ABHISHEK KHETAN

ABSTRACT. We show that if \mathbb{Z}^3 can be tiled by translated copies of a set $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ of cardinality the square of a prime then there is a weakly periodic *F*-tiling of \mathbb{Z}^3 , that is, there is a tiling *T* of \mathbb{Z}^3 by translates of *F* such that *T* can be partitioned into finitely many 1-periodic sets.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Overview of the Proof	2
1.2. Organization of the Paper	3
1.3. Acknowledgements	3
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Tilings and Periodicity	3
2.2. Dynamical Formulation	4
2.3. Spectral Theorem	4
3. Preparatory Lemmas	5
3.1. Some Combinatorial Lemmas	5
3.2. An Analytical Lemma	6
3.3. An Elementary Fact about the Kernel of Characters	7
3.4. Algebraic Lemmas	8
4. The Periodicity Result	12
4.1. Main Theorem	12
4.2. Proof	12
Appendix A. Algebra	14
Appendix B. Measure Theory	15
Appendix C. Weak Periodicity Assuming the Spectral Measure is Supported on the	
Union of Finitely Many Lines	15
C.1. Very Weak Periodic Decomposition	17
C.2. Polynomial Sequences	18
C.3. Equidistribution	19
C.4. Pure and Mixed Statistics	20
C.5. Behaviour of Averages on Suitable Ergodic Components	21
C.6. Weakly Periodic Decomposition	23
Data Availability Statement	24
Conflict of Interest	24
References	25

Date: October 11, 2022.

Key words and phrases. tilings, 1-periodic, 2-periodic, weakly periodic, 2-weakly periodic.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A15, 37B10, 52C20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n , which we will refer to as a *cluster*. A *tiling* of \mathbb{Z}^n by translates of F, or an F-tiling, is, roughly, a covering of \mathbb{Z}^n by non-overlapping translates of F. The periodic tiling conjecture (See [9]) states that if there is an F-tiling of \mathbb{Z}^n for some cluster F, then there is an F-tiling which is invariant under translations by a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n , that is, there is a fully periodic F-tiling. It was proved in [1] that if F is a connected cluster (which roughly means that there are no 'gaps' in F) in \mathbb{Z}^2 then every F-tiling is periodic in at least one direction. This is sufficient to establish the existence of a fully periodic tiling by a simple pigeon-hole argument. An important progress towards the periodic tiling conjecture was made in [10] in which it was proved that the periodic tiling conjecture holds (in any dimension) for clusters of prime cardinality. Another major progress was made in [2] where it was established that the periodic tiling conjecture holds in \mathbb{Z}^2 , without any constraint on the cardinality or the geometry of the cluster. The main result of [2] shows that the orbit closure of any F-tiling, where F is a cluster in \mathbb{Z}^2 , has a tiling which satisfies a certain weak notion of periodicity, which was shown to be sufficient to guarantee the existence of a fully periodic tiling. To make this precise, first we define a subset S of \mathbb{Z}^2 as 1-*periodic* if there is a nonzero vector g in \mathbb{Z}^2 such that g + S = S. We say that a subset S of \mathbb{Z}^2 is weakly periodic if S can be partitioned in to finitely many 1-periodic subsets. Bhattacharya [2] shows that the orbit closure of any F-tiling has a weakly periodic tiling in it. A stronger version of this result was obtained in [4] which says that every F-tiling of a cluster in \mathbb{Z}^2 is weakly periodic, thereby removing the need to pass to the orbit closure.

It was recently announced in [6] that the periodic tiling conjecture is false in \mathbb{Z}^d if d is sufficiently large. However, we conjecture that the following weaker statement might still hold.

Conjecture 1. Weakly Periodic Tiling Conjecture. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ be an exact cluster. Then there is a weakly periodic F-tilling. More precisely, there exists an F-tiling $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that there is a finite partition $T = T_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T_k$ such that each T_i is 1-periodic.

In this paper we show that if $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ is a cluster of cardinality the square of a prime such that there exists an *F*-tiling of \mathbb{Z}^3 , then there exists a weakly periodic *F*-tiling of \mathbb{Z}^3 (See Theorem 4.1). This makes some progress towards the above conjecture beyond the already known result of Szegedy [10]. Unlike in two dimensions, the existence of a weakly periodic tiling alone does not seem (to the author) to imply the existence of a fully periodic tiling. In fact, to upgrade from a 1-periodic tiling of \mathbb{Z}^3 to a fully-periodic *F*-tiling also seems hard. The difficulty is genuine, since the problem of this upgradation is a close cousin of the periodic tiling conjecture for the group $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ which, as noted in [5], is as yet an unresolved problem.

1.1. Overview of the Proof. In [2] the problem of finding a fully periodic F-tiling for a cluster $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ was first reduced to showing the existence of a weakly periodic F-tiling by an elementary combinatorial argument. The existence of a weakly periodic F-tiling was then shown to follow from the following dynamical statement: If \mathbb{Z}^2 acts ergodically on a probability space (X, μ) and A is a subset of X such that finitely may \mathbb{Z}^2 -translates of A partition X, then A itself is weakly periodic. To approach this problem, Bhattacharya uses the spectral theorem to transfer the problem to $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \nu)$, where ν is the spectral measure associated with the characteristic function of A. Then using a dilation lemma and an averaging argument, it was shown that the spectral measure is supported on finitely many 1-dimensional affine subtorii of \mathbb{T}^2 . Then the averages of 1_A along the 'directions' of these subtorii (when \mathbb{T}^2 is thought of as $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, each 1-dimensional subtorii can be thought of as a 'line' and hence has a direction) were shown to behave polynomially in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Since a polynomial in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} is either periodic or equidistributes, the space (X, μ) gets partitioned into ergodic components of a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^2 , such

that on each ergodic component, the averages either 'equidistribute' or are constant. The rest of the proof goes by carefully dealing with the equidistribution case.

Thus a key step in the argument was to show that the spectral measure is supported on a 'thin' subset of \mathbb{T}^2 . We take this as a cue and study the problem for a cluster $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$. If the corresponding spectral measure again happens to be supported on finitely many lines then Bhattacharya's proof goes through *mutatis mutandis*. In the adverse case the spectral measure could be supported on 'planes.' To deal with these adverse cases we use the hypothesis that the size of the cluster is the square of a prime. This is done by Lemma 3.10 which places a geometric constraint on a cluster of prime-power cardinality in the adverse cases.

1.2. **Organization of the Paper.** In Section 2 we discuss the basic definitions and the dynamical formulation of the problem. Section 3 collects lemmas needed for the proof of the periodicity result. The results of this section may be read only when needed. In Section 4 we prove the main result. The part of the proof where Bhattacharya's ideas go through by appropriate modification is collected in Section C.

1.3. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Siddhartha Bhattacharya, Ankit Rai, Mohit Upmanyu, Nishant Chandgotia, Etienne Mutot, and Pierre Guillon for helpful conversations and their encouragement. Thanks are due to Prof. Jaikumar Radhakrishnan for helpful suggestions and comments.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Tilings and Periodicity.

Definition 2.1. A finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n will be referred to as a **cluster**. Given a cluster $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ we say that $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is an *F*-tiling if for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ there exist unique $a \in F$ and $t \in T$ such that p = a + t. In other words, *T* is an *F*-tiling if and only if \mathbb{Z}^n can be partitioned by *T*-translates of *F*. We say that *F* is **exact** if there exists an *F*-tiling.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^n . We say that S is *i*-periodic if there exists a rank-*i* subgroup Λ of \mathbb{Z}^n such that S is invariant under Λ , that is, g + S = S for all $g \in \Lambda$.

Definition 2.3. A subset S of \mathbb{Z}^n is called 1-weakly periodic, or simply weakly periodic if there exist finitely many 1-periodic subsets S_1, \ldots, S_k such that $S = S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_k$.

A subset S of \mathbb{Z}^n is called 2-weakly periodic if there exist finitely many 2-periodic subsets S_1, \ldots, S_k such that $S = S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_k$.

We will use the following results about tilings of \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Theorem 2.1. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be an exact cluster. Then there is a positive integer n such that n + T = T for all F-tilings T. In other words, every tiling of \mathbb{Z} is 1-periodic.

Proof. Note that if $T|_{[a,b]}$ is known for some interval [a,b] of length exceeding diam(F), then T is determined entirely. Now the assertion follows by a pigeonhole argument.

Theorem 2.2. [10], [8, Example 4] Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be an exact cluster of prime cardinality such that the affine span of F has rank 2. Then there is a finite index subgroup Λ of \mathbb{Z}^2 such that every F-tiling is Λ -invariant.

Theorem 2.3. [2, 4] Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be an exact cluster, then there is a 2-periodic F-tiling.

2.2. **Dynamical Formulation.** In [2] Bhattacharya proved the periodic tiling conjecture (See [9]) in two dimensions by developing a dynamical statement which allows to transfer the problem to an ergodic theoretic setting. We discuss the relevant definitions and state the dynamical formulation here which we will use later. The formulation will be given for \mathbb{Z}^3 .

Let X be a subshift¹ of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ and μ be a \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant probability measure on X. The action of \mathbb{Z}^3 on X naturally leads to a unitary action of \mathbb{Z}^3 on $L^2(X,\mu)$ as follows. For $\varphi \in L^2(X,\mu)$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ we define $g \cdot \varphi$ by

(2.1)
$$(g \cdot \varphi)(x) = \varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) = \varphi((-g) \cdot x)$$

The action of \mathbb{Z}^3 on $L^2(X,\mu)$ can be extended to an action of $\mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm}, u_2^{\pm}, u_2^{\pm}]$ — the ring of Laurent polynomials in three variables with integer coefficients. To describe this action, first let us set up a convenient notation. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, we denote the monomial $u_1^{v_1}u_2^{v_2}u_2^{v_3}$ as U^v , and denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm}, u_2^{\pm}, u_3^{\pm}]$ as $\mathbb{Z}[U^{\pm}]$. Now any element of $\mathbb{Z}[U^{\pm}]$ can be written as $\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^3} a_v U^v$, where only finitely many a_v 's are nonzero. We define, for a Laurent polynomial $p = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^3} a_v U^v$ and $\varphi \in L^2(X,\mu)$, the function $p \cdot \varphi$ in $L^2(X,\mu)$ as

(2.2)
$$p \cdot \varphi = \left(\sum_{v} a_{v} U^{v}\right) \cdot \varphi = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} a_{v} (v \cdot \varphi)$$

We say that p **annihilates** φ if $p \cdot \varphi$ is 0.

