

SHARP QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATES OF STRUWE'S DECOMPOSITION

BIN DENG, LIMING SUN, AND JUN-CHENG WEI

ABSTRACT. Suppose $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In a seminal work, Struwe proved that if $u \geq 0$ and $\|\Delta u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{H^{-1}} := \Gamma(u) \rightarrow 0$ then $\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow 0$, where $\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{T})$ denotes the $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -distance of u from the manifold of sums of Talenti bubbles. Ciraolo, Figalli and Maggi obtained the first quantitative version of Struwe's decomposition with one bubble in all dimensions, namely $\delta(u) \leq C\Gamma(u)$. For Struwe's decomposition with two or more bubbles, Figalli and Glaudo showed a striking dimensional dependent quantitative estimate, namely $\delta(u) \leq C\Gamma(u)$ when $3 \leq n \leq 5$ while this is false for $n \geq 6$. In this paper, we show that

$$\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{T}) \leq C \begin{cases} \Gamma(u) |\log \Gamma(u)|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ |\Gamma(u)|^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}} & \text{if } n \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we show that this inequality is sharp.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and main results. The Sobolev inequality with exponent 2 states that, for any $n \geq 3$ and any $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) := D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$S\|u\|_{L^{2^*}} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and $S = S(n)$ is a dimensional constant.

It is well-known that the Euler-Lagrange equation of (1.1), i.e., critical points of Sobolev inequality, up to scaling, is given by

$$\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.2)$$

Throughout this paper, we denote $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. By Caffarelli et al. [6] and Gidas et al. [18], it is known that all the positive solutions are *Talenti bubbles* [23], i.e.

$$U[z, \lambda](x) := (n(n-2))^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2|x-z|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

These are all the minimizers of the Sobolev inequality, up to scaling. There are many interests regarding to the stability of (1.1). From the perspective of discrepancy in the Sobolev inequality, Bianchi and Egnell [3] gave a quantitative estimate near the minimizers, that is

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla(u - \alpha U[z, \lambda])\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(n) (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - S^2\|u\|_{L^{2^*}}^2). \quad (1.4)$$

Date: April 27, 2021 (Last Typeset).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35A23, 26D10; Secondary 35B35, 35J20.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev inequality, stability, quantitative estimates, Struwe's decomposition, reduction method.

A natural and more challenging perspective is through Euler-Lagrange equation, that is, whether a function u that almost solves (1.2) must be quantitatively close to Talenti bubbles. There are many obstacles to address this question. First, (1.2) has many other sign-changing solutions [12, 14]. Second, u could be the sum of many weakly interacting Talenti bubbles even if we restrict to the non-negative functions. In fact, a seminal work of Struwe [22] showed this is always the case, at least for non-negative functions.

Theorem 1.1 (Struwe [22]). *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\nu \geq 1$ be positive integers. Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of non-negative functions such that $(\nu - \frac{1}{2}) S^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_k|^2 \leq (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) S^n$ with $S = S(n)$ as in (1.1), and assume that*

$$\|\Delta u_k + u_k^{2^*-1}\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exist a sequence $(z_1^{(k)}, \dots, z_\nu^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ν -tuples of points in \mathbb{R}^n and a sequence $(\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_\nu^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ν -tuples of positive real numbers such that

$$\left\| \nabla \left(u_k - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U[z_i^{(k)}, \lambda_i^{(k)}] \right) \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

One can also show that the family of $U[z_i^{(k)}, \lambda_i^{(k)}]$ has the so-called weak interaction.

Definition 1.2 (Interaction of Talenti bubbles). Let $U[z_i, \lambda_i]$ and $U[z_j, \lambda_j]$ be two bubbles. Define the interaction of them by

$$q(z_i, z_j, \lambda_i, \lambda_j) = \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i \lambda_j |z_i - z_j|^2 \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}. \quad (1.5)$$

We shall write $q_{ij} = q(z_i, z_j, \lambda_i, \lambda_j)$ if there is no confusion. Let $(U_i = U[z_i, \lambda_i])_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ be a family of Talenti bubbles. We say that the family is δ -interacting if

$$Q := \max\{q_{ij} : i, j = 1, \dots, \nu\} < \delta. \quad (1.6)$$

Despite the difficulty of non-negativity issue, one can still investigate the problem locally. That is, if u is already near to a sum of weakly-interacting Talenti bubbles in \dot{H}^1 -norm, then $\|\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1}\|_{H^{-1}}$ should control the distance from \mathcal{T} , the manifold of sums of weakly-interacting Talenti bubbles. Along this direction, Ciruolo et al. [8] obtained the first quantitative estimate $\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{T}) \leq C \|\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}}$ for all $n \geq 3$ when $\nu = 1$, i.e., when only one bubble is present. Later, Figalli and Glaudo [15] established the following theorem for any finite number of bubbles.

Theorem 1.3 (Figalli and Glaudo [15]). *For any dimension $3 \leq n \leq 5$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a small constant $\delta = \delta(n, \nu) > 0$ and a large constant $C = C(n, \nu) > 0$ such that the following statement holds. Let $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function such that*

$$\left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \nabla \tilde{U}_i \right\|_{L^2} \leq \delta,$$

where $(\tilde{U}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ is a δ -interacting family of Talenti bubbles. Then there exist ν Talenti bubbles U_1, U_2, \dots, U_ν such that

$$\left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \nabla U_i \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1}\|_{H^{-1}}. \quad (1.7)$$

However, Figalli and Glaudo constructed some counter examples that (1.7) does not work in $n \geq 6$ if $\nu > 1$. They conjectured that one needs to modify the RHS of (1.7) to $\Gamma |\log \Gamma|$ when $n = 6$ and to $|\Gamma|^\gamma$ for some $\gamma < 1$ when $n \geq 7$, where $\Gamma = \|\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1}\|_{H^{-1}}$. However, the exact value of γ is not known.

In this paper, we give affirmative answers to both of them.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $n \geq 6$. There exist a small enough $\delta = \delta(n, \nu) > 0$ and a large constant $C = C(n, \nu) > 0$ such that the following statement holds. Let $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function such that*

$$\left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \nabla \tilde{U}_i \right\|_{L^2} \leq \delta, \quad (1.8)$$

where $(\tilde{U}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ is a δ -interacting family of Talenti bubbles. Then there exist ν Talenti bubbles U_1, U_2, \dots, U_ν such that

$$\left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \nabla U_i \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \begin{cases} \Gamma |\log \Gamma|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ \Gamma^{\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } n \geq 7, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

for $\Gamma = \|\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1}\|_{H^{-1}}$.

Note that our theorem completely solves the remaining cases in higher dimensions $n \geq 6$. Moreover, we improve the conjecture of [15] when $n = 6$. After finding out this intriguing power $\frac{p}{2}$, we went back to check the counter examples in [15]. Their examples show that there exists $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $n = 7$ such that

$$\inf_{\substack{z_1, \dots, z_\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu > 0}} \left\| \nabla u - \sum_i \nabla U_i \right\|_{L^2} \gtrsim \Gamma^{\frac{9}{10}}.$$

Notice the fact that $\frac{9}{10} = \frac{p}{2}$ when $n = 7$ exactly implies that (1.9) is sharp in this case. Indeed, we can prove that our result (1.9) is sharp for all $n \geq 6$.

Theorem 1.5. *For sufficiently large $R > 0$, there exists some ρ such that if $u = U[-Re_1, 1] + U[Re_1, 1] + \rho$, then*

$$\inf_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0}} \left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla U[z_i, \lambda_i] \right\|_{L^2} \gtrsim \begin{cases} \Gamma |\log \Gamma|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ \Gamma^{\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } n \geq 7. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.4, we obtain the following sharp quantitative estimates of Struwe's decomposition.

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose $n \geq 6$. There exist a small enough $\delta = \delta(n, \nu) > 0$ and a large constant $C = C(n, \nu) > 0$ such that the following statement holds. For any non-negative function $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\left(\nu - \frac{1}{2}\right) S^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) S^n,$$

there exist ν Talenti bubbles U_1, U_2, \dots, U_ν such that

$$\left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \nabla U_i \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \begin{cases} \Gamma |\log \Gamma|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ \Gamma^{\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } n \geq 7, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

for $\Gamma = \|\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1}\|_{H^{-1}}$. Furthermore, for any $i \neq j$, the interaction between the bubbles can be estimated as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_i^p U_j \leq C \|\Delta u + u^p\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

Finally we remark that recently there has been a growing interest in understanding quantitative stability for functional and geometric inequalities, due to important applications to problems in the calculus of variations and PDEs. For extension of (1.4) to Sobolev inequality with general exponents we refer to Figalli and Neumayer [16] and the references therein. Stability results on Sobolev inequality can be used to obtain quantitative rates of convergence for fast diffusion equations. We refer to Bonforte and Figalli [4], del Pino and Sáez [9] and the references therein. There is also a rich literature on the study of quantitative versions of the isoperimetric inequality and other geometric inequalities analogous to the Sobolev inequality. (A nice description of comparison between Sobolev inequality and isoperimetric inequality can be found in Figalli and Glaudo [15].) We refer to Brasco et al. [5], Cavalletti et al. [7], Delgadino et al. [13], Figalli and Glaudo [15], Fusco et al. [17], Maggi [19] and the references therein.

1.2. Sketch of the proof. We briefly explain the ideas of our proof. Throughout this paper, we shall write that $a \lesssim b$ (resp. $a \gtrsim b$) if $a \leq Cb$ (resp. $Ca \geq b$) where C is a constant depending only on the dimension n and on the number of bubbles ν . The constant C may change line by line. Also, we say that $a \approx b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$. The integral \int always means $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ unless specified. We always denote with $o(1)$ any quantity that goes to 0 when δ goes to 0. The common notion $o(Q)$ means $o(Q)/Q$ goes to 0 when Q goes to 0.

Suppose u satisfies (1.8) with a family of δ -interacting bubbles. Consider the following variational problem

$$\delta(u) := \inf_{\substack{z_1, \dots, z_\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu > 0}} \left\| \nabla u - \nabla \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U[\bar{z}_i, \bar{\lambda}_i] \right) \right\|_{L^2}.$$

It is easy to know that (for instance, see [1, Appendix A]) if δ is small enough then such an infimum is achieved by some

$$\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U[z_i, \lambda_i]. \quad (1.12)$$

Let us denote $U_i := U[z_i, \lambda_i]$. Since the bubbles \tilde{U}_i are δ -interacting, the family $(U_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ is δ' -interacting for some δ' that goes to 0 as δ goes to 0.

Let $\rho := u - \sigma$ be the difference between the original function and the best approximation. Then ρ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta \rho + [(\sigma + \rho)^p - \sigma^p] + \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p + f = 0, \quad (1.13)$$

where $f = -\Delta u - u|u|^{p-1}$. Moreover, ρ also satisfies the following orthogonal conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla Z_i^a = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq \nu, 1 \leq a \leq n+1, \quad (1.14)$$

where Z_i^a are the (rescaled) derivatives of $U[z_i, \lambda_i]$ with respect to a -th component of z_i and λ_i (defined in (3.1)).

The linearized operator of (2.3) at 0 is $\Delta + p\sigma^{p-1}$, which will have kernels when σ is the sum of a family of weakly-interacting bubbles. The non-homogeneous term $\sigma^p - \sum_i U_i^p$ is the main data which encodes the interaction of bubbles. Since the linearized operator have kernels, f should be interpreted as some Lagrange multiplier. The key idea of this paper is to obtain a precise behavior of the first approximation of ρ .

To illustrate the main idea, we start with the easiest case. Assume $U_i = U[z_i, 1]$ is a family of δ -interacting bubbles with the same height. Since δ is very small, z_i are far from each other. Define $R = \min\{\frac{1}{2}|z_i - z_j| : i \neq j\}$ and then $Q \approx R^{2-n}$.

By some standard finite-dimensional reduction method (see for example [11, 25]), given a family of $(U_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ which is δ' -interacting, we can find a function ρ_0 (in an appropriate space) and a family of scalars (c_a^i) such that we can solve

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \rho_0 + [(\sigma + \rho_0)^p - \sigma^p] = -(\sigma^p - \sum_j U_j^p) + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} c_a^i U_i^{p-1} Z_i^a, \\ \int \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla Z_i^a = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu; a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

In the core of each bubble U_j , i.e. $\{x : |x - z_j| < R\}$, we have $U_j \gg U_k$ for $k \neq j$. Then

$$\left| \sigma^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} U_k^p \right| \lesssim \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{\nu} U_j^{p-1} U_k \lesssim \frac{R^{2-n}}{1 + |x - z_j|^4} = R^{2-n} \langle x - z_j \rangle^{-4}. \quad (1.16)$$

Here $\langle y \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |y|^2}$. In the outer region $\Omega = \{x : |x - z_j| > R, \forall j = 1, \dots, \nu\}$, one has

$$\left| \sigma^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} U_k^p \right| (x) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} U_j^p(x) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{1 + |x - z_j|^{n+2}} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} R^{-4} \langle x - z_j \rangle^{2-n}.$$

Thus the solution ρ_0 to (1.15) should have the following control

$$|\rho_0(x)| \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} R^{2-n} \langle x - z_j \rangle^{-2} \chi_{\{|x - z_j| < R\}} + R^{-4} \langle x - z_j \rangle^{4-n} \chi_{\Omega}. \quad (1.17)$$

Here χ_{Ω} is the characteristic function for a set Ω . This type of point-wise estimate is the key to our proof. Notice that ρ_0 decay very slow in the core of each bubble. Next, we shall

multiply ρ_0 to (1.15)¹, and integrate it to find the following estimate

$$\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-4}|\log R|^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx Q|\log Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & n = 6, \\ R^{2-n}R^{\frac{n-6}{2}} \approx Q^{\frac{n}{2}}, & n \geq 7. \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

Here the dimension of the space plays an important role in the integration. Estimates (1.17)-(1.18) show that the contributions to $\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{T})$ also come from the far away behavior of u . This is one of the main difficulties in obtaining the sharp quantitative estimates for Struwe's decomposition.

Now let $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1$. Then (1.13) and (1.15) implies ρ_1 will satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\rho_1 + [(\sigma + \rho_0 + \rho_1)^p - (\sigma + \rho_0)^p] + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} c_a^j U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a + f = 0, \\ \int \nabla\rho_1 \cdot \nabla Z_j^a = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu; a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

Observe the equation of ρ_1 does not contain the interaction term $\sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p$. Therefore, ρ_1 should depend on a higher order of Q . Indeed, Proposition 3.12 proves that $\|\nabla\rho_1\| \lesssim Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}$. Combining with previous estimate of $\nabla\rho_0$, we get

$$\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}} + \begin{cases} Q^{\frac{n}{2}}, & n \geq 7, \\ Q|\log Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & n = 6. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we shall multiply (1.13) by some appropriate Z_k^{n+1} and integrate it to arrive (cf. Lemma 2.1)

$$Q \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}} + \left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho U_k \right| + \int |\rho|^p U_k.$$

To establish the above estimates, unlike [15], we did not use cut-off functions. Using the point-wise estimate (1.17) of ρ_0 , we can show that the last two terms are higher order in Q and then $Q \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}}$. Consequently, $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{H^{-1}}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ if $n \geq 7$ and $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{H^{-1}} |\log \|f\|_{H^{-1}}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $n = 6$. Thus one can establish Theorem 1.4 in this setting.

For a general family of bubbles $\sigma = \sum_i U[z_i, \lambda_i]$, things are much more complicate. Since we can not a priori assume that $\lambda_i \sim \lambda_j$ or $|z_i - z_j| \ll \min(\lambda_i^{-1}, \lambda_j^{-1})$, we may have bubble towers, bubble clusters, and these two may be mixed. (We remark that there are many papers in the literature concerning the construction of bubbling clusters or bubbling towers solutions. For bubbling towers we refer to Del Pino et al. [10], Musso and Pistoia [20], Pistoia and Vétois [21] and the references therein. For bubbling clusters we refer to Wei and Yan [24, 25] and the references therein.) For instance, if σ contains bubble towers (that is $\max\{\lambda_i/\lambda_j, \lambda_j/\lambda_i\} \gg \lambda_i \lambda_j |z_i - z_j|^2$), one neither knows the existence of ρ_0 satisfying (1.15), nor has a simple control of the interaction as (1.16). These two difficulties are deeply related. The strategy is to design a ‘‘good’’ space for the interaction term $|\sigma^p - \sum_i U_i^p|$ so that (1.15) has a solution ρ_0 with the desired control. Choosing the right norm is a very delicate process. We start with just two bubbles and examine the magnitude of the interaction term

¹One attempts to differentiate the RHS of (1.17) and integrate to get (1.18). This could give a quick check of $n \geq 7$, but not $n = 6$ because the integral on the outer region is divergent. Check Remark 3.4 for how we overcome this.

$(U_i + U_j)^p - U_i^p - U_j^p$ on different regions of \mathbb{R}^n . Fortunately, we obtain a uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{**}$ (cf. (3.15)) to handle the bubble tower and bubble cluster at the same time, which reduces the amount of work significantly. Then $\|\sigma^p - \sum_k U_k^p\|_{**} \leq C(n, \nu)$ follows from the bounds of all pairs by a simple inequality.

The existence of ρ_0 satisfying (1.15) is based on some a priori estimates (cf. Lemma 3.5). To establish such estimates, we used blow-up argument and divide \mathbb{R}^n into three types of region: inner, neck, and exterior ones. We manage to prove the leading parts of $W(x)$ is a supersolution on the neck and exterior regions. This is the most crucial and technical part of the proof. After establishing the a priori estimate, we get the existence of ρ_0 from standard contraction mapping theorem. Consequently $\|\rho_0\|_* \leq C(n, \nu)$, which plays the corresponding role as (1.17). Then the rest of proof is almost the same.

We also construct an example which shows the exponents in (1.9) are sharp. Suppose $\sigma = \tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_2$ where $\tilde{U}_1 := U[-Re_1, 1]$ and $\tilde{U}_2 = U[Re_1, 1]$. By reduction theorem (see [25]), one can prove the existence of $\tilde{\rho}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{\rho} + [(\sigma + \tilde{\rho})^p - \sigma^p] + \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1,2} \tilde{U}_i^p + \sum_{i,a} \tilde{c}_a^i \tilde{U}_i^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_i^a = 0, \\ \int \tilde{U}_i^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_i^a \tilde{\rho} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu; a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (1.20)$$

Choose $u = \sigma + \tilde{\rho}$. Then $\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = -\sum_{i,a} \tilde{c}_a^i \tilde{U}_i^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_i^a$. We manage to find a good approximation of $\tilde{\rho}$ in the interior and exterior region which shows that $\|\nabla \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2}$ in the interior part is already no less than $Q^{\frac{p}{2}}$ when $n = 7$ and $Q|\log Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ when $n = 6$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prove the main result assuming several crucial estimates on ρ and $\nabla \rho$. Section 3 contains two subsections. The first one proves the existence of the first expansion of ρ and its point-wise estimates. We use it to establish the estimates used in the proof of main result in the second subsection. In Section 4, we devote to constructing some example to show that Theorem 1.4 is sharp. The appendix consists of various integral estimates between bubbles and their derivatives.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we will prove the main Theorem 1.4 based on some crucial estimates, whose proofs are deferred to the next section.

Suppose $u = \sigma + \rho$ where $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i$ is the best approximation (see (1.12)). Then

$$\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1} = \Delta(\sigma + \rho) + (\sigma + \rho)|\sigma + \rho|^{p-1} = \Delta\rho + p\sigma^{p-1}\rho + I_1 + I_2, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$I_1 = \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p, \quad I_2 = (\sigma + \rho)|\sigma + \rho|^{p-1} - \sigma^p - p\sigma^{p-1}\rho. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $f = -\Delta u - u|u|^{p-1}$. Then (2.1) can be reorganized to

$$\Delta\rho + p\sigma^{p-1}\rho + I_1 + I_2 + f = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

If $n \geq 6$, then $p \in (1, 2]$. We have the following elementary inequality

$$|(\sigma + \rho)|\sigma + \rho|^{p-1} - \sigma^p - p\sigma^{p-1}\rho| \lesssim |\rho|^p.$$

Thus

$$|I_2| \leq |\rho|^p.$$

Multiplying Z_k^{n+1} (defined in (3.1)) to (2.3) and integrating over \mathbb{R}^n give

$$\left| \int I_1 Z_k^{n+1} \right| \leq \int |f Z_k^{n+1}| + \left| \int p\sigma^{p-1} \rho Z_k^{n+1} \right| + \int |\rho|^p |Z_k^{n+1}|.$$

Here we have used the orthogonal conditions (1.14). Using $|Z_k^{n+1}| \lesssim U_k$ and $\|U_k\|_{H^{-1}} \leq C(n)$, we can apply Hölder inequality

$$\left| \int I_1 Z_k^{n+1} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}} + \left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho Z_k^{n+1} \right| + \int |\rho|^p |Z_k^{n+1}|. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose u satisfies (1.8) with δ small enough. Then*

$$\int I_1 Z_k^{n+1} = \int I_1 \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k = \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} \int U_i^p \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k + o(Q). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. The first identity follows from the definition $Z_k^{n+1} = \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k$. Denote $\sigma = \sigma_k + U_k$ where $\sigma_k = \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} U_i$. We make the following decomposition

$$\int I_1 \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k = \int (\sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p) \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{\{\nu U_k \geq \sigma_k\}} (pU_k^{p-1} \sigma_k - \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} U_i^p) \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k, \\ J_2 &= \int_{\{\nu U_k \geq \sigma_k\}} (\sigma^p - U_k^p - pU_k^{p-1} \sigma_k) \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k, \\ J_3 &= \int_{\{\sigma_k > \nu U_k\}} (p\sigma_k^{p-1} U_k + \sigma_k^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p) \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k, \\ J_4 &= \int_{\{\sigma_k > \nu U_k\}} (\sigma^p - \sigma_k^p - p\sigma_k^{p-1} U_k) \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k. \end{aligned}$$

Notice $|\lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k| \lesssim U_k$. Based on the inequality

$$|(a+b)^p - a^p - pa^{p-1}b| \lesssim a^{p-2}b^2 \quad \text{if } a \geq b > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

we have

$$|J_2| \lesssim \int_{\{\nu U_k > \sigma_k\}} U_k^{p-1} \sigma_k^2 \lesssim \int U_k^{p-\epsilon} \sigma_k^{1+\epsilon} \approx Q^{1+\epsilon}. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $\epsilon > 0$ is very small, such that $1 + \epsilon < p - \epsilon$, and in the last step we have used Lemma A.3. Similarly $|J_4| \lesssim Q^{1+\epsilon}$. For J_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &= \left| \int_{\{\sigma_k \geq \nu U_k\}} (p\sigma_k^{p-1}U_k + \sigma_k^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p) \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\{\sigma_k \geq \nu U_k\}} p\sigma_k^{p-\epsilon} U_k^{1+\epsilon} + \int \left| \sigma_k^p - \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} U_i^p \right| U_k + \int_{\{\sigma_k \geq \nu U_k\}} U_k^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using an elementary inequality

$$\left| \sigma_k^p - \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} U_i^p \right| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq \nu \\ i \neq k, j \neq k}} U_i^{p-1} U_j$$

and the triple integral estimate in Lemma A.4, we have

$$|J_3| \lesssim Q^{1+\epsilon} + \int_{\{\sigma_k \geq \nu U_k\}} U_k^{p+1}.$$

Consider the second term on the RHS. Lemma A.1 implies

$$\int_{\{U_i \geq U_k\}} U_k^{p+1} \leq \int U_k^{p-\epsilon} \inf(U_i^{1+\epsilon}, U_k^{1+\epsilon}) = O(q_{ik}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |\log q_{ik}|) = o(Q),$$

therefore

$$\int_{\{\sigma_k \geq \nu U_k\}} U_k^{p+1} \leq \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} \int_{\{U_i > U_k\}} U_k^{p+1} = o(Q).$$

Therefore $|J_3| = o(Q)$. Now consider J_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &- \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} p \int U_k^{p-1} U_i \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k \\ &= \int_{\{\nu U_k < \sigma_k\}} p U_k^{p-1} \sigma_k \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k - \int_{\{\nu U_k > \sigma_k\}} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} U_i^p \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k = o(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Here we applied the same trick in (2.7) to obtain $o(Q)$. With the above estimates of J_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we can get

$$\int I_1 \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k = \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\nu} p \int U_k^{p-1} U_i \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k + o(Q). \quad (2.8)$$

Simple integration by parts shows that

$$p \int U_k^{p-1} U_i \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k = \int U_i^p \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k.$$

Thus (2.5) holds. \square

Now let us go back to (2.4). In the next section, we will prove two important estimates

$$\left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho Z_k^{n+1} \right| = o(Q) + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}, \quad \int |\rho|^p |Z_k^{n+1}| = o(Q) + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}, \quad (2.9)$$

in Lemma 3.13.

Remark 2.2. These two terms have rough bounds easily by Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality. Indeed, for instance, when $n \geq 7$, as did in [15, (3.31) and footnote 1],

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho Z_k^{n+1} \right| &\lesssim \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} Q^{p-1} \\ \int |\rho|^p |Z_k^{n+1}| &\lesssim \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the above two estimates, one can achieve

$$Q \lesssim \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} Q^{p-1} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^p + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Multiplying (2.3) by ρ , the approach in [15] would induce $\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \lesssim Q^{p-1} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}$. Plugging in this fact to (2.10), we obtain $Q \lesssim Q^{2(p-1)} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}$, one fails to conclude anything when $2(p-1) \leq 1$ (equivalent to $n \geq 10$). This obstacle actually motivates us to have a better control of ρ instead of simply using Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality.

Using (2.9), we can prove the following important lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose u satisfies (1.8) with δ small enough, then*

$$Q \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Lemma A.3 implies $\int U_i^p U_j \approx q_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$. Notice that if $q_{ij} \ll Q$, then

$$\left| \int U_i^p \lambda_j \partial_{\lambda_j} U_j \right| \lesssim \int U_i^p U_j \lesssim q_{ij} \ll Q.$$

We shall collect all the q_{ij} such that $q_{ij} \approx Q$ and choose k such that λ_k is the largest one among them. Notice Lemma A.2 implies $\int U_i^p \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k \approx -q_{ik} \approx -Q$. Since they have the same sign, Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\left| \int I_1 \lambda_k \partial_{\lambda_k} U_k \right| \approx Q. \quad (2.12)$$

Plugging in this fact and (2.9) to (2.4) to obtain

$$Q \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

□

Now we can prove our main result Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. In the next section, we shall prove $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1$. By Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} Q |\log Q|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ Q^{\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } n \geq 7. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

By Proposition 3.12, we have

$$\|\nabla \rho_1\| \lesssim Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

Since we have shown $Q \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{-1}}$ in the previous lemma, then

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} \|f\|_{H^{-1}} |\log \|f\|_{H^{-1}}|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & n = 6, \\ \|f\|_{H^{-1}}^{\frac{p}{2}}, & n \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

Here we have used the fact that $x |\log x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is increasing near 0. Therefore (1.9) holds. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. The proof is identical to that of Corollary 3.4 in [15]. \square

It remains to establish Lemma 3.13, Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.12. As we have discussed in the introduction, these depend crucially on a point-wise estimate of ρ_0 .

3. EXPANSION OF THE ERROR

In this section we will prove the estimates required in Section 2, the most important two parts are a point-wise estimate of ρ_0 and a global L^2 estimate of $\nabla \rho_0$. We divide the proof of them into two subsections.

3.1. Existence of the first approximation. Let us consider the equation (2.3) of ρ . The linearized operator is $\Delta + p\sigma^{p-1}$, $I_1 + I_2 + f$ is the non-homogeneous term and ρ is the solution. I_1 is the main data which encodes the interaction of bubbles. I_2 is a higher order term in ρ and negligible. Since the linearized operator have kernels, f should be interpreted as some Lagrange multiplier. Therefore, an approximation of ρ can be obtained from studying the linear equation $\Delta \rho_0 + p\sigma^{p-1} \rho_0 = I_1 + I_2$.

For $i = 1, \dots, \nu$, define

$$Z_i^a = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial U[z, \lambda_i]}{\partial z^a} \Big|_{z=z_i}, \quad Z_i^{n+1} = \lambda_i \frac{\partial U[z_i, \lambda]}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_i}, \quad (3.1)$$

here z^a is a -th component of z for $a = 1, \dots, n$. Notice $\{Z_i^a : i = 1, \dots, \nu\}$ is the kernel of $\Delta + pU_i^{p-1}$. It is easy to verify $|Z_i^a| \lesssim U_i$ for any i and $1 \leq a \leq n+1$.

Consider the following linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi + p\sigma^{p-1} \phi = h + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} c_a^i U_i^{p-1} Z_i^a, \\ \int U_i^{p-1} \phi Z_i^a = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu; a = 1, \dots, n+1, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U[z_i, \lambda_i]$ is a family of δ -interacting bubbles. We always assume δ is very small. We shall use finite-dimensional reduction to prove the solvability of ϕ given a reasonable h in Lemma 3.5. To that end, we need to set up the norms and spaces.

Let $I = \{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$, throughout this paper we denote $y_i = \lambda_i(x - z_i)$, $i \in I$, and

$$R_{ij} = \max \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_i/\lambda_j}, \sqrt{\lambda_j/\lambda_i}, \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |z_i - z_j| \right\} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \quad \text{if } i \neq j \in I. \quad (3.3)$$

Definition 3.1. For any two bubbles U_i, U_j , if $R_{ij} = \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |z_i - z_j|$, then we call them a *bubble cluster*, otherwise call them a *bubble tower*.

Let us define

$$R := \frac{1}{2} \min_{i \neq j \in I} R_{ij}. \quad (3.4)$$

Now we can define $\|\cdot\|_{**}$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$ norms as

$$\|h\|_{**} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)|V^{-1}(x), \quad \|\phi\|_* = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\phi(x)|W^{-1}(x) \quad (3.5)$$

with

$$V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R\}} + \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R/2\}} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$W(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^2} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R\}} + \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-4}} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R/2\}} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.2. The ad hoc weight V is used to capture the behavior of the error term $h = \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p$. Since $\Delta_x \phi(x) \sim h(x)$, it is natural to define $W \sim \lambda^{-2} \langle y \rangle^2 V$.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a small constant $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n, \nu)$ and large constant $C(n, \nu)$ such that if $\delta < \delta_0$, then*

$$\|\sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p\|_{**} \leq C(n, \nu). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. To make the proof more transparent, we will start with two bubbles. Consider $U_1 = U[z_1, \lambda_1]$, $U_2 = U[z_2, \lambda_2]$, and $h = (U_1 + U_2)^p - U_1^p - U_2^p$. Because of weak interaction, U_1 and U_2 must be a bubble tower or bubble cluster. Notice that h is always positive.




FIGURE 1. U_1 and U_2 form a bubble tower with $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_2$. The dotted line denotes the h . The right picture shows that the core region of U_2 (i.e. $\{|y_2| \leq R_{12}\}$) contains that of U_1 (i.e. $\{|y_1| \leq R_{12}\}$).

- Bubble tower (see Figure 1): Without loss of generality (WLOG), we can assume $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2} = \varepsilon_{12}^{-1} \gg 1$. In the rescaled z_1 -centered coordinate $y_1 = \lambda_1(x - z_1)$, we see that $U_1(x) = \lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} U(y_1)$, where $U(y) = U[0, 1](y)$, and

$$U_2(x) = \frac{\lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{(1 + \varepsilon_{12}^4 |y_1 - \xi_2|^2)^{(n-2)/2}}, \quad (3.9)$$

with $|\xi_2| = |\lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)| \leq R_{12}^2$. If $|y_1| \leq R_{12}/2$, then $U_2 \lesssim U_1$,

$$h \lesssim U_1^{p-1} U_2 \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4}. \quad (3.10)$$

If $R_{12}/3 \leq |y_1| \leq 2R_{12}^2$, then $U_1 \approx \lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} |y_1|^{2-n}$ and $U_2 \approx \lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}$. Setting $\hat{y} = y_1/R_{12}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h &\lesssim \lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-(n+2)} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\hat{y}|^{n-2}} \right)^p - 1 - \frac{1}{|\hat{y}|^{p(n-2)}} \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-(n+2)}}{|\hat{y}|^{n-2}} \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, in the rescaling z_2 -centered coordinate $y_2 = \lambda_2(x - z_2)$, we see that $U_2(x) = \lambda_2^{(n-2)/2} U(y_2)$ and

$$U_1(x) = \frac{\lambda_2^{(n-2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{(\varepsilon_{12}^4 + |y_2 - \xi_1|^2)^{(n-2)/2}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\xi_1 = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ with $|\xi_1| < 1$. Keep in mind that $y_2 - \xi_1 = \varepsilon_{12}^2 y_1$. Thus, if $1 \leq |y_2 - \xi_1| \leq R_{12}/2$, it means $R_{12}^2 \leq |y_1| \leq R_{12}^3/2$. In this region, we have $U_1 \lesssim U_2$,

$$h \lesssim U_2^{p-1} U_1 \approx \frac{\lambda_2^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4}. \quad (3.13)$$

In the outer region $|y_2 - \xi_2| \geq R_{12}/3$, it is easy to see that

$$h \lesssim U_2^p \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-2}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^n} \lesssim \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}}. \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.10), (3.11), (3.13) and (3.14), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} h &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{n+2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R_{12}/2\}} + \frac{\lambda_i^{n+2} R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R_{12}/3\}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{n+2} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R/2\}} + \frac{\lambda_i^{n+2} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R/3\}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

for any $0 < R \leq R_{12}$.




FIGURE 2. U_1 and U_2 form a bubble cluster. The right picture shows that the influence region of U_2 contains that of U_1 like bubble tower when $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_2$. However, if $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2$, the core region of them shall be disjoint.

- Bubble cluster (see Figure 2): WLOG, we can assume $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2| = \varepsilon_{12}^{-1} \gg 1$. In the rescaling z_1 -centered coordinate $y_1 = \lambda_1(x - z_1)$, $U_1(x) = \lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} U(y_1)$ and

$$U_2(x) = \frac{\lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^2 |z_1 - z_2|^2} + \left|\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1 |z_1 - z_2|} - e\right|^2\right)^{(n-2)/2}}, \quad (3.16)$$

with $e = (z_2 - z_1)/|z_2 - z_1|$. Since $R_{12} \leq \lambda_1 |z_1 - z_2|$, if $|y_1| \leq R_{12}/2$, we have $U_2 \lesssim U_1$,

$$h \lesssim U_1^{p-1} U_2 \lesssim \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4}. \quad (3.17)$$

If $R_{12}/3 \leq |y_1| \leq \lambda_1 |z_1 - z_2|/2$, then $U_1 \approx \lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} |y_1|^{2-n}$ and $U_2 \approx \lambda_1^{(n-2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}$. Setting $\hat{y} = y_1/R_{12}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h &\lesssim \lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-(n+2)} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\hat{y}|^{n-2}}\right)^p - 1 - \frac{1}{|\hat{y}|^{p(n-2)}} \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-(n+2)}}{|\hat{y}|^{n-2}} \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

In the rescaling z_2 -centered coordinate $y_2 = \lambda_2(x - z_2)$, $U_2(x) = \lambda_2^{(n-2)/2} U(y_2)$ and

$$U_1(x) = \frac{\lambda_2^{(n-2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2 |z_1 - z_2|^2} + \left|\frac{y_2}{\lambda_2 |z_1 - z_2|} + e\right|^2\right)^{(n-2)/2}}. \quad (3.19)$$

If $|y_2| \leq R_{12}/2$ and $|y_1| \geq \lambda_1 |z_1 - z_2|/3$, it means $\left|\frac{y_2}{\lambda_2 |z_1 - z_2|} + e\right| \geq 1/3$, then

$$h \lesssim U_2^{p-1} U_1 \lesssim \frac{\lambda_2^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4}. \quad (3.20)$$

In the outer region $|y_2| \geq R_{12}/3$ and $|y_1| \geq \lambda_1|z_1 - z_2|/3 \geq R_{12}/3$, we have

$$h \lesssim U_1^p + U_2^p \lesssim \frac{\lambda_1^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} + \frac{\lambda_2^{(n+2)/2} R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}}. \quad (3.21)$$

From (3.17), (3.18), (3.20) and (3.21), we also have (3.15).

- For any finite number of bubbles, we shall use a simple inequality (see Lemma A.6)

$$h = \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p \leq \sum_{i \neq j} [(U_i + U_j)^p - U_i^p - U_j^p].$$

Each one on the RHS can be bounded by the above estimates of two bubbles, see (3.15). Summing them up, one can obtain $h \leq C(n, \nu)V(x)$. \square

Remark 3.4. In order to have a simple form of V , we bound h just by $\langle y_i \rangle^{2-n}$ in (3.14) and (3.21). In fact, h decays faster than V at infinity. Such relaxation causes a minor problem for $n = 6$ when estimating $\int_{|y_i| \geq R, |y_j| \geq R} VW$ in Proposition 3.9. Check the estimate (B.16) and (B.20) in Lemma B.2. Thanks to the fact that if $n = 6$ then $p = 2$ and $\sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p = \sum_{i \neq j} U_i U_j$. We can get around this and directly estimate the integral $\int U_i U_j \rho_0$. Check the estimate (B.37) in Lemma B.3.

Lemma 3.5. *There exist a positive δ_0 and a constant C , independent of δ , such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$, if $\{U_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ is a δ -interacting bubble family and ϕ solves the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi + p\sigma^{p-1}\phi = h, \\ \int U_i^{p-1} \phi Z_i^a = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu; \quad a = 1, \dots, n+1, \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

then

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C\|h\|_{**}. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. We use blow-up arguments to prove (3.23). Suppose there are $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{1}{k}$ -interacting bubble families $\left\{ U_i^{(k)} := U[z_i^{(k)}, \lambda_i^{(k)}] \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$, $h = h_k$ with $\|h_k\|_{**} \rightarrow 0$, and $\phi = \phi_k$ with $\|\phi_k\|_* = 1$ solving the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi_k + p\sigma_k^{p-1}\phi_k = h_k, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \int U_i^{p-1} Z_i^a \phi_k = 0, & i = 1, \dots, \nu; \quad 1 \leq a \leq n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

For simplicity, here and after we denote $U_i := U_i^{(k)}$ and $\sigma_k := \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^{(k)}$.

In the beginning, let us introduce some notations. After finite steps of choosing subsequences, we can make the following assumptions. For each $i \in I = \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, let $z_{ij}^{(k)} := \lambda_i^{(k)}(z_j^{(k)} - z_i^{(k)})$, $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$, we can assume that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{ij}^{(k)}$ exists or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{ij}^{(k)} = \infty$. Then we divide indices $I \setminus \{i\}$ into two groups:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,1} &:= \{j \in I \setminus \{i\} \mid \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{ij}^{(k)} \text{ exists and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_{ij}^{(k)}| < \infty\}, \\ I_{i,2} &:= \{j \in I \setminus \{i\} \mid \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{ij}^{(k)} = \infty\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Furthermore, we divide all bubbles into four groups: ones are higher (lower) than U_i in tower relationship, ones are much higher (otherwise) than U_i in cluster relationship.

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i^+ &:= \{j \in I \setminus \{i\} \mid \lambda_j^{(k)} \geq \lambda_i^{(k)}, R_{ij}^{(k)} = \sqrt{\lambda_j^{(k)}/\lambda_i^{(k)}}\}, \\
T_i^- &:= \{j \in I \setminus \{i\} \mid \lambda_j^{(k)} < \lambda_i^{(k)}, R_{ij}^{(k)} = \sqrt{\lambda_i^{(k)}/\lambda_j^{(k)}}\}, \\
C_i^+ &:= \{j \in I \setminus \{i\} \mid \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j^{(k)}/\lambda_i^{(k)} = \infty, R_{ij}^{(k)} = \sqrt{\lambda_i^{(k)}\lambda_j^{(k)}}|z_i^{(k)} - z_j^{(k)}|\}, \\
C_i^- &:= \{j \in I \setminus \{i\} \mid \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j^{(k)}/\lambda_i^{(k)} < \infty, R_{ij}^{(k)} = \sqrt{\lambda_i^{(k)}\lambda_j^{(k)}}|z_i^{(k)} - z_j^{(k)}|\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Recall that $y_i^{(k)} = \lambda_i^{(k)}(x - z_i^{(k)})$. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(k)} &:= \bigcup_{i \in I} \{|y_i^{(k)}| \leq L\}, \\
\Omega_i^{(k)} &:= \{|y_i^{(k)}| \leq L\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in I, j \neq i} \{|y_i^{(k)} - z_{ij}^{(k)}| \geq \epsilon\} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

with a large constant $L = L(n, \nu)$ and a small constant $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, \nu)$ to be determined later (see Figure 3 for an illustration of Ω_1). It is easy to see that, for large k , we have

$$\Omega_i^{(k)} := \{|y_i^{(k)}| \leq L\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in T_i^+ \cup C_i^+} \{|y_i^{(k)} - z_{ij}^{(k)}| \geq \epsilon\} \right). \tag{3.28}$$

For simplicity, here and after we drop the superscript if there is no confusion. It is convenient to denote $W = \sum_{i \in I} (w_{i,1} + w_{i,2})$ and $V = \sum_{i \in I} (v_{i,1} + v_{i,2})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{i,1}(x) &= \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^2} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R\}}, & w_{i,2}(x) &= \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-4}} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R/2\}}, \\
v_{i,1}(x) &= \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R\}}, & v_{i,2}(x) &= \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R/2\}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where $R = R^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} \min_{i \neq j} \{R_{ij}^{(k)}\} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\|\phi_k\|_* = 1$, we have $|\phi_k|(x) \leq W(x)$ and there exists a sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$|\phi_k|(x_k) = W(x_k). \tag{3.30}$$

First, going to a subsequence if necessary, we consider the case that $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega$.

Case I: $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in I$. Let $i_0 = 1$ and define

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\phi}_k(y_1) := W^{-1}(x_k)\phi_k(x) & \text{with } y_1 = \lambda_1(x - z_1), \\ \tilde{h}_k(y_1) := \lambda_1^{-2}W^{-1}(x_k)h_k(x), & \tilde{\sigma}_k(y_1) := \sigma_k(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

Then $\tilde{\phi}_k$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{\phi}_k(y_1) + p\lambda_1^{-2}\tilde{\sigma}_k^{p-1}(y_1)\tilde{\phi}_k(y_1) = \tilde{h}_k(y_1) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \int U^{p-1}Z^a\tilde{\phi}_k dy_1 = 0, & 1 \leq a \leq n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.32)$$

Here $U = U[0, 1](y_1)$ and $Z^a = Z^a(y_1) = Z_1^a(x)$ defined in (3.1). Let $\tilde{z}_j = z_{1j}^{(k)}$, $\bar{z}_j = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{z}_{1j}^{(k)}$ and

$$E_1 := \bigcap_{j \in T_1^+ \cap I_{1,1}} \{|y_1 - \bar{z}_j| \geq 1/M\}, \quad E_2 := \bigcap_{j \in C_1^+ \cap I_{1,1}} \{|y_1 - \bar{z}_j| \geq |\bar{z}_j|/M\}.$$

Let

$$K_M := \{|y_1| \leq M\} \cap E_1 \cap E_2. \quad (3.33)$$

Suppose $M \geq 2 \max\{L, \epsilon^{-1}\}$, it is easy to see that $\Omega_i^{(k)} \subset\subset K_M$ for k large.

Claim 1. *In each compact subset K_M , it holds that, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\lambda_1^{-2}\tilde{\sigma}_k^{p-1} \rightarrow U[0, 1], \quad |\tilde{h}_k| \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.34)$$

uniformly. Moreover, we have

$$|\tilde{\phi}_k|(y_1) \lesssim |y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|^{4-n}, \quad j \in T_1^+ \cup C_1^+. \quad (3.35)$$

We postpone the proof of Claim 1 and finish the blow-up argument in Case 1. By the standard elliptic regularity theorem, the Claim 1 shows that there exists a subsequence of $\tilde{\phi}_k$ uniformly converges in each K_M . Furthermore, by the diagonal arguments, let $M \rightarrow \infty$, we have a subsequence of $\tilde{\phi}_k$ weakly converges to $\tilde{\phi}$ with

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{\phi} + pU^{p-1}\tilde{\phi} = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\bar{z}_j \mid j \in (T_1^+ \cup C_1^+) \cap I_{1,1}\}, \\ |\tilde{\phi}|(y_1) \lesssim |y_1 - \bar{z}_j|^{4-n}, & j \in (T_1^+ \cup C_1^+) \cap I_{1,1}, \\ \int U^{p-1}Z^a\tilde{\phi} dy_1 = 0, & 1 \leq a \leq n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.36)$$

Notice that all singular \bar{z}_j are removable. Together with the non-degeneracy of Talenti bubbles, we get $\tilde{\phi} \equiv 0$. However, since $|Y_k| \leq L$, going to a subsequence if necessary, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_k = Y_\infty$ and consequently $\tilde{\phi}(Y_\infty) = 1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 2: $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega \setminus (\cup_{i \in I} \Omega_i)$. There exist some $i \in I$ such that, choosing a subsequence if necessary, $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A_i$ where

$$A_i := \bigcup_{j \in J_i} \{|y_i| \leq L, |y_i - \tilde{z}_{ij}| \leq \epsilon, |y_j| \geq L\}, \quad J_i = T_i^+ \cup C_i^+. \quad (3.37)$$

We can choose the *smallest* A_{i_0} such that, for each $A_i \supset \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, it holds that $\lambda_{i_0} \geq \lambda_i$. For simplicity, let $i_0 = 1$ and take notations as before. See Figure 3 for A_i in a simple case. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}(x) &:= \sum_{j \in J_1} (w_{j,1}(x) + w_{j,2}(x)) + w_{1,1}(x), \\ \tilde{V}(x) &:= \sum_{j \in J_1} (v_{j,1}(x) + v_{j,2}(x)) + v_{1,1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$




FIGURE 3. Illustration for the blow-up regions of a simple bubble configuration. The solid circles denote $\{y_i = L\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$. The dashed circles mean $\{|y_1 - \tilde{z}_{1j}| = \epsilon\}$. The shaded regions constitute A_1 .

We will use the following two claims to show that $\tilde{W}(x)$ is a supersolution to our problem in the region A_1 .

Claim 2. For any two bubbles, say U_i and U_j , suppose k large enough, in the region $\{|y_i| \geq L, |y_j| \geq L\}$ it holds that

$$\sum_{m,l \in \{i,j\}} U_m^{p-1}(w_{l,1} + w_{l,2}) \leq \epsilon_1 \sum_{l \in \{i,j\}} (v_{l,1} + v_{l,2}), \quad (3.39)$$

with small $\epsilon_1 = \max\{L^{-\frac{4}{n-2}}, 2^n L^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 2\epsilon^2\}$.

Claim 3. Fix a bubble U_i , let $J_i = T_i^+ \cup C_i^+$. In the region A_i , we have

$$U_i^{p-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} (w_{j,1} + w_{j,2}) \leq \epsilon_1 \sum_{j \in J_i} (v_{j,1} + v_{j,2}), \quad (3.40)$$

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} U_j^{p-1} w_{i,1} \leq \epsilon_1 \sum_{j \in J_i} (v_{j,1} + v_{j,2}) + \epsilon_1 v_{i,1}, \quad (3.41)$$

when k large enough.

We also postpone the proofs of Claim 2 and Claim 3. By (3.29), it is easy to see $\Delta \tilde{W} \leq -2(n-4)\tilde{V}$. In the region A_1 , it is easy to see $\sum_{j \in T_1^- \cup C_1^-} U_j \ll U_1$ for k large. Therefore

$$\sigma_k^{p-1} = \left(\sum_{j \in J_1} U_j + U_1 + \sum_{j \in T_1^- \cup C_1^-} U_j \right)^{p-1} \leq \sum_{j \in J_1} U_j^{p-1} + \frac{5}{4} U_1^{p-1}.$$

Consequently, it follows from Claim 2 and Claim 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^{p-1} \tilde{W} &= \sum_{i,j \in J_1} U_i^{p-1} (w_{j,1} + w_{j,2}) + \frac{5}{4} U_1^{p-1} \sum_{j \in J_1} (w_{j,1} + w_{j,2}) \\ &\quad + w_{1,1} \sum_{j \in J_1} U_j^{p-1} + \frac{5}{4} U_1^{p-1} w_{1,1} \\ &\leq (4\nu^2 \epsilon_1 + \frac{5}{4}) \tilde{V} \quad \text{in } A_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Then, by choosing L large and ϵ small such that $(4\nu^2 \epsilon_1 + 5/4)p \leq 3$,

$$\Delta \tilde{W} + p \sigma_k^{p-1} \tilde{W} \leq -\tilde{V} \quad \text{in } A_1. \quad (3.43)$$

From Case 1, we conclude that, for k large,

$$|\phi_k|(x) \leq C \|h_k\|_{**} W(x) \quad \text{in } \cup_{i \in I} \Omega_i, \quad (3.44)$$

with a constant $C = C(n, \nu)$. On the other hand, if $i \in T_1^-$, then $|y_i| = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} |y_1 - \tilde{z}_i| \leq L$. We have, for k large,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w_{1,1}}{w_{i,1}} &= \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{\langle y_i \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} \geq L^{-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \gg 1, \\ \frac{v_{1,1}}{v_{i,1}} &= \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \frac{\langle y_i \rangle^4}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \geq L^{-4} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \gg 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

If $i \in C_1^-$, then $|y_i| = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} |y_1 - \tilde{z}_i| \geq \frac{\lambda_i |\tilde{z}_i|}{2\lambda_1} = \frac{\lambda_i |z_1 - z_i|}{2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w_{1,1}}{w_{i,2}} &= R^{6-n} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} \geq 2^{4-n} L^{-2} R^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right) \gg 1, \\ \frac{v_{1,1}}{v_{i,2}} &= R^{6-n} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \frac{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \geq 2^{2-n} L^{-4} R^4 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_i} \right)^2 \gg 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

From (3.44), (3.45), and (3.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \tilde{W} + \sum_{j \in T_1^- \cup C_1^-} (w_{j,1} + w_{j,2}) \leq 2\tilde{W} \quad \text{in } A_1, \\ V &= \tilde{V} + \sum_{j \in T_1^- \cup C_1^-} (v_{j,1} + v_{j,2}) \leq 2\tilde{V} \quad \text{in } A_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

By (3.42), (3.44) and (3.47), it is easy to see that $\pm 10C \|h_k\|_{**} \tilde{W}$ is an upper (lower) barrier for ϕ_k in A_1 . It follows that

$$|\phi_k|(x_k) \tilde{W}^{-1}(x_k) \leq 10C \|h_k\|_{**} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.48)$$

It contradicts to (3.30) since $W(x_k) \geq \tilde{W}(x_k)$.

Case 3: $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega^c = \cup_{i \in I} \{|y_i| \geq L\}$. As before, it is easy to see that $\Delta W \leq -2(n-4)V$. From Claim 2, we also have

$$\sigma_k^{p-1} W \leq \nu^2 \epsilon_1 V \quad \text{in } \Omega^c. \quad (3.49)$$

Then,

$$\Delta W + p\sigma_k^{p-1}W \leq -V \quad \text{in } \Omega^c. \quad (3.50)$$

From Case 1 and Case 2, we conclude that, for k large,

$$|\phi_k|(x) \leq C\|h_k\|_{**}W(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.51)$$

In particular, we have

$$|\phi_k|(x) \leq C\|h_k\|_{**}W(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega^c = \partial\Omega. \quad (3.52)$$

Thus $\pm C\|h_k\|_{**}W$ is an upper (lower) barrier for ϕ_k in Ω^c . It follows that

$$|\phi_k|(x_k)W^{-1}(x_k) \leq C\|h_k\|_{**} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.53)$$

It contradicts to (3.30).

To complete the proof of (3.23), it suffices to prove the three claims above.

Proof of Claim 1. To prove the claim, we need to use a simple inequality

$$\frac{\sum_i a_i}{\sum_i b_i} \leq \max_i \left\{ \frac{a_i}{b_i} \right\}, \quad (3.54)$$

holds for any positive numbers a_i, b_i . Thus by (3.32)

$$|\tilde{\phi}_k|(y_1) = \frac{|\phi_k(x)|}{W(x_k)} \leq \frac{W(x)}{W_k(x)} \leq \max_j \left\{ \frac{w_{j,1}(x) + w_{j,2}(x)}{w_{j,1}(x_k) + w_{j,2}(x_k)} \right\},$$

$$|\tilde{h}_k|(x) = \frac{|h_k(x)|}{\lambda_1^2 W(x_k)} \leq \|h_k\|_{**} \frac{V(x)}{\lambda_1^2 W(x_k)} \leq \|h_k\|_{**} \max_j \left\{ \frac{v_{j,1}(x) + v_{j,2}(x)}{\lambda_1^2 w_{j,1}(x_k) + \lambda_1^2 w_{j,2}(x_k)} \right\}.$$

We shall estimate the RHS of the above two equations on each compact subset K_M . They are divided into the following four cases.

The case $j \in T_1^+$. By (3.12), we have

$$U_j(x) \approx \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_j \rangle^{n-2}} \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{1j}^{2-n}}{(\varepsilon_{1j}^2 + |y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \lesssim M^{n-2} \lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{1j}^{2-n}. \quad (3.55)$$

Let $Y_k = \lambda_1(x_k - z_1)$. Since $|y_j| = R_{1j}^2|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j| \geq R_{1j}^2/M \geq R$ for k large, we only need to consider

$$\frac{w_{j,2}(x)}{w_{j,2}(x_k)} \approx \frac{(\varepsilon_{1j}^2 + |Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}}{(\varepsilon_{1j}^2 + |y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|^{n-4}}, \quad (3.56)$$

$$\frac{v_{j,2}(x)}{\lambda_1^2 w_{j,2}(x_k)} \approx \frac{(\varepsilon_{1j}^2 + |Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}}{(\varepsilon_{1j}^2 + |y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \lesssim M^{n-2}. \quad (3.57)$$

The case $j \in T_1^-$. By (3.9), we have

$$U_j(x) \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{1j}^{2-n}}{(1 + \varepsilon_{1j}^2|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \lesssim \lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{1j}^{2-n}. \quad (3.58)$$

It holds that $|y_j| = R_{1j}^{-2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j| \leq MR_{1j}^{-2} \leq R$ for k large. Then we have

$$\frac{w_{j,1}(x)}{w_{j,1}(x_k)} \approx \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_{1j}^2|Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^2}{(1 + \varepsilon_{1j}^2|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^2} \lesssim 1, \quad (3.59)$$

$$\frac{v_{j,1}(x)}{\lambda_1^2 w_{j,1}(x_k)} \approx R_{1j}^{-4} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_{1j}^2|Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^2}{(1 + \varepsilon_{1j}^2|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^4} \lesssim R_{1j}^{-4}. \quad (3.60)$$

The case $j \in C_1^+$. Let $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_1$, then $R_{1j} = \sqrt{\lambda}|\tilde{z}_j|$ and $|\tilde{z}_j| \geq 1$. It holds that, no matter $|\tilde{z}_j| < \infty$ or $|\tilde{z}_j| = \infty$, we have $|y_j| = \lambda|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j| \geq \sqrt{\lambda}R_{1j}/M \geq R$ for k large. Then

$$U_j(x) \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \lesssim \lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} M^{n-2} R_{1j}^{2-n}. \quad (3.61)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w_{j,2}(x)}{w_{j,2}(x_k)} &\approx \frac{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}}{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|^{n-4}}, \\ \frac{v_{j,2}(x)}{\lambda_1^2 w_{j,2}(x_k)} &\approx \lambda R_{1j}^{-2} \frac{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}}{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \\ &\lesssim M^{n-2} R_{1j}^{-2} |\tilde{z}_j|^{-2} \leq M^{n-2} R_{1j}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

The case $j \in C_1^-$. Let $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_1$, then $R_{1j} = \sqrt{\lambda}|\tilde{z}_j| \leq (1 + \theta_j)|\tilde{z}_j|$ with $\theta_j = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j^{(k)}/\lambda_1^{(k)}$. It holds that $|y_1| \leq M \leq |\tilde{z}_j|/2$ for k large. Then $|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j| \geq |\tilde{z}_j|/2$,

$$U_j(x) \approx \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \lesssim \lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{1j}^{2-n}. \quad (3.64)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{w_{j,2}(x)}{w_{j,2}(x_k)} \approx \frac{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}}{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}} \lesssim R_{1j}^{4-n}, \quad (3.65)$$

$$\frac{v_{j,2}(x)}{\lambda_1^2 w_{j,2}(x_k)} \approx \lambda R_{1j}^{-2} \frac{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|Y_k - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-4}}{(\lambda^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}|y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|)^{n-2}} \lesssim R_{1j}^{-n}. \quad (3.66)$$

It is easy to see that

$$U_1(x) = U(y_1), \quad \frac{w_{1,1}(x)}{w_{1,1}(x_k)} \approx \frac{\langle Y_k \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} \lesssim 1, \quad \frac{v_{1,1}(x)}{\lambda_1^2 w_{1,1}(x_k)} \approx \frac{\langle Y_k \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \lesssim 1. \quad (3.67)$$

From (3.55), (3.58), (3.61), (3.64) and (3.67), we get

$$\lambda_1^{-2} \tilde{\sigma}_k^{p-1}(y_1) = U[0, 1](y_1) + o(1) \rightarrow U[0, 1](y_1). \quad (3.68)$$

From (3.55), (3.58), (3.61), (3.64) and (3.67), we get

$$|\tilde{h}_k| \leq \|h_k\|_{**} \frac{V(x)}{\lambda_1^2 W(x_k)} \lesssim M^{n-2} \|h_k\|_{**} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.69)$$

From (3.56), (3.59), (3.62), (3.65) and (3.67), we see that the singularities only happen for $j \in (T_1^+ \cup C_1^+) \cap I_{1,1}$ with

$$|\tilde{\phi}_k|(y_1) \lesssim |y_1 - \tilde{z}_j|^{4-n}. \quad (3.70)$$

□

Proof of the Claim 2. Here and after, we always assume k is large enough. WLOG, we assume $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. It is easy to see the claim holds when $m = l$,

$$\sum_{l=1}^2 U_i^{p-1}(w_{l,1} + w_{l,2}) \leq \epsilon_1 \sum_{l=1}^2 (v_{l,1} + v_{l,2}). \quad (3.71)$$

It reduces to consider the case $m \neq l$. We divide it to the following two main cases.

(1) *The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$.* Let $\xi_1 = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\xi_2 = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$. It holds that $|\xi_1| \leq 1$ and $|\xi_2| \leq R_{12}^2$. Since $y_1 = R_{12}^2(y_2 - \xi_1)$, then $\langle y_1 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}R_{12}^2\langle y_2 \rangle$ on the set $\{|y_1| \geq L, |y_2| \geq L\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1^{p-1}(w_{2,1} + w_{2,2}) &= \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} (w_{2,1} + w_{2,2}) \leq 16\lambda_2^2 \langle y_2 \rangle^{-4} (w_{2,1} + w_{2,2}) \\ &\leq 16\langle y_2 \rangle^{-2} (v_{2,1} + v_{2,2}) \leq \epsilon_1 (v_{2,1} + v_{2,2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

Observe that $|y_1 - \xi_2| = R_{12}^2|y_2| \geq LR_{12}^2$, then $|y_1| \geq (L-1)R_{12}^2$. In the region $\{|y_1| \geq L, |y_2| \geq L\}$, we get

$$U_2^{p-1}(w_{1,1} + w_{1,2}) = \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} \chi_{\{|y_1| \geq (L-1)R_{12}^2\}}. \quad (3.73)$$

First, we bound the above term on the set $\{L \leq |y_2| \leq LR_{12}, |y_1| \geq (L-1)R_{12}^2\}$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} &= \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{R_{12}^{n-2}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq (L-1)^{4-n} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{1}{R_{12}^{n-6}} \\ &\leq \frac{L^{n-6}}{(L-1)^{n-4}} \left(\frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \chi_{\{|y_2| \leq R\}} + \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} \chi_{\{R \leq |y_2| \leq LR_{12}\}} \right) \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 (v_{2,1} + v_{2,2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

Second, on $\{|y_2| \geq LR_{12}, |y_1| \geq (L-1)R_{12}^2\}$, we have $\langle y_1 \rangle = \langle R_{12}^2(y_2 - \xi_1) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}R_{12}^2\langle y_2 \rangle$,

$$\frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} = \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{R_{12}^{n-2}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq v_{2,2} \frac{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-6} R_{12}^{n-2}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{2,2}. \quad (3.75)$$

(2) *The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}|z_1 - z_2|$.* Notice that $|\xi_2| = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}R_{12}$ and $y_2 = \lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1}(y_1 - \xi_2)$. Then on the set $\{L \leq |y_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}R_{12}\}$, we have $\langle y_2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}R_{12}$. Consequently

$$U_2^{p-1}w_{1,1} = \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} \chi_{\{L \leq |y_1| \leq R\}} \leq 16\lambda_1^2 R_{12}^{-4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{1,1}. \quad (3.76)$$

Next consider $U_2^{p-1}w_{1,2}$. To bound it, we divide it into two cases. First, suppose $\lambda_2|z_1 - z_2| \geq L^{\frac{1}{4}}$, then on the set $\{R < |y_1| < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}R_{12}\}$

$$U_2^{p-1}w_{1,2} \leq 16\lambda_1^2 R_{12}^{-4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} = 16v_{1,2} \frac{\langle y_1 \rangle^2}{R_{12}^4} < \frac{16}{\lambda_2^2 |z_1 - z_2|^2} v_{1,2} \leq \frac{16}{\sqrt{L}} v_{1,2}. \quad (3.77)$$

On the set $\{|y_1| > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}R_{12}\}$, then

$$U_2^{p-1}w_{1,2} = \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} = v_{2,1} \frac{(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{n-6}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} < \frac{2^{n-4}}{\lambda_2^2 |z_1 - z_2|^2} v_{2,1} < \frac{2^{n-4}}{\sqrt{L}} v_{2,1}.$$

Second, suppose $|\xi_1| = \lambda_2 |z_1 - z_2| \leq L^{\frac{1}{4}}$. On the set $\{L \leq |y_2| \leq R\}$, since $y_1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} (y_2 - \xi_1)$, then $|y_1| \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \frac{L}{2} \geq \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} R_{12}^2$ and

$$U_2^{p-1}w_{1,2} = \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq v_{2,1} \frac{(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{n-6}}{(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{L}R_{12}^2)^{n-4}} \leq (\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{L})^{4-n} v_{2,1} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{2,1}.$$

On the set $\{|y_2| \geq R\}$,

$$U_2^{p-1}w_{1,2} = v_{2,2} \frac{(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle y_2 \rangle^{n-6}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq v_{2,2} \frac{(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle y_2 \rangle^{n-6}}{(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} y_2)^{n-4}} \leq v_{2,2} \frac{2^{n-4} (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^{\frac{6-n}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{2,2}.$$

Let us consider the term $U_1^{p-1}w_{2,1}$. On the set $\{L < |y_2| < R, |y_1| < R\}$, one has $\langle y_2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}R_{12}$ and

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{2,1} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \leq \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}R_{12})^2} = 4(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1})^{\frac{n-4}{2}} R_{12}^{-2} v_{1,1} \leq \epsilon v_{1,1}.$$

On the set $\{L < |y_2| < R, R < |y_1|\} \cap \{L(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^2 v_{1,2} \geq v_{2,1}\}$, one has

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{2,1} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} = v_{2,1} \frac{\langle y_2 \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^2 \leq \frac{L \langle y_2 \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} v_{1,2} \leq LR^{-2} v_{1,2}. \quad (3.78)$$

On the set $\{L < |y_2| < R, R < |y_1|\} \cap \{L(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^2 v_{1,2} \leq v_{2,1}\}$, one has $\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \geq L(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{n-6} \langle y_2 \rangle^4$, then

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{2,1} = v_{2,1} \frac{\langle y_2 \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^2 \leq v_{2,1} L^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} R^{-\frac{4(n-6)}{n-2}} \langle y_2 \rangle^{\frac{2n-20}{n-2}} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{2,1} \quad (3.79)$$

here we have use $L \leq |y_2| \leq R$.

It remains to consider the term $U_1^{p-1}w_{2,2}$. First, on the set $\{|y_2| \geq R, |y_1| \leq R\}$, similar to (3.76), one has

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{2,2} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{1,1} \quad (3.80)$$

Second, on the set $\{|y_2| \geq R, |y_1| \geq R\} \cap \{v_{1,2} \geq v_{2,2}\}$, one has $\langle y_1 \rangle / \langle y_2 \rangle \leq (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}}$,

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{2,2} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} \leq v_{1,2} \frac{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-6}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq v_{1,2} \frac{1}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{8}{n-2}} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{1,2}.$$

On the set $\{|y_2| \geq R, |y_1| \geq R\} \cap \{v_{1,2} \leq v_{2,2}\}$, one has $\langle y_1 \rangle \geq \langle y_2 \rangle (\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}}$

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{2,2} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} = v_{2,2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^2 \frac{\langle y_2 \rangle^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \leq v_{2,2} \frac{(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{\frac{8}{n-2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{2,2}.$$

□

Proof of the Claim 3. WLOG we assume $i = 1$. By the definition (3.26), we have $\lambda_j \gg \lambda_1$. Let $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_1$, $\xi_1 = \lambda_j(z_1 - z_j)$ and $\xi_j = \tilde{z}_j = \lambda_1(z_j - z_1)$. Observe that, if $j \in C_1^+$, $|y_j| \leq R \ll \sqrt{\lambda}R_{1j} = |\xi_1|$, then $|y_j - \xi_1| \geq |\xi_1|/2$. Thus, on the set $\{L \leq |y_j| \leq R\}$, no matter $j \in C_1^+$ or T_1^+ ,

$$\lambda \langle y_1 \rangle^2 = \lambda + \lambda^{-1}|y_j - \xi_1|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}R_{1j}^2, \quad j \in T_1^+ \cup C_1^+. \quad (3.81)$$

It follows from (3.81) that, on the set $\{L \leq |y_j| \leq R\}$,

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{j,1} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} \leq 16R_{1j}^{-4} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{j,1}. \quad (3.82)$$

Moreover, on the set $\{|y_j| \geq R, |y_1 - \xi_j| \leq \epsilon\}$, it holds that $\langle y_j \rangle^2 = 1 + \lambda^2|y_1 - \xi_j|^2 \leq 2\lambda^2\epsilon^2$ and

$$U_1^{p-1}w_{j,2} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^{n-4}} = \frac{\langle y_j \rangle^2}{\lambda^2 \langle y_1 \rangle^4} v_{j,2} \leq 2\epsilon^2 v_{j,2} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{j,2}. \quad (3.83)$$

Together with (3.82) and (3.83), we prove the (3.40).

If $L \leq |y_j| \leq R$, it is easy to see that

$$U_j^{p-1}w_{1,1} = \frac{\lambda_j^2}{\langle y_j \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} v_{j,1} \leq \epsilon_1 v_{j,1}. \quad (3.84)$$

For $|y_j| > R$, one can use the same type of estimates (3.78) and (3.79) to prove

$$U_j^{p-1}w_{1,1} \leq \epsilon_1(v_{j,2} + v_{1,1}).$$

□

Combining the above claims, we complete the proof. □

Next, we estimate the coefficients c_b^j in (3.2).

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose σ is the sum of a family of δ -interacting bubbles. If ϕ , h and c_b^j satisfy (3.2), then*

$$|c_b^j| \lesssim Q \|h\|_{**} + Q^p \|\phi\|_*, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \quad 1 \leq b \leq n+1.$$

Proof. We shall multiply (3.2) by Z_j^b . By the Lemma A.5, for $a, b \leq n+1$, there exist some constants γ^b such that

$$\sum_{i,a} \int c_a^i U_i^{p-1} Z_i^a Z_j^b = c_b^j \gamma^b + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} c_a^i O(q_{ij}).$$

Fix $1 \leq j \leq \nu, 1 \leq b \leq n+1$. By the orthogonal condition in (3.2) and $|Z_j^b| \lesssim U_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int p \sigma^{p-1} \phi Z_j^b \right| &= \left| \int p (\sigma^{p-1} - U_j^{p-1}) Z_j^b \phi \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\phi\|_* \int (\sigma^{p-1} - U_j^{p-1}) U_j W dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

Now we use the fact that, $(\sigma^{p-1} - U_i^{p-1})U_i \geq 0$ for each i ,

$$(\sigma^{p-1} - U_j^{p-1})U_j \leq \sum_i (\sigma^{p-1} - U_i^{p-1})U_i = \sigma^p - \sum_i U_i^p.$$

Then by Proposition (3.3),(3.85) can be bounded by

$$\left| \int p\sigma^{p-1}\phi Z_j^b \right| \lesssim \|\phi\|_* \int (\sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p) W dx \lesssim \|\phi\|_* \int V(x)W(x)dx \lesssim Q^p \|\phi\|_*.$$

Here we have used Lemma B.2 in the last inequality (see similar estimates (3.107)) and (3.109)). Similarly, by Lemma B.4 we have

$$\left| \int h Z_j^b \right| \lesssim \|h\|_{**} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \int V U_j dx \lesssim Q \|h\|_{**}. \quad (3.86)$$

Multiplying (3.2) by Z_j^b and integrating, we see that $\{c_b^j\}$ satisfy the linear system

$$c_b^j \gamma^b + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} c_a^i O(q_{ij}) = \int p\sigma^{p-1}\phi Z_j^b + \int h Z_j^b, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \nu, 1 \leq b \leq n+1.$$

Since $q_{ij} \leq Q \leq \delta$ the system is solvable and we prove the claim. \square

From Lemma 3.5 and 3.6, using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [11], we can prove the following result:

Proposition 3.7. *There exists positive δ_0 and a constant $C > 0$, independent of δ , such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and all h with $\|h\|_{**} < \infty$, problem (3.2) has a unique solution $\phi \equiv L_\delta(h)$. Besides,*

$$\|L_\delta(h)\|_* \leq C \|h\|_{**}, \quad |c_a^i| \leq C \delta \|h\|_{**}.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that δ is small enough. There exists a solution ρ_0 and a family of scalars (c_a^i) which solve*

$$\Delta\phi + [(\sigma + \phi)^p - \sigma^p] + \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p = \sum_{i,a} c_a^i U_i^p Z_i^a \quad (3.87)$$

with

$$|\rho_0|(x) \leq CW(x). \quad (3.88)$$

Proof. Let

$$N_1(\phi) = (\sigma + \phi)^p - \sigma^p - p\sigma^{p-1}\phi, \quad (3.89)$$

$$N_2 = \sigma^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} U_i^p. \quad (3.90)$$

Then, (3.87) is equivalent to

$$\phi = A(\phi) =: -L_\delta(N_1(\phi)) - L_\delta(N_2), \quad (3.91)$$

where L_δ is defined in Proposition 3.7. We will show that A is a contraction mapping.

First, it follows from Proposition 3.3 that there exists $C_2 = C_2(n, \nu)$

$$\|N_2\|_{**} \leq C_2. \quad (3.92)$$

Next, we claim that $\|N_1(\phi)\|_{**} \leq C_1 R^{-4(p-1)} \|\phi\|_*$. In fact, since $|N_1(\phi)| \leq C|\phi|^p \leq C\|\phi\|_*^p W^p$, then

$$\|N_1(\phi)\|_{**} \leq C \|\phi\|_* \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^p(x) V^{-1}(x). \quad (3.93)$$

Notice, for the first type weight function, say $|y_i| \leq R$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^2} \right)^p \left(\frac{\langle y_i \rangle^4}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}} \right) = R^{-4} \langle y_i \rangle^{4-2p} \leq R^{-4}. \quad (3.94)$$

For the second type weight, say $R/2 \leq |y_i|$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-4}} \right)^p \left(\frac{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}} \right) = R^{-4(p-1)} \langle y_i \rangle^{n-2-p(n-4)} \leq R^{-4(p-1)}, \quad (3.95)$$

since $n - 2 - p(n - 4) \leq 0$. By the inequality (3.54), we get

$$W^p V^{-1} \leq R^{-4(p-1)}. \quad (3.96)$$

Thus, there exists $C_1 = C_1(n, \nu)$ such that

$$\|N_1(\phi)\|_{**} \leq C_1 R^{-4(p-1)} \|\phi\|_*. \quad (3.97)$$

Making C_1 possibly larger so that we also have $\|L_\delta(h)\|_* \leq C_1 \|h\|_{**}$ in Proposition 3.7.

Now define the space

$$E = \{u : u \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|u\|_* \leq C_1 C_2 + 1\}. \quad (3.98)$$

We claim that A is a contraction mapping from E to E . In fact, choosing δ small, then R large such that $R^{-4(p-1)} C_1^2 (C_1 C_2 + 1) \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(\phi)\|_* &\leq C_1 \|N_1(\phi)\|_{**} + C_1 \|N_2\|_{**} \\ &\leq R^{-4(p-1)} C_1^2 (C_1 C_2 + 1) + C_1 C_2 \leq C_1 C_2 + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.99)$$

Thus, $A(E) \subset E$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\|_* &\leq \|L_\delta(N_1(\phi_1)) - L_\delta(N_1(\phi_2))\|_{**} \\ &\leq C_1 \|N_1(\phi_1) - N_1(\phi_2)\|_{**}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.100)$$

If $n \geq 6$, then $N_1'(t) \leq C|t|^{p-1}$. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_1(\phi_1) - N_1(\phi_2)| &\leq C (|\phi_1|^{p-1} + |\phi_2|^{p-1}) |\phi_1 - \phi_2| \\ &\leq C (\|\phi_1\|_*^{p-1} + \|\phi_2\|_*^{p-1}) \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_* W^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.101)$$

Since $W^p V^{-1} \leq R^{-4(p-1)} \ll 1$ if δ small, we get

$$\|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\|_* \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_*. \quad (3.102)$$

Thus, A is a contraction. It follows from the contraction mapping theorem that there is a unique $\phi \in E$, such that $\phi = A(\phi)$. Moreover, it follows Proposition 3.7 that $\|\phi\|_* \leq C$. \square

3.2. Some estimates of the error. In this subsection, we will establish L^2 estimates for the $\nabla\rho$, based on the point-wise estimates from the previous subsection.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose δ is small enough. We have the gradient estimates*

$$\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} Q|\log Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } n = 6, \\ Q^{\frac{p}{2}}, & \text{if } n \geq 7. \end{cases} \quad (3.103)$$

Proof. Multiplying ρ_0 to (3.87) to get

$$\int |\nabla\rho_0|^2 \lesssim \int \sigma^{p-1}\rho_0^2 + \int |\rho_0|^{p+1} + \int (\sigma^p - \sum U_i^p)\rho_0. \quad (3.104)$$

It follows from Proposition 3.8 that $|\rho_0(x)| \lesssim W(x)$. By the Lemma B.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sigma^{p-1}\rho_0^2 &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \int U_i^{p-1}\rho_0^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(\int_{|y_i| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_i^{n-2} R^{4-2n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} dx + \int_{|y_i| \geq R/2} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_i^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{2n-8}} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{|y_i| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_j^2}{\langle y_j \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_i^{n-2} R^{4-2n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} dx + \int_{|y_i| \geq R/2} \frac{\lambda_j^2}{\langle y_j \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_i^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{2n-8}} dx \right) \\ &\lesssim R^{-n-2} \approx Q^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.105)$$

By Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\int |\rho_0|^{p+1} \lesssim \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2}^{p+1}. \quad (3.106)$$

Now consider the last term in (3.104). Proposition 3.3 says that $|\sigma^p - \sum_i U_i^p| \lesssim V(x)$.

- If $n \geq 7$, using (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (\sigma^p - \sum U_i^p)\rho_0 \right| &\lesssim \int V(x)W(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\nu} \int_{\substack{|y_i| \leq R \\ |y_j| \leq R}} \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} + \int_{\substack{|y_i| \leq R \\ |y_j| \geq R/2}} \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^{n-4}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\nu} \int_{\substack{|y_i| \geq R/2 \\ |y_j| \leq R}} \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} + \int_{\substack{|y_i| \geq R/2 \\ |y_j| \geq R/2}} \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^{n-4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.107)$$

By some tedious computations, Lemma B.2 shows

$$\int (\sigma^p - \sum U_i^p)\rho_0 \lesssim R^{-n-2} \approx Q^p. \quad (3.108)$$

- If $n = 6$, then $p = 2$. By Lemma B.3, we have

$$\left| \int (\sigma^2 - \sum U_i^2)\rho_0 \right| \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \left| \int U_i U_j \rho_0 \right| \lesssim R^{-8} \log R \approx Q^2 |\log Q|. \quad (3.109)$$

Plugging (3.105), (3.106), (3.108), (3.109) into (3.104), we get (3.103). \square

Now consider $\rho_1 = \rho - \rho_0$. Recall ρ satisfies (2.3) and ρ_0 satisfies (3.87). Thus ρ_1 solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \rho_1 + [(\sigma + \rho_0 + \rho_1)^p - (\sigma + \rho_0)^p] + \sum_{i,a} c_a^j U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a + f = 0. \\ \int \nabla \rho_1 \cdot \nabla Z_i^a = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu; a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.110)$$

Now we decompose

$$\rho_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \beta^i U_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} \beta_a^i Z_i^a + \rho_2, \quad (3.111)$$

such that for all $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ and $a = 1, \dots, n+1$

$$\int \nabla \rho_2 \cdot \nabla U_i = 0 = \int \nabla \rho_2 \cdot \nabla Z_i^a. \quad (3.112)$$

Lemma 3.10. *If δ is small enough, then ρ_2 satisfies*

$$\|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} |\beta^i| + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} |\beta_a^i| + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}. \quad (3.113)$$

Proof. Multiply the (3.110) by ρ_2 and integrating, we get

$$\int |\nabla \rho_2|^2 = \int [(\sigma + \rho_0 + \rho_1)^p - (\sigma + \rho_0)^p] \rho_2 + \int |\rho_2 f|$$

Since the elementary inequality

$$|(\sigma + \rho_0 + \rho_1)^p - (\sigma + \rho_0)^p - p(\sigma + \rho_0)^{p-1} \rho_1| \lesssim |\rho_1|^p \quad (3.114)$$

then

$$\int |\nabla \rho_2|^2 \leq p \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} |\rho_1 \rho_2| + \int |\rho_1|^p |\rho_2| + \int |\rho_2 f|. \quad (3.115)$$

Notice the decomposition of ρ_1 in (3.111),

$$p \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} |\rho_1 \rho_2| \leq p \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} \rho_2^2 + \mathcal{B} \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} U_i |\rho_2|$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \sum_i |\beta^i| + \sum_{i,a} |\beta_a^i|$. By Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} U_i |\rho_2| &\lesssim \|\rho_2\|_{L^{2^*}} \|\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} U_i\|_{L^{(2^*)'}} \lesssim \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}, \\ \int |\rho_1|^p |\rho_2| &\leq \|\rho_1\|_{L^{2^*}}^p \|\rho_2\|_{L^{2^*}} \lesssim (\mathcal{B} + \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2})^p \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.116)$$

It follows from the second variation estimate (for instance, see [1, Prop 3.1] and [15, Prop 3.10]) and the orthogonal condition of ρ_2 that there exists constant $\tilde{c} < 1$ such that

$$p \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho_2^2 \leq \tilde{c} \int |\nabla \rho_2|^2. \quad (3.117)$$

Recall a simple inequality that if $x > 0$ and $p \in (1, 2]$ then $\|x + y|^{p-1} - |x|^{p-1}\| \leq C|y|^{p-1}$ for any y . Consequently

$$p \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} \rho_2^2 \leq \tilde{c} \int |\nabla \rho_2|^2 + C \int |\rho_0|^{p-1} \rho_2^2 \leq (\tilde{c} + C \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2}^{p-1}) \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$p \int |\sigma + \rho_0|^{p-1} |\rho_1 \rho_2| \leq (\tilde{c} + C \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2}^{p-1}) \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}^2 + C \mathcal{B} \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.118)$$

By Proposition 3.9, we can make $\|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2} \ll 1$. Plugging in (3.116) and (3.118) to (3.115),

$$\|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{B} \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2} + (\mathcal{B} + \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2})^p \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}} \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}.$$

Choosing δ small such that $\|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2} < 1$ and $\mathcal{B} < 1$, then

$$\|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{B} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}. \quad (3.119)$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *If δ is small, then*

$$|\beta^i| + |\beta_a^i| \lesssim Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

Proof. We shall multiply (3.110) by U_k and integrate it. Before that, let us make some preparations. It follows from (3.114) and $|(\sigma + \rho_0)^{p-1} - U_k^{p-1}| \lesssim \sum_{i \neq k} U_i^{p-1} + |\rho_0|^{p-1}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int [(\sigma + \rho_0 + \rho_1)^p - (\sigma + \rho_0)^p] U_k - p \int U_k^p \rho_1 \right| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{i \neq k} \int U_i^{p-1} U_k |\rho_1| + \int |\rho_1|^p U_k + \int |\rho_0|^{p-1} \rho_1 U_k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.120)$$

By Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\rho_1|^p U_k & \lesssim \mathcal{B}^p + \int \rho_2^p U_k \lesssim \mathcal{B}^p + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}^p, \\ \int |\rho_0|^{p-1} \rho_1 U_k & \lesssim \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2}^{p-1} \|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^2} \|U_k\|_{L^{2^*}} \lesssim o(1) (\mathcal{B} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}), \\ \int U_i^{p-1} |\rho_1| U_k & \leq \|\rho_1\|_{L^{2^*}} \|U_i^{p-1} U_k\|_{L^{(2^*)'}} \lesssim o(1) (\mathcal{B} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}), \quad i \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

Here $o(1)$ denotes a quantity goes to 0 when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Multiplying (3.110) by U_k and integrate it. The above estimates give

$$- \int \nabla \rho_1 \nabla U_k + p \int U_k^p \rho_1 \lesssim o(1) (\mathcal{B} + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}) + \sum_{j,a} |c_a^j| \left| \int U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a U_k \right| + \int |f U_k|.$$

We know that $\int U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a U_k = 0$ if $j = k$ and $\int U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a U_k \lesssim \int U_i^p U_k \approx Q$ if $j \neq k$ by Lemma A.3. It follows from Proposition 3.3, Lemma 3.6 that $|c_a^j| \lesssim Q$. Inserting these estimates to the above equation,

$$(p-1) \left(\sum_i \beta^i \int U_i^p U_k + \sum_{i,a} \beta_a^i \int U_k^p Z_i^a \right) \lesssim o(1) \mathcal{B} + Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}},$$

that is

$$(p-1) \beta^k + \sum_{i \neq k} \beta^i O(q_{ik}) + \sum_{i,a} \beta_a^i O(q_{ik}) \lesssim o(1) \mathcal{B} + Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}. \quad (3.121)$$

Since $\int \nabla \rho_1 \cdot \nabla Z_b^k = 0$, then (3.111) and (3.112) imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \beta^i \int \nabla U_i \cdot \nabla Z_k^b + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} \beta_a^i \int \nabla Z_i^a \cdot \nabla Z_k^b = 0.$$

Using Lemma A.5, it is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \neq k} \beta^i O(q_{ik}) + \beta_b^k + \sum_{i \neq k, a \neq b} \beta_a^i O(q_{ik}) = 0. \quad (3.122)$$

Combining (3.121) and (3.122), we can get the conclusion. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose δ is small enough. We have*

$$\|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

Proof. This just follows from Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.10 with

$$\|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_i |\beta^i| + \sum_{i,a} |\beta_a^i| + \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^2}.$$

\square

Finally, we can prove the estimates which are used in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose δ is small enough. We have*

$$\left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho Z_k^{n+1} \right| = o(Q) + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}, \quad \left| \int |\rho|^p Z_k^{n+1} \right| = o(Q) + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

Proof. Notice $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1$. Then

$$\int \sigma^{p-1} \rho Z_k^{n+1} = \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho_0 Z_k^{n+1} + \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho_1 Z_k^{n+1}. \quad (3.123)$$

By Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality

$$\left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho_1 Z_k^{n+1} \right| \leq \|\rho_1\|_{L^{2^*}} \|\sigma^{p-1} Z_k^{n+1}\|_{L^{(2^*)'}} \lesssim \|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim Q^2 + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}.$$

It remains to consider the first term on the RHS of (3.123). By the orthogonality condition of ρ_0 , similar to (3.85), one has

$$\left| \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho_0 Z_k^{n+1} \right| = \left| \int (\sigma^{p-1} - U_k^{p-1}) \rho_0 Z_k^{n+1} \right| = o(Q). \quad (3.124)$$

Finally, by Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality

$$\left| \int |\rho|^p Z_k^{n+1} \right| \leq \|\rho\|_{L^{2^*}}^p \lesssim \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^p \lesssim \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^2}^p + \|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^2}^p \lesssim o(Q) + \|f\|_{H^{-1}}^p. \quad (3.125)$$

\square

4. SHARP EXAMPLE

Let us consider the two functions $\tilde{U}_1 := U[-Re_1; 1]$, $\tilde{U}_2 := U[Re_1; 1]$ where $R \gg 1$. One can define $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_2$ and construct norms $\|\cdot\|_*$ and $\|\cdot\|_{**}$ as (3.5).

By Proposition 3.8, we can find a unique solution $\tilde{\rho}$ and a family of scalars (c_a^i) such that²

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_2 + \tilde{\rho}) + (\tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_2 + \tilde{\rho})^p + \sum_{j,a} \tilde{c}_a^j \tilde{U}_j^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_j^a = 0, \\ \int \nabla \tilde{Z}_j^a \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Here \tilde{Z}_j^a are the corresponding ones in (3.1) for \tilde{U}_1 and \tilde{U}_2 . It follows from Lemma 3.6, Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.9 that

$$\sum_{j,a} |\tilde{c}_a^j| \lesssim Q \approx R^{2-n}, \quad \|\tilde{\rho}\|_* \leq C(n, \nu), \quad \|\nabla \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2} \lesssim R^{-\frac{p}{2}(n-2)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Now let $u := \tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_2 + \tilde{\rho}$. Then

$$\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = - \sum_{a,j} \tilde{c}_a^j \tilde{U}_j^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_j^a = f.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|f\|_{H^{-1}} \approx \sum_{j,k} |\tilde{c}_a^j| \lesssim R^{2-n}. \quad (4.3)$$

Consider

$$\inf_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0}} \left\| \nabla \left(u - \sum_{j=1,2} U[z_j; \lambda_j] \right) \right\|_{L^2} \quad (4.4)$$

which can be attained by some

$$U_1 := U[z_1; \lambda_1], \quad U_2 := U[z_2; \lambda_2].$$

Necessary we should have

$$\|\nabla(u - U_1 - U_2)\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \nabla(u - \tilde{U}_1 - \tilde{U}_2) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim R^{-\frac{p}{2}(n-2)}.$$

Hence

$$\left\| \nabla(\tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_2 - U_1 - U_2) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim R^{-\frac{p}{2}(n-2)}. \quad (4.5)$$

This implies that: up to some reordering

$$\lambda_j = 1 + o_R(1), \quad z_1 = -(R + o_R(1))e_1, \quad z_2 = (R + o_R(1))e_1. \quad (4.6)$$

Here $o_R(1)$ means a quantity goes to 0 when $R \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $\rho = u - U_1 - U_2$, then (4.1) means that ρ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \rho + (U_1 + U_2 + \rho)^p - U_1^p - U_2^p + \sum_{j,a} \tilde{c}_a^j \tilde{U}_j^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_j^a = 0 \\ \int U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a \rho = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

²In this special two bubbles \tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2 setting, one can use potential estimate to prove directly as in [25].

Denote $\sigma = U_1 + U_2$, $y_1 = x - z_1$ and $y_2 = x - z_2$. Because of (4.6), the norm $\|\cdot\|_* \approx \|\cdot\|_{**}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{**} \approx \|\cdot\|_*$ with $\|\cdot\|_*$ and $\|\cdot\|_{**}$ defined in (3.5). Then (4.2) implies

$$\|\rho\|_* \leq C(n, \nu), \quad \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} \lesssim R^{-\frac{p}{2}(n-2)}.$$

In order to get a lower bound of $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}$, we need to obtain the precise first order term of ρ . The idea is to match the inner error with the outer error up to leading order.

Suppose $\phi_1(x)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi + pU_1^{p-1}\phi + R^{n-2}U_1^{p-1}U_2\chi_{\{|x-z_1|<R\}} + R^{n-2}\sum_{i,a}\hat{c}_a^iU_i^{p-1}Z_i^a = 0, \\ \int U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a\phi = 0, \quad i = 1, 2; a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Similarly let $\phi_2(x)$ satisfy the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi + pU_2^{p-1}\phi + R^{n-2}U_2^{p-1}U_1\chi_{\{|x-z_2|<R\}} + R^{n-2}\sum_a\bar{c}_a^iU_i^{p-1}Z_i^a = 0, \\ \int U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a\phi = 0, \quad i = 1, 2; a = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

Since $R^{n-2}U_1^{p-1}U_2 = \alpha_n^p R^{n-2} \langle 2R \rangle^{2-n} \langle x - z_1 \rangle^{-4}$, then it is easy to show that

$$\phi_1(x) = A_0|x - z_1|^{-2} + o_R(|x - z_1|^{-2}), \quad 1 \ll |x - z_1| \leq R, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\phi_2(x) = A_0|y - z_2|^{-2} + o_R(|x - z_2|^{-2}), \quad 1 \ll |x - z_2| \leq R, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{2^{1-n}\alpha_n^p}{n-4}, \quad \alpha_n = [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

Suppose ϕ_3 satisfies

$$\Delta\phi + \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{|x - \hat{z}_1|^{n-2}} + \frac{\alpha_n}{|x - \hat{z}_2|^{n-2}} \right)^p - \frac{\alpha_n^p}{|x - \hat{z}_1|^{p(n-2)}} - \frac{\alpha_n^p}{|x - \hat{z}_2|^{p(n-2)}} = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

with $\phi(\infty) = 0$. Here $\hat{z}_1 = z_1/R$ and $\hat{z}_2 = z_2/R$. Then it is easy to know

$$\phi_3 = A_0|x - \hat{z}_1|^{-2} + o_R(|x - \hat{z}_1|^{-2}), \quad \text{near } \hat{z}_1, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\phi_3 = A_0|x - \hat{z}_2|^{-2} + o_R(|x - \hat{z}_2|^{-2}), \quad \text{near } \hat{z}_2. \quad (4.14)$$

Fix $s > 0$ small. It is easy to see that in the region $|y_i| \sim R^{1-s}$, the two functions $R^{2-n}\phi_i(y_i)$ and $R^{-n}\phi_3(\frac{x}{R})$ differ by

$$R^{2-n}\phi_i(y_i) - R^{-n}\phi_3\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) = R^{2-n}o_R(\langle y_i \rangle^{-2}), \quad (4.15)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Now we can prove the Theorem 1.5 in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\eta(t) = 1$ for $t < R^{1-s}$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t > 2R^{1-s}$. Denote $\eta_1 = \eta(|y_1|)$, $\eta_2 = \eta(|y_2|)$ and

$$\phi(x) = R^{2-n}[\phi_1(y_1)\eta_1 + \phi_2(y_2)\eta_2] + R^{-n}\phi_3\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)(1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \quad (4.16)$$

where $y_1 = x - z_1$ and $y_2 = x - z_2$. Then one can compute

$$\Delta\phi + p\sigma^{p-1}\phi + \sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p = - \sum_{a,i} (\eta_1\hat{c}_a^i + \eta_2\bar{c}_a^i)U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= p(\sigma^{p-1} - U_1^{p-1})R^{2-n}\phi_1(y_1)\eta_1 + p(\sigma^{p-1} - U_2^{p-1})R^{2-n}\phi_2(y_2)\eta_2, \\
J_2 &= [\sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p] - U_1^{p-1}U_2\eta_1 - U_2^{p-1}U_1\eta_2, \\
&\quad - (1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2)\left[\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{|y_1|^{n-2}} + \frac{\alpha_n}{|y_2|^{n-2}}\right)^p - \frac{\alpha_n^p}{|y_1|^{p(n-2)}} - \frac{\alpha_n^p}{|y_2|^{p(n-2)}}\right] \\
J_3 &= \nabla\eta_1 \cdot \nabla(R^{2-n}\phi_1(y_1) - R^{-n}\phi_3(\frac{x}{R})) + \Delta\eta_1(R^{2-n}\phi_1(y_1) - R^{-n}\phi_3(\frac{x}{R})), \\
J_4 &= \nabla\eta_2 \cdot \nabla(R^{2-n}\phi_2(y_2) - R^{-n}\phi_3(\frac{x}{R})) + \Delta\eta_2(R^{2-n}\phi_2(y_2) - R^{-n}\phi_3(\frac{x}{R})).
\end{aligned}$$

Then combine with (4.7),

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\rho - \phi) + p\sigma^{p-1}(\rho - \phi) = h + \sum_{a,i}(\eta_1\tilde{c}_a^i + \eta_2\tilde{c}_a^i - \tilde{c}_a^i)U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a, \\ \int U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a\phi = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, a = 1, \dots, n+1, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$h = [-(\sigma + \rho)^p + \sigma^p + p\sigma^{p-1}\rho] - \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i + \sum_{i,a} \tilde{c}_a^i (U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a - \tilde{U}_i^{p-1}\tilde{Z}_i^a).$$

Now let us show $\|h\|_{**} = o_R(1)$. The first term can be controlled similar to (3.93) to be

$$\|(\sigma + \rho)^p - \sigma^p - p\sigma^{p-1}\rho\|_{**} \lesssim \| |\rho|^p \|_{**} \lesssim o_R(1). \quad (4.18)$$

Now it is easy to see

$$\|J_1\|_{**} = \sup_{y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1,2} |\sigma^{p-1} - U_i^{p-1}| \langle y_i \rangle^4 |\phi_i(y_i)| \eta_i \lesssim R^{-2}.$$

To control J_2 , we notice $U_2 \lesssim R^{-s}U_1$ on $\eta_1 > 0$ and $U_1 \lesssim R^{-s}U_2$ on $\eta_2 > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_2\|_{**} &\lesssim \sup_{y_1} R^{n-2} \langle y_1 \rangle^4 [\sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p - U_1^{p-1}U_2] \eta_1 \\
&\quad + \sup_{y_2} R^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^4 [\sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p - U_2^{p-1}U_1] \eta_2 \\
&\quad + \sup R^4 (|y_1|^{n-2} + |y_2|^{n-2}) \left[\sigma^p - \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{|y_1|^{n-2}} + \frac{\alpha_n}{|y_2|^{n-2}} \right)^p \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - U_1^p - U_2^p + \frac{\alpha_n^p}{|y_1|^{p(n-2)}} + \frac{\alpha_n^p}{|y_2|^{p(n-2)}} \right] (1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \\
&\lesssim R^{-4s} + o_R(1).
\end{aligned}$$

For J_3 and J_4 , we need to use the fact in (4.15)

$$\|J_3\|_{**} = o_R(1), \quad \|J_4\|_{**} = o_R(1).$$

Because of (4.2) and (4.5), then

$$\left\| \sum_{i,a} \tilde{c}_a^i (U_i^{p-1}Z_i^a - \tilde{U}_i^{p-1}\tilde{Z}_i^a) \right\|_{**} \lesssim o_R(1)R^{2-n}. \quad (4.19)$$

Combine the previous estimates, we get $\|h\|_{**} = o_R(1)$. Therefore by Lemma 3.5,

$$\|\rho - \phi\|_* \leq o_R(1).$$

This gives a lower bound on ρ , with error $o_R(1)$. From this we can multiply (4.7) by ρ and integrate

$$\int |\nabla \rho|^2 = p \int \sigma^{p-1} \rho^2 + \int (\sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p) \rho + \sum_{i,a} \tilde{c}_a^j \int \tilde{U}_j^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_j^a \rho. \quad (4.20)$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\left| \int \tilde{U}_j^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_j^a \rho \right| = \left| \int (\tilde{U}_j^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_j^a - U_j^{p-1} Z_j^a) \rho \right| \lesssim o_R(1) \int WV \lesssim \begin{cases} o(R^{-n-2}), & n \geq 7, \\ o(R^{-8} \log R), & n = 6, \end{cases}$$

where we have used the estimates in (3.106) and (3.109). Similarly using $\|\rho - \phi\|_* = o_R(1)$, we can derive

$$\left| \int (\sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p) (\rho - \phi) \right| \lesssim o_R(1) \int VW \lesssim \begin{cases} o(R^{-n-2}), & n \geq 7, \\ o(R^{-8} \log R), & n = 6. \end{cases}$$

However, direct computations shows, using (4.16),

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\sigma^p - U_1^p - U_2^p) \phi &\gtrsim \int_{R^{1-s} \leq |y_1| \leq R} U_1^p U_2 \phi = R^{4-2n} \int_{R^{1-s} \leq |y_1| \leq R} \frac{dx}{\langle y_1 \rangle^6} \\ &\gtrsim \begin{cases} R^{-n-2} \approx Q^p, & n \geq 7, \\ R^{-8} \log R \approx Q^2 |\log Q|, & n = 6. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the above facts to (4.20) to get

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \begin{cases} Q^p, & n \geq 7, \\ Q^2 |\log Q|, & n = 6. \end{cases}$$

Since $\|f\|_{H^{-1}} \lesssim R^{2-n} \approx Q$, the proof is done. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research of B. Deng is supported by China Scholar Council and Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11721101). The research of L. Sun and J. Wei is partially supported by NSERC of Canada.

APPENDIX A. SOME USEFUL ESTIMATES

This appendix contains some useful estimates involved Talenti bubbles and their derivatives.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\alpha > \beta > 1$ and $\alpha + \beta = 2^*$,*

$$\int U_i^\beta \inf(U_i^\alpha, U_j^\alpha) = O(q_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |\log q_{ij}|)$$

Proof. See the proof in [2, E4]. \square

Lemma A.2. *For any two bubbles, there exists C_n such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U[z_i, \lambda_i]^p \lambda_j \partial_{\lambda_j} U[z_j, \lambda_j] = -C_n \left(q_{ij}^{-\frac{2}{n-2}} - 2 \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \right) q_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + O(q_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \log q_{ij}^{-1})$$

Proof. See the proof in [2, F16]. Moreover, if $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ then RHS $\approx -q_{ij}$ when $q_{ij} \ll 1$. \square

Lemma A.3. *Given $n \geq 3$, let $U_i = U[z_i, \lambda_i]$, $i = 1, 2$, be two bubbles. Then, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and any non-negative exponents such that $\alpha + \beta = 2^*$, it holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1^\alpha U_2^\beta \approx_{n,\varepsilon} \begin{cases} q_{12}^{\min(\alpha,\beta)} & \text{if } |\alpha - \beta| \geq \varepsilon, \\ q_{12}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \log\left(\frac{1}{q_{12}}\right) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Proof. See the proof of proposition B.2 in [15]. \square

Lemma A.4. *Given $n \geq 6$, let $U_i = U[z_i, \lambda_i]$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be three bubbles with δ -interaction, that is $Q := \max\{q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{23}\} < \delta$. Suppose δ is small enough. Then*

(1) *For $n = 6$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1 U_2 U_3 \lesssim Q^{\frac{3}{2}} \log\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

(2) *For $n \geq 7$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1^{p-1} U_2 U_3 \lesssim Q^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} |\log Q|^{\frac{n-5}{n}}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. For $n = 6$, $2^* = 3$, by the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1 U_2 U_3 \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1^{\frac{3}{2}} U_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1^{\frac{3}{2}} U_3^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_2^{\frac{3}{2}} U_3^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

By the Lemma A.3, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1 U_2 U_3 \lesssim q_{12}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log q_{12}|^{\frac{1}{3}} q_{13}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log q_{13}|^{\frac{1}{3}} q_{23}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log q_{23}|^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Since the function $x^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log x|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ increasing near zero, choosing δ small, we get (A.2)

For $n \geq 7$, $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$, let $\alpha = \frac{4n}{5(n-2)}$, $\beta = \frac{6n}{5(n-2)}$, $s_1 = \frac{5}{2n}$ and $s_2 = \frac{n-5}{n}$. By the Hölder inequality and the Lemma A.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_1 U_2 U_3 &\leq \left(U_1^\alpha U_2^\beta \right)^{s_1} \left(U_1^\alpha U_3^\beta \right)^{s_1} \left(U_2^{\frac{2^*}{2}} U_3^{\frac{2^*}{2}} \right)^{s_2} \\ &\lesssim q_{12}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} q_{13}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} q_{23}^{\frac{n-5}{n-2}} |\log q_{23}|^{\frac{n-5}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Since the function $x^{\frac{n-5}{n-2}} |\log x|^{\frac{n-5}{n}}$ increasing near zero, choosing δ small, we get (A.3) \square

Lemma A.5. *For the Z_i^a defined in (3.1), there exist some constants $\gamma^a = \gamma^a(n) > 0$ such that*

$$\int U_i^{p-1} Z_i^a Z_i^b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq b, \\ \gamma^a & \text{if } 1 \leq a = b \leq n+1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

If $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq n + 1$, we have

$$\left| \int U_i^{p-1} Z_i^a Z_j^b \right| \lesssim q_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Proof. See the proof in [2, F1-F6]. Moreover, it is known that $\gamma^1 = \gamma^2 = \dots = \gamma^n$. \square

Lemma A.6. Suppose $p \in (1, 2]$ and $a_i \geq 0$, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i \right)^p - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i^p \leq \sum_{i \neq j} [(a_i + a_j)^p - a_i^p - a_j^p] \quad (\text{A.9})$$

the equality holds when at most one of a_i is non-zero.

Proof. It is equivalent to prove

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\nu}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i \right)^p + (\nu - 2) \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i^p - \sum_{i \neq j} (a_i + a_j)^p \leq 0$$

Denote $a_1 + a_2 = s$. Define $g(x) = f(x, s - x, a_3, \dots, a_{\nu})$. It is easy to see

$$\frac{g''(x)}{p(p-1)} = (\nu - 2)[x^{p-2} + (s-x)^{p-2}] - \sum_{i=3}^{\nu} [(x + a_i)^{p-2} + (s-x + a_i)^{p-2}]$$

Since $p - 2 < 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$, then $g''(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, s]$. Since $g(0) = g(s)$, we must have g achieves the maximum at $x = 0$ or s . Therefore $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\nu}) \leq f(0, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_{\nu})$. Repeating the above process for any pairs, we obtain $f \leq 0$. \square

APPENDIX B. INTEGRALS REQUIRED IN SECTION 3

This appendix is devoted to the computations of integral quantities mainly required in section 3. Precisely, they are used to bound the integral quantities $\int \sigma^{p-1} \rho_0^2$ and $\int (\sigma^p - \sum U_i^p) \rho_0$. The strategy is that: if the integrals essentially much less than R^{-n-2} , we can simply use Hölder inequality and Lemma A.3 to give them bounds no greater than R^{-n-2} . Otherwise, we need compute the integrals in four cases: bubble tower with U_1 higher (lower) than U_2 , bubble cluster with U_1 higher (lower) than U_2 . In each case, we split the involved integrals in regions where the integrand has a power-like behavior and then computing the integrals explicitly.

Recall that

$$U_i(x) = U[z_i, \lambda_i](x) \approx \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{(1 + \lambda_i^2 |x - z_i|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} = \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_i \rangle^{n-2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $y_i = \lambda_i(x - z_i)$ and $\langle y \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |y|^2}$. For $i \neq j$,

$$R_{ij} := \max \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_i/\lambda_j}, \sqrt{\lambda_j/\lambda_i}, \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |z_i - z_j| \right\} \approx q_{ij}^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Lemma B.1. *Suppose $n \geq 6$ and $1 \ll R \leq R_{12}/2$, we have*

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{4-2n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} dx \approx \begin{cases} R^{4-2n}, & n = 6, 7 \\ R^{-12} \log R, & n = 8, \\ R^{-n-4}, & n > 8, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} dx \approx R^{-n-4}, \quad n \geq 6, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{4-2n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} dx \lesssim R^{-n-4} |\log R|^{\frac{4}{n}}, \quad n \geq 6, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} dx \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-n-2}, & n = 6, 7, 8, \\ R^{-n-4}, & n \geq 9. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Proof. (1) The first integral in (B.3) is equivalent to

$$\lambda_1^n R^{4-2n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dx}{\langle y_1 \rangle^8} = R^{4-2n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^8} \approx \begin{cases} R^{4-2n}, & n = 6, 7, \\ R^{-12} \log R, & n = 8, \\ R^{-4-n}, & n \geq 9. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

(2) The second integral in (B.4) is equivalent to

$$R^{-8} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-4}} \approx R^{-n-4}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

(3) The third integral in (B.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^{n-4} R^{4-2n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} U_2^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(x) U_1^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(x) dx \\ \leq \lambda_1^{n-4} R^{4-2n} \left(\int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\lambda_1^n} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}} \left(\int_{|y_1| \leq R} U_2^{\frac{n}{n-2}} U_1^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{4}{n}} \\ \lesssim R^{-n} q_{12}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |\log q_{12}|^{\frac{4}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the Hölder inequality and the Lemma A.3. Recall that $R \leq R_{12} \approx q_{12}^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}$ and the function $x^4 |\log x|^{\frac{4}{n}}$ is increasing near zero, then we get

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R} U_2^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(x) \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{4-2n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} dx \lesssim R^{-n-4} |\log R|^{\frac{4}{n}}. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

(4) Set $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$, the integral in (B.6) is equivalent to

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} dx = R^{-8} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda|y_1 - z|^2)^2} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. We have $|z| \leq \lambda^{-1} = R_{12}^2$, then it holds that $|y_1 - z| \geq |y_1|/2$ when $|y_1| \geq 2\lambda^{-1}$. Thus, from (B.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} dx &\lesssim R^{-8} \left(\lambda^2 \int_{R \leq |y_1| \leq 2\lambda^{-1}} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} + \lambda^{-2} \int_{|y_1| \geq 2\lambda^{-1}} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-4}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-n-4}, & n \geq 9, \\ R^{-12} \log R, & n = 8, \\ R^{4-2n}, & n = 6, 7. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. We have $|z| \leq 1$, then it holds that $|y_1 - z| \geq |y_1|/2$ when $|y_1| \geq R \gg 1$. Thus, from (B.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-2} R^{-8}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} dx &\lesssim R^{-8} \lambda^{-2} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_1 - z|^2)^2} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-8} R_{12}^{-4} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-4}} \lesssim R^{-8-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. We have $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda} |z| \leq |z|$ and $\lambda |z| \geq 1$. Set $\hat{y} = y_1/|z|$ and $e = z/|z|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{-8} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda |y_1 - z|^2)^2} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} \\ &\approx R^{-8} \lambda^{-2} \int_{|\hat{y}| \geq R/|z|} \frac{|z|^{4-n}}{(\lambda^{-1} |z|^{-1} + |\hat{y} - e|)^4 (|z|^{-1} + |\hat{y}|)^{2n-8}} d\hat{y} \\ &\lesssim R^{-8} \lambda^{-2} |z|^{4-n} \left(\int_{R/|z| \leq |\hat{y}| \leq 1/2} \frac{d\hat{y}}{|\hat{y}|^{2n-8}} + \int_{1/2 \leq |\hat{y}| \leq 2} \frac{d\hat{y}}{|\hat{y} - e|^4} + \int_{|\hat{y}| \geq 2} \frac{d\hat{y}}{|\hat{y}|^{2n-4}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-8} (\lambda |z|)^{-2} |z|^{6-n} \lesssim R^{-n-2}, & n = 6, 7, \\ R^{-8} \lambda^{-2} |z|^{-4} \log \left(\frac{|z|}{R} \right) \lesssim R^{-10} \lambda |\log \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)| \lesssim R^{-10}, & n = 8, \\ R^{-n} (\sqrt{\lambda} |z|)^{-4} \lesssim R^{-n-4}, & n \geq 9. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Similar to the case above, we have

$$R^{-8} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda |y_1 - z|^2)^2} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-8}} \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-n-2}, & n = 6, 7, 8, \\ R^{-n-4}, & n \geq 9. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Together with (B.11)-(B.14), we get (B.6). \square

Lemma B.2. *Suppose $n \geq 6$ and $1 \ll R \leq R_{12}/2$, we have*

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} dx \approx \begin{cases} R^{-8} \log R, & n = 6, \\ R^{-n-2}, & n \geq 7, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-4}} dx \approx R^{-n-2}, \quad n \geq 7, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R, |y_2| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx \lesssim R^{-n-2}, \quad n \geq 6, \quad (\text{B.17})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R, |y_2| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-8} \log R, & n = 6, \\ R^{-n-2}, & n \geq 7, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R, |y_2| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx \lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-8} \log R, & n = 6, \\ R^{-n-2}, & n \geq 7, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R, |y_2| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx \lesssim R^{-n-2}, \quad n \geq 7. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

Proof. (1) The integral in (B.15) is,

$$R^{4-2n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^n dx}{\langle y_1 \rangle^6} = R^{4-2n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^6} \approx \begin{cases} R^{-8} \log R, & n = 6, \\ R^{-n-2}, & n \geq 7, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

(2) The integral in (B.16) is

$$R^{-8} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^n dx}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-6}} = R^{-8} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{2n-6}} \approx R^{-n-2}, \quad n \geq 7. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

(3) *The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. Set $\Omega_1 = \{|y_1| \leq R, |y_1 - z| \leq R/\lambda\}$, $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$. The integral in (B.17) is*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{4-2n} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{dy_1}{\lambda^{-1} + \lambda|y_1 - z|^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{4-2n} R_{12}^{4-n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \lesssim R^{4-2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.23})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. Set $z = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$. The integral in (B.17) is, seeing that $\Omega_1 = \{|y_2| \leq R, |y_2 - z| \leq R/\lambda\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{4-2n} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \frac{dy_2}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda|y_2 - z|^2)^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{4-2n} R_{12}^{2-n} \int_{|y_2| \leq R} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \lesssim R^{4-2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Set $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$. In this case, if $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$, then one must have $|x - z_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z_1 - z_2|$ (see Figure 2), that is $|y_1 - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z|$

and $\lambda|y_1 - z|^2 \approx R_{12}^2$ in Ω_1 . Consequently, the integral in (B.17) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{4-2n} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{dy_1}{\lambda^{-1} + \lambda|y_1 - z|^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{4-2n} R_{12}^{-2} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \lesssim R^{-n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Set $z = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$. The integral in (B.17) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{4-2n} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \frac{dy_2}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda|y_2 - z|^2)^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{4-2n} R_{12}^{-4} \int_{|y_2| \lesssim R} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \lesssim R^{-n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

From (B.23)-(B.26), we get (B.17).

(4) To prove (B.18), we shall rescale the LHS of it around z_1 . For the following four cases, we set $\Omega_2 = \{|y_1| \leq R, |y_2| \geq R\}$, $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$.

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. By the definition of R_{12} , we have $\lambda|z| \leq 1$. Since $y_2 = \lambda(y_1 - z)$, then $|y_2| \leq \lambda(|y_1| + |z|) \leq \lambda R + 1$. By the assumption $R \gg 1$, it holds that $\Omega_2 = \emptyset$. Thus, the integral in (B.18) is zero.

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. Remember that $|z| \leq 1$ and $\lambda = R_{12}^2 \gg 1$. The integral in (B.18) is, see that $\Omega_2 = \{x : \lambda^{-1}R \leq |y_1 - z|, |y_1| \leq R\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-n-2} R_{12}^{6-n} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{dy_1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} \\ &\approx R^{-n-2} R_{12}^{6-n} \left(\int_{R/\lambda}^2 t^3 dt + \int_2^R \frac{dt}{t} \right) \lesssim R^{4-2n} \log R. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.27})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. In Ω_2 , we have $|y_1| \leq |y_2| = \lambda|y_1 - z| \leq |y_1 - z|$. It follows that $|y_1 - z| \geq |z|/2$ and $\lambda|y_1 - z|^2 \approx R_{12}^2$ in Ω_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-n-2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{dy_1}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda|y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-n-2} R_{12}^{4-n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \lesssim R^{-n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.28})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Let $\hat{y} = y_1/|z|$ and $e = z/|z|$, then $\Omega_2 = \{|\hat{y}| \leq \frac{R}{|z|}, |\hat{y} - e| \geq \frac{R}{\lambda|z|}\}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_2} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4 \langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4 (\lambda^{-2} + |y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} dy_1 \\
&\lesssim R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 t^{n-5} dt + \int_0^1 t^3 dt + \int_1^{R/|z|} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \\
&\approx R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \log \left(\frac{R}{|z|} \right) \\
&\lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-n-2} \log R, & n = 6, \\ R^{-n-2}, & n \geq 7. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.29}$$

Together with (B.27)-(B.29), we get (B.18).

(5) To prove (B.19), we shall rescale the LHS of it around z_2 . For the following four cases, we set $\Omega_3 = \{x : \lambda^{-1} R \leq |y_2 - z|, |y_2| \leq R\}$, $z = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$.

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. Then the integral in (B.19) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_3} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega_3} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_2 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle y_2 \rangle^2} dy_2 \\
&\approx R^{-n-2} R_{12}^{6-n} \left(\int_{R/\lambda}^2 t dt + \int_2^R \frac{dt}{t} \right) \lesssim R^{4-2n} \log R.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.30}$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. By the definition of R_{12} , we have $\lambda|z| \leq 1$. Since $y_1 = \lambda(y_2 - z)$, we get $|y_1| \leq \lambda|y_2| + \lambda|z| \leq \lambda R + 1$. By the assumption $R \gg 1$, it holds that $\Omega_3 = \emptyset$. Thus, the integral in (B.18) is zero.

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Let $\hat{y} = y_2/|z|$ and $e = z/|z|$, then $\Omega_3 = \{|\hat{y}| \leq \frac{R}{|z|}, |\hat{y} - e| \geq \frac{R}{\lambda|z|}\}$. Notice that $|z| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_3} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega_3} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_2 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \langle y_2 \rangle^2} dy_2 \\
&\lesssim R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 t dt + \int_0^1 t^{n-3} dt + \int_1^{R/|z|} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \\
&\approx R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \log \left(\frac{R}{|z|} \right) \\
&\lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-n-2} \log R, & n = 6, \\ R^{-n-2}, & n \geq 7. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.31}$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. In Ω_3 , we have $|y_2| \leq |y_1| = \lambda |y_2 - z| \leq |y_2 - z|$. It follows that $|y_2 - z| \geq |z|/2$ and $\lambda |y_2 - z|^2 \approx R_{12}^2$ in Ω_3 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_3} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^2} dx &= R^{-n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^2 \int_{\Omega_3} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda |y_2 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{-n-2} R_{12}^{2-n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^2} \lesssim R^{-n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.32})$$

Together with (B.15)-(B.32), we get (B.19).

(6) To prove (B.20). We shall do it only for $n \geq 7$. Denote $\Omega_4 = \{|y_1| \geq R, |y_2| \geq R\}$.

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. Set $z = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$. Since $|z| \leq 1 \ll R$, we have $|y_2 - z| \geq |y_2|/2$ in Ω_4 . The integral in (B.20) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-8} R_{12}^{6-n} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_2 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} \\ &\approx R^{-8} R_{12}^{6-n} \int_R^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{n-5}} \lesssim R^{4-2n}, \quad n \geq 7. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.33})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. Set $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$. As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-8} R_{12}^{6-n} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} (\lambda^{-2} + |y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} dy_1 \\ &\approx R^{-8} R_{12}^{6-n} \int_R^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{n-5}} \lesssim R^{4-2n}, \quad n \geq 7. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.34})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Set $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$. We also set $\Omega_4 = \Omega_{41} \cup \Omega_{42} \cup \Omega_{43}$ where $\Omega_{41} = \{R \leq |y_1| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$, $\Omega_{42} = \{R/\lambda \leq |y_1 - z| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$ and $\Omega_{43} = \{|y_1| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}, |y_1 - z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$. Remember that $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda} |z|$ and $|z| \geq \lambda^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} \langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-8} \lambda^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2} (\lambda^{-2} + |y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} dy_1 \\ &\lesssim R^{-8} \lambda^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \left(|z|^{4-n} \int_{\Omega_{41}} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} + |z|^{2-n} \int_{\Omega_{42}} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 - z \rangle^{n-4}} + \int_{|y_1| \geq |z|} \frac{dy_1}{|y_1|^{2n-6}} \right) \\ &\approx R^{-8} R_{12}^{6-n} \lesssim R^{-n-2}, \quad n \geq 7. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.35})$$

In the fourth line of (B.35), we use the fact that, for each $y_1 \in \Omega_{43}$, that $|y_1 - z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2} \geq \frac{|y_1|}{4}$ if $|y_1| \leq 2|z|$ while it holds that $|y_1 - z| \geq |y_1| - |z| \geq \frac{|y_1|}{2}$ if $|y_1| \geq 2|z|$.

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Set $z = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$. We also set $\Omega_4 = \Omega_{41} \cup \Omega_{42} \cup \Omega_{43}$ where $\Omega_{41} = \{R \leq |y_2| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$, $\Omega_{42} = \{R/\lambda \leq |y_2 - z| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$

and $\Omega_{43} = \{|y_2| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}, |y_2 - z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$. As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} dx &= R^{-8} \lambda^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega_4} \frac{1}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} \frac{dy_2}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_2 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-8} \lambda^{\frac{6-n}{2}} \left(|z|^{2-n} \int_{\Omega_{41}} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-4}} + |z|^{4-n} \int_{\Omega_{42}} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_2 - z \rangle^{n-2}} + \int_{\Omega_{43}} \frac{dy_1}{|y_2|^{2n-6}} \right) \\ &\approx R^{-8} R_{12}^{6-n} \lesssim R^{-n-2}, \quad n \geq 7. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.36})$$

Together with (B.33)-(B.36), we get (B.20). \square

The inequality (B.16) and (B.20) shows that we should keep the decay of error term $(\sum U_i)^2 - \sum U_i^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} U_i U_j$ near infinity in dimension six. In the following lemma, we directly estimate $\int U_1 U_2 \rho_0$.

Lemma B.3. *Suppose $n = 6$ and $1 \ll R \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{R_{12}, R_{13}, R_{23}\}$, then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \int U_1(x) U_2(x) \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} dx \lesssim R^{-8} \log R. \quad (\text{B.37})$$

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 3.3 (in particular (3.14) and (3.21)), we see that, for $n = 6$,

$$U_1(x) U_2(x) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \chi_{\{|y_i| \leq R_{12}^2\}} + \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \chi_{\{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2\}} \right). \quad (\text{B.38})$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \int U_1 U_2 \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\int_{|y_i| \leq R_{12}^2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} + \int_{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} \right).$$

Consider the first integral on the RHS of the above equation. By (B.17) and (B.18) of Lemma B.2, it is already bounded above by $R^{-8} \log R$ when integrating on the region $\{|y_i| < R\}$ or $\{|y_j| < R\}$. The same thing happens for the second integral on $\{|y_j| < R\}$. Therefore, to establish (B.37), it reduces to prove the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\int_{\substack{R \leq |y_i| \leq R_{12}^2 \\ |y_j| \geq R}} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} + \int_{\substack{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2 \\ |y_j| \geq R}} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} \right) \lesssim R^{-8} \log R. \quad (\text{B.39})$$

It suffices to compute the following four types of integral.

(1) Suppose $i = j$ for the first integral in (B.39). Since $n = 6$, we have

$$\int_{R \leq |y_i| \leq R_{12}^2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_i^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^2} dx = R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{R \leq |y_i| \leq R_{12}^2} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \lesssim R^{-8} \log R. \quad (\text{B.40})$$

(2) Suppose $i = j$ for the second integral in (B.39). Since $n = 6$, we have

$$\int_{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \frac{\lambda_i^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^2} dx = R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2} \frac{dy_i}{\langle y_i \rangle^8} \lesssim R^{-12}. \quad (\text{B.41})$$

(3) Suppose $i \neq j$ for the first integral in (B.39). Denote $\Omega_1 = \{x : R \leq |y_i| \leq R_{12}^2, |y_j| \geq R\}$, we need to consider three cases.

The case $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j$ and $R_{ij} = \sqrt{\lambda_i/\lambda_j}$. Set $z = \lambda_j(z_i - z_j)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_i/\lambda_j$. Since $|z| \leq 1$, we have $|y_j - z|/2 \leq |y_j| \leq 2|y_j - z|$. Then $\Omega_1 \subset \{R/2\lambda \leq |y_j| \leq 2R_{12}^2/\lambda\}$. Similar to (B.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} dx &= R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_j - z|^2)^2} \frac{dy_j}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} \\ &\lesssim R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{R/2\lambda}^{2R_{12}^2/\lambda} t^{-1} dt \lesssim R^{-8} \log R. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.42})$$

The case $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ and $R_{ij} = \sqrt{\lambda_j/\lambda_i}$. Set $z = \lambda_i(z_j - z_i)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_i$. As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} dx &= R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{dy_i}{\lambda^{-2} + |y_i - z|^2} \\ &\lesssim R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_R^{R_{12}^2} t^{-1} dt \lesssim R^{-8} \log R. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.43})$$

The case $R_{ij} = \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |z_i - z_j|$. Set $z = \lambda_i(z_j - z_i)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_i$. We also set $\Omega_1 = \Omega_{11} \cup \Omega_{12} \cup \Omega_{13}$ where $\Omega_{11} = \{R \leq |y_i| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$, $\Omega_{12} = \{R/\lambda \leq |y_i - z| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$ and $\Omega_{13} = \{\frac{|z|}{2} \leq |y_i| \leq R_{12}^2, |y_i - z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$. Then, similar to (B.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} dx &= R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{dy_i}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_i - z|^2)} \\ &\lesssim R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \left(|z|^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{11}} \frac{dy_i}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} + |z|^{-4} \int_{\Omega_{12}} \frac{dy_i}{\langle y_i - z \rangle^2} + \int_{\Omega_{13}} \frac{dy_i}{|y_i|^6} \right) \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} R^{-8} & \text{if } 2R_{12}^2 \leq |z|, \\ R^{-8} \log R & \text{if } 2R_{12}^2 \geq |z|. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.44})$$

Together with (B.42), (B.43) and (B.44), we have

$$\int_{\substack{R \leq |y_i| \leq R_{12}^2 \\ |y_j| \geq R}} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} dx \lesssim R^{-8} \log R. \quad (\text{B.45})$$

(4) Suppose $i \neq j$ for the second integral in (B.39). Denote $\Omega_2 = \{x : |y_i| \geq R_{12}^2, |y_j| \geq R\}$, we need to consider three cases.

The case $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j$. Let $z = \lambda_i(z_j - z_i)$, $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_i \leq 1$, $U = U(y_i) = U[0, 1](y_i)$ and $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}(y_i) = U[z, \lambda](y_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \frac{\lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} dx &= R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \lambda \int_{\Omega_2} U^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{U}^{\frac{1}{2}} dy_i \\ &\leq R^{-8} \left(\int_{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2} \frac{dy_i}{\langle y_i \rangle^{\frac{20}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int U \tilde{U}^2 dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim R^{-10}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.46})$$

The case $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ and $R_{ij} = \sqrt{\lambda_j/\lambda_i}$. Set $z = \lambda_i(z_j - z_i)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_j/\lambda_i$. Similar to (B.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4} \lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6 \langle y_j \rangle^2} dx &= R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{1}{\langle y_i \rangle^6} \frac{dy_i}{\lambda^{-2} + |y_i - z|^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{-8} \int_{R_{12}^2}^{\infty} t^{-3} dt \lesssim R^{-12}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.47})$$

The case $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ and $R_{ij} = \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |z_i - z_j|$. Set $z = \lambda_j(z_i - z_j)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_i/\lambda_j$. We also set $\Omega_2 = \Omega_{21} \cup \Omega_{22} \cup \Omega_{23}$ where $\Omega_{21} = \{R \leq |y_j| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$, $\Omega_{22} = \{R/\lambda \leq |y_j - z| \leq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$ and $\Omega_{23} = \{|y_j| \geq \max\{\frac{|z|}{2}, R_{12}^2\}, |y_j - z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}\}$. Then, noticing $|z| \geq R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4} \lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^5 \langle y_j \rangle^2} dx &= R_{12}^{-4} R^{-4} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_j - z|^2)^6} \frac{dy_j}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} \\ &\lesssim R^{-8} \left(\frac{1}{|z|^6} \int_{\Omega_{21}} \frac{dy_j}{\langle y_j \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{|z|^2} \int_{\Omega_{22}} \frac{dy_j}{|y_j - z|^6} + \int_{\Omega_{23}} \frac{dy_j}{|y_j|^8} \right) \lesssim R^{-10}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.48})$$

Together with (B.46)-(B.48), we have

$$\int_{\substack{|y_i| \geq R_{12}^2 \\ |y_j| \geq R}} \frac{\lambda_i^4 R_{12}^{-4} \lambda_j^2 R^{-4}}{\langle y_i \rangle^6 \langle y_j \rangle^2} dx \lesssim R^{-10}. \quad (\text{B.49})$$

□

Lemma B.4. Suppose $n \geq 6$ and $1 \ll R \leq R_{12}/2$, we have

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} dx \approx R^{2-n}, \quad (\text{B.50})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} dx \approx R^{-n}, \quad (\text{B.51})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx \lesssim R^{-n}, \quad (\text{B.52})$$

$$\int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx \lesssim R^{2-n}. \quad (\text{B.53})$$

Proof. (1) The (B.50) and (B.51) are derived by simple computations similar to (B.15) and (B.16).

(2) Let $z = \lambda_1(z_2 - z_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$.

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &= R^{2-n} R_{12}^{2-n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{dy_1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim R^{4-2n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{dy_1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \approx R^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.54})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. We have $|z| \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &= R^{2-n} R_{12}^{2-n} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{1}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{dy_1}{(\lambda^{-2} + |y_1 - z|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim R^{4-2n} \left(\int_2^R t^{n-5} dt + \int_0^2 t dt \right) \approx R^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.55})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. Let $\hat{y} = y_1/|z|$ and $e = z/|z|$, we have $\lambda \leq 1$ and $\sqrt{\lambda}|z| \geq 2R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &\approx \int_{|\hat{y}| \leq R/|z|} \frac{R^{2-n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |z|^{-2}}{(|z|^{-1} + |\hat{y}|)^4 (\lambda^{-1} |z|^{-1} + |\hat{y} - e|)^{n-2}} \frac{d\hat{y}}{d\hat{y}} \\ &\lesssim R^{2-n} |z|^{-2} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{\lambda}} t^{n-5} dt \right) \\ &\approx R^{2-n} (\sqrt{\lambda}|z|)^{-2} \leq R^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.56})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. As before, we have $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\sqrt{\lambda}|z| \geq 2R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{2-n}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^4} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &\approx \int_{|\hat{y}| \leq R/|z|} \frac{R^{2-n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |z|^{-2}}{(|z|^{-1} + |\hat{y}|)^4 (\lambda^{-1} |z|^{-1} + |\hat{y} - e|)^{n-2}} \frac{dy_1}{d\hat{y}} \\ &\lesssim R^{2-n} |z|^{-2} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \left(\int_2^{\sqrt{\lambda}} t^{n-5} dt + \int_0^2 t dt \right) \approx R^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.57})$$

Together with (B.54)-(B.57), we get (B.52).

(3) Let $z = \lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$.

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$. We have $|z| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &\approx \int_{|y_2 - z| \geq R/\lambda} \frac{R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{(\lambda^{-1} + |y_2 - z|)^{n-2}} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-2-n} \left(\int_{R/\lambda}^2 t dt + \int_2^\infty t^{4-2n} dt \right) \approx R^{-n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.58})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$. We have $|z| \leq \lambda^{-1}$, then $|y_2| = |y_2 - z| - |z| \geq |y_2 - z|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &\approx \int_{|y_2 - z| \geq R/\lambda} \frac{R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{(\lambda^{-1} + |y_2 - z|)^{n-2}} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{R/\lambda}^\infty t^{3-n} dt \lesssim R^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.59})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. We have $\sqrt{\lambda} |z| \geq 2R$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &\approx \int_{|y_2 - z| \geq R/\lambda} \frac{R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{(\lambda^{-1} + |y_2 - z|)^{n-2}} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |z|^{2-n} \left(\int_{R/\lambda}^{|z|/2} t dt + \int_0^{|z|/2} t dt \right) + R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{|z|/2}^{\infty} t^{3-n} dt \\ &\lesssim R^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.60})$$

The case $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $R_{12} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} |z_1 - z_2|$. We have $\sqrt{\lambda} |z| \geq 2R$ and $\lambda |z| \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| \geq R} \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} R^{-4}}{\langle y_1 \rangle^{n-2}} \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} dx &\approx \int_{|y_2 - z| \geq R/\lambda} \frac{R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{(\lambda^{-1} + |y_2 - z|)^{n-2}} \frac{dy_2}{\langle y_2 \rangle^{n-2}} \\ &\lesssim R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |z|^{2-n} \left(\int_{R/\lambda}^{|z|/2} t dt + \int_0^{|z|/2} t dt \right) + R^{-4} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{|z|/2}^{\infty} t^{4-2n} dt \\ &\lesssim R^{-4} (\sqrt{\lambda} |z|)^{6-n} (\lambda |z|)^{-2} \leq R^{2-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.61})$$

Together with (B.58)-(B.61), we get (B.53). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bahri and J. M. Coron. On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 41(3):253–294, 1988.
- [2] Abbas Bahri. *Critical points at infinity in some variational problems*, volume 182. John Wiley & Sons, 1989.
- [3] Gabriele Bianchi and Henrik Egnell. A note on the sobolev inequality. *Journal of functional analysis*, 100(1):18–24, 1991.
- [4] Matteo Bonforte and Alessio Figalli. Sharp extinction rates for fast diffusion equations on generic bounded domains. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 74(4):744–789, 2021.
- [5] Lorenzo Brasco, Guido De Philippis, and Bozhidar Velichkov. Faber-Krahn inequalities in sharp quantitative form. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(9):1777–1831, 2015.
- [6] Luis A. Caffarelli, Basilis Gidas, and Joel Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(3):271–297, 1989.
- [7] F. Cavalletti, F. Maggi, and A. Mondino. Quantitative isoperimetry à la Levy-Gromov. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 72(8):1631–1677, 2019.
- [8] Giulio Ciraolo, Alessio Figalli, and Francesco Maggi. A quantitative analysis of metrics on with almost constant positive scalar curvature, with applications to fast diffusion flows. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2018(21):6780–6797, 2018.
- [9] Manuel del Pino and Mariel Sáez. On the extinction profile for solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^{(N-2)/(N+2)}$. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 50(1):611–628, 2001.
- [10] Manuel Del Pino, Jean Dolbeault, and Monica Musso. “Bubble-tower” radial solutions in the slightly supercritical Brezis-Nirenberg problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 193(2):280–306, 2003.

- [11] Manuel del Pino, Patricio Felmer, and Monica Musso. Two-bubble solutions in the super-critical Bahri-Coron's problem. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(2): 113–145, 2003.
- [12] Manuel del Pino, Monica Musso, Frank Pacard, and Angela Pistoia. Large energy entire solutions for the Yamabe equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 251(9):2568–2597, 2011.
- [13] Matias G. Delgadino, Francesco Maggi, Cornelia Mihaila, and Robin Neumayer. Bubbling with L^2 -almost constant mean curvature and an Alexandrov-type theorem for crystals. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 230(3):1131–1177, 2018.
- [14] Weiyue Ding. On a conformally invariant elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^n . *Commun. Math. Phys*, 107:331–335, 1986.
- [15] Alessio Figalli and Federico Glaudo. On the Sharp Stability of Critical Points of the Sobolev Inequality. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 237(1):201–258, 2020.
- [16] Alessio Figalli and Robin Neumayer. Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: the case $p \geq 2$. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 21(2):319–354, 2019.
- [17] N. Fusco, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli. The sharp quantitative isoperimetric inequality. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 168(3):941–980, 2008.
- [18] Basilis Gidas, Wei-Ming Ni, and Louis Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 68(3):209–243, 1979.
- [19] Francesco Maggi. Some methods for studying stability in isoperimetric type problems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 45(3):367–408, 2008.
- [20] Monica Musso and Angela Pistoia. Tower of bubbles for almost critical problems in general domains. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 93(1):1–40, 2010.
- [21] Angela Pistoia and Jérôme Vétois. Sign-changing bubble towers for asymptotically critical elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Equations*, 254(11): 4245–4278, 2013.
- [22] Michael Struwe. A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 187(4):511–517, 1984.
- [23] Giorgio Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 110: 353–372, 1976.
- [24] Juncheng Wei and Shusen Yan. Arbitrary many boundary peak solutions for an elliptic Neumann problem with critical growth. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 88(4):350–378, 2007.
- [25] Juncheng Wei and Shusen Yan. Infinitely many solutions for the prescribed scalar curvature problem on S^n . *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(9):3048–3081, 2010.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA,
HEFEI, ANHUI PROVINCE, P.R. CHINA, 230026

Email address: bingomat@mail.ustc.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC, V6T 1Z2,
CA.

Email address: lsun@math.ubc.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC, V6T 1Z2,
CA.

Email address: jcwei@math.ubc.ca