Multi-View Video-Based 3D Hand Pose Estimation

Leyla Khaleghi, Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Member, IEEE, Joshua Marshall, Senior Member, IEEE, Ali Etemad, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract-Hand pose estimation (HPE) can be used for a variety of human-computer interaction applications such as gesture-based control for physical or virtual/augmented reality devices. Recent works have shown that videos or multi-view images carry rich information regarding the hand, allowing for the development of more robust HPE systems. In this paper, we present the Multi-View Video-Based 3D Hand (MuViHand) dataset, consisting of multi-view videos of the hand along with ground-truth 3D pose labels. Our dataset includes more than 402,000 synthetic hand images available in 4,560 videos. The videos have been simultaneously captured from six different angles with complex backgrounds and random levels of dynamic lighting. The data has been captured from 10 distinct animated subjects using 12 cameras in a semi-circle topology where six tracking cameras only focus on the hand and the other six fixed cameras capture the entire body. Next, we implement MuViHandNet, a neural pipeline consisting of image encoders for obtaining visual embeddings of the hand, recurrent learners to learn both temporal and angular sequential information, and graph networks with U-Net architectures to estimate the final 3D pose information. We perform extensive experiments and show the challenging nature of this new dataset as well as the effectiveness of our proposed method. Ablation studies show the added value of each component in MuViHandNet, as well as the benefit of having temporal and sequential information in the dataset. We make our dataset publicly available to contribute to the field at: https://github.com/LeylaKhaleghi/MuViHand.

Index Terms—Hand pose estimation (HPE), Multi-view, Video, Dataset.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hand pose estimation (HPE) methods can play an important role in various human-computer interaction (HCI) applications, including virtual reality (VR) or augmented reality (AR) [1]– [5], gesture and sign language recognition [6]–[9], and smart vehicles [10], [11]. Despite the tremendous progress in HPE in recent years [12]–[14] due to advancements in deep learning systems, the accuracy and robustness of HPE methods still suffer from: (*i*) appearance variations such as the articulated shape of the hand or skin color; (*ii*) occlusion factors, such as wearing hand gloves or when a part of the subject's own body occludes the hand [15], [16]; (*iii*) variations in the viewpoint of cameras [17]; and (*iv*) variations in the environment, such as complex backgrounds [18] and significantly high or low levels of lighting, which generally degrade the segmentation and estimation performance.

To date, most HPE solutions focus on estimating the pose from single RGB images [18], [20], [22], [25]–[29], [29]– [36], and consequently less emphasis has been placed on exploiting multi-view and/or video data [23], [24]. Only a few



Fig. 1. The multi-view camera topology that has been used for recording the MuViHand dataset is presented in this figure. The videos have been recorded using 12 cameras situated in two concentric circles, where six tracking cameras (Cameras 1-6) focus only on the hand while the other six fixed cameras (Cameras 7-12) capture the whole body.

methods have recently have made use of multi-view angular information [24], whose fusion has shown to compensate for the shortage of data that a single view contains. Additionally, depth ambiguity can be significantly reduced by considering geometry relationships between viewpoints [17], [24], [37]. Hence, multiview pose estimation methods result in a better performance when compared with single view methods. Furthermore, hand poses generally change quickly and, because the pose at any given time can be influenced by the previous poses, exploiting temporal information over video sequences could boost the performance of HPE, as shown in [23], [38].

Accordingly, the availability of large-scale video datasets captured from multiple view-points may play a key role in advancing the field of 3D HPE. Despite this, well-known hand-pose datasets such as RHD [20], GANerated [18], and FreiHAND [21] do not provide video data. Other datasets, like STB [19], Youtube Hand [22], and SeqHAND [23], lack simultaneous recording of the hands from multiple views. Consequently, development of HPE solutions that can learn jointly from multi-view information over time (videos) has been largely overlooked.

To this end, we first introduce a new HPE dataset named <u>Multi-View Video-Based Hand</u> (MuViHand), to enable research on multi-view video-based HPE systems. Our dataset includes more than 402,000 synthetic hand images, available in 4,560 video sequences, which have been synthetically generated. The data has been captured by using 12 cameras in a semi-circle topology, where six tracking cameras focus only on the hand and the other six fixed cameras capture the whole body, as shown in Figure 1. To the best of our knowledge, MuViHand is the first and largest synthetic dataset that includes both multiview and sequential hand data. Furthermore, the entire body is depicted in this dataset rather than images focusing only on the hand, causing additional challenges for HPE algorithms.

Leyla Khaleghi, Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Joshua Marshall and Ali Etemad are with the Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering and the Ingenuity Labs Research Institute, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada. Contact information: 19lk12@queensu.ca.

Dataset	Year	RGB/Depth	Real/Synth.	# Frames	Static/Seq.	Multi-View	Full/Zoomed	Fixed/Tracking
STB [19]	2016	RGB+Depth	Real	18K	Seq.	No	Full	Fixed
RHD [20]	2017	RGB+Depth	Synth	43.7K	Static	No	Full	Fixed
GANerated [18]	2018	RGB	Synth	330K	Static	No	Zoomed	Fixed
FreiHAND [21]	2019	RGB	Real	134K	Static	No	Full	Fixed
Youtube Hand [22]	2020	RGB	Real	48.65K	Seq.	No	Full	Fixed
SeqHAND [23]	2020	RGB	Synth	410K	Seq.	No	Zoomed	Fixed
MVHM [24]	2020	RGB+Depth	Synth	320K	Static	Yes	Zoomed	Fixed
MuViHand (ours)	2021	RGB	Synth	402K	Seq.	Yes	Both	Both

Motivated by the availability of the MuViHand dataset, we propose a graph-based HPE method, called MuViHandNet, to jointly learn from both temporal and angular information. Successive to extracting spatial embeddings from each frame using an encoder, our model uses a pair of temporal and angular learners to learn effective spatio-temporal and spatio-angular representations. These representations are then concatenated and jointly learned for estimating 2D hand coordinates. Rather than estimating the 3D hand pose coordinates directly from the embeddings, MuviHandNet initially estimates the 2D hand coordinates prior to transforming them into 3D camera hand coordinates by implicitly estimating depth information. Given the graph-based structure of the hand skeleton, we use a graph convolutional network (GCN) to model the hand joint constraints and connections, and ultimately 3D HPE.

