

SPECTRUM OF WEIGHTED BIRKHOFF AVERAGE

BALÁZS BÁRÁNY, MICHAŁ RAMS, AND RUXI SHI

ABSTRACT. Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing nonsummable sequence of positive reals. In this paper, we investigate the weighted Birkhoff average $\frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \phi(T^k x)$ on aperiodic irreducible subshift of finite type $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}$ where $\phi : \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous potential. Firstly, we show the entropy spectrum of the weighed Birkhoff averages remains the same as that of the Birkhoff averages. Then we prove that the packing spectrum of the weighed Birkhoff averages equals to either that of the Birkhoff averages or the whole space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $T : X \mapsto X$ be a continuous transformation. Let $\phi : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous potential. The Birkhoff average of ϕ is given by

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(T^k x).$$

The Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem asserts that the Birkhoff averages almost surely converge to a constant, namely, the integral of ϕ , with respect to any ergodic measure, for a measurable potential ϕ . For a dynamical system having a large family of ergodic measures (for example, a full shift), one may expect that the limit of the Birkhoff averages can take a wide variety of values. Then one naturally wonders how large the size (for example, Hausdorff dimension and packing dimension) of the level sets of the limit of the Birkhoff averages is. In other words, for an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ in the set

$$L(\phi) := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } x \in X \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(T^k x) = \alpha \right\}.$$

one wants to know how to describe the size of

$$E_{\phi}(\alpha) := \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(T^k x) = \alpha \right\}.$$

It leads to the multifractal analysis and there has been a considerable amount of works on this. As far as we know, the first work is due

Balázs Bárány acknowledges support from grants OTKA K123782 and OTKA FK134251. Michał Rams was supported by National Science Centre grant 2019/33/B/ST1/00275 (Poland).

to Besicovitch [3] where he studied the Hausdorff dimension of sets given by the frequency of digits in dyadic expansions. Then it was subsequently extended by Eggleston [4]. For further results on digit frequencies, see Barreira, Saussol and Schmeling [1]. For multifractal analysis of Birkhoff averages, we refer to [5, 6, 9] and references therein.

Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a monotone decreasing sequence of positive reals such that the series $S_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k$ diverges, that is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \infty$. In this paper, we are interested in the weighted Birkhoff average

$$\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \phi(T^k x).$$

When $s_n = 1$ for all n , it is the Birkhoff average of ϕ . When $s_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$, it becomes $\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(T^k x)}{k+1}$ which is sometimes called logarithmic Birkhoff average (because $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \sim \log n$ when n is large enough). The study of the logarithmic Birkhoff average has a link to logarithmic Sarnak conjecture and some problems in number theory. See more details in [10] and references therein.

By summation by parts, it is not hard to check (see also Lemma 2.1) that the convergence of the Birkhoff average $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(T^k x)$ implies the convergence of the weighted Birkhoff average $\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \phi(T^k x)$ and the limits are the same. But the converse is not true in general. From this, one may imagine that there would be a huge variety of different possible limits of the weighted Birkhoff averages even though the limits of the Birkhoff averages take few values.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$E_\phi^s(\alpha) := \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \phi(T^k x) = \alpha \right\},$$

In this paper, we investigate Hausdorff dimension and packing dimension of the level sets E_ϕ^s of the limit of the weighted Birkhoff averages.

1.1. Main results. A sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be *nonsummable* if $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For a decreasing nonsummable sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers, we say that it has *bounded asymptotic ratio* if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n S_{n-1}} < \infty.$$

Otherwise, it is said to have *unbounded asymptotic ratio*.

Notice that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \alpha > 0$, then it always has bounded asymptotic ratio. Indeed, it is easy to compute that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n s_k}{(n+1)s_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (s_k - \alpha)}{(n+1)\alpha}}{1 + \frac{(s_n - \alpha)}{\alpha}} = 1.$$

Theorem 1.1. *If $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are positive, nonincreasing, and nonsummable weights, and $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any bounded sequence of reals, then the following is true:*

- (i) *if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n+1}}{(n+1)s_n} < \infty$ then the sequence $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k$ is convergent if and only if the sequence $\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k$, and the limits are equal;*
- (ii) *if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n+1}}{(n+1)s_n} = \infty$ then the convergence of the sequence $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k$ implies convergence of the sequence $\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k$ to the same limit, but the opposite is not true. For any such $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ one can find $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the latter sequence is convergent and the former is divergent.*

