Quantum Optics of Soliton Microcombs

Melissa A. Guidry^{*,1}, Daniil M. Lukin^{*,1}, Ki Youl Yang^{*,1}, Rahul Trivedi^{1,2} and Jelena Vučković^{†,1}

¹E. L. Ginzton Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

²Max-Planck-Institute for Quantum Optics, Hans-Kopfermann-Str. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

Soliton microcombs — phase-locked microcavity frequency combs — have become the foundation of several classical technologies in integrated photonics, including spectroscopy, LiDAR, and optical computing. Despite the predicted multimode entanglement across the comb, experimental study of the quantum optics of the soliton microcomb has been elusive. In this work, we use secondorder photon correlations to study the underlying quantum processes of soliton microcombs in an integrated silicon carbide microresonator. We show that a stable temporal lattice of solitons can isolate a multimode below-threshold Gaussian state from any admixture of coherent light, and predict that all-to-all entanglement can be realized for the state. Our work opens a pathway toward a soliton-based multimode quantum resource.

Kerr optical frequency $combs^{1-3}$ are multimode states of light generated via a third-order optical nonlinearity in an optical resonator. When a Kerr resonator is pumped weakly, spontaneous parametric processes populate resonator modes in pairs. In this regime, Kerr combs can be a quantum resource for the generation of heralded single photons and energy-time entangled pairs⁴⁻⁶, multiphoton entangled states⁷⁻⁹, and squeezed vacuum¹⁰⁻¹². When pumped more strongly, the parametric gain can exceed the resonator loss and give rise to optical parametric oscillation (OPO) and bright comb formation. The modes of a Kerr comb can become phase-locked to form a stable. low-noise dissipative Kerr soliton (DKS). This regime of Kerr comb operation has become the foundation of multiple technologies, including comb-based spectroscopy¹³. LiDAR¹⁴, optical frequency synthesizers¹⁵, and optical processors¹⁶.

While the soliton microcomb has been mostly studied classically, it is nonetheless fundamentally governed by the dynamics of quantized parametric processes: each resonator mode is coupled to every other mode through a four-photon interaction. The multimode coupled processes of the DKS resemble those of the well-studied synchronously pumped OPO^{17,18}. If the quantum processes can be harnessed, soliton microcombs may open a pathway toward the experimental realization of a multimode quantum resource^{18–20} in a scalable, chipintegrated platform^{21,22}. However, the quantum-optical properties of soliton microcombs have not yet been directly observed: the first glimpse into non-classicality was the recent measurement of the quantum-limited timing jitter of the DKS state^{23,24}.

Linearization²⁵ — the formal separation of the optical state into the mean field solution and the quantum fluctuations — is one approach to simplify the otherwise intractable many-body quartic Hamiltonian of the DKS. The result of this approximation is a quadratic Hamiltonian, where the classical amplitudes A_m , obtained for example through the spatiotemporal Lugiato-Lefever equation (LLE)²⁶, drive the spontaneous parametric processes between quantum modes \hat{a}_i :

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{g_0}{2} \sum_{m,n,j,k} \delta_{\text{FWM}} (A_m A_n \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} + A_k^* A_n \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_m + \text{h.c.})$$
⁽¹⁾

where $\delta_{\text{FWM}} = \delta_{(j+k-m-n)}$ is the four-wave mixing (FWM) mode number matching condition and g_0 is the nonlinear coupling coefficient. This approximation has successfully modelled the quantum correlations developed by a coherent soliton pulse after propagating through a fiber^{27,28}, where the Kerr interaction is weak. The DKS state, however, is itself generated by strong Kerr interactions where linearization can break down and yield unphysical predictions^{29,30}. Experimentally verifying the validity of this model for the DKS is a prerequisite for exploring its application in quantum technologies.

In this work, we use second-order photon correlation measurements to experimentally validate the linearized model (Eq. 1) for describing the spontaneous parametric processes in DKS states. We study the quantum formation dynamics of Kerr frequency combs and identify a class of DKS states — perfect soliton crystals^{31,32} — which naturally isolate a subset of quantum optical fields from the coherent mean-field (Fig. 1). We measure the correlation matrix of the below-threshold modes to numerically infer the multi-mode entanglement structure of the state. We find that the DKS state may be engineered as an on-chip source of all-to-all entanglement.

The observation of the full optical spectrum of a Kerr frequency comb, including the above- and belowthreshold processes, requires single-photon sensitivity and a high dynamic range. For this purpose, we designed a single-photon optical spectrum analyzer (SPOSA) using multipass grating monochromators and superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors (SNSPDs) (PhotonSpot, Inc). The SPOSA has a broadband (> 200 nm) quantum efficiency of ~ 20%, and close-in dynamic range of > 140 dB at ± 0.8 nm. We generate Kerr frequency combs using microring resonators with 350 GHz free spectral range (FSR) fabricated in 4Hsilicon carbide-on-insulator, a platform with favorable nonlinear³³ and quantum³⁴ optical properties. With in-



FIG. 1: Linearized model for quantum optical fields in a DKS state A schematic depiction of a Kerr microresonator with a circulating perfect soliton crystal state. The full optical state is modeled as a coherent classical comb (blue) that drives the quantum comb (red) via spontaneous parametric processes.



FIG. 2: Single-photon spectroscopy of optical microcombs (a) Stages of frequency comb formation observed on the single-photon optical spectrum analyzer (SPOSA). Dashed line indicates noise floor of a commercial optical spectrum analyzer (-80 dBm). (b) The single soliton state. (c) A 7-FSR soliton crystal state, observed in a different device.

trinsic quality factors (Q) as high as 5.6×10^6 , low threshold OPO and low-power soliton operation (0.5 mW and 2.3 mW, respectively) are achieved. We use the SPOSA to characterize the Kerr microcomb spectra through all stages of DKS formation (Fig. 2a,b). The sech² soliton envelope is seen to persist at the tails of the DKS, in

modes with very low photon number $\left\langle a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}\right\rangle < 10^{-3}$. The quantum fluctuations generated in the DKS state and responsible for its quantum-limited timing jitter^{23,24} are obscured in the single-soliton spectrum. A perfect soliton crystal^{31,32} (henceforth referred to as a soliton crystal), however, reveals these quantum fluctuations



FIG. 3: Quantum coherence of parametric oscillation (a) The near-threshold spectrum reproduced from Fig. 2a. Vertical dashed lines indicate the modes where the primary comb will form. (b) Measured $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$ on different modes shows the dispersion-dependent parametric gain variation throughout the comb. (c) Observation of asymptotic growth of coherence near the OPO threshold. The effective parametric gain λ , extracted from a numerical fit to Eq. 2 is plotted against the detected count rate on mode $\mu = +5$. (d) At the highest photon count rate, $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$ reveals coherence-broadening which corresponds to threshold proximity of 0.99896(5).

(Fig. 2c).