For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we say that $\varphi \in L^2(X, \mu)$ is *i*-periodic if there is a rank-*i* subgroup Λ of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that $v \cdot \varphi = \varphi$ for all $v \in \Lambda$.² A measurable subset *B* of *X* will be called *i*-periodic if 1_B is *i*-periodic.

Finally, we define a measurable subset B of X to be 2-weakly periodic if there exists a partition $B = B_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup B_k$ of B into finitely many measurable subsets B_1, \ldots, B_k such that each B_i is 2-periodic. Similarly, we say that B is 1-weakly periodic, or simply weakly periodic if B can be partitioned into finitely many 1-periodic subsets.

The following lemma is the 3-dimensional analog of [2, Secton 2].

Lemma 2.4. [2, Section 2] Write $A = \{x \in X : x(0) = 1\}$. If A is i-periodic then μ -almost every point in X is i-periodic. If A is i-weakly periodic, then μ -almost every point in X is i-weakly periodic.

2.3. **Spectral Theorem.** Let \mathbb{T}^n be the *n*-dimensional torus. Let ν be a probability measure on \mathbb{T}^n . There is a canonical unitary representation of \mathbb{Z}^n on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu)$ which we now describe. Recall that the characters on \mathbb{T}^n are in bijection with \mathbb{Z}^n . Let us write $\chi_g : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ to denote the character corresponding to $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then we define a map $\sigma_g : L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu)$ by writing $\sigma_g(\phi) = \chi_g \phi$, where the latter is the pointwise product of χ_g and ϕ . It can be easily checked that each σ_g is in fact a unitary linear map. Thus we get a map $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu))$ which takes g to σ_g .

By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem we have the **C**-span of the characters are dense in $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$, where $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the set of all the complex valued continuous functions on \mathbb{T}^n equipped with the sup-norm topology. Also, since \mathbb{T}^n is a compact metric space, we have $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n,\nu)$. Therefore the **C**-span of the characters are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n,\nu)$. From this we see that, if **1** denotes the constant map which takes the value 1 everywhere, $\text{Span}\{\sigma_g \mathbf{1}: g \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n,\nu)$. In other words, **1** is a *cyclic vector* for this representation. We now want to state a theorem which dictates that this is a defining property of unitary representations of \mathbb{Z}^n .

¹There is a natural action of \mathbb{Z}^3 on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ by translations. A **subshift** of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ is any closed \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant subset.

²We emphasize that this equation is written in L^2 and hence, when f is an actual function, it only says that f and $v \cdot f$ agree almost everywhere and not necessarily everywhere.

Theorem 2.5. Spectral Theorem. Let H be a Hilbert space and $\tau : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathcal{U}(H)$ be a unitary representation of \mathbb{Z}^n . Suppose $v \in H$ is a cyclic vector, that is, $Span\{\tau_g v : g \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is dense in H, and assume that v has unit norm. Then there is a unique probability measure ν on \mathbb{T}^n and a unitary isomorphism $\theta : H \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu)$ with $\theta(v) = \mathbf{1}$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \stackrel{\theta}{\longrightarrow} & L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu) \\ \downarrow^{\tau_g} & \qquad \downarrow^{\sigma_g} \\ H & \stackrel{\theta}{\longrightarrow} & L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \nu) \end{array}$$

So the above theorem says that the abstract representation τ can be thought of as the canonical concrete representation σ , at the cost of a probability measure ν . Thus understanding the measure ν is equivalent to understanding τ .

3. Preparatory Lemmas

3.1. Some Combinatorial Lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let F be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^3 and p be a prime. Let g be a nonzero vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 . Assume that whenever π is a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 parallel to g we have $|\pi \cap F|$ is divisible by p. Then whenever ℓ is a line parallel to g we have $|\ell \cap F|$ is also divisible by p. (The converse is also true.)

Proof. We may assume that g is primitive. Using Lemma A.1, after applying a suitable $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ transformation, we may assume that g = (0, 0, 1). Let S be the image of F under the map $f : \mathbf{R}^3 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ defined by

(3.1)
$$f(x, y, z) = (x, y)$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, that is, f is the orthogonal projection on the x, y-plane. Let (a, b) be an extreme point of the convex hull of S in \mathbb{R}^2 and let ℓ be a line in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $\ell \cap S = \{(a, b)\}$. Let π be a plane parallel to g in \mathbb{R}^3 such that the image of π under f is ℓ . Then $\pi \cap F = \{(a, b, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : (a, b, z) \in F\}$. By hypothesis, $|\pi \cap F|$ has size divisible by p. Now the set $F \setminus \pi \cap F$ satisfies the same hypothesis as F and now we can finish inductively.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Let Λ be a rank 2-subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 and g be a nonzero vector such that $\mathbb{Z}g + \Lambda$ is a rank-3 subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 . We say that S is a **prism** with **base** Λ and **axis** g if there a vector $h \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and a finite set $\{0 = n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ of integers such that

(3.2)
$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (n_i g + (h + \Lambda) \cap S)$$

We refer to $(h + \Lambda) \cap S$ as a **foundation** of S.

An example of a prism would be any set of the form $A \times B$ where $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a set of size p^2 , where p is a prime. Suppose that there is a nonzero vector g in \mathbb{Z}^3 such that whenever π is a plane parallel to g in \mathbb{R}^3 we have $|\pi \cap F|$ is divisible by p. Also, assume that there is a plane π' not parallel to g such that $|\pi'' \cap F|$ is divisible by p whenever π'' is a plane parallel to π' . Then F is a prism with foundation of size p.

Proof. Using Lemma A.2, after a suitable $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ transformation, we may assume that π' is the plane $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{0\}$. Since π' is not parallel to g by hypothesis, we see that $\mathbb{Z}g + \Lambda$ is a subgroup of rank 3 in \mathbb{Z}^3 . Also, without loss of generality assume that g is a primitive vector.³ By translating F if necessary, we may assume that Λ intersects F but $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{k\}$ does not intersect F whenever k is a negative integer. Define $B = \{n \ge 0 : (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{n\}) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ and say $B = \{0 = b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$. Since, by hypothesis, each translate of Λ intersects F in a set of size divisible by p, we see that $(k+1)p = |B|p \le |F| = p^2$ and hence $k+1 \le p$. By Lemma 3.1 we know that whenever ℓ is a line in \mathbb{R}^3 parallel to g, we have that $\ell \cap F$ has size divisible by p. Thus there are at least p translates of Λ in the direction of g which intersect F. Thus $k+1 = |B| \ge p$. So we have k+1 = p. This forces that $(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{b_i\}) \cap F$ has size p for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$.

It follows that any translate ℓ of $\mathbb{Z}g$ must intersect each $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{b_i\}$ non-trivially. Let $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $(p_i, b_i) - (p_0, b_0)$ are parallel to g for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Since g is a primitive vector, we can find integers n_1, \ldots, n_k such that $n_i g = (p_i, b_i) - (p_0, b_0)$. It follows that

(3.3)
$$F = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (n_i g + (\Lambda \cap F))$$

and hence F is prism with base Λ and axis g, and foundation of size p.

Lemma 3.3. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a prism with foundation of prime size. Assume that F is an exact cluster. Then there is a 2-periodic F-tiling.⁴

Proof. If the affine span of F has dimension smaller than 3 then the result follows by Theorem 2.3. Thus we may assume that the dimension of the affine span of F is 3.

Let Λ and g be the base and axis of F respectively. Let h be a vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 and $\{n_0 = 0, \ldots, n_k\}$ be a set of integers such that $F = \bigcup_{i=0}^k (n_i g + (h + \Lambda) \cap F)$. By translating F is necessary we may assume that h = 0. Also by Lemma A.2 we may without loss of generality assume that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{0\}$.

Thus the affine span of $A := \Lambda \cap F$ has dimension 2. Let T be an F-tiling of \mathbb{Z}^3 . It is easy to see that for any integer c the set $T_c := T \cap (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{c\})$ is a tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{c\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ by translates of $A \times \{c\} \cong A$. By Theorem 2.2 we know that there is a rank-2 subgroup Γ of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that T_c is Γ -invariant for each c. Thus T is Γ -invariant and hence 2-periodic.

Lemma 3.4. Dilation Lemma. [7, Corollary 11] Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$. If T is an F-tiling then for all α relatively prime with |F| we have T is also an αF -tiling, where $\alpha F = \{\alpha a : a \in F\}$.

It should be noted that various authors ([11], [10], [8], [2], [4]) had discovered the above lemma in one form or another.

3.2. An Analytical Lemma.

Definition 3.2. An element $\gamma \in S^1$ is said to be **irrational** if it is equal to $e^{i\theta}$ for some θ which is an irrational multiple of 2π . Equivalently, $\gamma \in S^1$ is irrational if there is no non-trivial character of S^1 in whose kernel γ lies. More generally, elements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ in S^1 are called **rationally independent** if there is no non-trivial character χ of $(S^1)^m$ such that $\chi(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ be irrational elements in S^1 and x_1, \ldots, x_n be complex numbers. Assume that

(3.4)
$$(\gamma_1^k - 1)x_1 + \dots + (\gamma_n^k - 1)x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for all non-negative integers k. Then the above expression is 0 for all k.

 $^{^{3}}$ See Appendix A for the definition of a primitive vector.

 $^{^{4}}$ Using this lemma, a simple pigeonhole argument shows that there exists a 3-periodic F-tiling.

Proof. Let *m* be the size of a maximal rationally independent subset of $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n\}$. By renumbering the γ_i 's and x_i 's if required, we may assume that $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m\}$ is a maximal rationally independent subset of $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n\}$. Thus we can find vectors v_1, \ldots, v_n in \mathbb{Z}^m such that

(3.5)
$$\gamma_1^{v_i(1)} \cdots \gamma_m^{v_i(m)} = \gamma_1$$

for i = 1, ..., n, where v(j) denotes the *j*-th coordinate of any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. By the algebraic independence of $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m$, it follows that for $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ we have

(3.6)
$$v_i(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq \end{cases}$$

Define the Laurent polynomial f(U) in $\mathbf{C}[u_1^{\pm}, \ldots, u_m^{\pm}]$ as

(3.7)
$$f(U) = (U^{v_1} - 1)x_1 + \dots + (U^{v_n} - 1)x_n$$

Then we have

(3.8)
$$f(\gamma_1^k, \dots, \gamma_m^k) = (\gamma_1^k - 1)x_1 + \dots + (\gamma_n^k - 1)x_n$$

By the rational independence of $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$, we know that the set $\{(\gamma_1^k, \ldots, \gamma_m^k) : k \ge 0\}$ is dense in $(S^1)^{m,5}$ Thus the image of f on a dense set of $(S^1)^m$ is contained in \mathbb{Z} . Since f is continuous, this implies that the image of $(S^1)^m$ under f is contained in \mathbb{Z} . The connectedness of $(S^1)^m$ now yields that the image of $(S^1)^m$ under f is a singleton. However, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a $k \ge 0$ such that $|\gamma_i^k - 1| < \varepsilon$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, and thus we must have that f is identically zero on $(S^1)^m$, finishing the proof.

