Positive disclination in a thin elastic sheet with boundary

Animesh Pandey, Manish Singh, and Anurag Gupta^{*}

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, 208016, India

July 13, 2021

Abstract

The problem of determining the deformation and the stress field in a finitely sized thin elastic sheet with an isolated positive wedge disclination is discussed. The deviations from the perfect cone solution, which exists for the infinite sheet with elastic inextensibility, are studied for the cases of free, simply supported, and clamped boundary conditions. The Gaussian curvature field no longer has a Dirac singularity at the defect location whenever elastic extensibility is allowed and is necessarily negative in certain regions away from the defect core for the considered boundary conditions. Similarly, the stress field has no Dirac singularity in the presence of elastic extensibility. Both of these fields, however, develop Dirac concentrations as inextensibility is approached, regardless of the plate size and boundary conditions. The effect of the boundary conditions on the buckling transition from a flat to a conical solution is also studied.

1 Introduction

Isolated conical singularities due to positive wedge disclinations appear ubiquitously as point defects in thin elastic sheets with two-dimensional crystalline order [1,6]. Such disclinations can also be introduced in a sheet of paper by first removing a wedge and then gluing together the two exposed edges. The resulting conical deformation, and the singular stress field, are a consequence of the concentration in the disclination induced strain incompatibility without any external influence [7,9,10]. This is in contrast with the developable cones (d-cones) which are formed in response to external forces while maintaining compatibility of the strain field; they appear commonly in crumpled sheets [12]. The precise problem of our interest is to determine the deformation and stress field in a finitely sized elastic sheet with a single positive disclination. The solution to the disclination problem is straightforward when we idealise the thin elastic sheet as a Föppl-von Kármán plate of infinite extent with elastic inextensibility [9]. The deformed shape then is a perfect cone with a Dirac concentration in both the Gaussian curvature and the stress field. The Gaussian curvature field vanishes elsewhere while stresses decay as the inverse squared distance from the defect. The aim of this paper is to study the deviations from the ideal solution when

^{*}ag@iitk.ac.in

the Föppl-von Kármán plate is bounded and has finite extensional elasticity. We do so by combining tools from measure theory and distribution theory with finite element based numerical simulations. The central contributions of our work are summarized next.

We show that both the Gaussian curvature and the stress field *do not* contain a Dirac concentration at the defect location, although they remain unbounded therein, as long as we consider finite elastic extensibility. This result is true regardless of our choice of material parameters, of the size of the plate, and of the type of boundary condition. The Dirac source in the Föppl-von Kármán equation (see (1a) below) is in fact completely balanced by a Dirac concentration in the scaled biharmonic of stress function. Furthermore, as we consider the limit towards inextensibility, Gaussian curvature and stress both develop a Dirac concentration, as expected from the idealised solution. Next, we look at Gaussian curvature fields away from the defect. We provide several analytical insights on the behaviour of the Gaussian curvature, and its slope, at the boundary locations. With our numerical simulations we verify our analytical claims and also illustrate the variation in the curvature behaviour with respect to varying extensional elasticity and for different types of boundary conditions. In particular, we establish that in almost all the considered situations there will be regions of negative Gaussian curvature throughout the plate. Finally, we discuss the buckling problem and investigate how the buckling transition is affected by the values of Poisson's ratio and our choice of boundary conditions (free, simply supported, or clamped). We observe that the critical buckling elastic modulus (while keeping all other parameters fixed) is lowest for free boundaries and highest for clamped boundaries.

We now provide a brief outline of the paper. In Section 2 we discuss some general aspects of the problem in order to motivate the specific concerns that are addressed in rest of the paper. In particular, we emphasise the non-uniqueness in the stress solution, and the Dirac concentration therein, for the infinite plate with inextensional elasticity, the ill-posedness of the inextensional problem for a finite plate, and the details of a typical numerical simulation. In Section 3 the nature of the Gaussian curvature and the stress field is investigated in a close vicinity of the defect both for finite extensional elasticity and the inextensional limit. In Section 4 we discuss the effect of boundary conditions on the Gaussian curvature field (away from the defect) and the buckling transition. The paper concludes in Section 5.

2 The boundary value problem

We consider a positive disclination of strength s located at a point o within the two-dimensional (2D) simply-connected plate domain ω with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial \omega$. Working within the Föppl-von Kármán formalism, we can determine the stress field σ and the deformed shape w of the materially uniform, isotropic, elastic plate, in the absence of inertial and body forces, using equations

$$\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2 \Phi + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] = s\delta_o \text{ and}$$
(1a)

$$D\Delta^2 \mathbf{w} - [\mathbf{w}, \Phi] = 0 \tag{1b}$$

in ω combined with appropriate boundary conditions [9]. Here, δ_o is the Dirac measure supported at point o, E is the 3-dimensional Young's modulus, $D = \frac{Et^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}$ is the bending modulus, ν is the Poisson's ratio,

and t is the thickness of the plate. The Airy stress function Φ is related to the equilibriated stress field as $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = e_{\alpha\gamma}e_{\beta\zeta}\Phi_{,\gamma\zeta}$, where $e_{\alpha\beta}$ is the 2D permutation symbol. The Greek indices take a value of either 1 or 2. The components are with respect to a fixed Cartesian basis and the subscript comma denotes a derivative with respect to the coordinate. For sufficiently differentiable functions f and g, Δ^2 is the biharmonic operator defined as $\Delta^2 f = f_{,1111} + 2f_{,1122} + f_{,2222}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Monge-Ampere bracket defined by $[f,g] = f_{,11}g_{,22} + f_{,22}g_{,11} - 2f_{,12}g_{,12}$. In particular, $\frac{1}{2}$ [w, w] = det(w, $\alpha\beta$) is the Gaussian curvature of the deformed plate. In writing (1) and the formula for the Gaussian curvature we assume both Φ and w to be sufficiently smooth on ω . Strictly speaking, this is overly restrictive and one alternative is to interpret these equations in the sense of distributions. This is however not immediate due to the nonlinear terms in the equations. In Appendix A.2 we have given the assumptions on Φ and w such that both (1) and the Gaussian curvature can be interpreted reasonably in a distributional form.

2.1 Boundary conditions

We state three types of boundary conditions that are most commonly used with Equations (1) to yield a well posed boundary value problem [4]. All of these can be derived as part of the stationarity conditions from the functional (13) with appropriate choice of test functions. The *free boundary condition* require the plate edges to be free of forces and moments, i.e.,

$$\Phi = 0, \ \langle \nabla \Phi, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle \nabla \langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{t} \rangle, \boldsymbol{t} \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$$
(2)

on $\partial \omega$, where \boldsymbol{m} is the moment tensor, constitutively given as $\boldsymbol{m} = -D\left((1-\nu)\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} + \nu\Delta \mathbf{w}\mathbf{1}\right), \boldsymbol{t}$ is the unit tangent to the boundary, \boldsymbol{n} is the in-plane unit normal to the boundary, $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity tensor, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes an inner product; the operators ∇ , Δ , and div represent the gradient, the Laplacian, and the divergence, respectively. Whereas the first two conditions enforce that there are no net in-plane forces applied at any point of the boundary, the latter two ensure that there is no moment (about \boldsymbol{t}) and no transverse shear force, respectively, being applied at any point of the boundary. The *simply supported boundary condition* require the in-plane traction, the moment about the edge tangent, and the out-of-plane displacement to all vanish at the plate boundaries, i.e.,

$$\Phi = 0, \ \langle \nabla \Phi, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0, \text{ and } w = 0$$
(3)

on $\partial \omega$. In the *clamped boundary condition*, the plate boundaries are free of in-plane traction and are clamped with respect to the out-of-plane displacement, i.e.,

$$\Phi = 0, \ \langle \nabla \Phi, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0,$$

w = 0, and $\langle \nabla w, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ (4)

on $\partial \omega$. We will be discussing the solution to the disclination problem (1) subjected to either (2), (3), or (4). The problems are analytically intractable and have to be attended numerically. There are however two scenarios, as discussed next, when we are able to obtain exact closed form solutions.

2.2 Two exact solutions

For any of the three boundary value problems stated above, the *flat plate* solution, with w = 0, always holds true. All three problems are reduced to

$$\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2 \Phi = s\delta_o \text{ in } \omega, \text{ and } \Phi = 0, \ \langle \nabla \Phi, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0 \text{ on } \partial \omega, \tag{5}$$

whose unique solution for a circular plate of radius R is

$$\Phi = \frac{Ets}{8\pi} \left(r^2 \ln\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{R^2}{2} \right) \tag{6}$$

with the corresponding stress field

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{Ets}{8\pi} \left(2\ln\frac{r}{R} \boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r + \left(2\ln\frac{r}{R} + 1 \right) \boldsymbol{e}_\theta \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\theta \right),\tag{7}$$

where e_r and e_{θ} are the orthonormal basis vectors in polar coordinate system (r, θ) . The flat plate solution is not well defined for an unbounded plate. The solution is in any case unstable beyond a critical value of R (for fixed Ets/D) giving way to buckled solutions with $w \neq 0$ [9]. In this article we will always be working with parametric values where the buckled solution is the stable solution.

The simplest *buckled solution* is obtained in the inextensional limit and an unbounded plate domain. In the inextensional limit $(Et \to \infty)$, the problem statement reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}] = s\delta_o \text{ and } D\Delta^2 \mathbf{w} - [\mathbf{w},\Phi] = 0 \text{ in } \omega,$$
(8)

with the stress and moment fields vanishing identically as $r \to \infty$. The stress field, and hence Φ , appears here as a Lagrange multiplier associated with the inextensibility constraint. The minimum energy solution to this problem is given by $w = \sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}}r$, which represents a perfect cone, and $\Phi = -D \ln r$, whence we calculate

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -D\left(\pi\delta_o \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{r^2}(\boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r - \boldsymbol{e}_\theta \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\theta)\right).$$
(9)

A rigorous verification of the claim, that $w = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\pi}}r$ and $\Phi = -D\ln r$ indeed solves the problem at hand, is not straightforward. We use distribution theory to establish the result in Appendix A.2, wherein we also derive stress field (9) from the stress function. Note that both stress and Gaussian curvature fields develop a Dirac singularity at the location of the defect in the plate. This should be compared with (7), where the stress is unbounded at *o* but has no Dirac singularity. More importantly, the stress field (9) is independent of the defect strength *s*. For s = 0, and considering w = 0 as a solution, any stress function field (including $\Phi = -D\ln r$) which yields a stress field vanishing at infinity is a solution. With $s \neq 0$, irrespective of the magnitude of *s*, the extent of non-uniqueness in stress is significantly reduced. We show, in Appendix A.3, that given $w = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}}r$ the most general form of the solution for stress function is $\Phi = -D\ln r + g_0(\theta) + rg_1(\theta)$, where $g_0(\theta)$ and $g_1(\theta)$ are arbitrary periodic functions (with period 2π) which satisfy $\int_0^{2\pi} g'_0 e_{\theta} d\theta = 0$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} g_1 d\theta = 0$. The corresponding non-uniqueness in stress is given in Equation (45) in Appendix (A.3). Due to the inextensibility constraint the variation in the stress expressions has no bearing on the stored energy of the plate and hence all the solutions, with fixed w, are energetically equivalent.

2.3 The inextensional problem with boundary

A somewhat surprising result is that if we consider the inextensional equations (8) for a bounded plate, with boundary conditions of any form given in Section 2.1, then the ensuing boundary value problem has no solution. To establish this, we start by writing a loop condition

$$\int_{\partial \omega} \left\langle \left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} (\nabla \mathbf{w} \times \boldsymbol{e}_3) \right), \boldsymbol{t} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}L = s, \tag{10}$$

where e_3 is the unit vector such that $\{e_r, e_\theta, e_3\}$ form a right-handed orthonormal triad in \mathbb{R}^3 and dL is an infinitesimal line element in ω . Equation (10) can be derived by integrating Equation (31) from Appendix A.2, which is the distributional counterpart of $(8)_1$, over the plate domain ω and using the Stokes' theorem. According to (10), $\nabla^2 w$ cannot vanish everywhere on $\partial \omega$. We show that this is inconsistent with free and simply supported boundary conditions. The boundary condition $\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$, on using the constitutive relationship, yields

$$\langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = -\nu \langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{t} \rangle.$$
(11)

Using this we can calculate the Gaussian curvature on the boundary as

$$\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}] = -\nu \langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle^2 - \langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle^2.$$
(12)

Therefore, with $\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ on $\partial \omega$, $\nabla^2 w \neq \mathbf{0}$ implies $[w, w] \neq 0$. Since $\nabla^2 w$ cannot vanish everywhere on $\partial \omega$, the same would follow for [w, w]. This is inconsistent with $(8)_1$ which requires [w, w] = 0 at each point in $\omega - o$. In the case of clamped boundary condition, we have w = 0 and $\langle \nabla w, \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ on $\partial \omega$, which together imply that $\nabla w = \mathbf{0}$ on $\partial \omega$. This, however, would trivialise the loop integral (10) and hence render it unequal to the right-hand side constant term.

