$P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$: a new variable for γ/h discrimination in large gamma-ray ground arrays

R. Conceição^{a,b}, B. S. González^{a,b}, M. Pimenta^{a,b}, B. Tomé^{a,b}

^aLIP, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, P-1649-003 Lisbon, Portugal ^bInstituto Superior Técnico (IST), Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001, Lisbon, Portugal

Abstract

In this letter, a new strategy to enhance the discrimination of high energy gamma rays from the huge charged cosmic rays background in large cosmic rays ground arrays is presented. This strategy is based on the introduction of a new simple variable, $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$, which combines the probability of tagging muons and/or very energetic particles in each single array station. The discrimination power of this new variable, particularly important for and above multi-TeV energies, is illustrated for a few specific examples in the case of a hypothetical water Cherenkov detector cosmic ray array, both in the case of low and high particle stations occupancy. The results are very encouraging and hopefully will be demonstrated in the present and future gamma-ray Observatories.

Keywords: Gamma-ray Wide-field Observatories, Extensive Air Showers, Gamma/hadron discrimination

1. Introduction

The capability to discriminate high energy gamma rays from the huge background of charged cosmic rays, hereafter nominated as γ/h discrimination, is presently one of the main challenges of ground-based gamma-ray observatories. As an example, the signal/background ratio considering a Crab-like source, integrated over 1 second within one square degree, in an effective area of $10\,000\,\mathrm{m}^2$, is, in the GeV-PeV energy region, of about 10^{-2} - 10^{-3} [1, 2]. To handle these high background rates, several strategies have been used or proposed, based on the analysis of the distribution of the particles at the ground (steepness, compactness or bumpiness of the Lateral Distribution Functions (e.g. [2, 3, 4]) or, more globally, differences in the detected shower patterns (e.g. [5, 6]). Around a few TeV, proton showers start to have a large number of muons that effectively can reach the ground. For the same reconstructed energy, gamma induced showers are expected to have much fewer muons than for protoninduced showers. Hence, the muonic content of the shower can be explored to achieve an excellent γ/h discrimination (see, for instance [7, 8, 9]).

The most common technique to identify muons in cosmic rays detectors is by placing sensitive tracking detectors under a reasonable number of equivalent radiation lengths to absorb the shower's electromagnetic (e.m.) component. This may be achieved placing the detectors under earth (e.g. [10, 11, 12]), water or ice (e.g. [13]), concrete or some other inert material. Another option is to design detectors with at least two active layers, where the first one(s) act for this purpose as an absorber (e.g. [14], [15]). An alternative/complementary approach is to have, at the station level, several signal sensors (e.g. PMTs) and explore time and/or intensity differences between them (e.g. [16, 17, 18]).

Nevertheless, in the end, the capability to tag, in each individual station, the presence of one or more muons is a compromise between purity (low or negligible punch-through) and efficiency, which may be translated in a probability, $P_{\mu,i}$. At the event level, the question would be then how to handle such probabilities in order to reach the needed γ/h discrimination ensuring a reasonable efficiency.

In this letter, we propose a new, very simple variable, $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$, that combines efficiently the individual $P_{\mu,i}$ and avoids/minimises the need of additional fiducial cuts. This quantity is particularly important when performing the discrimination at multi-TeV energies and above. The document is organ-

Preprint submitted to Physics Letters B

ised as follows: the discriminator is presented in Section 2; in Section 3 the simulation setups are described, followed by the presentation of the obtained results (Sec. 4), including a discussion of these. The paper ends with a short summary.

2. Probability of muon detection for γ/h discrimination

It has been shown in [18] that the sum of the probability of having a muon in a water Cherenkov station, $P_{\gamma h}$, obtained through the analysis of the photomultipliers (PMTs) signal time trace, can be used to discriminate between gamma and hadron induced showers. This discrimination was demonstrated for showers with energies of about 1 TeV. As the shower energy increases, the number of muons that might hit a WCD station will increase. However, the number of stations touched by the electromagnetic shower component and without muons will grow even faster, leading to an artificially larger $P_{\gamma h}$. In this article, we argue that this effect can be mitigated without recurring to any type of cuts while maintaining an excellent gamma/hadron discrimination capability, with the introduction of a new variable, $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$.

