathcal{O}_{2k} = (a_1, b_{k+1}, a_2, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}, b_1, \dots, a_{2k}, b_k)$. An example is shown in Figure 3 when $n = 6$. We can show \mathcal{O}_{2k} is a cyclic base ordering of C_{2k}^2 .

We can use a similar idea to show that \mathcal{O}_{2k} is a CBO. A progression starting with a_t is in the form of

$$a_t, b_{k+t}, a_{t+1}, b_{k+t+1}, \dots, a_{k+t-2}, b_{t-2}, a_{k+t-1},$$

where the subscripts are modulo n . All edges a_i 's in this progression form two paths $v_{k+t} v_{k+t-2} \dots$ and $v_{k+t+1} v_{k+t-1} \dots$, while all edges b_i 's form the path $v_{k+t} v_{k+t+1} \dots$. The final path shares one vertex with each of the first two paths, so the progression forms a spanning tree.

A progression starting with b_t is in the form of

$$b_t, a_{k+t+1}, b_{t+1}, a_{k+t+2}, \dots, b_{k+t-1}, a_{t-1}, b_{k+t-1},$$




Figure 3: CBO of C_6^2

where the subscripts are modulo n . All edges a_i 's in this progression form two paths $v_{t-2}v_{t-4} \dots$ and $v_{t-1}v_{t-3} \dots$, while all edges b_i 's form the path $v_tv_{t+1} \dots v_{k+t}$. The final path shares one vertex with each of the first two paths, so the progression forms a spanning tree.

Therefore O_{2k} is a cyclic base ordering of C_{2k}^2 , completing the proof. \square

3 Wheel and generalized wheel graphs

A **wheel graph** on $n \geq 4$ vertices, denoted by W_n , is a graph obtained by joining a single universal vertex to all vertices of a cycle C_{n-1} . This cycle is called the **rim** of W_n , and each edge joining the universal vertex and a vertex on the rim is called a **spoke**.

3.1 CBO of wheel graphs

Clearly, any wheel graph W_n consists of exactly two edge-disjoint spanning trees, and thus W_n has a CBO by Theorem 1.1. Here we give an explicit construction of a CBO for W_n .

Theorem 3.1. *Every wheel graph W_n has a cyclic base ordering.*

Proof. Suppose that the vertices of W_n are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n with v_n being the universal vertex. Suppose that vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} are in the order on the rim of W_n . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, let a_i be the edge v_iv_n . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$, let b_i be the edge v_iv_{i+1} and $b_{n-1} = v_{n-1}v_1$.

Case 1 : $n = 2k$. We can construct an ordering of $E(G)$ by inserting b_i between $a_{i-(k-1)}$ and $a_{i-(k-2)}$, and get

$$\mathcal{O}_{2k} = (a_1, b_k, a_2, b_{k+1}, \dots, a_k, b_{2k-1}, a_{k+1}, b_{2k}, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{k-1}).$$

Figure 4 shows an ordering for W_6 .

Since this ordering is symmetric, all progressions starting at a_t have the same structure, for $t = 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$. Similarly, all progressions starting at b_t also have the same structure. Thus




Figure 4: CBO of W_6

it suffices to check the first two progressions in \mathcal{O}_{2k} , i.e., the progression $a_1, b_k, a_2, b_{k+1}, \dots, a_k$ and the progression $b_k, a_2, b_{k+1}, \dots, a_k, b_{2k-1}$.

In the progression $a_1, b_k, a_2, b_{k+1}, \dots, a_k$, all edges a_i induce a star with vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{2k}$ where v_{2k} is the center, and all edges b_i induce a path $v_k v_{k+1} \dots v_{2k-1}$. Clearly, the star and the path only share one common vertex v_k , and thus the progression induces a spanning tree.

In the progression $b_k, a_2, b_{k+1}, \dots, a_k, b_{2k-1}$, all edges a_i induce a star with vertices v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{2k} where v_{2k} is the center, and all edges b_i induce a path $v_k v_{k+1} \dots v_{2k-1} v_1$. Clearly, the star and the path only share one common vertex v_k , and thus the progression induces a spanning tree.

Thus \mathcal{O}_{2k} is a cyclic base ordering of G .

Case 2: $n = 2k + 1$. Similarly, we can construct an ordering of $E(G)$ by inserting b_i between a_{i-k} and $a_{i-(k-1)}$, and get

$$\mathcal{O}_{2k+1} = (a_1, b_{k+1}, a_2, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}, b_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{k-1}, a_{2k}, b_k).$$

Figure 5 shows an ordering for W_7 .




Figure 5: CBO of W_7

Since \mathcal{O}_{2k+1} is symmetric, all progressions starting at a_t or b_t have the same structure. Thus it suffices to verify the first two progressions. In the progression $a_1, b_{k+1}, a_2, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, b_{2k}$, all edges a_i 's induce a star with vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{2k+1}$ where v_{2k+1} is the center, and all edges b_i 's induce a path $v_{k+1}v_{k+2} \cdots v_{2k}v_1$. Clearly, the star and the path only share one common vertex v_1 , and thus the progression induces a spanning tree.

In the progression $b_{k+1}, a_2, b_{k+2}, a_3, \dots, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}$, all edges a_i 's induce a star with vertices $v_2, v_3 \cdots, v_{k+1}, v_{2k+1}$ where v_{2k+1} is the center, and all edges b_i 's induce a path $v_{k+1}v_{k+2} \cdots v_{2k}v_1$. Clearly, the star and the path only share one common vertex v_{k+1} , and thus the progression induces a spanning tree.

Thus \mathcal{O}_{2k+1} is a cyclic base ordering of G , completing the proof. \square

3.2 CBO of double wheel graphs

A **double wheel graph** on $n \geq 5$ vertices, denoted by W_n^2 , is a graph obtained by joining two single universal vertices to all vertices of a cycle C_{n-2} . Any double wheel graph on n vertices has $3n - 6$ edges.

Theorem 3.2. *Every double wheel graph has a cyclic base ordering.*

Proof. Let G be a double wheel graph on n vertices. Suppose that the vertices of G are v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} with v_0 and v_{n-1} being the two universal vertices, and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2} are in order on the rim of G . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$, let a_i be the edge $v_i v_0$ and c_i be the edge $v_i v_{n-1}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3$, let b_i be the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ and $b_{n-2} = v_{n-2} v_1$.

We divide into three cases: $n = 3k$, $n = 3k + 1$ and $n = 3k + 2$.

Case 1: $n = 3k$. We define an edge ordering \mathcal{O} of G by

$$\mathcal{O} = (a_1, b_k, c_{2k}, a_2, b_{k+1}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, a_{n-2}, b_{k-1}, c_{2k-1}).$$

In other words, it is an ordered list of $a_t, b_{t+k-1}, c_{t+2k-1}$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$. Notice that any subscript is at most $n - 2$. If some subscript i is larger than $n - 2$, we use $i \pmod{n - 2}$. An example for $n = 6$ is shown in Figure 6.

By symmetry, all progressions starting at any a_t have the same structure, and similarly for progressions starting at b_t and c_t . Thus it suffices to verify the first three progressions in \mathcal{O} .

Notice that every progression contains $n - 1 = 3k - 1$ edges. The first progression is

$$(a_1, b_k, c_{2k}, a_2, b_{k+1}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_{2k-2}, c_{3k-2}, a_k, b_{2k-1}).$$

The edges a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k induce a star by joining v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k to v_0 . The edges $b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{2k-1}$ induce a path $v_k v_{k+1} \cdots v_{2k}$, while the edges $c_{2k}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, c_{3k-2}$ (notice that $3k - 2 = n - 2$) induce a star by joining $v_{2k}, v_{2k+1}, \dots, v_{n-2}$ to the vertex v_{n-1} . Clearly all vertices are connected to form a spanning tree.




Figure 6: CBO of W_6^2

The second progression is

$$(b_k, c_{2k}, a_2, b_{k+1}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_{2k-2}, c_{3k-2}, a_k, b_{2k-1}, c_{3k-1}).$$

The edges a_2, \dots, a_k induce a star by joining v_2, \dots, v_k to v_0 , and the edges $b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{2k-1}$ induce a path $v_k v_{k+1} \cdots v_{2k}$. The edges $c_{2k}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, c_{3k-2}, c_{3k-1}$ (notice that $3k-2 = n-2$ and $3k-1 = n-1 > n-2$; thus c_{3k-2} is c_{n-2} and c_{3k-1} is actually c_1) induce a star by joining $v_{2k}, v_{2k+1}, \dots, v_{n-2}$ and v_1 to the vertex v_{n-1} . Clearly all vertices are connected to form a spanning tree.

The third progression is

$$(c_{2k}, a_2, b_{k+1}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_{2k-2}, c_{3k-2}, a_k, b_{2k-1}, c_{3k-1}, a_{k+1}).$$

The edges a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1} induce a star by joining v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1} to the vertex v_0 , and the edges b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{2k-1} induce a path $v_{k+1} \cdots v_{2k}$. The edges $c_{2k}, c_{2k+1}, \dots, c_{3k-2}, c_{3k-1}$ (notice that $3k-2 = n-2$ and $3k-1 = n-1 > n-2$; thus c_{3k-2} is c_{n-2} and c_{3k-1} is actually c_1) induce a star by joining $v_{2k}, v_{2k+1}, \dots, v_{n-2}$ and v_1 to the vertex v_{n-1} . Clearly all vertices are connected to form a spanning tree.

