

# Cooling a Fermi gas with three-body recombination near a narrow Feshbach resonance

Shuai Peng,<sup>1</sup> Haotian Liu,<sup>1</sup> Jiaming Li,<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> and Le Luo<sup>1,2,3,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, Guangdong, 519082, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Center of Quantum Information Technology, Shenzhen Research Institute  
of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China 518087*

<sup>3</sup>*Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Metrology and Sensing, Zhuhai, Guangdong, 519082, China*

(Dated: July 16, 2021)

Three-body recombination is a phenomenon common in atomic and molecular collisions, producing heating in the system. However, we find the cooling effect of the three-body recombination of a <sup>6</sup>Li Fermi gas near its *s*-wave narrow Feshbach resonance. Such counter-intuitive behavior is explained as follows, the threshold energy of the quasi-bounded Feshbach molecule acts as the knife of cooling, expelling the scattering atoms with selected kinetic energy from the trap. When the threshold energy happens to be larger than  $3/2k_B T$ , each lost atom in the three-body recombination process has more than  $3k_B T$  energy which results in cooling. The best cooling is found with the threshold energy set at about  $3k_B T$ , consistent with a theoretical model. The three-body recombination induced cooling raises potential applications for cooling complex atomic systems.

*Introduction.* Cooling method promotes the studies of ultracold quantum physics [1, 2], for example, the understanding and implementation of evaporative cooling boosts the production of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) [3, 4] as well as degenerate Fermi gases [5–7]. Thus, novel cooling techniques are very important and encouraged for ultracold physics [8–12]. Evaporative cooling, which lowers the temperature by removing the atoms with higher energy, is commonly used to cool the atomic gases to an ultracold regime [13]. Similarly, a controllable and energy selective atom loss is one of the key points in most cooling schemes.

Feshbach resonance, which happens when the molecular bound state in a closed scattering channel approaches the scattering state in an open channel, is widely applied to control the interaction between atoms in ultracold experiments [14]. In the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) side of a narrow Feshbach resonance, the threshold energy of the molecule  $E_t$  is positive, and a quasi-bound molecule temporarily forms when the relative kinetic energy of the two scattering atoms matches the threshold energy [15]. Once this quasi-bound molecule collides with another incoming atom, a deeper-bound molecule generates through indirect three-body recombination [16, 17]. At the same time, the enormous stored energy between the two molecular states will be released to the atoms and result in atom loss. Although three-body recombination should be suppressed in most experiments, it is surprisingly suggested to cool Bose gases most recently. In Ref. [18], three-body recombination successfully lowers the temperature of a one-dimensional Bose gas. In their system, the temperature is lowered to a quarter. But because of the large three-body atom loss, the gas is hard to reach more degenerate. Following their work, Ref. [11] proposes that three-body recombination can make a three-dimensional (3D) homogeneous Bose gas more degenerate if the initial conditions are properly set.

In this paper, we experimentally demonstrate three-body recombination can be applied to cool a 3D harmonically trapped <sup>6</sup>Li Fermi gas. A magnetically tunable three-body recombination near the *s*-wave narrow Feshbach resonance of <sup>6</sup>Li is used. By precisely regulating the strength of the three-body process and threshold energy of the quasi-bound molecule  $E_t$ , we find the three-body recombination not only achieves the temperature reduction but also increases the phase-space density, which is important for ultracold quantum gases. The temperature dependence of this three-body cooling is also studied with varying initial temperatures. The results turn out the cooling becomes larger when the temperature is lower. And the cooling speed of three-body collisional is not sensitive to the initial temperature or trapping frequency.

*Experiments and results.* The two lowest-energy hyperfine ground-state mixtures of ultracold <sup>6</sup>Li Fermi gases have a *s*-wave narrow Feshbach resonance around 543.3 G with a resonance width of 0.1 G. We prepare the ultracold atom gases to follow the procedure described in Ref. [16, 19]. As Fig. 1 illustrated, because of the narrow resonance width, the relative kinetic energy of two scattering atoms with a temperature of  $T$  is comparable with the  $E_t$ , which means a quasi-bound molecule forms even in the BCS regime without an extra energy injection. The quasi-bound molecule will decay back into the initial scattering channel or decay into a deeper-bound molecule state through indirect three-body recombination. In the three-body process, if the energy loss per particle is larger than the sum of the releasing energy of the deep bound molecule and the average energy per atom of the gas  $3k_B T$ , the total energy of the residual trapped atoms is reduced. Here,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. This cooling mechanism of three-body recombination is inspired by the collisional cooling method in Ref. [9, 20]. We replace the two-body inelastic decay of the quasi-bound molecule with a three-body process. The