The formation of DKS from a below-threshold quantum frequency comb begins with the transition of a spontaneous FWM process into a stimulated FWM process at the onset of OPO. This transition can be observed through the second-order correlation function, $g^{(2)}(\tau)$. Using input-output theory³⁵ we derive the exact form of $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ for a general two-mode parametric process. The general derivation is presented in the Supplementary Information. For signal and idler modes of equal linewidths κ , the auto-correlation is given by

$$g_{\text{auto}}^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{e^{-\kappa\tau}}{\lambda^2} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2}\sinh(\lambda\tau) + \lambda\cosh(\lambda\tau)\right]^2 \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{g^2 - \delta^2}$ is the effective parametric gain, $g = g_0 |A_0|^2$ is the mode coupling strength, and δ is the detuning. Here, two regimes are notable: when $\delta^2 > g^2$, λ is imaginary which gives rise to oscillations in $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$, corresponding to the double-peaked photon spectrum for a strongly detuned parametric process^{25,30}; when λ approaches $\kappa/2$, the $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$ coherence (decay time) increases asymptotically, reflecting the transition of the spontaneous FWM process into a stimulated FWM process (analogous to lasing). In a Kerr frequency comb close to but below the OPO threshold (Fig. 3a), both regimes can be observed simultaneously. We measure $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$ using two SNSPD detectors in a Hanbury Brown and Twiss configuration, and observe the dispersion-induced variation in λ for different signal-idler pairs (Fig. 3b).³⁶. Mode $\mu = +9$, far away from the pump, displays oscillations in $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$, signifying poor phase-matching. In contrast, mode $\mu = +5$ shows a substantial coherence increase, which correctly predicts that it will seed the formation of the primary comb. To observe the asymptotic coherence growth at threshold, we repeatedly sweep the pump laser detuning through the OPO threshold condition, while synchronously acquiring the photon count rates and two-photon correlations. Through the numerical fit to Eq. 2, λ is extracted and plotted against the measured count rate (Fig. 3c). The maximum recorded coherence broadening exceeds the cavity coherence³⁵ by nearly three orders of magnitude (Fig. 3d), which indicates that the state is approaching the critical point at which the linearized model would break down.^{29,30}

In the linearized model of an above-threshold Kerr comb, a resonator mode may be occupied by both a co-



FIG. 4: Formation dynamics of secondary combs (a) A SPOSA spectrum of a secondary comb state. (b) A graphical representation of the stages of secondary comb formation. (c) The auto-correlation is measured at mode $\mu = +4$ for each comb state. In state 1, only non-degenerate pair generation contributes to mode $\mu = +4$. In state 2, simultaneous degenerate and non-degenerate spontaneous pair generation is present. In state 3a, subcombs begin to merge and two-photon correlations reveal the interference of quantum fluctuations with the coherent state. The data are overlaid with the fit to input-output theory. In state 3b, the coherent state dominates and only the RF beat note is observed.

herent state and quantum fluctuations. In theory, the interference of the coherent state and the quantum fluctuations can be revealed through $q^{(2)}(\tau)$, but the intensity of the former is usually orders of magnitude greater (as can be seen in the spectra of the primary comb and the soliton crystal, Fig. 2), making the experimental observation difficult. An exception can be found in the formation of secondary combs. We characterize the quantum formation dynamics of secondary combs through secondorder photon correlations (Fig. 4), complementing earlier classical studies^{36,37}. By monitoring mode $\mu = +4$, which is equidistant from the pump and the primary sideband, we observe simultaneously the degenerate and nondegenerate spontaneous pair generation processes, as well as the merging of the coherent subcombs. Notably, at the onset of subcombs merging, the intensities of the (bichromatic) coherent state and the quantum optical fields become comparable, and the signature of their interference in two-photon correlations is readily observed (Fig. 4c,

state 3a). The Fourier transform of the auto-correlation shows three peaks, at 0, $\Delta/2$, and Δ ; they represent, respectively, the two-photon bunching of spontaneous pair generation, the interference of the quantum fluctuations with the bichromatic coherent state, and the coherent RF beat note^{36,37} of the coherent state. The details of the theory used to model the interference are presented in the Supplementary Information. As the subcombs continue to merge, the coherent light drowns out the spontaneous parametric processes, and the photon correlations correspond to the interference of two weak coherent sources.³⁸

In a DKS state, the coherent comb is phase-locked and time-independent in the group-velocity reference frame, yielding a time-independent Hamiltonian for the quantum optical fields (Eq. 1). In contrast, a comb that is not phase-locked will produce a Hamiltonian with a time-dependent drive. To observe this effect in experiment, we consider a microring resonator which supports three distinct states with a 2-FSR spacing: one



FIG. 5: Quantum correlations in non-phase-locked combs and perfect soliton crystals (a) A SPOSA spectrum of a 2-FSR soliton crystal. (b) Left: The measured $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ at mode $\mu = -15$ for different 2-FSR states observed in the device (inset shows an OSA spectrum of the measured state). Right: The RF beat note measured on a photodetector at mode $\mu = -6$ (red), and the Fourier transform of the measured $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ (blue). Bottom panel includes the photodetector noise floor, corroborating the low-noise soliton state. (c) The second-order correlations matrix for the below-threshold modes in the 2-FSR soliton crystal. Left: theoretical model; Right: experimental data. (d) The logarithmic negativity (E_N) matrix calculated for the 2-FSR soliton crystal assuming $10 \times$ increased out-coupling of the below-threshold modes. No pairwise entanglement is predicted in the device with unmodified waveguide coupling.

state is a 2-FSR soliton crystal (Fig. 5a); the others are non-natively spaced secondary combs³⁶ in the process of merging. These secondary combs are non-phase-locked states, which manifests in the frequency domain as polychromatic comb teeth. For each 2-FSR state, we measure $g^{(2)}(\tau) = \left\langle g^{(2)}(t,\tau) \right\rangle_t$ on mode $\mu = -15$ while simultaneously measuring the RF spectrum of mode $\mu = -6$ on a photodetector. Whereas the soliton crystal two-photon correlations are time-independent far from zero time delay, the correlations of a non-soliton state exhibit oscilla-

tions whose Fourier transform matches the RF spectrum measured on the photodetector. Such temporal dynamics may be modelled via Floquet theory³⁹. We note that similar temporal oscillations in $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ have been observed in Floquet-driven two-level systems⁴⁰.

The soliton crystal state offers an excellent opportunity to experimentally verify the linearized model for the DKS state. The mean-field solution (complex amplitudes A_m in Eq. 1) of the soliton crystal can be readily computed via the LLE; the below-threshold modes comprise the quantum fluctuations driven by the mean-field soliton without any admixture of coherent light and, crucially, they are decoupled from the the quantum fluctuations of the above-threshold modes by the mode matching condition δ_{FWM} . We measure the correlations between all pairs of below-threshold modes of the 2-FSR soliton crystal, and compute the theoretically predicted second-order correlations matrix for the measured device parameters (Fig. 5c). We note that the only free parameter in the model is the pump laser detuning (within the soliton locking range). The agreement of the model with the experiment suggests that the quadratic Hamiltonian of the linearized model is indeed appropriate for describing the photon statistics of the quantum optical field generated in the below-threshold modes of a DKS state.

We perform an analysis of the multipartite entanglement across the 2-FSR soliton crystal in the resonator mode basis by computing the logarithmic negativity⁴¹, E_N , for all mode pairs. We find that in this basis, no pair-wise entanglement is predicted in the measured device. We then consider a modified device architecture, where the below-threshold modes are overcoupled to the output waveguide via a photonic molecule configuration $(two coupled microresonators)^{42,43}$. This architecture is advantageous because it allows for the efficient extraction of the quantum optical fields from the device while simultaneously filtering them from the coherent fields, all without impacting the soliton crystal (see Supplementary Information). For this system, we numerically observe all-to-all entanglement along the signal-idler diagonal of the pump (Fig. 5d). Such entanglement structure is consistent with the all-to-all connectivity in the 2-FSR soliton crystal Hamiltonian. In (N > 3)-FSR soliton crystals, the below threshold modes are not all-to-all coupled. Instead, the modes are divided into disjoint sets grouped by the value $|\mu \mod N|$, as per the modematching condition. Thus, $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ non-interacting subsets (each internally all-to-all coupled) are expected in an N-FSR soliton crystal. Indeed, for the 7-FSR soliton crystal state presented in Fig. 2d, we experimentally confirm three disjoint all-to-all correlated sets of modes (see Supplementary Information).