Case 2: $n = 3k + 1$. We define an edge ordering \mathcal{O} of G by

$$\mathcal{O} = (a_1, c_{2k+1}, b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}, c_{2k}, b_k).$$

In other words, it is an ordered list of a_t, c_{t+2k}, b_{t+k} for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. Notice that any subscript is at most $n-2$. If some subscript i is larger than $n-2$, we use $i \pmod{n-2}$. An example for $n = 7$ is shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7: CBO of W_7^2

Every progression contains $n - 1 = 3k$ edges. The first three progressions are

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, c_{2k+1}, b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, c_{3k}, b_{2k}), \\ & (c_{2k+1}, b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, c_{3k}, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}), \\ & (b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, c_{3k}, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}, c_{3k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We can show that each progression induces a spanning tree. It is quite similar as above, and thus we omit the proof.

Case 3: $n = 3k + 2$. We define an edge ordering \mathcal{O} of G in the same way as Case 2, that is

$$\mathcal{O} = (a_1, c_{2k+1}, b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}, c_{2k}, b_k).$$

In other words, it is an ordered list of a_t, c_{t+2k}, b_{t+k} for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. Notice that any subscript is at most $n-2$. If some subscript i is larger than $n-2$, we use $i \pmod{n-2}$. An example for $n = 8$ is shown in Figure 8.

Every progression contains $n - 1 = 3k + 1$ edges. The first three progressions are

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, c_{2k+1}, b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, c_{3k}, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}), \\ & (c_{2k+1}, b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, c_{3k}, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}, c_{3k+1}), \\ & (b_{k+1}, a_2, c_{2k+2}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_k, c_{3k}, b_{2k}, a_{k+1}, c_{3k+1}, b_{2k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Each progression induces a spanning tree. The proof is again similar to the above, and thus is omitted.

Therefore \mathcal{O} is a cyclic base ordering of G .

□




Figure 8: CBO of W_8^2

4 Fan and broken fan graphs

A **fan graph** on n vertices, denoted by F_n , is a graph obtained by joining a single universal vertex to all vertices of a path P_{n-1} . Each edge incident to the universal vertex is called a **spoke**. Because any fan graph F_n is a 2-tree, F_n has a cyclic base ordering from Theorem 1.1.

A **broken fan graph** is a graph obtained from a fan graph by removing some inner spokes. A general broken fan graph may not be uniformly dense, and thus may not have a CBO. We can define the following “uniformly” broken fan graphs. Let $F_n(t)$ denote the broken fan graph on n vertices such that the spokes are uniformly distributed every t vertices. A broken fan graph $F_8(2)$ is shown in Figure 9. Suppose there are r spokes in $F_n(t)$. Then $n = (r - 1)t + 2$ and $F_n(t)$ has $(r - 1)t + r$ edges.




Figure 9: A broken fan graph $F_8(2)$

We will show that $F_n(t)$ has a CBO. We first deal with the case of $t = 2$, and then generalize the idea to any $t \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.1. $F_n(2)$ has a cyclic base ordering.




Figure 10: Induction for $F_n(2)$

Proof. Clearly $n = 2r$, where r is the number of spokes. We will prove this by induction on r . Our inductive hypothesis is for all smaller r , $F_n(2)$ where $n = 2r$ has a cyclic base ordering. Our base case is when $r = 2$, which is C_4 (a cycle on 4 vertices). Let G be the $F_n(2)$ where $n = 2r$. Then G has $3r - 2$ edges. Suppose the universal vertex is v_1 and other vertices are v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n on a path P_{n-1} .

As shown in Figure 10, let $e_1 = v_4v_1$, $e_2 = v_1v_2$, $e_3 = v_2v_3$, $e_4 = v_3v_4$. Let G' be $G - v_2 - v_3$, which is a $F_n(2)$ where $n = 2(r - 1)$. By our inductive hypothesis, G' has a cyclic base ordering, denoted by \mathcal{O}' . Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{O}'(e_1) = 1$. We define an edge ordering \mathcal{O} of G as the following:

$$\mathcal{O}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = e_1 \\ 2 & \text{if } e = e_2 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 1 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq r - 1 \\ r + 1 & \text{if } e = e_3 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 2 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } r \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq 2r - 3 \\ 2r & \text{if } e = e_4 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 3 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } 2r - 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq 3r - 5. \end{cases}$$

It can be seen that every progression that contains exactly two of the newly added edges in $\{e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ forms a spanning tree. The only progression that does not have exactly two of the newly added edges is $(e_2, \mathcal{O}^{-1}(3), \mathcal{O}^{-1}(4), \dots, e_3, \mathcal{O}^{-1}(r + 2), \mathcal{O}^{-1}(r + 3), \dots, e_4)$, which contains all three edges from $\{e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. If this progression contains a cycle, then it must contain all of

e_2, e_3, e_4 . However, replacing e_2, e_3, e_4 by e_1 will result a cycle, violating \mathcal{O}' is a CBO of G' . Thus this progression must induce a spanning tree, and so \mathcal{O} is a cyclic base ordering of G . \square

Theorem 4.2. $F_n(t)$ has a cyclic base ordering.

Proof. Clearly $n = (r - 1)t + 2$ and $F_n(t)$ has $(r - 1)t + r$ edges. We use the same notations as Theorem 4.1, and let $e_1 = v_1v_{t+2}$, $e_2 = v_1v_2$ and $e_i = v_{i-1}v_i$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, t + 2$. Here is an example for $t = 3$.