Our contributions in this paper are summarized as follows:

- We present the MuViHand dataset, which is the first and largest synthetic *multi-view video* hand pose dataset with two different types of cameras in which half capture the full body while the other half track the target hand. Our dataset includes more than 402,000 frames with complex backgrounds, occlusions, and dynamic sources of lighting. This dataset is publicly available to the research community.
- We propose MuViHandNet, the first method for multi-view video-based 3D HPE that achieves a robust performance on our dataset by considering both the temporal and angular relationships between hand-image embeddings. Our model consists of an image encoder, temporal learners, angular learners, and a graph U-Net.
- We demonstrate the benefits of this new multi-view video-based dataset and 3D HPE model, and present a comprehensive benchmarking study against other state-of-the-art HPE methods as well as ablated baselines. The experiments show that MuViHandNet achieves superior results, with considerable performance gains of up to 55% when compared to other state-of-the-art methods.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a review of recent advances in HPE datasets and methods. The newly captured hand dataset is described in Section 3 and the proposed method is presented in Section 4. Section 5 presents an extensive performance evaluation for the proposed and state-of-the-art methods using varied and challenging HPE tasks. Also, the limitation of our method with some remarks for future research directions are discussed. Finally, Section 6 concludes our paper.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Existing Hand Pose Datasets

Several publicly available hand pose datasets have been previously developed for different applications and scenarios. Table I provides an overview of the main characteristics of the well-known hand pose datasets, including the types of cameras used, the types of data (real vs. synthetic and static vs. sequential), the number of frames, the type of view-related acquisition (multi-view or not), whether the images only capture the hand or whether the entire body has been captured (which is more challenging), and whether the employed cameras are fixed or track the hand. In order to show the chronological evolution of these hand pose datasets in the table, they have been sorted by the order of release date. For comparison, we also included the characteristics of our dataset, MuViHand, which is proposed in this paper in Table I. We note that MuViHand is among those datasets with the highest number of frames and is both multi-view and sequential, which no other dataset provides. Moreover, MuViHand contains the entire body, which is more representative of real-life scenarios while also making it more challenging for pose estimators because other body parts with similar skin characteristics might confuse candidate algorithms. Lastly, our dataset is the only dataset that contains both fixed and tracking cameras. In what follows we provide a brief description of the datasets mentioned in Table I.

Stereo Hand Pose Tracking Benchmark (STB) [19] is one of the most popular real-world single-view datasets for 3D HPE. In this dataset, only one subject perform random and number counting poses with six different backgrounds. These 12 sequences, each with 1500 frames, were annotated manually.

Rendered Hand Pose Dataset (RHD) [20] is a synthetic hand pose dataset, including 20 different characters who perform 39 actions. The dataset includes 43,700 images that were captured with multiple random backgrounds from different angles (not recorded simultaneously, hence not multi-view). Given that the dataset uses synthetic images, the keypoints were annotated automatically.

GANerated [18] was first generated synthetically, and subsequently used by a CycleGan network and translated to real images. GANerated includes more than 260,000 frames of hand poses with different skin tones.

FreiHAND [21] is a large-scale real-world hand pose dataset collected from 32 subjects. The dataset includes 134,000 hand images with various poses. The annotations were partially



3



Fig. 2. Illustration of each of the animated subjects in MuViHand, showing different genders, skin tones, and appearances.

carried out manually and partially by an iterative semiautomated approach.

YouTube Hand [22] was generated from 109 YouTube videos. The dataset includes 48,650 hand images. The process of annotation was done by fitting a parametric hand model, named MANO [39], to a publicly available 2D prediction network called OpenPose [17].

SeqHAND [23] is a large synthetic sequential hand pose dataset with 410,000 images. The MANO [39] hand model was used with the ground-truth annotations of a sequential depth-based hand pose dataset called BigHand 2.2M, to render the hand pose sequences for the SeqHAND dataset.

Multi-View Hand Mesh (MVHM) [24] is a synthetic multiview dataset with 320,000 images. Similar to the previous dataset, MVHM used ground truth annotations from a different dataset, in this case the NYU [40], along with a hand model called TurboSquid¹, to render the hand images from eight views.

B. Existing HPE Methods

While in this paper we focus on *multi-view* and *video-based* HPE, in this section we review related literature that has performed 3D HPE from single RGB images given the lack of multi-view and video-based datasets [18], [20], [22], [25]–[29], [29]–[35]. We then review the few works that have taken multi-view or sequential approaches [17], [23], [24].

1) Single-view HPE: In [20], the problem of 3D HPE is broken up into two steps. First, a CNN extracts image features and directly estimates the 2D heat maps, from which the normalized 3D hand coordinate is subsequently measured. Similar to [20], in [25], 3D HPE is performed in two steps. However, the 3D hand pose is estimated from a 2.5D heat map instead of a 2D heat map by estimating the depth data as well.

Some HPE methods [26], [27] boost the performance of RGB-based HPE with the help of privileged learning of depth information. In [26], a depth regularizer network is applied after the 3D HPE network during training to learn to generate the corresponding depth map from a 3D hand pose. However, during testing the RGB images go through only the 3D HPE network. Similarly, in [41] the network learns to generate the corresponding depth map from the 3D hand shape instead of the pose. In [27], an RGB-based HPE and a depth-based HPE network are independently trained. The depth-based network is then frozen and the RGB-based network's training is resumed with paired RGB and depth images by sharing the information between the middle CNN layers of these two networks.

Several HPE methods [31]–[33] rely on a predefined 3D hand model for estimating 3D hand poses. In [31], with the help of solving an optimization problem, a hand model with 27 parameters are fit to 2D joints locations estimated by OpenPose [17]. In [33] a hand image is passed through a ResNet-18 to generate the MANO hand model parameters for estimating the 3D hand pose. Similarly, in [32], a hand image and its 2D joint heat maps (obtained from OpenPose) are passed through a ResNet-50 for generating the input parameters to the MANO hand model, from which the 3D hand pose is measured.

2) *Multi-View HPE:* In the only multi-view HPE method found in the literature, the proposed solution [24] receives a number of hand images captured from different views as inputs, and passes them to individual single-view HPE networks to predict the 3D camera coordinates for each view independently. Then these 3D camera coordinates are concatenated and pass through a graph-based neural network to predict the 3D *world* coordinates for each pose.

3) Temporal HPE: In [38] 3D hand pose is estimated from a temporal sequence of 2D hand joints obtained from a hand pose estimator such as OpenPose. The method creates a GCN that considers the temporal relationships by incorporating additional edges between the same joints in consecutive frames. In [23], a recurrent layer is included after the encoder of a single-view hand pose estimator (proposed in [32]) to exploit the temporal relationships and generate the MANO hand model parameters for each frame.

Based on our above-described literature review, we observe that there are no HPE methods that simultaneously consider both spatio-temporal and spatio-angular relationships. Thus, this paper introduces the first method for multi-view videobased HPE, called MuViHandNet.

III. DATASET

Our proposed dataset, MuViHand, is a synthetic multi-view video-based hand pose dataset, create using the freely available MIXAMO², a web-based service for 3D character animation synthesis. MIXAMO has also been used for creating the Rendered Hand Pose Dataset [20]. In our work, we select 10 characters with various appearances (shown in Figure 2) who perform 19 various full-body actions in sitting, standing, and walking posture, that have been captured from motion actors. We also randomly choose several street and in-vehicle images as backgrounds from the Pxfuel³ website. Finally, Blender⁴, an open-source 3D graphic computer software, is used to render

²Available online at https://www.mixamo.com.