3.3. An Elementary Fact about the Kernel of Characters.

Lemma 3.6. Let g and h be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{Z}^3 which are linearly independent. Then there is $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ orthogonal to both g and h and a positive integer n such that

(3.9)
$$\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h \subseteq \bigcup_{0 \le i, j, k < n} \left[\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n} \right) + \{ tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R} \} \right]$$

Proof. Let $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ and $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$. Consider the 2 × 3-matrix M over \mathbb{Q} defined as

(3.10)
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that an element z of $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ is in ker $\chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h$ if and only if some (any) representative z' of z in \mathbb{R}^3 satisfies $Mz' \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since g and h are linearly independent, the rank of M is 2, and its nullity is therefore 1. Thus, since M is a matrix over \mathbb{Q} , we can find a nonzero vector v in \mathbb{Q}^3 such that Mv = 0. Clearly, any such vector v also spans the null-space of M since the null-space of M is 1-dimensional. By scaling v if necessary, we may assume that $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

Let $\Lambda = M(\mathbb{Z}^3)$, that is, $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the image of \mathbb{Z}^3 under M. Since M has rank 2, we see that Λ is a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Let n be the smallest positive integer such that (n,0) and (0,n) are both in Λ . Say u_1 and u_2 in \mathbb{Z}^3 be such that $Mu_1 = (n,0)$ and $Mu_2 = (0,n)$. We will show that

(3.11)
$$\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h \subseteq \bigcup_{0 \le i, j, k < n} \left[\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n} \right) + \{ tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R} \} \right]$$

Let $w \in \ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h$ be arbitrary. Let w' be a representative of w in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then Mw' = (a, b) for some $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Therefore

$$(3.12) Mw' = \frac{a}{n}Mu_1 + \frac{b}{n}Mu_2$$

⁵See Theorem 4.14 in [3].

giving

$$(3.13) M\left(w'-\frac{a}{n}u_1-\frac{b}{n}u_2\right)=0$$

Thus $w' - (a/n)u_1 - (b/n)u_2$ is in the null-space of M, and hence there is $t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $w' - (a/n)u_1 + (b/n)u_2 = tv$. Therefore $w' = (au_1 + bu_2)/n + tv$. We can find $0 \le i, j, k < n$ such that $(au_1 + bu_2)/n \equiv (i/n, j/n, k/n) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^3}$. Therefore

$$w' \equiv \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right) + tv \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^3}$$

showing the desired containment.

3.4. Algebraic Lemmas.

Lemma 3.7. Let p be a prime and k be a positive integer. Let ζ be a primitive p^k -th root of unity. Suppose there are integers a_1, \ldots, a_m such that

(3.14)
$$\zeta^{a_1} + \dots + \zeta^{a_m} = 0$$

Then p divides m.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the a_i 's are all non-negative. Define the polynomial $Q(z) = z^{a_1} + \cdots + z^{a_m}$. Then since ζ is a root of Q(z), we have Q(z) is divisible by the p^k -th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{p^k}(z)$. Let $\Psi(z)$ be an integer polynomial such that $Q(z) = \Phi_{p^k}(z)\Psi(z)$. Now using the fact that $\Phi_{p^k}(z) = \Phi_p(z^{p^{k-1}})$ we have $Q(z) = \Phi_p(z^{p^{k-1}})\Psi(z)$. Now substituting z = 1 gives $m = p\Psi(1)$ and hence p divides m.

Definition 3.3. For any finite subset S of \mathbb{Z}^3 , we will write $Z(\sum_{g \in S} \chi_g)$ to mean the set of all the points in \mathbb{T}^3 on which $\sum_{g \in S} \chi_g$ vanishes.

Lemma 3.8. (See [2, Lemma 3.2]) Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a finite set containing the origin. Then there is a finite subset Δ of $\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with pairwise linearly independent elements such that

(3.15)
$$Z := \bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } |F|} Z \left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} \right] \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in \Delta} \ker \chi_h$$

Proof. Let n be the product of all primes which divide |F|. Then for each non-negative integer k we have nk + 1 is relatively prime to |F|. Fix $(a, b, c) \in Z$. Then we have, for all non-negative integers k that

(3.16)
$$\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{(nk+1)g}(a, b, c) = 0$$

giving

(3.17)
$$\sum_{g \in F \setminus \{0\}} \chi_{(nk+1)g}(a,b,c) = \sum_{g \in F \setminus \{0\}} a^{g_1} b^{g_2} c^{g_3} (a^{ng_1} b^{ng_2} c^{ng_3})^k = -1$$

Therefore

(3.18)
$$\sum_{g \in F \setminus \{0\}} a^{g_1} b^{g_2} c^{g_3} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (a^{ng_1} b^{ng_2} c^{ng_3})^k \right] = -1$$

for all $N \ge 1$. Taking the limit $N \to \infty$ we see that there must exist $g \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a^{ng_1}b^{ng_2}c^{ng_3}$ is 1,⁶ and thus $(a, b, c) \in \ker(\chi_{ng})$. Therefore $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in F \setminus \{0\}} \ker \chi_{ng}$.

8

⁶This is because if $z \in S^1$ then the average $(z + z^2 + \cdots + z^N)/N$ does not converge to zero if and only if z = 1.

Let Δ be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ of smallest possible size such that $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in \Delta} \ker \chi_g$. Such a Δ exists by the above paragraph. We claim that the elements of Δ are pairwise linearly independent. Suppose not. Then there exist distinct $g, h \in \Delta$ such that g and h are linearly dependent. We can thus find a nonzero vector v such that $v \in (\mathbb{Z}g) \cap (\mathbb{Z}h)$. Let $\Delta' = (\Delta \setminus \{g,h\}) \cup \{v\}$. It is clear that

(3.19)
$$\bigcup_{u \in \Delta} \ker \chi_u \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in \Delta'} \ker \chi_u$$

and hence $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in \Delta'} \ker \chi_u$. But Δ' has size strictly smaller than the size of Δ which is a contradiction to the choice of Δ . This finishes the proof.

Lemma 3.9. Let F be a cluster in \mathbb{Z}^3 containing the origin and p be a prime. Assume |F| is a power of p. Let h be an arbitrary nonzero vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 . Then at least one of the following must happen.

- (1) Every line in \mathbb{R}^3 that is parallel to h intersects F in a set of size divisible by p.
- (2) There is a finite set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ such that each element of Γ is linearly independent with h and

(3.20)
$$\ker \chi_h \cap \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } |F|} Z\left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g}\right] \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{v \in \Gamma} \ker \chi_h \cap \ker \chi_v$$

Proof. Using Lemma A.1, after applying a suitable $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ transformation, we may assume that h = (0, 0, m) for some positive integer m.⁷ Let (a, b, c) be an arbitrary element in ker $\chi_h \cap Z$, where

(3.21)
$$Z = \bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } |F|} Z \left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} \right]$$

Let $h_0 = (0, 0, 1)$. Then

(3.22)
$$\ker \chi_h = \bigcup_{\omega \in S^1: \ \omega^m = 1} (1, 1, \omega) \cdot \ker_{\chi_{h_0}}$$

Thus there is an *m*-th root of unity ω such that $(a, b, c) \in ((1, 1, \omega) \cdot \ker \chi_{h_0}) \cap Z$. Then $c = \omega$ and hence

(3.23)
$$\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g}(a, b, \omega) = 0$$

for all α relatively prime with |F|.

Let d be a positive integer such that ω is a primitive d-th root of unity. Let $d = p^r \beta$ where β is relatively prime to p. Let n be the product of all the primes dividing |F|. For all non-negative integers k, we have mnk + 1 is relatively prime to |F|. Using the fact that |F| is a power of p, we have $(mnk + 1)\beta$ is also relatively prime with |F|. So we have, by substituting $\alpha = (mnk + 1)\beta$, that

(3.24)
$$\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{(mnk+1)\beta g}(a, b, \omega) = \sum_{g \in F} a^{\beta g_1} b^{\beta g_2} \omega^{\beta g_3} \chi_{mnk\beta(g_1, g_2)}(a, b) = 0$$

for all non-negative integers k. Let $\zeta = \omega^{\beta}$, and hence ζ is a primitive p^{r} -th root of unity. Let \sim be a relation on F defined as $g \sim g'$ for $g, g' \in F$ if and only if $(g_1, g_2) = (g'_1, g'_2)$. Then \sim is

⁷This is because of the following. For any linear map $T : \mathbf{R}^3 \to \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $T \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, and any $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ finite, we have $Tp \in Z\left(\sum_{g \in F} \chi_g\right)$ if and only if $(T^{-1})^* p \in Z\left(\sum_{g \in T(F)} \chi_g\right)$.

an equivalence relation, and let \mathcal{E} be the set of all the equivalence classes of \sim .⁸ For each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ choose an element g^E of E. For any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ let \hat{v} denote the vector in \mathbb{Z}^2 obtained by dropping the last coordinate of v. Then Equation 3.24 gives

(3.25)
$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \left[\left(\sum_{g \in E} \zeta^{g_3} \right) \chi_{\beta \hat{g}^E}(a, b) \chi_{mnk\beta \hat{g}^E}(a, b) \right] = 0$$

for all k non-negative. Let $\theta_E = \sum_{g \in E} \zeta^{g_3}$ and $\gamma_E = \theta_E \chi_{\beta \hat{g}^E}(a, b)$ for all E in \mathcal{E} . If θ_E is 0 for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$, then by Lemma 3.7 we have each |E| is divisible by p. This would mean precisely that (1) holds. So we may assume that there is some $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ such that θ_{E_0} , or equivalently γ_{E_0} , is nonzero. Then from Equation 3.25 we have

(3.26)
$$\chi_{-mnk\beta\hat{g}^{E_0}}(a,b) \left[\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \gamma_E \chi_{mnk\beta\hat{g}^E}(a,b) \right] = 0$$

which gives

$$(3.27) \quad \gamma_{E_0} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{E_0\}} \gamma_E \chi_{mnk\beta(\hat{g}^E - \hat{g}^{E_0})}(a, b) = \gamma_{E_0} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{E_0\}} \gamma_E \chi_{mn\beta(\hat{g}^E - \hat{g}^{E_0})}(a, b)^k = 0$$

Averaging we have

(3.28)
$$\gamma_{E_0} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{E_0\}} \gamma_E \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \chi_{mn\beta(\hat{g}^E - \hat{g}^{E_0})}(a, b)^k \right] = 0$$

Taking limit $N \to \infty$, we must have, since $\gamma_{E_0} \neq 0$, that $(a, b) \in \ker \chi_{mn\beta(\hat{g}^E - \hat{g}^{E_0})}$ for some $E \neq E_0$ in \mathcal{E} . Define $h^E = mn\beta(g_1^E - g_1^{E_0}, g_2^E - g_2^{E_0}, 1)$. Then h^E is linearly independent with h since $\hat{g}^E - \hat{g}^{E_0}$ is nonzero. Also $(a, b, c) \in \ker \chi_{h^E}$. So we have shown that if (1) is assumed to be false then (2) holds and we are done.