Figure 11: Induction for $F_n(3)$

Define the following edge ordering of G from a CBO \mathcal{O}' of $G' = G - \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{t+1}\}$, where $\mathcal{O}'(e_1) = 1$:

$$\mathcal{O}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = e_1 \\ 2 & \text{if } e = e_2 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 1 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq r - 1 \\ r + 1 & \text{if } e = e_3 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 2 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } r \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq 2r - 3 \\ 2r & \text{if } e = e_4 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 3 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } 2r - 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq 3r - 5 \\ \dots & \\ (r - 1)t + 2 & \text{if } e = e_{t+2} \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + r & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } (r - 2)t + 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq (r - 2)(t + 1) + 1. \end{cases}$$

If a progression contains exactly t of the newly added edges in $\{e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{t+2}\}$, it forms a spanning tree. The only progression that doesn't have exactly t of the newly added edges is $(e_2, \mathcal{O}^{-1}(3), \mathcal{O}^{-1}(4), \dots, e_{t+2})$. It is like to replaces e_1 with $\{e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{t+2}\}$ in the progression, and so \mathcal{O} is a cyclic base ordering of G . \square

5 Broken wheel graphs

A **broken wheel graph** on $n \geq 4$ vertices is a graph obtained from a wheel graph W_n by removing some of the spokes. In general, broken wheel graphs may not be uniformly dense, and thus may not have a CBO. Here we give a direct proof without using uniformly dense condition.

5.1 General broken wheel graphs

Lemma 5.1. *A graph with a cyclic base ordering must have no vertex with degree less than $\frac{|E|}{|V|-1}$.*

Proof. For each vertex in graph G , there must be at least one edge connected to it in order for the edges to form a spanning tree. Therefore, in a cyclic base ordering, each vertex's edges must have a cyclic spacing of at most $|V| - 1$. Because there are a total of $|E|$ edges, the minimum number of edges a vertex must have to satisfy the required spacing is $\frac{|E|}{|V|-1}$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *If a broken wheel graph has a CBO, then the number of spokes must be at least 2.*

Proof. Let G be a broken wheel graph with n vertices and r remaining edges. For this graph, $|V| = n$ and $|E| = n + r - 1$. From Lemma 5.1, we know that the minimum degree of any vertex must be at least $\frac{|E|}{|V|-1}$ to have a cyclic base ordering. In this case, the minimum degree of any vertex must be at least $\frac{n+r-1}{n-1}$. We can determine the minimum amount of inside edges required with $r \geq \frac{n+r-1}{n-1}$, or $r \geq \frac{n-1}{n-2}$. Since $\frac{n-1}{n-2}$ asymptotically approaches 1 but never reaches it, in order for a broken wheel graph to have a cyclic base ordering, $r > 1$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *If a broken wheel graph G has a CBO, then the smallest cycle of G must have at least $\frac{n-1}{r} + 1$ vertices.*

Proof. When a cycle exists in a graph that has a cyclic base ordering, the edges in the cycle must not all be within $n - 1$ edges of each other cyclically. Because the optimal way to space edges out cyclically is by evenly distributing them, we can determine if this optimal spacing of edges is within $n - 1$ edges of each other. We let s be the number of vertices in the smallest cycle in the graph. The spacing between each edge in a cycle of size s is $\frac{n+r-1}{s}$, and since we can have at most $s - 1$ vertices in the spanning tree at any time, the largest spanning tree a cycle with $s - 1$ vertices can be in is $\frac{s-1}{s}(n+r-1)$. Therefore, $n - 1 \leq \frac{s-1}{s}(n+r-1)$, which can be rearranged to $s \geq \frac{n-1}{r} + 1$. \square

For example, a broken wheel graph with $n = 11, r = 3, s = 4$ (Figure 12) cannot have a cyclic base ordering due to Theorem 5.3.

However, we can show that some families of broken wheel graphs have CBOs in the next two subsections.




Figure 12: Broken Wheel with $n = 11, r = 3, s = 4$

5.2 Broken wheel graphs missing one spoke

We consider a specific type of broken wheel graphs with exactly one spoke is removed. We will provide an explicit construction to show that such a graph has a CBO.

Theorem 5.4. *Any broken wheel graph missing exactly one spoke has a CBO.*

Proof. Suppose that the universal vertex is v_0 and other vertices are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} in the order on the rim. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the spoke v_0v_1 is removed.

For an even n , i.e., $n = 2k + 2$ for some positive integer k , we define an ordering $\mathcal{O}_{2k+2} = (v_1v_2, v_0v_2, v_{k+2}v_{k+3}, v_0v_3, v_{k+3}v_{k+4}, \dots, v_0v_{k+1}, v_{2k+1}v_1, v_0v_{k+2}, v_2v_3, v_0v_{k+3}, v_3v_4, \dots, v_0v_{2k+1}, v_{k+1}v_{k+2})$. Here is an illustration for $n = 2k + 2 = 8$ in Figure 13.