³Available online at https://www.pxfuel.com.

⁴Availabel online at https://www.blender.org.



Fig. 3. Samples from MuViHand with various poses and backgrounds.



Fig. 4. Samples with different light sources. In the first row the light source is a point light and in the second row the light source is the sun.



Fig. 5. (a) The 21 hand joints are visualized; (b) A sample hand pose captured from cameras 1 through 6, and their corresponding 3D ground truths are depicted.

the videos from six different views. In total, 4,560 videos with 402,000 frames are rendered, a few samples of which are shown in Figure 3. This dataset is publicly available at https://github.com/LeylaKhaleghi/MuViHand.

A. Lighting Conditions

We use two dynamic lighting sources in creating the videos, namely (*i*) sun and (*ii*) point light. In Figure 4 several frames with the two sources of light are shown. In the videos with sun as the light source, the location of the sun is randomly picked between two concentric semi-spheres around the subject. Moreover, the sun source experiences very small motions towards the left or right during each video to create a slightly moving shadow. The point light sources are similarly located between two concentric spheres with much smaller radii compared to those of the sun light. During each video, the point light source moves closer to the user, creating a moving shadow similar to that experienced as a result of a moving vehicle at night.

B. Camera Topology

We use 12 cameras when generating the dataset, six of which are **fixed** in a semi-circle topology around the user, while the other six **track** the user, three of which focus on the right hand while the other three focus on the left hand. This topology is shown in Figure 1. Each set of cameras (fixed and tracking) have been positioned in six evenly spaced angles from 15° to 165° (15° , 45° , 75° , ..., 165°) on a semicircle topology around the subject. Figure 5 presents a sample hand image and corresponding ground-truth poses as observed by the six fixed cameras.

C. Annotation

We provide 2D and 3D locations for 21 hand joints, including one joint for the wrist and four joints per each finger (Fingertip, DIP, PIP, MCP), similar to [20]. See Figure 5(a) for details about the joints used in this dataset. Moreover, Figure 5(b) shows sample ground-truth poses provided for different views. For each 3D hand pose, we provide the 3D world coordinates as well as the 3D camera coordinates. Finally, the intrinsic matrices for the cameras are also provided, where a single intrinsic matrix describes each static camera, while for the tracking cameras, a different matrix is provided for each frame.

D. Pose and Activity Distribution

In order to illustrate how the pose information is distributed in our dataset, we visualize the 3D root-relative pose coordinates $(1 \times 63 \text{ vector})$ using t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) in Figure 6, similar to [23], [42], [43]. We observe that the pose space captured by the dataset is quite varied and non-skewed. Moreover, we use different colours to represent each of the 19 activities used in the dataset. From the figure, we observe that the distribution of activities is also spread out in the pose space.



Fig. 6. t-SNE visualization for the pose-activity space in the dataset. Each sample is a 3D root-relative pose $(1 \times 63 \text{ vector})$ of the right hand captured from Cameras $1, 2, \dots, 6$. Each color represents a distinct activity.

IV. METHOD

Our work builds upon the idea that learning temporal [17], [24], [37] or angular [23], [38] information is beneficial for HPE. We propose MuViHandNet, ξ , a deep neural network for predicting the 3D hand camera coordinates *P* from the corresponding *multi-view videos* Φ , such that

$$P = \xi[\Phi],\tag{1}$$

where $\Phi = \{\phi_v^t\}$ is a frame captured at time t and from view v. Each hand frame is described by an RGB image $\phi_v^t \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times H \times W}$, where H is the height of the image and W is the image's width. Moreover, the 3D hand camera coordinates at time t and view $v, P = \{p_v^t\} \in \mathbb{R}^{j \times 3}$, are described by a hand skeleton with J joints.

A. Model Overview

Figure 7 depicts the overview of our proposed network architecture. Similar to many state-of-the-art HPE methods [1], [21], [23], [32], [33], [44], we use a ResNet as the encoder to extract features from each frame following by recurrent neural networks (RNNs) to learn the temporal relationships among the embeddings of the video frames. Furthermore, as shown in prior literature (in other domains) that RNNs can also effectively learn *angular* relationships [45]–[48], we incorporate an additional RNN in our model. The outputs of the two sets of RNNs (temporal and angular) are combined and used to estimate 2D joint positions, which are then fed to a Graph U-Net to provide the final 3D hand pose. Two-stage estimation of 3D hand pose (2D followed by 3D) has been widely used in prior works and shown advantages over direct 3D HPE [18], [20], [25], [49].

B. Image Encoder

We use a ResNet10 [50] pre-trained on ImageNet [51], as the image encoder. This encoder takes each hand frame ϕ_v^t as its input and generates an embedding F_v^t at time t and from view v, where $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, T is the video length, $v = 1, 2, \dots, V$, and V is the number of the views.

C. Temporal and Angular Learning

Long short-term memory (LSTM) networks [52] are a popular type of RNNs used in a variety of different applications [53]–[55]. Due to their effective performance in many domains, we use LSTMs for both spatio-temporal and spatio-angular learning. Each LSTM unit consists of three different gates, namely input r, forget f, an output o gates as well as cell c and hidden h memories. Here we introduce the LSTM equations for the k^{th} instance of a sequence, where 'instance' is defined as a frame in a video or a particular viewpoint in a multi-view sequence.

Initially, the input gate for the k^{th} instance of a sequence is computed according to

$$r_k = \sigma(w_{rx}x_k + w_{rh}h_{k-1} + b_r), \qquad (2)$$

where x_k is the input vector to the LSTM unit, h_{k-1} is the previous hidden state, w_{rx} and w_{rh} are the input gate weights, and b_r is the input gate bias. σ denotes the sigmoid activation. In order to control how the cell forgets information from its state, the forget gate f_k is computed according to

$$f_k = \sigma(w_{fx}x_k + w_{fh}h_{k-1} + b_f), \tag{3}$$

where w_{fx} and w_{fh} are the forget gate weights, and b_f is the forget gate bias. The output is then computed by using

$$o_k = \sigma(w_{ox}x_k + w_{oh}h_{k-1} + b_o), \tag{4}$$

where w_{ox} and w_{oh} are the output gate weights, and b_o is the output gate bias.

