

The compact presentation for the alternating central extension of the q -Onsager algebra

Paul Terwilliger

Abstract

The q -Onsager algebra O_q is defined by two generators and two relations, called the q -Dolan/Grady relations. We investigate the alternating central extension \mathcal{O}_q of O_q . The algebra \mathcal{O}_q was introduced by Baseilhac and Koizumi, who called it the current algebra of O_q . Recently Baseilhac and Shigechi gave a presentation of \mathcal{O}_q by generators and relations. The presentation is attractive, but the multitude of generators and relations makes the presentation unwieldy. In this paper we obtain a presentation of \mathcal{O}_q that involves a subset of the original set of generators and a very manageable set of relations. We call this presentation the compact presentation of \mathcal{O}_q . This presentation resembles the compact presentation of the alternating central extension for the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Keywords. q -Onsager algebra; q -Dolan/Grady relations; tridiagonal pair.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B37. Secondary: 05E14, 81R50.

1 Introduction

We will be discussing the q -Onsager algebra O_q [2, 25]. This infinite-dimensional associative algebra is defined by two generators W_0, W_1 and two relations, called the q -Dolan/Grady relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [W_0, [W_0, [W_0, W_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] &= (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [W_1, W_0], \\ [W_1, [W_1, [W_1, W_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] &= (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [W_0, W_1]. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra O_q first appeared in algebraic combinatorics, in the study of association schemes that are P -polynomial and Q -polynomial; to our knowledge the q -Dolan/Grady relations first appeared in [24, Lemma 5.4]. Discussions of the history can be found in [16, 17, 25]. The algebra O_q is the “most general” example of a tridiagonal algebra [25, Definition 3.9]. In the topic of linear algebra, there is an object called a tridiagonal pair [17, Definition 1.1]. A finite-dimensional irreducible O_q -module is essentially the same thing as a tridiagonal pair of q -Racah type [25, Theorem 3.10]. These tridiagonal pairs are classified up to isomorphism

in [18, Theorem 3.3]. For more information about tridiagonal pairs, see [19], [23, Section 19] and the references therein.

Over time the algebra O_q found many applications in mathematics and physics; some examples are given below. The algebra O_q is used to study boundary integrable systems [1–8, 10]. The algebra O_q can be realized as a left or right coideal subalgebra of the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$; see [3, 4, 20]. The algebra O_q is the simplest example of a quantum symmetric pair coideal subalgebra of affine type [20, Example 7.6]. In [9], two automorphisms of O_q are introduced that resemble the Lusztig automorphisms of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$; see also [27, 30]. These automorphisms are used in [9] to obtain a PBW basis for O_q , and they are used in [33] to describe the Bockling double lowering operator [12, 13] of a tridiagonal pair. A Drinfeld type presentation of O_q is obtained in [21], and this is used in [22] to realize O_q as an ι Hall algebra of the projective line. There is an algebra homomorphism from O_q into the universal Askey-Wilson algebra [26, Sections 9,10], [29]. In [4, Section 4] some infinite-dimensional O_q -modules are constructed using q -vertex operators.

In [6] Baseilhac and Koizumi introduce a current algebra for O_q , in order to solve boundary integrable systems with hidden symmetries. We will denote this current algebra by \mathcal{O}_q . In [10, Definition 3.1] Baseilhac and Shigechi give a presentation of \mathcal{O}_q by generators and relations. By [36, Theorem 9.14] \mathcal{O}_q is isomorphic to $O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$, where \mathbb{F} is the ground field and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are mutually commuting indeterminates. Thus \mathcal{O}_q is related to O_q in roughly the same way that \mathcal{U}_q^+ is related to U_q^+ , where U_q^+ is the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ [15] and \mathcal{U}_q^+ is the alternating central extension of U_q^+ [32]. Motivated by this and as explained in [36], we call \mathcal{O}_q the alternating central extension of O_q .

The following recent results and conjectures are motivated by a rough analogy between the pair U_q^+, \mathcal{U}_q^+ and the pair O_q, \mathcal{O}_q . In [31] we introduce the alternating elements in U_q^+ , and show how they are related to the PBW basis for U_q^+ due to Damiani [15]. In [35] we conjecture the existence of some elements in O_q that are similarly related to the PBW basis for O_q given in [9]. In [32] we show that for \mathcal{U}_q^+ , the generators in the defining presentation give a PBW basis. In [36] we establish the corresponding result for \mathcal{O}_q . In [11, Theorem 3.1] the algebra \mathcal{U}_q^+ is shown to have a Freidel-Maillet presentation. The corresponding result for \mathcal{O}_q is that it admits a presentation as a reflection algebra, and this is established in [10, Theorem 2]. The algebra U_q^+ is the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, so of course there exists an injective algebra homomorphism $U_q^+ \rightarrow U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. In [11, Proposition 5.18] Baseilhac gives a similar injective algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{U}_q^+ \rightarrow U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. We mentioned earlier that O_q can be realized as a coideal subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. We expect that \mathcal{O}_q can be realized as a coideal subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ in a similar way, and we will try to establish this in the future.

In [34, Definition 3.1] we introduced the compact presentation of \mathcal{U}_q^+ . In [34, Section 7] we described some features of \mathcal{U}_q^+ that are illuminated by the compact presentation. In the present paper we do something similar for \mathcal{O}_q .

Our results are summarized as follows. The presentation of \mathcal{O}_q from [10, Definition 3.1] is reproduced in Definition 4.1 below. The presentation is attractive, but the multitude of generators and relations makes the presentation unwieldy. In our first main result Theorem 1.1, we obtain a presentation of \mathcal{O}_q that involves a subset of the original set of generators

and a very manageable set of relations. We call this presentation the compact presentation of \mathcal{O}_q . In our second main result Theorem 1.2, we factor the vector space \mathcal{O}_q into a tensor product of two subalgebras.

Theorem 1.1. *The algebra \mathcal{O}_q has a presentation by generators $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and relations*

- (i) $[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0];$
- (ii) $[\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1];$
- (iii) $[\mathcal{W}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1] = [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q];$
- (iv) $[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_1] = [[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_1];$
- (v) *for $k \geq 1,$*

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0] = \frac{[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k]_q]_q]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2};$$

- (vi) *for $k \geq 1,$*

$$[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}] = \frac{[[[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k, \mathcal{W}_0]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2};$$

- (vii) *for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N},$*

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0.$$

The \mathcal{O}_q -generators in Theorem 1.1 are called essential. Before stating our second main result, we make a few definitions. Let $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q generated by $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Item (i) below appeared in [36, Theorem 10.3] and is included for completeness.

Theorem 1.2. *For the algebra \mathcal{O}_q the following (i)–(iv) hold:*

- (i) *there exists an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$;*
- (ii) *there exists an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ for $n \geq 1$;*
- (iii) *the multiplication map*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{G}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q \\ w \otimes g &\mapsto wg \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces;

(iv) *the multiplication map*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q \\ g \otimes w &\mapsto gw\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries. In Section 3, we recall the algebra \mathcal{O}_q and describe its basic properties. In Section 4, we describe the algebra \mathcal{O}_q and its relationship to \mathcal{O}_q . In Section 5, we introduce the essential generators for \mathcal{O}_q . In Section 6, we first identify some relations in \mathcal{O}_q that are satisfied by the essential generators. We then define an algebra \mathcal{O}_q^\vee by generators and relations, using the essential generators and the relations we identified. In Sections 7, 8 we describe a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q from two points of view. In Section 9 we describe a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q^\vee . In Section 10, the above filtrations are used to show that $\mathcal{O}_q, \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ are isomorphic, and this fact is used to prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2. Section 11 contains some comments about \mathcal{O}_q that are motivated by Theorem 1.2(iii),(iv). In Section 12, we use an automorphism of \mathcal{O}_q to obtain a variation on Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and the results of Section 11. In Section 13, we give some suggestions for future research.

2 Preliminaries

We now begin our formal argument. Throughout the paper, the following notational conventions are in effect. Recall the natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$. Let \mathbb{F} denote a field. Every vector space and tensor product mentioned in this paper is over \mathbb{F} . Every algebra mentioned in this paper is associative, over \mathbb{F} , and has a multiplicative identity. Let \mathcal{A} denote an algebra. By an *automorphism* of \mathcal{A} we mean an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. The algebra \mathcal{A}^{opp} consists of the vector space \mathcal{A} and the multiplication map $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $(a, b) \rightarrow ba$. By an *antiautomorphism* of \mathcal{A} we mean an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{opp}}$.

Definition 2.1. (See [15, p. 299].) Let \mathcal{A} denote an algebra. A *Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt* (or *PBW*) basis for \mathcal{A} consists of a subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and a linear order $<$ on Ω such that the following is a basis for the vector space \mathcal{A} :

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \Omega, \quad a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n.$$

We interpret the empty product as the multiplicative identity in \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.2. A *grading* of an algebra \mathcal{A} is a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subspaces of \mathcal{A} such that (i) $1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$; (ii) the sum $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$ is direct; (iii) $\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.3. (See [14, p. 202].) A *filtration* of an algebra \mathcal{A} is a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subspaces of \mathcal{A} such that (i) $1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$; (ii) $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ for $n \geq 1$; (iii) $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$; (iv) $\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ denote mutually commuting indeterminates. Let $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ denote the algebra consisting of the polynomials in z_1, z_2, \dots that have all coefficients in \mathbb{F} . For notational convenience define $z_0 = 1$.

Fix a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ that is not a root of unity. Recall the notation

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

3 The q -Onsager algebra O_q

In this section we recall the q -Onsager algebra O_q . For elements X, Y in any algebra, define their commutator and q -commutator by

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX, \quad [X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX.$$

Note that

$$[X, [X, [X, Y]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = X^3Y - [3]_q X^2YX + [3]_q XYX^2 - YX^3.$$

Definition 3.1. (See [2, Section 2], [25, Definition 3.9].) Define the algebra O_q by generators W_0, W_1 and relations

$$[W_0, [W_0, [W_0, W_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [W_1, W_0], \quad (1)$$

$$[W_1, [W_1, [W_1, W_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [W_0, W_1]. \quad (2)$$

We call O_q the q -Onsager algebra. The relations (1), (2) are called the q -Dolan/Grady relations.

Remark 3.2. In [9] Baseilhac and Kolb define the q -Onsager algebra in a slightly more general way that involves two scalar parameters c, q . Our O_q is their q -Onsager algebra with $c = q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^2$.

We mention some symmetries of O_q .

Lemma 3.3. *There exists an automorphism σ of O_q that sends $W_0 \leftrightarrow W_1$. Moreover $\sigma^2 = 1$.*

Lemma 3.4. (See [30, Lemma 2.5].) *There exists an antiautomorphism \dagger of O_q that fixes each of W_0, W_1 . Moreover $\dagger^2 = 1$.*

Lemma 3.5. *The maps σ, \dagger commute.*

Proof. This is readily checked. □

Definition 3.6. Let τ denote the composition of σ and \dagger . Note that τ is an antiautomorphism of O_q that sends $W_0 \leftrightarrow W_1$. We have $\tau^2 = 1$.

4 The algebra \mathcal{O}_q

Recall from Section 1 that \mathcal{O}_q is the alternating central extension of O_q . In this section we formally define \mathcal{O}_q , and describe some basic properties.

Definition 4.1. (See [6], [10, Definition 3.1].) Define the algebra \mathcal{O}_q by generators

$$\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (3)$$

and the following relations. For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}] = [\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_1] = (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} - \mathcal{G}_{k+1})/(q + q^{-1}), \quad (4)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_{k+1}]_q = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}_{-k-1} - \rho \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad (5)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_1]_q = [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}_{k+2} - \rho \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad (6)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] + [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] + [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0. \quad (14)$$

In the above equations $\rho = -(q^2 - q^{-2})^2$. The generators (3) are called *alternating*. For notational convenience define

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = -(q - q^{-1})[2]_q^2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 = -(q - q^{-1})[2]_q^2. \quad (15)$$

Note 4.2. In [6], [10] the algebra \mathcal{O}_q is denoted by \mathcal{A}_q and called the current algebra for O_q . In [36] we proposed to call \mathcal{O}_q the alternating central extension of O_q .

Proposition 4.3. (See [36, Theorem 6.1].) *A PBW basis for \mathcal{O}_q is obtained by its alternating generators in any linear order $<$ such that*

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} < \mathcal{W}_{-j} < \mathcal{W}_{k+1} < \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1} \quad (i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (16)$$

Next we describe some symmetries of \mathcal{O}_q .

Lemma 4.4. (See [5, Remark 1].) *There exists an automorphism σ of \mathcal{O}_q that sends*

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{k+1} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $\sigma^2 = 1$.

Lemma 4.5. (See [30, Lemma 3.7].) *There exists an antiautomorphism \dagger of \mathcal{O}_q that sends*

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{k+1} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $\dagger^2 = 1$.

Lemma 4.6. *The maps σ, \dagger commute.*

Proof. Routine. □

Definition 4.7. Let τ denote the composition of the automorphism σ from Lemma 4.4 and the antiautomorphism \dagger from Lemma 4.5. Note that τ is an antiautomorphism of \mathcal{O}_q that sends

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $\tau^2 = 1$.

Next we discuss how \mathcal{O}_q is related to \mathcal{O}_q .

Lemma 4.8. *For the algebra \mathcal{O}_q ,*

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1] = [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q], \quad (17)$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_1] = [[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]. \quad (18)$$

Proof. By (4) with $k = 0$,

$$(q + q^{-1})[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1] = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1 - \mathcal{G}_1. \quad (19)$$

By (5) with $k = 0$,

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_1]_q = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q. \quad (20)$$

To get (17), eliminate \mathcal{G}_1 from (20) using (19), and simplify the result. To get (18), apply the antiautomorphism τ to each side of (17). □

The following result appeared in [5, line (3.7)]. We give a short proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 4.9. (See [5, line (3.7)].) *For the algebra \mathcal{O}_q ,*

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0], \quad (21)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]. \quad (22)$$

Proof. We first obtain (21). By (7) with $(k, \ell) = (1, 0)$ we obtain $[\mathcal{W}_{-1}, \mathcal{W}_0] = 0$. By (5) with $k = 0$ we obtain

$$\rho \mathcal{W}_{-1} = \rho \mathcal{W}_1 + [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q.$$

