

VAN KAMPEN-FLORES THEOREM FOR CELL COMPLEXES

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO AND TAKAHIRO MATSUSHITA

ABSTRACT. The van Kampen-Flores theorem states that the n -skeleton of a $(2n+2)$ -simplex does not embed into \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We give two proofs for its generalization to a continuous map from a skeleton of a certain regular CW complex (e.g. a simplicial sphere) into a Euclidean space. We will also generalize Frick and Harrison's result on the chirality of embeddings of the n -skeleton of a $(2n+2)$ -simplex into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that the van Kampen-Flores theorem [4, 9] states that the n -skeleton of a $(2n+2)$ -simplex does not embed into \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Later, Volovikov generalized this theorem as follows: for any continuous map $f: \Delta_n^{r(n+2)-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, there are pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of $\Delta_n^{r(n+2)-2}$ such that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $(r-1)d \leq rn$ and r is a prime power [13] (see also [2, 12]), where X_n denotes the n -skeleton of a CW complex X .

As in [1, 2], the generalized van Kampen-Flores theorem can be deduced from the topological Tverberg theorem by the so-called constraint method. Recently, the topological Tverberg theorem was generalized in [7] to a continuous map from a certain CW complex into a Euclidean space. We recall the definition of such a CW complex. To this end, we set terminology. Let X be a regular CW complex. A *face* of X will mean its closed cell. For faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of X , let $X(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ denote the subspace of X consisting of faces τ such that $\sigma_i \cap \tau = \emptyset$ for all i . Clearly, $X(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is a subcomplex of X . We say that X is *n -acyclic over A* if $\tilde{H}_*(X; A) = 0$ for $* \leq n$, where A is an abelian group and (-1) -acyclic over A means non-empty.

Definition 1.1 (see [7]). Let X be a regular CW complex. We say that X is *k -complementary n -acyclic over A* if $X(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i)$ is non-empty and $(n - \dim \sigma_1 - \dots - \dim \sigma_i)$ -acyclic over A for any pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i$ of X with $\dim \sigma_1 + \dots + \dim \sigma_i \leq n+1$ where $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

We give examples of k -complementary n -acyclic complexes.

Example 1.2. An n -simplex is an $(r-1)$ -complementary $(n-r)$ -acyclic complex over any abelian group.

Example 1.3. It is proved in [7, Proposition 2.3] that every simplicial d -sphere is k -complementary $(d-k)$ -acyclic over any abelian group for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, d+1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57Q35, 52A37, Secondary 55R80.

Key words and phrases. van Kampen-Flores theorem, chirality, discretized configuration space, weight.

Recall that a mod p homology d -sphere is a closed manifold having the same homology as a d -sphere. We can easily see that the proof of [7, Proposition 2.3] works verbatim for simplicial mod p homology spheres because the Alexander duality holds for a mod p homology sphere, where we work with mod p (co)homology. Then we get that every simplicial mod p homology d -sphere is k -complementary $(d - k)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, d + 1$.

As mentioned above, the topological Tverberg theorem is generalized in [7] to a continuous map from a regular CW complex which is $(r - 1)$ -complementary $((r - 1)d - 1)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{R}^d , where r is a prime power. In this paper, we will prove the following generalization of the van Kampen-Flores theorem. We say that a regular CW complex X is *almost r -embeddable* into a space Y if there is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of X , we have $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$. In particular, if a regular CW complex is not almost 2-embeddable into Y , then it is not embeddable into Y . Note that Volovikov's result [13] mentioned above can be restated such that $\Delta_n^{r(n+2)-2}$ is not almost r -embeddable into \mathbb{R}^d whenever $(r - 1)d \leq rn$ and r is a prime power. Now we state the main theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a regular CW complex which is $(r - 1)$ -complementary $(r(n + 1) - 2)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p , where $r = p^k$ for a prime number p . If $(r - 1)d \leq rn$, then X_n is not almost r -embeddable into \mathbb{R}^d .*

By Example 1.2, $\Delta_n^{r(n+2)-2}$ is $(r - 1)$ -complementary $(r(n + 1) - 2)$ -acyclic over any abelian group. So Theorem 1.4 recovers Volovikov's generalized van Kampen-Flores theorem stated above. By Example 1.3, Theorem 1.4 specializes to the following corollaries which are of particular interest.