This theorem has a simple corollary for the weighted multifractal spectra.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $T : X \mapsto X$ be a continuous transformation. Then for every continuous potential $\phi : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and for every monotone decreasing nonsummable sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with bounded asymptotic ratio, we have for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$E_\phi(\alpha) = E_\phi^s(\alpha).$$

In particular, $h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi(\alpha)) = h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi^s(\alpha))$ and $\dim_{\text{P}} E_\phi(\alpha) = \dim_{\text{P}} E_\phi^s(\alpha)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, K\}$ be a finite alphabet, and let $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the symbolic space. Let σ be the left-shift operator on Σ . Now we are concentrated on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \subseteq \Sigma$ the aperiodic and irreducible subshift of finite type.

Our first result on weighted Birkhoff average is concerned about the entropy spectrum. Namely, regardless of the boundedness or unboundedness of the asymptotic ratio, we show that the entropy spectrum of the weighed Birkhoff averages remains the same as that of the Birkhoff averages on aperiodic and irreducible subshifts of finite type.

Theorem 1.3. *For every continuous potential $\phi : \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and for every monotone decreasing sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive reals such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \infty$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi(\alpha)) = h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi^s(\alpha)).$$

Our second main result on weighted Birkhoff average is concerned about packing dimension. We show that when varying the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the change of the packing spectrum of the weighed Birkhoff averages has a "gap" in the sense that it equals to either that of the Birkhoff averages or the whole space.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a monotone decreasing sequence of positive reals such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n+1}}{(n+1)s_n} = \infty$.*

Then for every continuous potential $\phi: \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, we have $E_{\phi}^s(\alpha) = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus L(\phi)$ and for every $\alpha \in L(\phi)$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{P}} E_{\phi}^s(\alpha) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}).$$

For example, the sequence $((n+1)^{-1})_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the condition Theorem 1.4; the sequence $(s_n = (n+1)^d)_{n \geq 0}$, $-1 < d < 0$, satisfies the condition of Corollary 1.2.

2. PROPERTIES OF WEIGHTED AVERAGES

The following lemma is well known and follows directly by summation by parts. We present the proof here for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only need to prove the last inequality of (2.1). In fact, the proof of the first inequality is similar. By summation by parts, we have that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{\ell} (s_k - s_{k+1}) + s_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k.$$

Let $\alpha := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ let N be the integer such that $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \leq (\alpha + \varepsilon)n$ for every $n \geq N$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{\ell} (s_k - s_{k+1}) + s_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \\ &\leq C(N) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (\alpha + \varepsilon)k (s_k - s_{k+1}) + s_{n-1}(\alpha + \varepsilon)n \\ &\leq C(N) + (\alpha + \varepsilon) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{\ell} - (\alpha + \varepsilon)k \right) (s_k - s_{k+1})$. Since $S_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k \leq \alpha + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the claim follows. \square

The next lemma shows that if s_n has bounded asymptotic ratio then the lemma above can be reversed.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing summable sequence of positive numbers having bounded asymptotic ratio $G = \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{s_{n+1}}{(n+1)s_n} \geq 1$. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \leq G \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k + (1 - G) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k,$$

and similarly,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \geq G \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k + (1 - G) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k.$$

Proof. We will show only the first inequality, the proof of the second is similar and left to the reader. Denote

$$\alpha := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \geq 1$ such that for every $n \geq N$

$$\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k > \alpha - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k < \beta + \varepsilon.$$

By summation by parts, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k^{-1} s_k a_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^k s_\ell a_\ell \right) (s_k^{-1} - s_{k+1}^{-1}) + s_{n-1}^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} s_\ell a_\ell.$$

Since s_n is monotone decreasing for every $n \geq N$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \leq C(N) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (\alpha - \varepsilon) S_{k+1} (s_k^{-1} - s_{k+1}^{-1}) + s_{n-1}^{-1} (\beta + \varepsilon) S_n,$$

where $C(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^k s_\ell a_\ell - (\alpha - \varepsilon) S_k \right) (s_k^{-1} - s_{k+1}^{-1})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k &\leq C(N) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (\alpha - \varepsilon) S_{k+1} (s_k^{-1} - s_{k+1}^{-1}) + s_{n-1}^{-1} (\beta + \varepsilon) S_n \\ &= C(N) + n\alpha + \varepsilon (2s_{n-1}^{-1} S_n - n) + (\beta - \alpha) s_{n-1}^{-1} S_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the claim follows by the definition of G . \square