Using these facts and (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \rho[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{-1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{W}_0, \rho \mathcal{W}_1 + [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q] \\ &= \rho[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1] + [[\mathcal{W}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1], \mathcal{W}_0]_q \\ &= \rho[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1] + [[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q], \mathcal{W}_0]_q \\ &= \rho[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1] - [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}] \\ &= \rho[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1] - [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}] \end{aligned}$$

which implies (21). To get (22), apply the automorphism σ to each side of (21). □

Lemma 4.10. (See [36, Theorem 10.3].) *There exists an algebra homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$. Moreover, ι is injective.*

Lemma 4.11. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_q & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{O}_q & & \mathcal{O}_q & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{O}_q \\
\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau \\
\mathcal{O}_q & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{O}_q & & \mathcal{O}_q & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{O}_q
\end{array}$$

Proof. Chase the \mathcal{O}_q -generators W_0, W_1 around the diagrams, using Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and Definition 3.6 along with Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and Definition 4.7. \square

5 The essential generators for \mathcal{O}_q

We return our attention to the presentation of \mathcal{O}_q in Definition 4.1. There is a certain redundancy among the alternating generators (3). We are going to express all of them in terms of $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Following [30, Section 4] we use (5), (6) to recursively obtain $\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{-1} &= \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_3 &= \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{-3} &= \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_5 &= \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{-5} &= \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_5, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_2 &= \mathcal{W}_0 - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{-2} &= \mathcal{W}_0 - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_4 &= \mathcal{W}_0 - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{-4} &= \mathcal{W}_0 - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
\mathcal{W}_6 &= \mathcal{W}_0 - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_5]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

The recursion shows that for $k \geq 1$, the generators \mathcal{W}_{-k} , \mathcal{W}_{k+1} are given as follows. For odd $k = 2r + 1$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} = \mathcal{W}_1 - \sum_{\ell=0}^r \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell}]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{k+1} = \mathcal{W}_0 - \sum_{\ell=0}^r \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell+1}]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}. \quad (24)$$

For even $k = 2r$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} = \mathcal{W}_0 - \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell+1}]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{k+1} = \mathcal{W}_1 - \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \frac{[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2\ell}]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}. \quad (26)$$

Next we use (4) to obtain the generators $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$:

$$\mathcal{G}_{k+1} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} + (q + q^{-1})[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_{-k}] \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (27)$$

We have expressed the alternating generators (3) in terms of \mathcal{W}_0 , \mathcal{W}_1 , $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. We record the consequence.

Lemma 5.1. *The algebra \mathcal{O}_q is generated by \mathcal{W}_0 , \mathcal{W}_1 , $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.*

Definition 5.2. The \mathcal{O}_q -generators from Lemma 5.1 will be called *essential*.

6 The algebra \mathcal{O}_q^\vee

In Definition 5.2 we defined the essential generators for \mathcal{O}_q . Our next goal is to obtain a presentation of \mathcal{O}_q by generators and relations, where the generators are the essential ones. Let us consider what the relations should be. By (13) we have $[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0$ for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the relations in Lemmas 4.8, (4.9). In the next result we list some additional relations satisfied by the essential generators.

Lemma 6.1. For the algebra \mathcal{O}_q the following relations hold for $k \geq 1$:

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0] = \frac{[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k]_q]_q]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \quad (28)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}] = \frac{[[[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k, \mathcal{W}_0]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. We first obtain (28). Eliminate \mathcal{G}_{k+1} in (5) using (4) to obtain

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}] = [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}]_q]. \quad (30)$$

Now use in order (30), (7), (6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{W}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}] &= [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}]_q] \\ &= [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}]]_q \\ &= [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1} - \mathcal{W}_{1-k}]]_q \\ &= \rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k]_q]_q] \\ &= \rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k]_q]_q] \end{aligned}$$

which implies (28). To obtain (29), apply the antiautomorphism τ to each side of (28). \square

We just identified some relations that are satisfied by the essential generators of \mathcal{O}_q . We now define an algebra \mathcal{O}_q^\vee by generators and relations, using the essential generators and the relations we identified.

Definition 6.2. Define the algebra \mathcal{O}_q^\vee by generators $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and relations

- (i) $[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]$;
- (ii) $[\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]$;
- (iii) $[\mathcal{W}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1] = [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q]$;
- (iv) $[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_1] = [[[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]$;
- (v) for $k \geq 1$,

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0] = \frac{[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k]_q]_q]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2},$$

- (vi) for $k \geq 1$,

$$[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}] = \frac{[[[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k, \mathcal{W}_0]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_1]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2};$$

- (vii) for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0.$$

The \mathcal{O}_q^\vee -generators $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are called *essential*.

Remark 6.3. Referring to Definition 6.2, the relation (iii) (resp. (iv)) is obtained from relation (v) (resp. (vi)) by setting $k = 0$ and using (15).

Lemma 6.4. *There exists an algebra homomorphism $\natural: \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ that sends*

$$\mathcal{W}_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0, \quad \mathcal{W}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, \natural is surjective.

Proof. The algebra homomorphism \natural exists because the essential \mathcal{O}_q -generators satisfy the defining relations for \mathcal{O}_q^\vee . The map \natural is surjective by Lemma 5.1. \square

We are going to prove that \natural is bijective. The proof will be completed in Theorem 10.7. In the next three sections we describe a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q and a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q^\vee that will help us complete the proof.

7 A filtration of \mathcal{O}_q

Recall the filtration concept from Definition 2.3. In this section, we describe a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q that will help us prove that the map \natural from Lemma 6.4 is bijective.

Definition 7.1. To each alternating \mathcal{O}_q -generator we assign a degree, as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} u & \mathcal{G}_{k+1} & \mathcal{W}_{-k} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1} & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \\ \hline \deg(u) & 2k+2 & 2k+1 & 2k+1 & 2k+2 \end{array}$$

Definition 7.2. For notational convenience, abbreviate $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_q$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathcal{O}_d denote the subspace of \mathcal{O} spanned by the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ of alternating generators such that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(a_i) \leq d$.

Using Definition 7.2 we routinely obtain (i) $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathbb{F}1$; (ii) $\mathcal{O}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d$ for $d \geq 1$; (iii) $\mathcal{O} = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_d$; (iv) $\mathcal{O}_r \mathcal{O}_s \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By these comments and Definition 2.3 the sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q .

Note 7.3. In [36, Section 7] the filtration $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is denoted $\{B_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 7.4. *For the algebra \mathcal{O}_q the following (i), (ii) hold:*

(i) *the subspace \mathcal{O}_1 has basis $1, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$;*

(ii) *for $d \geq 2$ we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_d = E_d + \mathcal{O}_{d-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_k \mathcal{O}_{d-k}), \quad (31)$$

where $E_d = 0$ if d is odd and $E_d = \mathbb{F}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ if $d = 2n$ is even.

Proof. (i) The elements $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are the unique alternating generators of degree 1. The elements $1, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are linearly independent by Proposition 4.3.