Corollary 1.5. *The n -skeleton of any simplicial mod p homology $(r(n + 2) - 3)$ -sphere is not almost r -embeddable into \mathbb{R}^d whenever $r = p^k$ and $(r - 1)d \leq rn$.*

Corollary 1.6. *The n -skeleton of any simplicial mod 2 homology $(2n + 1)$ -sphere does not embed into \mathbb{R}^{2n} .*

We will give two proofs for Theorem 1.4. One proof applies the constraint method to a generalized topological Tverberg theorem in [7] (Section 5). The other proof is topological and includes results on a group action on the nerve of a covering (Proposition 3.4) and a skeleton of a discretized configuration space (Lemma 3.6), which are of independent interest. Moreover, the topological proof applies to generalize the result on chirality recently obtained by Frick and Harrison [5]. An embedding $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called *chiral* if f is not isotopic to $\alpha \circ f$ for each orientation reversing homeomorphism $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Here, an isotopy means a homotopy $h: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(-, t): X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Since every orientation reversing homeomorphism $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isotopic to a reflection, we only need to consider a specific reflection in the definition of chirality. There are other definitions of chirality, and for the background of this subject, we refer to Flapan's book [3] and Section 2.1 of [5]. In [5], the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1.7. *Each embedding $\Delta_n^{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is chiral.*

Note that if all embeddings of X into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} are chiral, then there is no embedding of X into \mathbb{R}^n (see [5]). Then Theorem 1.7 can be thought of as a generalization of

the van Kampen-Flores theorem. As mentioned above, we can apply the results in the topological proof for Theorem 1.4 to generalize Theorem 1.7 as follows.

Theorem 1.8. *Let X be a regular CW complex which is 1-complementary $2n$ -acyclic over $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Then each embedding $X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is chiral.*

By Example 1.3, we get:

Corollary 1.9. *Every embedding of the n -skeleton of a simplicial mod 2 homology $(2n+1)$ -sphere into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} is chiral.*

Acknowledgements. The authors were partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K05248 and JP19K03473 (Kishimoto), and JP19K14536 (Matsushita). The authors are grateful to the referees for useful comments. This manuscript has no associated data.

2. GROUP ACTION ON A HOMOTOPY COLIMIT

This section considers a group action on a homotopy colimit induced from a group action on an index category. We will consider a homotopy colimit of a functor over a poset for simplicity, but results are easily generalized to a functor over a small category.

We begin with a group action on a simplicial complex. For a simplicial complex K , let $P(K)$ denote the face poset of K . Then the barycentric subdivision of K is $\Delta(P(K))$. We record the following basic property, which we will freely use.

Lemma 2.1. *For a simplicial G -complex K , $\Delta(P(K))$ is a simplicial G -complex such that $\Delta(P(K))^G$ is its subcomplex.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\Delta(P(K))$ is a simplicial G -complex. If a simplex $\{x_0 < \dots < x_n\} \in \Delta(P(K))$ is fixed by G , then each of its face $\{x_{i_0} < \dots < x_{i_k}\}$ is fixed by G too. Thus $\Delta(P(K))^G$ is a subcomplex of $\Delta(P(K))$, completing the proof. \square

Hereafter, let P denote a poset. We understand P as a category by regarding $x \geq y$ as a unique morphism $x \rightarrow y$. Let $F: P \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ be a functor. Recall that the homotopy colimit of F , denoted by $\text{hocolim } F$, is defined as the coequalizer of two maps

$$\alpha, \beta: \coprod_{x \geq y \in P} F(x) \times \Delta(P_{\leq y}) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in P} F(x) \times \Delta(P_{\leq x})$$

such that α restricts to $F(x \geq y) \times 1: F(x) \times \Delta(P_{\leq y}) \rightarrow F(y) \times \Delta(P_{\leq y})$ and β restricts to the inclusion $F(x) \times \Delta(P_{\leq y}) \rightarrow F(x) \times \Delta(P_{\leq x})$, where $P_{\leq x} = \{y \in P \mid y \leq x\}$.