Figure 13: CBO of a broken wheel graph on 8 vertices missing 1 spoke

Suppose there are two sets of edges, a and b . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the edge a_i joins v_i to v_{i+1} , and $v_n = v_1$ for the sake of this definition. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$, the edge b_i joins v_0 and v_{i+1} .

Then, in general, the proposed CBO starts with a_1, b_1 , and then the pattern that follows, or the first half of the CBO, is $a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, b_2, a_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, b_3, \dots, a_{n-2}, b_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, a_{n-1}$. The second half immediately follows, and has the pattern $b_{\frac{n}{2}}, a_2, b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, a_3, \dots, b_{\frac{n}{2}}, a_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

We will do casework on which edge is the first edge and prove that there is always a cycle in every cyclically consecutive $n - 1$ edges.

If the first edge is a_i for some $i, 1 < i \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then the sequence of edges is

$a_i, b_{\frac{n}{2}+i-1}, a_{i+1}, b_{\frac{n}{2}+i}, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, b_{n-2}, a_{\frac{n}{2}} a_1, b_1$, and then $a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, b_2, a_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, b_3, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}+i-2}, b_{i-1}$.

The first and second halves of the a edges connects vertices from v_i to $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i-1}$ (a connected component), as well as v_1 to v_2 .

The two halves of b edges connects all the vertices from v_2 to v_i to v_0 and all the vertices from $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ to v_{n-1} (making all these vertices in a connected component).

Thus, the two connected components from the a and b edges are joined at v_i , connecting all the edges from v_2 to v_{n-1} in a single component, and finally, v_1 is connected to v_2 , making a spanning tree.

To get the corresponding sequences starting with a b edge, we can shift it so that $b_{\frac{n}{2}+i-1}$ is the first edge. Then, the extra edge at the end would be $a_{\frac{n}{2}+i-1}$. The b edges still connect all the vertices from v_2 to v_i to v_0 and all the vertices from $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ to v_{n-1} . However, the a edges now no longer connect v_i among them but instead connect $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$. $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ then becomes the new joining point for the cycles, so a spanning tree is still formed.

Similarly, if the first edge is $a_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$, where $1 \leq i < \frac{n}{2}$, the edge sequence would be

$a_{\frac{n}{2}+i}, b_{i+1}, a_{\frac{n}{2}+i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_{\frac{n}{2}}$, and then $a_2, b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, a_3, b_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, \dots, a_i, b_{\frac{n}{2}+i-1}, a_{i+1}$.

Then, from the a set of edges, all the edges from $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ to v_n (or just v_1) would be in a connected component, and the vertices from v_2 to v_{i+2} would be in another connected component.

From the b set of edges, all vertices from v_{i+2} to $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ would be in a connected component (along with v_0). The three components together contain all the vertices, and they are joined together by the common vertices v_{i+2} and $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$, so a spanning tree is formed.

To get the corresponding sequences starting with a b edge, we can shift it so that b_{i+1} is the first edge. Then, the new edge at the end would be $b_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$. Since $a_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ is gone, $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ would no longer be connected by the a edges, so it is no longer a joining point for the separate components. However, the addition of $b_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ connects $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i+1}$ to the b edge connected component, so $v_{\frac{n}{2}+i+1}$ becomes the new joining point and a spanning tree is still formed.

If the first edge is a_1 , then the sequence is $a_1, b_1, a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, b_2, a_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, b_3, \dots, b_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, a_{n-1}$. The a edges connect the vertices from $v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ to v_n (or just v_1) and v_1 to v_2 , and the b edges connect all the vertices from v_2 to $v_{\frac{n}{2}}$ to v_0 , so together a spanning tree is still formed.

For an odd n , i.e., $n = 2k + 1$ for some positive integer $k \geq 2$, we define an ordering $\mathcal{O}_{2k+1} = (a_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, b_1, a_{\frac{n+3}{2}}, b_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, a_2, b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}, a_3, \dots, b_{n-2}, a_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, a_1)$, where the definitions of a_i and b_i is the same as above.

Similar to the even n case, the CBO has 2 "halves", the first half ending at $b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, and the second ending at $a_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ with a_1 being the last extra edge.

The first case is when the cyclic edges starts from $a_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$, where $0 \leq k < \frac{n-1}{2}$. In this case, the edges would be $(a_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}, b_{k+1}, a_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, a_2, b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}, a_3, \dots, b_{\frac{n-1}{2}+k}, a_{k+1})$

The a edges join v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k+2} as well as $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n$ (v_n is just v_1 here) in 2 connected components, while the b edges join $v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}, \dots, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+2}, \dots, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$ (all in a single component connected to v_0). $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$ and v_{k+2} act as the 2 joining points for the 3 connected components.

The corresponding sequences of cyclic edges starting from a b edge would exclude $a_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$ but include $b_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$. All this would do would be to change the 2 $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$ vertices at the end of the 2nd two connected components to $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}$, making $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}$ the new joining point for the components instead of $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$. This means that a spanning tree is still formed.