(ii) Let \mathcal{O}'_d denote the vector space on the right in (31). We show that $\mathcal{O}_d = \mathcal{O}'_d$. We have $\mathcal{O}_d \supseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$ by Definition 7.1 and the comments below Definition 7.2. Next we show that $\mathcal{O}_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. The vector space \mathcal{O}_d is spanned by the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$ of alternating generators such that $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(a_i) \leq d$. Let $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$ denote such a product. We show that $w \in \mathcal{O}'_d$. We may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(a_i) = d$; otherwise $w \in \mathcal{O}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. Assume for the moment that $r \geq 2$. Write $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 = a_1$ and $w_2 = a_2 \cdots a_r$. Let k denote the degree of a_1 . By construction $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Also by construction $w_1 \in \mathcal{O}_k$ and $w_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{d-k}$. By these comments $w = w_1 w_2 \in \mathcal{O}_k \mathcal{O}_{d-k} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. Next assume that $r = 1$, so $w = a_1$ and $\deg(a_1) = d$. Suppose that $d = 2n+1$ is odd. Then $w = \mathcal{W}_{-n}$ or $w = \mathcal{W}_{n+1}$. In either case $w \in \mathcal{O}_{d-1} + \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_{d-1}) + \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_{d-1} \mathcal{O}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$ in view of (23)–(26). Next suppose that $d = 2n$ is even. Then $w = \mathcal{G}_n$ or $w = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$. In the first case $w \in E_d + \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_{d-1}) + \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_{d-1} \mathcal{O}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$ in view of (27). In the second case $w \in E_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. We have shown that $\mathcal{O}_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. By the above comments $\mathcal{O}_d = \mathcal{O}'_d$. \square

8 A filtration of \mathcal{O}_q , cont.

In the previous section we described a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q . In this section we consider the filtration from another point of view.

In [36, Theorem 9.14] we gave an algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$. The isomorphism is described as follows. In [36, Proposition 8.12, Lemma 8.16] we displayed some elements $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in the center of \mathcal{O}_q . The map ϕ sends

$$W_0 \otimes 1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0, \quad W_1 \otimes 1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1, \quad 1 \otimes z_n \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_n, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (32)$$

Recall the filtration $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{O}_q from below Definition 7.2. Our next goal is to describe $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. In this description, we will use a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q and a grading of $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$.

We recall from [28, Section 4] a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q . For notational convenience abbreviate $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_q$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathcal{O}_d denote the subspace of \mathcal{O} spanned by the products $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq d$ and g_i is among W_0, W_1 for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We routinely obtain (i) $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathbb{F}1$; (ii) $\mathcal{O}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d$ for $d \geq 1$; (iii) $\mathcal{O} = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_d$; (iv) $\mathcal{O}_r \mathcal{O}_s \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By these comments and Definition 2.3 the sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q .

Next consider the algebra $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. First we describe a basis for the vector space $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a *partition of n* is a sequence $\lambda = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of natural numbers such that $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i$. Let the set Λ_n consist of the partitions of n . Define $\Lambda = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ define $z_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^\infty z_{\lambda_i}$. The elements $\{z_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ form a basis for the vector space $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$.

Next we construct a grading for the algebra $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. For notational convenience abbreviate $Z = \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let Z_n denote the subspace of Z with basis $\{z_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n}$. For example $Z_0 = \mathbb{F}1$. The sum $Z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ is direct. Moreover $Z_r Z_s \subseteq Z_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By these comments and Definition 2.2 the subspaces $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ form a grading of the algebra Z .

Proposition 8.1. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_d) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{O}_{d-2k} \otimes Z_k. \quad (33)$$

Proof. By [36, Definition 9.7] and the proof of [36, Theorem 9.14]. \square

9 A filtration of \mathcal{O}_q^\vee

In the previous two sections, we described a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q from several points of view. In this section, we describe a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q^\vee .

Definition 9.1. To each essential \mathcal{O}_q^\vee -generator we assign a degree, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} u & \mathcal{W}_0 & \mathcal{W}_1 & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \\ \hline \deg(u) & 1 & 1 & 2k+2 \end{array}$$

In the above table $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 9.2. For notational convenience, abbreviate $\mathcal{O}^\vee = \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathcal{O}_d^\vee denote the subspace of \mathcal{O}^\vee spanned by the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ of essential generators such that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(a_i) \leq d$.

Using Definition 9.2 we routinely obtain (i) $\mathcal{O}_0^\vee = \mathbb{F}1$; (ii) $\mathcal{O}_{d-1}^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^\vee$ for $d \geq 1$; (iii) $\mathcal{O}^\vee = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_d^\vee$; (iv) $\mathcal{O}_r^\vee \mathcal{O}_s^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{r+s}^\vee$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By these comments and Definition 2.3, the sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_d^\vee\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q^\vee .

The following description of $\{\mathcal{O}_d^\vee\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is reminiscent of Lemma 7.4.

Lemma 9.3. For the algebra \mathcal{O}_q^\vee the following (i), (ii) hold:

- (i) the subspace \mathcal{O}_1^\vee has basis $1, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$;
- (ii) for $d \geq 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_d^\vee = E_d^\vee + \mathcal{O}_{d-1}^\vee + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_k^\vee \mathcal{O}_{d-k}^\vee), \quad (34)$$

where $E_d^\vee = 0$ if d is odd and $E_d^\vee = \mathbb{F}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ if $d = 2n$ is even.

Proof. (i) The elements $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are the unique essential \mathcal{O}_q^\vee -generators of degree 1. The elements $1, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are linearly independent in \mathcal{O}_q^\vee , because their \natural -images in \mathcal{O}_q are linearly independent by Lemma 7.4(i).

(ii) Let $\mathcal{O}_d^{\vee'}$ denote the vector space on the right in (34). We show that $\mathcal{O}_d^\vee = \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee'}$. We have $\mathcal{O}_d^\vee \supseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee'}$ by Definition 9.1 and the comments below Definition 9.2. Next we show that $\mathcal{O}_d^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee'}$. The vector space \mathcal{O}_d^\vee is spanned by the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$ of essential generators such that $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(a_i) \leq d$. Let $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$ denote such a product. We show

that $w \in \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee\prime}$. We may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(a_i) = d$; otherwise $w \in \mathcal{O}_{d-1}^{\vee} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee\prime}$. Assume for the moment that $r \geq 2$. Write $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 = a_1$ and $w_2 = a_2 \cdots a_r$. Let k denote the degree of a_1 . By construction $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Also by construction $w_1 \in \mathcal{O}_k^{\vee}$ and $w_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{d-k}^{\vee}$. By these comments $w = w_1 w_2 \in \mathcal{O}_k^{\vee} \mathcal{O}_{d-k}^{\vee} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee\prime}$. Next assume that $r = 1$, so $w = a_1$ and $\deg(a_1) = d$. By assumption $d \geq 2$. The generators $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ have degree 1, so $d = 2n$ is even and $w = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$. Consequently $w \in E_d^{\vee} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee\prime}$. We have shown that $\mathcal{O}_d^{\vee} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee\prime}$. By the above comments $\mathcal{O}_d^{\vee} = \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee\prime}$. \square

The following description of $\{\mathcal{O}_d^{\vee}\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is reminiscent of Proposition 8.1.