The following is a simple consequence of Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing summable sequence of positive numbers having bounded asymptotic ratio. If*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k = \alpha \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = \alpha.$$

Clearly, Theorem 1.1(i) follows immediately by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.3. To show Theorem 1.1(ii), we need the following lemma, which will be used later also in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing nonsummable sequence of positive numbers having unbounded asymptotic ratio. Then there exist sequences $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying that $n_k \leq m_k < n_{k+1}$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{S_{n_k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell})}{S_{m_k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_k}{m_k} = 0.$$

Proof. First, observe that it is enough to show that there exist sequences n_k and m_k such that $n_k \leq m_k < n_{k+1}$,

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{S_{n_k}} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{m_k} - S_{n_k}}{S_{m_k}} < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{n_k} = \infty.$$

Indeed, if (2.3) holds, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists K such that $\sum_{k=K}^{\infty} \frac{S_{m_k} - S_{n_k}}{S_{m_k}} < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell})}{S_{m_k}} &= \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^{K-1} (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}) + \sum_{\ell=K}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell})}{S_{m_k}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^{K-1} (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell})}{S_{m_k}} + \sum_{\ell=K}^k \frac{S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}}{S_{m_\ell}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^{K-1} (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell})}{S_{m_k}} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell})}{S_{m_k}} \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, (2.2) follows.

In order to show (2.3) we construct sequences $n_k \leq m_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{m_k}}{S_{n_k}} = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{n_k} = \infty.$$

If (2.4) holds then by passing to some subsequences n_{k_ℓ} and m_{k_ℓ} , we will get $m_{k_\ell-1} < n_{k_\ell}$ and $\frac{\ell}{S_{n_{k_\ell}}}, \frac{S_{m_{k_\ell}} - S_{n_{k_\ell}}}{S_{m_{k_\ell}}} \leq 2^{-\ell}$ and so (2.3) follows for the sequences n_{k_ℓ} and m_{k_ℓ} .

It remains to find sequences $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (2.4). Let n_k be the subsequence for which $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n+1}}{(n+1)s_n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{n_k s_{n_k-1}} = \infty$. For simplicity, let $M_k = \frac{S_{n_k}}{n_k s_{n_k-1}}$. Let m_k be the smallest positive integer greater than or equal to n_k such that

$$S_{m_k+1} - S_{n_k} \geq S_{n_k} M_k^{-1/2}.$$

Thus,

$$1 \leq \frac{S_{m_k}}{S_{n_k}} < 1 + M_k^{-1/2},$$

and hence, the first claim of (2.4) holds since $M_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by the monotonicity of s_n

$$(m_k - n_k + 1)s_{n_k} \geq S_{m_k+1} - S_{n_k} \geq S_{n_k} M_k^{-1/2}$$

and so,

$$\frac{m_k - n_k + 1}{n_k} \geq \frac{S_{n_k}}{n_k s_{n_k-1}} M_k^{-1/2} = M_k^{1/2},$$

which implies that $m_k/n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). Let $n_k \leq m_k < n_{k+1}$ as in Lemma 2.4. Let us define the sequence a_ℓ as follows:

$$a_\ell := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n_{2k} \leq \ell < m_{2k} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{if } n_{2k+1} \leq \ell < m_{2k+1} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m_k \leq \ell < n_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and let k be such that $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k a_k \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}}{S_n} + \frac{S_{\max\{n, m_k\}} - S_{n_k}}{S_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}}{S_{m_{k-1}}} + \frac{S_{m_k} - S_{n_k}}{S_{m_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, the right hand side tends to zero as n tends to infinity. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_{2k+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{2k+1}-1} a_k &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2k+1} - n_{2k+1}}{m_{2k+1}} - \frac{m_{2k}}{m_{2k+1}} = 1 \text{ and} \\ \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_{2k}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{2k}-1} a_k &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2k} - n_{2k}}{m_{2k}} (-1) + \frac{m_{2k-1}}{m_{2k}} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

