

d -REPRESENTATION-FINITE SYMMETRIC NAKAYAMA ALGEBRAS AND TRIVIAL EXTENSIONS OF QUIVER ALGEBRAS

ERIK DARPÖ AND TOR KRINGELAND

ABSTRACT. We give a complete classification of all d -representation-finite symmetric Nakayama algebras, and of all d -representation-finite trivial extensions of path algebras of quivers, over an arbitrary field.

1. OUR RESULTS

Let k be a field. We denote by $T(A)$ the trivial extension algebra of a finite-dimensional k -algebra A , and by kQ the path algebra of a quiver Q . The purpose of this note is to prove the following two results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Q be a connected acyclic quiver, and d an integer greater than or equal to 2. Then $T(kQ)$ is d -representation-finite if and only if one of the following holds.*

- (a) *The quiver Q is of Dynkin type A_3 or A_6 , and $d = 2$;*
- (b) *Q is of Dynkin type A_n , and $d = 2n - 1$;*
- (c) *Q is of Dynkin type D_4 , and $d = 4$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let B be a ring-indecomposable symmetric Nakayama algebra with n simple modules and Loewy length $\ell(B) = an + 1$, $a \geq 1$, and d an integer greater than or equal to 2. Then B is d -representation-finite if and only if*

$$(a, n, d) \in \{(1, t, 2t - 1) \mid t \geq 2\} \cup \{(1, 3, 2), (1, 6, 2), (2, 3, 2)\}.$$

Here, an algebra is said to be d -representation-finite if it has a d -cluster-tilting module.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this note, k is a field, A a finite-dimensional k -algebra, and d an integer greater than or equal to 2. We denote by $\text{mod } A$ the category of finite-dimensional right A -modules. The *stable module category*, $\underline{\text{mod}} A$, is the quotient of $\text{mod } A$ by the ideal of morphisms factoring through a projective module. By $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} A$ we denote the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded finite-dimensional A -modules, and by $\underline{\text{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} A$ the corresponding stable category. If A is self-injective then $\underline{\text{mod}} A$ has the structure of a triangulated category, with suspension functor given by the co-syzygy functor: $\Omega^{-1} : \underline{\text{mod}} A \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}} A$.

A d -cluster-tilting subcategory of an abelian or triangulated category \mathcal{C} is a functorially finite full subcategory $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{C}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &= \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \forall U \in \mathcal{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \text{Ext}^i(X, U) = 0\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \forall U \in \mathcal{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \text{Ext}^i(U, X) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

A d -cluster-tilting module is an A -module M for which additive closure, $\text{add } M$, forms a d -cluster-tilting subcategory of $\text{mod } A$. An algebra A is said to be d -representation-finite if it has a d -cluster-tilting module.

We denote by D the standard k -duality: $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k) : \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$. The *trivial extension* of A is the algebra

$$T(A) = A \oplus DA \quad \text{with multiplication} \quad (a, f)(b, g) = (ab, ag + fb),$$

Key words and phrases. Higher-dimensional Auslander–Reiten theory, cluster tilting, d -representation-finite, trivial extension, Dynkin quiver.

where the products ag and fb are given by the bimodule structure of DA . Trivial extension algebras are *symmetric*, meaning that $T(A)$ and $DT(A)$ are isomorphic as $T(A)$ -bimodules.

Let \mathcal{C} be a k -linear triangulated category with k -dual $D : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. A *Serre functor* on \mathcal{C} is an auto-equivalence $\mathbb{S} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying a bi-functorial isomorphism $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{S}(Y)) \simeq DC(Y, X)$. For any symmetric algebra A , the syzygy functor Ω is a Serre functor on $\underline{\text{mod}} A$. If A is an algebra of finite global dimension, then *the Nakayama functor*

$$\nu = D \circ \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_A(-, A) \simeq - \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} DA : \mathcal{D}^b(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(A)$$

is a Serre functor on $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$. In this case, Happel's theorem [7] gives a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}^b(A) \simeq \underline{\text{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} T(A),$$

under which the grade shift functor (1) on $\underline{\text{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} T(A)$ corresponds to the auto-equivalence $\nu \circ [1]$ of $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$.

Let Q be a quiver of simply laced Dynkin type. Then the path algebra kQ [4], as well as its trivial extension $T(kQ)$ [10], is representation finite. The forgetful functor $\underline{\text{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} T(kQ) \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}} T(kQ)$ is dense (that is, every $T(kQ)$ -module is gradable) [5] and there are triangle equivalences

$$\underline{\text{mod}} T(kQ) \simeq (\underline{\text{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} T(kQ)) / (1) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(kQ) / (\nu \circ [1]).$$

It follows that basic d -cluster-tilting modules of $T(kQ)$ correspond bijectively to d -cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ that are invariant under the functor $\nu \circ [1]$.

The category of indecomposable objects in the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is equivalent to the mesh category of the stable translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$, and the Auslander–Reiten translation on $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is given by $\tau = \nu \circ [-1]$. In particular, every indecomposable object in $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is isomorphic to $\tau^l(P)$ for a unique indecomposable projective kQ -module P and integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. [7, Section I.5]

Proposition 2.1 ([8, Proposition 3.4]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a k -linear triangulated category with a Serre functor \mathbb{S} . Then every d -cluster-tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C} satisfies $(\mathbb{S} \circ [-d])(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.*

For A of finite global dimension, we write

$$\nu_d = \nu \circ [-d] : \mathcal{D}^b(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(A).$$

Let $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l > 0$. An algebra A of finite global dimension is *twisted (m/l) -Calabi–Yau* if there exists a k -linear automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}_k(A)$ such that $\nu^l \simeq [m] \circ \phi^*$, where ϕ^* denotes the auto-functor $\mathcal{D}^b(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ induced by ϕ . If $\phi = \text{id}$, then A is (m/l) -Calabi–Yau. The algebra A is *(twisted) fractionally Calabi–Yau* if it is (twisted) (m/l) -Calabi–Yau for some l and m . We remark that for an (m/l) -Calabi–Yau algebra A , the rational number m/l is uniquely determined by A .

Proposition 2.2 ([9, 0.3], see also [2, Theorem 8.1]). *Let Q be a quiver of simply laced Dynkin type. The path algebra kQ is $(h-2)/h$ -Calabi–Yau, where h denotes the Coxeter number of the Dynkin diagram. If Q is of type A_1 , D_{2m} , E_7 or E_8 , then kQ is $(\frac{h}{2}-1) / (\frac{h}{2})$ -Calabi–Yau.*

Q	A_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8
h	$n+1$	$2(n-1)$	12	18	30

TABLE 1. Coxeter numbers of Dynkin quivers.

Lemma 2.3. *The following isomorphisms of triangle functors $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ hold.*

$$[h-2] \simeq \nu^h, \tag{1}$$

$$\nu \circ [1] \simeq \tau^{1-h}, \tag{2}$$

$$[2] \simeq \tau^{-h} \simeq (\nu \circ [1]) \circ \tau^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. The existence of an isomorphism $[h - 2] \simeq \nu^h$ of triangle functors follows from [2, Proposition 2.7(b)]. It implies that $[2] \simeq \nu^{-h} \circ [h] \simeq \tau^{-h}$, giving the first isomorphism in (3). Now, $\nu \circ [1] \simeq \tau \circ [2] \simeq \tau^{1-h}$, proving (2), whence $\tau^{-h} \simeq \tau^{1-h} \circ \tau^{-1} \simeq (\nu \circ [1]) \circ \tau^{-1}$, concluding the proof of (3). \square

Lemma 2.4. *If $T(kQ)$ is d -representation-finite, then $(d + 1) \mid 2(h - 1)$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant d -cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$. By Lemma 2.3(1), there are isomorphisms

$$[2(h - 1)] = [h - 2] \circ [h] \simeq \nu^h \circ [h] \simeq (\nu \circ [1])^h$$

of triangle functors $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ and thus $\mathcal{U}[2(h - 1)] = (\nu \circ [1])^h(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{U}[d + 1] = \nu_d(\mathcal{U}[d + 1]) = (\nu \circ [1])(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U},$$

and it follows that $\mathcal{U}[g] = \mathcal{U}$, where $g = \gcd(d + 1, 2(h - 1))$.

For all $X, Y \in \mathcal{U}$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, Y[r]) = \text{Ext}^r(X, Y) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{U}[r] = \mathcal{U}$ is impossible for all $r < d$ and, consequently, $g \in \{d, d + 1\}$. With $g \mid d$ and $d \geq 2$, this implies that $g = d + 1$, and thus $(d + 1) \mid 2(h - 1)$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Theorem 1.2 is an application of [3, Section 5], in which a characterisation of all d -representation-finite self-injective Nakayama algebras was given. Throughout this section, let B be a ring-indecomposable symmetric Nakayama k -algebra with n simple modules and Loewy length $\ell(B) = an + 1$. Specifying Theorem 5.1 of [3] to this situation we get the following result. As usual, d is an integer greater than or equal to 2.

Proposition 3.1. *The algebra B is d -representation-finite if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $((an + 1)(d - 1) + 2) \mid 2n$;
- (b) $((an + 1)(d - 1) + 2) \mid tn$, where $t = \gcd(d + 1, 2an)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The condition (a) in Proposition 3.1 holds if and only if $(a, n, d) = (1, 3, 2)$.*

Proof. As $(an + 1)(d - 1) + 2 > an$, the condition (a) is equivalent to $a = 1$ and $(n + 1)(d - 1) + 2 = 2n$. The last equation can be re-written as $(n + 1)(3 - d) = 4$. Since $d \geq 2$, this holds if and only if $n = 3$ and $d = 2$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If B is d -representation-finite then $(d + 1) \mid 2n$.*

In particular, the condition (b) in Proposition 3.1 is equivalent to

$$((an + 1)(d - 1) + 2) \mid (d + 1)n.$$

Proof. Since B is a ring-indecomposable symmetric Nakayama algebra, the Auslander–Reiten translate $\tau \simeq \Omega^2 : \underline{\text{mod}} B \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}} B$ cyclically permutes the simple modules [1, Corollary IV.2.12]. Hence $\Omega^{2n}(S) \simeq S$ for all simple modules $S \in \text{mod } B$. As moreover $\ell(\Omega^2(M)) = \ell(M)$ for all $M \in \text{mod } B$ [1, Corollary IV.2.9], and indecomposable B -modules are classified by their tops and Loewy lengths [1, Lemma IV.2.5], it follows that $\Omega^{2n}(M) \simeq M$ for all $M \in \underline{\text{mod}} B$.

Let M be a d -cluster-tilting B -module. Then $\Omega^{d+1}(M) = \mathbb{S}_d(M) \simeq M$ in $\underline{\text{mod}} B$ which, combined with $\Omega^{2n}(M) \simeq M$ yields $\Omega^{\gcd(d+1, 2n)}(M) \simeq M$. Since $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\Omega^r(M), M) \simeq \text{Ext}^r(M, M) = 0$ for all $r \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$ this implies that $\gcd(d + 1, 2n) \geq d$ and, as $d \geq 2$, it follows that $(d + 1) \mid 2n$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If the condition (b) in Proposition 3.1 holds, then either $(a, n, d) = (2, 3, 2)$ or $a = 1$.*

Proof. If (b) holds then

$$((an + 1)(d - 1) + 2) \leq tn = (d + 1)n,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(a - 1)(d - 1)n + d + 1 \leq 2n.$$

Thus $(a-1)(d-1) = 1$, implying that either $a = 1$ or $a = d = 2$. In the latter case, it follows that $n = d+1 = 3$. \square

Summarising the results above, we see that B is d -representation-finite if and only if either $(a, n, d) \in \{(1, 3, 2), (2, 3, 2)\}$, or $a = 1$, $(d+1) \mid 2n$ and

$$((n+1)(d-1)+2) \mid (d+1)n. \quad (4)$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need to show that in the latter case, either $d = 2n-1$ or $(d, n) = (2, 6)$ holds.

Set $2n = b(d+1)$, where $b \geq 1$. Multiplying each side of (4) with 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 2((n+1)(d-1)+2) \mid 2n(d+1) \\ \Leftrightarrow & (b(d+1)(d-1)+2(d+1)) \mid b(d+1)^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow & (b(d-1)+2) \mid b(d+1). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let $c \geq 1$ be such that $b(d+1) = c(b(d-1)+2)$. Then $(c-1)(d-1)b = 2(b-c) < 2b$, whence $(c-1)(d-1) < 2$, so either $c = 1$, or $c = d = 2$. Thus (5) holds if and only if either $b(d-1)+2 = b(d+1)$, or $d = 2$ and $3b = 2(2b+2)$. The former case $b = 1$ and $d = 2n-1$, and the latter $(d, n) = (2, 6)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

To start, observe that if Q is a quiver of Dynkin type A_n , then $T(kQ)$ is a symmetric Nakayama algebra with n simple modules and Loewy length $n+1$. By Theorem 1.2, such an algebra is d -representation-finite if and only if either $d = 2n-1$, or $d = 2$ and $n \in \{3, 6\}$. This establishes the claim in Theorem 1.1 for Dynkin quivers of type A .

Proposition 4.1. *Let Q be an acyclic quiver. If $T(kQ)$ is d -representation-finite for some d , then Q is a simply laced Dynkin quiver.*

Proof. By [2, Theorem 1.3], if $T(kQ)$ is d -representation-finite then kQ is twisted fractionally Calabi–Yau. The latter property is satisfied only if Q is a Dynkin quiver:

If $\nu^l \simeq [m] \circ \phi^*$ for some $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l > 0$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut}_k(kQ)$, then $\nu_1^{-l}(kQ) \simeq (\phi^*)^{-1}(kQ)[l-m] \simeq kQ[m-l]$. On the other hand, if Q is not Dynkin then kQ is a representation-infinite hereditary algebra and thus, for all $l > 0$, $\nu_1^{-l}(kQ) = \tau^{-l}(kQ) \in \mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is a non-projective stalk complex concentrated in degree zero [1, Proposition VIII.1.15]. So in this case, $\nu_1^{-l}(kQ)$ is not isomorphic to $kQ[l-m]$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and thus kQ is not twisted fractionally Calabi–Yau. \square

It remains to consider quivers of Dynkin types D and E . For a vertex i of the quiver Q , we denote by P_i the projective cover of the simple kQ -module supported at i , and by $\mathcal{O}_i = \text{add}\{\tau^l(P_i) \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ the τ -orbit of P_i in $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$. Recall that if Q is a Dynkin quiver then every indecomposable object in $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is contained in precisely one of the orbits \mathcal{O}_i .




FIGURE 1. The Dynkin diagram \mathbb{E}_m , $m \in \{6, 7, 8\}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let Q be a quiver of Dynkin type E . Then $T(kQ)$ is not d -representation-finite for any $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let Q be an a quiver of Dynkin type E_m , $m \in \{6, 7, 8\}$, with vertices enumerated as in Figure 1. Recall that $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is equivalent to the mesh category of the stable translation quiver

$\mathbb{Z}Q$ and that, by Lemma 2.3(3), $[2] \simeq (\nu \circ [1]) \circ \tau^{-1}$ holds on $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$. Let $X \in \mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ be an indecomposable object. From the shape of $\mathbb{Z}Q$, one readily reads off the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])^2(X), X[4]) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tau^{-2}(X)) \neq 0, & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{O}_4; \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])^3(X), X[6]) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tau^{-3}(X)) \neq 0, & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{O}_1; \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])^{3-m}(X), X[2(3-m)]) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tau^{3-m}(X)) \neq 0, & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{O}_m; \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])(X), X[2]) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tau^{-1}(X)) \neq 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant subcategory \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}[r]) \neq 0$ for some $r \in \{1, \dots, 2(3-m)\}$. Observe that $2(m-3) < h-2$ for each $m = 6, 7, 8$, where $h = 12, 18, 30$ is the Coxeter number of E_m , so if $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ has a $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant d -cluster-tilting subcategory then $d < h-2$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4, the existence of such a subcategory implies that $(d+1) \mid 2(h-1)$. Since $h-1 \in \{11, 17, 29\}$ is a prime number and $d+1 < h-1$, it follows that $d+1 = 2$ and thus $d = 1$. So $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ does not have a $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant d -cluster-tilting subcategory for any $d \geq 2$, and hence $T(kQ)$ is not d -representation-finite. \square

For the remainder of this section, let Q be a quiver of Dynkin type D_n , with $n \geq 4$ and vertices enumerated as in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The Dynkin diagram \mathbb{D}_n

Grimeland [6] has studied invariance properties of 2-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ for representation-finite hereditary algebras A . The following result is a direct consequence of [6, Corollary 44].

Proposition 4.3. *The category $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ does not have a $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant 2-cluster-tilting subcategory; hence, $T(kQ)$ is not 2-representation-finite.*

Now, assume that $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ has a $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant d -cluster-tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} for some $d \geq 3$. Since the Coxeter number of D_n is $h = 2n - 2$, Lemma 2.4 tells us that

$$(d+1) \mid 2(2n-3). \quad (6)$$

This immediately implies that $d \neq 3$, since $2(2n-3) = 4n-6$ is not divisible by 4.

Lemma 4.4. *The d -cluster-tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ is contained in $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_n)$, but not in \mathcal{O}_1 .*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{U}$ be indecomposable. Since \mathcal{U} is $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant and $d \geq 4$, by Lemma 2.3(3) we get that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tau^{-1}X) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])(X), X[2]) = 0.$$

This implies that $X \in \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_n$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}_1$, and hence that $X \in \mathcal{O}_1$. From the shape of $\mathbb{Z}Q$, one reads off that there exists a unique indecomposable $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{O}_n$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tilde{X}) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $f : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a non-zero morphism and $Y \in \mathcal{O}_1$ an indecomposable object not isomorphic to X , then every morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ factors through f . Hence, f induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, Y) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(\tilde{X}, Y)$.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_1[1] = \mathcal{O}_1$. Now, for any indecomposable $Y \in \mathcal{U}$ and any $r \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, Y[r])$, implying that $Y[r] \not\simeq X$, and thus

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(\tilde{X}, Y[r]) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, Y[r]) = 0.$$

This proves that $\tilde{X} \in \{Z \in \mathcal{D}^b(kQ) \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i(Z, \mathcal{U}) = 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}\} = \mathcal{U}$; hence, $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ is impossible. \square

With the following proposition, we conclude the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.5. *The algebra $T(kQ)$ is d -representation-finite only if $d = n = 4$.*

Proof. As before, \mathcal{U} denotes a $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant d -cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$. By Lemma 4.4, there exists some indecomposable object $X \in \mathcal{U}$ that is contained in either \mathcal{O}_{n-1} or \mathcal{O}_n . As $[4] \simeq \tau^{-2} \circ (\nu \circ [1])^2$ by Lemma 2.3(3), we get

$$0 \neq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(X, \tau^{-2}(X)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])^2(X), X[4]),$$

and since $X, (\nu \circ [1])^2(X) \in \mathcal{U}$ this implies that $d \leq 4$. On the other hand, from Proposition 4.3 and (6) we know that $d > 3$, hence $d = 4$.

Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(\tau^{2r}(X), X) \neq 0$ if and only if $0 \leq 2r \leq n - 2$. From the definitions, we get the following isomorphisms of triangle functors on $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$:

$$(\nu \circ [1])^3 \circ \nu_4 \circ [-3] \simeq \nu^4 \circ [3 - 4 - 3] \simeq \tau^4.$$

As \mathcal{U} is 4-cluster-tilting, it is ν_4 -equivariant by Proposition 2.1, and it follows that

$$0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}((\nu \circ [1])^3 \circ \nu_4(X), X[3]) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)}(\tau^4(X), X)$$

implying that $4 > n - 2$, that is, $n < 6$. On the other hand, the condition (6) for $d = 4$ becomes $5 \mid 2(2n - 3)$ or, equivalently, $5 \mid (n + 1)$. Hence, $n = 4$. \square

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, it remains only to show that $T(kQ)$ is 4-representation-finite in case Q is of Dynkin type D_4 . To this end, consider the quiver Q given by Figure 3, and let

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{add}\{\tau^{5l}(P_1 \oplus P_4) \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(kQ).$$

By Lemma 2.3(2), $\nu \circ [1] \simeq \tau^{1-h} = \tau^{-5}$, so \mathcal{U} is $(\nu \circ [1])$ -equivariant. Moreover, by Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 2 & \longrightarrow & 3 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 4 & & \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Quiver Q of Dynkin type D_4 .

kQ is 2/3-Calabi–Yau, and hence $[1] \simeq \tau^{-3}$ on $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$. It is now straightforward to verify that \mathcal{U} is a 4-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$, thus concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1.




FIGURE 4. The Auslander–Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^b(kQ)$ for Q of type D_4 , with the 4-cluster-tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} indicated in black.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] A. Chan, E. Darpö, O. Iyama, and R. Marczinzik. Periodic trivial extension algebras and fractionally Calabi–Yau algebras, 2020.
- [3] E. Darpö and O. Iyama. d -representation-finite self-injective algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 362:106932, 50, 2020.
- [4] P. Gabriel. Unzerlegbare Darstellungen. I. *Manuscripta Math.*, 6:71–103; correction, *ibid.* 6 (1972), 309, 1972.
- [5] R. Gordon and E. L. Green. Representation theory of graded Artin algebras. *J. Algebra*, 76(1):138–152, 1982.
- [6] B. Grimland. Periodicity of cluster tilting objects, 2016.

- [7] D. Happel. *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, volume 119 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [8] O. Iyama and Y. Yoshino. Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules. *Invent. Math.*, 172(1):117–168, 2008.
- [9] J. Miyachi and A. Yekutieli. Derived Picard groups of finite-dimensional hereditary algebras. *Compositio Math.*, 129(3):341–368, 2001.
- [10] H. Tachikawa. Representations of trivial extensions of hereditary algebras. In *Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979)*, volume 832 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 579–599. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

(Darpö) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

(Kringeland) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NTNU, 7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY