# A NON-HYPERELLIPTIC CURVE WITH TORSION CERESA CYCLE MODULO ALGEBRAIC EQUIVALENCE

ARNAUD BEAUVILLE AND CHAD SCHOEN

ABSTRACT. We exhibit a non-hyperelliptic curve C of genus 3 such that the class of the Ceresa cycle  $[C] - [C^-]$  in JC modulo algebraic equivalence is torsion.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let *C* be a complex curve of genus  $g \ge 2$ , and *p* a point of *C*. We embed *C* into its Jacobian *J* by the Abel-Jacobi map  $x \mapsto [x] - [p]$ ; we denote by  $C^-$  the image of *C* under the involution  $(-1)_J : a \mapsto -a$  of *J*. The *Ceresa class* is the class  $\mathfrak{z}(C) := [C] - [C^-]$  in the group  $A_1(J)$  of 1-cycles on *J* modulo algebraic equivalence (it is independent of the choice of *p*). Since  $(-1)_J$  acts trivially on  $H^p(J,\mathbb{Z})$  for *p* even,  $\mathfrak{z}(C)$  belongs to the *Griffiths group* G(J), the kernel of the cycle class map  $A_1(J) \to H^{2g-2}(J,\mathbb{Z})$ .

Ceresa classes have played a prominent role in the study of Griffiths groups, especially in the development of techniques for showing that a given element is non-zero [C, C-P, H]. In addition they played an important role in showing that G(J) can have infinite rank [N]. As the conjectures of Bloch and Beilinson were developed and are studied  $\mathfrak{z}(C)$  appears repeatedly [Bl, BST], [Z, §1.5], always as an element of infinite order.

When *C* is hyperelliptic,  $\mathfrak{z}(C) = 0$ ; in fact  $C - C^-$  is zero as a cycle when *p* is a Weierstrass point. In this note we will exhibit what we believe to be the first example of a non-hyperelliptic curve *C* with  $\mathfrak{z}(C) = 0$  in  $A_1(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . The curve *C* has genus 3, and admits an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 9, such that the quotient variety  $J/\langle \sigma \rangle$  is uniruled. This implies that the Griffiths group of a resolution of  $J/\langle \sigma \rangle$  is torsion; going back to *J* gives the result.

### 2. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be the genus 3 curve defined by  $X^4 + XZ^3 + Y^3Z = 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}(C) = 0$  in  $A_1(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof* : Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive 9-th root of unity. We consider the automorphism  $\sigma$  of C defined by  $\sigma(X, Y, Z) = (X, \zeta^2 Y, \zeta^3 Z)$ . We use the fixed point p = (0, 0, 1) to embed C in its Jacobian J, so that the action of  $\sigma$  on J preserves C and  $C^-$ . We denote by V the quotient variety  $J/\langle \sigma \rangle$ , and by  $\pi : J \to V$  the quotient map. Let  $F \subset J$  be the subset of elements with nontrivial stabilizer; the singular locus Sing V of V is  $\pi(F)$ . We put  $J^\circ := J \smallsetminus F$  and  $V^\circ := V \backsim Sing V$ .

**Lemma 1.** Sing V is finite; the points  $\pi(x)$  for  $x \in \text{Ker}(1_J - \sigma)$  are non-canonical singularities.

*Proof* : The space  $T_0(J)$  is canonically identified with  $H^0(C, K_C)^*$ . The elements of  $H^0(C, K_C)$  are of the form  $L \frac{XdZ - ZdX}{Y^2Z}$ , with  $L \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))$  [B-K, §9.3, Corollary of Theorem 1]. It follows that the eigenvalues of  $\sigma$  on  $H^0(C, K_C)$  are  $\zeta^5, \zeta^7, \zeta^8$ , and those on  $T_0(J) = H^0(C, K_C)^*$  are  $\zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^4$ .

Thanks to E. Colombo and B. van Geemen for their crucial input. The second named author thanks S. Katz and M. Reid for helpful discussions.

Therefore  $\text{Ker}(1_J - \sigma^d)$  is finite for 0 < d < 9, so F is finite. Since 1 + 2 + 4 < 9, Reid's criterion [R, Theorem 3.1] implies that the singular points  $\pi(x)$  for  $x \in \text{Ker}(1_J - \sigma)$  are not canonical.

# Lemma 2. The variety V is uniruled.

*Proof* : Let  $\rho : \tilde{V} \to V$  be a resolution of singularities; it suffices to prove that  $\tilde{V}$  has Kodaira dimension  $-\infty$  [M]. Suppose this is not the case: there exist an integer  $r \ge 1$  and a nonzero section  $\tilde{\omega}$  of  $K_{V}^{r}$ . By restriction to  $\rho^{-1}(V^{o}) \cong V^{o}$ , we get a section  $\omega$  of  $K_{V^{o}}^{r}$ , whose pull back under  $\pi$  is a nonzero section of  $K_{J^{o}}^{r}$ ; therefore  $\omega$  is a generator of  $K_{V^{o}}^{r}$ , hence extends to a generator of the reflexive sheaf  $K_{V}^{[r]}$  (with the notation of [R]). By construction this generator remains regular on  $\tilde{V}$ , which means that the singularities of V are canonical [R, Proposition 1.2], contradicting Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** Let X be a uniruled smooth projective threefold. The Griffiths group G(X) is torsion.

*Proof* : There exists a smooth projective surface S and a dominant rational map  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow X$ . After blowing up some points and some smooth curves in  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , we get a smooth projective threefold W birational to  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and a generically finite morphism  $f : W \to X$ . Since the Griffiths group is a stably birational invariant (see [V, Proposition 2.30]), we have G(W) = G(S) = 0. For  $z \in G(X)$ , we have  $(\deg f)z = f_*f^*(z) = 0$ , hence G(X) is annihilated by  $\deg f$ .

*Remark*. – One can actually deduce from [Bl-S, Theorem 1 (ii)] that G(X) = 0 – but we will not need this fact.

*Proof of the Theorem*: We can choose the resolution  $\rho : \tilde{V} \to V$  so that  $E := \rho^{-1}(\text{SingV})$  is a normal crossing divisor, whose irreducible components are smooth and *rational* [Fuj, Corollary of Theorem 1].

Let  $\overline{C}$  and  $\overline{C}^-$  be the images in V of C and  $C^-$ , and let  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{C}^-$  be their proper transforms in  $\tilde{V}$ . We have  $[\overline{C}] - [\overline{C}^-] = \frac{1}{9}\pi_*([C] - [C^-]) = 0$  in  $H^4(V^{\circ}, \mathbb{Q})$ . Now we have an exact sequence [D, Corollaire 8.2.8]

$$H^2(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H^4(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^4(V^{\mathrm{o}}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where  $\tilde{E}$  is the normalization of E, and i the composition  $\tilde{E} \to E \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}$ . Therefore we have  $[\tilde{C}] - [\tilde{C}^-] = i_* z$  in  $H^4(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Q})$  for some class  $z \in H^2(\tilde{E}, \mathbb{Q})$ . Since the components of  $\tilde{E}$  are rational, z is the class of an element  $\mathfrak{z}$  of  $A_1(\tilde{E}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $[\tilde{C}] - [\tilde{C}^-] - i_* \mathfrak{z} \in A_1(\tilde{V}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is homologous to zero, hence equal to zero by Lemma 3. Restricting to  $\tilde{V} \smallsetminus E \cong V^\circ$ , we get  $[\bar{C}] - [\bar{C}^-] = 0$  in  $A_1(V^\circ \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ , hence  $[C] - [C^-] = \pi^*([\bar{C}] - [\bar{C}^-]) = 0$  in  $A_1(J^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . But the restriction map  $A_1(J) \to A_1(J^\circ)$  is an isomorphism [Ful, Example 10.3.4], hence the Theorem.

## 3. COMPLEMENTS

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Theta$  be a Theta divisor on J. We have  $[C] = \frac{[\Theta]^2}{2}$  in  $A_1(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (Poincaré formula).

*Proof* : Indeed for *any* genus 3 curve *C* we have  $[\Theta]^2 = [C] + [C^-]$  in  $A_1(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (if p, q are two distinct points of *C*, the intersection of  $\Theta$  with its translate by [p] - [q] is the union of a translate of *C* and a translate of  $C^-$  — see for instance [Mu, Lecture IV]). Thus the corollary is equivalent to the theorem.

Recall that the *modified diagonal cycle*  $\Gamma(C, p)$ , first considered in [G-S], is the element  $\Gamma(C, p)$  of  $A_1(C^3)$  defined as follows. We denote by [x, x, x], [x, x, p], [x, p, p] etc. the classes in  $A_1(C \times C \times C)$  of the image of C by the maps  $x \mapsto (x, x, x), x \mapsto (x, x, p), x \mapsto (x, p, p)$  etc. Then:

$$\Gamma(C,p) := [x, x, x] - [x, x, p] - [x, p, x] - [p, x, x] + [x, p, p] + [p, x, p] + [p, p, x].$$

By [FLV, Remark 3.4], we have

**Corollary 2.**  $\Gamma(C,p) = 0$  in  $A_1(C^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

Finally let us mention the result of [B3]: the class of  $[C] - [C^-]$  in the intermediate Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}_1(J)$  is torsion. It can be also deduced from our theorem, though the proof in [B3] is more direct.

In [BLLS] the authors construct a genus 7 curve with the same property, and suggest that the corresponding Ceresa cycle should be torsion modulo algebraic equivalence (Remark 1.2).

### References

- [B1] A. Beauville: Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne. Math. Ann. 273 (1986), 647-651.
- [B2] A. Beauville : Algebraic cycles on Jacobian varieties. Compositio Math. 140 (2004), 683-688.
- [B3] A. Beauville: A non-hyperelliptic curve with torsion Ceresa class. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, to appear; preprint arXiv:2105.07160.
- [BLLS] D. Bisogno, W. Li, D. Litt, P. Srinivasan: Group-theoretic Johnson classes and non-hyperelliptic curves with torsion Ceresa class. Preprint arXiv:2004.06146.
- [BI] S. Bloch : Algebraic cycles and values of L-functions. J. reine angew. Math. 350 (1984), 94-107.
- [BI-S] S. Bloch, V. Srinivas: Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles. Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), no. 5, 1235-1253.
- [B-K] E. Brieskorn, H. Knörrer : Plane algebraic curves. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
- [BST] J. Buhler, C. Schoen, J. Top : Cycles, L-functions and triple products of elliptic curves. J. Reine Angew. Math. 492 (1997), 93-133.
- [C] G. Ceresa : C is not algebraically equivalent to C<sup>-</sup> in its Jacobian. Ann. of Math. 117 (1983), no. 2, 285-291.
- [C-vG] E. Colombo, B. van Geemen: Note on curves in a Jacobian. Compositio Math. 88 (1993), 333-353.
- [C-P] A. Collino, G. P. Pirola : The Griffiths infinitesimal invariant for a curve in its Jacobian. Duke Math J. 78 (1995), 59-88.
- [D] P. Deligne : *Théorie de Hodge, III*. Publ. Math. IHES 44 (1974), 5-77.
- [Fuj] A. Fujiki : On resolutions of cyclic quotient singularities. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 10 (1974/75), no. 1, 293-328.
- [Ful] W. Fulton : Intersection theory. Ergebnisse der Math. 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [FLV] L. Fu, R. Laterveer, C. Vial: Multiplicative Chow-Künneth decompositions and varieties of cohomological K3 type. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 200 (2021), no. 5, p. 2085-2126.
- [G-S] B. Gross, C. Schoen: The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve. Ann. Inst. Fourier 45 (1995), 649-679.
- [H] B. Harris: Homological versus algebraic equivalence in a Jacobian. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80 (1983), no. 4, 1157-1158.
- [M] Y. Miyaoka: On the Kodaira dimension of minimal threefolds. Math. Ann. 281 (1988), 325-332.
- [Mu] D. Mumford: *Curves and their Jacobians*. The red book of varieties and schemes, Lecture Notes in Math. **1358**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [N] M. Nori: Cycles on the generic abelian threefold. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 99 (1989), no. 3, 191-196.
- [R] M. Reid : Canonical 3-folds. Journées de Géometrie Algébrique d'Angers, pp. 273-310, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, 1980.
- [V] C. Voisin: Birational invariants and decomposition of the diagonal. Birational Geometry of Hypersurfaces, pp. 3-71; Lecture Notes of the UMI 26, Springer, 2019.
- [Z] S-W Zhang: Gross-Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves. Invent. math. 179 (2010), no. 1, 1-73.

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR, CNRS – LABORATOIRE J.-A. DIEUDONNÉ, PARC VALROSE, F-06108 NICE CEDEX 2, FRANCE *Email address*: arnaud.beauville@unice.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY BOX 90320, DURHAM NC 27708-0320, USA *Email address*: schoen@math.duke.edu