Another possible starting point is at $b_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$, where $0 \leq k < \frac{n-3}{2}$. In this case, the cyclic edges $b_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}, a_{k+2}, b_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}, a_{k+3}, \dots, b_{n-2}, a_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, a_1, a_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, b_1, a_{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}, b_2, \dots, a_{\frac{n-1}{2}+k}, b_k, a_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k}$.

The a edges join $v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}, \dots, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}$ as well as v_1 to v_2 , and the b edges join $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}, v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+2}, \dots, v_{n-1}$ as well as $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}$ (all joined to v_0). This covers all the vertices in the graph, so a spanning tree is formed once again.

The corresponding cyclically consecutive vertices which start from a instead are shifted over by 1 - they start from a_{k+2} instead. In this case, $v_{\frac{n+1}{2}+k+1}$ is no longer the "joiner" since the b edges do not contain it, but b_{k+1} is the extra edge added, so v_{k+2} is now joined by the b edges, making v_{k+2} the new "joiner", so a CBO is once again formed. \square

5.3 Broken wheel graphs $W(n, r)$

Suppose that the universal vertex is v_0 and vertices on the rim are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} . For $n-1 \geq r \geq 2$, let $W(n, r)$ be a broken wheel graph on n vertices and r spokes such that the r spokes are $v_0 v_k$, where $k = \lfloor (n-1)i/r \rfloor + 1$ for all integers $0 \leq i < r$. In other words, the spokes in $W(n, r)$ are uniformly distributed as much as possible. It has been proved in [1] that $W(n, r)$ is uniformly dense for $n-1 \geq r \geq 2$.

The broken wheel graphs missing one spoke we discussed in the last subsection actually is $W(n, n-2)$. We can generalize it and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *For $n-1 \geq r \geq 2$ and $n = rt + 2$, $W(n, r)$ has a cyclic base ordering.*

Proof. Let G be the graph $W(n, r)$ where $n-1 \geq r \geq 2$ and $n = rt + 2$. It can be seen that there are $r-1$ pairs of spokes with a gap of t edges and $t-1$ vertices in between them. Additionally, there is one pair of spokes with a gap of $t+1$ edges and t vertices. We denote the t vertices as $S = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t)$. Let G' be $G - S$. G' is the graph $F_{n-t}(t)$, and because $n-t = (r-1)t + 2$,

by Theorem 4.2, G' has a cyclic base ordering which we call \mathcal{O}' . Let x and y be the two vertices with degree 2 incident to the universal vertex in G' . In other words, they are the outside edges of the rim in G' . Let H be the unique direct path from x to y in the spanning tree of G' formed by edges $(\mathcal{O}'^{-1}(1), \mathcal{O}'^{-1}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}'^{-1}(n-2))$. Let p be the edge with the smallest $\mathcal{O}'(p)$ value in H . In other words, $p = \mathcal{O}'^{-1}(\min_{x \in H} \mathcal{O}'(x))$. It is easy to see that when edge p is removed, vertices x and y will be in different components. Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{O}'(p) = 1$. Let the cyclic base ordering of G be the following:

$$\mathcal{O}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = p \\ 2 & \text{if } e = v_1x \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 1 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq r \\ r + 2 & \text{if } e = v_1v_2 \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 2 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } r + 1 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq 2r - 1 \\ \dots & \\ rt + 2 & \text{if } e = v_t y \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + r + 1 & \text{if } e \in G' \text{ and } (r - 1)t + 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq (r - 1)(t + 1) + 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$




Figure 14: $W(8, 3)$

□

6 Prism graphs

A **prism graph** Y_n is a graph that has a n -gonal prism as its skeleton. Clearly Y_n has $2n$ vertices and $3n$ edges. Equivalently, a prism graph Y_n can be constructed as the Cartesian product of the cycle C_n and a single edge K_2 . Some cyclic base orderings of Y_3 and Y_5 are shown in Figure 15.

Theorem 6.1. *If $n = 3m + 2$ for some positive integer m , then Y_n has a CBO.*




Figure 15: Y_3 and Y_5

Proof. Any prism graph Y_n has an outer cycle C_n , an inner cycle C_n , and edges between the outer cycle and the inner cycle. Suppose that the vertices of the outer cycles are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , while the vertices of the inner cycles are u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n .

Now, define 3 sets of edges, a , b , c . For every integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, define a_i to be the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$, b_i as the edge $v_i u_i$, and c_i as the edge $u_i u_{i+1}$. When the subscript $k > n$ or $k \leq 0$, v_k, a_k, b_k, c_k are the same as v_r, a_r, b_r, c_r respectively, where r is the (unique) integer such that $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $r \equiv k \pmod{n}$.

