

Transitive double Lie algebroids via core diagrams

M. Jotz Lean* and K. C. H. Mackenzie†

March 25, 2021

Abstract

The core diagram of a double Lie algebroid consists in the core of the double Lie algebroid, together with the two core-anchor maps to the sides of the double Lie algebroid. If these two core anchors are surjective, then the double Lie algebroid and its core diagram are called *transitive*. This paper establishes an equivalence between transitive double Lie algebroids, and transitive core diagrams over a fixed base manifold. In other words, it proves that a transitive double Lie algebroid is completely determined by its core diagram.

The comma double Lie algebroid associated to a morphism of Lie algebroids is defined. If the latter morphism is one of the core-anchors of a transitive core diagram, then the comma double algebroid can be quotiented out by the second core-anchor, yielding a transitive double Lie algebroid, which is the one that is equivalent to the transitive core diagram.

Brown's and Mackenzie's equivalence of transitive core diagrams (of Lie groupoids) with transitive double Lie groupoids is then used in order to show that a transitive double Lie algebroid with integrable sides and core is automatically integrable to a transitive double Lie groupoid.

This research was a joint project with the sadly deceased second author. This paper is dedicated to his memory.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Comma double Lie groupoid and comma double Lie algebroid	4
1.2	Transitive double Lie groupoids and their core diagrams	6
2	Preliminaries	9
2.1	Ideals in Lie algebroids	9
2.2	VB-algebroids and representations up to homotopy	11
2.3	Double Lie algebroids	14
2.4	Morphisms of double Lie algebroids	16
3	Transitive double Lie algebroids and transitive core diagrams	18
4	The comma double Lie algebroid	21
4.1	Action of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on $A \rightarrow TM$	21
4.2	The double vector bundle R	23
4.3	The comma double Lie algebroid	25
4.4	Morphisms of comma-double Lie algebroids	27

*Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August Universität Göttingen. madeleine.jotz-lean@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de

†In memoriam – School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sheffield.

5	The quotient	29
5.1	The quotient of R by Π	30
5.2	Π as an ideal of $R \rightarrow A$	35
5.3	The VB-algebroid $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$	37
5.4	The quotient R/Π as a double Lie algebroid.	39
5.5	Equivalence of transitive double Lie algebroids with transitive core diagrams . . .	40
6	Integration of transitive double Lie algebroids	44
A	The Lie bialgebroid condition for R and \tilde{D}	46
B	Double Lie algebroid morphisms – proofs	48
B.1	Proof of Theorem 4.10	48
B.2	Proof of Theorem 5.23	49
C	Notation for linear and core sections.	50

1 Introduction

Double structures in geometry were first studied by the school of Ehresmann and later extensively by the second author, see [19]. In particular, [16, 18] define *double Lie algebroids* and prove that they are the infinitesimal objects associated to *double Lie groupoids*. Differentiating one side of a double Lie groupoid yields an *LA-groupoid* [16], and a second differentiation process applied to this LA-groupoid yields the tangent double Lie algebroid of the double Lie groupoid [18]. The converse integration of double Lie algebroids to double Lie groupoids has not been completely solved yet. Stefanini proposes in [25] an integration of LA-groupoids with integrable top Lie algebroid and strongly transitive source and target maps. Burzstyn, Cabrera and del Hoyo integrate in [4] double Lie algebroids with one integrable (top) side to LA-groupoids. This paper integrates *transitive* double Lie algebroids *in one step* to *transitive* double Lie groupoids, provided the side and core Lie algebroids are all integrable. This integration is the motivation behind the results in this work. It is indeed very simple using the equivalence of transitive double Lie groupoids with their core diagrams in [3], and the equivalence of transitive double Lie algebroids and their core diagrams proved here.

A double groupoid is a *groupoid object in the category of groupoids*. That is, a double groupoid consists of a set Γ that has two groupoid structures over two bases G and H , which are themselves groupoids over a base M , such that the structure maps of each groupoid structure on S are morphisms with respect to the other.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma & \rightrightarrows & G \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 H & \rightrightarrows & M
 \end{array}$$

The groupoids G and H are the side groupoids and the set M is the double base. The core K of a double groupoid (Γ, G, H, M) is the set of elements which project under the two sources of Γ to units of G and H . It inherits from the double structure of Γ a groupoid structure over M , and the two targets of Γ induce two groupoid morphisms $t_G|_K: K \rightarrow G$ and $t_H|_K: K \rightarrow H$ over the identity on M . Elements of the kernel of the morphism $K \rightarrow G$ commutes with elements of the kernel of $K \rightarrow H$. These two groupoid morphisms build together the *core diagram* of the double groupoid [3].

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K & \xrightarrow{t_G|_K} & G \\
 t_H|_K \downarrow & \searrow & \Downarrow \\
 H & \rightrightarrows & M
 \end{array}$$

A *double Lie groupoid* is a double groupoid (Γ, G, H, M) such that all four groupoids $\Gamma \rightrightarrows G$, $\Gamma \rightrightarrows H$, $G \rightrightarrows M$ and $H \rightrightarrows M$ are Lie groupoids and such that the double source map $(s_G, s_H): \Gamma \rightarrow G \times_s H = \{(g, h) \in G \times H \mid s(g) = s(h)\}$ is a smooth surjective submersion. In that case, the core diagram is a core diagram of Lie groupoids. A double Lie groupoid is *locally trivial* if the Lie groupoid $K \rightrightarrows M$ is locally trivial and $\partial_G := t_G|_K$ and $\partial_H := t_H|_K$ are both surjective submersions. It is *transitive* if only ∂_G and ∂_H are both surjective submersions. Brown and Mackenzie proved in [3] that locally trivial double Lie groupoids are completely determined by their core diagrams. Section 1.2 reviews their construction and shows that $K \rightrightarrows M$ does not need to be locally trivial for the equivalence to work. Hence, transitive double Lie groupoids are completely determined by their core diagrams.

Mackenzie proved then in [16] that locally trivial LA-groupoids are completely determined by their core diagrams. This paper completes this series of results by proving that *transitive double Lie algebroids are completely defined by their core diagrams*.

Double Lie groupoids are described infinitesimally by double Lie algebroids [16, 18]. A double Lie algebroid with core C is a double vector bundle $(D; A, B; M)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & A \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow q_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{q_B} & M \end{array}$$

with core C and four Lie algebroid structures on $A \rightarrow M$, $B \rightarrow M$, $D \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow B$ such that (π_B, q_A) and (π_A, q_B) are Lie algebroid morphisms, and the induced Lie algebroids $D \star A \rightarrow C^*$ and $D \star B \rightarrow C^*$ form a VB-Lie bialgebroid [18]. The anchor $\Theta_A: D \rightarrow TA$ is a morphism of double vector bundles and its core morphism is denoted by $\partial_A: C \rightarrow A$. Likewise the core morphism of the linear anchor $\Theta_B: D \rightarrow TB$ is written $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$. The core C of the double Lie algebroid inherits a Lie algebroid structure over M , such that the two *core-anchors* ∂_A and ∂_B are Lie algebroid morphisms over M [20, 7]. The compatibility of the Lie algebroid structures on $D \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow B$ implies that $[c_1, c_2] = 0$ for all $c_1 \in \Gamma(\ker \partial_A)$ and $c_2 \in \Gamma(\ker \partial_B)$. In other words, $\ker \partial_A$ and $\ker \partial_B$ *commute in C* . Hence, the core of a double Lie algebroid defines a diagram of Lie algebroids morphisms as in Figure 1, with commuting kernels. It is called the *core diagram of the double Lie algebroid*.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\partial_B} & B \\ \partial_A \downarrow & & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

Figure 1. The core diagram of a double Lie algebroid.

If both ∂_A and ∂_B are surjective, then the double Lie algebroid and its core diagram are both called *transitive*. It is easy to see that the map sending a double Lie algebroid to its core diagram defines a functor from the category of double Lie algebroids with double base M , to the category of core diagrams over M . It turns out that the restriction of this functor to transitive double Lie algebroids versus transitive core diagrams has an inverse, which is constructed in this paper. In particular, it proves a more precise version of the following theorem (see Theorem 5.22).

Theorem 1.1. *A transitive double Lie algebroid (D, A, B, M) is uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by its core diagram.*

This work relies heavily on Gracia-Saz and Mehta's equivalence of 2-representations with decomposed VB-algebroids [8] and shows how powerful the tools developed in [8, 6, 7] are in the

study of VB-algebroids and double Lie algebroids. Along the way, the authors define the *comma-double Lie algebroid* defined by a Lie algebroid morphism, which is an interesting structure in its own right. The dg-Lie algebroid defined [27] by the comma double Lie algebroid associated to a Lie pair $A \hookrightarrow L$ is used by Stiénon, Vitagliano and Xu [26] in their extension to arbitrary Lie pairs of the Kontsevich-Duflo type theorem for matched pairs in [14].

The following two sections explain comma double Lie groupoids, as well as the generalised version of Brown's and Mackenzie's equivalence of locally trivial double Lie algebroids with locally trivial core diagrams [3]. The construction steps of the inverse functor in that setting are basically the same as for the inverse functor in this paper.

The equivalence of the category of transitive double Lie algebroids with the one of transitive core diagrams, and the equivalence of categories of locally trivial double Lie groupoids with the one of locally trivial core diagrams of Lie groupoids established in [3] yield finally a very simple integration method for transitive double Lie algebroids. Recall however that the notion of transitivity discussed here corresponds to a weaker notion of local triviality than the one used in [3], see Section 1.2. Section 6 explains this integration of transitive double Lie algebroids to transitive double Lie groupoids, which is now a straightforward exercise using many of Mackenzie's prior results with coauthors [3, 16, 21]. It proves the following theorem (see Section 6 and Theorem 6.1 for the proof and more details).

Theorem 1.2. *Let (D, A, B, M) be a transitive double Lie algebroid with a transitive core diagram $A \hookrightarrow C \mapsto B$ where A , B and C are all integrable Lie algebroids over M . Then (D, A, B, M) integrates to a transitive double Lie groupoid, the core diagram of which integrates $A \hookrightarrow C \mapsto B$.*

1.1 Comma double Lie groupoid and comma double Lie algebroid

Given categories and functors

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C} \xleftarrow{G} \mathcal{E},$$

the *comma category* (F, G) has as objects the triples (d, e, φ) with $d \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, $e \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\varphi \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(d), G(e))$, see [15]. Its arrows $(d, e, \varphi) \rightarrow (d', e', \varphi')$ are the pairs $(h, l) \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d, d') \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{E}}(e, e')$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(d) & \xrightarrow{F(h)} & F(d') \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi' \\ G(e) & \xrightarrow{G(l)} & G(e') \end{array}$$

commutes. If defined, the composition $(h', l') \circ (h, l)$ is $(h'h, l'l)$. If $K \rightrightarrows M$ and $G \rightrightarrows M$ are two (Lie) groupoids over a common base M and $\Phi: K \rightarrow G$ is a (Lie) groupoid morphism fixing the base, then the diagram

$$K \xrightarrow{\Phi} G \xleftarrow{\Phi} K,$$

defines as above the comma category (Φ, Φ) , which has a *double* (Lie) groupoid structure with sides K and G and with core K . Denote the space of arrows of (Φ, Φ) by Γ . The objects of (Φ, Φ) are hence triples $(m_1, g, m_2) \in M \times G \times M$ with $s(g) = m_1$ and $t(g) = m_2$. Hence, the objects of (Φ, Φ) are just the arrows of $G \rightrightarrows M$, and the elements of Γ (that is, the arrows of (Φ, Φ)) are

written as triples $(k_2, g, k_1) \in K \times G \times K$ such that $s(k_2) = t(g)$ and $s(k_1) = s(g)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 n_2 & \xleftarrow{\Phi(k_2)} & m_2 \\
 \uparrow \Phi(k_2) \cdot g \cdot \Phi(k_1^{-1}) & & \uparrow g \\
 (k_2, g, k_1) & & \\
 n_1 & \xleftarrow{\Phi(k_1)} & m_1
 \end{array}$$

This diagram is pictured in the usual manner for elements of a double groupoid [3] and will just be written

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 n_2 & \xrightarrow{k_2} & m_2 \\
 \Phi(k_2) \cdot g \cdot \Phi(k_1^{-1}) \Big| & & \Big| g \\
 n_1 & \xrightarrow{k_1} & m_1
 \end{array}$$

in the following, and the left vertical arrow will not be labeled since it is clear from the rest of the diagram. The source and target maps $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ are the maps $(k_2, g, k_1) \mapsto g$ and $(k_2, g, k_1) \mapsto \Phi(k_2) \cdot g \cdot \Phi(k_1^{-1})$, respectively. The source and target maps $\Gamma \rightarrow K$ are the maps $(k_2, g, k_1) \mapsto k_1$ and $(k_2, g, k_1) \mapsto k_2$, respectively. The composition over G is

$$(k'_2, \Phi(k_2) \cdot g \cdot \Phi(k_1^{-1}), k'_1) \cdot_G (k_2, g, k_1) = (k'_2 k_2, g, k'_1 k_1)$$

and the composition over K is

$$(k_3, g', k_2) \cdot_K (k_2, g, k_1) = (k_3, g'g, k_1).$$

It is easy to check that given a composable square as below, hence with $g = \Phi(l_3)g'\Phi(l_2^{-1})$ and $h = \Phi(l_2)h'\Phi(l_1^{-1})$, the order of the horizontal and vertical multiplications is not relevant, as pictured below. Hence, (Φ, Φ) is a double groupoid.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bullet - k_3 - \bullet - l_3 - \bullet & & \bullet \xrightarrow{k_3 l_3} \bullet \\
 \Big| & \begin{array}{c} g \\ \Big| \\ g' \end{array} & \Big| g' \\
 \bullet - k_2 - \bullet - l_2 - \bullet & & \bullet \xrightarrow{k_2 l_2} \bullet \\
 \Big| & \begin{array}{c} h \\ \Big| \\ h' \end{array} & \Big| h' \\
 \bullet - k_1 - \bullet - l_1 - \bullet & & \bullet \xrightarrow{k_1 l_1} \bullet
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bullet - k_3 - \bullet - l_3 - \bullet & & \bullet \xrightarrow{k_3 l_3} \bullet \\
 \Big| & \begin{array}{c} gh \\ \Big| \\ g'h' \end{array} & \Big| g'h' \\
 \bullet - k_1 - \bullet - k_3 - \bullet & & \bullet \xrightarrow{k_1 l_1} \bullet
 \end{array}$$

If $\Phi: K \rightarrow G$ was a morphism of Lie groupoids, it is not difficult to check that (Φ, Φ) is a double *Lie* groupoid [3].

The core of (Γ, K, G, M) consists of elements $(k, 1_m, 1_m)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 n & \xrightarrow{k} & m \\
 \Phi(k) \Big| & & \Big| 1_m \\
 m & \xrightarrow{1_m} & m
 \end{array}$$

with multiplication given by filling the top right and bottom left squares in the diagram below and multiplying all obtained squares together,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
t(k) & - & k & - & m & - & k' & - & m' \\
\Phi(k) \Big| & & & & \Big| & & & & \Big| \\
& & & & 1_m & & & & 1_{m'} \\
& & & & \Big| & & & & \Big| \\
m & - & 1_m & - & m & - & k' & - & m' \\
\Phi(k') \Big| & & & & \Phi(k') \Big| & & & & \Big| \\
& & & & & & & & 1_{m'} \\
& & & & & & & & \Big| \\
m' & - & 1_{m'} & - & m' & - & 1_{m'} & - & m'
\end{array}$$

hence yielding

$$(k, 1_m, 1_m) \cdot (k', 1_{m'}, 1_{m'}) = (kk', 1_{m'}, 1_{m'}).$$

As a consequence, the core (Lie) groupoid is isomorphic to $K \rightrightarrows M$ as a (Lie) groupoid. Note that $\Gamma \rightrightarrows G$ is the action groupoid of the (Lie) groupoid action of $K \times K \rightrightarrows M \times M$ on $(t, s): G \rightarrow M \times M$, $(k_2, k_1) \cdot g = \Phi(k_2)g\Phi(k_1^{-1})$.

Section 4 constructs analogously a double Lie algebroid from a Lie algebroid morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A \\
& \searrow & \swarrow \\
& & M
\end{array}$$

The morphism ∂ induces an action of the Lie algebroid $TC \rightarrow TM$ on the anchor $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$ of A . The total space $R := TC \oplus_{TM} A$ of the action Lie algebroid $TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow A$ of this action carries as well automatically the pullback Lie algebroid structure from $A \rightarrow M$ under the smooth map $q_C: C \rightarrow M$. It is hence also a Lie algebroid over C , and these two Lie algebroid structures define a double Lie algebroid

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
TC \oplus_{TM} A & \longrightarrow & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C & \longrightarrow & M
\end{array}$$

with sides A and C and with core C . This double Lie algebroid is the *comma double Lie algebroid* defined by the morphism $\partial: C \rightarrow A$. The details of this construction are given in Section 4. The core diagram of this comma double Lie algebroid is pictured in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & C \\
\downarrow \partial & & \\
A & &
\end{array}$$

1.2 Transitive double Lie groupoids and their core diagrams

A double Lie groupoid is called here *transitive* if the Lie groupoid morphisms in its core diagram are surjective submersions, hence fibrations of Lie groupoids. The core diagram is then also called *transitive*. In particular, locally trivial double Lie groupoids in the sense of [3] are transitive double Lie groupoids. A careful study of the proof of the main result in [3], establishing an equivalence between locally trivial core diagrams and locally trivial double Lie groupoids, reveal that this equivalence is true in the more general setting of transitive double Lie groupoids versus transitive core diagrams.

For completeness, and because the construction of the equivalence in [3] serves as a model for the construction of the equivalence of the categories of transitive double Lie algebroids with transitive core diagrams, it is sketched in this section.




Figure 2. Core diagram of Lie groupoids

Consider a transitive core diagram of Lie groupoids; i.e. a diagram as in Figure 2 of Lie groupoid morphisms, such that ∂_G and ∂_H are surjective submersions and the subgroupoids $\ker(\partial_G)$ and $\ker(\partial_H)$ commute in K . Build the comma double Lie groupoid (∂_G, ∂_G)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma & \rightrightarrows & G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K & \rightrightarrows & M
\end{array}$$

with core K . Next, $G \rightarrow M$ acts on $K^G := \ker(\partial_H) \subseteq K$ via

$$\rho: G \times_{s,t} K^G \rightarrow K^G, \quad \rho(g)(\kappa) = k\kappa k^{-1}$$

for any $k \in K$ such that $\partial_G(k) = g$. Consider the closed, embedded wide and normal subgroupoid

$$N := \{(\kappa_2, g, \kappa_1) \in K^G \times G \times K^G \mid \rho(g)(\kappa_1) = \kappa_2\} \quad (1)$$

of $\Gamma \rightrightarrows G$. The quotient $\Theta = \Gamma/N$ has then a Lie groupoid structure over G . The elements of Θ are classes

$$\langle k_2, g, k_1 \rangle = \{(k_2 \kappa_2, g, k_1 \kappa_1) \mid (\kappa_2, g, \kappa_1) \in N\}$$

with $(k_2, g, k_1) \in \Gamma$. Set $s_H, t_H: \Gamma/N \rightarrow H$

$$s_H \langle k_2, g, k_1 \rangle = \partial_H(k_1), \quad t_H \langle k_2, g, k_1 \rangle = \partial_H(k_2),$$

and a partial multiplication $\cdot_H: \Theta \times_{s_H, t_H} \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$ by

$$\langle k'_2, g', k'_1 \rangle \cdot_H \langle k_2, g, k_1 \rangle = \langle k'_2, g', k'_1 \rangle \cdot_H \langle k'_1, g, k_1 \rho(g^{-1})(\kappa) \rangle = \langle k'_2, g', k_1 \rho(g^{-1})(\kappa) \rangle.$$

Here $\kappa \in K^G$ is such that $k_2 \kappa = k'_1$. It is easy to check that $\Theta/N \rightrightarrows H$ becomes a groupoid with these structure maps and with the inversion

$$\langle k_2, g, k_1 \rangle \mapsto \langle k_1, g^{-1}, k_2 \rangle$$

and the unit inclusion

$$h \mapsto \langle k, 1_{s(h)}, k \rangle$$

for any $k \in K$ such that $\partial_H(k) = h$. Since those structure maps are defined such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \Theta = \Gamma/N \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K & \xrightarrow{\partial_H} & H
\end{array}$$

is a morphism of groupoids and the projections $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Theta$ and $\partial_H: K \rightarrow H$ are surjective submersions, it is easy to check that $\Theta \rightrightarrows H$ is a Lie groupoid. The interchange law and the surjectivity of the double source map are also easily deduced from the one in (∂_G, ∂_G) , and (Θ, G, H, M) is a

double Lie groupoid. Its core consists in classes $\langle k, 1_{s(k)}, \kappa \rangle = \langle k\kappa^{-1}, 1_{s(k)}, 1_{s(k)} \rangle$, and is obviously isomorphic to K as a Lie groupoid. The core diagram of (Θ, G, H, M) is hence again

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\partial_G} & G \\ \partial_H \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ H & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \end{array}$$

by construction.

Now given a transitive double Lie groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \end{array}$$

with core K and core diagram as in Figure 2, set $\Psi_S: \Theta \rightarrow S$, $\langle k_2, g, k_1 \rangle \mapsto k_2 \cdot_H 1_g^S \cdot_H k_1^{-1, H} \in S$. Then Ψ_S is an isomorphism of double Lie groupoids, see [3] – where, again, only the surjectivity of ∂_G and ∂_H are needed.

A morphism $\Phi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ of double Lie groupoids with side morphisms $\varphi_G: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, $\varphi_H: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and with core morphism $\varphi_K: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ induces a morphism of the corresponding core diagrams as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & K_2 & & \\ \downarrow \partial_{H_1} & \searrow \partial_{G_1} & \downarrow \partial_{H_2} & \searrow \partial_{G_2} & \\ H_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_H} & H_2 & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M} & M & & \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Consider conversely a morphism of transitive core diagrams (of Lie groupoids) as in (2). Let Γ_i be the total space of the comma double Lie groupoid $(\partial_{G_i}, \partial_{H_i})$ for $i = 1, 2$ and set $\Phi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$, $\Phi(k_1, g, k_2) = (\varphi_K(k_1), \varphi_G(g), \varphi_K(k_2))$. The map Φ is obviously a morphism of double Lie groupoids, and a computation shows $\Phi(N_1) = N_2$ for the normal subgroups N_i of $\Gamma_i \rightrightarrows G_i$ defined as in (1) for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, it induces a morphism of the transitive double Lie groupoids $\bar{\Phi}: \Gamma_1/N_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2/N_2$ with core morphism again the one in (2).

Let M be a smooth manifold and consider the category $\text{trCDG}(M)$ of transitive core diagrams of Lie groupoids with base M , and the category $\text{trDLG}(M)$ of transitive double Lie groupoids with double base M . The functor $\mathcal{C}: \text{trDLG}(M) \rightarrow \text{trCDG}(M)$ sends transitive double Lie groupoids and their morphisms to the corresponding transitive core diagrams of Lie groupoids and morphisms of core diagrams. The above constructs a functor $\mathcal{D}: \text{trCDG}(M) \rightarrow \text{trDLG}(M)$ sending a transitive core diagram as in Figure 2 to the double Lie groupoid (Θ, G, H, M) as above, and a morphism of core diagrams as in (2) to the morphism $\bar{\Phi}: \Theta_1 \rightarrow \Theta_2$ as in the previous paragraph. Then $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D}$ is obviously the identity functor. The assignment sending each transitive double Lie groupoid (S, G, H, M) to the isomorphism $\Psi_S: \mathcal{DC}(S) \rightarrow S$ of double Lie groupoids defines a natural isomorphism $\Psi: \mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{trDLG}}$. Therefore, Brown and Mackenzie's equivalence of locally trivial core diagrams with locally trivial double Lie groupoids [3] is extended to an equivalence of transitive core diagrams with transitive double Lie groupoids.

Outline of the paper

Section 2 collects necessary knowledge on ideals in Lie algebroids, on VB-algebroids and representations up to homotopy and on double Lie algebroids and their morphisms. Section 3 defines transitive core diagrams and studies the crossed modules associated to a transitive core diagram. It describes the (obvious) functor from transitive double Lie algebroids to transitive core diagrams.