In conclusion, we have investigated the quantum formation dynamics of DKS states and their quantum correlations. The experimental methods introduced here can serve as a starting point for exploring higher-order corrections to the linearized model both in the DKS and at near-threshold critical points. Applications of DKS states in quantum technologies will be informed by further theoretical and experimental characterization of the intra-comb entanglement. Once target supermodes¹⁷ and nullifiers 18,44 are identified for the system, dispersion engineering 45,46 can be employed to design the desired system Hamiltonian. The recent demonstrations of soliton generation in CMOS-foundry photonics^{47,48}, efficient on-chip frequency translation⁴⁹, integrated detection of squeezed light⁵⁰, and on-chip squeezed microcombs⁵¹ lay out a clear path toward developing the DKS state as a resource for continuous variable quantum information $processing^{52-55}.$

The large-scale multi-mode entanglement possible in a DKS state may also find applications in discrete variable quantum computation protocols operating under continuous wave $(CW)^{5,9}$ or pulsed^{7,8} drive. Although the soliton is traditionally operated in the CW regime, the recent study of solitons driven by a pulsed pump may enable the implementation of time-bin entanglement protocols⁵⁶ and the study of the temporal dynamics of quantum correlations⁵⁷ under Floquet drive. Pulsed operation can also be achieved with a CW-driven soliton via a time-dependent coupling constant $g_0(t)$ (Eq. 1), via the rapidly-tunable photonic molecule configuration⁴². to realize multimode control of spectral and temporal entanglement in a single integrated photonic device. Finally, we note that with the recent demonstration of Pockels soliton microcombs 58 , our results may be further extended to interactions between second- and third-order parametric processes.

- *These authors contributed equally.
- [†] jela@stanford.edu

Acknowledgments We gratefully acknowledge discussions with John Bowers, Tian Zhong, Lin Chang, Chengying Bao, Boqiang Shen and Avik Dutt. This work is funded by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under the PIPES program. M.A.G. acknowledges the Albion Hewlett Stanford Graduate Fellowship (SGF) and the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship. D.M.L. acknowledges the Fong SGF and the National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship. Part of this work was performed at the Stanford Nanofabrication Facility (SNF) and the Stanford Nano Shared Facilities (SNSF).

¹ Kippenberg, T. J., Gaeta, A. L., Lipson, M. & Gorodetsky, M. L. Dissipative kerr solitons in optical microresonators. *Science* **361** (2018).

² Diddams, S. A., Vahala, K. & Udem, T. Optical frequency combs: Coherently uniting the electromagnetic spectrum. *Science* **369** (2020).

- ³ Kues, M. et al. Quantum optical microcombs. Nature Photonics 13, 170–179 (2019).
- ⁴ Grassani, D. *et al.* Micrometer-scale integrated silicon source of time-energy entangled photons. *Optica* 2, 88– 94 (2015).
- ⁵ Jaramillo-Villegas, J. A. *et al.* Persistent energy-time entanglement covering multiple resonances of an on-chip biphoton frequency comb. *Optica* 4, 655–658 (2017).
- ⁶ Steiner, T. J. et al. Ultra-bright entangled-photon pair generation from an AlGaAs-on-insulator microring resonator. PRX Quantum 2, 010337 (2021).
- ⁷ Reimer, C. *et al.* Generation of multiphoton entangled quantum states by means of integrated frequency combs. *Science* **351**, 1176–1180 (2016).
- ⁸ Kues, M. *et al.* On-chip generation of high-dimensional entangled quantum states and their coherent control. *Nature* 546, 622–626 (2017).
- ⁹ Samara, F. et al. Entanglement swapping between independent and asynchronous integrated photon-pair sources. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.08150 (2020).
- ¹⁰ Vaidya, V. D. *et al.* Broadband quadrature-squeezed vacuum and nonclassical photon number correlations from a nanophotonic device. *Science advances* 6, eaba9186 (2020).
- ¹¹ Zhao, Y. *et al.* Near-degenerate quadrature-squeezed vacuum generation on a silicon-nitride chip. *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 193601 (2020).
- ¹² Arrazola, J. et al. Quantum circuits with many photons on a programmable nanophotonic chip. Nature **591**, 54–60 (2021).
- ¹³ Suh, M.-G., Yang, Q.-F., Yang, K. Y., Yi, X. & Vahala, K. J. Microresonator soliton dual-comb spectroscopy. *Sci*ence **354**, 600–603 (2016).
- ¹⁴ Riemensberger, J. *et al.* Massively parallel coherent laser ranging using a soliton microcomb. *Nature* 581, 164–170 (2020).
- ¹⁵ Spencer, D. T. et al. An optical-frequency synthesizer using integrated photonics. Nature 557, 81–85 (2018).
- ¹⁶ Feldmann, J. *et al.* Parallel convolutional processing using an integrated photonic tensor core. *Nature* **589**, 52–58 (2021).
- ¹⁷ Roslund, J., De Araujo, R. M., Jiang, S., Fabre, C. & Treps, N. Wavelength-multiplexed quantum networks with ultrafast frequency combs. *Nature Photonics* 8, 109–112 (2014).
- ¹⁸ Cai, Y. et al. Multimode entanglement in reconfigurable graph states using optical frequency combs. Nature communications 8, 1–9 (2017).
- ¹⁹ Chen, M., Menicucci, N. C. & Pfister, O. Experimental realization of multipartite entanglement of 60 modes of a quantum optical frequency comb. *Physical review letters* **112**, 120505 (2014).
- ²⁰ Pfister, O. Continuous-variable quantum computing in the quantum optical frequency comb. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 53, 012001 (2019).
- ²¹ Wang, J., Sciarrino, F., Laing, A. & Thompson, M. G. Integrated photonic quantum technologies. *Nature Photonics* 14, 273–284 (2020).
- ²² Wu, B.-H., Alexander, R. N., Liu, S. & Zhang, Z. Quantum computing with multidimensional continuous-variable cluster states in a scalable photonic platform. *Physical Review Research* 2, 023138 (2020).
- ²³ Matsko, A. B. & Maleki, L. On timing jitter of mode locked kerr frequency combs. *Optics express* **21**, 28862–

28876 (2013).

- ²⁴ Bao, C. et al. Quantum diffusion of microcavity solitons. Nature Physics 1–5 (2021).
- ²⁵ Chembo, Y. K. Quantum dynamics of kerr optical frequency combs below and above threshold: Spontaneous four-wave mixing, entanglement, and squeezed states of light. *Physical Review A* **93**, 033820 (2016).
- ²⁶ Chembo, Y. K. & Menyuk, C. R. Spatiotemporal lugiatolefever formalism for kerr-comb generation in whisperinggallery-mode resonators. *Physical Review A* 87, 053852 (2013).
- ²⁷ Haus, H. A. & Lai, Y. Quantum theory of soliton squeezing: a linearized approach. JOSA B 7, 386–392 (1990).
- ²⁸ Spälter, S., Korolkova, N., König, F., Sizmann, A. & Leuchs, G. Observation of multimode quantum correlations in fiber optical solitons. *Physical review letters* **81**, 786 (1998).
- ²⁹ Navarrete-Benlloch, C., Roldán, E., Chang, Y. & Shi, T. Regularized linearization for quantum nonlinear optical cavities: Application to degenerate optical parametric oscillators. *Optics express* **22**, 24010–24023 (2014).
- ³⁰ Vernon, Z. & Sipe, J. Strongly driven nonlinear quantum optics in microring resonators. *Physical Review A* **92**, 033840 (2015).
- ³¹ Cole, D. C., Lamb, E. S., Del'Haye, P., Diddams, S. A. & Papp, S. B. Soliton crystals in kerr resonators. *Nature Photonics* **11**, 671–676 (2017).
- ³² Karpov, M. *et al.* Dynamics of soliton crystals in optical microresonators. *Nature Physics* **15**, 1071–1077 (2019).
- ³³ Guidry, M. A. *et al.* Optical parametric oscillation in silicon carbide nanophotonics. *Optica* 7, 1139–1142 (2020).
- ³⁴ Lukin, D. M. et al. 4H-silicon-carbide-on-insulator for integrated quantum and nonlinear photonics. Nature Photonics 14, 330–334 (2020).
- ³⁵ Ou, Z. & Lu, Y. Cavity enhanced spontaneous parametric down-conversion for the prolongation of correlation time between conjugate photons. *Physical Review Letters* 83, 2556 (1999).
- ³⁶ Herr, T. *et al.* Universal formation dynamics and noise of kerr-frequency combs in microresonators. *Nature Photonics* **6**, 480–487 (2012).
- ³⁷ Coillet, A. *et al.* On the transition to secondary kerr combs in whispering-gallery mode resonators. *Optics letters* 44, 3078–3081 (2019).
- ³⁸ da Silva, T. F., do Amaral, G. C., Vitoreti, D., Temporão, G. P. & von der Weid, J. P. Spectral characterization of weak coherent state sources based on two-photon interference. JOSA B **32**, 545–549 (2015).
- ³⁹ Navarrete-Benlloch, C., Garcés, R., Mohseni, N. & de Valcárcel, G. Floquet theory for temporal correlations and spectra in time-periodic open quantum systems: Application to squeezed parametric oscillation beyond the rotating-wave approximation. *Physical Review A* **103**, 023713 (2021).
- ⁴⁰ Lukin, D. M. *et al.* Spectrally reconfigurable quantum emitters enabled by optimized fast modulation. *npj Quantum Information* 6, 1–9 (2020).
- ⁴¹ Vidal, G. & Werner, R. F. Computable measure of entanglement. *Physical Review A* 65, 032314 (2002).
- ⁴² Zhang, M. *et al.* Electronically programmable photonic molecule. *Nature Photonics* **13**, 36–40 (2019).
- ⁴³ Helgason, Ó. B. *et al.* Dissipative solitons in photonic molecules. *Nature Photonics* 1–6 (2021).