The cell state that controls remembering values over the sequence (time or view) is updated according to

$$\tilde{c}_k = \tanh(w_{cx}x_k + w_{ch}h_{k-1} + b_c), \tag{5}$$

and

$$c_k = i_k \odot \tilde{c}_k + f_k \odot c_{k-1},\tag{6}$$

where w_{cx} and w_{ch} are the cell weights, and b_c is the cell bias. Finally, the hidden state h_k , is computed based on learning jointly the cell state c_k , and the output gates o_k according to

$$h_k = o_k \odot \tanh(c_k). \tag{7}$$

As mentioned earlier, we use two sets of LSTM networks to learn the temporal and angular relationships separately, which we name the temporal learner and angular learner, respectively. We denote these networks $LSTM_t$ and $LSTM_v$. Accordingly,

$$[X_v^1, X_v^2, \cdots, X_v^T] = LSTM_t([F_v^1, F_v^2, \cdots, F_v^T]), \quad (8)$$

and

$$[Y_1^t, Y_2^t, \cdots, Y_V^t] = LSTM_v([F_1^t, F_2^t, \cdots, F_V^t]), \quad (9)$$



Fig. 7. The pipeline for MuViHandNet is depicted. The model consists of image encoders, temporal learners (LSTM_t), angular learners (LSTM_v), and graph U-Nets. Each LSTM_t, takes 3 hand videos from v = 1 to v = 3 for all 5 frames, and generates a feature vector, X_v^t , per each frame. Furthermore, LSTM_v takes 5 hand sequences in different time steps t = 1 to t = 5 for all 3 frames, and generates a feature vector, Y_v^t , for each frame. The concatenation of X_v^t and Y_v^t for each frame is fed through fully connected layers to estimate the 2D coordinates, which are then passed through the graph U-Net to predict the 3D hand pose, p_v^t , for each frame.

where X_v^t and Y_v^t are the outputs of the temporal and angular learner cells at time t and view v.

Next, for the temporal and angular information to jointly contribute to the final HPE, the two sets of LSTM outputs X_v^t and Y_v^t are concatenated by using

$$Z_v^t = X_v^t \oplus Y_v^t, \tag{10}$$

where \oplus denotes the concatenation operation and Z_v^t is the joint feature set. In total, a set of features with $V \times T$ vectors are generated at this stage. Each Z_v^t of the $V \times T$ vectors are then fed to 2 fully connected layers to produce the 2D coordinates (w_v^t) for each frame.

D. Graph U-Net

Because the goal of this work is to perform 3D HPE, the 2D coordinates estimated by the FC layers following the temporal and angular learners need to be converted to 3D. In this context, it has been extensively shown in the literature [24], [38], [41], [49] that the graph-based structure of the hand skeleton lends itself well to GCN-style networks [56]. Consequently, we employ a graph U-Net structure [57] which is illustrated in Figure 8. This module has an encoder-decoder structure [58] with a number of skip connections that concatenate the encoders and decoder features, along with a number of GCN layers (depicted in Figure 8 as *Graph conv* layers).

For a GCN layer, we define a graph G = (N, A), where N is the number of nodes and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ as the adjacency matrix. The values of the adjacency matrix are defined based on the relationship between nodes; if two nodes are connected, the value is equal to 1, otherwise equal to 0. In HPE, one often applies the kinematic structure of the hand skeleton as the adjacency matrix [24], [38], [41]. In this paper, however, we learn the adjacency matrix to allow for more advanced connections to be dynamically discovered automatically. This approach was proposed by [49], and our results (presented in the next section) demonstrate that this approach in fact boosts performance when compared to random as well as pre-defined adjacency matrices.

The output of the layer with F input features and trainable weight matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times L}$, where L is the output feature size, is computed according to

$$Y = \sigma(\bar{A}XW),\tag{11}$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$ is the GCN layer input and \overline{A} is the normalized adjacency matrix of the graph [56]. \overline{A} is measured as

$$\bar{A} = D^{\frac{-1}{2}} \hat{A} D^{\frac{-1}{2}},\tag{12}$$

where

$$\hat{A} = A + I, \tag{13}$$

D is the diagonal node degree matrix, and I is the identity matrix. Accordingly, the graph U-Net module G_v^t transforms



Fig. 8. The graph U-Net architecture is presented.

each 2D coordinate w_v^t to the 3D camera coordinate p_v^t at time t and view v such that

$$p_v^t = G_v^t(w_v^t), p \in \mathbb{R}^{21 \times 3}, w \in \mathbb{R}^{21 \times 2}.$$
(14)

E. Training and Implementation Details

We employ a multi-stage training strategy for MuViHandNet. First (Stage 1) we aim to train the pipeline irrespective of the temporal and sequential learner components. To this end, we temporarily replace the LSTM networks with a fully connected layer and train the entire pipeline, essentially re-training the image encoder (which is ResNet-10 pre-trained by ImageNet [51]) and training the graph U-Net. Next (Stage 2), we replace the temporary FC layer with the original LSTMs and retrain the entire network while the image encoder is kept frozen, in essence training the temporal and sequential learners and re-training the $T \times V$ instances of the graph U-Net.

In stage 1, we train the image encoder and the graph U-Net for 500 epochs with Adam optimizer. An initial learning rate of 0.001 is used and multiplied by 0.1 every 100 epochs. The utilized loss function is

$$L = \alpha L_{2D} + L_{3D}. \tag{15}$$

Here, the L_{2D} is calculated according to

$$L_{2D} = ||\hat{w} - w||_2, \tag{16}$$

 TABLE II

 TRAINING HYPER-PARAMETERS USED IN STAGES 1 AND 2.

Sub-Net	Parameter	Stage 1	Stage 2
Encoder	Architecture	ResNet-10	ResNet-10
	Pretrained	ImageNet	Stage 1
	# of Inputs	1	15
	Embedding Layer	Avg. Pooling	Avg. Pooling
	Feature Size	512	512
Multi-view	# of Inputs	-	3
LSTM	# of hidden layers	-	2
	Hidden Size	-	128
Temporal	# of Inputs	-	5
LSTM	# of hidden layers	-	2
	Hidden Size	-	128
FC + ReLU	Dimensionality	256	-
FC + ReLU	Dimensionality	128	128
FC + ReLU	Dimensionality	21×2	21×2
Drop out	Size	-	0.25
Graph U-Net	# of modules	1	15
	Output size	21×3	21×3
Full Network	Batch Size	64	8
	Loss Function	$0.01L_{2D} + L_{3D}$	L_{3D}
	Optimizer	Adam	Adam
	Learning rate	0.001	0.006
	Weight Decay	0.1	0.07
	Step Decay	100	100
	# of Epochs	500	400

where \hat{w} and w are the predicted and ground truth 2D coordinates respectively. Also, the L_{3D} is measured according to

$$L_{3D} = ||\hat{p} - p||_2, \tag{17}$$

where \hat{p} and p are the predicted and ground truth 3D coordinates, respectively.

In stage 2, when the temporal and angular learners are added to the pipeline, training is performed for 400 epochs. Here, the loss function is

$$L = \frac{1}{V \times T} \sum_{t} \sum_{v} ||\hat{p}_{v}^{t} - p_{v}^{t}||_{2},$$
(18)

where \hat{p}_v^t and p_v^t are respectively the predicted and ground truth 3D coordinates at time t and view v. Table II summarizes the hyper parameters used for two stages of training. In our implementation the video length T is equal to 5 and the number of the views V is equal to 3. Our implementation has been done in PyTorch, using an Nvidia GeForce GTX 2070 Ti GPU.

V. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

In this section, we describe our experiments and report on the results. We also report on the outcome of ablation experiments and investigate the effects of various components of our network on the overall HPE performance.

A. Test Protocol and Evaluation

To rigorously evaluate the result of our proposed method, two evaluation protocols have been tested on the MuViHand dataset, *i*) *cross-subject*, in which seven subjects are used for training the network (subjects $\{=3, \dots, 9\}$) and three other subjects (subjects = $\{1, 2, 10\}$) with different variations of skin tones, appearance, and gender are set aside for testing; *ii*) *cross-activity* in which two random activities (activities

 TABLE III

 PERFORMANCE COMPARISON BETWEEN MUVIHANDNET AND PRIOR WORKS WITH CROSS-SUBJECT AND CROSS-ACTIVITY TESTING PROTOCOLS.

Test	Method	Encoder	Loss Function	Root Pos.	\downarrow Avg. EPE	\downarrow Avg. median EPE	↑AUC
	Boukhayma et al. [32]	ResNet-50	$L_{mask}, L_{2D}, L_{3D}, L_{\theta}$	Yes	135.899	138.276	0.012
	Boukhayma et al. [32]	ResNet-50	L_{3D}	Yes	48.840	40.837	0.280
	Hasson et al. [33]	ResNet-18	L_{3D}, L_{β}	Yes	62.674	65.524	0.18
ct	Hasson et al. [33]	ResNet-18	L_{3D}	Yes	28.915	24.764	0.574
bje	Doosti et al. [49]	ResNet-10	$L_{init2D}, L_{2D}, L_{3D}$	No	18.895	16.635	0.634
ns-	$MuViHandNet(GRU_v, GRU_t)$	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	13.450	11.646	0.739
SSC	MuViHandNet(LSTM $_v$,GRU $_t$)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	10.493	8.715	0.798
CLC	MuViHandNet(LSTM _t ,GRU _v)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	10.092	8.380	0.807
	MuViHandNet(AutoEnc)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	27.461	24.828	0.480
	MuViHandNet(GCN)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	13.175	11.263	0.745
	MuViHandNet (proposed)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	8.881	7.351	0.831
	Boukhayma t al. [32]	ResNet-50	L_{3D}	Yes	42.799	40.238	0.287
	Hasson et al. [33]	ResNet-18	L_{3D}	Yes	66.851	68.457	0.152
Γ <u>ζ</u>	Doosti et al. [49]	ResNet-10	$L_{init2D}, L_{2D}, L_{3D}$	No	46.745	45.086	0.217
E:	$MuViHandNet(GRU_v, GRU_t)$	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	22.065	20.726	0.575
-ac	$MuViHandNet(LSTM_v, GRU_t)$	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	21.266	19.186	0.589
SS:	$MuViHandNet(LSTM_t, GRU_v)$	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	23.222	21.241	0.553
crc	MuViHandNet(AutoEnc)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	31.212	30.812	0.423
	MuViHandNet(GCN)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	29.506	27.957	0.446
	MuViHandNet (proposed)	ResNet-10	L_{2D}, L_{3D}	No	20.375	17.819	0.608



Fig. 9. Comparison of PCK curves for our method in comparison with stateof-the-art solutions [32], [33], [49]. Two different testing protocols have been used: cross-subject (top) and cross-activity (bottom).

= {8,19}) are taken for testing and 17 activities (activities = {1,...,7,9,...,18}) are used in the training phase. In this research, similar to previous studies [20], [33], we focus on estimating the hand pose from one hand only (right hand) from the cropped hand images. Nonetheless, our dataset allows for future work to focus on the other hand or HPE from noncropped fully body images. Accordingly, we utilize the images captured from Cameras 7 to 9 in the MuViHand dataset, in which the right hand images are at the center of the frames and they have been resized to 224×224 pixels to fit the input size of the image encoder.

Similar to [20] for evaluating our method, three metrics are used. These include (i) the percentage of correct key points (PCK) with a threshold between 0-50 mm; (ii) the area under the curve (AUC) of the PCK; (iii) and the mean and median endpoint error (EPE).

B. Benchmarking Methods

We compare our results against three state-of-the-art 3D HPE methods [32], [33], [49]. These works have been selected as benchmarks for the following reasons: (1) they obtained very strong results for 3D HPE; (2) their implementations are publicly available, which is essential given that the benchmarks need to be re-trained on our newly proposed dataset; (3) similar to our proposed method, they do not use any additional modalities such as depth towards 3D HPE. Nevertheless, because these methods have been originally optimized for other datasets and not MuViHand, we tune the parameters of the three state-of-the-art methods to obtain the best possible performance to allow for a fair comparison.

In addition to state-of-the-art benchmarks, we create and evaluate several variations of the proposed MuViHandNet. First, we substitute the LSTM temporal and angular learners with Gated recurrent unit (GRU) networks. We refer to this variation of the model as MuViHandNet(GRU_v, GRU_t). Next, we explore using a combination of LSTM and GRU for temporal and angular learners, and vice versa. These are referred to a MuViHandNet(LSTM_v,GRU_t) and MuViHandNet(GRU_v ,LSTM_t). We then create another benchmark variant by swapping the GCN layers of the graph U-Net structure with fully connected layers, essentially creating a stacked autoencoder. We refer to this variant as MuVi-HandNet(AutoEnc). Lastly, we modify the graph U-Net to no longer have a U-Net architecture by using a three GCN layers instead. This variant is referred to as MuViHandNet(GCN). To differentiate the original model as proposed in Section IV, we



Fig. 10. Samples of estimated hand poses using our method in comparison with other works [32], [33], [49] are presented.

TABLE IVIMPACT OF WINDOW SIZE WHICH IS USED IN THE LSTM $_t$ of the
MUVIHANDNET ON THE EPE.

Win. Size Test	3	5	7	9	11
cross-subject	11.197	8.881	13.432	12.149	14.710
cross-activity	21.605	20.375	24.621	22.991	27.290

TABLE V The impact of different types of adjacency matrices in our graph U-Net.

Adj. Mat. Test	Rand 1	Rand 2	Rand 3	Hand Skel.	Learned
cross-subject	20.951	15.136	43.225	16.951	8.881
cross-activity	25.829	22.826	23.565	21.354	20.375

use the term MuViHandNet(proposed) in the tables in Section IV.

C. Performance and Discussion

The performance of MuViHandNet in comparison with the state-of-the-art methods [32], [33], [49], along with the variations discussed in Section V-B for the two test protocols described in Section V-A, are presented in Table III. MuViHand-Net outperforms the state-of-the-art methods (with different loss functions) in both testing protocols by a considerable margin. It is also evident that the proposed method including the graph U-Net and LSTM learners outperforms the benchmarking variants, which we described in Section V-B. When comparing the performance of MuViHandNet in the cross-subject scheme with cross-activity, the cross-activity protocol is far more challenging. This is in line with prior works that have shown that HPE methods often fail on the unseen poses [59]. Moreover, most of the prior works [32], [33] require the root pose as an input to these models, while [49], along with our method, operate without such input.