Lemma 3.10. Let F be a cluster in \mathbb{Z}^3 containing the origin and p be a prime. Assume |F| is a power of p. Let h be an arbitrary nonzero vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 . Then at least one of the following must happen.

- (1) Every line in \mathbb{R}^3 that is parallel to h intersects F in a set of size divisible by p.
- (2) There is a finite set R of rational points in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ and a finite set V of nonzero vectors in \mathbb{Z}^3 such that

(3.29)
$$\ker \chi_h \cap \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } |F|} Z \left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} \right] \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{\rho \in R} \bigcup_{v \in V} \{\rho + tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbb{T} \}$$

Proof. Assume (1) does not hold. Then by Lemma 3.9 we can find a finite set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ such that each element of Γ is linearly independent with h and

(3.30)
$$\ker \chi_h \cap \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } |F|} Z \left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} \right] \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in \Gamma} \ker \chi_h \cap \ker \chi_u$$

Using Lemma 3.6 we know that for each $u \in \Gamma$ there is a finite set of rational points R_u and a nonzero vector v_u such that

(3.31)
$$\ker \chi_h \cap \ker \chi_u \subseteq \bigcup_{\rho \in R_u} \{\rho + tv_u \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R} \}$$

⁸Note that the equivalence classes are precisely the sets that are obtained by intersection F with a line parallel to h.

Define $R = \bigcup_{u \in \Gamma} R_u$ and $V = \{v_u : u \in \Gamma\}$. It follows that

(3.32)
$$\bigcup_{u\in\Gamma} \ker \chi_h \cap \ker \chi_u \subseteq \bigcup_{\rho\in R} \bigcup_{v\in V} \{\rho + tv \in \mathbf{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R} \}$$

whence condition (2) immediately holds.

Lemma 3.11. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a cluster containing the origin and p be a prime. Let ρ be a rational point in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ and v be a nonzero vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 such that infinitely many points of the set

$$(3.33) \qquad \qquad \{\rho + vt \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

 $are \ in$

(3.34)
$$Z = \bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } p} Z \left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} \right]$$

Then any plane parallel to $\{w \in \mathbf{R}^3 : \langle w, v \rangle = 0\}$ intersects F in a set of size divisible by p.

Proof. Let h_0 be a primitive vector in $\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and r be a rational number such that $\rho = rh_0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$. Then, by hypothesis, infinitely many points of

(3.35)
$$\{rh_0 + vt \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3: \ 0 \le t < 1\}$$

are in Z. Let r = c/d, where c and d are relatively prime integers. We get, for each α coprime to p,

(3.36)
$$\sum_{g \in F} e^{2\pi i r \alpha \langle g, h_0 \rangle} e^{2\pi i \alpha \langle g, v \rangle t} = 0$$

for infinitely many $t \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, the (Laurent) polynomial

(3.37)
$$\sum_{g \in F} e^{2\pi i r \alpha \langle g, h_0 \rangle} z^{\alpha \langle g, v \rangle}$$

is satisfied by infinitely many $z \in S^1$ for each α coprime to p. Let $d = p^m \beta$, where m is a non-negative integer and β is coprime to p. Thus, by Equation 3.37 the polynomial

(3.38)
$$\sum_{g \in F} e^{2\pi i r \beta \langle g, h_0 \rangle} z^{\beta \langle g, v \rangle} = \sum_{g \in F} e^{2\pi i \langle g, h_0 \rangle c/p^m} z^{\beta \langle g, v \rangle}$$

has infinitely many solutions in S^1 and is hence identically zero. Define an equivalence relation \sim_v on F by writing $g_1 \sim_v g_2$ for $g_1, g_2 \in F$ if $\langle g_1, v \rangle = \langle g_2, v \rangle$. Let F_1, \ldots, F_l be all the equivalence classes in F. The coefficients of the above polynomial are

(3.39)
$$\sum_{g \in F_j} e^{2\pi i \langle g, h_0 \rangle c/p^m}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

and hence each of these terms are 0. If m = 0 then this cannot happen since $\sum_{g \in F_j} e^{2\pi i \langle g, h_0 \rangle c} = |F_j|$, and hence we must have $m \ge 1$. This implies that p divides d and hence, since c is relatively prime to d, we have c is coprime to p. Let ζ denote the complex number $e^{2\pi i/p^m}$. By Equation 3.39 we have ζ is a root of each of the (Laurent) polynomials

(3.40)
$$\sum_{g \in F_j} z^{\langle g, h_0 \rangle c}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.7 we have that each $|F_j|$ is divisible by p. This implies that any plane parallel to $\{w \in \mathbf{R}^3 : \langle w, v \rangle = 0\}$ intersects F in a set of cardinality divisible by p and we are done.

4. The Periodicity Result

4.1. Main Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let F be an exact cluster in \mathbb{Z}^3 with cardinality p^2 , where p is a prime. Then there is a 1-weakly periodic F-tiling.

4.2. **Proof.**

4.2.1. Notation. Let $X \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ be the set of all the *F*-tilings and μ be a \mathbb{Z}^3 -ergodic probability measure on *X*, which we may assume to be concentrated on the orbit closure of a given *F*-tiling *T*. We define $A = \{x \in X : x(0) = 1\}$ and $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$ as the characteristic function of *A*. Let ν be the spectral measure associated to the unit vector $f/||f||_2$ in $L^2(X, \mu)$ and θ be the unitary isomorphism between H_f — the span closure of the orbit of $f/||f||_2$ — and $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \nu)$ as discussed in Theorem 2.5. We will use \mathbb{T} to denote the unit circle and not \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

By Lemma 3.4 we have T is an (αF) -tiling whenever α is relatively prime to p. Thus

(4.1)
$$\sum_{g \in F} 1_{\alpha g A} = \sum_{g \in F} (\alpha g) \cdot 1_A = 1_X$$

for all α relatively prime to p. Now 1_X is \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant in $L^2(X,\mu)$, and hence $\theta(1_X)$ is \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3,\nu)$. But the only \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant members of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3,\nu)$ are the ones in the span of $\delta_{\{1\}}$ —the Dirac function concentrated at the identity of \mathbb{T}^3 . Thus, applying θ , we get

(4.2)
$$\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} = c \delta_{\{1\}}, \quad \forall \alpha \text{ coprime to } p$$

for some constant c. Therefore, the spectral measure ν is supported on $Z \cup \{1\}$, where

(4.3)
$$Z = \bigcap_{\alpha \text{ coprime to } p} Z \left[\sum_{g \in F} \chi_{\alpha g} \right]$$

By Lemma 3.8 we know that there is a finite set $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ whose elements are pairwise linearly independent such that the spectral measure ν associated to $f/||f||_2$ is supported on $\bigcup_{g \in \Delta} \ker(\chi_g)$.

4.2.2. Case 1: There exist at least two distinct members g_0 and g_1 in Δ such that every line parallel to either g_0 or g_1 intersects F in a set of cardinality divisible by p. By Lemma 3.2 we deduce that F is a prism with prime foundation and hence by Lemma 3.3 we see that there is a 2-periodic F-tiling, which is in particular 1-weakly periodic.

4.2.3. Case 2: There is exactly one g_0 in Δ such that every line parallel to g_0 intersects F in a set of cardinality divisible by p. In this case, we see that for each $g \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}$ the condition (1) in Lemma 3.10 is not satisfied, and hence by Lemma 3.10 condition (2) must be satisfied. Thus for each $g \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}$ we can find a finite set R_g of rational points in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ and a finite set of vectors V_g such that

(4.4)
$$Z \cap \ker \chi_g \subseteq \bigcup_{\rho \in R_g} \bigcup_{v \in V_g} \{\rho + tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R} \}$$

Let $R = \bigcup_{g \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}} R_g$ and $V = \bigcup_{g \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}} V_g$. Let N be positive integer such that ker χ_{Ng_0} contains R. We further consider two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: Assume that there is g_1 distinct from g_0 in Δ such that

$$(4.5) (Z \cap \ker \chi_{g_1}) \setminus \ker \chi_{Ng_0}$$

is infinite. Then, in particular, $Z \cap \ker \chi_{g_1}$ is also infinite. Thus, by Equation 4.4 there is $\rho \in R$ and $v \in V$ such that infinitely many elements of

(4.6)
$$\{\rho + vt \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\} \setminus \ker \chi_{Ng_0}$$

are in Z, and none of its elements are in ker χ_{Ng_0} . Now by Lemma 3.11 we have that whenever π is a plane parallel to $\pi_1 := \{w \in \mathbf{R}^3 : \langle v, w \rangle = 0\}$, we have $|\pi \cap F|$ is divisible by p. Also, by the property of g_0 we already know that whenever π is a plane parallel to g_0 we have $|\pi \cap F|$ is divisible by p.

If v is orthogonal to g_0 then, by the choice of N, the set in Equation 4.6 is empty. Thus v is not orthogonal to g_0 . By the fact that v is not orthogonal to g_0 , we have that a plane parallel to g_0 cannot be parallel to π_1 . Then, again, by Lemma 3.2 we deduce that F is a prism with prime foundation and hence by Lemma 3.3 we see that there is a 2-periodic F-tiling, which is in particular 1-weakly periodic.

Subcase 2.2: Now assume that $(Z \cap \ker \chi_h) \setminus \ker \chi_{Ng_0}$ is finite whenever $h \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}$. For each $h \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}$, let $S_h = (Z \cap \ker \chi_h) \setminus \ker \chi_{Ng_0}$. Then, by our assumption, each S_h is finite. Since $\operatorname{supp}(\nu)$ is contained in $Z \cap \bigcup_{g \in \Delta} \ker \chi_g$, we see that, in particular,

(4.7)
$$\operatorname{supp}(\nu) \subseteq \ker \chi_{Ng_0} \cup \left(\bigcup_{h \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}} Z \cap \ker \chi_h\right) = \ker \chi_{Ng_0} \cup \left(\bigcup_{h \in \Delta \setminus \{g_0\}} S_h\right)$$

Therefore

(4.8)

$$\ker(\chi_{Ng_0}) \cup \bigcup_{h \in \Delta: \ h \neq g_0} S_h$$

is a ν -full measure set.

Replacing Ng_0 by g_0 for simplicity of notation, we infer that there is a nonzero vector g_0 in \mathbb{Z}^3 and a finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}^3$ disjoint with ker χ_{g_0} such that ν is supported on ker $(\chi_{g_0}) \cup S$. We may assume that S has smallest size with this property and hence each element of S has positive mass under ν . The minimality of the size of S also implies that $\chi_{g_0}(s)$ is irrational for all $s \in S$, for otherwise we could replace g_0 by a scale of itself and reduce the size of S.

We will show that S is empty. Assume on the contrary that S is non-empty. Define an equivalence relation ~ on S by writing $p \sim q$ for $p, q \in S$ if $\chi_{g_0}(p) = \chi_{g_0}(q)$. Let \mathcal{E} be the set of all the equivalence classes. Thus

(4.9)
$$1 = 1_{\ker(\chi_{g_0})} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} 1_E$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \nu)$. Choose a representative $p_E \in E$ for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Now acting both sides of the above equation by ng_0 , where n is any non-negative integer, we get

(4.10)
$$\chi_{ng_0} = 1_{\ker(\chi_{g_0})} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \chi_{g_0}(p_E)^n 1_E$$

Going back into the $L^2(X,\mu)$ world by applying θ^{-1} , we get that

(4.11)
$$(ng_0) \cdot f = f^{g_0} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \chi_{g_0}(p_E)^n \varphi_E$$

where $\varphi_E = ||f||_2 \theta^{-1}(1_E)$, and f^{g_0} is the orthogonal projection of f onto the space of g_0 -invariant functions $L^2(X,\mu)$.⁹ Therefore, for each $n \ge 0$, we have

$$(4.12) \quad (ng_0) \cdot f - f = \left(f^{g_0} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \chi_{g_0}(p_E)^n \varphi_E \right) - \left(f^{g_0} - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \varphi_E \right) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} (\chi_{g_0}(p_E)^n - 1) \varphi_E$$

Let
$$Y \subseteq X$$
 be a μ -full measure subset of X such that

(4.13)
$$[(ng_0) \cdot f](y) - f(y) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} (\chi_{g_0}(p_E)^n - 1)\varphi_E(y)$$

for all $y \in Y$ and all $n \ge 0$. Therefore

(4.14)
$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} (\chi_{g_0}(p_E)^n - 1)\varphi_E(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for all $y \in Y$, and all $n \ge 0$. But since each $\chi_{g_0}(p_E)$ is irrational, we may apply Lemma 3.5 to deduce that for any $y \in Y$ the only value the above expression can take, for any non-negative integer n, and hence in particular for n = 1, is 0. Therefore $[g_0 \cdot f](y) - f(y)$ is 0 for each $y \in Y$. But since Y is a full measure set in X, we infer that $g_0 \cdot f = f$ in $L^2(X, \mu)$. Thus f is 1-periodic, and hence by 2.4 we deduce that the orbit closure of T has a 1-periodic point in it, which is in particular 1-weakly periodic.

4.2.4. Case 3: There is no g in Δ such that every line parallel to g intersects F in a set of size divisible by p. In this case, by Lemma 3.10 we deduce that there exist nonzero vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and finite subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ such that each member of each S_i is a rational point and the measure ν is supported on ¹⁰

(4.15)
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (S_i + \{tv_i \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\})$$

We are now done by Theorem C.1.

APPENDIX A. ALGEBRA

Definition A.1. A nonzero vector $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ in \mathbb{Z}^n is said to be **primitive** if

$$gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=1$$

Lemma A.1. Let $n \ge 1$. Then $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ acts transitively on the set of all the primitive vectors in \mathbb{Z}^n .

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an arbitrary primitive vector. We will show that there is $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $Te_n = a$, where $e_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Let Λ be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n generated by a. We claim that \mathbb{Z}^n/Λ has no torsion. Assume on the contrary that there is torsion in \mathbb{Z}^n/Λ , so that there is a non-trivial element $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n/\Lambda$ and a positive integer k such that $k \cdot g = 0$. This implies the existence of an element $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \Lambda$ such that $kv \in \Lambda$. Thus kv = ma for some nonzero integer m. It follows that k must divide m, for otherwise a would not be primitive. But then $v \in \Lambda$, contrary to the choice of v.

So \mathbb{Z}^n/Λ has no torsion, and thus it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} . Let v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} in \mathbb{Z}^n be such that $v_1 + \Lambda, \ldots, v_{n-1} + \Lambda$ forms a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n/Λ . Declare $v_n = a$ and we get a basis v_1, \ldots, v_n of

14

⁹By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem we see that f^{g_0} lies in H_f . Also, $1_{\ker \chi_{g_0}}$ is the orthogonal projection of 1 onto the space of g_0 -invariant functions in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \nu)$. The fact that θ is a unitary isomorphism shows that $\theta^{-1}(1_{\ker \chi_{g_0}})$ is same as $f^{g_0}/||f||_2$.

¹⁰This is because for linearly independent vectors g and h in \mathbb{Z}^3 we have ker $\chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h$ is equal to $S + \{tv \in \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for some nonzero $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ orthogonal to both g and h and some finite set S of rational points in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$.

 \mathbb{Z}^n . Now the \mathbb{Z} -linear map $T : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ which takes e_i to v_i , where e_i is the *i*-th standard basis vector, is an isomorphism and hence an element of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. By definition $Te_n = a$.

Lemma A.2. Let n be a positive integer and Λ be a rank-k subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n . Then there is $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $T(\Lambda)$ is contained in $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$.

Proof. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer such that there is an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n / \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-k} \times G$, where G is a finite abelian group. Let $\Gamma = (\varphi \circ \pi)^{-1}(\{0\} \times G)$, where π is the natural projection $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n / \Lambda$. Then Γ contains Λ and \mathbb{Z}^n / Γ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{n-k} . We can now choose vectors v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k} in \mathbb{Z}^n such that $v_1 + \Gamma, \ldots, v_{n-k} + \Gamma$ is a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n / Γ . If u_1, \ldots, u_k is a basis of Γ , then $u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k}$ forms a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . Define a \mathbb{Z} -linear map $T : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ by declaring $Te_i = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $Te_i = v_{i-k}$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$. Then T is a surjective \mathbb{Z} -linear map and hence is a member of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Now T^{-1} is an element of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ which takes Γ to $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \{(0), \ldots, 0\}$, and hence puts Λ inside $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$, finishing the proof.

APPENDIX B. MEASURE THEORY

Lemma B.1. Let X and Z be topological spaces. Let Y be a set and \mathcal{F} be a family of functions from Y to Z. Equip Y with the initial topology induced by \mathcal{F} . Then a map $\varphi : X \to Y$ is measurable if and only if $f \circ \varphi : X \to Z$ is measurable for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi : X \to Z$ is a map such that $f \circ \varphi$ is measurable for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then for each open set W in X and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $\varphi^{-1}(f^{-1}(W))$ is measurable. But $\{f^{-1}(W) : f \in \mathcal{F}, W \text{ open in } Z\}$ forms a subbasis for the topology on Y. Therefore φ is measurable. The other direction is clear.

Lemma B.2. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all the points $x = (x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_n \to 0$. Then S is a measurable set.

Proof. For each $q \ge 1$ and each $k \ge 1$, define

(B.1)
$$E_{q,k} = \{ (x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) : -1/k < x_n < 1/k \}$$

Then each $E_{q,k}$ is measurable and it is easy to check that

$$S = \bigcap_{k \ge 1} \bigcup_{N \ge 1} \bigcap_{q \ge N} E_{q,k}$$

whence S is a measurable set.

Appendix C. Weak Periodicity Assuming the Spectral Measure is Supported on the Union of Finitely Many Lines

The goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem C.1. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be an exact cluster and $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ be an *F*-tiling. Let $X \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ be the orbit closure of *T* and μ be a \mathbb{Z}^3 -ergodic probability measure on *X*. Define

$$A = \{ x \in X : x(0) = 1 \}$$

and $f \in L^2(X,\mu)$ as the characteristic function on A. Let ν be the spectral measure associated to the unit vector $f/||f||_2$ in $L^2(X,\mu)$ and θ be the unitary isomorphism between H_f — the span closure of the orbit of $f/||f||_2$ — and $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3,\nu)$ as discussed in Theorem 2.5. Assume that there exist nonzero vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and finite subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ such that each member of each S_i is a rational point and the measure ν is supported on

(C.1)
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (S_i + \{tv_i \in \mathbb{T}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\})$$

Then A is 1-weakly periodic, and hence there is a 1-weakly periodic F-tiling.

The proof is an adaptation of Bhattacharya's proof of the periodic tiling conjecture (for \mathbb{Z}^2) in [2]. No fundamentally new ideas are needed. However, since Theorem C.1 is not a *direct* corollary of the work done in [2], we give full details. Wherever possible, we give reference to corresponding results in [2]. Throughout this section we will use the notation in Theorem C.1. We now begin the proof.

We may assume that n is the smallest integer for which one can find vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and finite subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{T}^3$ such that the support of ν is contained in

(C.2)
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (S_i + \{tv_i \in \mathbb{T}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\})$$

Then v_1, \ldots, v_n are pairwise linearly independent.

Lemma C.2. Let $S \subset \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ be a finite set of rational points and v be an arbitrary nonzero vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 . Then there exist linearly independent vectors g and $h \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that both q and h are orthogonal to v and

(C.3)
$$S + \{tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

is contained in ker $\chi_q \cap \ker \chi_h$.

Proof. The set of all vectors in \mathbb{Z}^3 which are orthogonal to v forms a rank-2 subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Thus we can find two linearly independent vectors g_0 and h_0 in \mathbb{Z}^3 which are both orthogonal to v. Thus

(C.4)
$$\{tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\} \subseteq \ker \chi_{g_0} \cap \ker \chi_{h_0}$$

If N is a positive integer such that Ns = 0 in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ for each $s \in S$, then we see that

(C.5)
$$S + \{tv \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\} \subseteq \ker \chi_{Ng_0} \cap \ker \chi_{Nh_0}$$

Thus $g = Ng_0$ and $h = Nh_0$ satisfy the requirement of the lemma.