- ⁴⁴ Ra, Y.-S. *et al.* Non-gaussian quantum states of a multimode light field. *Nature Physics* **16**, 144–147 (2020).
- ⁴⁵ Brasch, V. *et al.* Photonic chip-based optical frequency comb using soliton cherenkov radiation. *Science* **351**, 357– 360 (2016).
- ⁴⁶ Lu, X. *et al.* Chip-integrated visible–telecom entangled photon pair source for quantum communication. *Nature physics* **15**, 373–381 (2019).
- ⁴⁷ Jin, W. *et al.* Hertz-linewidth semiconductor lasers using CMOS-ready ultra-high-Q microresonators. *Nature Photonics* 1–8 (2021).
- ⁴⁸ Xiang, C. *et al.* Laser soliton microcombs on silicon. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.02725 (2021).
- ⁴⁹ Hu, Y. et al. Reconfigurable electro-optic frequency shifter. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09621 (2020).
- ⁵⁰ Tasker, J. F. *et al.* Silicon photonics interfaced with integrated electronics for 9 ghz measurement of squeezed light. *Nature Photonics* 15, 11–15 (2021).
- ⁵¹ Yang, Z. *et al.* A squeezed quantum microcomb on a chip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03380* (2021).
- ⁵² Xie, Z. *et al.* Harnessing high-dimensional hyperentangle-

ment through a biphoton frequency comb. *Nature Photonics* **9**, 536–542 (2015).

- ⁵³ Asavanant, W. *et al.* Generation of time-domainmultiplexed two-dimensional cluster state. *Science* 366, 373–376 (2019).
- ⁵⁴ Larsen, M. V., Guo, X., Breum, C. R., Neergaard-Nielsen, J. S. & Andersen, U. L. Deterministic generation of a twodimensional cluster state. *Science* **366**, 369–372 (2019).
- ⁵⁵ Zhong, H.-S. *et al.* Quantum computational advantage using photons. *Science* **370**, 1460–1463 (2020).
- ⁵⁶ Daugey, T., Billet, C., Dudley, J., Merolla, J.-M. & Chembo, Y. K. Kerr optical frequency comb generation using whispering-gallery-mode resonators in the pulsedpump regime. *Physical Review A* **103**, 023521 (2021).
- ⁵⁷ Imany, P., Lingaraju, N. B., Alshaykh, M. S., Leaird, D. E. & Weiner, A. M. Probing quantum walks through coherent control of high-dimensionally entangled photons. *Science advances* 6, eaba8066 (2020).
- ⁵⁸ Bruch, A. W. et al. Pockels soliton microcomb. Nature Photonics 15, 21–27 (2021).

Supplementary Information for Quantum Optics of Soliton Microcombs

Melissa A. Guidry^{*,1}, Daniil M. Lukin^{*,1}, Ki Youl Yang^{*,1}, Rahul Trivedi^{1,2} and Jelena Vučković^{†,1}

¹E. L. Ginzton Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

²Max-Planck-Institute for Quantum Optics, Hans-Kopfermann-Str. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

CONTENTS

I. Linearized model of the soliton microcomb		
A. Linearization	2	
B. Input-output formalism	2	
C. Second-order photon correlations below threshold	3	
D. Numerical analysis of OPO threshold	4	
E. Second-order photon correlations in merging secondary combs	6	
F. Characterizing entanglement between cavity modes in soliton crystals	6	
II. Experimental setup	8	
III. Silicon carbide soliton microcomb	9	
A. Sub-mW parametric oscillation threshold	9	
B. Demonstration of a Silicon Carbide soliton microcomb	9	
IV. Soliton crystals	11	
A. 2-FSR soliton crystal	11	
B. Photonic molecule analysis	11	
C. 7-FSR soliton crystal	13	
References	13	

I. LINEARIZED MODEL OF THE SOLITON MICROCOMB

In this section, we detail the linearized model and the calculation of second-order photon correlations. First, we begin with a general quartic Hamiltonian for a Kerr resonator with a single pump; we linearize the model to produce a quadratic Hamiltonian, where modes are coupled through the classical amplitudes which can be described by the LLE^{S1} . Next, we define the input-output equations for the open quantum system, which we use to calculate the second-order correlation functions in a below-threshold comb. We numerically model the experiment of Fig. 3 from the main text to demonstrate the interface of the LLE with the input-output formalism. We then extend our model to describe photon correlations in the presence of bichromatic coherent light. Finally, we describe how to characterize entanglement (the logarithmic negativity, E_N) between different cavity modes starting from the Heisenberg equations.

A. Linearization

We consider the most general system Hamiltonian for four-wave mixing between cavity modes with coherent drive of the pump mode, $\mu = 0$:

$$\hat{H}_{\rm sys} = \sum_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_0 \sum_{\mu,\nu,j,k} \delta[\mu + \nu - j - k] \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mu} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\nu} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_k + \alpha_0 (\hat{a}_0 e^{i\omega_p t} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}_0 e^{-i\omega_p t}) \tag{1}$$

where $\delta[\mu + \nu - j - k]$ is the Kronecker delta which enforces the four-wave mixing mode-matching condition. Here, ω_{μ} is the resonance frequency of cavity mode μ and ω_{p} is the frequency of the coherent pump driving the central mode. The nonlinear coupling coefficient

$$g_0 = \frac{\hbar \omega_p^2 c n_2}{n_0^2 V_{\text{eff}}}$$

represents the per photon frequency shift of the cavity due to the third-order nonlinearity of the cavity: \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant, n_2 is the nonlinear refractive index, n_0 is the material index, and V_{eff} is the effective mode volume of the resonator. The amplitude of the drive field is

$$\alpha_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_c P_{\rm wg}}{\hbar\omega_p}}$$

where κ_c is the coupling rate of the cavity to the input waveguide and $P_{\rm wg}$ is the power in the input waveguide. To linearize the system, we write a formal separation of the optical state into the mean field solution (assumed to be a coherent state) and the quantum fluctuations: $\hat{a}_{\mu}(t) \rightarrow \alpha_{\mu}(t) + \hat{a}_{\mu}(t)$, where $\alpha_{\mu}(t)$ is the complex amplitude of the coherent state inside the cavity. Moving into the reference frame which removes explicit time dependence from the classical coupled mode equations^{S2}, we apply a unitary transformation using $\hat{U}(t) = e^{i\hat{R}t}$ where $\hat{R} = \sum_{\mu} (\omega_p + D_1 \mu) \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\mu}$. We enter the rotating frame of an evenly-spaced frequency ruler, with spacing D_1 , centered at the central pump mode. Defining $\delta_{\mu} = \omega_{\mu} - \omega_p - D_1 \mu$, and keeping only quadratic terms, we arrive at a Hamiltonian which includes the interaction Hamiltonian Eq. (1) of the main text:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{sys}} = \sum_{\mu} \delta_{\mu} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\mu} - \frac{g_0}{2} \sum_{\mu,\nu,j,k} \delta[\mu + \nu - j - k] \underbrace{(A_{\mu}A_{\nu}\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{j}\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{k} + A_{k}^*A_{\nu}\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{j}\hat{a}_{\mu}}_{\text{XPM \& Bragg scattering}} + \text{h.c.}) \tag{2}$$

where A_{μ} are the complex-valued field amplitudes, described using the Lugiato-Lefever equation^{S1}. The last term describes cross-phase modulation (XPM) when $\mu = j$, and four-wave mixing Bragg scattering otherwise.

