4

¹ Measurement of the temperature dependence of dwell time and spin relaxation ² probability of Rb atoms on paraffin surfaces using a beam-scattering method

³ ³ Kanta Asakawa¹,* Yutaro Tanaka¹, Kenta Uemura¹, Norihiro Matsuzaka²,

Kunihiro Nishikawa¹, Yuki Oguma¹, Hiroaki Usui², and Atsushi Hatakeyama^{1[†](#page-6-1)}

¹Department of Applied Physics, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588, Japan and

2 ⁶ Department of Organic and Polymer Materials Chemistry,

⁷ Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588, Japan

The scattering of Rb atoms on an anti-relaxation coating was studied. No significant change in ¹⁰ the spin relaxation probability of Rb atoms by single scattering from a tetracontane surface was ¹¹ observed by cooling the film from 305 to 123 K. The mean surface dwell time was estimated using a time-resolved method. Delay-time spectra, from which mean surface dwell times can be estimated. were measured at 305, 153, and 123 K, with a time window of 9.3×10^{-5} s. The increase in mean surface dwell time with cooling from 305 to 123 K was smaller than 4.4×10^{-6} s, which is significantly smaller than the value expected from the mean dwell time at room temperature measured using the Larmor frequency shift. These results can be explained by assuming a small number of scattering ¹⁷ components, with a mean surface dwell time at least three orders of magnitude longer than the majority component.

INTRODUCTION

⁸ (Dated: August 11, 2021) Anti-relaxation coatings are used to reduce the spin 53 ²¹ relaxation of alkali metal atoms resulting from wall collisions in the alkali-metal vapor cells of atomic clocks $[1-3]$ ⁵⁵ and atomic magnetometers $[4–6]$ $[4–6]$. Paraffin $[7, 8]$ $[7, 8]$, octade-⁵⁶ cyltrichlorosilane $(OTS)[9, 10]$ $(OTS)[9, 10]$ $(OTS)[9, 10]$, and polydimethylsilox- 57 ane $(PDMS)[11]$ $(PDMS)[11]$ are representative materials for anti-⁵⁸ relaxation coatings. It has been reported that paraffin- $_{27}$ coated surfaces can support 10^4 spin-preserving collisions for Rb atoms [\[8\]](#page-6-7). The performance of an anti-relaxation $_{61}$ coating depends on the surface dwell time, as well as the $_{62}$ strength of the interaction between alkali metal spins and $_{63}$ the surface. The mean dwell time τ_s can be described by $_{64}$ the Arrhenius formula:

$$
\tau_{\rm s} = \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\rm des}}{k_{\rm B}T_{\rm s}}\right),\tag{1)''}
$$

where τ_0 is the pre-exponential factor, $E_{\rm des}$ is the des- \degree orption energy, k_B is Boltzmann constant, and T_s is the ∞ temperature of the surface. In the case of Rb atoms on π tetracontane (C40H82), which is a representative type of π paraffin, the experimentally obtained desorption energy π is 0.06 eV[\[12,](#page-7-2) [13\]](#page-7-3). By adopting a commonly used assump- $_{74}$ ³⁹ tion, i.e., that the pre-exponential factor is 1×10^{-12} s, 40 which is the typical period of thermal vibration of atoms, 76 we can roughly estimate τ_s as 1×10^{-11} s at 300 K. 42 However, using the Larmor frequency shift, Ulanski et_{78} as al. reported a mean dwell time of $(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$ s ⁴⁴ for Rb atoms on paraffin coatings at 345 K [\[14\]](#page-7-4). The ⁴⁵ reason for the large difference in the mean dwell time ⁴⁶ calculated from the desorption energy compared to that ⁸² ⁴⁷ measured by experiments is still unclear [\[15](#page-7-5)]. One possibility is that the assumption $\tau_0 \simeq 1 \times 10^{-12}$ s is incor-49 rect. By substituting $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV}, T_{\text{s}} = 345 \text{ K}, \text{ and } \text{ss}$ $\tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ s into equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and regarding τ_0 as

si a variable, we obtain $\tau_0 = 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ s. However, this is ⁵² two orders of magnitude larger than the pre-exponential f_{53} factor $\tau_0 = 2.2 \times 10^{-9}$ s of ⁸⁷Rb atoms on Pyrex glass surfaces coated with OTS, estimated from the temperature dependence of the mean dwell time [\[16\]](#page-7-6) and is five orders ⁵⁶ of magnitude larger than the typical period of thermal vibration. Therefore, this issue requires further investigation.

 $Equation (1)$ $Equation (1)$ shows that the mean dwell time increases with cooling, which makes it easier to measure dwell times using time-resolved methods. If we assume that $\tau_0 = 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ s (which is obtained by substituting 63 $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV} [12, 13], \tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s, and } T_s = 345$ $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV} [12, 13], \tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s, and } T_s = 345$ $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV} [12, 13], \tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s, and } T_s = 345$ $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV} [12, 13], \tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s, and } T_s = 345$ $K [14]$ $K [14]$) is correct, then it can be seen from Eq. (1) that ⁶⁵ τ_s will increase by 6.7×10^{-5} s with cooling of a sample ⁶⁶ from 305 to 123 K, which is sufficient time to detect using time-resolved methods. The spin relaxation probability with surface scattering is also expected to increase at low temperatures due to an increased dwell time.