The proposed new variable is defined as:

$$P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h} = \sum_{i}^{n} P_{\mu,i}{}^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

where $P_{\mu,i}$ is the probability of a station being hit by a muon, n the number of active stations in the shower event, and α a parameter that maximises the separation between gamma and protoninduced showers. For $\alpha = 1$, $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ is just the sum of the probabilities of all individual stations. On the other hand, the setting of $\alpha > 1$ enhances the relative weight of the stations where $P_{\mu,i}$ is close to 1. Indeed, as it is shown in Fig. 1, the use of high α values decreases dramatically the contributions to the $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ discrimination variable of the stations with lower probabilities of having been hit by a muon. The precise value of α to be used in each specific analysis should be optimised according to the desirable requirements taking into account the signal and background efficiency curves as well as the total number of stations foreseen as being hit by muons.

3. Simulation Setup

To verify the discrimination capability of the proposed variable, $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$, two distinct simulation frame-



Figure 1: $P_{\mu,i}^{\alpha}$ as a function $P_{\mu,i}$ for different values of α . The full black, dashed red and point blue lines corresponds respectively to α values of 1, 10, 20. See text for a discussion on the role of the constant α in the design of the global event $P_{\gamma h}^{\alpha}$ discriminant variable.

works are used. One is aiming at low particle station occupancy¹ (shower energies of $\sim 50 \text{ TeV}$) while the other is used for high occupancy ($\sim 500 \text{ TeV}$). The simulation sets can be summarised in the following way:

- A compact array of stations covering an area of 80 000 m² with an end-to-end simulation. The probability of having a muon in a station is extracted through the analysis of the PMT signal time trace using a Machine Learning algorithm.
- The same compact array but now surrounded by a sparse array with an area of 1 km² and 1% fill factor, simulated recurring to a fast simulation. The shower muon content is obtained via statistically discounting the electromagnetic component recorded at the ground.

For the first set of simulations, we consider a ground array of small-WCD stations where the light signal is collected by four PMTs placed at the bottom of the station, as described in references [18, 19]. The considered array covers an area of $80\,000\,\mathrm{m}^2$ and has a fill factor of ~ 85% (5720 stations). The simulations of extensive air showers were generated using CORSIKA (version 7.5600)

¹In this context, occupancy refers to the number of particles hitting one single station. These two cases are distinguished as the strategy to identify muons should be distinct.

[20]. For this set, only protons with primary energy between 40 - 63 TeV and a zenith angle between 5° - 15° were used. The discrimination capability is assessed by comparing proton-induced shower events with the same events but removing stations with muons. As we want to evaluate the ability to discriminate between showers using the number of muons in the event, this test provides a conservative assessment.

A total of 3686 showers² have been generated with a uniform logarithm of energy distribution, leading to a mean energy of ~ 50 TeV. The detector response was simulated with the Geant4 toolkit [21, 22, 23], and the shower core was distributed uniformly in a circular area centred in the centre of the array and with a radius of 50 m.

The probability $P_{\mu,i}$ of tagging at least one muon with a signal greater than 300 p.e. (the minimum signal of vertical muons) was determined through a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) similar to the one used in [18] at lower energies ($\sim 1 \text{ TeV}$). To explore both the temporal and spatial features, the model receives as inputs: the normalised signal time trace of each PMT; the integral of each PMTs signal time trace; the amount of Cherenkov light measured in the WCD; and the normalised integral of each PMTs signal time trace. At this energy range ($\sim 50 \text{ TeV}$), a different structure of the input signals was found to be better to deal with the overwhelming electromagnetic contamination. A tensor with dimensions [3, 3, 30] is built using the signals (the empty spaces are filled with zeros). The 3×3 matrix allows to mimic the PMT spatial position while the remaining dimension contains the time evolution of the PMT signal time trace, being each entry a time bin with a width of 1 ns. Then, a 3-dimensional CNN (3D-CNN) is used to convolve over this tensor.

For the second set of simulations, the distributions were obtained using a fast and flexible simulation framework [24]. This framework receives as input either CORSIKA showers or toy events generated as the superposition of single photons, electrons and muons, taking into account the energy spectrum of these single particles and the total mean electromagnetic and muonic particle lateral distributions obtained from dedicated CORSIKA



Figure 2: (top) Mean number of stations per shower as a function of $P_{\mu,i}^{\alpha}$ for all the stations with distances from the shower core greater than 50 m. Stations with muons are represented by black curves and in red if there is no muon present. The full (dashed) lines are the $P_{\mu,i}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 1$ ($\alpha = 12$). (bottom) Ratio between stations with and without muons for $\alpha = 1$ (blue) and $\alpha = 12$ (orange).

simulations. The response of the detector was included as parameterised functions obtained by injecting single particles in the WCD and simulating it with Geant4. The configuration of the ground array that has been tested is composed of a compact array with an area of $80\,000\,\text{m}^2$ and a fill factor of $\sim 80\%$ surrounded by a sparse array with an area of $1\,\text{km}^2$ and a fill factor of $\sim 1\%$. The WCD stations were similar to those described in the previous section, but only one PMT per station was placed at the bottom of the tank.

4. Results

4.1. $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ at low particle stations occupancy

The impact of using $\alpha > 1$ at the station level can be seen in Fig. 2 where the $P_{\mu,i}{}^{\alpha}$ distribution is shown for $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 12$ for stations with and without the presence of muons. From these plots, it can be seen that whenever a cut in $P_{\gamma h}^{\alpha}$ is used to tag muons, the choice of $\alpha > 1$ reduces the relative importance of the large number of stations without muons in a gamma or hadron high energy event. Such enables a lower cut which effectively increases the efficiency to tag stations with muons.

 $^{^2\}mathrm{The}$ number of showers used to train and test the CNN were 2 000 and 1 686, respectively.

The distributions of the variable $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ setting α to 1 and 12 were then obtained and are represented in Fig. 3, both for the stations without muons and for the stations hit at least by one muon. Only WCDs placed at a distance greater than 50 m from the shower core were considered to limit the electromagnetic contamination in the station, allowing for the identification of muons. Notice that after this cut, the number of stations with no muons with respect to stations with muons is still extremely high. The value of $\alpha = 12$ was found to maximise the ratio between the signal and the square root of the background (S/\sqrt{B}) for a signal efficiency S = 0.6.

The use of an optimised α value has thus greatly increased the capability to identify the stations hit by muons which, in a full data analysis, would be translated in a significant increase of the γ /h discrimination power, at these energies and detector configuration.

4.2. $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ at high stations occupancy

At very high energies, the fluxes of high energy gamma and charged cosmic rays decrease dramatically, but, on the other hand, the density of particles at the ground for each particle shower increases even faster than the primary cosmic-ray energy. The detector ground array has thus to cover larger areas, but the array fill factor can be much smaller (sparse arrays).

In stations where the deposited electromagnetic energy is higher than some hundreds of MeV, the identification of muons using temporal and spatial asymmetries between the PMTs signal time traces is no longer efficient. However, statistical methods, similar to the ones used in IceTop/IceCube [25], may be used. The proposed muon tagging is based on the fact that in a station hit by one or more muons, or by one very energetic particle, the total signal detected is, on average, greater than the signal registered in one station located at a similar distance to the shower core in the case of one gamma event with a similar energy at the ground.

This signal excess in station i at distance r and with total signal $S_i(r)$ may thus be quantified by :

$$n_i = \frac{S_i(r) - S_{em}(r)}{\sigma_{em}(r)} \tag{2}$$

where $S_{em}(r)$ and $\sigma_{em}(r)$ are respectively the expected electromagnetic signal in station *i*, and the standard deviation of its expected fluctuations, for a gamma event. Whenever, $n_i < 0$, n_i is set

to 0. $S_{em}(r)$ and $\sigma_{em}(r)$ may be evaluated from data, in events classified with a high probability to be gamma events and with a similar energy at ground. However, in the present work both $S_{em}(r)$ and $\sigma_{em}(r)$ were taken from CORSIKA simulations.

Then, for large n, the probability of a fluctuation of the electromagnetic signal, $P_{em,n} \equiv P(S_i \geq S_{em} + n\sigma_{em})$, can be approximated by

$$P_{em,n} = \frac{e^{-n^2/2}}{2n\sqrt{\pi/2}}.$$
(3)

where it is assumed that $P_{em,n}$ behaves as a normal distribution. The probability to correctly identify a station as having at least one muon or one high energy particle can then be defined as:

$$P_{\mu,i} = 1 - P_{em,n_i}.$$
 (4)

The variable $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ defined in equation 1 was shown to be very effective in having a very high γ/h discrimination power at energies of few hundreds of TeV or higher, using $\alpha \simeq 20$. Indeed, in Fig. 4 are shown the distributions of the variable $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ setting α to 1 and 20 for γ and proton-induced showers with a primary energy at ground equivalent to a 500 TeV γ -shower and with the core generated uniformly in a ring centred in the centre of the array and inner and outer radius respectively of 240 m and 260 m. To make clear the impact on the expected separation between γ and proton-induced showers, the distributions were normalised to the expected mean values of the relevant γ distribution. In this case, the relative separation between proton and γ distributions increases by a factor of 2, setting $\alpha =$ 20.

Moreover, the resilience to the presence of tails in the $P_{\mu,i}$ distribution, expected in real life, is much higher whenever $\alpha > 1$. In fact, these tails may reflect simplified simulation frameworks where the signal fluctuations were not fully described (for instance, charged particle specific trajectories crossing the PMTs photo-cathodes, or smaller muon paths inside the stations; or fluctuations in the light sensor gains) which tends to populate the intermediate region of the $P_{\mu,i}$ distributions, whose contributions, as it was referred to in section 2, decreases for higher α values.

5. Conclusions

In this letter, we show that a very simple γ/h discrimination variable, $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$, can be built using the



Figure 3: Distributions of the variable $P^{\alpha}_{\gamma h}$ at low particle stations occupancy events setting α to 1 (left) and 12 (right) in stations without muons (red lines) and at least one muon (black line).



Figure 4: Distributions of the variable $P_{\gamma h}^{\alpha}$ at high particle stations occupancy events setting α to 1 (dashed lines) and 20 (full lines) for photon (red) and proton (black) showers. The distributions are normalised to the mean values of the $P_{\gamma h}^{\alpha}$ distribution in the case of γ showers.

individual $P_{\mu,i}$. The probability of having a muon in the station, $P_{\mu,i}$, can be weighted by an exponent α maximising the discrimination power and minimising the need for additional cuts. The proposed method is applied to both low and high energy showers, with notable results demonstrating the power of this approach.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank to Alessandro De Angelis and Alan Watson for carefully reading the manuscript and useful comments. The authors thank also for the financial support by OE - Portugal, FCT, I. P., under project PTDC/FIS-PAR/4300/2020. R. C. is grateful for the financial support by OE - Portugal, FCT, I. P., under DL57/2016/cP1330/cT0002. B.S.G. is grateful for the financial support by grant LIP/BI - 13/2021 under project PTDC/FIS-PAR/4300/2020, and the FCT PhD grant PRT/BD/151553/2021 under the IDPASC program.

References

- A. De Angelis, M. Pimenta, Introduction to particle and astroparticle physics: multimessenger astronomy and its particle physics foundations, Springer, 2018.
- [2] P. Assis, et al., Design and expected performance of a novel hybrid detector for very-high-energy gamma-ray astrophysics, Astropart. Phys. 99 (2018) 34-42, [Erratum: Astropart.Phys. 101, 36-36 (2018)]. arXiv:1607. 03051, doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2018.02.004.
- [3] K. Greisen, Cosmic ray showers, Annual Review of Nuclear Science 10 (1) (1960) 63-108. doi:10.1146/ annurev.ns.10.120160.000431.
- [4] Abeysekara, et. al, Observation of the crab nebula with the hawc gamma-ray observatory, The Astrophysical Journal 843 (1) (2017) 39. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ aa7555.
- [5] A. Melcarne, et. al, Temporal and spatial structure of the extensive air shower front with the argo-ybj experiment, Proceedings of the 32nd International Cosmic Ray Conference, ICRC 2011 1. doi:10.7529/ICRC2011/ V01/0402.
- [6] F. Assunção, et. al, Automatic design of artificial neural networks for gamma-ray detection, IEEE Access 7 (2019) 110531–110540.
- [7] P. R. Blake, W. F. Nash, Muons in extensive air showers.
 i. the lateral distribution of muons, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 21 (1) (1995) 129–143. doi:10.1088/0954-3899/21/1/013.
 URL https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/21/1/013

- [8] N. Hayashida, et. al a, Muons (≥ 1 GeV) in large extensive air showers of energies between 1016.5 ev and 1019.5 ev observed at akeno, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 21 (8) (1995) 1101–1119. doi:10.1088/0954-3899/21/8/008. URL https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/21/8/008
- [9] W. Apel, et. al, The kascade-grande experiment, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 620 (2) (2010) 202-216. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.03.147. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0168900210007734
- [10] A. Borione, et. al, A large air shower array to search for astrophysical sources emitting γ -rays with energies \geq 10^{14} eV, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 346 (1-2) (1994) 329–352. doi:10.1016/ 0168-9002(94)90722-6.
- [11] A. Aab, et. al, Calibration of the underground muon detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory, Journal of Instrumentation 16 (04) (2021) P04003. doi:10.1088/ 1748-0221/16/04/p04003.
- [12] X. Zuo, et al., Design and performances of prototype muon detectors of LHAASO-KM2A, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 789 (2015) 143-149. doi:10.1016/j.nima. 2015.04.010.
- [13] R. Atkins, W. Benbow, D. Berley, M. Chen, D. Coyne, R. Delay, B. Dingus, D. Dorfan, R. Ellsworth, D. Evans, A. Falcone, L. Fleysher, R. Fleysher, G. Gisler, J. Goodman, T. Haines, C. Hoffman, S. Hugenberger, L. Kelley, G. Yodh, Status of the milagro gamma ray observatory.
- [14] P. Abreu, et al., MARTA: a high-energy cosmic-ray detector concept for high-accuracy muon measurement, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (4) (2018) 333. arXiv:1712.07685, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5820-2.
- [15] A. Letessier-Selvon, P. Billoir, M. Blanco, I. C. Mariş, M. Settimo, Layered water Cherenkov detector for the study of ultra high energy cosmic rays, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 767 (2014) 41-49. arXiv:1405.5699, doi:10. 1016/j.nima.2014.08.029.
- [16] A. Zuñiga-Reyes, A. Hernández, A. Miranda-Aguilar, A. Sandoval, J. Martínez-Castro, R. Alfaro, E. Belmont, H. León, A. P. Vizcaya, Detection of vertical muons with the hawc water cherenkov detectors and its application to gamma/hadron discrimination, arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.09500.
- B. S. González, et. al, Using convolutional neural networks for muon detection in WCD tank, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1603 (2020) 012024. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1603/1/012024. URL https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1742-6596%2F1603% 2F1%2F012024
- [18] R. Conceição, B. S. González, A. Guillén, M. Pimenta, B. Tomé, Muon identification in a compact singlelayered water Cherenkov detector and gamma/hadron discrimination using machine learning techniques, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (6) (2021) 542. arXiv:2101.10109, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09312-4.
- [19] R. Conceição, et al., Gamma/hadron discrimination using a small-WCD with four PMTs, PoS(ICRC2021)707 https://pos.sissa.it/395/707/pdf.
- [20] D. Heck, J. Knapp, J. Capdevielle, G. Schatz, T. Thouw, A monte carlo code to simulate extensive air showers, Report FZKA 6019.
- [21] S. Agostinelli, J. Allison, K. a. Amako, J. Apostolakis,

H. Araujo, P. Arce, M. Asai, D. Axen, S. Banerjee, G. . Barrand, et al., Geant4—a simulation toolkit, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 506 (3) (2003) 250–303.

- [22] J. Allison, et al., IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 53 No. 1 (2006) 270–278.
- [23] J. Allison, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 835 (2016) 186–225.
- [24] B. Tomé, et al., A fast and flexible Simulation framework to serve as a tool for the optimisation of WCD ground array layouts, in progress (2021).
- [25] K. Rawlins, Measurements of cosmic ray muon distributions with icetop and icecube, Physics of Atomic Nuclei 83 (2020) 285. doi:10.1134/S1063778820020246. URL https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063778820020246