Figure 9 presents the PCK curves for various thresholds (0-50) for the state-of-the-art methods for the two test protocols,



Fig. 11. The network architectures for ablated variations of MuViHandNet: (a) Baseline 1, (b) Baseline 2, and (c) Baseline 3.

as well as the proposed MuViHandNet. To subjectively evaluate the performance of our method, we highlight two challenging images along with the detected poses by our method along with the state-of-the-art benchmarks [32], [33], [49]. Note that existing methods often perform poorly when dealing with such challenging scenarios where lighting conditions are relatively poor or the pose contains hidden hand parts and fingers. This points to (a) the effectiveness of our proposed method, and (b) the challenging nature and thus contribution of our proposed

 TABLE VI

 Ablation studies on different components of MuViHand. A breakdown of results based on joints and fingers is also provided.

Test	Method	LSTM _t	$LSTM_v$	\downarrow EPE	↑ AUC	↓ Wrist	$\downarrow \text{MCP}$	\downarrow PIP	$\downarrow \text{DIP}$	↓TIP	↓Thumb	↓Index	↓Middle	↓Ring	↓Pinkie
	Baseline 1	X	1	10.034	0.808	43.316	8.389	8.413	9.644	12.929	10.829	10.6986	9.252	8.530	9.910
qn	Baseline 2	1	X	11.823	0.766	53.096	9.329	9.622	11.617	15.924	13.274	12.226	10.968	9.966	11.682
1-2	Baseline 3	X	X	14.529	0.723	61.555	9.852	11.8118	15.030	19.928	15.388	14.728	13.281	12.809	14.572
ပ	Full Model	1	✓	8.881	0.831	36.882	7.277	7.7330	8.583	11.086	9.220	8.8473	7.773	7.820	9.687
	Baseline 1	X	1	21.463	0.592	107.105	14.327	15.300	20.837	33.241	26.776	21.185	17.660	18.451	20.559
act	Baseline 2	1	X	23.631	0.557	120.143	16.129	19.197	27.238	40.598	26.776	21.185	17.660	18.451	20.559
L.	Baseline 3	X	X	44.021	0.246	177.371	29.700	39.055	50.392	63.873	44.342	48.227	44.054	43.4031	48.749
0	Full Model	1	1	20.375	0.608	100.462	13.605	14.586	20.188	31.6684	25.115	21.135	16.636	17.332	19.640



Fig. 12. Comparison of PCK curves for 3 ablated variations of MuViHandNet along with the full model. cross-subject (top) and cross-activity (bottom).

dataset.

To evaluate the impact of the selected temporal window size (number of frames), we perform an experiment that involved changing this parameter (3, 5, 7, 9, 11) and the number of cells in the temporal learner of MuViHandNet. The results of this experiment are presented in Table IV, where we observe that a window size of 5 yields the best results.

As discussed earlier in Section IV-D one of the advantages of our work is the integration of an adjacency matrix for the graph U-Net, which can be learned through the network as opposed to the common approach of pre-defining this matrix. To evaluate the impact of this approach, we compare the use of random as well as predefined adjacency matrices with our learned method. The predefined baseline adjacency matrix is defined based on the skeletal architecture of the hand, similar to [49]. The results are presented in Table V, where our strategy shows the best performance.

D. Ablation Study

To explore the impact of each component of MuViHandNet on our results, we create three ablated variations by systematically removing the main components of the model as follows. First, we remove the temporal learner module, $LSTM_t$. The ablated model is depicted in Figure 11(a). In this model, the encoder accepts frames from three different views and generates a 512 dimensional image embedding $F_v, v = 1, 2, 3$ for each view. The $[F_1, F_2, F_3] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 512}$ is then fed to the angular learner LSTM_v to generate a feature vector $Y_v, v = 1, 2, 3$, where each Y_v is fed to two FC layers for generating the 2D coordinates followed by the graph U-Net to transform the 2D joint locations to 3D camera coordinates for each hand frame. Next, we remove the angular learner $LSTM_v$ from the structure of MuViHandNet, as shown in Figure 11(b). This method takes five consecutive frames and, similar to the previous ablation experiment, a 512 dimensional image embedding $F_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ is generated for each frame to then feed the rest of the network. Lastly, both sequential learners $LSTM_t$ and $LSTM_v$ are removed, meaning that only a single hand frame is passed through the encoder followed by 3 FC layers and a graph U-Net module to estimate the 3D hand pose, as shown in Figure 11(c).

The results of this experiment are presented in Table VI for both testing protocols (cross-subject and cross-activity). The EPE is broken out across each joint and finger. These results demonstrate the advantages of each of the two angular and temporal learners in our pipeline. Here, when the angular learner is removed, the EPE increases by around 1.3 mm and 1.2 mm for cross-subject and cross-activity schemes, respectively. Next, when the angular learner is removed, the EPE increases by approximately 3 mm and 3.5 mm in the cross-subject and cross-activity schemes. This illustrates that the impact of learning angular information is higher than that of temporal information for 3D HPE. Finally, when both are removed, our method suffers from an increase in EPE of around 6 mm and 24 mm for the two evaluation schemes, respectively. This also demonstrates the added value of having both temporal and angular information in our dataset.

By breaking down the EPE across each joint, we remark that removal of both temporal and angular learners significantly increases the EPE for the wrist, pointing that the inclusion of the sequential learners in our model considerably impacts the ability to locate the position of the hand. Finally, when we break down the ablation experiments for different fingers, we observe that the removal of both sequential learners impacts



Fig. 13. Sample visualizations of estimated hand poses using MuViHandNet and its ablated variations under challenging environmental conditions. In particular, row 1 deals with poor illumination, row 2 deals with a challenging background (same color tone as the hand), and rows 3 through 5 deal with different viewing angles, with no self-occlusion.

the pinkie finger more negatively than the others, which could be due to the higher likelihood for this finger to be obstructed by other fingers. The table presents the AUC, which also shows similar trends for all of the above-mentioned experiments.

Lastly, Figure 12 shows the PCK curves for our ablation study, where consistent behaviours for different threshold values are observed. Further, we visualize the estimated hand poses for several images with no self-occlusion using MuViHandNet and its ablated variations in Figure 13. It is observed that MuViHandNet performs better under poor illumination (row 1), challenging background with the same color tone as the hand (row 2), and different viewing angles (rows 3 through 5). Additionally, we present the performance of our method on samples with severe self-occlusions in Figure 14. Here, we observe that in these difficult scenarios, the performance drops considerably for the three baseline models in comparison to MuViHandNet. This is because our proposed pipeline can effectively learn information from additional views (angular leaner) or frames (temporal learner) to obtain a better sense of the occluded joints.

E. Limitations and Future Work

The MuViHand dataset includes full-body images of subjects, and thus often depicts the hands in front of other body parts, which generally contain the same skin tone. As expectd, such scenarios posed challenges for MuViHandNet (see Figure 15) and while our model still performed better than other existing methods, the results could be further improved. Adding further modalities such as depth can allow for the model to focus on the hand in the foreground to overcome such issues, which we can explore in future work.

Moreover, our dataset was developed by synthetic images. For future work, to allow for more in-the-wild applicability, the use of generative adversarial networks might be explored to add realism to the synthetic images with the help of a real hand images. Lastly, weakly supervised techniques could be used to combine our dataset (which contains accurate ground-truths)



Fig. 14. Sample visualizations of estimated hand poses with self-occlusion using MuViHandNet and its ablated variations.



Fig. 15. (a) A sample challenging frame from the dataset where a difficult background (skin) and self-occlusions are seen; (b) The hand pose estimated by MuViHandNet along with the ground truth are presented where MuViHandNet performs poorly.

with real-world datasets (which often do not contain accurate ground-truths) for HPE applications.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this research we proposed a novel multi-view video-based hand pose datasets consisting of synthetic images and groundtruth 2D/3D pose values. Our dataset, MuViHand includes more than 402,000 images in 4,560 videos, and has been captured from six different views with 12 cameras in two concentric circles (one fixed and the to track the hand). The dataset, which we make public, is the first to include synthetic videos in a multi-view setting and provides a rich resource for performing 3D HPE in challenging scenarios. Next, we proposed a new model, MuViHandNet for detection HPE on our dataset. The model consists of different components for encoding images, learning temporal and angular relationships, and estimating the 3D poses. We performed rigorous experiments to evaluate the performance of our model, including comparisons with other methods and a number of ablated baselines. Our experiments demonstrated the effectiveness of our method as well as the challenging nature of our developed dataset.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bardia Doosti. Hand pose estimation: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.01013*, 2019.
- [2] Thammathip Piumsomboon, Adrian Clark, Mark Billinghurst, and Andy Cockburn. User-defined gestures for augmented reality. In *IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, pages 282–299. Springer, 2013.
- [3] Taehee Lee and Tobias Hollerer. Multithreaded hybrid feature tracking for markerless augmented reality. *IEEE transactions on visualization* and computer graphics, 15(3):355–368, 2009.
- [4] Youngkyoon Jang, Seung-Tak Noh, Hyung Jin Chang, Tae-Kyun Kim, and Woontack Woo. 3D finger cape: Clicking action and position estimation under self-occlusions in egocentric viewpoint. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 21(4):501–510, 2015.
- [5] Ammar Ahmad, Cyrille Migniot, and Albert Dipanda. Hand pose estimation and tracking in real and virtual interaction: A review. *Image* and Vision Computing, 89:35–49, 2019.
- [6] Hyung Jin Chang, Guillermo Garcia-Hernando, Danhang Tang, and Tae-Kyun Kim. Spatio-temporal hough forest for efficient detection– localisation–recognition of fingerwriting in egocentric camera. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 148:87–96, 2016.
- [7] Fang Yin, Xiujuan Chai, and Xilin Chen. Iterative reference driven metric learning for signer independent isolated sign language recognition. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 434–450. Springer, 2016.
- [8] Chi Xu, Yunkai Jiang, Jun Zhou, and Yi Liu. Semi-supervised joint learning for hand gesture recognition from a single color image. *Sensors*, 21(3):1007, 2021.
- [9] Nhu-Tai Do, Soo-Hyung Kim, Hyung-Jeong Yang, and Guee-Sang Lee. Robust hand shape features for dynamic hand gesture recognition using multi-level feature lstm. *Applied Sciences*, 10(18):6293, 2020.
- [10] Quentin De Smedt, Hazem Wannous, and Jean-Philippe Vandeborre. Heterogeneous hand gesture recognition using 3D dynamic skeletal data. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 181:60–72, 2019.
- [11] Akshay Rangesh and Mohan M Trivedi. Handynet: A one-stop solution to detect, segment, localize & analyze driver hands. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, pages 1103–1110, 2018.
- [12] Rui Li, Zhenyu Liu, and Jianrong Tan. A survey on 3D hand pose estimation: Cameras, methods, and datasets. *Pattern Recognition*, 93:251– 272, 2019.
- [13] James Steven Supančič, Gregory Rogez, Yi Yang, Jamie Shotton, and Deva Ramanan. Depth-based hand pose estimation: methods, data, and challenges. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 126(11):1180– 1198, 2018.
- [14] Weiya Chen, Chenchen Yu, Chenyu Tu, Zehua Lyu, Jing Tang, Shiqi Ou, Yan Fu, and Zhidong Xue. A survey on hand pose estimation with wearable sensors and computer-vision-based methods. *Sensors*, 20(4):1074, 2020.
- [15] Franziska Mueller, Dushyant Mehta, Oleksandr Sotnychenko, Srinath Sridhar, Dan Casas, and Christian Theobalt. Real-time hand tracking under occlusion from an egocentric rgb-d sensor. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1154–1163, 2017.
- [16] Qi Ye and Tae-Kyun Kim. Occlusion-aware hand pose estimation using hierarchical mixture density network. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 801–817, 2018.
- [17] Tomas Simon, Hanbyul Joo, Iain Matthews, and Yaser Sheikh. Hand keypoint detection in single images using multiview bootstrapping. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1145–1153, 2017.
- [18] Franziska Mueller, Florian Bernard, Oleksandr Sotnychenko, Dushyant Mehta, Srinath Sridhar, Dan Casas, and Christian Theobalt. Ganerated hands for real-time 3D hand tracking from monocular rgb. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 49–59, 2018.
- [19] Jiawei Zhang, Jianbo Jiao, Mingliang Chen, Liangqiong Qu, Xiaobin Xu, and Qingxiong Yang. 3D hand pose tracking and estimation using stereo matching. arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.07214, 2016.
- [20] Christian Zimmermann and Thomas Brox. Learning to estimate 3d hand pose from single rgb images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 4903–4911, 2017.
- [21] Christian Zimmermann, Duygu Ceylan, Jimei Yang, Bryan Russell, Max Argus, and Thomas Brox. Freihand: A dataset for markerless capture of hand pose and shape from single rgb images. In *Proceedings of the*

IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 813–822, 2019.