\square

3. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

3.1. Subshift of finite type. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, K\}$ be a finite alphabet, and let $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Denote Σ_n the set of n -length finite word. Moreover, denote Σ_* the set of all finite prefixes of the infinite words in Σ . For an $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma$ and $m > n \geq 0$ let $\mathbf{i}|_n^m = (i_n, \dots, i_m)$ be the subword of \mathbf{i} between the positions n and m , and for short denote by $\mathbf{i}|_n$ the first n element of \mathbf{i} , i.e. $\mathbf{i}|_n = \mathbf{i}|_0^{n-1}$. For an $\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_*$, denote $|\mathbf{i}|$ the length of \mathbf{i} and let $[\mathbf{i}]$ denote the corresponding cylinder set, that is, $[\mathbf{i}] := \{\mathbf{j} \in \Sigma : \mathbf{j}|_{|\mathbf{i}|} = \mathbf{i}\}$. We use $l(\cdot)$ to denote the level of cylinder. Moreover, The space Σ is metrizable with metric

$$(3.1) \quad d(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = e^{-\min\{n \geq 0 : i_n \neq j_n\}}.$$

For short, denote $\mathbf{i} \wedge \mathbf{j} = \min\{n \geq 0 : i_n \neq j_n\}$.

Let \mathbf{A} be a $K \times K$ matrix with entries 0, 1. We say that the set $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \subseteq \Sigma$ is *subshift of finite type* if

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} = \{\mathbf{i} = (i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbf{A}_{i_k, i_{k+1}} = 1 \text{ for every } k = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

We call the matrix \mathbf{A} the *adjacency matrix*. Let us denote the set of admissible words with length n (i.e. n -length subwords of some element in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}$) by $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}, n}$ and denote $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}, *}$ the set of all admissible words. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}, 1} = \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, we say that $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}$ is *aperiodic and irreducible* if there exists $r \geq 1$ such that every entry of \mathbf{A}^r is strictly positive.

3.2. Continuous potentials. Let ϕ be a continuous potential. Denote the Birkhoff average by

$$\chi_{\phi}(\mathbf{i}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(\sigma^j(\mathbf{i})).$$

Similarly, we write that χ_{ϕ}^- is the liminf and χ_{ϕ}^+ is the limsup. Denote by $H_{\phi}(s) := h_{\text{top}}(\{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} : \chi_{\phi}(\mathbf{i}) = s\})$.

In this section, we prove a variational principle of continuous potential. We need the following variant of the result of Fan, Schmeling, Troubetsky in [7, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}, \sigma)$ be an irreducible and aperiodic subshift of finite type. Let $\varphi : \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder continuous potential. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(\{\chi_{\varphi}^- < t\}) &= h_{\text{top}}(\{\chi_{\varphi}^+ < t\}) = \sup_{s < t} H_{\varphi}(s), \\ h_{\text{top}}(\{\chi_{\varphi}^- \geq t\}) &= h_{\text{top}}(\{\chi_{\varphi}^+ \geq t\}) = \sup_{s \geq t} H_{\varphi}(s). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem above stated in [7] only for full shift, however, the used smoothness conditions during the proof (see [7, Theorem 3.2])

hold for subshift of finite type, see Barreira, Saussol and Schmeling [2, Theorem 1, Section 4.1] and Heurteaux [8].

Let ϕ be a continuous potential. We want to investigate the multifractal properties of ϕ . The following theorem is folklore and may be known to some experts. However we were unable to find it in the literature. So we prove it ourselves.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}, \sigma)$ be an irreducible and aperiodic subshift of finite type. Let $\phi : \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous potential. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} h_{top}(\{\chi_{\phi}^{-} < t\}) &= h_{top}(\{\chi_{\phi}^{+} < t\}) = \sup_{s < t} H_{\varphi}(s), \\ h_{top}(\{\chi_{\phi}^{-} \geq t\}) &= h_{top}(\{\chi_{\phi}^{+} \geq t\}) = \sup_{s \geq t} H_{\varphi}(s). \end{aligned}$$

For any natural number n , let ϕ_n be the maximal potential smaller than ϕ but constant on n -th level cylinders, namely,

$$\phi_n(x) = \min_{y \in [x]_n} \phi(y).$$

Clearly, every ϕ_n is a Hölder continuous potential. Also, there is a decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq \phi - \phi_n \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