Section 4 defines the comma double Lie algebroid associated to a Lie algebroid morphism $C \rightarrow A$ (over a fixed base). It describes the two VB-algebroid structures of this double Lie algebroid via appropriate representations up to homotopy. Section 5 is the core of this paper: it factors the comma double Lie algebroid defined by one side of a transitive core diagram, by a sub-structure defined by the other side. This structure is an ideal in one of the VB-algebroids and an infinitesimal ideal system (with trivial fiber) in the other VB-algebroid.

The equivalence between the categories of transitive double Lie algebroids and of transitive core diagrams is then established in Section 5.5. Section 6 uses this for proving an integration of transitive double Lie algebroids (with integrable sides and core). The appendix collects some longer proofs, like the verification of the double Lie algebroid conditions [7] for the comma double Lie algebroid and its quotient.

Notation and conventions

All manifolds and vector bundles in this paper are smooth and real. Vector bundle projections are written $q_E: E \rightarrow M$, and $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$ for tangent bundles. Given a section ε of E^* , the map $\ell_\varepsilon: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the linear function associated to it, i.e. the function defined by $e_m \mapsto \langle \varepsilon(m), e_m \rangle$ for all $e_m \in E$. The set of global sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is denoted by $\Gamma(E)$, $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$ is the space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M , and $\Omega^\bullet(M) = \Gamma(\bigwedge^\bullet T^*M)$ is the space of smooth forms on M .

Acknowledgement

This paper is the outcome of a joint project of the authors in 2012 and 2013, which had been left unfinished until the departure of the second author in 2020. The studied problem was Kirill Mackenzie's idea and this research builds on many of his beautiful mathematical achievements. The first author dedicates to his memory her work on finishing this paper.

2 Preliminaries

This section collects necessary background on infinitesimal ideal systems in Lie algebroids [13], on double Lie algebroids and their morphisms [20], and on the correspondence of decomposed VB-algebroids with 2-term representations up to homotopy [8].

2.1 Ideals in Lie algebroids

Infinitesimal ideal systems [13, 10] are considered the right notion of ideal in Lie algebroids. They are the infinitesimal version of **ideal systems** [12, 19]. These are exactly the kernels of fibrations of Lie algebroids [12].

Definition 2.1. Let $(q: A \rightarrow M, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebroid, $F \subseteq TM$ an involutive subbundle, $J \subseteq A$ a subbundle over M such that $\rho(J) \subseteq F$, and ∇ a flat F -connection on A/J with the following properties:

1. If $a \in \Gamma(A)$ is ∇ -parallel¹, then $[a, j] \in \Gamma(J)$ for all $j \in \Gamma(J)$.

¹A section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ is said to be ∇ -parallel if $\nabla_X \bar{a} = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(F)$. Here, \bar{a} is the class of a in $\Gamma(A/J) \simeq \Gamma(A)/\Gamma(J)$.

2. If $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ are ∇ -parallel, then $[a, b]$ is also ∇ -parallel.

Then the triple (F, J, ∇) is an **infinitesimal ideal system in A** .

The first axiom implies immediately that $J \subseteq A$ is a subalgebroid of A , and the following property of (F, J, ∇) follows from (2) above.

3. If $a \in \Gamma(A)$ is ∇ -parallel, then $\rho(a)$ is ∇^F -parallel, where

$$\nabla^F : \Gamma(F) \times \Gamma(TM/F) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM/F), \quad \nabla_X^F \bar{Y} = \overline{[X, Y]}$$

is the Bott connection associated to F .

Infinitesimal ideal systems already appear in [10] (not under this name) in the context of geometric quantization as the infinitesimal version of polarizations on groupoids.

Example 2.2 (Flat connections on vector bundles). Let E be a vector bundle over M and let $K \subseteq E$ be a subbundle. Then any flat connection of an involutive subbundle $F_M \subseteq TM$ on E/K defines an *infinitesimal ideal system* (F_M, K, ∇) in E , i.e. an infinitesimal ideal system in the trivial Lie algebroid $(E, \rho = 0, [\cdot, \cdot] = 0)$. An infinitesimal ideal system in a Lie algebroid is therefore, by forgetting the Lie algebroid structure, automatically an infinitesimal ideal system in the underlying vector bundle.

Example 2.3. 1. An **ideal** in a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$ is a vector subbundle $I \subseteq A$ such that $[\Gamma(I), \Gamma(A)] \subseteq \Gamma(I)$. Given such an ideal I in A , the triple $(0, I, 0)$ is an infinitesimal ideal system in A .

2. Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. Let $F_M \subseteq TM$ be an involutive subbundle and let $\nabla : \Gamma(F_M) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ be a flat connection such that $[a, b]$ is ∇ -parallel for $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ ∇ -parallel. Then $(F_M, 0, \nabla)$ is an infinitesimal ideal system in A .

Definition 2.4. A morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & B \\ q_E \downarrow & & \downarrow q_B \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}$$

of Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ is a **fibration of Lie algebroids** if the underlying morphism (Φ, φ) of vector bundles is a fibration of vector bundles, i.e. φ is a surjective submersion and Φ is fiberwise surjective.

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle, and let $J \subseteq E$ be a subbundle and $F_M \subseteq TM$ an involutive subbundle. Assume that there is a flat F_M -connection on E/J . Then define an equivalence relation \sim_∇ on E/J as follows: for $e, e' \in E$ and their classes $\bar{e}, \bar{e}' \in E/J$, $\bar{e} \sim_\nabla \bar{e}'$ if and only if $q_E(e)$ and $q_E(e')$ are in the same leaf L of F_M in M and \bar{e}' is the image of \bar{e} under ∇ -parallel transport along a path in the leaf L joining $q_E(e)$ and $q_E(e')$. The quotient of E by this equivalence relation is written $(E/J)/\nabla$. The projections $E \rightarrow (E/J)/\nabla$ and $M \rightarrow M/F_M$ are written π and π_M , respectively. The vector bundle map $q_E : E \rightarrow M$ factors to a map $[q_E] : (E/J)/\nabla \rightarrow M/F_M$.

The following theorem shows that infinitesimal ideal systems in Lie algebroids define in this manner quotients of Lie algebroids, up to some topological obstructions [13]. The paper [6] proves that an infinitesimal ideal system defines a sub-representation (up to homotopy) of the adjoint representation of the Lie algebroid, after the choice of an extension of the infinitesimal ideal system connection. These two results suggest that indeed, an infinitesimal ideal systems is the right notion of ideal in a Lie algebroid. The following result from [13] is slightly reformulated here in order to emphasize the fact the two topological obstructions ensure the existence of a quotient *vector bundle*. The ‘reduced’ Lie algebroid structure then follows immediately.

Theorem 2.5. 1. Let $q_E: E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle and let (F_M, J, ∇) be an infinitesimal ideal system in E (see Example 2.2). Assume that $\bar{M} = M/F_M$ is a smooth manifold and that ∇ has trivial holonomy. Then the quotient $(E/J)/\nabla \rightarrow M/F_M$ carries a vector bundle structure such that the projection (π, π_M) is a fibration of vector bundles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (E/J)/\nabla \\ q_E \downarrow & & \downarrow [q_E] \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M/F_M \end{array} \quad (3)$$

2. Let now $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let (F_M, J, ∇) be an infinitesimal ideal system in A . If the quotient vector bundle $(A/J)/\nabla \rightarrow M/F_M$ exists as in (3), then it carries a unique Lie algebroid structure such that (3) is a fibration of Lie algebroids.

An infinitesimal ideal system (F_M, J, ∇) is defined by the kernel of a fibration of Lie algebroids if and only if it integrates to an ideal system in the sense of Higgins and Mackenzie [12, 19], see [13].

The reduced Lie algebroid structure in (2) of Theorem 2.5 is defined as follows. Write $\Gamma(A)^\nabla$ for the space of sections of $A \rightarrow M$ the class in A/J of which is ∇ -flat. Then $\Gamma(A)^\nabla$ is an \mathbb{R} -Lie algebra and a $C^\infty(M)^{F_M} = \pi_M^* C^\infty(\bar{M})$ -module. The space $\Gamma(J)$ is an ideal in $\Gamma(A)^\nabla$. By definition, for each $a \in \Gamma(A)^\nabla$ there is a section $\bar{a} \in \Gamma(\bar{A})$ such that $\pi \circ a = \bar{a} \circ \pi_M$ (this is written $a \sim_\pi \bar{a}$ for short). In particular, the sections $j \in \Gamma(J)$ project in this manner to the zero section of $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{M}$. Conversely for each section $\bar{a} \in \Gamma(\bar{A})$ there is a ∇ -flat $a \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $a \sim_\pi \bar{a}$. The Lie algebroid bracket and the anchor on $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{M}$ are then defined by

$$\Gamma(A)^\nabla \ni a_i \sim_\pi \bar{a}_i \in \Gamma(\bar{A}), i = 1, 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad [a_1, a_2] \sim_\pi [\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2],$$

and

$$\bar{\rho}(\pi(a)) = T\pi_M \rho(a)$$

for all $a \in A$.

2.2 VB-algebroids and representations up to homotopy

This section starts by briefly recalling the definitions of double vector bundles, of their **linear** and **core** sections, and of their **linear splittings** and **lifts**. The reader is referred to [23, 19, 8] for more detailed treatments. A **double vector bundle** is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & B \\ \pi_A \downarrow & & \downarrow q_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{q_A} & M \end{array}$$

of vector bundles such that

$$(d_1 +_A d_2) +_B (d_3 +_A d_4) = (d_1 +_B d_3) +_A (d_2 +_B d_4) \quad (4)$$

for $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \in D$ with $\pi_A(d_1) = \pi_A(d_2)$, $\pi_A(d_3) = \pi_A(d_4)$ and $\pi_B(d_1) = \pi_B(d_3)$, $\pi_B(d_2) = \pi_B(d_4)$. Here, $+_A$ and $+_B$ are the additions in $D \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow B$, respectively. In particular, (π_A, q_B) and (π_B, q_A) must be vector bundle morphisms. The vector bundles A and B are called the **side bundles**. The **core** C of a double vector bundle is the intersection of the kernels of π_A and of π_B . From (4) follows easily the existence of a natural vector bundle structure on C over M . The inclusion $C \hookrightarrow D$ is denoted by $C_m \ni c \mapsto \bar{c} \in \pi_A^{-1}(0_m^A) \cap \pi_B^{-1}(0_m^B)$.

The space of sections $\Gamma_B(D)$ is generated as a $C^\infty(B)$ -module by two special classes of sections (see [20]), the **linear** and the **core sections** which are now described. For a section $c: M \rightarrow C$, the corresponding **core section** $c^\dagger: B \rightarrow D$ is defined as $c^\dagger(b_m) = 0_{b_m}^D +_A \overline{c(m)}$, $m \in M$, $b_m \in B_m$. The corresponding core section $A \rightarrow D$ is written c^\dagger also, relying on the argument to distinguish between them. The space of core sections of D over B is written $\Gamma_B^c(D)$.

A section $\xi \in \Gamma_B(D)$ is called **linear** if $\xi: B \rightarrow D$ is a bundle morphism from $B \rightarrow M$ to $D \rightarrow A$ over a section $a \in \Gamma(A)$. The space of linear sections of D over B is denoted by $\Gamma_B^\ell(D)$. Given $\psi \in \Gamma(B^* \otimes C)$, there is a linear section $\tilde{\psi}: B \rightarrow D$ over the zero section $0^A: M \rightarrow A$ given by $\tilde{\psi}(b_m) = \tilde{0}_{b_m} +_A \overline{\psi(b_m)}$. Such a section is called a **core-linear section**.

Example 2.6. Let A, B, C be vector bundles over M and consider $D = A \times_M B \times_M C$. With the vector bundle structures $D = q_A^!(B \oplus C) \rightarrow A$ and $D = q_B^!(A \oplus C) \rightarrow B$, one finds that $(D; A, B; M)$ is a double vector bundle called the **decomposed double vector bundle with core** C . The core sections are given by

$$c^\dagger: b_m \mapsto (0_m^A, b_m, c(m)), \text{ where } m \in M, b_m \in B_m, c \in \Gamma(C),$$

and similarly for $c^\dagger: A \rightarrow D$. The space of linear sections $\Gamma_B^\ell(D)$ is naturally identified with $\Gamma(A) \oplus \Gamma(B^* \otimes C)$ via

$$(a, \psi): b_m \mapsto (a(m), b_m, \psi(b_m)), \text{ where } \psi \in \Gamma(B^* \otimes C), a \in \Gamma(A).$$

In particular, the fibered product $A \times_M B$ is a double vector bundle over the sides A and B and has core $\{0\} \times M \rightarrow M$.

A **linear splitting** of $(D; A, B; M)$ is an injective morphism of double vector bundles $\Sigma: A \times_M B \hookrightarrow D$ over the identity on the sides A and B . The existence of a linear splitting is proved e.g. in [22], see also [11] for historical remarks. A linear splitting Σ of D is also equivalent to a splitting σ_A of the short exact sequence of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(B^* \otimes C) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_B^\ell(D) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

where the third map is the map that sends a linear section (ξ, a) to its base section $a \in \Gamma(A)$. The splitting σ_A is called a **horizontal lift**. Given Σ , the horizontal lift $\sigma_A: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_B^\ell(D)$ is given by $\sigma_A(a)(b_m) = \Sigma(a(m), b_m)$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $b_m \in B$. By the symmetry of a linear splitting, a lift $\sigma_A: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_B^\ell(D)$ is equivalent to a lift $\sigma_B: \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma_A^\ell(D)$.

Let $q_E: E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. Then the tangent bundle TE has two vector bundle structures; one as the tangent bundle of the manifold E , and the second as a vector bundle over TM . The structure maps of $TE \rightarrow TM$ are the derivatives of the structure maps of $E \rightarrow M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TE & \xrightarrow{p_E} & E \\ Tq_E \downarrow & & \downarrow q_E \\ TM & \xrightarrow{p_M} & M \end{array}$$

The space TE is a double vector bundle with core bundle $E \rightarrow M$. The map $\bar{\cdot}: E \rightarrow p_E^{-1}(0^E) \cap (Tq_E)^{-1}(0^{TM})$ sends $e_m \in E_m$ to $\bar{e}_m = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} te_m \in T_{0^E} E$. Hence the core vector field corresponding to $e \in \Gamma(E)$ is the vertical lift $e^\dagger: E \rightarrow TE$, i.e. the vector field with flow $\varphi^{e^\dagger}: E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$, $\varphi_t(e'_m) = e'_m + te(m)$. An element of $\Gamma_E^\ell(TE) = \mathfrak{X}^\ell(E)$ is called a **linear vector field**. It is well-known (see e.g. [19]) that a linear vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}^\ell(E)$ covering $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ corresponds to a derivation $D: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ over $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The precise correspondence is given by the following equations

$$\xi(\ell_\varepsilon) = \ell_{D^*(\varepsilon)} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(q_E^* f) = q_E^*(X(f)) \quad (6)$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where $D^*: \Gamma(E^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(E^*)$ is the dual derivation to D [19, §3.4]. The linear vector field in $\mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ corresponding in this manner to a derivation D of $\Gamma(E)$ is written \widehat{D} . Given a derivation D over $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the explicit formula for \widehat{D} is

$$\widehat{D}(e_m) = T_m e(X(m)) + E \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e_m - tD(e)(m)) \quad (7)$$

for $e_m \in E$ and any $e \in \Gamma(E)$ such that $e(m) = e_m$. The choice of a linear splitting Σ for $(TE; TM, E; M)$ is equivalent to the choice of a linear connection $\nabla: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$: the corresponding lift $\sigma_{TM}^\nabla: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ is defined by $X \mapsto \widehat{\nabla}_X$. It is easy to see, using the equalities in (6), that

$$\left[\widehat{\nabla}_X, \widehat{\nabla}_Y \right] = \widehat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} - R_{\nabla}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}), \quad \left[\widehat{\nabla}_X, e^\dagger \right] = (\nabla_X e)^\dagger, \quad \left[e_1^\dagger, e_2^\dagger \right] = 0 \quad (8)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$.

The splitting Σ^∇ defined by a linear TM -connection on E is, as above, equivalent as well to a linear horizontal lift $\sigma_E^\nabla: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma_{TM}^l(TE)$. It is given by

$$\sigma_E^\nabla(e) = Te - \widetilde{\nabla} \cdot e \quad \text{for all } e \in \Gamma(E).$$

The core section of $TE \rightarrow TM$ defined by $e \in \Gamma(E)$ is written $e^\dagger \in \Gamma_{TM}^c(TE)$ and explicitly defined by $e^\dagger(v_m) = T_m 0^E v_m - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} 0_m^E + te(m)$ for all $v_m \in TM$.

The remainder of this section collects necessary background on VB-algebroids and representations up to homotopy. A double vector bundle $(D; A, B; M)$ is a **VB-algebroid** ([17]; see also [8]) if $D \rightarrow B$ is equipped with a Lie algebroid structure such that the anchor $\Theta_B: D \rightarrow TB$ is a morphism of double vector bundles over $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$ on one side and the Lie bracket on $D \rightarrow B$ is linear:

$$[\Gamma_B^\ell(D), \Gamma_B^\ell(D)] \subset \Gamma_B^\ell(D), \quad [\Gamma_B^\ell(D), \Gamma_B^c(D)] \subset \Gamma_B^c(D), \quad [\Gamma_B^c(D), \Gamma_B^c(D)] = 0.$$

The vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ is then also a Lie algebroid, with anchor ρ_A and bracket defined as follows: if $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma_B^\ell(D)$ are linear over $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$, then the bracket $[\xi_1, \xi_2]$ is linear over $[a_1, a_2]$.

Let E_0, E_1 be two vector bundles over the same base M as A . A **2-term representation up to homotopy of A on $E_0 \oplus E_1$** [2, 8] is the collection of

- (R1) a map $\partial: E_0 \rightarrow E_1$,
- (R2) two A -connections, ∇^0 and ∇^1 on E_0 and E_1 , respectively, such that $\partial \circ \nabla^0 = \nabla^1 \circ \partial$,
- (R3) an element $R \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))$ such that $R_{\nabla^0} = R \circ \partial$, $R_{\nabla^1} = \partial \circ R$ and $\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{\text{Hom}}} R = 0$, where ∇^{Hom} is the connection induced on $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$ by ∇^0 and ∇^1 .

Note that Gracia-Saz and Mehta call this structure a ‘‘superrepresentation’’, following [24]. In this paper, 2-term representation up to homotopy are called **2-representations** for short.

Consider again a VB-algebroid $(D \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow M)$ and choose a linear splitting $\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D$. Since the anchor Θ_B is linear, it sends a core section c^\dagger , $c \in \Gamma(C)$ to a vertical vector field on B . This defines the **core-anchor** $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$ which is given by $\Theta_B(c^\dagger) = (\partial_B c)^\dagger$ for all $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and does not depend on the splitting (see [16]). Since the anchor Θ_B of a linear section is linear, for each $a \in \Gamma(A)$ the vector field $\Theta_B(\sigma_A(a)) \in \mathfrak{X}^l(B)$ defines a derivation of $\Gamma(B)$ with symbol $\rho(a)$. This defines a linear connection $\nabla^{AB}: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B)$:

$$\Theta(\sigma_A(a)) = \widehat{\nabla}_a^{AB}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Since the bracket of a linear section with a core section is again a core section, there is a linear connection $\nabla^{AC}: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that

$$[\sigma_A(a), c^\dagger] = (\nabla_a^{AC} c)^\dagger$$

for all $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$. The difference $\sigma_A[a_1, a_2] - [\sigma_A(a_1), \sigma_A(a_2)]$ is a core-linear section for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$. This defines a vector valued form $R \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(B, C))$ such that

$$[\sigma_A(a_1), \sigma_A(a_2)] = \sigma_A[a_1, a_2] - R(\widetilde{a_1, a_2}),$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$. For more details on these constructions, see [8], where the following result is proved.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(D \rightarrow B; A \rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid and choose a linear splitting $\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D$. The triple $(\nabla^{AB}, \nabla^{AC}, R)$ defined as above is a 2-representation of A on $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$.*

Conversely, let $(D; A, B; M)$ be a double vector bundle such that A has a Lie algebroid structure and choose a linear splitting $\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D$. Then if $(\nabla^{AB}, \nabla^{AC}, R)$ is a 2-representation of A on $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$, then the equations above define a VB-algebroid structure on $(D \rightarrow B; A \rightarrow M)$.

2.3 Double Lie algebroids

A **double Lie algebroid** with core C is a double vector bundle $(D; A, B; M)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & A \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow q_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{q_B} & M \end{array}$$

with core C and four Lie algebroid structures on $A \rightarrow M$, $B \rightarrow M$, $D \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow B$ such that $(D \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow M)$ and $(D \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow M)$ are VB-algebroids, and the *dual* Lie algebroids $D \star A \rightarrow C^*$ and $D \star B \rightarrow C^*$ form a VB-Lie bialgebroid [20]. As it is central in this paper, this section recalls the equivalent definition given by the correspondence of decompositions of double Lie algebroids with matched pairs of 2-representations [7].

Consider a double vector bundle $(D; A, B; M)$ with core C and a VB-Lie algebroid structure on each of its sides. Since $(D \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow M)$ is a VB-Lie algebroid, it is described in any linear splitting $\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D$ by a representation up to homotopy $(\partial_B, \nabla^{AB}, \nabla^{AC}, R_A)$ of A on $C[0] \oplus B[1]$. Similarly, via the linear splitting Σ , the VB-algebroid $(D \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow M)$ is described by a representation up to homotopy $(\partial_A, \nabla^{BA}, \nabla^{BC}, R_B)$ on $C[0] \oplus A[1]$.

Let ρ_A , respectively ρ_B , be the anchor of the Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, respectively $B \rightarrow M$. [7] proves that $(D \star A, D \star B)$ is a Lie bialgebroid over C^* if and only if, for any linear splitting of D , the two induced 2-representations as above form a matched pair as in the following definition [7]. In other words, (D, A, B, M) is a double Lie algebroid if and only if for any linear splitting of D , the two induced 2-representations satisfy the equations (M1) to (M7) below.

Definition 2.8. Let $(A \rightarrow M, \rho_A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $(B \rightarrow M, \rho_B, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be two Lie algebroids and assume that A acts on $C[0] \oplus B[1]$ up to homotopy via $(\partial_B, \nabla^{AB}, \nabla^{AC}, R_A)$ and B acts on $C[0] \oplus A[1]$ up to homotopy via $(\partial_A, \nabla^{BA}, \nabla^{BC}, R_B)$ ². Then the two representations up to homotopy form a **matched pair** if

$$(M1) \quad \nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_2} c_1 = -(\nabla_{\partial_A c_2} c_1 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_1} c_2),$$

²For the sake of simplicity, all the four connections are denoted by ∇ . It is always clear from the indexes which connection is meant. The connection ∇^A is the A -connection induced by ∇^{AB} and ∇^{AC} on $\wedge^2 B^* \otimes C$ and ∇^B is the B -connection induced on $\wedge^2 A^* \otimes C$.

$$(M2) \quad [a, \partial_A c] = \partial_A(\nabla_a c) - \nabla_{\partial_B c} a,$$

$$(M3) \quad [b, \partial_B c] = \partial_B(\nabla_b c) - \nabla_{\partial_A c} b,$$

$$(M4) \quad \nabla_b \nabla_a c - \nabla_a \nabla_b c - \nabla_{\nabla_b a} c + \nabla_{\nabla_a b} c = R_B(b, \partial_B c)a - R_A(a, \partial_A c)b,$$

$$(M5) \quad \partial_A(R_A(a_1, a_2)b) = -\nabla_b[a_1, a_2] + [\nabla_b a_1, a_2] + [a_1, \nabla_b a_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} b} a_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} b} a_2,$$

$$(M6) \quad \partial_B(R_B(b_1, b_2)a) = -\nabla_a[b_1, b_2] + [\nabla_a b_1, b_2] + [b_1, \nabla_a b_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{b_2} a} b_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{b_1} a} b_2,$$

for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$, $b, b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$ and $c, c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$, and

$$(M7) \quad \mathbf{d}_{\nabla^A} R_B = \mathbf{d}_{\nabla^B} R_A \in \Omega^2(A, \wedge^2 B^* \otimes C) = \Omega^2(B, \wedge^2 A^* \otimes C), \text{ where } R_B \text{ is seen as an element of } \Omega^1(A, \wedge^2 B^* \otimes C) \text{ and } R_A \text{ as an element of } \Omega^1(B, \wedge^2 A^* \otimes C).$$

Recall that the Lie bialgebroid $(D \star A, D \star B)$ induces a Poisson structure (natural up to sign) on its base C^* ; this Poisson structure is linear [20, §4] and so induces a Lie algebroid structure on C . Writing $e: D \star B \rightarrow T(C^*)$ and $e_*: D \star A \rightarrow T(C^*)$ for the two anchors, then the Poisson structure on C^* is defined by its sharp morphism $\pi_{C^*}^\# := e_* \circ e^* = -e \circ e_*^*: T^*C^* \rightarrow TC^*$. The sign of this Poisson structure is determined by the requirement that ∂_A and ∂_B be morphisms of Lie algebroids (see the next proposition). The proof of [7, Proposition 4.5.] shows the following identities for $c, c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (e_* \circ e^*)(\mathbf{d}\ell_{c_1})(\ell_{c_2}) &= \ell_{\nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_2} c_1} = \ell_{-\nabla_{\partial_A c_2} c_1 + \nabla_{\partial_B c_1} c_2} \\ (e_* \circ e^*)(\mathbf{d}\ell_c)(q_{C^*}^* f) &= q_{C^*}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_B \partial_B(c)} f) = q_{C^*}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_A \partial_A(c)} f) \\ (e_* \circ e^*)(\mathbf{d}q_{C^*}^* f)(q_{C^*}^* g) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Lie algebroid on $C \rightarrow M$ is defined explicitly using Definition 2.8:

1. The anchor is $\rho_C := \rho_A \circ \partial_A = \rho_B \circ \partial_B$. This equality follows easily from (M2) and (M3), see [7, Remark 3.2].
2. The first equation (M1) gives a simple formula for the induced Lie algebroid bracket on section of C :

$$[c_1, c_2] = \nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_2} c_1. \quad (9)$$

The Jacobi identity follows from (M2), (M3) and (M4), and the bracket does not depend on the choice of splitting $\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D$, see [7, Remark 3.5].

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(D; A, B; M)$ be a double Lie algebroid. Then the morphisms $\partial_A: C \rightarrow A$ and $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$ of vector bundles are morphisms of Lie algebroids.*

Proof. Since $\rho_C = \rho_A \circ \partial_A = \rho_B \circ \partial_B$, the compatibility of the anchors with the morphisms is immediate.

Equation (M3) with $\partial_B \circ \nabla^{AC} = \nabla^{AB} \circ \partial_B$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_B[c_1, c_2] &= \partial_B(\nabla_{\partial_A c_1}^{AC} c_2) - \partial_B(\nabla_{\partial_B c_2}^{BC} c_1) \\ &= \nabla_{\partial_A c_1}^{AB}(\partial_B c_2) - [\partial_B c_2, \partial_B c_1] - \nabla_{\partial_A c_1}^{AB}(\partial_B c_2) = [\partial_B c_1, \partial_B c_2] \end{aligned}$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$. Similarly, (M2) and $\partial_A \circ \nabla^{BC} = \nabla^{BA} \circ \partial_A$ yield together the compatibility of ∂_A with the brackets on C and A . \square

Finally, the following proposition is an immediate consequence of (9).

Proposition 2.10. *Let $(D; A, B; M)$ be a double Lie algebroid. Let c_1, c_2 be sections of the core C with $\partial_A(c_1) = 0$ and $\partial_B(c_2) = 0$. Then $[c_1, c_2] = 0$.*

2.4 Morphisms of double Lie algebroids

This section quickly discusses **morphisms of matched pairs of 2-representations** versus **morphisms of double Lie algebroids** [6].

Let $(D_1 \rightarrow B_1, A_1 \rightarrow M)$ and $(D_2 \rightarrow B_2, A_2 \rightarrow M)$ be two VB-algebroids over a common double base M . A morphism $\Phi: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ of double vector bundles with side morphisms $\varphi_A: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\varphi_B: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is a **morphism of VB-algebroids** if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & D_2 \\ \pi_{B_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{B_2} \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} & B_2 \end{array}$$

is a morphism of Lie algebroids. The vector bundle morphism $\varphi_A: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is consequently also a morphism of Lie algebroids, over the identity on M .

Let now (D_1, A_1, B_1, M) and (D_2, A_2, B_2, M) be two double Lie algebroids over a common double base M . A morphism $\Phi: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ of double vector bundles with side morphisms $\varphi_A: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\varphi_B: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is a **morphism of double Lie algebroids** if it is a morphism of VB-algebroids $(D_1 \rightarrow B_1, A_1 \rightarrow M) \rightarrow (D_2 \rightarrow B_2, A_2 \rightarrow M)$ and a morphism of VB-algebroids $(D_1 \rightarrow A_1, B_1 \rightarrow M) \rightarrow (D_2 \rightarrow A_2, B_2 \rightarrow M)$.

Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let $\mathcal{D}_E := (\partial_E, \nabla^{E_0}, \nabla^{E_1}, R_E)$ and $\mathcal{D}_F := (\partial_F, \nabla^{F_0}, \nabla^{F_1}, R_F)$ be 2-representations of A on $E_0[0] \oplus E_1[1]$ and $F_0[0] \oplus F_1[1]$, respectively. A **morphism of representations up to homotopy** $(A, \mathcal{D}_E) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{D}_F)$ is determined by a triple $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi)$, where $\varphi_0: E_0 \rightarrow F_0$, $\varphi_1: E_1 \rightarrow F_1$ are vector bundle morphisms and $\varphi \in \Omega^1(A, \text{Hom}(F_1, E_0))$, satisfying

$$\varphi_1 \circ \partial_E = \partial_F \circ \varphi_0, \quad (10)$$

$$\nabla_a^{\text{Hom}}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) = (\varphi_a \circ \partial_E, \partial_F \circ \varphi_a) \quad \text{for all } a \in \Gamma(A) \quad (11)$$

and

$$\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{\text{Hom}}} \varphi = R_F \circ \varphi_1 - \varphi_0 \circ R_E, \quad (12)$$

where ∇^{Hom} is the A -connection induced on $\text{Hom}(E_0[0] \oplus E_1[1], F_0[0] \oplus F_1[1])$ by the four connections (see [2] for more details).

Let $A \rightarrow M$ and $A' \rightarrow M$ be two Lie algebroids over a smooth manifold M and consider a Lie algebroid morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ over the identity on M . Assume that A' acts on $E_0[0] \oplus E_1[1]$ up to homotopy via $\mathcal{D} := (\partial, \nabla^0, \nabla^1, R)$. For $i = 0, 1$ the **pullback connection** $\varphi^* \nabla^i: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_i)$ is the A -connection on E_i given by

$$(\varphi^* \nabla^i)_a e := \nabla_{\varphi(a)}^i e \quad (13)$$

for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E_i)$. The pullback $\varphi^* R \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))$ is similarly defined by

$$(\varphi^* R)(a_1, a_2) = R(\varphi(a_1), \varphi(a_2)) \quad (14)$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$. Then the triple $\varphi^* \mathcal{D} := (\partial, \varphi^* \nabla^0, \varphi^* \nabla^1, \varphi^* R)$ is a representation up to homotopy of A on $E_0[0] \oplus E_1[1]$, see [2].

If \mathcal{D}_E is a 2-representation of A on $E_0[0] \oplus E_1[1]$ and \mathcal{D}_F is a 2-representation of A' on $F_0[0] \oplus F_1[1]$, then a morphism of representations up to homotopy from \mathcal{D}_E to \mathcal{D}_F is by definition [6] a morphism

$$\mathcal{D}_E \rightarrow \varphi^* \mathcal{D}_F$$

of 2-representations of A .

Let $(D \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow M)$ and $(D' \rightarrow B', A' \rightarrow M)$ be two VB-algebroids over a common double base M and consider a morphism $\Phi: D \rightarrow D'$ of double vector bundles with side morphisms $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$ and $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow B'$. Choose linear splittings

$$\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D, \quad \Sigma': A' \times_M B' \rightarrow D',$$

of D and D' , respectively. The morphism Φ and the linear splittings define a form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(A, \text{Hom}(B, C')) \simeq \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C')$ by

$$\Phi(\Sigma(a, b)) = \Sigma'(\varphi_A(a), \varphi_B(b)) +_{B'} \left(0_{\varphi_A(a)}^{D'} +_B \overline{\varphi(a, b)} \right) \quad (15)$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

Then by [6, Theorem 4.11], Φ is a VB-algebroid morphism if and only if $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$ is a Lie algebroid morphism and for any pair of linear splittings

$$\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D, \quad \Sigma': A' \times_M B' \rightarrow D',$$

the triple $(\varphi_B, \varphi_C, \varphi)$ is a morphism

$$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \varphi_A^* \mathcal{D}'$$

of the 2-representations \mathcal{D} of A and \mathcal{D}' of A' defined by Σ and the VB-algebroid $(D \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow M)$, and by Σ' and the VB-algebroid $(D' \rightarrow B', A' \rightarrow M)$.

Assume finally that (D, A, B, M) and (D', A', B', M) are two double Lie algebroids and consider a morphism $\Phi: D \rightarrow D'$ as above of double vector bundles. Choose linear splittings

$$\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D, \quad \Sigma': A' \times_M B' \rightarrow D',$$

of D and D' , respectively, and consider the form $\varphi \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C')$ defined as in (15) by Φ and the linear splittings. Let \mathcal{D}_A and \mathcal{D}'_A be the 2-representations of A and of A' defined by Σ and the VB-algebroid $(D \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow M)$, and by Σ' and the VB-algebroid $(D' \rightarrow B', A' \rightarrow M)$, respectively. Similarly, let \mathcal{D}_B and \mathcal{D}'_B be the 2-representations of B and of B' defined by Σ and the VB-algebroid $(D \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow M)$, and by Σ' and the VB-algebroid $(D' \rightarrow A', B' \rightarrow M)$, respectively.

Then Φ is a double Lie algebroid morphism if and only if $(\varphi_B, \varphi_C, \varphi)$ defines a morphism of 2-representations

$$\mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \varphi_A^* \mathcal{D}'_A, \quad (16)$$

and $(\varphi_A, \varphi_C, \varphi)$ defines a morphism of 2-representations

$$\mathcal{D}_B \rightarrow \varphi_B^* \mathcal{D}'_B. \quad (17)$$

The following proposition is standard, but can now be proved easily using (9) and (11).

Proposition 2.11. *Let (D, A, B, M) and (D', A', B', M) be two double Lie algebroids and consider a morphism $\Phi: D \rightarrow D'$ of double Lie algebroids. Then the core morphism $\varphi_C: C \rightarrow C'$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$ and $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow B'$ be the side morphisms of Φ . By the considerations above, they are Lie algebroid morphisms. Choose linear splittings

$$\Sigma: A \times_M B \rightarrow D, \quad \Sigma': A' \times_M B' \rightarrow D',$$

of D and D' , respectively, and consider the form $\varphi \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C')$ defined as in (15) by Φ and the linear splittings. Consider as above the four 2-representations³ defined by the VB-algebroid

³For the sake of simplicity, all the eight connections are denoted by ∇ . It is clear from the indexes which connection is meant.

and the splittings. Then for $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_C[c_1, c_2] &= \varphi_C(\nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_2} c_1) \\
&= (\varphi_A^* \nabla)_{\partial_A c_1}(\varphi_C c_2) + \omega(\partial_A c_1, \partial_B c_2) - (\varphi_B^* \nabla)_{\partial_B c_2}(\varphi_C c_1) - \omega(\partial_A c_1, \partial_B c_2) \\
&= \nabla_{\varphi_A \partial_A c_1}(\varphi_C c_2) - \nabla_{\varphi_B \partial_B c_2}(\varphi_C c_1) \\
&= \nabla_{\partial_{A'} \varphi_C c_1}(\varphi_C c_2) - \nabla_{\partial_{B'} \varphi_C c_2}(\varphi_C c_1) = [\varphi_C c_1, \varphi_C c_2].
\end{aligned}$$

The anchor condition is checked as follows using (10)

$$\rho_{C'} \circ \varphi_C = \rho_{A'} \circ \partial_{A'} \circ \varphi_C = \rho_{A'} \circ \varphi_A \circ \partial_A = \rho_A \circ \partial_A = \rho_C. \quad \square$$

3 Transitive double Lie algebroids and transitive core diagrams

This section discusses *transitive double Lie algebroids*, and establishes a functor from the category of transitive double Lie algebroids, to the category of transitive core diagrams.

Recall from [19, 4.4.1] that a morphism of Lie algebroids $\varphi: A' \rightarrow A$ over a surjective submersion $f: M' \rightarrow M$ is a **fibration of Lie algebroids** if and only if the associated map $a' \mapsto (q_{A'} a', \varphi(a'))$ into the vector bundle pullback $f^* A \rightarrow M'$ is a surjection.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(D; A, B; M)$ be a double Lie algebroid with core C . Assume that the anchors $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$ and $\rho_B: B \rightarrow TM$ are both surjective.*

(i) *The morphism $\partial_A: C \rightarrow A$ is surjective if and only if the anchor $\Theta_A: D \rightarrow TA$ is a fibration of Lie algebroids.*

(ii) *The morphism $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$ is surjective if and only if the anchor $\Theta_B: D \rightarrow TB$ is a fibration of Lie algebroids.*

PROOF: In order to prove (i), assume first that ∂_A is surjective. Take $b \in B$ and $\xi \in TA$, projecting to the same point $v \in TM$: $Tq_A \xi = \rho_B(b)$. Write a for the projection of ξ to A . Take any $d' \in D$ which projects to a and b and write $\xi' = \Theta_A(d') \in TA$. Then ξ' and ξ project to the same elements of A and TM and therefore there is a core element $\partial_A(c) \in A$ such that

$$\xi = \xi' +_A (0_a^{TA} +_{TM} \overline{\partial_A(c)}).$$

Now define $d := d' +_A (0_a +_B \bar{c})$. Clearly $\Theta_A(d) = \xi$. This shows that Θ_A is a fibration. The converse is straightforward since ∂_A is the core morphism of Θ_A . \square

Although the considerations above are the authors' original intuition for transitive double Lie algebroids, the definition below drops the surjectivity of the anchors of A and B because they are not needed for the main results of this paper. Hence, a transitive double Lie algebroid $(D; A, B; M)$ as in the following definition has two surjective anchors $D \rightarrow TA$ and $D \rightarrow TB$ if and only if the two base anchors $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$ and $\rho_B: B \rightarrow TM$ are surjective.

Definition 3.2. A double Lie algebroid $(D; A, B; M)$ is **transitive** if its two core-anchors ∂_A and ∂_B are surjective.

Definition 3.3 ([1]). A **crossed module of Lie algebroids** (A, C, ∂, ρ) comprises a Lie algebroid A on base M , a totally intransitive Lie algebroid C on the same base, a representation $\nabla: A \rightarrow \text{Der}(C)$, and a morphism $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ of Lie algebroids over M , such that

$$\nabla_a[c_1, c_2] = [\nabla_a c_1, c_2] + [c_1, \nabla_a c_2], \quad (18a)$$

$$\nabla_{\partial(c_1)} c_2 = [c_1, c_2], \quad (18b)$$

$$\partial(\nabla_a c) = [a, \partial(c)]. \quad (18c)$$

for all $c, c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

Here $\text{Der}(C)$ denotes the Lie algebroid of derivations of the vector bundle C : sections of $\text{Der}(C)$ are \mathbb{R} -linear maps $D: \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ for which there is a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $D(fc) = fD(c) + X(f)c$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. For C a totally intransitive Lie algebroid, $\text{Der}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(C)$ is the Lie subalgebroid of $\text{Der}(C)$ the sections of which are also derivations of the bracket,

$$D[c_1, c_2] = [D(c_1), c_2] + [c_1, D(c_2)]$$

for $D \in \Gamma(\text{Der}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(C))$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$. That is, r in Definition 3.3 is a morphism of Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow \text{Der}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(C)$. For clarity, sections of $\text{Der}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(C)$ are called **Lie derivations of C** .

Consider now a transitive double Lie algebroid $(D; A, B; M)$ with core C . Write $C^A = \ker(\partial_B)$ and $C^B = \ker(\partial_A)$. Then C^A is a smooth subbundle of B and C^B is a subbundle of A .

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(D; A, B; M)$ be a transitive double Lie algebroid with core C . For $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$ set $\nabla_a^A \gamma = [c, \gamma]$ where $c \in \Gamma(C)$ is such that $\partial_A(c) = a$. Then $\nabla_a^A \gamma$ is well-defined and, together with the restriction of ∂_A to C^A , gives $(A, C^A, \partial_A, \nabla^A)$ the structure of a crossed module of Lie algebroids.*

Proof. First, $\partial_B[c, \gamma] = [\partial_B c, 0] = 0$ since ∂_B is a Lie algebroid morphism by Proposition 2.9. Therefore, $[c, \gamma]$ is a section of C^A . If c, c' are two sections of C satisfying $\partial_A(c) = \partial_A(c') = a$, then $c - c' \in \ker(\partial_A) = C^B$ and so, by Proposition 2.10, $[c - c', \gamma] = 0$ for all $\gamma \in C^A = \ker(\partial_B)$.

The Lie algebroid C^A is totally intransitive as the kernel of the morphism ∂_B of Lie algebroids. Then $\nabla_a^A(f\gamma) = [c, f\gamma] = f[c, \gamma] + \rho_C(c)(f)\gamma = f\nabla_a^A(c) + \rho_A(a)(f)\gamma$, since $\rho_C = \rho_A \circ \partial_A$. Likewise, $\nabla_{fa}^A(\gamma) = [fc, \gamma] = f\nabla_a^A(\gamma)$ because C^A is totally intransitive. Hence, $\nabla^A: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C^A) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^A)$ is a linear connection. (18b) and (18c) are immediate by the definition of ∇^A and the fact that $\partial_A: C \rightarrow A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism.

For the flatness of ∇^A , take $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$, as well as $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ with $\partial_A(c_1) = a_1$ and $\partial_A(c_2) = a_2$. Then $\partial_A[c_1, c_2] = [a_1, a_2]$ since ∂_A is a morphism of Lie algebroids, and

$$\nabla_{a_1}^A \nabla_{a_2}^A \gamma - \nabla_{a_2}^A \nabla_{a_1}^A \gamma - \nabla_{[a_1, a_2]}^A \gamma = [c_1, [c_2, \gamma]] - [c_2, [c_1, \gamma]] - [[c_1, c_2], \gamma] = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla_a^A [\gamma_1, \gamma_2] - [\nabla_a^A \gamma_1, \gamma_2] - [\gamma_1, \nabla_a^A \gamma_2] = [c, [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]] - [[c, \gamma_1], \gamma_2] - [\gamma_1, [c, \gamma_2]] = 0$$

for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $c \in \Gamma(C)$ with $\partial_A(c) = a$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C^A)$, shows the equality (18a). \square

Let $(D; A, B; M)$ be a transitive double Lie algebroid with core C . The core C and the two crossed modules $(A, C^A, \partial_A, \nabla^A)$ and $(B, C^B, \partial_B, \nabla^B)$ constructed in Proposition 3.4 are shown in Figure 3, picturing the *transitive core diagram of the transitive double Lie algebroid $(D; A, B; M)$* . The remainder of this paper shows that a transitive double Lie algebroid can be reconstructed from its (transitive) core diagram.




Figure 3. The transitive core diagram of a transitive double Lie algebroid.

Definition 3.5. Let A and B be Lie algebroids over a same base M . A **core diagram for A and B** is a Lie algebroid C on M together with morphisms $\partial_A: C \rightarrow A$ and $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$ of Lie algebroids such that the kernels $C^A := \ker(\partial_B)$ and $C^B := \ker(\partial_A)$ commute in C . A **core diagram for A and B is transitive** if the morphisms ∂_A and ∂_B are surjective.

In the transitive case the proof of Proposition 3.4 applies, and there are representations

$$\nabla^A: A \rightarrow \text{Der}(C^A), \quad \nabla^B: B \rightarrow \text{Der}(C^B), \quad (19)$$

defined as in 3.4, which together with the restrictions of ∂_A and ∂_B , respectively, form crossed modules as in Figure 3.

This paper shows that every transitive core diagram is the core diagram of a transitive double Lie algebroid. The construction follows the general outline of the analogous results for double Lie groupoids and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoids [3, 16]: first, Section 4 defines a ‘large’ double Lie algebroid in which the two structures are an action Lie algebroid and a pullback, and then a quotient is taken in Section 5.

Let now (D, A, B, M) and (D', A', B', M) be two transitive double Lie algebroids over a common double base M . Consider a morphism $\Phi: D \rightarrow D'$ of double Lie algebroids, with side morphisms $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$ and $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow B'$, and with core morphism $\varphi_C: C \rightarrow C'$. Then these two side morphisms and the core morphism are morphisms of Lie algebroids over M and, using (10) and Proposition 2.11, the triple $(\varphi_A, \varphi_B, \varphi_C)$ is a morphism of the core diagrams of D and D' , as in the following definition.

Definition 3.6. Let A, A', B, B' be Lie algebroids over a base M and consider core diagrams $(C, \partial_A, \partial_B)$ and $(C', \partial_{A'}, \partial_{B'})$ for A and B and for A' and B' , respectively. A morphism

$$\Phi: (C, \partial_A, \partial_B) \rightarrow (C', \partial_{A'}, \partial_{B'})$$

of core diagrams is a triple of Lie algebroid morphisms $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$, $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow B'$ and $\varphi_C: C \rightarrow C'$ such that $\partial_{A'} \circ \varphi_C = \varphi_A \circ \partial_A$ and $\partial_{B'} \circ \varphi_C = \varphi_B \circ \partial_B$.




Figure 4. A morphism of core diagrams.

Given a morphism $\Phi: (C, \partial_A, \partial_B) \rightarrow (C', \partial_{A'}, \partial_{B'})$ of transitive core diagrams as in Figure 4, the morphism $\varphi_C: C \rightarrow C'$ restricts to morphisms $C^A \rightarrow C^{A'}$ and $C^B \rightarrow C^{B'}$ of Lie algebroids. Therefore, all squares in 4 are commutative squares of Lie algebroid morphisms over M . A computation yields as well for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_A c = a$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$:

$$\varphi_C(\nabla_a^A \gamma) = \varphi_C[c, \gamma] = [\varphi_C(c), \varphi_C(\gamma)] = \nabla_{\partial_{A'} \varphi_C(c)}^{A'}(\varphi_C(\gamma)) = \nabla_{\varphi_A(a)}^{A'}(\varphi_C(\gamma)) = (\varphi_A^! \nabla^A)_a(\varphi_C(\gamma)).$$

4 The comma double Lie algebroid

This section constructs the **comma double Lie algebroid** defined by a morphism of Lie algebroids. It constructs more precisely a functor from morphisms of Lie algebroids over a fixed base, to double Lie algebroids over the fixed double base.

4.1 Action of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on $A \rightarrow TM$

Let C and A be Lie algebroids over a manifold M and let $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of Lie algebroids over M . This section and the next construct a double Lie algebroid R from this data, the *comma double Lie algebroid defined by $\partial: C \rightarrow A$* . The construction follows the one of the *comma double groupoids* in [16, 2.5] and [3].

First an action of the Lie algebroid $TC \rightarrow TM$ is defined on the anchor $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$. Recall that the Lie algebroid structure on $TC \rightarrow TM$ may be defined as follows, using tangent sections Tc and core sections c^\dagger , for $c \in \Gamma(C)$. For sections $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$, the bracket on $\Gamma_{TM}(TC)$ is given by

$$[Tc_1, Tc_2] = T[c_1, c_2], \quad [Tc_1, c_2^\dagger] = [c_1, c_2]^\dagger, \quad [c_1^\dagger, c_2^\dagger] = 0. \quad (20)$$

The anchor of $TC \rightarrow TM$ is $\Theta := \sigma_M \circ T\rho_C$ where $\sigma_M: T^2M \rightarrow T^2M$ is the canonical involution (denoted J_M in [19]).

An action of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$ is a map $\Phi: \Gamma_{TM}(TC) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ such that

$$\Phi[\xi_1, \xi_2] = [\Phi(\xi_1), \Phi(\xi_2)], \quad (21a)$$

$$\Phi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = \Phi(\xi_1) + \Phi(\xi_2), \quad (21b)$$

$$\Phi(F\xi) = (\rho_A^*F)\Phi(\xi), \quad (21c)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi(\xi)}(\rho_A^*F) = \rho_A^*(\mathcal{L}_{\Theta(\xi)}F), \quad (21d)$$

for $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma_{TM}(TC)$ and $F \in C^\infty(TM)$ [19, 4.1.1].

Proposition 4.1. *Let C and A be Lie algebroids over a manifold M and let $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of Lie algebroids over M . For $c \in \Gamma(C)$, set*

$$\Phi(Tc) := \widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A), \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(c^\dagger) := (\partial c)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}^c(A). \quad (22)$$

Then (22) extends to a unique action Φ of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$.

Note that $\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A)$ is linear over $\rho_A(\partial c) = \rho_C(c) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Proof. An arbitrary section χ of $TC \rightarrow TM$ can be written

$$\chi = \sum_{i=1}^k F_i Tc_i + \sum_{j=1}^n G_j \gamma_j^\dagger$$

with $F_1, \dots, F_k, G_1, \dots, G_n \in C^\infty(TM)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma(C)$. Then set

$$\Phi(\chi) = \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_A^* F_i \Phi(Tc_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_A^* G_j \Phi(\gamma_j^\dagger) = \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_A^* F_i \widehat{[\partial c_i, \cdot]} + \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_A^* G_j (\partial \gamma_j)^\dagger. \quad (23)$$

(23) defines a map $\Phi: \Gamma_{TM}(TC) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$:

For $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$

$$T(fc) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}f} \cdot c^\dagger + {}_{TM}p_M^* f \cdot Tc, \quad (fc)^\dagger = p_M^* f \cdot c^\dagger, \quad (24)$$

where $+_{TM}$ and \cdot are the addition and scalar multiplication in $TC \rightarrow TM$, and $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection.

Hence the following identities need to hold:

$$\Phi(T(fc)) = \Phi(\ell_{\mathbf{d}f} \cdot c^\dagger +_{TM} p_M^* f \cdot Tc) \quad (25)$$

and

$$\Phi((fc)^\dagger) = \Phi(p_M^* f \cdot c^\dagger) \quad (26)$$

for all $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. For the first identity, compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\ell_{\mathbf{d}f} \cdot c^\dagger +_{TM} p_M^* f \cdot Tc) &= \rho_A^* \ell_{\mathbf{d}f} \cdot (\partial c)^\dagger + \rho_A^* p_M^* f \cdot \widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]} = \ell_{\mathbf{d}A f} \cdot (\partial c)^\dagger + q_A^* f \cdot \widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]} \\ &= \widehat{[\partial(fc), \cdot]} = \Phi(T(fc)). \end{aligned}$$

Here \mathbf{d}_A is the de Rham like differential $\Omega^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(A)$ defined by A , and $\rho_A^t: T^*M \rightarrow A^*$ is the pointwise transpose of ρ_A . The notation ρ_A^t avoids confusions with the pullback $\rho_A^*: \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet T^*A)$. It is easy to check that $\rho_A^* \ell_{\mathbf{d}f} = \ell_{\rho_A^t \mathbf{d}f} = \ell_{\mathbf{d}A f}$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The second equality is then immediate with $q_A = p_M \circ \rho_A$. The third equality can be checked easily on linear functions ℓ_α for $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and $q_A^* f$, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

For (26), compute

$$\Phi(p_M^* f \cdot c^\dagger) = \rho_A^* p_M^* f \cdot (\partial c)^\dagger = q_A^* f \cdot (\partial c)^\dagger = (f \partial c)^\dagger = (\partial(fc))^\dagger = \Phi((fc)^\dagger).$$

$\Phi: \Gamma_{TM}(TC) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ is an action: The identities (21b) and (21c) hold by construction. By the construction in (23) as well, it is sufficient to check (21d) on tangent and core sections ξ of $TC \rightarrow TM$. Consider first $\xi = Tc$ where $c \in \Gamma(C)$. Then (21d) reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}}(\rho_A^* F) = \rho_A^*(\mathcal{L}_{(\sigma_M \circ T(\rho_C(c)))} F)$$

for all $F \in C^\infty(TM)$. Here again, it is enough to check this equality on pullbacks $p_M^* f$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and linear functions ℓ_θ for $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$.

For $a_m \in A$ and any $a \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $a(m) = a_m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}}(\rho_A^* p_M^* f) &= \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}}(q_A^* f) = q_A^*(\mathcal{L}_{(\rho_A \circ \partial)(c)} f) = q_A^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)} f), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}}(\rho_A^* \ell_\theta)(a_m) &= \widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}(\ell_{\rho_A^t \theta})(a_m) = \ell_{\mathcal{L}_{\partial c} \rho_A^t \theta}(a_m) = \rho_C(c_m) \langle \rho_A^t \theta, a \rangle - \langle \rho_A^t \theta_m, [\partial c, a](m) \rangle \\ &= \rho_C(c_m) \langle \theta, \rho_A(a) \rangle - \langle \theta_m, [\rho_C(c), \rho_A(a)](m) \rangle = \ell_\alpha(a_m), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha := \rho_A^t \mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Now consider the right hand side of (21d). Choose $a_m \in A$, let γ be a path through m with $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \rho_A(a_m)$ and let $\varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)}$ be the flow of $\rho_C(c) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_M(T(\rho_C(c))\rho_A(a_m)) &= \sigma_M \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)}(\gamma(t)) \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)}(\gamma(t)) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} T_m \varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)}(\rho_A(a_m)), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_M(T(\rho_C(c))\rho_A(a_m))(p_M^* f) &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} (f \circ \varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)})(m) = (\mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)} f)(m) = q_A^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)} f)(a_m), \\ \sigma_M(T(\rho_C(c))\rho_A(a_m))(\ell_\theta) &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \langle \theta(\varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)}(m)), T_m \varphi_s^{\rho_C(c)}(\rho_A(a_m)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)} \theta, \rho_A(a_m) \rangle = \ell_\alpha(a_m), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \rho_A^t \mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)}(\theta)$ as before. This completes the proof of (21d) for $\xi = Tc$.

Now consider $c^\dagger \in \Gamma_{TM}(TC)$. The equality $\Theta(c^\dagger) = (\rho_C(c))^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ can be verified using the same method as above. It only remains to prove that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\partial c)^\dagger}(\rho_A^* F) = \rho_A^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)^\dagger} F)$$

which is immediate for F a pullback or a linear function on TM .

Finally the compatibility of Φ with the Lie algebroid brackets (21a) needs to be checked:

$$[\Phi(V), \Phi(W)] = \Phi[V, W]$$

for all $V, W \in \Gamma_{TM}(TC)$. Because of (21d), it is sufficient to prove (21a) on tangent and core sections of $TC \rightarrow TM$. Computations yield for $c, c' \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi(Tc), \Phi(Tc')] &= \left[\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}, \widehat{[\partial c', \cdot]} \right] = [\partial c, \cdot] \circ [\partial c', \cdot] - [\partial c', \cdot] \circ [\partial c, \cdot] \\ &= \widehat{[\partial[c, c'], \cdot]} = \Phi(T[c, c']) = \Phi([Tc, Tc']), \end{aligned}$$

by the Jacobi identity for the Lie algebroid bracket on A , and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Phi(Tc), \Phi(c'^\dagger) \right] &= \left[\widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]}, (\partial c')^\dagger \right] = ([\partial c, \cdot](\partial c'))^\dagger \\ &= [\partial c, \partial c']^\dagger = (\partial[c, c'])^\dagger = \Phi([c, c']^\dagger) = \Phi\left([Tc, c'^\dagger]\right). \end{aligned}$$

The Lie algebroid brackets of core sections of $TC \rightarrow TM$, and of vertical vector fields on A vanish, so the proof is complete. \square

4.2 The double vector bundle R

Consider two Lie algebroids A and C over a common base M and construct the pullback manifold $R := TC \oplus_{TM} A := \{(V, a) \in TC \times A \mid Tq_C(V) = \rho_A(a)\}$, as shown in Figure 5(a). This section shows that R has a double vector bundle structure with sides A and C and with core C .




Figure 5

The space R is equipped with two projections $\pi_A: R \rightarrow A$, $(V, a) \rightarrow a$ and $\pi_C: R \rightarrow C$, $(V, a) \rightarrow p_C(V)$, where as always, $p_C: TC \rightarrow C$ is the canonical projection. Define additions

$$+_A: R \times_A R \rightarrow R, \quad (V_1, a) +_A (V_2, a) = (V_1 +_{TM} V_2, a), \quad (27)$$

and

$$+_C: R \times_C R \rightarrow R, \quad (V_1, a_1) +_C (V_2, a_2) = (V_1 +_C V_2, a_1 + a_2). \quad (28)$$

Proposition 4.2. *An A -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ on C defines a bijection*

$$I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow R, \quad (a, c, \gamma) \mapsto \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c) +_C \gamma^\uparrow(c), a \right),$$

such that

$$\pi_C(I_\nabla(a, c, \gamma)) = c \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_A(I_\nabla(a, c, \gamma)) = a$$

for $(a, c, \gamma) \in A \times_M C \times_M C$, and

$$I_\nabla(a_1 + a_2, c, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = I_\nabla(a_1, c, \gamma_1) +_C I_\nabla(a_2, c, \gamma_2)$$

as well as

$$I_\nabla(a, c_1 + c_2, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = I_\nabla(a, c_1, \gamma_1) +_A I_\nabla(a, c_2, \gamma_2)$$

for $(a_1, c, \gamma_1), (a_2, c, \gamma_2)$ and $(a, c_1, \gamma_1), (a, c_2, \gamma_2) \in A \times_M C \times_M C$.

Proof. Take (a_1, c_1, γ_1) and $(a_2, c_2, \gamma_2) \in A \times_M C \times_M C$ such that $I_\nabla(a_1, c_1, \gamma_1) = I_\nabla(a_2, c_2, \gamma_2) \in R$. Then

$$\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}(c_1) +_C \gamma_1^\uparrow(c_1), a_1 \right) = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{a_2}(c_2) +_C \gamma_2^\uparrow(c_2), a_2 \right),$$

and so $a_1 = a_2$, as well as $c_1 = p_C(\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}(c_1) +_C \gamma_1^\uparrow(c_1)) = p_C(\widehat{\nabla}_{a_2}(c_2) +_C \gamma_2^\uparrow(c_2)) = c_2$. Then $\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}(c_1) +_C \gamma_1^\uparrow(c_1) = \widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}(c_1) +_C \gamma_2^\uparrow(c_1)$ implies $\gamma_1^\uparrow(c_1) = \gamma_2^\uparrow(c_1)$, and so $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$. This shows that I_∇ is injective.

Now consider $(V, a) \in R$ and set $p_C(V) = c$. Then $Tq_C(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c)) = \rho_A(a) = Tq_C(V)$, which implies the existence of $\gamma \in q_C^{-1}(q_C(c))$ such that $V = \widehat{\nabla}_a(c) +_C \gamma^\uparrow(c)$. Hence $(V, a) = I_\nabla(a, c, \gamma)$, which shows that I_∇ is surjective.

The compatibilities of I_∇ with the projections and additions are immediate and left to the reader. \square

This implies immediately that R is a double vector bundle with sides A and C , with core C and the projections and additions above.

Corollary 4.3. *R is a double vector bundle with side projections $\pi_A: R \rightarrow A$, $(V, a) \rightarrow a$ and $\pi_C: R \rightarrow C$, $(V, a) \rightarrow p_C(V)$ with additions in (27) and (28) and with core C .*

In the situation of Proposition 4.2, the isomorphism I_∇ of double vector bundles is a decomposition of R . Recall that ∇ defines as a consequence linear horizontal lifts $\sigma_\nabla^A: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_C^l(R)$ and $\sigma_\nabla^C: \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma_A^l(R)$. More precisely, given a section $a \in \Gamma(A)$, the section $\widehat{a} := \sigma_\nabla^A(a) \in \Gamma_C(R)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{a}(c_m) = (\widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m), a(m)), \quad c_m \in C. \quad (29)$$

Given a section $c \in \Gamma(C)$, the section $\check{c} := \sigma_\nabla^C(c) \in \Gamma_A(R)$ is defined by

$$\check{c}(a_m) = (\widehat{\nabla}_a(c(m)), a_m), \quad (30)$$

for $a_m \in A$ and any $a \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $a(m) = a_m$. Given a section $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$, the corresponding core section $\gamma^\times \in \Gamma_C(R)$ is given by

$$\gamma^\times(c_m) = (\gamma^\uparrow(c_m), 0_m^A), \quad c_m \in C. \quad (31)$$

The corresponding core section $\gamma^\ddagger \in \Gamma_A(R)$ is given by

$$\gamma^\ddagger(a_m) = (\gamma^\uparrow(\rho_A(a_m)), a_m) = (T0^C(\rho_A(a_m)) +_C \gamma^\uparrow(0_m^C), a_m) \quad a \in A. \quad (32)$$

4.3 The comma double Lie algebroid

Consider again two Lie algebroids A and C over a common base M and assume a Lie algebroid morphism $\partial := \partial_A: C \rightarrow A$ as in Section 4.1. This section equips R constructed from A and C as in Section 4.2 with two Lie algebroid structures, over C and over A , and shows that they constitute together a double Lie algebroid (R, A, C, M) with core C .

As a Lie algebroid over C , R is the pullback Lie algebroid structure of A over $q_C: C \rightarrow M$, denoted $q_C^!A$ in [19]. As a Lie algebroid over A , R is the action Lie algebroid structure for the action Φ of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on ρ_A as defined in (22) and (23). The remainder of this section describes these structures in detail.

Proposition 4.4. *Consider a Lie algebroid morphism $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ over M and equip $R = TC \oplus_{TM} A$ with the pullback Lie algebroid structure of A over q_C . Consider an A -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ on C and write Θ_C for the anchor $R \rightarrow TC$. Then $\Theta_C \circ \widehat{a} = \widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}^l(C)$ and $\Theta_C \circ \gamma^\times = \gamma^\uparrow \in \mathfrak{X}(C)$ for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. Furthermore, the Lie algebroid bracket on $R \rightarrow C$ is given by:*

$$[\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2] = [\widehat{a_1}, \widehat{a_2}] - R_{\nabla} \widetilde{(a_1, a_2)}, \quad [\widehat{a}, \gamma^\times] = (\nabla_a \gamma)^\times, \quad [\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times] = 0 \quad (33)$$

for $a, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$.

Corollary 4.5. *In the situation of Proposition 4.4, the Lie algebroid structure on $R \rightarrow C$ is linear over $A \rightarrow M$. In other words, $(R \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow M)$ is a VB-algebroid.*

Proof of Proposition 4.4. The proof follows from the basic properties of pullbacks of Lie algebroids [19, p.156-157]. Following the formula (19) there

$$\Theta_C(\widehat{a}) = \Theta_C(\widehat{\nabla}_a, a) = \widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}^l(C)$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, and

$$\Theta_C(\gamma^\times) = \Theta_C(\gamma^\uparrow, 0^A) = \gamma^\uparrow \in \mathfrak{X}^e(C)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$.

Following (20) in [19, p.157],

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2] &= \left[\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}, a_1 \right), \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{a_2}, a_2 \right) \right] = \left(\left[\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}, \widehat{\nabla}_{a_2} \right], [a_1, a_2] \right) \\ &= \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{[a_1, a_2]} - R_{\nabla} \widetilde{(a_1, a_2)}, [a_1, a_2] \right) = [\widehat{a_1}, \widehat{a_2}] - R_{\nabla} \widetilde{(a_1, a_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$[\widehat{a}, \gamma^\times] = \left[\left(\widehat{\nabla}_a, a \right), \left(\gamma^\uparrow, 0^A \right) \right] = \left(\left[\widehat{\nabla}_a, \gamma^\uparrow \right], [a, 0^A] \right) = \left((\nabla_a \gamma)^\uparrow, 0^A \right) = (\nabla_a \gamma)^\times$$

and

$$[\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times] = \left[\left(\gamma_1^\uparrow, 0^A \right), \left(\gamma_2^\uparrow, 0^A \right) \right] = \left(\left[\gamma_1^\uparrow, \gamma_2^\uparrow \right], [0^A, 0^A] \right) = (0_C^{TC}, 0^A) = 0$$

for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$. \square

Define now the connections $\nabla^\partial: \Gamma(C) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$,

$$\nabla_c^\partial a = [\partial c, a] + \partial(\nabla_a c)$$

and $\nabla^\partial: \Gamma(C) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$,

$$\nabla_c^\partial c' = [c, c'] + \nabla_{\partial c'} c,$$

and the tensor $R_{\nabla}^{\text{bas}} \in \Omega^2(C, \text{Hom}(A, C))$:

$$R_{\nabla}^\partial(c, c')(a) = -\nabla_a [c, c'] + [\nabla_a c, c'] + [c, \nabla_a c'] - \nabla_{\nabla_c^\partial a} c' + \nabla_{\nabla_{c'}^\partial a} c. \quad (34)$$

Proposition 4.6. Consider a Lie algebroid morphism $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ over M and equip $R = TC \oplus_{TM} A$ with the action Lie algebroid structure over A . Consider an A -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ on C and write Θ_A for the anchor $R \rightarrow TA$. Then $\Theta_A(\check{c}) = \widehat{\nabla}_c^\partial \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A)$ and $\Theta_A(\gamma^\ddagger) = (\partial\gamma)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ for $c, \gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. Furthermore, the Lie algebroid bracket on $R \rightarrow A$ is given by:

$$[\check{c}_1, \check{c}_2] = \widehat{[c_1, c_2]} - R_{\nabla}^{\partial}(\widehat{c_1}, c_2), \quad [\check{c}, \gamma^\ddagger] = (\nabla_c^\partial \gamma)^\ddagger, \quad [\gamma_1^\ddagger, \gamma_2^\ddagger] = 0 \quad (35)$$

for $c, c_1, c_2, \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$.

Corollary 4.7. In the situation of Proposition 4.6, the Lie algebroid structure on $R \rightarrow A$ is linear over $C \rightarrow M$. In other words, $(R \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow M)$ is a VB-algebroid.

Proof of Proposition 4.6. The action Lie algebroid defined by the action (22) of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on $A \rightarrow TM$ lives on the pullback vector bundle of $TC \rightarrow TM$ across ρ_A [19, Prop. 4.1.2]. As a manifold this is R , and the bundle projection is the projection onto the second factor, $(V, a) \mapsto a$. As with any pullback vector bundle, the sections of $R \rightarrow A$ are finite sums $\sum_i F_i \chi_i^\dagger$ where $F_i \in C^\infty(A)$ and $\chi_i \in \Gamma_{TM}(TC)$. Here, for $\chi \in \Gamma_{TM}(TC)$, the section $\chi^\dagger \in \Gamma_A(R)$ is given by $\chi^\dagger(a) = (\chi(\rho_A(a)), a)$ for all $a \in A$.

The anchor $\Theta_A: R \rightarrow TA$ is then defined by $\Theta_A(\sum_i F_i \chi_i) = \sum_i F_i \Phi(\chi_i)$. The Lie bracket on $\Gamma_A(R)$ is defined by

$$[\chi_1^\dagger, \chi_2^\dagger] = [\chi_1, \chi_2]^\dagger \quad (36)$$

for all $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \Gamma_{TM}(TC)$.

By Definition, the section $\gamma^\ddagger \in \Gamma_A^c(R)$ defined by $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$ equals $(\gamma^\dagger)^\dagger \in \Gamma_A(R)$. Consider $c \in \Gamma(C)$, and set

$$\Gamma(\text{Hom}(A, C)) \ni \nabla \cdot c = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \otimes c_j$$

with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \Gamma(C)$. It is then easy to check that

$$\Gamma_A^l(R) \ni \check{c} = (Tc)^\dagger - \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot (c_j^\dagger)^\dagger.$$

Simple computations yield $\Theta_A(\gamma^\ddagger) = \Phi(\gamma^\dagger) = (\partial\gamma)^\dagger$ and

$$\Theta_A(\check{c}) = \Phi(Tc) - \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot \Phi(c_j^\dagger) = \widehat{[\partial c, \cdot]} - \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot (\partial c_j)^\dagger = \widehat{\nabla}_c^\partial$$

for $\gamma, c \in \Gamma(C)$. The Lie bracket on $\Gamma_A(R)$ is then given by

$$[\gamma_1^\ddagger, \gamma_2^\ddagger] = [\gamma_1^\dagger, \gamma_2^\dagger]^\dagger = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\check{c}, \gamma^\ddagger] &= \left[(Tc)^\dagger - \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot (c_j^\dagger)^\dagger, (\gamma^\dagger)^\dagger \right] = [c, \gamma]^\ddagger + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\Theta_A((\gamma^\dagger)^\dagger)}(\ell_{\alpha_j}) \cdot c_j^\ddagger \\ &= [c, \gamma]^\ddagger + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{(\partial\gamma)^\dagger}(\ell_{\alpha_j}) \cdot c_j^\ddagger = [c, \gamma]^\ddagger + \sum_{j=1}^n q_A^* \langle \partial\gamma, \alpha_j \rangle \cdot c_j^\ddagger = ([c, \gamma] + \nabla_{\partial\gamma} c)^\ddagger = (\nabla_c^\partial \gamma)^\ddagger, \end{aligned}$$

and for $\check{c} = (Tc)^! - \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot (c_j^\dagger)^!$ and $\check{c}' = (Tc')^! - \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{\alpha'_k} \cdot (c_k^\dagger)^!$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\check{c}, \check{c}'] &= [(Tc)^!, (Tc')^!] - \left[\check{c}, \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{\alpha'_k} \cdot c_k^\dagger \right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot c_j^\dagger, \check{c}' \right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot c_j^\dagger, \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{\alpha'_k} \cdot c_k^\dagger \right] \\ &= (T[c, c'])^! - \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{(\nabla^{\partial})^*_{\check{c}} \alpha'_k} \cdot c_k^\dagger - \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{\alpha'_k} \cdot (\nabla_{\check{c}}^{\partial} c_k)^\dagger + \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{(\nabla^{\partial})^*_{\check{c}'} \alpha_j} \cdot c_j^\dagger + \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot (\nabla_{\check{c}'}^{\partial} c_j)^\dagger \\ &\quad - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \ell_{\alpha_j} \cdot q_A^* \langle \partial c_j, \alpha'_k \rangle \cdot c_k^\dagger + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \ell_{\alpha'_k} \cdot q_A^* \langle \partial c_k, \alpha_j \rangle \cdot c_j^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation yields that this is $[\check{c}, \check{c}'] = \widetilde{[c, c']} - R_{\nabla}^{\partial} \widetilde{(c, c')}$. \square

Let A and C be two Lie algebroids over M and $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ a Lie algebroid morphism over M . Choose an A -connection on C :

$$\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C).$$

(55) and (51) give the representations up to homotopy describing the VB-algebroid structures $R \rightarrow C$ and respectively $R \rightarrow A$ in the splitting defined by ∇ as in Proposition 4.2. Via the splitting given by ∇ , the Lie algebroid $R \rightarrow C$ is described by

$$\text{id}: C \rightarrow C, \quad \nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C), \quad R_{\nabla} \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(C, C)). \quad (37)$$

The Lie algebroid $R \rightarrow A$ is described by

$$\partial: C \rightarrow A, \quad \nabla^{\partial}: \Gamma(C) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C), \quad \nabla^{\partial}: \Gamma(C) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A), \quad (38)$$

and

$$R_{\nabla}^{\partial} \in \Omega^2(C, \text{Hom}(A, C)). \quad (39)$$

Straightforward computations (carried out in Appendix A) yield that these two representations up to homotopy are matched in the sense of [7] and so that $R = TC \oplus_{TM} A$, with its two VB-Lie algebroid structures, is a double Lie algebroid with sides A and C , and with core C . This completes the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Let A and C be Lie algebroids over M and let $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ be a morphism of Lie algebroids. Then the double vector bundle $(R; A, C; M)$ constructed in Section 4.2 inherits a double Lie algebroid structure with the following two Lie algebroid structures on $R \rightarrow A$ and $R \rightarrow C$:*

1. *As a Lie algebroid over C , R is the pullback Lie algebroid structure of A over $q_C: C \rightarrow M$.*
2. *As a Lie algebroid over A , R is the action Lie algebroid structure for the action Φ of $TC \rightarrow TM$ on ρ_A as defined in (22) and (23).*

The core of $(R; A, C; M)$ is C and its core diagram is as in Figure 6. As a consequence, R is transitive if and only if ∂ is surjective.

4.4 Morphisms of comma-double Lie algebroids

Consider in this section a square of Lie algebroid morphisms over M as in Figure 7. This section proves that such a square induces a morphism of the corresponding comma double Lie algebroids $TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM'} A'$, with side morphisms φ_A and φ_C and with core morphism φ_C .




Figure 6. The core diagram of R .




Figure 7. Square of Lie algebroid morphisms.

Proposition 4.9. *Consider a square of Lie algebroid morphisms as in Figure 7. Then*

$$\Phi: TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM'} A', \quad (V, a) \mapsto (T\varphi_C V, \varphi_A(a))$$

defines a morphism of double vector bundles with side morphisms $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$ and $\varphi_C: C \rightarrow C'$ and with core morphism $\varphi_C: C \rightarrow C'$.

Proof. First of all, Φ is well-defined since for $(V, a) \in TC \oplus_{TM} A$, the image $\Phi(V, a) = (T\varphi_C V, \varphi_A(a))$ satisfies

$$Tq_{C'} T\varphi_C V = Tq_C V = \rho_A(a) = \rho_{A'} \varphi_A(a)$$

and is hence an element of $TC' \oplus_{TM'} A'$. Choose an A -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ on C , and an A' -connection $\nabla': \Gamma(A') \times \Gamma(C') \rightarrow \Gamma(C')$ on C' . Consider the induced linear splittings as in Proposition 4.2

$$I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow TC \oplus_{TM} A, \quad (a, c, \gamma) \mapsto \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c) +_C \gamma^\uparrow(c), a \right)$$

and

$$I_{\nabla'}: A' \times_M C' \times_M C' \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM'} A', \quad (a', c', \gamma') \mapsto \left(\widehat{\nabla}'_{a'}(c') +_{C'} \gamma'^\uparrow(c'), a' \right).$$

Define $\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'} \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes C^* \otimes C')$ by

$$\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c) = \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)}(\varphi_C(c)) - \varphi_C(\nabla_a c) \quad (40)$$

for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. Then an easy computation proves that

$$T_c \varphi_C \widehat{\nabla}_a(c) - \widehat{\nabla}'_{\varphi_A(a)}(\varphi_C(c)) = \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)^\uparrow(\varphi_C(c))$$

for all $c \in C$ and $a \in A$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_{\nabla'}^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ I_\nabla)(a, c, \gamma) &= \left(I_{\nabla'}^{-1} \circ \Phi \right) \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c) +_C \gamma^\uparrow(c), a \right) = I_{\nabla'}^{-1} \left(T\varphi_C(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c)) +_C T\varphi_C(\gamma^\uparrow(c)), \varphi_A(a) \right) \\
&= I_{\nabla'}^{-1} \left(\widehat{\nabla}'_{\varphi_A(a)}(\varphi_C(c)) +_C (\varphi_C \gamma + \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c))^\uparrow(\varphi_C(c)), \varphi_A(a) \right) \\
&= (\varphi_A(a), \varphi_C(c), \varphi_C(\gamma) + \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)).
\end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

This shows that $I_{\nabla'}^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ I_{\nabla} : A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow A' \times_M C' \times_M C'$ is a double vector bundle morphism, and consequently that Φ is a double vector bundle morphism. \square

The following theorem is then easily proved in decompositions, see Appendix B.

Theorem 4.10. *Consider a square of Lie algebroid morphisms as in Figure 7. Then the morphism*

$$\Phi : TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM'} A', \quad (V, a) \mapsto (T\varphi_C V, \varphi_A(a))$$

of double vector bundles as in Proposition 4.9 is a morphism of the double Lie algebroids constructed in Theorem 4.8.

5 The quotient

Let now A and B be Lie algebroids over a common base M and consider a transitive core diagram for A and B as in Figure 8 (see Definition 3.5). Recall the representation $\nabla^A : A \rightarrow \text{Der}(C^A)$




Figure 8. Setting of Section 5, the transitive core diagram \mathcal{C} .

defined in (19). For $a \in \Gamma(A)$, consider the vector field

$$\widehat{\nabla}_a^A \in \mathfrak{X}^l(C^A)$$

corresponding to the derivation ∇_a^A of C^A over $\rho_A(a)$.

Lemma 5.1. *In the situation above, choose $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and set $a = \partial_A c$. Then the vector field $[\widehat{c}, \cdot] \in \mathfrak{X}^l(C)$ restricts to $\widehat{\nabla}_a^A$ on points of C^A . In other words, if $\iota : C^A \hookrightarrow C$ is the inclusion, then $\widehat{\nabla}_a^A \sim_\iota [\widehat{c}, \cdot]$.*

Proof. Check first that $[\widehat{c}, \cdot](\gamma_m) \in T_{\gamma_m} C^A$ for all $\gamma_m \in C^A$. In order to do this, it is sufficient to show $[\widehat{c}, \cdot](F) = 0$ for all $F \in C^\infty(C)$ such that $F|_{C^A}$ is constant. Since $C^A \subseteq C$ is a subbundle, it suffices to check this on the linear functions $\ell_\mu \in C^\infty(C)$ for $\mu \in \Gamma((C^A)^\circ) \subseteq \Gamma(C^*)$. But this is immediate since

$$[\widehat{c}, \cdot](\ell_\mu)(\gamma) = \rho_C(c) \langle \mu, \gamma \rangle - \langle \mu, [c, \gamma] \rangle = 0$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$, since $[c, \gamma] \in \Gamma(C^A)$.

Then $\iota^* q_C^* f = q_{C^A}^* f$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\iota^* \ell_\mu = \ell_{\iota^* \mu}$ for all $\mu \in \Gamma(C^*)$. The definitions of $[\widehat{c}, \cdot]$ and $\widehat{\nabla}_a^A$ yield easily $(\nabla_a^A)^*(\iota^* \mu) = \iota^* \mathcal{L}_c \mu$ and so

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_a^A}(\iota^* \ell_\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_a^A} \ell_{\iota^* \mu} = \ell_{(\nabla_a^A)^* \iota^* \mu} = \iota^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_c \mu} = \iota^*([\widehat{c}, \cdot](\ell_\mu))$$

for $\mu \in \Gamma(C^*)$. Similarly, together with $\rho_C(c) = \rho_A(\partial c) = \rho_A(a)$, the definitions of $[\widehat{c}, \cdot]$ and $\widehat{\nabla}_a^A$ yield

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_a^A}(\iota^* q_C^* f) = \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_a^A}(q_{C^A}^* f) = q_{C^A}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_A(a)}(f)) = \iota^* q_C^*(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_C(c)}(f)) = \iota^*(\mathcal{L}_{[\widehat{c}, \cdot]}(q_C^* f))$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$. \square

Define the subset $\Pi \subseteq R$ by

$$\Pi := \left\{ \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a^A(\gamma_m), a(m) \right) \in TC^A \oplus_{TM} A \mid a \in \Gamma(A), \gamma_m \in C^A \right\}. \quad (42)$$

By Lemma 5.1, it equals

$$\left\{ \left(\widehat{[c, \cdot]}(\gamma_m), \partial c(m) \right) \in TC^A \oplus_{TM} A \mid c \in \Gamma(C), \gamma_m \in C^A \right\}.$$

Proposition 5.2. *Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Then the isomorphism $I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow R$ of double vector bundles in Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 does*

$$I_\nabla(A \times_M C^A \times_M 0^C) = \Pi.$$

As a consequence, Π is a sub-double vector bundle of R with sides A and C^A and with trivial core.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1, prove that $\mathfrak{X}^l(C^A) \ni \widehat{\nabla}_a^A \sim_l \widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}^l(C)$. Then given $(a_m, \gamma_m, 0_m^C) \in A \times_M C^A \times_M 0^C$ and any $a \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $a(m) = a_m$:

$$I_\nabla(a_m, \gamma_m, 0_m^C) = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(\gamma_m), a_m \right) = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a^A(\gamma_m), a_m \right) \in \Pi \subseteq TC^A \oplus_{TM} A. \quad \square$$

The following corollary is immediate, using the notation (30).

Corollary 5.3. *Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Then the subbundle $\Pi \rightarrow A$ of $R \rightarrow A$ is spanned by the sections*

$$\sigma_\nabla^C(\gamma) = \check{\gamma} \in \Gamma_A^l(R)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$.

5.1 The quotient of R by Π .

This section defines the quotient R/Π and shows that it inherits a double vector bundle structure. In the situation above define \sim on R by

$$(V, a) \sim (V', a') :\Leftrightarrow a = a' \text{ and } \exists W \in TC^A : (W, a) \in \Pi \text{ and } V +_{TM} W = V'.$$

Then it is easy to check that \sim defines an equivalence relation on R . Two pairs (V, a) and $(V', a) \in R$ are equivalent if and only if there exists $(W, a) \in \Pi$ such that $(V, a) +_A (W, a) = (V', a)$. Hence, it is easy to see that $R/\sim =: R/\Pi$ has a vector bundle structure over A : the quotient of the vector bundle $R \rightarrow A$ by its subbundle $\Pi \rightarrow A$. The reader should think about R/Π as this quotient. The following theorem shows that the vector bundle structure on $R \rightarrow C$ induces a vector bundle structure on $R/\Pi \rightarrow C/C^A$, such that $(R/\Pi, A, C/C^A, M)$ becomes a double vector bundle.

Theorem 5.4. *1. The space R/Π inherits from R a double vector bundle structure with core C and with side $C/C^A \simeq B$.*

2. Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Then the induced decomposition $I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow R$ induces a decomposition

$$\overline{I_\nabla}: A \times_M (C/C^A) \times_M C \rightarrow R/\Pi, \quad \overline{I_\nabla}(a, \bar{c}, \gamma) := I_\nabla(a, c, \gamma) +_A \Pi.$$

The remainder of this section proves this theorem. Define $F_C \subseteq TC$ as the subbundle over C spanned by the vertical vector fields corresponding to sections of C^A , i.e. for all $c_m \in C$:

$$F_C(c_m) = \{ \gamma^\uparrow(c_m) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(C^A) \}$$

and so

$$\Gamma(F_C) = \text{span}_{C^\infty(C)} \{ \gamma^\uparrow \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(C^A) \}.$$

The subbundle $F_C \subseteq TC$ is involutive and simple since its leaf space is $C/C^A \simeq B$.

Choose a linear A -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ that restricts to ∇^A on $\Gamma(C^A)$, and consider the induced sections $\hat{a} \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, as in (29). Recall also from (31) that each section $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$ defines a core section $\gamma^\times \in \Gamma_C^c(R)$.

Proposition 5.5. *In the situation above, there is a unique flat connection*

$$\nabla^i: \Gamma_C(F_C) \times \Gamma_C(R) \rightarrow \Gamma_C(R),$$

such that

$$\nabla^i \hat{a} = 0 = \nabla^i \gamma^\times$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. The connection ∇^i does not depend on the choice of ∇ extending ∇^A .

Proof. The space of sections of the vector bundle $R \rightarrow C$ is spanned as a $C^\infty(C)$ -module by sections of the form \hat{a} and γ^\times for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. Define

$$\nabla^i: \Gamma_C(F_C) \times \Gamma_C(R) \rightarrow \Gamma_C(R)$$

by

$$\nabla_\chi^i \left(\sum_{j=1}^s F_j \hat{a}_j + \sum_{l=1}^t G_l \gamma_l^\times \right) = \sum_{j=1}^s \mathcal{L}_\chi(F_j) \cdot \hat{a}_j + \sum_{l=1}^t \mathcal{L}_\chi(G_l) \cdot \gamma_l^\times$$

for $F_1, \dots, F_s, G_1, \dots, G_t \in C^\infty(C)$, $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \in \Gamma(C)$.

For $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$

$$\widehat{fa} = q_C^* f \cdot \hat{a} \quad \text{and} \quad (f\gamma)^\times = q_C^* f \cdot \gamma^\times.$$

Hence ∇^i is well-defined if $\nabla^i \widehat{fa} = \nabla^i (q_C^* f \cdot \hat{a})$ and $\nabla^i (f\gamma)^\times = \nabla^i (q_C^* f \cdot \gamma^\times)$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. On the one hand, $\nabla_\chi^i \widehat{fa} = 0 = \nabla_\chi^i (f\gamma)^\times$ and on the other hand

$$\nabla_\chi^i (q_C^* f \cdot \hat{a}) = \mathcal{L}_\chi(q_C^* f) \cdot \hat{a} = 0$$

and

$$\nabla_\chi^i (q_C^* f \cdot \gamma^\times) = \mathcal{L}_\chi(q_C^* f) \cdot \gamma^\times = 0$$

since $\chi \in \Gamma(F_C)$ and $F_C \subseteq T^{qc}C$.

The connection ∇^i is obviously flat with the required flat sections. Let $\nabla': \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ be a second extension of $\nabla^A: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C^A) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^A)$. Then $\varphi := \nabla - \nabla' \in \Omega^1(A, (C^A)^\circ \otimes C)$ does $\sigma_A^{\nabla'}(a) = \sigma_A^\nabla(a) - \widetilde{\varphi(a)} = \hat{a} - \widetilde{\varphi(a)}$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Writing $\varphi(a) = \sum_{j=1}^k \nu_j \otimes c_j$ with $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \in \Gamma((C^A)^\circ)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \Gamma(C)$ yields

$$\nabla_\chi^i \left(\sigma_A^{\nabla'}(a) \right) = \nabla_\chi^i \left(\hat{a} - \widetilde{\varphi(a)} \right) = \nabla_\chi^i \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \ell_{\nu_j} \cdot c_j^\times \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{L}_\chi(\ell_{\nu_j}) \cdot c_j^\times = 0$$

since $\chi \in \Gamma(F_C)$ and $\ell_{\nu_j} \in C^\infty(C)^{F_C}$. □

The last proposition is reformulated as follows.

Corollary 5.6. *The triple $(F_C, 0, \nabla^i)$ is an infinitesimal ideal system in the vector bundle $R \rightarrow C$ considered as a trivial Lie algebroid.*

The quotient $R/\nabla^i \rightarrow C/F_C$ is constructed as follows. Two vectors (V, a) and $(V', a') \in R$ are equivalent if $c_m = p_C(V)$ and $c'_m = p_C(V')$ lie in the same leaf of F_C , i.e. they can be joined by an integral path of F_C and there exists a parallel section along this path taking values (V, a) at the point c_m and (V', a') at c'_m . Note that here $c_m = p_C(V)$ and $c'_m = p_C(V')$ lie in the same leaf of F_C if and only if $c_m - c'_m \in C^A$. Recall as well that (V, a) and $(V', a') \in R$ project to the same element in R/Π if

$$a = a', \quad p_C(V) - p_C(V') \in C^A \quad \text{and} \quad V -_{TM} V' = \widehat{\nabla}_a^A(p_C(V) - p_C(V')).$$

Proposition 5.7. *In the situation above, the two equivalence relations \sim and \sim_{∇^i} coincide.*

Proof. Assume first that (V, a) and (V', a') are equivalent via \sim_{∇^i} . Let $c_m = p_C(V)$ and $d_{m'} = p_C(V')$. Since c_m and $d_{m'}$ are in the same leaf of F_C , they must be in the same fiber of $q_C: C \rightarrow M$ and hence $m = m'$. Furthermore $c_m - d_m \in C^A(m)$ and there exists without loss of generality one ∇^i -parallel section χ of $R \rightarrow C$ such that $\chi(c_m) = (V, a)$ and $\chi(d_m) = (V', a')$. Write

$$\chi = \sum_{i=1}^k F_i \widehat{a}_i + \sum_{j=1}^l G_j c_j^\times$$

with $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \Gamma(A)$, $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \Gamma(C)$ and $F_1, \dots, F_k, G_1, \dots, G_l \in C^\infty(C)^{F_C}$. Since the functions F_i, G_j are all F_C -invariant, $F_i(c_m) = F_i(d_m) =: \alpha_i$ and $G_j(c_m) = G_j(d_m) = \beta_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$.

Thus

$$(V, a) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{a}_i(c_m) + \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_j c_j^\times(c_m) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{\nabla}_{a_i}(c_m) + \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_j c_j^\uparrow(c_m), \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i a_i(m) \right)$$

and

$$(V', a') = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{a}_i(d_m) + \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_j c_j^\times(d_m) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{\nabla}_{a_i}(d_m) + \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_j c_j^\uparrow(d_m), \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i a_i(m) \right)$$

This implies $a = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i a_i(m) = a'$ and the difference $V -_{TM} V'$ in the fiber of $TC \rightarrow TM$ over $\rho_A(a)$ equals by the interchange law

$$\begin{aligned} V -_{TM} V' &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{\nabla}_{a_i}(c_m) -_{TM} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{\nabla}_{a_i}(d_m) \right) +_C \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \beta_j c_j^\uparrow(c_m) -_{TM} \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_j c_j^\uparrow(d_m) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{\nabla}_{a_i}(c_m - d_m) \right) +_C 0_{c_m - d_m}^{TC} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \widehat{\nabla}_{a_i}^A(c_m - d_m) \right) = \widehat{\nabla}_a^A(c_m - d_m). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (V, a) and (V', a') are equivalent in R/Π .

Conversely, consider (V, a_m) and $(V', a_m) \in R$, set $c_m = p_C(V)$ and $d_m = p_C(V')$ and choose a section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ with $a(m) = a_m$. If (V, a_m) and (V', a_m) are equivalent in R/Π , i.e. if $c_m - d_m \in C^A(m)$ and $V - V' = \widehat{\nabla}_a^A(c_m - d_m)$, then, since $Tq_C V = \rho_A(a_m) = Tq_C \widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m)$, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $V = \widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m) + \gamma^\uparrow(c_m)$. In the same manner, there is a section $\gamma' \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $V' = \widehat{\nabla}_a(d_m) + \gamma'^\uparrow(d_m)$. Furthermore, since $V - V' = \widehat{\nabla}_a^A(c_m - d_m) = \widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m - d_m)$ by the choice of ∇ , $V - V' = \widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m) - \widehat{\nabla}_a(d_m)$ and hence $\gamma(m) = \gamma'(m)$. Set $\chi = \widehat{a} + \gamma^\times$. Then χ is a ∇^i -parallel section of $R \rightarrow C$ and

$$(V, a_m) = \chi(c_m) \quad \text{and} \quad (V', a_m) = \chi(d_m). \quad \square$$

The previous proposition shows that there is a well-defined projection

$$\pi_B: R/\Pi \rightarrow B, \quad (V, a) + \Pi \mapsto \partial_B(p_C(V))$$

and a well-defined addition

$$+_B: (R/\Pi) \times_B (R/\Pi) \rightarrow R/\Pi$$

in the fibers of π_B which is defined as follows. If (V, a_m) and $(V', a'_m) \in R$ are such that $\pi_B((V, a_m) + \Pi) = \partial_B(p_C(V)) = \partial_B(p_C(V')) = \pi_B((V', a'_m) + \Pi)$, then $p_C(V) - p_C(V') \in C_m^A$. Set $c_m = p_C(V)$ and $c'_m = p_C(V')$. Choose a section $a' \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $a'(m) = a'_m$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $(V', a'_m) = \hat{a}'(c'_m) +_C \gamma^\times(c'_m)$. Since \hat{a}' and γ^\times are ∇^i -flat and $c_m - c'_m \in C^A$, the vectors (V', a') and $(\hat{a}'(c_m) + \gamma^\times(c_m))$ are ∇^i -equivalent and so

$$(V', a') \sim (\hat{a}'(c_m) + \gamma^\times(c_m), a')$$

by Proposition 5.7. Then set

$$((V, a) +_A \Pi) +_B ((V', a') +_A \Pi) := \left((V, a) +_C \left(\hat{a}'(c_m) +_C \gamma^\times(c_m) \right) \right) +_A \Pi.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Choose as before a connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Consider the induced decomposition $I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow R$. Since $I_\nabla(a, c, 0) \in \Pi$ for $(a, c, 0) \in A \times_M C^A \times_M 0$, the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_M C \times_M C & \xrightarrow{I_\nabla} & R \\ \pi_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_A \\ A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \end{array}$$

of vector bundles over A factors to a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_M C/C^A \times_M C & \xrightarrow{\overline{I_\nabla}} & R/\Pi \\ \pi_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_A \\ A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \end{array}$$

which is given by

$$\overline{I_\nabla}: A \times_M (C/C^A) \times_M C \rightarrow R/\Pi, \quad \overline{I_\nabla}(a, \bar{c}, \gamma) := I_\nabla(a, c, \gamma) +_A \Pi.$$

Since $I_\nabla(A \times_M C^A \times_M 0) = \Pi$, the reduced morphism $\overline{I_\nabla}$ is again an isomorphism of vector bundles over A .

Consider $b_m = \partial_B c_m = \partial_B c'_m \in C/C^A$, $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a, a' \in \Gamma(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{I_\nabla}(a(m), \bar{c}_m, \gamma_m) +_B \overline{I_\nabla}(a'(m), \bar{c}'_m, \gamma'_m) \\ &= \left((\hat{a}(c_m) +_C \gamma^\times(c_m)) +_A \Pi \right) +_B \left((\hat{a}'(c'_m) +_C \gamma'^\times(c'_m)) +_A \Pi \right) \\ &= \left((\hat{a}(c_m) +_C \gamma^\times(c_m)) +_A \Pi \right) +_B \left((\hat{a}'(c_m) +_C \gamma'^\times(c_m)) +_A \Pi \right) \\ &= \left(\widehat{a + a'}(c_m) +_C (\gamma + \gamma')^\times(c_m) \right) +_A \Pi = \overline{I_\nabla}(a(m) + a'(m), \bar{c}_m, \gamma(m) + \gamma'(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\overline{I_\nabla}$ is compatible with the addition over $B \simeq C/C^A$ in $A \times_M C/C^A \times_M C$ and with the addition $+_B$ in R/Π . Since $\overline{I_\nabla}$ is bijective and linear over A as well, R/Π is a double vector bundle, of which $\overline{I_\nabla}$ is a decomposition. \square

From now on the quotient C/C^A is identified with B via the factorisation of the morphism $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$ of vector bundles. The linear splitting $\overline{I_\nabla}: A \times_M B \times_M C \rightarrow R/\Pi$ defines a linear horizontal lift $\overline{\sigma_B^\nabla}: \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma_A^l(R/\Pi)$: for all $b \in \Gamma(B)$ and $a_m \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}(a_m) &:= \overline{\sigma_B^\nabla}(b)(a_m) = \overline{I_\nabla}(a_m, b(m), 0_m^C) = I_\nabla(a_m, c(m), 0_m^C) +_A \Pi = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c(m)), a(m) \right) +_A \Pi \\ &= \check{c}(a_m) + \Pi \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $a(m) = a_m$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_B c = b$. The core sections of $R/\Pi \rightarrow A$ are $\gamma^\dagger \in \Gamma_A^c(R/\Pi)$ defined by sections $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$: for $a_m \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\dagger(a_m) &= \overline{I_\nabla}(a_m, 0_m^B, \gamma(m)) = I_\nabla(a_m, 0^C, \gamma(m)) +_A \Pi = (\gamma^\dagger(\rho_A(a_m)), a_m) +_A \Pi \\ &= \gamma^\dagger(a_m) +_A \Pi. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Note that the same notation is used for $\gamma^\dagger \in \Gamma_A^c(R/\Pi)$ and $\gamma^\dagger \in \Gamma_A^c(R)$. It is always clear from the context which section is meant by the notation.

The linear splitting $\overline{I_\nabla}: A \times_M B \times_M C \rightarrow R/\Pi$ defines as well a linear horizontal lift $\overline{\sigma_A^\nabla}: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_B^l(R/\Pi)$: for all $a \in \Gamma(B)$ and $b_m \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}(b_m) &:= \overline{\sigma_A^\nabla}(a)(b_m) = \overline{I_\nabla}(a(m), b_m, 0_m^C) = I_\nabla(a(m), c_m, 0_m^C) +_A \Pi = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m), a(m) \right) +_A \Pi \\ &= \hat{a}(c_m) +_A \Pi \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for any $c_m \in C$ such that $\partial_B c_m = b_m$. The core sections of $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$ are $\gamma^\times \in \Gamma_B^c(R/\Pi)$ defined by sections $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$: for $b_m \in B$ and any $c_m \in C$ such that $\partial_B c_m = b_m$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\times(b_m) &= \overline{I_\nabla}(0_m^A, b_m, \gamma(m)) = I_\nabla(0_m^A, c_m, \gamma(m)) +_A \Pi = (\gamma^\dagger(c_m), 0_m^A) +_A \Pi \\ &= \gamma^\times(c_m) +_A \Pi. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The following corollary of Theorem 5.4 is immediate.

Corollary 5.8. *In the situation of Theorem 5.4, the map $\pi: R \rightarrow R/\Pi$ is a double vector bundle morphism with sides id_A and ∂_B , and with core id_C .*

More precisely, choose a connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Then in the induced decompositions $I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow R$ of R and $\overline{I_\nabla}: A \times_M (C/C^A) \times_M C \rightarrow R/\Pi$ of R/Π , the map π reads

$$\overline{I_\nabla}^{-1} \circ \pi \circ I_\nabla: A \times_M C \times_M C \rightarrow A \times_M B \times_M C, \quad (a, c, \gamma) \mapsto (a, \partial_B c, \gamma). \quad (47)$$

Finally, consider a morphism of transitive core diagrams as in Figure 4 and consider the morphism $\Phi: TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM} A'$ as in Proposition 4.9. Then for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T\varphi_C \widehat{\nabla}_a^A(\gamma(m)) &= T\varphi_C T\gamma \rho_A(a_m) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_C(\gamma(m)) + t\varphi_C((\nabla_a^A \gamma)(m)) \\ &= T(\varphi_C(\gamma)) \rho_{A'}(\varphi_A(a_m)) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_C(\gamma)(m) + t\nabla_{\varphi_A(a)}^{A'}(\varphi_C \gamma)(m) \\ &= \widehat{\nabla}_{\varphi_A(a)}^{A'}(\varphi_C(\gamma(m))), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

since for a section $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_A c = a$, the equality $\partial_{A'}(\varphi_C c) = \varphi_A(\partial_A c) = \varphi_A(a)$ follows and so

$$\varphi_C(\nabla_a^A \gamma) = \varphi_C[c, \gamma] = [\varphi_C c, \varphi_C \gamma] = \nabla_{\varphi_A(a)}^{A'}(\varphi_C \gamma). \quad (49)$$

Hence, $\Phi(\Pi) \subseteq \Pi'$ and the vector bundle morphism $\Phi: TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM} A'$ over $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$ quotients to a vector bundle morphism

$$\overline{\Phi}: \frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi} \rightarrow \frac{TC' \oplus_{TM} A'}{\Pi'}$$

over $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A'$; such that $\pi \circ \Phi = \overline{\Phi} \circ \pi'$ where $\pi: TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow \frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi}$ and $\pi': TC' \oplus_{TM} A' \rightarrow \frac{TC' \oplus_{TM} A'}{\Pi'}$ are the projections.

As before, the most effective way to prove that $\overline{\Phi}$ is a double vector bundle morphism from $R := \frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi}$ to $R' := \frac{TC' \oplus_{TM} A'}{\Pi'}$ with side morphisms φ_A and φ_B and with core morphism φ_C is to show that the induced map in decompositions is a double vector bundle morphism. Choose a linear A -connection ∇ on C extending $\nabla^A: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C^A) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^A)$ and a linear A' -connection ∇' on C' extending $\nabla^{A'}: \Gamma(A') \times \Gamma(C^{A'}) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^{A'})$. Then (49) shows that the induced form $\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'} \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes C^* \otimes C')$ as in (40) vanishes on C^A . Hence it induces $\overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}} \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C')$:

$$\overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}(a, \partial_B c) = \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)$$

for all $(a, c) \in A \times_M C$. A computation yields then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi}(\overline{I_{\nabla}}(a, \partial_B c, \gamma)) &= \overline{\Phi}(I_{\nabla}(a, c, \gamma) +_A \Pi) = \Phi(I_{\nabla}(a, c, \gamma)) +_{A'} \Pi' \\ &\stackrel{(41)}{=} I_{\nabla'}(\varphi_A(a), \varphi_C(c), \varphi_C(\gamma) + \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)) +_{A'} \Pi' \\ &= \overline{I_{\nabla'}}(\varphi_A(a), \partial_{B'} \varphi_C(c), \varphi_C(\gamma) + \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)) \\ &= \overline{I_{\nabla'}}(\varphi_A(a), \varphi_B(\partial_B c), \varphi_C(\gamma) + \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}(a, \partial_B c)) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

for $(a, c, \gamma) \in A \times_M C \times_M C$. Hence

$$\overline{I_{\nabla'}}^{-1} \circ \overline{\Phi} \circ \overline{I_{\nabla}}: A \times_M B \times_M C \rightarrow A' \times_M B' \times_M C', \quad (a, b, c) \mapsto (\varphi_A(a), \varphi_B(b), \varphi_C(\gamma) + \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}(a, b)),$$

which shows the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9. *Given a morphism of transitive core diagrams as in Figure 4, the induced morphism $\Phi: TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM} A'$ of double vector bundles as in Proposition 4.9 quotients to a double vector bundle morphism*

$$\overline{\Phi}: \frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi} \rightarrow \frac{TC' \oplus_{TM} A'}{\Pi'},$$

i.e. with $\overline{\Phi} \circ \pi = \pi' \circ \Phi$. The morphism $\overline{\Phi}$ has side morphisms φ_A and φ_B and core morphism φ_C .

5.2 Π as an ideal of $R \rightarrow A$

This section shows that Π defined in (42) is an ideal in $R \rightarrow A$: i.e. it is totally intransitive and

$$[\Gamma_A(\Pi), \Gamma_A(R)] \subseteq \Gamma_A(\Pi).$$

Proposition 5.10. *Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Then*

$$\nabla_{\gamma}^{\partial} a = 0, \quad \nabla_{\gamma}^{\partial} c = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\nabla}^{\partial}(\gamma, c) = 0$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$.

Proof. Choose any section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and any section $c \in \Gamma(C)$ with $\partial c = a$. Then $\nabla_{\gamma}^{\partial} a = [\partial \gamma, \partial c] + \partial(\nabla_a \gamma) = -\partial[c, \gamma] + \partial(\nabla_a^A \gamma) = \partial(\nabla_a^A \gamma) + \partial(\nabla_a \gamma) = 0$. The second identity is immediate and the last one is easy and left to the reader. \square

Proposition 5.11. *The anchor $\Theta_A: R \rightarrow TA$ sends Π to the zero section of $TA \rightarrow A$.*

Proof. Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. By Propositions 4.6 and 5.10 $\Theta_A(\check{\gamma}) = \widehat{\nabla_a^\partial \gamma} = 0 \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. This completes the proof with Corollary 5.3. \square

Proposition 5.12. *The subbundle Π of R over A is an ideal in $R \rightarrow A$.*

Proof. Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. By the Leibnitz identity and since $\Pi \rightarrow A$ is totally intransitive by Proposition 5.11, it is sufficient to check that

$$[\check{\gamma}, \check{c}] \in \Gamma_A(\Pi) \quad \text{and} \quad [\check{\gamma}, c^\ddagger] \in \Gamma_A(\Pi)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. But this follows immediately from Proposition 5.10, Proposition 4.6 and $[\gamma, c] \in \Gamma(C^A)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. \square

By Proposition 5.10, the connections $\nabla^\partial: \Gamma(C) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ and $\nabla^\partial: \Gamma(C) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ define connections $\nabla^{\text{red}}: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ and $\nabla^{\text{red}}: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$:

$$\nabla_{\partial_B c_1}^{\text{red}} c_2 = \nabla_{c_1}^\partial c_2 \quad \nabla_{\partial_B c}^{\text{red}} a = \nabla_c^\partial a$$

for $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$. By the same proposition, R_{∇}^∂ defines a new tensor

$$R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}} \in \Omega^2(B, \text{Hom}(A, C)), \quad R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(\partial_B c, \partial_B c') = R_{\nabla}^\partial(c, c'),$$

for $c, c' \in \Gamma(C)$.

Corollary 5.13. *The vector bundle $R/\Pi \rightarrow A$ has a linear Lie algebroid structure over the Lie algebroid $B \rightarrow M$. Choose any connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ such that $\nabla_a \gamma = \nabla_a^A \gamma$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A)$. Then the Lie algebroid structure on $R/\Pi \rightarrow A$ can be described as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_A(\check{b}) &= \widehat{\nabla_b^{\text{red}}} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_A(\gamma^\ddagger) = (\partial \gamma)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}(A), \\ [\check{b}_1, \check{b}_2] &= [\widetilde{b_1, b_2}]_B - R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(\widetilde{b_1, b_2}), \quad [\check{b}, \gamma^\ddagger] = (\nabla_b^{\text{red}} \gamma)^\ddagger, \quad [\gamma_1^\ddagger, \gamma_2^\ddagger] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

for $b, b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$ and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$.

In other words, via the splitting $\overline{\Gamma_\nabla}$ of R/Π given by ∇ , the Lie algebroid $R/\Pi \rightarrow A$ is described by the following representation up to homotopy of B :

$$\partial: C \rightarrow A, \quad \nabla^{\text{red}}: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C), \quad \nabla^{\text{red}}: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A), \quad (52)$$

and

$$R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}} \in \Omega^2(B, \text{Hom}(A, C)). \quad (53)$$

Proof. Since $\Pi \rightarrow A$ is an ideal in $R \rightarrow A$, the anchor Θ_A of $R/\Pi \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$\Theta_A(\xi + \Pi) = \Theta_A(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \Gamma_A(R)$, and the bracket is given by

$$[\xi_1 +_A \Pi, \xi_2 +_A \Pi] = [\xi_1, \xi_2] +_A \Pi$$

for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma_A(R)$. This yields

$$\Theta_A(\check{b}) = \Theta_A(\check{c} +_A \Pi) = \Theta_A(\check{c}) = \widehat{\nabla_c^\partial} = \widehat{\nabla_b^{\text{red}}} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A)$$

for $b \in \Gamma(B)$ and a section $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_B c = b$, and

$$\Theta_A(\gamma^\ddagger) = \Theta_A(\gamma^\ddagger +_A \Pi) = (\partial\gamma)^\dagger$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. Further computations show for $b, b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$ and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\left[\widetilde{b}_1, \widetilde{b}_2 \right] = [\widetilde{c}_1 +_A \Pi, \widetilde{c}_2 +_A \Pi] = \left(\widetilde{[c_1, c_2]} - R_{\nabla}^{\partial}(\widetilde{c_1, c_2}) \right) +_A \Pi = \widetilde{[b_1, b_2]} - R_{\nabla}^{\partial}(\widetilde{b_1, b_2}),$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ are such that $\partial_B c_1 = b_1$ und $\partial_B c_2 = b_2$,

$$\left[\widetilde{b}, \gamma^\ddagger \right] = (\nabla_c^\partial \gamma)^\dagger$$

where $c \in \Gamma(C)$ is such that $\partial_B c = b$, and $\left[\gamma_1^\ddagger, \gamma_2^\ddagger \right] = 0$ is immediate. \square

5.3 The VB-algebroid $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$

Recall that the setting of this section is the transitive core diagram \mathcal{C} in Figure 8: A and B are Lie algebroids over a common base M and C is a Lie algebroid on M together with morphisms $\partial := \partial_A: C \rightarrow A$ and $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$, both of which are surjective and such that the kernels $C^A := \ker(\partial_B)$ and $C^B := \ker(\partial_A)$ commute in C . Recall as well the representation $\nabla^A: A \rightarrow \text{Der}(C^A)$ defined in (19): $\nabla_a^A \gamma = [c, \gamma]$ for any $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial c = a$.

By its choice, the connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ restricts as before to $\nabla^A: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C^A) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^A)$. That is, it induces a connection

$$\nabla^B: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B), \quad \nabla_a^B(\partial_B c) = \partial_B(\nabla_a c)$$

for $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Since ∇^A is flat, the curvature R_{∇} of ∇ vanishes on sections of C^A and induces similarly a tensor $\overline{R}_{\nabla} \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(B, C))$,

$$\overline{R}_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)(\partial_B c) = R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. The following lemma is easy to prove using (7).

Lemma 5.14. *In the situation above, $\mathfrak{X}^l(C) \ni \widehat{\nabla}_a \sim_{\partial_B} \widehat{\nabla}_a^B \in \mathfrak{X}^l(B)$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^c(C) \ni \gamma^\dagger \sim_{\partial_B} (\partial_B \gamma)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}^c(B)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$.*

Recall that the flat connection

$$\nabla^i: \Gamma_C(F_C) \times \Gamma_C(R) \rightarrow \Gamma_C(R),$$

is defined by $\nabla^i \widehat{a} = 0 = \nabla^i c^\times$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and all $c \in \Gamma(C)$.

Proposition 5.15. *The triple $(F_C, 0, \nabla^i)$ is an infinitesimal ideal system in $R \rightarrow C$. That is, $F_C \subseteq TC$ is an involutive subbundle, and ∇^i a flat F_C -connection on $R \rightarrow C$ with the following properties:*

1. *If $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \Gamma_C(R)$ are ∇^i -parallel, then $[\chi_1, \chi_2]_C$ is also parallel.*
2. *If $\chi \in \Gamma_C(R)$ is ∇^i -parallel, then $[\Theta_C(\chi), X] \in \Gamma(F_C)$ for all $X \in \Gamma(F_C)$. That is, $\Theta_C(\chi)$ is ∇^{F_C} -parallel, where ∇^{F_C} is the Bott connection associated to $F_C \subseteq TC$.*

Proof. By the properties of an infinitesimal ideal system, (2) follows from (1). Since sections $\widehat{a} \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$ and γ^\times for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$ are ∇^i -parallel and span $\Gamma_C(R)$ as a $C^\infty(C)$ -module, it suffices to show (1) for χ_1 and χ_2 of the type $F \cdot \widehat{a}$ and $F \cdot \gamma^\times$ with $F \in C^\infty(C)^{F_C}$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. Since $C/F_C = C/C^A = B$, the space $C^\infty(C)^{F_C}$ equals $\partial_B^* C^\infty(B)$.

Choose $F_1, F_2 \in C^\infty(B)$, $a, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$. Then using Lemma 5.14,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \widehat{a}_1, \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \widehat{a}_2] &= \partial_B^*(F_1 F_2) \cdot [\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2] + \partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}}(\partial_B^* F_2) \cdot \widehat{a}_2 - \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_{a_2}}(\partial_B^* F_1) \cdot \widehat{a}_1 \\ &= \partial_B^*(F_1 F_2) \cdot \left([\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2] - R_{\widehat{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} \right) \\ &\quad + \partial_B^* \left(F_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}} F_2 \right) \cdot \widehat{a}_2 - \partial_B^* \left(F_2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_{a_2}} F_1 \right) \cdot \widehat{a}_1 \end{aligned}$$

shows that $[\partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \widehat{a}_1, \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \widehat{a}_2]$ is a ∇^i -flat section of $R \rightarrow C$ if $R_{\widehat{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)}$ is ∇^i -flat. Since ∇^A is flat, $R_{\widehat{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} \in \Gamma((C^A)^\circ \otimes C) = \Gamma(B^* \otimes C)$. Hence it equals without loss of generality $(\partial_B^t \nu) \otimes c$ with $\nu \in \Gamma(B)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$, and consequently $R_{\widehat{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} = \ell_{\partial_B^t \nu} \cdot \gamma^\times = \partial_B^* \ell_\nu \cdot \gamma^\times$ is a ∇^i -flat section of $R \rightarrow C$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \widehat{a}, \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \gamma^\times] &= \partial_B^*(F_1 F_2) \cdot [\widehat{a}, \gamma^\times] + \partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_a}(\partial_B^* F_2) \cdot \gamma^\times - \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\gamma^\dagger}(\partial_B^* F_1) \cdot \widehat{a} \\ &= \partial_B^*(F_1 F_2) \cdot (\nabla_a \gamma)^\times + \partial_B^* \left(F_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\nabla}_{a_1}} F_2 \right) \cdot \gamma^\times - \partial_B^* \left(F_2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{(\partial_B \gamma)^\dagger} F_1 \right) \cdot \widehat{a}_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \gamma_1^\times, \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \gamma_2^\times] &= \partial_B^*(F_1 F_2) \cdot [\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times] + \partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_1^\dagger}(\partial_B^* F_2) \cdot \gamma_2^\times - \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_2^\dagger}(\partial_B^* F_1) \cdot \gamma_1^\times \\ &= \partial_B^* \left(F_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{(\partial_B \gamma_1)^\dagger} F_2 \right) \cdot \gamma_2^\times - \partial_B^* \left(F_2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{(\partial_B \gamma_2)^\dagger} F_1 \right) \cdot \gamma_1^\times \end{aligned}$$

show that $[\partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \widehat{a}, \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \gamma^\times]$ and $[\partial_B^* F_1 \cdot \gamma_1^\times, \partial_B^* F_2 \cdot \gamma_2^\times]$ are ∇^i -flat sections of $R \rightarrow C$. \square

The proof above shows as well the following lemma.

Lemma 5.16. *For $\varphi \in \Gamma((C^A)^\circ \otimes C)$, the corresponding core-linear section $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$ is ∇^i -flat. It projects to $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Gamma_B^l(R/\Pi)$, where $\bar{\varphi} \in \Gamma(B^* \otimes C)$ is defined by $\bar{\varphi}(\partial_B c) = \varphi(c)$ for all $c \in C$.*

Corollary 5.17. *There is a linear Lie algebroid structure on $R/\Pi \simeq R/\nabla^i \rightarrow C/F_C \simeq B$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\nabla^i}} & R/\nabla^i \\ \pi_C \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ C & \xrightarrow{\partial_B} & B \end{array} \quad (54)$$

is a fibration of VB-Lie algebroids.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4, R/Π has a double vector bundle structure with sides A and B and with core C . Since $R/\Pi \simeq R/\nabla^i \rightarrow C/F_C \simeq B$, and $(F_C, 0, \nabla^i)$ is an infinitesimal ideal system in $R \rightarrow C$, the quotient R/Π has a Lie algebroid structure such that (54) is a fibration of Lie algebroids, see Theorem 2.5,(2). It remains to show that the Lie algebroid structure on $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$ is linear.

Consider a linear A -connection ∇ on C that preserves C^A . Then the sections of the type \widehat{a} and γ^\times for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$ are ∇^i invariant and project to the sections \widehat{a} and γ^\times of $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$ as in (45) and (46):

$$\pi_{\nabla^i} \circ \widehat{a} = \widehat{a} \circ \partial_B \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\nabla^i} \circ \gamma^\times = \gamma^\times \circ \partial_B$$

The anchor $\Theta_B: R/\Pi \rightarrow TB$ is defined by

$$\Theta_B(\widehat{a}) = \widehat{\nabla}_a^B \in \mathfrak{X}^l(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_B(\gamma^\times) = (\partial_B \gamma)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}^c(B),$$

since by Lemma 5.14, $\Theta_C(\widehat{a}) = \widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}(C)$ is ∂_B related to $\widehat{\nabla}_a^B \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$ and $\Theta_C(\gamma^\times) = \gamma^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}(C)$ is ∂_B -related to $(\partial_B \gamma)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$.

The Lie algebroid bracket of core sections of $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$ is defined by

$$[\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times]_{R/\Pi} = 0$$

for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$, since the bracket $[\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times]$ of $\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times \in \Gamma_C(R)$ vanishes and projects hence to the zero section of $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$. Similarly,

$$[\widehat{a}, \gamma^\times]_{R/\Pi} = (\nabla_a \gamma)^\times$$

for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$, since the bracket $[\widehat{a}, \gamma^\times]$ of \widehat{a} and $\gamma^\times \in \Gamma_C(R)$ equals $(\nabla_a \gamma)^\times$ and projects hence to $(\nabla_a \gamma)^\times \in \Gamma_B^c(R/\Pi)$. Finally, as in Lemma 5.16, for $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ the curvature $R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)$ gives a ∇^i -flat core-linear section $\widetilde{R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$, which projects under π_{∇^i} and ∂_B to $\widetilde{R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} \in \Gamma_B^l(R/\Pi)$. As a consequence, the Lie bracket $[\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2] = [\widehat{a_1, a_2}] - \widetilde{R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$ of \widehat{a}_1 and $\widehat{a}_2 \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$ projects to

$$[\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2]_{R/\Pi} := [\widehat{a_1, a_2}] - \widetilde{R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)} \in \Gamma_B^l(R/\Pi). \quad \square$$

The proof of Corollary 5.17 shows as well the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.18. *Let R/Π be constructed as above and consider as before an A -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ on C that extends ∇^A . Then the anchor $R \rightarrow TB$ is defined by $\Theta_B \circ \widehat{a} = \widehat{\nabla}_a^B \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$ and $\Theta_B \circ \gamma^\times = (\partial_B \gamma)^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$ for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. Furthermore, the Lie algebroid bracket on $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$ is given by:*

$$[\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2] = [\widehat{a_1, a_2}] - \widetilde{R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)}, \quad [\widehat{a}, \gamma^\times] = (\nabla_a \gamma)^\times, \quad [\gamma_1^\times, \gamma_2^\times] = 0 \quad (55)$$

for $a, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(C)$.

In other words, via the splitting $\overline{I_{\nabla}}$ of R/Π given by ∇ , the Lie algebroid $R/\Pi \rightarrow B$ is described by the following representation up to homotopy of A :

$$\partial_B: C \rightarrow B, \quad \nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C), \quad \nabla^B: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B), \quad (56)$$

and

$$\overline{R_{\nabla}} \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(B, C)). \quad (57)$$

Remark 5.19. The sections above show that the quotient R/Π can be defined as the ‘‘double quotient’’ of the double Lie algebroid R by the two IM-foliations

$$(0, \Pi, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (F_C, 0, \nabla^i)$$

in $R \rightarrow A$ and $R \rightarrow C$, respectively.

5.4 The quotient R/Π as a double Lie algebroid.

In this section, the quotient double vector bundle is written $R/\Pi = R/\nabla^i =: \widetilde{D}$ for simplicity. The next theorem shows that the two ‘reduced’ VB-algebroid structures found in Corollary 5.13 and Corollary 5.17 on the sides of \widetilde{D} are still compatible, so that $\widetilde{D} = R/\Pi$ is again a double Lie algebroid.

The setting of this section is the same as in the rest of Section 5. Recall from Corollary 5.13 that $\widetilde{D} \rightarrow A$ inherits a reduced Lie algebroid as the quotient of $R \rightarrow A$ by the ideal $\Pi \rightarrow A$. By Corollary 5.17, $\widetilde{D} \rightarrow B$ inherits a Lie algebroid structure, as the quotient of $R \rightarrow C$ by the infinitesimal ideal system $(F_C, 0, \nabla^i)$.

Theorem 5.20. *With these two VB-Lie algebroid structures, the quotient $\tilde{D} = R/\Pi$ has the structure of a transitive double Lie algebroid over the sides A and B , with core C and (transitive) core diagram \mathcal{C} in Figure 8.*

Proof. Let as before $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ be a linear connection extending the representation ∇^A of A on C^A . In the induced linear splitting $\overline{\nabla}: A \times_M B \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ of \tilde{D} , the two VB-algebroids $\tilde{D} \rightarrow A$ and $\tilde{D} \rightarrow B$ are represented by the two representations up to homotopy in (52), (53), and in (56), (57), respectively. It is not difficult to check that these two representations up to homotopy are matched (see Appendix A). Hence, \tilde{D} is a double Lie algebroid with sides A and B and with core C .

By (9), the Lie algebroid structure induced on the core C by the double Lie algebroid \tilde{D} is given by the anchor $\rho_A \circ \partial = \rho_B \circ \partial_B$, which is ρ_C , and by the bracket

$$\nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_2}^{\text{red}} c_1 = \nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{c_2}^{\partial} c_1 = -[c_2, c_1] = [c_1, c_2]$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$. Hence, the Lie algebroid C in the core diagram \mathcal{C} is the Lie algebroid C as the core of the double Lie algebroid \tilde{D} . By (52), the core-anchor of $\tilde{D} \rightarrow A$ is $\partial = \partial_A: C \rightarrow A$, and by (56), the core-anchor of $\tilde{D} \rightarrow B$ is $\partial_B: C \rightarrow B$. Therefore, the core diagram \mathcal{C} is the core-diagram of \tilde{D} . \square

Proposition 5.21. *In the situation of Theorem 5.20, the morphism $\pi: R \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ of double vector bundles is a morphism of double Lie algebroids.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.8, a choice of linear connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ that extends $\nabla^A: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C^A) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^A)$ yields linear splittings $\Sigma_{\nabla}: A \times_M C \rightarrow R$ and $\overline{\Sigma}_{\nabla}: A \times_M B \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ such that $\pi(\Sigma_{\nabla}(a, c)) = \overline{\Sigma}_{\nabla}(a, \partial_B c)$ for all $(a, b) \in A \times_M B$.

Therefore (10), (11) and (12) need to be checked for

1. the triple $(\text{id}_C, \partial_B, 0)$ from the 2-representation $(\text{id}_C: C[0] \rightarrow C[1], \nabla, \nabla, R_{\nabla})$ of A as in (37) to the 2-representation $(\partial_B: C[0] \rightarrow B[1], \nabla, \nabla^B, \overline{R}_{\nabla})$ of A as in (56) and (57).
2. the triple $(\text{id}_C, \text{id}_A, 0)$ from the 2-representation $(\partial_A: C[0] \rightarrow A[1], \nabla^{\partial}, \nabla^{\partial}, R_{\nabla}^{\partial})$ of C as in (38) and (39) to the 2-representation $\partial_B^{\dagger}(\partial_A: C[0] \rightarrow A[1], \nabla^{\text{red}}, \nabla^{\text{red}}, R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}})$ of C as in (52) and (53).

In the first case, (10) is trivial and (11) and (12) follow immediately from the definitions of ∇^B and \overline{R}_{∇} . In the second case, $\partial_B^{\dagger}(\partial_A: C[0] \rightarrow A[1], \nabla^{\text{red}}, \nabla^{\text{red}}, R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}) = (\partial_A: C[0] \rightarrow A[1], \nabla^{\partial}, \nabla^{\partial}, R_{\nabla}^{\partial})$ by the definitions of ∇^{red} and R_{∇}^{red} , so the three conditions are immediate. \square

5.5 Equivalence of transitive double Lie algebroids with transitive core diagrams

Let $\text{trCD}(M)$ be the category of transitive core diagrams over M , and let $\text{trDLA}(M)$ be the category of transitive double Lie algebroids over M . As discussed in Section 3, considering the core diagram of a transitive double Lie algebroid with double base M defines a functor

$$\mathcal{C}: \text{trDLA}(M) \rightarrow \text{trCD}(M).$$

This section shows that the construction of a transitive double Lie algebroid $\tilde{D} = R/\Pi$ from a given transitive core diagram defines a functor

$$\mathcal{D}: \text{trCD}(M) \rightarrow \text{trDLA}(M),$$

and proves the following theorem.

Theorem 5.22. *Let M be a smooth manifold. The two functors $\mathcal{C}: \text{trDLA}(M) \rightarrow \text{trCD}(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}: \text{trCD}(M) \rightarrow \text{trDLA}(M)$ establish an equivalence between the category of transitive core diagrams over M and the one of transitive double Lie algebroids with double base M .*

First consider a morphism of transitive core diagrams as in Figure 4 and the induced vector bundle morphism

$$\overline{\Phi}: \frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi} \rightarrow \frac{TC' \oplus_{TM} A'}{\Pi'},$$

as in Theorem 5.9, with side morphisms φ_A and φ_B and core morphism φ_C . Choose a linear A -connection ∇ on C extending ∇^A , and a linear A' -connection on C' extending $\nabla^{A'}$. Then by (50),

$$\overline{\Phi}(\overline{I_{\nabla}}(a, b, \gamma)) = \overline{I_{\nabla'}}(\varphi_A(a), \varphi_B(b), \varphi_C(\gamma) + \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}(a, b))$$

for $(a, b, c) \in A \times_M B \times_M C$. In order to check that $\overline{\Phi}$ is a double Lie algebroid morphism, (10), (11) and (12) need to be checked for

1. the triple $(\varphi_C, \varphi_B, \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}})$ from the 2-representation $(\partial_B: C[0] \rightarrow B[1], \nabla, \nabla^B, \overline{R_{\nabla}})$ of A as in (56) and (57) to the 2-representation $\varphi_A^*(\partial_{B'}: C'[0] \rightarrow B'[1], \nabla', \nabla'^{B'}, \overline{R_{\nabla'}})$ of A .
2. the triple $(\varphi_C, \varphi_A, \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}})$ from the 2-representation $(\partial_A: C[0] \rightarrow A[1], \nabla^{\text{red}}, \nabla^{\text{red}}, R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}})$ of B as in (52) and (53) to the 2-representation $\varphi_B^*(\partial_{A'}: C'[0] \rightarrow A'[1], \nabla'^{\text{red}}, \nabla'^{\text{red}}, R_{\nabla'}^{\text{red}})$ of B .

This is done in Section B.2, yielding the following theorem.

Theorem 5.23. *Given a morphism of transitive core diagrams as in Figure 4, then the induced double vector bundle morphism*

$$\overline{\Phi}: \frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi} \rightarrow \frac{TC' \oplus_{TM} A'}{\Pi'},$$

as in Theorem 5.9 is a morphism of double Lie algebroids with side morphisms φ_A and φ_B and core morphism φ_C . That is, the induced morphism of core diagrams is again $(\varphi_A, \varphi_B, \varphi_C)$.

Hence this paper has constructed the functor $\mathcal{D}: \text{trCD}(M) \rightarrow \text{trDLA}(M)$, sending a transitive core diagram \mathcal{C} over M as in Figure 3 to the transitive double Lie algebroid $\frac{TC \oplus_{TM} A}{\Pi}$, and a morphism of transitive core diagrams as in Figure 4 to the corresponding double Lie algebroid morphism $\overline{\Phi}$ as in the last theorem. By Theorem 5.20, the composition $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D}: \text{trCD}(M) \rightarrow \text{trCD}(M)$ is the identity functor. The remainder of this section proves that the composition $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C}: \text{trDLA}(M) \rightarrow \text{trDLA}(M)$ is a natural isomorphism, hence completing the proof of Theorem 5.22. Note that by construction, $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C}$ sends a transitive double Lie algebroid to a transitive double Lie algebroid with the same core, see Theorem 5.20.

The needed natural isomorphism follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 5.24. *1. Let $(D_1; A, B, M)$ and $(D_2; A, B; M)$ be two transitive double Lie algebroids with the same core diagram \mathcal{C} , as in Figure 8. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\Phi_{D_1, D_2}: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ of double Lie algebroids, which is the identity on the sides and on the core.*

2. *Let $(D_1; A, B, M)$ and $(D_2; A, B; M)$ be two transitive double Lie algebroids with the same core diagram \mathcal{C} , and let $(D'_1; A', B', M)$ and $(D'_2; A', B'; M)$ be two transitive double Lie algebroids with the same core diagram \mathcal{C}' . Consider two double Lie algebroid morphisms $\Phi_1: D_1 \rightarrow D'_1$ and $\Phi_2: D_2 \rightarrow D'_2$ that both induce the same morphism of core diagrams $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$. Then*

$$\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_{D_1, D_2} = \Phi_{D'_1, D'_2} \circ \Phi_1.$$

Proof. 1. Choose a linear splitting $\Sigma^1: A \times_M B \rightarrow D_1$ of D_1 and $\Sigma^2: A \times_M B \rightarrow D_2$ of D_2 . These two linear splittings induce four representations up to homotopy:

(a) For $i = 1, 2$, the Lie algebroid $D_i \rightarrow A$ is described by the representation up to homotopy

$$\partial_A: C \rightarrow A, \quad \nabla^{Bi}: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C), \quad \nabla^{Bi}: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A),$$

and

$$R_{\nabla}^{Bi} \in \Omega^2(B, \text{Hom}(A, C))$$

of $B \rightarrow M$ on $A[0] \oplus C[1]$.

(b) For $i = 1, 2$, the Lie algebroid $D_i \rightarrow B$ is described by the representation up to homotopy

$$\partial_B: C \rightarrow B, \quad \nabla^i: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C), \quad \nabla^{Ai}: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B),$$

and

$$R_{\nabla}^{Ai} \in \Omega^2(A, \text{Hom}(B, C))$$

of $A \rightarrow M$ on $B[0] \oplus C[1]$.

Choose $\gamma \in \Gamma(C^A) = \Gamma(\ker \partial_B)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Choose further a section $c \in \Gamma(C)$ with $\partial c = a$. By (9),

$$\nabla_a^i \gamma = \nabla_{\partial c}^i \gamma = [c, \gamma] + \nabla_{\partial_B}^{Bi} c = [c, \gamma]$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, $\nabla^1 - \nabla^2 \in \Omega^1(A, (C^A)^\circ \otimes C) = \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C)$; i.e. there exists a (unique) section $\varphi \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C)$ so dass $\nabla_a^1 c - \nabla_a^2 c = \varphi(a, \partial_B c)$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. Set $\tilde{\Sigma}^2: A \times_M B \rightarrow D_2$,

$$(a, b) \mapsto \Sigma^2(a, b) +_A (0_a^{D_2} +_B \overline{\varphi(a, b)}).$$

Then, by standard computations (see e.g. [7, Remark 2.12]), the connection $\tilde{\nabla}^2: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ induced by the VB-algebroid $D_2 \rightarrow B$ and the splitting $\tilde{\Sigma}^2$ is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_a^2 c = \nabla_a^2 c + \varphi(a, \partial_B c) = \nabla_a^2 c + \nabla_a^1 c - \nabla_a^2 c = \nabla_a^1 c.$$

This shows that Σ^1 and Σ^2 can be chosen such that $\nabla := \nabla^1 = \nabla^2: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$. Consider then the linear decompositions $I_1: A \times_M B \times_M C \rightarrow D_1$ and $I_2: A \times_M B \times_M C \rightarrow D_2$ that are equivalent to Σ^1 and Σ^2 , respectively, and the isomorphism $\Phi_{D_1, D_2} := I_2 \circ I_1^{-1}: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ of double vector bundles. Choose two different linear splittings $\tilde{\Sigma}^1: A \times_M B \rightarrow D_1$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}^2: A \times_M B \rightarrow D_2$ of D_1 and D_2 such that the induced A -connections $\tilde{\nabla}^1$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^2$ on C are equal: $\tilde{\nabla}^1 = \tilde{\nabla}^2 =: \tilde{\nabla}$. Then there exist forms $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C)$ such that $\tilde{\Sigma}^i(a, b) = \Sigma^i(a, b) +_B (0_b^{D_2} +_A \overline{\omega_i(a, b)})$ for $i = 1, 2$ and all $(a, b) \in A \times_M B$. By [7, Remark 2.12],

$$\nabla_a c + \omega_1(a, \partial_B c) = \tilde{\nabla}_a c = \nabla_a c + \omega_2(a, \partial_B c)$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. Since ∂_B is surjective, this shows $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. The equality $\tilde{I}_2 \circ \tilde{I}_1^{-1} = I_2 \circ I_1^{-1}$ follows easily for the decompositions \tilde{I}_1 and \tilde{I}_2 of D_1 and D_2 that are equivalent to $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}^2$. Hence Φ_{D_1, D_2} does not depend on the choice of the compatible linear splittings of D_1 and D_2 .

It remains to show that Φ_{D_1, D_2} is an isomorphism of double Lie algebroids, i.e. that the representations up to homotopy in (a) coincide and that the ones in (b) coincide, if $\nabla^1 = \nabla^2 =: \nabla$. Choose $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $b \in \Gamma(B)$ and a section $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_B c = b$. Then

$$\nabla_a^{A1} b = \nabla_a^{A1} \partial_B c = \partial_B \nabla_a c = \nabla_a^{A2} \partial_B c = \nabla_a^{A2} b$$

by (R2) in the definition of a 2-representation, and

$$\nabla_b^{B1}a = \nabla_{\partial_B c}^{B1}a = [\partial_{Ac}, a] + \partial_A(\nabla_a c) = \nabla_{\partial_B c}^{B2}a = \nabla_b^{B2}a$$

by (M2).

Similarly, for $b = \partial_B c \in \Gamma(B)$ as above and $c' \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\nabla_b^{B1}c' = \nabla_{\partial_B c}^{B1}c' = [c, c'] + \nabla_{\partial_{Ac'}}c = \nabla_{\partial_B c}^{B2}c' = \nabla_b^{B2}c'$$

by (9) and (M1). Furthermore, for $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{A1}(a_1, a_2)b &= R^{A1}(a_1, a_2)(\partial_B c) = R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c \\ &= R^{A2}(a_1, a_2)(\partial_B c) = R^{A2}(a_1, a_2)b \end{aligned}$$

by (R3). It remains to prove that $R^{B1} = R^{B2}$. This is done as follows using (M4). Choose $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$ and $c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_B c_2 = b_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R^{B1}(b_1, b_2)(a) &= R^{B1}(b_1, \partial_B c_2)a \\ &= R^{A1}(a, \partial_{Ac_2})b_1 + \nabla_{b_1}^B \nabla_a c_2 - \nabla_a \nabla_{b_1}^B c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{b_1}^B a} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_a b_1}^B c_2 \\ &= R^{A2}(a, \partial_{Ac_2})b_1 + \nabla_{b_1}^B \nabla_a c_2 - \nabla_a \nabla_{b_1}^B c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{b_1}^B a} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_a b_1}^B c_2 \\ &= R^{B2}(b_1, \partial_B c_2)a = R^{B2}(b_1, b_2)(a). \end{aligned}$$

- Choose compatible linear splittings Σ_1 and Σ_2 for D_1 and D_2 as in (1), and compatible linear splittings Σ'_1 and Σ'_2 for D'_1 and D'_2 . Then the A -connections on C induced as in (1) by Σ_1 and Σ_2 are equal, and the A' -connections on C' induced as in (1) by Σ'_1 and Σ'_2 are equal. Let $(\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A', \varphi_B: B \rightarrow B', \varphi_C: C \rightarrow C')$ be the morphism of core diagrams underlying both Φ_1 and Φ_2 . The linear splittings and Φ_1, Φ_2 define $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C')$ such that

$$\Phi_i(\Sigma_i(a, b)) = \Sigma'_i(\varphi_A(a), \varphi_B(b)) +_{B'} (0_{\varphi_B(b)}^{D'_i} +_{A'} \overline{\omega_i(a, b)}) \quad (58)$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and $(a, b) \in A \times_M B$. Since $\Phi_i: D_i \rightarrow D'_i$ is a VB-algebroid morphism over $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow B'$ for $i = 1, 2$, (11) yields

$$(\nabla_a^{\text{Hom}} \varphi_C)(c) = \omega_i(a, \partial_B c)$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and $(a, c) \in A \times_M C$. Since ∂_B is surjective, this shows $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. The equality $\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_{D_1, D_2} = \Phi_{D'_1, D'_2} \circ \Phi_1$ follows then immediately from (58) and the constructions of Φ_{D_1, D_2} and $\Phi_{D'_1, D'_2}$. \square

To conclude the proof of Theorem 5.22, consider for each transitive double Lie algebroid D the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{I}(D) := \Phi_{(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D), D}: (\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D) \rightarrow D.$$

By the last theorem it defines a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{trDLA}},$$

since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}(D_1)} & D_1 \\ (\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(\Phi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ (\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}(D_2)} & D_2 \end{array}$$

commutes for any morphism $\Phi: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ of transitive double Lie algebroids.

6 Integration of transitive double Lie algebroids

Let (Γ, G, H, M) be a double Lie groupoid with core K . Then taking the Lie algebroids $A_G(\Gamma) \rightarrow G$ and $A(H) \rightarrow M$ yields an *LA-groupoid*, see [16].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_G(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\ A(H) & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

That is, the horizontal arrows in the diagram above carry Lie algebroid structures, and the vertical structures are Lie groupoids, with a compatibility condition. Taking the Lie algebroids of $A_G(\Gamma) \rightrightarrows H$ and $G \rightrightarrows M$ yields a double Lie algebroid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{A(H)}(A_G(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & A(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A(H) & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

which is isomorphic to the double Lie algebroid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{A(G)}(A_H(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & A(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A(H) & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

see [18]. Any of these two double Lie algebroids is understood as the double Lie algebroid of the double Lie groupoid (Γ, G, H, M) [18]. LA-algebroids have been integrated by [4] to LA-groupoids, see also [5], and a certain class of LA-groupoids were integrated by [25] to double Lie groupoids, but as far as the authors know the “direct” integration of (integrable) double Lie algebroids to double Lie groupoids has not been discussed yet in the literature.

Consider here a transitive double Lie algebroid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & A \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow q_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{q_B} & M \end{array}$$

with transitive core diagram as in Figure 1. Assume that $K \rightrightarrows M$, $G \rightrightarrows M$ and $H \rightrightarrows M$ are source-simply connected Lie groupoids with $A(K) = C \rightarrow M$, $A(G) = A \rightarrow M$ and $A(H) = B \rightarrow M$ – that is, assume that the Lie algebroids A , B and C are all integrable, to G , H and K , respectively. Then according to Lie’s second theorem for Lie algebroid morphisms (see the appendix of [21]), the core diagram in Figure 1 integrates to a diagram of Lie groupoid morphisms as in Figure 2. A study of the proof in [21] reveals that the surjectivity of ∂_A and ∂_B imply that the corresponding Lie groupoid morphisms $\partial_G: K \rightarrow G$ and $\partial_H: K \rightarrow H$ are surjective submersions.

For each $m \in M$, let G_m be the source fiber $s^{-1}(m) \subseteq G$, let $K_m \subseteq K$ be similarly the source fiber of K through 1_m , and let $F_m := \ker(\partial_G)_m \subseteq K_m$ be space of elements $h \in K_m$ with $\partial_G(h) = 1_m \in G$. Then it is easy to see that F_m is a Lie group, that acts smoothly, freely and properly on the right on K_m via the multiplication of K . The orbit space of that action is G_m , and the quotient map the surjective submersion $\partial_G|_{K_m}: K_m \rightarrow G_m$. That is, $\partial_G|_{K_m}: K_m \rightarrow G_m$ is a principal F_m -bundle. It satisfies therefore the homotopy lifting property and the long exact

sequence in homotopy yields that F_m is connected⁴ since G_m and K_m are simply connected (see [9, Chapter 4]).

That is, the Lie subgroupoid $\ker(\partial_G) \subseteq K$ integrating $\ker(\partial_A)$ is source-connected, and similarly, the Lie subgroupoid $\ker(\partial_H) \subseteq K$ integrating $\ker(\partial_B)$ is source-connected. Hence the condition $[c_1, c_2] = 0$ for all $c_1 \in \Gamma(\ker \partial_A)$ and all $c_2 \in \Gamma(\ker \partial_B)$ integrates to the elements of $\ker \partial_G$ commuting with those of $\ker \partial_H$. In other words, the core diagram of the double Lie algebroid (D, A, B, M) integrates to a core diagram of Lie groupoids as in Figure 2.

According to the discussion in Section 1.2, there exists then a (up to isomorphism) unique transitive double Lie groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta & \rightrightarrows & G \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ H & \rightrightarrows & M \end{array}$$

with the core diagram in Figure 2. Following Propositions 2.6 and 2.9 in [18], and Definition 5.1 in [16], the transitive core diagram of the transitive double Lie algebroid

$$(A_{A(G)}(A_H(\Theta)), A(G), A(H), M) \simeq (A_{A(H)}(A_G(\Theta)), A(G), A(H), M)$$

of the double Lie groupoid (Θ, G, H, M) is the one in Figure 1. Hence by Theorem 5.24 the double Lie algebroid of (Θ, G, H, M) is isomorphic to (D, A, B, M) , and so (Θ, G, H, M) integrates (D, A, B, M) .

Since by Lie's second theorem morphisms of transitive core diagrams (of Lie algebroids) integrate to morphisms of transitive core diagrams (of Lie groupoids), it is easy to see in the same manner that a morphism of transitive double Lie algebroids over a fixed double base integrates to a morphism of transitive double Lie groupoids over the same double base. This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & A \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow q_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{q_B} & M \end{array}$$

be a transitive double Lie algebroid with core diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\partial_B} & B \\ \downarrow \partial_A & & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

Assume that A, B and C are integrable Lie algebroids, with $A \simeq A(G)$, $B \simeq A(H)$ and $C \simeq A(K)$ for source simply-connected Lie groupoids $G \rightrightarrows M$, $H \rightrightarrows M$ and $K \rightrightarrows M$. Then the double Lie algebroid (D, A, B, M) is integrable to a transitive double Lie groupoid (Θ, G, H, M) , which is uniquely defined by its core diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\partial_G} & G \\ \partial_H \downarrow & \searrow & \Downarrow \\ H & \rightrightarrows & M \end{array}$$

such that $A(\partial_G) = \partial_A$ and $A(\partial_H) = \partial_B$. A morphism of integrable transitive double Lie algebroids over a fixed base M integrates further to a morphism of transitive double Lie groupoids over the double base M .

⁴This can also be seen without using further general tools: take $k, k' \in F_m$ and a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow F_m$ joining k and k' . Then $\partial_G \circ \gamma$ is a loop based at 1_m in G_m . Since G_m is simply connected, this loop is homotopic (with fixed endpoints) to the constant loop at 1_m . Lifting the homotopy to K_m yields a homotopy between γ and a path in F_m , by paths all with endpoints in F_m . This shows that k and k' can be joined by a path in F_m .

A The Lie bialgebroid condition for R and \tilde{D}

This section checks in detail the seven conditions in Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 of [7] for the two VB-Lie algebroid structures on R , and verifies at the same time that the conditions still hold for the “quotient representations up to homotopy” describing \tilde{D} . For clarity, each verification is numbered as the equation is in [7].

Note that in the case where $A = TM$ and $\partial = \rho_C$, this is an alternative proof for $(TC; TM, C; M)$ satisfying the Lie bialgebroid condition [20], which is already given in [7]. Recall that, after a choice of linear A -connection ∇ on C extending ∇^A , the two representations up to homotopy encoding the sides of R are the one of A given by $(\text{id}_C: C \rightarrow C, \nabla, \nabla, R_\nabla)$ and the one of C given by $(\partial: C \rightarrow A, \nabla^\partial, \nabla^\partial, R_\nabla^\partial)$. The two representations up to homotopy encoding the sides of the quotient \tilde{D} are then $(\partial_B: C \rightarrow B, \nabla, \nabla^B, \bar{R}_\nabla)$ and $(\partial_A: C \rightarrow A, \nabla^{\text{red}}, \nabla^{\text{red}}, R_\nabla^{\text{red}})$ – now $\partial: C \rightarrow A$ is written ∂_A for clarity.

(M1) R : By definition of ∇^∂ ,

$$\nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{c_2}^\partial c_1 = [c_1, c_2] = -\nabla_{\partial_A c_2} c_1 + \nabla_{c_1}^\partial c_2$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$.

\tilde{D} : From this follows immediately

$$\nabla_{\partial_A c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\partial_B c_2}^{\text{red}} c_1 = [c_1, c_2] = -\nabla_{\partial_A c_2} c_1 + \nabla_{\partial_B c_1}^{\text{red}} c_2.$$

(M2) R : Choose $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$. The equation $[a, \partial_A c] = \partial_A(\nabla_a c) - \nabla_{\text{id}_C}^\partial a$ is the definition of ∇^∂ .

\tilde{D} : By definition of ∇^{red} , this yields: $[a, \partial_A c] = \partial_A(\nabla_a c) - \nabla_{\partial_B c}^{\text{red}} a$.

(M3) R : $[c_1, \text{id}_C c_2] = \text{id}_C(\nabla_{c_1}^{\text{bas}} c_2) - \nabla_{\partial_A c_2} c_1$ is again the definition of ∇^∂ .

\tilde{D} : Applying ∂_B to both sides of this equation yields $[b, \partial_B c] = \partial_B(\nabla_b^{\text{red}} c) - \nabla_{\partial_A c}^B b$ for all $b \in \Gamma(B)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$.

(M4) R : For $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{c_1}^\partial \nabla_a c_2 - \nabla_a \nabla_{c_1}^\partial c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_a c_1}^\partial c_2 &= [c_1, \nabla_a c_2] + \nabla_{\partial_A \nabla_a c_2} c_1 - \nabla_a [c_1, c_2] - \nabla_a \nabla_{\partial_A c_2} c_1 \\ &\quad - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a} c_2 + [\nabla_a c_1, c_2] + \nabla_{\partial_A c_2} \nabla_a c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_{\partial_A \nabla_a c_2} c_1 = \nabla_{-[\partial_A c_2, a] + \nabla_{c_2}^\partial a} c_1$, this yields

$$\nabla_{c_1}^\partial \nabla_a c_2 - \nabla_a \nabla_{c_1}^\partial c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_a c_1}^\partial c_2 = R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, \text{id}_C c_2)a - R_\nabla(a, \partial_A c_2)c_1.$$

\tilde{D} : Set $c = c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ and $b = \partial_B c_1 \in \Gamma(B)$. Then

$$\nabla_b^{\text{red}} \nabla_a c - \nabla_a \nabla_b^{\text{red}} c - \nabla_{\nabla_b^{\text{red}} a} c + \nabla_{\nabla_a b}^{\text{red}} c = R_\nabla^{\text{red}}(b, \partial_B c)a - \bar{R}_\nabla(a, \partial_A c)b$$

by definition of the B -connections ∇^{red} and the A -connection ∇ on B .

(M5) R : Choose $c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\nabla_c^\partial [a_1, a_2] + [\nabla_c^\partial a_1, a_2] + [a_1, \nabla_c^\partial a_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2}^\partial c} a_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1}^\partial c} a_2 \\ &= -[\partial_A c, [a_1, a_2]] - \partial_A(\nabla_{[a_1, a_2]} c) + [[\partial_A c, a_1] + \cancel{\partial_A(\nabla_{a_1} c)}, a_2] \\ & \quad + [a_1, [\partial_A c, a_2] + \cancel{\partial_A(\nabla_{a_2} c)}] \\ & \quad + [\cancel{\partial_A(\nabla_{a_2} c)}, a_1] + \partial_A(\nabla_{a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c) - [\cancel{\partial_A(\nabla_{a_1} c)}, a_2] - \partial_A(\nabla_{a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c) = \partial_A(R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)c) \end{aligned}$$

by the Jacobi identity.

\tilde{D} : The equation

$$-\nabla_b^{\text{red}}[a_1, a_2] + [\nabla_b^{\text{red}} a_1, a_2] + [a_1, \nabla_b^{\text{red}} a_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2}^{\text{red}} b} a_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1}^{\text{red}} b} a_2 = \partial_A(\bar{R}_\nabla(a_1, a_2))b$$

follows again immediately for $b = \partial_B c$. Since ∂_B is surjective, this shows (M5) for \tilde{D} .

(M6) R : By definition of R_∇^∂ ,

$$\text{id}_C(R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, c_2)(a)) = -\nabla_a[c_1, c_2] + [\nabla_a c_1, c_2] + [c_1, \nabla_a c_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a} c_1.$$

\tilde{D} : Setting $b_i = \partial_B c_i \in \Gamma(B)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and applying ∂_B to both sides of the equation yields then also

$$\partial_B(R_\nabla^{\text{red}}(b_1, b_2)(a)) = -\nabla_a^B[b_1, b_2] + [\nabla_a^B b_1, b_2] + [b_1, \nabla_a^B b_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{b_1}^B a} b_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{b_2}^B a} b_1.$$

(M7) R : Checking the last equation is long, but straightforward. Choose $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$. Then $(d_\nabla R_\nabla^\partial)(a_1, a_2)$ evaluated on $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} & -R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, c_2)[a_1, a_2] - \nabla_{a_2}(R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, c_2)a_1) + \nabla_{a_1}(R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, c_2)a_2) \\ & + R_\nabla^\partial(\nabla_{a_2} c_1, c_2)a_1 + R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, \nabla_{a_2} c_2)a_1 - R_\nabla^\partial(\nabla_{a_1} c_1, c_2)a_2 - R_\nabla^\partial(c_1, \nabla_{a_1} c_2)a_2, \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{[a_1, a_2]}[c_1, c_2] - [\nabla_{[a_1, a_2]} c_1, c_2] - [c_1, \nabla_{[a_1, a_2]} c_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial [a_1, a_2]} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial [a_1, a_2]} c_1 \\ & - \nabla_{a_2} \left(-\nabla_{a_1}[c_1, c_2] + [\nabla_{a_1} c_1, c_2] + [c_1, \nabla_{a_1} c_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_1} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_1} c_1 \right) \\ & + \nabla_{a_1} \left(-\nabla_{a_2}[c_1, c_2] + [\nabla_{a_2} c_1, c_2] + [c_1, \nabla_{a_2} c_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_2} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_2} c_1 \right) \\ & - \nabla_{a_1} [\nabla_{a_2} c_1, c_2] + [\nabla_{a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_1, c_2] + [\nabla_{a_2} c_1, \nabla_{a_1} c_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} c_1} \nabla_{a_1} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_1 \\ & - \nabla_{a_1} [c_1, \nabla_{a_2} c_2] + [\nabla_{a_1} c_1, \nabla_{a_2} c_2] + [c_1, \nabla_{a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} c_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_1 \\ & + \nabla_{a_2} [\nabla_{a_1} c_1, c_2] - [\nabla_{a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_1, c_2] - [\nabla_{a_1} c_1, \nabla_{a_2} c_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} c_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_1 \\ & + \nabla_{a_2} [c_1, \nabla_{a_1} c_2] - [\nabla_{a_2} c_1, \nabla_{a_1} c_2] - [c_1, \nabla_{a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_2] + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} c_2} \nabla_{a_2} c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Twelve terms of this equation cancel pairwise, and a reordering of the remaining terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)[c_1, c_2] + [R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)c_1, c_2] + [c_1, R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)c_2] \\ & + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial [a_1, a_2]} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial [a_1, a_2]} c_1 - \nabla_{a_2} \left(-\nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_1} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_1} c_1 \right) + \nabla_{a_1} \left(-\nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_2} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_2} c_1 \right) \\ & - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} c_1} \nabla_{a_1} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} c_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_1 + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} c_1} \nabla_{a_2} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_1 \\ & + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_2} \nabla_{a_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} c_2} \nabla_{a_2} c_1 \\ = & -R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)[c_1, c_2] + [R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)c_1, c_2] + [c_1, R_\nabla(a_1, a_2)c_2] \\ & + R_\nabla(a_2, \nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_1)c_2 + \nabla_{[a_2, \nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_1]} c_2 - R_\nabla(a_2, \nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_1)c_1 - \nabla_{[a_2, \nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_1]} c_1 \\ & - R_\nabla(a_1, \nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_2)c_2 - \nabla_{[a_1, \nabla_{c_1}^\partial a_2]} c_2 + R_\nabla(a_1, \nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_2)c_1 + \nabla_{[a_1, \nabla_{c_2}^\partial a_2]} c_1 \\ & + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1}^\partial [a_1, a_2]} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2}^\partial [a_1, a_2]} c_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} c_1} c_2 \\ & + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_2} c_2} c_1 + \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} c_1} c_2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{a_1} c_2} c_1. \end{aligned}$$

By (M5), this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& -R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)[c_1, c_2] - [c_2, R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c_1] + [c_1, R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c_2] \\
& + R_{\nabla}(a_2, \nabla_{c_1}^{\partial} a_1)c_2 - R_{\nabla}(a_2, \nabla_{c_2}^{\partial} a_1)c_1 - R_{\nabla}(a_1, \nabla_{c_1}^{\partial} a_2)c_2 \\
& + R_{\nabla}(a_1, \nabla_{c_2}^{\partial} a_2)c_1 + \nabla_{\partial_A R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c_2} c_1 - \nabla_{\partial_A R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c_1} c_2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)[c_1, c_2] - \nabla_{c_2}^{\partial}(R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c_1) + \nabla_{c_1}^{\partial}(R_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)c_2) \\
& - R_{\nabla}(\nabla_{c_1}^{\partial} a_1, a_2)c_2 + R_{\nabla}(\nabla_{c_2}^{\partial} a_1, a_2)c_1 - R_{\nabla}(a_1, \nabla_{c_1}^{\partial} a_2)c_2 + R_{\nabla}(a_1, \nabla_{c_2}^{\partial} a_2)c_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this is $(d_{\nabla^{\partial}} R_{\nabla})(c_1, c_2)$ on $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$, Condition (M7) is checked for R .

\tilde{D} : Setting $b_i = \partial_B c_i \in \Gamma(B)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and using the definitions of all the involved objects yields again immediately

$$\begin{aligned}
& -R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(b_1, b_2)[a_1, a_2] - \nabla_{a_2}(R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(b_1, b_2)a_1) + \nabla_{a_1}(R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(b_1, b_2)a_2) \\
& + R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(\nabla_{a_2}^B b_1, b_2)a_1 + R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(b_1, \nabla_{a_2}^B b_2)a_1 - R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(\nabla_{a_1}^B b_1, b_2)a_2 - R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(b_1, \nabla_{a_1}^B b_2)a_2 \\
= & -\bar{R}_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)[b_1, b_2] - \nabla_{b_2}^{\text{red}}\bar{R}_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)b_1 + \nabla_{b_1}^{\text{red}}\bar{R}_{\nabla}(a_1, a_2)b_2 \\
& - \bar{R}_{\nabla}(\nabla_{b_1}^{\text{red}} a_1, a_2)b_2 + \bar{R}_{\nabla}(\nabla_{b_2}^{\text{red}} a_1, a_2)b_1 - \bar{R}_{\nabla}(a_1, \nabla_{b_1}^{\text{red}} a_2)b_2 + \bar{R}_{\nabla}(a_1, \nabla_{b_2}^{\text{red}} a_2)b_1,
\end{aligned}$$

and the seventh condition is verified for \tilde{D} .

B Double Lie algebroid morphisms – proofs

B.1 Proof of Theorem 4.10

The double vector bundle morphism Φ has sides φ_C and φ_A and core φ_C . By the proof of Proposition 4.9, a pair of choices of linear splittings $\Sigma^{\nabla}: A \times_M C \rightarrow TC \oplus_{TM} A$ and $\Sigma^{\nabla'}: A' \times_M C' \rightarrow TC' \oplus_{TM} A'$ defines the form $\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'} \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes C^* \otimes C')$ as in (40).

The two splittings define as well the following 2-representations.

1. The VB-algebroid $(TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow M)$ induces $\mathcal{D}_A := (\nabla, \nabla, R_{\nabla})$ of A on $\text{id}: C \rightarrow C$, as in (37).
2. The VB-algebroid $(TC \oplus_{TM} A \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow M)$ induces the 2-representation $\mathcal{D}_C := (\nabla^{\partial_A}, \nabla^{\partial_A}, R_{\nabla}^{\partial_A})$ of C on $\partial_A: C \rightarrow A$, as in (38) and (39).
3. The VB-algebroid $(TC' \oplus_{TM} A' \rightarrow C', A' \rightarrow M)$ induces $\mathcal{D}_{A'} := (\nabla', \nabla', R_{\nabla'})$ of A' on $\text{id}: C' \rightarrow C'$, as in (37).
4. The VB-algebroid $(TC' \oplus_{TM} A' \rightarrow A', C' \rightarrow M)$ induces the 2-representation $\mathcal{D}_{C'} := (\nabla^{\partial_{A'}}, \nabla^{\partial_{A'}}, R_{\nabla'}^{\partial_{A'}})$ of C' on $\partial_{A'}: C' \rightarrow A'$, as in (38) and (39).

By Section 2.4 it suffices to check that $(\varphi_C, \varphi_C, \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'})$ defines a morphism

$$\mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \varphi_A^* \mathcal{D}_{A'}$$

and that $(\varphi_C, \varphi_A, \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'})$ defines a morphism

$$\mathcal{D}_C \rightarrow \varphi_C^* \mathcal{D}_{C'}.$$

(10) for $(\varphi_C, \varphi_C, \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'})$ is immediate, and (11) is the definition of $\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}$. (12) is an easy computation, which is left to the reader. (10) for $(\varphi_C, \varphi_A, \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'})$ is

$$\varphi_A \circ \partial_A = \partial_{A'} \circ \varphi_C$$

and (11) is checked as follows. For $c \in \Gamma(C)$, $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c' \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_c^{\text{Hom}} \varphi_A)(a) &= (\varphi_C^* \nabla^{\partial_{A'}})_c(\varphi_A(a)) - \varphi_A(\nabla_c^{\partial_A} a) = \nabla_{\varphi_C(c)}^{\partial_{A'}}(\varphi_A(a)) - \varphi_A(\nabla_c^{\partial_A} a) \\ &= \overline{[\partial_{A'} \varphi_C(c), \varphi_A(a)]} + \partial_{A'} \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)}(\varphi_C(c)) - \varphi_A(\overline{[\partial_{A'} c, a]} + \partial_A(\nabla_a c)) \\ &= \partial_{A'}((\varphi_A^* \nabla')_a(\varphi_C(c)) - \varphi_C(\nabla_a c)) = \partial_{A'}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_c^{\text{Hom}} \varphi_C)(c') &= (\varphi_C^* \nabla^{\partial_{A'}})_c(\varphi_C(c')) - \varphi_C(\nabla_c^{\partial_A} c') = \nabla_{\varphi_C(c)}^{\partial_{A'}}(\varphi_C(c')) - \varphi_C(\nabla_c^{\partial_A} c') \\ &= \overline{[\varphi_C(c), \varphi_C(c')]} + \nabla'_{\partial_{A'} \varphi_C(c')}(\varphi_C(c)) - \varphi_C(\overline{[c, c']} + \nabla_{\partial_A c'} c) \\ &= \nabla'_{\varphi_A \partial_A(c')}(\varphi_C(c)) - \varphi_C(\nabla_{\partial_A c'} c) = \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(\partial_A c', c). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (12) is

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{\text{Hom}} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}})(c_1, c_2)(a) - (\varphi_C^* R_{\nabla'}^{\partial_{A'}})(c_1, c_2)(\varphi_A a) + \varphi_C(R_{\nabla'}^{\partial_A}(c_1, c_2)a) \\ &= \nabla_{c_1}^{\text{Hom}}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'} c_2)(a) - \nabla_{c_2}^{\text{Hom}}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'} c_1)(a) - \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, [c_1, c_2])} \\ &\quad + \nabla'_{\varphi_A a} \overline{[\varphi_C c_1, \varphi_C c_2]} - [\nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)} \varphi_C c_1, \varphi_C c_2] - [\varphi_C c_1, \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)} \varphi_C c_2] \\ &\quad + \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_1} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_2 - \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_2} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_1 \\ &\quad + \varphi_C \left(-\overline{\nabla_a [c_1, c_2]} + [\nabla_a c_1, c_2] + [c_1, \nabla_a c_2] - \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1} \partial_A} c_2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{c_2} \partial_A} c_1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

for $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma(C)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_{c_1}^{\text{Hom}}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'} c_2)(a) - [\varphi_C c_1, \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)} \varphi_C c_2] + \varphi_C [c_1, \nabla_a c_2] + \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_1} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_2 - \varphi_C \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1} \partial_A} c_2 \\ &= \nabla_{\varphi_C c_1}^{\partial_{A'}}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_2)) - \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(\nabla_{c_1}^{\partial_A} a, c_2) - [\varphi_C c_1, \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)} \varphi_C c_2] + [\varphi_C c_1, \varphi_C \nabla_a c_2] \\ &\quad + \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_1} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_2 - \varphi_C \nabla_{\nabla_{c_1} \partial_A} c_2 \\ &= \nabla_{\varphi_C c_1}^{\partial_{A'}}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_2)) - \nabla'_{\varphi_A \nabla_{c_1} \partial_A} \varphi_C c_2 - [\varphi_C c_1, \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_2)] + \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_1} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_2 \\ &= \nabla'_{\partial_{A'} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_2)} \varphi_C c_1 - \nabla'_{\varphi_A \nabla_{c_1} \partial_A} \varphi_C c_2 + \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_1} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_2, \end{aligned}$$

(59) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla'_{\partial_{A'} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_2)} \varphi_C c_1 - \nabla'_{\varphi_A \nabla_{c_1} \partial_A} \varphi_C c_2 + \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_1} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_2 \\ &\quad - \nabla'_{\partial_{A'} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_1)} \varphi_C c_2 + \nabla'_{\varphi_A \nabla_{c_2} \partial_A} \varphi_C c_1 - \nabla'_{\nabla_{\varphi_C c_2} \varphi_A a} \varphi_C c_1 \\ &= \nabla'_{\partial_{A'} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_2) - (\nabla_{c_2}^{\text{Hom}} \varphi_A)(a)} \varphi_C c_1 - \nabla'_{\partial_{A'} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c_1) - (\nabla_{c_1}^{\text{Hom}} \varphi_A)(a)} \varphi_C c_2 \stackrel{(11)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

B.2 Proof of Theorem 5.23

Here (10), (11) and (12) need to be checked for

1. the triple $(\varphi_C, \varphi_B, \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}})$ from the 2-representation $(\partial_B: C[0] \rightarrow B[1], \nabla, \nabla^B, \overline{R_{\nabla}})$ of A as in as in (56) and (57) to the 2-representation $\varphi_A^*(\partial_{B'}: C'[0] \rightarrow B'[1], \nabla', \nabla'^{B'}, \overline{R_{\nabla'}})$ of A .
2. the triple $(\varphi_C, \varphi_A, \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}})$ from the 2-representation $(\partial_A: C[0] \rightarrow A[1], \nabla^{\text{red}}, \nabla^{\text{red}}, R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}})$ of B as in (52) and (53) to the 2-representation $\varphi_B^*(\partial_{A'}: C'[0] \rightarrow A'[1], \nabla'^{\text{red}}, \nabla'^{\text{red}}, R_{\nabla'}^{\text{red}})$ of B .

(10) is given by the morphism of core diagrams since in the first case it is $\varphi_B \circ \partial_B = \partial_{B'} \circ \varphi_C$, and in the second case it is $\varphi_A \circ \partial_A = \partial_{A'} \circ \varphi_C$. (11) is in both cases an easy computation, which is left to the reader. (10) is checked in the first case as follows. Choose $a, a' \in \Gamma(A)$, $b \in \Gamma(B)$ and $c \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_B c = b$. Then with $\nabla_a^B b = \partial_B \nabla_a c$ and $\partial_{B'} \circ \varphi_C = \varphi_B \circ \partial_B$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{\text{Hom}} \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}})(a, a')(b) &= \nabla_a^{\text{Hom}}(\overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}(a'))(b) - \nabla_{a'}^{\text{Hom}}(\overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}(a))(b) - \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}([a, a'], b) \\
&= \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a)}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a', c)) - \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a', \nabla_a c) \\
&\quad - \nabla'_{\varphi_A(a')}(\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, c)) + \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}(a, \nabla_{a'} c) - \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}([a, a'], c) \\
&= (\varphi_A^* R_{\nabla'})(a, a')(\varphi_C(c)) - \varphi_C(R_{\nabla}(a, a')c) \\
&= (\varphi_A^* \overline{R_{\nabla'}})(a, a')(\varphi_B(b)) - \varphi_C(\overline{R_{\nabla}}(a, a')b).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, in order to check (12) in the second case, note that for $b, b' \in \Gamma(B)$, $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $c, c' \in \Gamma(C)$ such that $\partial_B c = b$ and $\partial_{B'} c' = b'$,

$$(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{\text{Hom}} \overline{\omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}}})(b, b')(a) = (\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{\text{Hom}} \omega_{\nabla, \nabla'}})(c, c')(a).$$

By the proof of Theorem 4.10, the right-hand side is

$$(\varphi_C^* R_{\nabla'}^{\partial_{A'}})(c, c')(\varphi_A(a)) - \varphi_C(R_{\nabla}^{\partial_A}(c, c')a),$$

which equals

$$(\varphi_B^* R_{\nabla'}^{\text{red}})(b, b')(\varphi_A(a)) - \varphi_C(R_{\nabla}^{\text{red}}(b, b')a),$$

by the definition of $R_{\nabla'}^{\text{red}}$ and R_{∇}^{red} .

C Notation for linear and core sections.

The main notations of this paper are summarised here for the convenience of the reader.

1. Let (D, A, B, M) be any double vector bundle with sides A and B and with core C . A morphism $\Phi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(A, C))$ defines a core-linear section $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Gamma_A^l(D)$, which is linear over $0^B \in \Gamma(B)$:

$$\tilde{\Phi}(a) = 0_a^D +_B \overline{\varphi(a)}.$$

Similarly, a morphism $\Phi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(B, C))$ defines a core-linear section $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Gamma_B^l(D)$, which is linear over $0^A \in \Gamma(A)$:

$$\tilde{\Phi}(b) = 0_b^D +_A \overline{\varphi(b)}.$$

Core-linear sections are always written with this notation, no matter over which side or in which double vector bundle. It is always clear from the context where they are defined.

2. Let $q_E: E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. Given $e \in \Gamma(E)$, then $e^\dagger \in \mathfrak{X}^c(E)$ is the vertical vector field on E defined by e ; i.e. the core section of $TE \rightarrow E$ defined by e . The core section of $TE \rightarrow TM$ defined by e is written $e^\dagger \in \Gamma_{TM}^c(TE)$.

Given a derivation D of E with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, then $\hat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ is the linear vector field on E that is equivalent to D . It is a linear section of $TE \rightarrow E$ over X . A section $e \in \Gamma(E)$ defines further the linear section $Te \in \Gamma_{TM}^l(TE)$. It is linear over e .

Let $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ be a linear A -connection on C . Then ∇ defines a linear splitting $\Sigma^\nabla: A \times_M C \rightarrow R$ of $R := \rho_A^l TC = TC \oplus_{TM} A$.

3. Core sections of $R \rightarrow C$ are written γ^\times for $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$:

$$\gamma^\times(c_m) = (\gamma^\dagger(c_m), 0_m^A)$$

for all $c_m \in C$. The splitting Σ^∇ is equivalent to a linear horizontal lift $\sigma_A^\nabla: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_C^l(R)$: for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ the section $\hat{a} := \sigma_A^\nabla(a) \in \Gamma_C^l(R)$ is defined by

$$\hat{a}(c_m) = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c_m), a(m) \right)$$

for all $c_m \in C$. By definition it is linear over $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

4. Core sections of $R \rightarrow A$ are written γ^\ddagger for $\gamma \in \Gamma(C)$, and defined by $\gamma^\ddagger = (\gamma^\dagger)^\dagger \in \Gamma_A(R) = \Gamma_A(\rho_A^!TC)$:

$$\gamma^\ddagger(a) = (\gamma^\dagger(\rho_A(a)), a)$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. A section $c \in \Gamma(C)$ defines a linear section $(TC)^\dagger$ of $R \rightarrow A$:

$$(Tc)^\dagger(a) = (Tc(\rho_A(a)), a)$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. It is linear over $c \in C$. The splitting Σ^∇ is equivalent to a linear horizontal lift $\sigma_C^\nabla: \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma_A^l(R)$: for all $c \in \Gamma(C)$ the section $\check{c} := \sigma_C^\nabla(c) \in \Gamma_A^l(R)$ is defined by

$$\check{c}(a(m)) = \left(\widehat{\nabla}_a(c(m)), a(m) \right)$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. By definition it is linear over $c \in \Gamma(C)$.

References

- [1] I. Androulidakis. Crossed modules and the integrability of Lie brackets, 2005.
- [2] C. Arias Abad and M. Crainic. Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 663:91–126, 2012.
- [3] R. Brown and K. C. H. Mackenzie. Determination of a double Lie groupoid by its core diagram. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 80(3):237–272, 1992.
- [4] H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, and M. del Hoyo. Vector bundles over Lie groupoids and algebroids. *Adv. Math.*, 290:163–207, 2016.
- [5] A. Cabrera, O. Brahic, and C. Ortiz. Obstructions to the integrability of \mathcal{VB} -algebroids. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 16(2):439–483, 2018.
- [6] T. Drummond, M. Jotz Lean, and C. Ortiz. VB-algebroid morphisms and representations up to homotopy. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 40:332–357, 2015.
- [7] A. Gracia-Saz, M. Jotz Lean, K. C. H. Mackenzie, and R. A. Mehta. Double Lie algebroids and representations up to homotopy. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 13(2):287–319, 2018.
- [8] A. Gracia-Saz and R. A. Mehta. Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids. *Adv. Math.*, 223(4):1236–1275, 2010.
- [9] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [10] E. Hawkins. A groupoid approach to quantization. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 6(1):61–125, 2008.
- [11] M. Heuer and M. Jotz Lean. Multiple vector bundles: cores, splittings and decompositions. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 35(19):665–699, 2020.

- [12] P. J. Higgins and K. Mackenzie. Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids. *J. Algebra*, 129(1):194–230, 1990.
- [13] M. Jotz Lean and C. Ortiz. Foliated groupoids and infinitesimal ideal systems. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 25(5):1019–1053, 2014.
- [14] H.-Y. Liao, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu. Formality and Kontsevich-Duflo type theorems for Lie pairs. *Adv. Math.*, 352:406–482, 2019.
- [15] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [16] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. I. *Adv. Math.*, 94(2):180–239, 1992.
- [17] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Double Lie algebroids and iterated tangent bundles, 1998.
- [18] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. II. *Adv. Math.*, 154(1):46–75, 2000.
- [19] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [20] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Ehresmann doubles and Drinfel’d doubles for Lie algebroids and Lie bialgebroids. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 658:193–245, 2011.
- [21] K. C. H. Mackenzie and P. Xu. Integration of Lie bialgebroids. *Topology*, 39(3):445–467, 2000.
- [22] E. Meinrenken and J. Pike. The Weil algebra of a double Lie algebroid. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 01 2020. rnz361.
- [23] J. Pradines. *Fibrés vectoriels doubles et calcul des jets non holonomes*, volume 29 of *Esquisses Mathématiques [Mathematical Sketches]*. Université d’Amiens U.E.R. de Mathématiques, Amiens, 1977.
- [24] D. Quillen. Superconnections and the Chern character. *Topology*, 24(1):89–95, 1985.
- [25] L. Stefanini. On Morphic Actions and Integrability of LA-Groupoids. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:0902.2228, February 2009.
- [26] M. Stiénon, L. Vitagliano, and P. Xu. A_∞ -algebras from Lie pairs. *Work in preparation*, 2021.
- [27] Th. Th. Voronov. Q-Manifolds and Mackenzie Theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 315(2):279–310, 2012.