FIG. 1. Schematic of indirect three-body recombination near a  $s$ -wave narrow Feshbach resonance. The black curves are the potentials of the open and closed scattering channels, respectively. A quasi-bound molecular state with threshold energy of  $E_t$  is shown in the closed channel. A deeper-bound molecule has much lower energy than the quasi-bound one is also distributed in the closed channel, which generates from the upper state with a rate of  $K_{ad}^m$  through the three-body process. The quasi-bound molecule decays back to the open channel with a rate of  $\Gamma(E)$ .

reason is obvious that the other open scattering channel with lower energy is absent in the two lowest-energy hyperfine ground-state mixtures of  ${}^6\text{Li}$ . It is noticed that the quasi-bound molecule will decay back to the initial open channel without atom or energy changing, which can be treated as a kind of elastic collision and helps the gas achieve thermalization [9]. In indirect three-body recombination, the three-body process strongly relates to the two-body recombination. In another word, if the system is absent of the direct three-body recombination, the rate of three-body recombination is lower than the formation of the quasi-bound molecule. Therefore,  $\Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma(E) > \Gamma(E) \gg \Gamma_0 \propto K_{ad}^m$ , where  $\Gamma_{tot}$  describes the total linewidth.

The three-body loss is  $\dot{N}_3 = -L_3 \int n^3(x, y, z) dx dy dz$ , and the corresponding potential energy loss rate is  $\dot{E}_{3p} = -L_3 \int n^3(x, y, z) U(x, y, z) dx dy dz$ . Thus, the lost potential energy per lost atom is  $\dot{E}_{3p}/\dot{N}_3 = 1/3 U(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ . Here,  $\sigma_{x,y,z}$  is the Gaussian width of  $n(x, y, z)$ . According to the Virial theorem, the average kinetic energy per particle  $\langle E_k \rangle = 3/2 k_B T$  is equal to the average potential energy  $\langle E_p \rangle = U(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$  in a harmonically trapped gas [21, 22]. Then,  $\dot{E}_{3p}/\dot{N}_3 = 1/3 \langle E_p \rangle$ , which indicates the average of the potential energy loss in a three-body collision is  $3k_B T/2$ . Therefore, the Boltzmann equation of the collisional cooling approaches to the 3D homogeneous gas case [9]. And it further simplifies into the rate equations of density and temperature (more detail

presents in the supplementary materials (SM))

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -L_3 n^3,$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -L_3 n^2 \left( \frac{E_t}{3k_B} - \frac{T}{2} \right), \quad (1)$$

with three-body loss rate [16, 19, 23]

$$L_3(E_t, T) = 3K_{ad}^m (\sqrt{2}\lambda_T)^3 e^{-E_t/k_B T}. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $K_{ad}^m$  is the formation rate of the deeper-bound molecules, thermal wavelength  $\lambda_T = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2/mk_B T}$ , and threshold energy  $E_t = 2\mu_B(B - B_0)$  also expresses the binding energy of the Feshbach molecule ( $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magnetron). Eq. 1 can be solved analytically,

$$\frac{1}{n^2(t)} = 2L_3(B)t + \frac{1}{n^2(0)},$$

$$T(t) = 2 \left\{ \frac{E_t}{3k_B} - \left[ \frac{E_t}{3k_B} - \frac{T(0)}{2} \right] \left[ \frac{n(0)}{n(t)} \right]^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

As 3 shows, when  $E_t = 3k_B T(0)/2$ , the temperature will keep constant during the cooling. In this way, the leaving atom brings average energy of  $3k_B T$  from the gas, which is the sum of average kinetic energy and potential energy. This feature is tested in our system, as shown in Fig. 2 (b). It should be noticed that the free evaporative cooling effect in the BCS side of  ${}^6\text{Li}$  narrow Feshbach resonance is very small due to the ultra-narrow resonance width and tiny  $s$ -wave scattering length (for more detail seeing the SM). Another reason is that the energy difference  $\Delta E$  between the two molecular states is supposed to be much larger than the trap depth, which results in the recoil kinetic energies of the three scattering atoms after collision are larger too. They will leave the trap quickly without energy exchanging collisions. So, the measured  $T$  in Fig. 2 (b) is almost resulting from the three-body recombination, which is very important in our experiment.