Lemma C.3. We can choose vectors $g_1, h_1, \ldots, g_n, h_n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that

a) $S_i + \{tv_i \in \mathbf{R}^3 / \mathbb{Z}^3 : t \in \mathbf{R}\} \subseteq \ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i} \text{ for each } i.$ b) $\mathbb{Z}g_i + \mathbb{Z}h_i \text{ is a rank-2 subgroup of } \mathbb{Z}^3 \text{ for each } i.$

c) g_i and h_i are orthogonal to v_i for each *i*.

d) $(\mathbb{Z}g_i + \mathbb{Z}h_i) + (\mathbb{Z}g_j + \mathbb{Z}h_j)$ is a rank-3 subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 whenever $i \neq j$.

Proof. By Lemma C.2 we can find $g_1, h_1, \ldots, g_n, h_n$ such that (a), (b) and (c) are true. We show that (d) also holds. Let $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ be distinct. Assume on the contrary that

(C.6)
$$\Lambda := (\mathbb{Z}g_i + \mathbb{Z}h_i) + (\mathbb{Z}g_j + \mathbb{Z}h_j)$$

is not a rank-3 subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Then Λ is a rank-2 subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Consequently, both v_i and v_j are orthogonal to each of g_i, h_i, g_j, h_j . This forces that v_i and v_j are linearly dependent, giving the required contradiction.

Fix $g_1, h_1, \ldots, g_n, h_n$ as in Lemma C.3. Thus, in particular, the measure ν is supported on the set

(C.7)
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}$$

Let Λ_i be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 generated by g_i and h_i , that is $\Lambda_i = \mathbb{Z}g_i + \mathbb{Z}h_i$.

16

C.1. Very Weak Periodic Decomposition. Let $H_f = \overline{\text{Span}}\{v \cdot f : v \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$. Let ν be the spectral measure associated $f/||f||_2$ and $\theta : H_f \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \nu)$ be the unitary isomorphism discussed in Section 2.3.

For any subgroup Λ of \mathbb{Z}^3 let f^{Λ} denote the projection of f onto the subspace of all the Λ -invariant functions in $L^2(X, \mu)$. Writing B_N to denote the ball of radius N in \mathbb{Z}^3 , by the ergodic theorem we have

(C.8)
$$f^{\Lambda} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda \cap B_N|} \sum_{v \in \Lambda \cap B_N} v \cdot f$$

in $L^2(X,\mu)$. Therefore f^{Λ} lies in $H_f = \overline{\text{Span}}\{v \cdot f : v \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$, and it is hence same as the image of f under the orthogonal projection $H_f \to H_f$ onto the set of all the Λ -invariant functions in H_f . This implies that $\theta(f^{\Lambda}/||f||_2) = \mathbf{1}^{\Lambda}$, where $\mathbf{1}^{\Lambda}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathbf{1}$ onto the space of all the Λ -invariant functions in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3,\nu)$.

Lemma C.4. Let ρ be a probability measure on \mathbb{T}^3 . Let g and h be two linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{Z}^3 and Λ be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 generated by g and h. Under the natural unitary action of \mathbb{Z}^3 on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \rho)$, we have

(C.9)
$$\mathbf{1}^{\Lambda} = \mathbf{1}_{\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi}$$

where $\mathbf{1}^{\Lambda}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\mathbf{1}$ onto the space of all the Λ -invariant functions in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \rho)$.

Proof. It is clear that $1_{\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_g}$ is invariant under Λ . Also $1 - 1_{\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h}$ is orthogonal to $1_{\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h}$. Thus

(C.10)
$$\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h} + (1 - \mathbf{1}_{\ker \chi_g \cap \ker \chi_h})$$

must be the orthogonal decomposition of **1** into the sum of a vector in the space of Λ -invariant elements in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \rho)$ and a vector in the orthogonal complement of the same.

Lemma C.5. (See [2, Theorem 3.3]) The function

$$f_0 := f - \sum_{i=1}^n f^{\Lambda_i}$$

is 3-periodic, where recall that $\Lambda_i = \mathbb{Z}g_i + \mathbb{Z}h_i$.

Proof. By the remarks made above, we have

(C.11)
$$\frac{1}{\|f\|_2} \theta\left(f - \sum_{i=1}^n f^{\Lambda_i}\right) = \mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}^{\Lambda_i}$$

By Lemma C.4 we have $\mathbf{1}^{\Lambda_i} = \mathbb{1}_{\ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}}$ since g_i and h_i generate Λ_i . So we just need to show that $\mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}^{\Lambda_i}$ is 3-periodic. Note that since ν is supported on $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}$, we have

(C.12)
$$\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_h}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \nu)$. So we need to show that the function

(C.13)
$$1_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{\ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}}$$

is 3-periodic. But it is easy to check that the finite index subgroup

$$\Gamma = \bigcap_{i \neq j} (\Lambda_i + \Lambda_j)$$

of \mathbb{Z}^3 fixes the above function, and we are done.

Lemma C.6. (See [2, Theorem 3.3]) For any $l \ge 1$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have $f^{l\Lambda_i} - f^{\Lambda_i}$ is 3-periodic.

Proof. We have

$$\Theta(f^{l\Lambda_i} - f^{\Lambda_i}) = \|f\|_2 (\mathbb{1}_{\ker \chi_{lg_i} \cap \ker \chi_{lh_i}} - \mathbb{1}_{\ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}})$$

So it suffices to show that

 $1_{\ker\chi_{lg_i}\cap\ker\chi_{lh_i}}-1_{\ker\chi_{g_i}\cap\ker\chi_{h_i}}$

is 3-periodic in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3,\nu)$. This is a simple exercise keeping in mind that ν is supported on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \ker \chi_{g_i} \cap \ker \chi_{h_i}$.

C.2. Polynomial Sequences. By a bi-infinite sequence in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} , we mean a map $s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We will write s_i to mean s(i). In what follows we will write 'sequence' to mean a bi-infinite sequence. For a sequence s, we define another sequence ∂s by writing $(\partial s)_i = s_{i+1} - s_i$. The k-fold composition $\partial \circ \cdots \circ \partial$ will be denoted by ∂^k . We say that a sequence s is a **polynomial sequence** if $\partial^k s = 0$ for some positive integer k. It is easy to argue by induction that if s is a polynomial sequence in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then there exists a non-negative integer n and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $s_k = a_0 + a_1k + \cdots + a_nk^n$ for all k, where the terms a_ik^i have to be interpreted as elements in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} by thinking of a_ik^i as the k^i -fold sum of a_i . This justifies the usage of the phrase 'polynomial sequence.'

There is a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$, the ring of all the Laurent polynomial over \mathbb{Z} , on the set of all the sequences in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . First note that there is a natural way to add two sequences: For $s, t : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we have $s + t : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined as $(s + t)_i = s_i + t_i$. Similarly, any sequence can be scaled by an integer. Now for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a sequence $s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we define the sequence $u^n s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ as $(u^n s)_i = s_{i+n}$. For an element $\sum_{n \in S} a_n u^n$ in $\mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$, and a sequence $s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we define

(C.14)
$$\left(\sum_{n\in S}a_nu^n\right)s = \sum_{n\in S}a_n(u^ns)$$

Lemma C.7. Let $s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a sequence such that there is $m \ge 1$ and $k \ge 1$ with the property that $(u^m - 1)^k s = 0$. Then the restriction of s to any coset of m in \mathbb{Z} is a polynomial sequence.

Proof. The proposition clearly holds for k = 1. Fix k > 1 and inductively assume that the proposition has been proved for all smaller values. Define $t = (u^m - 1)s$. Now since $(u^m - 1)^{k-1}t = 0$, we deduce by the inductive hypothesis that t restricted to any coset of m is a polynomial sequence. Let a_0, \ldots, a_n be elements of \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} such that

(C.15)
$$t_{mj} = a_0 + a_1 j + \dots + a_n j^n$$

for all j. Now since $t = (u^m - 1)s$, we have

(C.16)

$$s_{m+j} - s_j = t_j$$

$$\Rightarrow s_{m+mj} - s_{mj} = t_{mj}$$

$$\Rightarrow s_{m+m(N-1)} - s_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} t_{mj}$$

$$\Rightarrow s_{mN} = s_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} t_{mj}$$

18

Using Equation C.15 we see that the last term above is a polynomial and hence s restricted to $m\mathbb{Z}$ is a polynomial sequence. Similarly we can show that the restriction of s to other cosets of $m\mathbb{Z}$ are also polynomial sequences and we are done.

Corollary C.8. Let s be a sequence and m_1, \ldots, m_l be positive integers such that

(C.17)
$$(u^{m_1} - 1) \cdots (u^{m_l} - 1)s = 0$$

Then there are positive integers m and k such that $(u^m - 1)^k$ annihilates s, and thus s is a polynomial sequence on each coset of mZ.

Proof. The roots of the polynomial $u^{m_i} - 1$ are roots of unity. Therefore the polynomial $(u^{m_1} - 1) \cdots (u^{m_l} - 1)$ divides $(u^m - 1)^k$ for a suitable choice of positive integers m and k. Now the desired result follows by the previous lemma.

C.3. Equidistribution. Let λ denote the Haar measure on \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} . For any sequence $s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we define a probability measure λ_n on \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} as

(C.18)
$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{i=-n}^n \delta_{s_i}$$

where δ_{s_i} denotes the Dirac mass at s_i . We say that s is **equidistributed** if $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ in the weak^{*} sense. Weyl's equidistribution theorem¹¹ states that every polynomial sequence in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} is either periodic or equidistributes.

Similarly, we say that a k-dimensional sequence $t : \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is equidistributed if the probability measures

(C.19)
$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^k} \sum_{p \in [-n,n]^k} \delta_{t_p}$$

converges to λ in the weak^{*} sense. For later use we need the following lemma.

Lemma C.9. Let (Y, \mathcal{Y}, ν) be a probability space equipped with a measure preserving \mathbb{Z}^3 -action. Let Γ be a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 and $\varphi : Y \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a measurable function. For each $y \in Y$ we have the function $\varphi_y : \Gamma \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ which takes $g \in \Gamma$ to $\varphi(g \cdot y)$. Then the sets (C.20)

 $E = \{ y \in Y : \varphi_y : \Gamma \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} \text{ equidistributes} \}, \quad K = \{ y \in Y : \varphi_y : \Gamma \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} \text{ is constant} \}$

 $are\ measurable.$

Proof. ¹² We give the proof for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^3$ and leave the general case as an exercise. First we show that E is measurable. We know that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, the set of all the probability measures in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} , is metrizable (in the weak* topology). Choose a metric d on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. For each $n \geq 1$ and each $y \in Y$, define probability measure $\lambda_{n,y}$ by writing

(C.21)
$$\lambda_{n,y} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} \sum_{p \in [-n,n]^3} \delta_{\varphi_y(p)}$$

By Lemma B.1 the map $Y \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ taking y to $\lambda_{n,y}$ is measurable for any $n \ge 0$. Therefore, the composite

¹¹See Theorem 1.4 in [3]

¹²Thanks to Ronnie Pavlov and Nishant Chandgotia for helping with this proof.

$$(\mu_n: n \ge 1) \longmapsto (d(\mu_n, \lambda): n \ge 1)$$
$$Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$$
$$y \longmapsto (\lambda_{n,y}: n \ge 1)$$

is measurable. If S is the set of all the elements $(x_n : n \ge 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that $x_n \to 0$, then by Lemma B.2 we have S is measurable. It is clear that E is nothing but the preimage of S under the composition above, and hence E is measurable.