Moreover, for $m > n$ we have $\phi_n \leq \phi_m \leq \phi$, and hence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \leq \phi_m - \phi_n \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

To simplify the notation let us write $H_n = H_{\phi_n}$, $\chi_n = \chi_{\phi_n}$, $\chi_n^{-} = \chi_{\phi_n}^{-}$ and $\chi_n^{+} = \chi_{\phi_n}^{+}$. Let us state the well known properties of the function $H_n(s)$. By Barreira, Saussol and Schmeling [2, Theorem 2], it is a smooth concave function, nonnegative, defined on some closed interval $[\alpha_n^{-}, \alpha_n^{+}]$, with a single maximum at some point α_n , and $H_n(\alpha_n) = h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$.

Firstly, we study the property of H_n .

Lemma 3.3. *The functions H_n pointwise converge to a limit function H .*

Proof. By (3.3) we have $|\alpha_m^{+} - \alpha_n^{+}| \leq \varepsilon_n$ (and similar for α^{-} 's) whenever $m > n$. Thus, the limits $\alpha^{-} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n^{-}$ and $\alpha^{+} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n^{+}$ exist. Also, $|\alpha^{+} - \alpha_n^{+}| \leq \varepsilon_n$, and the same for α^{-} .

By concavity, for $t \in (\alpha_n^{-}, \alpha_n^{+})$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad -\frac{1}{\alpha_n^{+} - t} h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}) \leq H_n'(t) \leq \frac{1}{t - \alpha_n^{-}} h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}).$$

When $t \in (\alpha_n^-, \alpha_n^+)$ one can choose n large enough that $t - \alpha_n^-, \alpha_n^+ - t$ are greater than ε_n , and then (3.4) implies

$$(3.5) \quad -\frac{2}{\alpha^+ - t} h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}) \leq H'_n(t) \leq \frac{2}{t - \alpha^-} h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$$

(the bound is uniform in n for n large enough).

By (3.2) we see that for any $x \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $m > n$

$$0 \leq \chi_m^-(\mathbf{i}) - \chi_n^-(\mathbf{i}) \leq \varepsilon_n$$

and

$$0 \leq \chi^-(\mathbf{i}) - \chi_n^-(\mathbf{i}) \leq \varepsilon_n$$

(the same holds for χ^+). For $t \in (\alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ and large n and even larger m we have

$$(3.6) \quad \{\chi_n^- < t - \varepsilon_n\} \subset \{\chi_m^- < t\} \subset \{\chi_n^- < t + \varepsilon_n\}$$

and similarly

$$(3.7) \quad \{\chi_n^- > t + \varepsilon_n\} \subset \{\chi_m^- > t\} \subset \{\chi_n^- > t - \varepsilon_n\}.$$

By concavity and Theorem 3.1, for $t > \alpha_n$

$$h_{top}(\{\chi_n^- < t\}) = h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$$

while for $t \leq \alpha_n$

$$h_{top}(\{\chi_n^- < t\}) = H_n(t)$$

(and analogous version for $h_{top}(\{\chi_n^- < t\})$).

Assume without weakening the assumptions that $t \leq \alpha_n$. Then, by (3.5), the topological entropies of both sides of (3.6) are both $c(t) \cdot \varepsilon_n$ close to $H_n(t)$, hence one of the numbers $H_m(t)$ or $h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ must also be $c(t) \cdot \varepsilon_n$ close to $H_n(t)$. Applying the same argument to (3.7) we see that the other of the numbers $H_m(t)$ or $h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ must be $c(t) \cdot \varepsilon_n$ close to $h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$. Thus, $H_m(t)$ and $H_n(t)$ are $2c(t) \cdot \varepsilon_n$ -close to each other, for all $m > n$. This implies the pointwise convergence and hence the result follows. \square

We note that we actually have more: we have proven the uniform bounds on the convergence speed in the form

$$|H(t) - H_n(t)| \leq 2c(t)\varepsilon_n,$$

with $c(t)$ given by (3.5).

We have an immediate consequence of previous properties.

Lemma 3.4.

$$h_{top}(\{\chi^- < t\}) = h_{top}(\{\chi^+ < t\}) = \sup_{s < t} H(s),$$

$$h_{top}(\{\chi^- \geq t\}) = h_{top}(\{\chi^+ \geq t\}) = \sup_{s \geq t} H(s).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and

$$\{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} : \chi_n^-(\mathbf{i}) < t - \varepsilon_n\} \subset \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} : \chi^-(\mathbf{i}) < t\} \subset \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} : \chi_n^-(\mathbf{i}) < t + \varepsilon_n\}.$$

□

The final stage of our investigation will be the following result:

Lemma 3.5. *For $t \in (\alpha^-, \alpha^+)$, we have $H_\phi(t) = H(t)$.*

Proof. The upper bound is immediate: if $\chi_\phi(\mathbf{i}) = t$ then by (3.6) $\chi_n(\mathbf{i}) \leq \chi_\phi(\mathbf{i}) \leq \chi_n(\mathbf{i}) + \varepsilon_n$, and by (3.5)

$$h_{\text{top}}(\{\chi_n \leq t \leq \chi_n + \varepsilon_n\}) \leq H(t) + 2c(t)\varepsilon_n$$

when n is large enough.

For the lower bound we need to construct a large (in the sense of entropy) set of points for which $\chi_\phi = t$. We will do this by constructing an ergodic σ -invariant measure μ such that $\int \phi d\mu = t$, then the generic point for this measure belongs to $\{\chi_\phi = t\}$ and the topological entropy of those points equals the metric entropy of μ .

Let us first remind the important property of irreducible subshifts of finite type: there exists a constant K such that for any two admissible words \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} there exists an admissible word \mathbf{k} , $|\mathbf{k}| = K$ such that the word \mathbf{ikj} is admissible. Given two admissible words \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} , $|\mathbf{j}| > 2K$ we will call their *admissible concatenation* the word \mathbf{ikj} , where \mathbf{k} is just the connecting word making the word \mathbf{ikj} admissible. Such \mathbf{k} is in general not uniquely defined, so we can for example choose the first in the alphabetical order of all the fitting \mathbf{k} 's. Similarly, for any finite or infinite sequence of admissible words of length larger than $2K$ we can define their admissible concatenation.

Denote

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i,$$

we have $\rho_n \searrow 0$.

Fix some $\varepsilon < \min(t - \alpha^-, \alpha^+ - t)/3$. Let n be large enough that $\varepsilon > 2\rho_n$. For every $\delta > 0$ we can find $N > n + 4K\|\phi\|/\varepsilon$ large enough that the two following properties hold. First, there exist at least $e^{N(H_n(t+2\varepsilon)-\delta)}$ different words $(\mathbf{i}_i)_i$ of length N such that there exists $\mathbf{j}_i \in [\mathbf{i}_i]$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \phi_n(\sigma^j(\mathbf{j}_i)) - t - 2\varepsilon \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Second, there exist at least $e^{N(H_n(t-2\varepsilon)-\delta)}$ different words $(\mathbf{k}_j)_j$ of length N such that there is $\mathbf{l}_j \in [\mathbf{k}_j]$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \phi_n(\sigma^j(\mathbf{1}_j)) - t + 2\varepsilon \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that if \mathbf{j}_i satisfies (3.8) then every $\mathbf{j} \in [\mathbf{j}_i|_N] = [\mathbf{i}_i]$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \phi(\sigma^j(\mathbf{j})) - t - 2\varepsilon \right| < \varepsilon + \rho_N + \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon + 2\rho_n < 2\varepsilon,$$

hence if we take any point z obtained by an infinite admissible concatenation of the words γ_i , we will have $\chi_\phi^-(z), \chi_\phi^+(z) > t$. Indeed, the Birkhoff sum over each 'connecting' part of the admissible concatenation construction gives a correction $K\|\phi\| < N\varepsilon/4$, and so $\chi_\phi^-(z) > t + \varepsilon - \rho_n - \varepsilon/4 = t + \varepsilon/4$. Similarly, any infinite admissible concatenation of words \mathbf{k}_j gives upper and lower Birkhoff averages strictly smaller than t .