When  $\eta_0$  approaches zero, the atoms leave the trap to take less energy (between  $\langle E_p \rangle$  and  $3k_B T$ ), and the heating due to the three-body recombination becomes stronger. As  $L_3$  increases, the  $n$  and  $\rho$  both decrease. We notice that the simulation model explains the experiment very well, which coincident interprets that the temperature increasing of the three-body recombination is not because of the huge energy-releasing ( $\Delta E$  in Fig. 1) instead is coming from the energy selectively expelling of the lower energy atoms. This may be inconsistent with previous intuitive thinking of the three-body heating but conforms to the weak evaporative cooling as discussed in the front paragraph.

Once  $E_t$  exceeds  $3k_B T(0)/2$ , the leaving atoms carry more energy ( $> 3k_B T$ ) away from the gas, which leads to




FIG. 2. Experiment data and theoretical simulation of the three-body cooling with  $T(0) = 5.1\mu\text{K}$ . (a),(b),(c) and (d) show the changing of atom density  $n(x, y, z, t) = N(t)/\sigma_x\sigma_y\sigma_z(2\sqrt{3}\pi)^{3/2}$ ,  $T(t)$ , phase-space density  $\rho = (2\pi\hbar)^3 n(0, 0, 0)/(2\pi m k_B T)^{3/2}$ , and  $L_3$ , respectively. The horizontal axis normalizes as the initial temperature  $\eta_0 = E_t/k_B T(0)$ . The black dashed lines are guiding for the  $\eta_0 = 0, 3/2, 3, 9/2$ , respectively. Solid curves in (a,b,c) are the theory calculation with Eq. 3. The red solid curve in (d) is the fitting results of Eq. 2 with  $K_{ad}^m = 1.691 \pm 8 \times 10^{-16} \text{m}^3/\text{s}$ . Notably, the tendency of  $L_3$  relates to the  $T$  and  $n$ . Thus, we should the their impact to get the best  $L_3$  fitting. Resonance magnetic field  $B_0 = 543.270$  G. Initial atom number  $N(0) = 4 \times 10^5$  per spin state (raw data is present in the SM), and  $T/T_F(0) = 1.5$ .

cooling. As shown in Fig. 2 (b), the best cooling rate is at  $\eta_0 \sim 3$ , and the temperature reaches  $4\mu\text{K}$  in 450 ms. It notable that the  $\rho$  keeps constant without increasing. But after this point, the  $\rho$  starts to climb. The reason is that  $L_3$  decreases and  $\dot{E}/\dot{N}$  increases which leave as many as lower energy atoms in the trap. The  $\rho$  reaches a maximum when  $\eta_0 \sim 9/2$ . This finding agrees with our numerical simulation.

The cooling scheme presented here shows several properties significant different from the evaporative cooling. First, through three-body recombination, the atoms with relative kinetic energy of  $E_t$  are selectively removed with a three-body loss rate  $L_3 \propto 1/T^{3/2} \exp[-E_t/k_B T]$ . This allows a higher cooling rate when the temperature is lower, which is opposite to the evaporative cooling where the cooling efficiency is usually reduced when the temperature is reduced. As shown in Fig. 3, when  $T(t=0) = 0.6\mu\text{K}$ , where the initial  $T/T_F(t=0)$  of the gas is about 0.97, where  $T_F = (6N)^{1/3} \hbar \bar{\omega}$  is the Fermi temperature. The  $\rho$  increases from 0.25 to 0.5 at  $\eta_0 = 4.5$ , and the lowest  $T/T_F$  is 0.79, which exhibits better cooling efficiency compared with the higher temperature case in Fig. 2. Such a temperature dependence could be used to explain the observations in Ref. [23], where a weak cooling around 543.45 G when  $T(0) = 3.2\mu\text{K}$  is observed, but the effect disappears in the higher temperature.

Second, with a much lower initial temperature, the atom loss becomes much significant, as  $L_3 \propto e^{-\eta}$ , when  $\eta$  approaches the resonance, and the atom loss reaches an extremely high value, making any prediction without considering how the atoms leave the trap not reliable, so the cooling process ends in the near resonance regime.