Define an edge ordering \mathcal{O} of Y_n by

$$\mathcal{O} = (a_1, b_3, c_3, a_4, b_6, c_6, a_7, b_9, c_9, \dots, a_{3n-2}, b_{3n}, c_{3n}).$$

This ordering can be considered as a list of n ordered triples $(a_{3i+1}, b_{3i+3}, c_{3i+3})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Here is an example for Y_8 in Figure 16.

We will show that \mathcal{O} is a CBO of Y_n . By symmetry, any progression starting with some a_{3i+1} will always have the same structure. Similarly for any progression starting with some b_{3i+3} or c_{3i+3} . Thus it suffices to verify the first three progressions. Notice that $n = 3m + 2$ and Y_n has $2n$ vertices. Each progression has $2n - 1 = 6m + 3$ edges.

The first progression is $(a_1, b_3, c_3, a_4, b_6, c_6, a_7, b_9, c_9, \dots, a_{6m+1}, b_{6m+3}, c_{6m+3})$. All the a -edges in this progression are $a_1, a_4, \dots, a_{3m-2}, a_{3m+1}, a_{3m+4}, \dots, a_{6m+1}$. If the subscript k is greater than $3m + 2$, then the subscript is actually $k - (3m + 2)$. Thus the a -edges in this progression actually are $a_1, a_4, \dots, a_{3m-2}, a_{3m+1}, a_2, a_5, \dots, a_{3m-1}$. In other words, the a -edges induce $m + 1$ short paths $v_1 v_2 v_3, v_4 v_5 v_6, \dots, v_{3m-2} v_{3m-1} v_{3m}$ and $v_{3m+1} v_{3m+2}$.

All the c -edges in this progression are $c_3, c_6, \dots, c_{3m}, c_{3m+3}, \dots, c_{6m+3}$. If the subscript k is greater than $3m + 2$, then the subscript is actually $k - (3m + 2)$. Thus the c -edges in this progression actually are $c_3, c_6, \dots, c_{3m}, c_1, c_4, c_7, \dots, c_{3m+1}$. In other words, the c -edges also induce $m + 1$ short paths, they are $u_1 u_2, u_3 u_4 u_5, u_6 u_7 u_8, \dots, u_{3m} u_{3m+1} u_{3m+2}$.




Figure 16: CBO of Y_8

All the b -edges in this progression are $b_3, b_6, \dots, b_{3m}, b_{3m+3}, \dots, b_{6m+3}$. If the subscript k is greater than $3m+2$, then the subscript is actually $k - (3m+2)$. Thus the b -edges in this progression actually are $b_3, b_6, \dots, b_{3m}, b_1, b_4, b_7, \dots, b_{3m+1}$. It is not hard to see that each b_{3i} connects the path $v_{3i-2}v_{3i-1}v_{3i}$ and the path $u_{3i}u_{3i+1}u_{3i+2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Each b_{3i+1} connects the path $v_{3i+1}v_{3i+2}v_{3i+3}$ and the path $u_{3i}u_{3i+1}u_{3i+2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, and b_1 connects the path $v_1v_2v_3$ and the edge u_1u_2 , while b_{3m+1} connects the path $v_{3m+1}v_{3m+2}$ and the path $u_{3m}u_{3m+1}u_{3m+2}$. All vertices are connected by this progression and the progression contains exactly $2n - 1$ edges, thus it induces a spanning tree.

The second progression is $(b_3, c_3, a_4, b_6, c_6, a_7, b_9, c_9, \dots, a_{6m+1}, b_{6m+3}, c_{6m+3}, a_{6m+4})$. Notice that a_{6m+4} is actually a_{3m+2} . It is like to remove a_1 from the first progression and add a_{3m+2} . Removing a_1 will disconnect v_1 from other v_k 's but adding a_{3m+2} will connect v_1 back to v_{3m+2} . Thus this progression still induces a spanning tree.

The third progression is $(c_3, a_4, b_6, c_6, a_7, b_9, c_9, \dots, a_{6m+1}, b_{6m+3}, c_{6m+3}, a_{6m+4}, b_{6m+6})$. Notice that b_{6m+6} is actually b_2 . Similarly, it is like to remove b_3 from the second progression and add b_2 . It is not hard to verify this progression still induces a spanning tree.

Therefore \mathcal{O} is a CBO of Y_n . □

Here is an example for Y_{11} .




Figure 17: CBO of Y_{11}

7 Maximal 2-degenerate graphs

A graph is **k -degenerate** if every subgraph has a vertex of degree at most k . A k -degenerate graph G is **maximal** if G is no long k -degenerate after adding any additional edge. In particular, a k -tree is a maximal k -degenerate graph.

