Comment on 'New perspective on thermodynamics of spacetime: The emergence of unimodular gravity and the equivalence of entropies'

S. C. Tiwari

Department of Physics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, and Institute of Natural Philosophy,

Varanasi 221005, India

In this comment on the article by Alonso-Serrano and Liska (arXiv: 2008.04805) a formal resemblance between their expression of curvature scale and the scale dependent on the matter energymomentum ambiguity of Finkelstein et al (JMP, 2001) is pointed out. Physical significance of this observation is also discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy

The role of covariant divergence law for the energymomentum tensor in general relativity has varied perceptions regarding its physical interpretation. In unimodular relativity it has been shown [1] that the cosmological constant appears as an integration constant. Local matter energy conservation acquires added importance in this theory [2]. Finkelstein et al [3] re-examined the issue raised in [2] presenting a thorough discussion on the variational principle for the infinitesimal variations of the conformal metric tensor field. However, the conclusion of the authors that the energy-momentum tensor satisfies the standard covariant divergence law and that the cosmological constant is a constant of integration was questioned in my note [4]. In a recent paper, Alonso-Serrano and Liska [5] show that unimodular relativity seems more natural than general relativity in the thermodynamic derivation of Einstein field equation. I consider this to be a welcome result in the light of my earlier work [6]. However the problem of local energy conservation is not addressed satisfactorily in [5]. The present comment has origin in a remarkable formal similarity between the expression of the curvature scale λ in [5] and the expression (9) obtained in [4] that depends on the ambiguity in the energy-momentum tensor introduced by Finklestein et al [3]. Is it accidental? Or, does it involve deep physical implication?

Let us first establish the claimed formal resemblance. In the notation of [3] the Einstein field equation given by Eq.(4) in [4] is

$$G^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\lambda_F}{2}g^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T^{\prime\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

where

$$T^{\prime\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\sqrt{-g}\Delta_M L}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \tag{2}$$

We have changed λ to λ_F in Eq.(1) and light speed is set equal to unity. The assumed ambiguity is

$$\Delta_M L = \left(\frac{\mu(x)}{\sqrt{-g}} - 1\right) l_M \tag{3}$$

Here l_M is a function of matter field and $g_{\mu\nu}$, and fundamental measure $\mu(x)$ defines the unimodular condition

$$\sqrt{-g} d^4x = \mu(x) d^4x \tag{4}$$

It is straightforward to calculate the ambiguity using Eq.(3)

$$\Delta T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^{\mu\nu} \ l_M}{2} \tag{5}$$

Taking the trace of Eq.(1) we get

$$2\lambda_F = -R - 8\pi G(T + 2l_M) \tag{6}$$

In [3] the ambiguous term (5) has a negative sign; it appears there is a lack of clarity whether $\Delta T^{\mu\nu}$ is equal to $(T'^{\mu\nu} - T^{\mu\nu})$ or $(T^{\mu\nu} - T'^{\mu\nu})$. Using expression (24) of [3] the expression for λ_F is different than given by Eq.(6); denoting it by λ'_F we have

$$2\lambda'_F = -R - 8\pi G(T - 2l_M) \tag{7}$$

Now, in the thermodynamical approach [5] the unimodular field equation is obtained to be

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Rg^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G(\delta < T^{\mu\nu} > -\frac{1}{4}\delta < T > g^{\mu\nu}) \quad (8)$$

Here $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ is the expectation value for quantum fields. A length parameter l for geodesic local causal diamond, and a curvature scale λ - for maximally symmetric spacetime appearing in $G^{00} = -\lambda g^{00}$ are introduced. Calculation of matter entanglement entropy shows that for nonconformal fields a scalar X is needed that depends on l. Trace of the equations of motion determine λ

$$\lambda = \frac{R}{4} + 8\pi G(\frac{\langle \delta T \rangle}{4} - \delta X) \tag{9}$$

It is easy to verify that formal equivalence of (7) and (9) holds with the following correspondence

$$\lambda \to -\frac{\lambda'_F}{2}; \ \delta < T > \to T; \ \delta X \to \frac{l_M}{2}$$
 (10)

First two identifications in (10) have no surprise: compare Einstein equation (1) given here and Eq.(27) in [5], and also note that quite often semiclassical arguments are used for expectation value for the energy tensor. The correspondence $\delta X \rightarrow \frac{l_M}{2}$ is the most intriguing part of the formal equivalence shown here. Let us try to explain it in the following. In [3] the unimodular ambguity in the action is purely a formal construct, in fact, authors state that, 'No physical result may depend on the choice of $\Delta_M L$, and so no physical experiments can determine $\Delta_M L'$. Though in the concluding part of [3] quantum vacuum effects for a variable cosmological constant are admitted, the physical interpretation of the ambiguity remains unexplained. Note that δX just like the ambiguity term l_M does not appear in the gravitational field equation, however it has physical interpretation as a measure of the nonconformability of quantum fields [5]. It would be natural to relate it with some kind of spacetime fluctuations in unimodular ambiguity. Do they have observable effect? Since δX in [5] and l_M in [3] do not affect gravity one may have to look for Aharonov-Bohm phase shift like effects, if any.

To summarize we make following points. [1] :- Einstein field equation as an equation of state of thermodynamical spacetime necessitates to probe spacetime structure at a

- J. L. Anderson and D. Finkelstein, Cosmological constant and fundamental length, Am. J. Phys. 39, 901 (1971)
- [2] S. C. Tiwari, A note on the unimodular theory of gravitation, J. Math. Phys. 34, 2465 (1993)
- [3] D. R. Finkelstein, A. A. Galiautdinov and J. E. Baugh. Unimodular relativity and cosmological constant, J. Math. Phys. 42, 340 (2001)
- S. C. Tiwari, Unimodular relativity and cosmological constant: Comments, arXiv: gr-qc/0310102 v1 (2003)
- [5] A. Alonso-Serrano and M. Liska, New perspective on thermodynamics of spacetime: The emergence of unimodular gravity and the equivalence of entropies, arXiv: 2008.04805 [gr-qc]; Phys. Rev. D 102, 104056 (2020)

fundamental level. Already in 1971 a cellular structure for spacetime was envisaged for unimodular relativity [1]. [2] :- Authors [5] show the equivalence of Clausius and matter entanglement entropy. A causal diamond filled with conformal matter is argued to have equivalence of two entropies. On the other hand, the unimodular theory in [1, 3] is based on the action principle. Could there be a unified picture for both? In this connection de Broglie's speculation [7] that the principle of least action is a particular case of the second law of thermodynamics deserves attention [8].

To conclude, the new perspective on the thermodynamics of spacetime [5], speculations on the discrete spacetime manifold in unimodular relativity [1, 3], and statistical nature of spacetime envisaged in [6, 8, 9] combined together suggest radical revision on the physical conception of space and time reality at a fundamental level.

- [6] S. C. Tiwari, Thermodynamics of spacetime and unimodular relativity, arXiv: gr-qc/0612099 v2 (2007)
- [7] L. de Broglie, A new interpretation concerning the existence of waves and particles, in Perspectives in Quantum Theory, ed. W. Yourgrau and A. van der Merwe (MIT Press, 1971)
- [8] S. C. Tiwari, Weyl geometry, topology of space-time and reality of electromagnetic potentials, and new perspective on particle physics, Phys. Scr. 96, 015304 (2021)
- [9] S. C. Tiwari, Viscous spacetime and higher curvature gravity, arXiv: 0705.3882 v1 [gr-qc] (2007)