## Gas flow pattern through small size RPCs with 2mm gap

Yousef Pezeshkian<sup>1</sup>, Amir Kiyoumarsioskouei<sup>2</sup>, Majid Ahmadpouri<sup>1</sup>, Ghasem Ghorbani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic Science, Sahand University of Technology

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sahand University of Technology

### Abstract

Single gap glass Resistive Plate Chambers with areas of  $17 \times 17 \text{ cm}^2$  and  $30 \times 30 \text{ cm}^2$  are constructed in the Particle Detector Laboratory in the Sahand University of Technology. Simulation of the gas flow through the chambers is performed using commercial ANSYS-Fluent package. We have shown that flow rate has a linear relation with the pressure of the gas inside the chamber. We have also investigated the dependence of the gas pressure on the length of the hose connected to the outlet of the chamber and shown that it varies linearly. Simulation results are compared with experimentally measured values.

Keywords: Resistive Plate Chamber, gas flow, ANSYS-Fluent.

# **1** Introduction

Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs) are widely used gaseous detectors in experimental particle physics with growing applications in medical and industrial imaging [1]. RPCs are attractive not only because of their great achievable time and position resolutions and hit rate capabilities but also for their simplicity. Consider a capacitor with dielectrics whose electrodes are connected to a high voltage (HV) power supply and a specific gas mixture is flowing inside the gap (between plates of the capacitor). Suitable adjustment of the HV makes this capacitor a particle detector, where a passage of a charged particle may ionize few particles of gas molecules and initiate an electron avalanche drifting toward the anode.

Regarding the vast application of RPCs in astroparticle experiments, in addition to the cost efficiency and production issues, we are convinced to consider using these naïve detectors in cosmic ray experiments in Iran. RPCs are the original detector of some astroparticle experiments like ground detector array of ARGO-YBJ [2], for studying Cosmic Rays (CR) or gamma rays; Indian Neutrino Observatory (INO) [3]; and Extreme Energy Events project (EEE) which is an active experiment using Multigap Resistive Plate Chambers (MRPCs) to study cosmic rays with energies above 10<sup>18</sup> eV [4].

There is a proposal to construct a new cosmic ray experiment at the Bilindi Mountain in the same location as Khaje Nasir Observatory of Tabriz University, near Zinjanab town, 2650 m a.s.l., and 20 km far from Tabriz City (30 km road). This future experiment, can utilize RPCs as detectors of a particle detectors array alongside a radio antenna array. We started studying and

constructing this kind of detectors with such perspective at Sahand University of Technology (SUT). RPCs can also be used as extension phases of CR experiments like Alborz-1 [5] and SURA [6] in Iran. Alborz-1 experiment in Iran uses 20 scintillation detectors to study cosmic rays [7], which are not designed for particle identification or density measurements. Semnan University's Radio Array experiment also needs some particle detectors to use as a trigger system. Using RPCs is the best choice for both experiments.

In this paper, we have introduced some details of SUTs designed and constructed RPCs. Then we have measured the gas leakage from the chamber. We also simulated the gas flow through the chamber and validated our simulation with measured values.

## 2 **RPCs design**

Our main considerations were to use materials available in the near market with low prices besides keeping the detector's performance at good operation conditions. We decided to build prototype RPCs in 2 sizes: those with  $10 \times 10$  cm<sup>2</sup> active areas are our reference detectors for laboratory tests and those with  $25 \times 25$  cm<sup>2</sup> active areas are proposed to be used in array experiments. Active area specifies boundaries of pads (or strips). Graphite coating on the outer surfaces of glasses acts as HV electrodes. HV electrodes are 2 cm wider than the pads (1 cm from each side) to avoid edge non-uniformities of electric field intensity. Chamber's area is again about 1 cm bigger from each side. All of the aforementioned sizes are given in Table 1 below.

	Active Detector Area	Graphite coating Area	Chamber size	Glass size
Small size	$10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$	$12 \times 12 \text{ cm}^2$	$\frac{14\times14 \text{ cm}^2}{28\times28 \text{ cm}^2}$	$17 \times 17 \text{ cm}^2$
Big Size	25×25 cm <sup>2</sup>	$26 \times 26 \text{ cm}^2$		$30 \times 30 \text{ cm}^2$

Table 1 Dimensional information of the fabricated RPCs for experiment

For gas nozzles, we have used medical infusion hoses (as in figure 1). Medical infusion hoses are chosen since they are narrow and flexible enough to be placed between two sheets with 2mm separation. They also provide proper connectors for our gas system.



Figure 1 above shows how infusion hoses are located in the chamber as a side gas inlet; blow shows an infusion set

#### **3** Gas leakage test

The unavoidable gas leakage from RPCs is important especially when an experiment requires the operation of detectors for a long time. In order to prohibit pressure drop inside the chambers, usually instead of using sealed and blocked chambers, a gas flow system is applied to provide a continuous flow of gas inside the RPCs. In any way, the rate of gas leakage is a noticeable parameter of RPCs.

In order to measure the pressure drop of a chamber (gas leakage) we set the static pressure inside the chamber to something about 200-250 Pa and blocked outlets. Pressure is recorded by using a commercially available sensor BOSCH BMP280 in period of 500 milliseconds for 1 hour. Gas leakage rates of  $14 \times 14$  cm<sup>2</sup> and  $28 \times 28$  cm<sup>2</sup> chambers for 1 hour are shown in Figure 2. For  $28 \times 28$  cm<sup>2</sup> chamber (left) the pressure difference of inside and outside of the chamber reduced from 200 Pa to 50 Pa after 1 hour. Several tests showed that the inlets are the main location of gas leakage. So, for the next generation of detectors, inlets should be designed and glued more precisely.



Figure 2 Pressure drop inside the chamber  $(28 \times 28 \text{ cm}^2)$  due to gas leakage

# 4 Gas flow simulation

A 3D CFD simulation has been performed to obtain characteristics of the gas mixture flow regime. The 3D CFD model was implemented in the commercial ANSYS-Fluent package. The CFD model considers a non-stationary laminar compressible transient flow. In order to avoid excessive simulation errors due to the sharp pressure gradients in cells near corner boundaries, an inflated mesh discretization was performed in the sharp edge situations. The aspect ratio of the quad-cells, the grid size, and the time step size were chosen fine enough to achieve mesh independence and ensure acceptable errors in flow simulations. Although the main simulation is a transient flow, the steady flow was used for pressure distribution calculations too. The resultant mesh has amounts between 40-200 thousand cells dependent on the size and domain geometry.

In the solving model, the first-order, pressure-based, implicit solver was used for transient formulation and momentum equations that lead to the pressure distribution. Furthermore, the species transport feature is activated in the unsteady simulation as well.

The flow simulation is performed to answer the following questions:

- 1- How long does it approximately take to air inside the chamber is replaced by our gas mixture? (Our gas mixture, i.e. new gas, fills the detector completely). We call it preparation time. How does this preparation time vary with flow rate or gas pressure inside the chamber?
- 2- How does the speed of gas molecules vary from the center to the corners of the chamber? Keeping in mind that gas comes in from one hole and goes out from another one, and 2 corners are blocked (Figure 5). This shows the uniformity of gas flow inside the chamber and dispersion of gas molecules speeds in different locations of the chamber. We also want to know what the average speed of gas molecules is.
- 3- For toxic and flammable gas mixtures we have to guide the exhausted gas to the outside of the laboratory. Therefore, using long hoses at the outlet of the chambers seems unavoidable. For a constant flow rate, the gas pressure inside the chamber depends on the length of the hose. Is there a general pattern that gives the pressure as a function of the hose's length?



Figure 3, Setup of experiment and simulation. Numbers show the positions in which gas parameters are obtained by simulation (see the text)

It is clear that the **preparation time**, the time required for having a uniform distribution of the original gas mixture inside the gap mainly depends on the chamber size and gas flow rate. Since the gas tends to travel the shortest route, positions inside the chamber labeled by numbers 1, 3, and 5 in Figure 3, will be replaced with new gas sooner than the rest. Therefore, preparation time is defined as a time that takes the 99% of the old gas at the edge of the opposite corners<sup>1</sup> of the active area of the chamber is replaced with a new one.

Results of simulation for preparation time and gas molecules speeds are summarized in Table 2 for small chamber size. Results belong to the gas flow rate of 200 mL/min and output hose length of 10 cm.

Pressure <sup>2</sup> (Pa	$41.04 \pm 0.05$		
Preparation time	32.36±0.5		
	1	in	0.237±0.001
Gas molecules speed	2	blocked	$0.005 \pm 0.001$
At specified positions (m/s)	3	middle	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
Figure 4	4	barometer	$0.002 \pm 0.001$
	5	out	$0.052 \pm 0.001$

Table 2 Gas molecules speed at 5 positions of the chamber specified in Figure 4

The simulation shows that the time needed to fill regions 1, 3, and 5 is less than 2s as the mass transfer mechanism in these regions is convection but the diffusion mechanism which is dominant in region 2 and 5 (as the speed of flow is not significant) causes the increase of the preparation time up to 32s. In the mentioned simulation, the preparation time is defined as the time needed for the average mole fraction of the argon gas in regions 2, 4, and 5 to reach 0.99 while the mole fraction of argon was zero at the beginning. Obviously, the preparation time for the larger chamber will be longer, but the amount of that needs more simulations.

The dependence of the flow rate on the pressure is studied both by simulation and experiment for both chamber sizes (see Table 2). Adjustment of the flow meter in the experiment was difficult and despite our efforts, there was more than 10% of inaccuracy. The difference between values obtained for pressure in experiment and simulation is less than 15% for small chamber, while it reaches more than 40% in bigger one at a flow rate of 500 mL/min. By considering the errors of reading flow rates and gas leakage from the chambers in the experiment, we can conclude that the results are compatible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Positions labeled by numbers 2 and 4

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  In all of this manuscript, for simplicity we use the term "Pressure" to show the pressure difference between inside and outside of the chamber.

Flow rate (mL/min)		50	100	200	300	400	500
14×14	Pressure (sim.)	13.6±0.4	27.8±0.7	58.5±1.5	93.5±2.4	131.8±3.4	172.0±4.4
$cm^2$	Pressure (exp.)	12±4	32±3	55±3	107±4	151±3	196±3
28×28	Pressure (sim.)	21.4±0.56	43.8±1.1	91.1±2.3	143.8±3.7	200.7±5.2	256±6.6
cm <sup>2</sup>	Pressure (exp.)	75±5	80±6	91±7	173±4	200±5	289±5

Table 2- Values obtained by simulation and experiment for Pressure of chambers as a function of Flow rates

In the pressure range usually used for RPCs (i.e. below 300Pa or 3mbar above the atmosphere), we can fit a linear function to simulation's data.



Figure 4 Pressure inside the chamber as a function of flow rate

According to the results of table 2, both experiments and simulations demonstrate that the increase of the flow rate causes the increase of the pressure drop in the system, in the other words, to establish more flow rates in the same chambers, more pressure inside the chamber is needed.

Finally, we repeat the simulation with different hose lengths from no hose until a hose with a length of 60cm. Results of simulation and experiment for 4 hose lengths are given in Table 3.

Table 3 - Change in pressure and preparation time when output hose length is increased. Flow rate is adjusted at 300 mL/min

Output hose length (cm)		0	15	30	45	60
14×14	Pressure (sim.) (Pa)	2.49±0.06	93.53±2.4	182.4±4.7	271.2±8	359.96±10
$cm^2$	Pressure (exp.) (Pa)	-	110±10	145±10	210±10	245±10
$28 \times 28$ cm <sup>2</sup>	Pressure (sim.) (Pa)	2.88±0.08	93.50±2.4	177.5±4.6	261.16±10	350±10
	Pressure (exp.) (Pa)		156±5	169±5	240±5	277±5

As obvious in table 3, the increase of the hose length causes more resistance on the downstream side of fluid flow therefore, more pressure needed to establish a constant flow rate. Also, the simulation confirms that the pressure inside the chamber is mostly a function of the hose length than the chamber size.

Figure 1 shows that Pressure will increase linearly by increasing hose length if we keep the flow rate constant. Using a much longer hose, which is necessary for guiding exhausted gas to the outside of the laboratory, without decreasing the flow rate, may harm the chamber.



Figure 5 Pressure inside the chamber as a function of output hose length

## **5** Conclusions

RPCs can be used in many particle physics and cosmic ray experiments. Single gap glass RPCs were constructed at the Sahand University of Technology. Necessary measures for optimization of the RPC setup were done in order to make it efficient for active cosmic ray experiments in Iran.

Results of simulations and experiments related to the flow of gas in RPCs showed that:

- 1- When the input gas valves open the old gas (usually air) will be replaced with a new one on a time scale of below a few minutes (i.e. preparation time is very short).
- 2- On the other hand, there are 2 orders of magnitude difference between the speed of molecules of gas inside the chamber (about 0.2 m/s in front of the gas inlet and 0.002 m/s in the opposite corner of the chamber).
- 3- It is found that there exists a linear relationship between the pressure and the flow rate. This gives us an experimental indicator to discover gas leakage by monitoring any deviation in pressure and flow rate relation.
- 4- Geometry, dimensions, and flow rate are the most important parameters explainer the intra-chamber pressure.

5- Since increasing the output hose length increases the pressure inside the chamber, therefore, reduction of the flow rate is needed to keep the pressure at a reasonable value. So, the gas mixing system needs more accurate gas flowmeters.

## **6 References:**

- [1] M. Abbrescia, V. Peskov, and P. Fonte, *Resistive gaseous detectors: designs, performance, and perspectives.* John Wiley & Sons, 2018.
- [2] G. Aielli *et al.*, "Layout and performance of RPCs used in the Argo-YBJ experiment," *Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip.*, vol. 562, no. 1, pp. 92–96, 2006.
- [3] D. Kaur *et al.*, "Characterization of 3 mm glass electrodes and development of RPC detectors for INO-ICAL experiment," *Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip.*, vol. 774, pp. 74–81, 2015.
- [4] M. Abbrescia *et al.*, "The EEE experiment: Cosmic rays, multigap resistive plate chambers and high school students," *Proc. Sci.*, vol. 2012-Febru, pp. 1–7, 2012.
- [5] S. Abdollahi, M. Bahmanabadi, Y. Pezeshkian, and S. Mortazavi Moghaddam, "Alborz-I array: A simulation on performance and properties of the array around the knee of the cosmic ray spectrum," *Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 76, pp. 1–8, 2016.
- [6] G. Rastegarzadeh and M. Sabouhi, "SURA: Semnan University Radio Array," *Exp. Astron.*, 2020.
- [7] Y. Pezeshkian, M. Bahmanabadi, M. Abbasian Motlagh, and M. Rezaie, "Scintillation detectors of Alborz-I experiment," *Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip.*, vol. 773, pp. 117–123, 2015.