B. Input-output formalism

The following calculations are in the Heisenberg picture. To describe the open system, we allow our cavity modes to couple to a bath. For a resonator with a large finesse, a Markovian approximation can be made on each mode independently

$$H_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{\mu} \int \omega \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\mu}(\omega) \hat{b}_{\mu}(\omega) d\omega$$
(3)

with a bath-coupling Hamiltonian

$$V = \sum_{\mu} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{\mu}}{2\pi}} \int \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mu}(\omega) \hat{b}_{\mu}(\omega) d\omega$$
(4)

where κ_{μ} is the total loss rate for cavity mode μ . Starting from the Hamiltonian $H = H_{\text{sys}} + H_{\text{bath}} + V$, we use the Heisenberg equations of motion $\dot{a}_{\mu} = -i[\hat{a}_{\mu}, \hat{H}]$ to write down quantum coupled mode equations for this system which resemble the classical coupled mode equations:

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_{\mu}(t)}{dt} = -\left(i\delta_{\mu} + \frac{\kappa_{\mu}}{2}\right)\hat{a}_{\mu}(t) + ig_{0}\sum_{\nu,j,k}\delta[\mu + \nu - j - k]A_{j}A_{k}\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger}(t)
+ 2ig_{0}\sum_{\nu,j,k}\delta[\mu + j - \nu - k]A_{j}^{*}A_{k}\hat{a}_{\nu}(t) - i\sqrt{\kappa_{\mu}}\hat{b}_{\mathrm{in},\mu}(t)$$
(5)

Each bath-cavity mode pair has an associated input-output relation:

$$\hat{b}_{\text{out},\mu}(t) = \hat{b}_{\text{in},\mu}(t) - i\sqrt{\kappa_{\mu}}\hat{a}_{\mu}(t) \tag{6}$$

We define the following 2n-dimensional vectors describing n quantum modes in the frequency domain:

$$\bar{a}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_{1}(\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{a}_{n}(\omega) \\ \hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{a}_{n}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \bar{b}_{in}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_{in,1}(\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_{in,n}(\omega) \\ \hat{b}_{in,1}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_{in,n}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \bar{b}_{out}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_{out,1}(\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_{out,n}(\omega) \\ \hat{b}_{out,1}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_{out,n}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

We can define a matrix $N(\omega)$ from our coupled mode equations relating the output fluctuations to the input fluctuations:

$$\bar{b}_{\rm out}(\omega) = N(\omega)\bar{b}_{\rm in}(\omega)$$
(8)

C. Second-order photon correlations below threshold

We derive analytic expressions for two-photon correlations between quantum modes which interact with a single pumped mode^{S3}. In this case, our Hamiltonian is simple, and we only consider interactions between pairs of modes $(-\mu, +\mu)$ centered around the pump. For this analysis, we choose $D_1 = (\omega_+ - \omega_-)/2\mu$ for which $\delta_+ = \delta_- = \delta$.

$$\hat{H}_{\rm sys} = \delta(\hat{a}_{-}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{-} + \hat{a}_{+}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{+}) + ig(\hat{a}_{-}\hat{a}_{+} - \hat{a}_{-}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{+}^{\dagger}) \tag{9}$$

where $g = g_0 |A_0|^2$, which includes both the Kerr coupling strength and the intensity in the pumped cavity mode. Assuming $\kappa_+ = \kappa_- = \kappa$, the Heisenberg equations read

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_{\pm}(t)}{dt} = -\left(i\delta + \frac{\kappa}{2}\right)\hat{a}_{\pm}(t) - g\hat{a}_{\mp}^{\dagger}(t) - i\sqrt{\kappa}\hat{b}_{\mathrm{in},\pm}(t) \tag{10}$$

which gives us the following matrix $N(\omega)$:

$$N(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa/2 - i(\delta + \omega))}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{g\kappa}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa/2 - i(\delta + \omega))}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} & \frac{g\kappa}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{g\kappa}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} & 1 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa/2 + i(\delta - \omega))}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} & 0 \\ \frac{g\kappa}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} & 0 & 0 & 1 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa/2 + i(\delta - \omega))}{(g^2 - \delta^2) - (\kappa/2 - i\omega)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We calculate the two-photon correlation function:

$$g_{ij}^{(2)}(t+\tau,t) = \frac{G^{(2)}(t+\tau,t)}{\left\langle \hat{b}_{\text{out},i}^{\dagger}(t)\hat{b}_{\text{out},i}(t) \right\rangle \left\langle \hat{b}_{\text{out},j}^{\dagger}(t+\tau)\hat{b}_{\text{out},j}(t+\tau) \right\rangle}$$
(11)

where

$$G^{(2)}(t+\tau,t) = \left\langle \hat{b}_{\text{out},i}^{\dagger}(t)\hat{b}_{\text{out},j}^{\dagger}(t+\tau)\hat{b}_{\text{out},j}(t+\tau)\hat{b}_{\text{out},i}(t)\right\rangle.$$
(12)

The expectation value is taken with respect to the initial vacuum state. The time-domain output bath operator is related to the frequency-domain input bath operator via:

$$\hat{b}_{\text{out,i}}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} \hat{b}_{\text{out,i}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(N_{ik}(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{in,k}}(\omega) + N_{i(k+n)}(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{in,k}}^{\dagger}(-\omega) \right)$$
(13)

From here, $g_{ij}^{(2)}(t + \tau, t)$ may be calculated using the commutation relations of the frequency-domain input bath operators:

$$\left\langle \hat{b}_{\mathrm{in},\mathrm{i}}(\omega)\hat{b}_{\mathrm{in},\mathrm{j}}^{\dagger}(-\omega')\right\rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta(\omega+\omega') \qquad \left\langle \hat{b}_{\mathrm{in},\mathrm{i}}^{\dagger}(-\omega)\hat{b}_{\mathrm{in},\mathrm{j}}(\omega')\right\rangle = 0$$

After applying the commutation relations, one arrives at an expression where each term is a product of Fourier transforms. Defining $\lambda = \sqrt{g^2 - \delta^2}$, the result is:

$$g_{++}^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{e^{-\kappa\tau}}{\lambda^2} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2}\sinh(\lambda\tau) + \lambda\cosh(\lambda\tau)\right]^2$$
(14)

$$g_{+-}^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{e^{-\kappa\tau}}{g^2} \left| \left(\lambda - i\frac{\kappa}{2}\frac{\delta}{\lambda} \right) \sinh(\lambda\tau) + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} - i\delta\right) \cosh(\lambda\tau) \right|^2 \tag{15}$$

and $g_{++}^{(2)}(\tau) = g_{--}^{(2)}(\tau), \ g_{+-}^{(2)}(\tau) = g_{-+}^{(2)}(-\tau).$

D. Numerical analysis of OPO threshold

To compute the second-order correlation matrix of the quantum optical fields in the presence of the above-threshold Kerr comb, we combine the LLE simulation with input-output theory^{S2}. The basic test case for the self-consistency of the joint LLE and input-output modelling is the OPO threshold condition: specifically, when the laser is tuned from blue to red to model the experiment, the asymptotic bandwidth narrowing in the below-threshold mode (as computed via input-output theory) and the formation of primary combs (as computed via the LLE) should happen simultaneously. We numerically reproduce the near-threshold behavior presented in Fig. 3 of the main text. The result of the combined LLE and input-output theory simulation is presented in Fig. S1a. The modes $\mu = \pm 5$ indeed exit the regime of validity of the input-output formalism (when Q_{eff} has positive real eigenvalues) at the onset of the LLE threshold. Although coherent comb light is present in other modes above threshold, only $\mu = \pm 5$ modes cannot be simulated with the presented linearization approach. A qualitative agreement between simulation and experimentally measured correlations (shown in Fig. 3b of the main text) is seen at the simulated detuning of -9.3 MHz, Fig. S1c.



FIG. S1: Numerical analysis of OPO threshold a *Top:* Dependence of the pump intensity in the microring (in units of photon number) on laser detuning. Detuning is given relative to the OPO threshold; a higher laser frequency corresponds to a more negative detuning with respect to the OPO condition. The LLE simulation (red) matches the analytic expression for the cavity mode in the presence of the Kerr nonlinear resonance shift (dashed black line) up to the threshold point, where the pump mode becomes depleted. *Middle:* Spectrum of the classical Kerr comb in the ring resonator, computed via the LLE, showing the formation of the 5-FSR primary comb. The scale represents $\log_{10}(\# \text{ of photons})$. *Bottom:* The photon spectrum of the below-threshold modes computed via input-output theory. For each mode, a spectral window of ± 2 GHz is shown. At threshold, modes $\mu = \pm 5$ exit the regime of validity of the linearized model and are excluded from the input-output simulation. The scale represents photon number spectral density, $\log_{10}(\# \text{ of photons per Mrad/second})$. **b** Evolution of the spectrum of three select modes near threshold (same scale as (b)). Above threshold, the spectra exhibit additional features generated by the additional parametric processes driven by the primary comb lines. **c** Computed $g_{auto}^{(2)}(\tau)$ at a detuning of -9.3 MHz (indicated as a dashed line in (b)).

E. Second-order photon correlations in merging secondary combs

In this section we describe the modelling of the interference in $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ of stimulated and spontaneous FWM presented in Fig. 4 of the main text. The operator $\hat{b}_{out}(t)$ is:

$$\hat{b}_{\text{out}}(t) = \hat{b}_{\text{in}}(t) - i\sqrt{\kappa}[\alpha(t) + \hat{a}(t)], \qquad (16)$$

or, in the frequency domain,

$$\hat{b}_{\rm out}(\omega) = N(\omega)\hat{b}_{\rm in}(\omega) - i\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha(\omega).$$
(17)

Since the coherent field in the mode is bichromatic,

$$\alpha(t) = A_{\cosh,1}e^{-i\omega_1 t} + A_{\cosh,2}e^{-i\omega_2 t}.$$
(18)

We note that in the presence of a bichromatic coherent state, there is a distinction between $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ and the experimentally-measured correlations. Specifically, the measured correlations are the time-averaged two-photon coincidences $G^{(2)}(\tau) = \langle G^{(2)}(t+\tau,t) \rangle_t$, normalized to the mean value at $\tau \to \infty$:

$$g_{\exp}^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{(2)}(t+\tau,t) \,\mathrm{d}t}{\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{T}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{(2)}(t+\tau,t) \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}\tau}$$
(19)

To model the $g_{\exp}^{(2)}(\tau)$ presented in Fig. 4 of the main text, we consider a system of three coherent drives (A_{-8}, A_0, A_{+8}) , and four quantum modes $(\hat{a}_{-12}, \hat{a}_{-4}, \hat{a}_4, \hat{a}_{12})$. The effect of other coherent driving modes is assumed negligible, because their amplitude is much smaller. The fit parameters in the model are: 1) two pump amplitudes $(A_0, \text{ and } A_{+8} = A_{-8})$; 2) two coherent state amplitudes $(A_{\text{coh},1} \text{ and } A_{\text{coh},2})$; 3) two coherent state frequencies $(\omega_1 \text{ and } \omega_2)$; and 4) the pump laser detuning δ_p . The fit is presented in Fig. 4, state 3a of the main text.

F. Characterizing entanglement between cavity modes in soliton crystals

Denoting the modes under consideration by a_{μ} for $\mu \in \{1, 2..., N\}$ and assuming a quadratic Hamiltonian. In steady state $(t \to \infty)$, the density matrix describing any two modes α and β is described by a Gaussian Wigner function:

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}(q_{\alpha,\beta} = [x_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}, p_{\beta}]^{T}) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{\text{Det}[\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}]}} \exp\left(q_{\alpha,\beta}^{T}\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}q_{\alpha,\beta}\right)$$
(20)

where $\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta} = \langle q_{\alpha,\beta} q_{\alpha,\beta}^T \rangle_W$ is the 4 × 4 steady state correlation matrix formed from weyl ordered operators. The entanglement measure between these two modes can be computed as a log-negativity of this matrix, defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta} = \max[0, -\log(\sqrt{2\eta})] \tag{21}$$

where

$$\eta = \sqrt{\Theta - \sqrt{\Theta^2 - 4\text{Det}(\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}}$$
(22)

and

$$\Theta = \operatorname{Det}(\Sigma_{\alpha}) + \operatorname{Det}(\Sigma_{\beta}) - 2\operatorname{Det}(C)$$
(23)

and $\Sigma_{\alpha}, \Sigma_{\beta}$ and C are defined as different blocks of $\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{\alpha} & C \\ C^T & \Sigma_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

To compute the correlation matrix $\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ from a quadratic Hamiltonian, it is convenient to express the correlation elements in terms of annihilation operators. We can immediately note that

$$\langle x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} \rangle_{W} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle a_{\alpha} a_{\beta} \rangle + \langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \rangle + \langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta} \rangle + \langle a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \rangle \right]$$
(25a)

$$\langle p_{\alpha}p_{\beta}\rangle_{W} = -\frac{1}{2} \bigg[\langle a_{\alpha}a_{\beta}\rangle + \langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\rangle - \langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}a_{\beta}\rangle - \langle a_{\alpha}a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\rangle \bigg]$$
(25b)

$$\langle x_{\alpha} p_{\beta} \rangle_{W} = \langle p_{\beta} x_{\alpha} \rangle_{W} = \frac{i}{2} \bigg[\delta_{\alpha,\beta} + \langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \rangle - \langle a_{\alpha} a_{\beta} \rangle + \langle a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} \rangle - \langle a_{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \rangle \bigg]$$
(25c)

The correlators for the annihilation operators required above can be easily calculated from the input-output formalism. Recall that for a quadratic, time-invariant Hamiltonian, the Heisenberg equations read

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} a(t) \\ a^{\dagger}(t) \end{bmatrix} = Q_{\text{eff}} \begin{bmatrix} a(t) \\ a^{\dagger}(t) \end{bmatrix} + M \begin{bmatrix} b_{in}(t) \\ b_{in}^{\dagger}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

Physically, we expect eigenvalues of Q_{eff} to all have negative real part so as to have a well defined steady state. We then obtain by integrating the above equations that as $t \to \infty$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a(t)\\ a^{\dagger}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \int_0^t e^{Q_{\rm eff}(t-\tau)} M \begin{bmatrix} b_{in}(\tau)\\ b^{\dagger}_{in}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} d\tau = \int_0^t X e^{\Lambda(t-\tau)} X^{-1} M \begin{bmatrix} b_{in}(\tau)\\ b^{\dagger}_{in}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} d\tau$$
(27)

where we can define the eigenvalue decomposition $Q_{\rm eff} = X\Lambda X^{-1}$. It is now straightforward to calculate

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a(t) \\ a^{\dagger}(t) \end{bmatrix} \left[a^{\dagger}(t) \ a(t) \right] \right\rangle = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t X e^{\Lambda(t-\tau)} X^{-1} M M^{\dagger} X^{-\dagger} e^{\Lambda^*(t-\tau)} X^{\dagger} d\tau = X N X^{\dagger}$$
(28)

where N is a matrix whose elements are given by

$$N_{i,j} = \frac{[X^{-1}MJM^{\dagger}X^{-\dagger}]_{i,j}}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j^*}$$
(29)

defined in terms of the eigenvalues of Q_{eff} , λ_i , where J is a $2N \times 2N$ matrix:

$$J = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I(N) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup is presented in Fig. S2. The device is operated at 4 K to reduce the thermo-optic response^{S4}. In the design of the single-photon optical spectrum analyzer (SPOSA), we focused on broadband operation in order to image all parts of the frequency comb, which extends beyond the operation range of standard fiber-based filtering components. For this reason, a free-space monochromator approach was chosen. Blazed gratings (600 grooves/mm) optimized for 1.5 µm operated in the Littrow configuration have 75-85% efficiency across the range of operation (1300-1700 nm). In a single-pass monochromator, dynamic range is limited to ~ 70 dB by roughness-induced scattering from the grating surface. By operating the monochromator in a two-pass configuration, where the forward and return beams do not overlap on the grating, the dynamic range is doubled. To further increase the dynamic range, a second, single-pass monochromator can additionally be used. The double-pass monochromator configuration has dynamic range in excess of 180 dB and total peak efficiency as high as 55%. The superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs) are optimized for broadband operation with efficiency exceeding 80% from 1.0 – 1.6 µm.



FIG. S2: **Single-photon OSA** Experimental setup. A silicon carbide microring resonator interfaced with inversedesigned vertical couplers^{S5} is mounted in a closed-cycle cryostat. Automated locking of a desired microcomb state is performed using active feedback by controlling the laser wavelength and power. A free-space tunable twopass monochromator (MC) and double monochromator (DMC) serve as narrow band-pass filters with rejection of >130 dB and >180 dB, respectively. The dynamic range of the superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs) is extended from 60 dB to 180 dB via variable optical attenuators (VOA). A single SPOSA is used for spectroscopy and two SPOSAs are used for photon correlation measurements. Photon detection events are recorded with a timing module TimeTagger Ultra from Swabian Instruments.

III. SILICON CARBIDE SOLITON MICROCOMB

In this section, we describe the first demonstration of a soliton microcomb in a 4H-silicon carbide (SiC) microresonator. The CMOS-compatible fabrication process is described in^{S5,S6}. SiC possesses a high linear and nonlinear refractive indices^{S5} (n = 2.6 and $n_2 = 6.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$ cm²/W at 1550 nm), which makes it suitable for highly efficient, compact nonlinear photonic devices. However, the tight confinement and high material index of integrated waveguides make them susceptible to scattering losses caused by surface roughness. We demonstrate the fabrication of SiC microresonators with smooth sidewalls and strong confinement with record-high quality (Q) factors. The fabricated microring resonators have a radius of 100 µm, height of 500-600 nm, and width of 1850 nm.

A. Sub-mW parametric oscillation threshold

The efficiency of the Kerr nonlinear interaction improves with higher quality factors of the optical resonator. For example, the threshold relation for optical parametric oscillation in a microresonator can be expressed in the following form:

$$P_{th} = \frac{\pi n \omega_0 A_{\text{eff}}}{4\eta \ n_2} \frac{1}{D_1 Q^2} \tag{30}$$

where Q denotes the total Q factor (intrinsic loss and loading included) with pump mode frequency ω_0 , A_{eff} is the effective mode area, η is the cavity-waveguide coupling strength, and D_1 is the free-spectral range (FSR) in units of rad/s. Zero detuning of the laser frequency with respect to the pump mode frequency is assumed. The parametric oscillation threshold is inversely proportional to the square of the Q factor. Fig. S3 shows a sub-milliwatt (approximately 510 µW) parametric oscillation threshold of a SiC optical resonator featuring an intrinsic Q factor of 5.6 million with a 350 GHz FSR.



FIG. S3: **Sub-mW parametric oscillation threshold power** (a) SiC parametric oscillation induced by pumping at the wavelength of 1553.3 nm. Top panel shows OPO just above the threshold power (510 μ W total power in the waveguide). Middle and lower panels show measured optical spectra with loaded pump power of approximately 570 and 600 μ W, respectively. (b) High-resolution scan of the fundamental TE mode with a loaded (intrinsic) quality factor of 3.19 (5.61) million. The mode is seen to be nearly critically-coupled to the waveguide. The scan laser wavelength is calibrated using a wavemeter, and the red curve is a fit to a Fano lineshape. The asymmetry of the resonance shape is attributed to interference with back-reflection of the vertical couplers.

B. Demonstration of a Silicon Carbide soliton microcomb

Coherently pumped solitons in optical microresonators form as a result of the balance of the Kerr nonlinear shift and the cavity dispersion, as well as the parametric gain and the cavity loss. The soliton-forming mode family (in particular for bright solitons) in a microresonator must feature anomalous dispersion and minimal distortion of the dispersion



FIG. S4: SiC soliton microcomb (a) The optical spectrum of a single soliton state with 2.3 milliwatts operation power. (b) RF spectrum (resolution bandwidth = 100 kHz) of the entire soliton comb confirms a low-noise state. (c) Measured frequency dispersion belonging to the soliton forming mode family (TE₀₀) is plotted versus the relative mode number. The red curve is a fit using $D_1/2\pi = 358.663$ GHz and $D_2/2\pi = 8$ MHz. Simulation of the soliton mode families is plotted (green curve), and the simulation fairly agrees with the measurement results. (d) Upper panel presents pump power transmission versus tuning across a resonance used for the soliton formation. Lower panel shows comb power trace in which the pump laser scans over the resonance from the short wavelength (blue detuned) to the long wavelength (red detuned). The shaded region (orange) depicts the spectral region where the single soliton exists.

(e.g., minimal avoided-mode-crossings). The power requirement for soliton operation is inversely proportional to the total Q factor of the mode family^{S7}.

We demonstrate the generation of a dissipative soliton microcomb in a SiC microresonator. Figure S4a shows the spectrum measured for a single-soliton state, and the soliton spectral shape follows the square of a hyperbolic secant function. Small spurs in the spectrum correlate with the avoided-mode-crossings in the mode dispersion spectrum (Fig. S4c), and the RF spectrum of the single-soliton state confirms that it is a low-noise state (Fig. S4b). While tuning the laser through the resonance mode, the pump power transmission as well as the comb power (Fig. S4d) show a step transition from modulation instability (MI) and a chaotic comb state to a stable soliton comb state. The high Q SiC resonator enables a low operation power of the soliton microcomb of 2.3 mW: Table I compares operation powers of various chip-scale soliton devices.

			Soliton operation power	
Material	\mathbf{Q}_0 (M)	FSR (GHz)	(OPO threshold) (mW)	Reference
Si ₃ N ₄	260	5	~ 20	Ref [S8]
$\rm Si_3N_4$	8	194	1.3(1.1)	Ref [S9]
$\rm Si_3N_4$	15	99	6.2(1.7)	Ref [S10]
${ m SiO_2/Si_3N_4}$	120	15	28(5)	$\operatorname{Ref}\left[\operatorname{S11}\right]$
$LiNbO_3$	2.4	199.7	5.2	$\operatorname{Ref}\left[\operatorname{S12}\right]$
AlGaAs	1.5	450	1.77(0.07)	Ref [S13]
SiC	5.6	350	2.3 (0.51)	This work

TABLE I: Comparison of integrated soliton device performance

IV. SOLITON CRYSTALS

Soliton crystals, temporally-ordered ensembles of soliton pulses, have been observed in various optical resonator platforms, and their dynamics as well as defect-free generation have been actively explored. We demonstrate soliton crystal states with 2- and 7-FSR comb spacing, corresponding to phase-locked lattice of 2 and 7 identical solitons, respectively. We characterize the soliton crystals through the analysis of their optical spectra, RF beatnote, and second-order photon correlations.

A. 2-FSR soliton crystal

Figure S5 shows the OSA spectrum and RF beatnote noise of the soliton crystal state that is studied in Fig. 5 of the main text. In the main text, the RF beatnote of a single resonator mode is shown; In Fig. S5, we show the RF spectrum of the whole comb. Sweeping the laser from blue- to red-detuned, we observed a transition from a broad and noisy RF signal corresponding to the modulation instability (MI) state, to a low-noise state, coinciding with the beginning of a discrete step in the transmission trace across the cavity resonance.



FIG. S5: **2-FSR soliton crystal state** (a) OSA spectrum of the soliton crystal state. Inset: pump power transmission versus laser tuning when the pump laser wavelength is scanned from blue- to red-detuned across the pump resonance. (b) RF spectra (resolution bandwidth = 100 kHz) of the soliton comb (black) and MI comb (red).

B. Photonic molecule analysis

The second-order correlation matrix for the 2-FSR soliton crystal state (presented in Fig. 5c of the main text) was computed via LLE simulation and input-output theory using the following parameters:

- $D_2/2\pi = 3.65$ MHz, obtained from FEM simulation, neglecting higher-order terms. A single perturbation of -30 MHz was introduced at mode $\mu = -2$ to induce the formation of the soliton crystal state^{S14}.
- For the pump mode ($\mu = 0$), the intrinsic and coupling Q factors of 2.37 and 6.55 million, respectively, were used, extracted from the measured cold-cavity transmission spectrum.
- For the other modes, intrinsic and coupling Q of 2.77 and 7.47 million, respectively, were used, corresponding to the mean of the measured Q factors for the modes within the laser scanning range ($\mu = -3$ to +14).
- Pump power of 6.6 mW in the waveguide, corresponding to the experimentally-measured value.

The result of the LLE simulation is shown in Fig. S6b. The simulated soliton crystal spectrum for the detuning of 330 MHz is shown in Fig. S6c. In the input-ouput theory model, the laser detuning (within the range of existence of the soliton state in the LLE simulation) is the only free parameter. The corresponding second-order photon correlations and E_N matrices are shown in Fig. S6d. Negligible entanglement is thus predicted in the resonator mode basis for this soliton crystal state. However, entanglement can be recovered by selectively over-coupling the below-threshold modes via a photonic molecule configuration, shown in Fig. S6e. This configuration is as follows: The auxillary resonator has a FSR that is 2 times larger than the FSR of the primary microring. The coupling strength of the two ring resonators exceeds the total losses (scattering and waveguide coupling) of the primary resonator. The auxillary ring is further over-coupled to its output waveguide, so that rather than be strongly-coupled to the primary resonator, the auxillary resonator is coupled to the primary resonator.

resonator acts as a selective out-coupling channel for the odd-numbered modes of the primary resonator. We note that the finesse of the experimentally demonstrated resonators (approximately 3500) is sufficient for this architecture. To model this system, we perform the LLE simulation with the same device parameters as for the experimentally demonstrated device, but with the out-coupling rates of the odd modes increased by 10 times. We numerically confirm that the same 2-FSR soliton state can be prepared for this device (Fig. S6f). The computed second-order correlation and E_N matrices for the the quantum state of this device are shown in Fig. S6h.



FIG. S6: Photonic molecule architecture for all-to-all entanglement generation (a) Schematic of the experimentally-demonstrated device. (b) LLE simulation of the device for pump power of 6.6 mW in the waveguide. The desired 2-FSR soliton crystal state exists for detuning in the range 175–395 MHz. This simulated soliton step width of 220 MHz is somewhat larger than the experimentally-observed step width of 150 MHz. (c) The simulated spectrum taken at detuning of 330 MHz. (d) Left: The two-photon correlation matrix computed for the state in (c). The scale bar indicates max $\{g^{(2)}(\tau)\}$. Right: The corresponding entanglement negativity, E_N , matrix. (e-h) correspond to (a-d) but for the photonic molecule configuration, where the out-coupling of the odd resonator modes is increased by 10 times via the auxilliary resonator (e) Schematic of the photonic molecule configuration. (f) It is confirmed via LLE that the same 2-FSR soliton crystal state can be captured in simulation. (g) The spectrum of the comb at the same detuning of 330 MHz is identical to the comb spectrum in the unmodified device, since only the below-threshold modes are affected by the addition of the auxiliary ring. (h) The corresponding correlation and entanglement matrices for the photonic molecule device.

Figure S7 presents the generation of a 7-FSR soliton crystal state in a different device. The optical spectrum (Fig. S7a) as well as the transmission and comb power traces across the pump resonance identify the existence of the soliton state. The SPOSA spectrum (Fig. S7c) reveals quantum frequency comb lines which were obscured by the noise floor of the OSA spectrum, and their correlation matrix is presented with the prediction from the LLE-driven linearized model (Fig. S7d).



FIG. S7: **7-FSR soliton crystal state** (a) OSA spectrum of the soliton crystal state. (b) Pump power transmission (upper panel) and comb power (lower panel) versus wavelength tuning when the pump laser is scanned from blue to red across the pump resonance. (c) Optical spectrum of the soliton state measured using the SPOSA. (d) The max[$g^{(2)}(\tau)$] correlation matrix for the below threshold modes in the 7-FSR soliton state (Left: theoretical model, Right: experimental data).

- [S1] Chembo, Y. K. & Menyuk, C. R. Spatiotemporal lugiato-lefever formalism for kerr-comb generation in whisperinggallery-mode resonators. *Physical Review A* 87, 053852 (2013).
- [S2] Chembo, Y. K. Quantum dynamics of kerr optical frequency combs below and above threshold: Spontaneous four-wave mixing, entanglement, and squeezed states of light. *Physical Review A* 93, 033820 (2016).
- [S3] Ou, Z. & Lu, Y. Cavity enhanced spontaneous parametric down-conversion for the prolongation of correlation time between conjugate photons. *Physical Review Letters* 83, 2556 (1999).
- [S4] Moille, G. et al. Kerr-microresonator soliton frequency combs at cryogenic temperatures. Physical Review Applied 12, 034057 (2019).
- [S5] Guidry, M. A. et al. Optical parametric oscillation in silicon carbide nanophotonics. Optica 7, 1139–1142 (2020).
- [S6] Lukin, D. M. et al. 4H-silicon-carbide-on-insulator for integrated quantum and nonlinear photonics. Nature Photonics 14, 330–334 (2020).
- [S7] Yi, X., Yang, Q.-F., Yang, K. Y., Suh, M.-G. & Vahala, K. Soliton frequency comb at microwave rates in a high-q silica microresonator. Optica 2, 1078–1085 (2015).
- [S8] Jin, W. *et al.* Hertz-linewidth semiconductor lasers using cmos-ready ultra-high-q microresonators. *Nature Photonics* 1–8 (2021).

- [S9] Stern, B., Ji, X., Okawachi, Y., Gaeta, A. L. & Lipson, M. Battery-operated integrated frequency comb generator. Nature 562, 401–405 (2018).
- [S10] Liu, J. et al. Ultralow-power chip-based soliton microcombs for photonic integration. Optica 5, 1347–1353 (2018).
- [S11] Yang, K. Y. et al. Bridging ultrahigh-q devices and photonic circuits. Nature Photonics 12, 297–302 (2018).
- [S12] He, Y., Ling, J., Li, M. & Lin, Q. Perfect soliton crystals on demand. Laser & Photonics Reviews 14, 1900339 (2020).
- [S13] Chang, L. et al. Ultra-efficient frequency comb generation in algaas-on-insulator microresonators. Nature communications 11, 1–8 (2020).
- [S14] Karpov, M. et al. Dynamics of soliton crystals in optical microresonators. Nature Physics 15, 1071–1077 (2019).