- [22] Dominik Kulon, Riza Alp Guler, Iasonas Kokkinos, Michael M Bronstein, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Weakly-supervised mesh-convolutional hand reconstruction in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4990–5000, 2020.
- [23] John Yang, Hyung Jin Chang, Seungeui Lee, and Nojun Kwak. Seqhand: Rgb-sequence-based 3D hand pose and shape estimation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 122–139. Springer, 2020.
- [24] Liangjian Chen, Shih-Yao Lin, Yusheng Xie, Yen-Yu Lin, and Xiaohui Xie. Mvhm: A large-scale multi-view hand mesh benchmark for accurate 3D hand pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 836–845, 2021.
- [25] Umar Iqbal, Pavlo Molchanov, Thomas Breuel Juergen Gall, and Jan Kautz. Hand pose estimation via latent 2.5 d heatmap regression. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 118–134, 2018.
- [26] Yujun Cai, Liuhao Ge, Jianfei Cai, and Junsong Yuan. Weakly-supervised 3D hand pose estimation from monocular rgb images. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 666–682, 2018.
- [27] Shanxin Yuan, Bjorn Stenger, and Tae-Kyun Kim. 3D hand pose estimation from rgb using privileged learning with depth data. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) Workshops, Oct 2019.
- [28] Seungryul Baek, Kwang In Kim, and Tae-Kyun Kim. Pushing the envelope for rgb-based dense 3D hand pose estimation via neural rendering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1067–1076, 2019.
- [29] Linlin Yang, Shile Li, Dongheui Lee, and Angela Yao. Aligning latent spaces for 3D hand pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2335–2343, 2019.
- [30] Adrian Spurr, Jie Song, Seonwook Park, and Otmar Hilliges. Crossmodal deep variational hand pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 89–98, 2018.
- [31] Paschalis Panteleris, Iason Oikonomidis, and Antonis Argyros. Using a single rgb frame for real time 3D hand pose estimation in the wild. In 2018 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pages 436–445. IEEE, 2018.
- [32] Adnane Boukhayma, Rodrigo de Bem, and Philip HS Torr. 3D hand shape and pose from images in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10843– 10852, 2019.
- [33] Yana Hasson, Gul Varol, Dimitrios Tzionas, Igor Kalevatykh, Michael J Black, Ivan Laptev, and Cordelia Schmid. Learning joint reconstruction of hands and manipulated objects. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11807– 11816, 2019.
- [34] Thomas Theodoridis, Theocharis Chatzis, Vassilios Solachidis, Kosmas Dimitropoulos, and Petros Daras. Cross-modal variational alignment of latent spaces. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 960–961, 2020.
- [35] Jiajun Gu, Zhiyong Wang, Wanli Ouyang, Jiafeng Li, Li Zhuo, et al. 3d hand pose estimation with disentangled cross-modal latent space. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, pages 391–400, 2020.
- [36] Endri Dibra, Silvan Melchior, Ali Balkis, Thomas Wolf, Cengiz Oztireli, and Markus Gross. Monocular rgb hand pose inference from unsupervised refinable nets. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 1075–1085, 2018.
- [37] Yihui He, Rui Yan, Katerina Fragkiadaki, and Shoou-I Yu. Epipolar transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7779–7788, 2020.
- [38] Yujun Cai, Liuhao Ge, Jun Liu, Jianfei Cai, Tat-Jen Cham, Junsong Yuan, and Nadia Magnenat Thalmann. Exploiting spatial-temporal relationships for 3D pose estimation via graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 2272–2281, 2019.
- [39] Javier Romero, Dimitrios Tzionas, and Michael J Black. Embodied hands: Modeling and capturing hands and bodies together. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG), 36(6):1–17, 2017.
- [40] Jonathan Tompson, Murphy Stein, Yann Lecun, and Ken Perlin. Real-time continuous pose recovery of human hands using convolutional networks. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG)*, 33(5):1–10, 2014.
- [41] Liuhao Ge, Zhou Ren, Yuncheng Li, Zehao Xue, Yingying Wang, Jianfei Cai, and Junsong Yuan. 3D hand shape and pose estimation from a single

rgb image. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), June 2019.

- [42] Guillermo Garcia-Hernando, Shanxin Yuan, Seungryul Baek, and Tae-Kyun Kim. First-person hand action benchmark with rgb-d videos and 3D hand pose annotations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 409–419, 2018.
- [43] Shanxin Yuan, Qi Ye, Bjorn Stenger, Siddhant Jain, and Tae-Kyun Kim. Bighand2. 2m benchmark: Hand pose dataset and state of the art analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4866–4874, 2017.
- [44] Evonne Ng, Shiry Ginosar, Trevor Darrell, and Hanbyul Joo. Body2hands: Learning to infer 3D hands from conversational gesture body dynamics. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 11865–11874, 2021.
- [45] Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Ali Etemad, Fernando Pereira, and Paulo Lobato Correia. Facial emotion recognition using light field images with deep attention-based bidirectional lstm. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (*ICASSP*), pages 3367–3371. IEEE, 2020.
- [46] Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Ali Etemad, Paulo Lobato Correia, and Fernando Pereira. A deep framework for facial emotion recognition using light field images. In 2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII), pages 1–7. IEEE, 2019.
- [47] Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Ali Etemad, Fernando Pereira, and Paulo Lobato Correia. Long short-term memory with gate and state level fusion for light field-based face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 16:1365–1379, 2020.
- [48] Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Fernando Pereira, Paulo Lobato Correia, and Ali Etemad. Multi-perspective lstm for joint visual representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16540–16548, 2021.
- [49] Bardia Doosti, Shujon Naha, Majid Mirbagheri, and David J Crandall. Hope-net: A graph-based model for hand-object pose estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 6608–6617, 2020.
- [50] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference* on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [51] Olga Russakovsky, Jia Deng, Hao Su, Jonathan Krause, Sanjeev Satheesh, Sean Ma, Zhiheng Huang, Andrej Karpathy, Aditya Khosla, Michael Bernstein, et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge. *International journal of computer vision*, 115(3):211–252, 2015.
- [52] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.
- [53] Yongyi Lu, Cewu Lu, and Chi-Keung Tang. Online video object detection using association lstm. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2344–2352, 2017.
- [54] Rui Huang, Wanyue Zhang, Abhijit Kundu, Caroline Pantofaru, David A Ross, Thomas Funkhouser, and Alireza Fathi. An lstm approach to temporal 3D object detection in lidar point clouds. In *Computer Vision– ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28,* 2020, Proceedings, Part XVIII 16, pages 266–282. Springer, 2020.
- [55] Liang Zhang, Guangming Zhu, Lin Mei, Peiyi Shen, Syed Afaq Ali Shah, and Mohammed Bennamoun. Attention in convolutional lstm for gesture recognition. In *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference* on Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 1957–1966, 2018.
- [56] Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907, 2016.
- [57] Hongyang Gao and Shuiwang Ji. Graph u-nets. In *international conference on machine learning*, pages 2083–2092. PMLR, 2019.
- [58] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- [59] Anil Armagan, Guillermo Garcia-Hernando, Seungryul Baek, Shreyas Hampali, Mahdi Rad, Zhaohui Zhang, Shipeng Xie, MingXiu Chen, Boshen Zhang, Fu Xiong, et al. Measuring generalisation to unseen viewpoints, articulations, shapes and objects for 3D hand pose estimation under hand-object interaction. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXIII 16, pages 85–101. Springer, 2020.