

On an application of higher energies to Sidon sets *

Shkredov I.D.

Annotation.

We show that for any finite set A and an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $k = k(\varepsilon)$ such that the higher energy $E_k(A)$ is at most $|A|^{k+\varepsilon}$ unless A has a very specific structure. As an application we obtain that any finite subset A of the real numbers or the prime field either contains an additive Sidon-type subset of size $|A|^{1/2+c}$ or a multiplicative Sidon-type subset of size $|A|^{1/2+c}$.

1 Introduction

Sidon sets is a classical object of Combinatorial Number theory, which was introduced by S. Sidon in [24]. A subset S of an abelian group \mathbf{G} is a *Sidon set* iff all its non-zero differences are distinct. Being "more random than random" this interesting class of sets were extensively studied by various authors, see [1]–[9], [13]–[19] and many others papers. Detailed information about Sidon sets can be found in survey [17], for example.

Let $\text{Sid}(A)$ be size of the maximal (by cardinality) Sidon subset of a set $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$. If we want to underline the group operation we write $\text{Sid}^+(A)$ or $\text{Sid}^\times(A)$. In [11] (also, see paper [21]) it was proved that for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\text{Sid}(A) \geq c\sqrt{|A|}, \quad (1)$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. Of course, this result is tight (just take A equals a segment of integers to see that $\text{Sid}^+(A) \ll \sqrt{|A|}$). Oleksiy Klurman and Cosmin Pohoata (see [10]) asked the following sum-product-type question (on the sum-product phenomenon see, e.g., [25]): is it true that bound (1) can be improved either for $\text{Sid}^+(A)$ or for $\text{Sid}^\times(A)$, where A is any finite subset of the real numbers?

We write $\text{Sid}_k(A)$ for size of maximal subset of A having at most k representations of any non-zero element as a difference. Thus $\text{Sid}_1(A) = \text{Sid}(A)$ and bound (1) cannot be improved for the quantity $\text{Sid}_k(A)$ (again take A equals a segment of integers). Our main result is

Theorem 1 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ be a set, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ (in the prime field case suppose, in addition, that $|A| < \sqrt{p}$, say). Then there are some absolute constants $c > 0$, $K \geq 1$ such that*

$$\max\{\text{Sid}_K^+(A), \text{Sid}_K^\times(A)\} \gg |A|^{1/2+c}. \quad (2)$$

*This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 19-11-00001.

On the other hand, for any integer $k \geq 1$ there is $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with

$$\max\{\text{Sid}_k^+(A), \text{Sid}_k^\times(A)\} \ll k^{1/2}|A|^{2/3}. \quad (3)$$

Oliver Roche-Newton and Audie Warren obtained a bound similar to (3) and another estimate of the same form was obtained by Green–Peluse, see [10] (also, see [6, page 57]). Our construction is different from these counterexamples and we give our own proof of (3) at the end of section 3 for completeness.

Actually, Theorem 1 is a consequence of a more general fact about so-called *higher energies* [20] (all required definitions can be found in section 2). We think that Theorem 2 below is interesting in its own right and it can find further applications in Additive Combinatorics.

Theorem 2 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, $\delta, \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ be parameters. Then there is $k = k(\delta, \varepsilon)$ such that either $\mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq |A|^{k+\delta}$ or there is $H \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|H| \gg |A|^{\delta(1-\varepsilon)}$, $|H + H| \ll |A|^\varepsilon |H|$ and there exists $Z \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|Z||H| \ll |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ with $|(H \dot{+} Z) \cap A| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$.*

In other words, we can always take large k to make $\mathbf{E}_k(A)$ as small as possible unless the set A has a very rigid structure. It is easy to see (or consult [22, Theorem 22]) that Theorem 2 is, actually, a criterion.

Finally, at our last section we study k -Sidon sets (and its generalizations), that is, sets A having at most k representations of any non-zero element as a difference. This class of sets were introduced by Erdős in [6] and it is strongly connected with the higher energies. We show that such sets are even more natural than usual $B_2[g]$ -sets, see the definition from [17]. In particular, size of such sets can be estimated relatively easily (unlike to $B_2[g]$ -sets), they have heritability properties, they have a natural reinterpretation in terms of its Cayley graph and so on.

We thank Oliver Roche-Newton who communicated the question of Oleksiy Klurman and Cosmin Pohoata to the author. Also, we thank him for very useful comments, discussions and remarks.

2 Definitions

By \mathbf{G} we denote an abelian group. Sometimes we underline the group operation writing $+$ or \times in the considered quantities (as the energy, the representation function and so on, see below). Let \mathbb{F} be the field \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for a prime p .

We use the same capital letter to denote set $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ and its characteristic function $A : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Given two sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, define the *sumset* of A and B as

$$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

In a similar way we define the *difference sets* and *higher sumsets*, e.g., $2A - A$ is $A + A - A$. We write $\dot{+}$ for a direct sum, i.e., $|A \dot{+} B| = |A||B|$. For an abelian group \mathbf{G} the Plünnecke–Ruzsa inequality (see, e.g., [25]) holds stating

$$|nA - mA| \leq \left(\frac{|A + A|}{|A|} \right)^{n+m} \cdot |A|, \quad (4)$$

where n, m are any positive integers. We use representation function notations like $r_{A+B}(x)$ or $r_{A-B}(x)$ and so on, which counts the number of ways $x \in \mathbf{G}$ can be expressed as a sum $a + b$ or $a - b$ with $a \in A, b \in B$, respectively. For example, $|A| = r_{A-A}(0)$.