Proposition 9.4. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the subspace \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee} is spanned by the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ of essential generators such that both*

- (i) $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(a_i) \leq d$;
- (ii) $\deg(a_i) = 1$ implies $\deg(a_{i-1}) = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Consider a sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) of essential generators that satisfies (i). Call n the length of the sequence, and note that $n \leq d$. By an inversion for (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) we mean an ordered pair of integers (i, j) such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $\deg(a_i) \neq 1$ and $\deg(a_j) = 1$. The sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) has no inversions if and only if it satisfies (ii). By Definition 9.2, \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee} is spanned by the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ of essential generators that satisfy (i). Let U_d denote the span of the products $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ of essential generators that satisfy (i), (ii). So $U_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee}$. We show that $U_d = \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee}$. To do this, we assume that $U_d \neq \mathcal{O}_d^{\vee}$ and get a contradiction. By the assumption, there exists a sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) of essential generators that satisfies (i) and the product $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is not contained in U_d . Call such a sequence a counterexample (CE). Let N denote the maximal length of a CE. Let M denote the minimal number of inversions possessed by a CE of length N . Note that $M \geq 1$. There exists a CE (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N) that has exactly M inversions. Since (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N) is a CE, it does not satisfy (ii). So there exists an integer i ($2 \leq i \leq N$) such that $\deg(a_{i-1}) \neq 1$ and $\deg(a_i) = 1$. Define the products $x = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-2}$ and $y = a_{i+1} \cdots a_{N-1} a_N$. So $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_N = x a_{i-1} a_i y$. By construction exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{i-1} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}$. Also by construction, $a_i = \mathcal{W}_{\xi}$ where $\xi \in \{0, 1\}$. We claim that $x[a_{i-1}, a_i]y \in U_d$. To prove the claim, we separate the cases $k \geq 1$ and $k = 0$. Until further notice, assume that $k \geq 1$. By the form of the relations (v), (vi) in Definition 6.2, the commutator $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$ is a linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi}, & \quad \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi}, & \quad \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{\xi}, & \quad \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}, & \quad \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x[a_{i-1}, a_i]y$ is a linear combination of

$$x \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} y, \quad x \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} y, \quad x \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} y, \quad (35)$$

$$x \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{\xi} y, \quad x \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} y, \quad x \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k y. \quad (36)$$

Let u denote the element on the left in (35). Note that u is the product of the terms in the sequence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-2}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k, \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}, \mathcal{W}_{\xi}, \mathcal{W}_{\xi}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{N-1}, a_N)$. This sequence satisfies (i). This sequence has length $N + 2$, so is not a CE by the maximality of N . By these

comments $u \in U_d$. Similarly, each of the other five elements in (35), (36) is contained in U_d . Consequently $x[a_{i-1}, a_i]y \in U_d$. This proves the claim under the assumption $k \geq 1$. Next assume that $k = 0$. By the form of the relations (iii), (iv) in Definition 6.2, the commutator $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$ is a linear combination of

$$\mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}\mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_\xi, \quad \mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}\mathcal{W}_\xi, \quad \mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}.$$

Therefore $x[a_{i-1}, a_i]y$ is a linear combination of

$$x\mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}\mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_\xi y, \quad x\mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}\mathcal{W}_\xi y, \quad x\mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_\xi\mathcal{W}_{1-\xi} y. \quad (37)$$

Let v denote the element on the left in (37). Note that v is the product of the terms in the sequence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-2}, \mathcal{W}_{1-\xi}, \mathcal{W}_\xi, \mathcal{W}_\xi, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{N-1}, a_N)$. This sequence satisfies (i). This sequence has length $N + 1$, so is not a CE by the maximality of N . By these comments $v \in U_d$. Similarly, each of the other two elements in (37) is contained in U_d . Consequently $x[a_{i-1}, a_i]y \in U_d$. This proves the claim under the assumption $k = 0$. We have now proved the claim. Consider the sequence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-2}, a_i, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{N-1}, a_N)$. This sequence satisfies (i). For this sequence, the product of the terms is equal to $xa_i a_{i-1} y$. We have $xa_i a_{i-1} y \notin U_d$, because $xa_{i-1} a_i y \notin U_d$ by construction and $x[a_{i-1}, a_i]y \in U_d$ by the claim. By these comments, the sequence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-2}, a_i, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{N-1}, a_N)$ is a CE. This CE has length N and $M - 1$ inversions, and this contradicts the minimality of M . The result follows. \square

10 How the filtrations of \mathcal{O}_q and \mathcal{O}_q^\vee are related

Recall the algebra homomorphism $\natural : \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ from Lemma 6.4. In the previous three sections, we discussed the filtration $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{O}_q and the filtration $\{\mathcal{O}_d^\vee\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{O}_q^\vee . In this section, we consider how these filtrations are related. We use this relationship to show that \natural is bijective. We use the bijectivity of \natural to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 10.1. *We have $\natural(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) = \mathcal{O}_d$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Our proof is by induction on d . The result holds for $d = 0$, since $\mathcal{O}_0^\vee = \mathbb{F}1$ and $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathbb{F}1$. The result holds for $d = 1$ by Lemmas 7.4(i), 9.3(i). For $d \geq 2$ we use induction along with (31), (34) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \natural(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) &= \natural\left(E_d^\vee + \mathcal{O}_{d-1}^\vee + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_k^\vee \mathcal{O}_{d-k}^\vee)\right) \\ &= \natural(E_d^\vee) + \natural(\mathcal{O}_{d-1}^\vee) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\natural(\mathcal{O}_k^\vee) \natural(\mathcal{O}_{d-k}^\vee)) \\ &= E_d + \mathcal{O}_{d-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_k \mathcal{O}_{d-k}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_d. \end{aligned}$$

\square

We hope to show that \natural is bijective. This result will follow from Lemma 10.1, if we can show that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 10.1, $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) \geq \dim(\mathcal{O}_d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. To show the reverse inequality, we will display an \mathbb{F} -linear map $\mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ that sends \mathcal{O}_d onto \mathcal{O}_d^\vee for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. This map is displayed in Proposition 10.5 below.

Lemma 10.2. *There exists an algebra homomorphism $\flat : \mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$.*

Proof. In the algebra \mathcal{O}_q^\vee the elements $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ satisfy the q -Dolan/Grady relations, and these are the defining relations for \mathcal{O}_q . \square

Lemma 10.3. *There exists an algebra homomorphism $\sharp : \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By Definition 6.2(vii). \square

Lemma 10.4. *There exists an \mathbb{F} -linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ that sends $w \otimes z \mapsto \flat(w)\sharp(z)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{O}_q$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$.*

Proof. The map $\flat \otimes \sharp : \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ sends $w \otimes z \mapsto \flat(w) \otimes \sharp(z)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{O}_q$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. The map $\flat \otimes \sharp$ is an algebra homomorphism, and hence \mathbb{F} -linear. The multiplication map $\text{mult} : \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$ sends $u \otimes v \mapsto uv$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$. This map is \mathbb{F} -linear. The composition

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \xrightarrow{\flat \otimes \sharp} \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_q^\vee \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} \mathcal{O}_q^\vee$$

meets the requirements of the lemma statement. \square

Recall the algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ from around (32). In Proposition 8.1 we described $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 10.5. *We have $\varphi(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_d)) = \mathcal{O}_d^\vee$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Evaluate $\varphi(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_d))$ using Proposition 8.1, and compare the result with \mathcal{O}_d^\vee using Proposition 9.4. \square

Proposition 10.6. *We have $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We have $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) \geq \dim(\mathcal{O}_d)$ by Lemma 10.1, and $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}_d)$ by Proposition 10.5. \square

Theorem 10.7. *The map \natural is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 the map \natural is a surjective algebra homomorphism. To show that \natural is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that \natural is injective. Let K denote the kernel of \natural . For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\natural(\mathcal{O}_d^\vee) = \mathcal{O}_d$ by Lemma 10.1, so by Proposition 10.6 the restriction of \natural to \mathcal{O}_d^\vee gives a bijection $\mathcal{O}_d^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_d$, so $\mathcal{O}_d^\vee \cap K = 0$. By this and item (iii) below Definition 9.2, we obtain $K = 0$. Therefore \natural is injective. We have shown that \natural is an algebra isomorphism. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This is a reformulation of Theorem 10.7. \square

Definition 10.8. By the *compact presentation* of \mathcal{O}_q we mean the presentation given in Theorem 1.1.

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we take a closer look at the map φ from Lemma 10.4.

Proposition 10.9. *The map φ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

Proof. Adapting the proof of Theorem 10.7 and using Propositions 10.5, 10.6 we find that the composition $\varphi \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. The map ϕ is an algebra isomorphism and hence an isomorphism of vector spaces. The result follows by linear algebra. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) By Lemma 4.10.

(ii), (iii). For notational convenience, we identify \mathcal{O}_q^\vee with \mathcal{O}_q via the bijection \natural . From this point of view, we revisit a few previous results. Lemma 10.2 gives an algebra homomorphism $\flat : \mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ that coincides with the homomorphism ι from Lemma 4.10. Lemma 10.3 gives an algebra homomorphism $\sharp : \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Lemma 10.4 gives an \mathbb{F} -linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$ that sends $w \otimes z \mapsto \flat(w)\sharp(z)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{O}_q$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. The map φ is bijective by Proposition 10.9, and this implies (ii), (iii). (iv) Apply the antiautomorphism τ everywhere in (iii). \square

We finish this section with a comment. Theorem 1.1 shows that the relations (4)–(14) are redundant in the following sense.

Proposition 10.10. The relations (4)–(14) are implied by the relations listed in (i)–(iii) below:

- (i) (4)–(6);
- (ii) (7) with $\ell = 0$;
- (iii) the equations on the right in (13).

Proof. Examining the proof Lemmas 4.8, 4.9, 6.1 we find that the relations listed in (i)–(iii) above are the only ones used to obtain the relations (i)–(vii) in Theorem 1.1. The relations (i)–(vii) in Theorem 1.1 imply the relations (4)–(14), by the meaning of Theorem 1.1. The result follows. \square

11 The elements $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ revisited

We return our attention to the elements $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{O}_q . In (23)–(26) we expressed these elements in terms of the essential generators for \mathcal{O}_q . In this section, we give two more versions of (23)–(26) that are motivated by Theorem 1.2(iii),(iv) and also [35, Proposition 8.4]. These versions are given in Propositions 11.7, 11.8 below. Recall from Theorem 1.2(i) that the q -Onsager algebra \mathcal{O}_q is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ of \mathcal{O}_q . Following Baseilhac and Kolb [9] we define some elements in $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$, denoted

$$\{B_{n\delta+\alpha_0}\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{B_{n\delta+\alpha_1}\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{B_{n\delta}\}_{n=1}^\infty. \quad (38)$$

These elements are defined recursively as follows. Write $B_\delta = q^{-2}\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{W}_0 - \mathcal{W}_0\mathcal{W}_1$. We have

$$B_{\alpha_0} = \mathcal{W}_0, \quad B_{\delta+\alpha_0} = \mathcal{W}_1 + \frac{q[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_0]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})}, \quad (39)$$

$$B_{n\delta+\alpha_0} = B_{(n-2)\delta+\alpha_0} + \frac{q[B_\delta, B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0}]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} \quad n \geq 2 \quad (40)$$

and

$$B_{\alpha_1} = \mathcal{W}_1, \quad B_{\delta+\alpha_1} = \mathcal{W}_0 - \frac{q[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_1]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})}, \quad (41)$$

$$B_{n\delta+\alpha_1} = B_{(n-2)\delta+\alpha_1} - \frac{q[B_\delta, B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1}]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} \quad n \geq 2. \quad (42)$$

Moreover for $n \geq 1$,

$$B_{n\delta} = q^{-2}B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1}\mathcal{W}_0 - \mathcal{W}_0B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1} + (q^{-2} - 1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} B_{\ell\delta+\alpha_1}B_{(n-\ell-2)\delta+\alpha_1}. \quad (43)$$

By [9, Proposition 5.12] the elements $\{B_{n\delta}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ mutually commute.

We will not use the following fact, but we mention it for completeness.

Lemma 11.1. (See [9, Theorem 4.5].) *Assume that q is transcendental over \mathbb{F} . Then a PBW basis for $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ is obtained by the elements (38) in any linear order.*

The elements $\{B_{n\delta}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are defined using the formula (43). We mention another formula for $\{B_{n\delta}\}_{n=1}^\infty$. According to [9, Section 5.2] the following holds for $n \geq 1$:

$$B_{n\delta} = q^{-2}\mathcal{W}_1B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0} - B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0}\mathcal{W}_1 + (q^{-2} - 1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} B_{\ell\delta+\alpha_0}B_{(n-\ell-2)\delta+\alpha_0}. \quad (44)$$

Recall the antiautomorphism τ of \mathcal{O}_q , from Definition 4.7.

Lemma 11.2. *The antiautomorphism τ sends $B_{n\delta+\alpha_0} \leftrightarrow B_{n\delta+\alpha_1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and fixes $B_{n\delta}$ for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The first assertion is verified by comparing (39), (40) with (41), (42). The second assertion is verified by comparing (43), (44). \square

The rest of this section is motivated by [35, Section 8].

Lemma 11.3. *The element $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1 + qB_\delta$ is central in \mathcal{O}_q .*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1(iii),(iv) the element $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1 - [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q$ commutes with \mathcal{W}_0 and \mathcal{W}_1 . By our discussion of ϕ around (32), the algebra \mathcal{O}_q is generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ together with the center of \mathcal{O}_q . By these comments, the element $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1 - [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q$ commutes with everything in \mathcal{O}_q . By construction $[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q = -qB_\delta$. The result follows. \square

Lemma 11.4. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

- (i) $[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q = (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{W}_0\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} - q^2[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_{-k}]$;
- (ii) $[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}]_q = (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{W}_1\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} + [B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}]$.

Proof. (i) Observe that

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q = (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{W}_0\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} + q[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0].$$

Setting $\ell = 0$ in (10) and using Lemma 11.3,

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0] = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_{-k}] = -q[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_{-k}].$$

The result follows.

(ii) Observe that

$$[\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}]_q = (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{W}_1\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} - q^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_1].$$

Setting $\ell = 0$ in (12) and using Lemma 11.3,

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_1] = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}] = -q[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}].$$

The result follows. □

Lemma 11.5. For $n \geq 1$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

$$\mathcal{W}_{-n} = \mathcal{W}_n - \frac{(q - q^{-1})\mathcal{W}_0\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} + \frac{q^2[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_{1-n}]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}; \quad (45)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1} = \mathcal{W}_{1-n} - \frac{(q - q^{-1})\mathcal{W}_1\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} - \frac{[B_\delta, \mathcal{W}_n]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}. \quad (46)$$

Proof. Use the equations on the right in (5), (6) along with Lemma 11.4. □

We recall some notation from [9]. For a negative integer k define

$$B_{k\delta+\alpha_0} = B_{(-k-1)\delta+\alpha_1}, \quad B_{k\delta+\alpha_1} = B_{(-k-1)\delta+\alpha_0}.$$

We have

$$B_{r\delta+\alpha_0} = B_{s\delta+\alpha_1} \quad (r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r + s = -1). \quad (47)$$

Lemma 11.6. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

$$\frac{q[B_\delta, B_{n\delta+\alpha_0}]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} = B_{(n+1)\delta+\alpha_0} - B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0}; \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{q[B_\delta, B_{n\delta+\alpha_1}]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} = B_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1} - B_{(n+1)\delta+\alpha_1}. \quad (49)$$

Proof. Use (39)–(42) and (47). □

Proposition 11.7. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

$$\mathcal{W}_{-n} = -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{k-2\ell} [2]_q^{-k-2} B_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_0} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-k}; \quad (50)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1} = -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{2\ell-k} [2]_q^{-k-2} B_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-k}. \quad (51)$$

Proof. We use induction on n . First assume that $n = 0$. Then (50), (51) hold. Next assume that $n \geq 1$. To obtain (50), evaluate the right-hand side of (45) using induction along with (47), (48). To obtain (51), evaluate the right-hand side of (46) using induction along with (47), (49). \square

Proposition 11.8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

$$\mathcal{W}_{-n} = -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{2\ell-k} [2]_q^{-k-2} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-k} B_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_0}; \quad (52)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1} = -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{k-2\ell} [2]_q^{-k-2} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-k} B_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_1}. \quad (53)$$

Proof. To get (52) (resp. (53)), apply the antiautomorphism τ to each side of (51) (resp. (50)) and evaluate the results using Definition 4.7 and Lemma 11.2. \square

12 A variation on the main results

Recall the automorphism σ of \mathcal{O}_q , from Lemma 4.4. In this section we use σ to obtain a variation on Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Propositions 11.7, 11.8.

Here is a variation on Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 12.1. The algebra \mathcal{O}_q has a presentation by generators $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and relations

- (i) $[\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0];$
- (ii) $[\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1];$
- (iii) $[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{G}_1] = [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q];$
- (iv) $[\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{W}_0] = [[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q, \mathcal{W}_0];$
- (v) for $k \geq 1,$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_1] = \frac{[\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_k]_q]_q]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2};$$

(vi) for $k \geq 1$,

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_{k+1}] = \frac{[[[\mathcal{G}_k, \mathcal{W}_1]_q, \mathcal{W}_0]_q, \mathcal{W}_0]}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2};$$

(vii) for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] = 0.$$

Proof. Apply the automorphism σ everywhere in Theorem 1.1, and use Lemma 4.4. \square

Next we give a variation on Theorem 1.2. Let \mathcal{G} denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q generated by $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 12.2. *Theorem 1.2 remains valid if $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is replaced by \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. Apply the automorphism σ everywhere in Theorem 1.2, and use Lemma 4.4. \square

Next we give a variation on Propositions 11.7, 11.8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\tilde{B}_{n\delta+\alpha_0}$ (resp. $\tilde{B}_{n\delta+\alpha_1}$) denote the σ -image of $B_{n\delta+\alpha_0}$ (resp. $B_{n\delta+\alpha_1}$). For $n \geq 1$ let $\tilde{B}_{n\delta}$ denote the σ -image of $B_{n\delta}$. These elements satisfy the following recursion. We have $\tilde{B}_\delta = q^{-2}\mathcal{W}_0\mathcal{W}_1 - \mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{W}_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{\alpha_0} &= \mathcal{W}_1, & \tilde{B}_{\delta+\alpha_0} &= \mathcal{W}_0 + \frac{q[\tilde{B}_\delta, \mathcal{W}_1]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})}, \\ \tilde{B}_{n\delta+\alpha_0} &= \tilde{B}_{(n-2)\delta+\alpha_0} + \frac{q[\tilde{B}_\delta, \tilde{B}_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0}]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} & n \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{\alpha_1} &= \mathcal{W}_0, & \tilde{B}_{\delta+\alpha_1} &= \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{q[\tilde{B}_\delta, \mathcal{W}_0]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})}, \\ \tilde{B}_{n\delta+\alpha_1} &= \tilde{B}_{(n-2)\delta+\alpha_1} - \frac{q[\tilde{B}_\delta, \tilde{B}_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1}]}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} & n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{n\delta} &= q^{-2}\tilde{B}_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1}\mathcal{W}_1 - \mathcal{W}_1\tilde{B}_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_1} + (q^{-2} - 1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \tilde{B}_{\ell\delta+\alpha_1}\tilde{B}_{(n-\ell-2)\delta+\alpha_1} \\ &= q^{-2}\mathcal{W}_0\tilde{B}_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0} - \tilde{B}_{(n-1)\delta+\alpha_0}\mathcal{W}_0 + (q^{-2} - 1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \tilde{B}_{\ell\delta+\alpha_0}\tilde{B}_{(n-\ell-2)\delta+\alpha_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that the elements

$$\{\tilde{B}_{n\delta+\alpha_0}\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{\tilde{B}_{n\delta+\alpha_1}\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{\tilde{B}_{n\delta}\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

are contained in $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$.

Proposition 12.3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{n+1} &= -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{k-2\ell} [2]_q^{-k-2} \tilde{B}_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_0} \mathcal{G}_{n-k}; \\ \mathcal{W}_{-n} &= -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{2\ell-k} [2]_q^{-k-2} \tilde{B}_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_1} \mathcal{G}_{n-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply σ everywhere in Proposition 11.7, and use Lemma 4.4. \square

Proposition 12.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following hold in \mathcal{O}_q :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{n+1} &= -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{2\ell-k} [2]_q^{-k-2} \mathcal{G}_{n-k} \tilde{B}_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_0}; \\ \mathcal{W}_{-n} &= -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} q^{k-2\ell} [2]_q^{-k-2} \mathcal{G}_{n-k} \tilde{B}_{(k-2\ell)\delta+\alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply σ everywhere in Proposition 11.8, and use Lemma 4.4. \square

13 Suggestions for future research

In this section we give some suggestions for future research. Recall the q -Onsager algebra \mathcal{O}_q and its alternating central extension \mathcal{O}_q .

Problem 13.1. Display an algebra isomorphism from \mathcal{O}_q to a coideal subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$.

Problem 13.2. Assume that \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, and let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible \mathcal{O}_q -module on which $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are diagonalizable. It follows from [25, Theorem 3.10] that $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ act on V as a tridiagonal pair. This means that:

- (i) there exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of \mathcal{W}_0 on V such that

$$\mathcal{W}_1 V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

where $V_{-1} = 0$ and $V_{d+1} = 0$;

- (ii) there exists an ordering $\{V'_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of \mathcal{W}_1 on V such that

$$\mathcal{W}_0 V'_i \subseteq V'_{i-1} + V'_i + V'_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

where $V'_{-1} = 0$ and $V'_{d+1} = 0$.

Show that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{-k} V_i &\subseteq V_i, & \mathcal{W}_{-k} V'_i &\subseteq V'_{i-1} + V'_i + V'_{i+1}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{k+1} V_i &\subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1}, & \mathcal{W}_{k+1} V'_i &\subseteq V'_i, \\ \mathcal{G}_{k+1} V_i &\subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1}, & \mathcal{G}_{k+1} V'_i &\subseteq V'_{i-1} + V'_i + V'_{i+1}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} V_i &\subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} V'_i &\subseteq V'_{i-1} + V'_i + V'_{i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

See [4, 6] along with [7, Line (14)] and [8, Section 2.3] for explicit examples and relevant information.

Problem 13.3. With reference to Problem 13.2, assume that V_i and V'_i have dimension one for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Show that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the map \mathcal{W}_{-k} (resp. \mathcal{W}_{k+1}) (resp. \mathcal{G}_{k+1}) (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}$) acts on V as a linear combination of \mathcal{W}_0 and I (resp. \mathcal{W}_1 and I) (resp. $[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q$ and I) (resp. $[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q$ and I). See [6, Section 2.1.1] and [35, Section 7] for partial results.

Problem 13.4. Assume that \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, and let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible \mathcal{O}_q -module on which $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are diagonalizable. Examples show that the alternating \mathcal{O}_q -generators do not necessarily act on V in a diagonalizable way. Investigate the Jordan canonical form for these actions. How does the Bockting double lowering operator [12, 13] act on the Jordan blocks?

To motivate the next problem, recall from (7), (13) that each of the following (i)–(iv) mutually commute: (i) $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$; (ii) $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$; (iii) $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$; (iv) $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Problem 13.5. Assume that \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, and let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible \mathcal{O}_q -module. Investigate the common eigenvectors for the actions of $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$) (resp. $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$) (resp. $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$) on V .

Problem 13.6. Describe the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q consisting of the elements that commute with \mathcal{W}_0 .

Problem 13.7. Describe the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q consisting of the elements that commute with $[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q$.

Problem 13.8. Describe the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q generated by $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Problem 13.9. Describe the subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_q generated by $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

14 Acknowledgements

The author thanks Pascal Baseilhac and Travis Scrimshaw for helpful conversations about the q -Onsager algebra and its alternating central extension.

References

- [1] P. Baseilhac. An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras. *Nuclear Phys. B* 705 (2005) 605–619; [arXiv:math-ph/0408025](#).
- [2] P. Baseilhac. Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models. *Nuclear Phys. B* 709 (2005) 491–521; [arXiv:hep-th/0404149](#).
- [3] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. Generalized q -Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 93 (2010) 213–228; [arXiv:0906.1215](#).
- [4] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager’s approach. *Nuclear Phys. B* 873 (2013) 550–584; [arXiv:1211.6304](#).

- [5] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. An attractive basis for the q -Onsager algebra. Preprint; arXiv:1704.02950.
- [6] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A new (in)finite dimensional algebra for quantum integrable models. *Nuclear Phys. B* 720 (2005) 325–347; arXiv:math-ph/0503036.
- [7] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A deformed analogue of Onsager’s symmetry in the XXZ open spin chain. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2005, no. 10, P10005, 15 pp. (electronic); arXiv:hep-th/0507053.
- [8] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. Exact spectrum of the XXZ open spin chain from the q -Onsager algebra representation theory. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2007, no. 9, P09006, 27 pp. (electronic); arXiv:hep-th/0703106.
- [9] P. Baseilhac and S. Kolb. Braid group action and root vectors for the q -Onsager algebra. *Transform. Groups* 25 (2020) 363–389; arXiv:1706.08747.
- [10] P. Baseilhac and K. Shigechi. A new current algebra and the reflection equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 92 (2010) 47–65; arXiv:0906.1482v2.
- [11] P. Baseilhac. The alternating presentation of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$ from Freidel-Maillet algebras. Preprint arXiv:2011.01572v1.
- [12] S. Bockting-Conrad. Tridiagonal pairs of q -Racah type, the double lowering operator ψ , and the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 445 (2014) 256–279; arXiv:1307.7410.
- [13] S. Bockting-Conrad. Some q -exponential formulas involving the double lowering operator ψ for a tridiagonal pair. *Advances in Mathematical Sciences.* (2020) 9–43; arXiv:1907.01157v2.
- [14] R. Carter. *Lie algebras of finite and affine type.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 96. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [15] I. Damiani. A basis of type Poincare-Birkoff-Witt for the quantum algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. *J. Algebra* 161 (1993) 291–310.
- [16] T. Ito. TD-pairs and the q -Onsager algebra. *Sugaku Expositions* 32 (2019) 205–232.
- [17] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes, in: *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; arXiv:math.CO/0406556.
- [18] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs of q -Racah type. *J. Algebra* 322 (2009), 68–93; arXiv:0807.0271.
- [19] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The augmented tridiagonal algebra. *Kyushu J. Math.* 64 (2010) 81–144; arXiv:0904.2889.

- [20] S. Kolb. Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs. *Adv. Math.* 267 (2014) 395-469; arXiv:1207.6036.
- [21] M. Lu and W. Wang. A Drinfeld type presentation of affine ι quantum groups I: split ADE type. Preprint; arXiv:2009.04542.
- [22] M. Lu, S. Ruan, W. Wang. ι Hall algebra of the projective line and q -Onsager algebra. Preprint; arXiv:2010.00646.
- [23] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs; arXiv:1711.00332.
- [24] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 2 (1993) 177–210.
- [25] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. In *Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, 377–398, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001; arXiv:math.QA/0307016.
- [26] P. Terwilliger. The universal Askey-Wilson algebra. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 7 (2011) Paper 069, 22 pp.; arXiv:1104.2813.
- [27] P. Terwilliger. The Lusztig automorphism of the q -Onsager algebra. *J. Algebra.* 506 (2018) 56–75; arXiv:1706.05546.
- [28] P. Terwilliger. The q -Onsager algebra and the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 521 (2017) 19–56; arXiv:1506.08666.
- [29] P. Terwilliger. The q -Onsager algebra and the universal Askey-Wilson algebra. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 14 (2018) Paper No. 044, 18 pp.; arXiv:1801.06083.
- [30] P. Terwilliger. An action of the free product $\mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2$ on the q -Onsager algebra and its current algebra. *Nuclear Phys. B* 936 (2018) 306–319; arXiv:1808.09901.
- [31] P. Terwilliger. The alternating PBW basis for the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *J. Math. Phys.* 60 (2019) 071704; arXiv:1902.00721.
- [32] P. Terwilliger. The alternating central extension for the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Nuclear Phys. B* 947 (2019) 114729; arXiv:1907.09872.
- [33] P. Terwilliger. Twisting finite-dimensional modules for the q -Onsager algebra \mathcal{O}_q via the Lusztig automorphism. Preprint; arXiv:2005.00457
- [34] P. Terwilliger. The compact presentation for the alternating central extension of the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. Preprint; arXiv:2011.02463.
- [35] P. Terwilliger. A conjecture concerning the q -Onsager algebra; arXiv:2101.09860.
- [36] P. Terwilliger. The alternating central extension of the q -Onsager algebra. Preprint; arXiv:2103.03028.

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706-1388 USA
email: terwilli@math.wisc.edu