We recall from [8] a condition for a G -action on P inducing a G -action on $\text{hocolim } F$.

Definition 2.2. We call a functor $F: P \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ a G -functor if it is equipped with a natural transformation $\eta_g: F \circ g \rightarrow F$ for each $g \in G$ such that $\eta_{gh} = \eta_g \circ \eta_h$ for all $g, h \in G$.

It is immediate from the definition that if $F: P \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ is a G -functor, then there is a natural G -action on $\text{colim } F$. Now we show:

Proposition 2.3. *If $F: P \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ is a G -functor, then there is a natural G -action on $\text{hocolim } F$ such that the projections*

$$\text{hocolim } F \rightarrow \Delta(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{hocolim } F \rightarrow \text{colim } F$$

are G -maps.

Proof. Except for the statement about the projection $\text{hocolim } F \rightarrow \text{colim } F$, the proposition is proved in [8, Proposition 2.4], and it is obvious that the projection $\text{hocolim } F \rightarrow \text{colim } F$ is a G -map. \square

We consider the fixed point set of $\text{hocolim } F$ for a G -functor F .

Proposition 2.4. *Let K be a simplicial G -complex, and let $F: P(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ be a G -functor. Then there is a G -functor $\widehat{F}: P(\Delta(P(K))) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ satisfying:*

- (1) $\text{colim } F \cong \text{colim } \widehat{F}$;
- (2) $(\text{colim } \widehat{F})^G = \text{colim } \widehat{F}|_{P(\Delta(P(K)))^G}$;
- (3) $(\text{hocolim } \widehat{F})^G = \text{hocolim } \widehat{F}|_{P(\Delta(P(K)))^G}$.

Proof. Define a functor $\widehat{F}: P(\Delta(P(K))) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F}(\{x_0 < \cdots < x_n\}) &= F(x_n), \\ \widehat{F}(\{x_0 < \cdots < x_n\} \geq \{y_0 < \cdots < y_k\}) &= F(x_n \geq y_k). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is straightforward to see it has the desired property, where (2) and (3) use Lemma 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let K be a simplicial G -complex, where G is a finite group, and let $F: P(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ be a G -functor. If $F(x > y)$ is a cofibration for each $x > y$, then the projection*

$$\text{hocolim } \widehat{F} \rightarrow \text{colim } \widehat{F}$$

is a G -homotopy equivalence, where \widehat{F} is as in Proposition 2.4.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 and [14, Projection Lemma 1.6] (cf. the proof of [10, Proposition 5.6]), the projection

$$(\text{hocolim } \widehat{F})^H \rightarrow (\text{colim } \widehat{F})^H$$

is a homotopy equivalence for any subgroup H of G . Then the proof is done. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

This section proves Theorem 1.4. Recall that the *discretized configuration space* $\text{Conf}_r(X)$, or the *r -fold deleted product*, of a regular CW complex X is defined as the subcomplex of X^r consisting of faces $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r$ such that $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are pairwise disjoint faces of X . The symmetric group Σ_r , hence its subgroups, act on $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ by coordinate permutation.

In [7], a homotopy decomposition of $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ in terms of a homotopy colimit is given, and by computing the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence for this homotopy colimit, the following is proved in the case $A = \mathbb{Z}$. We can easily see that the computation works for any abelian group A too.