2.4 Numerical solution

We solve the boundary value problems using a finite element methodology. We have developed our own code based on a mixed variational principle, according to which the governing equations appear as the stationary conditions of the functional [11, p. 165]

$$\Pi(\mathbf{w}, \Phi) = \frac{D}{2} \int_{\omega} \left((\Delta \mathbf{w})^2 - 2(1-\nu) \det(\nabla^2 \mathbf{w}) \right) dA - \frac{1}{2Et} \int_{\omega} \left((\Delta \Phi)^2 - 2(1+\nu) \det(\nabla^2 \Phi) \right) dA + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \left\langle (\nabla^2 \Phi(\nabla \mathbf{w} \times \boldsymbol{e}_3)), (\nabla \mathbf{w} \times \boldsymbol{e}_3) \right\rangle dA + \int_{\omega} s \delta_o \Phi dA,$$
(13)

where dA is an infinitesimal area measure on ω . The square plate domain is discretised using nonconforming C¹-continuous rectangular elements and the weak form of the variational principle is used to obtain a system of nonlinear algebraic equations. The algebraic equations are solved using an arc-length method which is able to trace the nonlinear equilibrium path through the limit point (including snap-back and snap-through). We note that the equations are nonlinear and hence the solutions obtained are not unique. Different solution paths can be traced depending on the initial guess of the parameters involved in the numerical procedure. All the solutions are stationary points of the functional Π but not all are



(d) 2D plot of the scaled biharmonic of Φ (e) The scaled biharmonic of (f) 2D plot of the Gaussian (g) The Gaussian curvature along a section along a section

Figure 1: The numerical results for fields developed in response to a single positive disclination located at the centre of a square plate; L = 2, Et/D = 8000, D = 0.01, $\nu = 0.3$, $s = \pi/3$, 48×48 mesh size, free boundary.

necessarily stable. The stable (metastable) solution corresponds to a point of global (local) minima in the strain energy landscape.

We state our results in terms of arbitrarily prescribed length (l) and force (f) units. The side length of the square plate L and the deformation w both have units as l. The Gaussian curvature has a unit of l^{-2} . The constitutive parameters Et and D have units of $l^{-1}f$ and lf, respectively. The stress and the stress function have units of $l^{-1}f$ and lf, respectively.

We now use the numerical framework to solve a typical problem. We will use the results to motivate the central concerns of this work. We consider a square plate with free boundary condition and a positive disclination of strength $s = \pi/3$ at the centre of the plate. There are no external loads acting on the plate. We take L = 2, Et/D = 8000, D = 0.01, $\nu = 0.3$, and a mesh of 48×48 square elements. The plate axes are denoted as X and Y (both taking values from the interval [0, 2]) with origin at one corner. The simulation results are given in Figure 1. In solving for w we fix three corners of the plate to avoid rigid body motions. The plate deforms into a conical shape with a rounded vertex [3]. The smoothening of the cone tip is due to extensional elasticity; the fourth-order derivative term $(\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi)$ acts as a regulariser for the nonlinear Monge-Ampere bracket term. Both the Gaussian curvature field and the scaled biharmonic of stress function $(\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi)$ show singular behaviour at the defect location. However, unlike the inextensional case, it is not clear how the Dirac in (1a) is distributed between the two terms. The biharmonic plot also reveals an interesting cusp-like feature with the function decreasing sharply to a negative value, as one moves away from the defect, before rising again to a near zero magnitude. This feature is neither a numerical artefact nor a consequence of the boundary conditions, as has been checked rigorously through numerical experiments. The stresses again are singular but whether they have a Dirac singularity, or not, is unclear. The behaviour of the deformation and Gaussian curvature, away from the defect, seems uninteresting from the plots in Figure 1. This is however not so. Indeed, a simple conical solution for w away from the defect will not work at the boundary. It will violate all three sets of boundary conditions mentioned in Section 2.1.

2.5 The questions

Motivated by the discussion so far, we enumerate the questions that will be addressed in rest of this article:

- What is the nature of solution close to the defect? More precisely, a) whether the Gaussian curvature field and the stress fields have a Dirac singularity at the defect location?, b) how the Dirac source term in (1a) is shared between the biharmonic and the Gaussian curvature terms?, c) are solution fields, in the close vicinity of the defect, invariant with respect to the type of boundary conditions considered? d) how do these solutions behave as Et/D is increased towards the inextensional limit?
- 2. What is the nature of solution close to the boundary? We study this question with an emphasis on the behaviour of the Gaussian curvature field away from the defect location. In particular, a) how the field behaves for varying extensional elasticity (going towards inextensibility) and varying plate sizes? and b) how the three boundary conditions affect the Gaussian curvature field away from the defect point?
- 3. To what extent buckling is dependent on the three boundary conditions? In this we extend the previous work of Mitchell and Head [5] and Seung and Nelson [9].

In rest of the paper the domain ω is taken to be a square plate of side length L with the disclination of strength s located at its centre (position denoted as o). We will fix D = 0.01, $s = \pi/3$, and $\nu = 0.3$, unless stated otherwise.

3 Solution near the defect

We begin by resolving the concerns raised in the first question of Section 2.5. Towards this end, we combine tools from measure theory with our numerical simulations to establish that, for finite Et/D and bounded plate, both the Gaussian curvature and the stress fields are unbounded at o although without developing a Dirac singularity (in contrast with the solution for $Et/D \to \infty$ and $L \to \infty$). On the other hand, as we increase Et/D while keeping all other parameters fixed, we observe both these fields tending to develop Dirac singularities (as expected in the inextensional limit away from the boundary). The key to this apparent paradoxical behaviour of the singularities lies in the careful consideration of the involved limits and the assumed measure-theoretic nature of the fields. We also show that the established singular nature of the solution remains unaffected with respect to varying plate sizes and different boundary conditions.



(a) The Gaussian curvature at a section

(b) The scaled biharmonic along a section



(c) The variation in the volume measures for a single element containing o under mesh refinement

Figure 2: The Gaussian curvature and the scaled biharmonic of Φ for various mesh refinements; L = 2, Et/D = 8000, free boundary.

3.1 The Gaussian curvature

Let μ be a measure such that

$$d\mu = g dA + a_{\mu} \delta_o, \tag{14}$$

where g is an integrable function and $a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. For any measurable subset $\Omega \subset \omega$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\Omega} g \mathrm{d}A + a_{\mu}\xi,\tag{15}$$

where $\xi = 1$ if $o \in \Omega$ and $\xi = 0$ otherwise. Let $\Omega_n \subset \omega$ be a sequence of measurable subsets such that, for each $n, o \in \Omega_n$ and $\int_{\Omega_n} dA \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\int_{\Omega_n} d\mu = \int_{\Omega_n} g dA + a_\mu$, which yields

$$\int_{\Omega_n} \mathrm{d}\mu \to a_\mu \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(16)

We assume both $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ [w,w] to be measures like μ , i.e.,

$$d\left(\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi\right) = G_1 dA + a_1 \delta_o \text{ and}$$
(17a)

$$d\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}\right]\right) = G_2 dA + a_2 \delta_o, \tag{17b}$$

where G_1 and G_2 are integrable functions and a_1, a_2 are constants. In other words, we posit both the scaled biharmonic term and the Gaussian curvature to be given in terms of an integrable function (possibly unbounded at o) and a Dirac concentration. Their sum, as it appears in (1a), is equal to $s\delta_o$. Consequently, $G_1 = -G_2$ and $a_1 + a_2 = s$. The former can be proved by integrating (1a) over an arbitrary $\Omega \subset \omega$ with $o \notin \Omega$. The latter result can then be established by integrating (1a) over any arbitrary $\Omega \subset \omega$ with $o \in \Omega$. We determine the values of a_1 and a_2 using a series of numerical experiments where, for definiteness, we take Et/D = 8000, L = 2, and the free boundary condition. We choose the mesh element containing o as Ω_n . For a sequence of mesh refinements we plot the variations in $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi$ and $\frac{1}{2}[w,w]$ at a section of the plate containing o, see Figures 2a and 2b. In writing a mesh size as 2/24, for instance, we refer to the case of discretising the plate domain of side length L = 2 into 24×24 elements. With increasing mesh refinement we expect $\int_{\Omega_n} dA \to 0$. For each instance of the mesh refinement we calculate two numbers: $V^{0n}_{\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi} = \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{1}{Et} \Delta^2 \Phi dA \text{ and } V^{0n}_{\frac{1}{2}[w,w]} = \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{1}{2}[w,w] dA.$ We observe from Figure 2c that the former tends to s, while the latter tends to 0 with increasing mesh refinement. This suggests that $a_1 = s$ and $a_2 = 0$. Such a conclusion remains invariant irrespective of the choice of parameter values (as long as they remain finite) and boundary conditions, as has been verified through several numerical simulations. The term $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\phi$ therefore takes the whole of Dirac singularity. The Gaussian curvature at o is unbounded but it does not have a Dirac concentration. This is contrary to what we observed in the inextensible case. The elastic extensibility of the plate, no matter how weak, alters the behaviour of the Gaussian curvature field at the defect location. Our result also explains the presence of the cusp like feature in the $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi$ plots. Indeed, with $a_1 = s$, $a_2 = 0$, and $G_1 = -G_2$ in (17a), these plots can be interpreted in terms of a superposition of a Dirac onto the negative of the Gaussian curvature distribution.

In order to investigate the behaviour of the solution (near o) as we approach inextensibility, we plot $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi$ and $\frac{1}{2}[w,w]$, at a section of the plate containing o, for increasing values of Et/D, see Figures 3a and 3b. We consider a fixed Ω_0 containing o and evaluate the integrals $V^0_{\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi} = \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi dA$ and $V^0_{\frac{1}{2}[w,w]} = \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{1}{2}[w,w] dA$ for various values of Et/D while keeping the mesh refinement of 48×48 elements, L = 2, and the free boundary condition. We identify Ω_0 with the fixed domain of a single element containing o. According to Figure 3c, $V_{\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi}^0$ decreases monotonically, possibly towards 0, and $V_{\frac{1}{2}[w,w]}^0$ increases monotonically, possibly towards s, as we approach large values of Et/D. This indicates development of a concentration in the Gaussian curvature field. The monotonicity trend persists irrespective of the mesh refinement, plate size, and boundary condition, although with a different rate of convergence. Moreover, for any arbitrary domain (say $\hat{\Omega}$) in the vicinity of o but not containing it, we observe the volume $V_{\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}]}^P = \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}] dA$ to decrease towards zero as we increase Et/D; the results for one such patch in the form of an annular region (of sixteen elements) are given in Figure 3d, with the patch shown in the inset. All together, this indicates that the scaled biharmonic term converges to 0 while the Gaussian curvature converges to a Dirac at o as $Et \to \infty$. Indeed, a sequence of measures f_n (of the type μ) converges to $s\delta_o$ if, for any arbitrary open subset $\Omega \subset \omega$, $\int_{\Omega} f_n dA \to s\xi$, where $\xi = 1$ if $o \in \Omega$ and $\xi = 0$ otherwise. One should keep in mind that, as discussed in Section 2.3, the inextensible problem with boundary has no solution with Gaussian curvature field given only in terms of a Dirac o. Our results



(a) The Gaussian curvature at a section





(b) The scaled biharmonic at a section



(c) The variation in the volume measures for a single element containing o under increasing Et



Figure 3: The Gaussian curvature and the normalised biharmonic of Φ for increasing values of Et/D; $L = 2, 48 \times 48$ mesh size, free boundary.

should not be seen contradictory, to those discussed in Section 2.3, for we are dealing with the solution only in the neighbourhood of the defect. As we shall see in a following section, the value of the Gaussian curvature, away from the defect closer to the boundary, indeed does not become vanishing small for large values of Et/D.

We now combine the arguments presented in the last two paragraphs. We showed that, for any finite Et, the Gaussian curvature behaves like an integrable function G_2 and the scaled biharmonic $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi$ behaves like $G_1 + s\delta_o$, in a neighbourhood of o, with $G_2 = -G_1$. As $Et \to \infty$, $G_2 \to s\delta_o$ and $\frac{1}{Et}\Delta^2\Phi \to 0$. However, as shown in Appendix A.4, $\Delta^2\Phi \to c\Delta\delta_o$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Such a behaviour of $\Delta^2\Phi$ would follow from a stress field which has a Dirac concentration at o. The latter is indeed the case, as verified numerically in the following section. The limiting behaviour of both the Gaussian curvature and the stress are in line with the solution for the inextensional case in an unbounded plate (as obtained earlier in Section 2.2). The corresponding solution in a bounded plate hence retains the essential aspects of the infinite plate solution close to the defect.

For establishing that the solution close to o is not significantly affected by our choice of the boundary condition as well as the plate size, we introduce an error

$$e = \sqrt{\frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}} \left(\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_L\right)^2 \mathrm{d}A}{\int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{w}_1^2 \mathrm{d}A}} + \frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}} \left(k_1 - k_L\right)^2 \mathrm{d}A}{\int_{\mathcal{R}} k_1^2 \mathrm{d}A}}$$
(18)

for a given size (L > 1) and boundary condition, where \mathcal{R} is a domain centred around o of a size less than that of a unit square, w_1 is the deformation field corresponding to a plate of size L = 1, w_L is the



Figure 4: Error in the solution within small regions enclosing the defect for various plate sizes and boundary conditions; Et/D = 8000, 48×48 mesh size.



Figure 5: The stress values for various mesh refinements; L = 2, Et/D = 8000, free boundary.

deformation field for a plate of size L, k_1 is the Gaussian curvature field for a plate of size L = 1, and k_L is the Gaussian curvature field for a plate of size L. For a chosen boundary condition, and for a fixed region \mathcal{R} , error e measures the deviation of the solution for a plate of size L from that for a plate of size L = 1. The results are reported in Figure 4, where each plot corresponds to a different boundary condition. Within each plot, we have reported errors for four plate sizes (L = 1.33, 1.5, 1.67, 2) and four choices of domain \mathcal{R} . For the latter, we have considered square domains, with centre at o, having one mesh element (\mathcal{R}_1), nine elements (\mathcal{R}_2), sixteen elements (\mathcal{R}_3), and twenty-five elements (\mathcal{R}_4). The error values are low for every case considered. The solution in small regions enclosing the defect therefore does not vary much for different plate sizes and boundary conditions. Moreover, for every boundary condition and plate size, the error values are the lowest when we compute them for solutions in the smallest neighbourhood \mathcal{R}_1 of o, and increasing only slightly as we move towards larger domain sizes of \mathcal{R} . The solution close to the defect therefore changes the least (and only minimally so) as we compare it for various boundary conditions and plate sizes.

3.2 Stresses

We now study the singular nature of the stress field around the defect both for fixed Et/D and for Et/Dtending towards large magnitudes. The stress distribution is observed to remain invariant with respect to the choice of the boundary conditions and plate size, while keeping all other parameters fixed. First, we establish the nature of singularity in stress for a fixed Et/D. Following the framework developed in the preceding section, we assume all the three Cartesian components of the stress (σ_{11} , σ_{22} , and σ_{12}) to



Figure 6: The stress values for increasing values of Et/D; L = 2, 48×48 mesh size, free boundary.

be measures like μ , i.e., each of them is given in terms of a integrable (possibly unbounded) function and a Dirac concentration. As before, we take Et/D = 8000, L = 2, the free boundary condition, and choose the smallest mesh element containing o as Ω_n . For a sequence of mesh refinements we plot the variations in the stress components $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ at a section of the plate containing o, see Figure 5. For each instance of the mesh refinement we calculate three numbers: $V_{\sigma_{11}}^{0n} = \int_{\Omega_n} \sigma_{11} dA$, $V_{\sigma_{22}}^{0n} = \int_{\Omega_n} \sigma_{22} dA$, and $V_{\sigma_{12}}^{0n} = \int_{\Omega_n} \sigma_{12} dA$. We observe that $V_{\sigma_{11}}^{0n} = V_{\sigma_{22}}^{0n}$ and $V_{\sigma_{12}}^{0n} = 0$, irrespective of the size of the mesh element. Moreover, with increasing mesh refinement $V_{\sigma_{11}}^{0n} = V_{\sigma_{22}}^{0n}$ tend towards 0. Therefore, like the Gaussian curvature field, the stresses are unbounded at o but without developing a Dirac concentration. This is again contrary to what was derived in the inextensible case (for an unbounded plate).

Next we consider a fixed Ω_0 containing o and evaluate the integrals for increasing Et/D to investigate the stress behaviour close to the defect in the inextensible limit. The results are given in Figure 6, considering the free boundary condition and Ω_0 as the single element centred at o in a plate domain discretised with 48×48 square elements. Whereas $\int_{\Omega_0} \sigma_{12} dA = 0$, for all values of Et/D, the integrals $V_{\sigma_{11}}^0 = \int_{\Omega_0} \sigma_{11} dA$ and $V_{\sigma_{22}}^0 = \int_{\Omega_0} \sigma_{22} dA$ are both equal and increase (in magnitude) with increasing Et/D. Also, unlike the case of Gaussian curvature, there is always a bulk contribution to the integrals. This is clearly evident from the σ_{22} plots in Figure 6. The limiting value of the integrals over an arbitrary open set in ω , containing o, will therefore have contributions from the limiting concentration at o and the limiting non-zero bulk value. If we conjecture that this limiting value of the stress is of the form given in (9) then, clearly, the limiting bulk field for stress is non-integrable and hence not well defined for an arbitrary measurable set. We can resolve this problem by interpreting the integrals as $\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} dA =$ $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega - B_{\epsilon}} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} dA$ for any open set Ω containing o, where B_{ϵ} is an open disc of radius ϵ centred at o.

4 The role of boundary conditions

The concerns raised in the second and the third questions of Section 2.5 are now addressed. First, we discuss the nature of the Gaussian curvature and its slope close to the boundary points for various boundary conditions. In doing so we are able to obtain certain definite analytical insights and their confirmation from our numerical results. In particular, we establish that regions of negative Gaussian curvature are inevitable in a finite plate even when we are placing a positive disclination at the centre. Next, we investigate the role of ν and the choice of boundary condition in affecting the buckling transition.



mesh size, free boundary



(a) The Gaussian curvature along a sec- (b) The Gaussian curvature along a section for increasing Et/D; $L = 2, 64 \times 64$ tion for various boundary conditions; L =2, $Et/D = 8000, 96 \times 96$ mesh size



(c) End values of the Gaussian curvature and its slope with varying L; Et/D =8000, $s = \pi/3$, $\nu = 0.3$, 64×64 mesh size, free boundary

Figure 7: The Gaussian curvature away from the defect.

The Gaussian curvature 4.1

We begin by determining the sign of the Gaussian curvature at the boundary points of the plate domain for various boundary conditions. Recall, for the free boundary condition, that we require $\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ for all points on $\partial \omega$, which on using the constitutive relation can be rewritten as $\langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = -\nu \langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{t} \rangle$, assuming $D \neq 0$. If $\nu > 0$ then $\langle \nabla^2 w, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle$ and $\langle \nabla^2 w, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{t} \rangle$ are of opposite sign and if $\nu < 0$ (auxetic materials) then they are of same sign on $\partial \omega$. The Gaussian curvature $\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}] = \langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle \langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle$ $t - \langle \nabla^2 w, t \otimes n \rangle^2$ on $\partial \omega$ is therefore negative for plates with $\nu > 0$ while its sign is undecided when $\nu < 0$. If $\nu = 0$ then $\langle \nabla^2 w, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ yielding a negative Gaussian curvature on $\partial \omega$. For the simply supported boundary condition, w = 0 and $\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ on $\partial \omega$. If in addition the boundary is piecewise straight, as is the case for the square plate, we have $\nabla t = 0$ and $\nabla n = 0$ almost everywhere on $\partial \omega$. Under this simplification, the boundary condition w = 0 can be differentiated twice to yield $\langle \nabla^2 w, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{t} \rangle = 0$ which, on using the other boundary condition, gives $\langle \nabla^2 w, \boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ almost everywhere on $\partial \omega$, regardless of the value of ν . Therefore, the Gaussian curvature for almost all the boundary points of a simply supported square plate is necessarily negative. For the clamped boundary condition, w = 0 and $\langle \nabla w, n \rangle = 0$ on $\partial \omega$. For a square plate these conditions yield $\langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{t} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{t} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} \rangle = 0$ almost everywhere on $\partial \omega$. Consequently the Gaussian curvature is identically zero at almost all boundary points of the square plate with a clamped boundary. Following similar arguments we can show that the derivative of the Gaussian curvature, along n, also vanishes at almost all boundary points for the square plate with clamped boundary condition. The results about the sign of Gaussian curvature for free and simply supported boundary conditions, and those about vanishing of the same (and its slope) for the clamped boundary condition, are in agreement with the numerical solutions in Figures 7a and 7b.

We can obtain some further analytical understanding on the nature of the Gaussian curvature, and its slope, at the boundary if we restrict our attention to a circular plate (of radius r_0) with the free boundary condition. This allows us to consider a smooth axisymmetric solution for w, away from the defect, of the form w = f(r). Hence $\nabla^2 w = f'' \boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r + (f'/r) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}$, where the superscript prime denotes the



Figure 8: Regions of negative Gaussian curvature (in blue) for different boundary conditions; L = 2, $Et/D = 8000, 48 \times 48$ mesh size.

derivative with respect to r. The Gaussian curvature and its slope along the radial direction can then be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}[w,w] = \frac{f'f''}{r} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{2}[w,w]\right) = \frac{f''^2}{r} + \frac{f'f'''}{r} - \frac{f'f''}{r^2},$$
(19)

respectively. The moment tensor takes the form

$$\boldsymbol{m} = -D\left(\left(f'' + \nu \frac{f'}{r}\right)\boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r + \left(\nu f'' + \frac{f'}{r}\right)\boldsymbol{e}_\theta \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\theta\right).$$
(20)

The boundary condition (2)₃ then implies $f'' = -\nu(f'/r_0)$ whereas (2)₄ yields $f''' + (f''/r_0) - (f'/r_0^2) = 0$. It is reasonable to assume that f(r) is close to a cone like solution in the sense that $f' \approx \sqrt{s/\pi}$, as is clear from our numerical simulations. Consequently, $f'' \approx -\sqrt{s/\pi}(\nu/r_0)$ and $f''' \approx \sqrt{s/\pi}(1+\nu)/r_0^2$. Substituting these into (19) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}[w,w] \approx -\frac{s\nu}{\pi r_0^2} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{2}[w,w]\right) \approx \frac{s(1+\nu)^2}{\pi r_0^3}.$$
(21)

The Gaussian curvature and its slope at the boundary therefore scale as $-(1/r_0^2)$ and $(1/r_0^3)$, respectively, with the size of the domain. Taking the effective radius of the square plate with side L as $r_0 = L/\sqrt{\pi}$, we superpose the analytically predicted behaviour of the end-values with those obtained from numerical solutions in Figure 7c. The two solutions are in very good agreement except for the slope value at L = 1.

The Gaussian curvature field oscillates as it moves away from the defect towards the boundary, irrespective of the material parameters, plate size, and the type of boundary condition, see Figures 7a and 7b. In doing so, the curvature values become negative over large regions in the plate, see Figure 8. The maximum value of the negative Gaussian curvature are always orders of magnitude lower than the positive curvature values in the vicinity of the defect; the negative values are therefore not discernible in plots given in Figures 1f, 1g, 2a, and 3a. Also, according to Figure 7a, there is no clear formation of a boundary layer as we move towards large Et/D although one would expect, in the inextensible limit, the Gaussian curvature to develop a Dirac at the defect location, on one hand, and otherwise accumulate in a thin boundary layer while retaining a vanishingly small value in rest of the plate. If proven true, such a boundary layer would help us pose a reasonable boundary value problem for disclination in an inextensible but finite plate.

4.2 Buckling

The total strain energy U stored in the plate due to a positive disclination is given in terms of stretching and bending energies, $U = E_s + E_b$, where

$$E_s = \frac{1}{2Et} \int_{\omega} \left\{ (\Delta \Phi)^2 - 2(1+\nu) \det(\nabla^2 \Phi) \right\} dA$$
 and (22a)

$$E_b = \frac{D}{2} \int_{\omega} \left\{ (\Delta \mathbf{w})^2 - 2(1-\nu) \det(\nabla^2 \mathbf{w}) \right\} dA,$$
 (22b)

respectively. Note that, for any smooth scalar field ξ on ω ,

$$\int_{\omega} \det(\nabla^2 \xi) dA = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \omega} \left\langle (\boldsymbol{e}_3 \times \nabla \xi), (\nabla^2 \xi) \boldsymbol{t} \right\rangle dL.$$
(23)

In deriving the above relation, we have used identity (25) from Appendix A and $\operatorname{curl}(\nabla \xi \otimes \nabla \xi) = \nabla^2 \xi(\nabla \xi \times e_3)$, in addition to the Stokes' theorem. For any of the boundary conditions given in Section 2.1, $\nabla \Phi = 0$. The contribution from stretching energy therefore is limited to only the first term in the integral in (22a). The second term in the bending energy integral (22b) is identically zero for clamped boundary condition where $\nabla w = 0$ on $\partial \omega$. In fact, the total energy for the clamped problem is independent of ν . Indeed, ν does not enter either the boundary conditions or the energy expression for a clamped boundary value problem. On the contrary, there is a ν dependence in the free boundary and the simply supported boundary problems through the boundary conditions as well as the second term in the bending energy integral (22b). For the flat solution, irrespective of the boundary condition, $U = E_s = (1/2Et) \int_{\omega} (\Delta \Phi)^2 dA$ with Φ determined from solving the system of Equations (5). For a circular plate of radius R, the total energy for the flat solution is $Ets^2 R^2/32\pi$ (increases unboundedly with the size of the plate). The flat solution remains the stable solution to our problem as long as its energy is lower than any other non-flat (buckled, $w \neq 0$) solutions [5,9].

According to Seung and Nelson [9], for a plate with free boundary condition, the buckling transition is given in terms of a dimensionless number $y_c = R\sqrt{Ets/D}$, where y_c depends only on ν . For a fixed plate size (R), disclination strength (s), and bending modulus (D) this formula can also be used to calculate the critical value of the stretching modulus Et and its variations with respect to ν . For our present discussion, we fix L = 2, $s = \pi/3$, D = 0.01, and a mesh size of 64×64 elements. The effective radius is calculated as $R = L/\sqrt{\pi}$. The calculated values for the critical Et for a range of ν values (corresponding to stable isotropic elastic materials) and for various choices of boundary conditions are given in Figure 9. The variation of critical Et with ν for the free boundary condition is consistent with the prediction of Seung and Nelson [9]. On the other hand, as expected, the critical Et for the clamped boundary does not vary with ν . The trend in the variation of critical Et with ν for the simply supported boundary condition is similar to that for the free boundary, however with higher magnitudes. For any given ν , the critical Et is always highest for the clamped boundary and lowest for the free boundary. Most importantly, it is clear that the buckling transitions are significantly dependent on the nature of boundary conditions.



Figure 9: Variation of critical Et with Poisson's ratio for different boundary conditions; L = 2, $s = \pi/3$, D = 0.01, 64×64 mesh size.

5 Conclusion

We combined methods from measure theory and distribution theory with finite element based numerical simulations to understand the singular nature of the Gaussian curvature and stress field in a finite elastic sheet with a single positive disclination. The effect of the boundary conditions on the overall solution, and the buckling transition, was also studied for the cases of free, simply supported, and clamped boundary conditions. Our techniques are general and can be used for similar studies with negative disclinations, dislocations, and interstitials/vacancies on a thin elastic sheet. They can also be used to further the scope of the present work by investigating the geometry and mechanics of positive disclinations (and other defects) on curved elastic surfaces and interaction between multiple defects therein.

Ackowledgement

AG acknowledges the financial support from SERB (DST) Grant No. CRG/2018/002873 titled "Micromechanics of Defects in Thin Elastic Structures".

A Solution to the inextensional problem

A.1 Some useful identities from the theory of distributions

Let $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$ be the space of compactly supported smooth functions on $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$. Given $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$, the distributional curl of \mathbf{V} , $\operatorname{Curl} \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$, and the distributional divergence of \mathbf{V} , $\operatorname{Div} \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$, are given by

$$\operatorname{Curl} \boldsymbol{V}(\psi) = -\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{e}_3 \times \nabla \psi) \text{ and } \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{V}(\psi) = -\boldsymbol{V}(\nabla \psi), \tag{24}$$

respectively, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$. We will make use of the following identities:

(i) For smooth functions $f: \omega \to \mathbb{R}, g: \omega \to \mathbb{R}$ we have the equivalence

$$\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}\left(\nabla f\otimes\nabla g\right) = -[f,g].$$
(25)

(ii) For smooth functions $\boldsymbol{a}: \omega \to \mathbb{R}^2, \, \boldsymbol{b}: \omega \to \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}(\boldsymbol{a}\otimes\boldsymbol{b}) = \operatorname{Div}\operatorname{Div}\left((\boldsymbol{e}_3\times\boldsymbol{a})\otimes(\boldsymbol{e}_3\times\boldsymbol{b})\right).$$
(26)

(iii) Consider a distribution $V \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\omega - B_{\epsilon}} \frac{g(\theta)}{r} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle \mathrm{d}A$$
(27)

for all $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$, where B_{ϵ} represent a disc of radius $\epsilon > 0$ centred at $o \in \omega$. Then, using the definition of distributional curl, we can calculate $\operatorname{Curl} \boldsymbol{V}(\psi) = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) d\theta\right) \psi(o)$, which implies

$$\operatorname{Curl} \boldsymbol{V} = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta \right) \delta_o.$$
(28)

(iv) Consider a distribution $V \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega, \operatorname{Lin})$, where Lin is the space of linear transformations, such that

$$\mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\omega - B_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{r} \langle \boldsymbol{v}(\theta) \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle \mathrm{d}A$$
(29)

for all $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\omega, \text{Lin})$. Then, using the definition of distributional divergence, we can calculate Div $\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \left\langle \int_{0}^{2\pi} \boldsymbol{v}(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta, \boldsymbol{\psi}(o) \right\rangle$, which implies

Div
$$\boldsymbol{V} = \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \boldsymbol{v}(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta\right) \delta_o.$$
 (30)

The curl and the divergence of smooth fields is denoted using curl and div, respectively. The distributional gradient and the gradient of smooth fields are both represented by ∇ ; its appropriate usage will be clear from the context at hand.

A.2 Perfect cone solution

To rigorously discuss the singular solutions of the inextensional problem (in an unbounded domain) we would need to restate the problem statement (8) in the sense of distributions. However, care is needed in doing so due to the nonlinear terms. We consider $w \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ such that (i) $w|_{\omega-o}$ and $\Phi|_{\omega-o}$ are smooth on $\omega - o$, (ii) deg(w) < 0, deg(∇w) < 0, deg(Φ) < 0, and deg($\nabla \Phi$) < 0, where deg denotes the degree of divergence [2, 8], and (iii) deg($\nabla w|_{\omega-o} \otimes \nabla w|_{\omega-o}$) < 0 and deg($\nabla \Phi|_{\omega-o} \otimes \nabla w|_{\omega-o}$) < 0. For w and Φ satisfying these assumptions, we define $\nabla w \otimes \nabla w \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega, \operatorname{Lin})$ as the unique extension of $(\nabla w|_{\omega-o} \otimes \nabla w|_{\omega-o})$, such that deg($\nabla w \otimes \nabla w$) = deg($\nabla w|_{\omega-o} \otimes \nabla w|_{\omega-o}$), and define $\nabla \Phi \otimes \nabla w \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega, \operatorname{Lin})$ as the unique extension of $(\nabla \Phi|_{\omega-o} \otimes \nabla w|_{\omega-o})$ such that deg($\nabla \Phi \otimes \nabla w$) = deg($\nabla \Phi|_{\omega-o} \otimes \nabla w|_{\omega-o}$). With this background we can pose the problem of an isolated positive wedge disclination, located at the centre

o of a Föppl-von Kármán plate of infinite extent, with inextensional elasticity in terms of the following distributional relations:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}\left(\nabla \mathbf{w}\otimes\nabla \mathbf{w}\right) = s\delta_o \text{ and}$$
(31)

$$D\Delta^2 \mathbf{w} + \operatorname{Curl} \operatorname{Curl} \left(\nabla \Phi \otimes \nabla \mathbf{w} \right) = 0.$$
(32)

In fact, the stated assumptions on w and Φ are sufficient to describe the more general problem (1) in the sense of distributions. For smooth w and Φ , the left hand sides of the above equations reduce to those in (8). For w = cr, where c is a constant, $\nabla w = ce_r$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Curl} (c^2 e_r \otimes e_r) = -(c^2/r)e_{\theta}$. Thereupon, using (28), we obtain $\operatorname{Curl} \operatorname{Curl} (c^2 e_r \otimes e_r) = -2\pi c^2 \delta_o$. Accordingly, w = $\sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}}r$ satisfies Equation (31).

On the other hand, we can use a generalised form of identity (26) to rewrite (32) as

$$D \operatorname{Div} \operatorname{Div} (\nabla^2 \mathbf{w}) + \operatorname{Div} \operatorname{Div} ((\boldsymbol{e}_3 \times \nabla \Phi) \otimes (\boldsymbol{e}_3 \times \nabla \mathbf{w})) = 0.$$
(33)

For $w = \sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}}r$ and $\Phi = -D\ln r$, we have $\nabla^2 w = \frac{1}{r}\sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}}(e_\theta \otimes e_\theta)$ and $\nabla \Phi = -(D/r)e_r$, whence we can write

$$(\boldsymbol{e}_3 \times \nabla \Phi) \otimes (\boldsymbol{e}_3 \times \nabla w) = -\frac{D}{r} \sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}} (\boldsymbol{e}_\theta \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\theta).$$
 (34)

As a result, $D\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} + (\mathbf{e}_3 \times \nabla \Phi) \otimes (\mathbf{e}_3 \times \nabla \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{w} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}}r$ and $\Phi = -D \ln r$ satisfy Equations (31) and (32). In order to determine $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ from Φ , we start with calculating

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = D \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\omega - B_{\epsilon}} \left\langle \frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_r, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}A \tag{35}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\omega, \text{Lin})$. For any point in $\Omega - B_{\epsilon}$, we have $\left\langle \frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}, \text{div } \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\rangle = \text{div} \left(\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{T} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \right) + \left\langle \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r} - \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \right), \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\rangle$. Using this, and the identity

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial B_{\epsilon}} \left\langle \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \right), \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}L = \pi \left\langle \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\psi}(o) \right\rangle, \tag{36}$$

we can rewrite (35) as

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = -D\left(\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\omega - B_{\epsilon}} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \left(-\boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r + \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \right), \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}A + \pi \left\langle \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\psi}(o) \right\rangle \right). \tag{37}$$

The definition of stress in terms of the stress function implies that

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = -D\left(\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\omega - B_{\epsilon}} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r - \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \right), \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}A + \pi \left\langle \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\psi}(o) \right\rangle \right).$$
(38)

A little loosely, we write the stress field as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -D\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r - \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}\right) + \pi \delta_o \mathbf{1}\right).$$
(39)

A.3 Non-uniqueness in the stress solution for perfect cone

Given w, Equation (32), with $\sigma \to 0$ at infinity, determines the stress field. If Φ_1 is a solution for this problem, then Φ_2 is another solution if $\Phi_0 = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}\left(\nabla\Phi_0\otimes\nabla w\right) = 0\tag{40}$$

with stress, corresponding to Φ_0 , vanishing at infinity. Both Φ_1 and Φ_2 are distributions satisfying the assumptions made in the beginning of the preceding subsection. For $w = \sqrt{\frac{s}{\pi}}r$, (40) reduces to

$$\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}(\boldsymbol{e}_r\otimes\nabla\Phi_0)=0.$$
(41)

In $\omega - o$, Φ_0 is smooth allowing us to calculate $\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}(e_r \otimes \nabla \Phi_0) = -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_0}{\partial r^2}$. Thereupon, we can integrate $\partial^2 \Phi_0 / \partial r^2 = 0$ to obtain the general solution for Φ_0 in $\omega - o$ as

$$\Phi_0 = g_0(\theta) + rg_1(\theta), \tag{42}$$

where g_0 and g_1 are smooth functions. The smoothness of Φ_0 in $\omega - o$ imposes periodicity on g_0 , g_1 , and their derivatives, i.e., $g_0(\theta) = g_0(\theta + 2\pi)$, $g_1(\theta) = g_1(\theta + 2\pi)$, etc. Given the scaling degree of Φ_0 , we can use (42) to evaluate $e_r \otimes \nabla \Phi_0$ as a well defined unique distribution on ω . This allows us to calculate $\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}(e_r \otimes \nabla \Phi_0)$ on ω . We use the identities from Section A.1 to obtain

$$\operatorname{Curl}\operatorname{Curl}(\boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \nabla \Phi_0) = -\left(\int_0^{2\pi} g_1 \mathrm{d}\theta\right)\delta_0 - \left\langle \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left(g_0'\boldsymbol{e}_\theta\right)\mathrm{d}\theta\right), \nabla \delta_o \right\rangle,\tag{43}$$

where the superscript prime denotes the derivative with respect to θ . Substituting this in (40) we obtain the following restrictions on g_0 and g_1 :

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(g_0' \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \right) \mathrm{d}\theta = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ and } \int_{0}^{2\pi} g_1 \mathrm{d}\theta = 0.$$
(44)

The stress field corresponding to Φ_0 in ω is

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0} = -\left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} g_{0}' \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta\right) \delta_{o} + \left(\frac{g_{0}''}{r^{2}} + \left(\frac{g_{1} + g_{1}''}{r}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r} + \frac{g_{0}'}{r^{2}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}).$$
(45)

Clearly, as expected, $\sigma_0 \to 0$ with $r \to \infty$ for any choice of g_0 and g_1 with bounded values and derivatives. The stress σ_0 should be appended to (9) to obtain the general expression for stress field in the plate due to a positive wedge disclination in an inextensional plate of infinite extent.

A.4 Biharmonic of ϕ in the inextensional limit

Consider a sequence of integrable functions $G_{1n} \leq 0$ on ω and a sequence of real numbers $(Et)_n > 0$ such that $G_{1n} \to -s\delta_o$ and $(Et)_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, we make the following assumptions: (i) G_{1n} is axisymmetric that is $G_{1n}(re_r) = G_{1n}(r)$, (ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Et)_n \int_{\Omega} G_{1n} dA = 0$ for any $\Omega \subset \omega$ such that $o \notin \Omega$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Et)_n (\int_{\Omega} G_{1n} dA + s) = 0$ for any $\Omega \subset \omega$ such that $o \in \Omega$, and (iii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Et)_n \int_{\omega} r^2 G_{1n} dA = c_0$, which implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Et)_n \int_0^R r^3 G_{1n} dr = c_0/2\pi$, where R > 0 is arbitrary and $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. For each G_{1n} and $(Et)_n$ there corresponds a $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(Et)_n}\Delta^2\Phi_n = G_{1n} + s\delta_o,\tag{46}$$

which implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1/(Et)_n) \Delta^2 \Phi_n = 0$. Our aim, however, is to calculate

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta^2 \Phi_n(\psi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (Et)_n \left(G_{1n} + s\delta_o \right)(\psi).$$
(47)

Towards this end, we consider a small disc B_{r_o} (of radius r_o around o) and use the first part of assumption (ii) to write the right-hand side of the previous equation as $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Et)_n \left(\int_{B_{r_o}} G_{1n} \psi dA + s\psi(o) \right)$, which on expanding ψ about o as a Taylor series (and retaining leading order terms) yields

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (Et)_n \left(\psi(o) \left(\int_{B_{r_o}} G_{1n} \mathrm{d}A + s \right) + \int_{B_{r_o}} G_{1n} r \langle \nabla \psi(o), \boldsymbol{e}_r \rangle \mathrm{d}A + \int_{B_{r_o}} \frac{r^2}{2} G_{1n} \langle \nabla^2 \psi(o), \boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r \rangle \mathrm{d}A \right).$$

The first term here vanishes due to the second part of assumption (ii). The second term vanishes since $\int_0^{2\pi} e_r d\theta = 0$. The third term can be reduced as per the following:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (Et)_n \int_{B_{r_o}} \frac{r^2}{2} G_{1n}(r) \boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r \mathrm{d}A = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} (Et)_n \int_0^{r_o} r^3 G_{1n}(r) \mathrm{d}r \int_0^{2\pi} \boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_r \mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{c_0}{4} \mathbf{1}.$$
 (48)

Accordingly, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta^2 \Phi_n(\psi) = \frac{c_0}{4} \Delta \psi(o) = \frac{c_0}{4} \Delta \delta_o(\psi).$$
(49)

References

- M J Bowick and L Giomi. Two-dimensional matter: order, curvature and defects. Advances in Physics, 58:449–563, 2009.
- [2] R Brunetti and K Fredenhagen. Microlocal analysis and interacting quantum field theories: Renormalization on physical backgrounds. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 208:623–661, 2000.
- [3] E A Kochetov, V A Osipov, and R Pincak. Electronic properties of disclinated flexible membrane beyond the inextensional limit: application to graphene. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 22:395502, 2010.
- [4] E H Mansfield. The Bending and Stretching of Plates. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1989.
- [5] L H Mitchell and A K Head. The buckling of a dislocated plate. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 9:131–139, 1961.
- [6] D R Nelson. Defects and Geometry in Condensed Matter Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [7] H Olbermann. Energy scaling law for a single disclination in a thin elastic sheet. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 224:985–1019, 2017.
- [8] A Pandey and A Gupta. Point singularities in incompatible elasticity. In Preparation, 2021.
- [9] H S Seung and D R Nelson. Defects in flexible membranes with crystalline order. *Physical Review* A, 38:1005–1018, 1988.
- [10] M Singh, A Roychowdhury, and A Gupta. Defects and metric anomalies in Föppl-von Kármán surfaces. arXiv:2106.14223, 2021.

- [11] K Washizu. Variational Methods in Elasticity and Plasticity, Second Edition. Paregmon Press, 1975.
- [12] T A Witten. Stress focusing in elastic sheets. Reviews of Modern Physics, 79:643–675, 2007.