In this study, we investigated the temperature de-⁷¹ pendence of the spin relaxation probability and dwell time of Rb atoms on tetracontane coatings. A beamscattering method and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were employed to analyze the surface dwell time and surface chemical composition. Using an atomic beam and optical hyperfine pumping, the dwell time can be measured more directly compared to the methods used in earlier studies $[14, 16]$ $[14, 16]$. The results show that the in-⁷⁹ crease in mean dwell time (averaged over the majority of scattered atoms) with cooling from 305 to 123 K was s shorter than 4.4×10^{-6} s, which is significantly shorter ϵ ₈₂ than the value of 6.7×10^{-5} s expected from the previously reported desorption energy $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV}$ [\[12,](#page-7-2) [13](#page-7-3)] $_{84}$ and the mean dwell time $\tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ s at 345 K [\[14\]](#page-7-4). This indicates the existence of minor scattering components with dwell times at least three orders of magnitude

FIG. 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the experimental setup and (b) the energy-level diagram of 85 Rb.

87 larger than that of the major component.

88 EXPERIMENTAL

 $_{89}$ Figure [1\(](#page-1-0)a) shows the experimental setup. A¹¹⁴ ⁹⁰ tetracontane-coated quartz substrate was mounted in an ⁹¹ ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber with a base pressure ⁹² lower than 3×10^{-7} Pa. The tetracontane film was de-⁹³ posited on the substrate in another high-vacuum chamber, the base pressure of which was 1.0×10^{-5} Pa, by 95 evaporating tetracontane at 513 K for 10 min. The thick-120 96 ness and average roughness, Ra , of the film were mea- $_{121}$ 97 sured to be 0.93 ± 0.17 µm and 50 nm, respectively, using₁₂₂ ⁹⁸ atomic force microscopy. The Rb beam was generated₁₂₃ ⁹⁹ using a multi-channel effusive atomic beam source. The₁₂₄ $_{100}$ full width at half maximum of the atomic beam at the₁₂₅ $_{101}$ position of the sample was estimated to be 8.2 mm from $_{126}$ $_{102}$ a fluorescence image taken with a charge-coupled device $_{27}$ ¹⁰³ (CCD) camera.

¹⁰⁴ The two pump light beams were directed perpendicu-¹⁰⁵ lar to the Rb beam. The pump and probe frequencies are

FIG. 2. (a) Schematic diagram of the optical system for generating pump and probe light. AOM, EOM, BS, PBS, NPBS, RM, and QWP denote the acousto-optic modulator, electrooptic modulator, beam splitter, polarizing beam splitter, nonpolarizing beam splitter, removable mirror, and quarter-wave plate, respectively. (b) Schematic diagram of the signalprocessing system used for the delay-time measurements.

¹⁰⁶ shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-1-0)b). The optical system used to gener- $_{107}$ ate the pump and probe light is illustrated in Fig. [2\(](#page-1-1)a). ¹⁰⁸ The frequency of the first pump light was tuned to the 109 $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition frequency of the ⁸⁵Rb D_1 ¹¹⁰ transition line using polarization spectroscopy [\[17,](#page-7-7) [18\]](#page-7-8), $_{111}$ where F and F' are the total angular momentum of ¹¹² atoms in the $5^2S_{1/2}$ and $5^2P_{1/2}$ states, respectively. The ¹¹³ second pump light, the frequency of which was tuned to ¹¹⁴ the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition frequency of the D_1 line, was generated by blue-detuning the first pump light by $3,036$ MHz $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ using an electro-optic modulator (EOM) and an acousto-optic modulator (AOM), and was ¹¹⁸ pulsed to 5×10^{-6} s by the AOM.

The probe light was used to selectively excite Rb atoms ¹²⁰ in the $F = 3$ state to the $F'' = 4$ state through the D_2 t_{121} transition, resulting in fluorescence. Here, F'' is the total angular momentum of the atoms in the $5^{2}P_{3/2}$ state. The fluorescence was detected by a CCD camera and a photomultiplier tube (PMT), which were equipped with interference filters that were designed to transmit only the probe light and fluorescence. The frequency of the probe light could be tuned, which enabled selective ex-¹²⁸ citation of the incident or scattered atoms. For probing scattered atoms, the probe light was blue-detuned from the $F = 3 \rightarrow F'' = 4$ transition frequency of the D_2