Now we show that K is measurable. For each $n, k \ge 1$, define

(C.22)
$$K_{n,k} = \{ y \in Y : |\varphi(y) - \varphi(p \cdot y)| < 1/k \text{ for } p \in [-n, n]^3 \}$$

which is measurable. Now $K = \bigcap_{n,k>1} K_{n,k}$ and hence K is measurable.

C.4. **Pure and Mixed Statistics.** Now suppose (Y, \mathcal{Y}, ν) is a probability measure space equipped with an ergodic \mathbb{Z}^3 -action. Let $\varphi : Y \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a measurable function. For each $y \in Y$ we get a map $\varphi_y : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined as $\varphi_y(v) = \varphi(v \cdot y)$.

Lemma C.10. Pure Statistics Lemma. Suppose the 3-dimensional sequence $\varphi_y : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is either a constant or equidistributed for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$. Then $\varphi_*\nu$ is either a Dirac measure or the Haar measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

Proof. The set E of points y in Y such that φ_y equidistributes form a measurable subset of Y. It is easy to check that this set is \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant. Thus this set either has full measure or has zero measure. Similarly, the set K of points y in Y for which φ_y is constant either has full measure or zero measure. Now we have a full measure subset S of Y such that for each $y \in S$ we have

(C.23)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}} F \ d \left[\frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} \sum_{p \in [-n,n]^3} \delta_{\varphi_y(p)} \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} \sum_{p \in [-n,n]^3} F \circ \varphi(p \cdot y)$$

which by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem is equal to

(C.24)
$$\int_{Y} F \circ \varphi \ d\nu = \int_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}} F \ d(\varphi_*\nu)$$

for all $F \in C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.¹³ If E has full measure, then, by the Riesz representation theorem we must have $\varphi_*\nu$ is the Lebesgue measure and if K has full measure then we must have $\varphi_*\nu$ is a Dirac measure. Since one of these two possibilities must occur, we are done.

Lemma C.11. Mixed Statistics Lemma. Suppose for ν -a.e. y in Y there is a finite index subgroup Γ_y of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that the 3-dimensional sequence obtained by restricting φ_y to any coset of Γ_y is either a constant or equidistributed. Then there is a finite index subgroup Γ of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that for any Γ -ergodic component (E, ν_E) of Y we have $\varphi_*\nu_E$ is either a Dirac measure or the Haar measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

¹³First one may establish this for a countable dense subset of $C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and then upgrade to all of $C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \ldots$ be an enumeration of all the finite index subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^3 . For each $i \ge 1$ let (C.25)

$$Y_i = \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left(\{ y \in Y \mid \varphi_{g \cdot y} : \Gamma_i \to \mathbf{R} / \mathbb{Z} \text{ equidistributes} \} \cup \{ y \in Y \mid \varphi_{g \cdot y} : \Gamma_i \to \mathbf{R} / \mathbb{Z} \text{ is constant} \} \right)$$

By Lemma C.9 we know that each Y_i is a measurable subset of Y. Note that each Y_i is \mathbb{Z}^3 -invariant. Define a map $\Psi: Y \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^3)$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ is the set of all the finite index subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^3 , by writing

(C.26)
$$\Psi(y) = \Gamma_n$$

where n is the smallest positive integer i such that on every coset of Γ_i the sequence φ_y is either a constant or is equidistributed. Then note that

(C.27)
$$\Psi^{-1}(\Gamma_n) = Y_n \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i^c$$

Therefore each fiber of Ψ is measurable.¹⁴ Since there are only countably many fibers of Ψ , there must exist a fiber with positive measure, whence by the ergodicity of the \mathbb{Z}^3 action we deduce that some fiber of Ψ has full measure. Therefore, there is a finite index subgroup Γ of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that for ν -a.e. y in Y we have φ_y restricted to any coset of Γ is either constant or equidistributed. Since Γ acts ergodically on each Γ -ergodic component, the desired result follows from the Pure Statistics Lemma.

C.5. Behaviour of Averages on Suitable Ergodic Components. For a map $\varphi : X \to \mathbf{R}$ we define a map $\bar{\varphi} : X \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ obtained by composing φ with the natural map $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. There is a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm}, u_2^{\pm}, u_3^{\pm}]$ on the set of maps taking X into \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} .¹⁵ We have

Lemma C.12. (See [2, Lemma 4.2]) Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be arbitrary. Then there is h in \mathbb{Z}^3 not in any of the Λ_i 's such that

$$(C.28) (U^h - 1)^k \overline{f^{\Lambda_j}} = 0$$

for some $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Let h be a vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 that is not in any of the Λ_i 's. Let Γ_0 be a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that f_0 is Γ_0 -invariant (recall the definition of f_0 from Lemma C.5). Let l be a positive integer such hat $l\mathbb{Z}^3$ is contained in $(\mathbb{Z}h + \Lambda_j) \cap \Gamma_0$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j$, let $w_i \in \Lambda_i$ be a nonzero vector such that $w_i \notin \Lambda_j$. Consider the Laurent polynomial

(C.29)
$$q(U) = \prod_{i: i \neq j} (U^{lw_i} - 1)$$

It is clear that q annihilates f_0 as well as each $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}$ for $i \neq j$. For each $i \neq j$, we can find a nonzero integer a_i and a vector v_i in Λ_j such that $lw_i = a_ih + w_{ij}$ for some $w_{ij} \in \Lambda_j$. Therefore

(C.30)
$$U^{lw_i}\overline{f^{\Lambda_j}} = U^{a_ih}\overline{f^{\Lambda_j}}$$

for each $i \neq j$, and thus we have

(C.31)
$$q(U)\overline{f^{\Lambda_j}} = \left[\prod_{i:\ i\neq j} (U^{a_ih} - 1)\right]\overline{f^{\Lambda_j}} = 0$$

 $^{^{14}}$ Thanks to Nishant Chandgotia for the argument showing the measurability of $\Psi.$

¹⁵Recall that we write a typical element of $\mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm}, u_2^{\pm}, u_3^{\pm}]$ as $\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^3} a_v U^v$.

Therefore $(U^{nh}-1)^k$ annihilates $\overline{f^{\Lambda_j}}$ for a suitable choice of integers n and k and are done.

Lemma C.13. (See [2, Lemma 4.3]) Let $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ be given. Then there is a finite index subgroup Γ_i of \mathbb{Z}^3 with the property that if (E, μ_E) is a Γ_i -ergodic component of X then we have $\overline{f}^{\Lambda_i}{}_*\mu_E$ is either a Dirac measure or the Haar measure on \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Write $\varphi = \overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}$. By Lemma C.12 we can find $h \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ not in Γ_i and a positive integer k such that $(U^h - 1)^k \varphi = 0$. Also, $(U^g - 1)\varphi = 0$ for all $g \in \Lambda_i$. Therefore, for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ we have $(U^h - 1)^k \varphi_x = 0$ and $(U^g - 1)\varphi_x = 0$ for all $g \in \Lambda_i$. By Lemma C.7 we deduce that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ we can find a finite index subgroup Γ_x of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that φ_x is either equidistributed or is constant on any given coset of Γ_x . Now by Mixed Statistics Lemma we can find a finite index subgroup Γ_i of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that $\varphi_*\mu_E$ is either a Dirac measure or the Haar measure for any Γ_i -ergodic component (E, μ_E) of X.

Remark C.14. It can be easily argued that the conclusion of the above lemma does not change if we pass to any finite index subgroup of Γ_i . More precisely, the above lemma can be made more nuanced by saying that there is a finite index subgroup Γ_i such that whenever Γ is any finite index subgroup of Γ_i we have $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_*\mu_E$ is either the Haar measure on \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} or a Dirac measure on \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} for any Γ -ergodic component E of X. We will make use of this observation in what follows.

The strategy to show that A is 1-weakly periodic is to show that there is a finite index subgroup Γ of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that the intersection of A with any Γ -ergodic component of X is 1-weakly periodic. Our next lemma almost achieves this. Since the conclusion of the above lemma does not change when Γ_i is replaced by any finite index subgroup of Γ_i , this allows us to prove the following.

Lemma C.15. (See [2, Theorem 4.4]) There is a finite index subgroup Γ of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that for each Γ -ergodic component (E, μ_E) of X, we have either $\mu_E(A \cap E) = 1/2$ or $A \cap E$ is 2-periodic (or both).

Proof. Let Γ_0 be a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that f_0 is Γ_0 -invariant. By Lemma C.13 we know that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have a finite index subgroup Γ_i of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that for each Γ_i -ergodic component E of X we have $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is either a Dirac measure or the Haar measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Define $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i$. We will show that Γ satisfies the requirement of the lemma. Fix a Γ -ergodic component E of X. There are two cases.

Case 1: There is $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is the Haar measure λ on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . We will show that $\mu_E(A \cap E) = 1/2$. Note that since f, and hence f^{Λ_i} , is valued in the unit interval I, the fact that $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is the Haar measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} implies that $f_*^{\Lambda_i} \mu_E$ is the Haar measure λ_I on the unite interval I. Let $l \geq 1$ be such that $l\mathbb{Z}^3 \subseteq \Gamma$. By Lemma C.6 we have $f^{l\Lambda_i} - f^{\Lambda_i}$ is 3-periodic. So there is a finite index subgroup Γ' of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that such that $f^{l\Lambda_i} - f^{\Lambda_i}$ is invariant under Γ' . Let $\Theta = \Gamma \cap \Gamma'$ and $(E_1, \mu_1), \ldots, (E_k, \mu_k)$ be all the Θ -ergodic components of X which are contained in E. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ let $f^{l\Lambda_i} - f^{\Lambda_i} \equiv c_j$ on E_j , where c_j is some real number. By the remark following Lemma C.13 we know that $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_j$ is the Haar measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and hence, $f_*^{\Lambda_i} \mu_j$ is the Haar measure on the unit interval. Therefore $f_*^{l\Lambda_i} \mu_j$ is the Haar measure on the interval $[c_i, c_i + 1]$. But $f^{l\Lambda_i}$ is valued in I, and therefore c_i must be 0. This implies that $f_*^{l\Lambda_i} \mu_E$ is also the Haar measure on the unit interval. Now

(C.32)
$$\mu_E(A \cap E) = \int_E 1_A \, d\mu_E = \int_E f \, d\mu_E = \int_E f^{l\Lambda_i} \, d\mu_E$$

where the last equality is because of the ergodic theorem coupled with the fact that E is Γ -invariant, and hence $l\Lambda_i$ -invariant. But since $f_*^{l\Lambda_i}\mu_E$ is the Haar measure on I, we see that $\mu_E(A \cap E) = 1/2$.

Case 2: There is no $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is the Haar measure on \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Thus $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is a Dirac measure for each *i*. We divide this into two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: There is $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is the Dirac measure at 0. We will show that $A \cap E$ is 2-periodic. It follows that $f^{\Lambda_i}|_E$ takes values in the two-element set $\{0, 1\}$. Choose $l \geq 1$ such that $l\Lambda_i \subseteq \Gamma$. By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem we see that $f^{l\Lambda_i} = 1$ whenever $f^{\Lambda_i} = 1$ and $f^{l\Lambda_i} = 0$ whenever $f^{\Lambda_i} = 0$ on E. Thus $f^{l\Lambda_i}$ coincides with f^{Λ_i} on E. Now let

(C.33)
$$S = \{x \in E : f^{\Lambda_i}(x) = 1\} = \{x \in E : f^{t\Lambda_i}(x) = 1\}$$

Then

(C.34)
$$\mu_E(S) = \int_E 1_S \ d\mu_E = \int_E f^{l\Lambda_i} \ d\mu_E \stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_E f \ d\mu_E = \mu_E(A)$$

where (*) is because E is Γ -invariant and hence is $l\Lambda_i$ -invariant. We also have

(C.35)
$$\int_E f^{l\Lambda_i} d\mu_E = \int_S f^{l\Lambda_i} d\mu_E = \int_S f d\mu_E = \mu_E(A \cap S)$$

because $f^{l\Lambda_i}|_E$ is same as 1_S and S is $l\Lambda_i$ -invariant. We deduce that $\mu_E(A) = \mu_E(S) = \mu_E(A \cap S)$ and therefore $A \cap E = S$. Since S being $l\Lambda_i$ -invariant is 2-periodic, we have $A \cap E$ is 2-periodic.

Subcase 2.2: There is no $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is the Dirac measure at 0. In this case we have $\overline{f^{\Lambda_i}}_* \mu_E$ is the Dirac measure at a point other than 0 in \mathbf{R}/\mathbb{Z} . This implies that each f^{Λ_i} is constant on E. Since $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n f^{\Lambda_i}$, with f_0 being Γ -invariant, we clearly have $f|_E$ is 3-periodic, and thus $A \cap E$ is 3-periodic (which in particular implies that $A \cap E$ is 2-periodic). This completes the proof.

C.6. Weakly Periodic Decomposition.

Lemma C.16. (See [2, Lemma 5.1]) Let (Y, \mathcal{Y}, ν) be a probability space equipped with an ergodic \mathbb{Z}^3 -action. If $E \subseteq Y$ is 3-periodic and $B \subseteq E$ is 2-weakly periodic, then $E \setminus B$ is also 2-weakly periodic.

Proof. Let $B = B_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup B_k$ be a 2-weakly periodic decomposition of B and let $\Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_k$ be rank-2 subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that each B_i is Θ_i -invariant. We may assume that k is the smallest positive integer satisfying the above. Thus $\Theta_i + \Theta_j$ is a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 whenever $i \neq j$. Let Γ_0 be a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 such that E is Γ_0 -invariant. Define $\Gamma_{ij} = \Gamma_0 \cap \Theta_i + \Gamma_0 \cap \Theta_j$ whenever $i \neq j$, and note that each Γ_{ij} is a finite index subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Define $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i\neq j} \Gamma_{ij}$. Note that E is partitioned by the Γ-ergodic components contained in Esince E is Γ-invariant.

We will show that for every Γ -ergodic component Q of (X, μ) , the set $Q \cap (E \setminus B)$ is 2-periodic. Indeed, fix a Γ -ergodic component Q such that $Q \cap B$ has positive measure. Then Q must be contained in E. Suppose there exists $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, i \neq j$, such that $Q \cap B_i$ and $Q \cap B_j$ both have positive measure. Let $S = \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma_{ij}} g(Q \cap B_j)$. Then S is Γ_{ij} -invariant and hence Γ -invariant. Thus S must contain Q. But note that if $g \in \Gamma_{ij}$, then g can be written as $g = g_i + g_j$ for some $g_i \in \Gamma_0 \cap \Theta_i$ and $g_j \in \Gamma_0 \cap \Theta_j$. Therefore

(C.36)
$$g(Q \cap B_j) = (g_i + g_j)(Q \cap B_j) = g_i(g_j(Q \cap B_j)) \subseteq g_i(g_jB_j) \subseteq g_iB_j$$

Now since B_i and E are both g_i -invariant, and $B_j \subseteq E$ is disjoint with B_i , we must have $g_i B_j \subseteq E \setminus B_i$. This means that the set S is disjoint with B_i , contradicting the fact that S contains Q.

So we see that each Γ -ergodic component can intersect at most one of the B_i 's in a positive measure set. Finally, if Q is a Γ -ergodic component intersecting B_i in a positive measure set, and l is a positive integer such that $l\Theta_i \subseteq \Gamma$, then Q and B_i are both $l\Theta_i$ -invariant. Thus $Q \setminus B = Q \setminus B_i$ is also $l\Theta_i$ -invariant, and is hence 2-periodic.

By translating F if necessary, we can manage that $0 \in F$ along with the property that the sum of any set of nonzero vectors in F is nonzero. With this in mind we now finish the proof of the 1-weak periodicity of A, thereby establishing the existence of a 1-weakly periodic F-tiling.

Lemma C.17. Let Γ be as in Lemma C.15. If $A \cap E$ is not 2-weakly periodic for some Γ -ergodic component (E, μ_E) of μ , then $A \cap E$ is 1-periodic.

Proof. (See [2, Proof of Lemma 1.3, pg 13]) For any vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, we will write \bar{v} to denote -v. Let E be a Γ -ergodic component of X such that $A \cap E$ is not 2-weakly periodic. Then $\mu_E(A \cap E) = 1/2$. Now we have

(C.37)
$$E \setminus (A \cap E) = \bigsqcup_{g \neq 0, g \in F} (gA) \cap E$$

since $\{gA : g \in F\}$ is a partition of X. If each $(gA) \cap E$ is 2-weakly periodic then so is $E \setminus (A \cap E)$, and hence, by Lemma C.16, $A \cap E$ is also 2-weakly periodic, contrary to our assumption. Thus there is $b_1 \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(b_1A) \cap E$ is not 2-weakly periodic. This implies that $A \cap \overline{b}_1 E$ is also not 2-weakly periodic, and hence

$$1/2 = \mu_{\bar{b}_1 E}(A \cap \bar{b}_1 E) = \mu_E((b_1 A) \cap E)$$

So we have $E = (A \cap E) \sqcup ((b_1 A) \cap E)$, which implies that

$$\bar{b}_1 E = (A \cap \bar{b}_1 E) \sqcup (\bar{b}_1 (A \cap E))$$

As noted earlier, we have $A \cap \overline{b}_1 E$ is not 2-weakly periodic. By the same argument as above applied to $A \cap \overline{b}_1 E$, we deduce that there is $b_2 \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\overline{b}_1 E = (A \cap \overline{b}_1 E) \sqcup ((b_2 A) \cap (\overline{b}_1 E))$, which shows that

(C.38)
$$(b_2A) \cap \bar{b}_1E = \bar{b}_1(A \cap E)$$

and hence $A \cap (\bar{b}_1 + \bar{b}_2)E = (\bar{b}_1 + \bar{b}_2)(A \cap E)$. Thus, continuing this way, for each $n \ge 1$ we can find $b_1, \ldots, b_{2n} \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that

(C.39)
$$A \cap (\bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_{2n})E = (\bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_{2n})(A \cap E)$$

Since there are only finitely many Γ -ergodic components, we see that there must exist natural numbers m and n with m < n such that

(C.40)
$$(\bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_{2m})E = (\bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_{2n})E$$

and hence

(C.41)
$$(\bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_{2m})(A \cap E) = (\bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_{2n})(A \cap E)$$

giving

(C.42)
$$(\overline{b}_{2m+1} + \dots + \overline{b}_{2n})(A \cap E) = A \cap E$$

and hence, since $b_{2m+1} \cdots + b_{2n}$ is nonzero, $A \cap E$ is 1-periodic. This proves the lemma.

Corollary C.18. Then A is 1-weakly periodic.

Proof. Let Γ be as in Lemma C.15 and apply Lemma C.16.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

This manuscript has no associated data.

Conflict of Interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- D. Beauquier and M. Nivat. On translating one polyomino to tile the plane. Discrete Comput. Geom., 6(6):575-592, 1991.
- [2] S. Bhattacharya. Periodicity and decidability of tilings. arXiv 1602.05738v1, 2016.
- [3] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward. Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory, volume 259 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
- [4] R. Greenfeld and T. Tao. The structure of translational tilings in \mathbb{Z}^d . arXiv 2010.03254, 2020.
- [5] R. Greenfeld and T. Tao. Undecidable translational tilings with only two tiles, or one nonabelian tile. 2021.
- [6] R. Greenfeld and T. Tao. A counterexample to the periodic tiling conjecture (announcement). 2022.
- [7] P. Horak and D. Kim. Algebraic method in tilings. arXiv 1603.00051v1, 2016.
- [8] J. Kari and M. Szabados. An algebraic geometric approach to Nivat's conjecture. *Inform. and Comput.*, 271:104481, 25, 2020.
- [9] J. C. Lagarias and Y. Wang. Tiling the line with translates of one tile. Invent. Math., 124(1-3):341–365, 1996.
- [10] M. Szegedy. Algorithms to tile the infinite grid with finite clusters. Foundations of Computer Science, 1998.
- [11] R. Tijdeman. Decomposition of the integers as a direct sum of two subsets. 215:261–276, 1995.

Postdoctoral Researcher, School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, CAM, Bangalore, India

Email address: khetan@math.tifr.res.in