For $p \in [0, 1]$ consider the measure μ_N^p defined as a distribution of infinite admissible concatenations of words $(\mathbf{i}_i)_i \cup (\mathbf{k}_j)_j$ according to the following rule: at any position we have with probability p one of the words \mathbf{i}_i (with equal probability each) and with probability $1 - p$ one of the words \mathbf{k}_j (with equal probability each). This measure is σ^N -invariant, so we define a new measure

$$\mu^p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sigma_*^k \mu_N^p,$$

this measure is σ -invariant. As μ_N^p is σ^N -ergodic, μ^p is σ -ergodic. Moreover, $\int \phi d\mu^p$ is a continuous function of p , with

$$\int \phi d\mu^1 < t < \int \phi d\mu^0.$$

We can thus find some measure $\mu^{p(t)}$ for which $\int \phi d\mu^{p(t)} = t$. Finally, an easy calculation shows that for every p

$$h(\mu^p) \geq \left(1 - \frac{K}{N}\right) \min(H_n(t - 2\varepsilon), H_n(t + 2\varepsilon)) - \delta.$$

Thus, we can take the set of generic points of $\mu^{p(t)}$, its topological entropy will be at least $H(t) - O(c(t) \cdot \varepsilon) - \delta$, and all the points in it have Birkhoff average of ϕ equal to t . Passing with ε and δ to 0 we get the lower bound, and hence the result is proven. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. It follows by Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 2.1, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $E_\phi(\alpha) \subset E_\phi^s(\alpha)$. It follows that $h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi(\alpha)) \leq h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi^s(\alpha))$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 again, we have

$$E_\phi^s(\alpha) \subseteq \{\chi_\phi^- \leq \alpha\} \text{ and } E_\phi^s(\alpha) \subseteq \{\chi_\phi^+ \geq \alpha\}.$$

Then by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$h_{\text{top}}(E_\phi^s(\alpha)) \leq \min\{\sup_{s \geq \alpha} H_\phi(s), \sup_{s \leq \alpha} H_\phi(s)\} = H_\phi(\alpha).$$

where the last equality follows by the concavity and unique maximum of the function H_ϕ , which completes the proof. \square

4. PACKING SPECTRUM WITH UNBOUNDED ASYMPTOTIC RATIO

Before we prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following technical lemma, which is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.4

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\phi: \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous potential and let $\alpha \in L(\phi)$. Suppose that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ has unbounded asymptotic ratio, and let $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences as in Lemma 2.4. Finally, let $\mathbf{i} \in E_\phi^s(\alpha)$. Then for every $\mathbf{j} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}$ such that $j_\ell = i_\ell$ for $m_k \leq \ell \leq n_{k+1} - 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_k \phi(\sigma^k \mathbf{j}) = \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $\rho_n = \max_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}: |\mathbf{i} \wedge \mathbf{j}| = n} |\phi(\mathbf{i}) - \phi(\mathbf{j})|$. Notice that ρ_n is decreasing to 0 as n tends to ∞ . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed and let N be such that $\rho_N \leq \varepsilon$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n_k \leq n \leq n_{k+1} - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &:= \left| \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} s_\ell \phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{j}) - \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} s_\ell \phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{i}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}) \rho_0 + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=m_\ell}^{n_{\ell+1}-1} s_p \rho_{n_{\ell+1}-p} + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=n_k}^{n-1} s_\ell |\phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{i}) - \phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{j})| \end{aligned}$$

If $n \leq m_k - 1$ then

$$\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=n_k}^{n-1} s_\ell |\phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{i}) - \phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{j})| \leq \frac{S_n - S_{n_k}}{S_n} \rho_0$$

and if $m_k \leq n$ then

$$\frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=n_k}^{n-1} s_\ell |\phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{i}) - \phi(\sigma^\ell \mathbf{j})| \leq \frac{S_{m_k} - S_{n_k}}{S_n} \rho_0 + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{p=m_k}^{n-1} s_p \rho_{n_{k+1}-p}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &\leq \frac{\rho_0}{S_{m_k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}) + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=m_\ell}^{n_{\ell+1}-1} s_p \rho_{n_{\ell+1}-p} + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{p=m_k}^{\max\{n, m_k\}-1} s_p \rho_{n_{k+1}-p} \\
&\leq \frac{\rho_0}{S_{m_k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}) + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} (S_{n_{\ell+1}-N} - S_{m_\ell}) \varepsilon \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho_0 s_0 (k-1)N}{S_n} + \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{p=m_k}^{\max\{n, m_k\}-1} s_p \rho_{n_{k+1}-p} \\
&\leq \frac{\rho_0}{S_{m_k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^k (S_{m_\ell} - S_{n_\ell}) + \varepsilon + \frac{\rho_0 s_0 N k}{S_{n_k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, the right hand side converges to ε , and since ε was arbitrary and $\mathbf{i} \in E_\phi^s(\alpha)$, the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Clearly, for every $\alpha \notin L(\phi)$, either $\{\chi_\phi^- \leq \alpha\}$ or $\{\chi_\phi^+ \geq \alpha\}$ is an empty set. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $E_\phi^s(\alpha) = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \notin L(\phi)$.