¹³¹ transition line by 160 MHz, such that it did not excite 132 incident atoms. With this frequency, the probe light ex-188 ¹³³ cites Rb atoms whose velocity component along the probe 134 light is 125 ± 5 m/s; these are abundant among scattered
135 atoms [21] but negligible among incident atoms. Here, atoms [\[21\]](#page-7-11) but negligible among incident atoms. Here, ¹³⁶ the natural line width of the Rb D_2 transition line (6.06) ¹³⁷ MHz) [\[22](#page-7-12)] was used to calculate the uncertainty. When ¹³⁸ probing the incident atoms, the direction of the probe 139 light beam was the same as that used to probe the scat- $_{100}$ $_{140}$ tered atoms; however, the frequency was red-detuned by₁₉₁ ¹⁴¹ 60.3 MHz from the $F = 3 \rightarrow F'' = 4$ transition frequency. $_{142}$ With this frequency, the probe light excites atoms with $_{193}$ 143 a velocity component along the probe light of -47 ± 5 ¹⁴⁴ m/s. Because atoms with this velocity component are ¹⁴⁵ found among both incident and scattered atoms, the flu-¹⁴⁶ orescence intensity of the incident atoms was estimated ¹⁴⁷ by subtracting the contribution of scattered atoms from¹⁹⁵ 148 the measured fluorescence intensity, as discussed below. 196

¹⁴⁹ Mean dwell-time estimates were based on time-of-¹⁵⁰ flight (TOF) measurements obtained using the pump and ¹⁵¹ probe light. The incident Rb atoms were first pumped $_{152}$ to the $F = 2$ state by the first pump light and subse-¹⁵³ quently irradiated with the second pump light, which was ¹⁵⁴ pulsed; atoms that were irradiated with the second pump ¹⁵⁵ light were momentarily pumped to the $F = 3$ state. The¹⁹⁸ 156 incident atoms pumped to the $F = 3$ state by the sec- 157 ond pump light enhanced the fluorescence induced by the 20° ¹⁵⁸ probe light when they reached it. The delay time in the ¹⁵⁹ fluorescence enhancement induced by irradiation by the ¹⁶⁰ second pump light is the sum of the TOF of the Rb atoms ¹⁶¹ (from the second pump light to the probe light via the $_{162}$ film surface) and the surface dwell time. The probe-light- $_{202}$ $_{163}$ induced fluorescence was detected by the PMT. The sig- $_{203}$ $_{164}$ nal from the PMT was processed using the system shown₂₀₄ ¹⁶⁵ in Fig. [2\(](#page-1-1)b). Delay-time spectra were acquired by accu- $_{166}$ mulating the time intervals between the irradiation of the₂₀₆ $_{167}$ second probe light and the detection of fluorescence by₂₀₇ $_{168}$ PMT. The delay-time distribution can be treated as the₂₀₈ $_{169}$ distribution of the sum of the TOF and the dwell time₂₀₉ $_{170}$ only when the hyperfine relaxation by a single collision₂₁₀ $_{171}$ is negligibly small. When the hyperfine relaxation by a_{211} $_{172}$ single collision is significant, hyperfine polarization of in- $_{212}$ 173 cident atoms is lost over the surface dwell time. In this₂₁₃ ¹⁷⁴ case, a large percentage of the scattered atoms experi-¹⁷⁵ ence spin relaxation while on the surface; as such, they ¹⁷⁶ do not contribute to the delay-time spectra. Therefore, 177 the probability of spin relaxation resulting from surface. 178 scattering must be estimated prior to measurement of the $_{215}$ ¹⁷⁹ dwell time.

 To evaluate the spin relaxation resulting from a single collision, we used the first pump light and the probe light. The first pump light polarizes incident Rb atoms in the 183 beam to the $F = 2$ state. Given that the probe-light- induced fluorescence of the incident and scattered atoms 185 reflects the number of atoms in the $F = 3$ state, the₂₁₇ fluorescence intensity decreases when the first pump light is introduced. The population fraction f_i of the $F = 2$ state of the incident atoms pumped by the first pump light can be written as

$$
f_{\rm i} = \frac{N_2}{N_2 + N_3} \tag{2}
$$

$$
=1-\frac{N_3}{N},\t\t(3)
$$

where N_2 and N_3 are the numbers of atoms in the $F = 2$ and $F = 3$ states in the incident atoms, respectively, and $N = N_2 + N_3$. Because the fluorescence intensity is proportional to the number of atoms in the $F = 3$ state,

$$
N_3 = CI_{\text{i,p}},\tag{4}
$$

where C is a constant and $I_{i,p}$ is the intensity of the fluorescence of incident atoms induced by the first pump light. $I_{i,p}$ can be obtained by

$$
I_{\rm i,p} = i_{-60.3 \text{ MHz,p}} - i_{160 \text{ MHz,p}} \times \frac{M(-47 \text{ m/s}, T_{\rm s})}{M(125 \text{ m/s}, T_{\rm s})}
$$
(5)

where $i_{\delta,p}$ is the fluorescence intensity measured with the first pump light introduced with the probe light bluedetuned by δ from the $F = 3 \rightarrow F'' = 4$ transition frequency, and $M(v, T_s)$ is the Maxwell distribution given \mathbf{b}

$$
M(v,T_s) = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\pi k_{\rm B} T_s}} \exp\left(-\frac{mv^2}{2k_{\rm B} T_s}\right),\tag{6}
$$

where m is the mass of an 85 Rb atom. The first term in Eq. (5) includes contributions from both incident and scattered atoms. To subtract the latter, the second term is introduced. The second term is the fluorescence intensity of the scattered atoms excited by the probe light red-detuned by 60.3 MHz estimated from the fluorescence intensity measured with the probe light blue-detuned by ²⁰⁹ 160 MHz (based on the fact that the velocity distribution of the scattered atoms can be expressed as a Maxwell distribution $[21]$).

Because $F = 2$ and $F = 3$ states have five- and sevenfold degeneracy, N_3 and N can be written as

$$
N = \frac{12}{7} C I_{\text{i,np}}.\tag{7}
$$

Here, $I_{i, np}$ is the intensity of the fluorescence of incident atoms without the first pump light, which can be ob-²¹⁶ tained by

$$
I_{\text{i,np}} = i_{-60.3 \text{ MHz,np}} - i_{160 \text{ MHz,np}} \times \frac{M(47 \text{ m/s}, T_s)}{M(125 \text{ m/s}, T_s)},
$$
(8)

where $i_{\delta,np}$ is the intensity of fluorescence measured with the probe light blue-detuned by δ with the first pump

$$
f_{\rm i} = 1 - \frac{7}{12} \frac{I_{\rm i,p}}{I_{\rm i,np}}.\tag{9}
$$

221 Similarly, the population fraction f_s of the $F = 2$ state ²²² of the scattered atoms is written as

$$
f_s = 1 - \frac{7}{12} \cdot \frac{I_{s,p}}{I_{s,np}},
$$
\n(10)

²²³ where $I_{\rm s,p}$ and $I_{\rm s,np}$ are the intensities of the fluorescence of the scattered atoms measured with the probe light blue-detuned by 160 MHz with and without the pump light, respectively. The fluorescence of the incident atoms is negligible when the probe laser is blue-detuned by 160 MHz, which was confirmed by the fluorescence intensity being lower than the detection limit when the sample was removed from the atomic beam position. By comparing $_{231}$ f_i and f_s , the proportion of atoms whose total angular momentum is changed by scattering at the surface can be estimated.

 The delay-time and spin-relaxation measurements were conducted using different samples prepared by the same procedure, to minimize aggregation of Rb atoms, which may contaminate the surface. The aggregation of Rb on the surface resulting from atomic Rb beam irradi- ation was checked by XPS using Al kα radiation with a photon energy of 1486.6 eV.

241 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

²⁴² X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

 Aggregation of Rb atoms on the surface was investi- gated by XPS. The temperature at which aggregation was noticeable was approximately 123 K; this varied slightly among samples. Figure [3](#page-3-0) shows the XPS spectra of the as-prepared sample and the spectra taken after ex- posing the sample to an atomic Rb beam with a flux of $_{249}$ 10¹¹–10¹² s⁻¹ at 153 K for 2 h and 123 K for 2 h. The spectrum of the as-prepared sample displayed a strong ²⁵¹ peak at $E - E_F = -285$ eV, which was assigned to the C 1s state. After exposure to the Rb beam at low tem-253 perature, new peaks appeared at $E - E_F = -531, -246,$ ²⁵⁴ −238, and −109 eV; these were assigned to the O 2p,
²⁵⁵ Rb $3p_{1/2}$, Rb $3p_{3/2}$, and Rb 3d states, respectively. The Rb $3p_{1/2}$, Rb $3p_{3/2}$, and Rb 3d states, respectively. The existence of the O 2p peak indicates that some of the adsorbed Rb atoms had become oxidized by the residual $_{258}$ O₂ or H₂O in the UHV chamber.

²⁵⁹ Spin relaxation resulting from surface scattering

²⁶⁰ The spin relaxation caused by surface scattering was ²⁶¹ evaluated at 305, 153, and 123 K. The temperature of the

FIG. 3. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy spectra of the clean sample and the sample exposed to an Rb beam at 153 K for 2 h and 123 K for 2 h.

FIG. 4. (a)Temperature dependence of the $F = 2$ population fraction of the incident and scattered atoms, f_i and f_s , respectively and (b) the temperature dependence of the ratio f_s/f_i of the incident and scattered atoms.

 Rb oven of the Rb beam source was set to 393 K. Under these conditions, the flux intensity was estimated to be $10^{11} - 10^{12}$ atoms per second based on the designed value and the fluorescence induced by the probe light. Below 123 K, the number of scattered atoms was significantly smaller than at above 123 K, indicating the initiation of Rb atom adsorption at around 123 K, which is consistent ²⁶⁹ with the XPS results. Figure $4(a)$ shows the population 270 fractions of the $F = 2$ state for the incident and scat- tered atoms. Uncertainties in the population fractions were estimated by repeating the measurements three to five times. Because the spin relaxation induced by a sin- gle scattering process is negligibly small at room tem-²⁷⁵ perature [\[8\]](#page-6-7), the difference between f_s and f_i at 305 K is attributed to the incident atoms away from the beam center, as opposed to relaxation due to scattering. When ₂₇₈ measuring the population fraction of the $F = 2$ state of incident atoms, atoms that pass the edge of the atomic beam are difficult to pump or detect due to the large deviation in velocity direction with respect to the major component of the incident atoms, which the pump and probe light frequencies are tuned to excite. However, when the probe light is blue-detuned to detect only the scattered atoms, atoms that were not pumped can be detected, as surface scattering changes the direction of the translational movement of atoms. The temperature dependence of the ratio of the population fraction of the $_{289}$ incident and scattered atoms f_s/f_i is shown in Fig. [4\(](#page-3-1)b); no increase in spin relaxation probability induced by cool- ing was observed above 123 K within the experimental error. Given that Rb atoms experience 10^4 collisions be- fore their spins relax [\[8\]](#page-6-7) in paraffin-coated cells at room temperature, the low spin relaxation probability at 123 ²⁹⁵ K means that the mean dwell time at 123 K is smaller₃₁₄ $_{296}$ than 10^4 times the mean dwell time at 300 K. Thus, from 297 Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0), $\tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\text{des}}}{k_B \cdot 123 \text{ K}}\right) \leq 10^4 \cdot \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\text{des}}}{k_B \cdot 305 \text{ K}}\right)$. By 298 solving this, we obtain $E_{\text{des}} \leq 0.16 \text{ eV}$, which agrees with ²⁹⁹ $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV}$ from previous reports [\[12,](#page-7-2) [13\]](#page-7-3).

³⁰⁰ Delay-time spectra

³⁰¹ Figure [5\(](#page-4-0)a) shows the delay-time spectrum at $T_s = 303$ ³²⁴ K. The intervals of the second pump light pulses were 2.00×10^{-4} s. During the measurement, spectra with and without the second pump light were acquired by switch- ing the second pump light repeatedly using a shutter. The delay-time spectrum was obtained by subtracting the latter from the former. For this measurement, the temperature of the Rb oven of the beam source was set to 453 K, which was 60 K higher than that used for the low-temperature measurement, to achieve higher signal intensity. As a result, the signal intensity was enhanced 312 by a factor of ~ 20 . The feature at $0-1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s is at-335 tributed to the second pump light, which partially pene-

FIG. 5. Delay-time spectra (a) at 303 K taken with a highintensity Rb beam and long repetition period (b) at 305, 153, and 123 K. Dots with error bars represent the experimental data and solid lines represent the simulation results.

trated the interference filter, and the fluorescence of the incident atoms; this is excluded from the intensity integration discussed below. The time origin was defined by the rising edge of the second pump light-derived fea-³¹⁸ ture. The feature peaking at around 2×10^{-5} s was at-³¹⁹ tributed to the enhanced fluorescence of scattered atoms ³²⁰ caused by the second pump light. The peak area obtained $_{321}$ by integrating the intensity in the region 1.0×10^{-5} 1.89×10^{-4} s and subtracting the average level of the region 1.89×10^{-4} – 1.94×10^{-4} s as the base level, which corresponds to the sum of the area of the regions represented by α and β in Fig. [5](#page-4-0) (a), accounted for $91 \pm 5\%$ of the total signal intensity. Here, the total signal intensity is the sum of regions α , β , and γ . If we adopt the average ³²⁸ level of the region 9.3×10^{-5} – 9.8×10^{-5} s as the base level, the integrated intensity in the region 1.0×10^{-5} 330 9.3×10^{-5} s, which corresponds to the area of region α , accounts for $79 \pm 2\%$ of the total intensity. This implies that $79 \pm 2\%$ of the scattered atoms will contribute to the peak intensity in the delay-time spectra, if we regard the sum of the region $t < 9.3 \times 10^{-5}$ s as the peak intensity and adopt the average level of the region 9.3×10^{-5} ³³⁶ -9.8×10^{-5} s as the base level. For the temperature-

 $_{337}$ dependence measurement, we used 1.00×10^{-4} s as the second pump light interval and subtracted the average of the region near the back edge of the time window from the whole spectra, instead of subtracting the background spectra taken without the second pump light. This dra- matically reduced the measurement time, which was es- sential to prevent Rb aggregation during measurements at low temperatures.