For any two sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ the additive energy of A and B is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(A, B) = \mathbf{E}^+(A, B) = |\{(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) \in A \times A \times B \times B : a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2\}|.$$

If $A = B$, then we simply write $\mathbf{E}(A)$ for $\mathbf{E}(A, A)$. For $k \geq 2$ put

$$\mathbf{E}_k(A) = \sum_x r_{A-A}^k(x) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}} |A \cap (A + \alpha_1) \cap \dots \cap (A + \alpha_{k-1})|^2. \quad (5)$$

Clearly, $|A|^k \leq \mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq |A|^{k+1}$. Also, we write $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_k(A) = \sum_x r_{A+A}^k(x)$.

The signs \ll and \gg are the usual Vinogradov symbols. When the constants in the signs depend on a parameter M , we write \ll_M and \gg_M . All logarithms are to base 2. If we have a set A , then we will write $a \lesssim b$ or $b \gtrsim a$ if $a = O(b \cdot \log^c |A|)$, $c > 0$. Let us denote by $[n]$ the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By $K_{s,t}$ denote the complete subgraph with two parts of sizes s and t .

3 Proof of the main result

We start with a probability lemma, which was known before in the case $k = 2$, see [1], say. Roughly speaking, ignoring the presence of the parameter k in $\text{Sid}_{O(k)}$ from formula (6) below, Lemma 3 works better than inequality (1) if $\mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq |A|^{k+\omega}$ for a certain $\omega < 1/2$.

Lemma 3 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set. Then for any $k \geq 2$ one has*

$$\text{Sid}_{3k-3}(A) \gg \left(\frac{|A|^{2k}}{\mathbf{E}_k(A)} \right)^{1/(2k-1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sid}_{2k-2}(A) \gg \left(\frac{|A|^{2k}}{\hat{\mathbf{E}}_k(A)} \right)^{1/(2k-1)}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Form a random set $A_* \subseteq A$ picking elements of A_* from A independently at random with probability q . Then the expectation of the solutions to the equation

$$\mathbf{E}'_k(A) := |\{x_1 - x'_1 = \dots = x_k - x'_k : x_j, x'_j \in A, x_j, x'_j \text{ are different}\}| \quad (7)$$

is $q^{2k} \mathbf{E}'_k(A) \leq q^{2k} \mathbf{E}_k(A)$. If $q^{2k} \mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq q|A|/2$, then we can delete roughly a half of elements of A_* to find a subset B of A_* , $|B| \gg |A_*|$, having no solutions to equation (7). Let us take any $z \neq 0$ and prove that $r_{B-B}(z) < 3k - 2 := g$. Suppose that for some $x_j, x'_j \in B$ the following holds

$$0 \neq z = x_1 - x'_1 = \dots = x_g - x'_g. \quad (8)$$

Clearly, any x_j or x'_j presents in (8) in at most two equations and hence a pair (x_j, x'_j) presents in at most three equations. Also, by the definition of the set B any k equations from (8) must have some equal variables. It follows that $r_{B-B}(z) \leq 3 \cdot (k - 1)$ as required. Finally, taking

$q = (|A|\mathbf{E}_k^{-1}(A)/2)^{1/(2k-1)}$, we obtain our result. The second bound in (6) can be obtained similarly. In this case the correspondent analogue of (8) is

$$x_1 + x'_1 = \cdots = x_g + x'_g \quad (9)$$

and we see that a pair (x_j, x'_j) presents in at most two equations from (9). Again by the definition of the set B any k equations from (9) must have some equal variables. It follows that $r_{B+B}(z) \leq 2k - 2$ as required. \square

We now obtain our driving result (Theorem 2 from the introduction is a particular case of Theorem 4). The proof is in the spirit of [22, Theorem 21].

Theorem 4 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, $\delta, \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ be parameters, $\varepsilon \leq \delta$.*

1) *Then there is $k = k(\delta, \varepsilon) = \exp(O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log(1/\delta)))$ such that either $\mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq |A|^{k+\delta}$ or there is $H \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|H| \gtrsim |A|^{\delta(1-\varepsilon)}$, $|H + H| \ll |A|^\varepsilon |H|$ and there exists $Z \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|Z||H| \ll |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ with*

$$|(H + Z) \cap A| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

2) *Similarly, either there is a set $A' \subseteq A$, $|A'| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$ and $P \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|P| \gtrsim |A|^\delta$ such that for all $x \in A'$ one has $r_{A-P}(x) \gg |P||A|^{-\varepsilon}$ or $\mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq |A|^{k+\delta}$ with $k \ll 1/\varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{E}_l = \mathbf{E}_l(A) := |A|^{l+\kappa_l}$, where $\kappa_l \in [0, 1]$ and $l \geq 2$ be any integer. We assume that $\kappa_l \geq \delta$. By the pigeon-hole principle there is a number $\Delta > 0$ and a set $P \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ such that $P = \{x : \Delta < r_{A-A}(x) \leq 2\Delta\}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{l+1} \lesssim \Delta^{l+1}|P|$. In particular,

$$|P| \gtrsim \mathbf{E}_{l+1}|A|^{-(l+1)} = |A|^{\kappa_l} \geq |A|^\delta. \quad (10)$$

Let M be a parameter, which we will choose later in an appropriate way in each case 1) and 2). Suppose that

$$\mathbf{E}_{l+1} \geq \frac{|A|\mathbf{E}_l}{M}. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\frac{|A|\Delta^l|P|}{M} \leq \frac{|A|\mathbf{E}_l}{M} \leq \mathbf{E}_{l+1} \lesssim \Delta^{l+1}|P|. \quad (12)$$

Hence

$$\frac{|A||P|}{M} \lesssim \sum_{x \in P} r_{A-A}(x) = \sum_{a \in A} |A \cap (P + a)|, \quad (13)$$

and the inequality $\Delta \gtrsim |A|/M$ follows from (12). Take $A' = \{a \in A : |A \cap (P + a)| \gtrsim |P|/CM\}$ with a sufficiently large constant C . Then from (13), we get $|A'| \gtrsim |A|/M$ and by the definition of the set A' , we have $r_{A-P}(x) \gtrsim |P|/M$ for all $x \in A'$. To obtain 2) we put $M = |A|^{\varepsilon/2}$ and we are done under assumption (11). Now suppose that $\mathbf{E}_{l+1} \leq |A|\mathbf{E}_l/M$. Then apply the previous argument to \mathbf{E}_l instead of \mathbf{E}_{l+1} . Again, if $\mathbf{E}_l \geq |A|\mathbf{E}_{l-1}/M$, then we are done otherwise $\mathbf{E}_l \leq |A|\mathbf{E}_{l-1}/M$ and we repeat the argument. Clearly, after at most $k \ll 1/\varepsilon$ steps our algorithm finishes and we obtain $\mathbf{E}_k(A) \leq |A|^{k+\delta}$.

It remains to obtain 1). Returning to (13) and using the Hölder inequality several times, we get

$$\frac{|A||P|^2}{M^2} \lesssim \mathbf{E}(A, P) = \sum_x r_{A-A}(x)r_{P-P}(x),$$

and hence

$$\left(\frac{|A||P|^2}{M^2}\right)^{l+1} \lesssim \mathbf{E}_{l+1} \left(\sum_x r_{P-P}^{1+1/l}(x) \right)^l \lesssim |P|\Delta^{l+1} \cdot \mathbf{E}(P)|P|^{2l-2}.$$

In other words,

$$\mathbf{E}(P) \gtrsim \frac{|P|^3}{M^{2l+2}} := \frac{|P|^3}{M_*}.$$

By the Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers Theorem (e.g., see, [25]), we find $H \subseteq P$, $|H| \gg |P|/M_*^C$, $|H+H| \ll M_*^C |H|$, where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant which may change from line to line. As above

$$|A||H|/M \lesssim |H|\Delta \ll \sum_{x \in H} r_{A-A}(x) = \sum_{a \in A} |A \cap (H+a)|.$$

Again, we define $W \subseteq A$ similarly to the set A' and obtain in particular, that $|W| \gtrsim |A|/M$. Also, let $Z \subseteq W$ be a set such that the sets $\{H+z\}_{z \in Z}$ form the maximal system of disjoint sets. By maximality, $W \subseteq Z+H-H$ and hence by the Plünnecke inequality (4), we get

$$|A|/M \lesssim |W| \leq |Z||H-H| \ll M_*^C |Z||H|$$

and thus

$$|(H+Z) \cap A| = \sum_{z \in Z} |A \cap (H+z)| \gtrsim |Z||H|/M \gtrsim |A|/M_*^C.$$

In particular,

$$M|A| \gtrsim |Z||H|.$$

We now put $M = |A|^{\frac{\varepsilon \kappa_l}{C_*^l}}$, where $C_* > 0$ is a sufficiently large constant. Hence we get $|H+H| \ll |A|^\varepsilon |H|$ and $|(H+Z) \cap A| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$. Also, in view of (10) one has

$$|H| \gg |P|/M_*^C \gtrsim |A|^\delta / M^{C_*} \gtrsim |A|^{\delta(1-\varepsilon)}$$

as required. Now suppose that inequality (11) fails, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_{l+1} \leq |A|\mathbf{E}_l/M$. It gives us $\kappa_{l+1} \leq \kappa_l(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{C_*^l})$ and thus iterating, we see that our algorithm stops after at most $\exp(O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log(1/\delta)))$ steps. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5 Notice that Lemma 3, as well as the first part of Theorem 4 work for sums as for differences and thus the first part of Theorem 1 can be obtained for the quantity Sid_k^* defined via pluses but not differences.

A consequence of Theorem 4 is Corollary 6 below, which is a direct result having no the sum–product flavour. Roughly speaking, it says that basic estimate (1) can be easily improved for a wide class of sets, if we ignore the presence of the parameter K in formula (14).

Corollary 6 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ be a parameter. Then either for some $K = K(\delta)$, $c = c(\delta)$ the following holds*

$$\text{Sid}_K(A) \gg |A|^{1/2+c} \quad (14)$$

or there is a set of shifts T , $|T| \gg |A|^{\delta/8}$ such that for any $t \in T$ one has $|A \cap (A+t)| \gg |A|^{1-\delta}$.

Proof. We apply the first part of Theorem 4 with $\delta = \delta/2$ and $\varepsilon = \delta/16$. If the first alternative holds, then we are done in view of Lemma 3 because $\mathbf{E}_k^+(A) \leq |A|^{k+\delta}$ implies (14). Otherwise there is $H \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|H| \gtrsim |A|^{\delta(1-\varepsilon)/2}$, $|H+H| \ll |A|^\varepsilon |H|$ and there exists $Z \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|Z||H| \ll |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ with $|(H \dot{+} Z) \cap A| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$. For any $z \in Z$ put $A_z = A \cap (H+z) \subseteq A$. Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality several times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x r_{A-A}(x)r_{H-H}(x) &= \mathbf{E}(A, H) \geq \sum_{z \in Z} \mathbf{E}(A_z, H) \gg \sum_{z \in Z} \frac{|A_z|^2 |H|^2}{|A|^\varepsilon |H|} \gg \\ &\gg (|H||Z|)^{-1} |A|^{2-3\varepsilon} |H|^2 \gg |A|^{1-4\varepsilon} |H|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Taking $T = \{t \in \mathbf{G} : |A \cap (A+t)| \gg |A|^{1-4\varepsilon}\}$, we obtain from (15) that

$$|T| \gg |H||A|^{-4\varepsilon} \gg |A|^{\delta/8}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to obtain bound (2) of Theorem 1. We give even two proofs using both statements of our driving Theorem 4.

Proof. Take any $\delta < 1/2$, e.g., $\delta = 1/4$ and let $\varepsilon \leq \delta/4$ be a parameter, which we will choose later. In view of Lemma 3 we see that $\mathbf{E}_k^+(A) \leq |A|^{k+\delta}$ implies

$$\text{Sid}_{3k-3}^+(A) \gg |A|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-2\delta}{2(2k-1)}} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4(2k-1)}} \quad (16)$$

and we are done. Here $k = k(\varepsilon)$. Otherwise there is $H \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, $|H| \gtrsim |A|^{\delta(1-\varepsilon)} \geq |A|^{\delta/2}$, $|H+H| \ll |A|^\varepsilon |H|$ and there exists $Z \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, $|Z||H| \ll |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ with $|(H \dot{+} Z) \cap A| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$. Put $A_* = (H \dot{+} Z) \cap A$, $|A_*| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$ and we want to estimate $\mathbf{E}_{l+1}^\times(A_*)$ or $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{l+1}^\times(A_*)$ for large l . After that having a good upper bound for $\mathbf{E}_{l+1}^\times(A_*)$ or $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{l+1}^\times(A_*)$, we apply Lemma 3 again to find large multiplicative Sidon subset of A_* .

First of all, notice that $|A_* + H| \leq |H+H||Z| \ll |A|^\varepsilon |H||Z| \ll |A|^{1+2\varepsilon}$. In other words, the set A_* almost does not grow after the addition with H . Let $Q = A_* + H$, $|Q| \ll |A|^{1+2\varepsilon}$. Secondly, fix any $\lambda \neq 0$. The number of the solutions to the equation $a_1 a_2 = \lambda$, where $a_1, a_2 \in A_*$ does not exceed

$$\sigma_\lambda := |H|^{-2} |\{h_1, h_2 \in H, q_1, q_2 \in Q : (h_1 - q_1)(h_2 - q_2) = \lambda\}|.$$

The last equation can be interpreted as a question about the number of incidences between points and modular hyperbolas (see [23]) and for each non-zero λ the quantity σ_λ can be estimated as

$$\sigma_\lambda \ll |H|^{-2} \cdot |Q||H|^{2-\kappa} \ll |A|^{1+2\varepsilon} |H|^{-\kappa},$$

see [14] in the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and [23, Theorem 22] in the case of the prime field. Here $\kappa = \kappa(\delta) > 0$. Recalling that $|H| \gg |A|^{\delta/2}$, $|A_*| \gg |A|^{1-\varepsilon}$ and taking any $\varepsilon \leq \delta\kappa/100$, we obtain after some calculations that $\sigma_\lambda \ll |A_*|^{1-\delta\kappa/4}$. Hence taking sufficiently large $l \gg (\delta\kappa)^{-1}$, we derive

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{l+1}^\times(A_*) = \sum_{\lambda} r_{A_*A_*}^{l+1}(\lambda) \ll |A_*|^{l+1} + (|A_*|^{1-\delta\kappa/2})^l |A_*|^2 \ll |A_*|^{l+1} + |A|^{l+2-\delta\kappa l/2} \ll |A_*|^{l+1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3 and choosing $\varepsilon \ll l^{-1}$, we see that

$$\text{Sid}_{2l}^\times(A) \geq \text{Sid}_{2l}^\times(A_*) \gg |A_*|^{\frac{l+1}{2l+1}} \gg |A|^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)(l+1)}{2l+1}} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-2\varepsilon(l+1)}{2(2l+1)}} \gg |A|^{\frac{1}{2}+c},$$

where $c = c(\delta) > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Now let us give the second proof applying the last part of Theorem 4. The argument is almost the same but we estimate $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{l+1}^\times(A')$. Again, the number of the solutions to the equation $a_1 a_2 = \lambda$, where $a_1, a_2 \in A'$ does not exceed

$$\sigma_\lambda := (|P||A|^{-\varepsilon})^{-2} \cdot |\{a_1, a_2 \in A, p_1, p_2 \in P : (a_1 - p_1)(a_2 - p_2) = \lambda\}|.$$

By results from [14], [23] one has

$$\sigma_\lambda \ll (|P||A|^{-\varepsilon})^{-2} \cdot |A||P|^{2-\kappa} \ll |A|^{1+2\varepsilon}|P|^{-\kappa},$$

where $\kappa = \kappa(\delta) > 0$. Again $|P| \gtrsim |A|^\delta$ and we can use the arguments as above. This concludes the proof. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need a simple lemma on upper estimates of sizes of Sidon sets in sumsets.

Lemma 7 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, $A = B + C$, and $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

$$\text{Sid}_k(A) \leq \min\{|C|\sqrt{k|B|} + |B|, |B|\sqrt{k|C|} + |C|\}.$$

More generally, if $r_{B+C}(a) \geq \sigma$ for any $a \in A$, then

$$\text{Sid}_k(A) \leq \sigma^{-1} \min\{|C|\sqrt{k|B|} + |B|, |B|\sqrt{k|C|} + |C|\}.$$

Proof. We give two proofs. The first proof uses theory of graphs and it demonstrates transparently how Sid_k -sets are naturally connected with Cayley graphs.

Let Λ be the maximal subset of A such that $r_{\Lambda-\Lambda}(x) \leq k$ for any $x \neq 0$. Consider the graph $G = G(V, E)$, where V is the disjoint union of B and C , the edge $(b, c) \in E$ iff $b + c \in \Lambda$. Moreover, we assume that $|E| = |\Lambda|$ ignoring elements of Λ , which have several representations as $b + c$. Using the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$|\Lambda|^2 \leq |B| \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{c, c' \in C} E(b, c) E(b, c') =$$

$$= |B| \sum_{c,c' \in C} \sum_{b \in B} E(b, c)E(b, c') = |B||\Lambda| + |B| \sum_{c,c' \in C, c \neq c'} \sum_{b \in B} E(b, c)E(b, c').$$

If the last sum over b is at least $k + 1$, then we find a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{2,k+1}$ in G and hence there are different elements $\lambda_1, \lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}, \lambda'_{k+1} \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_1 - \lambda'_1 = \dots = \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda'_{k+1}$. The last fact contradicts the assumption that $r_{\Lambda-\Lambda}(x) \leq k$ for any $x \neq 0$. Hence

$$|\Lambda|^2 \leq |B| \leq |B||\Lambda| + k|B||C|^2$$

as required.

To obtain the second part of our lemma we use a little bit different method. Again, let Λ be the set as before. Then by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma|\Lambda|)^2 &\leq \mathcal{S}^2 := \left(\sum_{x \in \Lambda} r_{B+C}(x) \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{b \in B} r_{\Lambda-C}(b) \right)^2 \leq |B| \sum_{x,y \in \Lambda} |B \cap (x-C) \cap (y-C)| \leq \\ &\leq |B|\mathcal{S} + |B| \sum_{x \neq y \in \Lambda} |(x-C) \cap (y-C)| \leq |B|\mathcal{S} + k|B||C|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we can easily obtain a non-trivial upper bound for size of maximal Sidon set in any difference set or sumset.

Corollary 8 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set and $D = A - A$, $S = A + A$. Then for any positive integer k one has $\text{Sid}_k(D) \ll \sqrt{k} \min\{|A|^{3/2}, \sqrt{|D|^3}|A|^{-1}\}$ and*

$$\text{Sid}_k(S) \ll \sqrt{k} \min\{|A|^{3/2}, |A|^{-1} \min\{|D|\sqrt{|S|} + |S|, |S|\sqrt{|D|} + |D|\}\}.$$

Proof. The bound $\text{Sid}_k(D), \text{Sid}_k(S) \ll \sqrt{k}|A|^{3/2}$ follows immediately from the first part of Lemma 7. Further it is easy to see (or consult [20]) that for any $d \in D$ one has $r_{D-D}(d) \geq |A|$. Applying the second part of Lemma 7 with $A = B = C = D$ and $\sigma = |A|$, we obtain $\text{Sid}_k(D) \ll \sqrt{k}|D|^3|A|^{-1}$. To prove the second part of our lemma notice that for any $s \in S$ the following holds $r_{D+S}(s) \geq |A|$. This concludes the proof. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need to obtain upper bound (3). In the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we put $B = \Gamma$, $C = H\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \{1, g, \dots, g^n\}$, $g \geq 2$ is an integer, $\bar{\Gamma} = \{g^{-n}, \dots, g^{-1}, 1, g, \dots, g^n\}$, $H = \{g^{n+1}, g^{2(n+1)}, \dots, g^{n(n+1)}\}$. Then $A = B + C = \Gamma + H\Gamma$ is contained in $\Gamma(1 + H\bar{\Gamma})$ and in view of Lemma 7 any additive/multiplicative k -Sidon subset of A has size at most $O_k(|\Gamma|^2) = O_k(|A|^{2/3})$ because as one can easily see $|A| = |\Gamma|^3$. Similarly, in the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ we apply Lemma 7 with $B = \Gamma$, $C = H\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \leq \mathbb{F}_p^*$, $H \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^*/\Gamma$ and $|H| = |\Gamma|$ is sufficiently small relatively to p . Then $A := B + C = \Gamma(1 + H\Gamma)$ and hence by Lemma 7 any additive/multiplicative k -Sidon subset of A has size at most $2\sqrt{k}|\Gamma|^2$. To obtain the required bound $|A| \gg |\Gamma + H\Gamma|$ for an appropriate H one can use the random choice (we leave the details to the interested reader).

4 On $B_2^\circ[g]$ -sets

In the previous section we have obtained some results about the family of sets S with

$$r_{S-S}(x) \leq g, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{G}, \quad x \neq 0. \quad (17)$$

This class of sets were introduced by Erdős in [6, page 57] (also, see [7]) and we denote this family as $B_2^\circ[g]$ (Erdős used the symbol $B_2'^{(k)}$). It was said in [6] that "V.T. Sós and I considered $B_2'^{(k)}$ sequences... We could not decide whether there is a $B_2'^{(k)}$ sequence which is not the union of a finite number of Sidon sequences." According to the author's knowledge this paper of Erdős and Sós was not published. The question from [6] is a nice problem of Erdős, which is open and if it has a negative answer, then the original question of Klurman–Pohoata would be closed thanks to our Theorem 1. Let us underline it one more time that it is possible to construct sets S with bounded $r_{S+S}(x)$ which are not the union of a finite number of Sidon sequences (see [6] and [1]). It seems like condition (17) has another nature and that is why we devote this section studying some further properties of $B_2^\circ[g]$ -sets. To see that this is a special family, notice that, for example, the condition $r_{S-S}(x) \ll 1$, $x \neq 0$ has an interpretation in terms of the Cayley graph of S but $r_{S+S}(x) \ll 1$ cannot be expressed in terms of any Cayley graph.

First of all, notice that if S is a random subset of $[N]$, which was obtained piking elements from $[N]$ independently at random with probability $q \sim N^{-1/2}$, then with probability $1 - o(1)$ one has $r_{S-S}(x) \ll \log N$ see, e.g., [5, Lemma 4.3] and a similar lower bound for the function $r_{S-S}(x)$ takes place. Thus for any fixed g sets belonging to $B_2^\circ[g]$ are far from random sets.

Secondly, as it was noted in the proof of Lemma 7 a set S belongs to the family $B_2^\circ[g]$ iff its Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(S, \mathbf{G})$ has no complete bipartite subgraphs $K_{2,g+1}$. Recall that the vertex set of $\text{Cay}(A, \mathbf{G})$ is \mathbf{G} and (x, y) is an edge of $\text{Cay}(A, \mathbf{G})$ iff $x - y \in A$. Another equivalent interpretation of (17) is

$$|S \cap (S + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_g)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all distincts and non-zero } x_1, \dots, x_g \in \mathbf{G}. \quad (18)$$

This reinterpretation of $B_2^\circ[g]$ -sets says that the considered family is naturally connected with the higher energies [20] (also, see the second formula in definition (5)). Also, if we put for an arbitrary $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$

$$\Delta_g(A) := \{(a, \dots, a) \in A^g : a \in A\} \subseteq \mathbf{G}^g,$$

then (18) means that the sum S^g and $\Delta_g(S)$ is direct, in other words, S^g and $\Delta_g(S)$ form a *co-Sidon pair*, see [5]. Further formula (18) suggests us the following definition for $B_k^\circ[g]$ sets

$$|S \cap (S + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_g)| < k \quad \text{for all distincts and non-zero } x_1, \dots, x_g \in \mathbf{G}. \quad (19)$$

Similarly, $S \in B_k^\circ[g]$ iff $\text{Cay}(S, \mathbf{G})$ does not contain $K_{k,g+1}$ or, in other words, S does not contain any sumsets $X + Y$, where $|X| = g + 1$, $|Y| = k$. Since the number of edges in $\text{Cay}(S, \mathbf{G})$ equals $|S||\mathbf{G}|$ for any finite group \mathbf{G} , it follows that to estimate size of S it is enough to bound the number of edges in $K_{k,g+1}$ -free graphs. Such results are discussed in detail in survey [8], for example. Of course there is a direct approach to estimate cardinality of $S \in B_2^\circ[g]$. Namely, if $S \in B_2^\circ[g]$ belongs to a group \mathbf{G} of size N , then, obviously,

$$|S|^2 = \sum_x r_{S-S}(x) \leq |S| + g(N - 1)$$

and hence

$$|S| < \sqrt{gN} + 1. \quad (20)$$

Similarly, if $S \in B_k^\circ[g]$ and S belongs to a group \mathbf{G} of size N , then

$$|S|^{g+1} = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_g} |S \cap (S + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_g)| < kN^g + \binom{g+1}{2} |S|^g$$

and thus $|S| < k^{\frac{1}{g+1}} N^{\frac{g}{g+1}} + \binom{g+1}{2}$. Of course in the case when $S \subseteq [N]$ there are no such good upper bounds for size of S even if S is a classical Sidon set. Nevertheless, we easily obtain a generalization of Linstrom's result [13] for $B_2^\circ[g]$ -sets in the segment (as well as for $B_k^\circ[g]$ -sets but it is not the main topic of our paper, for better bounds see [16]).

Proposition 9 *Let $S \subseteq [N]$ belongs to the family $B_2^\circ[g]$. Then*

$$|S| < \sqrt{gN} + (gN)^{1/4} + 1. \quad (21)$$

Generally, if $S \in B_k^\circ[g]$, then

$$|S| < k^{\frac{1}{g+1}} N^{\frac{g}{g+1}} + \binom{g+1}{2}^{\frac{1}{g+1}} k^{\frac{g}{(g+1)^2}} N^{\frac{g^2}{(g+1)^2}} + 1. \quad (22)$$

Proof. We use the method from [9]. Let $u = [N^{3/4}g^{-1/4}]$ be a parameter and $I = [u]$. Embed S into $\mathbb{Z}/(N+u)\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to check that for any $x \in [-u, u] \setminus \{0\}$ one has $r_{S-S}(x) \leq g$, where now x runs over $\mathbb{Z}/(N+u)\mathbb{Z}$. Hence using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to estimate the common energy $E(S, I)$, we get

$$\frac{|S|^2 u^2}{N+u} \leq E(S, I) = \sum_x r_{S-S}(x) r_{I-I}(x) < |S|u + gu^2$$

or, in other words,

$$gu^2 + u(gN + |S| - |S|^2) + |S|N > 0$$

and substituting $u = [N^{3/4}g^{-1/4}] < N^{3/4}g^{-1/4}$ and $|S| = \sqrt{gN} + (gN)^{1/4} + C$, we find after some calculations that the condition $C \leq 1$ is enough. To obtain (22) we use a similar argument (with another parameter u , of course) to estimate an analogue of the higher common energy of S and I , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(|S|u)^{g+1}}{(N+u)^g} &\leq \sum_x r_{S-I}^{g+1}(x) = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_g} |S \cap (S+x_1) \cap \dots \cap (S+x_g)| |I \cap (I+x_1) \cap \dots \cap (I+x_g)| < \quad (23) \\ &< ku^{g+1} + \binom{g+1}{2} \sum_x r_{S-I}^g(x) \leq ku^{g+1} + \binom{g+1}{2} |S|^g u := ku^{g+1} + C_g |S|^g u. \end{aligned}$$

We have used in (23) that all variables x_j belong to $[-u, u]$. Finally, in the case of $B_k^\circ[g]$ -sets an appropriate choice of the parameter u is $u = [C_g^{1/(g+1)} k^{-1/(g+1)^2} N^{1-g/(g+1)^2}]$ and after some

calculations we obtain (22) (we roughly estimate the third term in this formula by one and actually, we do not optimize the constant in the middle term of (22), taking it for the simplest way to check). This completes the proof. \square

As for lower bounds on size of maximal subsets of $B_k^\circ[g]$, again one can consult [8] to find correspondent lower bound for the number of edges in graphs having no $K_{s,t}$. Our graphs must be *Cayley graphs* and such constructions are known for $K_{2,2}$, $K_{3,3}$ (Brown's construction, see [2]) and for $K_{s,t}$, $t \geq s! + 1$ (so-called, norm-graphs), see [8]. As for $K_{2,t}$, $t > 2$ one can obtain a result similar to [4, Theorem 1.6]. Namely, define for any g the quantity

$$\alpha_g = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max\{|S| : S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, S \in B_2^\circ[g]\}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Theorem 10 *We have*

$$\alpha_g = \sqrt{g} + O(g^{3/10}).$$

Proof. Actually, our argument almost coincides with the approach of the proof [4, Theorem 1.6], so we omit the details.

By the method of [4, section 4] it is enough to construct a set $A \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ (here p is a prime number) such that $A \in B_2^\circ[g]$ with $g = k^2 + O(k^{3/2})$ and $|A| = kp + O(k)$. We put $A = \bigsqcup_{u \in U} A_u$, where U is an appropriate arithmetic progression, $U = t + [k]$ and for any non-zero $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$A_u = \{(x, x^2/u) : x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Clearly, $|A| = kp - k + 1$. Let $r_{u,v}(x) = r_{A_u - A_v}(x)$. Our task is to estimate for any x the sum

$$r_{A-A}(x) \leq \sum_{u,v \in U} r_{u,v}(x).$$

By [4, Lemma 3.2] one has $r_{u,v}(x) + r_{u',v'}(x) = 2$, provided $u + v = u' + v'$ and $\left(\frac{uvu'v'}{p}\right) = -1$. Using this lemma and acting exactly as on pages 2794–2795 of [4], we find

$$r_{A-A}(x) \leq k^2 + \sum_{|l| < k} \left| \sum_{i+j=k+1+l} \left(\frac{(t+i)(t+j)}{p} \right) \right|.$$

After that taking the summation over t (to find an appropriate U) and applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Weil's bound on sum of Legendre symbols, we obtain the required estimate (see the rest of the argument from [4]). This completes the proof. \square

Finally, let us mention that the construction of Linstrom [12] works for $B_2^\circ[g]$, as well as for usual Sidon sets. Namely, having a Sidon set A in $[(N-g)/g]$ one can see that the set $S := g \cdot A + \{0, 1, \dots, g-1\} \subseteq [N]$ belongs to the family $B_2^\circ[g]$ and hence it gives us another construction of sets $S \in B_2^\circ[g]$, $S \subseteq [N]$ of size $\sqrt{gN}(1 + o(1))$.

We continue this section considering heritability properties of $B_2^\circ[g]$ -sets. For different x_1, \dots, x_s and any set $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ put $A_X := (A + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (A + x_s)$, where $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$.

Taking the same set $X_1 = \dots = X_l = X$ in inequality (24) below, one can see that any $B_k^\circ[g]$ -set generates $B_k^\circ[g_1]$ -sets with a smaller g_1 . In particular, in Brown's construction [2] of the set $S \in B_2^\circ[2]$, this set S is a (almost disjoint) union of classical Sidon sets $S_w = S \cap (S + w)$, $w \in (S - S) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 11 *Let $S \in B_k^\circ[g]$, $l \geq 2$ and take any sets X_1, \dots, X_l with $|X_1| + \dots + |X_l| \geq g + \binom{l}{2} + 1$ and such that any (X_i, X_j) forms a co-Sidon pair. Then*

$$|S_{X_1} \cap (S_{X_2} + z_1) \cap \dots \cap (S_{X_l} + z_{l-1})| < k \quad \text{for any different } z_1, \dots, z_{l-1} \neq 0. \quad (24)$$

In particular, if $S \in B_2^\circ[2]$ and \mathbf{G} has no elements of order two, then for any non-zero w the set $S_w = S \cap (S + w)$ is a Sidon set.

Proof. Let $X_j = \{x_1^{(j)}, \dots, x_{s_j}^{(j)}\}$, $j \in [l]$. For an arbitrary $z \in \mathbf{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &:= S_{X_1} \cap (S_{X_2} + z_1) \cap \dots \cap (S_{X_l} + z_{l-1}) = \\ &(S + x_1^{(1)}) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_{s_1}^{(1)}) \cap (S + x_1^{(2)} + z_1) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_1^{(2)} + z_1) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_1^{(l)} + z_{l-1}) \cap \dots \cap (S + x_1^{(l)} + z_{l-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^l s_j \geq g + \binom{l}{2} + 1$, then either $|\mathcal{S}| < k$ by (18), (19) or we have for some indices $x_i^{(i')} + z_i = x_j^{(j')} + z_j$ and $x_i^{(i'')} + z_i = x_j^{(j'')} + z_j$. The last alternative implies that $x_i^{(i')} - x_j^{(j')} = x_i^{(i'')} - x_j^{(j'')}$ but X_i and X_j form a co-Sidon pair by the assumption.

In the case $S_w = S \cap (S + w)$ our ground set X is $\{0, w\}$ and it is easy to see that this is a Sidon set. This completes the proof. \square

In Proposition 11 we assume that each (X_i, X_j) forms a co-Sidon pair. Again (and this is in the spirit of this section) one can make more general assumptions to the intersections of some shifts of the sets X_j as in (18), (19) to obtain higher order Sidon sets.

We finish this section discussing the tightness of Lemma 3. In the next proposition we show that any set A contains rather large (in terms of its energy) subset with controllable size of the maximal $B_k^\circ[g]$ subset.

Proposition 12 *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set. Then there is $A_* \subseteq A$ such that $\mathbf{E}_{g+1}(A_*) \gg_g \mathbf{E}_{g+1}(A)$ and the maximal $B_k^\circ[g]$ subset of A_* has size $O_g(k^{1/(g+1)}|A|^2 \mathbf{E}_{g+1}^{-1/(g+1)}(A))$.*

In particular, for any n one has $\text{Sid}_n(A_) \ll n^{1/2}|A|^2 \mathbf{E}^{-1/2}(A)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\mathbf{E}_{g+1}(A) = \sum_y r_{A-A}^{g+1}(y) = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{x \in A} r_{A-A}^g(x - a).$$

Put $A_* = \{a \in A : \sum_{x \in A} r_{A-A}^g(x - a) \geq \mathbf{E}_{g+1}(A)/(2|A|)\}$. Then

$$\mathbf{E}_{g+1}(A) \leq 2 \sum_{a \in A_*} \sum_{x \in A} r_{A-A}^g(x - a) = 2 \sum_y r_{A-A}^g(y) r_{A-A_*}(y)$$

and using the Hölder inequality several times, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A) \leq 2^{g+1} \sum_y r_{A-A_*}^{g+1}(y) \leq 2^{g+1} (\mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A_*) \mathbb{E}_{g+1}^{2g+1}(A))^{1/(2g+2)} \quad (25)$$

or, in other words, $\mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A_*) \gg_g \mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A)$. Now let Λ be the maximal $B_k^\circ[g]$ subset of A_* . Then by the definition of the set A_* , one has

$$2^{-1} |\Lambda| \mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A) |A|^{-1} \leq \sum_y r_{A-A}^g(y) r_{A-\Lambda}(y). \quad (26)$$

Hence as in (25)

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-(g+1)} |\Lambda|^{g+1} \mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A) |A|^{-(g+1)} \leq \sum_y r_{A-\Lambda}^{g+1}(y) = \\ & = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_g} |A \cap (A + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (A + x_g)| |\Lambda \cap (\Lambda + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (\Lambda + x_g)|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the fact that $\Lambda \in B_k^\circ[g]$, we get

$$2^{-(g+1)} |\Lambda|^{g+1} \mathbb{E}_{g+1}(A) |A|^{-(g+1)} \leq k |A|^{g+1} + \binom{g+1}{2} |A| |\Lambda|^g.$$

Noting that the second term in the last formula is negligible, we obtain the required result. \square

Remark 13 From (26) it follows that the conclusion of Proposition 12 remains true for a wider family of sets Λ , namely, for Λ with $\mathbb{E}_{g+1}(\Lambda) \ll |\Lambda|^{g+1}$. This class of sets and its connection with Sidon sets was discussed in [18, Section 3.2].

References

- [1] N. ALON, P. ERDŐS, *An application of graph theory to additive number theory*, European Journal of Combinatorics 6.3 (1985): 201–203.
- [2] W.G. BROWN, *On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin 9.3 (1966): 281–285.
- [3] J. CILLERUELO, *Gaps in dense Sidon sets*, Integers (2000): Paper-A11.
- [4] J. CILLERUELO, I.Z. RUZSA, C. VINUESA, *Generalized Sidon sets*, Advances in Mathematics 225.5 (2010): 2786–2807.
- [5] A. DUBICKAS, T. SCHOEN, M. SILVA, P. SARKA, *Finding large co-Sidon subsets in sets with a given additive energy*, European Journal of Combinatorics 34.7 (2013): 1144–1157.
- [6] P. ERDŐS, *Extremal problems in number theory, combinatorics and geometry*, Proc. Inter. Congress in Warsaw. 1983.

- [7] P. ERDŐS, E. HARZHEIM, *Congruent subsets of infinite sets of natural numbers*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 367 (1986) 207–214.
- [8] Z. FÜREDI, M. SIMONOVITS, *The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems*, Erdős Centennial. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. 169–264.
- [9] B. GREEN, *The number of squares and $B_h[g]$ sets*, Acta Arithmetica 100.4 (2001): 365–390.
- [10] <https://pohoatza.wordpress.com/2021/01/23/sidon-sets-and-sum-product-phenomena/>
- [11] J. KOMLÓS, M. SULYOK, E. SZEMERÉDI, *Linear problems in combinatorial number theory*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica 26.1–2 (1975): 113–121.
- [12] B. LINSTRÖM, *$B_h[g]$ -sequences from B_h -sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128(2000), 657–659.
- [13] B. LINSTRÖM, *An inequality for B_2 -sequences*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 6.2 (1969): 211–212.
- [14] B. MURPHY, *Upper and lower bounds for rich lines in grids*, arXiv:1709.10438v1 [math.CO] 29 Sep 2017.
- [15] M.B. NATHANSON, *Every function is the representation function of an additive basis for the integers*, Portugaliae Mathematica. Nova Série 62.1 (2005): 55–72.
- [16] X. PENG, R. TESORO, C. TIMMONS, *Bounds for generalized Sidon sets*, Discrete Mathematics 338.3 (2015): 183–190.
- [17] K. O'BRYANT, *A complete annotated bibliography of work related to Sidon sequences*, arXiv preprint math/0407117 (2004).
- [18] O. ROCHE-NEWTON, A. WARREN, *Additive and multiplicative Sidon sets*, arxiv.org/abs/2103.13066
- [19] I.Z. RUZSA, *An infinite Sidon sequence*, Journal of Number Theory 68.1 (1998): 63–71.
- [20] T. SCHOEN, I. D. SHKREDOV, *Higher moments of convolutions*, J. Number Theory **133**:5 (2013), 1693–1737.
- [21] A. S. SEMCHANKAU, *Maximal Subsets Free of Arithmetic Progressions in Arbitrary Sets*, Math. Notes, 102:3 (2017), 396–402.
- [22] I. D. SHKREDOV, *Energies and structure of additive sets*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, **21**:3 (2014), #P3.44, 1–53.
- [23] I. D. SHKREDOV, *Modular hyperbolas and bilinear forms of Kloosterman sums*, J. Number Theory, 220 (2021), 182–211.
- [24] S. SIDON, *Ein Satz über trigonometrische Polynome und seine Anwendungen in der Theorie der Fourier-Reihen*, Math. Annalen 106 (1932), 536–539.

[25] T. TAO, V. VU, *Additive combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press 2006.

I.D. Shkredov
Steklov Mathematical Institute,
ul. Gubkina, 8, Moscow, Russia, 119991
and
IITP RAS,
Bolshoy Karetny per. 19, Moscow, Russia, 127994
and
MIPT,
Institutskii per. 9, Dolgoprudnii, Russia, 141701
ilya.shkredov@gmail.com