Lemma 3.1. *If X is $(r-1)$ -complementary n -acyclic over A , then $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ is n -acyclic over A .*

For the rest of this section, let $r = p^k$ for a prime number k , and let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$. Note that G acts on $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ since G is a subgroup of Σ_r . We consider Volovikov's index of a G -space [13]. The *index* of a G -space X , denoted by $\text{ind}(X)$, is defined as the greatest integer n such that the map

$$\pi^*: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^*(X \times_G EG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is injective for $* \leq n$, where $\pi: X \times_G EG \rightarrow BG$ denotes the projection. The index is called weight in [6, 7] (cf. [11]) from a view of algebraic topology, but in this paper, we use the name “index” following a suggestion of a referee. The following properties of an index are proved in [13]. If X and Y are G -spaces, then we consider $X * Y$ as a G -space by the diagonal G -action.

Proposition 3.2. (1) *If there is a G -map $X \rightarrow Y$ between G -spaces X and Y , then*

$$\text{ind}(X) \leq \text{ind}(Y).$$

(2) *If a G -space X is n -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p , then*

$$\text{ind}(X) \geq n + 1.$$

(3) *Let S be a finite G -complex such that $S^G = \emptyset$ and $H^*(S; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Then*

$$\text{ind}(S^n) = n.$$

(4) *Let S be as above. Then for a G -space X ,*

$$\text{ind}(X * S) \leq \text{ind}(X) + n + 1.$$

We consider a group action on the nerve of a covering. Let K be a simplicial complex having a covering

$$(3.1) \quad K = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_r.$$

Suppose that a symmetric group Σ_r acts on K by permuting K_1, \dots, K_r . Let $N(K)$ denote the nerve of the covering (3.1). Then Σ_r acts on $N(K)$ too. Define a Σ_r -functor $F: N(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ by $F(K_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap K_{i_k}) = K_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap K_{i_k}$ and the canonical inclusion.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\widehat{F}: P(\Delta(NK)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ be the Σ_r -functor constructed from a functor $F: NK \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ in Proposition 2.4. Then the projection $\text{hocolim } \widehat{F} \rightarrow \text{colim } \widehat{F}$ is a Σ_r -homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. This lemma immediately follows from Corollary 2.5. □

Proposition 3.4. *There is a Σ_r -map*

$$K \rightarrow \Delta(N(K)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.3, there is a string of Σ_r -map

$$K = \text{colim } F \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{colim } \widehat{F} \rightarrow \text{hocolim } \widehat{F} \rightarrow \Delta(P(\Delta(NK))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Delta(N(K)).$$

Thus the proof is finished. □

We apply Proposition 3.4 to our situation. Let

$$P = P(\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1}) - P(\text{Conf}_r(X_n))$$

and let $P_i = \{\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r \in P \mid \dim \sigma_i > n\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $P = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_r$ and each P_i is an upper ideal of P , implying

$$\Delta(P) = \Delta(P_1) \cup \cdots \cup \Delta(P_r).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a regular CW complex which is $(r-1)$ -complementary $(r(n+1)-2)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p . Then there is a G -map*

$$\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_r(X_n) * S^{r-2}$$

where G acts on S^{r-2} such that $(S^{r-2})^G = \emptyset$.

Proof. The map

$$\Delta(P(\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1})) \rightarrow \Delta(P(\text{Conf}_r(X_n))) * \Delta(P)$$

sending $\sigma \in \Delta(P(\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1}))$ to $(\sigma - \sigma \cap \Delta(P)) \sqcup (\sigma \cap \Delta(P))$ is a simplicial Σ_r -map. Then we get a G -map

$$\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_r(X_n) * \Delta(P).$$

Since $P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r = \emptyset$, $\Delta(N(\Delta(P)))$ is a Σ_r -subcomplex of $\Delta(P(\partial\Delta^{r-1}))$, we get a composition of Σ_r -maps

$$\Delta(P) = \text{colim } \widehat{F} \cong \text{colim } \widehat{F} \rightarrow \text{hocolim } \widehat{F} \rightarrow \Delta(N\Delta(P)) \rightarrow \Delta(P(\partial\Delta^{r-1})) \cong \partial\Delta^{r-1}.$$