³⁴⁵ Figure [5](#page-4-0) (b) shows the delay-time spectra taken at 305, ³⁴⁶ 153, and 123 K. The temperature of the Rb oven of the $_{347}$ Rb beam source was set to 393 K. By cooling the tetra- $_{383}$ 348 contane film from 305 to 123 K, the delay-time spectrum₃₈₄ 349 shifted to the longer side and the mean delay time 7_M in- $_{385}$ creased by $(7.0 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-6}$ s from $(2.54 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5}$ 350 $_{351}$ to $(3.24 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-5}$ s. Here, τ_M is defined by

$$
\tau_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{\sum_{i} t_i I_i}{\sum_{i} I_i},\tag{11}
$$

 352 where t_i and I_i are the delay time and the intensity at the 357 353 *i*th point, respectively. The uncertainty in τ_M originates from the uncertainty in I_i at each point, which is $\sqrt{I_i}$. Data points in the region $6 \times 10^{-6} \le t_i < 9.3 \times 10^{-5}$ 355 ³⁵⁶ s were included in the summation. The average of the ³⁵⁷ region 9.5×10^{-5} s $\le t_i < 9.9 \times 10^{-5}$ s was adopted as as the base level. Intensities between 0 and 6×10^{-6} s, ³⁵⁹ which include the peak originating from the second pump ³⁶⁰ light, were not included in the summation. Because the ³⁶¹ velocity distribution of the scattered beam also depends ³⁶² on the film temperature [\[21](#page-7-11)], we cannot simply attribute³⁸⁹ $_{363}$ the increase in τ_M to the increase in mean dwell time. ³⁶⁴ To evaluate the increase in TOF due to the change in ³⁶⁵ velocity distribution, we simulated TOF spectra without ³⁶⁶ taking the dwell time into account.

367 The simulation considered the TOF from the second³⁹⁴ ³⁶⁸ pump light to the surface, and from the surface to the 369 probe light. The velocity distribution $d_b(v)$ of the inci-396 ³⁷⁰ dent atoms, and the TOF distribution from the second $_{371}$ pump light to the surface $D_{\rm b}(t, L_1)$, were calculated us-398 ³⁷² ing equations [\[21\]](#page-7-11)

$$
d_{\rm b}(v) = \frac{m^2}{2k_{\rm B}^2 T_{\rm b}^2} v^3 \exp\left(-\frac{mv^2}{2k_{\rm B}T_{\rm b}}\right),\tag{12}_{40}
$$

$$
D_{\mathrm{b}}(t, L_1) = d_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\frac{L_1}{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{L_1}{t}\right) \tag{13}_{40}
$$

$$
=\frac{m^2L_1^4}{2k_B^2T_b^2t^5}\exp\left(-\frac{m}{2k_BT_b}\left(\frac{L_1}{t}\right)^2\right),\ (14)^{40}_{40}
$$

 373 where T_b is the temperature of the incident beam deter- 374 mined by the temperature of the capillary of the beam₄₁₀ 375 source, v is the velocity of atoms, L_1 is the distance be- $_{411}$ 376 tween the second pump light and the surface along the $_{412}$ 377 atomic beam direction, and t is the time. T_b was $453₄₁₃$ 378 K, and L_1 was roughly estimated to be 1.8×10^{-3} m. 379 The distribution $d_s(v_{\perp s})$ of the velocity component of ³⁸⁰ the scattered atoms perpendicular to the surface, which

are in thermal equilibrium with the film, and the TOF distribution $D_{s}(t, L_{2})$ are given by

$$
d_{\rm s}(v_{\perp s}) = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\pi k_{\rm B} T_{\rm s}}} \exp\left(-\frac{mv_{\perp s}^2}{2k_{\rm B} T_{\rm s}}\right),\tag{15}
$$

$$
D_{\rm s}(t, L_2) = d_{\rm s}\left(\frac{L_2}{t}\right) \frac{\rm d}{\rm dt}\left(\frac{L_2}{t}\right) \tag{16}
$$

$$
= \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\pi k_{\rm B} T_{\rm s}}} \frac{L_2}{t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2k_{\rm B} T_{\rm s}} \left(\frac{L_2}{t}\right)^2\right) (17)
$$

where $v_{\perp s}$ is the velocity component perpendicular to the film surface, and L_2 , which was estimated to be 1.42 \times 10^{-3} m, is the height of the probe light from the film surface [\[21](#page-7-11)]. The total TOF spectrum $S(t)$ is given by

$$
S(t) = \frac{1}{w} \int_{t-w}^{t} dr \int_{0}^{r} ds \ u_{b}(s, L_{1})
$$

$$
\times u_{s}(r - s, L_{s}), \qquad (18)
$$

where

$$
u_{\text{b}}(t, L_1) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - L_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)
$$

$$
\times D_{\text{b}}(t, x) dx,
$$
 (19)

$$
u_{s}(t, L_{2}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{2}^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - L_{2})^{2}}{2\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\times g_{s}(t, x)dx, \qquad (20)
$$

where w is the duration of the second pump light and σ_1 ³⁸⁹ and σ_2 are the $1/\sqrt{e}$ half width of the second pump light and probe light, respectively. σ_1 and σ_2 were 2.4×10^{-4} and 1.7×10^{-4} m, respectively.

The simulation results are indicated by solid lines in ³⁹³ Fig. [5.](#page-4-0) The intensities of the simulation results were adjusted to fit the experimental results. The simulated TOF spectra are in good agreement with the experimen-³⁹⁶ tal results. According to the simulation results at 305 K, the peak area calculated by integrating the spectral inten-³⁹⁸ sity between 1.0×10^{-5} and 9.3×10^{-5} s and subtracting the average of the region $9.3 \times 10^{-5} - 9.8 \times 10^{-5}$ s accounts ⁴⁰⁰ for 81% of the total intensity, which is in good agreement μ ¹ with the experimental results shown in Fig. [5\(](#page-4-0)a). The $_{22}$ simulated mean TOF calculated from the region 0–9.3 $\times 10^{-5}$ s increased by 5.8×10^{-6} s from 2.48×10^{-5} to ⁴⁰⁴ 3.06 × 10⁻⁵ s with cooling from 305 to 123 K. Here, the average of the region 9.5×10^{-5} s $\le t_i < 9.9 \times 10^{-5}$ s was adopted as the base level. The experimentally observed 407 shift of τ_M , which is $(7.0 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-6}$ s, is the sum of the increase in mean dwell time and mean TOF. Therefore, the increase in mean dwell time induced by cooling can be obtained by subtracting the increase in the simulated mean TOF from the experimentally obtained increase in the mean delay time. Therefore, we can see from the experimental and simulation results that

$$
\tau_{s,t} < 93 \, \text{µs}(123 \, \text{K}) - \tau_{s,t} < 93 \, \text{µs}(305 \, \text{K}) \\
= (1.2 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-6} \, \text{s}, \qquad (21)
$$

⁴¹⁴ which means

$$
\tau_{s,t} < 93 \, \text{ps} \left(123 \, \text{K} \right) - \tau_{s,t} < 93 \, \text{ps} \left(305 \, \text{K} \right) \\
\leq 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{s.} \tag{22}
$$

 $Hence, \tau_{s,t \text{max}}(T_s)$ is the mean dwell time of the scatter- $_{416}$ ing component, with a delay time of less than t_{max} at a 417 surface temperature of T_s .

⁴¹⁸ Discussion

419 The discrepancy between our result $(\tau_s(123 \text{ K}) - {468 \choose 420} \tau_s(305 \text{ K}) \leq 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}$ and the value $(\tau_s(123 \text{ K}) \tau_s(305 \text{ K}) \leq 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}$ and the value $(\tau_s(123 \text{ K}) -$ ⁴²¹ $\tau_s(305 \text{ K}) = 6.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}$ obtained by substituting the $_{422}$ desorption energy $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06 \text{ eV}$ [\[12,](#page-7-2) [13\]](#page-7-3) and mean⁴⁷⁰ 423 dwell time $\tau_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ s at $T = 345$ K [\[14\]](#page-7-4) into $_{424}$ Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) may be explained by assuming multiple scatter- $_{472}$ 425 ing components with different mean dwell times. Using 473 ⁴²⁶ the method described in this study, the scattering com- $\frac{427}{10}$ ponents with dwell times larger than 9.3×10^{-5} s is not $_{428}$ detected. In Ref. [\[14\]](#page-7-4), on the other hand, the mean dwell $_{476}$ ⁴²⁹ time was estimated based on the Larmor frequency shift⁴⁷⁷ 430 caused by the interaction with the surface and evanescent⁴⁷⁸ ⁴³¹ pump light.

⁴³² The reason for the difference in mean dwell times be-⁴⁸⁰ tween the scattering components can be attributed to differences in pre-exponential factors. It has been re- ported that a certain proportion of the incident atoms penetrate the PDMS film, diffuse into the bulk, and des- orb from the surface [\[15\]](#page-7-5), which makes the mean dwell time about a million times larger than that calculated₄₈₃ from the desorption energy and film temperature. If the 484 diffusion barrier in the bulk is significantly smaller than the desorption energy, the temperature dependence of the diffusion time can be neglected so that the temperature dependence of the mean dwell time is almost entirely de-⁴⁴⁴ termined by the desorption energy. By assuming that two⁴⁸⁵ 445 scattering components with different mean dwell times⁴⁸⁶ exist, we can approximate the temperature dependence of the mean dwell time as

$$
\tau_{\rm s} = (1 - p)\tau_1 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\rm des}}{k_{\rm B}T_{\rm s}}\right) + p\tau_2 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\rm des}}{k_{\rm B}T_{\rm s}}\right), (23)_{\rm 49}^{\rm 49}
$$

448 where p is the proportion of scattering events with 493 $\frac{448}{449}$ longer mean dwell times, and τ_1 and τ_2 are the pre- $\frac{494}{495}$ 450 exponential factors for the scattering events with shorter ⁴⁵¹ and longer mean dwell times, respectively. We sup-452 pose that $\tau_2 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\text{des}}}{k_B T_s}\right)$ is significantly larger than the ⁴⁵³ time window of 9.3×10^{-5} s and only the component 454 with a shorter mean dwell time, which corresponds to $_{501}$ 455 the first term in Eq. (23) , contributes to the delay- 502 ⁴⁵⁶ time spectra. By substituting Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) into our results $(\tau_s(123 \text{ K}) - \tau_s(305 \text{ K}) \leq 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s})$, we obtain $0 < \tau_1 \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ s. From $\tau_2 \exp\left(\frac{E_{\text{des}}}{k_B T_s}\right) \gg 9.3 \times 10^{-5}$ 458

⁴⁵⁹ s at $T_s \leq 305$ K, we get $\tau_2 \gg 9.47 \times 10^{-6}$ s. By substi-⁴⁶⁰ tuting $τ_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ s at 345 K [\[14\]](#page-7-4) and $E_{\text{des}} = 0.06$ ⁴⁶¹ eV [\[12,](#page-7-2) [13\]](#page-7-3) into Eq. [\(23\)](#page-6-9), $p = \frac{2.4 \times 10^{-7} s - \tau_1}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}$. From $0 < \tau_1$ $_{462}$ and $\tau_2 \gg 9.47 \times 10^{-6}$ s, $p < 0.025$, which means that the component with a shorter mean dwell time is the major component. This is consistent with the fact that the ob-⁴⁶⁵ served 79 ± 2 % fraction within 9.3×10^{-5} s is nearly the ⁴⁶⁶ same as the 81 % fraction obtained from the simulation ⁴⁶⁷ without dwell times.

CONCLUSIONS

Scattering of Rb atoms on tetracontane surfaces was investigated. No significant spin relaxation was observed with a single scattering process down to 123 K. The temperature evolution of delay time showed that the increase in mean surface dwell time induced by cooling from 305 ⁴⁷⁴ to 123 K was less than 4.4×10^{-6} s. Taken together, the results indicate the existence of multiple scattering sites. The pre-exponential factor τ_0 of the minor components is at least three orders of magnitude larger than that of the major component, which means that the mean dwell ⁴⁷⁹ time of the minor scattering components is at least three orders of magnitude larger than that of the major component.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17H02933.

- ∗ ⁴⁸⁵ asakawa@go.tuat.ac.jp
- † hatakeya@cc.tuat.ac.jp
- [1] A. Risley, S. Jarvis Jr, and J. Vanier, Journal of Applied Physics 51, 4571 (1980).
- ⁴⁸⁹ [2] H. Robinson and C. Johnson, Applied Physics Letters $\,90\qquad\quad 40,\,771\,\,(1982).$
	- [3] R. Frueholz, C. Volk, and J. Camparo, Journal of Applied Physics 54, 5613 (1983).
	- [4] D. Budker, V. Yashchuk, and M. Zolotorev, Physical Review Letters 81, 5788 (1998).
	- [5] M. Balabas, D. Budker, J. Kitching, P. Schwindt, and J. Stalnaker, Journal of the Optical Society of America B 23, 1001 (2006).
	- ⁴⁹⁸ [6] W. Wasilewski, K. Jensen, H. Krauter, J. J. Renema, M. Balabas, and E. S. Polzik, Physical Review Letters 104, 133601 (2010).
[7] H. Robinson, E. En
	- H. Robinson, E. Ensberg, and H. Dehmelt, Bulletin of the American Physical Society 3 (1958).
	- [8] M. A. Bouchiat and J. Brossel, [Physical Reveview](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.147.41) 147, 41 (1966).
	- [9] S. Seltzer, P. Meares, and M. Romalis, Physical Review A 75, 051407 (2007).
- [10] K. Zhao, M. Schaden, and Z. Wu, Physical Review A 78, 034901 (2008).
- [11] S. Atutov, F. Benimetskiy, A. Plekhanov, and V. Sorokin, The European Physical Journal D 70, 1 (2016).
- [12] C. Rahman and H. Robinson, IEEE journal of quantum electronics 23, 452 (1987).
- [13] D. Budker, L. Hollberg, D. F. Kimball, J. Kitching, S. Pustelny, and V. V. Yashchuk, Physical Review A 71, 012903 (2005).
- [14] E. Ulanski and Z. Wu, Applied Physics Letters 98, 201115 (2011).
- [15] S. Atutov and A. Plekhanov, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 120, 1 (2015).
- [16] K. Zhao, M. Schaden, and Z. Wu, Physical Review Let- ters 103, 073201 (2009).
	- [17] C. Wieman and T. W. Hänsch, Physical Review Letters 36, 1170 (1976).
- [18] M. Harris, C. Adams, S. Cornish, I. McLeod, E. Tarleton, and I. Hughes, Physical Review A 73, 062509 (2006).
- [19] E. Arimondo, M. Inguscio, and P. Violino, Reviews of Modern Physics 49, 31 (1977).
- [20] B. Schultz, H. Ming, G. Noble, and W. Van Wijngaar-530 den, The European Physical Journal D 48, 171 (2008).
531 [21] N. Sekiguchi, A. Hatakeyama, K. Okuma, and H. Usu
- [21] N. Sekiguchi, A. Hatakeyama, K. Okuma, and H. Usui, Physical Review A 98, 042709 (2018).
- [22] U. Volz and H. Schmoranzer, Physica Scripta 1996, 48 (1996).