Let $\alpha \in L(\phi)$ and let $\mathbf{i} \in E_\phi^s(\alpha)$ be arbitrary but fixed. It is enough to show that there exists a probability measure μ such that $\mu(E_\phi^s(\alpha)) = 1$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu([\mathbf{i}|_n]) = h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}) \text{ for } \mu\text{-almost every } \mathbf{i}.$$

Let ν be the shift invariant ergodic measure on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}$ for which $h_\nu = h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$. Let $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences as in Lemma 2.4. Let us define μ over cylinders $[\mathbf{j}]$ of length m_k as follows

$$\mu([\mathbf{j}]) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \nu([\mathbf{j}|_{n_\ell+r}^{m_\ell-r}]) & \text{if } i_p = j_p \text{ for every } p \in [m_{\ell-1}, n_\ell-1] \text{ and} \\ & j_{n_\ell} \dots j_{n_\ell+r} \text{ and } j_{m_\ell-r} \dots j_{m_\ell} \text{ are allowed words.} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\mu(E_\phi^s(\alpha)) = 1$. On the other hand, for μ -almost every \mathbf{j}

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{n} \log \mu([\mathbf{j}|_n]) &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{m_k} \sum_{\ell=1}^k \log \nu([\mathbf{j}|_{n_\ell+r}^{m_\ell-r}]) \\
&\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{m_k} \log \nu([\mathbf{j}|_{n_k+r}^{m_k-r}]) \\
&= h_\nu \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k - n_k - 2r}{m_k} = h_\nu = h_{top}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{A}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem and Lemma 2.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Barreira, B. Saussol and J. Schmeling: Distribution of frequencies of digits via multifractal analysis. *J. Number Theory* **97** (2002), no. 2, 410-438.
- [2] L. Barreira, B. Saussol and J. Schmeling: Higher-dimensional multifractal analysis. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **81** (2002), no. 1, 67-91.
- [3] A. S. Besicovitch, On the sum of digits of real numbers represented in the dyadic system, *Math. Ann.* 110 (1935), no. 1, 321-330.
- [4] H. G. Eggleston, The fractional dimension of a set defined by decimal properties, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.* 20 (1949), 31-36.
- [5] E. Olivier: Analyse multifractale de fonctions continues. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 326 (1998), no. 10, 1171-1174.
- [6] D. Feng, K. Lau and J. Wu: Ergodic limits on the conformal repellers. *Adv. Math.*, **169** (2002), no. 1, 58-91
- [7] A. H. Fan, J. Schmeling, and S. Troubetzkoy. A multifractal mass transfer principle for Gibbs measures with applications to dynamical Diophantine approximation. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 2013 107(5), 1173-1219.
- [8] Y. Heurteaux. Estimations de la dimension inférieure et de la dimension supérieure des mesures. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **34** (1998), no. 3, 309-338.
- [9] J. Schmeling: On the completeness of multifractal spectra. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* (1999), 19, 1595-1616.
- [10] T. Tao: Equivalence of the logarithmically averaged Chowla and Sarnak conjectures. *Number theory–Diophantine problems, uniform distribution and applications*. Springer, Cham, 2017. 391-421.

(Balázs Bárány) BUDAPEST UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMICS,
DEPARTMENT OF STOCHASTICS, MTA-BME STOCHASTICS RESEARCH GROUP,
P.O.BOX 91, 1521 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
Email address: balubsheep@gmail.com

(Michał Rams) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND
Email address: rams@impan.pl

(Ruxi Shi) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL.
ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND
Email address: rshi@impan.pl