Thus we get a Σ_r -map

$$\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_r(X_n) * \partial\Delta^{r-1}$$

which is also a G -map because G is a subgroup of Σ_r . Clearly, $(\partial\Delta^{r-1})^G = \emptyset$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 3.6. *If X is a regular CW complex which is $(r-1)$ -complementary $(r(n+1)-2)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p , then*

$$\text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X_n)) \geq rn.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ is $(r(n+1)-2)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p . Then its $(r(n+1)-1)$ -skeleton $\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1}$ is $(r(n+1)-2)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p too, and so by Proposition 3.2,

$$\text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1}) \geq r(n+1) - 1.$$

Thus by Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} r(n+1) - 1 &\leq \text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X)_{r(n+1)-1}) \\ &\leq \text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X_n) * S^{r-2}) \\ &\leq \text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X_n)) + r - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $\text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X_n)) \geq rn$. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose there is a map $X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for each pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of X_n , $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$. Then as in [2, The proof of Theorem 3.10], there is a G -map

$$\text{Conf}_r(X_n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d)^r - \Delta, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_r) \mapsto (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_r))$$

where $\Delta = \{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^r \mid x_1 = \cdots = x_r\}$. It is obvious that $(\mathbb{R}^d)^r - \Delta$ is G -homotopy equivalent to the unit sphere S of the orthogonal complement of

$\Delta \subset (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$. So there is a G -map $\text{Conf}_r(X) \rightarrow S$. Since $S^G = \emptyset$, it follows from Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.6 that

$$rn \leq \text{ind}(\text{Conf}_r(X_n)) \leq \text{ind}(S) = (r-1)d - 1.$$

Thus we must have $(r-1)d > rn$, completing the proof. \square

4. CHIRALITY

This section proves Theorem 1.8 by applying results in the previous sections. We will use the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, let X_i be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -space with $n_i = \text{ind}(X_i)$, and let $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ act on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2}) \cdot g_i \\ &= \begin{cases} (-x_1, \dots, -x_{n_1}, -x_{n_1+1}, \dots, -x_{n_1+n_2}) & i = 1 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, -x_{n_1+1}, \dots, -x_{n_1+n_2}) & i = 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1 = (1, 0)$, $g_2 = (0, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$. Then every $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -map

$$X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$$

has a zero.

Proof. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ and G_i be the i -th $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in G for $i = 1, 2$. Recall that the mod 2 cohomology of BG is given by

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, x_2], \quad |x_i| = 1$$

where x_i corresponds to a generator of $H^1(BG_i; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let K_1 denote the kernel of the natural map $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^*((X_1 \times X_2) \times_G EG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Since $(X_1 \times X_2) \times_G EG \simeq (X_1 \times_{G_1} EG_1) \times (X_2 \times_{G_2} EG_2)$, we have

$$K_1 = (x_1^{n_1+1}, x_2^{n_2+1}).$$

Let K_2 denote the kernel of the natural map $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^*(S^{n_1+n_2-1} \times_G EG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, where the G -action on $S^{n_1+n_2-1}$ is a restriction of that on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$. By considering the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for a covering $S^{n_1+n_2-1} \times_G EG = ((S^{n_1-1} \times D^{n_2}) \times_G EG) \cup ((D^{n_1} \times S^{n_2-1}) \times_G EG)$, we can see that

$$(x_1 + x_2)^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \in K_2.$$

Now we suppose that a G -map $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$ does not have a zero. Then by normalizing, we get a G -map $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow S^{n_1+n_2-1}$. This implies $K_2 \subset K_1$, which contradicts to the above computation. Thus every G -map $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$ must have a zero, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a regular CW complex X . Then there is a continuous map $\Psi: \text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for each $(x, y) \in \text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1}$,*

$$\Psi(x, y) = -\Psi(y, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^{-1}(0) = \text{Conf}_2(X_n).$$

Proof. Let $P = P(\text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1}) - P(\text{Conf}_2(X_n))$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Then $\text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -subspace of $\text{Conf}_2(X_n) * \Delta(P)$ and there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map $f: \Delta(P) \rightarrow S^0 = \{-1, +1\}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $r: \text{Conf}_2(X_d) * \Delta(P) - \text{Conf}_2(X_n) \rightarrow S^0$ be the natural projection, and let $q: \text{Conf}_2(X_n) * \Delta(P) \rightarrow$

$[0, 1]$ be the projection onto the join parameter such that $q^{-1}(0) = \text{Conf}_2(X_n)$ and $q^{-1}(1) = \Delta(P)$. Now we define

$$\Psi: \text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & x \in \text{Conf}_2(X_n) \\ q(x) \cdot f(r(x)) & x \notin \text{Conf}_2(X_n). \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that this map satisfies the conditions in the statement. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let $\text{Emb}(A, B)$ denote the space of embeddings of A into B with compact-open topology. Let $\mathbb{Z}/2$ act on S^1 by the antipodal map and on $\text{Emb}(A, \mathbb{R}^N)$ by the induced map from a reflection on \mathbb{R}^N . By [5, Lemma 2.1], every embedding $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is chiral if and only if there is no $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map $S^1 \rightarrow \text{Emb}(A, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $F: X \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map, where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -actions on $X \times S^1$ and \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} are given by

$$X \times S^1 \rightarrow X \times S^1, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, -x_{2n+1}).$$

Then since any $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map $X_n \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ extends to $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map $X \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, it suffices to prove that there is $y \in S^1$ such that $F(-, y)|_{X_n}: X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is not an embedding. Define a map

$$\widehat{F}: \text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \quad ((x_1, x_2), y) \mapsto (\Psi(x_1, x_2), F(x_1, y) - F(x_2, y)),$$

where $\Psi: \text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function described in Lemma 4.2. Let $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ act on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} by

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot g_i = \begin{cases} (-x_0, \dots, -x_{2n}, -x_{2n+1}) & i = 1 \\ (x_0, \dots, x_{2n}, -x_{2n+1}) & i = 2 \end{cases}$$

for $(x_0, \dots, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, where $g_1 = (1, 0)$, $g_2 = (0, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$. Then \widehat{F} is a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -map. If \widehat{F} does not have a zero, then by normalizing, we get a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -map $\text{Conf}_2(X) \times S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1}$ is $2n$ -acyclic over $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and so by Proposition 3.2, $\text{ind}(\text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1}) \geq 2n + 1$. By Proposition 3.2, we also have $\text{ind}(S^1) = 1$. Then by Lemma 4.1, $\widehat{F}((x_1, x_2), y) = 0$ for some $(x_1, x_2) \in \text{Conf}_2(X)_{2n+1}$ and $y \in S^1$. Namely, $\Psi(x_1, x_2) = 0$ and $F(x_1, y) = F(x_2, y)$. By the definition of Ψ , we have $x_1, x_2 \in X_n$, and therefore $F(-, y): X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is not injective, completing the proof. \square

5. CONSTRAINT METHOD

This section gives another proof of Theorem 1.4 by using the constraint method (see [1, 2]). Let $r = p^k$ for a prime number p .

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a regular CW complex which is $(r - 1)$ -complementary $(d(r - 1) - 1)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p . Then for any continuous map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, there are pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of X such that*

$$f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \sigma_1 + \dots + \dim \sigma_r \leq d(r - 1).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$ for every r -tuple $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of pairwise disjoint faces of X satisfying $\dim \sigma_1 + \dots + \dim \sigma_r \leq (r - 1)d$. Then the natural $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ -map $\text{Conf}_r(X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ restricts to a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ -map $\text{Conf}_r(X)_{d(r-1)} \rightarrow$