Theorem 7.1. *Every maximal 2-degenerate graph has a cyclic base ordering.*

Proof. A maximal 2-degenerate graph can be constructed from K_2 by adding a vertex and 2 edges at each step. We will use induction on the number of vertices, n , with the base case being $n = 3$, that is K_3 . Our inductive hypothesis is that all maximal 2-degenerate graphs with less than n vertices have a cyclic base ordering. Let G be a maximal 2-degenerate graph on n vertices, and v be the newly added vertex with degree 2. Have the two vertices adjacent to v be called x and y . It is clear that the graph $G - v$ is also a maximal 2-degenerate graph, so according to our inductive hypothesis, $G - v$ has a cyclic base ordering, denoted by \mathcal{O}' . Let H be the unique path from x to y in the spanning tree of $G - v$ formed by edges $(\mathcal{O}'^{-1}(1), \mathcal{O}'^{-1}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}'^{-1}(n-2))$. Let p be the edge with the smallest $\mathcal{O}'(p)$ value in H . In other words, $p = \mathcal{O}'^{-1}(\min_{x \in H} \mathcal{O}'(x))$. It is easy to see that when the edge p is removed, vertices x and y will be in different components. Without loss of

generality, let $\mathcal{O}'(p) = 1$. We define an edge ordering \mathcal{O} of G as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = p \\ 2 & \text{if } e = vx \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 1 & \text{if } e \in G - v \text{ and } 2 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq n - 2 \\ n & \text{if } e = vy \\ \mathcal{O}'(e) + 2 & \text{if } e \in G - v \text{ and } n - 1 \leq \mathcal{O}'(e) \leq 2n - 5. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, every progression of \mathcal{O} that contains exactly one of vx or vy induces a spanning tree of G . The only progression that doesn't contain exactly one of vx or vy is the progression $(vx, \mathcal{O}^{-1}(3), \mathcal{O}^{-1}(4), \dots, \mathcal{O}^{-1}(n-1), vy)$. However, the two edges vx and vy take the place of edge p in connecting x and y , with the graph formed by the $n-3$ edges between vx and vy having vertices x and y in different components. Thus no cycles are formed, and the progression induces a spanning tree of G . Therefore, \mathcal{O} is a cyclic base ordering of G . \square

8 A polynomial time algorithm

An edge ordering of a graph can be determined to be a cyclic base ordering in $\mathcal{O}(VE)$ time, where V is the number of vertices and E is the number of edges. This can be done by iterating through each starting edge within the edge ordering and determining if the following $V-1$ consecutive edges form a spanning tree. This is equivalent to finding if the $V-1$ consecutive edges are comprised of a single component. The number of components can be found by creating an adjacency list graph from the $V-1$ edges and running a depth-first search on the resulting graph. If there remain any unvisited vertices, then the edge ordering is not a cyclic base ordering. Each iteration takes $\mathcal{O}(V)$

time, making the total time complexity $\mathcal{O}(VE)$.

Algorithm 1: Cyclic Base Ordering in $\mathcal{O}(VE)$

Function DFS($v, H, visited$):

```

if  $visited[v]$  then
  | return;
end
 $visited[v] = true$ ;
for  $v'$  in  $H[v]$  do
  | DFS( $v', H, visited$ );
end
return;

```

Function isCBO(G):

```

 $e \leftarrow$  edge ordering of graph  $G$ ;
for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $|e|$  do
  |  $H \leftarrow$  adjacency list representation of  $(e_i, e_{i+1 \bmod |e|}, \dots, e_{i+V-1 \bmod |e|})$ ;
  | for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $V$  do
  | |  $visited[j] = false$ ;
  | end
  | DFS( $0, H, visited$ );
  | for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $V$  do
  | | if not  $visited[j]$  then
  | | | return false;
  | | end
  | end
end
return true;

```

This can be further optimized to amortized $\mathcal{O}(E \log V)$ time with a link-cut tree. A link-cut tree is a data structure representing a forest of trees that supports the following operations in amortized $\mathcal{O}(\log V)$ time: adding a new vertex to the forest, adding an edge from vertex u to v with u as the parent, disconnecting a vertex from its parent, and querying the root of the tree a vertex is in. This data structure can be used to determine if a edge ordering is a cyclic base ordering. First, add all V vertices to the data structure, taking amortized $\mathcal{O}(V \log V)$ time. Then, add the first $V - 1$ edges to the link-cut tree, with the lower-indexed vertex as the parent, again taking amortized $\mathcal{O}(V \log V)$ time. Next, iterate through each edge (e_i). For each iteration, disconnect the parent of the higher-indexed vertex of e_i , and query the trees of the two vertices of e_{i+V-1} . If they are in the same tree, then the edge ordering is not a cyclic base ordering. If they are not in the same tree, connect the two vertices of e_{i+V-1} and continue iterating. Since each iteration takes amortized

$\mathcal{O}(\log V)$ time, the entire algorithm takes amortized $\mathcal{O}(E \log V)$ time.

References

- [1] P.A. Catlin, J. Grossman and A.M. Hobbs, Graphs with uniform density, *Congr. Numer.* 65 (1988), 281–285.
- [2] X. Gu, K. Horacek and H.-J. Lai, Cyclic base orderings in some classes of graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 88 (2014), 39–50.
- [3] Y. Kajitani, S. Ueno and H. Miyano, Ordering of the elements of a matroid such that its consecutive w elements are independent, *Discrete math.* 72 (1988), 187–194.