*Discussion and conclusion.* In conclusion, a  ${}^6\text{Li}$  Fermi gas is found can be cooled to a lower temperature with a higher phase-space density by three-body recombination. Different from the evaporative cooling where the high energy atoms are removed from the trap, three-body cooling selectively expels the atoms with a certain kinetic energy related to the scattering property. This makes the cooling efficiency not decreased with the decrease of the cloud temperature. Accordingly, the cost for such cooling mechanism is the stability of the magnetic field used for the collisions resonance. In our experiment, the effective cooling regime is only about  $k_B T/2\mu_B \sim 50$  mG, which requires the stability of the magnetic field to reach at least 10 mG, around a part per million. Suggested by Ref. [9], collisional dependent cooling schemes have the potential of directly cooling a thermal gas to the deep degenerate regime. However, from an experimental point of view, further cooling beyond our current study requires the magnetic field has higher resolution and fast switching speed, which is absent in in our current system [19]. We expect, in the future, three-body recombination induced cooling could be explored for its potential application for cooling complex atomic and molecular systems, such as dipole molecules [8] and mixed atomic species




FIG. 3. Experiment data and theoretical simulation of the three-body cooling with  $T(0) = 0.6\mu K$ . (a),(b),(c) and (d) show the changing of  $n(x, y, z, t)$ ,  $T(t)$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $L_3$ , respectively. Horizontal axis is normalized as the initial temperature. The black dashed lines are guiding for  $\eta_0 = 0, 3/2, 3, 9/2$ , respectively. Solid curves in (a,b,c) are the theory calculation with Eq. 3. The red solid curve in (d) is the fitting results of Eq. 2 with  $K_{ad}^m = 2.02 \pm 2 \times 10^{-15} m^3/s$ . Notice that, as the  $\rho$  increases further, the kink around  $\eta_0 = 9/2$  of the  $L_3$  becomes sharper. Initial atom number  $N(0) = 1.7 \times 10^5$  per spin state.

with rich narrow Feshbach resonances [25, 26].

This work is supported by the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province under Grant No.2019B030330001, NSFC under Grant No.11774436 and No.11804406, Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou 2019-030105-3001-0035. JLi received supports from Fundamental Research Funds for Sun Yat-sen University 18lgpy78. LL received supports from Guangdong Province Youth Talent Program under Grant No.2017GC010656 and Sun Yat-sen University Core Technology Development Fund.

\* lijiam29@mail.sysu.edu.cn

† luole5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

- [1] S. Chu, L. Hollberg, J. E. Bjorkholm, A. Cable, and A. Askin, Three-dimensional viscous confinement and cooling of atoms by resonance radiation pressure, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 48 (1985).
- [2] P. D. Lett, R. N. Watts, C. I. Westbrook, W. D. Phillips, P. L. Gould, and H. J. Metcalf, Observation of atom laser cooled below the doppler limit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 169 (1988).
- [3] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Dilute Atomic Vapor, *Science* **269**, 198 (1995).
- [4] K. B. Davis, M. -O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Gas of Sodium Atoms, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [5] K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, and J. E. Thomas, Observation of a Strongly Interacting Degenerate Fermi Gas of Atoms, *Science* **298**, 2179 (2002).
- [6] B. DeMarco, and D. S. Jin, Onset of Fermi Degeneracy in a Trapped Atomic Gas, *Science* **285**, 1703 (1999).
- [7] A. G. Truscott, K. E. Strecker, W. I. McAlexander, G. B. Partridge, and R. G. Hulet, Observation of Fermi Pressure in a Gas of Trapped Atoms, *Science* **291**, 2570 (2001).
- [8] L. De Marco, G. Valtolina, K. Matsuda, W. G. Tobias, J. P. Covey, and J. Ye, A degenerate Fermi gas of polar molecules, *Science* **363**, 853-856 (2019).
- [9] L. Mathey, E. Tiesinga, P. S. Julienne, and C. W. Clark, Collisional cooling of ultracold-atom ensembles using Feshbach resonances, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 030702 (2009).
- [10] J. Li, J. Liu, W. Xun, L. De Melo, and L. Luo, Parametric cooling of a degenerate Fermi gas in an optical trap, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 041401(R) (2016).
- [11] L. H. Dogra, J. A. P. Glidden, T. A. Hilker, C. Eigen, E. A. Cornell, R. P. Smith, and Z. Hadzibabic, Can three-Body recombination purify a quantum gas?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 020405 (2019).
- [12] B. J. DeSalvo, K. Patel, J. Johansen, and C. Chin, Observation of a degenerate Fermi gas trapped by a Bose-Einstein Condensate, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 233401 (2017).
- [13] O. J. Luiten, M. W. Reynolds, and J. T. M. Walraven, Kinetic theory of the evaporative cooling of a trapped gas, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 1 (1996).
- [14] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Fesh-



- bach resonances in ultracold gases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1225 (2010).
- [15] T. Köhler, K. Góral, and P. S. Julienne, Production of cold molecules via magnetically tunable Feshbach resonances, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 1311 (2006).
- [16] J. Li, J. Liu, L. Luo, and B. Gao, Three-Body Recombination near a Narrow Feshbach Resonance in  ${}^6\text{Li}$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 193402 (2018).
- [17] M. Waseem, J. Yoshida, T. Saito, and T. Mukaiyama, quantitative analysis of  $p$ -wave three-body losses via a cascade process, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 052704 (2019).
- [18] M. Schemmer, and I. Bouchoule, Cooling a Bose Gas by Three-Body Losses, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 200401 (2018).
- [19] Y. Chen, P. Shuai, H. Gong, X. Zhang, J. Li, and L. Luo, Characterization of the magnetic field through the three-body loss near a narrow Feshbach resonance, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 063311 (2021).
- [20] M. Nuske, E. Tiesinga, and L. Mathey, Optimization of collisional Feshbach cooling of an ultracold nondegenerate gas, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 043626 (2015).
- [21] L. Luo, Ph.D, Entropy and Superfluid Critical Parameters of a Strongly Interacting Fermi Gas, (2008).
- [22] The  $s$ -wave scattering length of our experiment regimes are small. And the gas can be treated as a weakly-interacting Fermi gas. The Virial theory works well under this case.
- [23] E. L. Hazlett, Y. Zhang, R. W. Stites, and K. M. O'Hara, Realization of a Resonant Fermi Gas with a Large Effective Range, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 045304 (2012).
- [24] F. H. Mies, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, Manipulation of Feshbach resonances in ultracold atomic collisions using time-dependent magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 022721 (2000)
- [25] Y. Wang, J. P. D'Incao, and B. D. Esry, Ultracold three-body collisions near narrow Feshbach resonances, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 042710 (2011)
- [26] K. Pilch, A. D. Lange, A. Prantner, G. Kerner, F. Ferlaino, H. -C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Observation of interspecies Feshbach resonances in an ultracold Rb-Cs mixture, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 042718 (2009)

## Supplementary Materials

### estimation of the free evaporative cooling rate

The consideration of evaporative cooling is very important in our experiment. If the evaporative cooling rate is too strong, the real effect from the three-body cooling will be enlarged and incurs an overestimation. The scattering length  $a_s$  near the narrow Feshbach resonance of  ${}^6\text{Li}$  is expressed as  $a_s(B) = a_{bg}(1 - \Delta/(B - B_0))$ , where  $a_{bg} \simeq 62a_0$  is the background scattering length,  $\Delta = 0.1G$  is the resonance width and  $B_0 = 543.270G$  is the resonance magnetic field. As shown in SFig. S.1,  $a_s$  equal to zero when magnetic field detuning  $B - B_0 = \Delta$ , and keeps in a small range around this point, which means we can use the energy-independent  $s$ -wave scattering to analyze this free evaporative cooling [1, 2]. The atom loss rate is

$$\dot{N} = -2(\alpha - 4)\exp(-\alpha)\gamma N \quad (\text{S.1})$$

where  $\alpha = U_{trap}/k_B T$  ( $U_{trap}$  is the trap depth of the optical dipole trap) and  $\gamma = N\sigma m\bar{\omega}/2\pi^2 k_B T$  is the collision rate with a cross section  $\sigma = 4\pi a_s^2$ . Here,  $\bar{\omega}$  is the average geometry trapping frequency of  $U_{trap}$ . We plot the




FIG. S.1.  $s$ -wave scattering length, evaporative cooling rates versus magnetic field near the narrow Feshbach resonance.  $\alpha = 5$ . The black curve is plotting of  $a_s$ . The blue curve presents the  $T = 5\mu\text{K}$  and the red curve is for the  $T = 0.6\mu\text{K}$  case.

evaporative atom loss rates in SFig. S.1. The atom loss rate due to the free evaporative cooling is very small and can be ignored around the zero scattering length point. This is much different from other systems [3]. The small  $a_{bg}$  length and ultra-narrow width play an important role in our system. They make the free evaporative cooling rate has little contribution to the atom loss and temperature. And, in this situation, the cooling of the three-body

recombination can be easily measured, or the measurements of the temperature and atom loss is the combination of three-body recombination and free evaporative cooling.

### Derivation of $\partial_t n$ and $\partial_t T$ from Boltzmann equation

According to the previous discussion, indirect three-body recombination strongly dependent on the combination of the quasi-bound molecule. So, we derive the indirect three-body recombination from a two-body inelastic collision by replacing the inelastic decay rate  $\Gamma_0$ . The differential equation of atom density and temperature is simplified from a Boltzmann equation [9]

$$\partial_t f(\vec{p}, t) = - \int \frac{f(\vec{k}, t) f(\vec{p}, t) L_2 d^3 k}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}, \quad (\text{S.2})$$

with a two-body process of

$$L_2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi m k_r} \frac{\Gamma(E)\Gamma_0}{(E - E_t)^2 + \Gamma_{tot}^2/4}. \quad (\text{S.3})$$

Here,  $f(\vec{k}, t)$  and  $f(\vec{p}, t)$  are the momentum distribution of the scattering atoms,  $k_r = (\vec{k} - \vec{p})/2$  is the relative momentum. Resonance width  $\Gamma(E)$  is much larger than the three-body decay rate of the quasi-molecular state  $\Gamma_0 = 3\hbar K_{ad}^m n$ , which simplifies the derivation of Eq. S.2. It is noticed that the coefficient 3 inside  $\Gamma_0$  describes a three-body process. In indirect three-body recombination, for simplicity, the three-body process experiences two-body recombination and a quasi-bound molecule first. Thus, if the system is absent of the direct three-body recombination, the rate of three-body recombination  $K_{ad}^m$  should be smaller than the formation of the quasi-bound molecule  $K_{ad} \propto \Gamma^2(E)/(E - E_t)^2 + \Gamma_{tot}^2/4$ . In our experiments, as shown in Fig.[2,3] (in the main text), the measured  $L_3$  can be well fit by indirect three-body model, which satisfies that the dominated three-body loss in the BCS regime of ultracold <sup>6</sup>Li narrow Feshbach resonance is coming from the indirect process.

Because of  $\Gamma_0 \ll \Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma(E) + \Gamma_0 \ll k_B T$ , the line-shape of  $L_2$  can be approached as a delta function. So, we use  $E = E_t$  to simplify the equation. This approximation explains why three-body cooling is expelling the selected energy atoms instead of cutting off the higher energy atoms from the trap. The partial differential of  $n$  is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t n &= -L_3(E_t, B)n, \\ L_3(E_t, T) &= 3K_{ad}^m(T)(\sqrt{2}\lambda_T)^3 e^{-E_t/k_B T}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.4})$$

Before three-body cooling, the total energy of the atom gas is

$$E = 3Nk_B T. \quad (\text{S.5})$$

After cooling, about  $\Delta N$  leaves the trap, the energy of the left atoms is

$$\begin{aligned} E' &= 3(N - \Delta N)k_B T' \\ &= E - \Delta N(E_t + \langle U_p \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.6})$$

Then,

$$\Delta T = \frac{\Delta N[3k_B T - (E_t + \langle U_p \rangle)]}{3(N - \Delta N)k_B}. \quad (\text{S.7})$$

Using Eq.(S.4), we can derive the  $\partial_t T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t T &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta N[3k_B T - (E_{res} + \langle U_p \rangle)]}{3Nk_B \Delta t} \\ &= -L_3 \frac{[(E_{res} + \langle U_p \rangle) - 3k_B T]}{3k_B}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.8})$$

Plug the differential equation of total atom number

$$\frac{\partial_t n}{n} = \frac{\partial_t N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\Delta N}{\Delta t} = -L_3 n^2 \quad (\text{S.9})$$

into Eq. S.8, and get

$$\partial_t T = -L_3 n^2 \left( \frac{E_t}{3k_B} - \frac{T}{2} \right). \quad (\text{S.10})$$

### Raw data of atom number

Time evolution of atom number in Fig. 2,3.

### Time evolution of the $T/T(0)$ and $\rho/\rho(0)$

Sfig. S.3 and S.4 present the time evolution of temperature and phase-space density with  $\eta_0=0, 1.5, 3$  and 4.5, respectively.

### Cooling effect under different temperatures

First, we adopt the fraction of  $T(t_0)$  and  $T(0)$  at  $t_0$  to quantify the cooling efficient.

$$F_T = \frac{T(t_0)}{T(0)} = 2 \left\{ \frac{\eta_0}{3} - \left[ \frac{\eta_0}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{n(0)}{n(t_0)} \right]^{1/2} \right] \right\}. \quad (\text{S.11})$$

$L_3$  increases as temperature, which results in a larger  $n(0)/n(t_0)$  at a fixed time. Thus,  $T(t_0)/T(0)$  becomes smaller.

In Fermi gases, we can also use the  $\rho(t_0)/\rho(0)$  to characterize the cooling performance, which stands for the degenerate of the gases.

$$\begin{aligned} F_\rho &= \frac{\rho(t_0)}{\rho(0)} = \frac{n(t_0)}{n(0)} \left[ \frac{T(0)}{T(t_0)} \right]^{3/2} \\ &= \frac{n(t_0)}{n(0)} 2^{-3/2} \left\{ \frac{\eta_0}{3} - \left[ \frac{\eta_0}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{n(0)}{n(t_0)} \right]^{1/2} \right] \right\}^{-3/2} \\ &= 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ \frac{\eta_0}{3} \left[ \frac{n(t_0)}{n(0)} \right]^{-\frac{2}{3}} - \left[ \frac{\eta_0}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{n(t)}{n(0)} \right]^{-\frac{7}{6}} \right] \right\}^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.12})$$




FIG. S.2. Upper: Atom number data of  $5\mu\text{K}$  experiments. Lower: Atom number data of  $0.6\mu\text{K}$  experiments.

$n(t)/n(0) \leq 1$ . Ultimately, we can figure out how  $F_\rho$  changes with  $T(0)$ .  $F_\rho$  is not monotonic. The solution of its first derivation is

$$\left[ \frac{8\eta_0}{7(2\eta_0 - 3)} \right]^{-2}.$$

The zero point is larger than 1 if  $\eta_0 > 3.5$ . In other word,  $F_\rho$  becomes larger with lower temperature as long as  $\eta_0 > 3.5$ .

#### Numerical simulation of the $\eta(t)$ for a maximum $\rho$

We present the numerical simulation for the optimized  $\rho$ . The results show the optimized value of  $\eta_0$  closes to  $9/2$ , which agrees with previous theoretical prediction too. In our three-body cooling,  $\eta_0$  keeps the same without varying, which results in the simulated optimized  $\eta_{max}(t)$  off from  $9/2$ . The big jump at 350 ms in the




FIG. S.3. Time evolution of the  $T/T(0)$  and  $\rho$  with  $\eta_0 = 0, 3/2, 3, 9/2$ , when  $T(0)=5\mu\text{K}$ , respectively.

$T(0) = 0.6\mu\text{K}$  experiment is because of the large cooling from  $\eta_0 = 3$  to  $\eta_0 = 7$ . Ideally, if  $L_3 \propto e^{-\eta}$  is right all the time, the simulated optimized  $\eta_{max}(t)$  will be the same tendency of the  $T(0) = 5.1\mu\text{K}$  case and reach about  $9/2$ . But, the three-body cooling modifies the changing of  $L_3$  and results in the numerical simulation off the original prediction.




FIG. S.4. Time evolution of the  $T/T(0)$  and  $\rho$  with  $\eta_0 = 0, 3/2, 3, 9/2$ , when  $T(0)=0.6\mu\text{K}$ , respectively.




FIG. S.5. Numerical simulation of the  $\eta(t)$  for a maximum  $\rho$ . The green dots are for the  $T(0) = 5.1\mu\text{K}$  case, and light blue open circles are for the  $T(0) = 0.6\mu\text{K}$ .

\* lijiam29@mail.sysu.edu.cn

† luole5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

- [1] L. Luo, B. Clancy, J. Joseph, J. Kinast, A. Turlapov, and J. E. Thomas, Evaporative cooling of unitary Fermi gas mixtures in optical traps, *New Journal of Physics* **8**, 213 (2006).
- [2] K. M. O'Hara, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, and J. E. Thomas, Scaling laws for evaporative cooling in time-dependent optical traps, *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 051403 (2001).
- [3] B. S. Rem, A. T. Grier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, U. Eismann, T. Langen, N. Navon, L. Khaykovich, F. Werner, D. S. Petrov, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, Lifetime of the Bose Gas with Resonant Interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 163202 (2013).