$(\mathbb{R}^d)^r - \Delta$, where Δ is as in Section 3. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ is $((r-1)d-1)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p , and so $\text{Conf}_r(X)_{d(r-1)}$ is $((r-1)d-1)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p too. It is easy to see that the proof of [7, Proposition 3.2] works in verbatim over \mathbb{Z}/p . Then we obtain that there is no $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ -map $\text{Conf}_r(X)_{d(r-1)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d)^r - \Delta$, a contradiction. Thus the proof is finished. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since X_n is a subcomplex of X , there is a continuous map $c: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $c^{-1}(0) = X_n$. Consider a map

$$g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad x \mapsto (f(x), c(x)).$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\text{Conf}_r(X)$ is $(r(n+1)-2)$ -acyclic over \mathbb{Z}/p . Then since $(r-1)(d+1)-1 \leq r(n+1)-2$, we can apply Lemma 5.1 to a map g , so that there are pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of X such that $g(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap g(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\dim \sigma_1 + \dots + \dim \sigma_r \leq (r-1)(d+1) \leq r(n+1) - 1.$$

Thus for some $x_1 \in \sigma_1, \dots, x_r \in \sigma_r$, we have $g(x_1) = \dots = g(x_r)$. By replacing $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ by their faces, we may assume each x_i belongs to the interior of σ_i . Since the condition $\dim \sigma_1 + \dots + \dim \sigma_r \leq r(n+1) - 1$ is still satisfied, $\dim \sigma_i \leq n$ for some i , so that $g(x_1) = \dots = g(x_r) = 0$. Thus $\dim \sigma_i \leq n$ for all n , completing the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P.V.M. Blagojević, F. Frick, and G.M. Ziegler, Tverberg plus constraints, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46**, (2014), 953-967. [1](#), [8](#)
- [2] P.V.M. Blagojević and G.M. Ziegler, Beyond the Borsuk-Ulam theorem: The topological Tverberg story, *A journey through discrete mathematics*, 273-341, Springer, Cham, 2017. [1](#), [6](#), [8](#)
- [3] E. Flapan, *When topology meets chemistry: a topological look at molecular chirality*. Cambridge University Press, 2000. [2](#)
- [4] A. Flores, Über n -dimensionale Komplexe die im R_{2n+1} absolut selbstverschlungen sind, *Ergeb. Math. Kolloq.* **6** (1932/1934), 4-7. [1](#)
- [5] F. Frick and M. Harrison, Spaces of embeddings: Nonsingular bilinear maps, chirality, and their generalizations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (2022), no. 1, 423-437. [2](#), [8](#)
- [6] Y. Hara and D. Kishimoto, Note on the cohomology of finite cyclic coverings, *Topology Appl.* **160** (2013), no. 9, 1061-1065. [5](#)
- [7] S. Hasui, D. Kishimoto, M. Takeda, and M. Tsutaya, Tverberg's theorem for cell complexes, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12829> [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [9](#)
- [8] S. Jackowski and J. Słomińska, G -functors, G -posets and homotopy decompositions of G -spaces, *Fund. Math.* **169** (2001), 249-287. [3](#), [4](#)
- [9] E.R. van Kampen, Komplexe in euklidischen Räumen, *Abh. Math. Seminar Univ. Hamburg* **9** (1933), 72-78. [1](#)
- [10] D. Kishimoto and R. Levi, Polyhedral products over finite posets, *Kyoto J. Math.* **62** (2022), no. 3, 615-654. [4](#)
- [11] Y.B. Rudyak, On category weight and its applications, *Topology* **38** (1999), no. 1, 37-55. [5](#)
- [12] K.S. Sarkaria, A generalized van Kampen-Flores theorem, *Proc. AMS* **111** (1991), no. 2, 559-565. [1](#)
- [13] A.Y. Volovikov, On the van Kampen-Flores theorem, *Math. Notes* **59** (1996), no. 5, 477-481. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#)
- [14] G.M. Ziegler and R.T. Živaljević, Homotopy types of subspace arrangements via diagrams of spaces, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), 527-548.

4

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

Email address: kishimoto@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF THE RYUKYUS NISHIHARA-CHO,
OKINAWA 903-0213, JAPAN

Email address: mtst@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp